

Agency's ability to help Americans affected by tragedy to put their lives back together, but that is what the House did.

What the House did last night was so wrong. We passed a bill a few months ago that would take care of funding for the rest of this year, from October 1 to October 1. Rather than doing what we had agreed upon, and the American people saw us work for months to agree upon, they reneged on that deal. They tried last night to send a continuing resolution for a few weeks and they attached to it—and they should not have attached anything to it because we had already agreed on all that—attached to it a very unfair FEMA funding measure.

To show how spiteful they were—we have done great things in this country, doing things with modern vehicles. I had an energy summit the end of August in Las Vegas. They had all these electric cars lined up that they could show us. This is a result of money we have given here, taxpayers' money, to stimulate that part of our manufacturing sector. It has worked out great. It has been wonderful.

As STENY HOYER, one of the Democratic leaders in the House, said, what the House did is try to legislate away 53,000 jobs. They took money that was in the pipeline to do more of those electric cars and other kinds of new vehicles and stripped it away. They applied that toward something we have not done around here; that is, fund emergency situations around the country.

To rub salt in the wound, they not only took that, 1 billion dollars' worth, but they took 500 million dollars' worth and they rescinded it, wiping out jobs, not applying it to the deficit, just doing it, I guess, to show they are in control of the House. But that fell apart last night. It fell apart because Republicans and Democrats would not support that issue.

We don't know what they are going to do over there today. All kinds of rumors are floating around. We don't know. I have not spoken to the Speaker or the majority leader over there. I haven't talked to them. There are all kinds of rumors as to what they might do. They might try to send it back to us again. But the one thing I heard loudly and clearly, and my colleagues have to understand, the Republicans have announced in the House they may be in session this weekend. I hope that is not the case. I have spoken to the Republican leader here. If they send us something, we will do our very utmost to move as quickly as we can on that to take action on whatever they send us.

But I wish to send this message to them. They should not renege on the agreement that was legislated just a few short weeks ago; that is, funding government for the next year. We have agreed upon that, and whatever they send us, they should just send us a continuing resolution until we work on

getting the appropriations bills done. Send us a continuing resolution with nothing attached to it. If they disagree over there with what we did—they have over on the House side our bill which passed in the Senate on a bipartisan basis. If they don't like that, send us back something else.

We think the overwhelming support of the Nation is for something we did but don't tie it to the CR. That is simply not the right thing to do.

We are going to be alert and wait for the House to act. We are at an impasse, not because of what we are doing but because of what they are doing, and we will wait and see what action they take. It is extremely important that they act as quickly as they can.

We know we had scheduled next week to be off. We hope we can do that. We have an important holiday next Wednesday. That is the reason we are taking next week off. But I look forward to working with my colleagues in the Senate, both Democrats and Republicans, to move forward as quickly as we can.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

THE JOBS BILL

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, over the past week, President Obama has been traveling around the country, trying to set a record for the number of times he can say the words "pass this bill right away"—the number of times he can say it, actually in a 5-minute speech. Today he will bring his act to a 50-year-old bridge that connects my own State of Kentucky with Ohio. The purpose of this visit is perfectly clear. The President's plan is to go out to this bridge and say that if only lawmakers in Washington would pass his second stimulus bill right away, then bridges such as this one would get fixed and that the only thing standing in the way of repairing them is people like me.

I would like to make a couple points about all this. First, I find it hard to take the President's message all that seriously when his own communications director is over at the White House telling people he is no longer interested in legislative compromise when the leaders of the President's own party in Congress are treating this bill like an afterthought.

We would be more inclined to look at this so-called jobs bill if the President's own staff and members of his own party in Congress started taking it a little more seriously themselves.

Second, I remind the President that the people of Kentucky and Ohio have heard this kind of thing before. Don't forget, the President made the same promises when he was selling his first stimulus. It is a message he brought to

Ohio repeatedly. Here is what he said 2 years ago this week at a stop in Warren, OH.

All across Ohio and all across the country, rebuilding our roads and our bridges . . . that's what the Recovery Act has been all about.

The Recovery Act is the stimulus bill, the first one. Yet 2½ years later, what do we have to show for it? Politically connected companies such as Solyndra ended up with hundreds of millions of dollars, provided by the taxpayers, and bridges such as the one the President is attending today still need to be fixed.

It is worth noting, in fact, this one company blew through more taxpayer money than the first stimulus allocated for every road and bridge in the entire State of Kentucky combined.

The President told Ohioans and Kentuckians, the first stimulus would keep unemployment below 8 percent as well. Yet 2½ years later unemployment in both States is still above 9 percent.

We have heard these promises before, and I don't think the President should expect anybody to fall for them again. I mean, how many stimulus bills do we have to pass before these bridges get fixed? How many? How many Solyndras do we have to finance? How much money do we have to waste before the President makes good on the promises he has already made? If a bridge needs fixing, by all means let's fix it. But don't tell us we need to pass a \$½ trillion stimulus bill and accept job-killing tax hikes to do it. Don't tell the people of Kentucky they need to finance every turtle tunnel and solar panel company on some bureaucrat's wish list in order to get their bridges fixed. Don't patronize us by implying that if we pass the second stimulus, bridges will get fixed right away. The American people heard the same thing when the administration was selling the first stimulus, only to turn on their television sets 2½ years later to see the President having a big laugh over the fact that all these shovel-ready projects weren't quite as shovel-ready as they thought they were.

So I suggest, Mr. President, that you think about ways to actually help the people of Kentucky and Ohio, instead of how you can use their roads and bridges as a backdrop for making a political point. If you are truly interested in helping our State, if you truly want to help our State, then come back to Washington and work with Republicans on legislation that will actually do something to revive our economy and create jobs and forget the political theater.

