

Capito Huizenga (MI) Reed Kissell Neal Scott (VA)  
 Carter Hultgren Rehberg Kucinich Oliver Scott, David  
 Cassidy Hunter Reichert Labrador Owens Serrano  
 Chabot Hurt Renacci Langevin Passerello Sewell  
 Chaffetz Issa Ribble Larsen (WA) Pascrell Sherman  
 Coble Jenkins Rigell Larson (CT) Pastor (AZ) Shuler  
 Coffman (CO) Johnson (OH) LaTourette Pelosi Sires  
 Cole Johnson, Sam Roby Lee (CA) Perlmutter Smith (WA)  
 Conaway Jordan Roe (TN) Levin Peters Speier  
 Cravaack Kelly Rogers (AL) Lewis (GA) Peterson Stark  
 Crawford King (IA) Rogers (KY) Lipinski Pingree (ME) Sutton  
 Crenshaw King (NY) Rogers (MI) LoBiondo Platts Thompson (CA)  
 Culberson Kingston Rohrabacher Loeb sack Polis Thompson (MS)  
 Davis (KY) Kinzinger (IL) Rokita Lofgren, Zoe Price (NC) Tierney  
 Denham Kline Lowey Quigley Tonko  
 Dent Lamborn Lujan Rahall Towns  
 DesJarlais Lance Ros-Lehtinen Rangel Reyes  
 Diaz-Balart Landry Roskam Maloney Markley Richardson  
 Dold Lankford Ross (FL) Royce Matheson Richmond  
 Dreier Latham Runyan Matsui Ross (AR)  
 Duffy Latta Ryan (WI) McCarthy (NY) Rothman (NJ)  
 Duncan (SC) Lewis (CA) Scalise McCollum Roybal-Allard  
 Ellmers Long Schilling McDermott Ruppberger  
 Emerson Lucas Schmitt Schmidt Rush  
 Farenthold Luetkemeyer Schick McNeerney Ryan (OH)  
 Fincher Lummis Schock Meekes Sánchez, Linda  
 Flake Lungren, Daniel Schweikert T. Sanchez, Loretta  
 Fleischmann E. Scott (SC) Miller (NC) Sarbanes  
 Fleming Manzullo Scott, Austin Miller, George Schakowsky  
 Flores Marchant Sessions Moore Moran  
 Forbes Marino Shimkus Shuster Murphy (CT)  
 Fortenberry McCarthy (CA) Simpson Smith (NE)  
 Foxx McCaul Smith (NJ) Smith (TX)  
 Franks (AZ) McClintock Southernland Stearns  
 Frelinghuysen McCotter McHenry Smith (TX)  
 Gallegly McKeon Souterland Stivers  
 Gardner McKenney Sulliva Terry  
 Garrett McKinley Stivers Terry  
 Gerlach McMorris Stivers Terry  
 Gibbs Rodgers Sullivan Thompson (PA)  
 Gingrey (GA) Meehan Tiberi Thornberry  
 Goodlatte Mica Miller (MI) Tipton  
 Gosar Miller (FL) Turner (NY)  
 Gowdy Miller (MI) Turner (OH)  
 Granger Miller, Gary Upton  
 Graves (GA) Mulvaney Walberg  
 Graves (MO) Murphy (PA) Walden  
 Griffin (AR) Myrick Walsh (IL)  
 Griffith (VA) Neugebauer Webster  
 Grimm Nugent West  
 Guinta Nunes Westmoreland  
 Guthrie Nunnelee Wilson (SC)  
 Hall Olson Wittman  
 Hanna Palazzo Womack  
 Harper Pearce Woodall  
 Harris Pence Yoder  
 Hartzler Petri Young (AK)  
 Hastings (WA) Pitts Young (FL)  
 Hayworth Poe (TX) Young (IN)  
 Heck Pompeo  
 Hensarling Posey  
 Hergert Price (GA)  
 Huelskamp Quayle

