CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Meehan

Mica

McIntvre Paulsen Schock McKinley Young (AK) Pence

 \Box 1106

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOODALL) having assumed the chair, Mrs. BIGGERT, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2551) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 359, reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and navs are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 252, nays 159, not voting 21, as follows:

Adams
Aderholt
Akin
Alexander
Altmire
Austria
Bachus
Barletta
Barrow
Barton (TX)
Bass (NH)
Benishek
Berg
Berkley
Biggert
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop (UT)
Blackburn
Bonner
Bono Mack
Boren
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Brooks
Buchanan
Bucshon
Buerkle
Burton (IN)
Calvert
Camp
Canseco
Cantor
Capito
Carney
Carter
Cassidy
Chabot

YEAS-252 Chaffetz Gardner Chandler Garrett Gerlach Coffman (CO) Gibbs Gibson Gingrey (GA) Conaway Gohmert Costello Goodlatte Courtney Gosar Cravaack Gowdy Crawford Granger Graves (GA) Crenshaw Cuellar Graves (MO) Culberson Davis (KY) Green, Al Griffin (AR) Denham Grimm Guinta DesJarlais Guthrie Diaz-Balart Hall Doggett Hanna Harper Donnelly (IN) Harris Dreier Hartzler Hastings (WA) Duncan (SC) Hayworth Ellmers Hensarling Emerson Herger Farenthold Herrera Beutler Fincher Higgins Fitzpatrick Himes Fleischmann Hirono Fleming Hochul Flores Huelskamp Forbes Huizenga (MI) Fortenberry Hultgren Hunter Frelinghuysen Hurt Gallegly Inslee

Issa
Jenkins
Johnson (IL)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson, Sam
Jordan
Keating
Kelly
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kinzinger (IL)
Kissell
Kline
Labrador
Lamborn
Lance
Lankford
Larsen (WA)
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lewis (CA)
LoBiondo
Loebsack
Long
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
Е.
Lynch
Mack
Manzullo
Marchant
Marino
Matheson
McCarthy (CA)
McCarthy (NY)
McCaul
McClintock
McCotter
McHenry
McKeon
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
Aakorman

Issa

Ackerman Amash Andrews Baldwin Bartlett Bass (CA) Becerra Berman Bishop (NY) Boswell Brady (PA) Bralev (IA) Broun (GA) Brown (FL) Burgess Campbell Capps Capuano Cardoza Carnahan Carson (IN) Chu Cicilline Clarke (MI) Clarke (NY) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly (VA) Conyers Cooper Critz Crowley Cummings Davis (CA) DeFazio DeGette DeLauro Deutch Dicks Dingell Doyle Duncan (TN) Edwards Engel Eshoo Farr Fattah Filner

Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller, Gary Mulvaney Murphy (CT) Murphy (PA) Myrick Neugebauer Noem Nugent Nunes Nunnelee Olson Owens Palazzo Pearce Peters Peterson Petri Pitts Platts Poe (TX) Pompeo Posey Quavle Quiglev Reed Rehberg Renacci Ribble Richardson Rigell Rivera Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross (AR) Ross (FL) NAYS-159 Flake

Frank (MA) Franks (AZ) Fudge Garamendi Gonzalez Green, Gene Grijalva Gutierrez Hahn Hanabusa Hastings (FL) Heck Heinrich Hinoiosa Holden Holt Honda Hoyer Israel Jackson (IL) Jackson Lee (TX)Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Jones Kaptur Kildee Kind Kucinich Langevin Larson (CT) Lee (CA) Levin Lewis (GA) Lipinski Lofgren, Zoe Lowev Luján Maloney Markey Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern Meeks Michaud Miller (NC) Miller, George Moore

Royce Runvan Ruppersberger Ryan (WI) Scalise Schilling Schmidt Schrader Scott (SC) Sensenbrenner Sessions Sherman Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Southerland Speier Stivers Stutzman Sullivan Terry Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Tsongas Turner Upton Walberg Walden Walsh (IL) Waters Webster West Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC) Wolf Womack Woodall Yoder Young (FL) Young (IN) Moran Nadler Napolitano Neal Olver Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ)

Paul

Payne

Pelosi

Polis Price (GA)

Rahall

Rangel

Reyes

Rush

Т.

