overtaken by a dangerous competitor in a major technology of the future, which may very well decide if we are able to remain an economic superpower. This is not something that we can take lightly. It's serious, and it deserves our urgent attention.

The underlying legislation being brought to the floor today, H.R. 3246, the Advanced Vehicle Technology Act, takes a small step in the right direction. It reiterates the importance of the Federal Government's role in funding and in coordinating research activities and in disseminating research findings in order to bring clean technology to passenger vehicles and to heavy trucks to reduce our Nation's dependence on petroleum, thus reducing emissions.

The legislation authorizes approximately \$3 billion over the next 5 years for the Department of Energy to create a research program to help develop technologies that will substantially reduce or eliminate petroleum use in the Nation's vehicles. As part of this new program, the Department of Energy is required to collaborate with numerous sectors of the automotive industry.

Now, during yesterday's hearing in the Rules Committee, we heard testimony from both sides of the aisle on the underlying legislation. It was clear from the testimony that this legislation has great bipartisan support. Yet the majority in the Rules Committee felt it was necessary to offer a restrictive rule. I really don't know why. I know that the rule allows both of the minority amendments that were submitted to the Rules Committee: but. unfortunately, it forbids the consideration of three amendments submitted by Members of the majority party. I believe we should have allowed those amendments. We should have considered, in effect, this legislation under an open rule, a rule that allows for a truly free and full debate. The majority blocked our attempts to have such an open debate.

Really, I think it's a shame that the majority has, once again, blocked an open debate. Since this majority took over, Madam Speaker, in 2007, they have had one open rule on a nonappropriations bill. Unfortunately, it is standard operating procedure for this majority to block open debate on the House floor.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Madam Speaker, again, this rule that we are proposing allows all three Republican amendments that were proposed to be made in order. I certainly appreciate the concern from the gentleman from Florida with regard to our Democratic amendments and the fact that we should have had more in the bill. We do have several that have been allowed as well.

I would like to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. KLEIN).

Mr. KLEIN of Florida. I thank the gentleman from Colorado.

Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of this rule and the underlying

bill, the Advanced Vehicle Technology Act of 2009.

This legislation, which is supported by a bipartisan majority of this body and on behalf of the American people, makes an excellent and a smart investment in research that will catapult American ingenuity into new levels of competitiveness.

Now, for years, I know many people have said, Why haven't our car companies kept up? There were a lot of decisions along the way and a lot of reasons; but I think what we're doing today is taking a very, very important step for which many of us have been advocating for many, many years. I know a lot of people have said, Well, the technology must be there. It's just not being utilized. Maybe that's the case and maybe that isn't the case, but what's happening right now is something that many of us have been advocating for.

On December 5, 2008, in the Financial Services Committee where American automakers testified before Congress on their dire financial state, I, personally, had the opportunity to ask the automakers about whether they would support a process by which we could bring the best of technology, of the engineers and of the entrepreneurs together to really leapfrog. I mean, I'm a firm believer that American ingenuity is at the top. It's one of the strengths we have in our country. Fortunately, those car leaders at that time said yes.

Well, this bill takes that moment, that effort, and the financial resources and does what the gentleman from Florida said: it allows us to have a stake and a participation in advancing research. Whether through medical science or the automobile business, I think this is a role that we can play. The New Democrat Coalition, in

The New Democrat Coalition, in which I participate, followed up with a letter to the administration, stressing the themes of allowing there to be some resource commitment to this new electric and hybrid technology. I, along with many of my colleagues and many Americans, believe that our country's researchers and engineers can and will develop the engine technology that will leapfrog automakers from all over the world and will speedily deploy an electric car of the future.

The legislation today that we are considering accomplishes these goals by investing in a program that brings together these stakeholders from across the industry to develop this vehicle technology of tomorrow right here in the United States. I am confident that this technology and this program will provide automakers with the tools they need to lead the auto industry into a new generation of innovation.

Let me point out that section 101 contains language to ensure that grants do not fund duplicative efforts. This is essential to our commitment to fiscal responsibility. It saves taxpayer money because grant recipients will not be reinventing the wheel sepa-

rately, but will be coming together efficiently.

I would like to commend my colleague, Congressman GARY PETERS, for introducing this legislation and Chairman BART GORDON for his leadership in bringing this legislation to the floor today.

I urge passage of this rule and the underlying bill.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Madam Speaker, the question is how do we create and perfect the nonpetroleum technologies that the market wants, and the Advanced Vehicle Technology Act is part of the answer.

By jump-starting vehicle technology research, this bill puts American ingenuity to work in cleaning up our transportation sector and in protecting the planet. Electrifying vehicle systems, increasing engine durability, and developing waste-heat recovery systems are just a few of the many innovative technologies that this bill will support. At the same time, we know we need to take action today to fight global warming, to lessen our petroleum dependence, and to create jobs here in America. For that reason, this legislation before us emphasizes public-private partnerships that will help create jobs in private industry, not just in government offices.

