

York (Mr. SCHUMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3429, a bill to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a veterans jobs corps, and for other purposes.

S. CON. RES. 50

At the request of Mr. RUBIO, the names of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. SHAHEEN) and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. THUNE) were added as cosponsors of S. Con. Res. 50, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress regarding actions to preserve and advance the multistakeholder governance model under which the Internet has thrived.

S. RES. 525

At the request of Mr. NELSON of Florida, the names of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 525, a resolution honoring the life and legacy of Oswaldo Paya Sardinias.

AMENDMENT NO. 2569

At the request of Mrs. HUTCHISON, the names of the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. ALEXANDER) and the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 2569 intended to be proposed to S. 3412, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide tax relief to middle-class families.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. WHITEHOUSE (for himself and Mr. HATCH):

S. 3431. A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act to more effectively regulate anabolic steroids; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, today I am pleased to join Senator HATCH in introducing the bipartisan Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012. This measure will help keep American children and families safe from dangerous designer drugs that masquerade as healthy dietary supplements. This legislation is based on Senator Specter's work in the previous Congress, and I thank him for his leadership on this issue.

Doctors and scientists have long recognized the health hazards of non-medical use of anabolic steroids. For that reason, Congress has previously acted to ensure that these drugs are listed as controlled substances. Nonetheless, according to investigative reporting and Congressional testimony, a loophole in current law allows for designer anabolic steroids to easily be found on the Internet, in gyms, and even in retail stores.

Designer steroids are produced by reverse engineering existing illegal steroids and then slightly modifying the chemical composition, so that the resulting product is not on the Drug Enforcement Administration's, DEA, list of controlled substances. When taken by consumers, designer steroids

can cause serious medical consequences, including liver injury and increased risk of heart attack and stroke. They may also lead to psychological effects such as aggression, hostility, and addiction.

These designer products can be even more dangerous than traditional steroids because they are often untested, produced from overseas raw materials, and manufactured without quality controls. As one witness testified at a Crime Subcommittee hearing in the last Congress, "all it takes to cash in on the storefront steroid craze is a credit card to import raw products from China or India where most of the raw ingredients come from, the ability to pour powders into a bottle or pill and a printer to create shiny, glossy labels."

The unscrupulous actors responsible for manufacturing and selling these products often market them with misleading and inaccurate labels. That can cause consumers who are looking for a healthy supplement—not just elite athletes, but also high school students, law enforcement personnel, and mainstream Americans—to be deceived into taking these dangerous products.

Loopholes in existing law allow these dangerous designer steroids to evade regulation. Under current law, in order to classify new substances as steroids, the DEA must complete a burdensome and time-consuming series of chemical and pharmacological testing. As a DEA official testified before Congress: "in the time that it takes DEA to administratively schedule an anabolic steroid used in a dietary supplement product, several new products can enter the market to take the place of those products."

The Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012 would quickly protect consumers from these dangerous products. First, it would immediately place 27 known designer anabolic steroids on the list of controlled substances. Second, it would grant the DEA authority to temporarily schedule new designer steroids on the controlled substances list, so that if bad actors develop new variations, these products can be removed from the market. Third, it would create new penalties for importing, manufacturing, or distributing anabolic steroid's under false labels.

Senator HATCH and I have worked closely with a range of consumer and industry organizations to ensure that this legislation would not interfere with consumers' access to legitimate dietary supplements. I am pleased that the measure has been endorsed by the United States Anti-Doping Agency, the Alliance for Natural Health, the Council for Responsible Nutrition, the American Herbal Products Association, the Natural Products Association, the Consumer Health Products Association, and the United Natural Products Alliance.

I thank these organizations for their support, and look forward to working with them, with Senator HATCH, and

with colleagues from both sides of the aisle to enact this common sense measure into law.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that letters of support be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD as follows:

AMERICAN HERBAL PRODUCTS ASSOCIATION,

Silver Spring, MD, July 23, 2012.

Hon. ORRIN HATCH,

*U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.*

Hon. SHELDON WHITEHOUSE,

*U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.*

DEAR SENATORS HATCH AND WHITEHOUSE, This letter is to communicate to you the support of the American Herbal Products Association (AHPA) for your pending legislation, the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012. AHPA recognizes the need to more effectively regulate anabolic steroids, as this bill's amendment of the Controlled Substances Act would do. The expanded controls on these substances that would be implemented by your legislation would protect consumers by better ensuring that these are not misrepresented as legitimate dietary supplements, when clearly they are not.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if there is anything that AHPA and its members can do to assist in the passage of this important legislation.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL MCGUFFIN,
President.

NATURAL PRODUCTS ASSOCIATION,

Washington, DC, July 23, 2012.

Hon. ORRIN HATCH,

*U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.*

SENATOR HATCH, I write today on behalf of the Natural Products Association (NPA) to thank you for introducing the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012 (DASCA). As the leading representative of the dietary supplement industry with over 1,900 members, including suppliers and retailers of vitamins and other dietary supplements, NPA works to ensure that consumers have access to safe dietary supplements. We believe that this bill will make the marketplace safer.

Our support for this legislation demonstrates NPA's commitment to removing anabolic steroids, which are not dietary ingredients, from the market. NPA has worked in conjunction with the FDA to bring attention to spiked products masquerading as dietary supplements. This bill helps protect consumers who believe they are purchasing "legal" supplements but may suffer health effects from steroid use.

Even with the passage of the Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2004, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has removed very few substances. The DEA has to follow a strict set of testing standards to schedule a substance and remove it from the market. This process can take up to three years to complete; but while this process is taking place, the products remain on the market. This bill gives the DEA the power to temporarily remove products from the market while testing is completed, giving them the ability to stay ahead of the individuals who are creating these designer drugs.

Thank you for introducing this important legislation and your tireless work on behalf of the dietary supplement industry.

Regards,

JOHN SHAW,
NPA Executive Director and CEO.

COUNCIL FOR RESPONSIBLE NUTRITION,
July 20, 2012.

Re Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act
(DASCA).

Hon. ORRIN HATCH,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

Hon. SHELDON WHITEHOUSE,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS HATCH AND WHITEHOUSE: On behalf of the Council for Responsible Nutrition (CRN)¹ and its members, I am writing to express our support for the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act (DASCA). We want to thank you both for your commitment to providing the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) with new authority to place designer anabolic steroids on the Controlled Substance Schedules more expeditiously and providing that agency with new tools to quickly respond when new anabolic substances are introduced. This legislation will provide DEA with new enforcement tools to prosecute irresponsible and disreputable companies that develop and market anabolic steroids as products labeled as dietary supplements. Your efforts in this regard are laudable, and CRN stands in support of your legislation.

Misbranded products that contain designer anabolic steroids present serious health risks to consumers, particularly young men who are unaware of the dangers of anabolic steroid use. Maintaining the trust of consumers in the safety and benefit of dietary supplements is essential to preserving a vibrant market for legitimate dietary supplements. Currently, unscrupulous companies can design these illicit substances and illegally introduce them into the dietary supplement marketplace before DEA can demonstrate their anabolic effects and declare them controlled substances under the present law. We believe DASCA's provisions will go a long way to help DEA more quickly identify and restrict new designer anabolic steroids by declaring them to be "controlled substances." It will allow DEA to target substances whose chemical structures mimic other anabolic steroids and whose manufacturers and marketers promote their anabolic or muscle-building effects. This legislation will assuage concerns of Americans who use sports supplements, and foster an even greater working relationship between FDA, DEA and responsible, mainstream industry. DASCA is strong step forward, adding teeth to prevention and enforcement efforts in the battle against steroid abuse.

CRN understands that you intend to request this legislation be referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee, whose jurisdiction traditionally handles DEA and controlled substance issues. We hope the committee will give the legislation expedient and thoughtful consideration on its way to passage by the full Senate, and are eager to work with your office to ensure that the Judiciary Committee understands the concerns of industry and consumers that have led to this bill. CRN stands ready to work with you and all of Congress to deliver a strong bill to the President.

Please don't hesitate to contact me or Mike Greene on my staff at 202-204-7690 or mgreene@crnusa.org if CRN may be of any assistance in your endeavors.

Best regards,

STEVE MISTER,
President and CEO.

UNITED NATURAL PRODUCTS ALLIANCE,
Salt Lake City, UT, July 23, 2012.

Hon. SHELDON WHITEHOUSE,
Hon. ORRIN HATCH,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS WHITEHOUSE AND HATCH: Thank you for your considerable efforts to draft the "Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012" and to close loopholes that might allow continued sale of anabolic steroids, steroid lookalikes or steroid precursors—all of which are a significant threat to public health. We greatly commend your work.

The United Natural Products Alliance has appreciated the opportunity to work with you in developing this bill. As you know, sale of the products it would address are a significant concern to our members who believe, quite simply, these products should be outlawed.

We have reviewed your most recent legislation and wanted to advise you we are completely in support of the goals of this legislation. We do have minor drafting concerns, which have been shared with your staff, and we appreciate their commitment to address these issues as the legislation moves forward.

Thank you again for your work on this important issue.

Kind regards,

LOREN ISRAELSEN,
Executive Director.

CONSUMERS HEALTHCARE
PRODUCTS ASSOCIATION,
Washington, DC, July 23, 2012.

Hon. SHELDON WHITEHOUSE,
Senate Committee on the Judiciary,
Washington, DC.
Hon. ORRIN HATCH,
Senate Committee on the Judiciary,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS WHITEHOUSE AND HATCH: On behalf of the more than 200 members of the Consumer Healthcare Products Association, the 131-year-old trade association representing the leading U.S. manufacturers and distributors of over-the-counter (OTC) medicines and dietary supplements, thank you for sponsoring the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act (DASCA).

This important legislation would designate additional chemicals as anabolic steroids, and increase the penalties for violators of anabolic steroid labeling laws, specifically those rogue supplement manufacturers that "spike" their products with anabolic steroids and attempt to pass them off as dietary supplements. We applaud introduction of this legislation to further protect the public health of our citizens, and pledge to work closely with you and your staff to advance this bill.

Please do not hesitate to call on us if you need any assistance, and thank you, again, for your leadership on this important issue. Sincerely,

SCOTT M. MELVILLE,
President and CEO.

ALLIANCE FOR NATURAL HEALTH USA,
Washington, DC, July 23, 2012.

Hon. ORRIN HATCH,
United States Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR HATCH: The Alliance for Natural Health USA strongly supports the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act (DASCA) of 2012. Not only are anabolic steroids masquerading as nutritional supplements illegal, they also risk the health of those who use them, and tarnish the reputation of the dietary supplement industry. The harm from these steroid-tainted supplements is real. Health risks include serious liver in-

jury, stroke, kidney failure, and pulmonary embolism.

It is clear that the complex and cumbersome regulatory system has failed to stop designer anabolic steroids. We understand that your bill closes the loopholes in laws that currently allow the creation and easy distribution of anabolic steroids masquerading as dietary supplements.

We are thankful for the opportunity to discuss the bill with your staff, and support its passage.

Sincerely,

GRETCHEN DUBEAU,
Executive and Legal Director.

UNITED STATES ANTI-DOPING AGENCY,
Colorado Springs, CO, July 23, 2012.

Senator ORRIN G. HATCH,
Hart Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

Senator SHELDON WHITEHOUSE,
Hart Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR HATCH AND SENATOR WHITEHOUSE: On behalf of the United States Anti-Doping Agency ("USADA"), I am writing to express our full support for the Designer Anabolic Steroid Act of 2012. As the Congressionally recognized independent anti-doping agency for the U.S. Olympic, Paralympic and Pan American movement, USADA represents literally millions of participants including athletes, coaches and sports organizers who want to ensure sport in this country continues to be a teacher of life lessons for participants at all ages, is safe and drug free and that clean athletes can compete and win without having to resort to using dangerous performance enhancing drugs.

As we have seen over the last few years the current law regulating dietary supplements has been exploited by rogue manufacturers who have produced and sold products masquerading as otherwise safe and legitimate dietary supplements that are not but are in fact illegal products containing steroids and other prohibited performance enhancing drugs. This legislation is important to USADA and our mission in order to close this loophole and ensure these fly-by-night operations cannot easily and without risk continue to produce these products.

We greatly appreciate your efforts in drafting and introducing the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012 and look forward to assisting you in any way possible to achieve its passage into law at the earliest opportunity.

Sincerely,

TRAVIS T. TYGART,
Chief Executive Officer.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I am pleased to cosponsor the Designer Anabolic Steroid Control Act of 2012, DASCA, introduced by Senator WHITEHOUSE. The use of anabolic steroids or dietary supplements that contain designer steroids may trigger numerous adverse health effects, and thus Congress has passed legislation over the years to address these chemicals.

The Drug Enforcement Agency, DEA, continues to investigate and uncover dietary supplement products that contain either controlled anabolic steroids or designer steroids that are structurally similar to testosterone. In the tin that it takes the DEA to administratively schedule an anabolic steroid used in a dietary supplement product, several new products can enter the market to take its place. Certain individuals have taken advantage of this

lengthy DEA administrative process by continuing to create and market new derivative products by substituting and altering the testosterone molecule and then marketing them as “dietary supplements.” Very often, these new formulations have not been adequately tested.

I worked in the previous Congress on legislation to address this issue and continued that work with Senator WHITEHOUSE to develop a bill that would amend the Controlled Substances Act to expand the list of substances defined as anabolic steroids, and authorize the Attorney General to issue a temporary order adding a drug or substance to the list of anabolic steroids. The bill would also create new criminal and civil penalties for importing, manufacturing, or selling any product containing an anabolic steroid unless it bears a label clearly identifying the chemicals contained in the product.

This bill is supported by American Herbal Products Association, AHPA, Natural Products Association, NPA, Council for Responsible Nutrition, CRN, United Natural Products Alliance, UNPA, Consumer Healthcare Products Association, CHPA, Alliance for Natural Health, ANH, and the U.S. Anti-Doping Agency, USADA.

By Ms. SNOWE (for herself and Mr. WARNER):

S. 3433. A bill to require a radio spectrum inventory of bands managed by the Federal Communications Commission and the National Telecommunications & Information Administration; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I rise today, along with Senator WARNER, to reintroduce the Radio Spectrum Inventory Act. Simply put, in order to make more spectrum available to meet the growing demand for wireless broadband and other radio-based services, decision makers at the FCC, NTIA, and Congress must have a clear, detailed, up-to-date understanding of how spectrum is currently being used and by whom—data essential to sound policy decisions.

Specifically, the Radio Spectrum Inventory Act directs the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, NTIA, the Federal Communications Commission, FCC, with assistance from the Office of Science and Technology, to create a comprehensive and accurate inventory of each spectrum band, at a minimum, between 300 Megahertz to 6.5 Gigahertz. The information collected would include the licenses assigned in that band, number and type of end-user devices deployed, amount of deployed infrastructure, type of missions and activities supported in the band, as well as any relevant unlicensed end user devices operating in the band. This information is fundamental to constructing a comprehensive framework for spectrum policy.

The Radio Spectrum Inventory Act also provides more transparency related to spectrum use by creating a centralized website or portal that would include relevant spectrum and license information accessible by the public. Given that radio spectrum is a public good, we are obligated to provide the public more clarity and accountability on how it is being utilized by both Federal and non-Federal licensees. But let me be clear, given the sensitive nature of some spectrum assignments and allocations, this bill makes the appropriate disclosure exceptions for spectrum utilized or reserved for national security and public safety activities.

