

be on the cutting edge of the next innovation that's going to propel us even into the next century.

And so I'm excited about being here today to support the America COMPETES Act and support a number of amendments that I think really strengthen what we're doing, particularly the amendment offered by my colleague DINA TITUS from Nevada that really is looking in a very systemic way at what happens between kindergarten and 12th grade.

What we know is that when we invest in young people at the earliest age and get them the kind of teachers that they need in the classroom, it is not when they get into college that they decide they want to take on science and technology. They make those decisions and they get prepared from kindergarten to fourth grade, and so what we're doing here really strengthens our ability for competition.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. I yield the gentlelady 30 seconds more.

Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland. And, finally, looking at what we're doing in the manufacturing sector, we have amendments that strengthen the manufacturing extension partnerships that really allow the National Institutes of Standards and Technology in my congressional district to better reflect the needs and challenges facing manufacturers today.

And so I urge my colleagues to support the underlying bill, to support the en bloc amendments, and to propel us into the 21st century to be competitive with nations around the world.

Mr. HALL of Texas. Madam Chairman, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Madam Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FATTAH), a cardinal on the very important Appropriations Committee.

Mr. FATTAH. I want to congratulate the chairman of the committee and the sponsor of this important piece of legislation. BART GORDON has done our country a great service through his work, both in the original authorization and now in this reauthorization, and his staff and members of the committee.

I rise to support the America COMPETES Act. I think that the Energy Innovation Hubs, the focus on STEM education and innovation represent in important ways the very future of our economy. As we go forward, we will look back on this day as a very important day in terms of laying the foundation for protecting and enhancing the American standard of living.

I'm reminded, hearing the gentlelady from Maryland speak, of a group of young people in my district who have won the Tour de Sol three times, who are now in the final grouping competing worldwide for the X prize, developing a car that can go 100 miles an hour.

Now, these young people are the only high school team out of 100 teams that started this enterprise fighting, competing against colleges, universities, professional entities that own worldwide car companies, but they have been ranked by Popular Mechanics as one of the top 10 finalists that will probably win the X prize.

But we've seen in robotics and engineering and science that our young people have the ability to compete. We need to foster their sense of innovation and not have them be risk averse.

This bill and its work in this area of STEM education is so vitally important. I want to thank the gentlelady, Congresswoman FUDGE from Ohio, for her work, and the chairman for making sure that STEM education got the kind of focus, laser-like focus, it needed in this legislation. The ranking member has done a great job.

This is a great day, a bipartisan piece of legislation that invests in creating future jobs in our economy through the one thing that we know is indispensable to make this world a better place, and that's American ingenuity, innovation. This invests in it. And BART GORDON, this great Congressman has done our country a great service, and I want to thank him for his leadership in this effort.

Mr. HALL of Texas. Madam Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Madam Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Chairman, we're coming to the end of the discussion on this bill, so let me just—again, I want to thank the staff, the minority and majority staff, the Members who have put so much time into this. This is not only a good substantive bill; it is a good bill by process. We had 46 hearings on this bill resulting in three different subcommittee bipartisan markups that went to a full committee bipartisan markup, which brought this bill to the floor today.

This is a good bill. In 2007, the original authorization received 367 Members that voted for it. I hope that we will be able to see that same type of vote again.

Then it went to the United States Senate because this is not only a bipartisan bill; it is a bicameral bill. In the United States Senate there were 69 cosponsors, and it received a unanimous vote on the Senate—on the other body's floor. Much of that credit goes to LAMAR ALEXANDER from Tennessee and JEFF BINGAMAN. And I told LAMAR ALEXANDER the other day that if he can get 69 cosponsors again and get a unanimous vote, that I will nominate him for the Nobel Peace Prize and special envoy to the Mid East. He did yeoman's work, and I'm sure he will do it again. This is a good bipartisan bill and should get a good bipartisan vote.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HALL of Texas. Madam Chairman, I would like simply to conclude by reiterating some key points about

H.R. 5116, the America COMPETES Act of 2010. I've said on numerous occasions that we should support strengthening investments in basic research and science, technology, engineering and mathematics education. National investments in basic R&D and STEM education, together with sound economic policies form the policy basis of what's necessary for the country to truly remain competitive in the future.

I can't support this bill, however, because it calls for excessive spending levels, numerous new and duplicative programs, ineffective oversight and positive shifts that could lead to the government picking "winners and losers."

It's for these reasons that the National Taxpayers Union and the Council for Citizens Against Government Waste have come out against this bill. I would urge Members to vote "no" on H.R. 5116.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Madam Chairman, has my time expired?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Tennessee has yielded back his time. Does the gentleman from Tennessee seek to reclaim his time?

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Yes, Madam Chairman.

□ 1115

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized.

There was no objection.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. I will just reclaim a small part of it. I just want to thank my ranking member, Mr. HALL from Texas, for the gentlemanly way that he has conducted himself today and in all of our meetings. Maybe it is because I am from Tennessee and he is from Texas, but we share a lot of the same views. We have the same interest in seeing that our country move forward in this 21st century.

