language regarding union elections and mandating that the National Labor Relations Board change its decision is unacceptable. I am sadly forced to vote no.
This bill makes the dangerous precedent of interfering with the National Labor Relations Board. While I am very glad that it does not repeat the disastrous mistake in the original House legislation, I am concerned about the increased requirements to simply hold a union election. There is no reason for Congress to muddle with fair decisions made by the Na tional Labor Relations Board, and I am disappointed that my Republican colleagues insist on doing so.

I appreciate the resolution reached on the National Airport slots issue, and the increased attention paid to airport modernization and NextGen funding. I also appreciate the work of my Senate colleagues in protecting Oregon's scenic spaces. There is much in this bill to support, and it saddens me that so much hard work and bipartisan cooperation is undone by a blatant attack on the rights of our workers to organize.
Mr. TIBERI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to thank Chairman CAMP and Chairman MICA for their fine work on the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012, and to explain the bill's treatment of the fractional ownership industry.
Fractional aviation has grown rapidly to change how business travels, but Washington doesn't always keep up with the pace of change in business, and fractional aviation was no exception. The Federal Aviation Administration recognized that fractional is noncommercial in 2003, but the Internal Revenue Service is still trying to tax it the same as a commercial airline ticket, despite the fact that fractional owners own their planes. Today we are clarifying and reaffirming that fractional aviation is non-commercial aviation. This bill clearly states that instead of being subject to the commercial ticket tax, as the IRS has asserted, fractional flights will pay the fuel tax used in noncommercial aviation, plus a fractional surtax.

Ohio is the birthplace of aviation. This heritage of aeronautical innovation continues today with cutting edge fractional ownership aircraft programs. This bill will align fractional aviation's tax treatment with the longstanding FAA rules, and help the fractional aviation industry in Ohio and across the country grow even more. I want to thank Chairman CAMP for making this clear.
The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Womack). All time for debate has expired.
Pursuant to House Resolution 533, the previous question is ordered.
The question is on the conference report.
The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.
Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.
The yeas and nays were ordered.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

BASELINE REFORM ACT OF 2012
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further
consideration of the bill (H.R. 3578) to amend the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 to reform the budget baseline will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT
Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. TIERNEY. I am.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:
Mr. Tierney moves to recommit the bill H.R. 3578 to the Committee on the Budget with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

In section 257(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 as added by section 2, strike "Budgetary" and insert "Except as provided in paragraph (3), budgetary" in paragraph (1) and after paragraph (2) add the following new paragraph:
"(3) Maintaining CURRENT FUNDING LEVELS IN REAL (INFLATION-ADJUSTED) TERMS FOR: PELL GRANTS AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS FOR STUDENTS; HEALTH AND ALL DISCRETIONARY SPENDING THAT PROVIDE BENEFITS FOR SENIORS; JOB, HEALTH, AND ALL DISCRETIONARY SPENDING THAT PROVIDE BENEFITS FOR VETERANS; AND HEALTH RESEARCH, INCLUDING NIH and research to cure cancer.-The discretionary portions of budget functions 500 (Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services), 550 (Health), 570 (Medicare), 600 (Income Security), 650 (Social Security), and 700 (Veterans Benefits and Services), other than unobligated balances, shall be adjusted for inflation as follows:
"(A) The inflator used in paragraph (2) to adjust budgetary resources relating to personnel shall be the percent by which the average of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost Index (wages and salaries, private industry workers) for that fiscal year differs from such index for the current year.
"(B) The inflator used in paragraph (2) to adjust all other budgetary resources shall be the percent by which the average of the estimated gross domestic product chain-type price index for that fiscal year differs from the average of such estimated index for the current year."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized for 5 min utes in support of his motion.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to this bill. It will not kill the bill, and it won't send it back to committee. If adopted, we will then vote on the passage of the bill, as amended.