A comprehensive inventory is a critical step in reforming our spectrum policy and management. The FCC manages over 2 million active licenses and NTIA administers more than 450,000 frequency assignments. And while I appreciate the FCC’s effort in conducting a “baseline” inventory and NTIA’s evaluation—both the fast track and ten year plan—I do not believe they are sufficient substitutes to conducting a full inventory since those efforts were limited in scope and seemingly didn’t capture or make available more detailed data on spectrum use.

In addition, there has been a growing call for a comprehensive spectrum inventory from Members of Congress, former FCC officials, and industry—even the House Energy & Commerce Committee bipartisan Federal Spectrum Working Group requested what amounts to a complete inventory of Federal frequency assignments between 300 MHz and 3 GHz. But if we are to examine Federal use, we must also look at non-Federal use in order to gain a truly comprehensive picture and understanding of the heterogeneous spectrum ecosystem.

The ultimate goals this legislation sets the path towards achieving are to implement more efficient use of spectrum and to locate additional spectrum to meet the future demands of all spectrum users—commercial, Federal, and military. A comprehensive inventory would yield a significant amount more of data that would be extremely useful for conducting measurements, implementing more robust management, and developing greater strategic planning of spectrum resources.

With the enactment of P.L. 112-96 earlier this year, Congress took a notable but incremental step in an effort to free up additional spectrum to meet the growing demand of wireless broadband. As I have stated before, I believe more can and must be done to meet the future needs of all spectrum users and properly address existing spectrum challenges. This includes a comprehensive spectrum inventory, more strategic and longterm planning of spectrum resources, and greater collaboration between the FCC and NTIA. In addition, we must also continually promote more investment in infrastructure and foster greater technical

innovation. That is why I sincerely hope that my colleagues join Senator WARNER and me in supporting this critical legislation and continuing our focus on implementing spectrum reform.

By Ms. SNOWE (for herself and Mr. WARNER):

S. 3439. A bill to amend title 40, United States Code, to direct the Administrator of General Services to install Wi-Fi hotspots and wireless neutral host systems in all Federal buildings in order to improve in-building wireless communications coverage and commercial network capacity by off-loading wireless traffic onto wireline broadband networks; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I rise today, along with Senator WARNER, to reintroduce pro-consumer wireless legislation, which will improve wireless coverage indoors. Specifically, the Federal Wi-Net Act would require the installation of small wireless base stations, such as femtocells or similar technologies, and Wi-Fi hot-spots in all publicly accessible Federal buildings to improve wireless coverage and network capacity.

Over the past several years, there has been growing concern about a looming spectrum crisis given the significant growth in the wireless industry. Currently, there are more than 331 million wireless subscribers in the U.S., and American consumers used more than 2.3 trillion minutes in 2010—that is more than 6.4 billion minutes per day. And while the foundation for wireless services has been voice communication, more subscribers are utilizing it for broadband. According to Cisco, global mobile data traffic grew 159 percent in 2010, nearly tripling for the third year in a row. That growth is only expected to continue—there is expected to be over seven billion mobile devices globally by 2015 producing more than six exabytes per month. To put it in context, all the words ever spoken by human beings would equate to five exabytes worth of data.

To meet this growing demand, a multi-faceted solution is required that includes fostering technological advancement and more robust spectrum management. Technologies, such as femtocells, distributed antenna system, DAS, and Wi-Fi hotspots, will help alleviate growing wireless demand by off-loading that traffic onto wireline broadband networks. The Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission recently announced plans to open a proceeding on utilizing small cells in the 3.5 GHz band. And a recent spectrum report by the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, PCAST, highlighted how reducing cell sizes of wireless networks to femtocell or Wi-Fi ranges could provide 400 times as much aggregate network capacity than current macro cells network topologies.

To that point, the need is there—approximately 40 percent of cell phone

calls are made indoors and more than 26 percent of U.S. households have “cut-the-cord,” relying solely on cell phones to make voice calls. On the data side, Cisco’s Virtual Network Index reports approximately 60 percent of mobile Internet use is done inside—either at home or at work. Consumers are also utilizing Wi-Fi more frequently—more than 80 percent of smartphone users prefer Wi-Fi connections over cellular for mobile data usage, and approximately 75 percent of tablet users use Wi-Fi connections only. In addition, several new tablets, such as the Microsoft Surface, Google Nexus 7, and Samsung Galaxy Tab, were introduced as Wi-Fi only versions.

As the FCC’s National Broadband Plan highlights, most smartphones sold today have Wi-Fi capabilities to take advantage of the growing ubiquity of Wi-Fi routers and devices. According to a May 2011 report from comScore, approximately 48 percent of all iPhone traffic was transported over Wi-Fi/LAN networks. So installing more mini-base stations, such as femtocells, DAS, and Wi-Fi hotspots will improve indoor coverage and wireless network capacity. It will also increase battery life of phones and tablets since the indoor signal will be stronger so devices will use less power.

The increasing importance of wireless communications and broadband has a direct correlation to our nation’s competitiveness, economy, and national security and therefore demands we make the appropriate changes to current spectrum policy and management to avert a spectrum crisis and continue to realize the boundless benefits of spectrum-based services. Congress has taken some steps but more must be done. That is why I sincerely hope that my colleagues join Senator WARNER and me in supporting this important legislation.

By Ms. LANDRIEU:

S. 3442. A bill to provide tax incentives for small businesses, improve programs of the Small Business Administration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Mr. President, I come to the floor today to discuss the importance of small businesses in the United States. It cannot be stated enough that small businesses are the economic engines of our country. Small businesses also represent the essence of the American Dream. They are creators of new jobs and innovative technologies. In fact, over the last 15 years, businesses employing less than 500 people have created 93 percent of all new jobs and employed 58.6 million workers. Businesses employing less than 20 people alone employed 21.3 million workers. In my home state of Louisiana, small businesses make up about 98 percent of businesses. As Chair of the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, I remain focused on the needs of these small businesses. That is why I am here today to

introduce a bill that I believe will help spur job creation among small businesses.

As you know, right now our country is still mired in an historic economic downturn. This economic downturn is disproportionately affecting small businesses and, in turn, stifling opportunities for them to generate economic growth for the country. Sadly, since November 2008 80 percent of the job losses have come from small businesses. 2.16 million jobs were lost in the private sector from July to February 2008—nearly half from businesses with less than 50 employees. While corporate layoffs get the headlines, small business layoffs increase the headlines. Ten jobs lost here and five jobs there add up. These are the job losses that hurt our economy, our communities and our families.

With this in mind, I was proud to lead Congressional efforts to enact the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, Public Law 111-240. President Obama signed this legislation into law on September 27, 2010. This legislation focused on the three “C’s” important to small businesses: Capital, Contracting, and Counseling. 332 community banks in 47 states have received \$4.01 billion in funding from the Small Business Lending Fund in the bill, which is \$9.3 billion in leverage potential for small businesses. Furthermore, a total of 54 states/territories applied for funding through the Small Business State Credit Initiative Program to support State-run small business lending programs. Approximately, \$1.3 billion for 47 states and territories has been approved. Lastly, \$30 million of Round 1 of State Trade and Export, STEP, export grant funding was awarded in the Fall 2011 to 52 states and territories to promote small business exports. To date, the Small Business Jobs Act has provided an important boost to small businesses looking to get credit or open new markets overseas.

Given the importance of small businesses to our economy, I believe that there is no better time than now for Congress to build off the success of the Small Business Jobs Act. But the key question is how to best assist our country’s 28 million small businesses? This is complicated because Federal law defines a small business as “those having 500 employees or less.” They may all fit under the same broad category of small business, but they are not all the same. So it makes no sense for the Federal government or Congress to have a “one size fits all” policy for helping them grow. We must put a special focus on maximizing strategies to help those small firms that have the capacity to grow in the near term.

The approach I have taken is to focus on the entrepreneurial ecosystems in our communities. This is because an ecosystem is defined as “a system formed by the interaction of a community of organisms with their environment.” I am particularly interested in the relationship between entre-

preneurs, the current environment for entrepreneurship, and how we can make them more robust. In my view strengthening these ecosystems is an avenue to spur small business growth, create jobs, and grow our economy.

Babson College, one of the country’s top colleges for undergraduate/graduate entrepreneurship programs, has looked into what makes up an entrepreneurial ecosystem. Babson has identified the “six domains” of any entrepreneurial ecosystem: a conducive culture that rewards innovation, creativity and experimentation; enabling policies and leadership that provide regulatory and capital support; availability of appropriate finance, including micro-loans, private equity and public capital; quality human capital that include both skilled and unskilled workers from at home and abroad; venture-friendly markets for products by creating distribution channels and entrepreneurship networks; and a range of institutional and infrastructural supports, including incubation centers and legal and accounting advisers.

Building off this research and with feedback from other stakeholders, late last year my committee began preparations to conduct a series of roundtables on strengthening the entrepreneurial ecosystem for small businesses. The goal of these roundtables, which were conducted between February and April 2012, was to take the ideas that come out of these discussions and use them as the foundation for a major piece of legislation to support the entrepreneurial ecosystem. The first roundtable on February 1, 2012, was entitled “Developing and Strengthening High-Growth Entrepreneurship.” This roundtable set the stage for our discussions by exploring the recent success of high-growth firms in job creation and why it is so important that we replicate that success. The second roundtable was on March 22, 2012, and was entitled “A Spotlight on Small Business Investment Companies and Their Role in the Entrepreneurship Ecosystem.” That roundtable looked at how we could enhance an already successful program that gets capital into the hands of America’s job creators. The last roundtable was on April 18, 2012, and was entitled “Perspectives from the Entrepreneurial Ecosystem: Creating Jobs and Growing Businesses through Entrepreneurship.” That roundtable discussed how different stakeholders in the entrepreneurial ecosystem are creating new entrepreneurs and growing businesses. It brought together key stakeholders from different levels of an entrepreneurial ecosystem: universities and entrepreneurship programs, Federal and local officials, investors, private sector accelerators, mentors, and successful entrepreneurs.

As a result of these three roundtables, my committee received almost 60 specific policy recommendations from the 41 participants. Some of these recommendations fell under the

jurisdictions of other Senate committees, while other proposals had a significant cost associated with them or lacked the strong bipartisan support necessary to move them forward in the Senate. After further consulting with my colleagues on the committee, I was able to identify our own six “domains” of proposals to focus our efforts on: Tax and Finance; Access to Capital; Access to Global Markets; Access to Mentoring, Education and Strategic Partnerships; Access to Government Contracting; and Transparency, Accountability, and Effectiveness. These domains form the six titles of the Success Ultimately Comes from Capital, Contracting, Education, Strategic Partnerships, and Smart Regulations, SUCCESS, Act of 2012.

First, Title I of the SUCCESS Act provides almost \$12 billion in tax incentives to assist small businesses. All five tax provisions within the SUCCESS Act were based on parts of legislation, S. 2050, that was introduced in January by Senator SNOWE and myself. S. 2050, the Small Business Tax Extenders Act, reflects the work of many of my Senate colleagues, including Senators SNOWE, KERRY, MERKLEY, CARDIN, ISAKSON, and SHAHEEN.

Section 102 of the SUCCESS Act extends the 100 percent exclusion from tax the gain on the sale of qualified small businesses, QSB, stock that non-corporate taxpayers purchase in 2012 and 2013 and hold for 5 years. Qualifying small business stock is stock of C-corporation whose gross assets do not exceed \$50 million, including the proceeds received from the issuance of the stock, and who meets a specific active business requirement. The amount of gain eligible for the exclusion is limited to the greater of ten times the taxpayer's basis in the stock or \$10 million of gain from stock in that corporation. Until 2009, non-corporate taxpayers were allowed to exclude 50 percent of the gain from the sale of stock of QSB if the taxpayers held the stock for 5 years. The Recovery Act of 2009 increased the 50 percent exclusion to 75 percent and the Small Business Jobs Act and subsequent legislation increased and extended the exclusion to 100 percent through 2011. However, as of January 1, 2012, the 100 percent exclusion has reverted to 50 percent and startup investments are no longer entitled to preferential capital gains treatment.

Senator KERRY, a senior member of my committee as well as the Finance Committee, has been a leader in the Senate in getting this provision extended in previous Congresses. I also note that this proposal has bipartisan and White House support. President Obama has repeatedly called on Congress to make permanent the 100 percent capital gains exclusion and included this proposal in his Startup America Legislative Agenda. Senators MORAN, WARNER, COONS and RUBIO have all called for making this provision permanent and included a version of

this provision in S. 3217, the Startup Act 2.0 that was introduced in May. According to a Kauffman Foundation paper published earlier this year, the 100 percent exclusion “boosts the after-tax returns on such investments in startups and should induce substantial levels of new investments in startup firms.” They further estimate that making this provision permanent would increase risky investments by conservatively 50 percent more than overall cost of the provision.

Section 103 of the bill extends the increased deduction for business start-up expenditures in 2012 and 2013 from \$5,000 to \$10,000, subject to a \$60,000 threshold. Under current law, taxpayers can elect to deduct up to \$5,000 of “start-up expenditures” in the taxable year in which they start a trade or business. The \$5,000 is reduced—but not below zero—by the amount by which start-up costs exceed \$50,000. Examples of startup costs include studies of potential markets, products, labor markets, or transportation systems; advertisements for the opening of a new business; compensation for consultants and employees undergoing training and their instructors; and travel for the purpose of securing suppliers, distributors, and customers.

The Small Business Jobs Act temporarily increased the amount of start-up expenditures entrepreneurs could deduct from their taxes in 2010 from \$5,000 to \$10,000, with a phase-out threshold of \$60,000. We need to bring this provision back to aid our small businesses.

I note that there is also support within this chamber and from the White House for this proposal. As part of his Startup America Legislative Agenda, President Obama has called for making permanent the increased deduction for start-up expenditures. Senator MERKLEY successfully fought for the initial increase in deduction to be included in the Small Business Jobs Act. Over the past several years, this proposal has been repeatedly endorsed by the National Association for the Self-Employed and the National Federation of Independent Businesses, NFIB. Furthermore, according to a Kauffman Foundation survey, on average, new firms inject about \$80,000 into their business during the first year of operation. The vast majority of small business owners—between 80 percent and 90 percent—also invest significant amounts of their own money into their businesses. These budding enterprises are also more dependent on personal capital at startup than after they become established businesses. Doubling the deduction for start-up costs puts cash in the hands of small businesses owners who need it most—those who are just getting started. According to estimates from Third Way, a non-partisan group, this proposal would help the more than 600,000 Americans who start their own business every year.

Under current law, when a corporation becomes an S-Corporation, it is re-

quired to hold its business assets for 10 years or pay punitive taxes. This 10-year holding period is too long and ties up assets that could be sold to raise capital. In 2010, Congress reduced this holding period to 5 years to better match business planning cycles. Section 104 of my bill will extend the 5-year holding period for 2012 and 2013, costing \$251 million over 10 years. As with other provisions in the SUCCESS Act, this provision has bipartisan support. Senator CARDIN has fought to make this proposal permanent. Senators SNOWE, VITTER, and ROBERTS have also been long-time supporters and are co-sponsors of legislation introduced by Senator CARDIN to make this provision permanent. By granting this extension, we will give the more than 4 million S-Corporations in the U.S. the flexibility they need to raise capital.

Section 105 would allow sole proprietorships, partnerships and non-publicly traded corporations with less than \$50M in average gross annual receipts for the prior 3 years, to carryback unused general business credits earned in 2012 and 2013 for 5 previous years. Under current law, if a business has no tax liability in its current tax year, it may carry the general business tax credit back to the previous tax year to offset taxes paid in the previous year and obtain a refund. If the current credit exceeds taxes paid in the previous year, the remaining credit may be carried forward for 20 years, without interest, and used to offset tax liability in future years. The general business credit is limited to the difference between the regular tax liability of a business and the greater of its tentative minimum tax or 25 percent of regular tax liability in excess of \$25,000. The general business tax credit is comprised of several different tax credits including the R&D tax credit, energy credits, the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and the Work Opportunity Tax Credit.