I don't have grandkids yet, but I know that for his kids and grandkids he wants to see us move forward. For my 9-year-old daughter I want to see us move forward. As I say, we agree most of the time. Every now and then we don't. But no one could have a better partner, and I thank him for his cooperation on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. GORDON).

The amendments en bloc were agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in part B of the House Report 111-479 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 34, by Mr. BOCCIERI of Ohio;

Amendment No. 38 by Mrs. HALVORSON of Illinois;

Amendment No. 50 by Mr. FLAKE of Arizona.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 34 OFFERED BY MR. BOCCIERI

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOCCIERI) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 248, noes 171, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 267]

AYES—248

Ackerman	Donnelly (IN)	Langevin
Altmire	Drieaus	Larsen (WA)
Andrews	Edwards (MD)	Larson (CT)
Arcuri	Edwards (TX)	Lee (CA)
Baca	Ehlers	Levin
Baird	Ellison	Lewis (GA)
Baldwin	Ellsworth	Lipinski
Barrow	Engel	Loebsack
Bean	Eshoo	Loftgren, Zoe
Becerra	Etheridge	Lowe
Berkley	Faleomavaega	Lujan
Berman	Farr	Lynch
Berry	Fattah	Maffei
Bishop (GA)	Filner	Maloney
Bishop (NY)	Foster	Markey (CO)
Blumenauer	Frank (MA)	Markey (MA)
Bocieri	Fudge	Marshall
Bordallo	Garamendi	Matheson
Boren	Giffords	Matsui
Boswell	Gonzalez	McCarthy (NY)
Boucher	Gordon (TN)	McCollum
Boyd	Grayson	McDermott
Brady (PA)	Green, Al	McGovern
Braley (IA)	Green, Gene	McIntyre
Bright	Grijalva	McMahon
Brown, Corrine	Gutierrez	McNerney
Butterfield	Hall (NY)	Meek (FL)
Capps	Halvorson	Meeks (NY)
Capuano	Hare	Melancon
Cardoza	Harman	Michaud
Carnahan	Hastings (FL)	Miller (NC)
Carson (IN)	Heinrich	Miller, George
Castor (FL)	Herseeth Sandlin	Minnick
Chandler	Hill	Mitchell
Childers	Himes	Mollohan
Chu	Hinche	Moore (KS)
Clarke	Hinojosa	Moore (WI)
Clay	Hirono	Moran (VA)
Cleaver	Hodes	Murphy (CT)
Clyburn	Holden	Murphy (NY)
Cohen	Holt	Murphy, Patrick
Connolly (VA)	Hoyer	Nadler (NY)
Conyers	Inslee	Napolitano
Cooper	Israel	Neal (MA)
Costa	Jackson (IL)	Norton
Costello	Jackson Lee	Nye
Courtney	(TX)	Oberstar
Crowley	Johnson (GA)	Obey
Cuellar	Johnson, E. B.	Olver
Cummings	Kagen	Ortiz
Dahlkemper	Kanjorski	Pallone
Davis (CA)	Kaptur	Pascrell
Davis (IL)	Kennedy	Pastor (AZ)
Davis (TN)	Kildee	Payne
DeFazio	Kilpatrick (MI)	Perlmutter
DeGette	Kilroy	Perriello
Delahunt	Kind	Peters
DeLauro	Kissell	Peterson
Deutch	Klein (FL)	Pierluisi
Dicks	Kosmas	Pingree (ME)
Dingell	Kratovil	Polis (CO)
Doggett	Kucinich	Pomeroy

Price (NC)
Quigley
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Richardson
Rodriguez
Ross
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Sablan
Salazar
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schauer
Schiff
Schmidt

Schrader
Schwartz
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Sestak
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Shuler
Sires
Skelton
Smith (WA)
Ryan (OH)
Space
Speier
Spratt
Stark
Stupak
Sutton
Tanner
Taylor
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)

Tierney
Titus
Tonko
Towns
Tsongas
Van Hollen
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Welch
Wilson (OH)
Woolsey
Wu
Yarmuth

So the amendment was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 38 OFFERED BY MRS. HALVORSON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois (Mrs. HALVORSON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 419, noes 0, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 268]

