# CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Bartlett Graves (GA) Barton (TX) Graves (MO) Biggert Griffith Bilbray Guthrie Hall (TX) Bilirakis Bishop (UT) Harper Hastings (WA) Blackburn Blunt Heller Boehner Hensarling Bonner Herger Bono Mack Herseth Sandlin Boozman Hoekstra. Boren Hunter Boustany Inglis Issa Brady (TX) Jenkins Broun (GA) Johnson (IL) Buchanan Johnson Sam Jordan (OH) Burgess Burton (IN) King (IA) Calvert King (NY) Camp Kingston Campbell Klein (FL) Cantor Kline (MN) Lamborn Cao Capito Lance Carter Latham Cassidy LaTourette Latta Lee (NY) Castle Chaffetz Coble Lewis (CA) Coffman (CO) Linder LoBiondo Cole Conaway Lucas Crenshaw Luetkemever Culberson Lummis Lungren, Daniel Dahlkemper Davis (AL) E. Davis (KY) Mack Manzullo Diaz-Balart, L. Matheson Diaz-Balart, M. McCarthy (CA) Djou McCaul Doggett McClintock Dreier McCotter McHenry Ehlers Emerson McIntyre Flake McKeon Fleming McMahon Forbes McNerney Fortenberry Mica Miller (FL) Foxx Franks (AZ) Miller (MI) Frelinghuysen Miller, Gary Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Minnick Moore (WI) Gerlach Moran (KS) Gingrey (GA) Moran (VA) Murphy, Tim Gohmert

Goodlatte

Granger

Nunes Olson Paulsen Pence Peterson Petri Pitts Platts Poe (TX) Pomerov Posey Price (GA) Radanovich Reed Rehberg Reichert Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Royce Ryan (WI) Scalise Schmidt Schock Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Sessions

Shadegg Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stearns Stutzman Sullivan Taylor Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Turner Upton Visclosky Walden Wamp Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

# Neugebauer NOT VOTING-12

Bachmann Buver Marchant Barrett (SC) DeFazio McMorris Delahunt Berry Rodgers Brown-Waite. Fallin Hastings (FL) Ginny

## $\Box$ 1555

Mr. EHLERS changed his vote from "yea" to "nay.

Ms. SPEIER changed her vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## SUPPORTING CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER MONTH

The SPEAKER. The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution (H. Res. 1313) expressing support for designation of May as "Child Advocacy Center Month" and commending the National Child Advocacy Center in Huntsville, Alabama, on their 25th anniversary in 2010.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SALAZAR). The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Woolsey) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

#### RECORDED VOTE

Ms. SUTTON. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 413, noes 0, not voting 20, as follows:

## [Roll No. 605]

#### AYES-413

Ackerman Clay Granger Graves (GA) Clyburn Aderholt Adler (NJ) Coble Graves (MO) Coffman (CO) Grayson Green, Al Akin Alexander Cohen Altmire Cole Green, Gene Andrews Conaway Griffith Connolly (VA) Grijalva Arcuri Austria Conyers Guthrie Ba.ca. Cooper Gutierrez Bachus Hall (NY) Costa Costello Hall (TX) Baird Baldwin Courtney Halvorson Barrow Crenshaw Hare Bartlett Critz Harman Hastings (WA) Barton (TX) Crowley Bean Cuellar Heinrich Becerra Culberson Heller Hensarling Berkley Cummings Herseth Sandlin Berman Dahlkemper Biggert Davis (AL) Higgins Hill Bilbray Davis (CA) Bilirakis Himes Davis (IL) Bishop (GA) Davis (KY) Hinchev Bishop (NY) Davis (TN) Hinojosa Bishop (UT) DeGette Hirono Blackburn DeLauro Hodes Hoekstra Blumenauer Dent Blunt Deutch Holden Boccieri Diaz-Balart L Holt Diaz-Balart, M. Boehner Honda Bonner Dicks Hoyer Dingell Bono Mack Hunter Boozman Djou Inslee Boren Doggett Israel Boswell Donnelly (IN) Issa Boucher Doyle Jackson (IL) Boustany Dreier Jackson Lee Bovd Driehaus (TX) Brady (PA) Duncan Jenkins Edwards (MD) Brady (TX) Johnson (GA) Bralev (IA) Edwards (TX) Johnson (IL) Bright Ehlers Johnson, E. B Broun (GA) Ellison Johnson, Sam Brown (SC) Ellsworth Jones Brown, Corrine Jordan (OH) Emerson Buchanan Engel Kagen Kanjorski Burgess Eshoo Burton (IN) Etheridge Kaptur Butterfield Farr Kennedy Calvert Fattah Kildee Kilpatrick (MI) Camp Filner Campbell Flake Kilroy Cantor Fleming Kind King (IA) Cao Forbes Fortenberry Capito King (NY) Foster Capps Kingston Capuano Foxx Kirkpatrick (AZ) Frank (MA) Cardoza Kissell. Klein (FL) Carnahan Franks (AZ) Carney Frelinghuysen Kline (MN) Carson (IN) Fudge Kosmas Gallegly Kratovil Carter Kucinich Lamborn Cassidy Garamendi Castle Garrett (NJ) Castor (FL) Gerlach Lance Chaffetz Giffords Langevin Gohmert Larsen (WA) Chandler Childers Larson (CT) Gonzalez Latham LaTourette Chu Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Clarke

