

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Such term shall not include any amount paid or incurred in connection with—

“(I) influencing legislation,

“(II) participation in, or intervention in, any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office,

“(III) any attempt to influence the general public, or segments thereof, with respect to elections, legislative matters, or referendums, or

“(IV) any direct communication with a covered executive branch official in an attempt to influence the official actions or positions of such official.

“(ii) EXCEPTION FOR LOCAL LEGISLATION.—In the case of any legislation of any local council or similar governing body—

“(I) clause (i)(I) shall not apply, and

“(II) such term shall include all ordinary and necessary expenses (including, but not limited to, traveling expenses described in subparagraph (A)(iii) and the cost of preparing testimony) paid or incurred during the taxable year in carrying on any trade or business—

“(aa) in direct connection with appearances before, submission of statements to, or sending communications to the committees, or individual members, of such council or body with respect to legislation or proposed legislation of direct interest to the taxpayer, or

“(bb) in direct connection with communication of information between the taxpayer and an organization of which the taxpayer is a member with respect to any such legislation or proposed legislation which is of direct interest to the taxpayer and to such organization, and that portion of the dues so paid or incurred with respect to any organization of which the taxpayer is a member which is attributable to the expenses of the activities carried on by such organization.

“(iii) APPLICATION TO DUES OF TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.—Such term shall include the portion of dues or other similar amounts paid by the taxpayer to an organization which is exempt from tax under this subtitle which the organization notifies the taxpayer under section 6033(e)(1)(A)(ii) is allocable to expenditures to which clause (i) applies.

“(iv) INFLUENCING LEGISLATION.—For purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘influencing legislation’ means any attempt to influence any legislation through communication with any member or employee of a legislative body, or with any government official or employee who may participate in the formulation of legislation.

“(II) LEGISLATION.—The term ‘legislation’ has the meaning given that term in section 4911(e)(2).

“(v) OTHER SPECIAL RULES.—

“(I) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN TAXPAYERS.—In the case of any taxpayer engaged in the trade or business of conducting activities described in clause (i), clause (i) shall not apply to expenditures of the taxpayer in conducting such activities directly on behalf of another person (but shall apply to payments by such other person to the taxpayer for conducting such activities).

“(II) DE MINIMIS EXCEPTION.—

“(aa) IN GENERAL.—Clause (i) shall not apply to any in-house expenditures for any taxable year if such expenditures do not exceed \$2,000. In determining whether a taxpayer exceeds the \$2,000 limit, there shall not be taken into account overhead costs otherwise allocable to activities described in subclauses (I) and (IV) of clause (i).

“(bb) IN-HOUSE EXPENDITURES.—For purposes of provision (aa), the term ‘in-house expenditures’ means expenditures described in subclauses (I) and (IV) of clause (i) other than payments by the taxpayer to a person

engaged in the trade or business of conducting activities described in clause (i) for the conduct of such activities on behalf of the taxpayer, or dues or other similar amounts paid or incurred by the taxpayer which are allocable to activities described in clause (i).

“(III) EXPENSES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH LOBBYING AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES.—Any amount paid or incurred for research for, or preparation, planning, or coordination of, any activity described in clause (i) shall be treated as paid or incurred in connection with such activity.

“(vi) COVERED EXECUTIVE BRANCH OFFICIAL.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘covered executive branch official’ means—

“(I) the President,

“(II) the Vice President,

“(III) any officer or employee of the White House Office of the Executive Office of the President, and the 2 most senior level officers of each of the other agencies in such Executive Office, and

“(IV) any individual serving in a position in level I of the Executive Schedule under section 5312 of title 5, United States Code, any other individual designated by the President as having Cabinet level status, and any immediate deputy of such an individual.

“(vii) SPECIAL RULE FOR INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS.—For purposes of this subparagraph, an Indian tribal government shall be treated in the same manner as a local council or similar governing body.

“(viii) CROSS REFERENCE.—

“For reporting requirements and alternative taxes related to this subsection, see section 6033(e).

“(e) CARRYOVER OF EXCESS DEDUCTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the aggregate deductions for any taxable year exceed the gross active income for such taxable year, the amount of the deductions specified in subsection (d) for the succeeding taxable year (determined without regard to this subsection) shall be increased by the sum of—

“(A) such excess, plus

“(B) the product of such excess and the 3-month Treasury rate for the last month of such taxable year.

“(2) 3-MONTH TREASURY RATE.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the 3-month Treasury rate is the rate determined by the Secretary based on the average market yield (during any 1-month period selected by the Secretary and ending in the calendar month in which the determination is made) on outstanding marketable obligations of the United States with remaining periods to maturity of 3 months or less.”

(b) CONFORMING REPEALS AND REDESIGNATIONS.—

(1) REPEALS.—The following subchapters of chapter 1 of subtitle A and the items relating to such subchapters in the table of subchapters for such chapter 1 are repealed:

(A) Subchapter B (relating to computation of taxable income).

(B) Subchapter C (relating to corporate distributions and adjustments).

(C) Subchapter D (relating to deferred compensation, etc.).

(D) Subchapter G (relating to corporations used to avoid income tax on shareholders).

(E) Subchapter H (relating to banking institutions).

(F) Subchapter I (relating to natural resources).

(G) Subchapter J (relating to estates, trusts, beneficiaries, and decedents).

(H) Subchapter L (relating to insurance companies).

(I) Subchapter M (relating to regulated investment companies and real estate investment trusts).

(J) Subchapter N (relating to tax based on income from sources within or without the United States).

(K) Subchapter O (relating to gain or loss on disposition of property).

(L) Subchapter P (relating to capital gains and losses).

(M) Subchapter Q (relating to readjustment of tax between years and special limitations).

(N) Subchapter S (relating to tax treatment of S corporations and their shareholders).

(O) Subchapter T (relating to cooperatives and their patrons).

(P) Subchapter U (relating to designation and treatment of empowerment zones, enterprise communities, and rural development investment areas).

(Q) Subchapter V (relating to title 11 cases).

(R) Subchapter W (relating to District of Columbia Enterprise Zone).

(2) REDESIGNATIONS.—The following subchapters of chapter 1 of subtitle A and the items relating to such subchapters in the table of subchapters for such chapter 1 are redesignated:

(A) Subchapter E (relating to accounting periods and methods of accounting) as subchapter B.

(B) Subchapter F (relating to exempt organizations) as subchapter C.

(C) Subchapter K (relating to partners and partnerships) as subchapter D.

**SEC. 3. REPEAL OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES.**

Subtitle B (relating to estate, gift, and generation-skipping taxes) and the item relating to such subtitle in the table of subtitles is repealed.

**SEC. 4. ADDITIONAL REPEALS.**

Subtitles H (relating to financing of presidential election campaigns) and J (relating to coal industry health benefits) and the items relating to such subtitles in the table of subtitles are repealed.

**SEC. 5. EFFECTIVE DATES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the amendments made by this Act apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

(b) REPEAL OF ESTATE AND GIFT TAXES.—The repeal made by section 3 applies to estates of decedents dying, and transfers made, after December 31, 2009.

(c) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING CHANGES.—The Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall, as soon as practicable but in any event not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, submit to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate a draft of any technical and conforming changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which are necessary to reflect throughout such Code the changes in the substantive provisions of law made by this Act.

#### STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Ms. SNOWE for herself and Mr. NELSON of Florida):

S. 744. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude from an employee's gross income any employer-provided supplemental instructional services assistance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I rise to reintroduce legislation to increase access for our Nation's children to affordable, quality tutoring. The Affordable

Tutoring for Our Children Act would enable middle-class families to purchase supplemental instructional services on a pre-tax basis, ensuring greater utilization of critical educational tools. I would like to thank my good friend, Senator NELSON of Florida, for cosponsoring this bill.

A sound education for every American child is fundamental to the well-being and prosperity of our society, both now and in the future. Yet, as we are all acutely aware, not every child learns at the same pace, nor in the same manner, and some face unique challenges that cannot be overcome simply in a typical classroom setting. Many children require—and greatly benefit from—additional help in academics. Regrettably, our Nation's middle-class families are increasingly unable to afford this essential ancillary support for their children. Indeed, according to education market research company Eduventure, the average amount spent annually by a family on private tutoring for a student is \$1,110.

Unfortunately, given the considerable and ever-increasing financial strains facing middle-class families, with more and more income going to pay for gasoline, health care, groceries, and a multitude of other expenses, tutoring is often out of reach. In fact, according to a 2007 report from Demos and the Institute on Assets & Social Policy at Brandeis University, more than half of middle-class families have no financial assets, or worse, their debts exceeds their assets.

At present, employees may set aside a portion of their earnings to establish a flexible spending account, or FSA, allowing them to pay for qualified medical or dependent care expenses free from income and payroll taxes. Our legislation would permit employees to use their dependent care FSAs to cover supplemental instructional expenses, thereby saving themselves up to 40 percent of their cost. Critically, this bill is targeted to middle-class families, those who most necessitate our assistance. Indeed, only those employees making \$110,000 or less per year would be able to exclude amounts paid for these services from their taxable income. Additionally, supplemental instructional expenses would be subject to a combined \$5,000 cap with other dependent care expenses.

This bill would help more middle-class children to receive extra assistance for a host of subjects ranging from English and mathematics to science, government, and foreign languages. At a time when graduates who attain a bachelor's degree earn roughly 96 percent more than high school graduates, according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census, it is vital that our Nation's children get the help they need to succeed.

With middle-class families feeling the squeeze from every angle, our legislation would provide essential relief for those parents seeking to ensure that their children have the best edu-

cational experience possible. I urge my colleagues to consider the dramatic advantage our children will gain from this crucial bill, and look forward to its passage in a timely manner.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be placed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 744

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the "Affordable Tutoring of Our Children Act".

**SEC. 2. EXCLUSION OF EMPLOYER-PROVIDED SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 129 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to dependent care assistance programs) is amended—

(1) by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "dependent care assistance" each place it appears (except in subsections (d)(4) and (e)(1) thereof), and

(2) by inserting "and supplemental instructional services" after "dependent care services" both places it appears in subsection (a)(2).

(b) SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE.—Section 129(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to definitions and services) is amended by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (9) as paragraphs (3) through (10), respectively, and by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

"(2) SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The term 'supplemental instructional services assistance' means the payment of, or provision of, supplemental instructional services to an employee's dependent (as defined in subsection (a)(1) of section 152, determined without regard to subsection (c)(1)(C) thereof) who—

"(i) has attained the age of 5 but not the age of 19 as of the close of the calendar year in which the taxable year of the employee begins, and

"(ii) has not obtained a high school diploma or been awarded a general education degree.

"(B) SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES.—The term 'supplemental instructional services' means instructional or other academic enrichment services which are—

"(i) in addition to instruction provided during the school day,

"(ii) specifically designed to increase the academic achievement of such dependent,

"(iii) in the core academic studies of English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, social studies, and geography, and

"(iv) provided by a State certified instructor or by a State recognized or privately accredited organization."

(c) NO EXCLUSION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO HIGHLY COMPENSATED EMPLOYEES.—Section 129(a)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to limitation of exclusion) is amended by inserting " , except that no amount may be excluded under paragraph (1) for supplemental instructional services paid or incurred by an employee who is a highly compensated employee (within the meaning of section 414(q))" after "individual)".

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 21(b)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Such term shall not include any amount paid for supplemental instructional services (as defined in section 129(e)(2)(B))."

(2) The second sentence of section 21(c) of such Code is amended by inserting "of dependent care assistance" after "aggregate amount".

(3) Section 6051(a)(9) of such Code is amended by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "dependent care assistance" both places it appears.

(e) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for section 129 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting "AND SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE" after "ASSISTANCE".

(2) The item relating to section 129 in the table of sections for part III of subchapter B of chapter 1 of such Code is amended by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "assistance".

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2009.

By Mr. HATCH:

S. 745. A bill to amend the Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Study and Facilities Act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to participate in the Magna Water District water reuse and groundwater recharge project, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise to speak today regarding a troubling situation facing Magna Water District in Utah. Magna's drinking water is threatened by contamination from an underground plume of perchlorate which is heading towards its wells. The perchlorate is the result of decades of rocket motor production at a Department of Defense site currently operated by Hercules, ATK Launch Systems. In order to address the threat to its water system, the district plans to implement a unique water reuse and groundwater recharge project that would serve to demonstrate a bio-destruction process combining wastewater with a desalination brine stream to destroy the perchlorate. This new technology would give water districts throughout the country a more effective and more economical method of mitigating perchlorate contamination.

The district has already invested a significant amount of its own funds toward the effort, and it is now seeking a 25 percent match from the Federal Government. This funding would preserve the district's crucial water resources while finding an efficient and beneficial use of treated industrial and domestic wastewater. In addition, this funding is vital in order to provide our Nation with a better way to destroy harmful perchlorate plumes that may threaten community water supplies.

As you know, our Nation's clean water supply is a precious asset to our country. In desert places like Utah, the need for the best use of our available water is critical to preserving the limited amounts of clean water available

to us. This water reuse and ground-water recharge technology is crucial to ensure clean drinking water for the citizens of Magna. Not only would this funding benefit the Magna district, but it would provide our Nation with an inexpensive and powerful new tool to clean up contaminated water. This is an investment in our Nation that will be paid back many times over.

I urge my colleagues to lend their support to this important legislation.