AYES—419

Ackerman	Cardoza	Filner
Aderholt	Carnahan	Flake
Adler (NJ)	Carson (IN)	Fleming
Akin	Carter	Forbes
Alexander	Cassidy	Fortenberry
Altmire	Castle	Foster
Andrews	Castor (FL)	Fox
Arcuri	Chaffetz	Frank (MA)
Austria	Chandler	Franks (AZ)
Baca	Childers	Frelinghuysen
Bachmann	Chu	Fudge
Bachus	Clarke	Gallagher
Baird	Clay	Garamendi
Baldwin	Cleaver	Garrett (NJ)
Barrow	Clyburn	Gerlach
Bartlett	Coble	Giffords
Barton (TX)	Coffman (CO)	Gingrey (GA)
Bean	Cohen	Gohmert
Becerra	Conaway	Gonzalez
Berkley	Connolly (VA)	Goodlatte
Berman	Conyers	Gordon (TN)
Berry	Cooper	Granger
Biggert	Costa	Graves
Bilbray	Costello	Grayson
Bilirakis	Courtney	Green, Al
Bishop (GA)	Crenshaw	Green, Gene
Bishop (NY)	Crowley	Griffith
Blackburn	Cuellar	Grijalva
Blumenauer	Culberson	Guthrie
Blunt	Cummings	Gutierrez
Bocieri	Dahlkemper	Hall (NY)
Boehner	Davis (CA)	Hall (TX)
Bonner	Davis (IL)	Halvorson
Bono Mack	Davis (KY)	Hare
Boozman	Davis (TN)	Harman
Bordallo	DeFazio	Harper
Boren	DeGette	Hastings (FL)
Boswell	Delahunt	Hastings (WA)
Boucher	DeLauro	Heinrich
Boustany	Dent	Heller
Boyd	Deutch	Hensarling
Brady (PA)	Diaz-Balart, L.	Herger
Brady (TX)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Herseeth Sandlin
Braley (IA)	Dicks	Hill
Bright	Dingell	Himes
Broun (GA)	Doggett	Hinche
Brown (SC)	Donnelly (IN)	Hinojosa
Brown, Corrine	Dreier	Hirono
Brown-Waite,	Drieaus	Hodes
Ginny	Duncan	Holden
Buchanan	Edwards (MD)	Holt
Burgess	Edwards (TX)	Honda
Burton (IN)	Ehlers	Hoyer
Butterfield	Ellison	Hunter
Buyer	Ellsworth	Inglis
Calvert	Emerson	Inslee
Camp	Engel	Israel
Campbell	Eshoo	Issa
Cantor	Etheridge	Jackson (IL)
Cao	Faleomavaega	Jackson Lee
Capito	Fallin	(TX)
Capps	Farr	Jenkins
Capuano	Fattah	Johnson (GA)

NOES—171

Aderholt
Adler (NJ)
Akin
Alexander
Austria
Bachmann
Bachus
Bartlett
Barton (TX)
Biggert
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Blackburn
Blunt
Boehner
Bonner
Bono Mack
Boozman
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Broun (GA)
Brown (SC)
Brown-Waite,
Ginny
Buchanan
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Buyer
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Cantor
Cao
Capito
Carter
Cassidy
Castle
Chaffetz
Coble
Coffman (CO)
Conaway
Crenshaw
Culberson
Davis (KY)
Dent
Diaz-Balart, M.
Dreier
Duncan
Emerson
Fallin
Flake
Fleming
Forbes
Fortenberry
Fox
Franks (AZ)
Frelinghuysen
Gallegly

Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gingrey (GA)
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Granger
Graves
Griffith
Guthrie
Hall (TX)
Harper
Hastings (WA)
Heller
Hensarling
Herger
Hunter
Inglis
Issa
Jenkins
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan (OH)
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirk
Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Kline (MN)
Lamborn
Lance
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lewis (CA)
Linder
LoBiondo
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
E.
Mack
Manzullo
Marchant
McCarthy (CA)
McCauley
McClintock
McCotter
McHenry
McKeon
McMorris
Rodgers
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller, Gary
Moran (KS)

Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Neugebauer
Nunes
Olson
Owens
Paul
Paulsen
Pence
Petri
Pitts
Platts
Poe (TX)
Posey
Price (GA)
Putnam
Radanovich
Rehberg
Reichert
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Royce
Ryan (WI)
Scalise
Schock
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shadegg
Shimkus
Shuster
Simpson
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Souder
Stearns
Sullivan
Terry
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tiberi
Turner
Upton
Walden
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—17

Barrett (SC)
Bishop (UT)
Carney
Christensen
Cole
Davis (AL)

Diaz-Balart, L.
Doyle
Higgins
Hoekstra
Honda
Lee (NY)

Rush
Serrano
Slaughter
Teague
Wamp

□ 1145

Messrs. DAVIS of Kentucky, SCALISE, LATHAM, CALVERT, and ADLER of New Jersey changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Mr. BRIGHT changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

Johnson (IL)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan (OH)
Kagen
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy
Kildee
Kilpatrick (MI)
Kilroy
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirk
Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Kissell
Klein (FL)
Kline (MN)
Kosmas
Kratovil
Kucinich
Lamborn
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Linder
Lipinski
LoBlondo
Loeb sack
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Luján
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
E.
Lynch
Mack
Maffei
Maloney
Manzullo
Marchant
Markey (CO)
Markey (MA)
Marshall
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (CA)
McCarthy (NY)
McCaul
McClintock
McCollum
McCotter
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McIntyre
McKeon
McMahon
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Melancon
Mica
Michaud

Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Miller, George
Minnick
Mitchell
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Murphy (CT)
Murphy (NY)
Murphy, Patrick
Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Nadler (NY)
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Neugebauer
Norton
Nunes
Nye
Oberstar
Obey
Olson
Oliver
Ortiz
Owens
Pallone
Pascarelli
Pastor (AZ)
Paul
Paulsen
Payne
Perlmutter
Perriello
Peters
Peterson
Petri
Pierluisi
Pingree (ME)
Pitts
Platts
Poe (TX)
Polis (CO)
Pomeroy
Posey
Price (GA)
Price (NC)
Putnam
Quigley
Radanovich
Rahall
Rehberg
Reichert
Reyes
Richardson
Rodriguez
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Ryan (WI)
Sablan
Salazar
Sánchez, Linda
T.

NOT VOTING—17

Barrett (SC)
Bishop (UT)
Carney
Christensen
Cole
Davis (AL)

Doyle
Higgins
Hoekstra
Lee (NY)
Pence
Rangel

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
There are 2 minutes remaining in the vote.

□ 1153

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced
as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 50 OFFERED BY MR. FLAKE
The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished
business is the demand for a recorded
vote on the amendment offered by the
gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE)
on which further proceedings were
postponed and on which the ayes pre-
vailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the
amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amend-
ment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote
has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 5-
minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-
vice, and there were—ayes 419, noes 0,
not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 269]

AYES—419

Ackerman
Aderholt
Adler (NJ)
Akin
Alexander
Altmire
Andrews
Arcuri
Austria
Baca
Bachmann
Bachus
Baird
Baldwin
Barrow
Bartlett
Barton (TX)
Bean
Becerra
Berkley
Berman
Berry
Bigger
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (NY)
Bishop (UT)
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blunt
Bocciari
Boehner
Bonner
Bono Mack
Boozman
Bordallo
Boren
Boswell
Boucher
Boustany
Boyd
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Braley (IA)
Bright
Broun (GA)
Brown (SC)
Brown, Corrine
Brown-Waite,
Ginny
Buchanan
Burgess
Burton (IN)
Butterfield
Buyer
Calvert
Campbell
Cantor
Cao
Capito
Capps
Capuano
Cardoza
Carnahan
Carney
Carson (IN)
Carter
Cassidy

Kissell
Klein (FL)
Kline (MN)
Kosmas
Kratovil
Kucinich
Lamborn
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Linder
Lipinski
LoBlondo
Loeb sack
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Luján
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
E.
Lynch
Mack
Maffei
Maloney
Manzullo
Marchant
Markey (CO)
Markey (MA)
Marshall
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (CA)
McCarthy (NY)
McCaul
McClintock
McCollum
McCotter
McDermott
McGovern
McHenry
McIntyre
McKeon
McMahon
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Melancon
Mica
Michaud
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Miller, George
Minnick
Mitchell
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)

Murphy (CT)
Murphy (NY)
Murphy, Patrick
Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Nadler (NY)
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Neugebauer
Norton
Nunes
Nye
Oberstar
Obey
Olson
Oliver
Ortiz
Owens
Pallone
Pascarelli
Pastor (AZ)
Paul
Paulsen
Payne
Pence
Perlmutter
Perriello
Peters
Peterson
Petri
Pierluisi
Pingree (ME)
Platts
Poe (TX)
Polis (CO)
Pomeroy
Posey
Price (GA)
Price (NC)
Putnam
Quigley
Rahall
Rangel
Rehberg
Reichert
Reyes
Richardson
Rodriguez
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothman (NJ)
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Ryan (WI)
Sablan
Salazar
Sánchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Scalise
Schakowsky
Schauer
Schiff

NOT VOTING—17

Barrett (SC)
Camp
Christensen
Cleaver
Cole
Davis (AL)
Doyle
Higgins
Hoekstra
Lee (NY)
Pitts
Radanovich

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
There are 2 minutes remaining in this
vote.

□ 1201

So the amendment was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced
as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is
on the committee amendment in the
nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the
nature of a substitute, as amended, was
agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PAS-TOR of Arizona) having assumed the chair, Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5116) to invest in innovation through research and development, to improve the competitiveness of the United States, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 1344, she reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. HALL of Texas. I am, in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. HALL of Texas. moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5116 to the Committee on Science and Technology with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Strike page 91, line 9, through page 98, line 4.

Strike page 163, line 3, through page 164, line 11.

Strike page 176, line 15, through page 187, line 13.

Strike page 187, line 14, through page 195, line 11.

Strike page 235, line 15, through page 244, line 1.

Page 245, lines 12 through 24, amend section 702 to read as follows:

SEC. 702. PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.