Schiff

Sarbanes

Schwartz

Schweikert

Scott (VA)

Serrano

Sewell

Shuler

Slaughter

Sires

Stark

Stearns

Sutton

Tierney Tonko

Towns

Van Hollen

Velázquez

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Scott, David

Schakowsky

Reichert

Richmond

Ryan (OH)

Rothman (NJ)

Roybal-Allard

Sánchez, Linda

Sanchez, Loretta

Perlmutter

Price (NC)

Pingree (ME)

Visclosky Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz

Baca Bachmann Bishop (GA) Black Blumenauer Butterfield Castor (FL)

Yarmuth NOT VOTING-21 McKinlev Paulsen Pence

Wittman

Woolsey

Wu

Schock Scott, Austin Smith (WA) Young (AK)

\Box 1125

Mr. LEVIN changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the bill was passed.

Watt

Welch

Waxman

Wilson (FL)

Davis (IL)

Ellison

Giffords

Hinchey

Landry

McIntvre

Griffith (VA)

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. PAULSEN. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 629 I was unable to attend today's vote on H.R. 2551-Legislative Branch Appropriations. Had I been present, I would have voted "vea."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PENCE. Madam Speaker, I was absent from the House floor during rollcall votes 615 and 622-629. Had I been present I would have voted "no" on 615, "aye" on 622, "aye" on 623, "aye" on 624, "aye" on 625, "aye" on 626, "no" on 627, "no" on 628, and "yea" on 629.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JULY 25, 2011

Mr. CRENSHAW. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday next, when it shall convene at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate and noon for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BUERKLE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida? There was no objection.

HONORING GOVERNOR BRUCE SUNDLUN

(Mr. CICILLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CICILLINE. Madam Speaker, I rise this afternoon to honor a great citizen, a good friend, and a wonderful political leader, Rhode Island Governor Bruce Sundlun, who passed away last evening.

My fellow Rhode Islanders and I have lost a great friend and a great leader in Bruce's passing. He will be long remembered for leading Rhode Island through some very difficult times. His commitment to public service and his honorable and courageous service to our country both at home and abroad set him apart as a great American.

Governor Sundlun distinguished himself as a patriotic war hero, a talented business leader, a spirited athlete, and a gifted political leader.

A great friend to me, his courage and passion set him apart as one remarkable man whose spirit will live on in

H5387

[Roll No. 629]

Coble

Cole

Costa

Dent

Dold

Duffy

Foxx

our memories. His legacy and visionary accomplishments, including leading Rhode Island out of the credit union crisis, establishing Rite Care, a national model for health care for low-income families and children, and his vision for our State's airport expansion at T.F. Green will continue to benefit Rhode Islanders for many years to come.

My thoughts and prayers continue to be with the entire Sundlun family. Governor Bruce Sundlun will be sorely missed.

FAA BILL

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, I'm excited about the number of Members who welcome guests to the United States Capitol. It is an important place because it belongs to the American people. I'm delighted that the Poindexter family has joined me.

But many of those people who have traveled have traveled by airplanes and have gone through the Nation's airports.

I am the ranking member on the Transportation Security Committee addressing security issues across America; and I am disappointed, but I would like to say a little outraged, that right now the FAA bill is held up on minor issues such as whether or not we'll allow our workers to engage in discussions about their work conditions. It is being held up because the bill cancels FAA and air traffic controllers in small airports and the supplemental support, if you will, the supplemental support that has been given to small airports in rural areas.

It's time to get to work. Our Republican friends need to stop holding up this bill for minor issues so that Americans can fly in safety and security.

🗆 1130

THE FUTURE OF MEDICARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BUERKLE). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 5, 2011, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SCHWEIKERT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I promise I will not take the whole 60 minutes, because I know many folks have flights to get to.