By Mrs. BOXER (for herself and Mrs. FEINSTEIN):

S. 748. A bill to redesignate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2777 Logan Avenue in San Diego, California, as the "Cesar E. Chavez Post Office"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, today I join Representative SUSAN DAVIS in commemorating Cesar E. Chavez's 82nd birthday by introducing legislation to name a post office in San Diego, CA, after this extraordinary civil rights activist and union leader.

Today we join millions of people across this Nation in honoring Cesar Chavez's legacy as an educator, environmentalist, and a civil rights leader who was committed to providing fair wages, better working conditions, decent housing, and quality education for all. As an activist, Chavez worked to give a voice to the voiceless, and inspire millions of Americans to stand up and say, "Si, Se Puede!"

As a migrant farm worker in his youth, Cesar E. Chavez learned about the struggles of farm workers including poor wages, poor medical coverage, and poor working conditions. When he returned from serving his country in the Navy during World War II, Chavez began to work to improve this situation, first by organizing for the Community Service Organization coordinating voter-registration drives and battling racial and economic discrimination.

In 1962 Cesar Chavez founded the National Farm Workers Association, later to become the United Farm Workers, the largest farm workers union in the country. Using nonviolent tactics, such as boycotts, pickets, and strikes, Chavez raised awareness about the plight of farm workers. Cesar Chavez's unflinching determination made great strides in championing the rights of farm workers, but the struggle for farm workers continues. This year, thousands of workers across California are preparing to march, and continue the fight for their rights.

Cesar Chavez's life and legacy should serve not only as an example but an inspiration to us all as we work to address the growing inequality in our nation, as well as the challenges faced by America's working families, including poverty, health care, and education.

Fifteen years ago, President Clinton awarded Cesar Chavez the Presidential Medal of Freedom, in recognition of his

great contributions to our Nation. Today we remember his work not only for the U.S., but also for the communities and people of the State of California.

San Diego is a city with a rich cultural heritage, and a history of community organizing and activism that shares its roots with Cesar Chavez's lifelong struggle for justice and equality. Cesar Chavez accomplished a great deal to improve living and working conditions for all people, and I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill to recognize his work and his memory.

By Mr. COCHRAN (for himself, Mr. DODD, Mr. ALEXANDER, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. WICKER, and Mr. CARDIN):

S. 749. A bill to improve and expand geographic literacy among kindergarten through grade 12 students in the United States by improving professional development programs for kindergarten through grade 12 teachers offered through institutions of higher education; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. COCHRAN. Mr. President, today, I am introducing the Teaching Geography is Fundamental Act. I am pleased to be joined by my friend from Connecticut, Mr. DODD. The purpose of this bill is to improve geographic literacy among K-12 students in the U.S. by supporting professional development programs for their teachers that are administered in institutions of higher education and other educational institutions. This bill also assists States in measuring the impact of education in geography.

Former Secretary of State Colin Powell said, "To solve most of the major problems facing our county today—from wiping out terrorism, to minimizing global environmental problems, to eliminating the scourge of AIDS—will require every young person to learn more about other regions, cultures, and languages." We need to do more to ensure that the teachers responsible for the education of our students, from kindergarten through high school graduation, are prepared and trained to teach these critical skills to solve these problems. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act has expressly identified geography as a core academic subject. Yet, when we review No Child Left Behind, geography education is the only subject without a dedicated source of support for educational training and innovation.

This bill prepares students to be good citizens of both our nation and the world. John Fahey, President of the National Geographic Society, stated that "geographic illiteracy impacts our economic well-being, our relationships with other nations and the environment, and isolates us from the world." When students understand their own environment, they can better under-

stand the differences in other places, and the people who live in them. Knowledge of the diverse cultures, environments, and the relationships between states and countries helps our students to understand national and international policies, economies, societies, and political structures on a more global scale.

To expect that Americans will be able to work successfully with the other people in this world, we need to be able to communicate and understand each other. We need to prepare our younger generation for global competition and ensure that they have a strong base of understanding to be able to succeed in the global marketplace.

The 2005 publication, *What Works in Geography*, reported that elementary school geography instruction significantly improves student achievement and proved that the integration of geography into the elementary school curriculum improves student literacy achievement an average of 5 percent. That is the good news. However, the 2006 National Geographic-Roper Global Geographic Literacy Survey shows that 69 percent of elementary school principals report a decrease in the time spent teaching geography, and less than a quarter of our nation's high school students take a geography course in high school. This survey shows that many of our high school graduates lack the basic skills needed to navigate our international economy, policies, and relationships. According to statistics from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 30 percent of the annual U.S. GDP, that is 4.3 trillion dollars, results from international trade. According to the CIA World Factbook of 2005, U.S. workers need geographic knowledge to compete in this global economy. Geographic knowledge is increasingly needed for U.S. businesses in international markets to understand such factors as physical distance, time zones, language differences, and cultural diversity among project teams.

In addition, geospatial technology is an emerging and innovative career available to people with strong geography education. Professionals in geospatial technology are employed in Federal Government agencies, the private sector, and the non-profit sector. These professionals focus on areas such as agriculture, archeology, ecology, land appraisal, and urban planning and development. According to the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, the information gathering necessary to protect critical infrastructure has resulted in an enormous increase in the demand for geospatial skills and jobs. A strong geography education system is a necessity for this industry's continued advancement. The U.S. Department of Labor has identified geospatial technologies as one of the most important high-growth industries, with the market growing at an annual rate of 35 percent. These are high-tech, high-wage jobs in which America can and must compete.

It has been both the private and non-profit sectors working to ensure that the critical skills and knowledge provided by geography education are provided to our schools. Over the last 20 years, the National Geographic Society has awarded more than \$100 million in grants to educators, universities, State geographic alliances, and others for the purposes of advancing and improving the teaching of geography. Their models are successful, and research shows that students who have benefitted from this teaching out-perform other students. In all 50 States, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, there are state geographic alliances and partnerships between higher education and K-12 school systems. Thirty States, including Mississippi and the District of Columbia, are endowed by grants from the National Geographic Society. But these efforts alone are not enough. The bill I am introducing establishes a Federal commitment to enhance the education of our teachers, focuses on geography education research, and develops reliable, advanced technology-based classroom resources. A 5 year, \$15,000,000 grant program would be created under the bill to achieve these objectives.

In my State of Mississippi, teachers and university professors are making progress to increase geography education in the schools through additional professional training. To date, there are 555 members of the Mississippi Geographic Alliance who teach geography. Last year, the Mississippi Geographic Alliance conducted a statewide workshop titled Introductory World Geography to help prepare teachers to meet the State's new graduation requirement in geography. The Alliance conducted two, week-long residential summer institutes that provided grade-specific geography content and teaching strategies; provided a field-based local Mississippi geography workshop; and conducted two workshops that introduce pre-service teachers to the scope of modern geography and effective geography teaching strategies.

I hope the Senate will consider the serious need to invest in geography, and I invite other Senators to cosponsor the Teaching Geography is Fundamental Act.

By Mrs. BOXER (for herself and Ms. COLLINS):

S. 750. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to attract and retain trained health care professionals and direct care workers dedicated to providing quality care to the growing population of older Americans; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, the need for health care reform is undeniable and we must undertake comprehensive efforts to provide quality care for our Nation's diverse populations, particularly older Americans. Our aging population is expected to almost double in

number, from 37 million people today to about 72 million by 2030. If we fail to prepare, our Nation will face a crisis in providing care to these older Americans. We must start now if we are going to adequately train the health care workforce to meet the needs of an aging America.

Health care providers with the necessary training to give older Americans the best care are in critically short supply. In its landmark report, Retooling for an Aging America, the Institute of Medicine concluded that action must be taken immediately to address the severe workforce shortages in the care of older adults.

According to the Institute of Medicine, only about 7,100 U.S. physicians are certified geriatricians today; 36,000 are needed by 2030. Just 4 percent of social workers and only 3 percent of advance practice nurses specialize in geriatrics. Recruitment and retention of direct care workers is also a looming crisis due to low wages and few benefits, lack of career advancement, and inadequate training.

Preparing our workforce for the job of caring for older Americans is an essential part of ensuring the future health of our nation. Right now, there is a critical shortage of health care providers with the necessary training and skills to provide our seniors with the best possible care. This is a tremendously important issue for American families who are concerned about quality of care and quality of life for their older relatives and friends.

It is clear that there is a need for federal action to address these issues, and that is why Senator COLLINS and I are introducing the Caring for an Aging America Act. This legislation would help attract and retain trained health care professionals and direct care workers dedicated to providing quality care to the growing population of older Americans by providing them with meaningful loan forgiveness and career advancement opportunities.

Specifically, for health professionals who complete specialty training in geriatrics or gerontology—including physicians, physician assistants, advance practice nurses, social workers, pharmacists and psychologists—the legislation would link educational loan repayment to a service commitment to the aging population, modeled after the successful National Health Services Corps. The bill would also expand loan repayment for registered nurses who complete specialty training in geriatric care and who choose to work in long-term care settings, and expand career advancement opportunities for direct care workers by offering specialty training in long-term care services. Lastly, the legislation would establish a health and long-term care workforce advisory panel for an aging America.

In addition, I was pleased to work with the Alzheimer's Association and the American Geriatrics Society to ensure that this legislation will also help provide a workforce to meet the needs

of older Americans with dementia, Alzheimer's and other cognitive disorders.

Ensuring we have a well-trained health care workforce with the skills to care for our aging population is a critical investment in America's future. This legislation offers a modest but important step toward creating the future health care workforce that our Nation so urgently needs.

I look forward to working with Senator COLLINS and our colleagues to ensure that we meet our obligations to the seniors of our Nation to improve their care.

By Mr. DURBIN (for himself and Mr. SPECTER):

S. 751. A bill to establish a revenue source for fair elections financing of Senate campaigns by providing an excise tax on amounts paid pursuant to contracts with the United States Government; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, we are facing the worst economic crisis since the great depression. Health care costs are exploding. Our education system is in desperate need of reform. All while we continue to fight two wars on the other side of the globe.

At a time like this, our Nation's leaders need to be singularly focused on the challenges at hand. Yet as Senators and Congressmen we find ourselves spending more and more of our time raising money for our own re-elections. That means we spend less and less time focusing on our Nation's policy challenges.

In the last three election cycles, Senate candidates spent nearly \$1.3 billion on their races. This is simply unsustainable.

Unless you have enough personal wealth to pay for a campaign by yourself, you have little choice but to spend an enormous amount of your time dialing for dollars to keep up with your competitors. If you do not attend the nightly fundraisers and hit the phones during power hours, your campaign message will be drowned out by your opponent's advertising by Election Day. You will stand little chance of being chosen to continue to work on the challenges you came to Washington to solve.

Worse, the system we currently use to finance Federal campaigns makes candidates far too reliant on the ability of wealthy donors to help raise the mountains of money necessary to compete.

The result is a public who rightly questions whether those that win elections in this system are serving ALL of their constituents and not just their wealthy donors.

We need to finance Federal campaigns differently. There has never been a more critical time for change.

That is why today I am reintroducing the bipartisan Fair Elections Now Act with my friend Senator SPECTER. I am pleased that Congressman LARSON is introducing the companion legislation

in the House with Republican Congressmen TODD PLATTS of Pennsylvania and WALTER JONES of North Carolina.

The Fair Elections Now Act would help restore public confidence in the Congressional election process by providing qualified candidates for Congress with grants, matching funds, and vouchers from the Fair Elections Fund to replace campaign fundraising that largely relies on lobbyists and other special interests. In return, participating candidates would agree to limit their campaign spending to the amounts raised from small-dollar donors plus the amounts provided from the Fund.

Fair Elections for the Senate would have three stages.

To participate, candidates would first need to prove their viability by raising a minimum number and amount of small-dollar qualifying contributions from in-state donors. Once a candidate qualifies, that candidate must limit the amount raised from each donor to \$100 per election.

For the primary, participants would receive a base grant that would vary in amount based on the population of the state that the candidate seeks to represent. Participants would also receive a 4-to-1 match for small-dollar donations up to a defined matching cap. The candidate could raise an unlimited amount of \$100 contributions if needed to compete against high-spending opponents.

For the general election, qualified candidates would receive an additional grant, further small-dollar matching, and vouchers for purchasing television advertising. The candidate could continue to raise an unlimited amount of \$100 contributions if needed.

Under our plan, candidates will no longer be in the fundraising business. Instead, candidates will be in the constituent business, regardless of whether those constituents have the wealth to attend a fundraiser or to donate more than \$100 per election. Candidates will be in the policy business, regardless of what policies are preferred by wealthy donors.

This is no naive theory. It is a system that is already at work. Very similar programs exist in Maine, Arizona, and elsewhere. These programs are bringing new faces and ideas into politics and making more races more competitive. Most importantly, candidates spend more time with constituents and in policy debates and less time with wealthy donors.

I know that some will say that the answer to this problem of time constraints is simply to remove individual contribution limits, so that with a few phone calls to billionaire donors candidates can raise all of the money that they need. I completely disagree. The answer is not to further concentrate influence in the hands of a smaller and smaller group of donors, but rather to remove that source of influence altogether. That is the only way to rebuild the trust of the American people.

Let me be clear: I honestly believe that the overwhelming majority of the people serving in American politics are good, honest people, and I believe that Senators and Congressmen are guided by the best of intentions. But we are nonetheless stuck in a terrible, corrupting system. The perception is that politicians are corrupted by the big money interests . . . and whether that is true or not, that perception and the loss of trust that goes with it makes it incredibly difficult for the Senate to take on tough challenges and have the American public believe that what we are doing is right.

