

for 1 minute, to revise and extend my remarks, to enter relevant materials into the RECORD at taxpayers' expense.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. JACKSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, on January 11, 1944, in his third term, President Franklin D. Roosevelt recognized the limitations of the Presidency and Congress in addressing the Nation's most serious problem: unemployment.

So he proposed changing the only vehicle capable of eliminating unemployment: the Constitution.

Roosevelt suggested that adding fundamental economic rights to the Constitution was the only way to truly address our unemployment problem.

Sixty-seven years later, to dramatize the shameful condition that our Nation and the marketplace tolerates, I have asked the Nation's unemployed, underemployed, and economically insecure to send their resumes to me at:

resumesforAmerica@mail.house.gov. I will then submit those records to the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, on their behalf, as a means of protesting the unaddressed, shameful unemployment problem.

I am not promising anyone a job. I believe that the wealthiest Nation in the world should do something about the jobs loophole in our freedom construct.

Until Democrats and Republicans in this Congress and in the White House eliminate the fear of unemployment from our freedom and liberty construct, I will continue this peaceful demonstration at:

resumesforAmerica@mail.house.gov.

HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. LAMBORN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this historic effort to bring back our health care system from the grip of a massive, unprecedented government takeover.

Overwhelmingly, my constituents in Colorado, like the majority of Americans, know that ObamaCare increases costs, explodes the deficit, raises taxes, hurts job-creators and, most importantly, gives the government control over personal health care decisions.

To force every American to buy a private product like health insurance is unconstitutional.

By using budgetary gimmicks, like counting 10 years of tax hikes against 6 years of spending, Democrats rigged ObamaCare to get a misleading score from the Congressional Budget Office. Realistic accounting shows that this law is a budget buster. ObamaCare creates so much new bureaucracy and spending that it took 2,800 pages to create it while the bill to repeal is only two pages.

Republicans will work to craft better solutions that empower patients and

families to make their own health care decisions.

AN ASSAULT ON NEW HEALTH CARE FREEDOMS

(Mr. BLUMENAUER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, you may miss it in the rhetoric, but both parties agree the current path of Medicare threatens to bankrupt the country.

Some parts of America are spending twice as much per Medicare patient as we spend in Portland, Oregon, for example, but deliver inferior care. We know what to do.

This is why the reform act can give better care for less cost, but it's not guaranteed. The Republican plan would strip away the reforms, the protections and the cost savings.

But this is not just an assault on new health care freedoms. It represents the triumph of politics over adult fiscal supervision. The Republican leadership doesn't just ignore, they discredit, the nonpartisan professionals who, for 36 years, helped keep politicians in both parties honest.

Without this impartial referee, the long, difficult road to fiscal health becomes longer, becomes harder, and becomes less likely to be traveled.

SCRAPPING THE GOVERNMENT TAKEOVER OF HEALTH CARE

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, there is a lot of talk these days around here about where Members of Congress are going to sit during the State of the Union Address. Well, I've been in Congress for 10 years, and I learned a long time ago that it doesn't really matter where you sit; it matters where you stand.

Today, House Republicans are going to stand with the American people and are going to vote to repeal the government takeover of health care—lock, stock, and barrel.

Now, I know the other side and some liberals in the media don't like us using that term "government takeover of health care," but let me break it down for you:

When you mandate that every American purchase health insurance, whether they want it or need it or not; when you mandate that every business provide health insurance or send people to a government-run exchange; when you pay for it with hundreds of billions of dollars and higher taxes and mandates; and when you throw in public funding of abortion against the wishes of the overwhelming majority of the American people, that is the government takeover of health care. And the American people know it.

I urge all of my colleagues in both political parties to join us today as we

keep our promise to the American people, as we scrap this health care reform bill of last year and start over with health care reform that will lower the cost of health insurance without growing the size of government.

□ 1010

FOR-PROFIT HEALTH CARE MODEL IS THE WRONG MODEL

(Mr. KUCINICH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KUCINICH. The for-profit health care system is the problem. Eight hundred billion dollars every year is spent on corporate profits, stock options, executive salaries, advertising, marketing, and the cost of paperwork.

In the for-profit system that we have, nearly 1 out of every 3 dollars goes for things not related to health care. If we took that \$800 billion and spent it on care for people, we would have enough money to cover all medically necessary needs in addition to dental care, vision care, mental health care, prescription drugs, and long-term care.

We now have a situation where 50 million Americans don't have any health insurance. Americans wouldn't have to worry about losing everything they've worked a lifetime for because there is an illness in the family.

This debate is the wrong debate. A for-profit model is the wrong model. We should be talking about universal health care, single-payer, not-for-profit health care, Medicare for all, quality health care for all Americans.

HONORING SARGENT SHRIVER

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, "one of the brightest lights of the greatest generation," that's how President Obama correctly described Sargent Shriver, who we all know passed away yesterday.

Sargent Shriver gave new meaning to the term "public service." He never held elective service, and yet he launched the Peace Corps, was a member of the Diplomatic Corps, and he and his wife started the great Special Olympics.

In fact, I first got to know him in 1997 when I happened to be traveling with President Clinton in Latin America. We were in Buenos Aires, and it just so happened that that night Sargent Shriver was there holding an event to raise funds for the Special Olympics. He, by virtue of his public service, was able to touch lives not just here in the United States, but because of his service, he was able to touch lives all over the globe.

Our thoughts and prayers are with his wonderful children and all the members of his family.