NOES—199

Ackerman Clay Garamendi  
 Altire Cleaver Gibson  
 Amash Clyburn Gohmert  
 Andrews Cohen Gonzalez  
 Baca Connolly (VA) Green, Al  
 Baldwin Conyers Green, Gene  
 Barrow Cooper Grijalva  
 Bartlett Costa  
 Bass (CA) Costello Hahn  
 Bass (NH) Courtney Hanabusa  
 Becerra Critz Hastings (FL)  
 Berkley Crowley Herrera Beutler  
 Bishop (GA) Cuellar Higgins  
 Bishop (NY) Cummings Himes  
 Blumenauer Davis (CA) Hinchey  
 Bonamici Davis (IL) Hinojosa  
 Boren DeFazio Hirono  
 Boswell DeGette Hochul  
 Brady (PA) DeLauro Holden  
 Braley (IA) Deutch Holt  
 Brown (FL) Dicks Honda  
 Butterfield Dingell Hoyer  
 Capps Doggett Israel  
 Capuano Doyle Jackson (IL)  
 Cardoza Duncan (TN) Jackson Lee  
 Carnahan Edwards (TX)  
 Carney Ellison Johnson (GA)  
 Carson (IN) Engel Johnson (IL)  
 Castor (FL) Eshoo Johnson, E. B.  
 Chandler Farr Jones  
 Chu Fattah Kaptur  
 Cicilline Fitzpatrick Keating  
 Clarke (MI) Frank (MA) Kildee  
 Clarke (NY) Fudge Kind

Scott (VA) The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?  
 Scott, David Mr. NADLER. I am opposed.  
 Serrano The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.  
 Sewell The Clerk read as follows:  
 Sherman Mr. Nadler moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5326 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:  
 Shuler Page 17, line 6, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$1,500,000)”.  
 Sires Page 21, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$3,000,000)”.  
 Smith (WA) Page 37, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$20,500,000)”.  
 Speier Page 38, line 18, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$2,000,000)”.  
 Stark Page 39, line 11, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$10,000,000)”.  
 Sutton Page 39, line 17, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$4,500,000)”.  
 Thompson (CA) Page 39, line 20, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$500,000)”.  
 Thompson (MS) Page 40, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$2,500,000)”.  
 Tierney Page 40, line 8, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$1,000,000)”.  
 Tonko Page 65, line 1, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$9,000,000)”.  
 Towns Page 70, line 6, after the first dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$7,000,000)”.

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—1

Sensenbrenner

NOT VOTING—13

Berman Mack Paulsen  
 Burgess McIntyre Slaughter  
 Donnelly (IN) Napolitano Stutzman  
 Filner Noem  
 Heinrich Paul

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1415

Mr. RUSH changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 247, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 247 in order to attend my grandson’s graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on final passage of H.R. 5652, To provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 201 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2013.

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2013

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WEST). Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5326) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. NADLER. I am opposed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Nadler moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5326 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 17, line 6, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$1,500,000)”.

Page 21, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$3,000,000)”.

Page 37, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$20,500,000)”.

Page 38, line 18, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$2,000,000)”.

Page 39, line 11, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$10,000,000)”.

Page 39, line 17, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$4,500,000)”.

Page 39, line 20, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$500,000)”.

Page 40, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$2,500,000)”.

Page 40, line 8, after the dollar amount, insert “(increased by \$1,000,000)”.

Page 65, line 1, after the dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$9,000,000)”.

Page 70, line 6, after the first dollar amount, insert “(reduced by \$7,000,000)”.

Mr. NADLER (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York is recognized for 5 minutes.

Parliamentary Inquiry

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, if the final amendment I am offering were to be adopted, is it not the case that the bill will be amended and that the House will then proceed to final passage right away?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As the Chair stated earlier today, if a motion to recommit with forthwith instructions is adopted, the amendment is reported by the chair of the committee and is immediately before the House.

Mr. NADLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, whether it is an attempt to deny women reproductive or other health services, or a refusal to support efforts to achieve equal pay for equal work, many women in America today feel under siege. Indeed, many women across the country feel a war is being waged upon them by policymakers.

Today, with this final amendment to the CJS Appropriations bill, Members of the House will have a chance to say where they stand.

□ 1420

This is the final amendment to the bill. It will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill,

as amended, will proceed to final passage.

This amendment would increase, by \$20 million, money spent on grants under the Violence Against Women Act, or VAWA.

Passed in 1994, VAWA is a landmark piece of legislation that has helped an incalculable number of women and families avoid or recover from crimes of violence. Grant programs under VAWA target domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, sexual assault, and rape. These are crimes many women know all too well.

