

female. We did this because to do anything else is blatant discrimination.

Yet here we are today, with a bill that would circumvent the very discrimination we stopped and would direct the Internal Revenue Service to tax a woman based on her health needs, just because her needs are different from that of a man.

Even worse, at this critical time in our economy, we are now going to tax any business that provides comprehensive health care to a woman.

So, instead of fighting for the most critical need of our nation right now, job creation, H.R. 3 picks a fight with a woman and her employer.

Why do any one of us seek to have health insurance? We choose to have health insurance in order to plan for the unforeseeable, the unknown, those emergencies that arise and for which no one can plan. No one plans to have cancer, but many Americans do. Health insurance is how each of us protects ourselves against the unknown.

This legislation says that a woman—with her own money—cannot have comprehensive health insurance without a penalty. It creates a new barrier to access to care, and puts in place a system of discrimination, backed by statute in the United States Tax Code.

For my colleagues who argue that this is to reduce the rate of abortion services, it will not. The facts show otherwise.

Access to family planning services is what reduces the need for abortion services. It is family planning services that have proven to cut the rate of abortion by more than 200,000 per year and reduce unintended pregnancies by more than 600,000 per year.

This bill was titled the “No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act,” but it reads more like a “Tax our Daughters Act.”

Stop this boldfaced attack on American women. Let us instead provide them with jobs and a fair paycheck.

Vote against H.R. 3. Show the women of your district, and your family, that you respect them.

Ms. DEGETTE. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. LUMMIS). All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 237, the previous question is ordered on the bill, as amended.

Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of H.R. 3 is postponed.

REPEALING MANDATORY FUNDING FOR SCHOOL HEALTH CENTER CONSTRUCTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 236 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1214.

□ 1525

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1214) to repeal mandatory funding for

school-based health center construction, with Mrs. MYRICK (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Tuesday, May 3, 2011, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 2 printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE), had been postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas.

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. PALLONE of New Jersey.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 207, noes 218, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 287]

AYES—207

Ackerman	Conyers	Green, Gene
Andrews	Costello	Grijalva
Austria	Courtney	Grimm
Baca	Critz	Gutierrez
Baldwin	Crowley	Hanabusa
Barrow	Cuellar	Hanna
Bass (CA)	Cummings	Harris
Bass (NH)	Davis (CA)	Hastings (FL)
Becerra	Davis (IL)	Heinrich
Berkley	DeFazio	Heller
Berman	DeGette	Herrera Beutler
Biggert	DeLauro	Higgins
Bishop (GA)	Dent	Himes
Bishop (NY)	Deutch	Hinchey
Blumenauer	Diaz-Balart	Hinojosa
Boswell	Dicks	Hirono
Brady (PA)	Dingell	Holden
Braley (IA)	Doggett	Holt
Brown (FL)	Dold	Honda
Burgess	Donnelly (IN)	Hoyer
Butterfield	Doyle	Inlee
Capito	Duffy	Israel
Capps	Edwards	Issa
Capuano	Ellison	Jackson (IL)
Carnahan	Engel	Jackson Lee
Carney	Eshoo	(TX)
Carson (IN)	Farr	Johnson (GA)
Castor (FL)	Fattah	Johnson (IL)
Chu	Filner	Johnson, E. B.
Ciçilline	Fitzpatrick	Kaptur
Clarke (MI)	Frank (MA)	Keating
Clarke (NY)	Fudge	Kildee
Clay	Garamendi	Kind
Cleaver	Gibson	Kissell
Clyburn	Gingrey (GA)	Kline
Cohen	Gonzalez	Kucinich
Connolly (VA)	Green, Al	Langevin

Larsen (WA)	Oliver	Serrano
Larson (CT)	Pallone	Sewell
Lee (CA)	Pascrell	Sherman
Levin	Pastor (AZ)	Shuler
Lewis (GA)	Paulsen	Sires
Lipinski	Payne	Slaughter
Loeback	Pelosi	Smith (WA)
Lofgren, Zoe	Perlmutter	Speier
Lowe	Peters	Stark
Lujan	Pingree (ME)	Sutton
Lynch	Polis	Thompson (CA)
Maloney	Price (NC)	Thompson (MS)
Manzullo	Quigley	Tierney
Markey	Rahall	Tonko
Matheson	Rangel	Towns
Matsui	Reyes	Tsongas
McCarthy (NY)	Richardson	Upton
McCollum	Richmond	Van Hollen
McDermott	Rothman (NJ)	Velázquez
McGovern	Roybal-Allard	Visclosky
McIntyre	Ruppersberger	Walden
McKinley	Rush	Walz (MN)
McNerney	Ryan (OH)	Waters
Meeks	Sánchez, Linda	Watt
Michaud	T.	Waxman
Miller (NC)	Sanchez, Loretta	Weiner
Miller, George	Sarbanes	Welch
Moore	Schakowsky	Wilson (FL)
Moran	Schiff	Woolsey
Murphy (CT)	Schrader	Wu
Nadler	Schwartz	Yarmuth
Napolitano	Scott (VA)	Young (IN)
Neal	Scott, David	