I believe that this problem is fundamental to our democracy, and we must address it. Overwhelming numbers of Americans agree. Recent polling shows that 69 percent of Democrats, 72 percent Republicans, and 60 percent of independents supported a general description of this proposal. The Fair Elections Now Act is supported by several good Government groups, former members of Congress, business leaders, and even lobbyists.

Our Nation's leaders need to be completely focused on getting America back on track. The Fair Elections Now Act will help.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be placed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 751

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Fair Elections Revenue Act of 2009".

#### SEC. 2. FAIR ELECTIONS FUND REVENUE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting after chapter 36 the following new chapter:

#### "CHAPTER 37—TAX ON PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO CERTAIN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

"Sec. 4501. Imposition of tax.

#### "SEC. 4501. IMPOSITION OF TAX.

"(a) TAX IMPOSED.—There is hereby imposed on any payment made to a qualified person pursuant to a qualified contract with the Government of the United States a tax equal to 0.50 percent of the amount paid.

"(b) LIMITATION.—The aggregate amount of tax imposed under subsection (a) for any calendar year shall not exceed \$500,000.

"(c) QUALIFIED PERSON.—For purposes of this section, the term "qualified person" means any person which—

"(1) is not a State or local government or a foreign nation, and

"(2) has contracts with the Government of the United States with a value in excess of \$10,000,000.

"(d) PAYMENT OF TAX.—The tax imposed by this section shall be paid by the person receiving such payment.

"(e) USE OF REVENUE GENERATED BY TAX.—It is the sense of the Senate that amounts equivalent to the revenue generated by the tax imposed under this chapter should be appropriated for the financing of a Fair Elections Fund and used for the public financing of Senate elections."

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of chapter of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986

is amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 36 the following:

"CHAPTER 37—TAX ON PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO CERTAIN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contracts entered into after the date of the enactment of this Act.

By Mr. DURBIN (for himself and Mr. SPECTER):

S. 752. A bill to reform the financing of Senate elections, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be placed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 752

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Fair Elections Now Act".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

#### TITLE I—FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING OF SENATE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS

##### Subtitle A—Fair Elections Financing Program

Sec. 101. Findings and declarations.

Sec. 102. Eligibility requirements and benefits of Fair Elections financing of Senate election campaigns.

#### "TITLE V—FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING OF SENATE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS

##### "Subtitle A—General Provisions

"Sec. 501. Definitions.

"Sec. 502. Fair Elections Fund.

##### "Subtitle B—Eligibility and Certification

"Sec. 511. Eligibility.

"Sec. 512. Qualifying contribution requirement.

"Sec. 513. Contribution and expenditure requirements.

"Sec. 514. Debate requirement.

"Sec. 515. Certification.

##### "Subtitle C—Benefits

"Sec. 521. Benefits for participating candidates.

"Sec. 522. Allocations from the Fund.

"Sec. 523. Matching payments for qualified small dollar contributions.

"Sec. 524. Political advertising vouchers.

##### "Subtitle D—Administrative Provisions

"Sec. 531. Fair Elections Oversight Board.

"Sec. 532. Administration provisions.

"Sec. 533. Violations and penalties.

Sec. 103. Prohibition on joint fundraising committees.

Sec. 104. Limitation on coordinated expenditures by political party committees with participating candidates.

#### TITLE II—IMPROVING VOTER INFORMATION

Sec. 201. Broadcasts relating to all Senate candidates.

Sec. 202. Broadcast rates for participating candidates.

Sec. 203. FCC to prescribe standardized form for reporting candidate campaign ads.

#### TITLE III—RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sec. 301. Petition for certiorari.

Sec. 302. Filing by Senate candidates with Commission.

Sec. 303. Electronic filing of FEC reports.

**TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

Sec. 401. Severability.

Sec. 402. Effective date.

**TITLE I—FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING OF SENATE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS**

**Subtitle A—Fair Elections Financing Program**

**SEC. 101. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS.**

(a) **UNDERMINING OF DEMOCRACY BY CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PRIVATE SOURCES.**—The Senate finds and declares that the current system of privately financed campaigns for election to the United States Senate has the capacity, and is often perceived by the public, to undermine democracy in the United States by—

(1) creating a culture that fosters actual or perceived conflicts of interest by encouraging Senators to accept large campaign contributions from private interests that are directly affected by Federal legislation;

(2) diminishing or appearing to diminish Senators' accountability to constituents by compelling legislators to be accountable to the major contributors who finance their election campaigns;

(3) undermining the meaning of the right to vote by allowing monied interests to have a disproportionate and unfair influence within the political process;

(4) imposing large, unwarranted costs on taxpayers through legislative and regulatory distortions caused by unequal access to lawmakers for campaign contributors;

(5) making it difficult for some qualified candidates to mount competitive Senate election campaigns;

(6) disadvantaging challengers and discouraging competitive elections, because large campaign contributors tend to donate their money to incumbent Senators, thus causing Senate elections to be less competitive; and

(7) burdening incumbents with a preoccupation with fundraising and thus decreasing the time available to carry out their public responsibilities.

(b) **ENHANCEMENT OF DEMOCRACY BY PROVIDING ALLOCATIONS FROM THE FAIR ELECTIONS FUND.**—The Senate finds and declares that providing the option of the replacement of large private campaign contributions with allocations from the Fair Elections Fund for all primary, runoff, and general elections to the Senate would enhance American democracy by—

(1) reducing the actual or perceived conflicts of interest created by fully private financing of the election campaigns of public officials and restoring public confidence in the integrity and fairness of the electoral and legislative processes through a program which allows participating candidates to adhere to substantially lower contribution limits for contributors with an assurance that there will be sufficient funds for such candidates to run viable electoral campaigns;

(2) increasing the public's confidence in the accountability of Senators to the constituents who elect them, which derives from the program's qualifying criteria to participate in the voluntary program and the conclusions that constituents may draw regarding candidates who qualify and participate in the program;

(3) helping to reduce the ability to make large campaign contributions as a determinant of a citizen's influence within the political process by facilitating the expression of support by voters at every level of wealth, encouraging political participation, and incentivizing participation on the part of Senators through the matching of small dollar contributions;

(4) potentially saving taxpayers billions of dollars that may be (or that are perceived to be) currently allocated based upon legislative and regulatory agendas skewed by the influence of campaign contributions;

(5) creating genuine opportunities for all Americans to run for the Senate and encouraging more competitive elections;

(6) encouraging participation in the electoral process by citizens of every level of wealth; and

(7) freeing Senators from the incessant preoccupation with raising money, and allowing them more time to carry out their public responsibilities.

**SEC. 102. ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS AND BENEFITS OF FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING OF SENATE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS.**

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 431 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

**“TITLE V—FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING OF SENATE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS**

**“Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**“SEC. 501. DEFINITIONS.**

“In this title:

“(1) **ALLOCATION FROM THE FUND.**—The term ‘allocation from the Fund’ means an allocation of money from the Fair Elections Fund to a participating candidate pursuant to section 522.

“(2) **BOARD.**—The term ‘Board’ means the Fair Elections Oversight Board established under section 531.

“(3) **FAIR ELECTIONS QUALIFYING PERIOD.**—The term ‘Fair Elections qualifying period’ means, with respect to any candidate for Senator, the period—

“(A) beginning on the date on which the candidate files a statement of intent under section 511(a)(1); and

“(B) ending on the date that is 30 days before—

“(i) the date of the primary election; or

“(ii) in the case of a State that does not hold a primary election, the date prescribed by State law as the last day to qualify for a position on the general election ballot.

“(4) **FAIR ELECTIONS START DATE.**—The term ‘Fair Elections start date’ means, with respect to any candidate, the date that is 180 days before—

“(A) the date of the primary election; or

“(B) in the case of a State that does not hold a primary election, the date prescribed by State law as the last day to qualify for a position on the general election ballot.

“(5) **FUND.**—The term ‘Fund’ means the Fair Elections Fund established by section 502.

“(6) **IMMEDIATE FAMILY.**—The term ‘immediate family’ means, with respect to any candidate—

“(A) the candidate's spouse;

“(B) a child, stepchild, parent, grandparent, brother, half-brother, sister, or half-sister of the candidate or the candidate's spouse; and

“(C) the spouse of any person described in subparagraph (B).

“(7) **MATCHING CONTRIBUTION.**—The term ‘matching contribution’ means a matching payment provided to a participating candidate for qualified small dollar contributions, as provided under section 523.

“(8) **NONPARTICIPATING CANDIDATE.**—The term ‘nonparticipating candidate’ means a candidate for Senator who is not a participating candidate.

“(9) **PARTICIPATING CANDIDATE.**—The term ‘participating candidate’ means a candidate for Senator who is certified under section 515 as being eligible to receive an allocation from the Fund.

“(10) **QUALIFYING CONTRIBUTION.**—The term ‘qualifying contribution’ means, with respect to a candidate, a contribution that—

“(A) is in an amount that is—

“(i) not less than the greater of \$5 or the amount determined by the Commission under section 531; and

“(ii) not more than the greater of \$100 or the amount determined by the Commission under section 531.

“(B) is made by an individual—

“(i) who is a resident of the State in which such Candidate is seeking election; and

“(ii) who is not otherwise prohibited from making a contribution under this Act;

“(C) is made during the Fair Elections qualifying period; and

“(D) meets the requirements of section 512(b).

“(11) **QUALIFIED SMALL DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION.**—The term ‘qualified small dollar contribution’ means, with respect to a candidate, any contribution (or series of contributions)—

“(A) which is not a qualifying contribution (or does not include a qualifying contribution);

“(B) which is made by an individual who is not prohibited from making a contribution under this Act; and

“(C) the aggregate amount of which does not exceed the greater of—

“(i) \$100 per election; or

“(ii) the amount per election determined by the Commission under section 531.

**“SEC. 502. FAIR ELECTIONS FUND.**

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established in the Treasury a fund to be known as the ‘Fair Elections Fund’.

“(b) **AMOUNTS HELD BY FUND.**—The Fund shall consist of the following amounts:

“(1) **APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Amounts appropriated to the Fund.

“(B) **SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING APPROPRIATIONS.**—It is the sense of the Senate that—

“(i) there should be imposed on any payment made to any person (other than a State or local government or a foreign nation) who has contracts with the Government of the United States in excess of \$10,000,000 a tax equal to 0.50 percent of amount paid pursuant to such contracts, except that the aggregate tax for any person for any taxable year shall not exceed \$500,000; and

“(ii) the revenue from such tax should be appropriated to the Fund.

“(2) **VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS.**—Voluntary contributions to the Fund.

“(3) **OTHER DEPOSITS.**—Amounts deposited into the Fund under—

“(A) section 513(c) (relating to exceptions to contribution requirements);

“(B) section 521(c) (relating to remittance of allocations from the Fund);

“(C) section 533 (relating to violations); and

“(D) any other section of this Act.

“(4) **INVESTMENT RETURNS.**—Interest on, and the proceeds from, the sale or redemption of, any obligations held by the Fund under subsection (c).

“(c) **INVESTMENT.**—The Commission shall invest portions of the Fund in obligations of the United States in the same manner as provided under section 9602(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(d) **USE OF FUND.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The sums in the Fund shall be used to provide benefits to participating candidates as provided in subtitle C.

“(2) **INSUFFICIENT AMOUNTS.**—Under regulations established by the Commission, rules similar to the rules of section 9006(c) of the Internal Revenue Code shall apply.

**“Subtitle B—Eligibility and Certification**

**“SEC. 511. ELIGIBILITY.**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—A candidate for Senator is eligible to receive an allocation from the

Fund for any election if the candidate meets the following requirements:

“(1) The candidate files with the Commission a statement of intent to seek certification as a participating candidate under this title during the period beginning on the Fair Elections start date and ending on the last day of the Fair Elections qualifying period.

“(2) The candidate meets the qualifying contribution requirements of section 512.

“(3) Not later than the last day of the Fair Elections qualifying period, the candidate files with the Commission an affidavit signed by the candidate and the treasurer of the candidate's principal campaign committee declaring that the candidate—

“(A) has complied and, if certified, will comply with the contribution and expenditure requirements of section 513;

“(B) if certified, will comply with the debate requirements of section 514;

“(C) if certified, will not run as a non-participating candidate during such year in any election for the office that such candidate is seeking; and

“(D) has either qualified or will take steps to qualify under State law to be on the ballot.

“(b) GENERAL ELECTION.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), a candidate shall not be eligible to receive an allocation from the Fund for a general election or a general runoff election unless the candidate's party nominated the candidate to be placed on the ballot for the general election or the candidate otherwise qualified to be on the ballot under State law.

**“SEC. 512. QUALIFYING CONTRIBUTION REQUIREMENT.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—A candidate for Senator meets the requirement of this section if, during the Fair Elections qualifying period, the candidate obtains—

“(1) a number of qualifying contributions equal to the greater of—

“(A) the sum of—

“(i) 2,000; plus

“(ii) 500 for each congressional district in the State with respect to which the candidate is seeking election; or

“(B) the amount determined by the Commission under section 531; and

“(2) a total dollar amount of qualifying contributions equal to the greater of—

“(A) 10 percent of the amount of the allocation such candidate would be entitled to receive for the primary election under section 522(c)(1) (determined without regard to paragraph (5) thereof) if such candidate were a participating candidate; or

“(B) the amount determined by the Commission under section 531.