When families in my district and across the country sit around the kitchen table to try to balance their budgets, they know that costs don't stay the same every year. They know the price of milk and gas and college and health care all go up. Yet H.R. 3578, left unamended, holds the budgetary baseline constant instead of allowing it
to reflect increases in costs, making simple inflation adjustments look like increases in spending.
Ignoring increases in costs will dramatically lower program levels in the baseline. Translated, this means that the priorities we support to help sustain the middle class and those aspiring to it, the programs we pay our taxes to support, will be cut as inflation eats into the accounts set in the budget.
The Republican majority argues that America's middle class must make even more sacrifices to address our debt. The majority's mantra is that austerity alone, spending cuts focused only on nondefense discretionary domestic spending with no additional revenue and without closing any special interest tax loopholes, is all they think should be done.
Never mind that it's largely their policies enforced under the last administration, aided and abetted by the then-Federal Reserve Board chairman, that were largely responsible for the debt situation. Never mind that Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan has since testified that this was wrong, that his "unconstrained free market" "winner-take-all" theory had never worked in his 80-plus-year life span. Never mind that in the 1970s, we used to spend 5 percent of our national income on discretionary domestic spending, like education, job training, health, research, veterans, and infrastructure; but more recently, we've already pared that back to 2.5 percent.
With this bill, the majority tries to balance the budget on the backs of workers, middle class families, small businesses, and society's most challenged. They refuse to consider a fair distribution of our tax obligations. They even refuse to close special interest tax loopholes.
This bill, if not amended, chooses shielding the extraordinarily well-off from any fair share of taxes over sustaining Pell Grants, student assistance promising opportunity to families. It chooses allowing hedge fund managers the benefit of especially low tax rates over Meals on Wheels for seniors. And it chooses special tax credits to the mature, extremely profitable oil and gas companies over providing the security of housing for homeless veterans returning from duty in Iraq and Afghanistan.
The austerity-only approach to addressing their largely self-induced debt is not the smart response to our economy's needs. We need to deal with our economic situation in a smart way, as attested by the majority of economists from all across the political divide. We need a gradual approach, balanced between spending cuts and revenue increases fairly distributed. Those need to be appropriately targeted in amount, share and time, not applied in bludgeon fashion like this bill on the floor today.

Choking off the middle class by cutting spending for education, health,
jobs, job training, research, senior care, and our obligations to veterans is shortsighted. Studies and reports from international and national economists tell us that a vibrant middle class is essential for the well-being of our economy; imperative for businesses so they have customers for their goods and services; important to employers so they have the next generation of innovators, inventors, scientists, teachers, engineers, and a generally capable workforce; and important to families and individuals as they seek personal and economic security.

## $\square 1100$

We shouldn't need to argue the moral imperative of meeting our obligations to those suffering from debilitating health conditions and the families that support them; to the care of our seniors, especially those aged, alone and poor; nor to our duty to our military forces, especially the wounded and disabled.
Left as is, this bill is a step to undoing all the progress, however slow, so far made in moving from the near depression caused by the failed policies of 2001-2008. Simply cutting spending on the middle class, at the same time businesses and families have been forced to limit spending, and just as municipalities and the States are trimming back, just adds to the downward spiral of fewer customers for our businesses, less growth for our economy, more layoffs, and on and on in a repeating circle.
Make no mistake, this bill, if not amended, makes the dream of posthigh school certificates or degrees or acquired job skills more remote for many; makes the visit of a neighbor and delivery of perhaps the day's only warm meal for seniors less likely; means research on debilitating health conditions or diseases may be delayed, and the cure of cancers a more distant goal; and consigns our veterans to longer periods of homelessness and more difficulty getting the services they need to get a job.
This amendment would allow the effects of inflation to be factored into the budgetary baseline so as to avoid automatic cuts in purchasing power that would otherwise result from this bill. Passing this amendment allows us to at least start on a path to the kind of America most of us envision, or at least it lessens the obstacles to that America that are thrown up by this legislation in its current form.
Let's pass this amendment and start down a path that recalls what makes this country exceptional, the notion that everyone, no matter what economic or social condition one is born into, should have an equal opportunity to reach our goals; to an America reflecting that its people should shoulder and will shoulder any burden, suffer any sacrifice, if shared fairly
Let's pass this amendment and add back at least a modest degree of balance and fairness.

Mr. Speaker, I would hope that for those who count on us to be fair and just, or to make smart, targeted, and balanced approaches to our complex challenges, we could at least do that.

I urge support of this amendment, and yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WOODALL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WOODALL. Mr. Speaker, this is another one of those commonsense reforms that I'm so proud that this Budget Committee has brought to the floor, time and time again, and will continue throughout the spring.

When I get back home, Mr. Speaker, folks say, Rob, why haven't you gotten this done already? And my friend from Massachusetts has just laid out exactly the reason why. These are politics of division, not of unity. These are politics of fear, not of hope.

