And make it the cause."

So for my Republican colleagues who want to shut the government down for the effect you caused, you learn this White Stripes lesson first:

"If you're headin' to the grave You don't blame the hearse. You built a house of cards

And got shocked when you saw them

You seem to forget

Just how this song started.

You just can't take the effect and make it the cause."

TELLING THE TRUTH

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this morning we pledged allegiance to the flag of the United States. What a privilege and an honor. I think it conveys upon this body to tell the truth.

And so this morning I raise a question of my friend's comments about holding the troops hostage. We're not holding them hostage. We were able to provide them with their paychecks, but we wanted to be concerned about their grandmothers and wives and children that were being abandoned by the Republican budget.

And, yes, can you imagine holding up the paying of the bills of the United States because you're against women's health care and family planning and you want to condemn and take away resources to family planning and to Planned Parenthood?

Can you imagine reciting the pledge to the flag and yet not telling the truth? Telling the truth about the fact that we had a provision that would allow our troops to be paid. But in actuality what we're standing against is eliminating of early childhood education, nutrition programs, housing programs, teacher compensation.

Yes, there has to be a moral standard for the budget. We're standing on high moral ground. You can pay our troops and you can have family planning.

Let's do the right thing.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to H.J. Res. 37 which disapproves the rule submitted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) with respect to regulating the Internet and broadband industry practices.

As a Senior Member of the House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Intellectual Property, Competition and the Internet we have held hearings to examine the importance of so-called "Net Neutrality"; the principal that everyone should have equal access to the Internet and its lawful content. It gives me great pause whenever I see legislation that frankly looks like an attempt to restrict access to information and limit the right to free speech guaranteed by the 1st amendment of the Constitution. In practical terms, this bill affects the rights of the people to provide and receive information in the form of Internet content as regulated by the FCC.

Make no mistake, access to information contained on the Internet and through

broadband connectivity provides vital resources for individuals, families and businesses in the 18th congressional district of Texas, in remote and underserved locations, and all across this great nation every day. Through an open Internet, families, large businesses, small businesses, minority and women owned businesses can access the critical personal, health, medical, news, public safety, educational, financial and business information they need to lead more productive lives and contribute to the continued growth of our national economy. We cannot stifle the innovation that emanates from Internet based activity and drives greater equality in participating in our nation's economic growth!

Census information indicates that small businesses and minority owned businesses are some of the most significant contributors to job growth in America. Minority-owned and small businesses heavily depend on access to information on the Internet as a cost effective means of allowing them to compete. These minority-owned businesses and small businesses would be particularly hard hit and devastated by prohibiting the free flow of information and certain applications over their networks. We cannot allow this to happen; we must oppose this bill.

Open access to the Internet and its content has become an important part of our everyday lives. I must express reservations about efforts to enact legislation that seeks to limit open access to the informational content on the Internet. This legislation seeks to divest the FCC of its power to regulate the Internet and broadband to ensure equal access for all Americans. While there is so much talk in this Chamber about shutting down the federal government for reasons that have nothing to do with fiscally responsibility, what we should be doing is shutting down attempts like these to limit our access to information. So as for this bill is concerned, "Shut it down!"

This bill would disapprove the rule adopted by the FCC on December 21, 2010, that is intended to preserve the Internet as an open network. Report and Order FCC 10-201 establishes rules that would bar broadband providers from blocking lawful content and discriminating in transmitting lawful traffic on the network. The rule also would require broadband providers to disclose to the public information about network management practices, performance, and terms of service. H.J. Res. 37 would invoke a legislative process established by the Congressional Review Act "CRA" (Public Law 104-121) to disapprove the open Internet rule. If H.J. Res. 37 is enacted, the published rule would have no force or effect. This is unacceptable in an open, democratic society with freedom of expres-

The Obama administration strongly opposes House passage of H.J. Res. 37, which would undermine a fundamental part of the Nation's Internet and innovation strategy—an enforceable and effective policy for keeping the Internet free and open. Since the development of the Internet, Federal policy has ensured that this medium is kept open and facilitates innovation and investment, protects consumer choice, and enables free speech. The rule at issue resulted from a process that brought together parties on all sides of this issue—from consumer groups to technology companies to broadband providers—to enable their voices to be heard.

