# EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

RECOGNIZING THE 50TH ANNIVER-SARY OF HIGHLINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

## HON. JIM McDERMOTT

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, February 24, 2012

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 50th anniversary of Highline Community College, which is located in Des Moines, Washington, south of Seattle.

Highline was founded in 1961 as the first community college in King County. Since then, it has served as Washington state's most diverse community college, educating over 17,000 students, of which almost two-thirds are students of color.

Today, Highline serves as the hub of innovative education and training opportunities, supporting students, the community, and the King County economy. In 2010 alone, Highline supported 800 local entrepreneurs through programs and services, helped launch 12 new businesses, and generated \$9 million in investments. International students bring an additional \$7.7 million to King County's economy annually.

As the co-chair of the Congressional Indonesia Caucus, I have been following Highline's State Department-funded initiative with Indonesian community colleges with particular interest. Indonesia is home to over 230 million people and faces acute challenges in making education accessible for all. Highline's work is important in that regard.

As we celebrate the 50th anniversary of Highline Community College, I want to express my strong support for Highline's commitment to education and in ushering students toward a pathway to the American Dream. Thank you.

HONORING ANTHONY HERZOG

## HON. TOM MARINO

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, February 24, 2012

Mr. MARINO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of my constituent, Mr. Anthony Herzog, who will be honored this weekend for his dedication to the Wayne County community.

Upon his graduation from Lackawanna Junior College and the University of New Haven, Mr. Herzog began his 33-year tenure with Herzog Trucking Co., Inc., where he ultimately served as President from 1982–2004.

Until his retirement last year, Mr. Herzog was dedicated to serving his community honorably as a Wayne County Commissioner for the past 24 years. His accomplishments as a Commissioner are too numerous to list, but Mr. Herzog has been instrumental in the completion of several major initiatives in Wayne County, including construction of the Wayne County Emergency Management Operations

Center, the expansion of the Area Agency on Aging, and the creation of the Wayne County Mental Health/Mental Retardation Agency.

In 1998, Governor Tom Ridge appointed Mr. Herzog to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation Advisory Committee. Mr. Herzog continues to serve in that capacity today.

Mr. Herzog's local involvement includes organizations such as the Honesdale Lions Club, the Fraternal Order of Eagles, the Wayne County Family Center Board, the NEPA Alliance, and the Wayne County Board of Elections, where he serves as current Chairman. He is also an active member of St. John's and St. Mary's Parish.

With his wife Sharon, Mr. Herzog is the father of two: Andrea and Steven, and the grandfather to three: Emma, Brady, and Heather.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor my constituent, Mr. Anthony Herzog, and ask my colleagues to join me in praising his commitment to community, country, and family.

HONORING MR. PETER SHIPMAN UPON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT

## HON. DANIEL E. LUNGREN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, February 24, 2012

Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, on the occasion of his retirement on March 2, we rise to thank Peter Shipman for his 32 years of outstanding service to the U.S. House of Representatives.

Peter graduated from Virginia Commonwealth University in 1976 with a degree in furniture design and subsequently worked for Gift Construction building decks.

Peter came to the House November 1, 1979, when he was hired by the Cabinet Shop. His first task was to repair broken chairs from various House offices and over time he demonstrated his remarkable talent for constructing new House furniture.

Over the next several years he artfully designed, built, and repaired numerous pieces of House furniture. By 1996, Peter had worked his way up to Assistant Foreman and eventually became Foreman. His list of accomplishments include the construction of the Speaker's chair for the House Floor, the construction of a beautiful hand-painted "Hummingbird Desk" for one particular Member, and two special display cabinets for another. He was also responsible for the design and supervision of the House Floor elevating lecterns, a sideboard for the Speaker, and a workstation for one of our House Officers. He has trained many employees over his tenure as a master cabinet maker teaching furniture design as well as construction and repair techniques. For the last four years, he has served as the Manager of the House Cabinet Shop where he passed on his wealth of knowledge and talent to his employees and mentored the staff.