“(b) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO RECEIPT OF QUALIFYING CONTRIBUTION.—Each qualifying contribution—

“(1) may be made by means of a personal check, money order, debit card, credit card, or electronic payment account;

“(2) shall be accompanied by a signed statement containing—

“(A) the contributor's name and the contributor's address in the State in which the contributor is registered to vote;

“(B) an oath declaring that the contributor—

“(i) understands that the purpose of the qualifying contribution is to show support for the candidate so that the candidate may qualify for Fair Elections financing;

“(ii) is making the contribution in his or her own name and from his or her own funds;

“(iii) has made the contribution willingly; and

“(iv) has not received any thing of value in return for the contribution; and

“(3) shall be acknowledged by a receipt that is sent to the contributor with a copy

kept by the candidate for the Commission and a copy kept by the candidate for the election authorities in the State with respect to which the candidate is seeking election; and

“(c) VERIFICATION OF QUALIFYING CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Commission shall establish procedures for the auditing and verification of qualifying contributions to ensure that such contributions meet the requirements of this section.

**“SEC. 513. CONTRIBUTION AND EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS.**

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—A candidate for Senator meets the requirements of this section if, during the election cycle of the candidate, the candidate—

“(1) except as provided in subsection (b), accepts no contributions other than—

“(A) qualifying contributions;

“(B) qualified small dollar contributions;

“(C) allocations from the Fund under section 522;

“(D) matching contributions under section 523; and

“(E) vouchers provided to the candidate under section 524;

“(2) makes no expenditures from any amounts other than from—

“(A) qualifying contributions;

“(B) qualified small dollar contributions;

“(C) allocations from the Fund under section 522;

“(D) matching contributions under section 523; and

“(E) vouchers provided to the candidate under section 524; and

“(3) makes no expenditures from personal funds or the funds of any immediate family member (other than funds received through qualified small dollar contributions and qualifying contributions).

For purposes of this subsection, a payment made by a political party in coordination with a participating candidate shall not be treated as a contribution to or as an expenditure made by the participating candidate.

“(b) CONTRIBUTIONS FOR LEADERSHIP PACS, ETC.—A political committee of a participating candidate which is not an authorized committee of such candidate may accept contributions other than contributions described in subsection (a)(1) from any person if—

“(1) the aggregate contributions from such person for any calendar year do not exceed \$100; and

“(2) no portion of such contributions is disbursed in connection with the campaign of the participating candidate.

“(c) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), a candidate shall not be treated as having failed to meet the requirements of this section if any contributions that are not qualified small dollar contributions, qualifying contributions, or contributions that meet the requirements of subsection (b) and that are accepted before the date the candidate files a statement of intent under section 511(a)(1) are—

“(1) returned to the contributor; or

“(2) submitted to the Commission for deposit in the Fund.

**“SEC. 514. DEBATE REQUIREMENT.**

“A candidate for Senator meets the requirements of this section if the candidate participates in at least—

“(1) 1 public debate before the primary election with other participating candidates and other willing candidates from the same party and seeking the same nomination as such candidate; and

“(2) 2 public debates before the general election with other participating candidates and other willing candidates seeking the same office as such candidate.

**“SEC. 515. CERTIFICATION.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 5 days after a candidate for Senator files an affidavit under section 511(a)(3), the Commission shall—

“(1) certify whether or not the candidate is a participating candidate; and

“(2) notify the candidate of the Commission's determination.

“(b) REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission may revoke a certification under subsection (a) if—

“(A) a candidate fails to qualify to appear on the ballot at any time after the date of certification; or

“(B) a candidate otherwise fails to comply with the requirements of this title, including any regulatory requirements prescribed by the Commission.

“(2) REPAYMENT OF BENEFITS.—If certification is revoked under paragraph (1), the candidate shall repay to the Fund an amount equal to the value of benefits received under this title plus interest (at a rate determined by the Commission) on any such amount received.

**“Subtitle C—Benefits**

**“SEC. 521. BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPATING CANDIDATES.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For each election with respect to which a candidate is certified as a participating candidate, such candidate shall be entitled to—

“(1) an allocation from the Fund to make or obligate to make expenditures with respect to such election, as provided in section 522;

“(2) matching contributions, as provided in section 523; and

“(3) for the general election, vouchers for broadcasts of political advertisements, as provided in section 524.

“(b) RESTRICTION ON USES OF ALLOCATIONS FROM THE FUND.—Allocations from the Fund received by a participating candidate under sections 522 and matching contributions under section 523 may only be used for campaign-related costs.

“(c) REMITTING ALLOCATIONS FROM THE FUND.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the date that is 45 days after an election in which the participating candidate appeared on the ballot, such participating candidate shall remit to the Commission for deposit in the Fund an amount equal to the lesser of—

“(A) the amount of money in the candidate's campaign account; or

“(B) the sum of the allocations from the Fund received by the candidate under section 522 and the matching contributions received by the candidate under section 523.

“(2) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a candidate who qualifies to be on the ballot for a primary runoff election, a general election, or a general runoff election, the amounts described in paragraph (1) may be retained by the candidate and used in such subsequent election.

**“SEC. 522. ALLOCATIONS FROM THE FUND.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall make allocations from the Fund under section 521(a)(1) to a participating candidate—

“(1) in the case of amounts provided under subsection (c)(1), not later than 48 hours after the date on which such candidate is certified as a participating candidate under section 515;

“(2) in the case of a general election, not later than 48 hours after—

“(A) the date of the certification of the results of the primary election or the primary runoff election; or

“(B) in any case in which there is no primary election, the date the candidate qualifies to be placed on the ballot; and

“(3) in the case of a primary runoff election or a general runoff election, not later

than 48 hours after the certification of the results of the primary election or the general election, as the case may be.

“(b) METHOD OF PAYMENT.—The Commission shall distribute funds available to participating candidates under this section through the use of an electronic funds exchange or a debit card.

“(c) AMOUNTS.—

“(1) PRIMARY ELECTION ALLOCATION; INITIAL ALLOCATION.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), the Commission shall make an allocation from the Fund for a primary election to a participating candidate in an amount equal to 67 percent of the base amount with respect to such participating candidate.

“(2) PRIMARY RUNOFF ELECTION ALLOCATION.—The Commission shall make an allocation from the Fund for a primary runoff election to a participating candidate in an amount equal to 25 percent of the amount the participating candidate was eligible to receive under this section for the primary election.

“(3) GENERAL ELECTION ALLOCATION.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), the Commission shall make an allocation from the Fund for a general election to a participating candidate in an amount equal to the base amount with respect to such candidate.

“(4) GENERAL RUNOFF ELECTION ALLOCATION.—The Commission shall make an allocation from the Fund for a general runoff election to a participating candidate in an amount equal to 25 percent of the base amount with respect to such candidate.

“(5) UNCONTESTED ELECTIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a primary or general election that is an uncontested election, the Commission shall make an allocation from the Fund to a participating candidate for such election in an amount equal to 25 percent of the allocation which such candidate would be entitled to under this section for such election if this paragraph did not apply.

“(B) UNCONTESTED ELECTION DEFINED.—For purposes of this subparagraph, an election is uncontested if not more than 1 candidate has campaign funds (including payments from the Fund) in an amount equal to or greater than 10 percent of the allocation a participating candidate would be entitled to receive under this section for such election if this paragraph did not apply.

“(d) BASE AMOUNT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the base amount for any candidate is an amount equal to the greater of—

“(A) the sum of—

“(i) \$750,000; plus

“(ii) \$150,000 for each congressional district in the State with respect to which the candidate is seeking election; or

“(B) the amount determined by the Commission under section 531.

“(2) INDEXING.—In each odd-numbered year after 2012—

“(A) each dollar amount under paragraph (1)(A) shall be increased by the percent difference between the price index (as defined in section 315(c)(2)(A)) for the 12 months preceding the beginning of such calendar year and the price index for calendar year 2011;

“(B) each dollar amount so increased shall remain in effect for the 2-year period beginning on the first day following the date of the last general election in the year preceding the year in which the amount is increased and ending on the date of the next general election; and

“(C) if any amount after adjustment under subparagraph (A) is not a multiple of \$100, such amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$100.

**“SEC. 523. MATCHING PAYMENTS FOR QUALIFIED SMALL DOLLAR CONTRIBUTIONS.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall pay to each participating candidate an amount equal to 400 percent of the amount of qualified small dollar contributions received by the candidate from individuals who are residents of the State in which such participating candidate is seeking election after the date on which such candidate is certified under section 515.

“(b) LIMITATION.—The aggregate payments under subsection (a) with respect to any candidate shall not exceed the greater of—

“(1) 200 percent of the allocation such candidate is entitled to receive for such election under section 522 (determined without regard to subsection (c)(5) thereof); or

“(2) the percentage of such allocation determined by the Commission under section 531.

“(c) TIME OF PAYMENT.—The Commission shall make payments under this section not later than 2 business days after the receipt of a report made under subsection (d).

“(d) REPORTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each participating candidate shall file reports of receipts of qualified small dollar contributions at such times and in such manner as the Commission may by regulations prescribe.

“(2) CONTENTS OF REPORTS.—Each report under this subsection shall disclose—

“(A) the amount of each qualified small dollar contribution received by the candidate;

“(B) the amount of each qualified small dollar contribution received by the candidate from a resident of the State in which the candidate is seeking election; and

“(C) the name, address, and occupation of each individual who made a qualified small dollar contribution to the candidate.

“(3) FREQUENCY OF REPORTS.—Reports under this subsection shall be made no more frequently than—

“(A) once every month until the date that is 90 days before the date of the election;

“(B) once every week after the period described in subparagraph (A) and until the date that is 21 days before the election; and

“(C) once every day after the period described in subparagraph (B).

“(4) LIMITATION ON REGULATIONS.—The Commission may not prescribe any regulations with respect to reporting under this subsection with respect to any election after the date that is 180 days before the date of such election.

“(e) APPEALS.—The Commission shall provide a written explanation with respect to any denial of any payment under this section and shall provide the opportunity for review and reconsideration within 5 business days of such denial.

**“SEC. 524. POLITICAL ADVERTISING VOUCHERS.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall establish and administer a voucher program for the purchase of airtime on broadcasting stations for political advertisements in accordance with the provisions of this section.

“(b) CANDIDATES.—The Commission shall only disburse vouchers under the program established under subsection (a) to participants certified pursuant to section 515 who have agreed in writing to keep and furnish to the Commission such records, books, and other information as it may require.

“(c) AMOUNTS.—The Commission shall disburse vouchers to each candidate certified under subsection (b) in an aggregate amount equal to the greater of—

“(1) \$100,000 multiplied by the number of congressional districts in the State with respect to which such candidate is running for office; or

“(2) the amount determined by the Commission under section 531.

“(d) USE.—

“(1) EXCLUSIVE USE.—Vouchers disbursed by the Commission under this section may be used only for the purchase of broadcast airtime for political advertisements relating to a general election for the office of Senate by the participating candidate to which the vouchers were disbursed, except that—

“(A) a candidate may exchange vouchers with a political party under paragraph (2); and

“(B) a political party may use vouchers only to purchase broadcast airtime for political advertisements for generic party advertising (as defined by the Commission in regulations), to support candidates for State or local office in a general election, or to support participating candidates of the party in a general election for Federal office, but only if it discloses the value of the voucher used as an expenditure under section 315(d).

“(2) EXCHANGE WITH POLITICAL PARTY COMMITTEE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A participating candidate who receives a voucher under this section may transfer the right to use all or a portion of the value of the voucher to a committee of the political party of which the individual is a candidate in exchange for money in an amount equal to the cash value of the voucher or portion exchanged.

“(B) CONTINUATION OF CANDIDATE OBLIGATIONS.—The transfer of a voucher, in whole or in part, to a political party committee under this paragraph does not release the candidate from any obligation under the agreement made under subsection (b) or otherwise modify that agreement or its application to that candidate.

“(C) PARTY COMMITTEE OBLIGATIONS.—Any political party committee to which a voucher or portion thereof is transferred under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) shall account fully, in accordance with such requirements as the Commission may establish, for the receipt of the voucher; and

“(ii) may not use the transferred voucher or portion thereof for any purpose other than a purpose described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(D) VOUCHER AS A CONTRIBUTION UNDER FECA.—If a candidate transfers a voucher or any portion thereof to a political party committee under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) the value of the voucher or portion thereof transferred shall be treated as a contribution from the candidate to the committee, and from the committee to the candidate, for purposes of sections 302 and 304;

“(ii) the committee may, in exchange, provide to the candidate only funds subject to the prohibitions, limitations, and reporting requirements of title III of this Act; and

“(iii) the amount, if identified as a ‘voucher exchange’ shall not be considered a contribution for the purposes of sections 315 and 513.

“(e) VALUE; ACCEPTANCE; REDEMPTION.—

“(1) VOUCHER.—Each voucher disbursed by the Commission under this section shall have a value in dollars, redeemable upon presentation to the Commission, together with such documentation and other information as the Commission may require, for the purchase of broadcast airtime for political advertisements in accordance with this section.

“(2) ACCEPTANCE.—A broadcasting station shall accept vouchers in payment for the purchase of broadcast airtime for political advertisements in accordance with this section.

“(3) REDEMPTION.—The Commission shall redeem vouchers accepted by broadcasting stations under paragraph (2) upon presentation, subject to such documentation, verification, accounting, and application requirements as the Commission may impose

to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the voucher redemption system.