And I tell my friend, as he knows very well, this bill does not cut one penny from any of the priorities that he mentioned. My friend knows it to be true. Mr. Speaker, you know it to be true, and I say it to the American people today, what this bill does is to shine sunshine on what has been a budget process cloaked in darkness for far too long. And both parties have been complicit in that, Mr. Speaker, and both parties are going to unite today to change that history.

Mr. Speaker, do folks back home want to see over 50 different duplicative job training programs plussed up year after year after year, without any regard to their efficacy? No, they don't.

Do folks back home want to see education programs that have failed our children time and time again plussed up, while those education programs that are successful go needy? No, they don't.

Mr. Speaker, do folks want to see those income security programs that are providing insecurity to folks back home plussed up at the expense of those programs that can be a hand up out of poverty? I tell you they do not.

This bill does one thing and one thing only: This bill provides honesty in our budget process. And if this motion to recommit passes, we will return to the days where confusion, rather than clarity, is the touchstone of this budget process.

Chairman Ryan has given us an opportunity, with this legislation, to bring the American people into this debate, to make the budgeting here in this body look like the budgeting around the dinner table back home.

Are expenses going up in this country? They are, Mr. Speaker. Are times tough in this country? Yes, they are. When we spend $\$ 10$ today and $\$ 12$ tomorrow, the American people know that we're spending more and not less.

We can continue to put lipstick on this budget pig, as this motion to recommit would have us do, Mr. Speaker,
but I encourage my colleagues to vote "no" on this motion to recommit and unite to throw open the doors of this institution and bring in budget sunshine once again.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.
There was no objection.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.
The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 15 -minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5 -minute votes on passage of H.R. 3578, if ordered, and adoption of the conference report to accompany H.R. 658.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 177, nays 238 , not voting 17, as follows:
[Roll No. 31]

## YEAS—177

| Ackerman | Fudge | Napolitano |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altmire | Garamendi | Neal |
| Andrews | Gonzalez | Olver |
| Baca | Green, Al | Owens |
| Baldwin | Green, Gene | Pallone |
| Bass (CA) | Grijalva | Pascrell |
| Becerra | Gutierrez | Pastor (AZ) |
| Berkley | Hanabusa | Payne |
| Berman | Hastings (FL) | Pelosi |
| Bishop (GA) | Higgins | Perlmutter |
| Bishop (NY) | Himes | Peters |
| Blumenauer | Hinojosa | Pingree (ME) |
| Boren | Hirono | Price (NC) |
| Boswell | Hochul | Quigley |
| Brady (PA) | Holden | Rahall |
| Braley (IA) | Holt | Rangel |
| Brown (FL) | Honda | Reyes |
| Butterfield | Hoyer | Richardson |
| Capps | Inslee | Richmond |
| Capuano | Israel | Ross (AR) |
| Carnahan | Jackson (IL) | Rothman (NJ) |
| Carney | Jackson Lee | Roybal-Allard |
| Castor (FL) | (TX) | Rush |
| Chandler | Johnson (GA) | Ryan (OH) |
| Chu | Johnson, E. B. | Sánchez, Linda |
| Cicilline | Kaptur | T. |
| Clarke (MI) | Keating | Sanchez, Loretta |
| Clarke (NY) | Kildee | Sarbanes |
| Clay | Kind | Schakowsky |
| Cleaver | Kissell | Schiff |
| Clyburn | Kucinich | Schrader |
| Cohen | Langevin | Schwartz |
| Connolly (VA) | Larsen (WA) | Scott (VA) |
| Conyers | Larson (CT) | Scott, David |
| Cooper | Lee (CA) | Serrano |
| Costa | Levin | Sewell |
| Costello | Lewis (GA) | Sherman |
| Courtney | Lipinski | Slaughter |
| Critz | Loebsack | Smith (WA) |
| Crowley | Lofgren, Zoe | Stark |
| Cuellar | Lowey | Sutton |
| Cummings | Luján | Thompson (CA) |
| Davis (CA) | Lynch | Thompson (MS) |
| Davis (IL) | Maloney | Tierney |
| DeFazio | Markey | Tonko |
| DeGette | Matsui | Towns |
| DeLauro | McCarthy (NY) | Tsongas |
| Deutch | McCollum | Van Hollen |
| Dicks | McDermott | Velázquez |
| Dingell | McGovern | Visclosky |
| Doggett | McIntyre | Walz (MN) |
| Donnelly (IN) | McNerney | Wasserman |
| Doyle | Meeks | Schultz |
| Edwards | Michaud | Waters |
| Ellison | Miller (NC) | Watt |
| Engel | Miller, George | Waxman |
| Eshoo | Moore | Welch |
| Farr | Moran | Wilson (FL) |
| Fattah | Murphy (CT) | Woolsey |
| Frank (MA) | Nadler | Yarmuth |



Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 32 I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea." Stated against:
Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 32, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 658, FAA REAUTHORIZATION AND REFORM ACT OF 2012

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on adoption of the conference report on the bill (H.R. 658) to amend title 49, United States Code, to authorize appropriations for the Federal Aviation Administration for fiscal years 2011 through 2014, to streamline programs, create efficiencies, reduce waste, and improve aviation safety and capacity, to provide stable funding for the national aviation system, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.
This is a 5-minute vote.
The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 248, nays 169, not voting 15, as follows:

| [Roll No. 33] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| YEAS—248 |  |
| Costello | Hayworth |
| Cravaack | Heck |
| Crawford | Hensarling |
| Crenshaw | Herger |
| Cuellar | Herrera Beutler |
| Culberson | Hirono |
| Davis (CA) | Huelskamp |
| Davis (KY) | Huizenga (MI) |
| Denham | Hultgren |
| Dent | Hunter |
| DesJarlais | Hurt |
| Diaz-Balart | Jenkins |
| Dold | Johnson (IL) |
| Dreier | Johnson (OH) |
| Duffy | Johnson, E. B. |
| Ellmers | Johnson, Sam |
| Emerson | Jones |
| Farenthold | Jordan |
| Fincher | Kelly |
| Fitzpatrick | King (IA) |
| Flake | King (NY) |
| Fleischmann | Kingston |
| Fleming | Kinzinger (IL) |
| Flores | Kline |
| Forbes | Lance |
| Foxx | Landry |
| Frelinghuysen | Lankford |
| Gallegly | Latham |
| Gardner | LaTourette |
| Garrett | Latta |
| Gerlach | Lewis (CA) |
| Gibbs | Lipinski |
| Gibson | LoBiondo |
| Gingrey (GA) | Long |
| Goodlatte | Lucas |
| Gosar | Luetkemeyer |
| Granger | Lummis |
| Graves (GA) | Lungren, Daniel |
| Graves (MO) | E. |
| Griffin (AR) | Manzullo |
| Griffith (VA) | Marchant |
| Grimm | Marino |
| Guinta | Matheson |
| Guthrie | McCarthy (CA) |
| Hall | McCaul |
| Hanabusa | McClintock |
| Hanna | McCotter |
| Harper | McHenry |
| Harris | McIntyre |
| Hartzler | McKeon |
| Hastings (WA) | McKinley |

McMorris Rodgers Meeha
Mica Mica
Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller, Gary Murphy (PA) Myrick Neugebauer Noem
Nugent
Nunes
Nunnelee
Olson
Palazzo
Paulsen
Pearce
Pence
Perlmutter
Petri
Pitts
Platts
Platts
Poe (TX)
Polis
Pompeo
Posey
Price (GA)
Quayle
Quigley
Rahall
Reed

Ackerman
Altmire
Amash
Baca Baldwin Bass (CA) Becerra Berman
Bishop (NY)
Blumenauer
Brady (PA)
Braley (IA)
Braley (IA)
Broun (GA)
Brown (FL)
Butterfield
Capps
Capuano
Castor (FL)
Chandler
Chu
Cicilline
Clarke (MI)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Cohen
Connolly (VA)
Conyers
Courtney
Critz
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (IL)
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
Deutch
Deutch
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Donnelly (IN)
Doyle
Duncan (SC)
Edwards
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Farr
Fattah
Frank (MA)
Franks (AZ)
Fudge
Garamendi
Gohmert
Gonzalez

