Rigell

Rivera

Roe (TN)

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rogers (MI)

Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen

Rokita

Rooney

Roskam.

Ross (AR)

Ross (FL)

Roby

Higgins Himes Hinchey Hinoiosa Hirono Holden Holt Honda Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Jordan Neal Kaptur Olver Keating Kildee Kind Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Peters Pingree (ME) Lee (CA) Price (NC) Levin Lewis (GA) Rahall Lipinski Reves Lofgren, Zoe Richardson Lowey Richmond Luján Ross (AR) Maloney Rothman (NJ) Markey Rovbal-Allard Matsui Rush Ryan (OH) McCarthy (NY) Sánchez, Linda McClintock McCollum McDermott Sanchez, Loretta

McGovern Sarbanes McNerney Schakowsky Michaud Miller (NC) Schmidt Miller, George Schwartz Moore Moran Murphy (CT) Nadler Napolitano Sires Owens Speier Pallone Stark Pascrell Sutton Pelosi Perlmutter

Schweikert Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sherman Slaughter Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Tonko Velázquez Visclosky Wasserman Schultz Waters Watt. Waxman Welch Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING-7

Filner Meeks Jackson (IL) Paul

Towns

Woolsey

Yarmuth

Mack Rangel

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

\Box 1155

Ms. WILSON of Florida changed her vote from "yea" to "nay".

Messrs. CARSON of Indiana. SHULER, and ISRAEL changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Madam Speaker, on rollcall 147, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WEBSTER). Pursuant to House Resolution 597 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 112.

Will the gentlewoman from Illinois (Mrs. BIGGERT) kindly take the chair.

\Box 1155

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 112) establishing the budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2013 and setting forth appropriate budgfiscal years etary levels for 2014 through 2022, with Mrs. BIGGERT (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday, March 28, 2012, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 4 printed in House Report 112-423 by the gentleman from California (Mr. HONDA) had been postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE OFFERED BY MR. HONDA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. HONDA) which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 78, noes 346, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 148]

AYES-78

Grijalva Andrews Olver Bass (CA) Gutierrez Pallone Becerra Hahn Pascrell Hastings (FL) Blumenauer Pastor (AZ) Brady (PA) Hinchey Pingree (ME) Brown (FL) Hirono Price (NC) Canuano Holt Richardson Carson (IN) Honda Rothman (NJ) Jackson Lee Chu Roybal-Allard Clarke (MI) (TX) Rush Clarke (NY) Johnson (GA) Ryan (OH) Johnson, E. B. Clay Sánchez, Linda Cleaver Kaptur T. Clyburn Kildee Schakowsky Cohen Kucinich Scott, David Convers Lee (CA) Serrano Cummings Lewis (GA) Slaughter Davis (IL) Lofgren, Zoe Stark Deutch Markey Tonko Doyle McCollum Velázquez Edwards McDermott Waters Ellison McGovern Watt Farr Miller (NC) Waxman Fattah Moore Frank (MA) Welch Moran Wilson (FL) Fudge Nadler Green, Al Napolitano Woolsey

NOES-346

Blackburn Ackerman Castor (FL) Adams Bonamici Chabot Aderholt Chaffetz Bonner Bono Mack Akin Chandler Alexander Boren Cicilline Boswell Coble Altmire Coffman (CO) Amash Boustany Amodei Brady (TX) Cole Austria Braley (IA) Conaway Baca Brooks Broun (GA) Connolly (VA) Bachmann Cooper Bachus Buchanan Costa Bucshon Costello Baldwin Barletta Buerkle Courtney Cravaack Barrow Burgess Bartlett Burton (IN) Crawford Barton (TX) Butterfield Crenshaw Bass (NH) Calvert Critz Benishek Camp Campbell Crowley Berg Cuellar Berkley Canseco Culberson Cantor Davis (CA) Berman Biggert Capito Davis (KY) Bilbray Capps DeFazio Bilirakis Cardoza DeGette Bishop (GA) Carnahan DeLauro Bishop (NY) Carnev Denham Bishop (UT) Carter Dent DesJarlais Black Cassidy

Doggett Dold Donnelly (IN) Dreier Duffy Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellmers Emerson Engel Eshoo Farenthold Fincher Fitzpatrick Flake Fleischmann Fleming Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Garamendi Gardner Garrett Gerlach Gibbs Gibson Gingrey (GA) Gohmert Gonzalez Goodlatte Gosar Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (MO) Green Gene Griffin (AR) Griffith (VA) Grimm Guinta Guthrie Hall Hanabusa Hanna Harper Harris Hartzler Hastings (WA) Hayworth Heck Heinrich Hensarling Herger Herrera Beutler Higgins Himes Hinojosa Hochul Holden Hoyer Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Hunter Hurt Israel Issa Jenkins Johnson (IL) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan Keating Kelly Kind King (IA)

Diaz-Balart

Dicks

Dingell

Kline

Labrador

Lamborn Lance Landry Langevin Lankford Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Latta Levin Lewis (CA) Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Long Lowey Lucas Luetkemeyer Luián Lummis Lungren, Daniel Ε. Lvnch Maloney Manzullo Marchant Marino Matheson Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul McClintock McCotter McHenry McIntvre McKeon McKinley McMorris Rodgers McNernev Meehan Mica Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller, Gary Miller, George Mulvanev Murphy (CT) Murphy (PA) Myrick Neal Neugebauer Noem Nugent Nunes Nunnelee Olson Owens Palazzo Paulsen Pearce Pelosi Pence Perlmutter Peters Peterson Petri Platts Poe (TX) Polis Pompeo Posey Price (GA)

Royce Runyan Ruppersberger Ryan (WI) Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Scalise Schiff Schilling Schmidt Schock Schrader Schwartz Schweikert Scott (SC) Scott (VA) Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Sewell Sherman Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Sires Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Southerland Speier Stearns Stivers Stutzman Sullivan Sutton Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tiernev Tipton Tsongas Turner (NY) Turner (OH) Upton Van Hollen Visclosky Walberg Walden Walsh (II.) Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Webster West Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Womack Woodall Yarmuth Yoder Young (AK) Young (FL) Young (IN)

NOT VOTING-

Filner Meeks Towns Jackson (IL) Paul Mack Rangel

Quavle

Quigley

Rahall

Rehberg

Reichert

Renacci

Reyes

Ribble

Reed

King (NY)

Kinzinger (IL)

Kingston

Kissell

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR.

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1214

Messrs. BUTTERFIELD and JOHN-SON of Illinois changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Madam Chair, on rollcall 148, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

AMENDMENT NO. 5 IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE OFFERED BY MR. GARRETT

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in House Report 112-423.

Mr. GARRETT. I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.

(a) DECLARATION.—Congress declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2013 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2012 and for fiscal years 2014 through 2022 are set forth.

(b) Table of Contents.—

Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2013.

TITLE I—RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

Sec. 101. Recommended levels and amounts. Sec. 102. Major functional categories

TITLE II—RECONCILIATION SUBMISSIONS

Sec. 201. Reconciliation in the House of Representatives.

Sec. 202. Submission of reports on mandatory savings.

TITLE III—BUDGET ENFORCEMENT

Sec. 301. Discretionary spending limits.

Sec. 302. Restrictions on advance appropriations.

Sec. 303. Emergency spending.

Sec. 304. Changes in allocations and aggregates resulting from realistic scoring of measures affecting revenues.

Sec. 305. Allocation of new budget authority for fiscal year 2013.

Sec. 306. Prohibition on using revenue increases to comply with budget allocations and aggregates.

Sec. 307. Application and effect of changes in allocations and aggregates

Sec. 308. Budget Protection Mandatory Ac-

Sec. 309. Budget discretionary accounts.

count.

Sec. 310. Treatment of rescission bills in the House.

Sec. 311. Sense of the House regarding baseline revenue projections.

Sec. 312. Sense of the House regarding longterm budget projections.

Sec. 313. Make it easier to amend appropriation bills.

TITLE IV—EARMARK MORATORIUM

Sec. 401. Earmark moratorium.

Sec. 402. Limitation of authority of the House Committee on Rules. TITLE V—POLICY

Sec. 501. Policy statement on health care law repeal.

Sec. 502. Policy statement on bailouts of State and local governments.

```
Sec. 503. Policy statement on means-tested
            welfare programs.
```

Sec. 504. Policy statement on reforming the Federal budget process.

