

that even when we cannot increase the cost of living for Social Security we protect our seniors from a reduction in their monthly check.

I urge my colleagues to support his legislation which is fully offset and has the support of the AARP, the National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, the Center for Medicare Advocacy, the Alliance for Retired Americans, the Medicare Rights Center, and the National Active and Retired Federal Employees Association.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time has expired. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3631.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. HERGER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 406, nays 18, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 737]

YEAS—406

Abercrombie  
Ackerman  
Aderholt  
Adler (NJ)  
Alexander  
Altmire  
Andrews  
Arcuri  
Austria  
Baca  
Bachmann  
Bachus  
Baldwin  
Barrow  
Bartlett  
Barton (TX)  
Becerra  
Berkley  
Berman  
Berry  
Biggert  
Billray  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (GA)  
Bishop (NY)  
Bishop (UT)  
Blackburn  
Blumenauer  
Blunt  
Bocieri  
Boehner  
Bonner  
Bono Mack  
Boozman  
Boren  
Boswell  
Boucher  
Boustany  
Boyd  
Brady (PA)  
Brady (TX)  
Braley (IA)  
Bright  
Brown (SC)  
Brown, Corrine  
Brown-Waite,  
    Ginny  
Buchanan  
Burgess  
Burton (IN)  
Butterfield  
Calvert  
Camp  
Campbell  
Cantor  
Cao  
Capito  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cardoza  
Carnahan

Carney  
Carson (IN)  
Carter  
Cassidy  
Castle  
Castor (FL)  
Chandler  
Childers  
Chu  
Clarke  
Clay  
Cleaver  
Clyburn  
Coble  
Coffman (CO)  
Cohen  
Cole  
Conaway  
Connolly (VA)  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costa  
Costello  
Courtney  
Crenshaw  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Culberson  
Cummings  
Dahlkemper  
Davis (AL)  
Davis (GA)  
Davis (IL)  
Davis (KY)  
Davis (TN)  
Deal (GA)  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLauro  
Dent  
Diaz-Balart, L.  
Diaz-Balart, M.  
Dicks  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Donnelly (IN)  
Dreier  
Driehaus  
Duncan  
Edwards (MD)  
Edwards (TX)  
Ehlers  
Ellison  
Ellsworth  
Emerson  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Etheridge  
Fallin  
Farr  
Fattah

Filner  
Fleming  
Forbes  
Fortenberry  
Foster  
Fox  
Frank (MA)  
Franks (AZ)  
Frelinghuysen  
Fudge  
Gallegly  
Gerlach  
Gerlach  
Giffords  
Gingrey (GA)  
Gohmert  
Gonzalez  
Goodlatte  
Gordon (TN)  
Granger  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Griffith  
Grijalva  
Guthrie  
Gutierrez  
Hall (NY)  
Hall (TX)  
Hall (TX)  
McMorris  
Halvorson  
Hare  
Harman  
Harper  
Hastings (FL)  
Hastings (WA)  
Heinrich  
Heller  
Herger  
Herseth Sandlin  
Higgins  
Himes  
Hinchev  
Hinojosa  
Hirono  
Hodes  
Hoekstra  
Holden  
Holt  
Honda  
Hunter  
Inglis  
Inslee  
Issa  
Jackson (IL)  
Jackson-Lee  
    (TX)  
Jenkins  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson (IL)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones

Kagen  
Kanjorski  
Kaptur  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilpatrick (MI)  
Kilroy  
Kind  
King (IA)  
King (NY)  
Kingston  
Kirk  
Kirkpatrick (AZ)  
Kissell  
Klein (FL)  
Kline (MN)  
Kosmas  
Kratovil  
Kucinich  
Lance  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Latham  
LaTourette  
Latta  
Lee (CA)  
Lee (NY)  
Levin  
Lewis (CA)  
Lewis (GA)  
Linder  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Loeb sack  
Lofgren, Zoe  
Lowey  
Lucas  
Luetkemeyer  
Lujan  
Lummis  
Lungren, Daniel  
    E.  
Lynch  
Mack  
Maffei  
Maloney  
Manzullo  
Marchant  
Markey (CO)  
Markey (MA)  
Marshall  
Massa  
Matheson  
Matsui  
McCarthy (CA)  
McCarthy (NY)  
McCaul  
McCollum  
McCotter  
McDermott  
McGovern  
McHenry  
McIntyre  
McKeon  
McMahon  
Hall (TX)  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
McNerney  
Meek (FL)  
Meeks (NY)  
Melancon  
Mica  
Michaud  
Miller (FL)  
Miller (MI)  
Miller (NC)

