Mr. BISHOP of Utah. Mr. Speaker, I know that what we do here on the floor is often riveting drama for those who are watching on television. Let me, in some respects, not try to add to that drama and go back to facts, something we don't necessarily like around here.

We've already talked about this socalled uranium issue showing facts. The chart that we just saw from the gentleman from Massachusetts was an interesting chart. The area of the United States that was colored on that chart is the area that there are those in this administration, indeed, on this floor, want to be owned by the Federal Government here.

Let me talk to you just a moment—and I'll even grant some time to the gentlelady from New York if she could actually answer this one—and talk about what some of these issues do to those of us who live under what Nelson Rockefeller called the "deadening hand of bureaucracy" because, once again, in the East you don't have to deal with these situations; in the West we do.

Let me talk about simply the Environmental Protection Agency and some of the brilliant things they do in the name of trying to clean up our water and our air and make life more livable for us. One of the suburbs of my community—and I call it a suburb simply because my community only has, what, 18,000 people in it; so I like calling it a suburb—has no rivers, no creeks, no streams, no anything. It does have irrigation ditches. Starting at the top of the mountain, the irrigation water flows down so it covers all the fields, as normally you would want to do.

We passed legislation for the Clean Water Act allowing the Federal Government, especially the EPA, to come in and monitor water that is navigable water systems on interstate commerce. The Great Salt Lake in Utah is all confined in the State of Utah. There are no outlets. That's why it's salty. There is nothing more intra-navigable than the Great Salt Lake.

But because in the 1880s some of the pioneers used to ship sheep over there for summer grazing on the islands in the Great Salt Lake, it is now part of the interstate commerce system and part of the navigable water system of the United States, therefore controllable by the Environmental Protection Agency.

Now, let's see what they did in my particular community. In this community where there were irrigation ditches, the overflow from the irrigation ditches ran down, and the Environmental Protection Agency said the runoff from those irrigation ditches would eventually go into the Great Salt Lake; therefore, that runoff from a ditch was part of the navigable water systems of the United States and controllable as wetlands by the Environmental Protection Agency, even though that irrigation runoff to get to the Great Salt Lake would actually have to run down the mountain, through a culvert for the city road, through one for the train tracks, through one that was the side road of the freeway, through the northbound freeway, through the barrel pit, through the southbound freeway, through another one of the adjacent roads to the southbound freeway, up a 3 percent grade to an area that had been previously determined to be not wetlands area, and eventually into the Bear River system which was stopped from going to the Great Salt Lake by the Bear River Bird Refuge.

□ 1300

They claim that could happen. And because of that, the water from the irrigation system was navigable waters of the United States and the Environmental Protection Agency claimed jurisdiction over it, which meant that the citizens of that community could not expand their sewer system. Instead, they had to take money out of their pockets to ship their sewage either to Brigham City or Willard because the Environmental Protection Agency now controlled the navigable waters because we gave them the power to do that under the Clean Water Act.

One of the things I am talking about here and one of the frustrations we have illustrated by this bill is, unfortunately, time after time these agencies funded in this bill do not consider what they do to real people. Real people in my community are being harmed time after time by decisions made from bureaucrats sitting here in Washington, and then we wonder why we rail against these environmental groups, why we rail against these agencies, and why we don't want to have some kind of control over this process. And the only vehicle we seem to have is the appropriation bill.

The Land and Water Conservation Fund is used to buy more land to get more control; if it were not, we would not complain about it. The EPA is used to get more control over people's lives, and they hurt people in the process. If it were not so, we would not complain about it. The withdrawing of uranium mining on the Arizona strip was done, despite all the scientific testimony, for political reasons. Were it not done so, we would not complain about it.

This is a decent bill, which moves us a step forward to try to control our spending habit, dealing with what is really the core issue and core responsibility of our agencies and trying not to harm people.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time. May I inquire of my colleague if he has further speakers.

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. May I inquire how much time actually remains.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Utah has 11 minutes remaining, and the gentlewoman from New York has 9 minutes remaining.