Sec. 505. Policy statement on reforming Federal regulation.

Sec. 506. Policy statement on medicare.

Sec. 507. Policy statement on deficit reduction through the cancellation of unobligated balances.

Sec. 508. Policy statement on block granting Medicaid.

TITLE I—RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

RECOMMENDED SEC. 101. LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2022:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: \$1,887,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2013: \$2,059,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2014: \$2,249,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015: \$2,459,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: \$2,627,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2017: \$2,770,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018: \$2,892,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2019: \$3.021.000.000.000

Fiscal year 2020: \$3,173,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2021: \$3,332,000,000,000 Fiscal year 2022: \$3,499,000,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: -\$12,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2013: -\$234,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: -\$303,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2015: -\$357,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: -\$389,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017: -\$424,000,000,000

Fiscal year 2018: -\$461,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: -\$498,000,000,000

Fiscal year 2020: -\$535,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: -\$574,000,000,000

Fiscal year 2022: -\$617,000,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: \$3,069,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2013: \$2,663,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: \$2,512,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015: \$2,561,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: \$2,632,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017: \$2,698,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018: \$2,788,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: \$2,923,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$3,035,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: \$3.141.000.000.000

Fiscal year 2022: \$3,289,000,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appro-

priate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: \$3.120.000.000.000.

Fiscal year 2013: \$2,818,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: \$2,653,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2015: \$2,654,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: \$2,713,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2017: \$2,764,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018: \$2,834,000,000,000

Fiscal year 2019: \$2,970,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$3,081,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: \$3,186,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: \$3,340,000,000,000

(4) Deficits (on-budget).—For purposes of enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits (on-budget) are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: -\$1,233,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2013: -\$759,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: -\$405,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015: -\$195,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2016: -\$86,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017: \$6,000,000,000 Fiscal year 2018: \$58,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2019: \$51,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2020: \$92,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2021: \$146,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2022: \$159,000,000,000.

(5) DEBT SUBJECT TO LIMIT.—Pursuant to section 301(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: \$16,076,000,000.000.

Fiscal year 2013: \$17,003,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: \$17,586,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2015: \$17,967,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: \$18,266,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017: \$18,520,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018: \$18,737,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: \$18,954,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$19,129,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: \$19,252,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2022: \$19,352,000,000,000.

(6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appropriate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:

Fiscal year 2012: \$11,359,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2013: \$12,191,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014: \$12.677,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015: \$12,950,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016: \$13,110,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2017: \$13,178,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018: \$13,186,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: \$13,202,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$13,189,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2021: \$13,135,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: \$13,088,000,000,000.

SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for fiscal years 2012 through 2022 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 2012:

(A) New budget authority, \$687,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$679,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2013: (A) New budget authority, \$659,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$673,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, \$619,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$659,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015: (A) New budget authority, \$633,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$640,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016:

(A) New budget authority, \$647,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$647,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017:

(A) New budget authority, \$619,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$608,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$635,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$618,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$653,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$639,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$672,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$657,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$690,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$675,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, \$709,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$699,000,000,000. (2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 2012: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920. Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 2012:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 2012:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 2012:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 2012:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be

derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

Fiscal year 2016: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be

derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

Fiscal year 2017: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be

derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be

derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be

derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
 - (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): Fiscal year 2012:
- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
 - (8) Transportation (400):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(11) Health (550):

- Fiscal year 2012: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2013: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2014: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2015: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2016: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920. Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
 - (12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
 - (13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
 - (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

- Fiscal year 2016: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

- Fiscal year 2018: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2019: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

- Fiscal year 2020: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2021: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from
- function 920.

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

- Fiscal year 2012: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920
 - (18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, \$224,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$224,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, \$234,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$234,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, \$249,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$249,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, \$287,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$287,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, \$340,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$340,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, \$391,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$391,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$435,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$435,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, \$471,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$471,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, \$499,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$499,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$514,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$514,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, \$528,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$528,000,000,000.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 2012:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,109,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,120,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2013:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,770,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,911,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2014:

(A) New budget authority, \$1,644,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$1,745,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,641,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,727,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,645,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,726,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,688,000,000.000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,765,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,718,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,781,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,799,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,860,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,864,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,925,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,937,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$1,997,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, \$2,052,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,113,000,000,000. (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
- Fiscal year 2012: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2013:

(A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2014:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2015:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2016:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

- Fiscal year 2021: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from
- function 920. (21) Global War on Terrorism and related

activities (970):

- Fiscal year 2012: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2013: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2014: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920 (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2015: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from

function 920.

- Fiscal year 2016: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be
- derived from function 920. (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2017:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2019: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2018: (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.

(B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- $\left(B\right)$ Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, an amount to be derived from function 920.
- (B) Outlays, an amount to be derived from function 920.

TITLE II—RECONCILIATION SUBMISSIONS SEC. 201. RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

- (a) SUBMISSIONS TO SLOW THE GROWTH IN MANDATORY SPENDING AND TO ACHIEVE DEFICIT REDUCTION.—(1) Not later than September 15, 2012, the House committees named in paragraph (2) shall submit their recommendations to the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives. After receiving those recommendations, the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
 - (2) Instructions.—
- (A) COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE.—The Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$54,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (B) COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE.—The Committee on Education and the Workforce of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$24,000,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2013 and by \$204,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (C) COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE.—The Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$32,000,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2013 and by \$2,872,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (D) COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES.—The Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$3,000,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2013 and by \$45,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (E) COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES.— The Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$10,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (F) COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERN-MENT REFORM.—The Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the level of direct spending for that committee by \$8,000,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2013 and by\$172,000,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.
- (b) SUBMISSION PROVIDING FOR CHANGES IN REVENUE TO PREVENT TAX INCREASES AND

ENACT H.R. 3400.—The Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives shall report a reconciliation bill not later than September 15, 2012, that consists of changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce revenues by not more than \$234,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2013 and by not more than \$4,392,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2013 through 2022.

(c) REVISION OF ALLOCATIONS.—(1) Upon the submission to the Committee on the Budget of the House of a recommendation that has complied with its reconciliation instructions solely by virtue of section 310(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the chairman of that committee may file with the House appropriately revised allocations under section 302(a) of such Act and revised functional levels and aggregates.

- (2) Upon the submission to the House of a conference report recommending a reconciliation bill or resolution in which a committee has complied with its reconciliation instructions solely by virtue of this section, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House may file with the House appropriately revised allocations under section 302(a) of such Act and revised functional levels and aggregates.
- (3) Allocations and aggregates revised pursuant to this subsection shall be considered to be allocations and aggregates established by the concurrent resolution on the budget pursuant to section 301 of such Act.

SEC. 202. SUBMISSION OF REPORTS ON MANDA-TORY SAVINGS.

In the House, not later than September 15, 2012, all House committees shall identify savings amounting to one percent of total mandatory spending under its jurisdiction from activities that are determined to be wasteful, unnecessary, or lower-priority. For purposes of this section, the reports by each committee shall be inserted in the Congressional Record by the chairman of the Committee on the Budget not later than September 15, 2012.

TITLE III—BUDGET ENFORCEMENT

SEC. 301. DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.

(a) DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.— Spending limits for total discretionary Federal spending are as follows:

Fiscal year 2013: \$931,000,000,000 in new budget authority.
Fiscal year 2014: \$931,000,000,000 in new

budget authority. Fiscal year 2015: \$931,000,000,000 in new

Fiscal year 2016: \$931,000,000,000 in new budget authority.
Fiscal year 2016: \$931,000,000,000 in new

budget authority.
Fiscal year 2017: \$931,000,000,000 in new budget authority.

Fiscal year 2018: \$950,000,000,000 in new budget authority.

Fiscal year 2019: \$969,000,000,000 in new budget authority.

Fiscal year 2020: \$988,000,000,000 in new budget authority.
Fiscal year 2021: \$1,008,000,000,000 in new

budget authority.
Fiscal year 2022: \$1,028,000,000,000 in new budget authority.

(b) ENFORCEMENT.—In the House, it shall not be in order to consider any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that causes discretionary budget authority to exceed any level

set forth in subsection (a). SEC. 302. RESTRICTIONS ON ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—(1) In the House, except as provided in subsection (b), an advance appropriation may not be reported in a bill or joint resolution making a general appropriation or continuing appropriation, and may not be in order as an amendment thereto.
- (2) Managers on the part of the House may not agree to a Senate amendment that would

violate paragraph (1) unless specific authority to agree to the amendment first is given by the House by a separate vote with respect thereto.

