

Capito Huizenga (MI)
Carter Hultgren
Cassidy Hunter
Chabot Hurt
Chaffetz Issa
Coble Jenkins
Coffman (CO) Johnson (OH)
Cole Johnson, Sam
Conaway Jordan
Cravaack Kelly
Crawford King (IA)
Crenshaw King (NY)
Culberson Kingston
Davis (KY) Kinzinger (IL)
Denham Kline
Dent Lamborn
DesJarlais Lance
Diaz-Balart Landry
Dold Lankford
Dreier Latham
Duffy Latta
Duncan (SC) Lewis (CA)
Ellmers Long
Emerson Lucas
Farenthold Luetkemeyer
Fincher Lummis
Flake Lungren, Daniel
Fleischmann E.
Fleming Manzullo
Flores Marchant
Forbes Marino
Fortenberry McCarthy (CA)
Foxx McCaul
Franks (AZ) McClintock
Frelinghuysen McCotter
Gallegly McHenry
Gardner McKeon
Garrett McKinley
Gerlach McMorris
Gibbs Rodgers
Gingrey (GA) Meehan
Goodlatte Mica
Gosar Miller (FL)
Gowdy Miller (MI)
Granger Miller, Gary
Graves (GA) Mulvaney
Graves (MO) Murphy (PA)
Griffin (AR) Myrick
Griffith (VA) Neugebauer
Grimm Nugent
Guinta Nunes
Guthrie Nunnelee
Hall Olson
Hanna Palazzo
Harper Pearce
Harris Pence
Hartzler Petri
Hastings (WA) Pitts
Hayworth Poe (TX)
Heck Pompeo
Hensarling Posey
Herger Price (GA)
Huelskamp Quayle

NOES—199

Ackerman Clay
Altmire Cleaver
Amash Clyburn
Andrews Cohen
Baca Connolly (VA)
Baldwin Conyers
Barrow Cooper
Bartlett Costa
Bass (CA) Costello
Bass (NH) Courtney
Becerra Critz
Berkley Crowley
Bishop (GA) Cuellar
Bishop (NY) Cummings
Blumenauer Davis (CA)
Bonamici Davis (IL)
Boren DeFazio
Boswell DeGette
Brady (PA) DeLauro
Braley (IA) Deutch
Brown (FL) Dicks
Butterfield Dingell
Capps Doggett
Capuano Doyle
Cardoza Duncan (TN)
Carnahan Edwards
Carney Ellison
Carson (IN) Engel
Castor (FL) Eshoo
Chandler Farr
Chu Fattah
Cicilline Fitzpatrick
Clarke (MI) Frank (MA)
Clarke (NY) Fudge

Reed
Rehberg
Reichert
Renacci
Ribble
Rigell
Rivera
Roby
Roe (TN)
Kelly Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Lance Roskam
Ross (FL)
Royce
Runyan
Ryan (WI)
Scalise
Schilling
Schmidt
Schock
Schweikert
Scott (SC)
Scott, Austin
Sessions
Shimkus
Shuster
Simpson
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Southernland
Stearns
Stivers
Sullivan
Terry
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Turner (NY)
Turner (OH)
Upton
Walberg
Walden
Walsh (IL)
Webster
West
Westmoreland
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Young (IN)

Kissell
Kucinich
Labrador
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
LaTourette
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loebach
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lujan
Lynch
Maloney
Markley
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (NY)
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Michaud
Miller (NC)
Miller, George
Moore
Moran
Murphy (CT)
Nadler

Neal
Oliver
Owens
Pallone
Pascarelli
Pastor (AZ)
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Pingree (ME)
Platts
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Richardson
Richmond
Ross (AR)
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schradner
Schwartz

Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell
Sherman
Shuler
Sires
Smith (WA)
Speier
Stark
Sutton
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Tierney
Tonko
Towns
Tsongas
Van Hollen
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz (MN)
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watt
Waxman
Welch
Whitfield
Wilson (FL)
Wolf
Woolsey
Yarmuth

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Sensenbrenner

NOT VOTING—13

Berman
Burgess
Donnelly (IN)
Filner
Heinrich
Mack
McIntyre
Napolitano
Noem
Paul
Paulsen
Slaughter
Stutzman

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1415

Mr. RUSH changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 247, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 247 in order to attend my grandson's graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on final passage of H.R. 5652, To provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 201 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2013.

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2013

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WEST). Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5326) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. NADLER. I am opposed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Nadler moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5326 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 17, line 6, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$1,500,000)".