This extension would provide tax refunds to businesses that were previously healthy but are currently running losses. It would improve the effectiveness of business credits that are intended to expand investment and employment, in the case of the Work Opportunity Tax Credit. It would also allow businesses greater immediate benefit from credits designed to encourage specific types of economic activity, such as hiring disadvantaged workers or investments in renewable energy. By providing businesses with greater opportunity to claim business credits, the provisions would also give an infusion of cash to businesses, which might promote investment. This could be particularly important if businesses have trouble borrowing because of financial market problems.

Section 106 of the SUCCESS Act extends a generous Section 179 provision that allows small businesses to immediately write-off up to \$500,000, up from \$250,000, for tangible personal property

and up to \$250,000 for improvements to leasehold property and retail property.

Under the Small Business Jobs Act and other subsequent legislation, for taxable years beginning in 2010 and 2011, small businesses could write-off for capital expenditures for “qualifying Sec. 179 property” up to \$500,000 and the phase-out threshold has been increased to \$2,000,000. These thresholds were up from prior law thresholds of \$25,000/\$200,000. In addition, for the first time, the Small Business Jobs Act allowed taxpayers to expense \$250,000 of the cost of improvements to real property including qualified restaurant property and qualified retail property. To qualify for the section 179 deduction, property must have been acquired for use in the trade or business. Examples of qualifying property include machinery and equipment; property contained in or attached to a building, other than structural components, such as refrigerators, grocery store counters, office equipment, printing presses, testing equipment, and signs.; gasoline storage tanks and pumps at retail service stations.; livestock, including horses, cattle, hogs, sheep, goats, and mink and other furbearing animals.

Extending the enhanced Section 179 deduction has bipartisan Senate support, White House support, and industry support. The President supports extending Section 179. My colleague Senator SNOWE is a strong supporter of the enhanced Section 179 provision that allows businesses to expense improvements to restaurant and retail property. She developed this particular proposal in connection with her work on the Small Business Jobs Act. Finally, 26 National business groups such as the NFIB, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the National Association of Homebuilders, and the National Association of the Self-Employed endorsed extending Section 179 and including expensing for real property improvements in a May 21, 2012 letter to Congress.

The next title of the SUCCESS Act focuses on improving access to capital for small businesses. In particular, Subtitle A under Title II was previously introduced as S. 3253, the Expanding Access to Capital for Entrepreneurial Leaders, EXCEL, Act. It provides necessary and timely enhancements to the Small Business Investment Company, SBIC, program. SBICs are government backed and regulated private equity funds which invest in U.S. small businesses. The SBIC program was created in 1958 by then Senator Lyndon Johnson and Senator William Fulbright, and signed into law by President Eisenhower. During a Senate hearing on the creation of the program, Senator Joseph Clark said the legislation is “necessary to increase the availability of long-term credit and equity capital for small businesses.”

Since 1958, SBICs have invested \$56 billion in over 100,000 small businesses. The core debenture program operates at no cost to taxpayers. SBIC success

stories include: Apple Computer, Callaway Golf, Costco, Outback Steakhouse, Jenny Craig, Annie’s food company, and Center Rock of Berlin, PA, the manufacturers of the drill bit that saved the Chilean miners in October 2010.

The SBIC program has seen strong growth in the past few years. For example, the program grew 50 percent in fiscal year 2011 alone. However, the authorization level has not been permanently raised since 2003. To continue fulfilling the intent of the original legislation, it is time to make some improvements. The Landrieu-Snowe EXCEL Act has two main components. First, it raises the statutory cap for the SBIC Program from \$3 billion to \$4 billion. Second, it increases the amount of leverage by SBIC licensees under common control from \$225 million to \$350 million “Family of Funds”. The components of this provision were also included in the President’s Start-up America legislative package.

Subtitle B of Title II was originally introduced as S. 2364 by Senators SNOWE, LANDRIEU, ISAKSON and SHAHEEN. The 504 loan program is a long-term financing tool for economic development that provides small businesses with long-term, fixed-rate loans to help them acquire major fixed assets and real estate for expansion or modernization. The Small Business Jobs Act allowed small businesses to use the 504 loan program to refinance certain qualifying existing debt for two years, but the SBA did not promulgate regulations to implement the refinancing provision until February 17, 2012.

This subtitle would extend for a year and a half a provision allowing small business owners to use Small Business Administration, SBA, 504 loans to refinance existing commercial mortgages. Extending the 504 refinancing program is a common-sense way to help small businesses and create jobs. By allowing small businesses to refinance qualified commercial real estate debt, this program lowers their monthly mortgage payments at no cost to taxpayers. That’s right, this provision has zero subsidy cost. At a time when we are still facing high unemployment, this extension is one of many things that we should be doing to put more capital in the hands of America’s job creators.

Subtitle C of Title II is a new proposal introduced for the first time as part of the SUCCESS Act. SBA currently releases some information publicly about SBA lending activity, but it is almost impossible to find and comprehend if you are not an SBA lending professional. If a small business, mayor, or governor wants to determine SBA lending activity in their area, they lack the ability to do so easily.

This subtitle would require the SBA to post a user friendly Lender Activity Index on the SBA website. Users will immediately be able to access the following data for any given bank: name of bank, number of SBA loans each bank made, total dollar amount of SBA

loans of each bank, zip code of bank activity, not where every single loan was made, but a list of every zip code where the bank has made an SBA loan, industries lent to, hospitality, manufacturing, service, software, etc., stage of business cycle, new, or existing business, and business specific information, i.e. Women Owned Businesses, Minority Owned Businesses, or Veteran Owned Businesses. Data will be available for the year to date and users will be able to compare to 3 previous fiscal years. Both quarterly and annual data will be included.

Title III of the SUCCESS Act focuses on promoting exports from small businesses. The Small Business Jobs Act made major changes to the international trade work done by the SBA. Now that those provisions have been in place for several years, there are additional refinements and direction needed. I would like to specifically thank Senators SHAHEEN and AYOTTE for their bipartisan export contributions to this effort. The export provisions of Title III are taken from S. 3218, their Small Business Growth Act of 2012, as well as S. 3277, the Go Global Act of 2012 that Senator SHAHEEN and I authored this year.

95 percent of the world’s customers are located outside of the borders of the United States, and in the last twelve months we have exported more than \$2 trillion of goods and services to these consumers. Yet only 1 percent of our approximately 28 million small businesses export. Our agencies need to be working together to ensure our small businesses have the resources they need to expand their customer base and be part of the more than \$180 billion in exports that the United States sends around the world each month.

This title aids our small business exporters by addressing federal government coordination, resources for rural businesses, and export control education. It establishes, in Section 306, an interagency task force of SBA, the Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Export-Import Bank, and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation on export financing to review, improve, and increase collaboration on current finance programs. Then, to further coordination, Section 307(a) begins a cross training program with SBA and USDA to inform their respective export finance specialists more about each other’s programs. Our small businesses face enough challenges—we should be bringing our resources to them. In Section 304, this bill requires SBA, in coordination with other agencies, to do at least one export outreach event per year in each state. Section 307(b) also aids our rural small businesses by posting a list of rural lenders who participate in SBA and USDA loan programs and a list of rural small businesses counseling and technical assistance resources. Jobs created by exports pay, on average, 15 to 20 percent more than jobs created by goods and services sold

in the United States. This bill will continue to support entrepreneurs who want to create and grow these employment opportunities for all Americans.

Title IV of the bill focuses on promoting small business access to mentoring, education and strategic partnerships. Subtitle A of this title was originally introduced by Senator SNOWE and I as S. 3198, the Strengthening Resources for America's Entrepreneurs Act of 2012. The SBA Office of Entrepreneurial Development, OED, oversees a network of programs and services that support the training and counseling needs of small business. According to the SBA, OED helps hundreds of thousands of small business clients start, grow and compete in global markets by providing quality training, counseling and access to resources. SBA delivers these services through non-profit, college and university, and community-based organization resource partners. Through its network of over 1,000 resource partners across the country, OED programs include Small Business Development Centers, SBDCs, Women's Business Centers, SCORE, and Entrepreneurship Education. However, it is currently difficult to track effectiveness and ensure our resources are being used in the best ways possible. To solve this challenge, this subtitle has four primary components. First, it requires the SBA to coordinate and make consistent data collection and outcome metrics for Entrepreneurial Development programs. Second, it increases planning for utilizing Entrepreneurial Development programs to create jobs. Third, it increases coordination between Entrepreneurial Development programs and Resource Partners at the national level. Finally, it increases accountability measures and reports to Congress regarding the effectiveness of Entrepreneurial Development programs.

Subtitle B of the bill comes from S. 3197, the Women's Small Business Ownership Act which was sponsored by Senator SNOWE and myself. This subtitle is focused on the SBA Women's Business Center (WBC) program. The WBC program was established in 1988 and implemented through the SBA's Office of Women's Business Ownership. It provides quality counseling and training services to all entrepreneurs, primarily women, especially those who are socially and economically disadvantaged. Through a network of over 100 non-profit organizations, WBCs help more than 150,000 clients annually to start and grow small firms in the local area in which they serve and to stimulate economic growth. Subtitle B reauthorizes the WBC program through Fiscal Year 2015 and makes improvements to the program, including a Government Accountability Office review of Women's Business Center program performance as compared with other SBA Entrepreneurial Development programs.

Subtitle C of the SUCCESS Act is Senator SNOWE's Strengthening Amer-

ica's Small Business Development Centers Act. Small Business Development Centers (SBDCs) are considered to be the backbone of the SBA's Office of Entrepreneurial Development efforts, and are the largest of the agency's OED programs. SBDCs are the university based resource partners that provide counseling and training needs for more than 600,000 business clients annually. From 2007 to 2008, the counseling and technical assistance services they offered lead to the creation of 58,501 new jobs, at a cost of \$3,462 per job. Additionally, they estimate that their counseling services helped to save 88,889 jobs. This subtitle would reauthorize SBDC program at the current \$135 million authorization level through fiscal year 15. Beyond reauthorizing the SBDC program, this provision also encourages SBDCs to improve outreach and communications to universities, community colleges, and junior colleges and allows the SBA Administrator to authorize out-of-state SBDCs to provide assistance in declared disaster areas.

Subtitle D of Title IV was originally introduced as S. 3281 by Senators SNOWE, KERRY, and COBURN. This subtitle repeals Federal authorization of the National Veterans Business Development Corporation, TVC, eliminating an ineffective government program. The National Veterans Business Development Corporation, also known as The Veterans Corporation or simply TVC, has been ineffective and controversial since its inception as part of the Veterans Entrepreneurship and Small Business Development Act, P.L. 106-50, in 1999. In December of 2008, former Small Business Committee Chairman KERRY and Ranking Member SNOWE investigated TVC, and issued a report detailing the organization's blatant mismanagement and wasting of taxpayers' dollars. Since the issuing of the Small Business Committee's report, Congress has appropriated no further funding for TVC, and the Small Business Administration has incorporated the Veteran Business Resource Centers, VBRCs, that TVC previously funded into its existing network of Veteran Business Outreach Centers, VBOCs. At present, TVC still exists as an organization, and it is still technically federally chartered. At the same time, it receives no Federal funds, has no Department or Agency oversight. It is time for it to be eliminated.

Title V of the SUCCESS Act focuses on promoting Federal government contracting opportunities for small businesses. Section 511 under Subtitle A of Title V was originally introduced by Senators CARDIN, LANDRIEU and SNOWE as S. 2187, the Small Business Administration Surety Bond Increase Act. The SBA administers a surety bond guarantee program, designed to encourage sureties to issue bonds when they would otherwise determine that a small business presents an unacceptable degree of risk. Under the program,

SBA may guarantee bid, performance, and payment bonds for individual contracts of \$2 million or less for small businesses that cannot obtain surety bonds through regular commercial channels. In the American Recovery & Reinvestment Act of 2009, Senator CARDIN was able to temporarily increase the size of SBA surety bond guarantee from \$2 million to \$5 million. Section 511 would make that permanent. It would ensure that small businesses have the means to the secure the necessary surety bonding to compete for contracts during the economic downturn.

Subtitle B of Title V was originally introduced by Senators SNOWE, LANDRIEU, ENZI, BROWN, MERKLEY, CANTWELL and eight other senators as S. 633, the Small Business Contracting Fraud Prevention Act. Fraud in small business contracting programs has starkly increased over the years. Recently we have all read about instances where large businesses misrepresent their size and status to receive the benefits of SBA programs designed for small businesses. Firms that engage in this activity have long been subject to civil and/or criminal penalties under various laws and government-wide policies.

The provisions in Subtitle B provide the SBA Inspector General with enhanced tools to eliminate fraud in small business contracting programs by: imposing greater penalties for fraud; requiring that firms be debarred for five years if they misrepresent their status as veteran-owned for purposes of programs under the act; and requiring the SBA to submit annual reports to Congress on the number of persons debarred or suspended from government contracting, or considered for debarment or suspension from government contracting, for violations of the bill. This will deter fraud in government small business contracting and will keep Congress in the loop on small business fraud issues.

Subtitle C under Title V was originally introduced by Senators SNOWE, LANDRIEU, GILLIBRAND and seven other senators as S. 2172, the Fairness in Women-Owned Small Business Contracting Act. Currently, the Women-Owned Small Business, WOSB, contracting program caps contract awards to woman-owned businesses at \$4 million for goods/services and \$6.5 million for manufacturing. In addition, sole-source contract awards under the program are prohibited. In other words, this program has limits that no other contracting program has.

The provisions in Subtitle C would remove the contract award price limits for women-owned small businesses, create a provision allowing sole-source contract awards to WOSBs, direct the SBA to periodically conduct a study to identify any U.S. industry in which women are underrepresented, and every five years report the study results to Congress. From these improvements, more contracting opportunities will emerge for women-owned businesses in the Federal marketplace.

Subtitle D of the Title V of the SUCCESS Act originated with our colleagues in the House of Representatives as H.R. 3851, the Small Business Champion Act. The Small Business Act established an Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business, OSDBU, within all major Federal Executive Agencies. The OSDBU is the primary advocate within each Agency responsible for promoting the maximum use of all small business programs within the Federal contracting process. The OSDBU is tasked with ensuring that each Federal agency and their large prime vendors comply with federal laws, regulations, and policies to include small businesses as sources for goods and services, both as prime contractors and subcontractors. Approximately 35 Federal Agencies have fully functioning OSDBU offices.

In an effort to assist agencies with meeting contracting goals, Subtitle D makes three major modifications to OSDBU offices. First, it elevates the OSDBU Director at each agency to the Senior Executive Service, SES, rank. Second, it prohibits combining the duties of the OSDBU Director with unrelated duties. Finally, it requires that agencies consult with the OSDBU office on decisions to insource work performed by small businesses. I would note that the House of Representatives Committee on Small Business approved H.R. 3851 by voice vote on March 7, 2012.

The final title of the SUCCESS Act is focused on improving Federal Government transparency, accountability, and effectiveness. A key component of this title is a result of the work of my colleague Senator HAGAN from North Carolina. In particular, Subtitle A of Title VI is based upon Senator HAGAN's legislation, S. 3194, the Small Business Common Application Act of 2012.

