

Serrano	Tanner	Wasserman
Sestak	Taylor	Schultz
Shea-Porter	Teague	Waters
Sherman	Terry	Watson
Shimkus	Thompson (CA)	Watt
Shuler	Thompson (MS)	Waxman
Shuster	Thompson (PA)	Weiner
Simpson	Thornberry	Welch
Sires	Tiberi	Whitfield
Skelton	Tierney	Wilson (OH)
Slaughter	Titus	Wilson (SC)
Smith (NJ)	Tonko	Wittman
Smith (WA)	Towns	Wolf
Snyder	Tsongas	Woolsey
Space	Turner	Wu
Speier	Van Hollen	Yarmuth
Spratt	Velazquez	Young (AK)
Stark	Visclosky	Young (FL)
Stearns	Walden	Walz
Sutton	Walz	

NOES—90

Aderholt	Gallegly	Miller (MI)
Akin	Garrett (NJ)	Miller, Gary
Alexander	Gingrey (GA)	Moran (KS)
Bachmann	Goodlatte	Myrick
Bachus	Graves (GA)	Neugebauer
Barrett (SC)	Griffith	Nunes
Bartlett	Hastings (WA)	Paul
Barton (TX)	Hensarling	Paulsen
Biggart	Herger	Pence
Bliley	Hunter	Pitts
Blackburn	Inglis	Price (GA)
Boehner	Issa	Radanovich
Brown (GA)	Jenkins	Rogers (AL)
Burton (IN)	Johnson, Sam	Rogers (MI)
Buyer	Jordan (OH)	Rohrabacher
Calvert	King (IA)	Roskam
Camp	Kline (MN)	Royce
Campbell	Lamborn	Ryan (WI)
Cantor	Latta	Schmidt
Castle	Lewis (CA)	Schmitt
Chaffetz	Linder	Sensenbrenner
Coble	Lucas	Sessions
Cole	Manzullo	Shadegg
Culberson	Marchant	Smith (NE)
Dreier	McCarthy (CA)	Smith (TX)
Duncan	McClintock	Stupak
Flake	McCotter	Sullivan
Fleming	McHenry	Tiahrt
Fox	McKeon	Upton
Franks (AZ)	McMorris	Westmoreland
Frelinghuysen	Rodgers	

NOT VOTING—13

Bright	Hoekstra	Rush
Cardoza	Kagen	Schrader
Hastings (FL)	Kirk	Wamp
Higgins	Olson	
Hinojosa	Reyes	

□ 1435

Mr. INGLIS changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 447, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 5114, FLOOD INSURANCE REFORM PRIORITIES ACT OF 2010

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections in the engrossment of H.R. 5114, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering and cross-referencing, the insertion of appropriate headings, and clerical errors in amendatory instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

RESIGNATION OF CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following communication from the Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

Washington, DC, July 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: I'm writing to tender my resignation as Chief Administrative Officer for the U.S. House of Representatives effective July 18, 2010.

It has been a distinct honor and privilege to serve you and House in this position over the past three and one-half years. I believe we have made substantial strides to make House operations more sustainable, provide Members and staff with improved benefits, and provide the House community with a safer and more secure information technology system.

I will always be grateful to you for giving me this opportunity to serve this wonderful institution. I also want to thank you for your personal support.

With warmest best regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

DANIEL P. BEARD.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the resignation is accepted.

There was no objection.

APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of section 208(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, the Chair appoints Daniel J. Strodel of the District of Columbia to act as and to exercise temporarily the duties of Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives, effective July 18, 2010.

Mr. Strodel appeared at the bar of the House and took the oath of office, as follows:

Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that you will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that you take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that you will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which you are about to enter, so help you God.

The SPEAKER. Congratulations.

PRIVILEGED REPORT ON RESOLUTION OF INQUIRY TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mr. CONYERS from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted an adverse privileged report (Rept. No. 111-538) on the resolution (H. Res. 1455) directing the Attorney General to transmit to the House of Representatives copies of certain communications relating to

certain recommendations regarding administration appointments, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

□ 1440

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland, the majority leader, for the purpose of announcing next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the Republican whip for yielding.

Madam Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business, with votes postponed until 6 p.m. On Tuesday, Madam Speaker, the House will meet at 10:30 a.m. for morning-hour debate and 12 p.m. for legislative business. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. A complete list of all suspension bills will be announced by the close of business tomorrow. In addition, we will consider Mr. TAYLOR's bill, H.R. 1264, the Multiple Peril Insurance Act of 2009. We're also expecting to consider several items from the Senate, including Senate amendments to H.R. 4213, the Restoration of Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act; and Senate amendments to H.R. 4899, the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2010.

Lastly, Madam Speaker, we expect to consider several bills addressing the oil spill in the gulf, including H.R. 2693, the Oil Pollution Research and Development Program Reauthorization Act; and H.R. 5716, the Safer Oil and Natural Gas Drilling Technology Research and Development Act.

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, given the schedule the gentleman just announced, I would ask the majority leader whether he expects the House to be in session next Friday.

Mr. HOYER. I say to the gentleman that will, again, depend on what our colleagues in the Senate send over to us and whether or not we can complete the business that we have before us that I've announced by Thursday. In the event that we don't have legislation coming back from the Senate that we needed to deal with on Friday, or our business that is scheduled does not take longer than Thursday, then it is possible that we would not be in session. But, again, I would caution Members that we have 2 weeks left to go and those days will be scheduled and will be utilized if needed.

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, the gentleman just explained that we do only have 2 more weeks left in the month of July for legislative business. I would ask the gentleman if he could

expand upon the schedule for those 2 weeks and what we might expect for those following weeks.

Mr. HOYER. In addition to the bills that we've already mentioned for next week, including the unemployment extension, the supplemental coming from the Senate, and the Science and Technology bills addressing the oil spill, we'll also likely consider a number of other bills addressing oil spill legislation. In addition, I expect we will consider several bills from the Appropriations Committee. I've talked to the chairman about which bills would be most likely for floor action before August, and he's looking at the Veterans and Military Construction and the Transportation-HUD bills.

As the gentleman I'm sure knows, they have marked up now seven, I believe is the accurate number of appropriations bills—excuse me. I think it's nine because they marked up two today, or are in the process of marking them up today, and I expect by the end of the day there will have been nine appropriation bills marked up. They will proceed. But I think those two bills are probably the first ones that will come forward.

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, I would ask if those appropriations bills coming to the floor will be brought up under an open rule.

Mr. HOYER. Those bills, as you know, have not been reported out of committee yet and I've not discussed with the chairman his plans on how he would hope to bring those to the floor. I will be discussing it with him probably the latter part of next week, and perhaps we will have more information for you next week. Again, we expect the bills to come to the floor not next week, but the week after.

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, the gentleman mentioned the troop funding in his schedule for next week. I know originally the goal was to fund our troops by Memorial Day. That didn't happen. Then it became the goal of July 4th, and that didn't happen. I know that the gentleman and I are both committed to getting this critical funding for our men and women in uniform, and I would just suggest to the gentleman it is probably the most direct route to getting our mutual goal accomplished of getting this bill across the floor, that perhaps he and the majority ought to consider taking up the Senate-passed legislation and send it right to the President.

I can say, Madam Speaker, to the gentleman that the Senate bill does have 218 votes on this House floor, and would ask if the supplemental is coming to the House floor next week, whether that is his intention, to go ahead, take this route, expedite it, so our troops can get the money they need.

I yield.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his question and for his observation and for his assurances as well in terms of the number of votes we may have available for that alternative.

I say to the gentleman that it is my intention that certainly by the time we leave here that we will have made sure that the troops have the resources they need to prosecute the mission that we have given them as a Congress and the administration. There's no doubt that we will have 218-plus—a large plus, I think—of votes to accomplish that objective.

As the gentleman knows, however, we have passed a supplemental which does fund the troops. It wasn't the Senate supplemental. The House obviously has its own view on policy, and I'm sure the gentleman would want the House to prosecute its policies and redeem the majority of the House's view and try to reach agreement with the Senate.

The House acted on the supplemental before the break, including all of the President's request for troop funding, as the gentleman knows. It also included the administration's request for FEMA, Haiti, oil spill, and border security. In addition, as the gentleman knows, we added money to take care of almost 140,000 teachers and offset the additional money with spending cuts. So those were paid for. I'm hopeful that the Senate will not make significant changes to the bill that the House passed and will be able to pass that bill before the August work period begins. Again, however, I want to emphasize that I am fully committed and intend to ensure that the troops have the resources they need.

With respect to the gentleman's observation, he is absolutely correct. I was hopeful we would do it before Memorial Day, and then I was hopeful we would do it before the July 4th break. Interestingly enough, however, as we kept going along and I kept in contact with the chairman of the Defense Appropriations Committee, Mr. DICKS, as to when the funding was needed, the date kept moving. And the date that we now have, as the gentleman probably knows as well as I do, is August 7. But certainly I want to see us pass the funding for the troops and for the prosecution of the effort that the Congress has supported and the administration has set forth for our troops prior to that time.

Mr. CANTOR. I know the gentleman is in receipt of the same information that I am about the urgency now being communicated to us for the need for that money to be delivered. I would say, Madam Speaker, I probably have a little different view as to the intentions of the Senate to try and deliver on stripping out the House amendments that were attached to the supplemental bill, and would say, again, to the gentleman, House Republicans stand ready to vote in an expeditious way on the Senate-passed bill in its original form, and I look forward to being able to deliver that.

I yield.

Mr. HOYER. I thank you for that effort. We will look forward to working with you to make sure that our troops are fully funded.

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, as we're discussing the schedule for next week, I believe it's important to announce the eighth YouCut vote that will take place on the House floor next week. Over 1.3 million votes have been cast on YouCut to date at the RepublicanWhip.House.Gov/YouCut Web Site. We will vote sometime mid-week on one of five proposals selected by the people of America. The first would be to eliminate mandatory GPO bill printing, which is a \$35 million savings. Another would be to eliminate Senator DODD's health care clinic earmark in the Obama Care health care bill, estimated to save another \$100 million. Next would be, Madam Speaker, an effort to prohibit subsidies for long-distance "first class" sleeper train tickets, estimated at a cost savings of \$1.2 billion. Another, Madam Speaker, could be to reform the Energy Star program effort, which requires companies to pay for the cost of the program, saving the taxpayers \$655 million.

□ 1450

Another could be, depending on the vote and the will of the American people, an effort to prevent LIHEAP payments to fraudulent claims, an estimated savings of hundreds of millions of dollars to the taxpayer.

Madam Speaker, I would say the gentleman's party has been extolling the virtues of cutting \$7 billion from the President's \$1.12 trillion FY11 budget, \$7 billion. And I would say to the gentleman—I know we've had a lot of discussion about YouCut and the amount of money that we are attempting to cut from the Federal deficit through our program, and, in fact, at this point that total is now reaching \$130 billion. I know that many in his party have been dismissive of this program, saying that that's not real money in Washington. And I will just point out to the gentleman, if individuals on his side of the aisle think that \$7 billion reaches a significant milestone, I would say as well, \$130 billion of proposed cuts would do just as well, if not better.

So, Madam Speaker, I would like to, at this point, take a quick moment to congratulate someone who works together with our staff tirelessly behind the scenes, someone on the staff of the majority leader, Austin Burnes, who got a moment to get away from the floor prior to the recess to attend his own wedding. We wish the gentleman great success and best wishes in his nuptials.

Mr. HOYER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CANTOR. I yield.

Mr. HOYER. We have a very strict leave policy in my office, but we were convinced that getting off for his wedding was an appropriate use of that leave. Austin is a wonderful member of the staff. I am very pleased that you mentioned it. He has a wonderful new bride. His demeanor has changed markedly. He is much happier, and we're all happier to work with him. So Austin, congratulations to you.

Mr. CANTOR. Well, I am told and hopeful as well there will be many more nuptials on your staff, I would say to the gentleman.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JULY 19, 2010

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning-hour debate, and further, when the House adjourns on that day, it adjourn to meet at 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday, July 20, 2010, for morning-hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. CHU). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

THIS YEAR'S DEFICIT SURPASSES \$1 TRILLION

(Mr. HERGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HERGER. Madam Speaker, the Federal deficit has topped \$1 trillion, and there are still 3 months remaining in the fiscal year. Spending is out of control, and the President and Democratic leadership have shown no sign of slowing down. Americans are still asking, Where are the jobs? Unemployment stands at near 10 percent nationally, and the administration's massive spending increases are harming the small businesses that are so crucial to our job creation. President Clinton's former chief of staff has said, "The debt is like a cancer . . . it is going to destroy the country from within."

Madam Speaker, we must reject Big Government and embrace fiscal responsibility and the pro-small business policies that have guided our Nation out of troubled times in the past.

PROTECTING FLOODWAY PROPERTIES

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, thank you for your leadership; and, as well, I appreciate very much the debate that this House had just a few minutes ago about H.R. 5114, the Flood Insurance Reform Priorities Act of 2010. I rise to support that legislation and, specifically, to discuss an issue that really impacts all Americans.

An amendment that I crafted, a very effective amendment, would have prohibited States and local governments from misusing new Federal flood insurance program requirements to disadvantage businesses and homeowners in any way, meaning, to take their property away because they have misinterpreted the Federal laws as to whether or not your home is in a floodplain or in a floodway. Those of us from the gulf understand that very well.

Unfortunately, under Federal law, it is often misinterpreted by State and local officials, resulting in unintended consequences in many communities across this country. For example, in the White Oak community, 2,400 homes were being violated because a local government was misinterpreting whether or not these particular individuals could stay in their homes. Their values plummeted.

I am going to continue to work on this legislation going forward to ensure this language gets in the bill and that we fight to protect homeowners once and for all.

CELEBRATING HOWARD, PENNSYLVANIA'S BICENTENNIAL

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today as a lifelong resident of Howard, Pennsylvania, to honor its bicentennial.

Howard, albeit a small community of almost 700, has an extensive history dating back to its settlers in the late 1700s. It was officially formed by the Centre County Court in 1810 and named after English philanthropist John Howard. Howard features several historical structures, such as its post office built in 1828, a Methodist church dating back to 1843, and houses from 1810.

In the 1820s, Howard experienced growth due to industrial interests, as companies such as the Howard Iron Works attracted settlers. The first store in Howard opened in 1829, and the town was also known for its Woolrich factory during the last century.

I recently participated in the celebratory parade, which was followed by evening fireworks. The sense of community is great in Howard. Seeing residents come out and celebrating our history truly is wonderful. Our small size fosters a rare connection among the residents, store owners, and all government levels, and we are proud of this friendship.

I hope to see Howard continue to prosper through another 200 years. It's a great town and a welcoming place to live.

Congratulations to Howard and its citizens on its 200th anniversary.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MORAN of Kansas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

STAFF SERGEANT JESSE AINSWORTH—UNITED STATES ARMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. POE of Texas. Madam Speaker, it's with great pride but a heavy heart that I honor a fallen son of Texas tonight, a United States Army soldier from my Second Congressional District.

Staff Sergeant Jesse Ainsworth gave his life fighting terrorists on a battlefield in a far, faraway, desolate land near Kandahar in Afghanistan on July 10, 2010. He died from injuries caused by an IED, the weapon of terrorists, those cowards that hide in their holes in the rugged deserts and come out like rats at night and plant roadside bombs to kill Americans, women, and children.

□ 1500

This is Jesse Ainsworth. He was 24 years of age. He was an American warrior, and he was born in Texas and Jesse was an all American boy. He loved to hunt and fish in the woods near his home in Dayton, Texas. And after attending Dayton High School, he joined the United States Army.

He was a team leader with the 1st Squadron, 71st Cavalry Regiment of the 10th Mountain Division out of Ft. Drum. Jesse served two combat tours in Iraq before deploying to Afghanistan. And, Madam Speaker, he re-enlisted after his second tour of duty in Iraq and then that is why he went to Afghanistan.

Yesterday, I talked to Jesse's mother, Margeret Hutchins, and she said a lot about her son. They live in a little small community called Kenefic, just outside of Dayton.

Jesse was Margeret's only son. And Margeret said Jesse was her hero. She said she used to pick him up when he was a little kid from kindergarten, and every Friday they'd go to Wal-Mart and buy some toy for him. She said ever since Jesse was an itty bitty fellow he wanted to be a soldier in the United States Army.

And the last time she talked to him, Jesse said he was setting up camp out in the middle of no place in the middle of the desert. And he asked his mother to send him, in the next care package, a Big Red soft drink and some Copenhagen chewing tobacco in that care package. Jesse was all Texas.

Jesse loved the Army, he loved his country, and he loved being a soldier. He was doing what he wanted to do. He was an Army man.

All of the flags in the small town of Dayton, Texas, are flying at half mast this week. There are signs all over this town of just 5,000, handmade signs, electronic signs throughout the community honoring Jesse.

The services will be held on Saturday at the Dayton Community Center, and the whole town will turn out to honor their native son and honor his family.