



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 113th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 159

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 24, 2013

No. 57

House of Representatives

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,

April 24, 2013.

I hereby appoint the Honorable RODNEY L. DAVIS to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

JOHN A. BOEHNER,

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 3, 2013, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes each, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 11:50 a.m.

BORDER NEVER SECURED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. SMITH) for 5 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Senate immigration bill never secures the border. It plans to mostly secure the "high-risk sectors," which are less than half the border. And there is no guarantee that this will happen since there are no deadlines.

In fact, if the high-risk sectors are not secured after 5 years, a commission is established to make recommendations. "Make recommendations" sure doesn't sound like a secure border to me.

And there is the entry-exit system to deter those who overstay their visas—about 40 percent of all illegal immigrants. But it only applies to airports and seaports, not land checkpoints where most of the crossings occur. Again, there are no deadlines.

So it's amnesty for millions in 6 months and border security later, if ever.

IN MEMORY OF THOSE LOST IN THE ARMENIAN GENOCIDE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. SCHIFF) for 5 minutes.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, I would like to say the following in Armenian to my Armenian friends:

Sireli hai paraganneres, hos yev ashkharee chors goghmereh. Aysor, Haiots Tseghasbahnootian inisoonoot erort daretitseen oreh, khoskes googhem tsezi Neriguyatsusichneroo Don ambiyonen, tser medzhahreh loon lezvov.

Lezoo muh vorov anonk gardahaideyeen irents hooyserreh, gyankheh, yeraznerreh, yev sereh hazar inuh hairoor dasnuhinkee naxortogh darineron.

Osmanyen Guysrutian daradzkin, dasnyag hazaravorner chartehvetsan.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh ayn yerexaneroon voronk aganadess yeghan irents hayreru spanootyan.

Hazaravor geener pernaparvetsan.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh anonks toosdreroun, voronk koot gugherseyeen toork vosdiganneren.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh ayn yerekhaneroon, voronk gateel muh choor gugherseyeen.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh ayn myreroun, voronk mahatsahn irents noradzeenneruh irents keergeroon mech.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh ayn verabroghneroun, voronk Amereega yegan azadootyooneth vaylelu yev nor gyank muh usguselloo hamar.

Kuhreteh meg tareh eever, toorkia goorana tsaghasbanootyooneh. Toorkiah goozech, vor ashkharuh morna ays maseen.

Tsezi guh khoseem lezvovuh anonks, voronk ayleves chegan. Anonk mezmeh guh khentren heeshell zeerenk. Anonks tsaynerreh dagaveen guh lesveen.

Yes ays nahadagneren voyeveh megoon hednortuh chem, sagayn tsezi guh khoseem irents keghetseez lezvov, vorovhedeve aysor, polores hai enk!

Tsezi guh khoseem ays vayren, Nerguyatsutsichneroo Don ambiyonen vorovhedeve Amerigatsi joghovurteh meeshd jagaden yez kachootyamp nayadz eh polor sarsapneroun oo zanonk gochadz eh irents poon anoonov.

Guh sbasem ayn orvan, yerp ir ghegavarnereh yeves nooynuh beedee unnen. Vorovhedeve yes vuhsdah em, vor ayt oruh beedee kah. Guh sbasem vor chooshanah, vorbesi verabroghneruh luhsen anor tsentseech tsignuh.

Asdvadz mer tsignuh luhseh.

(English translation of the above statement is as follows:)

To my Armenian friends: Today, on the 98th anniversary of the genocide day, I speak to you in the language of your grandparents and your great grandparents—the language they used to speak of their hopes, their dreams, their loves in the years before 1915.

By the time it was over in 1923, more than 1.5 million Armenians—men, women and children—were dead. It was the first genocide of the 20th Century.

I speak to you in the language of the mothers who died with their babies in their arms.

Throughout the Ottoman Empire, tens of thousands were killed outright. Others were force marched through desert heat as the Ottoman government sought to destroy a people.

I speak to you in the language of the children begging for a drop of water.

Women were raped by the thousands.

I speak to you in the language of the girls begging the gendarmes for mercy.

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H2251

A nation was scattered around the world. To the Middle East, to Europe and to America.

I speak to you in the language of the survivors who came to America for freedom and made a new life.

For almost a century, Turkey has denied the genocide. In the face of overwhelming evidence—much of it from American diplomats and journalists—Ankara has denied that the genocide ever happened. They want the world to forget.

I speak to you in the language of those who were lost. Their voices drift across the decades—begging us to not forget them, no matter how hard some people try.

I am not Armenian, but I speak to you in your language because on this day we are all Armenian. For many years I have sat with you and listened—to the stories of those who were lost in the genocide and those who survived.

I speak to you in their language and yours to thank you for sharing your history with me and to pledge again that I will not stop fighting until the United States lives up to its principles by honoring and commemorating the Armenian Genocide.

And because I know that day will come. May it come soon, so the last of the survivors may hear its awesome sound.

May God hear our voices.

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY 11TH ANNUAL EQUINE SCIENCE SHOWCASE AND QUARTER HORSE SALE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. THOMPSON) for 5 minutes.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, this coming weekend, I will have the opportunity and the honor to attend Penn State University's 11th Annual Equine Science Showcase and Quarter Horse Sale.