For the purposes of the activities and programs supported by this Act and the amendments made by this Act—

(1) institutions of higher education chartered to serve large numbers of students with disabilities, including Gallaudet University, Landmark College, and the National Technical Institute for the Deaf, and institutions of higher education offering science, technology, engineering, and mathematics research and education activities and programs available to veterans with disabilities, shall receive special consideration and have a designation consistent with the designation for other institutions that serve populations underrepresented in STEM to ensure that institutions of higher education chartered to serve or serving persons with disabilities benefit from such research and education activities and programs; and

(2) agencies for which appropriations are authorized by this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall also conduct outreach to veterans with disabilities pursuing studies in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics to ensure that such veterans are aware of and benefit from the research and education activities and programs authorized by this Act.

At the end of the bill, insert the following new sections:

SEC. 704. NO SALARIES FOR VIEWING PORNOGRAPHY.

None of the funds authorized under this Act may be used to pay the salary of any individual who has been officially disciplined for violations of subpart G of the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch for viewing, downloading, or exchanging pornography, including child pornography, on a Federal Government computer or while performing official Federal Government duties.

SEC. 705. INELIGIBILITY FOR AWARDS OR GRANTS.

None of the funds authorized under this Act shall be available to make awards to or provide grants for an institution of higher education under this Act if that institution is prevented from receiving funds for contracts or grants for education under section 983 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 706. ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS.

Notwithstanding sections 212, 402, 611, and 622, in any year following a year in which there is a Federal budget deficit the authorization levels in those sections and the amendments made by those sections shall be in the amount specified as follows:

(1) ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Foundation \$6,872,510,400 for each of the fiscal years 2011 through 2013.

(B) SPECIFIC ALLOCATIONS.—Of the amount authorized under subparagraph (A) for each fiscal year—

(i) \$5,563,920,400 shall be made available for research and related activities;

(ii) \$872,760,000 shall be made available for education and human resources;

(iii) \$117,290,000 shall be made available for major research equipment and facilities construction;

(iv) \$300,000,000 shall be made available for agency operations and award management;

(v) \$4,540,000 shall be made available for the Office of the National Science Board; and

(vi) \$14,000,000 shall be made available for the Office of Inspector General.

(2) ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Commerce \$839,300,000 for the National Institute of Standards and Technology for each of the fiscal years 2011 through 2013.

(B) SPECIFIC ALLOCATIONS.—Of the amount authorized under subparagraph (A) for each fiscal year—

(i) \$515,000,000 shall be authorized for scientific and technical research and services laboratory activities;

(ii) \$120,000,000 shall be authorized for the construction and maintenance of facilities; and

(iii) \$204,300,000 shall be authorized for industrial technology services activities, of which—

(I) \$70,000,000 shall be authorized for the Technology Innovation Program under section 28 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278n);

(II) \$124,700,000 shall be authorized for the Manufacturing Extension Partnership pro-

gram under sections 25 and 26 of such Act (15 U.S.C. 278k and 278l); and

(III) \$9,600,000 shall be authorized for the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award program under section 17 of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3711a).

(3) ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary for the activities of the Office of Science \$4,904,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2011 through 2013, of which for each fiscal year—

(A) \$1,637,000,000 shall be for Basic Energy Sciences activities under section 604;

(B) \$604,000,000 shall be for Biological and Environmental Research activities under section 605; and

(C) \$394,000,000 shall be for Advanced Scientific Computing Research activities under section 606.

(4) ALTERNATIVE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR ARPA-E.—No funds are authorized to be appropriated to the Director of ARPA-E for deposit into the Fund for fiscal years 2011 through 2013.

Mr. HALL of Texas (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading of the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

The Clerk will read.

The Clerk continued to read.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I reserve a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The point of order is reserved.

The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a few points about the motion to recommit before I hand it over to the gentlewoman from Kansas.

The motion to recommit addresses the biggest concern I, and many of the Members on this side of the aisle, have with the legislation, which is the excessive spending. It will address this issue by reducing the authorization to 3 years instead of 5, striking the new programs in the bill, and reducing the spending down to the fiscal year 2010 appropriated levels. It also would prohibit Federal funds from being used by Federal employees to view, download, or exchange pornography, including child pornography. Additionally, it will ensure that the institutions that we're giving Federal funding to through this act will repay the Federal Government by allowing the military onto their campuses for recruitment.

Finally, the motion to recommit will invest in an issue that's very dear to our hearts, our Nation's disabled veterans. This motion would ensure that our colleges and universities that make STEM programs available to our disabled veterans and those schools chartered to serve disabled veterans receive the same special consideration afforded to other schools serving the underrepresented populations.

A much broader version of this language was unanimously accepted at the

committee level. A very watered down version that does not stand the chance of helping a single veteran is included in the manager's amendment. And this compromise language filed at Rules was not made in order for consideration.

I cannot for the life of me understand why there's a resistance to assisting the Nation's disabled veterans. Of the 3.1 million disabled veterans in this country, over 50,000 are currently training to receive undergraduate degrees and an additional 2,800 participate in graduate school programs. The schools serving these men and women deserve the same consideration as those assisting other underrepresented populations. But there's not one school in the Nation that would meet the standards created by the language in the manager's amendment.