On a more personal note, he has always been interested in team sports and played with many of his co-workers on the House Rockers softball team during the 80s in the Congressional Softball League. After retirement, Peter plans to continue with his woodworking and spend more time with his family.

On behalf of the U.S. House of Representatives, we personally congratulate Peter on his retirement and thank him for all he has done for this institution. We wish Peter the best in all his future endeavors.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3630, MIDDLE CLASS TAX RELIEF AND JOB CREATION ACT OF 2012

SPEECH OF

#### HON. FRED UPTON

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, February 17, 2012

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, Congress passed the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 on February 17, 2012. As House majority conferees, as well as chairmen of the House Energy and Commerce Committee and its Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, we are pleased that the spectrum auction provisions in Title VI, Subtitle D, of the legislation are based on the Jumpstarting Opportunity with Broadband Spectrum, JOBS, Act of 2011. We helped pass the JOBS Act in the House on December 13, 2011, as part of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2011. Like the JOBS Act, Title VI, Subtitle D, of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 is designed to spur the next generation of wireless investment and innovation, to bring in federal revenue in the form of auction proceeds, and to promote significant new job creation. Among other things, Subtitle D allows the FCC to share proceeds with licensees, like broadcasters, that voluntarily return spectrum to be re-auctioned to meet the growing demand for commercial mobile broadband services. To prevent the Federal Communications Commission from picking winners and losers, Subtitle D prohibits the agency from excluding qualified bidders from participating in the auctions. To protect taxpayers, Subtitle D also requires the FCC to auction spectrum it has used federal funds to clear. What follows is a section by section explanation of some of Subtitle D's significant spectrum provisions.

Section 6401. This subsection establishes clearing and auction timelines for spectrum in 1915–1920 MHz and 1995–2000 MHz (the PCS H Block), 2155–2180 MHz (the AWS–3 block), 15 MHz from the government spectrum at 1675–1710 MHz, and 15 MHz to be determined by the FCC.

Section 6402. This section amends the Communications Act to grant the FCC authority to conduct incentive auctions under which it shares some of the proceeds with licensees that return spectrum to be re-auctioned for commercial use. Such auctions must have

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor. Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor. competition on the "reverse" side—the portion of the auction that sets the buy-out price. To do otherwise would provide insufficient market competition to minimize costs and would create little more than a substitute for a license transfer.

Section 6403. This section grants the FCC special authority to conduct an incentive auction for television broadcast spectrum.

Subsection (a) governs the "reverse" side of the auction. Broadcasters may propose to relinguish their licenses to leave the market completely, to share a license with another broadcast licensee, or to move from a UHF channel to a VHF channel. The reverse "bids" they place represent the amount of money they would accept to exit, share, or move from a UHF channel to a VHF channel. The FCC is directed to maintain the confidentiality of auction participants until reassignments and reallocations are complete so as not to prejudice the ongoing business operations and relationships of broadcasters, including broadcasters whose bids may not ultimately be accepted. Spectrum recovered through this mechanism is to be auctioned for licensed services under section 309(j) of the Communications Act. This subsection also defines the retransmission consent and must carry rights of licensees who choose to channel share.

Subsection (b) governs the relocation of broadcast incumbents who do not leave the market through the incentive auction process. This allows the FCC to reorganize the remaining broadcast channels in a way that makes the cleared spectrum most valuable for re-auction, both in terms of monetary value and usefulness for licensed broadband services. To protect broadcasters, however, subsection (b) prohibits the FCC from involuntarily relocating broadcasters from UHF channels to VHF channels. It also requires the FCC to make all reasonable efforts to preserve relocating broadcasters' coverage area and population served. Subsection (b) also qualifies for reimbursement of reasonable relocation costs those broadcasters that are not being compensated through the reverse auction, cable systems that must retune or relocate their systems in order to receive the signals from the newly relocated broadcasters, and channel 37 incumbents (provided the entirety of channel 37 can be cleared for less than \$300 million). Section 6402 limits to \$1.75 billion the amount the FCC can spend to reimburse relocating broadcasters, cable operators, and incumbents on channel 37. Section 6403(b) also provides broadcasters the option of requesting specific regulatory relief in lieu of recovering relocation expenses. Finally, this subsection makes clear that while low-power broadcasters without class A status cannot participate in the incentive auctions, the incentive auction relocation authority under subsection (b) does not change the rights of low-power broadcasters.