Whether it is applying for a grant, seeking technical assistance, or bidding on a contract, small businesses face a dizzying array of paperwork when interacting with the Federal government. As a result, many small businesses avoid Federal programs altogether, missing out on potentially lucrative business opportunities. Senator HAGAN's bill aims to streamline assistance for small businesses facing layers of paperwork when they apply for a grant, seek technical assistance or bid on a contract from the Federal government.

Furthermore, according to a 2010 study from the SBA Office of Advocacy, it costs small businesses with 20 employees or less more than \$10,500 per employee to comply with Federal regulations. When compared to their larger counterparts, it costs small firms over \$2,800—or approximately 36 percent more—for each employee.

Subtitle A builds off provisions in S. 3194 by establishing an Executive Committee of 12 Federal agency representatives, headed by the SBA Administrator, to review the feasibility of establishing a Small Business Common Application. This Executive Com-

mittee would then provide recommendations to the Executive Branch and Congress within 270 days on establishing a common application and web portal for small businesses.

The small business “common app” would function much like the one that students complete to apply to multiple colleges and universities simultaneously. It would ensure that small businesses across the country can concentrate on growing and creating jobs—not wasting time, filling out mountains of repetitive paperwork.

Lastly, I recognize that it is important to provide sufficient oversight of the programs and assistance authorized in this bill. Subtitle B of Title VI would authorize a GAO review of the bill—including whether programs receive necessary funding, have been successfully implemented, and are promoting job creation among small businesses. This report would go to the House and Senate Small Business Committees not later than 2 years after the date of enactment.

In closing, I would like to reiterate that the SUCCESS Act is a combination of numerous bipartisan bills that have been introduced this Congress. So these proposals are neither new nor untested—they are ready for prime time. On July 12, 2012 the Senate voted on the SUCCESS Act as part of Senate Amendment 2521 to S. 2237, the Small Business Jobs and Tax Relief Act of 2012. Although the amendment came up short of the 60 votes needed to end debate, Senate Amendment 2521 did receive a strong 57 bipartisan votes. My Republican colleagues Senators SNOWE, COLLINS, VITTER, SCOTT BROWN, and HELLER all voted in support of the amendment. I thank them for joining with us to try to move this legislation forward in the Senate. It is my understanding that some of my Republican colleagues may have voted for the amendment if it did not contain the underlying provisions from S. 2237. Procedurally, it was necessary to include these provisions to ensure a vote on the SUCCESS Act. However, recognizing these concerns, our bill that is being introduced today only includes Subtitle B of Senate Amendment 2521—the bipartisan SUCCESS Act provisions. I hope that additional colleagues from both sides of the aisle will now support the SUCCESS Act, especially as we are only a few votes short of being able to move it forward here in the Senate.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 3442

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Success Ultimately Comes from Capital, Contracting, Education, Strategic Partnerships, and

Smart Regulations Act of 2012” or the “SUCCESS Act of 2012”.

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title.
- Sec. 2. Table of contents.
- TITLE I—SMALL BUSINESS TAX EXTENDERS
- Sec. 101. References.
- Sec. 102. Extension of temporary exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.
- Sec. 103. Extension of increased amount allowed as a deduction for start-up expenditures.
- Sec. 104. Extension of reduction in recognition period for built-in gains tax.
- Sec. 105. Extension of 5-year carryback of general business credits of eligible small businesses.
- Sec. 106. Extension of increased expensing limitations and treatment of certain real property as section 179 property.
- TITLE II—ACCESS TO CAPITAL
- Subtitle A—Expanding Access to Capital for Entrepreneurial Leaders
- Sec. 211. Short title.
- Sec. 212. Program authorization.
- Sec. 213. Family of funds.
- Sec. 214. Adjustment for inflation.
- Sec. 215. Public availability of information.
- Sec. 216. Authorized uses of licensing fees.
- Sec. 217. Sense of Congress.
- Subtitle B—Low-Interest Refinancing
- Sec. 221. Low-interest refinancing under the local development business loan program.
- Subtitle C—SBA Lender Activity Index
- Sec. 231. SBA lender activity index.
- TITLE III—ACCESS TO GLOBAL MARKETS
- Sec. 301. Short title.
- Sec. 302. Report on improvements to Export.gov as a single window for export information.
- Sec. 303. Report on developing a single window for information about export control compliance.
- Sec. 304. Promotion of exporting.
- Sec. 305. Export control education.
- Sec. 306. Small Business Inter-Agency Task Force on Export Financing.
- Sec. 307. Promotion of exports by rural small businesses.
- Sec. 308. Registry of export management and export trading companies.
- Sec. 309. Reverse trade missions.
- Sec. 310. State Trade and Export Promotion Grant Program.
- Sec. 311. Promotion of interagency details.
- Sec. 312. Annual export strategy.
- TITLE IV—ACCESS TO MENTORING, EDUCATION, AND STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS
- Subtitle A—Measuring the Effectiveness of Resource Partners
- Sec. 411. Expanding entrepreneurship.
- Subtitle B—Women's Small Business Ownership
- Sec. 421. Short title.
- Sec. 422. Definition.
- Sec. 423. Office of Women's Business Ownership.
- Sec. 424. Women's Business Center Program.
- Sec. 425. Study and report on economic issues facing women's business centers.
- Sec. 426. Study and report on oversight of women's business centers.
- Subtitle C—Strengthening America's Small Business Development Centers
- Sec. 431. Institutions of higher education.

- Sec. 432. Updating funding levels for small business development centers.
- Sec. 433. Assistance to out-of-state small businesses.
- Sec. 434. Termination of small business development center defense economic transition assistance.
- Sec. 435. National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board.
- Sec. 436. Repeal of Paul D. Coverdell drug-free workplace program.

Subtitle D—Terminating the National Veterans Business Development Corporation

Sec. 441. National Veterans Business Development Corporation.

TITLE V—ACCESS TO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING

Subtitle A—Bonds

- Sec. 511. Removal of sunset dates for certain provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958.

Subtitle B—Small Business Contracting Fraud Prevention

- Sec. 521. Short title.
- Sec. 522. Definitions.
- Sec. 523. Fraud deterrence at the Small Business Administration.
- Sec. 524. Veterans integrity in contracting.
- Sec. 525. Section 8(a) program improvements.
- Sec. 526. HUBZone improvements.
- Sec. 527. Annual report on suspension, debarment, and prosecution.

Subtitle C—Fairness in Women-Owned Small Business Contracting

- Sec. 531. Short title.
- Sec. 532. Procurement program for women-owned small business concerns.
- Sec. 533. Study and report on representation of women.

Subtitle D—Small Business Champion

- Sec. 541. Short title.
- Sec. 542. Offices of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.
- Sec. 543. Small Business Procurement Advisory Council.

TITLE VI—TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND EFFECTIVENESS

Subtitle A—Small Business Common Application

- Sec. 611. Definitions.
- Sec. 612. Sense of Congress.
- Sec. 613. Executive Committee On a Small Business Common Application.
- Sec. 614. Authorization of appropriations.

Subtitle B—Government Accountability Office Review

- Sec. 621. Government Accountability Office review.

TITLE I—SMALL BUSINESS TAX EXTENDERS

SEC. 101. REFERENCES.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

SEC. 102. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY EXCLUSION OF 100 PERCENT OF GAIN ON CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS STOCK.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended—

- (1) by striking “January 1, 2012” and inserting “January 1, 2014”, and
- (2) by striking “AND 2011” and inserting “, 2011, 2012, AND 2013” in the heading thereof.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2009 AND CERTAIN PERIOD IN 2010.—Paragraph (3) of section 1202(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“In the case of any stock which would be described in the preceding sentence (but for this sentence), the acquisition date for purposes of this subsection shall be the first day on which such stock was held by the taxpayer determined after the application of section 1223.”

(2) 100 PERCENT EXCLUSION.—Paragraph (4) of section 1202(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

“In the case of any stock which would be described in the preceding sentence (but for this sentence), the acquisition date for purposes of this subsection shall be the first day on which such stock was held by the taxpayer determined after the application of section 1223.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to stock acquired after December 31, 2011.

(2) SUBSECTION (b)(1).—The amendment made by subsection (b)(1) shall take effect as if included in section 1241(a) of division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

(3) SUBSECTION (b)(2).—The amendment made by subsection (b)(2) shall take effect as if included in section 2011(a) of the Creating Small Business Jobs Act of 2010.

SEC. 103. EXTENSION OF INCREASED AMOUNT ALLOWED AS A DEDUCTION FOR START-UP EXPENDITURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (3) of section 195(b) is amended—

- (1) by inserting “, 2012, or 2013” after “2010”, and
- (2) by inserting “2012, AND 2013” in the heading thereof.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

SEC. 104. EXTENSION OF REDUCTION IN RECOGNITION PERIOD FOR BUILT-IN GAINS TAX.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (7) of section 1374(d) is amended—

- (1) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D), and
- (2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2012 AND 2013.—For dispositions of property in taxable years beginning in 2012 or 2013, subparagraphs (A) and (D) shall be applied by substituting ‘5-year’ for ‘10-year’.”

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (B) of section 1374(d)(2) is amended by inserting “described in subparagraph (A)” after “, for any taxable year”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

SEC. 105. EXTENSION OF 5-YEAR CARRYBACK OF GENERAL BUSINESS CREDITS OF ELIGIBLE SMALL BUSINESSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (A) of section 39(a)(4) is amended by inserting “or in taxable years beginning in 2012, or 2013” after “2010”.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 38(c)(5)(B) is amended—

- (1) by striking “the sum of”, and
- (2) by inserting “for any taxable year to which subparagraph (A) applies” after “or (4)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to credits determined in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011.

(2) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by subsection (b) shall take effect as if included in section 2013(a) of the Creating Small Business Jobs Act of 2010.

SEC. 106. EXTENSION OF INCREASED EXPENSING LIMITATIONS AND TREATMENT OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AS SECTION 179 PROPERTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) DOLLAR LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(1) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C),

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E),

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

“(D) \$500,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2013, and”, and

(D) in subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(2) REDUCTION IN LIMITATION.—Section 179(b)(2) is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (C),

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E),

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

“(D) \$2,000,000 in the case of taxable years beginning in 2013, and”, and

(D) in subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by striking “2012” and inserting “2013”.

(b) COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—Section 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

(c) ELECTION.—Section 179(c)(2) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

(d) SPECIAL RULES FOR TREATMENT OF QUALIFIED REAL PROPERTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 179(f)(1) is amended by striking “2010 or 2011” and inserting “2010, 2011, or 2013”.

(2) CARRYOVER LIMITATION.—Section 179(f)(4) is amended by striking subparagraphs (A) through (C) and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (b)(3)(B)—

“(i) no amount attributable to qualified real property placed in service in any taxable year beginning in 2010 or 2011 may be carried over to any taxable year beginning after 2011, and

“(ii) no amount attributable to qualified real property placed in service in any taxable year beginning in 2013 may be carried over to any taxable year beginning after 2013.

“(B) TREATMENT OF DISALLOWED AMOUNTS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C)—

“(i) TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER 2011.—To the extent that any amount is not allowed to be carried over to a taxable year beginning after 2011 by reason of subparagraph (A)(i), this title shall be applied as if no election under this section had been made with respect to such amount.

“(ii) TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER 2013.—To the extent that any amount is not allowed to be carried over to a taxable year beginning after 2013 by reason of subparagraph (A)(ii), this title shall be applied as if no election under this section had been made with respect to such amount.

“(C) AMOUNTS CARRIED OVER FROM CERTAIN TAXABLE YEARS.—

“(i) AMOUNTS CARRIED OVER FROM 2010.—If subparagraph (B)(i) applies to any amount (or portion of an amount) which is carried over from a taxable year other than the taxpayer’s last taxable year beginning in 2011, such amount (or portion of an amount) shall be treated for purposes of this title as attributable to property placed in service on the first day of the taxpayer’s last taxable year beginning in 2011.

“(ii) AMOUNTS CARRIED OVER FROM 2013.—If subparagraph (B)(ii) applies to any amount (or portion of an amount) which is carried over from a taxable year other than the taxpayer’s last taxable year beginning in 2013,

such amount (or portion of an amount) shall be treated for purposes of this title as attributable to property placed in service on the first day of the taxpayer's last taxable year beginning in 2013."

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012.

TITLE II—ACCESS TO CAPITAL

Subtitle A—Expanding Access to Capital for Entrepreneurial Leaders

SEC. 211. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the "EXCEL Act of 2012".

SEC. 212. PROGRAM AUTHORIZATION.

Section 303(b) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 683(b)) is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), in the first sentence, by inserting after "issued by such companies" the following: ", in a total amount that does not exceed \$4,000,000,000 each fiscal year (adjusted annually to reflect increases in the Consumer Price Index established by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor)".

SEC. 213. FAMILY OF FUNDS.

Section 303(b)(2)(B) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 683(b)(2)(B)) is amended by striking "\$225,000,000" and inserting "\$350,000,000".

SEC. 214. ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION.

Section 303(b)(2) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 683(b)(2)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(E) **ADJUSTMENTS.**—

"(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The dollar amounts in subparagraph (A)(ii), subparagraph (B), and subparagraph (C)(ii)(I) shall be adjusted annually to reflect increases in the Consumer Price Index established by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor (in this subparagraph referred to as the 'CPI').

"(ii) **APPLICABILITY.**—The adjustments required by clause (i)—

"(I) with respect to dollar amounts in subparagraphs (A)(ii) and (C)(ii)(I) shall initially reflect increases in the CPI during the period beginning on the effective date of section 505 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5; 123 Stat. 156) through the date of enactment of this subparagraph and annually thereafter;

"(II) with respect to dollar amounts in subparagraph (B) shall reflect increases in the CPI annually on and after the date of enactment of this subparagraph."

SEC. 215. PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.

Section 303 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 683) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(1) **ACCESS TO FUND INFORMATION.**—Annually, the Administrator shall make public on its website the following information with respect to each small business investment company:

"(1) The amount of capital deployed since fund inception.

"(2) The amount of leverage drawn since fund inception.

"(3) The number of investments since fund inception.

"(4) The number of businesses receiving capital since fund inception.

"(5) Industry sectors receiving investment since fund inception.

"(6) The amount of leverage principal repaid by the small business investment company since fund inception.

"(7) A basic description of investment strategy."

SEC. 216. AUTHORIZED USES OF LICENSING FEES.

Section 301 of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 681) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d); and

(2) in subsection (d)(2)(B), as so redesignated, by inserting before the period at the end the following: "and other small business investment company program needs".

SEC. 217. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) small business investment companies would benefit from partnerships with community banks and other lenders, and should work with community banks and other lenders, to ensure that if community banks and other lenders deny an application by a small business concern for a loan, the community banks or other lenders will refer the small business concern to small business investment companies; and

(2) the Administrator of the Small Business Administration (in this Act referred to as the "Administrator") should—

(A) increase outreach to community banks and other lenders to encourage community banks and other lenders to invest in small business investment companies;

(B) use the Internet to make publicly available in a timely manner which small business investment companies are actively soliciting investments and making investments in small business concerns;

(C) partner with governors, mayors, States, and municipalities to increase outreach by small business investment companies to underserved and rural areas; and

(D) continue to make changes to the webpage for the small business investment company program, to make the webpage—

(i) a more prominent part of the website of the Administration; and

(ii) more user-friendly.

Subtitle B—Low-Interest Refinancing

SEC. 221. LOW-INTEREST REFINANCING UNDER THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT BUSINESS LOAN PROGRAM.