Indeed, violence against women remains a pervasive problem and a national tragedy. In 2008, about 1.8 million women over the age of 12 were subjected to a crime of violence. In that same year, almost 3,000 women were murdered, many by someone close to them. Every year, 1.3 million women over the age of 18 are assaulted by intimate partners. We need to do more to stop this epidemic; we need to do more for America's women.

I want to acknowledge what I expect the opponents of this motion may say—that funding for the VAWA grant programs in the underlying bill is a few million dollars above last year's level and above the President's request. I would point out, as a response, that the money in this bill is hundreds of millions of dollars below the last authorized amount and \$15 million below the appropriation for fiscal year 2010. Additionally, this is not the last stop for this bill. When we conference with the Senate, which has a higher total funding level for CJS, we should have the highest possible funding level for VAWA from which to negotiate.

The amendment offsets the \$20 million increase for VAWA by cuts to administrative accounts. We have heard from our colleagues of the need to cut government spending, to cut administrative expenses, to prevent the types of excesses we have seen recently in the GSA and other agencies with Las Vegas conference extravaganzas. Well, now is our chance to put our money where our mouth is and shift funding from these types of administrative expenses to preventing violence against women.

This is a question of values and priorities. What kind of message do we want to send to women across the country? Do we want GSA-style wild party, extravagant conferences or do we want to safeguard the lives of women? Do we value women's safety? Are we willing to make sure that we have the resources needed?

I ask all Members today: Stand up for your mothers; stand up for your wives; stand up for your daughters and for women everywhere. Stop the violence. Pass this motion to recommit.

I now yield to the sponsor of a truly meaningful bill to reauthorize VAWA based on bipartisan legislation which has already passed the Senate and a former victim of domestic violence herself, the gentlelady from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE).

Ms. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to please see this request through the eyes of a child who was assaulted by a family member and comes forward at school to confide in a beloved teacher; the woman in an isolated rural area, where local law enforcement is under-resourced and transportation or legal services may be lacking or nonexistent; the women on college campuses, where rates of intimate partner violence are among the highest. Please, Mr. Speaker, see this through the eyes of victims with disabilities who are at high risk of abuse and are often dependent on their abusers for care.

In short, this VAWA funding means that a woman—and even men—who have been victimized can step out of the shadows away from their abusers and get access to counseling, legal services, or other services. And, Mr. Speaker, every single day, for three women, this VAWA funding is a difference between life and death.

It is so true that the CJS legislation before us has provided the requested level of support for victims and their families; but it's been chronically underfunded since the day they opened their doors, and there are thousands of men, women, and children who are not being served.

Please, this is an opportunity to provide a small increase to fill the gap between available resources and the desperate need to serve folks. We must commit adequate resources toward these effective, lifesaving programs. And, Mr. Speaker, we must do it now.

I ask my colleagues to vote for this motion to recommit.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, to summarize, we can devote \$20 million to Las Vegas-style conferences and administrative expenses or to services to prevent violence against women. That's the choice. Vote "yes" on the motion to recommit.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Virginia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WOLF. You know, Mr. NADLER, you could have offered an amendment last night. We had a whole total open process. And Mr. RUNYAN—where is Mr. RUNYAN?—Mr. RUNYAN added money to this project, to Violence Against Women.

Secondly, this is a bipartisan bill. There's been no political shenanigans at all. And let me tell you something—I wasn't going to mention it; we didn't make it a big deal—this bill has \$420 million. We're \$7.5 million above the current fiscal year for this issue. Also, we're \$7.5 million above President Obama's request for Violence Against Women. We're above it. And then with Mr. RUNYAN, we are even higher above it.

Also, this administration has not been good on sexual trafficking. We have language in this bill to direct the

Attorney General to have a task force at every U.S. attorney's office for sexual trafficking. We are above the administration on sexual trafficking. So, I mean, it is kind of political. We are above the administration, and we have a task force on this issue. And so I could go on and on and on.

But last night, we had a whole, totally open, and we accepted a number of amendments. You could have come down here up to midnight last night.

There is no disagreement about the importance of these programs and need to stop it. It is very important. This is a good bill, a solid bill, bipartisan support. Members on both sides of the aisle had ample opportunity to have their amendments considered. In fact, on floor consideration, 63 amendments were offered and 36 were adopted.

We were above the President's request on Violence Against Women. We were above the President's request on the issue of sexual trafficking. Also, and I know some of you were concerned about it, the NICS background check, we were above the administration on that, and also have language directing the Attorney General to deal with that NICS issue. The Brady Commission, the Brady people support it, and the NRA supports it. So on all of those issues, we were above the administration.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida.