Subsection (c) governs the forward auction of new licenses made available by the reverse auction and relocation process. The spectrum made available by the purchase of licenses through the reverse auction and reallocated under this section must be auctioned for com-

mercial services through the mechanisms detailed in this subsection. This subsection ensures that the auction is both self-funding and generates a profit for the U.S. Treasury. This subsection also encourages the FCC to assign licenses in a variety of geographic sizes.

Subsection (d) allows the FCC to borrow in advance up to \$1 billion of the \$1.75 billion available for relocation costs.

Subsection (e) allows the FCC to conduct only one special incentive auction for the broadcast spectrum. It does so to encourage the FCC and broadcasters to make best efforts to ensure success of the special auction rather than await the results of a first attempt. Broadcasters may still participate in general incentive auctions authorized under Section 6402, although certain offsetting FCC flexibilities and broadcaster protections in Section 6403(b), (g), and (h) do not apply.

Subsection (f) leaves to FCC discretion whether to conduct the reverse and forward broadcast incentive auctions contemporaneously or separately.

Subsections (g) and (h) work in concert with the provisions of subsection (b) to create off-setting FCC flexibilities and broadcaster protections to facilitate the broadcast incentive auction. Subsection (g) creates certain limitations on the FCC's ability to relocate broadcasters or modify their spectrum usage rights during the pendency of the broadcast incentive auction. Subsection (h) limits broadcasters' rights to protest license modifications made pursuant to the broadcast incentive auction provisions.

Subsection (i) clarifies that the FCC's November 8, 2008, "White Spaces" order continues to apply to vacant channels in the reconstituted television broadcast band after the incentive auction, reorganization of the broadcast channels, and re-allocation of spectrum for broadband use.

Section 6404. This section prevents the FCC from excluding qualified bidders from participating in spectrum auctions so long as they abide by the auction procedures. Such "prior restraints" would be antithetical to the notion of open auctions, which use a competitive, market-based approach to allocate spectrum to those entities that will put the spectrum to its highest and best use. By maximizing the amount of spectrum available for auction and offering a variety of geographic licenses and license sizes, the FCC can help ensure all potential bidders-local, national, and regional; urban and rural-have an opportunity to obtain spectrum to address the exponential increase in demand for spectrum caused by the increased use of smartphones and tablets by U.S. consumers.

Under this section, the sole qualifications of bidders are that they abide by the auction procedures and other requirements to protect the auction process, and that they meet the technical, financial, character, and citizenship requirements under sections 303(1)(1), 308(b), and 310 of the Communications Act at the time of bidding or, if they submit a winning bid, before grant of the license. The phrase "auction procedures" refers to the mechanics of the auction, such as the "activity rule." The

phrase "other requirements to protect the auction process" refers to rules to protect auction integrity, such as those restricting collusion.

The FCC should not be picking winners and losers: the market should. As demand for spectrum grows exponentially in the mobile broadband age, all carriers will need additional spectrum, and artificially limiting access to certain entities or skewing auctions to favor them will lead to inefficient outcomes that ultimately hurt consumers. Moreover, recent history demonstrates that attempting to "shape" the market by micromanaging auctions leads to unintended consequences that hinder competition, harm spectrum policy, reduce auction proceeds, and result in valuable spectrum lying fallow for years.

