1023 would establish the Corporation for Travel Promotion (Corporation) and the Travel Promotion Fund, both of which I support, and recognizes the need for the United States government to have an active role in promoting tourism to the U.S. In addition, it allows the collection of an entry fee through 2014 for foreign visitors to the U.S., including the Territories. However, S-1023 as currently written does not recognize the Territories, even though the majority of our visitors are foreign visitors and will be paying the assessed fee. Like a taxpayer who pays into a system, you should expect to get some benefit, and this is no different. I appreciate the gentlelady from Guam and Representative DELAHUNT for their colloguy in ensuring that the intent of this Congress in S-1023 is to include the Territories and ensure they receive the full benefits of the activities of the Corporation under this important legislation. Tourism is one of the major industries in our country and in the Northern Mariana and the Territories, it is our economic lifeline and I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, while I support H.R. 1035, the "Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Amendments Act of 2009," I rise to voice my most rigorous protest at its inclusion of S. 1023, the "Travel Promotion Act of 2009." This legislation, which has not benefitted from proper consideration by the various committees of jurisdiction in this body, creates an unnecessary Corporation for Travel Promotion. In sharp contrast to my long-held view that private corporations can and should promote travel to the United States on their own, the Corporation for Travel Promotion, comprised of companies from the travel and tourism industry, will be the recipient of taxpayer funds to finance its operations. Admittedly, the Corporation will be required to match public sector funds with contributions from its members, but these matching contributions may be up to 80 percent in the form of goods and services, whose value is to be determined by the Corporation itself. This outrage underscores the deficient public oversight to which the Corporation will be subject, as well as the fundamental dearth in meaningful constraints placed on its operation under pending statute. Further illustration of this is the legislation's omission of a provision to prevent the Corporation from acting solely in the benefit of one constituent member, as well as no requirement that public funds appropriated to the Corporation be returned to the Treasury in the event they are not expended.

The questions of the Corporation's establishment, functioning, and funding aside, I feel S. 1023 neglects to consider the more basic reasons for declining travel to the United States. Anecdotal evidence suggests potential foreign visitors take issue with America's reputation of late, particularly in the wake of the Iraq War, and moreover are confused and very likely offended by the difficulties of obtaining a visa to this country and the unfriendly treatment when they arrive at its borders. We would do well to examine what must be done in order to rehabilitate our image internationally, as well as how to facilitate easier entry into this country, while maintaining a necessary degree of security. In short, simply creating a corporation to promote travel to the U.S. will not address the more essential elements of why fewer foreigners decide to come to our country as tourists.

Finally, I must also object to the apparent failure of this body to afford the Travel Promotion Act proper consideration under the auspices of regular order. I would note that during the 110th Congress, the Committees on Energy and Commerce, the Judiciary, and Homeland Security worked cooperatively to produce an amended version of this legislation for consideration by the full House. While I confess that bill was in no way perfect, it included much-needed improvements to the oversight and accountability measures applicable to the Corporation and, in my view, represented a more palatable alternative to the measure before us for consideration today.

In closing, I intend to vote in favor of H.R. 1035 but must voice my most rigorous protest at its inclusion of the Travel Promotion Act, legislation I find remarkably lacking in merit and deficient in due deliberation by this body.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res 806

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2997,
AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED
AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS
ACT, 2010

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 799, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 2997) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of September 30, 2009, at page H10358.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 799, the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO) and the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. KINGSTON) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Connecticut.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include tabular and extraneous material on the conference report to accompany H.R. 2997.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I am delighted to present the 2010 Agriculture-Food and Drug Administration appropriations conference report. I want to note for my colleagues that this is the earliest that an Agriculture appropriations conference report has come to the House floor since 1999.

This report represents a culmination of many months of hard work in both Houses of Congress. I want to offer my thanks to the ranking member, Congressman Jack Kingston, for his collaboration and input over these months, and I also want to say "thank you" to the minority and majority staff for all of their tireless work in this effort, a "thank you" to our ranking member, Mr. Lewis, and a special "thank you" to Chairman Obey for his counsel on this effort.

We have been busy all year. The subcommittee has held seven hearings so far, including two hearings with the Secretary of Agriculture, a hearing with the Acting Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration and another with the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services. We had a hearing on domestic nutrition programs, a hearing on the equivalency process for imported meat and poultry. We also had a hearing in which members discussed their priorities.

The fiscal year 2010 conference report before us, the culmination of the process, focuses on several key areas such as: supporting agricultural research, investing in rural communities, protecting public health, bolstering food nutrition programs and food aid, and conserving our natural resources. The final bill invests in these priorities and the agencies that can help us to meet them while making specific and sensible budget cuts where feasible.

The 2010 Agriculture-FDA appropriations conference report provides for \$23.3 billion in funding, a 13 percent increase over 2009 levels, with major and responsible investments across the board.

In terms of protecting public health, the bill provides a substantial increase for the Food and Drug Administration—\$306 million—to conduct more inspections of domestic and foreign food and medical products.

In addition, the bill fully funds the administration's request for the Food Safety and Inspection Service at the United States Department of Agriculture, providing over \$1 billion for FSIS for the first time in history.

The appropriate funding of FDA and FSIS is not only a matter of public health and consumer safety, it is a matter of national and economic security. Not all of the dangers that threaten the health and safety of American families can be found in airports, border checkpoints or harbor containers.

Sometimes they lurk in our refrigerators and on our kitchen tables. We cannot afford to neglect our food safety system any longer, and I am pleased that we fund the FDA and the FSIS adequately in this bill.

With regards to nutrition, the bill provides \$7.25 billion for WIC, the women, infants and children program, to serve our Nation's vulnerable populations and help those hit hardest by the economic crisis.

Our fundamental responsibility as legislators and as leaders, to say nothing of basic morality and fairness, demands that we do everything that we can to help Americans suffering right now from poverty and malnutrition. Each dollar we spend on nutrition here in this bill means food on the table for hungry families who are struggling.

The bill also includes \$171 million for the Commodity Supplemental Food Program, or CSFP, and expands assistance to seven new States: Arkansas, Oklahoma, Delaware, Utah, New Jersey, Georgia and Maine.

In addition, conferees agreed to extend the school lunch program for 1 year at the request of the Education and Labor Committee to ensure that the school lunch program remains operational and that schoolchildren will not go hungry.

The bill makes significant investments in agricultural research: \$1.2 billion for the Agricultural Research Service, over \$1.3 billion for the National Institute for Food and Agriculture, and among the key programs funded is \$262.5 million for the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative, a competitive research program.

In addition, the report seeks to create new opportunities for growth in the Nation's small-town economies with rural development and conservation. The agreement provides \$12 billion for the section 502 Guaranteed Single-Family Housing Loans and \$164 million for the Rural Energy for America Program, just two of the important programs funded here to encourage rural development.

The bill addresses concerns raised on a bipartisan basis about the need to modernize the Farm Service Agency's information technology networks and databases in order to provide more effective and secure service for the agency's customers. It fully funds the request of \$67.3 million for this work.

The conference report increases funding for key safety nets for our farmers, \$257 million more in farm ownership loans and \$676 million more in farm operating loans than the President's request.

This agreement includes \$350 million for dairy assistance, \$290 million to the Secretary of Agriculture to supplement producers' income and \$60 million for purchasing surplus cheese and other dairy products to distribute to food banks.

The conference agreement also works to conserve America's natural resources and thus sustain our national prosperity. It includes approximately \$1\$ billion for the Natural Resources Conservation Service to improve service in the field, conserve and protect the environment and upgrade aging dams at risk of catastrophic failure. It restores funding eliminated in the budget for the Resource Conservation and Development program and maintains the House position of keeping it in a separate account.

It funds the Commodity Futures Trading Commission above the President's request to better secure the markets from improper speculation. And it continues to protect our Nation's families and farmers from the dangers posed by unsafe processed poultry imports from overseas. Some of you may be familiar with the long debate we've had over processed poultry from China. From the very beginning, I have insisted that the question of processed Chinese poultry imports be taken as a public health issue that must not be entangled in trade discussions. This conference report language provides meaningful assurances that the public health will be protected and that adequate preventive measures will be taken to ensure poultry products from China are safe.

The final conference language firmly establishes that Chinese poultry imports must live up to American sanitary conditions before being shipped to the United States. This includes requiring new onsite audits, new onsite inspections and an increased level of port-of-entry reinspections. The language also requires USDA to report frequently to the Congress on the implementation of any rule authorizing China to export poultry products to the U.S. This will allow the Congress to monitor USDA's work in this area on a frequent basis.

In short, the new language ensures the USDA will perform the necessary inspection and monitoring functions to minimize possible food safety threats from Chinese processed poultry imports. I look forward to working with the Secretary as this process moves forward.

Finally, for all the important investments in this bill, we have also made responsible cuts where warranted. This report includes \$194 million in cuts below 2009, more than \$1 billion in cuts below the 2010 budget request, and \$346 million in cuts below the 2010 Housepassed bill.

Taken as a whole, I believe we have crafted responsible agriculture legislation that alleviates short-term suffering, encourages long-term growth, invests in our future and reflects our priorities as a Nation.

In closing, let me take a moment to thank the staff who have worked diligently to help to put this bill together. Subcommittee majority staff—Martha Foley, our clerk, Leslie Barrack, Matthew Smith, Jason Weller, Cliff Isenberg and Kerstin Millius have worked closely with David Gibbons on the minority staff. In addition, Brian Ronholm and Letty Mederos on my staff, and Merritt Myers and Meg Gilley from Mr. KINGSTON's staff have been of tremendous help to this subcommittee.

I urge you to support this bill.

	(
	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS						
Production, Processing, and Marketing						
Office of the Secretary	5,174	5,285	5,285	5,285	5,285	+111
Office of Tribal Relations		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	+1,000
Executive Operations:						
Office of Chief Economist	10,651	16,732	12,982	13,032	13,032	+2,381
National Appeals Division	14,711	15,559	15,289	15,219	15,254	+543 +382
Office of Budget and Program Analysis Office of Homeland Security	9,054 974	9,436 2,994	9,436 2,494	9,436 1,859	9,436 1,859	+885
Office of Advocacy and Outreach	3/7	3,000	3,000	1,005	1,700	+1.700
Office of the Chief Information Officer	17,527	63,579	48,541	63,579	61,579	+44,052
Office of the Chief Financial Officer	5,954	6,566	6,466	6,566	6,566	+612
Total, Executive Operations	58,871	117,866	98,208	109,691	109,426	+50,555
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights	871	895	888	895	895	+24
Office of Civil Rights	21,551	23,922	23,922	23,422	23,922	+2,371 +119
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration Agriculture buildings and facilities and rental	687	806	700	806	806	7115
payments	(244,244)	(348,182)	(326,482)	(274,482)	(293,093)	(+48,849)
Payments to GSA	168,901	237,901	223,901	168,901	184,812	+15,911
Department of Homeland Security	13,500 61,843	13,500 94,781	13,500 89,081	13,500 92,081	13,500 94,781	+32.938
Building operations and maintenance Hazardous materials management	5,100	5,125	5,125	5,125	5,125	+25
Departmental administration	27,011	43,319	37,319	41,319	41,319	+14,308
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional						
Relations	3,877	3,968	3,968	3,968	3,968	+91
Office of Communications	9,514	9,922	9,722	9,722	9,722	+208
Office of the Inspector General	85,768 41,620	88,781 44,651	89,281 43,601	88,025 43,551	88,725 43,551	+2,959 +1,931
Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education,	41,020	44,001	43,001	43,551	43,331	+1,001
and Economics	609	895	620	895	895	+286
Economic Research Service	79,500	82,478	82,528	82,078	82,478	+2,978
National Agricultural Statistics Service	151,565	161,830	161,830	161,830	161,830	+10,265
Census of Agriculture	(37,265)	(37,908)	(37,908)	(37,908)	(37,908)	(+643)
Agricultural Research Service:						
Salaries and expenses	1,140,406	1,153,368	1,157,568	1,181,632	1,179,639	+39,233
Buildings and facilities	46,752		35,000	47,027	70,873	+24,121
Total, Agricultural Research Service	1,187,158	1,153,368	1,192,568	1,228,659	1,250,512	+63,354
National Institute of Food and Agriculture:						
Research and education activities	691,043	622,892	711,523	757,821	788,243	+97,200
Native American Institutions Endowment Fund	(11,880)	(11,880)	(11,880)	(11,880)	(11,880)	+20.673
Extension activities Integrated activities	474,250 56,864	487,005 56,864	485,466 60,022	491 , 292 56 , 864	494,923 60,022	+3,158
Outreach for socially disadvantaged farmers	00,004					***
	********	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Total, National Institute of Food and Agriculture	1,222,157	1,166,761	1,257,011	1,305,977	1,343,188	+121,031
Office of the Under Secretary for Marketing and						
Regulatory Programs	737	895	753	895	895	+158
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service:		*				
Salaries and expenses	876,675	872,423	881,019	909,394	. 904,953	+28,278
Inspections (user fees) (leg. proposal) NA	4 740	(20,000)	4 740	4 740	4 740	•••
Buildings and facilities	4,712	4,712	4,712	4,712	4,712	***
Total, Animal and Plant Health Inspection						
Service	881,387	877,135	885,731	914,106	909,665	+28,278
Agricultural Marketing Service:						
Marketing Services	86,711	90,848	90,848	90,848	91,148	+4,437
Standardization (user fees) (leg. proposal) NA						
(Limitation on administrative expenses, from fees	/80 000	(64 500)	/64 F00:	104 505	/04 F0C	/14 PDE
Collected)	(62,888)	(64,583)	(64,583) 1,300,000	(64,583) 1,300,000	(64,583) 1,300,000	(+1,695) +131,000 M
Permanent, Section 32 Funds for strengthening markets, income, and	1,169,000	1,300,000	1,500,000	,,500,000	1,300,000	7131,000 H
supply (transfer from section 32)	17,270	20,056	20,056	20,056	20,056	+2,786 M
Commodity purchases support system	(10,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(10,000)	
Payments to states and possessions	1,334	1,334	1,334	1,334	1,334	
Tatal Anniquitumal Mastating Constant annual	4 247 000	4 400 004	4 400 004	4 400 004	4 407 404	±490 040
Total, Agricultural Marketing Service program	1,347,203	1,498,821	1,496,821	1,496,821	1,487,121	+139,918

	•					
	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards						
Administration: Salaries and expenses Limitation on inspection and weighing services	40,342 (42,463)	41,964 (42,463)	41,964 (42,463)	41,564 (42,463)	41,964 (42,463)	+1,622
Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety	613	813	622	813	813	+200
Food Safety and Inspection Service	971,566 (1,000)	1,018,520 (1,000)	1,018,520 (1,000)	1,018,520 (1,000)	1,018,520 (1,000)	+46,954
Total, Production, Processing, and Marketing	6,314,235	6,608,619	6,699,886	6,774,866	6,850,135	+535,900
Farm Assistance Programs						
Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services	646	895	662	895	895	+249
Farm Service Agency:						
Salaries and expenses(Transfer from export loans)	1,170,273 (348)	1,253,777 (355)	1,248,777 (355)	1,603,777 (355)	1,253,777 (355)	+83,504 (+7)
(Transfer from P.L. 480)	(2,736)	(2,812)	(2,812)	(2,812)	(2,812)	(+78)
(Transfer from ACIF)	(309,403)	(318,173)	(317,654)	(313,173)	(313,173)	(+3,770)
Subtotal, transfers from program accounts	(312,487)	(321,340)	(320,821)	(316,340)	(316,340)	(+3,853)
Total, Salaries and expenses	(1,482,760)	(1,575,117)	(1,569,598)	(1,920,117)	(1,570,117)	(+87,357)
State mediation grants	4,369	4,369	4,000	4,369	4,369	
Grassroot source water protection program	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	***
Dairy indemnity program	1,700	930	930	930	930	-770 H
Subtotal, Farm Service Agency	1,181,342	1,264,076	1,258,707	1,614,076	1,264,076	+82,734
Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account:		4				
Loan authorizations: Farm ownership loans:						
Direct	(222,298)	(392,990)	(392,990)	(392,990)	(650,000)	(+427,702)
Guaranteed	(1,238,768)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(+281,232)
Subtotal	(1,461,066)	(1,892,990)	(1,892,990)	(1,892,990)	(2,150,000)	(+688,934)
Farm operating loans:						
Direct Unsubsidized guaranteed Subsidized guaranteed	(575,095) (1,017,497) (269,986)	(700,000) (1,150,000) (144,467)	(700,000) (1,150,000) (144,487)	(700,000) (1,150,000) (144,487)	(1,000,000) (1,500,000) (170,000)	(+424,905) (+482,503) (-99,986)
Subtotal	(1,862,578)	(1,994,467)	(1,994,467)	(1,994,467)	(2,670,000)	(+807, 422)
Indian tribe land acquisition loans Conservation loans:	(3,940)	(2,000)	(3,940)	(2,000)	(3,940)	
Direct		(75,000) (75,000)	(75,000) (75,000)	(75,000) (75,000)	(75,000) (75,000)	(+75,000) (+75,000)
Subtotal	****	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(+150,000)
Indian Highly Fractionated Land Loans		(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)	(+10,000)
Boll weevil eradication loans	(100,000)	(60,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(+10,000)
Total, Loan authorizations	(3,427,584)	(4,109,457)	(4,151,397)	(4,149,457)	(5,083,940)	(+1,656,356)
Loan subsidies:						
Farm ownership loans:	40.745	40.004	40.004	40.004		
DirectGuaranteed	12,715 4,088	16,034 5,550	16,034 5,550	18,034 5,550	26,520 5,550	+13,805 +1,462
Subtotal	16,803	21,584	21,584	21,584	32,070	+15,267
Farm operating loans:						
Direct	67,804	33,180	33,180	33,180	47,400	-20,404
Unsubsidized guaranteed	25,336 37,231	26,910	26,910	26,910	35,100	+9,764
Subsidized guaranteed	37,231	20,312 80,402	20,312 80,402	20,312	23,902	-13,329
Indian tribe land acquisition	130,371 248	60,402	80,402	80,402	106,402	-23,969
Conservation loans:					• • •	-248
Direct		1,065 278	1,065 278	1,065 278	1,065 278	+1,065 +278
Subtotal	*	1,343	1,343	1,343	1,343	+1,343

	(Amounts					
·····	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Indian Highly Fractionated Land Loans Individual Development Accounts		793 5,000	793	793 	793	+793
Total, Loan subsidies	147,422	109,122	104,122	104,122	140,608	-6,814
ACIF expenses: Salaries and expense (transfer to FSA) Administrative expenses	309,403 7,920	318,173 7,920	317,654 7,920	313,173 7,920	313,173 7,920	+3,770
Total, ACIF expenses	317,323	326,093	325,574	321,093	321,093	+3,770
Total, Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund (Loan authorization)	464,745 (3,427,584)	435,215 (4,109,457)	429,696 (4,151,397)	425,215 (4,149,457)	461,701 (5,083,940)	-3,044 (+1,656,356)
Total, Farm Service Agency	1,646,087	1,699,291	1,688,403	2,039,291	1,725,777	+79,690
isk Management Agency. Administrative and operating expenses	77,177.	80,325	80.325	79,425	80,325	+3,148
	1,723,910	1,780,511	1,769,390		•	+83,087
Corporations						
ederal Crop Insurance Corporation:						
Federal crop insurance corporation fund	8,582,945	7,502,601	7,502,601	7,502,601	7,502,601	+919,656 M
ommodity Credit Corporation Fund: Reimbursement for net realized losses Hazardous waste management (limitation on	11,106,324	13,878,054	13,878,054	13,878,054	13,878,054	+2,771,730 }
expenses)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	
Total, Corporations	17,689,269	21,380,655	21,380,655	21,380,655	21,380,655	+3,691,386
Total, Title I, Agricultural Programs	25,727,414 (312,487)	29,769,785 (321,340)	29,849,931 (320,821)	30,275,132	30,037,787	+4,310,373
(Loan authorization)	(3,427,584)	(4,109,457)	(4,151,397)	(316,340) (4,149,457)	(316,340) (5,083,940)	(+3,853) (+1,656,356)
	(110,351) 	(112,046) 	(112,046)	(112,046)	(112,046)	(+1,695)
TITLE II - CONSERVATION PROGRAMS						
ffice of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment	758	895	774	895	895	+137
Conservation operations	853,400	867,197	874,397	949,577	887,629	+34,229
Watershed and flood prevention operations Watershed rehabilitation program	24,289 40,000	40,161	20,000 40,161	24,394 40,161	30,000 40,161	+5,711 +161
Resource conservation and development	50,730		50,730		50,730	•••
Total, Natural Resources Conservation Service	968,419	907,358	985,288	1,014,132	1,008,520	+40,101
Total, Title II, Conservation Programs	969,177	908,253	986,062	1,015,027	1,009,415	+40,238
TITLE III - RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS						
ffice of the Under Secretary for Rural Development	646	895	660	895	895	+249
ural Development:	646	895	660	895	895	+249
ural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses	846 192,484	195,987	660 193,987	207,237	895 201,987	+249 +9,503
ural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses	192,484 (460,217)	195,987 (468,593)	193,987 (468,593)	207,237 (468,593)	201,987 (468,593)	+9,503 (+8,376)
ural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses	192,484	195,987	193,987	207,237	201,987	+9,503
ural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses	192,484 (460,217) (4,853)	195,987 (468,593) (4,941)	193,987 (468,593) (4,941)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178)
ural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP).	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245)	195,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959)	193,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714)
tural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF)	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245) (504,315)	195,987 (466,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493)	193, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178)
Rural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP). Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts. Total, Rural development expenses	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245) (504,315)	195,987 (466,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493)	193, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493) (707, 480)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (720,730)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (715,480)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178) (+18,681)
Rural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP). Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts. Total, Rural development expenses ural Housing Service: Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account: Loan authorizations: Single family direct (sec. 502)	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245) (504,315) (696,799)	195,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (709,480)	193,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (707,480)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (720,730)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (715,480)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178)
Rural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP). Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts. Total, Rural development expenses. Rural Housing Service: Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account: Loan authorizations: Single family direct (sec. 502). Unsubsidized guaranteed. Subtotal, Single family	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245) (504,315) (696,799) (1,121,488) (6,223,859) (7,345,347) (34,410)	195,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (709,480) (1,121,488) (6,204,444) (7,325,932) (34,412)	193, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493) (707, 480) (1, 121, 488) (6, 204, 444) (7, 325, 932) (34, 412)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (720,730) (1,226,501) (12,000,000) (13,226,501) (34,412)	201, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493) (715, 480) (1, 121, 488) (12,000,000) (13, 121, 488) (34, 412)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178) (+18,681) (+5,776,141) (+5,776,141)
Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP). Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts. Total, Rural development expenses Rural Housing Service: Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account: Loan authorizations: Single family direct (sec. 502). Unsubsidized guaranteed Subtotal, Single family Housing repair (sec. 504) Rental housing (sec. 515)	(4,853) (39,245) (504,315) (696,799) (11,121,488) (6,223,859) (7,345,347) (34,410) (69,512)	(1,121,488) (6,204,444) (7,325,932) (34,412) (69,512)	193,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (707,480) (1,121,488) (6,204,444) (7,325,932) (34,412) (80,000)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (720,730) (1,226,501) (12,000,000) (13,226,501) (34,412) (69,512)	201,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (715,480) (11,121,488) (12,000,000) (13,121,488) (34,412) (69,512)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178) (+18,681) (+5,776,141) (+5,776,141) (+2)
Rural Development: Rural development expenses: Salaries and expenses. (Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP). (Transfer from RETLP). Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts. Total, Rural development expenses. ural Housing Service: Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account: Loan authorizations: Single family direct (sec. 502). Unsubsidized guaranteed. Subtotal, Single family	192,484 (460,217) (4,853) (39,245) (504,315) (696,799) (1,121,488) (6,223,859) (7,345,347) (34,410)	195,987 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (709,480) (1,121,488) (6,204,444) (7,325,932) (34,412)	193, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493) (707, 480) (1, 121, 488) (6, 204, 444) (7, 325, 932) (34, 412)	207,237 (468,593) (4,941) (39,959) (513,493) (720,730) (1,226,501) (12,000,000) (13,226,501) (34,412)	201, 987 (468, 593) (4, 941) (39, 959) (513, 493) (715, 480) (1, 121, 488) (12,000,000) (13, 121, 488) (34, 412)	+9,503 (+8,376) (+88) (+714) (+9,178) (+18,681) (+5,776,141) (+5,776,141)

	•	•				
	FY 2009	FY 2010		Canata	Canforana	Conference
	Enacted	Request	House	Senate	Conference	vs. Enacted
Self-help housing land develop. (sec. 523)	(4,970)	(4,970)	(4,970)	(4,970)	(4,970)	
Total, Loan authorizations	(7,599,821)	(7,580,409)	(7,590,897)	(13,480,978)	(13,375,965)	(+5,776,144)
Language and Additional						
Loan subsidies: Single family direct (sec. 502)	75,364	40,710	40,710	44,522	40,710	-34,654
Unsubsidized guaranteed	79,043	89,624	89,624	172,800	172,800	+93,757
Subtotal, Single family	154,407	130,334	130,334	217,322	213,510	+59,103
Housing repair (sec. 504)	9,246	4,422	4,422	4,422	4,422	-4,824
Rental housing (sec. 515)	28,611	18,935	21,792	18,935	18,935	-9,676
Multi-family housing guarantees (sec. 538)	8,082	1,485	1,485	1,485	1,485	-6,597
Multi-family housing credit sales	523	556	556	556	556	+33
Self-help housing land develop. (sec. 523)	82					-82
Total, Loan subsidies	200,951	155,732	158,589	242,720	238,908	+37,957
RHIF administrative expenses (transfer to RD).	460,217	468,593	468,593	468,593	468,593	+8,376
,			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	711,313		
Total, Rural Housing Insurance Fund program. (Loan authorization)	661,168 (7,599,821)	624,325 (7,580,409)	627,182 (7,590,897)	(13,480,978)	707,501 (13,375,965)	+46,333 (+5,776,144)
Rental assistance program:				**********		
Rental assistance (Sec. 521)	891,112	1,080,042	968,612	968,612	968,612	+77,500
Eligible households (Sec. 502(c)(5)(D))	5,958	5,958	5,958	5,958	5,958	
New construction (Sec. 515)	2,030	2,030	2,030	2,030	2,030	• • •
New construction (Farm Labor Housing)	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	***
Total, Rental assistance program	902,500	1,091,430	980,000	980,000	980,000	+77,500
Rural housing voucher program	4,965	4,965	4,965	18,000	16,400	+11,435
Multifamily housing revitalization program account	19,860	19,860	25,000	19,860	25,000	+5,140
Multifamily housing preservation revolving loans	2,889	1,791	1,791	1,791	1,791	-1,098
Total, Multifamily housing revitalization	27,714	26,616	31,756	39,651	43,191	+15,477
Mutual and self-help housing grants	38,727	38,727	45,000	38,727	41,864	+3,137
Rural housing assistance grants	41,500	41,500	45,500	41,500	45,500	+4,000
Farm labor housing program account: (Loan authorization)	(21,678)	(21,677)	(30,501)	(21,877)	(27,319)	(+5,641)
Loan subsidy	9,135	7,834	11,023	7,834	9,873	+738
Grants	9,134	9,134	11,500	9,134	9,873	+739
Total, Farm Labor Housing Program Account	18,269	16,968	22,523	16,968	19,746	+1,477
• •			,	,	,	.,,,,,,
Rural community facilities program account: Loan authorizations:						
Community facility:	(294,948)	(204.002)	(204 082)	/204 0025	(204.000)	(144)
Guaranteed	(206,425)	(294,962) (206,417)	(294,962) (206,417)	(294,962) (206,417)	(294,962) (206,417)	(+14) (-8)
Total, Loan authorizations	(501,373)	(501,379)	(501,379)	(501,379)	(501,379)	(+6)
Loan subsidies and grants:						
Community facility:						
Direct	16,871	3,864	3,864	3,864	3,864	-13,007
GuaranteedGrants	6,358	6,626	6,626	6,626	6,626	+268
Rural community development initiative,	20,373 6,256	20,373 6,256	20,373	20,373	20,373	***
Economic impact initiative grants	10,000	13,902	6,256 10,000	6,256 13,902	6,256 13,902	+3,902
Tribal college grants	3,972	3,972	3,972	3,972	3,972	13,802

Total, RCP Loan subsidies and grants	63,830	54,993	51,091	54,993	54,993	-8,837
Subtotal, grants and payments	162,326	152,188	164,114	152.188	162,103	-223
Total, Rural Housing Service(Loan authorization)	1,753,708 (8,122,872)	1,894,559 (8,103,465)	1,803,052 (8,122,777)	1,883,152 (14,004,034)	1,892,795 (13,904,663)	+139,087 (+5,781,791)
ural Business-Cooperative Service:						
Rural Business Program Account: (Guaranteed business and industry loans)	(993,000)	(993,002)	(993,002)	(993,002)	(993,002)	(+2)
Loan subsidies and grants: Guaranteed business and industry subsidy	43,196	52,927	52,927	52,927	52,927	+9,731
						*

	(Villogitte	in thousands,					
	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted	
Grants:							
Rural business enterprise	38,727	38,727	38,727	38,727	38,727		
Rural business opportunity	2,483	2,483	2,483	2,483	2,483		
Delta regional authority	2,979	2,979	2,979	2,979	2,979		
Total, RBP loan subsidies and grants	87,385	97,116	97,116	97,116	97,116	+9,731	
Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account:				i			
(Loan authorization)	(33,536)	(33,536)	(33,536)	(33,536)	(33,536)	• • •	
Loan subsidy	14,035	8,464	8,464	8,464	8,464	-5,571	
Administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	4,853	4,941	4,941	4,941	4,941	+88	
Total, Rural Development Loan Fund	18,888	13,405	13,405	13,405	13,405	-5,483	
Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account:							
(Loan authorization)	(33,077)	(33,077)	(33,077)	(33,077)	(33,077)		
Rural cooperative development grants: Cooperative development	4,424	10,424	5,424	10,424	7,924	+3,500	
Appropriate technology transfer							
for rural areas	2,582 300	2,582 300	2,582 300	2,800 300	2,800 300	+218	
Value-added agricultural product	500	300	500	500	500		
market development	3,867	21,867	18,867	21,867	20,367	+16,500	
Grants to assist minority producers	1,463	3,463	3,463	3,463	3,463	+2,000	
Total, Rural Cooperative development grants.	12,636	38,636	30,636	38,854	34,854	+22,218	
Rural Microenterprise Investment Program Account:							
(Loan authorization)		(51,522)		(51,522)	(11,710)	(+11,710)	
Loan subsidy		11,000		11,000	2,500	+2,500	
Grants	•••	11,000		11,000	2,500	+2,500	
Total, Rural Microenterprise Investment		22,000		22,000	5,000	+5,000	
Rural empowerment zones and enterprise communities							
grants	8,130					-8,130	
Renewable energy program(Rural energy for America)							
(Loan authorization)	(25,780) 2,500	(246,334) 33,600	(73,314) 10,000	(246,334) 33,600	(144,209) 19,670	(+118,429) +17,170	
Coan subsidyGrants	2,500	34,530	12,000	34,530	19,670	+17,170	
	5,000	68,130	22,000	68,130	39,340	+34,340	
Total, Renewable energy program	3,000	08,130	22,000	00,130	35,340	T34,340	
Biorefinery Assistance Program:		/40 0045		/40 004)			
(Loan authorization)		(48,884) 17,339		(48,884) 17,339	•••		
Louis Substitution of the Control of							
Total, Biorefinery Assistance Program		17,339		17,339			
Total, Rural Business-Cooperative Service	132,039	256,626	163,157	256,844	189,715	+57,676	
(Loan authorization)	(1,085,393)	(1,406,355)	(1,132,929)	(1,406,355)	(1,215,534)	(+130,141) ======	
Rural Utilities Service:							
Rural water and waste disposal program account:							
Loan authorizations:							
Direct	(75,000)	(1,022,163) (75,000)	(1,022,163) (75,000)	(1,022,163) (75,000)	(1,022,163) (75,000)	(+1,022,163)	
Total, Loan authorization	75,000	1,097,163	1,097,163	1,097,163	1,097,163	+1,022,163	
Loan subsidies and grants:							
Subsidy and grants Direct subsidy	537,278	77,071	77 074	77 074	77 074	-537,278	
Water and waste grants		464,228	77,071 464,228	77,071 469,228	77,071 469,228	+77,071 +469,228	
Solid waste management grants		3,441	3,441	3,441	3,441	+3,441	
Water and waste financing revolving fund	497	497	497	497	497		
Water well system grants	993 17,500	993	993	993 17,500	993 17,500		
Rural water and waste disposal	17,300		10,038	17,500	17,300		
Total, Water loan subsidies and grants	556,268	546,230	556,268	568,730	568,730	+12,462	
•		714,600	A-1 100	200,100	300,100	- 12, 702	
Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account:							
Loan authorizations:							
Electric:							
Direct, 5%	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)		
Direct, FFB	(8,500,000)	(6,500,000)	(8,500,000)	(6,500,000)	(6,500,000)	(+500,000)	
Guaranteed underwriting				(500,000)	(500,000)	(+500,000)	
Subtotal, Electric	(6,600,000)	(6,600,000)	(6,600,000)	(7,100,000)	(7,100,000)	(+500,000)	
	·	•	·	•	•	• • •	

	(Milosites	in choosanos,				
	FY 2009 Enected	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Telecommunications:	/4.4E 000\	(145,000)	/44E 000\	(145,000)	/44E 000\	
Direct, 5%		(250,000)	(145,000) (250,000)	(250,000)	(145,000) (250,000)	
Direct, FFB		(295,000)	(295,000)	(295,000)	(295,000)	
			(690,000)	(690,000)	(690,000)	
Subtotal, Telecommunications		(690,000)				/.FOO. DOO.
Total, Loan authorizations	(7,290,000)	(7,290,000)	(7,290,000)	(7,790,000)	(7,790,000)	(+500,000)
Loan subsidies: Telecommunications: Direct, Treasury rate	525			•.		- 525
			**********	******	******	-525
Subtotal, Telecommunications						
RETLP administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	39,245	39,959	39,959	39,959	39,959	+714
Total, Rural Electrification and		.				
Telecommunications Loans Program Account (Loan authorization)	(7,290,000)	39,959 (7,290,000)	39,959 (7,290,000)	39,959 (7,790,000)	39,959 (7,790,000)	+189 (+500,000)
Distance learning, telemedicine, and broadband	***********	************			東京省名中市市市大学会会社	
program:						
Loan authorizations: Broadband telecommunications	(400,487)	(531,699)	(400,000)	(531,699)	(400,000)	(-487)
Total, Loan authorizations	(400,487)	(531,699)	(400,000)	(531,699)	(400,000)	(-487)
Loan subsidies and grants:					÷	
Distance learning and telemedicine: Grants	34,755	29,790	34,755	37,755	37,755	+3,000
Broadband telecommunications: Direct	15,619	38,495	28,960	38,495	28,960	+13,341
Grants		13,406	17,976	13,406	17,976	+4,570
Total, Loan subsidies and grants	63,780	81,691	81,691	89,656	84,691	+20,911
Broadband loans (rescission)	-6,404					+6,404
Total, Rural Utilities Service	653,414	667,880	677,918	698,345	693,380	+39,966
(Loan authorization)	(7,765,487)	(8,918,862)	(8,787,163)	(9,418,862)	(9,287,163)	(+1,521,676)
Total, Title III, Rural Development Programs	2,732,291	3,015,947	2,838,774	3,046,473	2,978,772	+246,481
(By transfer)		(513,493)	(513, 493)	(513,493)	(513,493)	(+9.178)
(Loan authorization)	(16,973,752)	(18,428,682)	(18,042,869)	(24,829,251)	(24,407,360)	(+7,433,608)
TITLE IV - DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS						
office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and	840	049	ena	049	042	+202
Consumer Services	610	813	623	813	813	+203
Child nutrition programs	8,496,109	10,044,369	10,046,707	10,046,707	9.859.930	+1,363,821
Competitive grants	•••	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	+5,000
Nutrition educationTransfer from section 32	6,455,802	6,747,877	6,747,877	2,000 6,747,877	1,000 6,989,899	+1,000 +534,097
Total, Child nutrition programs	14,951,911	16,797,246	16,799,584	16,801,584	16,855,829	+1,903,918
Special supplemental nutrition program for women,	,	•	•	•		• • •
infants, and children (WIC)	6,860,000	7,777,000	7,541,000	7,552,000	7,252,000	+392,000
Supplemental nutrition assistance program:						
Expenses	48,843,897	56,105,314	56,105,314	56,105,314	53,164,019	+4,320,122
Indian reservations (FDPIR)	114,914	112,656	112,656	112,656	112,797	-2,117
Nutrition assistance for Puerto Rico and Samoa	3,000,000 1,760,435	3,000,000 1,880,626	3,000,000 1,880,626	3,000,000 1,880,626	3,000,000 1,753,365	-7,070
The emergency food assistance program		253,250	253,250	253,250	248,000	-2,000
Total, Food stamp program	53,969,246	61,351,846	61,351,846	61,351,846	58,278,181	+4,308,935
Commodity assistance program: Commodity supplemental food program	160,430	162,818	180,000	162,818	171,409	+10,979
Farmers market nutrition program	19,800	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	+200
Emergency food assistance program	49,500	49,500	49,500	49,500	49,500	
Emergency food program infrastructure grants			5,000		6,000	+6,000
Pacific island and disaster assistance	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,070	
Total, Commodity assistance program	230,800	233,388	255,570	233,388	247,979	+17,179

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Nutrition programs administration	142,595	150,139	147,801	147,801	147,801	+5,206
Total, Food and Nutrition Service	76,154,552	86,309,619	86,095,801	86,086,619	82,781,790	+6,627,238
Total, Title IV, Domestic Food Programs	76,155,162	86,310,432	86,096,424	86,087,432	82,782,603	+6,627,441
TITLE V - FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS	•					
Foreign Agricultural Service						
Salaries and expenses, direct appropriation		180,367 (6,465)	177,136 (6,465)	180,367 (6,465)	180,367 (6,465)	+14,931 (+1,480)
Total, Salaries and expenses program level	(170,421)	(186,832)	(183,601)	(186,832)	(186,832)	(+16,411)
Public Law 480 Program and Grant Accounts: Title II - Commodities for disposition abroad: Program level	(1,225,900) 1,225,900	(1,690,000) 1,690,000	(1,690,000) 1,690,000	(1,690,000) 1,690,000	(1,690,000) 1,690,000	(+464.100) +464,100 150
Salaries and expenses: Farm Service Agency (transfer to FSA)	2,736	2,812	2,812	2,812	2,812	+76
Subtotal	2,736	2,812	2,812	2,812	2,812	+76
Total, Public Law 480: Program level	1,228,636	(1,690,000) 1,692,812	(1,690,000) 1,692,812	(1,690,000) 1,692,812	(1,890,000) 1,692,812	(+464,100) +464,176
Commodity Credit Corporation Export Loans Program Account (administrative expenses): Salaries and expenses (Export Loans): General Sales Manager (transfer to FAS) Farm Service Agency (transfer to FSA)		6,465 355	6,465 355	6 , 465 355	6,465 355	+1 , 480 +7
Total, CCC Export Loans Program Account	5,333	6,820	6,820	6,820	6,820	+1,487
McGovern-Dole international food for education and child nutrition program grants		199,500	199,500	199,500	209,500	+109,500 150
Total, Title V, Foreign Assistance and Related Programs	1,499,405 (4,985)	2,079,499 (6,465)	2,076,268 (6,465)	2,079,499 (6,465)	2,089,499 (6,465)	+590,094 (+1,480)
TITLE VI - RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION						
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES						
Food and Drug Administration						
Salaries and expenses, direct appropriation Prescription drug user fee act	2,038,964 (510,665) (52,547) (15,260) (4,831)	2,337,658 (578,162) (57,014) (17,280) (5,106)	2,337,658 (578,162) (57,014) (17,280) (5,106) (235,000)	2,337,656 (578,162) (57,014) (17,280) (5,106) (235,000)	2,344,656 (578,162) (57,014) (17,280) (5,106) (235,000)	+305,692 (+67,497) (+4,467) (+2,020) (+275) (+235,000)
Subtotal (including user fees)	(2,622,267)	(2,995,218)	(3,230,218)	(3,230,218)	(3,237,218)	(+614,951)
New User Fees (Legislative proposals)(NA): Generic drug user fees		(36,000) (4,152) (25,848)			•••	
Subtotal, New User fees (NA)	***	(66,000)	••••		••••	
Food Facility Registration and Inspection	***	(75,000)	***	•••		•••
Hammography clinics user fees (outlay savings) Export and color certification	(19,318) (10,300)	(19,318) (10,400)	(19,318) (10,400)	(19,318) (10,400)	(19,318) (10,400)	(+100)
Buildings and facilities	12,433	12,433	12,433	12,433	12,433	•••
Total, Food & Drug Administration (w/user fees). Total, Food and Drug Administration	(2,664,318) 2,051,397	(3,037,369) 2,350,089	(3,272,369) 2,350,089	(3,272,369) 2,350,089	(3,279,369) 2,357,089	(+615,051) +305,692

	(()						
	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted		
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES	•			*************				
Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1/	146,000	160,600	160,600	177,000	168,800	+22,800		
arm Credit Administration (limitation on								
administrative expenses)	(49,000)	(54,500)	(54,500)	(54,500)	(54,500)	(+5,500)		
Total, Title VI, Related Agencies and Food and Drug Administration	2,197,397	2,510,689	2,510,689	2,527,089	2,525,889	+328,492		
TITLE VII - GENERAL PROVISIONS								
enali Commission	434					-434		
ection 32 (rescission)	-293,530	-43,000	-52,000	-52,000	-52,470	+241,060		
gricultural Research Service, Buildings and		40 005						
and facilities (rescission)	3,497	-49,885	***	3,497	3,497			
waii APHIS facility (Sec. 728)	469		***	2,600	2,600	+2,131		
irdwoods Trees (Sec. 728)	794		•••	800	800	+6		
inger Fellowships (Sec. 731)	2,347	***	2,500	3,000	3,000	+653		
rket development (WI, VT) (Sec. 732)	1,877		1,408	3,000	3,000	+1,123		
erbon Inventory and Accounting System	***		1,000		1,000	+1,000		
nternational Food Protection Training Institute			1,000	400	1,000	+1,000		
ood-borne Illness Health Registry	•••		200	499	499 200	+499 +200		
ood Ald Products		***		4,000	4,000	+4,000		
ood Bank Infrastructure				7,000				
aham Avenue business improvement district (Sec. 732)	94		• • •			-94		
ographic Disadvantaged		•••		2,600	2,600	+2,600		
oduct Access				1,000	1,000	+1,000		
rum Wheat		***		4,000	3,000	+3,000		
ecialty market (Sec. 732)	338		***	250 350	250 350	+250		
mit Environmental Quality Incentives program	-270,000	-250,000	-270,000	-250,000	-270,000	+12		
mit Agriculture management assistance (sec.1524)		-5,000	2.0,000	200,000	-2.0,000			
mit wildlife habitat incentives program		-43,000						
mit farmland protection program	•••	-30,000						
mit Section 32 (Sec. 723)	-52,470					+52,470		
mit fruit and vegetable program (Sec. 723)	-49,000			-76,000	-76,000	-27,000		
mit healthy forests reserve programmit Wetlands Reserve program		-5,000 -184,000						
mit Plant Pest and Disease Management and		- 104,000	***					
Disaster Prevention program		-30,000						
mit National Clean Plant Network	***	-5,000				• • • •		
mit Dam Rehab	-165,000	-30,000	-165,000	-165,000	-165,000	• • • •		
pplemental Nutrition Assistance Program								
Employment and Training (rescission)	•••		-11,000		-11,000	-11,000		
W Alaska Village (rescission)			-25,008		1 000	+4 000		
ethamphetamine inhibitor grant program		•••	2,000		1,000 1,000	+1,000 +1,000		
atry,			2,000		350,000	+350,000		
N1 program			•••	•••	2,000	+2,000		
Total, Title VII, General provisions	-820,150	-674,885	-514,900	-510,404				
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-820,100	*014,000	-314,300	-510,404	-193,674	+626,476		
SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008 (PL 110-252)								
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE								
Foreign Agricultural Service								
blic Law 480 Title II Grants (emergency)	395,000			•••		-395,000 1		
SASTER RELIEF AND RECOVERY SUPPLEMENTAL (PL 110-329)						•		
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE								
General Provision								
oc.20001. Bill Emerson humanitarian trust (emergency)	10,000		***	•••		-10,000		
	,					- 101000		

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
AMERICAN RECOVERY & REINVESTMENT ACT, 2009 (PL 111-5)						
*TITLE I - AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, & RURAL DEVELOPMENT						
Department of Agriculture	11,329,500 (11,472,000)	•••	•••		•••	-11,329,500 (-11,472,000)
SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2009 (PL 111-32)						
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE						
Foreign Agricultural Service						
Public Law 480 Title II Grants (emergency)	700,000 71,270 (810,201)					-700,000 150 -71,270 (-810,201)
Total, Other appropriations	12,505,770	***	***	***		-12,505,770
Grand total. Appropriations. Emergency Appropriations. Rescissions. (By transfer). (Loan authorization). (Limitation on administrative expenses)	(108,760,630)	123,919,720 (124,012,605) (-92,885) (841,298) (22,538,139) (166,546)	123,843,248	124,520,248 (124,572,248) (-52,000) (836,298) (28,978,708) (166,546)	121,230,291 (121,293,761) (-63,470) (838,298) (29,491,300) (166,546)	+263,825 (+12,533,131) (-12,505,770) (+236,464) (+14,511) (-3,192,237) (+7,195)
1/ FY 2009 CFTC funding of \$146M and Senate's FY 2010 recommendation of \$177M was provided in the Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act.						
RECAPITULATION						
Title I - Agricultural programs	25,727,414 (18,877,239) (6,850,175)	29,769,785 (22,701,641) (7,068,144)	29,849,931 (22,701,841) (7,148,290)	30,275,132 (22,701,641) (7,573,491)	30,037,787 (22,701,641) (7,336,146)	+4,310,373 (+3,824,402) (+485,971)
Title II - Conservation programs (discretionary)	969,177	908,253	986,082	1,015,027	1,009,415	+40.238
Title III - Rural development programs (discretionary)	2,732,291	3,015,947	2,838,774	3,046,473	2,978,772	+246,481
Title IV - Domestic food programs Mandatory Discretionary	76,155,162 (88,921,157) (7,234,005)	86,310,432 (78,144,092) (8,166,340)	86,096,424 (78,146,430) (7,949,994)	86,087,432 (78,146,430) (7,941,002)	82,782,603 (75,128,010) (7,654,593)	+6,627,441 (+6,206,853) (+420,588)
Title V - Foreign assistance and related programs (discretionary)	1,499,405	2,079,499	2,076,268	2,079,499	2,089,499	+590,094
Title VI - Related agencies and Food and Drug Administration (discretionary)	2,197,397	2,510,689	2,510,689	2,527,089	2,525,889	+328,492
Title VII - General provisions (discretionary)	-820,150	-674,885	-514,900	-510,404	-193,674	+626,476
Other appropriations (discretionary)	12,505,770	•••			• • -	-12,505,770
Total		123,919,720	123,843,248	124,520,248	121,230,291	+263,825

I reserve the balance of my time.

 \Box 1700

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

I want to tell a story about Kika de la Garza who at one time was chairman of the Ag Committee. Chairman de la Garza came down to Georgia and visited the Kings Bay nuclear submarine plant. At that time, as it still is, our nuclear submarine plant was a marvel of technology and was really a floating and submerged fortress that was one of the most powerful weapons on the globe today.

He asked the captain, Tell me about this nuclear generator. Well, the generator goes on and on, and of course nuclear is a very powerful source of fuel. He said, Well, what would make you turn the submarine around? If the nuclear generation can make this submarine go all over the globe without stopping, without ever having to stop to refuel, what makes you go back to port? And the captain of the nuclear submarine looked at the chairman of the Agriculture Committee with some amazement and amusement and said, Well, Mr. Chairman, we turn around when we run out of food. That's a very profound statement. It's something that as we debate this bill, I think we should be aware of.

Our agricultural policies can be a little peculiar, can be a little bit difficult to follow, and can be open to a lot of criticism, but our food policy works. We have a large food supply, an abundant food supply at very low prices. Indeed, when you go into a grocery store, vou complain if vou can't get fruit 12 months a year or if the milk isn't at a good price or whatever it is. We have a good food policy in America and, as a result, we spend more time talking about obesity than we do hunger. So I think all Members should be very appreciative of the Ag appropriations conference report. We all support it in some fashion, sometimes not necessarily casting a vote "yes," but the vote, nonetheless, isn't indicative of how we feel about the importance of agriculture

I have some concerns about this bill. We have worked very closely as a subcommittee. We've had a lot of hearings. The chairwoman is a very vigorous, energized member and has a lot of passion on lots of different issues. We have a lot of great agreements and a lot of disagreements on some things that she feels passionately about and some things I feel passionately about. As she has mentioned, we both have very strong staffs on the majority and on the minority side. We're very appreciative of that, and I think we have got a good ag family. My concerns about this bill though, Mr. Speaker, focus on the spending levels.

This bill was higher than last year when it left the House, and now it's again higher, now that it's come back to the House from the Senate. The bill is nearly 14 percent higher than it was last year. The discretionary spending level is \$23.3 billion, and it's about \$2.8 billion over last year's level. The mandatory spending is 11 percent higher than 2009. Combined, the mandatory and the discretionary spending levels are about 12 percent higher than last year. I'm concerned about that because, you know, food prices haven't gone up that much.

Think about Social Security. Our seniors will not be receiving a COLA this year because, among other things, Social Security is based on inflation, which has a reflection of food. So they are scheduled not to receive a COLA, and yet people on food stamps are going to get a huge increase. I find that bothersome. If we look at some of the individual accounts, I could tick them off. But I would just say, if you look at some things, why is the spending up so high?

Well, take broadband. Broadband has about \$4 million in it this year, yet in the stimulus package which was passed—the stimulus package which was financed not on tax dollars but on borrowed dollars and printed dollars. It's a package that our children's children will be paying for. In that package, the Rural Utility Service received \$2.5 billion, not to mention another \$2.5 billion—actually, about \$3 billion—that was in another account that the Department of Commerce will be funding. None of that has been spent yet. So we've got \$6 billion to \$7 billion in broadband that came out of the stimulus bill that has not been used, yet this bill gives them another \$4.5 billion. That defies common sense.

Food stamps, this bill has \$4.3 billion more than 2009. Half of the mandatory spending is in food stamps, \$58.3 billion. But in the stimulus bill, food stamps received a \$19 billion slug of money. It wasn't because of an increase in food prices. It was allegedly because of new enrollment or anticipated new enrollment. But this bill still gives food stamps an increase. It's ironic, because one of the things this bill also does in reaction to falling milk prices is it gives the dairy farmers more money. So we're giving people who get food stamps an increase.

This bill does not fund Social Security, but just to think about this in a sequence, Social Security recipients do not get an increase; food stamp recipients do get an increase because of a rise in food costs and dairy farmers get money because of falling dairy prices. That's not consistent. I think we could do better than that.

Food for Peace gets \$1.69 billion. That's an increase of \$462 million on top of what they just got in the stimulus bill of \$700 million. I don't think that is justified at this point in time. So I have some real concerns about our spending. Keep in mind that the Obama administration will have the historical record of the highest deficit in the history of the United States Congress, three times as high as the highest def-

icit in the history of the United States. I want to repeat that. The Obama-Pelosi deficit will be \$1.5 trillion this year. That's three times as high as the highest deficit in the history of the United States of America.

Now, we had an opportunity to save some money. We had an opportunity to save \$150 million, but instead, what we did in the conference report was airdrop five new pilot programs: a summer food program for \$85 million: equipment assistance program, \$25 million; WIC breast-feeding outreach at about \$5 million; nutrition outreach for day care, \$8 million; and direct certification expansion of \$25 million. These programs may have some merit. Perhaps we can easily get these programs passed by Members of the House and Members of the Senate, but they did not come through the authorizing committee. They did not come through the Ag Committee. They were not debated. There were not hearings on it. They were air-dropped in this committee, and I'm not convinced that the administration formally asked for them.

There was a lot of discussion about these so-called pilot programs. But why not give the money back to the taxpayers? Why not say, Okay, we have got \$150 million. Let's not go out and create new programs because we know what happens to new programs. Ronald Reagan said it best. He said, If you don't believe in eternal life, try killing a Federal program. It's impossible. You find out how many people have a brother-in-law who works for the particular agency anytime you try to kill any program whatsoever.

So I'm very concerned about the spending of the Obama-Pelosi team, and it has less to do with the Ag appropriations bill but much more to do with the direction of Congress. So my worry about this bill was really tied into a bigger picture of spending.

As I said, I think we've done a good job this year. We've worked hard on a lot of things. Many of these accounts are things that I would fight for and I would certainly support 100 percent of what we're doing with them. But I am concerned about the big picture, because when I talk about that big Pelosi-Obama deficit of \$1.5 trillion. that doesn't even talk about the \$1.29 trillion health care bill that we will be facing soon, which I would say that even if you think a public option is great, if you think that the government who brought us Cash for Clunkers can run health care, you've still got to step back and say, But can you afford it?

So as we look at these appropriations bills, I think more and more people in America are saying, You know what? You Republicans spent too much money, but doggone it if it's not on supercharge right now. You've got to do something about it.

With that, Mr. Speaker, I'll reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, just to set the record straight on one or two

items, as the gentleman from Georgia knows, the difference between a little over 11 percent and a little over 13 percent comes from the \$350 million allocation for U.S. dairy farmers. Now, I don't know if the gentleman has dairy farmers in his district, but North, South, East and West, the dairy industry is collapsing.

Now, it may be that you and your side of the aisle would like to see the dairy industry collapse. We pay for it. It's within the allocation, in addition to which we thought it was the right thing to do in order to deal with small farmers, people who are on their knees with regard to the dairy industry, and essentially because of what happened in China. If the truth be known, it is that given the tainted product in China, we were exporting—we were doing a voluminous export business to China. Because the product was tainted, the Chinese were not buying their product because it killed their kids. So they are not buying milk, and our dairy farmers are suffering as a result of that.

Now, I happen to believe it is an appropriate responsibility for our government to look at what was happening to the U.S. dairy farmers and to provide them with assistance, and the gentleman knows what that is.

In addition to this, one additional point. We keep hearing about air-dropping. You know, it sounds good, and maybe the view is that if you continue to repeat it often enough, it will somehow in some way catch on. There was no such thing as air-dropping anything into this bill. As a matter of fact, as far as I know, Education and Labor is an authorizing committee. This went through the authorizing committee. and essentially, as I said in my opening remarks, conferees-House, Senate, Democrat, Republican—agreed to extend for 1 year the child nutrition program because it isn't ready to move to reauthorization yet. And what would that cut off if we did not, if we did not extend it under this bill? It would mean the school breakfast program, the school lunch program.

I know several years ago folks on the other side of the aisle thought it was a good idea to end the school lunch program. I think probably on a bipartisan basis these days no one believes that we should end these nutrition programs. So nothing was air-dropped. It was vetted by the committee, supported by Chairs and ranking members, both sides of the aisle, House and Senate, and essentially what we did, at no cost within this allocation, was to extend this program for a year.

With that, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HINOJOSA). Mr. HINOJOSA. Thank you, Madam Chair, for yielding time to me.

Mr. Speaker, as chairman of the Congressional Rural Housing Caucus, I rise in strong support of the Agriculture appropriations conference report for fiscal year 2010. It goes a long way to improving the affordability, avail-

ability, and quality of housing in rural America.

On April 2, 2009, several of my colleagues and I sent a letter to Chairwoman Rosa Delauro and to Ranking Member Jack Kingston from Georgia encouraging them to provide significant funding for several rural housing programs. I am pleased with the amount of funding each of these have received and look forward to providing additional funding to some of those that were kept at the fiscal year 2009 appropriations level.

Mr. Speaker, rural America needs our help. Our rural constituents, their families and their communities need our support. This conference report will improve the quality of life in rural America, an area of the country that was neglected for quite some time.

I want to thank Chairwoman Rosa DELAURO and Ranking Member JACK KINGSTON of the Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture for finding and for providing the ways and means to improve the affordability, availability and quality of housing in rural America, I also want to thank Chairman OBEY and Ranking Member LEWIS for bringing this conference report to the floor. I applaud you for your efforts and thank you for this conference report. I look forward to continuing to work with all of you to increase funding in future fiscal years for programs that help rural America.

I urge all my colleagues to support this conference report that will bring much-needed help to our neighbors in rural America.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to make the point that an earmark that has not been vetted by the subcommittee, not been voted on by the full committee and appears in a conference report is an air-dropped earmark. In this case, the Education and Labor Committee debated these, but they never voted on it. And if they did vote on it, we could have had the vote on the House floor on suspension.

□ 1715

Maybe we could say it's an unauthorized earmark, but it did not come through the House, did not come through the Senate. It appeared in conference committee. And as my friend knows, I have been very steadfast and maybe the only Republican to constantly compliment the majority on a very good job of reducing the number of earmarks. In fact, I have said that at the subcommittee level, at the full committee level, and at the conference committee level. So credit where credit is due. But I really think on this one these things have been air dropped because they did not come through our committee and they did not come through the Senate. Maybe there's a better word than "air dropped." but they were not voted on by the committee.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. I am happy to yield 3 minutes to a member of the committee

and former member of the committee, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR).

Ms. KAPTUR. I thank Chairwoman Delauro for her excellent work on this bill and the open and bipartisan process that yielded it, and the ranking member, Mr. KINGSTON of Georgia, for his great work always.

I rise in support of this measure because it truly undergirds the four pillars of U.S. agriculture: food, forestry, fiber, and, the most recent, fuel to help America become energy independent.

The American people know that in the area of nutrition truly the funding in this bill, especially for those who are out of work and their families, is extraordinarily important. The Commodity Supplemental Food Program will be expanded to seven States, and we really have no choice in this and the other programs in the bill to try to help the American people weather this really terrible economy. The Special Nutritional Assistance Program and the Women, Infants and Children food program are essentials. They're not frills. They're not unnecessary.

I am particularly pleased that through the Department of Agriculture, and through this measure and the leadership of the chairwoman, that community gardening and hunger-free communities are highlighted so that people become involved in the production of their own food to try to alleviate some of the growing want across the country. These are really very important and they really work.

In the area of rural development, we know that energy independence has to be our future, and agriculture has a rare opportunity to become a part of the green revolution. Really this initiative started with Congress. It really didn't start with USDA. Some of the folks over there have seen the light, and we know that the farmers of our country have to help our Nation transition in this new millennium to an energy-independent future.

In terms of sustainable agriculture, I again want to compliment the chairwoman for the growing efforts in the Urban and Sustainable Agriculture arena to help a whole new generation of American farmers reinvent American agriculture. If you look at our imports, they've increased from \$43 billion in food in 1997 to over \$85 billion today. They've doubled. In our Nation we can produce our own food. What are we doing? The Agricultural Research Service has ways and means to help us invest and invent new growing platforms in this country to recapture these markets 12 months out of the vear. So sustainable farming is given a real boost in this bill.

And I want to praise Chairwoman DELAURO again for her steadfast leadership on this and so many other areas, including food safety, to produce a fair and honest bill that maintains a leadership role for American agriculture and protects the health and safety of our citizens while investing in very important conservation and development

tools for rural America and for a sustainable ecosystem. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding me time.

Ms. DELAURO. I am delighted to

Ms. DELAURO. I am delighted to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. FARR).

Mr. FARR. I thank the chairwoman for yielding time to me.

I rise in strong support of the Agriculture appropriations conference report. I'm really proud that I think I'm the only member of the committee who sits in the Western United States, where an awful lot of our agriculture in this country comes from. And one of the things I've learned about being on this committee, in the regular authorization committee, is that there is probably no other subject matter that touches every part of the United States as much as the Department of Agriculture. One of the oldest departments in government, essentially touching all the cultures and all of the qualities of rural America.

And in a very urbanized country right now, it's important that we think about rural America because that's the part of America that feeds us and in many cases feeds much of the world. The food and fiber produced in this country is the lifeblood of our Nation. This bill continues the focus on the needs of all Americans, both rural and urban

Just a few of the things I am very keen on is that I don't think we can stay ahead of the rest of the world in any field unless we commit our best brains and talent to it. The best resources of America are intellectual resources. So you apply those intellectual resources to research, staying ahead of the curve. I am fortunate to represent "the salad bowl of the United States," the Salinas Valley in Monterey County. The production of agriculture there in just one county is about \$4 billion, and it's about 85 different crops. And those crops are what we call fresh crops. That means they go from the field to your fork. And we need to make sure we have all the research that's necessary to make those things fresh and safe for you.

I am also keen on this committee because this is the committee that's in charge of putting the funds in for school nutrition programs and all the other kinds of nutrition programs, food stamps and the WIC program, Women, Infants, and Children. And these are the nutrition programs that are so essential to getting the right start and a healthy start not only in the beginning of life but for every day in our schools. And we have a lot of work to do in that area.

I'd also like to thank the chairwoman because she has been very involved in plusing-up the Buildings and Facilities Account in order to advance some of these important construction projects that would allow research to continue. I have a very active agriculture research station in Salinas, and it's leading the way on crop improvement protection.

So I appreciate what both Mr. KING-STON and Ms. DELAURO have done in bringing this bill and the conference report to us today, and I would strongly urge that all our Members support this. This is going to appropriate money to keep the Department of Agriculture and Food and Drug and safety going for another year with conditions that I think are very meaningful for this year in the United States.

It's a good bill and it deserves support. A lot of hard work went into it, and I thank them for their leadership.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Agriculture Appropriations Conference Report for fiscal year 2010.

Chairwoman DELAURO and Ranking Member KINGSTON are to be commended for the product they helped craft. As a member of the Subcommittee I know that there are differences in ideas and I appreciate the Chairwoman's efforts to have open debate.

Mr. Speaker—the food and fiber produced in this country is the lifeblood of our nation. This bill continues to focus on the needs of all Americans, both rural and urban.

It is imperative that we continue to fund priority areas such and research, food safety, nutrition programs and pest detection—just to name a few—that are important to the nation as well as my constituents on California's Central Coast.

I am fortunate to represent the Salad Bowl of the World, the Salinas Valley in Monterey County, that has a production value for agriculture of almost \$4 billion last year, according to Eric Lauritzen, our County Agriculture Commissioner.

We grow primarily for a fresh market, and the investments made in this bill for research, pest detection and food safety are paramount to the growers because without them they couldn't do business.

Agricultural research is an everyday job for many in the Salinas Valley, and the Agriculture Research Service's Research Station in Salinas is leading the way in crop improvement and protection.

Every day, the station's researchers are making agriculture better for all of us. From organics, verticillium wilt and vine mealy bug research to methyl bromide alternatives and treatments for postharvest pest control on perishable commodities, Salinas is leading the charge to keep our food supplies healthy and safe.

The Chairwoman and I have discussed at length many times the need to plus-up the Buildings and Facilities Account in order to advance some of these important construction projects.

I appreciate the gentlewoman's efforts to increase the B&F this year and look forward to working with you in the future to find a solution so we can get shovels in the ground for many of these vital research facilities.

Having the largest fresh production capacity in the world, my growers know how important food safety is. They have already invested millions of dollars in their own resources to provide safe and wholesome food to the nation and the world.

I also appreciate the gentlewoman's efforts to help FDA improve the safety of domestic and imported food by adding \$306 million above the investment we made 2009.

It is time that FDA receives necessary resources to perform time-critical inspections when dealing with the fresh market.

No less important than food safety is pest detection. I am especially glad this conference agreement bolstered the APHIS account in this area. We have in recent outbreaks that strong pest detection program that prevent outbreaks of invasive species in the first place is the most cost-effective way to deal with pests and diseases that are not native to our country.

Finally I would be remiss not to mention the investment made in this conference report for nutrition. In a country as bountiful as ours, it is unacceptable that so many still go to bed hungry.

This conference report includes a one-year extension for the child nutrition program authorities and has reinvested \$150 million in savings back into the child nutrition programs to fund select administration and committee priorities for reducing childhood hunger and improving child nutrition, building program capacity and improving program access and program performance.

Thank you Chairwoman DELAURO for crafting another outstanding bill, and I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this conference report.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. MOORE).

Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin. I thank the chairwoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the fiscal year 2010 Agriculture appropriations conference report, and specifically the provision included in the bill that will grant Wisconsin a waiver in the Child and Adult Food Care Program to serve a third meal through the At-Risk After-School Supper Program. As a matter of fact, Representative André Carson and I have introduced H.R. 3321 that would also provide a third After-School Supper meal. I want to thank the chairwoman here and Senator HERB KOHL in the Senate for diligently putting together a bill with record funding that will help to meet the needs of all those who are food insecure.

Every 35 seconds a child is born into poverty, and in the United States 12 million children are at risk of going hungry. In the city of Milwaukee, a city I represent, this is the 11th-poorest large city in the Nation, and we are in dire need of this expansion.

Mr. Speaker, as a school-aged youth, I attended school hungry every day. But now that our country is facing a recession, all signs point to an epidemic of hunger unlike anything we have seen in our lifetime. Between 2000 and 2007, the number of people we have seen living in poverty and suffering from very low food security rose from 8.5 million to 11.9 million. This is a 40 percent increase in the numbers of households living in poverty.

In my own city of Milwaukee, we have the largest achievement gap between blacks and whites in Wisconsin. But studies have shown that students who eat nutritious meals every day perform better on standardized tests, improve reading and are more attentive in class. Every single day this program provides 3.1 million children with nutritious meals.

Mr. Speaker, I again congratulate the gentlewoman.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about some of the great research that we have done in agriculture which I think is important.

A few years ago I was talking to an ag researcher down in south Georgia. and he's an entomologist and has been doing some work with wasps, and he found out that wasps react differently around gunpowder. And it was a fascinating study because they thought maybe there would be an application in the war on terrorism with wasps, and they might be cheaper than using these very expensive canine dogs to sniff cars. I thought that's pretty interesting. I don't know how they're going to do it. I don't want wasps let loose in my car the way these dogs are. But that's just one example of some of the research that's being done that could potentially save us money.

Another example of some of the great research is, take a city like New Orleans. They have a huge problem with subterranean termites. Termites are a fascinating animal. The more you learn about it, the more you appreciate them. They actually can change sexes. They can live underground in colonies for years and years. But when they run out of wood, they start burrowing holes in all directions trying to find another piece of wood, and when they can't find one, they start coming up to our foundation. Now, that is millions of dollars a year, millions of dollars a year that we have in termite damage that this bill seeks to study.

Another thing, and it doesn't affect my friend up in Connecticut, but everybody in the South who has ever eaten a proper breakfast with grits knows that if you leave the grits in the cabinet too long, it doesn't matter how good your bug spray is. There are grubs coming up. I know I shouldn't be telling you this before I invite you over to eat at my house. But a problem in any household that has flour or something is that after a while, if you leave it on the shelf, you start getting these bugs that get in it. And you wonder how do they get in there? They actually come as part of the meal, and that's not the meal you eat but the meal from the meal. And the question is, how do you stop that problem? Ag research is doing that kind of work, and it's an example of some of the things that we're looking at in this bill.

So while we do have some disagreements on the funding, we both believe passionately, as Mr. FARR said, let the smart guys with the white coats in the back room study these things and come up with new inventions and new technologies.

At the University of Georgia one of the labs is studying getting fuel from algae. And, of course, we know algae can be a problem. If they can figure out how to make fuel out of it, it would be a wonderful thing. Kudzu, a plant that we actually imported from China maybe 60 or 70 years ago to stop ero-

sion in the South, has grown wild, and yet the University of Tennessee is trying to figure out can you get fuel from kudzu?

$\sqcap 1730$

They're doing the same thing with pine trees. Can you make cellulosic ethanol out of pine trees and, if so, a State like Georgia, which is about 66 percent in trees, we would become the Saudi Arabia of cellulosic ethanol. So it would be a great thing.

We're excited about this. There are so many great mysteries that we have yet to solve in our plants and animal world that this bill does study.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. I would be happy to accept an invitation for breakfast. I love grits with butter and salt. What do you put first, the butter or the salt? I'll take your advice on that.

Mr. KINGSTON. If my friend will yield.

Ms. DELAURO. I'd be happy to yield.
Mr. KINGSTON. The great thing
about really great grits is you put
cheese in them.

Ms. DELAURO. Amen.

Mr. KINGSTON. But the invitation is open.

Ms. DELAURO. With that, let me yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DAVIS).

Mr. DAVIS of Tennessee. I thank the chairman and ranking member for their work in bringing before the floor the conference agreement between the House and the Senate.

I rise in support of the conference agreement for H.R. 2997, the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2010. I believe this is a robust investment in America's farming and rural development needs, and it ensures a brighter economic future for all Americans.

I am particularly pleased with the investment in America's farmers, both through funding for agriculture research and for farm production. As a long-time farmer, and also a former employee of the United States Department of Agriculture, I understand firsthand how focused investments make concrete differences in America's food production as well as the folks that work tirelessly to provide it.

Contained in this conference agreement, the Agriculture Research receives a 5 percent increase from the current level of funding. This vital service provides research in a variety of areas, including bio-based products, bioenergy, floriculture, and nurseries. Included in the approximate 100 research locations nationwide that are funded by ARS is the University of Tennessee; the Institute of Agriculture, which is conducting bioenergy research on converting switchgrass into cellulosic ethanol. Research on clean bioenergy is vital to America's quest to become energy independent.

Also included is increased funding for research to provide early warning technologies for the detection of crop disease to prevent crop failure from natural causes or a terrorist event. The research addresses needs to produce such a system that could take advantage of biotech advances to develop a precision agriculture tool for guarding America's crops.

Further, I am pleased by the increase in funding for the Farm Service Agency. The FSA administers major commodity programs and farm loan programs such as the Farm Ownership and Farm Operating loan programs. Farm Ownership loans, which received a 47 percent increase through fiscal year 2009 funding levels, often provided the initial investments to help farmers acquire and expand land ownership. Farm operating loans, which receive a 43 percent increase from fiscal year 2009 funding levels, allow farmers to purchase equipment, livestock, and seed. This funding is critical to ensure the continued role of America as the world's greatest agriculture producer.

As a lifelong farmer representing the district with the fourth largest percentage of rural residents, I am proud to support these investments and urge passage of the conference agreement for H.R. 2997. Saying that is the fourth largest congressional district, as far as rural residents that I represent, means we have a variety and probably one of the most diverse agriculture districts in America: cotton, soybeans, corn, nursery stock. We have timber; both beef cattle and dairy cattle.

We also have a large poultry, the broiler industry, across the Cumberland Plateau, in the southern part of the plateau and the northern part of the plateau.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Weiner). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield the gentleman an additional 1 minute.

Mr. DAVIS of Tennessee. I am pleased that in this legislation it at least addresses import and reimportation of poultry that may be produced here in America. There are some guards and some guidelines that I think we must have.

I have been a poultry producer of broilers as a youngster growing up on a farm in Fentress County, Tennessee; and I know if we allow the poultry industry to be run out of business, it will destroy many of the farms in the Fourth Congressional District that I represent.

So I am pleased that our chairman was willing to work an agreement that would at least require certain inspection to be sure that safe food was imported into America from poultry and to also help protect our poultry industry in America and certainly in the Fourth Congressional District.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I just wanted to thank the Chair and the majority staff for all of the good work. We have had a very good process. I congratulate you on passing this bill as among the very first—and let the record show that if it was up to Agriculture, we would adjourn on time, whenever that may be.

And also I want to thank you for working with us on poultry, as Mr. DAVIS said. It's very, very important to particular States especially. And having a Chinese market is important but at the same time—you know, what Ms. KAPTUR said is very interesting. She said we don't need to be importing food; we need to produce our own food and then exporting that which is left. And yet as important as that is economically, you have always focused on the food safety as you should as the number one value. And I think that's important because if you are importing or exporting food that is not inspected and it is not at the highest quality and standard of food safety, then we're not doing our job.

So I certainly commend you for keeping that bar very high, and yet we were able to work something out. I've enjoyed the whole process.

My concern with the bill—as you know I've been very open about it—has something to do beyond this room, if you will, in terms of the spending picture. But having been in the majority, I know that your job is to come together with lots of different factions and philosophies, and I think you have done a great job on it. I am proud to be your ranking member and look forward to a long relationship, and you are going to love my grits.

I yield back the balance of my time. Ms. DELAURO. First of all, let me just say thank you to the ranking member, Mr. KINGSTON; and, in fact, it is a pleasure to work with Mr. KING-STON. We always say that we may have philosophical differences, but we are good-natured about it and understand that and work in a very collaborative effort. And I, too, thank you for all of your help and your input with regard to the issue of Chinese poultry. It was a long discussion, but one in which I think we came to a conclusion as our colleague, Mr. DAVIS, said being able to strike that balance where we are able to protect the public health and at the same time to protect an industry. And I feel good about that.

And I, too, look forward to our continued efforts together as we approach problems—as you know and I do—that really face people with the jurisdiction that we have that comes under this portfolio. It really touches people's lives in so, so many ways. And I know that you have a deep and abiding concern for what happens to our farmers and our ranchers, what's happening to our industries and to making sure that we have the wherewithal and provide the resources people need to succeed.

I would like to, if I might, just make one further comment, and then we'll yield back whatever time is remaining.

I wanted to bring the attention of my colleagues to an important matter of

health and safety. And when we talked about the child nutrition program and its extension, our Nation's school-children and the people who work with them on a daily basis are faced with a growing public health concern. Awareness of vaccine for H1N1 flu, annual flu has made the front pages of our communities these days.

You've got school food service workers who face a very difficult dilemma in trying to do their jobs. They don't have any paid sick leave. These are people without any leave. So there's thousands of food service workers who pose a real risk to the health and safety of our Nation's kids. And the comment is "show up for work or do not get paid."

So rather than exacerbating the problems, these workers can and should be part of the solution to ensuring the health and safety of our children. I look forward to working in the months ahead as Education and Labor looks to reauthorize their legislation, and I pledge to work with my colleagues to see if we can provide a minum and a reasonable standard for paid sick leave for school service workers.

In the meantime, I am also encouraging the administration to examine this issue because I think it is a health problem and the Nation's well-being depends on it.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the FY 2010 Agriculture, Rural Development, and Food and Drug Administration Appropriations Conference Report, and I commend Chairwoman DELAURO for bringing this bipartisan legislation to the floor today.

The FY 2010 Agriculture, Rural Development, and Food and Drug Administration Appropriations bill makes key investments in protecting our public health, bolstering food nutrition programs, and conserving our natural resources.

The conference report provides \$2.36 billion for the Food and Drug Administration, which represents a 15 percent increase over last year. We need to ensure that the FDA has the necessary tools and resources to fulfill its vital mission in protecting the American public so that FDA-regulated products, like food, are safe. This important investment in the FDA will help the agency in meeting its mission in protecting the public health.

The \$58 billion provided for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program will help low income and elderly Americans struggling with rising food costs in this current economic downturn. In addition, \$7.3 billion is provided for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children, WIC, to help encourage a healthy pregnancy for women and promote a healthy start for their children.

This legislation provides \$1 billion for the Natural Resources Conservation Service to help face the demands for cleaner water, reduced soil erosion, and more wildlife habitat. I am particularly pleased that almost \$4 million is provided for Chesapeake Bay restoration activities that will provide farmers, landowners, and communities within the Chesapeake Bay watershed technical assistance in implementing important conservation programs

which will help improve the health of the Chesapeake Bay.

Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of this conference report.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 799, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the conference report.

Pursuant to clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on adopting the conference report will be followed by 5-minute votes on suspending the rules and agreeing to H. Res. 806 and H. Res. 795.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 263, nays 162, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 761] YEAS—263

Abercrombie Edwards (MD) Lee (NY) Ackerman Edwards (TX) Levin Lewis (GA) Andrews Ellison Ellsworth Arcuri Lipinski Emerson LoBiondo Baca Baird Engel Loebsack Baldwin Eshoo Lofgren, Zoe Barrow Etheridge Lowey Luetkemeyer Becerra. Farr Fattah Berklev Luján Lynch Berman Filner Fortenberry Berry Maffei Bishop (GA) Markey (CO) Foster Markey (MA) Marshall Bishop (NY) Frank (MA) Blumenauer Fudge Boccieri Giffords Massa Boren Gonzalez Matsui Gordon (TN) Boswell McCarthy (NY) McCollum Boucher Grayson Boyd Green, Al McDermott Brady (PA) Green, Gene McGovern Griffith McIntyre Braley (IA) Bright Grijalya McMahon Brown, Corrine McNerney Gutierrez Brown-Waite, Hall (NY) Meek (FL) Ginny Hall (TX) Meeks (NY) Butterfield Halvorson Melancon Michaud Cao Hare Capps Harman Miller (MI) Capuano Miller (NC) Harper Cardoza Hastings (FL) Miller, George Carnahan Heinrich Minnick Herseth Sandlin Carson (IN) Mollohan Carter Higgins Moore (KS) Castor (FL) Himes Moore (WI) Chandler Hinchey Moran (VA) Childers Hinojosa Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Chu Hirono Clarke Murphy, Patrick Clav Holden Murphy, Tim Cleaver Holt Murtha Honda Nadler (NY) Clyburn Cohen Hover Napolitano Connolly (VA) Neal (MA) Inslee Convers Israel Oberstar Jackson (IL) Cooper Obev Costa Jackson-Lee Olver (TX) Courtney Ortiz Johnson (GA) Crowley Pallone. Cuellar Johnson, E.B. Pascrell Cummings Pastor (AZ) Jones Dahlkemper Kagen Pavne Davis (AL) Kanjorski Perlmutter Davis (CA) Kaptur Perriello Kennedy Davis (IL) Peterson Davis (TN) Kildee Pingree (ME) Kilpatrick (MI) DeFazio Polis (CO) DeGette Kilrov Pomerov Kirkpatrick (AZ) Price (NC) Delahunt Kissell DeLauro Putnam Klein (FL) Diaz-Balart, L. Quiglev Diaz-Balart, M. Kosmas Rahall Dicks Kratovil Rangel Dingell Kucinich Reves Richardson Doggett Langevin Donnelly (IN) Larsen (WA) Rodriguez Doyle Larson (CT) Rogers (AL) Lee (CA) Rooney

Scott (VA)

Serrano

Sessions

Sherman

Shuler

Sires

Shuster

Skelton

Slaughter

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Shea-Porter

Sestak

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Ros-Lehtinen Shea-Porter Titus Tonko Ross Sherman Rothman (NJ) Shuler Towns Van Hollen Roybal-Allard Sires Skelton Velázquez Rush Ryan (OH) Slaughter Visclosky Salazar Smith (NJ) Walz Sánchez, Linda Smith (WA) Wasserman Snyder Schultz Sanchez, Loretta Waters Souder Sarbanes Space Watson Speier Schakowsky Watt Waxman Schauer Spratt Stark Schock Stupak Welch Schrader Wexler Sutton Schwartz Tanner Wilson (OH) Scott (GA) Teague Woolsev Thompson (CA) Scott (VA) Wu Serrano Thompson (MS) Yarmuth Young (AK) Sestak Tierney NAYS-162

Aderholt Miller, Gary Foxx Franks (AZ) Adler (NJ) Mitchell Frelinghuysen Moran (KS) Akin Alexander Gallegly Myrick Garrett (NJ) Altmire Nunes Gerlach Austria Nye Bachmann Gingrey (GA) Olson Bachus Gohmert Paul Barrett (SC) Goodlatte Paulsen Bartlett Granger Pence Barton (TX) Graves Peters Guthrie Bean Petri Biggert Hastings (WA) Pitts Bilbray Heller Platts Bilirakis Hensarling Poe (TX) Bishop (UT) Herger Posey Price (GA) Blackburn Hill Hoekstra Blunt Rehberg Boehner Hunter Reichert Bonner Inglis Roe (TN) Bono Mack Rogers (KY) Boozman Jenkins Rogers (MI) Johnson (IL) Boustany Rohrabacher Brady (TX) Jordan (OH) Roskam Broun (GA) Kind Royce King (IA) Brown (SC) Ryan (WI) Buchanan King (NY) Scalise Burgess Kingston Schmidt Burton (IN) Kirk Sensenbrenner Kline (MN) Buyer Sessions Calvert Lamborn Shadegg Camp Lance Shimkus Campbell Latham Shuster Cantor LaTourette Simpson Capito Latta Smith (NE) Cassidy Lewis (CA) Smith (TX) Castle Linder Chaffetz Stearns Lucas Sullivan Coble Lummis Coffman (CO) Taylor Lungren, Daniel Terry Thompson (PA) Conaway Mack Thornberry Costello Manzullo Crenshaw Marchant Tiahrt Tiberi Culberson Matheson Turner McCarthy (CA) Davis (KY) Deal (GA) McCaul Unton McClintock Walden Dent. Dreier McCotter Wamp Westmoreland Duncan McHenry Whitfield Ehlers McKeon Wilson (SC) McMorris Fallin Rodgers Wittman Flake Mica Fleming Wolf

NOT VOTING-7

Young (FL)

Tsongas

Miller (FL)

Neugebauer Carney Johnson, Sam Radanovich Maloney Ruppersberger

Forbes

□ 1805

Mr. ALTMIRE changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. SPRATT, Mr. CARTER and Mrs. MILLER of Michigan changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR CONCURRENCE BY HOUSE WITH AMENDMENT IN SENATE AMENDMENT TO1035. MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOL-ARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2009

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 806, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 806.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 358, navs 66. not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 762]

YEAS-358

Abercrombie Childers Granger Ackerman Chu Graves Aderholt Clarke Grayson Clay Adler (NJ) Green, Al Alexander Cleaver Green, Gene Altmire Clyburn Griffith Cohen Andrews Grijalva Arcuri Cole Guthrie Connolly (VA) Austria Gutierrez Baca Conyers Hall (NY) Hall (TX) Baird Cooper Baldwin Costa Halvorson Barrow Costello Hare Bartlett Courtney Harman Barton (TX) Crenshaw Harper Hastings (FL) Rean Crowley Becerra Cuellar Hastings (WA) Cummings Berkley Heinrich Berman Dahlkemper Heller Herseth Sandlin Berry Davis (AL) Biggert Davis (CA) Higgins Bilbray Davis (II.) Hill. Bilirakis Davis (KY) Himes Davis (TN) Bishop (GA) Hinchey Bishop (NY) DeFazio Hinoiosa Bishop (UT) DeGette Hirono Blumenauer Delahunt Hodes Blunt DeLauro Holden Boccieri Dent Holt Boehner Diaz-Balart, L. Honda Bonner Diaz-Balart, M. Hover Hunter Bono Mack Dicks Boozman Dingell Inslee Boren Israel Doggett Boswell Donnelly (IN) Jackson (IL) Boucher Doyle Jackson-Lee (TX) Boustany Dreier Boyd Driehaus Jenkins Brady (PA) Edwards (MD) Johnson (GA) Bralev (IA) Edwards (TX) Johnson (IL) Bright Jones Brown (SC) Ellison Kagen Brown, Corrine Ellsworth Kanjorski Brown-Waite, Emerson Kaptur Ginny Engel Kennedy Buchanan Kildee Eshoo Kilpatrick (MI) Butterfield Etheridge Buver Fallin Kilroy Camp Farr Kind Fattah Campbell King (NY) Cao Filner Kirk Kirkpatrick (AZ) Capito Fleming Kissell Klein (FL) Capps Forbes Fortenberry Capuano Cardoza Foster Kline (MN) Frank (MA) Carnahan Kosmas Carson (IN) Frelinghuysen Kratovil Carter Fudge Kucinich Cassidy Gallegly Lance Langevin Castle Gerlach Castor (FL) Giffords Larsen (WA) Chaffetz Gonzalez Larson (CT) Gordon (TN) Chandler Latham

LaTourette Nve Oberstar Lee (CA) Obey Lee (NY) Levin Olson Lewis (GA) Olver Lipinski Ortiz LoBiondo Pallone Loebsack Pascrell Lofgren, Zoe Pastor (AZ) Lowey Pavne Luetkemeyer Perlmutter Luján Perriello. Lummis Peters Peterson Lynch Maffei Petri Markey (CO) Pingree (ME) Markey (MA) Marshall Platts Polis (CO) Massa Matheson Pomeroy Matsui Posev Price (NC) McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) Putnam McCaul Quigley McCollum Rahall McCotter Rangel McDermott Rehberg McGovern Reichert McIntyre Reyes Richardson McKeon McMahon Rodriguez McMorris Roe (TN) Rodgers Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) McNerney Meek (FL) Rogers (MI) Meeks (NY) Roonev Melancon Ros-Lehtinen Mica. Roskam Michaud Ross Miller (MI) Rothman (NJ) Miller (NC) Roybal-Allard Miller, George Rovce Minnick Ruppersberger Mitchell Rush Ryan (OH) Mollohan Moore (KS) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Moore (WI) Moran (KS) T. Moran (VA) Sanchez, Loretta Murphy (CT) Sarbanes Murphy (NY) Scalise Murphy, Patrick Schakowsky Murphy, Tim Schauer Murtha Schiff Myrick Schock Nadler (NY) Schrader Napolitano Schwartz Neal (MA) Scott (GA)

Smith (WA) Snyder Souder Speier Spratt Stark Stearns Stupak Sutton Tanner Taylor Teague Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Tiernev Titus Tonko Towns Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walden Walz Wamp Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Watt Waxman Weiner Welch Wexler Whitfield Wilson (OH) Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Woolsey Wu Yarmuth Young (AK)

NAYS-66

Goodlatte Akin Bachmann Hensarling Bachus Herger Barrett (SC) Hoekstra. Blackburn Inglis Brady (TX) IssaJordan (OH) Broun (GA) King (IA) Burgess Burton (IN) Kingston Calvert Lamborn Cantor Latta Lewis (CA) Coble Coffman (CO) Linder Conaway Lucas Culberson Lungren, Daniel Deal (GA) \mathbf{E} Mack Duncan Flake Manzullo Foxx Marchant McClintock Franks (AZ) McHenry Miller (FL) Garrett (NJ) Gingrev (GA) Miller, Gary

Nunes Paul Paulsen Pence Poe (TX) Price (GA) Rohrabacher Rvan (WI) Schmidt Sensenbrenner Shadegg Shimkus Simpson Smith (NE) Sullivan Thornberry Tiahrt. Tiberi Turner Westmoreland Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-

Carney Maloney Space Neugebauer Johnson, E. B Tsongas Johnson, Sam Radanovich

Gohmert

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there is less than 1 minute remaining in this vote.

□ 1812

WILSON of South Carolina changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."