Obey Serrano Olson Sessions Lee (CA) Lee (NY) Olver Sestak Shadegg Levin Ortiz Lewis (CA) Owens Shea-Porter Lewis (GA) Pallone Sherman Pascrell Shimkus Lipinski LoBiondo Pastor (AZ) Shuler Loebsack Paul Shuster Lofgren, Zoe Paulsen Simpson Lowey Pavne Sires Skelton Lucas Pence Luetkemeyer Perlmutter Slaughter Luján Perriello Smith (NE) Lummis Peters  $Smith \ (NJ)$ Lungren, Daniel Peterson Smith (TX) E. Petri Smith (WA) Lynch Pingree (ME) Snyder Mack Pitts Space Maffei Platts Speier Malonev Poe (TX) Spratt Markey (CO) Polis (CO) Stark Markey (MA) Pomeroy Stearns Marshall Posey Stupak Price (GA) Matheson Stutzman Matsui Price (NC) Sullivan McCarthy (CA) Quigley Sutton McCarthy (NY) Radanovich Tanner McCaul Rahall Taylor McClintock Rangel Teague McCollum Reed Terry McCotter Rehberg Thompson (CA) McDermott Reichert Thompson (MS) McGovern Reyes Thompson (PA) McHenry Richardson Thornberry McIntvre Rodriguez Tiahrt McKeon Tiberi Roe (TN) McMahon Rogers (AL) Tierney McNerney Rogers (KY) Titus Meek (FL) Rogers (MI) Tonko Meeks (NY) Rohrabacher Towns Melancon Roonev Tsongas Mica Ros-Lehtinen Turner Michaud Roskam Upton Miller (FL) Ross Van Hollen Miller (MI) Rothman (NJ) Visclosky Miller (NC) Rovbal-Allard Walden Miller, Gary Rovce Walz Miller, George Ruppersberger Wamp Minnick Rush Wasserman Ryan (OH) Mitchell Schultz Mollohan Waters Rvan (WI) Moore (KS) Watson Salazar Moore (WI) Sánchez, Linda Watt Moran (KS) Waxman Sanchez, Loretta Moran (VA) Weiner Murphy (CT) Sarbanes Welch Murphy (NY) Scalise Westmoreland Murphy, Patrick Schakowsky Whitfield Murphy, Tim Schauer Wilson (OH) Myrick Schiff Wilson (SC) Nadler (NY) Schmidt Wittman Napolitano Schock Wolf Schrader Woolsey Neal (MA) Neugebauer Schwartz Wu Yarmuth Nunes Scott (GA) Young (AK) Scott (VA) Nve Oberstar Sensenbrenner Young (FL)

# NOT VOTING-20

Bachmann Delahunt Manzullo Barrett (SC) Fallin Marchant Berry Gingrey (GA) McMorris Brown-Waite. Harper Rodgers Hastings (FL) Ginny Putnam Buyer Herger Velázquez Cleaver Inglis DeFazio Linder

# $\sqcap$ 1605

Mr. CONYERS changed his vote from "present" to "aye."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

IN THE MATTER OF REPRESENTATIVE CHARLES B. RANGEL OF NEW YORK

Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I call up privileged resolution, H. Res. 1737, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

## H. RES. 1737

Resolved, That (1) Representative Charles B. Rangel of New York be censured; (2) Representative Charles B. Rangel forthwith present himself in the well of the House for the pronouncement of censure; (3) Representative Charles B. Rangel be censured with the public reading of this resolution by the Speaker; and (4) Representative Rangel pay restitution to the appropriate taxing authorities or the U.S. Treasury for any unpaid estimated taxes outlined in Exhibit 066 on income received from his property in the Dominican Republic and provide proof of payment to the Committee.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from California is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) for purposes of debate only, and I ask unanimous consent that he be permitted to control those 30 minutes.

Of my remaining 30 minutes, I yield 15 minutes to the gentleman from Alabama, the ranking member on the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, Mr. Bonner, for purposes of debate only, and I ask unanimous consent that he be permitted to control those 15 minutes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As the chair of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct and as chair of the adjudicatory subcommittee in the matter of Mr. RANGEL, I rise in support of the resolution which calls for censure of Representative CHARLES B. RANGEL.

