

McIntyre Paulsen Schock
McKinley Pence Young (AK)

□ 1106

So the amendment was rejected.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOODALL) having assumed the chair, Mrs. BIGGERT, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2551) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 359, reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 252, nays 159, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 629]

YEAS—252

Adams Chaffetz Gardner
Aderholt Chandler Garrett
Akin Coble Gerlach
Alexander Coffman (CO) Gibbs
Altmire Cole Gibson
Austria Conaway Gingrey (GA)
Bachus Costa Gohmert
Barletta Costello Goodlatte
Barrow Courtney Gosar
Barton (TX) Cravaack Gowdy
Bass (NH) Crawford Granger
Benishek Crenshaw Graves (GA)
Berg Cuellar Graves (MO)
Berkley Culberson Green, Al
Biggart Davis (KY) Griffin (AR)
Bilbray Denham Grimm
Bilirakis Dent Guinta
Bishop (UT) DesJarlais Guthrie
Blackburn Diaz-Balart Hall
Bonner Doggett Hanna
Bono Mack Dold Harper
Boren Donnelly (IN) Harris
Boustany Dreier Hartzler
Brady (TX) Duffy Hastings (WA)
Brooks Duncan (SC) Hayworth
Buchanan Eillers Hensarling
Bucshon Emerson Herger
Buerkle Farenthold Herrera Beutler
Burton (IN) Fincher Higgins
Calvert Fitzpatrick Himes
Camp Fleischmann Hirono
Canseco Fleming Hochul
Cantor Flores Huelskamp
Capito Forbes Huizenga (MI)
Carney Fortenberry Hultgren
Carter Foxx Hunter
Cassidy Frelinghuysen Hurt
Chabot Gallegly Inslee

Issa Meehan Royce
Jenkins Mica Runyan
Johnson (IL) Miller (FL) Ruppberger
Johnson (OH) Miller (MI) Ryan (WI)
Johnson, Sam Miller, Gary
Jordan Mulvaney
Keating Murphy (CT)
Kelly Murphy (PA)
King (IA) Myrick
King (NY) Neugebauer
Kingston Noem
Kinzinger (IL) Nugent
Kissell Nunes
Kline Nunnelee
Labrador Olson
Lamborn Owens
Lance Palazzo
Lankford Pearce
Larsen (WA) Peters
Latham Peterson
LaTourette Petri
Latta Pitts
Lewis (CA) Platts
LoBiondo Poe (TX)
Loeb sack Pompeo
Long Posey
Lucas Quayle
Luetkemeyer Quigley
Lummis Reed
Lungren, Daniel Rehberg
E. Renacci
Lynch Ribble
Mack Richardson
Manzullo Rigell
Marchant Rivera
Marino Roby
Matheson Roe (TN)
McCarthy (CA) Rogers (AL)
McCarthy (NY) Rogers (KY)
McCaul Rogers (MI)
McClintock Rohrabacher
McCotter Rokita
McHenry Rooney
McKeon Ros-Lehtinen
McMorris Roskam
Rodgers Ross (AR)
McNerney Ross (FL)

NAYS—159

Ackerman Flake Moran
Amash Frank (MA) Nadler
Andrews Franks (AZ) Napolitano
Baldwin Fudge Neal
Bartlett Garamendi Olver
Bass (CA) Gonzalez Pallone
Becerra Green, Gene Pascarell
Berman Grijalva Pastor (AZ)
Bishop (NY) Gutierrez Paul
Boswell Hahn Payne
Brady (PA) Hanabusa Pelosi
Braley (IA) Hastings (FL) Perlmutter
Broun (GA) Heck Pingree (ME)
Brown (FL) Heinrich Polis
Burgess Hinojosa Price (GA)
Campbell Holden Price (NC)
Capps Holt Rahall
Capuano Honda Rangel
Cardoza Hoyer Reichert
Carnahan Israel Reyes
Carson (IN) Jackson (IL) Richmond
Chu Jackson Lee Rothman (NJ)
Cicilline (TX) Roybal-Allard
Clarke (MI) Johnson (GA) Rush
Clarke (NY) Johnson, E. B. Ryan (OH)
Clay Jones Sánchez, Linda
Cleaver Kaptur T.
Clyburn Kildee Sanchez, Loretta
Cohen Kind Sarbanes
Connolly (VA) Kucinich Schakowsky
Conyers Langevin Schiff
Cooper Larson (CT) Schwartz
Critz Lee (CA) Schweikert
Crowley Levin Scott (VA)
Cummings Lewis (GA) Scott, David
Davis (CA) Lipinski Serrano
DeFazio Lofgren, Zoe Sewell
DeGette Lowey Shuler
DeLauro Luján Sires
Deutch Maloney Slaughter
Dickens Fincher Stark
Dingell Matsui Stearns
Doyle McCollum Sutton
Duncan (TN) McDermott Thompson (CA)
Edwards McGovern Thompson (MS)
Engel Meeks Tierney
Eshoo Michaud Tonko
Farr Miller (NC) Towns
Fattah Miller, George Van Hollen
Filner Moore Velázquez