“(4) EXPIRATION.—

“(A) CANDIDATES.—A voucher may only be used to pay for broadcast airtime for political advertisements to be broadcast before midnight on the day before the date of the Federal election in connection with which it was issued and shall be null and void for any other use or purpose.

“(B) EXCEPTION FOR POLITICAL PARTY COMMITTEES.—A voucher held by a political party committee may be used to pay for broadcast airtime for political advertisements to be broadcast before midnight on December 31st of the odd-numbered year following the year in which the voucher was issued by the Commission.

“(5) VOUCHER AS EXPENDITURE UNDER FECA.—The use of a voucher to purchase broadcast airtime constitutes an expenditure as defined in section 301(9)(A).

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BROADCASTING STATION.—The term ‘broadcasting station’ has the meaning given that term by section 315(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934.

“(2) POLITICAL PARTY.—The term ‘political party’ means a major party or a minor party as defined in section 9002(3) or (4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 9002(3) or (4)).

#### “Subtitle D—Administrative Provisions

##### “SEC. 531. FAIR ELECTIONS OVERSIGHT BOARD.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Federal Election Commission an entity to be known as the ‘Fair Elections Oversight Board’.

“(b) STRUCTURE AND MEMBERSHIP.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall be composed of 5 members appointed by the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, of whom—

“(A) 2 shall be appointed after consultation with the Majority Leader of the Senate;

“(B) 2 shall be appointed after consultation with the Minority Leader of the Senate; and

“(C) 1 shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the members appointed under subparagraphs (A) and (B).

“(2) QUALIFICATIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The members shall be individuals who are nonpartisan and, by reason of their education, experience, and attainments, exceptionally qualified to perform the duties of members of the Board.

“(B) PROHIBITION.—No member of the Board may be—

“(i) an employee of the Federal government;

“(ii) a registered lobbyist; or

“(iii) an officer or employee of a political party or political campaign.

“(3) DATE.—Members of the Board shall be appointed not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

“(4) TERMS.—A member of the Board shall be appointed for a term of 5 years.

“(5) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Board shall be filled not later than 30 calendar days after the date on which the Board is given notice of the vacancy, in the same manner as the original appointment. The individual appointed to fill the vacancy shall serve only for the unexpired portion of the term for which the individual’s predecessor was appointed.

“(6) CHAIRPERSON.—The Board shall designate a Chairperson from among the members of the Board.

“(c) DUTIES AND POWERS.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall have such duties and powers as the Commission may prescribe, including the power to administer the provisions of this title.

“(2) REVIEW OF FAIR ELECTIONS FINANCING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—After each general election for Federal office, the Board shall conduct a comprehensive review of the Fair Elections financing program under this title, including—

“(i) the maximum dollar amount of qualified small dollar contributions under section 501(11);

“(ii) the maximum and minimum dollar amounts for qualifying contributions under section 501(10);

“(iii) the number and value of qualifying contributions a candidate is required to obtain under section 512 to qualify for allocations from the Fund;

“(iv) the amount of allocations from the Fund that candidates may receive under section 522;

“(v) the maximum amount of matching contributions a candidate may receive under section 523;

“(vi) the amount and usage of vouchers under section 524;

“(vii) the overall satisfaction of participating candidates and the American public with the program; and

“(viii) such other matters relating to financing of Senate campaigns as the Board determines are appropriate.

“(B) CRITERIA FOR REVIEW.—In conducting the review under subparagraph (A), the Board shall consider the following:

“(i) QUALIFYING CONTRIBUTIONS AND QUALIFIED SMALL DOLLAR CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Board shall consider whether the number and dollar amount of qualifying contributions required and maximum dollar amount for such qualifying contributions and qualified small dollar contributions strikes a balance regarding the importance of voter involvement, the need to assure adequate incentives for participating, and fiscal responsibility, taking into consideration the number of primary and general election participating candidates, the electoral performance of those candidates, program cost, and any other information the Board determines is appropriate.

“(ii) REVIEW OF PROGRAM BENEFITS.—The Board shall consider whether the totality of the amount of funds allowed to be raised by participating candidates (including through qualifying contributions and small dollar contributions), allocations from the Fund under sections 522, matching contributions under section 523, and vouchers under section 524 are sufficient for voters in each State to learn about the candidates to cast an informed vote, taking into account the historic amount of spending by winning candidates, media costs, primary election dates, and any other information the Board determines is appropriate.

“(C) ADJUSTMENT OF AMOUNTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Based on the review conducted under subparagraph (A), the Board shall provide for the adjustments of the following amounts:

“(I) the maximum dollar amount of qualified small dollar contributions under section 501(11)(C);

“(II) the maximum and minimum dollar amounts for qualifying contributions under section 501(10)(A);

“(III) the number and value of qualifying contributions a candidate is required to obtain under section 512(a)(1);

“(IV) the base amount for candidates under section 522(d);

“(V) the maximum amount of matching contributions a candidate may receive under section 523(b); and

“(VI) the dollar amount for vouchers under section 524(c).

“(ii) REGULATIONS.—The Commission shall promulgate regulations providing for the adjustments made by the Board under clause (i).

“(D) REPORT.—Not later than March 30 following any general election for Federal office, the Board shall submit a report to Congress on the review conducted under paragraph (1). Such report shall contain a detailed statement of the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Board based on such review.

“(d) MEETINGS AND HEARINGS.—

“(1) MEETINGS.—The Board may hold such hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the Board considers advisable to carry out the purposes of this Act.

“(2) QUORUM.—Three members of the Board shall constitute a quorum for purposes of voting, but a quorum is not required for members to meet and hold hearings.

“(e) REPORTS.—Not later than March 30, 2011, and every 2 years thereafter, the Board shall submit to the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration a report documenting, evaluating, and making recommendations relating to the administrative implementation and enforcement of the provisions of this title.

“(f) ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each member, other than the Chairperson, shall be paid at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the minimum annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code.

“(B) CHAIRPERSON.—The Chairperson shall be paid at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the minimum annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level III of the Executive Schedule under section 5314 of title 5, United States Code.

“(2) PERSONNEL.—

“(A) DIRECTOR.—The Board shall have a staff headed by an Executive Director. The Executive Director shall be paid at a rate equivalent to a rate established for the Senior Executive Service under section 5382 of title 5, United States Code.

“(B) STAFF APPOINTMENT.—With the approval of the Chairperson, the Executive Director may appoint such personnel as the Executive Director and the Board determines to be appropriate.

“(C) ACTUARIAL EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.—With the approval of the Chairperson, the Executive Director may procure temporary and intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code.

“(D) DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—Upon the request of the Chairperson, the head of any Federal agency may detail, without reimbursement, any of the personnel of such agency to the Board to assist in carrying out the duties of the Board. Any such detail shall not interrupt or otherwise affect the civil service status or privileges of the Federal employee.

“(E) OTHER RESOURCES.—The Board shall have reasonable access to materials, resources, statistical data, and other information from the Library of Congress and other agencies of the executive and legislative branches of the Federal Government. The Chairperson of the Board shall make requests for such access in writing when necessary.

“(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out the purposes of this subtitle.

##### “SEC. 532. ADMINISTRATION PROVISIONS.

“The Commission shall prescribe regulations to carry out the purposes of this title, including regulations—

“(1) to establish procedures for—

“(A) verifying the amount of valid qualifying contributions with respect to a candidate;

“(B) effectively and efficiently monitoring and enforcing the limits on the raising of qualified small dollar contributions;

“(C) effectively and efficiently monitoring and enforcing the limits on the use of personal funds by participating candidates;

“(D) monitoring the use of allocations from the Fund and matching contributions under this title through audits or other mechanisms; and

“(E) the administration of the voucher program under section 524; and

“(2) regarding the conduct of debates in a manner consistent with the best practices of States that provide public financing for elections.

#### “SEC. 533. VIOLATIONS AND PENALTIES.

“(a) CIVIL PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF CONTRIBUTION AND EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS.—If a candidate who has been certified as a participating candidate under section 515(a) accepts a contribution or makes an expenditure that is prohibited under section 513, the Commission shall assess a civil penalty against the candidate in an amount that is not more than 3 times the amount of the contribution or expenditure. Any amounts collected under this subsection shall be deposited into the Fund.

“(b) REPAYMENT FOR IMPROPER USE OF FAIR ELECTIONS FUND.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Commission determines that any benefit made available to a participating candidate under this title was not used as provided for in this title or that a participating candidate has violated any of the dates for remission of funds contained in this title, the Commission shall so notify the candidate and the candidate shall pay to the Fund an amount equal to—

“(A) the amount of benefits so used or not remitted, as appropriate; and

“(B) interest on any such amounts (at a rate determined by the Commission).

“(2) OTHER ACTION NOT PRECLUDED.—Any action by the Commission in accordance with this subsection shall not preclude enforcement proceedings by the Commission in accordance with section 309(a), including a referral by the Commission to the Attorney General in the case of an apparent knowing and willful violation of this title.”

#### SEC. 103. PROHIBITION ON JOINT FUNDRAISING COMMITTEES.

Section 302(e) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 432(e)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) No authorized committee of a participating candidate (as defined in section 501) may establish a joint fundraising committee with a political committee other than an authorized committee of a candidate.”

#### SEC. 104. LIMITATION ON COORDINATED EXPENDITURES BY POLITICAL PARTY COMMITTEES WITH PARTICIPATING CANDIDATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 315(d)(3) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively; and

(2) by inserting before subparagraph (B), as redesignated by paragraph (1), the following new subparagraph:

“(A) in the case of a candidate for election to the office of Senator who is a participating candidate (as defined in section 501), the lesser of—

“(i) 10 percent of the allocation from the Fair Elections Fund that the participating candidate is eligible to receive for the general election under section 522(c); or

“(ii) the amount which would (but for this subparagraph) apply with respect to such candidate under subparagraph (B);”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (B) of section 315(d)(3) of such Act, as redesignated by subsection (a), is amended by inserting “who is not a participating candidate (as so defined)” after “office of Senator”.

### TITLE II—IMPROVING VOTER INFORMATION

#### SEC. 201. BROADCASTS RELATING TO ALL SENATE CANDIDATES.

(a) LOWEST UNIT CHARGE; NATIONAL COMMITTEES.—Section 315(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 315(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “to such office” in paragraph (1) and inserting “to such office, or by a national committee of a political party on behalf of such candidate in connection with such campaign;” and

(2) by inserting “for pre-emptible use thereof” after “station” in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1).

(b) PREEMPTION; AUDITS.—Section 315 of such Act (47 U.S.C. 315) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively and moving them to follow the existing subsection (e);

(2) by redesignating the existing subsection (e) as subsection (c); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (c) (as redesignated by paragraph (2)) the following:

“(d) PREEMPTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), and notwithstanding the requirements of subsection (b)(1)(A), a licensee shall not preempt the use of a broadcasting station by a legally qualified candidate for Senate who has purchased and paid for such use.

“(2) CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND CONTROL OF LICENSEE.—If a program to be broadcast by a broadcasting station is preempted because of circumstances beyond the control of the station, any candidate or party advertising spot scheduled to be broadcast during that program shall be treated in the same fashion as a comparable commercial advertising spot.

“(e) AUDITS.—During the 30-day period preceding a primary election and the 60-day period preceding a general election, the Commission shall conduct such audits as it deems necessary to ensure that each broadcaster to which this section applies is allocating television broadcast advertising time in accordance with this section and section 312.”

(c) REVOCATION OF LICENSE FOR FAILURE TO PERMIT ACCESS.—Section 312(a)(7) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 312(a)(7)) is amended—

(1) by striking “or repeated;”

(2) by inserting “or cable system” after “broadcasting station;” and

(3) by striking “his candidacy” and inserting “the candidacy of the candidate, under the same terms, conditions, and business practices as apply to the most favored advertiser of the licensee”.

(d) STYLISTIC AMENDMENTS.—Section 315 of such Act (47 U.S.C. 315) is amended—

(1) by striking “the” in subsection (f)(1), as redesignated by subsection (b)(1), and inserting “BROADCASTING STATION.—”;

(2) by striking “the” in subsection (f)(2), as redesignated by subsection (b)(1), and inserting “LICENSEE; STATION LICENSEE.—”;

(3) by inserting “REGULATIONS.—” in subsection (g), as redesignated by subsection (b)(1), before “The Commission”.

#### SEC. 202. BROADCAST RATES FOR PARTICIPATING CANDIDATES.

Section 315(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 315(b)), as amended by subsection (a), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraphs (2) and (3);” and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) PARTICIPATING CANDIDATES.—In the case of a participating candidate (as defined under section 501(9) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971), the charges made for the use of any broadcasting station for a television broadcast shall not exceed 80 percent of the lowest charge described in paragraph (1)(A) during—

“(A) the 45 days preceding the date of a primary or primary runoff election in which the candidate is opposed; and

“(B) the 60 days preceding the date of a general or special election in which the candidate is opposed.

“(4) RATE CARDS.—A licensee shall provide to a candidate for Senate a rate card that discloses—

“(A) the rate charged under this subsection; and

“(B) the method that the licensee uses to determine the rate charged under this subsection.”

#### SEC. 203. FCC TO PRESCRIBE STANDARDIZED FORM FOR REPORTING CANDIDATE CAMPAIGN ADS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Federal Communications Commission shall initiate a rulemaking proceeding to establish a standardized form to be used by broadcasting stations, as defined in section 315(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 315(f)(1)), to record and report the purchase of advertising time by or on behalf of a candidate for nomination for election, or for election, to Federal elective office.

