CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Reichert McMorris Rodgers Meehan Ribble Mica. Rigell Miller (FL) Rivera Miller (MI) Roby Roe (TN) Miller, Gary Mulvaney Rogers (AL) Murphy (PA) Rogers (KY) Myrick Rogers (MI) Neugebauer Rohrabacher Noem Rokita Nugent Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Nunes Nunnelee Roskam Ross (FL) Olson Palazzo Royce Pa.111 Runyan Ryan (WI) Paulsen Pence Schilling Petri Schmidt Schock Schweikert Platts Poe (TX) Scott (SC) Pompeo Scott, Austin Posev Sensenbrenner Price (GA) Sessions Shimkus Quayle Reed Shuster Rehberg Simpson

Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Southerland Stearns Stivers Stutzman Sullivan Terry Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Turner Upton Walberg Walden Walsh (II.) Webster West Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC)

Yoder Young (AK) Young (FL)

NAYS-178

Green, Gene

Grijalva

Gutierrez

Hanabusa

Heinrich

Higgins

Hinchey

Hinojosa

Hirono

Holden

Honda

Hoyer

Inslee

Israel

Jackson (IL)

Jackson Lee

Johnson (GA)

Johnson, E. B.

(TX)

Kaptur

Keating

Kildee

Kissell

Kucinich

Langevin

Lee (CA)

Lewis (GA)

Loebsack

Lofgren, Zoe

Levin

Lowey

Luján

Lynch Maloney

Markey

Matsui

Matheson

McCollum McDermott

McGovern

McIntyre McNerney

Michaud

Miller (NC)

Miller, George

Murphy (CT)

Napolitano

Meeks

Moore

Moran

Nadler

Neal

Olver

Owens

McCarthy (NY)

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

Kind

Himes

Hastings (FL)

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Wolf

Ackerman Andrews Baca Baldwin Barrow Bass (CA) Becerra Berkley Berman Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Blumenauer Boren Boswell Brady (PA) Braley (IA) Brown (FL) Capps Capuano Cardoza Carnahan Carney Castor (FL) Chandler Chu Cicilline Clarke (MI) Clay Clyburn Cohen Connolly (VA) Conyers Cooper Costa Costello Courtney Critz Crowley Cuellar Cummings Davis (CA) DeFazio DeGette DeLauro Deutch Dicks Dingell Doggett Donnelly (IN) Doyle Edwards Ellison Engel

Eshoo

Fattah

Filner

Fudge

Gonzalez

Green, Al

Frank (MA)

Farr

Young (IN) Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Pavne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree (ME) Price (NC) Rahall Reves Richardson Richmond Ross (AR) Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Rvan (OH) Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Schwartz Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell. Sherman Smith (WA) Speier Stark Sutton Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tonko Towns Tsongas Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz (MN) Wasserman

NOT VOTING-

Barton (TX) Butterfield Bass (NH) Brooks Campbell Carson (IN)

Clarke (NY) Cleaver Davis (IL)

Crenshaw

Higgins

Schultz

Waters

Waxman

Wilson (FL)

Weiner

Welch

Woolsey

Yarmuth

Wıı

Watt

Frelinghuysen Garamendi Giffords Hayworth

the table.

Heller Polis Quigley Rangel

Shuler Slaughter

□ 1400

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded. A motion to reconsider was laid on

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 309, nays 107, answered "present" 1, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 201]

YEAS-309

Ackerman Critz Himes Crowley Adams Hinoiosa Aderholt Culberson Hirono Akin Davis (CA) Holden Davis (KY) Alexander Holt Austria DeGette Huelskamp Baca DeLauro Huizenga (MI) Bachmann Denham Hultgren Bachus DesJarlais Hurt Diaz-Balart Barletta Inslee Dingell Barrow Issa Bartlett Doggett Jenkins Bass (NH) Dovle Johnson (GA) Dreier Benishek Johnson (IL) Berg Duffy Johnson (OH) Berkley Duncan (SC) Johnson, E. B Duncan (TN) Berman Johnson, Sam Biggert Edwards Jones Bilirakis Ellmers Jordan Bishop (GA) Emerson Kaptur Bishop (UT) Engel Kelly Black Eshoo Kildee Blackburn Fattah Kind Blumenauer Fincher King (IA) Flake Bonner King (NY) Bono Mack Fleischmann Kingston Boren Fleming Boustany Flores Kline Brady (TX) Forbes Labrador Bralev (IA) Fortenberry Lamborn Brooks Frank (MA) Lance Broun (GA) Franks (AZ Landry Gallegly Bucshon Lankford Buerkle Garamendi Latham Burton (IN) Garrett LaTourette Gerlach Calvert Latta Camp Gibson Levin Gingrey (GA) Canseco Lewis (CA) Cantor Gonzalez Loebsack Goodlatte Capito Long Capps Gosar Carney Gowdy Lowey Lucas Carter Granger Graves (GA) Green, Al Luetkemeyer Cassidy Castor (FL) Lummis Lungren, Daniel Green, Gene Chabot Chaffetz Griffin (AR) Mack Griffith (VA) Chandler Manzullo Cicilline Grijalva Marchant Clay Grimm Clyburn Marino Guinta Coble Coffman (CO) Guthrie Hanabusa Markev Matheson Matsui Cohen Harper McCarthy (CA) Cole Hartzler Hastings (WA) McCarthy (NY) Conaway Connolly (VA) Hayworth McCaul Conyers Heinrich McClintock McCollum Hensarling Cooper Courtney Herger McHenry Crawford Herrera Beutler McIntvre