NOES—218

Adams	Franks (AZ)	McKeon
Aderholt	Frelinghuysen	McMorris
Akin	Galleghy	Rodgers
Alexander	Gardner	Meehan
Altmire	Garrett	Mica
Amash	Gerlach	Miller (FL)
Bachmann	Gibbs	Miller (MI)
Bachus	Gohmert	Miller, Gary
Barletta	Goodlatte	Mulvaney
Bartlett	Gosar	Murphy (PA)
Barton (TX)	Gowdy	Myrick
Benishak	Granger	Neugebauer
Berg	Graves (GA)	Noem
Bishop (UT)	Graves (MO)	Nugent
Black	Griffin (AR)	Nunes
Blackburn	Griffith (VA)	Nunnelee
Bonner	Guinta	Olson
Bono Mack	Guthrie	Owens
Boren	Hall	Palazzo
Boustany	Harper	Paul
Brady (TX)	Hartzler	Pearce
Brooks	Hastings (WA)	Pence
Broun (GA)	Hayworth	Peterson
Buchanan	Heck	Petri
Bucshon	Hensarling	Pitts
Buerkle	Herger	Platts
Burton (IN)	Huelskamp	Poe (TX)
Calvert	Huizenga (MI)	Pompeo
Camp	Hultgren	Posey
Campbell	Hunter	Price (GA)
Canseco	Hurt	Quayle
Cantor	Jenkins	Reed
Cardoza	Johnson (OH)	Rehberg
Carter	Jones	Reichert
Cassidy	Jordan	Renacci
Chabot	Kelly	Ribble
Chaffetz	King (IA)	Rigell
Chandler	King (NY)	Rivera
Coble	Kingston	Roby
Coffman (CO)	Kinzinger (IL)	Roe (TN)
Cole	Labrador	Rogers (AL)
Conaway	Lamborn	Rogers (KY)
Cooper	Lance	Rogers (MI)
Costa	Landry	Rohrabacher
Cravaack	Lankford	Rokita
Crawford	Latham	Rooney
Crenshaw	LaTourrette	Ros-Lehtinen
Culberson	Latta	Roskam
Davis (KY)	Lewis (CA)	Ross (AR)
Denham	LoBiondo	Ross (FL)
DesJarlais	Long	Royce
Dreier	Lucas	Runyan
Duncan (SC)	Luetkemeyer	Ryan (WI)
Duncan (TN)	Lummis	Scalise
Ellmers	Lungren, Daniel	Schilling
Farenthold	E.	Schmidt
Fincher	Mack	Schock
Flake	Marchant	Schweikert
Fleischmann	Marino	Scott (SC)
Fleming	McCarthy (CA)	Scott, Austin
Flores	McCaul	Sensenbrenner
Forbes	McClintock	Sessions
Fortenberry	McCotter	Shimkus
Fox	McHenry	Shuster

Simpson
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Southernland
Stearns
Stivers
Stutzman
Sullivan
Terry

Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Turner
Walberg
Walsh (IL)
Webster
West
Westmoreland

Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Wolf
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—7

Bilbray
Bilirakis
Emerson

Giffords
Johnson, Sam

Wasserman
Schultz
Young (AK)

□ 1554

Mr. PALAZZO, Ms. GRANGER, and Messrs. DENHAM, MARINO and COSTA changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Ms. PINGREE of Maine, Mr. UPTON, Ms. RICHARDSON, and Messrs. DOYLE, CRITZ, BISHOP of Georgia, ISSA, SHULER and YOUNG of Indiana changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MOMENT OF SILENCE IN REMEMBRANCE OF MEMBERS OF ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. KINZINGER of Illinois). The Chair would ask all present to rise for the purpose of a moment of silence.

The Chair asks that the Committee now observe a moment of silence in remembrance of our brave men and women in uniform who have given their lives in the service of our Nation in Iraq and in Afghanistan and their families, and of all who serve in our Armed Forces and their families.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. PALLONE

The Acting CHAIR (Mrs. MYRICK). Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 205, noes 210, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 288]

AYES—205

Ackerman
Andrews
Baca
Baldwin
Barrow
Bass (CA)
Bass (NH)
Becerra
Berkley
Berman
Biggert
Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)
Blumenauer
Boswell
Brady (PA)
Brady (IA)
Brown (FL)
Burgess
Butterfield
Cantor
Capito
Capps
Capuano

Carnahan
Carney
Carson (IN)
Castor (FL)
Chandler
Chu
Cicilline
Clarke (MI)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Clever
Clyburn

Cohen
Connolly (VA)
Conyers
Costello
Courtney
Critz
Cueellar
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis (IL)
DeGette
DeLauro
Denham
Dent
Deutch
Diaz-Balart
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Dold
Donnelly (IN)
Doyle
Edwards
Engel
Eshoo
Farr
Fattah
Filner
Fitzpatrick
Frank (MA)
Fudge
Garamendi
Gerlach
Gibson
Gonzalez
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Gutierrez
Hanabusa
Hastings (FL)
Heinrich
Heller
Higgins
Himes
Hinchey
Hinojosa
Hirono
Holden
Holt
Honda
Hoyer
Inslee
Israel
Jackson (IL)
Jackson Lee (TX)

Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Kaptur
Keating
Kildee
Kind
Kinzinger (IL)
Kissell
Kline
Kucinich
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
LaTourette
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
Loeb sack
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lujan
Lynch
Maloney
Manzullo
Markey
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (NY)
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McIntyre
McKinley
McNerney
Meeks
Michaud
Miller (NC)
Miller, George
Moore
Moran
Murphy (CT)
Murphy (PA)
Nadler
Napolitano
Oliver
Pallone
Pascrell
Pastor (AZ)
Paulsen
Payne
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Pingree (ME)
Platts

Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Richardson
Richmond
Rigell
Ross (AR)
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schrader
Schwartz
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell
Sherman
Sires
Slaughter
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Speier
Stark
Sutton
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Tierney
Tonko
Tsongas
Upton
Van Hollen
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walden
Walz (MN)
Waters
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Welch
Whitfield
Wilson (FL)
Wittman
Woolsey
Wu
Yarmuth

NOES—210

Adams
Aderholt
Alexander
Altmire
Amash
Austria
Bachmann
Bachus
Barletta
Bartlett
Barton (TX)
Benishke
Berg
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Bonner
Bono Mack
Boren
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Brooks
Broun (GA)
Buchanan
Bucshon
Buerkle
Burton (IN)
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Cansoco
Cardoza
Carter
Cassidy
Chabot
Chaffetz
Coble
Coffman (CO)
Cole
Conaway

Cooper
Costa
Cravack
Crawford
Crenshaw
Culberson
Davis (KY)
DesJarlais
Dreier
Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Ellmers
Farenthold
Flake
Fleischmann
Fleming
Flores
Forbes
Fortenberry
Fox
Franks (AZ)
Gallegly
Gardner
Garrett
Gibbs
Gingrey (GA)
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Gosar
Gowdy
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (MO)
Griffin (AR)
Griffith (VA)
Grimm
Guinta
Guthrie
Hall

Hanna
Harper
Harris
Hartzler
Hastings (WA)
Hayworth
Heck
Hensarling
Herger
Herrera Beutler
Huelskamp
Huizenga (MI)
Hultgren
Hunter
Hurt
Issa
Jenkins
Johnson (IL)
Johnson (OH)
Jones
Jordan
Kelly
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Labrador
Lamborn
Landry
Lankford
Latham
Latta
Lewis (CA)
LoBiondo
Long
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
E.
Mack

Marchant
Marino
McCarthy (CA)
McCaul
McClintock
McCotter
McHenry
McKeon
McMorris
McRogers
Meehan
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller, Gary
Mulvaney
Myrick
Neugebauer
Noem
Nugent
Nunes
Olson
Owens
Palazzo
Pearce
Pence
Peterson
Petri
Pitts
Poe (TX)
Pompeo

Posey
Price (GA)
Quayle
Reed
Rehberg
Reichert
Renacci
Ribble
Rivera
Robby
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross (FL)
Royce
Runyan
Ryan (WI)
Scalise
Schilling
Schmidt
Schock
Schweikert
Scott (SC)
Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner

Sessions
Shimkus
Shuler
Shuster
Simpson
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Southernland
Stearns
Stivers
Stutzman
Sullivan
Terry
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Turner
Walberg
Walsh (IL)
Webster
West
Westmoreland
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Young (FL)
Young (IN)

NOT VOTING—17

Akin
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Crowley
DeFazio
Ellison

Emerson
Fincher
Frelinghuysen
Giffords
Johnson, Sam
Neal

Nunnelee
Paul
Towns
Wasserman
Schultz
Young (AK)

□ 1603

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. FINCHER. Madam Chair, on rollcall No. 288, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

The Acting CHAIR. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. FOXX) having assumed the chair, Mrs. MYRICK, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1214) to repeal mandatory funding for school-based health center construction, and, pursuant to House Resolution 236, reported the bill back to the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York. Madam Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York. I am, in its current form.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I reserve a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A point of order is reserved.