LET'S NOT RETURN TO A BROKEN HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

(Mr. HEINRICH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEINRICH. Mr. Speaker, last year we took a desperately needed stand for America's families and small businesses over the insurance companies. We took a stand against insurance company abuses like discrimination against the sick, lifetime limits, the prescription drug doughnut hole, and a resulting \$1 trillion increase to our deficit.

We took a stand for hardworking Americans like Vicky Farrar, who moved to Albuquerque only to discover that to reenroll in a health insurance plan she would end up spending close to a third of her income because of her preexisting condition, high blood pressure. That kind of skyrocketing cost burden has stunted the dreams of American families and small businesses while lining the pockets of insurance company CEOs. But thanks to the American Affordable Care Act, Vicky and her doctor will be put back in charge of her health care and able to choose an affordable insurance policy.

I urge my colleagues to vote against a return to the broken health care system that we spent decades trying to fix.

SHOTS ACROSS THE BORDER

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, recently, in the border ghost town of Fort Quitman, Texas, a county road crew came under automatic weapon fire. The four Hudspeth County workers reported at least eight shots were fired at them from across the border in Mexico.

The Rio Grande is very narrow in this isolated region and is used by armed drug smugglers to bring drugs into the United States. Speculation by the Texas Rangers is the shooter was trying to protect the drug route from the workers. This newest attack on the road crew is yet another example of the brazen, violent determination of outlaws to invade the United States.

The United States protects the borders of other nations. Why doesn't the Federal Government do its constitutional duty and really protect our border from foreign invaders? Are border States going to have to not only give road crews shovels but rifles to protect them from the drug cartels?

It's time to be serious and protect Americans and put more National Guard troops on the border. Meanwhile, Washington seems to be whistling by the graveyard of indifference.

And that's just the way it is.

HEALTH CARE REPEAL

(Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ asked and was given permission to address

the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to share the story of Patricia Maisch. Pat, as her friends call her, lives outside Tucson and has been fittingly hailed as one of the heroes during the tragic shooting of our colleague and friend GABBY GIFFORDS. Pat actually knocked the second gun clip out of the shooter's hand as he was attempting to reload, very likely saving the lives of more innocent people.

She was in line to talk to her Congresswoman, to share that she thought that the title of the health care repeal bill was disingenuous and because Pat and her husband own a small business north of Tucson. The spouse of one of their employees has a preexisting condition and they have been unable to find affordable coverage to cover her. Pat wanted to tell Congresswoman GIFFORDS that the health reform law will help them provide health insurance for this employee. She wanted to ask Gabby to stand up to attempts to repeal health reform.

Pat was unable to deliver her message to her Representative, but she asked me to share it with you now. Heed the words of Pat Maisch. Heed the words of millions of Americans needing health care. Don't repeal vital health care reform.

REPEAL GOVERNMENT TAKEOVER OF HEALTH CARE

(Mr. DENHAM asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak in favor of repealing the government takeover of health care forced through Congress without the input of the American people.

This country is currently in the midst of a terrible recession and it's clear that shouldering America's small businesses with heavier tax burdens and increased regulation will only prolong our road to recovery.

By mandating that small businesses and individuals carry government-approved health care, the Federal Government has dramatically overstepped the boundaries of personal freedom guaranteed by our Constitution. It infringes on the rights of the States by forcing new requirements upon them and penalizing them should they choose to opt out of the Federal mandate.

The American people were misled. A government-run health care plan will limit access and choice, and millions of Americans will lose their coverage because of mandates from bureaucrats in Washington.

REPEAL OF THE PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS

(Mr. BUTTERFIELD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BUTTERFIELD. Mr. Speaker, today we continue debate on the Re-

publicans' most unfortunate effort to repeal health care reform that we passed during the last Congress. This repeal will increase the deficit by \$230 billion. No one disagrees with that but Republicans. That's why the Republican majority exempted this legislation from pay-as-you-go budgeting. You know this repeal will increase the deficit.

Keeping the law in its present form not only decreases the deficit but will provide 32 million uninsured Americans the opportunity to obtain insurance and provide dependable coverage for their families; it allows children to remain on their family's policy to age 26; it closes the doughnut hole, and it does so much more.

Mr. Speaker, I can usually see both sides of an issue, but for the life of me, I can't see what the Republicans are trying to achieve but to score political points with their right-wing base.

I call on my friends to abandon your efforts and let's concentrate on putting Americans to work. That's what my constituents are demanding and your constituents as well.

HISTORIC DAY—REPEAL OF OBAMACARE

(Mr. GRAVES of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, today is a historic day in America. Acting on the will of the voters and the majority of all Americans, today we will vote to repeal ObamaCare.

Now, while the Senate appears less interested in following suit, here in the House this vote marks the beginning of round two of a vigorous and spirited national debate on health care. Over the coming months and weeks, this debate will go from Congress to kitchen tables all across this country. So as we reengage in this debate, the party on the left questions: Why do the American people want this policy repealed so bad? So let's revisit some of those areas.

Number one, the employee mandate, penalizing and punishing businesses for not having government-approved health care; the individual mandate, punishing and taxing Americans who choose not to have government-approved health care; the \$569 billion in new taxes on the American people; jobs being lost; the cost of the bill, \$2.6 trillion with a \$700 billion deficit over the first 10 years of its life; and, yes, 222 corporations already exempt and waived from this policy. That's why.

It's time to reopen the debate, debunk the myths, and replace this law with less costly, market-driven solutions that truly expand access and affordability, and above all, preserve our individual liberties.