McKeon

McMorris Rodgers McNerney Meehan Mica Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Moran Mulvanev Murphy (CT) Murphy (PA) Myrick Nadler Neal Neugebauer Noem Nugent Nunes Nunnelee Olson Owens Palazzo Pascrell Paul Paulsen Payne Pence Petri Pingree (ME) Pitts Platts Polis Pompeo Price (GA) Price (NC) Quavle Quigley Rehberg Reichert Ribble

Smith (WA) Richardson Richmond Southerland Rigell Speier Rivera Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross (AR) Ross (FL) Rothman (NJ) Royce Runyan Ruppersberger Ryan (WI) Scalise Schiff Schilling Schmidt Schock Schrader Schwartz Schweikert Scott (SC) Scott, Austin Scott, David Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Sewell Sherman Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (NE) Smith (NJ)

Stearns Stivers Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tiernev Tonko Tsongas Turner Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Walberg Walden Walsh (IL) Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Waters Watt Waxman Webster Welch West Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (FL) Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Womack Woodall Woolsey Yarmuth Yoder Young (FL) Young (IN)

NAYS-107

Altmire Gutierrez Olver Baldwin Hall Pallone Bass (CA) Hanna Pastor (AZ) Becerra Harris Pearce Bilbray Hastings (FL) Pelosi Bishop (NY) Heck Peters Heller Boswell Peterson Brady (PA) Hinchey Poe (TX) Brown (FL) Honda Rahall Burgess Hoyer Rangel Capuano Hunter Reed Cardoza Israel Renacci Carnahan Jackson (IL) Reyes Chu Jackson Lee Roybal-Allard Clarke (MI) (TX) Keating Rush Costa Ryan (OH) Costello Kinzinger (IL) Cravaack Sánchez, Linda Kucinich Cuellar Langevin Cummings Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Sanchez, Loretta Davis (IL) Sarbanes Lee (CA) DeFazio Schakowsky Dent Lewis (GA) Scott (VA) Deutch Lipinski Sires Dicks LoBiondo Stark Dold Lofgren, Zoe Sullivan Donnelly (IN) Luján Sutton Ellison Lynch Terry Farenthold Maloney Thompson (CA) McCotter Farr Thompson (MS) Filner McDermott Tipton Fitzpatrick McGovern Towns Foxx McKinley Visclosky Fudge Meeks Weiner Miller, George Gardner Gibbs Moore Wu Graves (MO) Napolitano Young (AK)

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Amash

NOT VOTING-15

Carson (IN) Andrews Gohmert Barton (TX) Clarke (NY) Perlmutter Buchanan Cleaver Posey Butterfield Frelinghuvsen Shuler Campbell Slaughter

□ 1408

Ms. BASS of California changed her vote from "yea" to "nay." So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained on official business and missed rollcall vote Nos. 200 and 201. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall vote Nos. 200 and 201.

SCHOLARSHIPS FOR OPPORTUNITY AND RESULTS ACT

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 186, I call up the bill (H.R. 471) to reauthorize the DC opportunity scholarship program, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Bass of New Hampshire). Pursuant to House Resolution 186, the amendment recommended by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform now printed in the bill is adopted. The bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 471

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Scholarships for Opportunity and Results Act" or the "SOAR Act"

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

- (1) Parents are best equipped to make decisions for their children, including the educational setting that will best serve the interests and educational needs of their child.
- (2) For many parents in the District of Columbia, public school choice provided under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as well as under other public school choice programs, is inadequate. More educational options are needed to ensure all families in the District of Columbia have access to a quality education. In particular, funds are needed to provide low-income parents with enhanced public opportunities and private educational environments, regardless of whether such environments are secular or nonsecular.
- (3) While the per student cost for students in the public schools of the District of Columbia is one of the highest in the United States, test scores for such students continue to be among the lowest in the Nation. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), an annual report released by the National Center for Education Statistics, reported in its 2009 study that students in the District of Columbia were being outperformed by every State in the Nation. On the 2009 NAEP, 56 percent of fourth grade students scored "below basic" in reading, and 44 percent scored "below basic" in mathematics. Among eighth grade students, 49 percent scored "below basic" in reading and 60 percent scored "below basic" in mathematics. On the 2009 NAEP reading assessment, only 17 percent of the District of Columbia fourth grade students could read proficiently, while only 13 percent of the eighth grade students scored at the proficient or advanced level.
- (4) In 2003, Congress passed the DC School Choice Incentive Act of 2003 (Public Law 108– 199; 118 Stat. 126), to provide opportunity scholarships to parents of students in the District of Columbia to enable them to pursue a high-qual-

ity education at a public or private elementary or secondary school of their choice. The DC Opportunity Scholarship Program (DC OSP) under such Act was part of a comprehensive 3-part funding arrangement that also included additional funds for the District of Columbia public schools, and additional funds for public charter schools of the District of Columbia. The intent of the approach was to ensure that progress would continue to be made to improve public schools and public charter schools, and that funding for the opportunity scholarship program would not lead to a reduction in funding for the District of Columbia public and charter schools. Resources would be available for a variety of educational options that would give families in the District of Columbia a range of choices with regard to the education of their children.

