

for farmers harvesting their crops, for children raised as Americans, or the many people playing by the rules and seeking United States citizenship because they believe in the promise of America.

Border control, employer verification, exit controls, keeping family units intact, protecting our economy, and many others are tough issues that need to be resolved effectively and fairly. They deserve our time and attention now. I am not interested in just kicking the can down the road by not taking the tough votes on immigration reform. The whole system needs to be fixed, not just part of it.

IN RECOGNITION OF DR. BRIAN MATHIE

(Mr. BOCCIERI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOCCIERI. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in recognition of a man with a dedicated vision not just for himself, but for his life's work. My constituent, Dr. Brian Mathie of Louisville, Ohio, has a commitment to a lifetime of healthy vision for all Ohio residents. He proves why the Ohio Optometric Association named him the 2010 Optometrist of the Year.

For his contributions to preserving the gift of sight for people across our district and all across Ohio, for his mentorship and leadership in our community, I too join in congratulating Dr. Mathie for his service.

Countless times I have relied upon Dr. Mathie and his staff at the Roholt Vision Institute of North Canton to provide care for me. He is dependable, reliable, and accurate. Dr. Mathie is not only a leader in the physician's office, but in the classroom and the community, where he serves as an adjunct faculty member at Ohio State University College of Optometry and participates in the Louisville Community Foundation, Rotary Club, and Cross Eyed Missions.

Dr. Mathie, you are a community leader, one dedicated to public service and good public health. Thank you for your commitment. I wish you success in your profession and your leadership.

SUPPORTING VETERANS, DOCTORS AND SENIORS

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. I would like to address a few items for my colleagues. First of all, I am very proud today to introduce H.R. 6510, which will allow Texas veterans to have a Texas military museum. We look forward to this moving through this Congress and saying "thank you" to our veterans.

I think it is important that we move quickly to pass the Senior Protection Act of 2010 to get \$250 to our seniors. And I rise as well to support H.R. 4994

that we voted on, so that physicians do not get a 25 percent cut in their Medicare payments. That we also are able to provide for Medicare therapy that many of our seniors have. That as well that we will have a mental health add-on that many of my constituents, including MMHRA, will need. And as well that we are providing to make sure that we have enough money to pay for those in poverty to be able to pay their Medicare payments, Medicare Part B.

Mr. Speaker, it is time to address the needs of Americans who have worked, including the veterans who celebrate a veterans museum, including those doctors who work for us, and certainly seniors who need these Medicare benefits. This is a time for us to stand for them.

□ 1350

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POE of Texas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

COMMENTS ON AFGHANISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, this past Tuesday I came to the floor to talk about the corruption in Afghanistan and the growing concern of the American people due to the fact that many in both parties have said we need to stay there 4 more years, including the President of the United States.

In November of this year, we had 53 Americans killed in action in Afghanistan and 146 Americans wounded in Afghanistan. Beside me, Mr. Speaker, are the faces of marines who were killed from Camp Lejeune. Too many times, because of the fact that this country does not have a draft, this country seems to put the war in Afghanistan on the second, third, and fourth pages, and that is a tragedy to the families of those young men and women fighting in Afghanistan and to those families who have lost loved ones who have been killed.

I would like to take just a moment to read from the Washington Examiner a couple of comments and also a "60 Minutes" segment on November 28 by Anderson Cooper called "Good Cop, Bad Cop."

From the Washington Examiner earlier this year: "The Examiner reported that numerous insurgents captured in Pakistan, including some members of

al Qaeda, were returned to Afghanistan upon the request of the Karzai government, and then, according to senior Pakistan officials, 'released back to the Taliban as bargaining chips in negotiations.'

"A marine stationed in southern Afghanistan's volatile Helmand Province told the Examiner that efforts to retaining insurgent fighters are 'worthless.'" They are worthless.

"Earlier this year, his unit held a man known to be working with the Taliban. The marines had gathered evidence that the man was transporting hundreds of pounds of bomb-making equipment and explosives for the Taliban. But, shortly after they captured him, he was set free."

That is a tragedy in itself, Mr. Speaker, because our young men and women are over there dying for what, I do not know. In fact, there was an article written in the magazine called *The American Conservative* by Andrew Bacevich about 6 months ago, and the title of that article was "To Die for a Mystique." He was comparing Vietnam to Afghanistan. Actually, the writer of that article, Andrew Bacevich, fought in Vietnam for this country, and his son died in Iraq for this country.

Let me just briefly read from "Good Cops, Bad Cops: Afghanistan's National Police." This is the "60 Minutes" segment:

"We began with the three-star American general now in charge of their training. 'The police have to succeed,' Lt. General William Caldwell told CNN's Anderson Cooper.

"'If the Afghan police fail, we fail?' Cooper asked.

"'We do,' the general said.

"Caldwell began overseeing training of Afghan security forces last November. 'The sooner we can develop an effective police force, the sooner U.S. forces will be able to have less of an active combat role,' the general said. 'If we had a better-trained Afghan police at this point, that would save American lives,' Cooper said. 'There's no question about that. That is true,' said the general."

Now, Mr. Speaker, let me tell you just how difficult this job is:

"Not only are most of the police illiterate, but it turns out many of them also have a drug problem. There is one study said 10 to 20 percent use or smoke hash and other forms of drugs,' Cooper told Caldwell, 'and that's probably an accurate statistic based on what we have seen,' he replied.

"Another video taken by members of the 82nd Airborne shows an Afghan policeman smoking marijuana before going out on patrol—evidently not an uncommon ritual."

