

Sutton	Van Hollen	Waxman
Thompson (CA)	Velázquez	Weiner
Thompson (MS)	Visclosky	Welch
Tierney	Walz	Wexler
Titus	Wasserman	Wilson (OH)
Tonko	Schultz	Woolsey
Towns	Waters	Wu
Tsongas	Watson	Yarmuth
Upton	Watt	

NOT VOTING—8

Barrett (SC)	Ellison	Sestak
Capps	McHugh	Tanner
Clyburn	Schmidt	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are less than 2 minutes left in this vote.

□ 1559

Mr. NYE changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

Mr. PAYNE changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. GORDON of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 312, nays 114, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 709]

YEAS—312

Abercrombie	Castle	Eshoo
Ackerman	Castor (FL)	Etheridge
Aderholt	Childers	Farr
Adler (NJ)	Chu	Fattah
Altmire	Clarke	Filner
Andrews	Clay	Foster
Arcuri	Cleaver	Frank (MA)
Austria	Clyburn	Frelinghuysen
Baca	Coble	Fudge
Bachus	Cohen	Gerlach
Baird	Connolly (VA)	Giffords
Baldwin	Conyers	Gonzalez
Barrow	Cooper	Gordon (TN)
Bartlett	Costa	Grayson
Bean	Costello	Green, Al
Becerra	Courtney	Green, Gene
Berkley	Crowley	Griffith
Berman	Cuellar	Grijalva
Berry	Cummings	Gutierrez
Biggert	Dahlkemper	Hall (NY)
Bilbray	Davis (AL)	Hall (TX)
Bishop (GA)	Davis (CA)	Halvorson
Bishop (NY)	Davis (IL)	Hare
Blumenauer	Davis (KY)	Harman
Bocchieri	Davis (TN)	Hastings (FL)
Bonner	DeFazio	Heinrich
Boren	DeGette	Herseth Sandlin
Boswell	Delahunt	Higgins
Boucher	DeLauro	Hill
Boyd	Dent	Himes
Brady (PA)	Diaz-Balart, L.	Hinche
Braley (IA)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Hinojosa
Bright	Dicks	Hirono
Brown, Corrine	Dingell	Hodes
Butterfield	Doggett	Hoekstra
Buyer	Donnelly (IN)	Holden
Calvert	Doyle	Holt
Camp	Driehaus	Honda
Cao	Edwards (MD)	Hoyer
Capito	Edwards (TX)	Inglis
Capuano	Ehlers	Inslee
Cardoza	Ellison	Israel
Carahan	Ellsworth	Jackson (IL)
Carney	Emerson	Jackson-Lee
Carson (IN)	Engel	(TX)

Jenkins	Miller, Gary	Schakowsky
Johnson (GA)	Miller, George	Schauer
Johnson (IL)	Minnick	Schiff
Johnson, E. B.	Mitchell	Schock
Kagen	Mollohan	Schrader
Kanjorski	Moore (KS)	Schwartz
Kaptur	Moore (WI)	Scott (GA)
Kennedy	Moran (VA)	Scott (VA)
Kirk	Murphy (CT)	Serrano
Kirkpatrick (AZ)	Murphy (NY)	Shea-Porter
Kissell	Murphy, Patrick	Sherman
Klein (FL)	Murphy, Tim	Shuler
Kosmas	Murtha	Shuster
Kratovil	Nadler (NY)	Sires
Kucinich	Napolitano	Skelton
Lance	Neal (MA)	Slaughter
Langevin	Nye	Smith (NE)
Larsen (WA)	Oberstar	Smith (NJ)
Larson (CT)	Obey	Smith (WA)
LaTourette	Oliver	Snyder
Lee (CA)	Ortiz	Souder
Lee (NY)	Pallone	Space
Levin	Pascarell	Speier
Lewis (GA)	Pastor (AZ)	Spratt
Lipinski	Paulsen	Stark
LoBiondo	Payne	Stupak
Loeb sack	Perlmuter	Sutton
Lofgren, Zoe	Perrillo	Taylor
Lowey	Peters	Terry
Lucas	Peterson	Thompson (CA)
Lujan	Pingree (ME)	Thompson (MS)
Lynch	Pitts	Tiberi
Maffei	Platts	Tierney
Maloney	Polis (CO)	Titus
Markey (CO)	Pomeroy	Tonko
Markey (MA)	Posey	Towns
Marshall	Price (NC)	Tsongas
Massa	Putnam	Turner
Matheson	Quigley	Upton
Matsui	Rahall	Van Hollen
McCarthy (NY)	Rangel	Velázquez
McCollum	Reichert	Visclosky
McCotter	Reyes	Walz
McDermott	Richardson	Wamp
McGovern	Rodriguez	Wasserman
McIntyre	Rogers (AL)	Schultz
McMahon	Rogers (KY)	Waters
McNerney	Rogers (MI)	Watson
Meek (FL)	Ros-Lehtinen	Watt
Meeks (NY)	Ross	Waxman
Melancon	Rothman (NJ)	Weiner
Michaud	Roybal-Allard	Welch
Miller (MI)	Ruppersberger	Wexler
Miller (NC)	Rush	Whitfield
	Ryan (OH)	Wilson (OH)
	Salazar	Wolf
	Sánchez, Linda	Woolsey
	T.	Wu
	Sanchez, Loretta	Yarmuth
	Sarbanes	Young (AK)