As a land-grant university, horses of various breeds have always been a part of Penn State's Department of Dairy and Animal Science. As machinery replaced the draft horse, Penn State started the move towards the lighter horse types. Today, PSU focuses on the quarter horse for its diverse abilities, from working stock to show and recreation. Quarter horses are estimated to generate more than \$10.5 billion in economic output nationally.

This Saturday, 21 quarter horses will go on the auction block at Penn State's popular Equine Science Showcase and Quarter Horse Sale. The auction has grown with support from students and people in the equine industry, from 70 in the first auction to almost 500 last year.

Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate the 2013 sale's participating Penn State students, student co-managers Jordy Hudson and Melissa Wise, and instructor of equine sciences and horse farm coordinator Brian Egan.

SEQUESTRATION'S EFFECTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, 7 weeks on, Americans have already begun to feel the effects of the Republican policy of sequestration.

Sequestration defies common sense and is irresponsible. It is happening because the Tea Party faction of the Republican Party is hell-bent on cutting spending, no matter what the consequences, no matter how irrationally it is done, and no matter how adverse the consequences of these cuts.

These arbitrary, across-the-board cuts to Federal programs without regard to our priorities was never meant to be a solution, but rather, a deterrent to Congress failing to reach one.

□ 1010

Since coming into effect on March 1, Americans are seeing why sequestration is not a policy we should follow or continue. This week, the FAA began furloughs for 47,000 employees. I've talked to the Secretary and I've talked to the Deputy Assistant Secretary. They do not have an option under the policies that this Congress has adopted. Thirteen thousand air traffic controllers are among those 47,000.

Already, delays of up to 2 hours at major airports are disrupting travel, which impacts business and produces major headaches for American families trying to get to where they need to go. Some flights have been diverted because the air traffic control system is being overwhelmed with limited personnel.

In addition to its effects on the FAA, sequestration is also placing a heavy burden on small business. According to an article in Politico on April 16—just a few days ago—small businesses are being hit the hardest by sequestration's cuts. The Small Business Administration is being forced to cut \$16.7 million in loan subsidies; those are guarantees. That means \$16.7 million in loans—capital—not available to small businesses. At the same time, the article goes on to report as many as 956,000 small business jobs could be at risk from sequestration as employers lay off their workers in anticipation of further cuts.

We ought to abandon this stupid policy.

Also at stake are critical research programs in medicine and science research that fuel American innovation and advance lifesaving treatments. They're at risk because of sequestration. Cuts to the National Institutes of Health are already leading to a reduction in research grants, including tens of millions of dollars that will halt innovative genomics and cancer research at some of America's top universities, including Harvard, Penn, and Johns Hopkins in my State. And the National Science Foundation will have to award 1,000 fewer grants this year to researchers who are helping keep America on top of technology and innovation. How irrational.

This is a stupid, harmful, future-hurting, and America-undercutting policy. It must be changed.

Sequestration is also reducing our military readiness and putting civilian defense employees at risk of being furloughed and, more to the point, putting at risk our own national security. Communities in my district across Maryland and throughout the country whose economies depend on a strong military are going to be hard hit.

But the good news is there is an alternative. Congress has the power to end sequestration by reaching a big and balanced solution to deficits that can replace these irrational cuts. But to do so, Democrats and Republicans will have to work together in a bipartisan way. We ought to go to conference on the budget, adopt a fiscally responsible and balanced plan, and eliminate the sequester for this year and the 8 years to come.

We offered an alternative to sequester four times in the last month, and four times we were not given the opportunity to have it voted upon on this floor. This was supposed to be open and transparent, and we would consider alternatives. We did not. But I believe we can do it. Our economy, our ability to create jobs, and the success of our country in the decade ahead is dependent on our jettisoning these irrational cuts we call sequestration.

Too many jobs, lives, and livelihoods are at stake for Congress to engage in partisan games. As the weeks and months continue without turning sequestration off, its effects will only get worse.

Let's act now. Let's act together. Let's act in a bipartisan way before our people and our businesses feel the full effects of this irrational and senseless sequestration policy. Let's work together to achieve the big, balanced solution the American people deserve from their Congress and that we owe to our country.

I will submit an article for the RECORD written by our colleague, Representative DAVID PRICE of North Carolina, entitled: "Lawmakers' sequestration double-talk."

[From the Charlotte Observer, Apr. 23, 2013]

LAWMAKERS' SEQUESTRATION DOUBLE-TALK

(By U.S. Rep. David E. Price)

Double-talk is never in short supply in Washington. But as the axe of "sequestration"—the across-the-board spending cuts triggered by Congress' failure to pass a long-term budget plan—begins to fall, self-contradiction and hypocrisy have reached heights unusual even for the Capitol.

Indeed, many of the same Congress members who welcomed sequestration as a way to force the president to cut spending are now protesting loudly when their pet programs feel the pain. Members who voted for the package that Speaker John Boehner said included "90 percent" of what Republicans wanted now claim that sequestration does not need to hurt very much and accuse the president of imposing cuts for political effect.

The reality is that sequestration was designed to cut both deeply and indiscriminately. Although it barely touches the two main deficit drivers—tax expenditures and entitlement spending—it was supposed to be sufficiently draconian and unacceptable to