travel around the country, I am always proud to talk to fellow citizens about my district in central Pennsylvania, Carlisle. Pennsylvania. including where the United States Army War College is located, and certainly my hometown of York, where the Continental Congress met for 9 months in 1777, and where the Articles of Confederation were adopted. No town, however, that I mentioned gets quite the reaction as Gettysburg. Not only did Gettysburg host the battle that marked the turning point of the Civil War in 1863, but it is also where President Lincoln gave one of the most historic addresses in our Nation's history.

H.R. 4395 would expand the boundaries of the Gettysburg National Military Park to include the historic Lincoln Train Station, as well as a 45-acre plot of land at the southern base of Big Round Top, in order to ensure preservation of these properties for generations to come. Both pieces of land are historically significant.

The Lincoln Train Station served as a hospital during the time of the 1863 battle and was the departure point for many wounded and deceased soldiers as they were returned to their homes. The station is also where President Lincoln arrived when he visited Gettysburg to give his historic Gettysburg Address in November 1863

The 1858 structure is listed on the National Register of Historic Places and is currently owned by the Borough of Gettysburg. The Borough uses the station currently as a visitor's center. However, due to the lack of funding and available volunteers, it is unable to keep the center open on a regularly scheduled basis. The Borough of Gettysburg supports this legislation and wishes for the National Park Service to acquire this historic parcel and, as was referenced, be truly restored to its original beauty so it can be an added destination point for so many visitors to Gettysburg, Pennsylvania.

The 45-acre parcel of land at the base of Big Round Top hosted cavalry skirmishes in July 1863 as part of the battle and currently contains critical wetlands and wildlife habitat associated with Plum Run. The Gettysburg Foundation currently owns this piece of land and would like to donate it "fee title interest" to the National Park Service once it is added to the park's boundary.

As we all certainly appreciate, the National Park Service is tasked with preserving and maintaining a huge number of very important parks, over 400, I believe.

Like all Federal agencies, the National Park Service works within a constrained budget to allocate resources efficiently and effectively. I am sensitive to the current obligations of the NPS and believe that we should expand these commitments with thoughtfulness and without haste. I strongly believe that these two additions proposed by this legislation are truly historic in nature and would add great

value to the park's already impressive resources. With that, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Madam Speaker, if the gentlelady from Guam has no further speakers, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. BORDALLO. Madam Speaker, I again urge members to support the bill, and I wish to thank my colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK), for managing the bill with me this afternoon.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Guam (Ms. BORDALLO) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4395, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. BORDALLO. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and navs.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 50 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1645

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker protempore (Mr. Jackson of Illinois) at 4 o'clock and 45 minutes p.m.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3644, OCEAN, COASTAL, AND WATERSHED EDUCATION ACT AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1612, PUBLIC LANDS SERVICE CORPS ACT OF 2009

Mr. McGOVERN, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 111-445) on the resolution (H. Res. 1192) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3644) to direct the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration to establish education and watershed programs which advance environmental literacy, including preparedness and adaptability for the likely impacts of climate change in coastal watershed regions and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1612) to amend the Public Lands Corps Act of 1993 to expand the authorization of the Secretaries of Agriculture, Commerce, and the Interior to provide service-learning opportunities on public lands, help restore the Nation's natural, cultural, historic, archaeological, recreational, and scenic resources, train a new generation of public land managers and enthusiasts, and promote the value of public service, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

RAISING A QUESTION OF THE PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of the privileges of the House and offer the resolution previously noticed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 1193

Whereas, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct initiated an investigation into allegations related to earmarks and campaign contributions in the Spring of 2009.

Whereas, on December 2, 2009, reports and findings in seven separate matters involving the alleged connection between earmarks and campaign contributions were forwarded by the Office of Congressional Ethics to the Standards Committee.

Whereas, on February 26, 2010, the Standards Committee made public its report on the matter wherein the Committee found, though a widespread perception exists among corporations and lobbyists that campaign contributions provide a greater chance of obtaining earmarks, there was no evidence that Members or their staff considered contributions when requesting earmarks.

Whereas, the Committee indicated that, with respect to the matters forwarded by the Office of Congressional Ethics, neither the evidence cited in the OCE's findings nor the evidence in the record before the Standards Committee provided a substantial reason to believe that violations of applicable standards of conduct occurred.

Whereas, the Office of Congressional Ethics is prohibited from reviewing activities taking place prior to March of 2008 and lacks the authority to subpoena witnesses and documents.

Whereas, for example, the Office of Congressional Ethics noted that in some instances documents were redacted or specific information was not provided and that, in at least one instance, they had reason to believe a witness withheld information requested and did not identify what was being withheld.

Whereas, the Office of Congressional Ethics also noted that they were able to interview only six former employees of the PMA Group, with many former employees refusing to consent to interviews and the OCE unable to obtain evidence within PMA's possession.

Whereas, Roll Call noted that "the committee report was five pages long and included no documentation of any evidence collected or any interviews conducted by the committee, beyond a statement that the investigation "included extensive document reviews and interviews with numerous witnesses." (Roll Call, March 8, 2010)

Whereas, it is unclear whether the Standards Committee included in their investigation any activities that occurred prior to 2008

Whereas, it is unclear whether the Standards Committee interviewed any Members in the course of their investigation

Whereas, it is unclear whether the Standards Committee, in the course of their investigation, initiated their own subpoenas or

Simpson

Walden

followed the Office of Congressional Ethics recommendations to issue subpoenas. There-

Resolved, That not later than seven days after the adoption of this resolution, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct shall report to the House of Representatives, with respect to the activities addressed in its report of February 26, 2010, (1) how many witnesses were interviewed, (2) how many, if any, subpoenas were issued in the course of their investigation, and (3) what documents were reviewed and their availability for public review.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution qualifies.

MOTION TO REFER THE RESOLUTION

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, move that the resolution be referred to the Committee on Standards of Official

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, this is a matter that properly belongs before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.

I vield back the balance of my time and move the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to refer.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15minute vote on the motion to refer will be followed by 5-minute votes on motions to suspend the rules with regard to H.R. 3542, H.R. 3509, and House Resolution 1173.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 397, nays 0, answered "present" 12, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 131] YEAS-397

Adler (NJ) Boozman Akin Alexander Boren Altmire Boswell 8 1 Boucher Andrews Arcuri Boustany Austria Boyd Baca Bachmann Bachus Bright Baird Baldwin Barrow Bartlett Barton (TX)

Aderholt

Bean

Becerra

Berkley

Berman

Biggert

Bilbray

Blunt

Boccieri

Bilirakis

Bishop (GA)

Blackburn

Blumenauer

Berry

Boehner Carney Bono Mack Carson (IN) Carter Cassidy Castle Chaffetz Childers Chu Brady (PA) Clarke Brady (TX) Clay Braley (IA) Cleaver Clyburn Broun (GA) Coble Coffman (CO) Brown (SC) Brown, Corrine Cohen Brown-Waite, Cole Connolly (VA) Ginny Buchanan Conyers Burton (IN) Cooper Buver Costa. Costello Calvert Camp Campbell Courtney Crenshaw Cantor Crowley Capito Cuellar Culberson Capps Capuano Dahlkemper Cardoza Davis (AL) Carnahan Davis (CA)

Davis (IL) Kind Davis (KY) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt Kirk DeLauro Diaz-Balart, M. Kissell Dicks Dingell KratovilDoggett Donnelly (IN) Dovle Dreier Lance Driehaus Duncan Edwards (MD) Edwards (TX) Ehlers Latta Ellison Ellsworth Lee (NY) Emerson Levin Engel Eshoo Etheridge Linder Lipinski Fallin Farr Fattah Filner Lowey Flake Lucas Fleming Forbes Luján Fortenberry Lummis Foster Foxx Frank (MA) Lynch Franks (AZ) Mack Frelinghuvsen Maffei Fudge Gallegly Garamendi Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Giffords Gingrey (GA) Matsui Gohmert Gonzalez Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Granger Graves Grayson Green, Al Green, Gene Griffith Guthrie McKeon Gutierrez Hall (NY Hall (TX) Halvorson Hare Harman Hastings (FL) Heinrich Mica Michaud Heller Hensarling Herger Herseth Sandlin Higgins Hill Minnick Himes Hinchey Mitchell Hinojosa Hirono Hodes Holden Holt Honda

Hoyer

Hunter

Inglis

Inslee

Israel

Jackson (IL)

Jackson Lee

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (IL)

Johnson, E. B

Johnson, Sam

Jordan (OH)

Kilpatrick (MI)

Kanjorski

Kennedy

Kildee

Kilroy

(TX)

Jenkins

Jones

Kagen

Issa

Myrick

Nunes

Nve

Obey

Olson

Olver

 ${\rm Ortiz}$

Owens

Paul

Pallone

Pascrell

Paulsen

Payne

Pastor (AZ)

Turner

Upton

Walz

Wamp

Van Hollen

Velázquez

Visclosky

Ε.