Visclosky Watt Wittman
Walz (MN) Waxman Woolsey
Wasserman Welch Wu
Schultz Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—21

Baca Davis (IL) McKinley
Bachmann Ellison Paulsen
Bishop (GA) Giffords Pence
Black Griffith (VA) Schock
Blumenauer Hinchey Scott, Austin
Butterfield Landry Smith (WA)
Castor (FL) McIntyre Young (AK)

□ 1125

Mr. LEVIN changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. PAULSEN, Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 629 I was unable to attend today's vote on H.R. 2551—Legislative Branch Appropriations. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PENCE, Madam Speaker, I was absent from the House floor during rollcall votes 615 and 622–629. Had I been present I would have voted “no” on 615, “aye” on 622, “aye” on 623, “aye” on 624, “aye” on 625, “aye” on 626, “no” on 627, “no” on 628, and “yea” on 629.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JULY 25, 2011

Mr. CRENSHAW, Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday next, when it shall convene at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate and noon for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BUERKLE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

HONORING GOVERNOR BRUCE SUNDLUN

(Mr. CICILLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CICILLINE, Madam Speaker, I rise this afternoon to honor a great citizen, a good friend, and a wonderful political leader, Rhode Island Governor Bruce Sundlun, who passed away last evening.

My fellow Rhode Islanders and I have lost a great friend and a great leader in Bruce's passing. He will be long remembered for leading Rhode Island through some very difficult times. His commitment to public service and his honorable and courageous service to our country both at home and abroad set him apart as a great American.

Governor Sundlun distinguished himself as a patriotic war hero, a talented business leader, a spirited athlete, and a gifted political leader.

A great friend to me, his courage and passion set him apart as one remarkable man whose spirit will live on in

our memories. His legacy and visionary accomplishments, including leading Rhode Island out of the credit union crisis, establishing Rite Care, a national model for health care for low-income families and children, and his vision for our State's airport expansion at T.F. Green will continue to benefit Rhode Islanders for many years to come.

My thoughts and prayers continue to be with the entire Sundlun family. Governor Bruce Sundlun will be sorely missed.

FAA BILL

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, I'm excited about the number of Members who welcome guests to the United States Capitol. It is an important place because it belongs to the American people. I'm delighted that the Poindexter family has joined me.

But many of those people who have traveled have traveled by airplanes and have gone through the Nation's airports.

I am the ranking member on the Transportation Security Committee addressing security issues across America; and I am disappointed, but I would like to say a little outraged, that right now the FAA bill is held up on minor issues such as whether or not we'll allow our workers to engage in discussions about their work conditions. It is being held up because the bill cancels FAA and air traffic controllers in small airports and the supplemental support, if you will, the supplemental support that has been given to small airports in rural areas.

It's time to get to work. Our Republican friends need to stop holding up this bill for minor issues so that Americans can fly in safety and security.

□ 1130

THE FUTURE OF MEDICARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BUEKLE). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 5, 2011, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SCHWEIKERT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I promise I will not take the whole 60 minutes, because I know many folks have flights to get to.