NAYS—114

Akin	Fox	McHenry
Alexander	Franks (AZ)	McKeon
Bachmann	Gallagher	McMorris
Bilirakis	Garrett (NJ)	Rodgers
Bishop (UT)	Gingrey (GA)	Mica
Blackburn	Gohmert	Miller (FL)
Blunt	Goodlatte	Moran (KS)
Boehner	Granger	Myrick
Bono Mack	Graves	Neugebauer
Boozman	Guthrie	Nunes
Boustany	Harper	Olson
Brady (TX)	Hastings (WA)	Paul
Broun (GA)	Heller	Pence
Brown (SC)	Hensarling	Petri
Brown-Waite,	Herger	Poe (TX)
Ginny	Hunter	Price (GA)
Buchanan	Issa	Radanovich
Burgess	Johnson, Sam	Rehberg
Burton (IN)	Jordan (OH)	Roe (TN)
Campbell	King (IA)	Rohrabacher
Cantor	Kingston	Rooney
Carter	Kline (MN)	Roskam
Cassidy	Lamborn	Royce
Chaffetz	Latham	Ryan (WI)
Coffman (CO)	Latta	Scalise
Cole	Lewis (CA)	Schmidt
Conaway	Linder	Sensenbrenner
Crenshaw	Luetkemeyer	Sessions
Culberson	Lummis	Shadegg
Deal (GA)	Lungren, Daniel	Shimkus
Dreier	E.	Simpson
Duncan	Mack	Smith (TX)
Fallin	Manzullo	Stearns
Flake	Marchant	Sullivan
Fleming	McCarthy (CA)	Teague
Forbes	McCaul	Thompson (PA)
Fortenberry	McClintock	Thornberry

Tiahrt	Westmoreland	Wittman
Walden	Wilson (SC)	Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—7

Barrett (SC)	Chandler	Tanner
Barton (TX)	McHugh	
Capps	Sestak	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are reminded there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1606

Mrs. BONO MACK and Mr. COLE changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on roll-call No. 709, I inadvertently missed the last vote. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H. RES. 648

Mr. WESTMORELAND. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of H. Res. 648.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later today.

WES WATKINS AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH LAB AND POST OFFICE

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1713) to name the South Central Agricultural Research Laboratory of the Department of Agriculture in Lane, Oklahoma, and the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 310 North Perry Street in Bennington, Oklahoma, in honor of former Congressman Wesley “Wes” Watkins.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1713

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. REDESIGNATION OF SOUTH CENTRAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, LANE, OKLAHOMA.

(a) REDESIGNATION.—The South Central Agricultural Research Laboratory of the Department of Agriculture in Lane, Oklahoma,

shall be known and redesignated as the "Wes Watkins Agricultural Research Laboratory".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the South Central Agricultural Research Laboratory shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Wes Watkins Agricultural Research Laboratory".