I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the

Senate will be in a period of morning business for 1 hour, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each, with the time equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees, with the Republicans controlling the first half and the majority controlling the final half.

The Senator from Nebraska is recognized.

Mr. JOHANNIS. Mr. President.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nebraska.

U.N. STATEHOOD EFFORTS

Mr. JOHANNIS. Mr. President, I rise today to address the Palestinian efforts to gain statehood at the United Nations, which is occurring this week. As most of us are aware, Palestinian Authority President Abbas has signaled that he intends to ask the United Nations for acceptance as a full member state. Several of my colleagues—and I might add from both sides of the aisle—have expressed grave concern over this Palestinian initiative.

President Obama has indicated if this initiative is brought to a vote before the Security Council, the United States plans to veto it. I support that. However, even if the veto occurs, President Abbas may then choose to ask the General Assembly to upgrade Palestinian status to that of a nonvoting observer state. If allowed to become a nonvoting observer state, Palestinians could then participate on U.N. committees and bring allegations against Israel to the International Criminal Court and International Court of Justice. Recognizing a Palestinian state in this manner could also lead to further isolation of Israel within the Middle East. These are outcomes we simply cannot tolerate.

Israel, beyond any shadow of a doubt, is a stalwart friend and ally of the United States. They share our core values as a nation. They are a thriving democracy in a part of the world where democracies are very hard to find. And importantly, they stand strong with us in the battle against international terrorism. Thus, it is absolutely imperative we stand with Israel and do everything we can to send a very clear and straightforward message. That message is this: The United States stands with our friends and we will not allow an international organization to undermine this important and valued friend.

Congress has been very clear on this imperative. Our strong bipartisan commitment was reinforced earlier this summer when both the Senate and the House of Representatives overwhelmingly passed resolutions reaffirming the commitment of the United States to direct negotiations between the Israelis and the Palestinians. The resolutions included opposition to this Palestinian bid for U.N. statehood in a Palestinian Government that includes Hamas.

In light of this unwavering bipartisan support from Congress, it is crucial

that our President continue to make it absolutely clear that the United States stands firm in our opposition to this effort. We have an opportunity and we must signal to the rest of the world that a lasting peace, which we all want to achieve, will only result from direct negotiations between the Israelis and the Palestinians and not through parliamentary procedure at some international organization. While the United States supports a two-state solution, we will not tolerate actions by international organizations to drive a wedge into the Israeli-Palestinian peace process. Although President Abbas claims his initiative is a peaceful approach to resolving the conflict, the Palestinian Authority has refused time and time again to come to the negotiating table and to deal directly with Israel. Setting up roadblock after roadblock, President Abbas has demanded preconditions that have not applied to previous negotiations.

This bid for U.N. statehood also violates the 1993 Oslo peace agreements signed by the Palestinian Authority which required the peace process to continue through direct negotiations. The U.N. statehood bid is counterproductive to a two-state solution as it will further damage Israel's confidence in the Palestinian Authority as a legitimate negotiating partner. Unfortunately, President Abbas's intention to form a unity government with Hamas does not signal support or pursuit of a lasting peace. Hamas has made clear that they have no intention of ending attacks on Palestinians or Israelis and working toward a two-state solution.

Let me be very clear: If the Palestinian Authority continues to associate with Hamas and refuses to negotiate directly with Israel, of course there are consequences. I can assure you the Senate and the House of Representatives will stand together to make our disapproval known. U.S. aid to the Palestinian Authority is not on cruise control. Congress will not walk away from supporting an appropriate way forward in the peace process that respects the equal and inalienable rights of all people. We will not and cannot stand idly by while others attempt to use the United Nations, not to bring about peace, but to undermine our closest allies and friends.

As President Obama and his administration continue efforts to resolve this issue before it is brought up to the Security Council, I ask them to do all they can to relay the disapproval of Congress and what President Abbas is trying to do and to stand without equivocation, shoulder to shoulder, with our friend, the state of Israel. It is our best chance of bringing peace to the region.

I yield the floor and note the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. PRYOR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. PRYOR. Mr. President, I would like to speak for 5 or 10 minutes, and my understanding is we may still be in the Republican time, but they have allowed me to speak now.

(The remarks of Mr. PRYOR pertaining to the introduction of S. 1606 are printed in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

Mr. PRYOR. Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MEDICARE

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Mr. President, I wanted to call to the attention of the Senate the aftermath of having passed the health care reform bill. There was a great deal of consternation at the time, while we were deliberating, that Medicare was going to be cut. We will recall that \$500 billion was cut out of Medicare over the course of a 10-year period, and the amount that was being cut was considered to be a threat to Medicare.

As a matter of fact, when we passed it, the Medicare cuts came from providers—often providers that stepped up and offered to have greater efficiencies and therefore Medicare savings over the decade. For example, the hospitals of America came forth and said that we will save \$150 billion. So one of the considerations in Medicare was that we were going to have to lean out the Medicare HMO Program called Medicare Advantage.

If we will recall, back in 2003 when we passed the prescription drug bill, Medicare Advantage—the Medicare HMO—was actually given a bump up in Medicare reimbursement, some 14 percent over and above Medicare fee for service. As a result, people had the great incentive to go into a Medicare HMO because the insurance companies—the HMOs—were getting so much more per Medicare beneficiary. But the fact is, we saw, on a long, projected basis over time that it was going to be unsustainable financially for the U.S. Government to keep giving a 14-percent differential to insurance companies over what the average Medicare recipient would get in Medicare fee for service.

That was one of the reforms of the health care bill—to take that 14-percent differential and lean it down over