

Waters	Westmoreland	Woolsey
Watson	Whitfield	Yarmuth
Watt	Wilson (OH)	Young (AK)
Waxman	Wilson (SC)	Young (FL)
Weiner	Wittman	
Welch	Wolf	

NOES—2

Chaffetz Issa

NOT VOTING—26

Alexander	Fallin	McMorris
Barrett (SC)	Gohmert	Rodgers
Brown-Waite,	Gordon (TN)	Melancon
Ginny	Hall (NY)	Minnick
Burton (IN)	Harman	Myrick
Buyer	Hastings (FL)	Radanovich
Cardoza	Hodes	Rangel
Davis (AL)	Hoekstra	Speier
Davis (IL)	Marchant	Wu
DeFazio		

□ 1306

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Madam Speaker, I was unable to be on the House Floor for rollcall votes 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 589, 590, 591 and 592.

Had I been present I would have voted: "Yea" on rollcall vote 581; "yea" on rollcall vote 582; "nay" on rollcall vote 583; "nay" on rollcall vote 584; "yea" on rollcall vote 585; "yea" on rollcall vote 586; "nay" on rollcall vote 587; "nay" on rollcall vote 588; "nay" on rollcall vote 589; "yea" on rollcall vote 590; "yea" on rollcall vote 591; and "yea" on rollcall vote 592.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, on vote number 590 that was recently taken, I was detained in a hearing in the Intelligence Committee and did not vote on the adoption of H. Con. Res. 323, supporting the goal of ensuring that all Holocaust survivors in the United States are able to live with dignity, comfort, and security in their remaining years. As a cosponsor of that bill, I would have voted "yes" had I been present.

FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FISCAL YEAR 2011

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the rule, I call up the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 101) making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2011, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The text of the joint resolution is as follows:

H.J. RES. 101

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011 (Public Law 111-242) is amended by striking the date specified in section 106(3) and inserting "December 18, 2010".

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LARSEN of Washington). Pursuant to House Resolution 1741, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and the gentleman from California (Mr. LEWIS) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.J. Res. 101.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 2 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation is one page long. It does only one thing: It changes the date so we can keep the government running from this Friday, December 3 to Saturday, December 18. Otherwise, the government would shut down. For the 2 weeks we are extending the current CR, it will provide us and the Senate time to consider the full year CR and the nominees that the administration should be sending us today.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I might consume.

Mr. Speaker, by any definition, this year's appropriations process has been a complete and utter failure. We are now 5 weeks past the beginning of the new fiscal year and Congress has yet to enact a single appropriations bill. Out of 12 total bills, two have passed the House, while 10 bills were never even considered by the full committee.

Even more astonishing, Mr. Speaker, is this fact: During all of 2010, the full appropriations committee met just once—in July—and that meeting occurred almost a full year since the last time the committee met—in July of 2009.

□ 1310

This record is all the more striking when you consider the fact that the House has spent week after week, month after month considering hundreds of insignificant bills, while ignoring the substantive work required of the Congress to pass a Federal budget.

Today, the House is considering a 2-week extension of the current continuing resolution. Chairman OBEY and the Democrat leadership are hoping that 2 weeks will be enough time to muster enough Democratic votes to pass a massive 12-bill package, loaded with earmarks, with a price tag exceeding \$1.1 trillion. If they succeed, House Democrats will pass an omnibus without a single Republican vote.

Democratic staff in the House and the Senate began negotiations on the omnibus spending bill after Members of Congress left Washington in October. Realizing that these negotiations excluded input from the elected Members

of Congress, and recognizing the likelihood that these negotiations would lead to yet another massive trillion-dollar government spending bill, I directed my staff not to engage in these negotiations. While Democratic staff was focused on additional ways to spend money, Republican staffers on the committee were working to identify spending cuts.

As I have made clear time and time again, I am strongly, unequivocally opposed to any potential omnibus spending bill the Democratic leadership may be planning to bring to the House floor before the end of the year. Likewise, I remain adamantly opposed to extending the CR for the balance of the fiscal year to current spending levels, which are, frankly, too darn high. I am encouraging my leadership and each of my colleagues who are concerned about excessive spending to oppose any effort to pass an omnibus or extend the CR beyond February.

Voters have made it abundantly clear that they want Congress to cut spending, starting today. There is no better place to begin this process than by returning to the U.S. Treasury unobligated funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, one of the most costly and ineffective bills in modern history. For this very reason, I introduced legislation on November 15 to immediately rescind billions of dollars of unspent stimulus funding and immediately applying those dollars to the deficit. I am hopeful that rescinding this funding will be among the first orders of business in the 112th Congress.

This commitment to cut spending will also consist of rescinding previously appropriated dollars passed under the current Democratic majority as well as dramatically scaling back funding proposed by the President in his final 2 years in office.

I believe we ought to extend the CR until February, allowing the House Republicans the opportunity to begin putting our Nation's fiscal house in order by completing the FY 11 appropriations bills at an FY 2008 level or below, and saving taxpayers at least \$100 billion. It would be the clearest signal the House could send to the American people that we got the message and take seriously their commitment to cutting spending.

Should the Democratic leadership muster the votes to pass an omnibus in its last-gasp effort to spend yet another trillion-plus dollars, every penny above and beyond the 2008 levels will be on the chopping block come January. Or put another way, if House Democrats use their last 4 weeks in power to spend another \$1.1 trillion, House Republicans will rescind every penny above and beyond the 2008 levels when the new Congress convenes.