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. To the gentlelady from New York, I have a brilliant

11-minute speech welling within my bosom; but if you are willing to close, I will be willing to close as well.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. I thank you for that, and I am willing to close.

Mr. Speaker, let me just close with this: I think we have demonstrated that this bill contains an astonishing array of devastating cuts and special interest riders that jeopardize the water we drink, the air we breathe, and our country's national heritage.

I urge a "no" vote on the rule and the underlying legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. BISHOP of Utah. Mr. Speaker, I think we've also proven in this bill that we are moving in the right direction to try to control the excesses that continuously take place here and still maintain the core responsibilities that have to be there, and we have done it in a rule that is adamantly fair. It is an open rule that will allow anyone to bring anything down here to the floor until we do a UC agreement that stops it. It is a good rule, and I urge adoption of that particular rule.

In closing, I will once again reiterate the fairness of this open rule. I urge its adoption, and I urge the adoption of the underlying legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and navs.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

REQUESTING RETURN OF OFFICIAL PAPERS ON H.R. 1309

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 368

Resolved, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives request the Senate to return to the House the bill (H.R. 1309) entitled "An Act to extend the authorization of the national flood insurance program, to achieve reforms to improve the financial integrity and stability of the program, and to increase the role of private markets in the management of flood insurance risk, and for other purposes".

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will remind the House that on July 24, 1998, at 3:40 p.m., Officer Jacob

J. Chestnut and Detective John M. Gibson of the United States Capitol Police were killed in the line of duty defending the Capitol against an intruder armed with a gun.

At 3:40 p.m. today, the Chair will recognize the anniversary of this tragedy by observing a moment of silence in their memory.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed, as follows:

Adopting House Resolution 363 and agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The second electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2584, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPRO-PRIATIONS ACT, 2012

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the resolution (H. Res. 363) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2584) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 205, nays 131, not voting 96, as follows:

[Roll No. 630]

YEAS-205

Chaffetz Adams Gibson Gingrey (GA) Aderholt Coble Coffman (CO) Akin Gohmert Conaway Alexander Goodlatte Cravaack Amash Gowdy Graves (GA) Austria Crawford Bachus Culberson Griffin (AR) Denham Griffith (VA) Barletta Bartlett Dent Grimm DesJarlais Bass (NH) Guinta Diaz-Balart Benishek Guthrie Biggert Dreier Hall Bilbray Duffy Hanna Bilirakis Duncan (SC) Harper Bishop (GA) Duncan (TN) Harris Hastings (WA) Bishop (UT) Ellmers Hayworth Black Emerson Blackburn Farenthold Heck Hensarling Bonner Fincher Bono Mack Fitzpatrick Herger Flake Boustany Herrera Beutler Fleischmann Brooks Huelskamp Broun (GA) Huizenga (MI) Fleming Bucshon Flores Hultgren Buerkle Forbes Hunter Fortenberry Burgess Hurt Calvert Issa Jenkins Foxx Franks (AZ) Camp Campbell Frelinghuysen Johnson (IL) Canseco Gallegly Johnson (OH) Cantor Gardner Johnson, Sam Capito Garrett Carter Gerlach Jordan Gibbs

Kelly

Chabot

King (NY) Kingston Kinzinger (IL) Kline Lance Landry Lankford Latham LaTourette Latta Lewis (CA) LoBiondo Long Lucas Luetkemever Lummis Manzullo Marino McCaul McClintock McCotter McHenry McKeon McKinley McMorris Rodgers Meehan Mica Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Noem Nugent

Ackerman

Altmire

Andrews

Bass (CA)

Boswell

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carney

Chu

Clav

Cleaver

Clyburn

Convers

Cooper

Courtney

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

DeLauro

Deutch

Doggett

Edwards

Filner

Fudge

Baca

Grijalva

Bachmann

Barton (TX)

Baldwin

Becerra

Berkley

Berman

Brady (TX)

Braley (IA) Brown (FL)

Burton (IN)

Buchanan

Cassidy

Boren

Berg

Donnelly (IN)

Frank (MA)

Dicks

Costa

Critz

Carnahan

Carson (IN)

Castor (FL)

Clarke (MI)

Clarke (NY)

Connolly (VA)

Cicilline

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Brady (PA)

Butterfield

Barrow

Schmidt Nunes Nunnelee Schock Olson Schweikert Palazzo Scott (SC) Paulsen Scott, Austin Pearce Sessions Pence Shimkus Petri Shuster Pitts Simpson Platts Smith (NE) Poe (TX) Smith (NJ) Pompeo Price (GA) Smith (TX) Southerland Quayle Stivers Reed Stutzman Rehberg Terry Reichert Thompson (PA) Renacci Thornberry Ribble Tipton Rigell Turner Rivera Upton Roby Walberg Roe (TN) Walden Rogers (AL) Webster Rogers (KY) West Rogers (MI) Westmoreland Rokita Whitfield Rooney Wilson (SC) Ros-Lehtinen Wittman Ross (FL) Womack Royce Runyan Woodall Ryan (WI) Yoder Young (AK) Scalise Schilling Young (IN)

NAYS-131

Hahn Murphy (CT) Hanabusa Neal Hastings (FL) Pallone Heinrich Pascrell Higgins Pastor (AZ) Himes Peters Hinojosa Peterson Hochul Pingree (ME) Holden Polis Holt Rahall Honda Rangel Hoyer Reves Inslee Richmond Israel Ruppersberger Jackson (IL) Sanchez, Loretta Jackson Lee Sarbanes (TX) Johnson (GA) Schiff Schrader Johnson, E. B. Kaptur Schwartz Keating Scott (VA) Kildee Serrano Kind Sewell Kucinich Sherman Langevin Sires Larsen (WA) Slaughter Lee (CA) Speier Levin Stark Lewis (GA) Sutton Lowey Thompson (CA) Luján Thompson (MS) Lynch Tierney Markey Matheson Towns MatsuiMcCarthy (NY) Tsongas Van Hollen McCollum Visclosky McGovernWalz (MN) McIntyre Meeks Wasserman Schultz Michaud Miller (NC) Waxman Woolsey Miller, George Moore Wıı Moran Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-96

Chandler Fattah Garamendi Cohen Cole Giffords Costello Gonzalez Crenshaw Gosar Davis (KY) Granger Graves (MO) Green, Al Green, Gene DeGette Dingell Dold Gutierrez Doyle Hartzler Ellison Hinchey Engel Hirono Eshoo King (IA) Farr Kissell

Labrador Lamborn Larson (CT) Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Lungren, Daniel E. Mack Maloney Marchant McCarthy (CA) McDermott McNerney Miller Gary Myrick Napolitano

Olver Owens Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Posey Price (NC) Quigley Richardson Rohrabacher Roskam Ross (AR) Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Rush Ryan (OH)

Sánchez, Linda Schakowsky Scott, David Sensenbrenner Shuler Smith (WA) Stearns Sullivan Tiberi Velázquez Walsh (IL) Waters Welch Wilson (FL) Wolf Young (FL)

□ 1337

Ms. WOOLSEY and Messrs. MORAN and CARNEY changed their vote from "yea" to "nay.

Mr. WEBSTER changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. CASSIDY. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 630, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to participate in the following vote. If I had been present, I would have voted as follows: Rollcall vote 630, on agreeing to the resolution-H. Res. 363, providing for consideration of H.R. 2584, making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes-I would have voted "yea."

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, July 25, 2011, I was unavoidably detained and missed the first vote in a series of two votes. I missed rollcall vote No. 630. Had I been present and voting, I would have voted as follows: Rollcall vote No. 630: "yea" (On agreeing to H. Res. 363).

Stated against:

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, July 25, 2011, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 630. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on H. Res. 363-Rule providing for consideration of H.R. 2584—Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2012 because it waives all points of order against the bill. H.R. 2584 includes many harmful policy riders that violate the House rules by legislating on an appropriations bill.

Mr. AL GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today I was unavoidably detained and missed the following vote: H. Res. 363-Rule providing for consideration of H.R. 2584—Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act. 2012. Had I been present. I would have voted "no" on this resolution.

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, earlier today I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote 630. If present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall vote 630.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.