- (b) EXCEPTION.—In the House, an advance appropriation may be provided for fiscal year 2013 and fiscal years 2014 for programs, projects, activities or accounts identified in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying this resolution under the heading "Accounts Identified for Advance Appropriations" in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$23,565,000,000 in new budget authority.
- (c) ĎEFINITION.—In this section, the term "advance appropriation" means any discretionary new budget authority in a bill or joint resolution making general appropriations or continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2013 that first becomes available for any fiscal year after 2013.

SEC. 303. EMERGENCY SPENDING.

(a) Designations.-

- (1) GUIDANCE.—In the House, if a provision of legislation is designated as an emergency requirement under this section, the committee report and any statement of managers accompanying that legislation shall include an explanation of the manner in which the provision meets the criteria in paragraph (2). If such legislation is to be considered by the House without being reported, then the committee shall cause the explanation to be published in the Congressional Record in advance of floor consideration.
 - (2) Criteria.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Any such provision is an emergency requirement if the underlying situation poses a threat to life, property, or national security and is—
- (i) sudden, quickly coming into being, and not building up over time;
- (ii) an urgent, pressing, and compelling need requiring immediate action;
- (iii) subject to subparagraph (B), unforeseen, unpredictable, and unanticipated; and
 - (iv) not permanent, temporary in nature.
- (B) UNFORESEEN.—An emergency that is part of an aggregate level of anticipated emergencies, particularly when normally estimated in advance, is not unforeseen.
- (b) ENFORCEMENT.—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider any bill, joint resolution, amendment or conference report that contains an emergency designation unless that designation meets the criteria set out in subsection (a)(2).
- (c) ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider a rule or order that waives the application of subsection (b).
- (d) DISPOSITION OF POINTS OF ORDER IN THE HOUSE.—As disposition of a point of order under subsection (b) or subsection (c), the Chair shall put the question of consideration with respect to the proposition that is the subject of the point of order. A question of consideration under this section shall be debatable for 10 minutes by the Member initiating the point of order and for 10 minutes by an opponent of the point of order, but shall otherwise be decided without intervening motion except one that the House adjourn or that the Committee of the Whole rise, as the case may be.

SEC. 304. CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES RESULTING FROM REALISTIC SCORING OF MEASURES AFFECTING REVENUES.

(a) Whenever the House considers a bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion or conference report, including measures filed in compliance with section 201(b), that propose to change Federal revenues, the impact of such measure on Federal revenues shall be calculated by the Joint Committee on Taxation in a manner that takes into account—

- (1) the impact of the proposed revenue changes on—
- (A) Gross Domestic Product, including the growth rate for the Gross Domestic Product;
 - (B) total domestic employment;
 - (C) gross private domestic investment;
 - (D) general price index;
 - (E) interest rates; and
 - (F) other economic variables; and
- (2) the impact on Federal Revenue of the changes in economic variables analyzed under paragraph (1).
- (b) The chairman of the Committee on the Budget may make any necessary changes to allocations and aggregates in order to conform this concurrent resolution with the determinations made by the Joint Committee on Taxation pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 305. ALLOCATION OF NEW BUDGET AUTHOR-ITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.

For the purposes of budget enforcement, the allocation of new budget authority to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives for fiscal year 2013 is \$931,000,000,000. Such allocation shall be the allocation made pursuant to section 302(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and shall be enforceable under section 302(f)(1) of that Act.

SEC. 306. PROHIBITION ON USING REVENUE IN-CREASES TO COMPLY WITH BUDGET ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES.

- (a) For the purpose of enforcing this concurrent resolution in the House, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall not take into account the provisions of any piece of legislation which propose to increase revenue or offsetting collections if the net effect of the bill is to increase the level of revenue or offsetting collections beyond the level assumed in this concurrent resolution.
- (b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any provision of a piece of legislation that proposes a new or increased fee for the receipt of a defined benefit or service (including insurance coverage) by the person or entity paying the fee.

SEC. 307. APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES.

- (a) APPLICATION.—Any adjustments of allocations and aggregates made pursuant to this resolution shall—
- (1) apply while that measure is under consideration;
- (2) take effect upon the enactment of that measure; and
- (3) be published in the Congressional Record as soon as practicable.
- (b) EFFECT OF CHANGED ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting from these adjustments shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations and aggregates contained in this resolution.
- (c) BUDGET COMMITTEE DETERMINATIONS.—For purposes of this resolution— $\,$
- (1) the levels of new budget authority, outlays, direct spending, new entitlement authority, revenues, deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year or period of fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the appropriate Committee on the Budget; and
- (2) such chairman may make any other necessary adjustments to such levels to carry out this resolution.

SEC. 308. BUDGET PROTECTION MANDATORY ACCOUNT.

(a)(1) The chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall maintain an account to be known as the "Budget Protection Mandatory Account". The Account shall be divided into entries corresponding to the allocations under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 in the most recently

- adopted concurrent resolution on the budget, except that it shall not include the Committee on Appropriations.
- (2) Each entry shall consist only of amounts credited to it under subsection (b). No entry of a negative amount shall be made
- (b)(1) Upon the engrossment of a House bill or joint resolution or a House amendment to a Senate bill or joint resolution (other than an appropriation bill), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall—
- (A) credit the applicable entries of the Budget Protection Mandatory Account by the amounts specified in paragraph (2); and
- (B) reduce the applicable section 302(a) allocations by the amount specified in paragraph (2).
- (2) Each amount specified in paragraph (1)(A) shall be the net reduction in mandatory budget authority (either under current law or proposed by the bill or joint resolution under consideration) provided by each amendment that was adopted in the House to the bill or joint resolution.
- (c)(1) If an amendment includes a provision described in paragraph (2), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall, upon the engrossment of a House bill or joint resolution or a House amendment to a Senate bill or joint resolution, other than an appropriation bill, reduce the level of total revenues set forth in the applicable concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year or for the total of that first fiscal year and the ensuing fiscal years in an amount equal to the net reduction in mandatory authority (either under current law or proposed by a bill or joint resolution under consideration) provided by each amendment adopted by the House to the bill or joint resolution. Such adjustment shall be in addition to the adjustments described in subsection (b).
- (2)(A) The provision specified in paragraph (1) is as follows: "The amount of mandatory budget authority reduced by this amendment may be used to offset a decrease in revenues."
- (B) All points of order are waived against an amendment including the text specified in subparagraph (A) provided the amendment is otherwise in order.
 - (d) As used in this rule, the term—
 (1) "appropriation bill" means any general
- (1) "appropriation bill" means any general or special appropriation bill, and any bill or joint resolution making supplemental, deficiency, or continuing appropriations through the end of fiscal year 2008 or any subsequent fiscal year, as the case may be.
- (2) "mandatory budget authority" means any entitlement authority as defined by, and interpreted for purposes of, the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.
- (e) During the consideration of any bill or joint resolution, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall maintain a running tally, which shall be available to all Members, of the amendments adopted reflecting increases and decreases of budget authority in the bill or joint resolution.

SEC. 309. BUDGET DISCRETIONARY ACCOUNTS.

- (a)(1) The chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall maintain an account to be known as the "Budget Protection Discretionary Account". The Account shall be divided into entries corresponding to the allocation to the Committee on Appropriations, and the committee's suballocations, under section 302(a) and 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.
- (2) Each entry shall consist only of amounts credited to it under subsection (b). No entry of a negative amount shall be made.
- (b)(1) Upon the engrossment of a House appropriations bill, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall—

- (A) credit the applicable entries of the Budget Protection Discretionary Account by the amounts specified in paragraph (2).
- (B) reduce the applicable 302(a) and (b) allocations by the amount specified in paragraph (2).
- (2) Each amount specified in subparagraph (A) shall be the net reduction in discretionary budget authority provided by each amendment adopted by the House to the bill or joint resolution.
- (c)(1) If an amendment includes a provision described in paragraph (2), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall, upon the engrossment of a House appropriations bill, reduce the level of total revenues set forth in the applicable concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year or for the total of that first fiscal year and the ensuing fiscal years in an amount equal to the net reduction in discretionary budget authority provided by each amendment that was adopted by the House to the bill or joint resolution. Such adjustment shall be in addition to the adjustments described in subsection (b).
- (2)(A) The provision specified in paragraph (1) is as follows: "The amount of discretionary budget authority reduced by this amendment may be used to offset a decrease in revenues."
- (B) All points of order are waived against an amendment including the text specified in subparagraph (A) provided the amendment is otherwise in order.
- (d) As used in this rule, the term "appropriation bill" means any general or special appropriation bill, and any bill or joint resolution making supplemental, deficiency, or continuing appropriations through the end of fiscal year 2013 or any subsequent fiscal year, as the case may be.
- (e) During the consideration of any bill or joint resolution, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall maintain a running tally, which shall be available to all Members, of the amendments adopted reflecting increases and decreases of budget authority in the bill or joint resolution.

SEC. 310. TREATMENT OF RESCISSION BILLS IN THE HOUSE.

- (a)(1) By February 1, May 1, July 30, and November 11 of each session of Congress, the majority leader shall introduce a rescission bill. If such bill is not introduced by that date, then whenever a rescission bill is introduced during a session on or after that date, a motion to discharge the committee from its consideration shall be privileged after the 10-legislative day period beginning on that date for the first 5 such bills.
- (2) It shall not be in order to offer any amendment to a rescission bill except an amendment that increases the amount of budget authority that such bill rescinds.
- (b) Whenever a rescission bill passes the House, the Committee on the Budget shall immediately reduce the applicable allocations under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 by the total amount of reductions in budget authority and in outlays resulting from such rescission bill.
- (c)(1) It shall not be in order to consider any rescission bill, or conference report thereon or amendment thereto, unless—
- (A) in the case of such bill or conference report thereon, it is made available to Members and the general public on the Internet for at least 48 hours before its consideration; or
- (B)(i) in the case of an amendment to such rescission bill made in order by a rule, it is made available to Members and the general public on the Internet within one hour after the rule is filed; or
- (ii) in the case of an amendment under an open rule, it is made available to Members

and the general public on the Internet immediately after being offered; in a format that is searchable and sortable.

- (2) No amendment to an amendment to a rescission bill shall be in order unless germane to the amendment to which it is offered
- (d) As used in this section, the term "rescission bill" means a bill or joint resolution which only rescinds, in whole or in part, budget authority and which includes only titles corresponding to the most recently enacted appropriation bills that continue to include unobligated balances.

SEC. 311. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING BASELINE REVENUE PROJECTIONS.

For purposes of constructing its baseline revenue projections, the Congressional Budget Office should assume that any tax provision which is scheduled to expire under current law will be extended through the duration of any budget forecast by Congressional Budget Office so as to ensure that expiring tax provisions and expiring spending programs (other than direct appropriations) are treated in like fashion.

SEC. 312. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING LONG-TERM BUDGET PROJECTIONS.

For purposes of constructing its ten-year and long-term budget projection reports, the Congressional Budget Office should include an alternative scenario that assumes that mandatory spending programs grow at the same rate as average, projected nominal gross domestic product (GDP).

SEC. 313. MAKE IT EASIER TO AMEND APPROPRIATION BILLS.

The first sentence of clause 2(c) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives is amended by inserting ", except to the extent that it is a germane amendment to an authorizing provision or a line item appropriation of the bill under consideration" after "changing existing law".

TITLE IV—EARMARK MORATORIUM SEC. 401. EARMARK MORATORIUM.

- (a) Point of Order.—It shall not be in order to consider— $\,$
- (1) a bill or joint resolution reported by any committee, or any amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that includes a congressional earmark, limited tax benefit, or limited tariff benefit; or
- (2) a bill or joint resolution not reported by any committee, or any amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that includes a congressional earmark, limited tax benefit, or limited tariff benefit.
- (b) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this resolution, the terms "congressional earmark", "limited tax benefit", and "limited tariff benefit" have the meaning given those terms in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.
- (c) SPECIAL RULE.—The point of order under subsection (a) shall only apply to legislation providing or authorizing discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority, providing a Federal tax deduction, credit, or exclusion, or modifying the Harmonized Tariff Schedule in fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013.
- (d) INAPPLICABILITY.—This resolution shall not apply to any authorization of appropriations to a Federal entity if such authorization is not specifically targeted to a State, locality or congressional district.

SEC. 402. LIMITATION OF AUTHORITY OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES.

The House Committee on Rules may not report a rule or order that would waive the point of order set forth in the first section of this resolution.

TITLE V—POLICY

SEC. 501. POLICY STATEMENT ON HEALTH CARE LAW REPEAL.

It is the policy of this resolution that the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

(Public Law 111-148), and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-152) should be repealed.

SEC. 502. POLICY STATEMENT ON BAILOUTS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

It is the policy of this resolution that the Federal Government should not bailout State and local governments, including State and local government employee pension plans and other post-employment benefit plans.

SEC. 503. POLICY STATEMENT ON MEANS-TESTED WELFARE PROGRAMS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that:
- (1) In 1996, President Bill Clinton and congressional Republicans enacted reforms that have moved families off of Federal programs and enabled them to provide for themselves.
- (2) According to the most recent projections, over the next 10 years we will spend approximately \$10 trillion on means-tested welfare programs.
- (3) Today, there are approximately 70 Federal programs that provide benefits specifically to poor and low-income Americans.
- (4) Taxpayers deserve clear and transparent information on how well these programs are working, and how much the Federal Government is spending on means-tested welfare.
- (b) POLICY ON MEANS-TESTED WELFARE PROGRAMS.—It is the policy of this resolution that the President's budget should disclose, in a clear and transparent manner, the aggregate amount of Federal welfare expenditures, as well as an estimate of State and local spending for this purpose, over the next ten years.

SEC. 504. POLICY STATEMENT ON REFORMING THE FEDERAL BUDGET PROCESS.

It is the policy of this resolution that the Federal budget process should be reformed so that it is easier to reduce Federal spending than it is to increase it by enacting reforms included in the Spending, Deficit, and Debt Control Act of 2009 (H.R. 3964, 111th Congress).

SEC. 505. POLICY STATEMENT ON REFORMING FEDERAL REGULATION.

It is the policy of this resolution that the cost of regulations on job creators should be reduced by enacting title II of the Jobs Through Growth Act (H.R. 3400), as introduced on November 10, 2011.

SEC. 506. POLICY STATEMENT ON MEDICARE.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The House finds the following:
- (1) More than 50 million Americans depend on Medicare for their health security.
- (2) The Medicare Trustees Report has repeatedly recommended that Medicare's long-term financial challenges be addressed soon. Each year without reform, the financial condition of Medicare becomes more precarious and the threat to those in and near retirement becomes more pronounced. According to the Congressional Budget Office—
- (A) the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund will be exhausted in 2022 and unable to pay scheduled benefits; and
- (B) Medicare spending is growing faster than the economy and Medicare outlays are currently rising at a rate of 6.3 percent per year, and under the Congressional Budget Office's alternative fiscal scenario, direct spending on Medicare is projected to reach 7 percent of GDP by 2035 and 14 percent of GDP by 2085.
- (3) Failing to address this problem will leave millions of American seniors without adequate health security and younger generations burdened with enormous debt to pay for spending levels that cannot be sustained.
- (b) POLICY ON MEDICARE REFORM.—It is the policy of this resolution to protect those in and near retirement from any disruptions to their Medicare benefits and offer future

beneficiaries the same health care options available to Members of Congress.

- (c) ASSUMPTIONS.—This resolution assumes reform of the Medicare program such that:
- (1) Current Medicare benefits are preserved for those in and near retirement, without changes.
- (2) For future generations, when they reach eligibility, Medicare is reformed to provide a premium support payment and a selection of guaranteed health coverage options from which recipients can choose a plan that best suits their needs.
- (3) Medicare will provide additional assistance for lower-income beneficiaries and those with greater health risks.
- (4) Medicare spending is put on a sustainable path and the Medicare program becomes solvent over the long-term.

SEC. 507. POLICY STATEMENT ON DEFICIT REDUCTION THROUGH THE CANCELLATION OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The House finds the following:
- (1) According to the Office of Management and Budget, Federal agencies will hold \$698 billion in unobligated balances at the close of fiscal year 2013.
- (2) These funds represent direct and discretionary spending made available by Congress that remain available for expenditure beyond the fiscal year for which they are provided.
- (3) In some cases, agencies are granted funding and it remains available for obligation indefinitely.
- (4) The Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 requires the Office of Management and Budget to make funds available to agencies for obligation and prohibits the Administration from withholding or cancelling unobligated funds unless approved by an act of Congress.
- (5) Greater congressional oversight is required to review and identify potential savings from unneeded balances of funds.
- (b) POLICY ON DEFICIT REDUCTION THROUGH THE CANCELLATION OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES.—Congressional committees shall through their oversight activities identify and achieve savings through the cancellation or rescission of unobligated balances that neither abrogate contractual obligations of the Federal Government nor reduce or disrupt Federal commitments under programs such as Social Security, veterans' affairs, national security, and Treasury authority to finance the national debt.
- (c) DEFICIT REDUCTION.—Congress, with the assistance of the Government Accountability Office, the Inspectors General, and other appropriate agencies should make it a high priority to review unobligated balances and identify savings for deficit reduction.

SEC. 508. POLICY STATEMENT ON BLOCK GRANT-ING MEDICAID.

It is the policy of this resolution that Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) should be block granted to the states by enacting the State Health Flexibility Act of 2012 (H.R. 4160) as introduced on March 7, 2012.

Amend the title so as to read: "Concurrent resolution establishing the budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2013 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2012 and fiscal years 2014 through 2022."

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 597, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GARRETT) and a Member opposed each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. GARRETT. Madam Chair, I yield myself 2 minutes.

Last week, the House Republicans introduced a budget that takes the first step towards reversing the path to debt and decline that the President and his fellow Democrats have laid out for the American people. Today the Republican Study Committee, the RSC, builds off of that work and offers a plan to further cut spending and balance the budget in just 5 years.

With real spending cuts today, enforceable spending cuts for tomorrow, and commonsense changes to strengthen our Nation's safety net programs and pro-growth tax reform, we can finally restore much-needed certainty to the economy and reopen America for business.

To say that President Obama and Senate Democrats have failed to lead on the most predictable economic crisis in our history would be an understatement. Senate Democrats have not been in the debate at all, failing to pass a budget for over 1,000 days. The President's most recent attempt at a budget—well, it came a week late, and it adds literally trillions of dollars to our Nation's debt.

Every American family understands the necessity of a balanced budget. Families also understand that setting a budget sometimes is difficult. It requires difficult choices. But even with accounting gimmicks and the massive tax increases, our President's budget never, ever balances. This is a void in leadership, and it has substantial consequences on real Americans all across this country.

So, today, the RSC budget represents a clear, practical way for our economy to—what?—begin to grow again. How do we do that? First, we repeal ObamaCare once and for all. Next, we cut discretionary spending, and we eliminate programs that are unconstitutional, duplicative, or harmful. Perhaps most importantly, we don't kick this can down the road and punt these tough decisions. We actually save our national safety net programs that are currently going bankrupt today.

So with these commonsense solutions and by harnessing the power of competition between private insurance plans and improving at the same time the quality of care, we put Medicare on the path to long-term solvency. This offers a real plan for the future. Today I urge all to support the Republican Study Committee substitute.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. I rise in opposition to this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Maryland is recognized for 15 minutes.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Yesterday we debated the Republican budget plan. Today, we have a plan that's more of the same, except on steroids

As we debated yesterday, the question is not whether we should reduce

the deficit or whether we should reduce the debt. Of course we should. The question is how we do it. And we should do it in a way that doesn't damage the ongoing economic recovery, which this proposal does. We should do it in a way that is balanced, meaning we have shared responsibility. The Democratic alternative that we'll debate shortly has that balance.

We make difficult spending cuts but we also cut a lot of the loopholes and special breaks in the Tax Code because if you don't do any of that to reduce the deficit, it means you've got to reduce the deficit at the expense of everyone and everything else. And that, unfortunately, is what this budget does as well.

It ends the Medicare guarantee for seniors. It slashes Medicaid very deeply, cutting the program by more than a third by the year 2022, where two-thirds of the funding for that program goes to seniors in nursing homes and disabled individuals. It cuts deeply into education funding, both for prekindergarten/preschool as well as college. It cuts deeply into those important investments, including transportation, which we were debating earlier today. In fact, their transportation proposal would cut transportation spending next year by 46 percent, even though we have 17 percent unemployment in the construction industry.

So this budget, like the one yesterday, makes the wrong choices for America. We can reduce our deficits and debt. Let's just do it in a balanced way with shared responsibility.

With that, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlelady from Nevada (Ms. BERKLEY).
Ms. BERKLEY. I thank the gen-

tleman from Maryland for yielding.

Madam Chairman, I rise in strong opposition to both the Garrett substitute and the Ryan budget.

Today's debate is about one thing: priorities. Should Nevada seniors be the priority for the United States Congress? Or should Wall Street and Big Oil companies be the priority? The Republican budget proposal answers that question very clearly.

Instead of tackling Nevada's record unemployment and foreclosure rates, Washington Republicans are, instead, advocating to kill Medicare by turning it over to profit-hungry insurance companies. This proposal would raise the premiums for Nevada's seniors by up to \$6,000 a year.

\square 1220

Why would Republicans do this? In order to pay for more tax breaks for corporations that ship good-paying American jobs overseas or to continue taxpayer giveaways to Big Oil companies that made a record \$137 billion in profits last year alone?

Madam Chair, these are the wrong priorities. Wall Street millionaires and Big Oil companies don't need our help. They're doing just fine. But Nevada seniors are struggling to make ends meet. Putting private insurance com-

panies in between patients and their doctors would just make things worse.

I encourage all of my colleagues to join me in rejecting this plan and any plan that has the wrong priorities and tries to kill Medicare by turning it over to private insurance companies whose only interest is profits and not the health and well-being of our seniors

Mr. GARRETT. At this time, I yield 2 minutes to the chairman of the RSC, Mr. JORDAN.

Mr. JORDAN. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I just want to respond to two arguments my friend from Maryland has made in his remarks and, frankly, made the last 2 days in this debate.

First, he says we need a balanced approach. Everyone understands when Democrats talk about a balanced approach, what they mean is raising taxes now and, oh, we promise—and you can count on this promise because it's coming from politicians—we promise we will cut spending later.

I would like to point out: If it's so important to raise taxes on the American people and on certain businesses, why in the world didn't the Democrats do this just 24 months ago when they controlled all of government? In fact, they had a filibuster-proof majority in the Senate just 24 months ago. If it was so critical, why didn't you do it then? So this balanced approach is not going to fly.

The other argument they make is somehow our proposal that Mr. GAR-RETT and his team put together, which I strongly support, that somehow it's going to hurt economic growth. Someone's got to explain to me how getting to balance in 5 years and then beginning to pay off a \$16 trillion debt, a debt that is now bigger than our entire economy, bigger than our entire GDP. someone's got to explain to me how that will hurt economic growth. I actually think it will probably prevent a downgrade, unlike last summer. If we'd have adopted this budget last summer, my guess is we wouldn't have gotten a downgrade from S&P.

So I just want to commend the gentleman from New Jersey and his team for his hard work and make this final point.

One of the things that makes our country special is this simple phenomena: parents make sacrifices for their kids so that when they grow up they have life better than they did. They, in turn, do it for their children. And each generation in this country has done it for the next—until today.

Today, for the first time in American history, we have a political class who's living for the moment, spending for the moment, and sending the bill to the next generation. It is wrong; it is unfair; it is immoral. The only budget that's going to get us to balance in a reasonable period of time, in a commonsense period of time that the American people understand, is the budget that Mr. Garrett and his team have put together.

So I strongly support it and urge my colleagues to vote "yes."

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL).

Mr. ENGEL. I thank my friend for vielding to me.

I rise in opposition to this budget and in opposition to the extreme Republican budget. Budgets are about priorities. And what are the priorities of my Republican friends? Protect the wealthiest in this country, protect big corporations, kill the seniors, and hurt middle class people. This is just nothing that makes sense.

Their budget slashes services for the elderly, slashes Pell Grants, slashes education services, slashes services of those with disabilities, and increases tax cuts for the wealthiest people and the wealthiest corporations. That's the Republican priority. They go after Medicare, go after Medicaid, and give increased tax breaks to wealthy people.