(b) CONTENTS.—The form prescribed by the Commission under subsection (a) shall require, broadcasting stations to report to the Commission and to the Federal Election Commission, at a minimum—

(1) the station call letters and mailing address;

(2) the name and telephone number of the station’s sales manager (or individual with responsibility for advertising sales);

(3) the name of the candidate who purchased the advertising time, or on whose behalf the advertising time was purchased, and the Federal elective office for which he or she is a candidate;

(4) the name, mailing address, and telephone number of the person responsible for purchasing broadcast political advertising for the candidate;

(5) notation as to whether the purchase agreement for which the information is being reported is a draft or final version; and

(6) the following information about the advertisement:

(A) The date and time of the broadcast.

(B) The program in which the advertisement was broadcast.

(C) The length of the broadcast airtime.

(c) INTERNET ACCESS.—In its rulemaking under subsection (a), the Commission shall require any broadcasting station required to file a report under this section that maintains an Internet website to make available a link to such reports on that website.

### TITLE III—RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

#### SEC. 301. PETITION FOR CERTIORARI.

Section 307(a)(6) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 437d(a)(6)) is amended by inserting “(including a proceeding before the Supreme Court on certiorari)” after “appeal”.

#### SEC. 302. FILING BY SENATE CANDIDATES WITH COMMISSION.

Section 302(g) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 432(g)) is amended to read as follows:

“(g) FILING WITH THE COMMISSION.—All designations, statements, and reports required to be filed under this Act shall be filed with the Commission.”

**SEC. 303. ELECTRONIC FILING OF FEC REPORTS.**

Section 304(a)(11) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 434(a)(11)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “under this Act—” and all that follows and inserting “under this Act shall be required to maintain and file such designation, statement, or report in electronic form accessible by computers.”;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “48 hours” and all that follows through “filed electronically)” and inserting “24 hours”;

and

**TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS****SEC. 401. SEVERABILITY.**

If any provision of this Act or amendment made by this Act, or the application of a provision or amendment to any person or circumstance, is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this Act and amendments made by this Act, and the application of the provisions and amendment to any person or circumstance, shall not be affected by the holding.

**SEC. 402. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

Except as otherwise provided for in this Act, this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on January 1, 2011.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Mr. CORKER, and Mr. KENNEDY):

S. 754. A bill to provide for increased Federal oversight of methadone treatment; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I rise today with my colleagues, Senator CORKER and Senator KENNEDY, to introduce the Methadone Treatment and Protection Act, legislation that provides a comprehensive solution to our country's growing problem of methadone-related deaths. In recent years, too many families have come to me with heartbreaking stories of mothers and fathers, sisters and brothers who have been seriously injured or who have died as a result of methadone. My State of West Virginia has been particularly hard-hit by the number of lives lost, with just seven methadone-related deaths in 1999 compared to approximately 120 deaths in 2005. In the face of such stark realities, we can no longer stand by and remain content with the status quo. Now is the time for a comprehensive strategy to address the misuse of methadone and prevent any additional avoidable deaths.

Methadone is an FDA approved, synthetic opioid prescription drug that has been extensively tested and used in the U.S. for more than thirty years. While it was first prescribed for pain management, methadone is also widely used as a part of opioid addiction treatment. The high efficacy and low cost of methadone has resulted in a significant rise in the number of methadone prescriptions, up 700 percent since 1998. However, there has also been a steep increase in the number of methadone-related deaths. In 2005, there were 4,462 methadone deaths, representing a 468 percent increase in the number of deaths since 1999.

Currently, oversight of methadone is fragmented between three federal agen-

cies: the Food and Drug Administration, FDA, the Substances Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, SAMHSA, and the Drug Enforcement Administration, DEA. Currently, these agencies lack the most effective tools necessary to properly monitor methadone usage and effectively prevent methadone-related deaths. The legislation we are introducing today will address this shortcoming in our public health infrastructure by providing the administrative direction, funding, education, and data necessary to effectively monitor for the potential misuse of methadone.

The alarming number of accidental methadone-related overdoses indicates that both patients and practitioners do not fully understand the complex nature of this medication. Therefore, the Methadone Treatment and Protection Act will significantly improve patient and provider information about methadone by mandating the creation of a consumer education campaign and requiring additional training for practitioners who prescribe methadone and other opioids.

The bill will also improve Federal oversight of methadone by creating the Controlled Substances Clinical Standards Commission—with membership comprised of the FDA, SAMHSA, and the National Institutes of Health, NIH. This new Commission will establish safe dosage levels for methadone and other opioids, determine appropriate conversion factors when transferring a patient from one opioid to another, and create specific guidelines for initiating pain management treatment with methadone. To curtail the problems of doctor shopping and diversion, this legislation also adequately funds the National All Schedules Prescription Drug Reporting Act, NASPER. Passed and signed into law in 2005, NASPER requires providers to submit prescribing information for all schedule II, III, and IV drugs to State run controlled substance monitoring programs. NASPER also requires States to share this information with one another. Funding NASPER will serve as a deterrent to those who misuse methadone from crossing State lines in order to avoid being detected.

Finally, to improve access to comprehensive data on methadone-related deaths, this legislation mandates the completion of a standard Model Opioid Treatment Program Mortality Report, and requires its submission to a newly created National Opioid Death Registry. Prior to 1999, methadone did not have separate classification from other opiate-related deaths. Therefore, a study released by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention in 2006 was the first opportunity to examine the trends in methadone exclusively. By creating a National Opioid Death Registry, it will be possible to more carefully track—and hopefully prevent—methadone-related deaths.

It is my belief that the multi-pronged approach provided in the Methadone

Treatment and Protection Act will lead to a decrease in the number of opioid and methadone-related deaths. This legislation will improve the coordination of resources and information at the local, State and Federal level to stifle the rising death toll, while at the same time make certain methadone and other opioids remain accessible for those who truly need these medications. In light of the facts and the preventable nature of methadone-related deaths, Congress has a responsibility to the American people to guarantee individuals have access to the treatment they need in a manner that is both safe and effective. The time for action is now, and I urge my colleagues to join us in support of this important bill.

By Mrs. BOXER:

S. 755. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to authorize the Director of the National Cancer Institute to make grants for the discovery and validation of biomarkers for use in risk stratification for, and the early detection and screening of, ovarian cancer; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, as we engage in the debate on health care reform, it is critical that we address the need to invest in health research and innovation to spur the development of new treatments and cures for diseases. Today, I am proud to introduce two bills, S. 755 and S. 756, that would direct Federal investment in new programs that would develop tools to detect ovarian and prostate cancers.

We know that early and reliable detection of these cancers can save lives. These bills make sure we have the tools we need to catch these cancers early, when they can be treated thereby significantly increasing survival rates.

First, the Ovarian Cancer Biomarker Research Act provides funding for research directed toward the development of reliable screening techniques for ovarian cancer—a critical investment in the future of any woman who will face ovarian cancer.

Though only one in 72 women will face ovarian cancer in their lifetime, this disease ranks fifth in cancer deaths among women and causes more deaths than any other cancer of the female reproductive system. In the last year alone, the National Cancer Institute, NCI, estimated there were 15,520 deaths from ovarian cancer in the U.S.

For many years, ovarian cancer has been called the “silent killer” because too often women are diagnosed with this disease too late to be saved. But when ovarian cancer is diagnosed early, more than 93 percent of women survive longer than 5 years. Because there is currently no effective screening test available, 4 out of 5 ovarian cancer cases in the U.S. are diagnosed in the later stages, when a woman's chance of surviving more than 5 years drops to 46 percent.

The Ovarian Cancer Biomarker Research Act would authorize NCI to make grants for public or nonprofit entities to establish research centers focused on ovarian cancer biomarkers. Biomarkers are biochemical features within the body that can be used to measure the progress of a disease and predict the effects of treatment. This legislation also authorizes funding for a national clinical trial that will enroll at-risk women in a study to determine the clinical utility of using these validated ovarian cancer biomarkers.

The Society of Gynecologic Oncologists, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the Ovarian Cancer National Alliance, and the American College of Surgeons have all joined together in support of this research developing tools to detect ovarian cancer early, because they know it is critical to improving the rate of survival for women struck by this disease.

The second bill, the Prostate Imaging, Research and Men's Education Act, addresses the urgent need for the development of new technologies to detect and diagnose prostate cancer.

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in the U.S., and the second leading cause of cancer related deaths in men—striking 1 in every 6 men. In 2008, it was estimated that more than 186,000 men were diagnosed with prostate cancer, and more than 28,000 men died from the disease.

The Prostate Research, Imaging, and Men's Education Act, or PRIME Act, would mirror the investment the Federal Government made in advanced imaging technologies, which led to life-saving breakthroughs in detection, diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. This bill directs the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to expand prostate cancer research, and provides the resources to develop innovative advanced imaging technologies for prostate cancer detection, diagnosis, and treatment.

In addition, the PRIME Act would create a national campaign to increase awareness about the need for prostate cancer screening, and works with the Offices of Minority Health at HHS and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to ensure that this information reaches the men most at risk from this disease.

The PRIME Act will also promote research that improves prostate cancer screening blood tests. According to a National Cancer Institute study, current blood tests result in false-negative reassurances and numerous false-positive alarms. Some 15 percent of men with normal blood test levels actually have prostate cancer. Even when levels are abnormal, some 88 percent of men end up not having prostate cancer but undergo unnecessary biopsies. Furthermore, the prostate is one of the last organs in a human body where biopsies are performed blindly, which can miss cancer even when multiple samples are taken.

Government initiatives in research and education can be the key to diagnosing prostate or ovarian cancers earlier and more accurately. These two bills would strengthen our efforts to fight these diseases.

These bills are of vital importance to thousands of men and women across our great Nation, and the families and friends who are concerned for their continued health. I look forward to working with my colleagues in the House and Senate to get these bills passed as soon as possible.

By Mr. UDALL of Colorado (for himself, Mr. BENNET, and Mr. UDALL of New Mexico):

S. 757. A bill to amend the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 to expand the category of individuals eligible for compensation, to improve the procedures for providing compensation, and to improve transparency, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Charlie Wolf Nuclear Workers Compensation Act. It is a bill designed to improve a program to compensate Americans who are gravely ill because they were exposed to radiation or other toxins while working in our Cold War-era nuclear weapons complex.

This is an issue that is important to many Coloradans because of the work done at Rocky Flats outside of Denver. The compensation program has a number of serious flaws, and I have worked on solutions for several years now.

The bill I am introducing includes a number of provisions that I introduced last session in the House of Representatives with my Colorado colleague, Representative ED PERLMUTTER. This year, I expanded on those provisions and added others to help these workers finally get the assistance they deserve under this program.

We named the bill for Charlie Wolf, who was one of thousands of workers during the Cold War era, who risked their health in order to build America's nuclear arsenal. And I believe his story illustrates why we should do better by these workers—and why I have introduced this bill.

Charlie worked as an engineer at Rocky Flats—and before that, at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina. He—and the thousands of other workers like him—are Cold War veterans. As controversial as their work often was, they were also patriotic Americans who did more for our country than collect a paycheck.

They believed that their work was keeping the world safe from the Soviet threat—and keeping this country strong. And they were right.

But their work was also dangerous. As a result of radiation and toxins he was exposed to on the job, Charlie developed brain cancer a little over 6 years ago. He was given 6 months to live—but he hung on for 6 years.

During all of those 6 years, he and his family fought with the Federal government to get the compensation that he was promised—and that he deserved.

Charlie's struggles were documented by the Rocky Mountain News in a series of stories called "Deadly Denial." That title, unfortunately, has come to symbolize the troubles with this compensation program.

I have heard from many former workers, who—like Charlie and his family—have been subjected to repeated delays, lost records, complex exposure formulas, and other roadblocks.

We simply cannot—and should not—subject these workers—patriotic people who put themselves in harm's way to help secure our nation—through these kinds of obstacles and difficulties.

It is shameful and, frankly, enough is enough.

This Congress recognized that we should compensate our Cold Warriors and certain survivors who put their health and life on the line to serve our Nation during the Cold War. We created the EEOICPA program to carry out that compensation.

I was among those who strongly supported the EEOICPA provisions that were finally enacted into law in 2000.

But the next year brought a new administration that, regrettably, did not advocate for the program as the Clinton administration had.

Simply put, the program is not working the way it was intended.

As a result, while many people have received benefits under the program, too many face inexcusable obstacles as they try—often in old age or while struggling with the effects of cancer or other serious illnesses—to prove they qualify for benefits.

More than 9 years after we enacted EEOICPA, workers have died without receiving the health care or compensation they deserve.

In fact, a combination of missing records and bureaucratic red tape has prevented many workers from accessing any compensation for their serious illnesses.

I now look forward to working with the Obama administration to correct problems with this compensation program.

The bill I am introducing this week is part of that ongoing effort.

The Charlie Wolf Act is designed to expand the category of individuals eligible for compensation, improve the procedures for providing compensation and transparency, and grant the Office of the Ombudsman greater authority to help workers.

I would like to explain a couple of the provisions in a little more detail.

First, it would revise the part of the EEOICPA law that specifies which covered workers are part of what is known as a "special exposure cohort" designation under the law.

The revision would extend this "special exposure cohort" status to Department of Energy employees, Department of Energy contractor employees,

or atomic weapons employees who worked at a nuclear weapons facility prior to January 1, 2006.