The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York moves to recommit the bill H.R. 1214 to the Committee

on Energy and Commerce with instructions to report the same to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

In section 1, add at the end the following:
(C) PUBLICATION OF NAMES AND LOCATIONS OF APPLICANTS WHO WILL NOT RECEIVE GRANTS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall publish on the public Website of the Department of Health and Human Services the names and locations of each school-based health center or sponsoring facility that has an application for a grant under section 4101(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 280h–4) pending at the time of the repeal of such section 4101(a) by this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from New York is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion.

Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise today to offer a motion to recommit to this misguided bill.

It is important to note that this motion is simply a final amendment to the bill and will not kill the bill as the majority may claim.

School-based health centers are on the front lines of preventative care, and preventative care saves lives and saves money, and school-based centers are on the front lines of preventative care.

As a nurse for over 30 years, I know that prevention can keep people out of the emergency rooms that taxpayers help fund, and it keeps them from needing expensive procedures and medicines that drive up insurance costs.

Patients seen at school-based centers, for example, cost Medicaid an average of \$30 less than comparable non-school-based health center patients. School-based health centers play an important role in treating sports concussions and halting the spread of infectious diseases like the flu.

School-based centers also have a positive effect on our educational system. They have been shown to increase academic performance and reduce absenteeism. For example, a recent study found that students who use high school health centers had a 50 percent reduction in absenteeism and 25 percent reduction in lateness. Many students also increased their grade point averages over time compared to students who did not use school-based health centers.

Finally, the sad fact is sometimes these centers are a student's only source of health care. So, we are faced today with legislation that attacks the preventative health care work done by our school-based health centers. H.R. 1214 is an upsetting piece of legislation, but that's not surprising at all. After all, this bill is coming from the same conference that just voted to end Medicare as we know it.

Both the Republican budget and this legislation today are penny-wise and pound-foolish approaches that cut preventative care for those Americans who need it the most. And the worst

common denominator? Both measures go against the most honorable Americans: the elderly and the children. The Republican majority passed a reckless budget before the recess, and they are poised to pass this reckless piece of legislation today.

I offer this motion to recommit today to highlight the terrible impacts of the Republican approach in this legislation. This motion to recommit is simply, again, a final amendment and will not kill the bill.

My motion to recommit requires the names and locations of each school-based health center that has applied for a grant under the program that the Republican Party would end today be posted on a publicly available Web site.

□ 1610

This way, we will be able to all see very clearly the damage that this Republican proposal will cause.

Like many of my colleagues, a school-based health center in my district would be denied funding under this bill. One of my hospitals in my district, Winthrop University Hospital, has been partnering with Hempstead High School to run a school-based health center. This school-based health center has 1,500 students enrolled and has 6,000 visits from students each year.

Winthrop University's partnership operates in one of my most underserved communities. About 50 percent of students who use this school-based health center are uninsured. Let me say that again: Nearly half of all students who use this health center are uninsured. Hempstead High School is the only access to medical care that they have. The grant that this center applied for will help them serve this population who has nowhere else to turn. I am going to stand with those students and their families and protect the Winthrop-Hempstead High School health center. I hope that other Members will choose to stand with their constituents as well.

Should this bill become law, those Members who voted for this bill will have to answer to their communities who would have a vital link to health care cut off. I should also note some misinformation that's being spread by the supporters of H.R. 1214. They claim that these grants aren't needed because they are readily available in other sources, but that's not true. The evidence is that SBHC construction and renovation needs have not been met through other funding. My colleagues across the aisle also claim that construction funds would be provided to centers that aren't sustainable. That's also not true. Guidelines have been developed by the Health Resources and Services Administration to ensure that no construction funds will be provided to any school-based health center that cannot document that they are sustainable now and into the future.

As I said, ladies and gentlemen, school-based health centers work. They

keep our young people healthy and successful in school, and they do it in a way that saves our taxpayers money. It is just common sense to support school-based centers. Again, this amendment will not kill the bill. This motion to recommit is simply a final amendment to the bill that will provide transparency to the process. I urge all Members to support this motion to recommit.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman continue to reserve his point of order?

Mr. BURGESS. I will withdraw my reservation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The reservation is withdrawn.