Section 1122(b) of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (15 U.S.C. 696 note) is amended by striking "2 years" and inserting "on the date that is 3 years and 6 months".

Subtitle C—SBA Lender Activity Index

SEC. 231. SBA LENDER ACTIVITY INDEX.

Section 4 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 633) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) **SBA LENDER ACTIVITY INDEX.**—

"(1) **DEFINITION.**—In this subsection, the term 'covered loan' means a loan made or debenture issued under this Act or the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.) by a private individual or entity.

"(2) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Administrator shall make publicly available on the website of the Administration a user-friendly database of information relating to lenders making covered loans (to be known as the 'Lender Activity Index').

"(3) **DATA INCLUDED.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The database made available under paragraph (2) shall include, for each lender making a covered loan—

"(i) the name of the lender;

"(ii) the number of covered loans made by the lender;

"(iii) the total dollar amount of covered loans made by the lender;

"(iv) a list of each ZIP code in which a recipient of a covered loan made by the lender is located;

"(v) a list of the industries of the recipients to which the lender made a covered loan;

"(vi) whether the covered loan is for an existing business or a new business;

"(vii) the number and total dollar amount of covered loans made by the lender to—

"(I) small business concerns owned and controlled by women;

"(II) socially and economically disadvantaged small business concerns (as defined in section 8(a)(4)(A)); and

"(III) small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans; and

"(viii) whether the covered loan was made under section 7(a) or under the program to provide financing to small business concerns through guarantees of loans under title V of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 695 et seq.).

"(B) **INCORPORATION OF DATA.**—The Administrator shall—

"(i) include in the database made available under paragraph (2) information relating to covered loans made during fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012; and

"(ii) incorporate information relating to covered loans on an ongoing basis.

"(C) **PERIOD OF DATA AVAILABILITY.**—The Administrator shall retain information relating to a covered loan in the database made available under paragraph (2) until not earlier than the end of the third fiscal year beginning after the fiscal year during which the covered loan was made."

TITLE III—ACCESS TO GLOBAL MARKETS

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Small Business Export Growth Act of 2012".

SEC. 302. REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS TO EXPORT.GOV AS A SINGLE WINDOW FOR EXPORT INFORMATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of International Trade of the Small Business Administration shall, after consultation with the entities specified in subsection (b), submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship and the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report that includes the recommendations of the Director for improving the experience provided by the website Export.gov (or a successor website) as—

(1) a comprehensive resource for information about exporting articles from the United States; and

(2) a single website for exporters to submit all information required by the Federal Government with respect to the exportation of articles from the United States.

(b) **ENTITIES SPECIFIED.**—The entities specified in this subsection are—

(1) small business concerns (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) that are exporters; and

(2) the President's Export Council, State agencies with responsibility for export promotion or export financing, district export councils, and trade associations.

SEC. 303. REPORT ON DEVELOPING A SINGLE WINDOW FOR INFORMATION ABOUT EXPORT CONTROL COMPLIANCE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report assessing the benefits of developing a website to serve as—

(1) a comprehensive resource for complying with and information about the export control laws and regulations of the United States; and

(2) a single website for exporters to submit all information required by the Federal Government with respect to export controls.

(b) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 304. PROMOTION OF EXPORTING.

Section 22(c)(11) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 649(c)(11)) is amended by inserting “, which shall include conducting not fewer than 1 outreach event each fiscal year in each State that promotes exporting as a business development opportunity for small business concerns” before the semicolon.

SEC. 305. EXPORT CONTROL EDUCATION.

Section 22 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 649) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (1) as subsection (n); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

“(1) EXPORT CONTROL EDUCATION.—The Associate Administrator shall ensure that all programs of the Administration to support exporting by small business concerns place a priority on educating small business concerns about Federal export control regulations.”.

SEC. 306. SMALL BUSINESS INTER-AGENCY TASK FORCE ON EXPORT FINANCING.

The Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, the President of the Export-Import Bank of the United States, and the President of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation shall jointly establish a Small Business Inter-Agency Task Force on Export Financing to—

(1) review and improve Federal export finance programs for small business concerns; and

(2) coordinate the activities of the Federal Government to assist small business concerns seeking to export.

SEC. 307. PROMOTION OF EXPORTS BY RURAL SMALL BUSINESSES.

(a) SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION-UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE INTERAGENCY COORDINATION.—

(1) EXPORT FINANCING PROGRAMS.—In coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture, the Administrator shall develop a program to cross-train export finance specialists and personnel from the Office of International Trade of the Administration on the export financing programs of the Department of Agriculture and the Foreign Agricultural Service.

(2) EXPORT ASSISTANCE AND BUSINESS COUNSELING PROGRAMS.—In coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Foreign Agricultural Service, the Administrator shall develop a program to cross-train export finance specialists, personnel from the Office of International Trade of the Administration, Small Business Development Centers, women’s business centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives authorized by section 8(b)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(b)(1)), Export Assistance Centers, and other resource partners of the Administration on the export assistance and business counseling programs of the Department of Agriculture.

(b) REPORT ON LENDERS.—Section 7(a)(16)(F) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(16)(F)) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) by redesignating subclauses (I) through (III) as items (aa) through (cc), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly;

(B) by striking “list, have made” and inserting the following: “list—

“(I) have made”;

(C) in item (cc), as so redesignated, by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(II) were located in a rural area, as that term is defined in section 1393(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or a nonmetropolitan statistical area and have made—

“(aa) loans guaranteed by the Administration; or

“(bb) loans through the programs offered by the United States Department of Agriculture or the Foreign Agricultural Service.”;

(2) in clause (ii)(II), by inserting “and by resource partners of the Administration” after “the Administration”.

(c) COOPERATION WITH SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.—Section 21(c)(3)(M) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(3)(M)) is amended by inserting after “the Department of Commerce,” the following: “the Department of Agriculture.”.

(d) LIST OF RURAL EXPORT ASSISTANCE RESOURCES.—Section 22(c)(7) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 649(c)(7)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following:

“(D) publishing an annual list of relevant resources and programs of the district and regional offices of the Administration, other Federal agencies, the small business development center network, Export Assistance Centers, the network of women’s business centers, chapters of the Service Corps of Retired Executives, State and local export promotion programs, and partners in the private sector, that—

“(i) are administered or offered by entities located in rural or nonmetropolitan statistical areas; and

“(ii) offer export assistance or business counseling services to rural small businesses concerns; and”.

SEC. 308. REGISTRY OF EXPORT MANAGEMENT AND EXPORT TRADING COMPANIES.

(a) COORDINATION WITH EXPORT MANAGEMENT COMPANIES AND EXPORT TRADING COMPANIES.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall establish a program to register export management companies, as that term is defined by the Department of Commerce, and export trading companies, as that term is defined in section 103 of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4002).

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—The program established under subsection (a) shall—

(1) be similar to the program of the Administration for registering franchise companies, as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(2) require that a list of the export management companies and export trading companies that register under the program, categorized by the type of product exported by the company, be made available on the website of the Administration.

SEC. 309. REVERSE TRADE MISSIONS.

Section 22(c) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 649(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (12), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(14) in coordination with other relevant Federal agencies, encourage the participation of employees and resource partners of the Administration in reverse trade missions hosted or sponsored by the Federal Government.”.

SEC. 310. STATE TRADE AND EXPORT PROMOTION GRANT PROGRAM.

Section 1207(a)(5) of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (15 U.S.C. 649b note) is amended by inserting after “Guam,” the following: “the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.”.

SEC. 311. PROMOTION OF INTERAGENCY DETAILS.

It is the sense of Congress that the Administrator should periodically detail staff of the Administration to other Federal agencies that are members of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee, to facilitate the cross training of the staff of the Administration on the export assistance programs of such other agencies.

SEC. 312. ANNUAL EXPORT STRATEGY.

Section 22 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 649), as amended by section 305 of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(m) SMALL BUSINESS TRADE STRATEGY.—

“(1) DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL BUSINESS TRADE STRATEGY.—The Associate Administrator shall develop and maintain a small business trade strategy that is included in the report on the governmentwide strategic plan for Federal trade promotion required to be submitted to Congress by the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee under section 2312(f)(1) of the Export Enhancement Act of 1988 (15 U.S.C. 4727(f)(1)) that includes, at a minimum—

“(A) strategies to increase export opportunities for small business concerns, including a specific strategy to increase opportunities for small business concerns that are new to exporting;

“(B) recommendations to increase the competitiveness in the global economy of small business concerns in the United States that are part of industries in which small business concerns account for a high proportion of participating businesses;

“(C) recommendations to protect small business concerns from unfair trade practices, including intellectual property violations;

“(D) recommendations for strategies to promote and facilitate opportunities in the foreign markets that are most accessible for small business concerns that are new to exporting; and

“(E) strategies to expand the representation of small business concerns in the formation and implementation of United States trade policy.

“(2) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Associate Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the small business trade strategy required under paragraph (1), which shall contain, at a minimum—

“(A) a description of each strategy and recommendation described in paragraph (1);

“(B) specific policies and objectives, together with timelines for the implementation of such policies and objectives; and

“(C) a description of the progress of the Administration in implementing the strategies and recommendations contained in the report submitted for the preceding fiscal year.”.

TITLE IV—ACCESS TO MENTORING, EDUCATION, AND STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS

Subtitle A—Measuring the Effectiveness of Resource Partners

SEC. 411. EXPANDING ENTREPRENEURSHIP.

Section 4 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 633), as amended by this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTION.—

“(1) PLAN FOR ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT AND JOB CREATION STRATEGY.—

“(A) PLAN REQUIRED.—The Administrator, in consultation with a representative from each entrepreneurial development program of the Administration, shall develop and submit to Congress a plan for using the entrepreneurial development programs of the Administration to create jobs during fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

“(B) CONTENTS OF PLAN.—The plan required under subparagraph (A) shall—

“(i) include the plan of the Administrator for using existing programs, including small business development centers, women’s business centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives authorized by section 8(b)(1), Veterans Business Outreach Centers, and programs of the Office of Native American Affairs, to create jobs;

“(ii) identify a strategy for each region of the Administration to use programs of the Administration to create or retain jobs in the region; and

“(iii) establish performance measures and criteria, including goals for job creation, job retention, and job retraining, to evaluate the success of the plan.

“(2) DATA COLLECTION PROCESS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall, after notice and opportunity for comment, promulgate a rule to develop and implement a consistent data collection process for the entrepreneurial development programs.

“(B) CONTENTS.—The data collection process developed under subparagraph (A) shall collect data relating to job creation and performance and any other data determined appropriate by the Administrator.

“(3) COORDINATION AND ALIGNMENT OF SBA ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.—The Administrator, in consultation with other Federal departments and agencies as the Administrator determines is appropriate, shall submit an annual report to Congress describing opportunities to foster coordination of, limit duplication among, and improve program delivery for Federal entrepreneurial development programs.

“(4) DATABASE OF ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT SERVICE PROVIDERS.—

“(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—After providing a period of 60 days for public comment, the Administrator shall—

“(i) establish a database of providers of entrepreneurial development services; and

“(ii) make the database available through the website of the Administration.

“(B) SEARCHABILITY.—The database established under subparagraph (A) shall be searchable by industry, geographic location, and service required.

“(5) COMMUNITY SPECIALIST.—

“(A) DESIGNATION.—The Administrator shall designate not fewer than 1 staff member in each district office of the Administration as a community specialist whose full-time responsibility is working with local providers of entrepreneurial development services to increase coordination with Federal entrepreneurial development programs.

“(B) PERFORMANCE.—The Administrator shall develop benchmarks for measuring the performance of community specialists under this paragraph.”

Subtitle B—Women’s Small Business Ownership

SEC. 421. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Women’s Small Business Ownership Act of 2012”.

SEC. 422. DEFINITION.

In this subtitle, the term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Small Business Administration.

SEC. 423. OFFICE OF WOMEN’S BUSINESS OWNERSHIP.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 29(g) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(g)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) in clause (i), by striking “in the areas” and all that follows through the end of subclause (I), and inserting the following: “to address issues concerning the management, operations, manufacturing, technology, finance, retail and product sales, international trade, Government contracting, and other disciplines required for—

“(I) starting, operating, and increasing the business of a small business concern;”;

(ii) in clause (ii), by striking “Women’s Business Center program” each place that term appears and inserting “women’s business center program”; and

(B) in subparagraph (C), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, the National Women’s Business Council, and any association of women’s business centers”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) TRAINING.—The Administrator may provide annual programmatic and financial examination training for women’s business ownership representatives and district office technical representatives of the Administration to enable representatives to carry out their responsibilities.

“(4) PROGRAM AND TRANSPARENCY IMPROVEMENTS.—The Administrator shall maximize the transparency of the women’s business center financial assistance proposal process and the programmatic and financial examination process by—

“(A) providing public notice of any announcement for financial assistance under subsection (b) or a grant under subsection (1) not later than the end of the first quarter of each fiscal year;

“(B) in the announcement described in subparagraph (A), outlining award and program evaluation criteria and describing the weighting of the criteria for financial assistance under subsection (b) and grants under subsection (1);

“(C) minimizing paperwork and reporting requirements for applicants for and recipients of financial assistance under this section;

“(D) standardizing the programmatic and financial examination process; and

“(E) providing to each women’s business center, not later than 60 days after the completion of a site visit to the women’s business center (whether conducted for an audit, performance review, or other reason), a copy of any site visit reports or evaluation reports prepared by district office technical representatives or officers or employees of the Administration.”

(b) CHANGE OF TITLE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 29 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraphs (1) and (4);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

(iii) by inserting before paragraph (4), as so redesignated, the following:

“(2) the term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Women’s Business Ownership established under subsection (g);”;

(B) by striking “Assistant Administrator” each place that term appears and inserting “Director”; and

(C) in subsection (g)(2), in the paragraph heading, by striking “ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR” and inserting “DIRECTOR”.

(2) WOMEN’S BUSINESS OWNERSHIP ACT OF 1988.—Title IV of the Women’s Business Ownership Act of 1988 (15 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.) is amended—

(A) in section 403(a)(2)(B), by striking “Assistant Administrator” and inserting “Director”;

(B) in section 405, by striking “Assistant Administrator” and inserting “Director”; and

(C) in section 406(c), by striking “Assistant Administrator” and inserting “Director”.

SEC. 424. WOMEN’S BUSINESS CENTER PROGRAM.

(a) WOMEN’S BUSINESS CENTER FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 29 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), as amended by section 423(b) of this Act—

(A) by inserting before paragraph (2) the following:

“(1) the term ‘association of women’s business centers’ means an organization—

“(A) that represents not less than 51 percent of the women’s business centers that participate in a program under this section; and

“(B) whose primary purpose is to represent women’s business centers;”;

(B) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) the term ‘eligible entity’ means—

“(A) a private nonprofit organization;

“(B) a State, regional, or local economic development organization;

“(C) a development, credit, or finance corporation chartered by a State;

“(D) a junior or community college, as defined in section 312(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1058(f)); or

“(E) any combination of entities listed in subparagraphs (A) through (D);”;

(C) by adding after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) the term ‘women’s business center’ means a project conducted by an eligible entity under this section.”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), and adjusting the margins accordingly;

(B) by striking “The Administration” and all that follows through “5-year projects” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administration may provide financial assistance to an eligible entity to conduct a project under this section”;

(C) by striking “The projects shall” and inserting the following:

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—The project shall be designed to provide training and counseling that meets the needs of women, especially socially and economically disadvantaged women, and shall”;

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator may award financial assistance under this subsection of not less than \$100,000 and not more than \$150,000 per year.

“(B) LOWER AMOUNT.—The Administrator may award financial assistance under this subsection to a recipient in an amount that is less than \$100,000 if the Administrator determines that the recipient is unable to make a non-Federal contribution of \$100,000 or more, as required under subsection (c).

“(C) EQUAL ALLOCATIONS.—If the Administration has insufficient funds to provide financial assistance of not less than \$100,000 for each recipient of financial assistance under this subsection in any fiscal year, the Administrator shall provide an equal amount of financial assistance to each recipient in the fiscal year, unless a recipient requests a lower amount than the allocated amount.

“(4) CONSULTATION WITH ASSOCIATIONS OF WOMEN’S BUSINESS CENTERS.—The Administrator shall consult with each association of women’s business centers to develop—

“(A) a training program for the staff of women’s business centers and the Administration; and

“(B) recommendations to improve the policies and procedures for governing the general operations and administration of the women’s business center program, including grant program improvements under subsection (g)(4).”;

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1) by striking “the recipient organization” and inserting “an eligible entity”;

(B) in paragraph (3), in the second sentence, by striking “a recipient organization” and inserting “an eligible entity”;

(C) in paragraph (4)—

(i) by striking “recipient of assistance” and inserting “eligible entity”;

(ii) by striking “such organization” and inserting “the eligible entity”; and

(iii) by striking “recipient” and inserting “eligible entity”; and

(D) in paragraph (5)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “a recipient organization” and inserting “an eligible entity”; and

(ii) by striking “the recipient organization” each place it appears and inserting “the eligible entity”; and

(E) by adding at end the following:

“(6) SEPARATION OF PROJECT AND FUNDS.—An eligible entity shall—

“(A) carry out a project under this section separately from other projects, if any, of the eligible entity; and

“(B) separately maintain and account for any financial assistance under this section.”;

(4) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “applicant organization” and inserting “eligible entity”;

(B) by striking “a recipient organization” and inserting “an eligible entity”; and

(C) by striking “site”;

(5) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) APPLICATIONS AND CRITERIA FOR INITIAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—

“(1) APPLICATION.—Each eligible entity desiring financial assistance under subsection (b) shall submit to the Administrator an application that contains—

“(A) a certification that the eligible entity—

“(i) has designated an executive director or program manager, who may be compensated using financial assistance under subsection (b) or other sources, to manage the center on a full-time basis;

“(ii) as a condition of receiving financial assistance under subsection (b), agrees—

“(I) to receive a site visit by the Administrator as part of the final selection process;

“(II) to undergo an annual programmatic and financial examination; and

“(III) to the maximum extent practicable, to remedy any problems identified pursuant to the site visit or examination under subclause (I) or (II); and

“(iii) meets the accounting and reporting requirements established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget;

“(B) information demonstrating that the eligible entity has the ability and resources to meet the needs of the market to be served by the women’s business center for which financial assistance under subsection (b) is sought, including the ability to obtain the non-Federal contribution required under subsection (c);

“(C) information relating to the assistance to be provided by the women’s business center for which financial assistance under subsection (b) is sought in the area in which the women’s business center is located;

“(D) information demonstrating the experience and effectiveness of the eligible entity in—

“(i) conducting financial, management, and marketing assistance programs, as described in subsection (b)(2), which are de-

signed to teach or upgrade the business skills of women who are business owners or potential business owners;

“(ii) providing training and services to a representative number of women who are socially and economically disadvantaged; and

“(iii) working with resource partners of the Administration and other entities, such as universities; and

“(E) a 5-year plan that describes the ability of the women’s business center for which financial assistance is sought—

“(i) to serve women who are business owners or potential business owners by conducting training and counseling activities; and

“(ii) to provide training and services to a representative number of women who are socially and economically disadvantaged.

“(2) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—The Administrator shall make any request for additional information from an organization applying for financial assistance under subsection (b) that was not requested in the original announcement in writing.

“(3) REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR INITIAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

“(i) review each application submitted under paragraph (1), based on the information described in such paragraph and the criteria set forth under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph; and

“(ii) to the extent practicable, as part of the final selection process, conduct a site visit to each women’s business center for which financial assistance under subsection (b) is sought.

“(B) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall evaluate applicants for financial assistance under subsection (b) in accordance with selection criteria that are—

“(I) established before the date on which applicants are required to submit the applications;

“(II) stated in terms of relative importance; and

“(III) publicly available and stated in each solicitation for applications for financial assistance under subsection (b) made by the Administrator.

“(ii) REQUIRED CRITERIA.—The selection criteria for financial assistance under subsection (b) shall include—

“(I) the experience of the applicant in conducting programs or ongoing efforts designed to teach or enhance the business skills of women who are business owners or potential business owners;

“(II) the ability of the applicant to begin a project within a minimum amount of time;

“(III) the ability of the applicant to provide training and services to a representative number of women who are socially and economically disadvantaged; and

“(IV) the location for the women’s business center proposed by the applicant, including whether the applicant is located in a State in which there is not a women’s business center receiving funding from the Administration.

“(C) PROXIMITY.—If the principal place of business of an applicant for financial assistance under subsection (b) is located less than 50 miles from the principal place of business of a women’s business center that received funds under this section on or before the date of the application, the applicant shall not be eligible for the financial assistance, unless the applicant submits a detailed written justification of the need for an additional center in the area in which the applicant is located.

“(D) RECORD RETENTION.—The Administrator shall maintain a copy of each applica-

tion submitted under this subsection for not less than 7 years.”; and

(6) in subsection (m)—

(A) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) APPLICATION AND APPROVAL FOR RE-NEWAL GRANTS.—

“(A) SOLICITATION OF APPLICATIONS.—The Administrator shall solicit applications and award grants under this subsection for the first fiscal year beginning after the date of enactment of the Women’s Small Business Ownership Act of 2012, and every third fiscal year thereafter.

“(B) CONTENTS OF APPLICATION.—Each eligible entity desiring a grant under this subsection shall submit to the Administrator an application that contains—

“(i) a certification that the applicant—

“(I) is an eligible entity;

“(II) has designated a full-time executive director or program manager to manage the women’s business center operated by the applicant; and

“(III) as a condition of receiving a grant under this subsection, agrees—

“(aa) to receive a site visit as part of the final selection process;

“(bb) to submit, for the 2 full fiscal years before the date on which the application is submitted, annual programmatic and financial examination reports or certified copies of the compliance supplemental audits under OMB Circular A-133 of the applicant; and

“(cc) to remedy any problem identified pursuant to the site visit or examination under item (aa) or (bb);

“(ii) information demonstrating that the applicant has the ability and resources to meet the needs of the market to be served by the women’s business center for which a grant under this subsection is sought, including the ability to obtain the non-Federal contribution required under paragraph (4)(C);

“(iii) information relating to assistance to be provided by the women’s business center in the area served by the women’s business center for which a grant under this subsection is sought;

“(iv) information demonstrating that the applicant has worked with resource partners of the Administration and other entities;

“(v) a 3-year plan that describes the ability of the women’s business center for which a grant under this subsection is sought—

“(I) to serve women who are business owners or potential business owners by conducting training and counseling activities; and

“(II) to provide training and services to a representative number of women who are socially and economically disadvantaged; and

“(vi) any additional information that the Administrator may reasonably require.

“(C) REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

“(I) review each application submitted under subparagraph (B), based on the information described in such subparagraph and the criteria set forth under clause (ii) of this subparagraph; and

“(II) whenever practicable, as part of the final selection process, conduct a site visit to each women’s business center for which a grant under this subsection is sought.

“(ii) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall evaluate applicants for grants under this subsection in accordance with selection criteria that are—

“(aa) established before the date on which applicants are required to submit the applications;

“(bb) stated in terms of relative importance; and

“(cc) publicly available and stated in each solicitation for applications for grants under this subsection made by the Administrator.

“(II) REQUIRED CRITERIA.—The selection criteria for a grant under this subsection shall include—

“(aa) the total number of entrepreneurs served by the applicant;

“(bb) the total number of new startup companies assisted by the applicant;

“(cc) the percentage of clients of the applicant that are socially or economically disadvantaged; and

“(dd) the percentage of individuals in the community served by the applicant who are socially or economically disadvantaged.

“(iii) CONDITIONS FOR CONTINUED FUNDING.—In determining whether to make a grant under this subsection, the Administrator—

“(I) shall consider the results of the most recent evaluation of the women’s business center for which a grant under this subsection is sought, and, to a lesser extent, previous evaluations; and

“(II) may withhold a grant under this subsection, if the Administrator determines that the applicant has failed to provide the information required to be provided under this paragraph, or the information provided by the applicant is inadequate.

“(D) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 60 days after the date of each deadline to submit applications, the Administrator shall approve or deny any application under this paragraph and notify the applicant for each such application of the approval or denial.

“(E) RECORD RETENTION.—The Administrator shall maintain a copy of each application submitted under this paragraph for not less than 7 years.”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (5) and inserting the following:

“(5) AWARD TO PREVIOUS RECIPIENTS.—There shall be no limitation on the number of times the Administrator may award a grant to an applicant under this subsection.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 29 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656) is amended—

(A) in subsection (h)(2), by striking “to award a contract (as a sustainability grant) under subsection (l) or”;

(B) in subsection (j)(1), by striking “The Administration” and inserting “Not later than November 1 of each year, the Administrator”;

(C) in subsection (k)—

(i) by striking paragraphs (1), (2), and (4);

(ii) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(iii) by inserting before paragraph (4), as so redesignated, the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Administration to carry out this section, to remain available until expended, \$14,500,000 for each of fiscal years 2013, 2014, and 2015.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—Amounts made available under this subsection may only be used for grant awards and may not be used for costs incurred by the Administration in connection with the management and administration of the program under this section.

“(3) CONTINUING GRANT AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) PROMPT DISBURSEMENT.—Upon receiving funds to carry out this section for a fiscal year, the Administrator shall, to the extent practicable, promptly reimburse funds to any women’s business center awarded financial assistance under this section if the center meets the eligibility requirements under this section.

“(B) SUSPENSION OR TERMINATION.—If the Administrator has entered into a grant or cooperative agreement with a women’s busi-

ness center under this section, the Administrator may not suspend or terminate the grant or cooperative agreement, unless the Administrator—

“(i) provides the women’s business center with written notification setting forth the reasons for that action; and

“(ii) affords the women’s business center an opportunity for a hearing, appeal, or other administrative proceeding under chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code.”;

(D) in subsection (m)—

(i) in paragraph (2), by striking “subsection (b) or (l)” and inserting “this subsection or subsection (b)”;

(ii) in paragraph (4)(D), by striking “or subsection (l)”;

(E) by redesignating subsections (m) and (n), as amended by this Act, as subsections (l) and (m), respectively.

(2) PROSPECTIVE REPEAL.—Section 1401(c)(2) of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (15 U.S.C. 636 note) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) by redesignating paragraph (6), as added by section 424(a)(3)(E) of the Women’s Small Business Ownership Act of 2012, as paragraph (5).”.

(c) EFFECT ON EXISTING GRANTS.—

(1) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—A nonprofit organization receiving a grant under section 29(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(m)), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, shall continue to receive the grant under the terms and conditions in effect for the grant on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, except that the nonprofit organization may not apply for a renewal of the grant under section 29(m)(5) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(m)(5)), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) LENGTH OF RENEWAL GRANT.—The Administrator may award a grant under section 29(l) of the Small Business Act, as so redesignated by subsection (b)(1)(E) of this section, to a nonprofit organization receiving a grant under section 29(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656(m)), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, for the period—

(A) beginning on the day after the last day of the grant agreement under such section 29(m); and

(B) ending at the end of the third fiscal year beginning after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 425. STUDY AND REPORT ON ECONOMIC ISSUES FACING WOMEN’S BUSINESS CENTERS.

(a) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a broad study of the unique economic issues facing women’s business centers located in covered areas to identify—

(1) the difficulties such centers face in raising non-Federal funds;

(2) the difficulties such centers face in competing for financial assistance, non-Federal funds, or other types of assistance;

(3) the difficulties such centers face in writing grant proposals; and

(4) other difficulties such centers face because of the economy in the type of covered area in which such centers are located.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study under subsection (a), which shall include recommendations, if any, regarding how to—

(1) address the unique difficulties women’s business centers located in covered areas

face because of the type of covered area in which such centers are located;

(2) expand the presence of, and increase the services provided by, women’s business centers located in covered areas; and

(3) best use technology and other resources to better serve women business owners located in covered areas.

(c) DEFINITION OF COVERED AREA.—In this section, the term “covered area” means—

(1) any State that is predominantly rural, as determined by the Administrator;

(2) any State that is predominantly urban, as determined by the Administrator; and

(3) any State or territory that is an island.

SEC. 426. STUDY AND REPORT ON OVERSIGHT OF WOMEN’S BUSINESS CENTERS.

(a) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the oversight of women’s business centers by the Administrator, which shall include—

(1) an analysis of the coordination by the Administrator of the activities of women’s business centers with the activities of small business development centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives, and Veterans Business Outreach Centers;

(2) a comparison of the types of individuals and small business concerns served by women’s business centers and the types of individuals and small business concerns served by small business development centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives, and Veterans Business Outreach Centers; and

(3) an analysis of performance data for women’s business centers that evaluates how well women’s business centers are carrying out the mission of women’s business centers and serving individuals and small business concerns.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study under subsection (a), which shall include recommendations, if any, for eliminating the duplication of services provided by women’s business centers, small business development centers, the Service Corps of Retired Executives, and Veterans Business Outreach Centers.

Subtitle C—Strengthening America’s Small Business Development Centers

SEC. 431. INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.

Section 21 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “: *Provided, That*” and all that follows through “on such date.” and inserting the following: “. On and after December 31, 2013, the Administrator may only make a grant under this paragraph to an applicant that is an institution of higher education, as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)), that is accredited (and not merely in preaccreditation status) by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association recognized by the Secretary of Education for such purpose in accordance with section 496 of that Act (20 U.S.C. 1099b).”; and

(2) in subsection (c)(3)(K), by inserting “public and private institutions of higher education (including universities, community colleges, and junior colleges),” before “local and regional private consultants”.

SEC. 432. UPDATING FUNDING LEVELS FOR SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.

(a) MINIMUM FUNDING LEVELS.—Section 21(a)(4)(C) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(a)(4)(C)) is amended—

(1) in clause (iii)—

(A) by striking “\$90,000,000” each place that term appears and inserting “\$98,500,000”;

(B) by striking “\$81,500,000” each place that term appears and inserting “\$90,000,000”; and

(C) by striking “\$500,000” each place that term appears and inserting “\$600,000”;

(2) in clause (v)(II), by striking “if the usage” and all that follows through the end of the subclause and inserting a period; and

(3) in clause (v), by striking subclause (I) and inserting the following:

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts made available in any fiscal year to carry out this section—

“(aa) not more than \$50,000 may be used by the Administration to pay the expenses enumerated in subparagraph (B) of section 20(a)(1);

“(bb) not more than \$500,000 may be used by the Administration to pay the expenses enumerated in subparagraph (C) of section 20(a)(1); and

“(cc) not more than \$250,000 may be used by the Administration to pay the expenses enumerated in subparagraph (D) of section 20(a)(1).”

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 21(a)(4)(C)(vii) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(a)(4)(C)(vii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(vii) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subparagraph—

“(I) \$135,000,000 for fiscal year 2013;

“(II) \$135,000,000 for fiscal year 2014; and

“(III) \$135,000,000 for fiscal year 2015.”

SEC. 433. ASSISTANCE TO OUT-OF-STATE SMALL BUSINESSES.

Section 21(b)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(b)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking “(3) At the discretion” and inserting the following:

“(3) ASSISTANCE TO OUT-OF-STATE SMALL BUSINESSES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—At the discretion”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) DISASTER RECOVERY ASSISTANCE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—At the discretion of the Administrator, the Administrator may authorize a small business development center to provide assistance, as described in subsection (c), to small business concerns located outside of the State, without regard to geographic proximity, if the small business concerns are located in an area for which the President has declared a major disaster under section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170), during the period of the declaration.

“(ii) CONTINUITY OF SERVICES.—A small business development center that provides counselors to an area described in clause (i) shall, to the maximum extent practicable, ensure continuity of services in any State in which the small business development center otherwise provides services.

“(iii) ACCESS TO DISASTER RECOVERY FACILITIES.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the Administrator shall, to the maximum extent practicable, permit the personnel of a small business development center to use any site or facility designated by the Administrator for use to provide disaster recovery assistance.”

SEC. 434. TERMINATION OF SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER DEFENSE ECONOMIC TRANSITION ASSISTANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 21(c)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (G); and

(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (H) through (T) as subparagraphs (G) through (S), respectively.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 21(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph 4(C)(vi), by striking “or (c)(3)(G)”; and

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking “subparagraphs (B) through (G) of subsection (c)(3)” and inserting “subparagraphs (B) through (F) of subsection (c)(3)”; and

(c) EXISTING GRANTS.—Nothing in this section shall affect any grant made to a small business development center before the date of enactment of this Act under section 21(c)(3)(G) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(3)(G)), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act, and any such grant shall be subject to such section 21(c)(3)(G), as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 435. NATIONAL SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADVISORY BOARD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 21(i)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(i)(1)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “nine members” and inserting “10 members”; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking “six” and inserting “the members who are not from universities or their affiliates”; and

(3) by striking the third sentence; and

(4) in the fourth sentence—

(A) by striking “Succeeding Boards” and inserting “The members of the Board”; and

(B) by inserting “not less than” before “one-third”.

(b) INCUMBENTS.—An individual serving as a member of the National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board on the date of enactment of this Act may continue to serve on the Board until the end of the term of the member under section 21(i)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(i)(1)), as in effect on the day before such date of enactment.

SEC. 436. REPEAL OF PAUL D. COVERDELL DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE PROGRAM.

Section 27 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 654) is repealed.

Subtitle D—Terminating the National Veterans Business Development Corporation

SEC. 441. NATIONAL VETERANS BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended by striking section 33 (15 U.S.C. 657c).

(b) CORPORATION.—On and after the date of enactment of this Act, the National Veterans Business Development Corporation and any successor thereto may not represent that the corporation is federally chartered or in any other manner authorized by the Federal Government.

(c) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SMALL BUSINESS ACT.—The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.), as amended by this section, is amended—

(A) by redesignating sections 34 through 45 as sections 33 through 44, respectively;

(B) in section 9(k)(1)(D) (15 U.S.C. 638(k)(1)(D)), by striking “section 34(d)” and inserting “section 33(d)”; and

(C) in section 33 (15 U.S.C. 657d), as so redesignated—

(i) by striking “section 35” each place it appears and inserting “section 34”; and

(ii) in subsection (a)—

(I) in paragraph (2), by striking “section 35(c)(2)(B)” and inserting “section 34(c)(2)(B)”; and

(II) in paragraph (4), by striking “section 35(c)(2)” and inserting “section 34(c)(2)”; and

(III) in paragraph (5), by striking “section 35(c)” and inserting “section 34(c)”; and

(iii) in subsection (h)(2), by striking “section 35(d)” and inserting “section 34(d)”; and

(D) in section 34 (15 U.S.C. 657e), as so redesignated—

(i) by striking “section 34” each place it appears and inserting “section 33”; and

(ii) in subsection (c)(1), by striking section “34(c)(1)(E)(ii)” and inserting section “33(c)(1)(E)(ii)”; and

(E) in section 36(d) (15 U.S.C. 657i(d)), as so redesignated, by striking “section 43” and inserting “section 42”; and

(F) in section 39(d) (15 U.S.C. 657l(d)), as so redesignated, by striking “section 43” and inserting “section 42”; and

(G) in section 40(b) (15 U.S.C. 657m(b)), as so redesignated, by striking “section 43” and inserting “section 42”.

(2) TITLE 10.—Section 1142(b)(13) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “and the National Veterans Business Development Corporation”.

(3) TITLE 38.—Section 3452(h) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking “any of the” and all that follows and inserting “any small business development center described in section 21 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648), insofar as such center offers, sponsors, or cosponsors an entrepreneurship course, as that term is defined in section 3675(c)(2).”

(4) FOOD, CONSERVATION, AND ENERGY ACT OF 2008.—Section 12072(c)(2) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (15 U.S.C. 636g(c)(2)) is amended by striking “section 43 of the Small Business Act, as added by this Act” and inserting “section 42 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657o)”.

(5) VETERANS ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1999.—Section 203(c)(5) of the Veterans Entrepreneurship and Small Business Development Act of 1999 (15 U.S.C. 657b note) is amended by striking “In cooperation with the National Veterans Business Development Corporation, develop” and inserting “Develop”.

TITLE V—ACCESS TO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING

Subtitle A—Bonds

SEC. 511. REMOVAL OF SUNSET DATES FOR CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT ACT OF 1958.

(a) MAXIMUM BOND AMOUNT.—Section 411(a)(1) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694b(a)(1)) is amended by striking “does not exceed” and all that follows and inserting “does not exceed \$5,000,000.”

(b) DENIAL OF LIABILITY.—Section 411(e)(2) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694b(e)(2)) is amended by striking “bonds exceeds” and all that follows and inserting “bonds exceeds \$5,000,000.”

Subtitle B—Small Business Contracting Fraud Prevention

SEC. 521. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Small Business Contracting Fraud Prevention Act of 2012”.

SEC. 522. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle—

(1) the term “8(a) program” means the program under section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a));

(2) the terms “Administration” and “Administrator” mean the Small Business Administration and the Administrator thereof, respectively;

(3) the terms “HUBZone” and “HUBZone small business concern” and “HUBZone map” have the meanings given those terms in section 3(p) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)), as amended by this Act; and

(4) the term “recertification” means a determination by the Administrator that a business concern that was previously determined to be a qualified HUBZone small business concern is a qualified HUBZone small business concern under section 3(p)(5) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(5)).

SEC. 523. FRAUD DETERRENCE AT THE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION.

Section 16 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 645) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—
 (A) in paragraph (1)—
 (i) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “Whoever” and all that follows through “oneself or another” and inserting the following: “A person shall be subject to the penalties and remedies described in paragraph (2) if the person misrepresents the status of any concern or person as a small business concern, a qualified HUBZone small business concern, a small business concern owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, a small business concern owned and controlled by women, or a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, in order to obtain for any person”;

(ii) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

“(A) prime contract, subcontract, grant, or cooperative agreement to be awarded under subsection (a) or (m) of section 8, or section 9, 15, 31, or 35;”;

(iii) by striking subparagraph (B);
 (iv) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) and (D) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively; and

(v) in subparagraph (C), as so redesignated, by striking “, shall be” and all that follows and inserting a period;

(B) in paragraph (2)—
 (i) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) and (D) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respectively; and

(ii) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) be subject to the civil remedies under subchapter III of chapter 37 of title 31, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘False Claims Act’);”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:
 “(3)(A) In the case of a violation of paragraph (1)(A) or subsection (g) or (h), for purposes of a proceeding described in subparagraph (A) or (C) of paragraph (2), the amount of the loss to the Federal Government or the damages sustained by the Federal Government, as applicable, shall be an amount equal to the amount that the Federal Government paid to the person that received a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement described in paragraph (1)(A), (g), or (h), respectively.

“(B) In the case of a violation of subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1), for the purpose of a proceeding described in subparagraph (A) or (C) of paragraph (2), the amount of the loss to the Federal Government or the damages sustained by the Federal Government, as applicable, shall be an amount equal to the portion of any payment by the Federal Government under a prime contract that was used for a subcontract described in subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1), respectively.

“(C) In a proceeding described in subparagraph (A) or (B), no credit shall be applied against any loss or damages to the Federal Government for the fair market value of the property or services provided to the Federal Government.”;

(2) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) Any representation of the status of any concern or person as a small business concern, a HUBZone small business concern, a small business concern owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, a small business concern owned and controlled by women, or a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, in order to obtain any prime contract, subcontract, grant, or cooperative agreement described in

subsection (d)(1) shall be made in writing or through the Online Representations and Certifications Application process required under section 4.1201 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, or any successor thereto.”;

and

(3) by adding at the end the following:
 “(g) A person shall be subject to the penalties and remedies described in subsection (d)(2) if the person misrepresents the status of any concern or person as a small business concern, a qualified HUBZone small business concern, a small business concern owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, a small business concern owned and controlled by women, or a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans—

“(1) in order to allow any person to participate in any program of the Administration; or

“(2) in relation to a protest of a contract award or proposed contract award made under regulations issued by the Administration.

“(h)(1) A person that submits a request for payment on a contract or subcontract that is awarded under subsection (a) or (m) of section 8, or section 9, 15, 31, or 35, shall be deemed to have submitted a certification that the person complied with regulations issued by the Administration governing the percentage of work that the person is required to perform on the contract or subcontract, unless the person states, in writing, that the person did not comply with the regulations.

“(2) A person shall be subject to the penalties and remedies described in subsection (d)(2) if the person—

“(A) uses the services of a business other than the business awarded the contract or subcontract to perform a greater percentage of work under a contract than is permitted by regulations issued by the Administration; or

“(B) willfully participates in a scheme to circumvent regulations issued by the Administration governing the percentage of work that a contractor is required to perform on a contract.”.

SEC. 524. VETERANS INTEGRITY IN CONTRACTING.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 3(q)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)(1)) is amended by striking “means a veteran” and all that follows and inserting the following: “means—

“(A) a veteran with a service-connected disability rated by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs as zero percent or more disabling; or

“(B) a former member of the Armed Forces who is retired, separated, or placed on the temporary disability retired list for physical disability under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code.”.

(b) VETERANS CONTRACTING.—Section 4 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 633), as amended by this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) VETERAN STATUS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A business concern seeking status as a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans shall—

“(A) submit an annual certification indicating that the business concern is a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans by means of the Online Representations and Certifications Application process required under section 4.1201 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, or any successor thereto; and

“(B) register with—

“(i) the Central Contractor Registration database maintained under subpart 4.11 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, or any successor thereto; and

“(ii) the VetBiz database of the Department of Veterans Affairs, or any successor thereto.

“(2) VERIFICATION OF STATUS.—

“(A) VETERANS AFFAIRS.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall determine whether a business concern registered with the VetBiz database of the Department of Veterans Affairs, or any successor thereto, as a small business concern owned and controlled by veterans or a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans is owned and controlled by a veteran or a service-disabled veteran, as the case may be.

“(B) FEDERAL AGENCIES GENERALLY.—The head of each Federal agency shall—

“(i) for a sole source contract awarded to a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans or a contract awarded with competition restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans under section 35, determine whether a business concern submitting a proposal for the contract is a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans; and

“(ii) use the VetBiz database of the Department of Veterans Affairs, or any successor thereto, in determining whether a business concern is a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans.

“(3) DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION.—If the Administrator determines that a business concern knowingly and willfully misrepresented that the business concern is a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, the Administrator may debar or suspend the business concern from contracting with the United States.”.

(c) INTEGRATION OF DATABASES.—The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall ensure that data is shared on an ongoing basis between the VetBiz database of the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Central Contractor Registration database maintained under subpart 4.11 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (b) and the requirements under subsection (c) shall take effect on the date on which the Secretary of Veterans Affairs (referred to in this subsection as the “Secretary”) publishes in the Federal Register a determination that the Department of Veterans Affairs has the necessary resources and capacity to carry out the additional responsibility of determining whether small business concerns registered with the VetBiz database of the Department of Veterans Affairs are owned and controlled by a veteran or a service-disabled veteran, as the case may be, in accordance with subsection (i) of section 4 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 633), as added by subsection (b).

(2) TIMELINE.—If the Secretary determines that the Secretary is not able to publish the determination under paragraph (1) before the date that is 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, submit a report containing an estimate of the date on which the Secretary will publish the determination under paragraph (1) to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 525. SECTION 8(a) PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) REVIEW OF EFFECTIVENESS.—Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(22) Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph, and every 3 years thereafter, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—

“(A) conduct an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program under this subsection, including an examination of—

“(i) the number and size of contracts applied for, as compared to the number received by, small business concerns after successfully completing the program;

“(ii) the percentage of small business concerns that continue to operate during the 3-year period beginning on the date on which the small business concerns successfully complete the program;

“(iii) whether the business of small business concerns increases during the 3-year period beginning on the date on which the small business concerns successfully complete the program; and

“(iv) the number of training sessions offered under the program; and

“(B) submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report regarding each evaluation under subparagraph (A).”.

(b) OTHER IMPROVEMENTS.—In order to improve the 8(a) program, the Administrator shall—

(1) not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, begin to—

(A) evaluate the feasibility of—

(i) using additional third-party data sources;

(ii) making unannounced visits of sites that are selected randomly or using risk-based criteria;

(iii) using fraud detection tools, including data-mining techniques; and

(iv) conducting financial and analytical training for the business opportunity specialists of the Administration;

(B) evaluate the feasibility and advisability of amending regulations applicable to the 8(a) program to require that calculations of the adjusted net worth or total assets of an individual include assets held by the spouse of the individual; and

(C) develop a more consistent enforcement strategy that includes the suspension or debarment of contractors that knowingly make misrepresentations in order to qualify for the 8(a) program; and

(2) not later than 1 year after the date on which the Comptroller General submits the report under section 8(a)(22)(B) of the Small Business Act, as added by subsection (c), issue, in final form, proposed regulations of the Administration that—

(A) determine the economic disadvantage of a participant in the 8(a) program based on the income and asset levels of the participant at the time of application and annual recertification for the 8(a) program; and

(B) limit the ability of a small business concern to participate in the 8(a) program if an immediate family member of an owner of the small business concern is, or has been, a participant in the 8(a) program, in the same industry.

SEC. 526. HUBZONE IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to reform and improve the HUBZone program of the Administration.

(b) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall—

(1) ensure the HUBZone map is—

(A) accurate and up-to-date; and

(B) revised as new data is made available to maintain the accuracy and currency of the HUBZone map;

(2) implement policies for ensuring that only HUBZone small business concerns determined to be qualified under section 3(p)(5) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(5))

are participating in the HUBZone program, including through the appropriate use of technology to control costs and maximize, among other benefits, uniformity, completeness, simplicity, and efficiency;

(3) submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report regarding any application to be designated as a HUBZone small business concern or for recertification for which the Administrator has not made a determination as of the date that is 60 days after the date on which the application was submitted or initiated, which shall include a plan and timetable for ensuring the timely processing of the applications; and

(4) develop measures and implement plans to assess the effectiveness of the HUBZone program that—

(A) require the identification of a baseline point in time to allow the assessment of economic development under the HUBZone program, including creating additional jobs; and

(B) take into account—

(i) the economic characteristics of the HUBZone; and

(ii) contracts being counted under multiple socioeconomic subcategories.