Pence King (IA) Perlmutter King (NY) Perriello Kingston Peters Peterson Kirkpatrick (AZ) Petri Pingree (ME) Klein (FL) Pitts Kline (MN) Platts Poe (TX) Polis (CO) Kucinich Lamborn Pomeroy Posey Price (GA) Langevin Larsen (WA) Price (NC) Larson (CT) Putnam LaTourette Quiglev Rahall Lee (CA) Rangel Rehberg Reichert Lewis (CA) Reyes Richardson Lewis (GA) Rodriguez Roe (TN) LoBiondo Rogers (AL) Loebsack Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Luetkemeyer Roonev Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Lungren, Daniel Ross Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Royce Ruppersberger Maloney Ryan (OH) Manzullo Rvan (WI) Marchant Salazar Sanchez, Loretta Markey (CO) Markey (MA) Sarbanes Marshall Scalise Matheson Schakowsky Schauer McCarthy (CA) Schiff McCarthy (NY) Schmidt McClintock Schock McCollum Schrader McCotterSchwartz McDermott Scott (GA) McGovern Scott (VA) McHenry Sensenbrenner McIntyre Serrano Sessions Sestak McMahon McMorris Shadegg Rodgers Shea-Porter McNerney Sherman Meek (FL) Shimkus Meeks (NY) Shuler Melancon Shuster Sires Skelton Miller (FL) Slaughter Miller (MI) Smith (NE) Miller (NC) Smith (NJ) Miller, Gary Smith (TX) Miller, George Smith (WA) Snyder Souder Mollohan Space Moore (KS) Speier Moore (WI) Spratt Moran (KS) Stearns Moran (VA) Stupak Murphy (CT) Sutton Murphy (NY) Tanner Murphy, Patrick Taylor Murphy, Tim Teague Terry Nadler (NY) Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Napolitano Neal (MA) Thompson (PA) Neugebauer Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Oberstar Tierney Titus Tonko Towns Tsongas

Wolf Wasserman Weiner Schultz Welch Woolsey Waters Whitfield Wu Watson Wilson (OH) Yarmuth Young (AK) Wilson (SC) Watt Waxman Wittman Young (FL) ANSWERED "PRESENT"-12 Bonner Conaway Latham Butterfield McCaul Dent

Castor (FL)

Chandler

Diaz-Balart, L. NOT VOTING-21

Harper

Ackerman Deal (GA) Rush Barrett (SC) Grijalva Sánchez, Linda Bishop (NY) Hastings (WA) Т. Bishop (UT) Hoekstra Stark Burgess Kaptur Sullivan Cao Kosmas Westmoreland Cummings Lofgren, Zoe

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1717

Mr. JORDAN of Ohio changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

WALDEN and LATHAM Messrs. changed their vote from "yea" to "present."

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RAISING A QUESTION OF THE PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 2(a)(1) of rule IX, I hereby notify the House of my intention to offer a resolution as a question of the privileges of the House.

The form of my resolution is as fol-

Whereas at least three members of the House Democratic Leadership have endorsed a procedural tactic for the sole purpose of avoiding an up-or-down vote, by the yeas and nays, on the Senate-passed health care bill;

Whereas on Tuesday, March 16, 2010 Representative James Clyburn, the House Majority Whip, stated, "We will deem passed the Senate bill. . .

Whereas on Tuesday, March 16, The Washington Post reported, "After laying the groundwork for a decisive vote this week on the Senate's health-care bill. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi suggested Monday that she might attempt to pass the measure without having members vote on it. Instead, Pelosi (D-Calif.) would rely on a procedural sleight of hand. . .

Whereas in the same Washington Post article, the Speaker declared, ". . . I like it because people don't have to vote on the Senate bill.":

Whereas on Tuesday, March 16, McClatchy Newspapers reported Representative John Larson, chairman of the House Democratic Caucus, stated, "Many of our members would prefer not to have voted for the Senate bill.''

Whereas on Tuesday, March 9, U.S. News and World Report reported, "Pelosi gaffed, telling the local elected officials assembled 'that Congress [has] to pass the bill so you can find out what's in it, away from the fog of controversy.";

Whereas on Tuesday, March 16, The Washington Post editorialized, ". . . what is intended as a final sprint threatens to turn