

that the Nation can witness bipartisan-ship soon, but we're not seeing it yet, and that's worrisome.

□ 1140

Over the last week, participants in Republican Whip Cantor's YouCut initiative voted on programs for us to bring to this floor for cutting spending. To date, participants in that program have voted to cut over \$150 billion in spending. This week, the participants in that program voted to end the TARP program.

I was surprised to learn that TARP is still scheduled to spend billions of dollars in the next years. We must take action to end TARP now.

I will be asking Members to vote "no" on the previous question so that we can have a vote on Congressman PAULSEN's bill on ending TARP. I would like to remind the membership that a "no" vote on the previous question will not preclude consideration on the underlying legislation before us today.

Let me take a minute, at this point, if I may, Mr. Speaker, to a point of personal privilege. This may be the last rule that I come to the floor to debate because, in January, as you know, I will be leaving Congress. And it has been an extraordinary honor to be a Member of the United States Congress for 18 years, to represent an honorable and hardworking constituency.

I will leave Congress in January with a sense of duty fulfilled, Mr. Speaker, with infinite love and admiration for the most generous and noble Nation in history, the United States of America, and with profound gratitude to my wonderful staff for their hard work and their loyalty in representing our constituents and the Nation, and of gratitude to all of my colleagues for the honor of having been able to serve with them.

At this point, I reserve the balance of my time, as I ask my friend Mr. ARCURI if he has any other speakers.

Mr. ARCURI. I have no additional speakers, and I am ready to close.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to adjourn.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 2, nays 409, answered "present" 1, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 545]

YEAS—2

Rangel

Young (AK)

NAYS—409

Ackerman
Aderholt
Adler (NJ)
Akin
Altmire
Andrews
Arcuri
Austria
Baca
Bachmann
Bachus
Baird
Baldwin
Barrett (SC)
Barrow
Bartlett
Barton (TX)
Bean
Beckerra
Berkley
Berman
Berry
Biggert
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (NY)
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Boccheri
Boehner
Bonner
Bono Mack
Boozman
Boren
Boswell
Boucher
Boustany
Boyd
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Braley (IA)
Bright
Broun (GA)
Brown (SC)
Brown, Corrine
Brown-Waite,
Ginny
Buchanan
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Buyer
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Cantor
Cao
Capito
Capps
Capuano
Cardoza
Carnahan
Carney
Carson (IN)
Carter
Cassidy
Castle
Castor (FL)
Chaffetz
Chandler
Childers
Chu
Clarke
Clay
Clyburn
Coble
Coffman (CO)
Cohen
Cole
Conaway
Connolly (VA)
Conyers
Cooper
Costa
Costello
Courtney
Crenshaw
Critz
Crowley
Cuellar
Cummings
Dahlkemper
Davis (AL)
Davis (CA)
Davis (IL)
Davis (KY)
Davis (TN)
DeFazio

DeGette
DeLauro
Dent
Deutch
Diaz-Balart, L.
Diaz-Balart, M.
Dicks
Dingell
Djout
Doggett
Donnelly (IN)
Doyle
Dreier
Driehaus
Duncan
Edwards (MD)
Edwards (TX)
Ehlers
Ellison
Ellsworth
Emerson
Eshoo
Etheridge
Farr
Fattah
Filner
Flake
Fleming
Fortenberry
Foster
Fox
Frank (MA)
Franks (AZ)
Frelinghuysen
Fudge
Gallegly
Garamendi
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Giffords
Gingrey (GA)
Gohmert
Gonzalez
Goodlatte
Gordon (TN)
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (MO)
Grayson
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Guthrie
Gutierrez
Hall (NY)
Hall (TX)
Halvorson
Hare
Harman
Harper
Hastings (FL)
Hastings (WA)
Heinrich
Heller
Hensarling
Herger
Hereth Sandlin
Higgins
Hill
Himes
Hinchey
Hinojosa
Hirono
Hodes
Hoekstra
Holt
Honda
Hoyer
Hunter
Inglis
Inslee
Israel
Issa
Jackson (IL)
Jackson Lee
(TX)
Jenkins
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan (OH)
Kagen
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy
Kildee

Kilpatrick (MI)
Kilroy
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirk
Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Kissell
Klein (FL)
Kline (MN)
Kosmas
Kratovich
Kucinich
Lamborn
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lee (CA)
Lee (NY)
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Linder
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loebach
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lujan
Lummis
Lungrun, Daniel
E.
Lynch
Mack
Maffei
Maloney
Manzullo
Marchant
Markey (CO)
Marshall
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (CA)
McCarthy (NY)
McCaul
McClintock
McCollum
McCotter
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McIntyre
McKeon
McMahon
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Melancon
Mica
Michaud
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Miller, George
Minnick
Mitchell
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Murphy (CT)
Murphy (NY)
Murphy, Patrick
Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Nadler (NY)
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Neugebauer
Nunes
Oberstar
Olson
Oliver
Ortiz
Owens
Pallone
Pascarell