SEC. 2. DESIGNATION OF WES WATKINS POST OFFICE, BENNINGTON, OKLAHOMA.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 310 North Perry Street in Bennington, Oklahoma, shall be known and designated as the "Wes Watkins Post Office".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Wes Watkins Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ELLSWORTH) and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, H.R. 1713 would name the U.S. Department of Agriculture's South Central Agricultural Research Laboratory in Lane, Oklahoma, as the facility of the U.S. Postal Service located at 310 North Perry Street in Bennington, Oklahoma, in honor of former Congressman Wesley Watkins.

After graduating with two degrees from Oklahoma State University in Stillwater, Congressman Watkins worked for the Agriculture Department and as an administrator at his alma mater before entering political life, first as a State senator and then as a Member of Congress. Mr. Watkins represented Oklahoma's Third Congressional District for a total of 20 years, both as a Democrat and as a Republican.

I am pleased the name of Congressman Watkins will be part of his former district's role in the important mission of scientific research in agricultural issues that affect all Americans every day, from the fields to our dinner tables.

This bill has the support of the Oklahoma delegation, and I encourage the rest of my House colleagues to support it here today.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I want to take a moment to discuss H.R. 1713, which would name the South Agricultural Re-

search Laboratory of the Department of Agriculture in Lane, Oklahoma, and the United States Post Office facility in Bennington, Oklahoma, in honor of my friend and predecessor, Wes Watkins.

Wes has enjoyed a long and distinguished career in public service, first as a member of the Oklahoma State Senate and then as a United States Congressman from Oklahoma's Third District for 20 years. During his tenure, Wes had the honor of serving on three of the House's most prestigious committees, including Appropriations, Budget, and Ways and Means. Before Wes, no other Congressperson had ever served on all three of the House's major committees during their career.

Beyond his committee work, Wes was intimately attuned to the financial needs of the constituents back home in Oklahoma. Recognizing the hardships Oklahoma families had to endure on a daily basis, Wes used his committee assignments to steer resources back to the Third District of Oklahoma. As a part of his efforts to restore financial security to his constituents, Wes took a particularly strong interest in economic development issues, which no doubt changed the economic landscape of Oklahoma's Third District for the better.

Let there be no doubt, had it not been for Wes's dedication and strong leadership, Oklahoma's Third District would not have been what it is today.

On behalf of Wes Watkins and my constituents back home in Oklahoma, I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. BOREN).

Mr. BOREN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor one of Oklahoma's most distinguished public servants and a former Member of this legislative body, Congressman Wes Watkins.

Madam Speaker, the legislation that we have before us today, H.R. 1713, would name the USDA Lane Agricultural Research Laboratory in Lane, Oklahoma, and the United States Post Office in Bennington, Oklahoma, in honor of Congressman Wesley "Wes" Watkins.

Congressman Watkins' story is uniquely American. Born in De Queen, Arkansas, and raised and schooled in a working class Oklahoma agricultural family, Wes Watkins would grow and develop into one of Oklahoma's most prominent political figures.

Following his graduation from Bennington High School in the spring of 1956, a young Watkins would move to Stillwater, Oklahoma, and enroll in Oklahoma State University. Five years later, Watkins would earn a bachelor's and master's degree with honor and distinction.

After graduating from college, Wes Watkins did what many Oklahomans have done. He decided to serve his

State and country by joining the Oklahoma Air National Guard. But in the summer of 1975, Wes Watkins felt he had a higher calling, and that was public service. That fall, he would successfully run for a seat in the Oklahoma State Senate, representing the same "Little Dixie" region that the former Speaker Carl Albert called home.

Two years later when Speaker Albert announced his retirement, State Senator Watkins decided he would run for the Speaker's former seat. After winning a competitive primary against the Speaker's former Chief of Staff, Wes went on to win the general election with more than 80 percent of the vote, and for the better part of four decades, Congressman Wes Watkins would represent eastern Oklahoma in the United States House of Representatives. As a Member of the House of Representatives, Congressman Watkins would go on to become the only Oklahoma Congressman to serve on all three major House financial committees.