NAYS—18

Akin  
Baird  
Bean  
Broun (GA)  
Chaffetz  
Flake  
Garrett (NJ)  
Hensarling  
Hill  
Hoyer  
Jordan (OH)  
Lamborn

NOT VOTING—8

Barrett (SC)  
Buyer  
Delahunt  
Doyle  
Graves  
Israel

□ 1245

Messrs. HILL and JORDAN of Ohio changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. FRANK of Massachusetts, FRANKS of Arizona, and COFFMAN of

Schiff  
Schmidt  
Schock  
Schrader  
Schwartz  
Scott (GA)  
Scott (VA)  
Sensenbrenner  
Serrano  
Sessions  
Sestak  
Shea-Porter  
Sherman  
Shimkus  
Shuler  
Shuster  
Neal (MA)  
Simpson  
Sires  
Skelton  
Slaughter  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Snyder  
Souder  
Space  
Spratt  
Stark  
Stearns  
Stupak  
Sullivan  
Sutton  
Tanner  
Taylor  
Teague  
Terry  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Thompson (PA)  
Thornberry  
Tiahrt  
Tiberi  
Tierney  
Titus  
Tonko  
Towns  
Tsongas  
Turner  
Upton  
Van Hollen  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Walden  
Walz  
Wamp  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters  
Watson  
Watt  
Waxman  
Weiner  
Welch  
Westmoreland  
Wexler  
Whitfield  
Wilson (OH)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Wolf  
Woolsey  
Wu  
Yarmuth  
Young (AK)  
Young (FL)

Colorado changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1245

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SCHRADER). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. WOOLSEY addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

AFGHANISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. QUIGLEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. QUIGLEY. Mr. Speaker, the American people should have serious questions when it comes to the war in Afghanistan, and I believe we need answers before we ever talk about sending additional young men and women into that conflict.

General Stanley McChrystal told us this week that he needs more troops in Afghanistan or else our mission there will likely result in failure, but there seems to be some confusion over what that mission is.

Question one: Are we building nations or hunting terrorists? The administration has stated that its primary goal is preventing al Qaeda from operating, but General McChrystal has stated that his mission is to protect the Afghan civilians and establish good governance. These objects are related, but they are not the same. As the President has stated, we must first define our strategy, and then we will determine how to resource it.

Question two: How many troops will we need? The figure being discussed is an additional 40,000 to 45,000 more troops on top of the 68,000 already in Afghanistan. But experts such as General Charles Krulak put the figure for a successful counterinsurgency at several hundred thousand. The greater our footprint over there, the more it looks like an occupation to a people who have violently resisted occupations for centuries.

Question three: Are we stretching our Army to its breaking point? Many of our troops are on their third or fourth tour. That has an impact on families and communities. Many of our National Guard units have left equipment over there and faced recruitment problems over here.

Question four: How long will these troops be there? It's not enough to decide we can manage it for another year or two with greater deployment. Without a specific end date, a decision to increase deployment today means more troops next year and the year after that.

Question five: Where will we get enough troops with the experience needed in Afghanistan? The military needs more IED experts to diffuse roadside bombs; however, it takes 11 months to train a bomb specialist, and these specialists are already in short supply.

We also need translators, medical officers, and other specialists that could require a great deal of training, yet we continue to kick out such specialists because of the immoral and extraordinarily shortsighted "don't ask, don't tell" policy.

Question six: How many NATO forces can we count on, and how will we maintain an effective command structure? We are told that this cannot be a go-it-alone mission, but resources in other NATO countries are limited, and incidents such as the German airstrike show the dangers of coalition warfare.

Question seven: Can we count on the Government of Pakistan to remain with us in this fight? Pakistan has a great deal of trouble controlling the tribal areas, and our continued presence is causing more unrest in the cities.

Question eight: Is it worth American lives to prop up the Government of Afghanistan? The Government faces serious charges of election fraud and corruption, and it appears to be losing control over much of the country as the Taliban moves in.

Question nine: Is this a winnable war? In General McChrystal's recent report he states that although the situation is serious, success is still achievable, but we still don't have a definition of success.