Being included in a special exposure cohort would help make it easier for workers to establish that their radiation-linked cancer was the result of working at one of these facilities.

Second, the bill would change the burden of proving that a radiation-linked cancer was the result of workplace exposure to toxic materials.

As the law now stands, before a worker can receive benefits, they must establish that the cancer is as likely as not to have resulted from on-the-job exposure to radiation.

While that sounds like a reasonable requirement, many workers have learned that we have not adequately documented radiation exposures over the years.

In fact, there were serious shortcomings in the monitoring of nuclear weapons plant workers' radiation exposures and in the necessary record-keeping. Also, the current administrative process for determining links between exposure and employment is terribly slow.

Many worker exposures were unmonitored or under-monitored over a nuclear weapons plant's history. As such, the current law requires these workers to seek "dose reconstructions"—essentially using some extrapolated data modeling to re-create the sorts of exposures experienced.

But "dose reconstructions" are extremely difficult, slow and arduous for the worker and the agency. The process drags out, while workers like Charlie suffer and wait for compensation they need—in some cases, to help them pay for cancer treatments or care for other deadly illnesses.

This is wrong. We owe these workers better than that.

My bill fixes that problem by presuming that a worker with a covered radiation-linked cancer is eligible for compensation. And it puts the burden of proof on the agency.

So, unless the agency can show—by clear and convincing evidence—that their cancer was not caused by exposure while working at a nuclear weapons facility, that worker would be eligible for compensation.

It may seem like this is asking to prove a negative, but I believe that it requires the federal agency to prove that the cancer may have been the result of other factors. I think it is more appropriate to place this burden on the federal government—and not the ill worker.

Third, the bill expands the list of cancers for which individuals are eligible to receive compensation. The current law fails to recognize some cancers that could legitimately be caused by exposure to toxic materials at these sites.

The bill also requires the Department of Labor to pay a claimant's estate should a claimant die after filing their claim—but before receiving payment and leaving no survivors.

Finally, the bill makes a number of other changes that are all designed to make this process more user-friendly and helpful to claimants.

It expands the duties of the Ombudsman's Office, providing greater transparency and communication with claimants, and allowing more time to file legal actions should claims be denied.

It also allows claimants who were previously denied to re-file their claims.

Since early in my tenure in Congress, I have worked to make good on promises of a fairer deal for the nuclear-weapons workers who helped America win the Cold War.

That was why enactment and improvement of the compensation act has been one of my top priorities. This is an important matter for our country. It is literally a life-or-death issue for the Coloradans who are sick today because of their work at Rocky Flats.

The Charlie Wolf Act will not remedy all the shortcomings of the current law, but it will make it better.

I hope to work with my colleagues in the Senate, who have constituents who face situations similar to that of Charlie and his family. I hope for swift action from both Congress and the administration to keep our promises to these workers and their families.

Charlie Wolf and his family deserve better, as do all of the Americans who have made similar sacrifices and been subjected to similar struggles.

Charlie's widow, Kathy, told me this week that Charlie carried on his fight out of principle because he didn't want other workers to have to fight the country they worked so hard to protect.

I am proud to continue to work on behalf of Charlie's family and his memory. I urge my colleagues to cosponsor or support this worthwhile legislation and honor our Cold War heroes.

I would like to thank Senator MICHAEL BENNET of Colorado and Senator TOM UDALL of New Mexico for joining me as original cosponsors of this bill.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 757

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the "Charlie Wolf Nuclear Workers Compensation Act".

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Findings; purpose.
- Sec. 3. Specified disease.
- Sec. 4. Definitions for program administration.
- Sec. 5. Change in presumption for finding of cancer.
- Sec. 6. Distribution of information to claimants and potential claimants.

Sec. 7. Enhancement of site profiles of Department of Energy facilities.

Sec. 8. Clarification of covered illnesses.

Sec. 9. Payment of compensation to survivors and estates of contractor employees.

Sec. 10. Wage loss resulting from exposure.

Sec. 11. Expansion of toxic substance exposure for covered illnesses.

Sec. 12. Extension of statute of limitations for judicial review of contractor employee claims.

Sec. 13. Expansion of authority of Ombudsman of Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.

Sec. 14. Payment for transportation and personal care services.

Sec. 15. Enhancement of transparency in claims process.

Sec. 16. Extension of time for claimants to respond to requests for information.

**SEC. 2. FINDINGS; PURPOSE.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds that—

(1) the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) (referred to in this subsection as the "Act") was enacted to ensure fairness and equity for the civilian men and women who, for more than 50 years, have performed duties uniquely related to the nuclear weapons production and testing programs of the Department of Energy (including predecessor agencies of the Department of Energy) by establishing a program to provide efficient, uniform, and adequate compensation for—

(A) beryllium-related health conditions; and

(B) heavy metal-, toxic chemical-, and radiation-related health conditions;

(2) the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) provides a process for the consideration of claims for compensation by individuals who were employed at relevant times and at various locations, which includes provisions to designate employees at certain other locations as members of a special exposure cohort the claims of whom are subject to a less-detailed administrative process;

(3) the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) authorizes the President, upon a recommendation by the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health established under section 3624(a)(1) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384o(a)(1)), to designate additional classes of employees at facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Energy as members of a special exposure cohort if the President determines that—

(A) it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the magnitude of the radiation dose that the cohort received; and

(B) there is a reasonable likelihood that the radiation dose may have endangered the health of members of the cohort;

(4) it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the magnitude of radiation doses received by employees at facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Energy because—

(A) many radiation exposures by employees were unmonitored or were not monitored adequately over the lifetime of each facility, as demonstrated in 2004, when an individual employed during the 1950's agreed to be scanned under the former radiation worker program of the Department of Energy and was found to have a significant internal deposition of radiation that had been undetected and unrecorded for longer than 50 years;

(B) lung counters used for the detection and measurement of plutonium and americium in the lungs of the employees were not available at some facilities until the late 1960's, thus—

(i) preventing the very insoluble oxide forms of plutonium from being detected; and

(ii) leading to a result in which a large number of employees experienced inhalation exposures that went undetected and unmeasured;

(C) exposure to neutron radiation was not monitored at some facilities until the late 1950's, and most of the measurements taken at the facilities from the period beginning in the late 1950's and ending in 1970 have been found to be in error;

(D) in some areas of the facilities, neutron doses were 2 to 10 times as great as the gamma doses received by employees, although only gamma doses were recorded;

(E) the radiation exposures of many employees at certain facilities were not measured, and in some cases estimated doses were assigned, while some records for doses have been destroyed or lost;

(F) as a result of the practices described in subparagraph (E), the available exposure histories and other data are not adequate to properly determine whether employees qualify for compensation under the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.); and

(G) the model that has been used for dose reconstruction by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health in determining whether certain workers qualify for compensation under the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) contains errors because—

(i) the default values used for particle size and solubility of internally deposited plutonium in employees are in error; and

(ii) the use of those erroneous default values to calculate internal doses for claimants can result in dose calculations that may be 3 to 10 times below the calculations as indicated by the example of the records and autopsy data of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site of the Department of Energy;

(5) the administrative costs arising from claims have been disproportionately high relative to the number of claims that have been approved;

(6) many employees, despite working with tons of plutonium and having known exposures that have led to serious health effects, have been denied compensation under the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) as a result of—

(A) potentially flawed calculations based on records that are incomplete or in error; and

(B) the use of incorrect models;

(7) the purposes of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) are more likely to be achieved if claims by the employees described in this subsection are subject to administrative procedures applicable to members of the special exposure cohort;

(8) Charlie Wolf, an employee at the nuclear weapons facilities of the Savannah River Site, the Fernald Site, and the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site of the Department of Energy, died in 2009 from complications due to glioblastoma multiform brain tumors;

(9) the difficulties of Mr. Wolf in securing compensation for the illness that he likely incurred from exposures to toxic and radioactive materials at the nuclear weapons facilities described in paragraph (8) reinforce the need to ensure that the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) will be carried out more efficiently and humanely for employees similar to Mr. Wolf;

(10) Mr. Wolf's first tumor was discovered after he had worked for several years at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site of the Department of Energy, during which he served as the director of buildings numbered 771 (which was once considered the most dangerous nuclear facility in the United States), 774, and 779, 3 facilities at

which toxic and radioactive materials were present and handled by employees;

(11) prior to working at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site of the Department of Energy, Mr. Wolf ran plutonium metal production lines at the Savannah River Site of the Department of Energy;

(12) Mr. Wolf and his family spent almost 7 years of their lives seeking compensation under the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) although, due to the requirements of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) and the manner by which the regulations and procedures were carried out, the claims of Mr. Wolf were subjected to lengthy and repeated delays and complications that resulted from the difficulties associated with establishing the reconstruction of radiation doses;

(13) as a result of the experiences of Mr. Wolf, and many others like him, there is a need to reform the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) and the program carried out in accordance with the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.), to improve the processing of claims; and

(14) the reforms established through the amendments made by this Act broaden the list of specified cancers, broaden the membership of the special exposure cohort, and change the presumption of cancer due to work-related exposures to help streamline the claims process and help workers like Mr. Wolf and their survivors.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this Act is to amend the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) to improve the processing of claims for work-related illnesses at facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Energy.

### SEC. 3. SPECIFIED DISEASE.

Section 4(b)(2) of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (42 U.S.C. 2210 note; Public Law 101-426) is amended—

(1) by striking “(other than chronic lymphocytic leukemia)” and inserting “(including chronic lymphocytic leukemia)”;

(2) by inserting “posterior subcapsular cataracts, nonmalignant thyroid nodular disease, parathyroid adenoma, malignant tumors of the brain and central nervous system, bronchio-alveolar carcinoma, benign neoplasms of the brain and central nervous system,” after “disease.”; and

(3) by striking “or lung” and inserting “lung, skin, kidney, salivary gland, rectum, pharynx, or prostate”.

### SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS FOR PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.

(a) ATOMIC WEAPONS EMPLOYEE.—Section 3621(3)(A) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384(3)(A)) is amended by inserting “, or an individual employed by a contractor or subcontractor of an atomic weapons employer,” after “atomic weapons employer”.

(b) ESTABLISHED CHRONIC BERYLLIUM DISEASE.—Section 3621 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384) is amended by striking paragraph (13) and inserting the following:

“(13) ESTABLISHED CHRONIC BERYLLIUM DISEASE.—The term ‘established chronic beryllium disease’ means chronic beryllium disease, as established by—

“(A) an occupational or environmental history, or epidemiological evidence of beryllium exposure; and

“(B) any 3 of the following criteria:

“(i) Characteristic chest radiographic (or computed tomography) abnormalities.

“(ii) Restrictive or obstructive lung physiology testing or a diffusing lung capacity defect.

“(iii) Lung pathology consistent with chronic beryllium disease.

“(iv) A clinical course consistent with a chronic respiratory disorder.

“(v) An immunologic test demonstrating beryllium sensitivity (with preference given to a skin patch test or a beryllium blood test).”.

(c) MEMBER OF SPECIAL EXPOSURE COHORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3621(14) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384(14)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(D) The employee—

“(i) is not covered under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C); and

“(ii) was employed by the Department of Energy, or a contractor or subcontractor of the Department of Energy, before January 1, 2006.”.

(2) REAPPLICATION.—A claim for which an individual qualifies, by reason of paragraph (14)(D) of section 3621 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384) (as added by paragraph (1)), for compensation or benefits under that Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) shall be considered for compensation or benefits notwithstanding any denial of any other claim for compensation with respect to the individual.

(d) SPECIFIED CANCERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3621(17) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384(17)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “(other than chronic lymphocytic leukemia)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(E) Basal cell carcinoma.

“(F) Skin cancer.”.

(2) REAPPLICATION.—A claim for which an individual qualifies, by reason of subparagraph (E) or (F) of paragraph (17) of section 3621 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384) (as added by paragraph (1)), for compensation or benefits under that Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) shall be considered for compensation or benefits notwithstanding any denial of any other claim for compensation with respect to the individual.

### SEC. 5. CHANGE IN PRESUMPTION FOR FINDING OF CANCER.

Section 3623(b) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384n(b)) is amended by striking “if, and only if, the cancer specified in that subclause was at least as likely as not related to” and inserting “, unless it is determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that such cancer was not sustained as a result of”.

### SEC. 6. DISTRIBUTION OF INFORMATION TO CLAIMANTS AND POTENTIAL CLAIMANTS.

(a) INDEPENDENT PHYSICIANS FOR PERFORMANCE OF MEDICAL AND IMPAIRMENT SCREENINGS.—Section 3631(b)(2) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384v(b)(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) lists that contain descriptions of physicians who are—

“(i) qualified to perform medical and impairment screenings on matters relating to the compensation program; and

“(ii) identified for purposes of this subparagraph by 1 or more independent medical associations, institutions of higher education, or both that are selected by the President for purposes of this subparagraph; and”.

(b) NOTICE OF AVAILABLE BENEFITS.—Section 3631 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384v) (as amended by subsection (a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS REGARDING AVAILABLE BENEFITS.—The President shall provide to an individual who files a claim for compensation under this subtitle or subtitle E a written notice that contains a description of the benefits for which the individual may be eligible under this Act.”.