(5) The DC OSP was established in accordance with the Supreme Court decision, Zelman v. Simmons-Harris, 536 U.S. 639 (2002), which found that a program enacted for the valid secular purpose of providing educational assistance to low-income children in a demonstrably failing public school system is constitutional if it is neutral with respect to religion and provides assistance to a broad class of citizens who direct government aid to religious and secular schools solely as a result of their genuine and independent private choices.

(6) Since the inception of the DC OSP, it has consistently been oversubscribed. Parents express strong support for the opportunity scholarship program. Rigorous studies of the program by the Institute of Education Sciences have shown significant improvements in parental satisfaction and in reading scores that are more dramatic when only those students consistently using the scholarships are considered. The program also was found to result in significantly higher graduation rates for DC OSP students.

(7) The DC OSP is a program that offers families in need, in the District of Columbia, important alternatives while public schools are improved. This program should be reauthorized as 1 of a 3-part comprehensive funding strategy for the District of Columbia school system that provides new and equal funding for public schools, public charter schools, and opportunity scholarships for students to attend private schools.

SEC. 3. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this Act is to provide low-income parents residing in the District of Columbia, particularly parents of students who attend elementary schools or secondary schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6316), with expanded opportunities for enrolling their children in other schools in the District of Columbia, at least until the public schools in the District of Columbia have adequately addressed shortfalls in health, safety, and security, and the students in the District of Columbia public schools are testing in mathematics and reading at or above the national average.

$SEC.\ 4.\ GENERAL\ AUTHORITY.$

(a) Opportunity Scholarships.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—From funds appropriated under section 14(a)(1), the Secretary shall award grants on a competitive basis to eligible entities with approved applications under section 5 to carry out a program to provide eligible students with expanded school choice opportunities. The Secretary may award a single grant or multiple grants, depending on the quality of applications submitted and the priorities of this Act.
- (2) DURATION OF GRANTS.—The Secretary may make grants under this subsection for a period of not more than 5 years.
- (b) DC PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND CHARTER SCHOOLS.—From funds appropriated under paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 14(a), the Secretary shall provide funds to the Mayor of the District of Columbia, if the Mayor agrees to the requirements described in section 11(a), for—

- (1) the District of Columbia public schools to improve public education in the District of Columbia; and
- (2) the District of Columbia public charter schools to improve and expand quality public charter schools in the District of Columbia.

SEC. 5. APPLICATIONS.

- (a) In GENERAL.—In order to receive a grant under section 4(a), an eligible entity shall submit an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by such information as the Secretary may require.
- (b) CONTENTS.—The Secretary may not approve the request of an eligible entity for a grant under section 4(a) unless the entity's application includes—
 - (1) a detailed description of—
- (A) how the entity will address the priorities described in section 6;
- (B) how the entity will ensure that if more eligible students seek admission in the program of the entity than the program can accommodate, eligible students are selected for admission through a random selection process which gives weight to the priorities described in section 6;
- (C) how the entity will ensure that if more participating eligible students seek admission to a participating school than the school can accommodate, participating eligible students are selected for admission through a random selection process:
- (D) how the entity will notify parents of eligible students of the expanded choice opportunities in order to allow the parents to make informed decisions:
- (E) the activities that the entity will carry out to provide parents of eligible students with expanded choice opportunities through the awarding of scholarships under section 7(a):
- (F) how the entity will determine the amount that will be provided to parents under section 7(a)(2) for the payment of tuition, fees, and transportation expenses, if any;
- (G) how the entity will seek out private elementary schools and secondary schools in the District of Columbia to participate in the program;
- (H) how the entity will ensure that each participating school will meet the reporting and other program requirements under this Act;
- (I) how the entity will ensure that participating schools submit to site visits by the entity as determined to be necessary by the entity, except that a participating school may not be required to submit to more than 1 site visit per school year:
- (J) how the entity will ensure that participating schools are financially responsible and will use the funds received under section 7 effection!
- (K) how the entity will address the renewal of scholarships to participating eligible students, including continued eligibility; and
- (L) how the entity will ensure that a majority of its voting board members or governing organization are residents of the District of Columbia; and
- (2) an assurance that the entity will comply with all requests regarding any evaluation carried out under section 9(a).

SEC. 6. PRIORITIES.

In awarding grants under section 4(a), the Secretary shall give priority to applications from eligible entities that will most effectively—

- (1) in awarding scholarships under section 7(a), give priority to—
- (A) eligible students who, in the school year preceding the school year for which the eligible students are seeking a scholarship, attended an elementary school or secondary school identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6316):
- (B) students who have been awarded a scholarship in a preceding year under this Act or the DC School Choice Incentive Act of 2003 (sec. 38–