This section also makes clear it is not intended to affect any remaining authority the FCC has to adopt and enforce rules of general applicability, as opposed to rules regarding particular carriers, particular classes of carriers, or particular auctions. The rigor of a notice and comment rulemaking conducted separately from a particular auction better ensures that all interested parties participate, not just parties courting particular spectrum. It also helps ensure that the FCC rigorously examines whether there is any need for action, as well as the pros, cons, and potential unintended consequences of any proposed measures. Conducting such a proceeding separately also ensures parties have a more realistic opportunity for appeal. Challenging rules adopted in the lead up to an auction are logistically challenging in that time is typically short, in that courts are likely reluctant to delay an auction or invalidate it after the fact, and in that if courts do, they potentially affect interests of all the auction participants, not just the challenging party.

It is not intended, however, that the FCC act in a way that would override or undermine the fundamental purpose of this section—ensuring open and wide participation in spectrum auctions in order to put spectrum to its highest and best use and to increase auction revenues. The reference to "rules concerning spectrum aggregation that promote competition" is not meant to confer any new authority on the agency, but merely to illustrate that the FCC retains authority to adopt such rules in an industrywide rulemaking to the extent such authority can be found elsewhere in the Communications Act and does not conflict with the prohibition on excluding bidders.

Section 6405. This section extends the FCC's auction authority through 2022.

Section 6406. This section instructs the FCC and NTIA to pursue additional secondary allocations of spectrum for unlicensed use by evaluating the viability of sharing spectrum with government operations in the 5 GHz band.

Section 6407. This section clarifies that nothing in sections 6402 or 6403 shall be construed to prevent the FCC from using relinquished or other spectrum to implement band plans with guard bands. Such guard bands shall be no larger than is technically reasonable to prevent harmful interference

between licensed services outside the guard bands. The FCC may permit unlicensed use in such guard bands. Unlicensed use shall rely on a database or subsequent methodology as determined by the FCC. The FCC may not permit any use of a guard band that would cause harmful interference to licensed services. Thus, this section makes clear that the FCC is free to create guard bands and allow secondary, unlicensed use in spectrum it has cleared with federal funds and auctioned under sections 6402 or 6403, so long as such guard bands are no larger than technically reasonable to prevent harmful interference between licensed services outside the guard bands and the use does not interfere with the licensed uses.

Section 6408. Over the last 20 years, licensees trying to use their spectrum as authorized have started to experience limitations on service because adjacent spectrum users are relying on receivers that are not sufficiently tailored to focus just on the spectrum allocated for their adjacent use. The result has been lower power limits, restricted uses of spectrum, and a proliferation of guard bands. This section requires the GAO to submit a study to Congress not later than one year after the passage of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 detailing current spectrum uses and whether changes to receiver performance, changes to operational aspects of existing spectrum uses, and narrowing of existing guard bands can help make more efficient use of the scarce spectrum re-

Section 6409. This section streamlines the process for siting of wireless facilities by preempting the ability of State and local authorities to delay collocation of, removal of, and replacement of wireless transmission equipment. It also increases access by establishing a uniform process for access to Federal rights-ofway and easements. It establishes a master contract process for siting wireless facilities on Federal Government owned property and buildings.

Section 6410. This section amends the NTIA Organization Act to make efficient use of spectrum by federal agencies one of the NTIA's core responsibilities. As we search for the 500 MHz of spectrum that the National Broadband Plan recommends we find to address the Nation's growing wireless broadband demands, it is critical to ensure that government users maximize the use of the spectrum devoted to their missions. Government users represent a significant portion of the use of spectrum below 3 GHz. Ensuring that agencies use this resource efficiently should be a tenet of the NTIA's stewardship of this important public resource.