Mrs. ADAMS. I thank you, and I would again ask my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to quit making politics with an issue so near and dear to all of us.

We are above what the President put in. Just like the chairman said, we have constantly been above what the President has asked for. And you, as well as I, know that this has become a political issue when it should never have been a political issue. We can no longer allow politics to take control over good policy. We can no longer allow misrepresentation about amounts and this and that over good policy.

We have a bill coming to the floor. We know that we need to address this issue, and it has been addressed even more than the administration has asked for. So with that, I would ask that you do not—do not—approve this motion to recommit.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I think we have actually said enough. I think it is a good bill. I want to thank again Mr. FATTAH and all the Members on both sides of the aisle. It was a good, bipartisan bill. I urge rejection of the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on passage of the bill, and approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 181, nays 233, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 248]

YEAS—181

Ackerman Garamendi Pallone  
 Altire Gonzalez Pascrell  
 Andrews Green, Al Pastor (AZ)  
 Baca Green, Gene Pelosi  
 Baldwin Grijalva Perlmutter  
 Barrow Gutierrez Peters  
 Bass (CA) Hahn Peterson  
 Becerra Hanabusa Pingree (ME)  
 Berkley Hastings (FL) Polis  
 Bishop (GA) Higgins Price (NC)  
 Bishop (NY) Himes Quigley  
 Blumenauer Hinchey Rahall  
 Bonamici Hinojosa Rangel  
 Boren Hirono Reyes  
 Boswell Hochul Richardson  
 Brady (PA) Holden Richmond  
 Braley (IA) Holt Ross (AR)  
 Brown (FL) Honda Rothman (NJ)  
 Butterfield Hoyer Roybal-Allard  
 Capps Israel Ruppersberger  
 Capuano Jackson (IL) Rush  
 Carnahan Jackson Lee  
 Carney (TX) Sanchez, Linda  
 Carson (IN) Johnson (GA) T.  
 Castor (FL) Johnson, E. B. Sanchez, Loretta  
 Chandler Jones Sarbanes  
 Chu Kaptur Keating  
 Cicilline Keating Kildee  
 Clarke (MI) Kind Schrader  
 Clarke (NY) Kind Schiffr  
 Clay Kissell Schwartz  
 Cleaver Kucinich Scott (VA)  
 Clyburn Langevin Scott, David  
 Cohen Larsen (WA) Serrano  
 Connolly (VA) Larson (CT) Sewell  
 Conyers Lee (CA) Sherman  
 Cooper Levin Shuler  
 Costa Lewis (GA) Sires  
 Costello Lipinski Smith (WA)  
 Courtney Loeb sack Speier  
 Critz Lofgren, Zoe Stark  
 Crowley Lowey Sutton  
 Cuellar Lynch Thompson (CA)  
 Cummings Maloney Thompson (MS)  
 Davis (CA) Matheson Tierney  
 Davis (IL) Matsui Tonko  
 DeFazio McCarthy (NY) Towns  
 DeGette McCollum Tsongas  
 DeLauro McDermott Van Hollen  
 Deutch McGovern Velazquez  
 Dicks McNerney Vislosky  
 Dingell Meeks Walz (MN)  
 Doggett Michaud Wasserman  
 Doyle Miller (NC) Schultz  
 Edwards Miller, George Waters  
 Ellison Moore Watt  
 Engel Moran Waxman  
 Eshoo Murphy (CT) Welch  
 Farr Nadler Wilson (FL)  
 Fattah Neal Wolsey  
 Frank (MA) Olver Yarmuth  
 Fudge Owens

NAYS—233

Adams Bilirakis Campbell  
 Akin Bishop (UT) Canseco  
 Alexander Black Cantor  
 Amash Blackburn Capito  
 Amodel Bonner Carter  
 Austria Bono Mack Cassidy  
 Bachmann Boustany Chabot  
 Bachus Brady (TX) Chaffetz  
 Barletta Brooks Coble  
 Bartlett Broun (GA) Coffman (CO)  
 Barton (TX) Buchanan Cole  
 Bass (NH) Conaway  
 Benishek Buerkle Cravaack  
 Berg Burton (IN) Crawford  
 Biggert Calvert Crenshaw  
 Bilbray Camp Culberson

Davis (KY) Johnson, Sam Reichert  
 Denham Jordan Renacci  
 Dent Kelly Ribble  
 DesJarlais King (IA) Rigell  
 Diaz-Balart King (NY) Rivera  
 Dold Kingston Roby  
 Dreier Kinzinger (IL) Roe (TN)  
 Duffy Kline Rogers (AL)  
 Duncan (SC) Labrador Rogers (KY)  
 Duncan (TN) Lamborn Rogers (MI)  
 Ellmers Lance Rohrabacher  
 Emerson Landry Rokita  
 Farenthold Lankford Rooney  
 Fincher Latham Ros-Lehtinen  
 Fitzpatrick LaTourette Roskam  
 Flake Latta Ross (FL)  
 Fleischmann Lewis (CA) Runyan  
 Fleming LoBiondo Ryan (WI)  
 Flores Long Scalise  
 Forbes Lucas Schilling  
 Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Schmidt  
 Foyx Lujan Schmitt  
 Franks (AZ) Lummis Schock  
 Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Schweikert  
 Gallegly E. Scott (SC)  
 Gardner Manullo Scott, Austin  
 Garrett Marchant Sensenbrenner  
 Gerlach Marino Sessions  
 Gibbs McCarthy (CA) Shimkus  
 Gibson McCaul Shuster  
 Gohmert McGintock Simpson  
 Gohmert McCotter Smith (NE)  
 Goodlatte McHenry Smith (NJ)  
 Gosar McKeon Smith (TX)  
 Gowdy McKinley Southerland  
 Granger McMorris Stearns  
 Graves (GA) Rodgers Stivers  
 Graves (MO) Meehan Sullivan  
 Griffin (AR) Mica Terry  
 Griffith (VA) Miller (FL) Thompson (PA)  
 Grimm Miller (MI) Thornberry  
 Guinta Miller, Gary Tiberi  
 Guthrie Mulvaney Tipton  
 Hall Murphy (PA) Turner (NY)  
 Hanna Myrick Turner (OH)  
 Harper Neugebauer Upton  
 Harris Nugent Walberg  
 Hartzler Nunes Walden  
 Hastings (WA) Nunnelee Walsh (IL)  
 Hayworth Olson Webster  
 Heck Palazzo West  
 Hensarling Pearce Westmoreland  
 Herger Pence Whitfield  
 Herrera Beutler Petri Wilson (SC)  
 Huelskamp Pitts Wittman  
 Huizenga (MI) Platts Wolf  
 Hultgren Poe (TX) Womack  
 Hunter Pompeo Woodall  
 Hurt Posey Yoder  
 Issa Price (GA) Young (AK)  
 Jenkins Quayle Young (FL)  
 Johnson (IL) Johnson (OH) Rehberg Young (IN)  
 Johnson (OH)

NOT VOTING—17

Aderholt Heinrich Paul  
 Berman Mack Paulsen  
 Burgess Markey Royce  
 Cardoza McIntyre Slaughter  
 Donnelly (IN) Napolitano Stutzman  
 Filner Noem

□ 1445

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.” So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 248, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, during rollcall vote No. 248 on H.R. 5326, I mistakenly recorded my vote as “nay” when I should have voted “yea.”

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 248, I was unavoidably detained, but had I voted I would have voted “yea.”

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10th, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 248 in order to attend my

grandson’s graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on the Motion to Recommit with Instructions H.R. 5326, Making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 247, nays 163, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 249]