Madam Speaker, one of the reasons I'm here—and we are also working on some additional, shall we say, display items for maybe next week. Maybe I'm out of my mind, but this last couple of weeks I've been actually reading from top to bottom, beginning to end, the Medicare trustees' Federal hospital insurance and Federal supplemental medical insurance trust fund actuarial report for 2011. It's actually more in-

teresting than you would think, because you go through about 270 pages, lots of great information, not that hard to read, so anyone that's actually watching this, I strongly suggest, if you have the stomach for it and you really need a little help in falling asleep, this might be the occasion. Google it, take it off the Internet, but do this for me: This is one of those occasions I'm going to ask you to go to the very end of the report and start with the last three pages, because that's what I'm standing here to talk about is you have a report that basically gives a window of a dozen-some years of actuarial soundness, but when you get to the last three pages, it basically says something like Roseannadanna, that character from Saturday Night Live from 20 years ago: "Never mind."

I brought a couple of the boards we already had printed up to sort of demonstrate what's going on, and then I wanted to talk about this.

Day after day after day after day in the political theater of this Congress, I see Members walk up to the floor, walk up to the press, send out press releases saying, "We don't want to change Medicare as it is in law today." How many times have we heard the attacks on the Republicans saying, "They're trying to change Medicare as we know it"? I need you to think about that comment, because what's in this report is Medicare as it is in law today. You need to understand what the left is defending and the crash that is just a few years away; and I'm standing here today to defend the fact that, as Republicans, we're saving the program. We are actually trying to find a way to make Medicare actuarially sound so that you and I can have it but also our kids and our grandkids can have it.

So let's actually first walk through the numbers, and then I'm going to read parts of these last three pages. I promise it's more interesting than it sounds, and it's more depressing than you can ever imagine, and this is the current law.

All right. A couple of primers on some spending out there.

2010, how much of our spending is mandatory?

2016, you'll start to notice mandatory spending is consuming everything we are.

Another point of reference. Today, when we borrow, we're actually having to borrow to cover all the discretionary. That's defense. That's all the alphabet agencies. We even have to borrow today to cover a portion of the mandatory spending. Think of that. The Medicares, the Social Securities, the Medicaid, the VA benefits, interest on the debt are actually living on borrowed money. I would think that would set off an alarm bell in someone's head that there's something horribly wrong out there.

So let's actually bounce on to this graph and just sort of give you a concept of how fast these numbers are

eroding and why things like the battle over cut, cap, and balance are going on in this body, because there seems a willingness here by many Members—and I've got to be very careful how I phrase this—that I believe telling the public the truth of how difficult these numbers are and how dangerous they are to our Republic may mean they don't get reelected, may mean they have to stand up in front of an audience that for years and years and years they've said, "Don't worry. It's fine." How do you go back in front of that same audience and now tell them, well, maybe the numbers weren't fine, because the truth is in front of us right now.

Here is the 2010 sort of breakdown. Department of Defense, Military, Other Discretionary. We use this one, because this is last year's numbers. It's all done. We know what it was.

Do you see this? That's probably about 62, 63 percent of all spending was in the mandatory category. Think of this. This here, from the President's own numbers, is the 2016 projection, which is four budget cycles away, because, remember, right now we're working on the 2012. This is the 2016.

Do you see the difference in these two boards? Do you see that growth in that blue area? We go from something in the low sixties to 72, and I have one person who keeps telling me it's 73 percent of all spending.

But think of this. In about 13½ years, every dime of this pie chart, every dime of spending, will be consumed by the mandatory portion of our spending. So 13½ years. There's nothing left in defense. There's nothing left in the alphabet agencies. Mandatory spending, the entitlements, consume everything we are. And, remember, this is as the law is written today. So every time you see a Member walk up and say, "I don't want to make changes; I want to keep everything as it is in law today," they're basically saying your future is a crash. Everything will be consumed in these mandatory numbers.

Now let's actually walk through a couple of things that are in these last three pages of the 2011 Medicare actuarial report. Once again, please, I ask you, if you don't believe me, if you're someone who has trouble believing these statements that I come here to the floor and try to walk through, go take it off the Internet yourself and read these last three pages.

Part of the premise here is, to his credit—and I believe he is actually the chief actuary for Medicare, actually wrote a little Statement of Actuarial Opinion, the last three pages, and he puts it in perspective. He basically says, yeah, the numbers in here are fine if you live in a fantasy world and assume Congress will never make certain changes. And understand, baked into these numbers, you'll love this one. I'll read it, and then I'll explain what this means. This is in the second paragraph. I'm going to read the second half of this paragraph: