

Hochul	Meeks	Sarbanes
Holden	Mica	Scalise
Holt	Michaud	Schakowsky
Honda	Miller (FL)	Schiff
Hoyer	Miller (MI)	Schilling
Hultgren	Miller (NC)	Schmidt
Hunter	Miller, Gary	Schock
Hurt	Miller, George	Schrader
Israel	Moore	Schwartz
Issa	Moran	Schweikert
Jackson Lee	Mulvaney	Scott (SC)
(TX)	Murphy (CT)	Scott (VA)
Jenkins	Murphy (PA)	Scott, Austin
Johnson (GA)	Myrick	Scott, David
Johnson (IL)	Nadler	Sensenbrenner
Johnson (OH)	Napolitano	Serrano
Johnson, E. B.	Neugebauer	Sessions
Johnson, Sam	Noem	Sewell
Jones	Nugent	Sherman
Jordan	Nunes	Shimkus
Kaptur	Nunnelee	Shuler
Keating	Olson	Shuster
Kelly	Olver	Simpson
Kildee	Owens	Sires
Kind	Palazzo	Slaughter
King (IA)	Pallone	Smith (NE)
King (NY)	Pascarell	Smith (NJ)
Kingston	Pastor (AZ)	Smith (TX)
Kinzinger (IL)	Paulsen	Smith (WA)
Kissell	Pearce	Southerland
Kline	Pelosi	Speier
Kucinich	Pence	Stark
Labrador	Perlmutter	Stearns
Lamborn	Peters	Stivers
Lance	Peterson	Stutzman
Langevin	Petri	Sullivan
Lankford	Pingree (ME)	Sutton
Larsen (WA)	Pitts	Terry
Larson (CT)	Platts	Thompson (CA)
Latham	Poe (TX)	Thompson (MS)
LaTourette	Polis	Thompson (PA)
Latta	Pompeo	Thornberry
Lee (CA)	Posey	Tiberi
Levin	Price (GA)	Tierney
Lewis (CA)	Price (NC)	Tipton
Lewis (GA)	Quayle	Tonko
Lipinski	Quigley	Towns
LoBiondo	Rahall	Tsongas
Loeb sack	Reed	Turner (NY)
Lofgren, Zoe	Rehberg	Turner (OH)
Long	Reichert	Upton
Lowey	Renacci	Van Hollen
Lucas	Reyes	Velázquez
Luetkemeyer	Ribble	Visclosky
Luján	Richardson	Walberg
Lummis	Richmond	Walden
Lungren, Daniel	Rigell	Walsh (IL)
E.	Rivera	Walz (MN)
Lynch	Roby	Wasserman
Maloney	Roe (TN)	Schultz
Manzullo	Rogers (AL)	Waters
Marino	Rogers (KY)	Watt
Markey	Rogers (MI)	Waxman
Matheson	Rohrabacher	Webster
Matsui	Rokita	Welch
McCarthy (CA)	Rooney	West
McCarthy (NY)	Ros-Lehtinen	Westmoreland
McCaul	Roskam	Whitfield
McClintock	Ross (AR)	Wilson (FL)
McCollum	Ross (FL)	Wilson (SC)
McCotter	Rothman (NJ)	Wittman
McDermott	Roybal-Allard	Wolf
McGovern	Royce	Womack
McHenry	Runyan	Woodall
McIntyre	Ruppersberger	Woolsey
McKeon	Rush	Yarmuth
McKinley	Ryan (OH)	Yoder
McMorris	Ryan (WI)	Young (AK)
Rodgers	Sánchez, Linda	Young (FL)
McNerney	T.	Young (IN)
Meehan	Sanchez, Loretta	

## NOES—5

Amash	Flake	Paul
Broun (GA)	Huelskamp	

## NOT VOTING—12

Akin	Flores	Mack
Boswell	Huizenga (MI)	Marchant
Dicks	Jackson (IL)	Neal
Engel	Landry	Rangel

□ 1426

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. HUIZENGA of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 133, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. AKIN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall Nos. 130, 131, 132 and 133, I was delayed and unable to vote. Had I been present I would have voted "aye" on all four.

## QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CHAFFETZ). The Chair has been made aware of a valid basis for the gentleman's point of personal privilege.

The gentlewoman from New York is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to address an attack on my integrity and my reputation.

Last week, Representative DARRELL ISSA, the chairman of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, on which I have served for many years, gave an interview to a newspaper in San Diego. The story was published on March 21, and it quoted the gentleman as accusing me of lying, knowingly and intentionally, during a hearing that was held before the Oversight Committee on February 16.

That hearing received a significant amount of public attention because it addressed the issue of insurance for reproductive health care, yet included no witness testifying on behalf of the tens of millions of women across this country who seek access to coverage for reproductive health and contraception.

I certainly understand that Members on both sides of the aisle have different viewpoints on this issue, and I'm not here to discuss the underlying policy differences we may have.

Today I ask from Mr. ISSA the same commitment I ask of myself, to always strive to hear from all sides of a debate without resorting to name-calling or attacks on the personal integrity of others. Even when we disagree with what others might say, we have an obligation to listen to them and respect their viewpoints.

I am sure there are some who will accuse me of using these remarks to merely revisit the contraception issue. To the contrary, I am responding to statements published just last week by the gentleman from California, his arguments regarding my actions.

In his recent interview on the hearing, Mr. ISSA said this, to be absolutely clear, and I quote:

Carolyn Maloney then made the famous statement, Where are the women? That was an outright lie, and she knew it when she said it.

First of all, I would like to point out that what I actually offered was an outright question. I asked it as I sat there looking directly at an all-male panel, the panel that you see in this

now-famous picture. It is a picture that I believe is worth a thousand words.

And as I look at this picture again, my question is as pertinent and legitimate today as it was back then. Look at this picture and tell me, Where are the women? If you can point to one woman on this first panel, then I will happily withdraw and offer my apologies to Mr. ISSA.

Just to make sure we have my question in context, let me repeat remarks that I made that morning that Mr. ISSA and some found so objectionable. I said, and I quote:

What I want to know is, Where are the women? I look at this panel, and I don't see one single individual representing the tens of millions of women across this country who want and need insurance coverage for basic preventive health care services, including family planning and contraception. Where are the women?

I still maintain, without fear of any contradiction, there is no one on this panel who is a woman, or who represents the tens of millions of women who want and need insurance basic coverage for family planning.

Now, if Mr. ISSA believes or tries to argue that that statement is somehow false because there were two women witnesses who appeared later that day on a second and separate panel, I would draw his attention to the fact that those witnesses were not there to represent the woman's point of view that is upheld primarily by the Democratic Party on this particular issue.

□ 1430

Those Republican-appointed witnesses were there only to represent the interests of institutions. So even in surveying both panels, I don't see one single individual representing the tens of millions of women across this country who want and need insurance coverage for basic preventive health care services, including family planning.

In conclusion, I would like to say, Mr. Speaker, rising for a point of personal privilege is sometimes accompanied by a call for a personal apology. Earlier today, Mr. ISSA apologized to me, and he sent me this letter just an hour or two ago. I am encouraged by his actions, and I accept his apology.

In the fallout of that unfortunate hearing, women were called far worse than liars. I know what I said that day, and I know it to be true. But I do think the Democratic witness, Sandra Fluke, and the women of America are owed an apology, an apology for denying them a voice, an apology for denying them a seat at the table. It was wrong then, and it is wrong each time that it happens. And it is especially wrong when women's health, women's lives, and women's rights are being discussed. And to cavalierly dismiss or deny that fact does greater damage to the fabric of democracy than words can ever redress.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

# ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on the motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record vote on the postponed question will be taken later.

## SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2012

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4239) to provide an extension of Federal-aid highway, highway safety, motor carrier safety, transit, and other programs funded out of the Highway Trust Fund pending enactment of a multiyear law reauthorizing such programs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4239

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2012”.

