

EARMARKS

(Mr. REHBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. REHBERG. Mr. Speaker, earmarks represent the culture of spending that has led to record deficits and debts that are literally costing us our future. We've got to change that culture, and we've got to start right now. Today, we can save the American taxpayer as much as \$16.5 billion. That money can go to pay down some of the debt we've accrued against our children's future. That's why I made the decision last year to forgo earmarks. It wasn't an easy decision, but it was the right one. That's why President Obama and Montana's Democrat Governor have also thrown their support behind eliminating earmarks.

But earmarks are just the beginning. We also need to balance the budget and seriously cut spending across the board. If Congress doesn't have the courage to cut earmarks, how can we hope to tackle the bigger problems later?

HONORING ANTOINE GARIBALDI

(Mrs. DAHLKEMPER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. DAHLKEMPER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a great leader in Erie, Pennsylvania, Antoine Garibaldi, the sixth president of Gannon University.

Dr. Garibaldi has been a dynamic force in higher education and throughout the community. Since 2001, he has worked to ensure that Gannon remains a world-class university. Dr. Garibaldi's visionary leadership has helped Gannon's enrollment grow by more than 24 percent.

With his wife, Carol, Antoine has led revitalization efforts to make downtown Erie a vibrant, thriving area. The Garibaldis' work benefits not only the students of Gannon but the whole of the Erie community. I am grateful for their commitment to our city.

Antoine Garibaldi is a pillar in the Erie community, and it is with grateful hearts that we wish him the greatest of fortune as he takes on the role of president of the University of Detroit Mercy next year.

Dr. Garibaldi's service in the area will be greatly missed, and we thank him for all that he has done.

NATIONAL DAY OF RECOGNITION FOR PARENTS OF CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today as a cosponsor of the National Day of Recognition for Parents of Children with Special

Needs to honor those who have dedicated themselves to making the lives of their special needs children better and more fulfilling.

I saw a news program over the Thanksgiving holiday that talked about a time in this country when children who were different or had special needs were often institutionalized or forgotten. That was less than 50 years ago.

There are still children who need extreme care that can't be given by their parents alone, but many of these parents begin a journey with their special needs child with a goal of making their lives as complete and stimulating as possible. The journey takes them through medical journals and expert opinions. It often places them in opposition to established school procedures and leads them to new solutions to pave the way for other special needs students. It takes their time, their treasure, and most of all their love and patience.

These parents don't give up or give in, and their children are the better for it.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND TAX CUTS

(Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to give voice to the growing chorus of millions of hard-working Americans who are without their unemployment benefits and to middle class taxpayers who deserve tax cuts on their income up to \$250,000 permanently.

We're seeing a steady improvement in the economy, but families are still struggling and need unemployment benefits to put food on the table and remain in their homes. Extending unemployment is more than support for our families, friends, and neighbors; it's also good economics. According to the independent Congressional Budget Office, it's hands down the most cost-effective stimulus available.

Mr. Speaker, I want to clear up the confusion. My colleagues on the other side of the aisle are fighting for tax cuts for millionaires while millions of Americans are losing unemployment benefits. My colleagues on the other side are calling for us to pay for \$18 billion to extend unemployment but refuse to see the hypocrisy of putting \$700 billion of tax cuts for millionaires on the backs of our children and grandchildren.

To be clear, Mr. Speaker, this Congresswoman and congressional Democrats are fighting for real families, 98 percent of middle class families, 9.6 percent unemployed. Republicans are fighting for the 2 percenters, the millionaires.

Let's stop it right here.

HONORING "CHI CHI" RODRIGUEZ

(Mr. PIERLUISI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. PIERLUISI. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of House Resolution 1430, which honors "Chi Chi" Rodriguez for his commitment to Latino youth programs.

Chi Chi was born into a poor family in Puerto Rico and began working at the age of 7. He taught himself how to play golf and enjoyed a very successful professional career, becoming the first Puerto Rican inducted into the World Golf Hall of Fame.

I rise today, though, to commend Chi Chi not for his extraordinary golf skills but for his philanthropy. His civic work has helped countless youths and earned him membership in the World Humanitarian Hall of Fame.

Yesterday, it was suggested on the floor of this House that it is a waste of time and resources to consider and pass this resolution. With all due respect, it is never a waste of time to recognize and praise the actions of a great human being, particularly when those actions help our youth.

It is one thing to promote fiscal responsibility; it is quite another to ignore or, even worse, intend to demean the feats of an extraordinary American.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote for House Resolution 1430.

JEC UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE REPORT MAKES STRONG CASE

(Mrs. MALONEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, last night the unemployment benefits expired for 2 million Americans, including over 159,000 New Yorkers and 95,000 residents of New York City. The Joint Economic Committee, which I chair, released a report that finds that there could be serious unintended consequences if Congress should not renew this vital program; consequences not just for 2 million Americans who lost their jobs, but for our larger economy as well.

Failing to renew the program with its 99-week cap could result in the loss of over 1 million jobs over the next year, wiping out almost a year's worth of hard-won progress. Failing to preserve unemployment benefits would also drain the economy of \$80 billion in purchasing power just as our fragile economy is recovering.

At a time when there are five unemployed Americans for every job opening, failing to extend unemployment benefits goes against both all common sense and economic sense. We must support and extend this vital renewal of this program.

MIDDLE CLASS TAXES

(Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, middle-income families are the backbone of our economy, and that is why we should not wait any longer to vote on extending tax cuts for middle-income families. Extension of these taxes have been held hostage by the discussion of whether to extend the rates for the wealthiest Americans.

Ninety-eight percent of Americans face a tax increase January 1. For the typical middle-income American family, that would be the loss of \$2,000 a

year. The Republican demands would mean that those making more than a million dollars a year would receive an average of \$100,000 annually, and the middle-income would be saddled with the \$700 billion in new debt to pay for the multimillion-dollar tax cut for billionaires.

The billionaires' lifestyles will not change, and no significant jobs will be created. If they were going to be, they would be now.

I am committed to continuing tax cuts for middle-income families on incomes up to \$250,000.

Mr. Speaker, I favor jobs. Tax cuts for the rich will change nothing, but it will increase the deficit.

□ 1030

PROVIDING FDIC PROTECTION FOR IOLTAS

(Mr. SARBANES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 6398, which I was proud to cosponsor with my colleague, Congressman DOGGETT. This important measure will ensure that lawyer trust accounts, the interest income from which goes to support legal services programs across this country, will be fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, therefore providing to the providers of these programs an important assurance that going forward this source of funding will be protected.

For almost 20 years before I came to this body, I had the privilege of working with some of the finest legal services providers in the State of Maryland. And I want to thank them for the work they do every day to provide assistance to those underserved in our community. Every opportunity we get to support their work we should seize upon. And that's what we do with this measure. I thank my colleagues for their support of H.R. 6398.

PASS THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENTS

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, we all know the sad news of the expiration of

unemployment benefits. And we feel very strongly about ensuring that the American people who are struggling are able to have their needs met. We also feel strongly that it must be paid for. We also feel very strongly, Mr. Speaker, that the focus should be on job creation and economic growth.

We have three pending trade agreements with Panama, Colombia, and South Korea, which not only would have far-reaching economic impacts on the United States of America, but at the same time it would have a very, very important geopolitical impact. And it seems to me that as we look at creating good manufacturing jobs for union and nonunion workers in the United States, at companies like Caterpillar, John Deere, Whirlpool, other union companies, that the single best thing to do for those workers and potential union and nonunion workers is to open up markets where there are 40 million consumers in Colombia.

The single largest bilateral free trade agreement in the history of the world would be the U.S.-Korea free trade agreement. And so, Mr. Speaker, I would like to join, and I know there is bipartisan support for this, in encouraging the administration to send up those agreements so that we can focus on what it is the American people want us to do, and that is create good manufacturing jobs right here in the United States.

TRIBUTE TO CHAIRMAN JAMES L. OBERSTAR

(Mr. GARAMENDI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Speaker, I am struck by the comments of my colleague from California and his desire to build jobs here in America. My comments today are really directed towards the chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Mr. OBERSTAR, who will be leaving this House at the end of this year, an extraordinary individual who over his 40 years in this House has led the way for good American union jobs in the construction industry.

Unfortunately, when it came time in the stimulus bill, not one Republican voted for the stimulus bill that created 1.5 million union jobs in the construction industry. Unfortunately, that was the case. You can't have it both ways, I suppose. Mr. OBERSTAR has led the way time and time again for worker safety, to make sure that Americans had the transportation, the infrastructure that they needed.

I have had the great pleasure of working with him and learning from him. I am sure I join with every colleague in this House, Democrat and Republican, to say that we will miss him deeply, and his leadership will be lost upon us.

IN MEMORY OF BOB ABBOTT

(Ms. WATSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Ms. WATSON. Mr. Speaker, I come to memorialize Bob Abbott, a young man who saw the future in terms of technology and who worked on inventing the digital ways of communicating. He was a researcher who looked around the world and saw what was needed in terms of computers. And he helped the team in Silicon Valley solve some of those problems. He died about a month ago.

He would be appalled to know that all of his hard work to bring communications together would leave out those who are unemployed. As you know, 39 percent say that not eliminating the tax cuts for those earning more than \$250,000 a year would be a travesty. Bob worked so hard to address these issues through his computer communications. We have to be sure that those people who have worked so diligently in manufacturing and in other areas of technology are taken care of when they lose their jobs.

In memory of a young man who worked so hard to bring communication skills to all Americans, I say to him we will make a move to see that the unemployed have work in your memory.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 101, FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FISCAL YEAR 2011

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1741 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1741

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 101) making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2011, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the joint resolution are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. The joint resolution shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the joint resolution are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the joint resolution to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations; and (2) one motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Colorado is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER). All time yielded during consideration of the rule is for debate only.

I yield myself such time as I consume.