Notably, the Federal Communications Commission's rule reflected a constructive effort to build a consensus around what safeguards and protections were reasonable and necessary to ensure that the Internet continues to attract investment and to spur innovation. Disapproval of the rule would threaten those values and raise questions as to whether innovation on the Internet will be allowed to flourish, consumers will be protected from abuses, and the democratic spirit of the Internet will remain intact.

If the President is presented with a Resolution of Disapproval that would not safeguard the free and open Internet, his senior advisers would recommend that he veto the resolution.

In short, H.J. Res. 37 is impermissibly harmful:

This Bill uses A Rigid "Congressional Review Act" Disapproval Process to Address FCC Open Internet Rule.

By Överturning FCC Open Internet Rule, Republicans Undermine Job Creation and Stifle Innovation.

By Overturning FCC Open Internet Rule, Republicans Hurt Small Business (20,000 small businesses operate on the Internet and over 600,000 Americans have part- or full-time businesses on eBay alone. Small businesses were responsible for nearly 65 percent of new jobs over the last 15 years).

Bringing Up a CRA Disapproval Resolution Imposes a Straitjacket on Congress, Preventing Amendments.

This Straitjacket CRA Disapproval Resolution, Which Prevents Amendments, Overturns Even Consensus Provisions of the FCC Open Internet Rule.

Therefore, I urge my colleagues to join me and the cross-section of the great many voices of forward thinking people and organizations all across America, and oppose H.J. Res. 37.

HOLDING THE GOVERNMENT HOSTAGE

(Mr. McGOVERN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, it is hard to believe, but in less than 12 hours, the government will shut down unless Congress acts.

The Republican leadership should bring a clean CR to this floor free of all their controversial riders so that we can keep the government running for another few days so that the negotiators can continue their talks and get a deal. But it is outrageous that today we are not doing that. We're bringing a net neutrality bill to the floor which has nothing to do with anything, and we should be spending our time talking about instead how we should save the jobs of hundreds of thousands of people that are in the balance if this government shuts down, how we should save the social safety net, because it's gone if this government shuts down.

The Republicans should stop holding this government hostage and stop using these controversial social riders as ransom. We need to keep this government going. We need to get a deal. Take this net neutrality bill off the floor today. Instead, bring a clean CR so we can all vote and keep this government running so we can get a final deal.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 14 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1315

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. CHAFFETZ) at 1 o'clock and 15 minutes p.m.

DISAPPROVING FCC INTERNET AND BROADBAND REGULATIONS

Mr. WALDEN, Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 200, I call up the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 37) disapproving the rule submitted by the Federal Communications Commission with respect to regulating the Internet and broadband industry practices, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 3 of rule XVI, I demand the question of consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is, Will the House now consider the joint resolution?

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 238, nays 174, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 250]

YEAS-238 Adams Buerkle Duffy Duncan (SC) Aderholt Burgess Duncan (TN) Akin Burton (IN) Alexander Calvert Ellmers Amash Camp Emerson Campbell Austria Farenthold Bachmann Canseco Fincher Cantor Fitzpatrick Bachus Barletta Capito Bartlett Carter Fleischmann Barton (TX) Cassidy Fleming Bass (NH) Chabot Benishek Chaffetz Forbes Berg Coble Fortenberry Biggert Coffman (CO) Foxx Franks (AZ) Bilbray Cole Conaway Bilirakis Gallegly Bishop (UT) Cravaack Gardner Black Crawford Garrett Blackburn Crenshaw Gerlach Bonner Bono Mack Culberson Gibbs Davis (KY) Gibson Boren Denham Gohmert Boustany Dent Goodlatte DesJarlais Brooks Gosar Broun (GA) Diaz-Balart Gowdy Granger Buchanan Dold Graves (GA) Bucshon Dreier