Page 21, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$3,000,000)".

Page 37, line 23, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$20,500,000)".

Page 38, line 18, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$2,000,000)".

Page 39, line 11, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$10,000,000)".

Page 39, line 17, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$4,500,000)".

Page 39, line 20, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$500,000)".

Page 40, line 5, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$2,500,000)".

Page 40, line 8, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$1,000,000)".

Page 65, line 1, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$9,000,000)".

Page 70, line 6, after the first dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$7,000,000)".

Mr. NADLER (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York is recognized for 5 minutes.

Parliamentary Inquiry

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, if the final amendment I am offering were to be adopted, is it not the case that the bill will be amended and that the House will then proceed to final passage right away?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As the Chair stated earlier today, if a motion to recommit with forthwith instructions is adopted, the amendment is reported by the chair of the committee and is immediately before the House.

Mr. NADLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, whether it is an attempt to deny women reproductive or other health services, or a refusal to support efforts to achieve equal pay for equal work, many women in America today feel under siege. Indeed, many women across the country feel a war is being waged upon them by policymakers.

Today, with this final amendment to the CJS Appropriations bill, Members of the House will have a chance to say where they stand.

□ 1420

This is the final amendment to the bill. It will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill,

as amended, will proceed to final passage.

This amendment would increase, by \$20 million, money spent on grants under the Violence Against Women Act, or VAWA.

Passed in 1994, VAWA is a landmark piece of legislation that has helped an incalculable number of women and families avoid or recover from crimes of violence. Grant programs under VAWA target domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, sexual assault, and rape. These are crimes many women know all too well.

Indeed, violence against women remains a pervasive problem and a national tragedy. In 2008, about 1.8 million women over the age of 12 were subjected to a crime of violence. In that same year, almost 3,000 women were murdered, many by someone close to them. Every year, 1.3 million women over the age of 18 are assaulted by intimate partners. We need to do more to stop this epidemic; we need to do more for America's women.

I want to acknowledge what I expect the opponents of this motion may say—that funding for the VAWA grant programs in the underlying bill is a few million dollars above last year's level and above the President's request. I would point out, as a response, that the money in this bill is hundreds of millions of dollars below the last authorized amount and \$15 million below the appropriation for fiscal year 2010. Additionally, this is not the last stop for this bill. When we conference with the Senate, which has a higher total funding level for CJS, we should have the highest possible funding level for VAWA from which to negotiate.

The amendment offsets the \$20 million increase for VAWA by cuts to administrative accounts. We have heard from our colleagues of the need to cut government spending, to cut administrative expenses, to prevent the types of excesses we have seen recently in the GSA and other agencies with Las Vegas conference extravaganzas. Well, now is our chance to put our money where our mouth is and shift funding from these types of administrative expenses to preventing violence against women.

This is a question of values and priorities. What kind of message do we want to send to women across the country? Do we want GSA-style wild party, extravagant conferences or do we want to safeguard the lives of women? Do we value women's safety? Are we willing to make sure that we have the resources needed?

I ask all Members today: Stand up for your mothers; stand up for your wives; stand up for your daughters and for women everywhere. Stop the violence. Pass this motion to recommit.

I now yield to the sponsor of a truly meaningful bill to reauthorize VAWA based on bipartisan legislation which has already passed the Senate and a former victim of domestic violence herself, the gentlelady from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE).

Ms. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to please see this request through the eyes of a child who was assaulted by a family member and comes forward at school to confide in a beloved teacher; the woman in an isolated rural area, where local law enforcement is under-resourced and transportation or legal services may be lacking or nonexistent; the women on college campuses, where rates of intimate partner violence are among the highest. Please, Mr. Speaker, see this through the eyes of victims with disabilities who are at high risk of abuse and are often dependent on their abusers for care.

In short, this VAWA funding means that a woman—and even men—who have been victimized can step out of the shadows away from their abusers and get access to counseling, legal services, or other services. And, Mr. Speaker, every single day, for three women, this VAWA funding is a difference between life and death.

It is so true that the CJS legislation before us has provided the requested level of support for victims and their families; but it's been chronically underfunded since the day they opened their doors, and there are thousands of men, women, and children who are not being served.

Please, this is an opportunity to provide a small increase to fill the gap between available resources and the desperate need to serve folks. We must commit adequate resources toward these effective, lifesaving programs. And, Mr. Speaker, we must do it now.

I ask my colleagues to vote for this motion to recommit.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, to summarize, we can devote \$20 million to Las Vegas-style conferences and administrative expenses or to services to prevent violence against women. That's the choice. Vote "yes" on the motion to recommit.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Virginia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WOLF. You know, Mr. NADLER, you could have offered an amendment last night. We had a whole total open process. And Mr. RUNYAN—where is Mr. RUNYAN?—Mr. RUNYAN added money to this project, to Violence Against Women.

Secondly, this is a bipartisan bill. There's been no political shenanigans at all. And let me tell you something—I wasn't going to mention it; we didn't make it a big deal—this bill has \$420 million. We're \$7.5 million above the current fiscal year for this issue. Also, we're \$7.5 million above President Obama's request for Violence Against Women. We're above it. And then with Mr. RUNYAN, we are even higher above it.

Also, this administration has not been good on sexual trafficking. We have language in this bill to direct the

Attorney General to have a task force at every U.S. attorney's office for sexual trafficking. We are above the administration on sexual trafficking. So, I mean, it is kind of political. We are above the administration, and we have a task force on this issue. And so I could go on and on and on.

But last night, we had a whole, totally open, and we accepted a number of amendments. You could have come down here up to midnight last night.

There is no disagreement about the importance of these programs and need to stop it. It is very important. This is a good bill, a solid bill, bipartisan support. Members on both sides of the aisle had ample opportunity to have their amendments considered. In fact, on floor consideration, 63 amendments were offered and 36 were adopted.

We were above the President's request on Violence Against Women. We were above the President's request on the issue of sexual trafficking. Also, and I know some of you were concerned about it, the NICS background check, we were above the administration on that, and also have language directing the Attorney General to deal with that NICS issue. The Brady Commission, the Brady people support it, and the NRA supports it. So on all of those issues, we were above the administration.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida.

Mrs. ADAMS. I thank you, and I would again ask my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to quit making politics with an issue so near and dear to all of us.

We are above what the President put in. Just like the chairman said, we have constantly been above what the President has asked for. And you, as well as I, know that this has become a political issue when it should never have been a political issue. We can no longer allow politics to take control over good policy. We can no longer allow misrepresentation about amounts and this and that over good policy.

We have a bill coming to the floor. We know that we need to address this issue, and it has been addressed even more than the administration has asked for. So with that, I would ask that you do not—do not—approve this motion to recommit.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I think we have actually said enough. I think it is a good bill. I want to thank again Mr. FATTAH and all the Members on both sides of the aisle. It was a good, bipartisan bill. I urge rejection of the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on passage of the bill, and approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 181, nays 233, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 248]

YEAS—181

Ackerman	Garamendi	Pallone
Altmire	Gonzalez	Pascarell
Andrews	Green, Al	Pastor (AZ)
Baca	Green, Gene	Pelosi
Baldwin	Grijalva	Perlmutter
Barrow	Gutierrez	Peters
Bass (CA)	Hahn	Peterson
Becerra	Hanabusa	Pingree (ME)
Berkley	Hastings (FL)	Polis
Bishop (GA)	Higgins	Price (NC)
Bishop (NY)	Himes	Quigley
Blumenauer	Hinches	Rahall
Bonamici	Hinojosa	Rangel
Boren	Hirono	Reyes
Boswell	Hochul	Richardson
Brady (PA)	Holden	Richmond
Braley (IA)	Holt	Ross (AR)
Brown (FL)	Honda	Rothman (NJ)
Butterfield	Hoyer	Roybal-Allard
Capps	Israel	Ruppersberger
Capuano	Jackson (IL)	Rush
Carnahan	Jackson Lee	Ryan (OH)
Carney	(TX)	Sánchez, Linda T.
Carson (IN)	Johnson (GA)	Sanchez, Loretta
Castor (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Sarbanes
Chandler	Jones	Schakowsky
Chu	Kaptur	Schiff
Cicilline	Keating	Schrader
Clarke (MI)	Kildee	Schwartz
Clarke (NY)	Kind	Scott (VA)
Clay	Kissell	Scott, David
Cleaver	Kucinich	Serrano
Clyburn	Langevin	Sewell
Cohen	Larsen (WA)	Sherman
Connolly (VA)	Larson (CT)	Shuler
Conyers	Lee (CA)	Sires
Cooper	Levin	Smith (WA)
Costa	Lewis (GA)	Speier
Costello	Lipinski	Stark
Courtney	Loeb sack	Sutton
Critz	Loftgren, Zoe	Thompson (CA)
Crowley	Lowey	Thompson (MS)
Cuellar	Lynch	Tierney
Cummings	Maloney	Tonko
Davis (CA)	Matheson	Towns
Davis (IL)	Matsui	Tsongas
DeFazio	McCarthy (NY)	Van Hollen
DeGette	McCollum	Velazquez
DeLauro	McDermott	Visclosky
Deutch	McGovern	Walz (MN)
Dicks	McNerney	Wasserman
Dingell	Meeks	Schultz
Doggett	Michaud	Waters
Doyle	Miller (NC)	Watt
Edwards	Miller, George	Waxman
Ellison	Moore	Welch
Engel	Moran	Wilson (FL)
Eshoo	Murphy (CT)	Woolsey
Farr	Nadler	Yarmuth
Fattah	Neal	
Frank (MA)	Oliver	
Fudge	Owens	

NAYS—233

Adams	Bilirakis	Campbell
Akin	Bishop (UT)	Canseco
Alexander	Black	Cantor
Amash	Blackburn	Capito
Amodel	Bonner	Carter
Austria	Bono Mack	Cassidy
Bachmann	Boustany	Chabot
Bachus	Brady (TX)	Chaffetz
Barletta	Brooks	Coble
Bartlett	Broun (GA)	Coffman (CO)
Barton (TX)	Buchanan	Cole
Bass (NH)	Bucshon	Conaway
Benishkek	Buerkle	Cravaack
Berg	Burton (IN)	Crawford
Biggert	Calvert	Crenshaw
Bilbray	Camp	Culberson

Davis (KY)	Johnson, Sam	Reichert
Denham	Jordan	Renacci
Dent	Kelly	Ribbie
DesJarlais	King (IA)	Rigell
Diaz-Balart	King (NY)	Rivera
Dold	Kingston	Roby
Dreier	Kinzinger (IL)	Roe (TN)
Duffy	Kline	Rogers (AL)
Duncan (SC)	Labrador	Rogers (KY)
Duncan (TN)	Lamborn	Rogers (MI)
Ellmers	Lance	Rohrabacher
Emerson	Landry	Rokita
Farenthold	Lankford	Rooney
Fincher	Latham	Ros-Lehtinen
Fitzpatrick	LaTourette	Roskam
Flake	Latta	Ross (FL)
Fleischmann	Lewis (CA)	Runyan
Fleming	LoBiondo	Ryan (WI)
Flores	Long	Scalise
Forbes	Lucas	Schilling
Fortenberry	Luetkemeyer	Schmidt
Fox	Lujan	Schock
Franks (AZ)	Lummis	Schweikert
Frelinghuysen	Lungren, Daniel E.	Scott (SC)
Gallely	Manzullo	Scott, Austin
Gardner	Marchant	Sensenbrenner
Garrett	Marino	Sessions
Gerlach	McCarthy (CA)	Shimkus
Gibbs	McCaul	Shuster
Gibson	McClintock	Simpson
Gingrey (GA)	McCotter	Smith (NE)
Gohmert	McHenry	Smith (NJ)
Goodlatte	McKeon	Smith (TX)
Gosar	McKinley	Southerland
Gowdy	McMorris	Stearns
Granger	Rodgers	Stivers
Graves (GA)	Meehan	Sullivan
Graves (MO)	Mica	Terry
Griffin (AR)	Miller (FL)	Thompson (PA)
Griffith (VA)	Miller (MI)	Thornberry
Grimm	Miller, Gary	Tiberi
Guinta	Mulvaney	Tipton
Guthrie	Murphy (PA)	Turner (NY)
Hall	Myrick	Turner (OH)
Hanna	Neugebauer	Upton
Harper	Nugent	Walberg
Harris	Nunes	Walden
Hartzler	Nunnelee	Walsh (IL)
Hastings (WA)	Olson	Webster
Hayworth	Palazzo	West
Heck	Pearce	Westmoreland
Hensarling	Pence	Whitfield
Herger	Petri	Wilson (SC)
Herrera Beutler	Pitts	Wittman
Huelskamp	Platts	Wolf
Huizenga (MI)	Poe (TX)	Womack
Hultgren	Pompeo	Woodall
Hunter	Posey	Yoder
Hurt	Price (GA)	Young (AK)
Issa	Quayle	Young (FL)
Jenkins	Reed	Young (IN)
Johnson (IL)	Rehberg	
Johnson (OH)		

NOT VOTING—17

□ 1445

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.” So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 248, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, during rollcall vote No. 248 on H.R. 5326, I mistakenly recorded my vote as “nay” when I should have voted “yea.”