Article I, section 5 of the Constitution provides that "each House may punish its Members for disorderly Behaviour, and, with the Concurrence of two thirds, expel a Member."

In the House, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct is charged with recommending and enforcing ethical standards that ensure that Members and staff act in a manner befitting that public trust.

It is the role of the committee to review allegations that a Member has violated those standards. In this case, after a lengthy and thorough investigation that spanned more than 2 years and resulted in a 5,000-page report, the committee concluded that this Member violated those standards. We were charged with recommending an appropriate sanction to the House.

The entire report has been available to Members of the House and the public on the committee's Web site. Many portions of the report have previously been publicly released, some since July.

Here is a brief summary of the findings of that report and why the committee recommended censure.

In this matter, we found that Representative RANGEL engaged in misconduct in four areas.

Mr. RANGEL improperly solicited individuals and entities with businesses and interest before the House to fund the Charles B. Rangel Center for Public Service at City College of New York. He misused official resources to make these solicitations for millions of dollars. He improperly solicited funds from lobbyists.

He failed to file full and complete financial disclosure statements for 10 years.

He accepted a favor or benefit related to his use of a residential, rent-stabilized apartment as a campaign office under circumstances that created an appearance of impropriety.

He failed to report and pay taxes for years on income he received from a property he owns in the Dominican Republic.

We found that Representative RAN-GEL's conduct in each of those four areas violated laws and regulations, as well as the rules of the House and standards of conduct, namely that he:

Violated the Gift and Solicitation Ban, a statute enacted by Congress in 1989:

Violated clauses 2 and 5 of the Code of Ethics for Government Service:

Violated postal service laws and regulations issued by the Franking Commission;

Violated the rules of this House, including the Code of Conduct;

Violated the Purpose Law, a statute which derives directly from the Constitution:

Violated the Ethics in Government Act; and

Violated the Internal Revenue Code.

A bipartisan majority of his colleagues concluded that 11 of the 13 counts in the Statement of Alleged Violation regarding these areas of his misconduct were proved by clear and convincing evidence.

We found his actions and accumulation of actions "reflected poorly on the institution of the House and, thereby, brought discredit to the House."

# □ 1610

Nothing we say or do here today will in any way diminish his service to our country or our gratitude for his service, both in this House and as a hero of the Korean War.

But that service does not excuse the fact that Representative RANGEL violated laws. He violated regulations. He violated the rules of this House. And he violated the standards of conduct.

Because of that misconduct, the non-partisan committee staff recommended that he be censured, and a bipartisan majority of the committee voted to recommend censure.

The committee also voted to require that he pay restitution to taxing authorities.

Censure is a very serious sanction and one rarely imposed by the House. The decision to recommend that sanction was not reached lightly.

In making its recommendation, the committee considered the aggregation of Representative RANGEL's misconduct. The committee concluded that his violations occurred on a "continuous and prolonged basis" and were "more serious in character, meriting a strong Congressional response rebuking his behavior."

For the violations related to the payment of taxes, the committee considered not only the amount of taxes he failed to pay over many years, but the fact that he served at various times in highly visible and influential positions as both chairman and ranking member of the Ways and Means Committee.

It brought discredit to the House when this Member, with great responsibility for tax policy, did not fully pay his taxes for many years.

Some have questioned whether a recommendation of censure is consistent with the committee's past precedent. It is true that in the committee's roughly 40 years of existence, the House has censured just four Members. But it is also true that for precedent to be followed, a precedent must be set.

We follow precedent, but we also set it. For example, nearly 30 years ago, the committee recommended that two Members be reprimanded for engaging in sexual relations with pages. The House rejected the recommendation and instead censured those two Members. It is possible that if that situation were to occur again today, this House might not feel censure is a severe enough action.

Many of us in this body pledged 4 years ago to create the most honest, most open, and most ethical Congress in history. Censure for this misbehavior is consistent with that pledge.

At the hearing, the nonpartisan committee counsel said clearly that Representative RANGEL's pattern of misconduct appeared to reflect "overzealousness" and "sloppiness." But he also said that did not excuse his misconduct.

In light of those considerations, a bipartisan majority of the committee concluded that it was appropriate to recommend to the House that Representative RANGEL be censured.

Throughout this matter, key decisions were made with bipartisan votes. Not all votes were unanimous, but each was made on the basis of a bipartisan, majority vote.

The purpose of the ethics process is not punishment, but accountability and credibility: accountability for the respondent and credibility for the House itself.

Where a Member has been found by his colleagues to have violated our ethical standards, that Member must be held accountable for his conduct.