Cardoza
Carson (IN)
Filner
Fortenberry
Hahn

| Rehberg | Simpson |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reichert | Smith (NE) |
| Renacci | Smith (NJ) |
| Ribble | Smith (TX) |
| Rigell | Southerland |
| Rivera | Stearns |
| Roby | Stivers |
| Roe (TN) | Sullivan |
| Rogers (AL) | Terry |
| Rogers (KY) | Thompson (PA) |
| Rogers (MI) | Thornberry |
| Rohrabacher | Tiberi |
| Rokita | Tipton |
| Rooney | Turner (NY) |
| Ros-Lehtinen | Upton |
| Ross (AR) | Walberg |
| Ross (FL) | Walden |
| Royce | Walsh (IL) |
| Runyan | Webster |
| Ryan (WI) | West |
| Scalise | Westmoreland |
| Schilling | Whitfield |
| Schmidt | Wilson (SC) |
| Schock | Wittman |
| Schrader | Wolf |
| Schweikert | Womack |
| Scott, Austin | Woodall |
| Scott, David | Yoder |
| Sessions | Young (AK) |
| Shimkus | Young (FL) |
| Shuster | Young (IN) |
| NAYS-169 |  |
| Gowdy | Owens |
| Green, Al | Pallone |
| Green, Gene | Pascrell |
| Grijalva | Pastor (AZ) |
| Gutierrez | Payne |
| Hastings (FL) | Pelosi |
| Higgins | Peters |
| Himes | Peterson |
| Hinojosa | Pingree (ME) |
| Hochul | Price (NC) |
| Holden | Rangel |
| Holt | Reyes |
| Hoyer | Richardson |
| Inslee | Richmond |
| Jackson (IL) | Rothman (NJ) |
| Jackson Lee | Roybal-Allard |
| ${ }_{\text {(TX) }}$ | Ruppersberger |
| Johnson (GA) | Rush |
| Kaptur | Ryan (OH) |
| Keating | Sanchez, Linda |
| Kildee | T. |
| Kind | Sanchez, Loretta |
| Kissell | Sarbanes |
| Kucinich | Schakowsky |
| Labrador | Schiff |
| Lamborn | Schwartz |
| Langevin | Scott (SC) |
| Larsen (WA) | Scott (VA) |
| Larson (CT) | Sensenbrenner |
| Lee (CA) | Serrano |
| Levin | Sewell |
| Lewis (GA) | Sherman |
| Loebsack | Slaughter |
| Lofgren, Zoe | Smith (WA) |
| Lowey | Stark |
| Luján | Stutzman |
| Lynch | Sutton |
| Maloney | Thompson (CA) |
| Markey | Thompson (MS) |
| McCarthy (NY) | Tierney |
| McCollum | Tonko |
| McDermott | Towns |
| McGovern | Tsongas |
| McNerney | Van Hollen |
| Meeks | Velázquez |
| Michaud | Visclosky |
| Miller (NC) | Walz (MN) |
| Miller, George | Wasserman |
| Moore | Schultz |
| Moran | Waters |
| Mulvaney | Watt |
| Murphy (CT) | Waxman |
| Nadler | Welch |
| Napolitano | Wilson (FL) |
| Neal | Woolsey |
| Olver | Yarmuth |
| NOT VOTING-15 |  |
| Heinrich | Paul |
| Hinchey | Shuler |
| Honda | Sires |
| Issa | Speier |
| Mack | Turner ( OH ) |

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.
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Mr. STEARNS changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."
So the conference report was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.
Stated against:
Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 33, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION
Mr. HONDA. Mr. Speaker, I was inadvertently not recorded on rollcall 33 , on the Conference Report on H.R. 658, the FAA Reauthorization Act. I intended to vote "no" on the conference report because of the provisions it contains that would be devastating to workers' rights and labor relations. These provisions take away the right for a secret ballot and codify minority-rule elections, as well as allowing for wholesale decertification of a whole host of unions.
I do not believe that a conference report on an aviation safety bill is the place to rewrite longstanding labor laws and impose unrelated and controversial labor provisions that will ultimately serve to harm both airline and railroad workers, and so I intended to vote "no" on rollcall 33.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1734, CIVILIAN PROPERTY REALIGNMENT ACT
Mr. WEBSTER, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 112-385) on the resolution (H. Res. 537) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1734) to decrease the deficit by realigning, consolidating, selling, disposing, and improving the efficiency of Federal buildings and other civilian real property, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)
Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of inquiring about the schedule for the week to come, I am pleased to yield to my friend from Virginia (Mr. CANTOR), the majority leader.
Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.
Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and noon for legislative business. On