Pastor (AZ)
Paul
Paulsen
Payne
Pence
Perlmutter
Perriello
Peters
Peterson
Petri
Pingree (ME)
Pitts
Platts
Poe (TX)
Polis (CO)
Pomeroy
Posey
Price (GA)
Price (NC)
Putnam
Quigley
Radanovich
Rehberg
Reichert
Reyes
Richardson
Rodriguez
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger

Rush
Ryan (OH)
Ryan (WI)
Salazar
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Scalise
Schakowsky
Schauer
Schiff
Schmidt
Schock
Schrader
Schwartz
Scott (GA)
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Sessions
Sestak
Shadegg
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuler
Shuster
Simpson
Sires
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Space
Speier
Spratt
Stark
Stearns
Stupak

Sullivan
Sutton
Tanner
Teague
Terry
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tiberi
Tierney
Titus
Tonko
Towns
Tsongas
Turner
Upton
Van Hollen
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walden
Walz
Wamp
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Welch
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (OH)
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Woolsey
Wu
Yarmuth

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Cleaver

NOT VOTING—20

Alexander
Bishop (UT)
Blunt
Butterfield
Culberson
Engel
Fallin

Forbes
Griffith
Grijalva
Holden
Markey (MA)
Nye
Obey

Rahall
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Scott (VA)
Taylor
Wittman
Young (FL)

□ 1214

Ms. SUTTON, Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California, Messrs. HILL, CHAFFETZ, ETHERIDGE, ELLSWORTH, and FARR, Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida, Messrs. TIAHRT, BRADY of Pennsylvania, and TONKO, Mrs. KIRKPATRICK of Arizona, Messrs. WILSON of Ohio, BERMAN, GORDON of Tennessee, and SCHRADER, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Messrs. SCOTT of Georgia and WELCH, Ms. SCHWARTZ, Ms. RICHARDSON, Messrs. GEORGE MILLER of California, COHEN, and FILNER changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 847, JAMES ZADROGA 9/11 HEALTH AND COMPENSATION ACT OF 2010; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2378, CURRENCY REFORM FOR FAIR TRADE ACT; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2701, INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida has 7 minutes remaining. The gentleman from New York has 4½ minutes remaining.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

Mr. ARCURI. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close, and I would reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment and extraneous materials immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. I yield the balance of my time to the distinguished Republican leader, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOEHNER).

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker and my colleagues, in a few minutes we're going to have a series of votes. One of those votes is going to be on the adjournment resolution that will allow the House to adjourn sometime over the next few days until November 15. The American people are asking the question, Where are the jobs? And this Congress has an obligation to help get our economy moving again and get the American people back to work. We've had time all year to move a lot of job-killing policies; yet we've had no time to do a budget, no time to move any appropriation bills, which means no opportunity to cut spending.

Earlier this year 100 economists, 100 economists, sent a letter to the President saying, Mr. President, if you cut spending now, it will help our economy. But I do believe that we have an obligation to help end the uncertainty that is affecting American families and small businesses all across the country. We ought to be cutting spending, and, yes, we ought to end the uncertainty about what the tax rates are going to be at the beginning of the year.

The idea that we're going to leave here and not extend all of the current tax rates to end the uncertainty is an irresponsibility on the part of this Congress. And how any Member can vote to adjourn and pump this into a lame-duck session, I think, is putting your election above the needs of your constituents. The American people sent us here to do their work. We're not here to do our work to get reelected.

I am going to ask all of my colleagues, vote "no" on this adjournment resolution. Give the House an opportunity in a fair and open debate to extend all of the current tax rates.

Mr. ARCURI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I would like to begin by thanking my friend and colleague, Mr. Diaz-Balart, for his able management of this rule and also to wish him well. This will be the last time that we will be managing a rule together, and I would like to wish him well in the future.

I would like to thank my friends from the other side of the aisle for their impassioned remarks during our debate. But when all is said and done,

this rule is about three things, and three things only.

□ 1220

It's about security. It's about the intelligence reauthorization bill of 2010. It's about the economy and the currency manipulation bill. Most of all, it's about doing the right thing. It's about the 9/11 bill and doing the right thing for the people who have been injured.

Mr. Speaker, for these reasons, I urge my colleagues to support the rule and to allow us to do just that.

The material previously referred to by Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ BALART of Florida is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1674 OFFERED BY MR. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART OF FLORIDA

At the end of the resolution add the following new section:

SEC. 4. Immediately upon the adoption of this resolution the Speaker shall, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6225) to amend the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 to terminate authority under the Troubled Asset Relief Program. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their respective designees. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 6225.

(The information contained herein was provided by Democratic Minority on multiple occasions throughout the 109th Congress.)

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Democratic majority agenda and a vote to allow the opposition, at least for the moment, to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's *Precedents of the House of Representatives*, (VI, 308-311) describes the vote on the previous question on

the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition" in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

Because the vote today may look bad for the Democratic majority they will say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the definition of the previous question used in the Floor Procedures Manual published by the Rules Committee in the 109th Congress, (page 56). Here's how the Rules Committee described the rule using information from Congressional Quarterly's "American Congressional Dictionary": "If the previous question is defeated, control of debate shifts to the leading opposition member (usually the minority Floor Manager) who then manages an hour of debate and may offer a germane amendment to the pending business."

Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Democratic majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. ARCURI. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

PROVIDING FOR AN ADJOURNMENT OR RECESS OF THE TWO HOUSES

Mr. ARCURI. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a privileged concurrent resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the concurrent resolution, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 321

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring). That when the House adjourns on any legislative day from Wednesday, September 29, 2010, through Friday, October 8, 2010, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand adjourned until 2 p.m. on Monday, November 15, 2010, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first; and that when the Senate recesses or adjourns on any day from Wednesday, September 29, 2010, through Friday, November 12, 2010, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until noon on Monday, November 15, 2010, or such other time on that day as may be specified in the motion to recess or adjourn, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first.

SEC. 2. The Speaker of the House and the Majority Leader of the Senate, or their respective designees, acting jointly after consultation with the Minority Leader of the House and the Minority Leader of the Senate, shall notify the Members of the House and the Senate, respectively, to reassemble at such place and time as they may designate if, in their opinion, the public interest shall warrant it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on adopting House Concurrent Resolution 321 will be followed by 5-minute votes on ordering the previous question on House Resolution 1674, and adopting House Resolution 1674, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 210, nays 209, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 546]

YEAS—210

Ackerman	Capuano	Cummings
Andrews	Cardoza	Dahlkemper
Baca	Carnahan	Davis (AL)
Baird	Carson (IN)	Davis (CA)
Baldwin	Castor (FL)	Davis (IL)
Barrow	Chandler	Davis (TN)
Becerra	Chu	DeFazio
Berkley	Clarke	DeGette
Berman	Clay	Delahunt
Berry	Cleaver	DeLauro
Bishop (GA)	Clyburn	Deutch
Blumenauer	Cohen	Dicks
Boccieri	Conyers	Doggett
Boren	Cooper	Doyle
Boswell	Costa	Edwards (MD)
Boucher	Costello	Ellison
Brady (PA)	Courtney	Engel
Braley (IA)	Critz	Eshoo
Brown, Corrine	Crowley	Etheridge
Capps	Cuellar	Farr

Fattah	Lipinski	Ruppersberger
Filner	Loeb sack	Rush
Frank (MA)	Loftgren, Zoe	Ryan (OH)
Fudge	Lowey	Salazar
Garamendi	Lujan	Sanchez, Linda T.
Gonzalez	Lynch	Sanchez, Loretta
Gordon (TN)	Maloney	Sarbanes
Grayson	Markey (MA)	Schakowsky
Green, Al	Matheson	Schiff
Green, Gene	Matsui	Schrader
Grijalva	McCarthy (NY)	Schwartz
Gutierrez	McCollum	Scott (GA)
Hall (NY)	McDermott	Scott (VA)
Halvorson	McGovern	Serrano
Hare	Meek (FL)	Shea-Porter
Harman	Meeks (NY)	Sherman
Hastings (FL)	Miller (NC)	Sires
Higgins	Miller, George	Skelton
Hill	Mollohan	Slaughter
Himes	Moore (KS)	Smith (WA)
Hinche y	Moore (WI)	Snyder
Hinojosa	Moran (VA)	Speier
Hirono	Murphy (CT)	Spratt
Hodes	Murphy (NY)	Stark
Holden	Nadler (NY)	Stupak
Holt	Napolitano	Sutton
Honda	Neal (MA)	Tanner
Hoyer	Oberstar	Teague
Inlee	Obey	Thompson (CA)
Israel	Olver	Thompson (MS)
Jackson (IL)	Ortiz	Tierney
Jackson Lee	Owens	Tonko
(TX)	Pallone	Towns
Johnson (GA)	Pascrell	Tsongas
Johnson, E. B.	Pastor (AZ)	Van Hollen
Kagen	Payne	Velázquez
Kanjorski	Pelosi	Visclosky
Kaptur	Perlmutter	Walz
Kildee	Peterson	Wasserman
Kilpatrick (MI)	Pingree (ME)	Schultz
Kind	Polis (CO)	Waters
Kissell	Pomeroy	Watson
Klein (FL)	Price (NC)	Watt
Kosmas	Quigley	Waxman
Kucinich	Rangel	Weiner
Langevin	Reyes	Welch
Larsen (WA)	Richardson	Wilson (OH)
Larson (CT)	Rodriguez	Woolsey
Lee (CA)	Ross	Wu
Levin	Rothman (NJ)	Yarmuth
Lewis (GA)	Roybal-Allard	

NAYS—209

Adler (NJ)	Cole	Inglis
Akin	Conaway	Issa
Alexander	Connolly (VA)	Jenkins
Altmire	Crenshaw	Johnson (IL)
Arcuri	Culberson	Johnson, Sam
Austria	Davis (KY)	Jones
Bachmann	Dent	Jordan (OH)
Bachus	Diaz-Balart, M.	Kilroy
Barrett (SC)	Djou	King (IA)
Bartlett	Donnelly (IN)	King (NY)
Barton (TX)	Dreier	Kingston
Bean	Driehaus	Kirk
Biggart	Duncan	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Bilbray	Edwards (TX)	Kline (MN)
Bilirakis	Ehlers	Kratovil
Bishop (NY)	Ellsworth	Lamborn
Bishop (UT)	Emerson	Lance
Blackburn	Flake	Latham
Boehner	Fleming	LaTourette
Bonner	Forbes	Latta
Bono Mack	Fortenberry	Lee (NY)
Boozman	Foster	Lewis (CA)
Boustany	Fox	Linder
Brady (TX)	Franks (AZ)	LoBiondo
Bright	Frelinghuysen	Lucas
Broun (GA)	Gallegly	Luetkemeyer
Brown (SC)	Garrett (NJ)	Lummis
Brown-Waite,	Gerlach	Lungren, Daniel E.
Ginny	Giffords	Mack
Buchanan	Gingrey (GA)	Manzullo
Burgess	Gohmert	Marchant
Burton (IN)	Goodlatte	Markey (CO)
Calvert	Granger	Marshall
Camp	Graves (GA)	McCarthy (CA)
Campbell	Graves (MO)	McCaul
Cantor	Guthrie	McClintock
Cao	Hall (TX)	McCotter
Capito	Harper	McHenry
Carney	Hastings (WA)	McIntyre
Carter	Heinrich	McKeon
Cassidy	Heller	McMahon
Castle	Hensarling	McMorris
Chaffetz	Herger	Rodgers
Childers	Herseth Sandlin	McNerney
Coble	Hoekstra	Melancon
Coffman (CO)	Hunter	

Mica	Price (GA)	Simpson
Michaud	Putnam	Smith (NE)
Miller (FL)	Radanovich	Smith (NJ)
Miller (MI)	Rehberg	Smith (TX)
Miller, Gary	Reichert	Space
Minnick	Roe (TN)	Stearns
Mitchell	Rogers (AL)	Sullivan
Moran (KS)	Rogers (KY)	Taylor
Murphy, Patrick	Rogers (MI)	Terry
Murphy, Tim	Rohrabacher	Thompson (PA)
Myrick	Rooney	Thornberry
Neugebauer	Ros-Lehtinen	Tiahrt
Nunes	Roskam	Tiberi
Nye	Royce	Titus
Olson	Ryan (WI)	Turner
Paul	Scalise	Upton
Paulsen	Schauer	Walden
Pence	Schmidt	Wamp
Perriello	Sensenbrenner	Westmoreland
Peters	Sessions	Whitfield
Petri	Sestak	Wilson (SC)
Pitts	Shadegg	Wittman
Platts	Shimkus	Wolf
Poe (TX)	Shuler	Young (AK)
Posey	Shuster	

NOT VOTING—14

Aderholt	Diaz-Balart, L.	Maffei
Blunt	Dingell	Rahall
Boyd	Fallin	Schock
Butterfield	Griffith	Young (FL)
Buyer	Kennedy	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1247

Messrs. McNERNEY, ALTMIRE and TAYLOR changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 847, JAMES ZADROGA 9/11 HEALTH AND COMPENSATION ACT OF 2010; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2378, CURRENCY REFORM FOR FAIR TRADE ACT; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2701, INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on House Resolution 1674, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 235, nays 183, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 547]

YEAS—235

Ackerman	Becerra	Boswell
Altmire	Berkley	Boucher
Andrews	Berman	Brady (PA)
Arcuri	Berry	Braley (IA)
Baca	Bishop (GA)	Brown, Corrine
Baird	Bishop (NY)	Capps
Baldwin	Blumenauer	Capuano
Barrow	Boccieri	Cardoza
Bean	Boren	Carnahan