The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BURGESS. It's an interesting little motion to recommit, described as being benign and not changing the overall nature of the underlying legislation. So benign is the motion to recommit that it descends into the realm of being superfluous and unnecessary. It is a motion to recommit to publish the names and locations of applicants who will not receive grants.

Now, look, just from this, we won't know if those grants that were not approved were just simply poorly drafted. We already have a health care law that was poorly drafted, so we know it is within the realm of someone working in the Federal Government to poorly draft an application for a school-based clinic.

Washington's addiction to spending has become crystal clear to the American people, and the passage of this massive health care law by President Obama last year is exhibit A. Of the thousands of problems in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, the underlying bill, H.R. 1214, addresses but one of them and a very small one at that. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act provides \$200 million in mandatory funding for the construction of school-based health centers. The bill eliminates this funding as our Nation faces a mounting deficit and debt crisis.

Funding for school-based health center construction may be a good idea. Maybe it's not a good idea. Maybe we should have that debate, which we didn't in the run-up to the passage of this bill. But the 111th Congress, the last Congress, did not think about it before they threw literally \$200 million at the program.

And, Madam Speaker, I would just point out, out of all of the so-called "cut" bills that are to remove the advanced appropriations in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, out of all of those bills that remove advanced appropriations, it is this small little bill that has not drawn a veto threat from the White House. Madam Speaker, that leads me to believe that

the President himself was embarrassed about the language that was included in the bill on this point; and the White House, now recognizing that, is not about to go out on a limb and issue a veto threat against this bill.

The motion to recommit, brought forward by the other side, shows they simply do not realize that we have a spending problem in Washington, D.C. Congress should examine if there is a need for a program, and through regular order, rather than rushing to authorize or appropriate dollars in a feel-good piece of legislation. We hear about standing with the American families. How about standing with those American families that actually pay taxes to the Federal Government for a change?

I urge my colleagues to vote “no” on the motion to recommit, “yes” on the underlying bill. Let's get our fiscal house back in order.

Mr. BURGESS. I yield back the balance of my time.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would ask all Members to avoid trafficking the well while another Member is under recognition.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 180, noes 230, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 289]

AYES—180

Ackerman	Clay	Farr
Andrews	Cleaver	Fattah
Baca	Cohen	Filner
Baldwin	Connolly (VA)	Frank (MA)
Barrow	Conyers	Fudge
Bass (CA)	Cooper	Garamendi
Becerra	Costello	Gonzalez
Berkley	Courtney	Green, Al
Berman	Critz	Green, Gene
Bishop (GA)	Crowley	Grijalva
Bishop (NY)	Cuellar	Gutierrez
Blumenauer	Cummings	Hanabusa
Boswell	Davis (CA)	Hastings (FL)
Brady (PA)	Davis (IL)	Heinrich
Braley (IA)	DeFazio	Higgins
Brown (FL)	DeGette	Himes
Butterfield	DeLauro	Hinche
Capps	Deutch	Hinojosa
Capuano	Dicks	Hirono
Carnahan	Dingell	Holden
Carney	Doggett	Holt
Carson (IN)	Donnelly (IN)	Honda
Castor (FL)	Doyle	Hoyer
Chu	Edwards	Inlee
Cicilline	Ellison	Israel
Clarke (MI)	Engel	Jackson (IL)
Clarke (NY)	Eshoo	

Jackson Lee (TX)	Miller, George	Schakowsky
Johnson (GA)	Moore	Schiff
Johnson, E. B.	Moran	Schrader
Kaptur	Murphy (CT)	Schwartz
Keating	Nadler	Scott (VA)
Kildee	Napolitano	Scott, David
Kind	Neal	Serrano
Kissell	Oliver	Sewell
Kucinich	Owens	Sherman
Langevin	Pallone	Sires
Larsen (WA)	Pascrell	Slaughter
Larson (CT)	Pastor (AZ)	Smith (WA)
Lee (CA)	Payne	Speier
Levin	Pelosi	Stark
Lewis (GA)	Perlmutter	Sutton
Lipinski	Peters	Thompson (CA)
Loeb	Pingree (ME)	Thompson (MS)
Loeb	Polis	Tierney
Lofgren, Zoe	Price (NC)	Tonko
Lowe	Quigley	Towns
Lujan	Rahall	Tsongas
Lynch	Rangel	Van Hollen
Maloney	Reyes	Velázquez
Markey	Richardson	Visclosky
Matsui	Richmond	Walz (MN)
McCarthy (NY)	Rothman (NJ)	Waters
McCollum	Roybal-Allard	Watt
McDermott	Ruppersberger	Waxman
McGovern	Rush	Weiner
McIntyre	Ryan (OH)	Welch
McNerney	Sánchez, Linda T.	Wilson (FL)
Meeks	Sanchez, Loretta	Woolsey
Michaud	Sarbanes	Wu
Miller (NC)		Yarmuth