□ 1215

I don't buy the argument that this special consideration will open a floodgate of eligible schools, providing no guarantee that the disabled veterans will actually benefit from the funding. There are already several hundred well-known and -respected schools that qualify for special consideration under a variety of statutes for underrepresented populations with no guarantee that a particular grant would benefit a designated group. Why shouldn't those schools helping our disabled veterans have the same consideration?

Frankly, it should not matter how many disabled veterans a school enrolls. These fine young men and women, who every one of us will see over Memorial Day, have made tremendous personal sacrifices for us. The Speaker rightfully has us bow our heads in silence once a month to honor them. We should also be lifting our chins and our praise and our gratitude to those who cross foreign borders to ensure that everyone within our own are free. This is but a small way we can show our appreciation not only to them, but to the schools that are reaching out to them.

Now I yield to Ms. JENKINS.

Ms. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, this motion to recommit is concerning to me, and I encourage a "yes" vote.

I would just like to highlight one provision because there has been a great deal of press lately about the misuse of government computers and the waste of time and taxpayer dollars by Federal employees at the Securities and Exchange Commission who are spending as much as 8 hours a day viewing pornography on government computers. However, this problem is not limited just to the SEC. The Inspector General at the National Science Foundation, which is authorized by this Act, found similar problems there. So what happened to these employees? According to the Inspector General, and I quote, NSF issued a formal proposal followed by a decision suspending them for 10 calendar days without pay. Ten days' suspension, unacceptable. Taxpayers deserve better.

This motion to recommit is simple. If you're a government employee, and you are disciplined for viewing, downloading, or e-mailing pornography, including child pornography, on government computers or during work hours, you will no longer be paid. You will be fired. If you think a couple of days of suspension, a reprimand, a transfer is the right response when someone uses government computers to spread pornography, then vote against this motion. But if you think spreading pornography with a government computer is an act that should lead to dismissal, then vote for this motion. I urge a vote for this motion.

Mr. HALL of Texas. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. I withdraw my point of order and rise in opposition to this motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, let me also take just a moment to thank the minority and majority members of the Science and Technology Committee for the many hours they've put in to making this bill a very good bipartisan bill. And also I want to thank the staff members who have put in even more hours to making this good bill.

Now let me take just a moment to tell you why this is an important and a good bipartisan bill. There are 6.5 billion people in the world. Half of those that are working make less than \$2 a day. Now, if we try to compete in a global economy on that type of labor, then you're going to see your kids and grandkids wind up with a national standard of living less than their parents. So we can't win in terms of wages. We have to win by having a higher technological base here.

In the last few years, you've seen that the public sector dollars have been stagnant in terms of our investment in research and development. And on the private sector level, they've actually gone down. Why does this matter? Because the rest of the world is increasing their investments in research and development, and the importance to us here in this country is that 50 percent of the growth in the GDP in our Nation since World War II has been a result of research and development. But we have to have more than just R&D. We have to have a workforce that can work at that higher level, and that's what this bill does also. There's a great STEM educational piece that will help not just Ph.D.s, but it will help those high school graduates, junior college graduates, and college graduates to work that higher level.

So what does all this mean? There's a cycle. The cycle is that you invest in R&D. R&D gives you innovation. Innovation gives you jobs, which creates the type of standard of living and revenue that allows us to reduce the deficit as well as to continue the R&D again.

Another important part of this bill is the energy independence. Right now we

have to reduce our dependence on our foreign oil for our economic as well as our national defense. And I don't want to trade our dependency on foreign oil to foreign technology.

Now let me get to some of the criticisms of this bill. We said, Well, it's a pretty good bill. As a matter of fact, it's a very good bill, except that it costs too much. Well, let me remind you that in 2007, 367 Members of this body voted for the original authorization. In the other body, there were 69 cosponsors of the original authorization, and it passed unanimously. But we recognize these are difficult economic times, and so we made some changes. This bill has been cut by 10.3 percent from the bill that you voted for in 2007. That is \$9.6 billion. Now tell me, what authorization has been cut by over 10 percent? This is the only one.

Mr. HALL has very good concerns about our veterans, and every day when we see him, we see him as an example of those World War II veterans. So language was put in the bill both for scholarships for individual veterans and also for those institutions. Let me read this to you. For the purposes of the activities and programs supported by this Act and the amendments made in this Act, institutions of higher education offering STEM research education offering activities and programs that serve veterans with disabilities shall receive special consideration and review. And on and on. So we have taken care of that.

Now let's get down to the heart of it. And quite frankly, it saddens me to have to go into this. I mean, it saddens me that when we look at our kids—I have a 9-year-old daughter, and what about her future? What about your family's future? Oh, we're going to hide behind this little bit. We're going to gut this bill for this little bit. A few days ago there were some NSF employees who were patching pornography. Of course that was bad, and they were disciplined. Throughout the whole executive offices, there is filtering on that now. Nobody seriously thinks that we don't want to deal with pornography here. For God's sake. And when it gets to the conference, we'll take care of that even more.

Everybody raise your hand that's for pornography. Come on, raise your hand. Nobody? Nobody is for pornography? Well, I'm shocked. So I guess we need this little bitty provision that means nothing; that's going to gut the entire bill. This is an embarrassment, and if you vote for this, you should be embarrassed.

I yield back the balance of my time.
ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair reminds all Members not to traffic the well while another Member is under recognition. All Members will address their remarks to the Chair.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by a 5-minute vote on passage of H.R. 5116, if ordered, and motions to suspend the rules with regard to House Resolution 1338 and House Resolution 1337.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 292, noes 126, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 270]

AYES—292

Aderholt	Davis (CA)	Kind
Adler (NJ)	Davis (KY)	King (IA)
Akin	Davis (TN)	King (NY)
Alexander	DeFazio	Kingston
Altmire	Dent	Kirk
Arcuri	Deutch	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Austria	Diaz-Balart, L.	Kissell
Baca	Diaz-Balart, M.	Klein (FL)
Bachmann	Dicks	Kline (MN)
Bachus	Doggett	Kosmas
Barrow	Donnelly (IN)	Kratovil
Bartlett	Dreier	Lamborn
Barton (TX)	Driehaus	Lance
Bean	Duncan	Langevin
Biggert	Edwards (TX)	Larsen (WA)
Bilbray	Ellsworth	Latham
Billirakis	Emerson	LaTourrette
Bishop (GA)	Etheridge	Latta
Bishop (NY)	Fallin	Lewis (CA)
Bishop (UT)	Fattah	Linder
Blackburn	Flake	Lipinski
Blunt	Fleming	LoBiondo
Bocieri	Forbes	Loeb sack
Boehner	Fortenberry	Lucas
Bonner	Foster	Luetkemeyer
Bono Mack	Fox	Lummis
Boozman	Franks (AZ)	Lungren, Daniel E.
Boren	Frelinghuysen	Lynch
Boswell	Gallely	Mack
Boucher	Garamendi	Maffei
Boustany	Garrett (NJ)	Maloney
Boyd	Gerlach	Manzullo
Brady (PA)	Giffords	Marchant
Brady (TX)	Gingrey (GA)	Markley (CO)
Braley (IA)	Gohmert	Marshall
Bright	Goodlatte	Matheson
Broun (GA)	Granger	McCarthy (CA)
Brown (SC)	Graves	McCarthy (NY)
Brown-Waite,	Griffith	McCaul
Ginny	Guthrie	McClintock
Buchanan	Gutierrez	McCotter
Burgess	Hall (TX)	McHenry
Burton (IN)	Halvorson	McIntyre
Buyer	Hare	McKeon
Calvert	Harper	McMahon
Camp	Hastings (WA)	McMorris
Campbell	Heinrich	Rodgers
Cantor	Heller	McNerney
Cao	Hensarling	Meek (FL)
Capito	Hergert	Mica
Carnahan	Herseth Sandlin	Miller (FL)
Carney	Hill	Miller (MI)
Carter	Himes	Miller, Gary
Cassidy	Hinojosa	Minnick
Castle	Hodes	Mitchell
Chaffetz	Holden	Mollohan
Chandler	Hunter	Moore (KS)
Childers	Inglis	Moran (KS)
Coble	Israel	Murphy (NY)
Coffman (CO)	Issa	Murphy, Patrick
Conaway	Jenkins	Murphy, Tim
Connolly (VA)	Johnson (IL)	Myrick
Costa	Johnson, Sam	Neal (MA)
Costello	Jones	Neugebauer
Courtney	Jordan (OH)	Nunes
Crenshaw	Kagen	Nye
Crowley	Kanjorski	Olson
Cuellar	Kaptur	Owens
Culberson	Kildee	Pastor (AZ)
Dahlkemper	Kilroy	

Paul	Royce
Paulsen	Ruppersberger
Pence	Ryan (WI)
Perriello	Salazar
Peters	Sanchez, Loretta
Peterson	Scalise
Petri	Schauer
Pitts	Schiff
Platts	Schmidt
Poe (TX)	Schock
Pomeroy	Schrader
Posey	Schwartz
Price (GA)	Sensenbrenner
Putnam	Serrano
Radanovich	Sessions
Rahall	Sestak
Rehberg	Shadegg
Reichert	Shea-Porter
Richardson	Shimkus
Rodriguez	Shuler
Roe (TN)	Shuster
Rogers (AL)	Simpson
Rogers (KY)	Skelton
Rogers (MI)	Smith (NE)
Rohrabacher	Smith (NJ)
Rooney	Smith (TX)
Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (WA)
Roskam	Souder
Ross	Space

NOES—126

Ackerman	Green, Gene	Ortiz
Andrews	Grijalva	Pallone
Baird	Hall (NY)	Pascarell
Baldwin	Harman	Payne
Becerra	Hastings (FL)	Perlmuter
Berkley	Hinche	Pingree (ME)
Berman	Hirono	Polis (CO)
Berry	Holt	Price (NC)
Blumenauer	Honda	Quigley
Brown, Corrine	Hoyer	Rangel
Butterfield	Inslee	Reyes
Capps	Jackson (IL)	Rothman (NJ)
Capuano	Jackson Lee	Roybal-Allard
Cardoza	(TX)	Ryan (OH)
Carson (IN)	Johnson (GA)	Sanchez, Linda T.
Castor (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Sarbanes
Chu	Kennedy	Schakowsky
Clarke	Kilpatrick (MI)	Scott (GA)
Clay	Kucinich	Scott (VA)
Cleaver	Larson (CT)	Sherman
Clyburn	Lee (CA)	Sires
Cohen	Levin	Snyder
Conyers	Lewis (GA)	Speier
Cooper	Lofgren, Zoe	Stark
Cummings	Lowey	Stupak
Davis (IL)	Lujan	Thompson (CA)
DeGette	Markley (MA)	Thompson (MS)
Delahunt	Matsui	Tierney
DeLauro	McCollum	Towns
Dingell	McDermott	Tsongas
Edwards (MD)	McGovern	Van Hollen
Ehlers	Meeks (NY)	Velázquez
Ellison	Michaud	Wasserman
Engel	Miller (NC)	Schultz
Eshoo	Miller, George	Waters
Farr	Moore (WI)	Watson
Filner	Moran (VA)	Watt
Frank (MA)	Murphy (CT)	Waxman
Fudge	Nadler (NY)	Welch
Gonzalez	Napolitano	Wilson (OH)
Gordon (TN)	Oberstar	Woolsey
Grayson	Obey	Yarmuth
Green, Al	Oliver	

NOT VOTING—12

Barrett (SC)	Higgins	Rush
Cole	Hoekstra	Slaughter
Davis (AL)	Lee (NY)	Teague
Doyle	Melancon	Wamp

□ 1256

Messrs. LEVIN, COHEN, FARR, TOWNS, GEORGE MILLER of California and Ms. DELAULO changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Messrs. WEINER, BISHOP of New York, COSTA, SCHIFF, LARSEN of Washington, SMITH of Washington, ISRAEL, SERRANO, SESTAK, TANNER, KANJORSKI, MEEK of Florida, FATTAH, GUTIERREZ, BRALEY of Iowa, PETERSON of Minnesota, HEINRICH, KAGEN, PASTOR of Arizona, BOYD, CUELLAR, WALLZ, LYNCH, HILL, MATHESON, POM-

EROY, DEFAZIO, KILDEE, CHANDLER, NEAL, LIPINSKI, EDWARDS of Texas, HINOJOSA, COURTNEY, MURPHY of New York, ETHERIDGE, VISCLOSKEY, KIND, COSTELLO, RODRIGUEZ, CONNOLLY of Virginia, RUPPERSBERGER, WU, ARCURI, DEUTCH, GARAMENDI, BRADY of Pennsylvania, SPRATT, CARNAHAN, CROWLEY, LANGEVIN, TONKO, MOORE of Kansas, DICKS, BACA, HARE, LOEBSACK, SALAZAR, BISHOP of Georgia, DOGGETT, Mrs. HALVORSON, Ms. MARKEY of Colorado, Mrs. EMERSON, Ms. SUTTON, Mrs. MALONEY, Ms. SCHWARTZ, Ms. KAPTUR, Mrs. DAHLKEMPER, Ms. BEAN, Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California and Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the motion to recommit was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further proceedings on H.R. 5116 are postponed.

RECOGNIZING THE SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF AMERICAN CORPS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1338, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1338.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 280, nays 128, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 271]

YEAS—280

Ackerman	Brown, Corrine	Cummings
Aderholt	Buchanan	Dahlkemper
Adler (NJ)	Butterfield	Davis (CA)
Altmire	Cao	Davis (IL)
Andrews	Capito	Davis (TN)
Arcuri	Capps	DeFazio
Baca	Capuano	DeGette
Baird	Cardoza	Delahunt
Baldwin	Carnahan	DeLauro
Barrow	Carney	Dent
Barton (TX)	Carson (IN)	Deutch
Bean	Cassidy	Diaz-Balart, L.
Becerra	Castle	Diaz-Balart, M.
Berkley	Castor (FL)	Dicks
Berman	Chandler	Dingell
Berry	Childers	Doggett
Biggert	Chu	Donnelly (IN)
Bilbray	Clarke	Driehaus
Bishop (GA)	Clay	Edwards (MD)
Bishop (NY)	Cleaver	Edwards (TX)
Blumenauer	Clyburn	Ehlers
Bocieri	Cohen	Ellison
Boren	Connolly (VA)	Ellsworth
Boswell	Conyers	Engel
Boucher	Cooper	Eshoo
Boustany	Costa	Etheridge
Boyd	Costello	Farr
Brady (PA)	Courtney	Fattah
Bright	Crowley	Filner