Final question: Is the war in Afghanistan really the best approach to protect the American people from terrorism? Our focus needs to be on protecting the people of the United States and stopping the international spread of terrorism. If this war is not the best way to do that, we need to leave. We cannot send more troops to fight for an undefined amount of time in an undefined mission and for an undefined success.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POE of Texas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### RESPECTING FAITH OF MILITARY CHAPLAINS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, this year, I introduced H.R. 268, a bill to make sure that our military chaplains of all faiths and religions are able to close a prayer in any way they see fit.

America was built on religious freedom, and that is why I am truly disturbed by a letter that was sent to Secretary Gates from the Freedom from Religion Foundation. This organization has taken exception to the fact that while speaking on the anniversary of D-day in France, U.S. Military Chaplain Thomas MacGregor closed a prayer in the name of Jesus Christ. This is just another example of how this country's Judeo-Christian values have been under assault.

As I think my colleagues know, I am a man that respects all faiths, whether it be Christian, Jewish, Muslim, and I would be just as upset if a chaplain from a non-Christian religion came under the same attack. I respect the rights of nonbelievers just as I respect the rights of believers.

It is a sad day in America when a military chaplain is criticized for closing his prayer in a way that is true to his faith.

In closing, with our young men and women fighting for religious freedom for people overseas, it is our duty to protect our own military chaplains and respect the faith of each of them.

Mr. Speaker, before I close, I do this frequently on the floor of the House because my heart aches for those over in Afghanistan and Iraq. I ask God to please bless our men and women in uniform. I ask God to please bless the families of our men and women in uniform. I ask God, in His loving arms, to hold the families who have given a child dying for freedom in Afghanistan and Iraq. And I ask God to please bless the President of the United States with wisdom, strength and courage to do what is right for America. And I close three times, God please, God please, God please continue to bless America.

#### TENTH ANNIVERSARY OF POLAND'S SUCCESSION TO NATO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, September 1, 2009, and September 17, 2009, mark the 70th anniversary of Poland's invasion on the west by Nazi Germany and on the east 3 weeks later by the Soviet Red Army. It triggered the start of World War II. World War II began with the invasion of Poland.

Poland suffered the loss of more citizens, percentage-wise, during that war—over 20 percent of its people—under domination by the Nazis and Communists than any other nation. You would think that to mark these historically important and solemn occasions on this 70th anniversary our Congress and our President would have

passed a commemoration supporting Poland's struggle for liberty and its recent democratic advances. You would think that our Nation, a nation that owes so much to Poland for inspiring our own struggle for freedom at our Nation's founding, and to its great generals, Thaddeus Kosciuszko, chief engineer of our Continental Army, and Casimir Pulaski, who saved the life of General George Washington, that we would have risen to praise the 10th anniversary of Poland's succession to NATO and its support of our current military engagements in the war on terror.

□ 1300

This year Poland will mark one decade as a signatory of NATO, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, an intrinsic part of the United States' strategic foreign policy. September 17 should have been a reverent commemoration of an extraordinary effort that cost so many lives but seeded and bequeathed a powerful sense of freedom and democracy inside the Nation of Poland that ultimately yielded solidarity and strikes that began in 1956 until the final solidarity victory in 1989 and the collapse of the Berlin Wall. September 17 should be a day that commends the valiant people of Poland for their historic struggle against fascism and communism and commemorates the sacrifices made by the Polish people, including those who have since become American citizens.

On that day, our President should have called for strength and partnership in the NATO organization, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, European Union alliances, and continued friendship with our Polish allies in the furtherance of freedom's cause. We should have honored the historic ties that our two great nations have fashioned over two centuries.

Instead, on September 17, on the very anniversary date of the heinous Communist invasion of Poland, our government and the Obama administration chose to withdraw support of the proposed antiballistic missile shield in Poland and the Czech Republic. Whatever one's views of the merits or demerits of that defensive system, the choice of that date to announce this historic withdrawal is truly an insult to the Nation of Poland and to the people of Poland. Our Nation not only owes Poland an apology, we owe her affirmative support.

The United States has had diplomatic relations with this region since they were first established in April 1919—after having been wiped off the maps of Europe for over a century—with the then-newly formed Polish Republic, while the two nations have enjoyed consistently warm bilateral relations since 1989. The Polish Government has been a strong supporter of continued American military and economic presence in Europe. We have a shared love of freedom and democracy. They have supported our global war on