Mack Graves (MO) Griffin (AR) Manzullo Rokita Griffith (VA) Marchant Rooney Grimm Marino McCarthy (CA) Guinta Roskam McCaul Guthrie McClintock Hall Rovce Hanna McCotter Runyan McHenry Harper Harris McKeon Scalise Hartzler McKinley Schmidt Hastings (WA) McMorris Hayworth Rodgers Schock Schrader Meehan Mica Heller Miller (FL) Hensarling Herger Miller (MI) Herrera Beutler Miller, Gary Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Mulvanev Sessions Murphy (PA) Hultgren Myrick Shuler Neugebauer Hunter Shuster Hurt Noem Simpson Issa Nugent Jenkins Nunes Johnson (IL) Nunnelee Johnson (OH) Olson Johnson, Sam Palazzo Stearns Paulsen Stivers Jordan Pearce Kelly Pence Sullivan King (IA) Peterson Terry King (NY) Petri Pitts Kingston Kinzinger (IL) Platts Tiberi Poe (TX) Kline Tipton Labrador Turner Pompeo Posey Lamborn Upton Price (GA) Lance Walberg Landry Quayle Walden Lankford Reed Latham Rehberg Webster LaTourette Reichert West Latta Renacci Lewis (CA) Ribble LoBiondo Rigell Long Rivera Wittman Roby Wolf Lucas Luetkemever Roe (TN) Womack Lummis Rogers (AL) Woodall Lungren, Daniel Rogers (KY) Yoder Young (IN) Rogers (MI)

NAYS-174

Ackerman

Altmire

Andrews

Baldwin

Berkley

Berman

Boswell

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Brady (PA)

Braley (IA)

Brown (FL)

Butterfield

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carney

Carnahan

Carson (IN)

Castor (FL)

Clarke (MI)

Clarke (NY)

Connolly (VA)

Chandler

Cicilline

Clyburn

Convers

Costello

Courtney

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

DeFazio

DeGette

Cooper

Costa

Critz

Cohen

Chu

Barrow Bass (CA)

Ba.ca.

DeLauro Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Deutch Dicks Lee (CA) Dingell Levin Lewis (GA) Doggett Donnelly (IN) Lipinski Dovle Loebsack Edwards Lofgren, Zoe Ellison Lowey Engel Luián Eshoo Lynch Farr Maloney Fattah Markey Filner Matheson Frank (MA) Matsui McCarthy (NY) Fudge Garamendi McCollum Gonzalez McDermott Green, Al McGovern Grijalva McIntyre Gutierrez McNerney Hanabusa Michaud Hastings (FL) Miller (NC) Heinrich Miller, George Higgins Moran Murphy (CT) Himes Hinojosa. Nadler Napolitano Hirono Holden Neal Olver Honda. Hoyer Owens Inslee Pallone Israel Pascrell. Pastor (AZ) Jackson (IL) Jackson Lee Perlmutter (TX) Peters Pingree (ME) Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Price (NC) Kaptur Quigley Keating Rahall Kildee Rangel Kind Reyes Kissell Richardson Kucinich Richmond Ross (AR) Langevin

Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Ross (FL) Ryan (WI) Schilling Schweikert Scott (SC) Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Shimkus Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Southerland Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Walsh (IL) Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC)

Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sánchez, Linda T. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schwartz Scott (VA) Scott, David

Sewell Sherman Sires Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Sutton Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Tonko Towns Tsongas

Serrano

Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Watt Waxman Weiner Welch Wilson (FL) Woolsey Wu Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-

Becerra Green, Gene Pelosi Brady (TX) Hinchey Polis Clav Holt Stark Cleaver Meeks Waters Frelinghuysen Moore Young (AK) Giffords Paul Young (FL) Gingrey (GA)

□ 1339

Mr. WATT changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the question of consideration was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 250, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Stated against:

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 250, had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I was detained and missed rollcall vote 250. Had I been present I would have voted "nay."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 200, the joint resolution shall be considered as read.

The text of the joint resolution is as follows:

H.J. RES. 37

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Federal Communications Commission relating to the matter of preserving the open Internet and broadband industry practices (Report and Order FCC 10–201, adopted by the Commission on December 21, 2010), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) and the gentleman from California (Mr. WAXMAN) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

□ 1340

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material on the joint resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in a representative democracy, Federal agencies may impose regulations only to the extent authorized by the United States Congress, the