NOES—230

Adams	Flake	Lewis (CA)
Aderholt	Fleischmann	LoBiondo
Akin	Fleming	Long
Alexander	Flores	Lucas
Altmire	Forbes	Luetkemeyer
Amash	Fortenberry	Lummis
Austria	Fox	Lungren, Daniel E.
Bachmann	Franks (AZ)	Mack
Bachus	Frelinghuysen	Manzullo
Barletta	Gallagher	Marchant
Bartlett	Gardner	Marino
Barton (TX)	Garrett	Matheson
Bass (NH)	Gerlach	McCauley
Benish	Gibbs	McClintock
Berg	Gibson	McCotter
Biggart	Gingrey (GA)	McHenry
Bishop (UT)	Gohmert	McKeon
Black	Goodlatte	McKinley
Blackburn	Gosar	Meehan
Bonner	Gowdy	Mica
Bono Mack	Granger	Miller (FL)
Boren	Graves (GA)	Miller (MI)
Boustany	Graves (MO)	Miller, Gary
Brooks	Griffin (AR)	Mulvaney
Broun (GA)	Griffith (VA)	Murphy (PA)
Buchanan	Grimm	Myrick
Bucshon	Guinta	Neugebauer
Buerkle	Guthrie	Nugent
Burgess	Hall	Nunes
Burton (IN)	Hanna	Nunnelee
Calvert	Harper	Olson
Camp	Harris	Palazzo
Campbell	Hartzler	Paul
Canseco	Hastings (WA)	Paulsen
Capito	Hayworth	Pearce
Cardoza	Heck	Pence
Carter	Heller	Petri
Cassidy	Herger	Pitts
Chabot	Huelskamp	Platts
Chaffetz	Huizenga (MI)	Poe (TX)
Chandler	Hultgren	Pompeo
Coble	Hunter	Posey
Coffman (CO)	Hurt	Quayle
Cole	Issa	Reed
Conaway	Jenkins	Rehberg
Costa	Johnson (IL)	Reichert
Craavaack	Johnson (OH)	Renacci
Crawford	Jones	Ribble
Crenshaw	Jordan	Rigell
Culberson	Kelly	Rivera
Davis (KY)	King (IA)	Roby
Denham	King (NY)	Roe (TN)
Dent	Kingston	Rogers (AL)
DesJarlais	Kinzingler (IL)	Rogers (KY)
Diaz-Balart	Kline	Rogers (MI)
Dold	Labrador	Rohrabacher
Duffy	Lamborn	Rokita
Duncan (SC)	Lance	Rooney
Duncan (TN)	Landry	Ros-Lehtinen
Ellmers	Lankford	Ross (AR)
Farenthold	Latham	Royce
Fincher	LaTourette	Runyan
Fitzpatrick	Latta	

Ryan (WI)	Smith (TX)	Walsh (IL)
Scalise	Southerland	Webster
Schilling	Stearns	West
Schmidt	Stivers	Westmoreland
Schock	Stutzman	Whitfield
Schweikert	Sullivan	Wilson (SC)
Scott, Austin	Terry	Wittman
Sensenbrenner	Thompson (PA)	Wolf
Shimkus	Thornberry	Womack
Shuler	Tiberi	Woodall
Shuster	Tipton	Yoder
Simpson	Turner	Young (AK)
Smith (NE)	Upton	Young (FL)
Smith (NJ)	Walberg	Young (IN)

NOT VOTING—22

Bilbray	Hensarling	Price (GA)
Bilirakis	Herrera Beutler	Roskam
Brady (TX)	Johnson, Sam	Ross (FL)
Cantor	McCarthy (CA)	Scott (SC)
Clyburn	McMorris	Sessions
Dreier	Rodgers	Walden
Emerson	Noem	Wasserman
Giffords	Peterson	Schultz

□ 1634

Ms. SPEIER changed her vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. ROSS of Florida. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 289, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Madam Speaker, on rollcall, No. 289, I inadvertently was detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

Mr. WALDEN. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 289, I was detained in a bicameral leadership meeting with the Speaker. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. WAXMAN. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 235, noes 191, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 290]

AYES—235

Adams	Burgess	Duncan (TN)
Aderholt	Burton (IN)	Ellmers
Akin	Calvert	Farenthold
Alexander	Camp	Fincher
Altmire	Campbell	Fitzpatrick
Amash	Canseco	Flake
Austria	Cantor	Fleischmann
Bachmann	Capito	Fleming
Bachus	Carter	Flores
Barletta	Cassidy	Forbes
Bartlett	Chabot	Fortenberry
Barton (TX)	Chaffetz	Fox
Bass (NH)	Coble	Franks (AZ)
Benish	Coffman (CO)	Frelinghuysen
Berg	Cole	Gallagher
Biggart	Conaway	Gardner
Bishop (UT)	Cravaack	Garrett
Black	Crawford	Gerlach
Blackburn	Crenshaw	Gibbs
Bonner	Culberson	Gibson
Bono Mack	Davis (KY)	Gingrey (GA)
Boren	Denham	Gohmert
Boustany	Dent	Goodlatte
Brady (TX)	DesJarlais	Gosar
Brooks	Diaz-Balart	Gowdy
Broun (GA)	Dold	Granger
Buchanan	Dreier	Graves (GA)
Bucshon	Duffy	Graves (MO)
Buerkle	Duncan (SC)	Griffin (AR)

Griffith (VA)	McCarthy (CA)	Rokita	Quigley	Schrader	Towns
Grimm	McCaul	Rooney	Rahall	Schwartz	Tsongas
Guinta	McClintock	Ros-Lehtinen	Rangel	Scott (VA)	Van Hollen
Guthrie	McCotter	Roskam	Reyes	Scott, David	Velázquez
Hall	McHenry	Ross (FL)	Richardson	Serrano	Visclosky
Hanna	McIntyre	Royce	Richmond	Sewell	Walz (MN)
Harper	McKeon	Runyan	Ross (AR)	Sherman	Waters
Harris	McKinley	Ryan (WI)	Rothman (NJ)	Shuler	Watt
Hartzler	McMorris	Scalise	Roybal-Allard	Sires	Waxman
Hastings (WA)	Rodgers	Schilling	Ruppersberger	Slaughter	Weiner
Hayworth	Meehan	Schmidt	Rush	Smith (WA)	Welch
Heller	Mica	Schock	Ryan (OH)	Speier	West
Hensarling	Miller (FL)	Schweikert	Sánchez, Linda	Stark	Wilson (FL)
Henger	Miller (MI)	Scott (SC)	T.	Sutton	Woolsey
Herrera Beutler	Miller, Gary	Scott, Austin	Sanchez, Loretta	Thompson (CA)	Wu
Huelskamp	Mulvaney	Sensenbrenner	Sarbanes	Thompson (MS)	Yarmuth
Huizenga (MI)	Murphy (PA)	Sessions	Schakowsky	Tierney	
Hultgren	Myrick	Shimkus	Schiff	Tonko	
Hunter	Neugebauer	Shuster			
Hurt	Noem	Simpson			
Issa	Nugent	Smith (NE)	Bilbray	Giffords	Wasserman
Jenkins	Nunes	Smith (NJ)	Bilirakis	Johnson, Sam	Schultz
Johnson (IL)	Nunnelee	Smith (TX)	Emerson		
Johnson (OH)	Olson	Southerland			
Jones	Palazzo	Stearns			
Jordan	Paul	Stivers			
King (IA)	Paulsen	Stutzman			
King (NY)	Pearce	Sullivan			
Kingston	Pence	Terry			
Kinzinger (IL)	Petri	Thompson (PA)			
Kline	Pitts	Thornberry			
Labrador	Platts	Tiberi			
Lamborn	Poe (TX)	Tipton			
Lance	Pompeo	Turner			
Landry	Posey	Upton			
Lankford	Price (GA)	Walberg			
Latham	Quayle	Walden			
Latta	Reed	Walsh (IL)			
Lewis (CA)	Rehberg	Webster			
LoBiondo	Reichert	Westmoreland			
Long	Renacci	Whitfield			
Lucas	Ribble	Wilson (SC)			
Luetkemeyer	Rigell	Wittman			
Lummis	Rivera	Wolf			
Lungren, Daniel	Roby	Womack			
E.	Roe (TN)	Woodall			
Mack	Rogers (AL)	Yoder			
Manzullo	Rogers (KY)	Young (AK)			
Marchant	Rogers (MI)	Young (FL)			
Marino	Rohrabacher	Young (IN)			

NOT VOTING—6

□ 1641

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NO TAXPAYER FUNDING FOR ABORTION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, consideration of the bill (H.R. 3) to prohibit taxpayer funded abortions and to provide for conscience protections, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Ms. SPEIER. Madam Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Ms. SPEIER. I am opposed to the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Speier moves to recommit the bill H.R. 3 to the Committee on the Judiciary with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith, with the following amendments.

Page 8, after the matter following line 5, insert the following:

SEC. 103. PROTECTION OF PRIVATE MEDICAL RECORDS OF VICTIMS OF RAPE AND INCEST.

Nothing in this title or the amendments made by this title shall be construed to permit the Federal Government to gain access to the private medical records of the victims of rape and incest.

On the first page, in the matter following line 5, insert after the item relating to section 102 the following:

Sec. 103. Protection of private medical records of victims of rape and incest.

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Madam Speaker, I reserve a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A point of order is reserved.

Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion.

Ms. SPEIER. Madam Speaker, at the outset, let me say the following:

As a member of the Democratic Caucus, there have been times in the past when I have supported Republican motions to recommit. I have done it a number of times, I confess. I am speaking to my Republican colleagues this afternoon, seeking to ask you to do the same, because this particular motion is very simple, very clear. It will not prevent the passage of the underlying bill. If it is adopted, it will be incorporated in the bill, and the bill will be immediately voted upon.

So what does it do?

It is about what every one of us cares about, and that is privacy. Americans believe in privacy. Justice Brandeis once said in a court opinion, "Every American has the right to be left alone." This is something we can all agree on.

My motion would simply prohibit Federal agents from accessing a woman's health or other medical records because she was a victim of rape or incest. Now, that's pretty simple. If you're a victim of rape or incest, no Federal agency or agent will be able to access your medical records in order to prove that you, in fact, were raped or were a victim of incest.

Both the Hyde Amendment and this legislation specifically create exceptions for victims of these crimes. The underlying bill would create an exception to the exception. It actually re-victimizes the victims of rape and incest by requiring them to relive their horror. Rape kits could be examined. Confidential medical records could be breached.

How can we possibly ask a woman who has suffered an horrific crime to now face scrutiny by an IRS audit? Think about it. Is that what we want? Do we want women who have been victims of rape and incest to have IRS agents knocking on their doors to determine whether or not they really have been raped or have been victims of incest? We should be treating these victims like victims and not like criminals. Medical privacy is a long-standing and protected right for every American. Why should the right be forfeited because you are a victim of rape or incest?

Let me say it one more time: Passage of this motion will not prevent passage of the bill. If it is adopted, it will be incorporated in the bill, and the bill will be taken up immediately.

Madam Speaker, last month, I received a call from a woman who was raped while serving in the United States Navy. Sometimes we get wrapped up in the words and forget about the real lives we're talking about. This member of the Navy was raped, beaten savagely and left for dead in her quarters. She was later informed that she was pregnant, and opted to have an abortion. Does anyone here believe that this woman who volunteered to serve our country should be subject to an audit by the IRS? This particular

NOES—191

Ackerman	DeLauro	Kissell
Andrews	Deutch	Kucinich
Baca	Dicks	Langevin
Baldwin	Dingell	Larsen (WA)
Barrow	Doggett	Larson (CT)
Bass (CA)	Donnelly (IN)	LaTourette
Becerra	Doyle	Lee (CA)
Berkley	Edwards	Levin
Berman	Ellison	Lewis (GA)
Bishop (GA)	Engel	Lipinski
Bishop (NY)	Eshoo	Loebsack
Blumenauer	Farr	Lofgren, Zoe
Boswell	Fattah	Lowey
Brady (PA)	Filner	Lujan
Braley (IA)	Frank (MA)	Lynch
Brown (FL)	Fudge	Maloney
Butterfield	Garamendi	Markey
Capps	Gonzalez	Matheson
Capuano	Green, Al	Matsui
Cardoza	Green, Gene	McCarthy (NY)
Carnahan	Grijalva	McCollum
Carney	Gutierrez	McDermott
Carson (IN)	Hanabusa	McGovern
Castor (FL)	Hastings (FL)	McNerney
Chandler	Heck	Meeks
Chu	Heinrich	Michaud
Cicilline	Higgins	Miller (NC)
Clarke (MI)	Himes	Miller, George
Clarke (NY)	Hinchey	Moore
Clay	Hinojosa	Moran
Cleaver	Hirono	Murphy (CT)
Clyburn	Holden	Nadler
Cohen	Holt	Napolitano
Connolly (VA)	Honda	Neal
Conyers	Hoyer	Olver
Cooper	Inslee	Owens
Costa	Israel	Pallone
Costello	Jackson (IL)	Pascarelli
Courtney	Jackson Lee	Pastor (AZ)
Critz	(TX)	Payne
Crowley	Johnson (GA)	Pelosi
Cuellar	Johnson, E. B.	Perlmutter
Cummings	Kaptur	Peters
Davis (CA)	Keating	Peterson
Davis (IL)	Kelly	Pingree (ME)
DeFazio	Kildee	Polis
DeGette	Kind	Price (NC)