**SEC. 7. ENHANCEMENT OF SITE PROFILES OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FACILITIES.**

(a) INCLUSION OF TRADE NAMES OF CHEMICALS IN SITE PROFILES.—Section 3633 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384w-1) is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) DEFINITION OF SITE PROFILE.—In this section, the term ‘site profile’ means an exposure assessment of a facility that—

“(1) identifies the toxic substances or processes that were commonly used in each building or process of the facility, and the time frame during which the potential for exposure to toxic substances existed; and

“(2) includes the trade name (if any) of any substance described in paragraph (1).”.

(b) PUBLIC ACCESS TO SITE PROFILES AND RELATED INFORMATION.—Section 3633 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384w-1) (as amended by subsection (a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) PUBLIC ACCESS TO SITE PROFILES AND RELATED INFORMATION.—The Secretary of Labor shall make available to the public—

“(1) each site profile prepared under subsection (a);

“(2) any other database used by the Secretary of Energy to evaluate claims for compensation under this Act; and

“(3) statistical data regarding the number of claims filed, the illnesses claimed, the number of claims filed for each illness, the number of claimants receiving compensation, and the length of time required to process each claim, as measured from the date on which the claim is filed to the final disposition of the claim.”.

**SEC. 8. CLARIFICATION OF COVERED ILLNESSES.**

(a) DEFINITION OF COVERED ILLNESS.—Section 3671 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s) is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) COVERED ILLNESS.—The term ‘covered illness’ means an illness or death resulting from exposure to a toxic substance, including—

“(A) all forms of cancer;

“(B) silicosis;

“(C) asbestosis;

“(D) mesothelioma;

“(E) lung fibrosis;

“(F) chronic obstructive pulmonary disease;

“(G) chronic renal insufficiency;

“(H) peripheral neuropathy;

“(I) chronic encephalopathy;

“(J) occupational asthma; and

“(K) pneumoconiosis.”.

(b) REAPPLICATION.—A claim for which an individual qualifies, by reason of section 3671(2) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s(2)) (as amended by subsection (a)), for compensation or benefits under that Act (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) shall be considered for compensation or benefits notwithstanding any denial of any other claim for compensation with respect to the individual.

**SEC. 9. PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION TO SURVIVORS AND ESTATES OF CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES.**

Section 3672 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s-1) is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 3672. COMPENSATION.**

“(a) CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES; SURVIVORS.—“(1) CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with section 3673, a covered contractor employee of the Department of Energy shall receive contractor employee compensation under this subtitle.

“(B) COMPENSATION AFTER DEATH OF CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE.—Except as provided in paragraph (2)(B), if the death of a contractor employee described in subparagraph (A) occurs after the date on which the contractor employee applies for compensation under this subtitle, but before the date on which such compensation is paid, the amount of compensation that the contractor employee would have received under this paragraph shall be paid to—

“(i) a survivor of the contractor employee in accordance with section 3674; or

“(ii) if, as of the date of the death of the contractor employee, no survivor of the contractor employee exists, the estate of the contractor employee.

“(2) SURVIVORS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a survivor of a covered contractor employee of the Department of Energy shall receive contractor employee compensation under this subtitle in accordance with section 3674.

“(B) ELECTION OF CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION OR SURVIVOR COMPENSATION.—A survivor of a contractor employee described in subparagraph (A) who is otherwise eligible to receive compensation pursuant to subparagraph (A) and paragraph (1)(B) shall—

“(i) receive compensation pursuant to subparagraph (A) or paragraph (1)(B), as elected by the survivor of the contractor employee; and

“(ii) not receive compensation pursuant to both subparagraph (A) and paragraph (1)(B).

“(b) APPLICABILITY.—Subsection (a) is subject to each other provision of this subtitle.”.

**SEC. 10. WAGE LOSS RESULTING FROM EXPOSURE.**

Section 3673(a)(2)(A)(i) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s-2(a)(2)(A)(i)) is amended by inserting “that contributed to the wage loss of the employee” after “that employee”.

**SEC. 11. EXPANSION OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE EXPOSURE FOR COVERED ILLNESSES.**

Section 3675(c)(1) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s-4(c)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “(including radiation or a combination of a toxic substance, including heavy metals, and radiation)” after “toxic substance”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “(including radiation or a combination of a toxic substance and radiation)” after “toxic substance”.

**SEC. 12. EXTENSION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE CLAIMS.**

Section 3677(a) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s-6(a)) is amended, in the first sentence, by striking “within 60 days” and inserting “not later than 1 year”.

**SEC. 13. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY OF OMBUDSMAN OF ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION PROGRAM.**

Section 3686 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s-15) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) DUTIES.—The Office shall—

“(1) assist individuals in making claims under this subtitle and subtitle B;

“(2) provide information regarding—

“(A) the benefits available under this subtitle and subtitle B; and

“(B) the requirements and procedures applicable to the provision of the benefits described in subparagraph (A);

“(3) function as an advocate on behalf of individuals seeking benefits under this subtitle and subtitle B;

“(4) make recommendations to the Secretary regarding the location of centers (to be known as ‘resource centers’) for the acceptance and development of claims for benefits under this subtitle and subtitle B; and

“(5) carry out such other duties as the Secretary may require.”;

(2) in subsection (d), by inserting “and subtitle B” after “this subtitle”;

(3) in subsection (e), by inserting “and subtitle B” after “this subtitle” each place it appears; and

(4) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—The Ombudsman may enter into 1 or more service contracts with individuals who possess expertise in any matter that the Ombudsman considers appropriate for the performance of the duties of the Office, including matters relating to health physics, medicine, industrial hygiene, and toxicology.”.

**SEC. 14. PAYMENT FOR TRANSPORTATION AND PERSONAL CARE SERVICES.**

(a) DEFINITION OF COVERED INDIVIDUAL.—In this section, the term “covered individual” means an individual who receives medical benefits under section 3629(a) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384t(a)).

(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Labor shall promulgate regulations to provide for the direct payment to providers of the costs to covered individuals of—

(1) personal care services (as that term is used in section 30.403 of title 20, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act)) authorized pursuant to section 3629 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384t); and

(2) necessary and reasonable transportation expenses incident to securing medical services, appliances, or supplies pursuant to section 3629(c) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384t(c)).

**SEC. 15. ENHANCEMENT OF TRANSPARENCY IN CLAIMS PROCESS.**

(a) INFORMATION PROVIDED ON DENIAL OF CLAIM; REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO CORRESPONDENCE.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the President shall promulgate regulations to ensure that—

(1) any notification to an individual making a claim under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) that the claim of the individual has been denied, and all other correspondence with the individual relating to the claim, are written in language that is clear, concise, and easily understandable; and

(2) any notification described in paragraph (1) contains—

(A) an explanation of each reason for the denial of the claim described in that paragraph; and

(B) a description of the information, if any, that the individual could have submitted that could have resulted in approval of the claim.

(b) DOCUMENT RETENTION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Energy shall jointly promulgate regulations to ensure that the Department of Labor and the Department of Energy—

(1) retain each original document in the possession of the Department of Labor or the Department of Energy relating to a facility under the jurisdiction of the Department of Energy if—

(A) any employee of the facility might reasonably be expected to file a claim for compensation under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.); and

(B) the document might reasonably be expected to be used by any employee described in subparagraph (A) in making a claim for compensation under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.); and

(2) provide each employee described in paragraph (1)(A) with access to each document described in that paragraph.

**SEC. 16. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR CLAIMANTS TO RESPOND TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.**

If the Secretary of Labor submits to an individual who has filed a claim for compensation under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384 et seq.) a request for information that relates to the claim for compensation, the individual shall be required to respond to the request by not earlier than 120 days after the date on which the individual receives the request.

**SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS**

**SENATE RESOLUTION 92—HONORING THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND LEGACY OF CÉSAR ESTRADA CHÁVEZ**

Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. BEGICH, Mr. BURRIS, Mr. REID, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. UDALL, of New Mexico, and Mr. BENNET) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 92

Whereas César Estrada Chávez was born on March 31, 1927, near Yuma, Arizona, where he spent his early years on his family's farm;

Whereas at the age of 10, César Estrada Chávez joined the thousands of migrant farm workers laboring in fields and vineyards throughout the Southwest, when his family lost their farm due to a bank foreclosure;

Whereas César Estrada Chávez, after attending more than 30 elementary and middle schools and achieving an eighth-grade education, left to work full-time as a farm worker to help support his family;

Whereas at the age of 17, César Estrada Chávez entered the United States Navy and served the Nation with distinction for 2 years;

Whereas in 1948, César Estrada Chávez returned from military service to marry Helen Fabela, whom he met working in the vine-

yards of central California, and had 8 children;

Whereas as early as 1949, César Estrada Chávez committed himself to organizing farm workers to campaign for safe and fair working conditions, reasonable wages, decent housing, and the outlawing of child labor;

Whereas in 1952, César Estrada Chávez joined the Community Service Organization, a prominent Latino civil rights group, and worked to coordinate voter registration drives and conduct campaigns against discrimination in East Los Angeles, and later served as the national director of the organization;

Whereas in 1962, César Estrada Chávez left the Community Service Organization to found the National Farm Workers Association, which eventually became the United Farm Workers of America;

Whereas César Estrada Chávez was a strong believer in the principles of non-violence practiced by Mahatma Gandhi and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.;

Whereas César Estrada Chávez effectively utilized peaceful tactics, such as fasting in 1968 for 25 days, in 1972 for 25 days, and in 1988 for 38 days, to call attention to the terrible working and living conditions of farm workers in the United States;

Whereas under the leadership of César Estrada Chávez, the United Farm Workers of America organized thousands of migrant farm workers to fight for fair wages, health care coverage, pension benefits, livable housing, and respect;

Whereas through his commitment to non-violence, César Estrada Chávez brought dignity and respect to the farm workers who organized themselves, and became an inspiration and a resource to other people in the United States and people engaged in human rights struggles throughout the world;

Whereas the influence of César Estrada Chávez extends far beyond agriculture and provides inspiration for those working to better human rights, to empower workers, and to advance an American Dream that includes all its inhabitants of the United States;

Whereas César Estrada Chávez died on April 23, 1993, in San Luis, Arizona, only miles from his birthplace of 66 years earlier;

Whereas more than 50,000 people attended the funeral services of César Estrada Chávez in Delano, California, and he was laid to rest at the headquarters of the United Farm Workers of America, known as Nuestra Señora de La Paz, located in the Tehachapi Mountains at Keene, California;

Whereas since his death, schools, parks, streets, libraries, and other public facilities, and awards and scholarships have been named in honor of César Estrada Chávez;

Whereas since his death, 10 States and dozens of communities across the Nation honor the life and legacy of César Estrada Chávez on March 31 of each year, the day of his birth;

Whereas César Estrada Chávez was a recipient of the Martin Luther King, Jr. Peace Prize during his lifetime, and after his death was awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom on August 8, 1994; and

Whereas the United States should not cease its efforts to ensure equality, justice, and dignity for all people in the United States: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the accomplishments and example of a great American hero, César Estrada Chávez;

(2) pledges to promote the legacy of César Estrada Chávez; and

(3) encourages the people of the United States to commemorate the legacy of César

Estrada Chávez, and to always remember his great rallying cry, "Sí, se puede!".

**SENATE RESOLUTION 93—A BILL SUPPORTING THE MISSION AND GOALS OF 2009 NATIONAL CRIME VICTIM'S RIGHTS WEEK, TO INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE RIGHTS, NEEDS, AND CONCERNS OF VICTIMS AND SURVIVORS OF CRIME IN THE UNITED STATES, AND TO COMMEMORATE THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ENACTMENT OF THE VICTIMS OF CRIME ACT OF 1984.**

Mr. SCHUMER submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 93

Whereas approximately 25,000,000 individuals in the United States are victims of crime each year, including more than 6,000,000 victims of violent crime;

Whereas a just society acknowledges the impact of crime on individuals, families, and communities by ensuring that rights, resources, and services are available to help rebuild lives;

Whereas although the Nation has steadily expanded rights, protections, and services for victims of crime, too many victims are still not able to realize the hope and promise of these gains;

Whereas the Nation must do more to ensure that services are available for underserved segments of the population, including crime victims with disabilities, with mental illness, teenaged victims, elderly victims, and victims from urban areas, rural areas, and communities of color;

Whereas observing victims' rights and treating victims with dignity and respect serves the public interest by engaging victims in the justice system, inspiring respect for public authorities, and promoting confidence in public safety;

Whereas the people of the United States recognize that homes, neighborhoods, and communities are made safer and stronger by serving victims of crime and ensuring justice for all;

Whereas 2009 marks the 25th anniversary of the enactment of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (VOCA) (42 U.S.C. 10601 et seq.), the hallmark of the Federal Government's recognition of its commitment to supporting rights and services for victims of all types of crime that established the Crime Victims Fund, which is paid for through criminal fines and penalties, rather than by taxpayers' dollars;

Whereas since its inception, the Crime Victims Fund has collected more than \$9,000,000,000 from offender fines and penalties to be used exclusively to help victims of crime;

Whereas VOCA supports direct assistance and financial compensation to more than 4,000,000 victims of crime every year;

Whereas VOCA's imaginative transformation of offender fines into programs of victim rehabilitation has inspired similar programs throughout the worldwide crime victims' movement;

Whereas the theme of 2009 National Crime Victims' Rights Week, celebrated April 26, 2009 through May 2, 2009, is "25 Years of Rebuilding Lives: Celebrating the Victims of Crime Act", which highlights VOCA's significant achievements and contributions in advancing rights and services for all crime victims; and