Mr. Speaker, I believe we should have shut down the government, but I cannot and will not support this CR because it continues unsustainable levels of spending established last year. At a

time of historic deficits, record debt, and 10 percent unemployment, I believe we owe our constituents more than the status quo. Let's start cutting spending, Mr. Speaker, today. I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 3 minutes to my colleague from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS).

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Today's CR is nothing but a continuation of the culture of overspending, persistence of a broken process, and a refusal to make the tough decisions, end earmarks, and do the job we were sent here to do. As a result, our Federal spending is off the charts. We are staring at another trillion-dollar budget deficit. Debts are stacking up over \$13 trillion. Unemployment continues to hover around 10 percent, and congressional approval by the public remains at an all-time and dangerous low.

For the past 2 years, the administration has been given a free hand, with an unlimited credit card. The results are mind-boggling: 27 percent in growth in nondefense discretionary spending since 2008. And that's not including the bailouts and a failed stimulus package. Meanwhile, the Appropriations Committee has not done its job. No checks, no balances, no discipline, no bills.

What do we have to show for our work this year on the committee and in the Congress? A 2-week extension of more of the same. A date change is the sum total of the work of the Appropriations Committee. Disappointing to say the least. I believe we can do much better by severely cutting spending, conducting rigorous and thoughtful oversight, changing the culture of appropriations, and performing outreach inside and outside the Congress.

Fortunately, I believe wholesale change is on the way, Mr. Speaker. We have got to cut discretionary spending and exert fiscal discipline on fat agencies. We have got to stop the administration's regulatory war on small businesses and working families and rein in the out-of-control bureaucracies like the EPA. And we have got to start listening to the American people and their views rather than building these bills in the Speaker's office behind closed doors. Let's let the light shine in and open up some closets around that stale office.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the House to reject this 2-week delay, cut spending, return to regular order, and conduct our business out in the open.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I made a mistake here today. I assumed that because the election was over that we would have at least a temporary suspension of election-year rhetoric. But evidently I was wrong. It's not the first time, but nonetheless I had hoped it would be otherwise today.

Let me simply say that I will take a lot of lectures from a lot of people on

a lot of subjects, because I have made more than my share of mistakes in the years that I have served in this place. But the one thing that I will not take is lectures from the other side about fiscal responsibility. I mean, these are the folks who managed to turn \$6 trillion in expected surpluses when Bill Clinton left office into a \$1 trillion deficit. These are the same folks who insisted on passing two tax cuts primarily targeted at the wealthiest people in this country, all paid for with borrowed money.

□ 1320

These are the same folks that have insisted that we fight two wars on borrowed money rather than paying the bills. And these are the same folks who attacked President Obama for the so-called bailouts when, in fact, the mother of all bailouts, TARP, was brought to this Congress by the previous Republican administration.

While I don't like the way they implemented that bailout, I happen to think that that administration did what was necessary under the circumstances, circumstances created in large part by previous policies that were pursued by the folks running Washington, D.C. I don't want to go any further than that. I didn't intend to get into the political side of the debate, but neither am I going to sit by and have these comments go unanswered.

With that, I would simply say this, again, is a very simple proposition. It extends the budget for 2 weeks at existing levels so that the Congress can make an attempt to finish its work so that we do not do what was done to us 4 years ago, because when we took over 4 years ago, we had to clean up all of the last year's fiscal mess before we could turn to next year's problems.

I would think that it is worth trying to finish action on our budget this year so that our friends, as they assume majority status in January, can start with a clean slate and be looking forward rather than backwards, and this resolution is an attempt to facilitate that. I urge passage of it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 1741, the joint resolution is considered read and the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the joint resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further pro-

ceedings on this question will be postponed.

HEALTHY, HUNGER-FREE KIDS ACT OF 2010

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 1742, I call up the bill (S. 3307) to reauthorize child nutrition programs, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3307

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—A PATH TO END CHILDHOOD HUNGER

Subtitle A—National School Lunch Program

Sec. 101. Improving direct certification.

Sec. 102. Categorical eligibility of foster children.

Sec. 103. Direct certification for children receiving Medicaid benefits.

Sec. 104. Eliminating individual applications through community eligibility.

Sec. 105. Grants for expansion of school breakfast programs.

Subtitle B—Summer Food Service Program

Sec. 111. Alignment of eligibility rules for public and private sponsors.

Sec. 112. Outreach to eligible families.

Sec. 113. Summer food service support grants.

Subtitle C—Child and Adult Care Food Program

Sec. 121. Simplifying area eligibility determinations in the child and adult care food program.

Sec. 122. Expansion of afterschool meals for at-risk children.

Subtitle D—Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

Sec. 131. Certification periods.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous

Sec. 141. Childhood hunger research.

Sec. 142. State childhood hunger challenge grants.

Sec. 143. Review of local policies on meal charges and provision of alternate meals.

TITLE II—REDUCING CHILDHOOD OBE- SITY AND IMPROVING THE DIETS OF CHILDREN

Subtitle A—National School Lunch Program

Sec. 201. Performance-based reimbursement rate increases for new meal patterns.

Sec. 202. Nutrition requirements for fluid milk.

Sec. 203. Water.

Sec. 204. Local school wellness policy implementation.

Sec. 205. Equity in school lunch pricing.

Sec. 206. Revenue from nonprogram foods sold in schools.

Sec. 207. Reporting and notification of school performance.

Sec. 208. Nutrition standards for all foods sold in school.

Sec. 209. Information for the public on the school nutrition environment.

Sec. 210. Organic food pilot program.