Section 6411. This section requires OMB to update section 33.4 of OMB Circular A-11 to

reflect recommendations in the January 11, 2011, Commerce Spectrum Management Advisory Committee Incentive Subcommittee report. OMB Circular A-11 currently requires agencies to integrate the cost of spectrum into their capital planning and management process. The CSMAC Incentives Subcommittee recommended changes to that circular that make the spectrum use analysis more robust, including whether new federal spectrum uses will share spectrum with other systems, a detailed explanation of the efficiency gains compared to the prior use, and consideration of non-spectrum based systems and commercial alternatives. Moreover, agencies must show that the chosen solution is the most spectrum efficient or explain why it is seeking to implement a solution that is less spectrum efficient.

Section 6412. This section requires the GAO to study the use of the 11 GHz, 18 GHz, and 23 GHz microwave bands with a focus on whether the spectrum is being used efficiently and whether commercial alternatives to the FCC licensing of such bands are sufficiently incentivizing efficient use.

Section 6413. This section establishes the Public Safety Trust Fund, where most auction proceeds under this Act are deposited. It also establishes a cascading series of priorities for use of auction proceeds. First priority is given to repayment of funds borrowed against the \$7 billion authorized elsewhere in the title to establish the First Responder Network Authority and the State and local broadband offices. Next in priority is the remainder of the \$7 billion for buildout of the public safety broadband network and \$100 million for research and development related to public safety broadband communications, followed by \$20.4 billion for deficit reduction. From any remaining auction revenues produced above approximately \$27 billion, \$115 million is used to fund the Next Generation 9/11 provisions under subtitle E of this title and an additional \$200 million may be used for further wireless research and development of public safety broadband communications.

Section 6414. This section requires the GAO to study the capabilities and use of amateur radio operators in times of emergency and to make recommendations to improve integration of amateur radio operators in disaster response.

REMEMBERING MARIANNE C. RAPHAELY OF CHERRY HILL, NJ

## HON. ROBERT E. ANDREWS

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, February 24, 2012

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the beloved Marianne C. Raphaely of

Cherry Hill, NJ, who passed away on Sunday, February 5, 2012. With her passing, South Jersey lost one of its most devoted philanthropists. It is today that we remember a loving mother, grandmother, teacher, and tireless contributor to the community.

Born and raised in Trumbull, CT, she graduated from Mary Washington College in 1959 with a bachelor's degree in Psychology and Education. After college, she began teaching grade school in Connecticut and then in California while her husband served as a physician in Vietnam until settling in Cherry Hill with her family in 1968. She served as the Vice President of the Board of the Technical Schools of Camden County from 1999 to 2003 and as a member of the Cherry Hill Board of Education for 9 years.

In addition to her work as a teacher, Marianne volunteered countless hours of her time to many artistic, health care, and educational organizations throughout the Philadelphia area. As the Chairperson of Art in City Hall, she led the effort to place local artists' works throughout Philadelphia's City Hall. Marianne served as a trustee for the Rock School of Dance Education, the Coriell Institute of Medical Research, The Please Touch Museum, and several other charities. She was recognized for her charity work by receiving the Association of Fundraising Professionals' Volunteer of the Year Award for 2005-2006 and the Please Touch Museum's Great Friends to Kids Lifetime Achievement Award.

With a passion for teaching children, natural leadership abilities, a deep sense of responsibility to her community and a love for all things social, she developed close working relationships and friendships with scores of people from all walks of life. She understood the real passion that children have for learning and worked tirelessly to represent that ideal. Although she was so involved, nothing could take away the powerful relationship she had with her family. She and her husband of 50 years raised two sons in Cherry Hill, traveled the world together, and more recently, spent cherished time with their grandchildren.

She is survived by her husband, Dr. Russell of Cherry Hill, NJ, her two sons, Christopher and James, a sister, Kathryn Sirico, and five grandchildren.

Mr. Speaker, it is at this time that we remember Marianne Raphaely and keep her family in our hearts and prayers. She was a wonderful woman of remarkable measures who will truly be missed by many.