There is a reason why this bill is supported by Ford Motor Company, Delphi, Caterpillar, GM, EcoMotors, the United Auto Workers, and the National Association of Manufacturers. H.R. 3246 means good jobs today developing and building the vehicle technologies of tomorrow.

When we can create jobs and cut our petroleum dependence at the same time, it's clear that we are making good policy, Madam Speaker. By this measure, the Advanced Vehicle Technology Act is the clearest and most straightforward kind of good policy. With this in mind, I urge my colleagues to support this very fair rule and the underlying legislation.

I would like to thank Representative PETERS, Representative BIGGERT and Chairman GORDON, as well as my colleagues on the Rules Committee and the committee staff of the Science and Technology Committee, for crafting this legislation that will increase the efficiency of our Nation's vehicle fleet while reducing our dependence on foreign oil.

I yield back the balance of my time and move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Rangel

will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Adopting H. Res. 746 and suspending the rules and adopting H. Res. 260.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The remaining electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3221, STUDENT AID AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT OF 2009

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of House Resolution 746, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 241, nays 179, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 703]

Doyle

Ellison

Engel

Eshoo

Fattah

Filner

Foster

Fudge

Hare

Himes

Hirono

Holden

Hodes

Holt

Honda

Hover

Inslee

Israel

(TX)

Kagen

Kaptur

Kildee

Kilrov

Kissell

Kind

Farr

Abercrombie Ackerman Adler (NJ) Altmire Andrews Arcuri Baca Baird Baldwin Barrow Bean Becerra Berkley Berman Berry Bishop (NY) Blumenauer Boccieri Boren Boswell Boucher Brady (PA) Bralev (IA) Bright Brown, Corrine Butterfield Capps Capuano Cardoza Carnahan Carney Carson (IN) Castor (FL) Chandler Childers Chu Clarke Clav Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly (VA) Cooper Costa Costello Courtney Crowley Cuellar Cummings Dahlkemper Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Davis (IL) Davis (TN) DeFazio Delahunt DeLauro Dicks Dingell Doggett Donnelly (IN)

YEAS-241 Lee (CA) Driehaus Levin Edwards (MD) Lewis (GA) Edwards (TX) Lipinski Loebsack Ellsworth Lofgren, Zoe Lowey Luján Lynch Maffei Malonev Markey (CO) Frank (MA) Markey (MA) Marshall Giffords Massa Gonzalez Matheson Gordon (TN) Matsui McCarthy (NY) Grayson Green, Al McCollum Green Gene McDermott Grijalva McGovern McIntyre Gutierrez Hall (NY) McMahon Halvorson McNerney Meek (FL) Harman Meeks (NY) Hastings (FL) Melancon Heinrich Michaud Herseth Sandlin Miller (NC) Miller, George Hinchev Minnick Mitchell Hinojosa Mollohan Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Murphy, Patrick Murtha Nadler (NY) Jackson (IL) Napolitano Jackson-Lee Neal (MA) Nve Johnson (GA) Oberstar Johnson, E.B. Obey Olver Kanjorski Ortiz Pallone Kennedv Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Kilpatrick (MI) Payne Perlmutter Perriello Kirkpatrick (AZ) Peters Peterson Klein (FL) Pingree (ME) Kosmas Kucinich Polis (CO) Pomeroy Price (NC) Langevin Quigley Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Rahall

Rangel Reyes	Serrano Shea-Porter
Richardson	Sherman
Rodriguez	Shuler
Ross Rothman (NJ)	Sires Skelton
Roybal-Allard	Slaughter
Ruppersberger	Smith (WA)
Rush Ryan (OH)	Snyder Space
Salazar	Speier
Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes	Spratt
Schakowsky	Stark Stupak
Schauer	Sutton
Schiff Schrader	Taylor Teague
Schwartz	Thompson (CA)
Scott (GA)	Thompson (MS)
Scott (VA)	Tierney
	NAYS—179
Aderholt	Gallegly
Akin Alexander	Garrett (NJ) Gerlach
Austria	Gingrey (GA)
Bachmann	Gohmert
Bachus Bartlett	Goodlatte Granger
Barton (TX)	Graves
Biggert	Griffith
Bilbray Bilirakis	Guthrie Hall (TX)
Bishop (UT)	Harper
Blackburn	Hastings (WA)
Blunt Boehner	Heller Hensarling
Bono Mack	Herger
Boozman	Hill
Boustany Boyd	Hoekstra Hunter
Brady (TX)	Inglis
Broun (GA)	Issa
Brown (SC) Brown-Waite,	Jenkins Johnson (IL)
Ginny	Johnson, Sam
Buchanan	Jones
Burgess Burton (IN)	Jordan (OH) King (IA)
Buyer	King (NY)
Calvert	Kingston
Camp Campbell	Kirk Kline (MN)
Cantor	Kratovil
Cao	Lamborn
Capito Carter	Lance Latham
Cassidy	LaTourette
Castle	Latta
Chaffetz Coble	Lee (NY) Lewis (CA)
Coffman (CO)	Linder
Cole	LoBiondo
Conaway Crenshaw	Lucas Luetkemeyer
Davis (KY)	Lummis
Deal (GA) Dent	Lungren, Daniel E.
Diaz-Balart, L.	Mack
Diaz-Balart, M.	Manzullo
Dreier Duncan	Marchant McCarthy (CA)
Ehlers	McCaul
Emerson	McClintock
Etheridge Fallin	McCotter McHenry
Flake	McKeon
Fleming	McMorris
Forbes Fortenberry	Rodgers Mica
Foxx	Miller (FL)
Franks (AZ)	Miller (MI)
Frelinghuysen	Miller, Gary
1	NOT VOTING-1
Barrett (SC)	DeGette
Bishop (GA) Bonner	Higgins McHugh
Conyers	Sánchez, Linda
Culberson	т.
	\Box 1234
Messrs W	
Messrs. WITTMAN, PE	
of Kansas, and MACK cl vote from "yea" to "nay."	
So the resolution was ag	