Mr. Speaker, it is time that this House and this Senate and this administration understand that it is not worth the lives of our men and women in uniform to keep them in Afghanistan for 4 years. History has proven it is an uncontrolled country. It will never be a nation, it will never have a

successful national government, and it is time that the House and Senate understand that it is not worth one more life of our young men and women to stay in Afghanistan.

Mr. Speaker, before I yield back the balance of my time, I will ask God to please bless our men and women in uniform. I will ask God to please bless the families of our men and women in uniform. I will ask God in his loving arms to hold the families who have given a child dying for freedom in Afghanistan and Iraq. And I will ask God to bless the House and Senate, that we will do what is right in the eyes of God, and God give strength, wisdom and courage to the President of the United States, Mr. Obama, that he will do what is right in the eyes of God. And three times I will close, God please, God please, God please bless America.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. FRANK) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

KEEPING OUR PROMISE TO SERVICEMEMBERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, a few weeks ago when we sat down to turkey dinner with our families, we certainly had plenty to be thankful for. Our thoughts, however, were thinking about the men and women of the Armed Forces, both active duty and retired, who have risked life and limb for all of us, and these folks, these troops, were in our prayers of thanks and in our hopes.

But, Mr. Speaker, it is critical that our gratitude to these courageous Americans be expressed not just with kind thoughts around the Thanksgiving table or speeches on Veterans Day. We need to show our thanks with deeds, not words, which is why it was important last week that the House passed the Physician Payment and Therapy Relief Act, ensuring that seniors and military families continue to see their doctors.

But even as we were taking that important step, military health benefits continue to be endangered, because Defense Secretary Gates is considering a proposal to increase the amount that military retirees pay for their health insurance under the TRICARE program.

Let me be clear: I couldn't agree more with Mr. Gates's belief that the Pentagon is overextended. I share his concern about the "gusher of defense spending," as he himself refers to it. If we are having a serious conversation about the bloated DOD budget, then I

am all in. In fact, the Congressional Progressive Caucus has proposed \$600 billion in cuts, much of it from obsolete, overpriced and untested weapons systems that are doing absolutely nothing to protect America or advance our national security interests.

But with so much waste, fraud and abuse, why in the world would we cut the Pentagon budget by taking it out of the hide of the military families who have already sacrificed so very much? Why should they take the hit, while DOD has historically shown little spending discipline or fiscal responsibility, throwing billions upon billions of dollars at inefficient programs? Instead of targeting affordable health care for the people who have worn the uniform, how about we start by pulling the plug on the V-22 Osprey, notoriously over budget and also responsible for 30 accidental deaths over the years?

Norbert Ryan, Jr., of the Military Officers Association of America, put it well to The New York Times. He wrote: "Don't ask the folks who have done so much for this country, who have been called to act since 9/11, to be first in line to give some more."

It is indeed true, Mr. Speaker, that military retirees and their families get a good benefits package. To those who say they should pay more, I say they have already worked for a higher premium in the form of their service and sacrifice than any of us can even imagine. The bottom line is that military retirees have earned the benefits they receive. They deserve them. We owe it to them. It is a promise we must keep to them.

But let me take this argument one step further, Mr. Speaker. I have got a broader solution that attacks the problem two different ways. First, ending the war in Afghanistan will cut military spending dramatically, and it will also mean fewer military retirees requiring fewer health care services, yet another urgent, compelling reason to bring our troops home.

□ 1400

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

LYING IS NOT PATRIOTIC

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PAUL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Speaker, WikiLeaks' release of classified information has generated a lot of attention worldwide in the past few weeks. The hysterical reaction makes one wonder if this is not an example of killing the messenger for the bad news.

Despite what is claimed, information so far released, though classified, has

caused no known harm to any individual but it has caused plenty of embarrassment to our government. Losing a grip on our empire is not welcomed by the neoconservatives in charge.

There is now more information confirming that Saudi Arabia is a principal supporter and financier of al Qaeda, and this should set off alarm bells since we guarantee its sharia-run government. This emphasizes even more the fact that no al Qaeda existed in Iraq before 9/11, and yet we went to war against Iraq based on the lie that it did.

It has been discharged by self-proclaimed experts that Julian Assange, the Internet publisher of this information, has committed a heinous crime, deserving prosecution for treason, and execution or even assassination.

But should we not at least ask how the U.S. Government can charge an Australian citizen with treason for publishing U.S. secret information that he did not steal? And if WikiLeaks is to be prosecuted for publishing classified documents, why shouldn't the Washington Post, the New York Times, and others that have also published these documents be prosecuted? Actually, some in Congress are threatening this as well.

The New York Times, as a result of a Supreme Court ruling, was not found guilty in 1971 for the publication of the Pentagon Papers. Daniel Ellsberg never served a day in prison for his role in obtaining these secret documents.

The Pentagon Papers were also inserted into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD by Senator Mike Gravel with no charges being made of breaking any national security laws. Yet the release of this classified information was considered illegal by many, and those who lied us into the Vietnam War and argued for its prolongation were outraged. But the truth gained from the Pentagon Papers revealed that lies were told about the Gulf of Tonkin attack, which perpetuated a sad and tragic episode in our history.

Just as with the Vietnam War, the Iraq war was based on lies. We were never threatened by weapons of mass destruction or al Qaeda in Iraq, though the attack on Iraq was based on this false information.

Any information that challenges the official propaganda for the war in the Middle East is unwelcome by the administration and supporters of these unnecessary wars.

Few are interested in understanding the relationship of our foreign policy and our presence in the Middle East to the threat of terrorism. Revealing the real nature and goal of our presence in so many Muslim countries is a threat to our empire, and any revelation of this truth is highly resented by those in charge.

Questions to consider:

No. 1, do the American people deserve to know the truth regarding the ongoing war in Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Yemen?