I don't think those are the priorities of the American people. I think the priorities of the American people are in the Democrat programs.

Let me remind my friend on the other side of the aisle, for 6 years, under Mr. Bush, they controlled the Senate and the House and the Presidency and did none of this—none of getting back to basics with the budget and red ink as far as the eye can see. So the newfound religion we see on the other side, please spare me.

What we do see from the other side, again, is to protect the wealthiest, Big Oil, big corporations, hurt Medicare and Medicaid, hurt the middle class, and tax breaks for the rich. Those are the Republican priorities.

On the Democratic side, we care about the average person who's struggling to make ends meet. We want to help the average person go to school. These are our priorities.

Which are the priorities of the American people? I think it's the Democratic priorities.

Mr. GARRETT. At this time, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana, a man who understands that our President has failed to lead by not presenting us a balanced budget, so he has presented one through the RSC, Mr. SCALISE.

Mr. SCALISE. I thank the gentleman from New Jersey for bringing this amendment forward, this budget that implements what we would consider a balanced approach, and that's what we call cut, cap, and balance.

That's what's so important about this amendment, this budget that we bring forward with the RSC, is that, number one, the most important thing is we finally control the wasteful Washington spending that has added mountains and mountains of debt on the backs of our children and grand-children, which is just immoral. It's wrong and surely not fair to send the bill for all this spending to our children and grandchildren and continue it on autopilot, as President Obama's budget

did—President Obama's budget, by the way, which got no votes. Not even one Democrat voted for the President's budget.

The contrast we bring here today is that in 5 years we will have a balanced budget under this amendment that's being brought forward. So we cut spending in areas where we've been needing to finally control spending like families are controlling spending back home.

When families deal with tough economic times, they've already done this. They tighten their belts and they make do with what they've got and they live within their means. And Washington has refused to do it. We finally put those fiscal constraints in Washington. But then we also put caps in place so that until we get to a balanced budget, there's a freeze on discretionary spending so that we're able to finally get to what is ultimately a balanced Federal budget in 5 years.

And we go further. Of course, we repeal ObamaCare, which is something that's been so devastating already to so many families that have lost the health care that they like, and so many other things like the tax increases that go with it—tax increases, by the way, which in many areas hit middle class families real hard. We abolish that.

We even go further. We save Medicare. President Obama's budget actually escalates Medicare's bankruptcy. In 12 years—and this, by the way, is from President Obama's own Medicare actuaries—Medicare goes bankrupt. They're willing to sit by and let that happen. We're not willing to do that. We're going to save Medicare. This budget does that, too. It has those reforms that Chairman RYAN brought forward that actually put Medicare back on a sustainable growth path.

And then we have commonsense tax reform that actually lowers overall rates

This is a great budget that's been brought forward that's finally responsible to address our problems.

Mr. VAN HOLLEÑ. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume

Again, the reason the Republican budget and this budget do things like end the Medicare guarantee, do things like cut deeply into education for our kids' future, do things like cut Medicaid by over \$800 billion over 10 years, is because they're not asking the very wealthy to share more responsibility in reducing the deficit. In fact, they double down on tax cuts.

If you see from this chart from the Nonpartisan Tax Policy Institute, simply by locking in the portion of the Bush tax cuts that benefit the wealthy, millionaires, on average—people making over a million dollars a years—will get \$129,000. Then you heard talk about how they're going to drop the top rate from 35 percent to 25 percent. That would give people earning a million dollars over \$265,000.

On top of that, they say they're going to do that in a deficit-neutral manner. Well, to do that, you've got to make up \$4.6 trillion in revenue loss. They're going to do it by getting rid of all those deductions. One of the biggest ones is the mortgage interest deduction that helps middle-income people.

So the net result of what they're saying is more tax cuts for the folks at the very top financed by increasing the tax burden on middle-income Americans and financed by cutting important investments that help grow our economy.

With that, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MORAN).

Mr. MORAN. Madam Chairman, this budget proposal is a stunningly radical document because at its core is a massive redistribution of income from the economically disadvantaged to the wealthiest members of our society.

In order to fund historic, unnecessary, and unsustainable tax cuts for the rich, this Republican budget would require us to nearly eliminate our ability as a government to invest in our physical and human infrastructure.

\sqcap 1230

In other words, it shows no faith in our Nation's future. It puts our future in the hands of those who can afford to live in gated communities and invest in foreign economies. In fact, more than two-thirds of the non-defense cuts in this Republican plan come from programs that directly benefit low-income Americans. The path laid out by this resolution is one where, in my children's lifetime, most of the Federal Government, with the exception of defense, Social Security, and health care, would no longer have the money to function.

Now, what does it mean to virtually eliminate non-defense discretionary spending? That's a budgetary term. But that includes research at NIH; roads and public transportation; transit funding; Head Start; education support; FBI; drug enforcement; food, meat, and drug inspections; no national park maintenance or environmental protection. That's what it means to virtually eliminate these functions of the government.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. I yield the gentleman from Virginia an additional 30 seconds.

Mr. MORAN. Madam Chairman, this is not a budget for the America that we know today. It's a budget for Grover Norquist's America—a radical, conservative fantasy land where government is no longer fiscally able to play a role protecting those who need it most, protecting our most precious natural resources and investing in the job creation initiatives that will enable us to move forward as a people. That is not a vision that we should want to see passed into law, let alone into reality.

Mr. GARRETT. Madam Chairman, at this time, I am pleased to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Kansas who understands that this administration has failed our children by continuing to take from them so this administration can spend today.

Mr. HUELSKAMP. I appreciate the hard work of my colleague from New Jersey.

Today I rise in proud support of the RSC budget that we're discussing here today. This budget offers a clear vision for fiscal responsibility and limited government as well as a path toward accomplishing that vision.

In just 3 days, the United States will have the highest corporate business tax rate in the world. In a matter of months, every American, every business owner and every investor will be subject to higher taxes as a result of the expiration of the Bush-Obama tax cuts. That's right, the Bush-Obama tax cuts will expire.

This budget addresses these looming challenges not only by proposing to lower tax rates, but it also includes the ticket to make them a reality with reconciliation instructions that require Congress to vote before September 15 on comprehensive tax reform that will actually create jobs in America.

On another note, this budget vastly improves Medicare and helps our most needy. The costs of this program are consuming our already cash-strapped Federal and State coffers. In many States, it's not uncommon to spend more on Medicaid than on K-12 education. In converting Medicaid to a block grant program, we will enhance State-level accountability, respect the 10th Amendment, and give States the freedom, flexibility and, yes, accountability they need in order to serve their citizens better at the local and State level.

I urge all my colleagues to support this budget as the answer to accomplishing America's priorities of cutting spending, keeping taxes low, creating jobs, and balancing our budget in a matter of years, not decades.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Oregon, a member of the Budget Committee, Mr. Blumenauer.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Make no mistake, this budget is actually the heart of the budget philosophy of our friends from the other side of the aisle. This is where they want to take America. Do vou remember last time it almost passed until the leadership was horrified, seeing that it was winning. Then they started twisting arms to have people change their votes so it would go down? It is disconnected from the real life consequences of average Americans and what America needs.

There's a certain irony. We just approved a short-term extension of the transportation bill which makes it impossible to use the full construction cycle this summer because the Republicans would not allow a vote on the bipartisan bill that passed the Senate. They were afraid it would pass and we would have stability for 2 years.

The Ryan Budget Committee budget will cut transportation 46 percent at a

time when America's infrastructure desperately needs additional investment. And this budget doesn't even identify the depths of the cut. They shove it all into function 920, so it's disguised, but it's likely 10 percent or more below the already intolerable levels of the Ryan budget.

This is not what people are hearing from folks at home in terms of what America needs to put people back to work, to strengthen our communities. to deal with problems of water, sewer, transportation, failing bridges and transit. It fails a fundamental test of the partnership we've had for the last 66 years of a national priority to rebuild, renew, and focus on transportation and infrastructure.

This is just one more reason why we should reject both of these alternatives and support the program that has been offered by my friend from the Budget Committee.

Mr. GARRETT. At this time, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina, who has been a stalwart leader in the legislation before us in trying to have the U.S. live within a balanced budget.

Mr. MULVANEY. Madam Chairman, I thank my colleague from New Jersey for the opportunity. We can and will. obviously, over the course of this day, say a lot about this budget—a lot of bad things about this budget. I prefer to focus on one positive thing above all others-one thing. This budget actually balances. The budget actually balances. Five years it takes to do that. It's not easy. In fact, it's very, very hard to do that.

It's easier to borrow money. In fact, the reason that we borrow so much money is because it's easier to do that than it is to go home and tell people that we have to make hard decisions in order to balance the budget, and we're afraid that if we go home and tell people that we have to make difficult decisions, that they won't send us back the next term. And make no mistake about it, the most important thing in many people's minds in this Chamber is to make sure they come back next term.

This budget challenges that. This

budget balances.

The President's does not. We took it up last night, and it failed overwhelmingly. No one supported it. It never balances. Later today, we'll take up the Democratic budget, which also never balances. Budgets that never balance raise a legitimate moral question, a moral issue. If you borrow money with the intention of paying it back, that is debt. There's no question. If you borrow money intending to pay it back, it's debt. If you borrow money never intending to pay it back, that is theft. That is theft, and that is what the President's budget represents. That is what the Democrat budget represents. That's what so many budgets over the course of the last generations in this town have represented. We have borrowed money with no plan and no intention ever to pay it back. And too

many budgets in here today will simply continue that cycle.

It's wrong. It's wrong to do to our children and our grandchildren, and it's wrong to do for ourselves. You should never take something and not even have a plan to pay it back. Say what you want to about the Republican Study Committee budget, say what you want to later on about the Republican budget that Mr. RYAN and the committee are offering, but at least at the very end of the day, they offer some way to pay back the money that we borrowed, and for those reasons alone, they merit our support.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, we'll talk more later about the Democratic alternative and how we address the deficit in a serious and credible way without doing it in a manner that provides a windfall tax break to folks at the top at the expense of evervbody else.

For now, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey, a member of the Budget Committee, Mr. PASCRELL.

Mr. PASCRELL. Madam Chair, just when you thought it couldn't get anv worse, it does. I've listened to these words. "Empty" and "pyrrhic" come to my mind. How in God's name can you speak across the floor to the people on this side and imply that the President is guilty of thievery or theft when, from 2001 to now, here's the record and I'll wait if you want to interject. Please stand and say "you're wrong": 2001, tax cuts, not paid for; 2003, tax cuts, not paid for.

Mr. MULVANEY. Will the gentleman vield?

Mr. PASCRELL. No, not yet. I'm not finished. Then you can interject your thoughts. Don't look so startled, because what you've said is startling. You didn't pay for those two tax cuts, you didn't pay for two wars, and you didn't pay for the prescription drug plan that you put into effect. In fact. you didn't even vote for it, Mr. Chairman, yourself.

The point of the matter is, you pay for nothing, then you're accusing usyou're accusing those on this side of the aisle of not being responsible? Do you know what you've done? By 2020, the portion of the debt gets bigger because of those things you folks did a few years ago, and you have amnesia about it.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. The Chair must remind Members to address their remarks to the Chair, not to others in the second person.

□ 1240

Mr. MULVANEY. Will the gentleman

Mr. PASCRELL. I yield to the gentleman from South Carolina.

Mr. MULVANEY. I thank my friend from New Jersey, for whom I have a great deal of respect, Madam Chairwoman. And what he says is correct. What he says is absolutely and without reservation correct. What this government did during the first half of this

decade was wrong. Borrowing the money as we did was wrong. To continue it, Madam Chairwoman, is just as wrong.

Mr. PASCRELL. Madam Chairman, I take back my time. I think I've been generous about that.

The only difference is, the President who was the President in 2001—I'm glad you agree with me—came into circumstances very different from the President who raised his hand in January of 2009, wasn't it? In 2000, we had a surplus of \$5 trillion.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. I yield the gen-

tleman another 30 seconds.

Mr. PASCRELL. When this President raised his hand, we were losing 750,000 jobs a year, number one; and, number two, we had a deficit beyond belief, Madam Chairman. And for us to compare, you must believe in fairy tales.

Now, if you want to talk about a budget that's in balance, we can do that; but if we continue on this path and not recognize history, we will never come to balance. Let's be honest.

Mr. GARRETT. At this time, I would like to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK), who understands, first and foremost, that Washington must do what every family in the United States does, and that is to balance its budget.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. I thank the gen-

tleman for yielding.

Madam Chairman, this Nation is on a collision course with a sovereign debt crisis, the magnitude of which we have never experienced. This is not some moonless night on the Atlantic. We are spending full speed ahead toward that iceberg of debt in the full light of day, and we can all see that plainly.

The House budget turns the ship just barely enough to avoid hitting that same hazard which has already wrecked Greece. The RSC budget turns us promptly and safely. It builds on the House Budget Committee's work, but within the budget passed by the House last year as adjusted by the sequester.

I've heard the descriptions—it's draconian, it's radical, it's extreme. It returns us to the spending levels before the Obama-Pelosi spending binge began in 2008. That might sound extreme to my friends across the aisle, but I assure them many families have been working within flat or even diminished family budgets since then and they have every right to expect that their government, over the next 5 years, does what they have already been doing over the past 5-work hard, waste not, and live within your means. If we were to do so, this Nation could see a balanced budget again within 5 years and redeem its rightful place as the respected financial leader of the world.

We know the challenge. We see the American Dream at risk. And we know that we have but a fleeting moment in history to avoid the hardest times our Nation has ever known.

We still have a chance to place our retirement systems on a sound financial footing, arrest the debilitating spiral of debt that threatens the very survival of our Nation, and return our economy to the prosperity it has known when it has enjoyed what Jefferson called a "wise and frugal government."

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Maryland has 134 minutes remaining, and the gentleman from New Jersey has 3½ minutes remaining.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GARRETT. At this time, I'd like to yield 3 minutes then to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GRAVES), who also has been a leader on this in order to make sure that this House does what the American public asks for, to live within our means and to bring this country to prosperity.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, these are serious times. We're hearing a lot of rhetoric here today. We've got some revisionist history. There's a lack of recollection that in 2006 and 2007 this body was in control by the Democrats, the Senate was controlled by the Democrats, and then the President inherited a mess from the Democrats that were in control of these bodies, of which he was a part. A little bit of revisionist history going on here today.

But the fact that the Members on the other side can stand here and look into these cameras, into the faces of the children all across this Nation and not provide them a solution is appalling. Every time it is: let's push it off, let's push it off further. We have no plan to balance the budget, we have no plan to pay off the debt, but we have a balanced approach to continue down the same path. Now, a balanced approach, that's like straddling the fence: it gets you nowhere, and at some point you're going to fall off this fence, and it's going to hurt.

Today, we have the opportunity to reverse this trend of trillion-dollar deficits and balance the budget in 5 years. Today, we will decide whether to stop borrowing from the future to pay for the present. This budget presents a path to the balanced budget without raising taxes. It eliminates the death tax; it unlocks America's energy sources. This budget unleashes the power and ingenuity of America's job creators and addresses the entitlement elephant that is this impending path of insolvency that lays before us. In 6 years, Madam Chair, we will begin paying down the debt with this budget that's before us.

So we should no longer accept the Democrats' and President Obama's decision to take us down this road to ruin, because we have a choice. It's a choice between two destinies: it's a destiny of debt and dependency—the wrong path—or it's the choice of a different path. Maybe it's one of opportunity and prosperity, Madam Chair. I say we choose the path of opportunity and prosperity. This budget—the budget I refer to not as the RSC budget, but

as America's budget—will put us on that path to prosperity and opportunity.

Madam Chair, I encourage every Member of this body, regardless of party, to support this budget because it is the children who are looking out on us today, looking for that solution, looking for a positive answer, and looking for us to work together. This is that opportunity.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman

from New Jersey has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. GARRETT. If there are no other speakers, then I will close with the remaining time.

Madam Chairman, as we come to the floor today, it is agreed on both sides that there is plenty of blame to go around as to how we got into this mess. Republican and Democrat on both sides of the aisle, this administration and past administrations as well are to blame. We can point fingers all day at blame, but what we should come here today to do is point the finger at the solution to this problem.