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 248, I was unavoidably detained, but had I voted I would have voted “yea.”

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10th, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 248 in order to attend my

grandson's graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on the Motion to Recommit with Instructions H.R. 5326, Making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 247, nays 163, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 249]

YEAS—247

Ackerman	Frelinghuysen	McMorris
Adams	Gardner	Rodgers
Aderholt	Garrett	Meehan
Akin	Gerlach	Mica
Alexander	Gibbs	Miller (FL)
Amodel	Gibson	Miller (MI)
Austria	Gingrey (GA)	Miller, Gary
Bachmann	Gohmert	Mulvaney
Bachus	Goodlatte	Murphy (PA)
Barletta	Gosar	Myrick
Barrow	Gowdy	Neugebauer
Bartlett	Granger	Nugent
Bass (NH)	Graves (GA)	Nunes
Benishkek	Graves (MO)	Nunnelee
Berg	Griffin (AR)	Olson
Berkley	Griffith (VA)	Owens
Biggert	Grimm	Palazzo
Bilbray	Guinta	Pearce
Bilirakis	Guthrie	Pence
Bishop (GA)	Hall	Perlmutter
Bishop (NY)	Hanna	Peterson
Bishop (UT)	Harper	Petri
Black	Harris	Pitts
Blackburn	Hartzer	Platts
Bonner	Hastings (WA)	Poe (TX)
Bono Mack	Hayworth	Pompeo
Boren	Heck	Posey
Boswell	Hensarling	Price (GA)
Boustany	Herger	Quayle
Brady (TX)	Herrera Beutler	Reed
Brooks	Higgins	Rehberg
Brown (FL)	Hochul	Reichert
Buchanan	Huelskamp	Renacci
Bucshon	Huizenga (MI)	Ribbie
Buerkle	Hultgren	Rigell
Calvert	Hunter	Rivera
Camp	Hurt	Roby
Canseco	Issa	Roe (TN)
Cantor	Jenkins	Rogers (AL)
Capito	Johnson (IL)	Rogers (KY)
Carney	Johnson (OH)	Rogers (MI)
Carter	Johnson, Sam	Rohrabacher
Cassidy	Jones	Rokita
Chabot	Jordan	Rooney
Chaffetz	Kelly	Ros-Lehtinen
Coble	King (IA)	Roskam
Coffman (CO)	King (NY)	Ross (FL)
Cole	Kingston	Royce
Conaway	Kinzinger (IL)	Runyan
Costa	Kissell	Ruppersberger
Cravaack	Kline	Ryan (WI)
Crawford	Labrador	Scalise
Crenshaw	Lamborn	Schilling
Cuellar	Lance	Schmidt
Culberson	Landry	Schock
Davis (KY)	Lankford	Schweikert
Denham	Latham	Scott (SC)
Dent	LaTourette	Scott, Austin
DesJarlais	Latta	Sensenbrenner
Diaz-Balart	Lewis (CA)	Sessions
Dicks	Lipinski	Shimkus
Dold	LoBiondo	Shuler
Dreier	Long	Shuster
Duffy	Lucas	Simpson
Duncan (SC)	Luetkemeyer	Smith (NE)
Ellmers	Lungren, Daniel E.	Smith (NJ)
Emerson	Manzullo	Smith (TX)
Farenthold	Marchant	Southerland
Fattah	Marino	Stearns
Fincher	McCarthy (CA)	Stivers
Fitzpatrick	McCaul	Sullivan
Fleischmann	McCotter	Terry
Fleming	McHenry	Thompson (PA)
Flores	McKinley	Thornberry
Forbes		Tiberi
Fortenberry		Tipton
Fox		Turner (NY)

Turner (OH)
Upton
Viscosky
Walberg
Walden
Walsh (IL)
Webster

West
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Wolf
Womack

Woodall
Yoder
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Young (IN)

NAYS—163

Altmire
Amash
Andrews
Baldwin
Bass (CA)
Becerra
Blumenauer
Bonamici
Brady (PA)
Braley (IA)
Broun (GA)
Butterfield
Campbell
Capps
Capuano
Carnahan
Castor (FL)
Chandler
Chu
Cicilline
Clarke (MI)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly (VA)
Conyers
Courtney
Critz
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis (IL)
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle
Duncan (TN)
Edwards
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Farr
Flake
Frank (MA)
Franks (AZ)
Fudge
Garamendi
Gonzalez
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva

Gutierrez
Hahn
Hanabusa
Hastings (FL)
Himes
Hinchey
Hinojosa
Hirono
Holden
Holt
Honda
Hoyer
Israel
Jackson (IL)
Jackson Lee
(TX)
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Kaptur
Keating
Kildee
Kind
Kucinich
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Loebach
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lujan
Lummis
Lynch
Maloney
Markey
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (NY)
McClintock
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Michaud
Miller (NC)
Miller, George
Moore
Moran
Murphy (CT)
Nadler
Neal
Olver
Pallone

Pascarell
Pastor (AZ)
Pelosi
Peters
Pingree (ME)
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Richardson
Richmond
Ross (AR)
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sánchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schradler
Schwartz
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell
Sherman
Sires
Smith (WA)
Speier
Stark
Sutton
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Tierney
Tonko
Towns
Tsongas
Van Hollen
Velázquez
Walz (MN)
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watt
Waxman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Woolsey
Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—21

Baca
Barton (TX)
Berman
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Cardoza
Carson (IN)

Cooper
Costello
Donnelly (IN)
Filner
Gallegly
Heinrich
Mack

McIntyre
Napolitano
Noem
Paul
Paulsen
Slaughter
Stutzman

□ 1451

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 249, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay.”

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for rollcall vote No. 249 today. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay.”

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 10th, 2012, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 249 in order to attend my grandson's graduation. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay” on final passage of

H.R. 5326, Making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, and for other purposes.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote Nos. 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, and 249. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall vote Nos. 246 and 248. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on rollcall vote Nos. 244, 245, 247 and 249.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4004

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove myself as a cosponsor of H.R. 4004.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SOUTHERLAND). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield to my friend, the majority leader, Mr. CANTOR, for the purpose of inquiring as to the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House is not in session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and at 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and at noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. The last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a complete list of which will be announced by the close of business tomorrow. Among next week's suspensions will be H.R. 365, the National Blue Alert Act, sponsored by Congressman MICHAEL GRIMM, which will coincide with National Police Week and will help deter the threat of violence against our Nation's law enforcement officers.

In addition, the House will consider two important bills under a rule. The

first is H.R. 4970, the Violence Against Women Act reauthorization, sponsored by Congresswoman SANDY ADAMS, herself a former sheriff. Our second rule bill, which will take up the remainder of the week, is H.R. 4310, the National Defense Authorization Act, sponsored by Chairman BUCK McKEON. This bipartisan bill provides for the funding of our armed services prior to Memorial Day, as is the House's appropriate custom.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his information.

On the Violence Against Women Act, a very important piece of legislation which we have reauthorized in the past in a bipartisan fashion, it is under a rule. Does the gentleman know whether it will be an open rule or whether there will be, perhaps, a modified open rule with amendments being printed? Will the gentleman tell us? I have a lot of folks on my side of the aisle who are very interested in dealing with certain portions of that bill, and they'd be interested to know whether or not they will be able to offer amendments.

Mr. CANTOR. I will just tell the gentleman, as he knows, the Rules Committee is the one to decide the process by which bills come to the floor and the rules for those bills; and the Rules Committee will be meeting on Tuesday.

Mr. HOYER. I would tell the majority leader, for the purposes of his planning and anticipation, as he may well know, the bill that has been reported out of the Judiciary Committee is controversial. There was a bill that passed through the other Chamber, which passed overwhelmingly—more than 2-1—and it is not like this bill.

□ 1500

There are Members that would like to incorporate the Senate's provisions in the House bill, and I know we would appreciate it if we would be given that opportunity to offer that on the floor as an alternative. If the gentleman would take that into consideration, perhaps talk to Mr. DREIER about making such amendments in order, we would very much appreciate that. Of course we would also appreciate, perhaps, if you wanted to take up the Senate bill as a substitute. We think we would have overwhelming votes for that on this side of the aisle. In light of the fact that you and I have been working in such a bipartisan fashion lately, perhaps that would be a good way to continue that process.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. CANTOR. It has certainly been an improved sense of cooperation, and I appreciate that on the gentleman's part in trying to deliver results and trying to make sure we get America back to work.

I would say to the gentleman, as he rightly noted, that this bill has traditionally been reauthorized. The approach that we tried to focus on was to do what it is that the gentleman and I have been trying to do the last couple