Madam Speaker, I was fortunate enough to not only be represented by Wes Watkins in Congress, I was lucky to have the opportunity to serve on both his D.C. staff and his district staff, first as an intern in his Washington, D.C. office and then as a field representative in his eastern Oklahoma district. Without Congressman Watkins' guidance and his inspiration, I probably wouldn't be here today representing Oklahoma's Second Congressional District.

Wes Watkins' record serving the State of Oklahoma is one filled with leadership, compassion, and selfless service. The Lane Agricultural Research Laboratory and the United States Post Office that this legislation will name in his honor will serve as a permanent reminder of all that he has given to Oklahoma.

I ask that all my colleagues support this bill.

□ 1615

Mr. LUCAS. Madam Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to a fellow member of the Oklahoma delegation, Congressman COLE.

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, it is a great privilege to be here with my colleagues and participate in honoring our former colleague in this Chamber, Wes Watkins. Usually when you come down to the floor on an occasion like this, you are armed with all sorts of wonderful prepared remarks, and you lay them out.

But I would rather talk about my friend, Wes Watkins, spontaneously and, frankly, from a rather unique perspective because I have run races against him, and I have run races for him. And I have to tell you, I never beat him when I ran a race against him, but I was a lot more successful working for him.

He is really an extraordinary political figure in his own right. As my friend, Congressman BOREN mentioned, he served as a State senator. He was a

Congressman. He ran for governor twice, frankly, nearly getting the Democratic nomination in 1990. Had he gotten that, he undoubtedly would have won the election and have been the Governor of our State. He ran again in 1994 as an Independent. Very unusual. By the way, his congressional district voted for him as a Democrat, voted for him as an Independent, and then later voted for him as a Republican. I have never seen a loyalty directed toward an individual that way.

In the course of his 1990 campaign, he got to be pretty good friends with my client, Frank Keating, who later went on to be Governor. Frank Keating thought so much of Wes Watkins, his opponent, that he offered him a job in his Cabinet as a Secretary for international trade because Wes was so passionate about bringing jobs and opportunity to the people of Oklahoma. That says a lot about you as an individual that one of your opponents thinks so highly of you that they want to move you over into their administration.

Unfortunately, some of Wes's colleagues in the State senate in Oklahoma decided that having run as an Independent instead of a Democrat, they were not inclined to do that. But a number of years later, an opportunity came up when the seat that he won came open again. Our good friend, Bill Brewster, decided to retire. And I remember, Wes was still registered as an Independent, and there was 17 days before the cutoff when you had to choose your party. The minute that Frank Keating, then Governor Keating, saw that congressional seat was open, he literally within 20 minutes called Wes Watkins and said, Wes, I want you to run for Congress. I don't care if you run as an Independent; I don't care if you run as a Democrat. I'm a Republican. I would like you to run as that. That doesn't matter. We are going to do everything we can. We need you back in the Congress of the United States. We need your passion and your commitment for economic development and to help the people of this State.

Wes honestly made, I would say, a tough political call because he would have won as a Democrat. He would have won as an Independent. He chose to become a Republican for a lot of reasons, but I think partly because he thought we were in the majority then, he thought he would be very effective in that role, and he was. He was an extraordinarily effective Congressman for his State.

Now, when I think about Wes, you can't think about Wes and not think about Lou Watkins, his partner, his only real political consultant and, quite frankly, now a regent at Oklahoma State University, one of the really fine public figures and one of the best classroom teachers I ever saw in my life. As a college political science professor, I used to occasionally go and deal with her students. And incredibly fair. Together, they have done so much

good for our State. They are deep in the hearts of the people that they manage to serve.

I do want to tell one polling story and one media story about my friend, Wes Watkins. When he first decided to run as a Republican, the district was literally registered over 80 percent Democrat at that time. We did a survey. In the survey you ask what are called open-ended questions: What do you like most, what do you like least about this individual.

I never saw this before, 97 percent of the people could tell you something specific about Wes Watkins, all of it positive: he helped my father get a job; he helped bring this business to our community. It was the most incredibly impressive testimony for an individual's good deeds and using public office in an appropriate way to help people that I have ever seen in my life.

We sent the media consultant to travel with him around the district for 3 days. She came back and I asked, What did you think?