The solution is the budget that we see on the floor today. The solution is the RSC budget that we have here today on the floor. The solution is to make sure that we do on the floor today what every single family in this country and what every single business in this country has always had to do, and that is to make the tough choices, and that is to make the hard choices, and that is to live within our means, and that is to have a balanced budget.

This is the only budget that will come to the floor today that will actually do all that. This is the only budget that will come that will make sure that we actually balance—not within 50 years, 40 years, 30 years, 20 years, 10 years. We will actually balance within 5 years, and we will do so at the same time that we protect the safety net for our seniors today and in the future. We will do so at the same time that we protect our children in the future. We will do so at the same time that we make sure that we do not borrow from the future to pay the bills today.

I ask you to support the only budget that does all those things. Support the RSC budget.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, one thing I hope we can all agree on is that we need to protect our children and grandchildren and future generations. The question is not whether we need to do that. Of course we do. The issue is how. I keep hearing my colleagues come forward and passionately talk about that, but they're absolutely unwilling to take the balanced approach that has been recommended by bipartisan groups. Everyone that's looked at this challenge says we've got to take a combination of tough spending cuts, but we also need some revenue from closing tax loopholes and asking folks at the very top to go back to what they were paying during the

Clinton administration—by the way, the last time that we had a balanced budget.

\Box 1250

And yet, despite all that talk, they don't want us to close one loophole. In fact, almost every Republican in this House has signed this pledge to Grover Norquist saying they won't cut one tax loophole for the purpose of deficit reduction; that they won't ask folks making \$1 million to contribute any more to deficit reduction. In fact, they propose to give them another windfall tax cut.

That's the choice they make, and because of that choice, they cut our investment in education for our kids. Thev cut investments that strengthen our economy, help build our infrastructure so we can outcompete and outbuild and outeducate the rest of the world. That's what we need to do for the future of our children.

I urge everybody to vote against this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. All time for debate has expired.

The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GARRETT).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. GARRETT. Madam Chair, in light of the fact that this House just weeks ago voted * * *

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman will suspend.

The gentleman has not been recognized for debate.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. GARRETT. I ask for a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been requested. Those in favor of taking this vote by a recorded vote will rise. A sufficient number having risen. a recorded vote is ordered. Members will record their vote by electronic device.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 136, noes 285, answered "present" 3, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 149]

AYES-136

Adams Coble Graves (GA) Coffman (CO) Akin Graves (MO) Amash Cole Griffin (AR) Conaway Amodei Griffith (VA) Austria Culberson Guinta Bachmann DesJarlais Hall Bartlett Duncan (SC) Harper Barton (TX) Ellmers Harris Bishop (UT) Farenthold Hartzler Black Blackburn Fincher Hensarling Flake Herger Boustany Fleischmann Huelskamp Brady (TX) Fleming Huizenga (MI) Brooks Flores Hultgren Broun (GA) Foxx Hunter Bucshon Franks (AZ) Issa Jenkins Buerkle Gardner Burgess Garrett Johnson (IL) Burton (IN) Gingrey (GA) Johnson, Sam Campbell Gohmert Jordan Goodlatte King (IA) Cassidy Chabot Gosar Kingston Gowdy Chaffetz Kline

Lamborn Lance Landry Lankford Latta Long Lummis Manzullo Marchant McCaul McClintock McCotter McHenry McMorris Rodgers Mica Miller, Gary Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Myrick

Neugebauer

Nunnelee

Ackerman

Aderholt

Altmire

Andrews

Bachus

Baldwin

Barletta

Barrow

Bass (CA)

Bass (NH)

Becerra.

Berman

Biggert

Bilbray

Bilirakis

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Bonamici

Bono Mack

Brady (PA)

Braley (IA)

Brown (FL)

Buchanan

Calvert

Canseco

Cantor

Capito

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carney

Carter

Chu

Clay

Cleaver

Clyburn

Conyers

Cooper

Costello

Courtney

Cravaack

Crawford

Crenshaw

Critz

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

Davis (KY)

DeFazio

DeGette

DeLauro

Kucinich

Rogers (KY)

Costa

Cohen

Chandler

Cicilline

Carnahan

Carson (IN)

Castor (FL)

Clarke (MI)

Clarke (NY)

Connolly (VA)

Butterfield

Bonner

Boren

Boswell

Berg Berkley

Benishek

Labrador

Olson Scott (SC) Palazzo Scott, Austin Paul Sensenbrenner Pearce Sessions Pence Shimkus Pitts Shuster Poe (TX) Simpson Pompeo Smith (TX) Posey Stearns Price (GA) Stutzman Quayle Sullivan Ribble Thompson (PA) Rigel1 Thornberry Rivera Tipton Roe (TN) Upton Rohrabacher Rokita Walberg Walsh (IL) Rooney West Ross (FL) Royce Westmoreland Scalise Wilson (SC) Woodall Schmidt Schweikert

NOES-285

Denham Langevin Larsen (WA) Dent Deutch Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Diaz-Balart Dicks Lee (CA) Dingell Levin Lewis (CA) Doggett Dold Donnelly (IN) Lewis (GA) Doyle Lipinski Dreier LoBiondo Duffy Loebsack Duncan (TN) Lofgren, Zoe Edwards Lowey Ellison Lucas Emerson Luetkemever Engel Luján Lungren, Daniel Eshoo Farr E. Fitzpatrick Lynch Forbes Marino Fortenberry Markey Matheson Frank (MA) Frelinghuysen Matsui McCarthy (CA) Fudge Gallegly McCarthy (NY) Garamendi McCollum Gerlach McDermott McGovern Gibbs Gibson McIntvre McKeon Gonzalez Granger McKinley Green Al McNerney Green, Gene Meehan Grijalva Michaud Miller (FL) Grimm Guthrie Miller (MI) Gutierrez Miller (NC) Hahn Miller, George Hanabusa Moore Hanna Moran Hastings (FL) Murphy (CT) Hastings (WA) Nadler Hayworth Napolitano Heck Neal Heinrich Noem Herrera Beutler Nugent Higgins Nunes Himes Olver Hinchey Owens Pallone Hinojosa Hirono Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Hochul Holden Paulsen Holt Pelosi Honda Perlmutter Hoyer Peters Peterson Israel Petri Jackson Lee Pingree (ME) (TX) Platts Johnson (GA) Price (NC) Johnson (OH) Quigley Johnson, E. B. Rahall Jones Reed Rehberg Kaptur Keating Reichert Kelly Renacci Kildee Reyes Kind Richardson King (NY) Richmond Kinzinger (IL) Roby Kissell Rogers (AL)

Rogers (MI) Rush T. Schiff

Mack

Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross (AR) Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Runvan Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) Ryan (WI) Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schilling Schock Schrader Schwartz Scott (VA) Scott, David

Sewell Sherman Shuler Sires Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Southerland Speier Stark Stivers Sutton Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tiberi Tierney Tonko Tsongas Turner (NY) Turner (OH)

Serrano

Van Hollen Velázguez Visclosky Walden Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Waters Watt Webster Welch Whitfield Wilson (FL) Wittman Wolf Womack Woolsey Yarmuth Young (AK) Young (FL) Young (IN)

ANSWERED "PRESENT"-3

Fattah Polis Waxman NOT VOTING-7 Filner Maloney Towns Jackson (IL) Meeks

Rangel

□ 1327

Messrs. DREIER, WALZ, BILIRAKIS, and YOUNG of Florida changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Messrs. RIVERA, HARPER, THOMP-

SON of Pennsylvania, Mrs. ELLMERS, Messrs SHIMKIIS HUNTER. HULTGREN, MICA, FINCHER, COFF-MAN of Colorado, TIPTON, Ms. FOXX, Messrs. OLSON, MURPHY of Pennsyl-SHUSTER, and BUCSHON changed their vote from "no" to "ave."

Messrs. ROSS of Arkansas, BISHOP of Georgia, CLAY, THOMPSON of Mississippi, and MILLER of North Carolina changed their vote from "present" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Chair, on rollcall 149, I was away from the Capitol due to prior commitments to my constituents. Had I been present. I would have voted "no."

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LATHAM) having assumed the chair, Mr. THORNBERRY, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 112) establishing the budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2013 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2014 through 2022, had come to no resolution thereon.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2012

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the ordering of the yeas and nays on the motion that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4239) to provide an extension of Federal-aid highway, highway safety, motor carrier safety, transit, and