YEAS—247

Ackerman Frelinghuysen McMorris  
 Adams Gardner Rodgers  
 Aderholt Garrett Meehan  
 Akin Gerlach Mica  
 Alexander Gibbs Miller (FL)  
 Amodel Gibson Miller (MI)  
 Austria Gingrey (GA) Miller, Gary  
 Bachmann Gohmert Mulvaney  
 Bachus Goodlatte Murphy (PA)  
 Barletta Gosar Myrick  
 Barrow Gowdy Neugebauer  
 Bartlett Granger Nugent  
 Bass (NH) Graves (GA) Nunes  
 Benishek Graves (MO) Nunnelee  
 Berg Griffin (AR) Olson  
 Berkley Griffith (VA) Owens  
 Biggert Grimm Palazzo  
 Bilbray Guinta Pearce  
 Bilirakis Guthrie Pence  
 Bishop (GA) Hall Perlmutter  
 Bishop (NY) Hanna Peterson  
 Bishop (UT) Harper Petri  
 Black Harris Pitts  
 Blackburn Hartzler Platts  
 Bonner Hastings (WA) Poe (TX)  
 Bono Mack Bono Mack Pompeio  
 Boren Hayworth Pompeio  
 Boswell Heck Posey  
 Boustany Hensarling Price (GA)  
 Brady (TX) Herger Quayle  
 Brooks Reed  
 Brown (FL) Higgins Herrera Beutler  
 Buchanan Hochul Reichert  
 Buchson Huelskamp Renacci  
 Buerkle Huizenga (MI) Ribble  
 Calvert Hultgren Rigell  
 Camp Hunter Rivera  
 Canseco Hunter Roby  
 Cantor Issa Roe (TN)  
 Capito Jenkins Rogers (AL)  
 Carney Johnson (IL) Rogers (KY)  
 Carter Johnson (OH) Rogers (MI)  
 Cassidy Johnson, Sam Rohrabacher  
 Chabot Jones Rokita  
 Chaffetz Jordan Rooney  
 Coble Kelly Ros-Lehtinen  
 Coffman (CO) King (IA) Ross (FL)  
 Cole King (NY) Royce  
 Conaway Kingston Runyan  
 Costa Kinzinger (IL) Ruppersberger  
 Cravaack Kissell Ryan (WI)  
 Crawford Kline Scalise  
 Crenshaw Labrador Schilling  
 Cuellar Lamborn Schmidt  
 Culberson Lance Schock  
 Davis (KY) Landry Schweikert  
 Denham Lankford Scott (SC)  
 Dent Latham Scott, Austin  
 DesJarlais LaTourette Sensenbrenner  
 Diaz-Balart Latta Sessions  
 Dicks Lewis (CA) Shimkus  
 Dold Lipinski Shuler  
 Dreier LoBiondo Shuster  
 Duffy Long Simpson  
 Duncan (SC) Lucas Smith (NE)  
 Ellmers Luetkemeyer Smith (NJ)  
 Emerson Lungren, Daniel Smith (TX)  
 Farenthold E. Southerland  
 Fattah Manullo Stearns  
 Fincher Marchant Stivers  
 Fitzpatrick Marino Sullivan  
 Fleischmann McCarthy (CA) Terry  
 Fleming McCaul Thompson (PA)  
 Flores McCotter Thornberry  
 Forbes McHenry Tiberi  
 Fortenberry McKeon Tipton  
 Foyx McKinley Turner (NY)

Turner (OH)	West	Woodall
Upton	Westmoreland	Yoder
Viscosky	Whitfield	Young (AK)
Walberg	Wilson (SC)	Young (FL)
Walden	Wittman	Young (IN)
Walsh (IL)	Wolf	
Webster	Womack	

## NAYS—163

Altmire	Gutierrez	Pascrell
Amash	Hahn	Pastor (AZ)
Andrews	Hanabusa	Pelosi
Baldwin	Hastings (FL)	Peters
Bass (CA)	Himes	Pingree (ME)
Becerra	Hinchey	Polis
Blumenauer	Hinojosa	Price (NC)
Bonamici	Hirono	Quigley
Brady (PA)	Holden	Rahall
Braley (IA)	Holt	Rangel
Broun (GA)	Honda	Reyes
Butterfield	Hoyer	Richardson
Campbell	Israel	Richmond
Capps	Jackson (IL)	Ross (AR)
Capuano	Jackson Lee	Rothman (NJ)
Carnahan	(TX)	Roybal-Allard
Castor (FL)	Johnson (GA)	Rush
Chandler	Johnson, E. B.	Ryan (OH)
Chu	Kaptur	Sánchez, Linda
Cicilline	Keating	T.
Clarke (MI)	Kildee	Sanchez, Loretta
Clarke (NY)	Kind	Sarbanes
Clay	Kucinich	Schakowsky
Cleaver	Langevin	Schiff
Clyburn	Larsen (WA)	Schrader
Cohen	Larson (CT)	Schwartz
Connolly (VA)	Lee (CA)	Scott (VA)
Conyers	Levin	Scott, David
Courtney	Lewis (GA)	Serrano
Crittzer	Loebsock	Sewell
Crowley	Lofgren, Zoe	Sherman
Cummings	Lowe	Sires
Davis (CA)	Lujan	Smith (WA)
Davis (IL)	Lummis	Speier
DeFazio	Lynch	Stark
DeGette	Maloney	Sutton
DeLauro	Markey	Thompson (CA)
Deutch	Matheson	Thompson (MS)
Dingell	Matsui	Tierney
Doggett	McCarthy (NY)	Tonko
Doyle	McClintock	Towns
Duncan (TN)	McCollum	Tsongas
Edwards	McDermott	Van Hollen
Ellison	McGovern	Velázquez
Engel	McNerney	Walz (MN)
Eshoo	Meeks	Wasserman
Farr	Michaud	Schultz
Flake	Miller (NC)	Waters
Frank (MA)	Miller, George	Watt
Franks (AZ)	Moore	Waxman
Fudge	Moran	Welch
Garamendi	Murphy (CT)	Wilson (FL)
Gonzalez	Nadler	Woolsey
Green, Al	Neal	Yarmuth
Green, Gene	Olver	
Grijalva	Pallone	