Serrano Titus hea-Porter Tonko herman Towns huler ires kelton laughter mith (WA) Walz nyder nace . peier pratt Watt tark tupak atton Welch aylor eague Wexler hompson (CA) hompson (MS) Wu ierney NAYS-179 allegly arrett (NJ) erlach ingrey (GA) ohmert oodlatte Nunes ranger Olson raves Paul riffith uthrie Pence all (TX) Petri arper Pitts astings (WA) Platts eller ensarling Posey erger i11 oekstra unter glis sa enkins ohnson (IL) ohnson. Sam mes ordan (OH) ing (IA) ing (NY) ingston irk line (MN) ratovil amborn ance atham aTourette atta ee (NY) ewis (CA) inder oBiondo ucas uetkemever ummis ungren, Daniel Terry E ack anzullo Tiahrt archant cCarthy (CA) Tiberi Turner cCaul cClintock Upton cCotter Wamp cHenry cKeon cMorris Rodgers ica iller (FL) Wolf iller (MI) iller, Gary T VOTINGeGette iggins Sestak cHugh ánchez, Linda □ 1234 TMAN, PETRI, MOORE

So the resolution was agreed to.

as above recorded.

The result of the vote was announced

Tsongas Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Waxman Weiner Woolsev Yarmuth Moore (KS) Moran (KS) Murphy, Tim Myrick Neugebauer Paulsen Poe (TX) Price (GA) Putnam Radanovich Rehberg Reichert Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Roonev Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Royce Ryan (WI) Scalise Schock Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Souder Stearns Sullivan Thompson (PA) Thornberry Walden Westmoreland Whitfield Wilson (SC) Wittman Young (AK) Young (FL) Schmidt Tanner Wilson (OH) d MACK changed their

Abercrombie Ackerman Aderholt Adler (NJ) Akin Alexander Altmire Andrews Arcuri Austria Baca Bachmann Bachus Baird Baldwin Barrow Bartlett Barton (TX) Bean Becerra Berkley Berman Berry Biggert Bilbray Bilirakis Bishop (NY) Bishop (UT) Blackburn Blumenauer Blunt Boccieri Boehner Bono Mack Boozman Boren Boswell Boucher Boustany Boyd Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Braley (IA) Bright Broun (GA) Brown (SC) Brown, Corrine Brown-Waite. Ginny Buchanan Burgess Burton (IN) Butterfield Buver Calvert Camp Campbell Cantor Cao Capito Capuano Carnahan Carney Carson (IN) Carter

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUPPORTING EFFORTS TO REDUCE INFANT MORTALITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 260, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 260, as amended.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 415, nays 0, not voting 18, as follows:

[Roll No. 704] YEAS-415

Cassidy Castle Castor (FL) Chaffetz Chandler Childers Chu Clarke Clay Cleaver Clyburn Coble Coffman (CO) Cohen Cole Conaway Connolly (VA) Cooper Costello Courtney Crenshaw Crowlev Cuellar Cummings Dahlkemper Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Davis (IL) Davis (KY) Davis (TN) Deal (GA) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Dicks Dingell Doggett Donnelly (IN) Doyle Dreier Driehaus Duncan Edwards (MD) Edwards (TX) Ehlers Ellison Ellsworth Emerson Engel Eshoo Etheridge Fallin Farr Fattah Filner Flake Fleming Forbes Fortenberry Foster

Foxx

Frank (MA) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Fudge Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Giffords Gingrey (GA) Gohmert Gonzalez Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Granger Graves Grayson Green, Al Green, Gene Griffith Grijalva Guthrie Gutierrez Hall (NY) Hall (TX) Halvorson Hare Harman Harper Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Heinrich Heller Hensarling Herger Herseth Sandlin Hill Himes Hinchey Hinoiosa Hirono Hodes Hoekstra Holden Holt Honda Hoyer Hunter Inglis Inslee Israel Issa Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX)Jenkins Johnson (GA) Johnson (IL) Johnson, E.B. Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan (OH) Kagen Kanjorski Kaptur Kennedy