(c) EMPLOYMENT PERCENTAGE.—Section 3(p) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by adding at the end the following:

“(E) EMPLOYMENT PERCENTAGE DURING INTERIM PERIOD.—

“(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term ‘interim period’ means the period beginning on the date on which the Administrator determines that a HUBZone small business concern is qualified under subparagraph (A) and ending on the day before the date on which a contract under the HUBZone program for which the HUBZone small business concern submits a bid is awarded.

“(ii) INTERIM PERIOD.—During the interim period, the Administrator may not determine that the HUBZone small business is not qualified under subparagraph (A) based on a failure to meet the applicable employment percentage under subparagraph (A)(i)(I), unless the HUBZone small business concern—

“(I) has not attempted to maintain the applicable employment percentage under subparagraph (A)(i)(I); or

“(II) does not meet the applicable employment percentage—

“(aa) on the date on which the HUBZone small business concern submits a bid for a contract under the HUBZone program; or

“(bb) on the date on which the HUBZone small business concern is awarded a contract under the HUBZone program.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) HUBZONE PROGRAM.—The term ‘HUBZone program’ means the program established under section 31.

“(9) HUBZONE MAP.—The term ‘HUBZone map’ means the map used by the Administration to identify HUBZones.”.

(d) REDESIGNATED AREAS.—Section 3(p)(4)(C)(i) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(4)(C)(i)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) 3 years after the first date on which the Administrator publishes a HUBZone map that is based on the results from the 2010 decennial census; or”.

SEC. 527. ANNUAL REPORT ON SUSPENSION, DEBARMENT, AND PROSECUTION.

The Administrator shall submit an annual report to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives that contains—

(1) the number of debarments from participation in programs of the Administration

issued by the Administrator during the 1-year period preceding the date of the report, including—

(A) the number of debarments that were based on a conviction; and

(B) the number of debarments that were fact-based and did not involve a conviction;

(2) the number of suspensions from participation in programs of the Administration issued by the Administrator during the 1-year period preceding the date of the report, including—

(A) the number of suspensions issued that were based upon indictments; and

(B) the number of suspensions issued that were fact-based and did not involve an indictment;

(3) the number of suspension and debarments issued by the Administrator during the 1-year period preceding the date of the report that were based upon referrals from offices of the Administration, other than the Office of Inspector General;

(4) the number of suspension and debarments issued by the Administrator during the 1-year period preceding the date of the report based upon referrals from the Office of Inspector General; and

(5) the number of persons that the Administrator declined to debar or suspend after a referral described in paragraph (8), and the reason for each such decision.

Subtitle C—Fairness in Women-Owned Small Business Contracting

SEC. 531. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Fairness in Women-Owned Small Business Contracting Act of 2012”.

SEC. 532. PROCUREMENT PROGRAM FOR WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

Section 8(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(m)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “who are economically disadvantaged”;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;

(C) by striking subparagraph (D); and

(D) by redesignating subparagraphs (E) and (F) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respectively; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS.—A contracting officer may award a sole source contract under this subsection to a small business concern owned and controlled by women under the same conditions as a sole source contract may be awarded to a qualified HUBZone small business concern under section 31(b)(2)(A).”.

SEC. 533. STUDY AND REPORT ON REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN.

Section 29 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656), as amended by section 424 of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(n) STUDY AND REPORT ON REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN.—

“(1) STUDY.—The Administrator shall periodically conduct a study to identify any United States industry, as defined under the North American Industry Classification System, in which women are underrepresented.

“(2) REPORT.—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this subsection, and every 5 years thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives a report on the results of each study under paragraph (1) conducted during the 5-year period ending on the date of the report.”.

Subtitle D—Small Business Champion

SEC. 541. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Small Business Champion Act of 2012”.

SEC. 542. OFFICES OF SMALL AND DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS UTILIZATION.

(a) **APPOINTMENT AND POSITION OF DIRECTOR.**—Section 15(k)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)(2)) is amended by striking “such agency,” and inserting “such agency to a position that is a Senior Executive Service position (as such term is defined under section 3132(a) of title 5, United States Code), except that, for any agency in which the positions of Chief Acquisition Officer and senior procurement executive (as such terms are defined under section 43(a) of this Act) are not Senior Executive Service positions, the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization may be appointed to a position compensated at not less than the minimum rate of basic pay payable for grade GS-15 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of such title (including comparability payments under section 5304 of such title);”.

(b) **PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS.**—Section 15(k)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking “be responsible only to, and report directly to, the head” and inserting “shall be responsible only to (including with respect to performance appraisals), and report directly and exclusively to, the head”; and

(2) by striking “be responsible only to, and report directly to, such Secretary” and inserting “be responsible only to (including with respect to performance appraisals), and report directly and exclusively to, such Secretary”.

(c) **SMALL BUSINESS TECHNICAL ADVISERS.**—Section 15(k)(8)(B) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)(8)(B)) is amended by striking “and 15 of this Act,” and inserting “, 15, and 43 of this Act;”.

(d) **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.**—Section 15(k) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:

“(11) shall review and advise such agency on any decision to convert an activity performed by a small business concern to an activity performed by a Federal employee;

“(12) shall provide to the Chief Acquisition Officer and senior procurement executive of such agency advice and comments on acquisition strategies, market research, and justifications related to section 43 of this Act;

“(13) may provide training to small business concerns and contract specialists, except that such training may only be provided to the extent that the training does not interfere with the Director carrying out other responsibilities under this subsection;

“(14) shall carry out exclusively the duties enumerated in this Act, and shall, while the Director, not hold any other title, position, or responsibility, except as necessary to carry out responsibilities under this subsection;

“(15) shall submit, each fiscal year, to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate a report describing—

“(A) the training provided by the Director under paragraph (13) in the most recently completed fiscal year;

“(B) the percentage of the budget of the Director used for such training in the most recently completed fiscal year; and

“(C) the percentage of the budget of the Director used for travel in the most recently completed fiscal year; and

“(16) shall have not less than 10 years of relevant procurement experience.”.

(e) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**—Section 15(k) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)), as amended by subsection (d), is further amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “who shall” and inserting “who”;

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “be known” and inserting “shall be known”; and

(B) by striking “such agency,” and inserting “such agency;”;

(3) in paragraph (2) by striking “be appointed by” and inserting “shall be appointed by”;

(4) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “director” and inserting “Director”; and

(B) by striking “Secretary’s designee,” and inserting “Secretary’s designee;”;

(5) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking “be responsible” and inserting “shall be responsible”; and

(B) by striking “such agency,” and inserting “such agency;”;

(6) in paragraph (5) by striking “identify proposed” and inserting “shall identify proposed”;

(7) in paragraph (6) by striking “assist small” and inserting “shall assist small”;

(8) in paragraph (7)—

(A) by striking “have supervisory” and inserting “shall have supervisory”; and

(B) by striking “this Act,” and inserting “this Act;”;

(9) in paragraph (8)—

(A) by striking “assign a” and inserting “shall assign a”; and

(B) by striking “the activity, and” and inserting “the activity; and”;

(10) in paragraph (9)—

(A) by striking “cooperate, and” and inserting “shall cooperate, and”; and

(B) by striking “subsection, and” and inserting “subsection;”;

(11) in paragraph (10)—

(A) by striking “make recommendations” and inserting “shall make recommendations”;

(B) by striking “subsection (a), or section” and inserting “subsection (a), section”;

(C) by striking “Act or section 2323” and inserting “Act, or section 2323”;

(D) by striking “Code. Such recommendations shall” and inserting “Code, which shall”; and

(E) by striking “contract file.” and inserting “contract file;”.

SEC. 543. SMALL BUSINESS PROCUREMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL.

(a) **DUTIES.**—Section 7104(b) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (15 U.S.C. 644 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1) by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (2) by striking “authorities.” and inserting “authorities;”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) to conduct reviews of each Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization established under section 15(k) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)) to determine the compliance of each Office with requirements under such section;

“(4) to identify best practices for maximizing small business utilization in Federal contracting that may be implemented by Federal agencies having procurement powers; and

“(5) to submit, annually, to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate a report describing—

“(A) the comments submitted under paragraph (2) during the 1-year period ending on the date on which the report is submitted, including any outcomes related to the comments;

“(B) the results of reviews conducted under paragraph (3) during such 1-year period; and

“(C) best practices identified under paragraph (4) during such 1-year period.”.

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—Section 7104(c) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994

(15 U.S.C. 644 note) is amended by striking “(established under section 15(k) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k))”.

(c) **CHAIRMAN.**—Section 7104(d) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (15 U.S.C. 644 note) is amended by inserting after “Small Business Administration” the following: “(or the designee of the Administrator)”.

**TITLE VI—TRANSPARENCY,
ACCOUNTABILITY, AND EFFECTIVENESS**
**Subtitle A—Small Business Common
Application**

SEC. 611. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle—

(1) the terms “Administration” and “Administrator” mean the Small Business Administration and the Administrator thereof, respectively;

(2) the term “Executive agency” has the meaning given that term under section 105 of title 5, United States Code;

(3) the term “Executive Committee” means the Executive Committee on a Small Business Common Application established under section 613(a);

(4) the term “small business concern” has the meaning given that term under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632);

SEC. 612. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that Executive agencies should—

(1) reduce paperwork burdens on small business concerns pursuant to section 3501 of title 44, United States Code;

(2) maximize the ability of small business concerns to use common applications, where practicable, and use consolidated web portals to interact with Executive agencies;

(3) maintain high standards for data privacy and security;

(4) increase the degree and ease of information sharing and coordination among programs serving small business concerns that are carried out by Executive agencies, including State and local offices of Executive agencies; and

(5) minimize redundancy in the administration of programs that can utilize common applications, where practicable, and consolidated web portals.

SEC. 613. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON A SMALL BUSINESS COMMON APPLICATION.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established in the Administration an Executive Committee on a Small Business Common Application, which shall make recommendations regarding the establishment, if practicable, of a small business common application and web portal.

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The members of the Executive Committee shall consist of—

(A) the Administrator;

(B) the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development; and

(C) 1 senior officer or employee having policy and technical expertise appointed by each of—

(i) the Administrator of the General Services Administration;

(ii) the Director of the National Institutes of Health;

(iii) the Director of the National Science Foundation;

(iv) the President of the Export-Import Bank;

(v) the Secretary of Agriculture;

(vi) the Secretary of Defense;

(vii) the Secretary of Health and Human Services;

(viii) the Secretary of Labor;

(ix) the Secretary of State;

(x) the Secretary of the Treasury; and

(xi) the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(2) **CHAIRPERSON.**—The Administrator shall serve as chairperson of the Executive Committee.

(3) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT.—Members of the Executive Committee shall be appointed for a term of 1 year.

(4) VACANCIES.—A vacancy in the Executive Committee shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment, not later than 30 days after the date on which the vacancy occurs.

(c) MEETINGS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Executive Committee shall meet at the call of the chairperson of the Executive Committee.

(2) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of the Executive Committee shall constitute a quorum.

(3) FIRST MEETING.—The first meeting of the Executive Committee shall take place not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this subtitle.

(4) PUBLIC MEETING.—The Executive Committee shall hold at least 1 public meeting before the date described in subsection (d)(1) to receive comments from small business concerns and other interested parties.

(d) DUTIES.—

(1) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, upon a vote of the majority of members of the Executive Committee then serving, the Executive Committee shall submit to the Administrator recommendations relating to the feasibility of establishing a small business common application and web portal in order to meet the goals described in section 612.

(2) TRANSMISSION TO EXECUTIVE AGENCIES.—The Executive Committee shall transmit to each Executive agency a complete copy of the recommendations submitted under paragraph (1).

(3) TRANSMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Executive Committee shall transmit to each relevant committee of Congress a complete copy of the recommendations submitted under paragraph (1).

(4) RECOMMENDATIONS BY EXECUTIVE AGENCIES.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Executive Committee transmits recommendations to the Executive agency under paragraph (2), each Executive agency that provides Federal assistance to small business concerns shall submit to Congress recommendations, if any, for legislative changes necessary for the Executive agency to carry out the recommendations under paragraph (1).

(e) PERSONNEL MATTERS.—

(1) COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.—The members of the Executive Committee shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees of the United States.

(2) DETAIL OF EMPLOYEES.—The Administrator may detail to the Executive Committee any employee of the Economic Development Administration, and such detail shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

(f) FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.—Section 14 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply with respect to the Executive Committee.

SEC. 614. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There are authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator such sums as may be necessary to carry out this subtitle.

**Subtitle B—Government Accountability
Office Review**

SEC. 621. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit a report to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives that evaluates the status of

the programs authorized under this Act and the amendments made by this Act, including the extent to which such programs have been funded and implemented and have contributed to promoting job creation among small business concerns.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 54—STATING THAT IT IS THE POLICY OF THE UNITED STATES TO OPPOSE THE SALE, SHIPMENT, PERFORMANCE OF MAINTENANCE, REFURBISHMENT, MODIFICATION, REPAIR, AND UPGRADE OF ANY MILITARY EQUIPMENT FROM OR BY THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION TO OR FOR THE SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Mr. HATCH (for himself and Mr. CORNYN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. CON. RES. 54

Whereas the General Director of Rosoboronexport, the largest Russian arms exporter, recently announced that his company was transferring anti-aircraft and anti-ship missile systems to Syria;

Whereas the Government of the Russian Federation has announced the deployment of 11 warships, including amphibious ships designed to carry naval infantry, to the eastern Mediterranean, and it is expected that some of those ships will dock at the Syrian port of Tartus;

Whereas Secretary of State Hillary Clinton recently stated, “What can every nation and group represented here do? . . . I ask you to reach out to Russia and China, and to not only urge but demand that they get off the sidelines and begin to support the legitimate aspirations of the Syrian people.”;

Whereas Secretary of State Clinton further stated on July 17, 2012, “[O]ur commitment is to try to get Russia to cooperate. So we want the rest of the world to put pressure on Russia . . . as long as he [Bashar al-Assad] has Russia uncertain about whether or not to side against him in any more dramatic way that it already has, he [Assad] feels like he can keep going.”;

Whereas the Government of the Russian Federation recently refurbished at least three Syrian Mi-25 helicopters; and

Whereas the Government of the Russian Federation has taken a tentative positive step of expounding a new policy that it will not enter into new arms agreements with the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the policy of the United States—

(1) to oppose the sale, shipment, performance of maintenance, refurbishment, modification, repair, or upgrade of any military equipment, including parts that can be used in military equipment, from or by the Government of the Russian Federation to or for the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic; and

(2) to oppose any effort by the Government of the Russian Federation to increase, maintain, or sustain the military readiness and or military capabilities of the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2573. Mr. HATCH (for himself, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. JOHANNES, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. BURR, Mr. THUNE, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. KYL, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. KIRK, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. SHELBY, and Mr. ISAKSON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3412, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide tax relief to middle-class families.

SA 2574. Mrs. HUTCHISON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3414, to enhance the security and resiliency of the cyber and communications infrastructure of the United States; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2575. Mr. LAUTENBERG (for himself, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. REED, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. SCHUMER, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2576. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2577. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2578. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2579. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2580. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3414, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 2573. Mr. HATCH (for himself, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. JOHANNES, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. BURR, Mr. THUNE, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. KYL, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. KIRK, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. SHELBY, and Mr. ISAKSON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3412, to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide tax relief to middle-class families; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Tax Hike Prevention Act of 2012”.

SEC. 2. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2001 TAX RELIEF.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is amended by striking “December 31, 2012” both places it appears and inserting “December 31, 2013”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001.

SEC. 3. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF 2003 TAX RELIEF.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 303 of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 is amended by striking “December 31, 2012” and inserting “December 31, 2013”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect as if