Madam Speaker, one of the reasons I'm here—and we are also working on some additional, shall we say, display items for maybe next week. Maybe I'm out of my mind, but this last couple of weeks I've been actually reading from top to bottom, beginning to end, the Medicare trustees' Federal hospital insurance and Federal supplemental medical insurance trust fund actuarial report for 2011. It's actually more in-

teresting than you would think, because you go through about 270 pages, lots of great information, not that hard to read, so anyone that's actually watching this, I strongly suggest, if you have the stomach for it and you really need a little help in falling asleep, this might be the occasion. Google it, take it off the Internet, but do this for me: This is one of those occasions I'm going to ask you to go to the very end of the report and start with the last three pages, because that's what I'm standing here to talk about is you have a report that basically gives a window of a dozen-some years of actuarial soundness, but when you get to the last three pages, it basically says something like Roseannadanna, that character from Saturday Night Live from 20 years ago: "Never mind."

I brought a couple of the boards we already had printed up to sort of demonstrate what's going on, and then I wanted to talk about this.

Day after day after day after day in the political theater of this Congress, I see Members walk up to the floor, walk up to the press, send out press releases saying, "We don't want to change Medicare as it is in law today." How many times have we heard the attacks on the Republicans saying, "They're trying to change Medicare as we know it"? I need you to think about that comment, because what's in this report is Medicare as it is in law today. You need to understand what the left is defending and the crash that is just a few years away; and I'm standing here today to defend the fact that, as Republicans, we're saving the program. We are actually trying to find a way to make Medicare actuarially sound so that you and I can have it but also our kids and our grandkids can have it.

So let's actually first walk through the numbers, and then I'm going to read parts of these last three pages. I promise it's more interesting than it sounds, and it's more depressing than you can ever imagine, and this is the current law.

All right. A couple of primers on some spending out there.

2010, how much of our spending is mandatory?

2016, you'll start to notice mandatory spending is consuming everything we are.

Another point of reference. Today, when we borrow, we're actually having to borrow to cover all the discretionary. That's defense. That's all the alphabet agencies. We even have to borrow today to cover a portion of the mandatory spending. Think of that. The Medicares, the Social Securities, the Medicaids, the VA benefits, interest on the debt are actually living on borrowed money. I would think that would set off an alarm bell in someone's head that there's something horribly wrong out there.

So let's actually bounce on to this graph and just sort of give you a concept of how fast these numbers are

eroding and why things like the battle over cut, cap, and balance are going on in this body, because there seems a willingness here by many Membersand I've got to be very careful how I phrase this-that I believe telling the public the truth of how difficult these numbers are and how dangerous they are to our Republic may mean they don't get reelected, may mean they have to stand up in front of an audience that for years and years and years they've said, "Don't worry. It's fine." How do you go back in front of that same audience and now tell them, well, maybe the numbers weren't fine, because the truth is in front of us right now.

Here is the 2010 sort of breakdown. Department of Defense, Military, Other Discretionary. We use this one, because this is last year's numbers. It's all done. We know what it was.

Do you see this? That's probably about 62, 63 percent of all spending was in the mandatory category. Think of this. This here, from the President's own numbers, is the 2016 projection, which is four budget cycles away, because, remember, right now we're working on the 2012. This is the 2016.

Do you see the difference in these two boards? Do you see that growth in that blue area? We go from something in the low sixties to 72, and I have one person who keeps telling me it's 73 percent of all spending.

But think of this. In about 13¹/₂ years, every dime of this pie chart, every dime of spending, will be consumed by the mandatory portion of our spending. So 13¹/₂ years. There's nothing left in defense. There's nothing left in the alphabet agencies. Mandatory spending, the entitlements, consume everything we are. And, remember, this is as the law is written today. So every time you see a Member walk up and say, "I don't want to make changes; I want to keep everything as it is in law today." they're basically saying your future is a crash. Everything will be consumed in these mandatory numbers.

Now let's actually walk through a couple of things that are in these last three pages of the 2011 Medicare actuarial report. Once again, please, I ask you, if you don't believe me, if you're someone who has trouble believing these statements that I come here to the floor and try to walk through, go take it off the Internet yourself and read these last three pages.

Part of the premise here is, to his credit—and I believe he is actually the chief actuary for Medicare, actually wrote a little Statement of Actuarial Opinion, the last three pages, and he puts it in perspective. He basically says, yeah, the numbers in here are fine if you live in a fantasy world and assume Congress will never make certain changes. And understand, baked into these numbers, you'll love this one. I'll read it, and then I'll explain what this means. This is in the second paragraph. I'm going to read the second half of this paragraph: