

that they see lower copayments for prescription coverage.

The fact is that we can contain costs and help enable every American to have access to health insurance coverage. This is a moral imperative. It's an economic imperative for our families and for our businesses and for our Nation.

Fifty million Americans without health insurance, 14,000 a day being uninsured. It's time to get this done. It's time to act.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. KING of Iowa asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KING of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, this whole health care debate started out clear back during the Obama-Hillary debate during the Presidential campaign.

President Obama has come to two premises: One is we spend too much money on health care. The proposed solution from Democrats? Spend a lot more, \$1 to \$2 trillion more.

The second premise: That too many are uninsured, 47 million or, as we just heard, 39 million. When you subtract from 47 million illegal aliens and immigrants and those who qualify under their employer and those who make over \$75,000 a year and those who qualify for government programs, you're down to 12.1 million, not 47. That's less than 4 percent of the population.

They seek to overhaul 100 percent of the health insurance industry in America and 100 percent of the health care delivery system in America to do what? To reduce that number of uninsured from 4 percent down to something like perhaps 2 and, in the process, put in place the framework for socialized medicine.

Additionally, they give us an opt-out. Well, here's what I'll opt out of: I'll opt out of funding abortions. I'll opt out of funding illegals. I'll opt out of lawsuit abuse, tax increases, and Medicare cuts.

HEALTH CARE

(Ms. FUDGE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. FUDGE. Mr. Speaker, I have been listening to a lot of this today, and I just feel that it's time that the American people hear the truth.

The truth is that more than 60 percent of the American people want health care reform. The truth is that seniors pay much too much for their prescriptions and for their medications. The truth is that the American people are being mistreated by their insurance companies as they exist today. And the truth is that Democrats are working to fix the problem and not just be obstructionist.

LET'S MOVE FORWARD ON A JOB AGENDA FOR AMERICANS, NOT AN AGENDA OF GOVERNMENT-RUN HEALTH CARE

(Mr. CAMPBELL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CAMPBELL. Mr. Speaker, it seems that the Democrat majority in this town is determined to install a government-run health care system which will be costly, inefficient, and provide bad care. We all know that.

I happen to support something that's directionally opposite to that, something called the Patients' Choice Act, which, instead of putting new bureaucracies in between people and their doctors, it would eliminate some of the existing bureaucracies and get employers and the government out of the way between people and their doctors so that they can control their own health care.

But you know what? As important as the health care debate is here, do you know what people in America want right now? Jobs. They want jobs. And if there is one thing this plan that the Democrats are proposing will do, it will cost even more Americans their jobs.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask you and I would ask the President where are the jobs you promised? Let's move forward in America on a job agenda, not an agenda of government-run health care.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. HASTINGS of Florida asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I have been here 18 years fighting for health care for Americans. There are, regardless of whose numbers you use, millions of uninsured people in this country and tens of millions who are underinsured and have become, in many respects, the prime justification for moving forward with one of the most aggressive health care reform agendas in modern history.

However, despite the unquestionable need for intervention, some have sought to dominate the health care debate with fear-mongering, misinformation, and blind opposition to key reform elements without offering substantive and high-quality alternatives. This perpetuation of fictions and misinterpretations is off base and has steered the health care discussion off course.

One thing I asked Americans all summer long as we got to this point was name me the day in the last 10 years that your health care went down. Name the day. You name the day.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. COLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, the Democratic health care bill now being draft-

ed behind closed doors is bad for seniors, bad for taxpayers, and bad for the quality of America's health care system.

It will cut Medicare spending on seniors. It will cost taxpayers \$1 trillion, and it will push the American medical system toward an underfunded, over-regulated, government-run health care system. We can do better than that.

Republicans have offered in good faith positive proposals putting patients first, reforms that protect the doctor-patient relationship, increase accessibility, and truly make health care more affordable. However, these ideas have never been heard in the back rooms of the Capitol where the Democrats are crafting their own partisan proposals.

Mr. Speaker, the majority's proposals continue to ignore medical liability reform, something the President himself said would be addressed. Tort reform has yet to be raised in the deliberations of our Democratic majority.

Mr. Speaker, we cannot allow the government to stand between patients and health care. Americans are smart enough to know the difference between no choice and a real choice.

THE TEA PARTY MINORITY

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, the TEA Party minority didn't succeed this summer as they thought they had, so they have come to the floor this afternoon. And they are not going to succeed any more on the floor than they succeeded with the noise of the summer. And how do we know it? The public option has come roaring back.

The people got through the noise, and they understand now to a fare-thee-well. In fact, my greatest fear is that now more people want the public option than will qualify for the public option in the bill, once they came to understand the relationship between what they're paying for insurance, that health insurance has been going up at a rate three times their wages, and that is why the wages of the residents of our country have been flat for decades. Once they understood that, they put two and two together.

The American people are smart. They are smarter than the TEA Party crowd that has taken to the floor this afternoon.

EXPRESSING CONCERN REGARDING THE EFFECT OF PROPOSED HEALTH CARE REFORM ON SENIOR CITIZENS

(Mr. KLINE of Minnesota asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my concern

about the consequences of the majority party's proposed health reform to the members of our Greatest Generation.

As my colleagues have stated over and over today, the bill under consideration in the House would pose a major threat to our senior citizens. I'm especially troubled by the legislation's consequences for the more than 10 million Americans who rely on Medicare Advantage for their health care coverage.

In my home State of Minnesota, more than 230,000 senior citizens rely on Medicare Advantage. More than 17,000 of these men and women live in my district. And as their Representative, I simply cannot abide limiting their choices, let alone stripping them of their coverage completely. These are Minnesotans who, if they like their insurance, can't keep it.

Mr. Speaker, we can do better. Let's push the reset button and start over in a bipartisan way to write legislation, not behind closed doors, but out in the open.

HEALTH CARE REFORM FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

(Mrs. DAHLKEMPER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. DAHLKEMPER. Mr. Speaker, I came to Congress in January to make a difference for my community, to make a difference for my district.

Today, I heard from one of my constituents who owns a small business, a printing company in northwestern Pennsylvania. This small business owner received notification that health care premiums for his business are increasing by 51.1 percent this year. That dwarfs the 14 percent increase of last year and the 20 percent increase from the previous year and dwarfs the 28 percent increase that I saw in my own small business when I was still working there.

Anyone who has ever worked in a small business knows that these costs are unsustainable. This small business owner told me that his business' new family rate will be in excess of \$1,700 per month. He wrote, "I don't know what can be done, but it is small businesses like mine that cannot afford these increases."

Mr. Speaker, we must not allow our small businesses to suffer so unnecessarily when something can be done. This is a clear and urgent need to pass health care reform legislation. I encourage my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to embrace reform.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. LINDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, if we were really debating health care, you could wonder if some of these things could be said with a straight face.

This isn't about health care; it's about control. Who's going to control

these decisions? This entire debate could be put on a bumper sticker that says, simply, "Who Decides?" The majority wants Washington decisions and we want individual decisions.

Mrs. Clinton summed it up best 15 years ago in the last health care debate. She said, We can't trust the American people to make these decisions.

But the majority can't keep their hands off this trillion dollar decision; so they put into one of the bills things like \$1.6 billion for streetlights. How many people are going to get insured with that? Or \$10 billion to shore up union—their friends—insurance funds? I wonder how many people are going to get insured with that. Or the payoff to the trial lawyers, who cause us to spend \$200 billion a year in defensive medicine to prevent being sued, who's helping them?

Mr. Speaker, this is about control.

THE PARTY OF "NO"

(Mr. DOYLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DOYLE. Well, I guess all day we're going to hear from the party of "no," no health care plan, no ideas for America.

To the people who have lost their jobs and can't get health insurance because the insurance companies said, No, you have a preexisting condition, they say "no." Well, our party has an answer for that. Our health care plan will stop that.

For people in this country who have filed bankruptcy because insurance companies stopped paying on their chronic conditions, this party over here says "no." Well, Democrats say "yes." Our health care bill will cure that.

For our senior citizens who need help with their drugs and closing the doughnut hole, the party of "no" doesn't have a plan. This health care bill will help close the doughnut hole.

So I say to my friends over there, the negative nabobs of negativity, "no" is not a solution for America. Democrats have a plan that will cover all Americans and provide health care and reform this insurance industry that has abused so many people in this country.

□ 1345

HEALTH CARE

(Mrs. BIGGERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, for the first time since 1975, seniors will not be receiving a Social Security cost-of-living increase in fiscal year 2010. And now on top of that, seniors are worried about their Medicare plans. In almost every senior center that I have visited in my district in the last few months, 50 percent of the residents have told me

they are on Medicare Advantage plans. These seniors like the plans that they have, and they want to keep them.

The administration has said many times that if you like the health care plan you have, you can keep it. That will not happen with Medicare Advantage, and seniors are upset and angry.

Let's support health care reform for all Americans that doesn't harm the plans that seniors rely on. Let's help all Americans.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. BUTTERFIELD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BUTTERFIELD. Mr. Speaker, what we are seeing today is terribly disappointing. Health care reform is necessary and it is urgent. There are powerful forces in this country who are determined to keep 47 million Americans without health insurance, and this same group is opposed to giving competition to the insurance industry.

If we don't reform health care, Medicare costs will surely bankrupt our Nation. That is a fact. If we don't reform the cost of employer-sponsored insurance, we will bankrupt companies and families. If we don't act now, uncompensated care will close the doors of rural hospitals in my district and your districts across the country.

We are ready to move forward. Democrats are ready to make this bold and visionary decision. I am disappointed we don't have help from the other side.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call attention to another job-killing proposal that only Washington could dream up. Only here would people proclaim to lower the cost of health care by taxing it and making it more expensive.

Just today it has been reported that House leadership is now likely to include a \$20 billion excise tax on medical devices as part of their health care reform bill. This new proposal will halt innovation and ultimately make health care more expensive for patients.

One week ago I held a field hearing in my district about the impact of this innovation tax. I heard from companies both large and small that there will be resultant job losses and cuts to research and development.

Mr. Speaker, these proposed taxes are a very wrong-headed approach. Let's get back on the right track and remove this tax so we can keep the jobs we have and make sure that we continue the innovation that is alive and well in both Minnesota and in our Nation.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. HOLT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1