She said, In 3 days I only met one person who didn't call him Wes in every little town. She said it was actually a young lady, probably 16 or 17 years. She came up to say, Mr. Watkins, could I please shake your hand. Thank you for something you have done for my family. The consultant said he just threw his arms around her and said, Honey, just call me Wes.

He is just a remarkable human being. I want to thank both of my colleagues for this recognition, particularly my good friend, DAN BOREN, who worked with him. Wes Watkins has done as much for our State as anybody I have seen in my political lifetime. And continues to do it. And so does Lou. This is such a fitting and appropriate honor. I am happy to join my friends as a cosponsor and look forward to voting for this particular piece of legislation with a great deal of pleasure.

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, we have no further speakers, but I will reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LUCAS. Madam Speaker, we have no further speakers, and I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, as you've heard my colleagues in the Oklahoma delegation address today, Wes is a unique individual. He is a self-made man. In a world where the American ideal is coming from nothing to becoming something, which is the ideal goal, I think, of all of us, Wes represents that. Remember, he was born just across the line in Arkansas in 1938. He lived his life in Oklahoma. He was born in the Great Depression period, a time of economic challenges for all Oklahomans and all people in rural America. He came from a family that had tremendous challenges. But he and his mother and his brother overcame those. He put himself through university at Oklahoma State. He was southeast district FFA vice president. He was State president of what was then the Future Farmers of America. He made himself

a homebuilder. He got himself elected to the State senate over tremendous opposition. He got himself elected to the United States Congress.

This individual that we know as Wes, and many Americans on the floor remember as our colleague, Congressman Watkins, is an amazing fellow from the absolute, most humble beginnings in a great little community called Bennington to accomplish for his friends and neighbors back home, because everyone was his friend and everyone was his neighbor, what he did is a testament. That is why I am so pleased and we are so pleased on this side of the aisle to name these two important facilities in the old 3rd Congressional District in his honor because he worked incredibly hard for the good folks of the 3rd District of Oklahoma and, by the actions he took, improved everything for all of us across America.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, if there is no objection, I yield 1 additional minute to Mr. BOREN from Oklahoma.

Mr. BOREN. Madam Speaker, I wanted to add a couple of things as a former staffer to Congressman Watkins—and Congressman COLE was very eloquent in his remarks, and so was my good friend, FRANK LUCAS.

There are so many of us, not just myself but there are many of us in congressional offices across Washington, D.C., people in State government in Oklahoma, a lot of folks involved in agriculture, who can trace back their start to Wes Watkins.

I can tell you when I was starting out and I was looking for a job, he is the first person who gave me an opportunity. There are so many countless people that could say the same thing. So his legacy isn't necessarily just his name on a building. It is also all of the people and all of the families that he has touched. And also I wanted to say, and TOM COLE brought this up, Lou Watkins. She has been his partner for so many years. She has been a State regent at Oklahoma State University and a constant mentor to all of us.

With that, I hope my colleagues would support this legislation.

Mr. ELLSWORTH. Madam Speaker, I did not know Congressman Watkins, but after this moving testimony, I would encourage all of our colleagues to pass H.R. 1713.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. CLARKE). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ELLSWORTH) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1713.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material on H.R. 3221.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

STUDENT AID AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT OF 2009

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 746 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 3221.

□ 1626

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3221) to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, with Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIR. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

The gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER) and the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. KLINE) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Madam Chair, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HINOJOSA), the Chair of the Subcommittee on Higher Education.

Mr. HINOJOSA. Madam Chair, as chairman of the Subcommittee on Higher Education, Lifelong Learning and Competitiveness, I rise in support of H.R. 3221, the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act.

I congratulate Chairman GEORGE MILLER for his great leadership in bringing this historic legislation to the House floor. I also want to thank my colleagues from the Education and Labor Committee on both sides of the aisle for supporting the largest investment ever in higher education.

The bill embraces President Obama's educational priorities by helping us to reach the goal of producing the most college graduates in the world by 2020 and makes our workforce strong and competitive. This bill will provide much-needed relief to families who are struggling to pay tuition, as well as students and workers who seek to access high-skilled and family-sustaining jobs.