## NOT VOTING—21

Baca	Cooper	McIntyre
Barton (TX)	Costello	Napolitano
Berman	Donnelly (IN)	Noem
Burgess	Filner	Paul
Burton (IN)	Galleghy	Paulsen
Cardoza	Heinrich	Slaughter
Carson (IN)	Mack	Stutzman

□ 1451

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 249, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for rollcall vote No. 249 today. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10th, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 249 in order to attend my grandson's graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on final passage of

H.R. 5326, Making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote Nos. 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, and 249. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on rollcall vote Nos. 246 and 248. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on rollcall vote Nos. 244, 245, 247 and 249.

## THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4004

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove myself as a cosponsor of H.R. 4004.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SOUTHERLAND). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield to my friend, the majority leader, Mr. CANTOR, for the purpose of inquiring as to the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House is not in session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and at 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and at noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. The last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a complete list of which will be announced by the close of business tomorrow. Among next week's suspensions will be H.R. 365, the National Blue Alert Act, sponsored by Congressman MICHAEL GRIMM, which will coincide with National Police Week and will help deter the threat of violence against our Nation's law enforcement officers.

In addition, the House will consider two important bills under a rule. The

first is H.R. 4970, the Violence Against Women Act reauthorization, sponsored by Congresswoman SANDY ADAMS, herself a former sheriff. Our second rule bill, which will take up the remainder of the week, is H.R. 4310, the National Defense Authorization Act, sponsored by Chairman BUCK MCKEON. This bipartisan bill provides for the funding of our armed services prior to Memorial Day, as is the House's appropriate custom.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his information.

On the Violence Against Women Act, a very important piece of legislation which we have reauthorized in the past in a bipartisan fashion, it is under a rule. Does the gentleman know whether it will be an open rule or whether there will be, perhaps, a modified open rule with amendments being printed? Will the gentleman tell us? I have a lot of folks on my side of the aisle who are very interested in dealing with certain portions of that bill, and they'd be interested to know whether or not they will be able to offer amendments.

Mr. CANTOR. I will just tell the gentleman, as he knows, the Rules Committee is the one to decide the process by which bills come to the floor and the rules for those bills; and the Rules Committee will be meeting on Tuesday.

Mr. HOYER. I would tell the majority leader, for the purposes of his planning and anticipation, as he may well know, the bill that has been reported out of the Judiciary Committee is controversial. There was a bill that passed through the other Chamber, which passed overwhelmingly—more than 2-1—and it is not like this bill.

□ 1500

There are Members that would like to incorporate the Senate's provisions in the House bill, and I know we would appreciate it if we would be given that opportunity to offer that on the floor as an alternative. If the gentleman would take that into consideration, perhaps talk to Mr. DREIER about making such amendments in order, we would very much appreciate that. Of course we would also appreciate, perhaps, if you wanted to take up the Senate bill as a substitute. We think we would have overwhelming votes for that on this side of the aisle. In light of the fact that you and I have been working in such a bipartisan fashion lately, perhaps that would be a good way to continue that process.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. CANTOR. It has certainly been an improved sense of cooperation, and I appreciate that on the gentleman's part in trying to deliver results and trying to make sure we get America back to work.

I would say to the gentleman, as he rightly noted, that this bill has traditionally been reauthorized. The approach that we tried to focus on was to do what it is that the gentleman and I have been trying to do the last couple