(b) RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of Transportation shall reduce the amount apportioned or allocated for a program, project, or activity under this Act in fiscal year 2012 by amounts apportioned or allocated pursuant to the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (title I of Public Law 112–30) for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012.

(c) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—

Sec. 1. Short title; reconciliation of funds; table of contents.

#### TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

Sec. 101. Extension of Federal-aid highway programs.

#### TITLE II—EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

Sec. 201. Extension of National Highway Traffic Safety Administration highway safety programs.

Sec. 202. Extension of Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration programs.

Sec. 203. Additional programs.

#### TITLE III—PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS

Sec. 301. Allocation of funds for planning programs.

Sec. 302. Special rule for urbanized area formula grants.

Sec. 303. Allocating amounts for capital investment grants.

Sec. 304. Apportionment of formula grants for other than urbanized areas.

Sec. 305. Apportionment based on fixed guideway factors.

Sec. 306. Authorizations for public transportation.

Sec. 307. Amendments to SAFETEA-LU.

#### TITLE IV—HIGHWAY TRUST FUND EXTENSION

Sec. 401. Extension of trust fund expenditure authority.

Sec. 402. Extension of highway-related taxes.

## TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

### SEC. 101. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 111 of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (Public Law 112–30; 125 Stat. 343) is amended—

(1) by striking “the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” each place it appears and inserting “the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”;

(2) by striking “½” each place it appears and inserting “¾”; and

(3) in subsection (a) by striking “March 31, 2012” and inserting “June 1, 2012”.

(b) USE OF FUNDS.—Section 111(c)(3)(B)(ii) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 343) is amended by striking “\$319,500,000” and inserting “\$426,000,000”.

(c) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS UNDER TITLE V OF SAFETEA-LU.—Section 111(e)(2) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 343) is amended by striking “the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(d) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 112(a) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 346) is amended by striking “\$196,427,625 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “\$261,903,500 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

### TITLE II—EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

#### SEC. 201. EXTENSION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.

(a) CHAPTER 4 HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.—Section 2001(a)(1) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “\$235,000,000 for fiscal year 2009” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “\$235,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$156,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(b) HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—Section 2001(a)(2) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$54,122,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “and \$72,162,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(c) OCCUPANT PROTECTION INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(3) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2006” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “\$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011, and \$16,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(d) SAFETY BELT PERFORMANCE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(4) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “and \$24,250,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “and \$32,333,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(e) STATE TRAFFIC SAFETY INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS.—Section 2001(a)(5) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking “for fiscal year 2006” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011 and \$23,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(f) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTERMEASURES INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM.—Section 2001(a)(6) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat.

1519) is amended by striking “\$139,000,000 for fiscal year 2009” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “\$139,000,000 for each of fiscal years fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$92,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(g) NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.—Section 2001(a)(7) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$2,058,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “and \$2,744,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(h) HIGH VISIBILITY ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.—Section 2001(a)(8) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “for fiscal year 2006” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011 and \$19,333,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(i) MOTORCYCLIST SAFETY.—Section 2001(a)(9) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2009” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$4,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(j) CHILD SAFETY AND CHILD BOOSTER SEAT SAFETY INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(10) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2009” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$4,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(k) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 2001(a)(11) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking “and \$12,664,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “and \$16,885,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

#### SEC. 202. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS.

(a) MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS.—Section 31104(a)(8) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(8) \$141,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 31104(i)(1)(H) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(H) \$162,762,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”.

(c) GRANT PROGRAMS.—Section 4101(c) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1715) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1) by striking “2011 and \$15,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “2011 and \$20,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”;

(2) in paragraph (2) by striking “2011 and \$16,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “2011 and \$21,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”;

(3) in paragraph (3) by striking “2011 and \$2,500,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “2011 and \$3,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”;

(4) in paragraph (4) by striking “2011 and \$12,500,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012,” and inserting “2011 and \$16,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.”; and

(5) in paragraph (5) by striking “2011 and \$1,500,000 for the period beginning on October