The legislation will increase affordability, accessibility, and college completion rates, particularly for first-generation college, low-income, minority, and middle class students.

H.R. 3221 invests \$40 billion to increase the maximum annual Pell Grant

scholarship to \$5,550 in 2010, and by 2019 increase it to \$6,900.

It also provides low-income and middle class families with reliable, affordable, high-quality direct Federal student loans, and simplifies the application process for financial aid.

H.R. 3221 strengthens our Nation's minority-serving institutions, MSIs, particularly in the STEM areas so students can stay in school, graduate and succeed in our global economy. It does this by investing \$2.55 billion in our Nation's minority-serving institutions over a 10-year period. We estimate that this funding will reach at least 500 institutions of higher learning. These investments will expand educational opportunities in the STEM fields and support students in staying in school and graduating at our Nation's Historically Black Colleges and Universities; Hispanic-serving institutions; tribally controlled colleges and universities; predominantly black institutions; and Asian American and Native Pacific Islander-serving institutions.

These investments will create a new generation of minority workers in STEM fields, professionals that our country desperately needs to remain competitive in our world.

□ 1630

For decades, MSIs have provided educational opportunities for tens of thousands of minority, low-income, and first-generation college students due to their accessibility, affordability, and close proximity to the communities they serve. If we hope to reach President Obama's goals, we must make sure that more minority students are completing advanced college degrees.

This bill invests \$10 billion in our Nation's community colleges to support President Obama's American Graduation Initiative and expands educational opportunities to millions of students who attend our Nation's community colleges.

These institutions serve young people who are just beginning their careers but need flexible schedules to work to pay their tuition and living expenses. They serve displaced workers who must upgrade their skills to pursue a new career and enter high-growth sectors of our economy.

They serve older students and adult learners who seek specialized training and are attending their local community college for the very first time. They serve veterans who are pursuing postsecondary education after having served in the military.

This bill includes \$8 billion in investments in early childhood education to increase access to high-quality early education programs. And we know that children who have an early start by the time they enter kindergarten are more likely to go to college and succeed. There is proof that early reading and writing, from cradle to 5 years of age, equals success in school.

The CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I yield the gentleman an additional 30 seconds.

Mr. HINOJOSA. This legislation is fiscally responsible and helps reduce the deficit. It complies with pay-as-you-go and directs \$8 billion in savings back to the U.S. Treasury to help pay down the deficit.

Our competitiveness and innovation in the world depends on our ability to invest in human capital and train a workforce for the 21st century. I urge my colleagues to support this historic investment in higher education.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise in opposition to H.R. 3221, and yield myself such time as I may consume.

Government takeover. We have seen and heard a lot of those two words lately—in the credit markets, the banking sector, the automotive industry, and even the building of schools. Then there's health care—an industry that assumes one-sixth of America's gross domestic product. We're not talking about health care today, but perhaps we should be.

The vote we will take on student lending is a culmination of a plan set in motion more than a decade and a half ago—and one that bears an eerily strong resemblance to the health care debate that rages on today.

In 1993, Congress created a so-called government option for college loans. The idea of this Direct Loan Program was to introduce competition and hold down costs. Sound familiar? Just 16 years later, we're about to vote on a plan that would completely and permanently eliminate the private sector's role in originating and raising capital for Federal student loans. In its place will be a one-size-fits-all Federal loan model that requires the U.S. Treasury to directly lend tens of billions of dollars each year—tens of billions of dollars we don't have, and will be forced to borrow.

So why is Congress intervening to declare one program the winner? If it's truly about competition, the best program ought to win in the marketplace. In fact, one program has won—the public-private partnership of the Federal Family Education Loan Program, which is the choice of three-quarters of colleges and universities today.

By eliminating the FFEL program, we will lose the choice, the competition, and innovation of the private sector. That includes everything from technological innovations to loan discounts and borrower services. We will also lose jobs—an estimated 30,000 or more in congressional districts from coast to coast.

And what are we getting in return? My colleagues on the other side of the aisle tout this legislation as being fiscally responsible. Respectfully, I beg to differ.

The bill is awash with new entitlement programs, including a new early childhood program to develop and fund programs at the State level; a new program to build and renovate schools;