

I want to associate myself with your remarks, Mr. Chairman, simply to indicate that this land is already in the possession of the District of Columbia, and yet the subcommittee had to be in pains to make sure that the reversionary clause was in keeping with the last bill, which I also sponsored, and with the changes we have asked for.

The District took what was an abandoned man-made island, but in our most valuable Anacostia River, and tried to make something of it when it looked like there were some people who wanted to make a children's theme park. That did not occur, yet we were left with a bill that said this shall be a children's theme park or it reverts. It was up to me to come and change the reversionary clause when the District abandoned the idea.

If I may say so, I am pleased the District has abandoned the idea and wants to use Kingman and Heritage Island to revitalize the Anacostia River and to essentially return this plot of land to use as an environmental natural reserve park which will help to restore the ecosystem and provide usable space, in addition, when people want to enjoy the river and nature in a place that is really in the middle of the District of Columbia, a big urban center.

The District also wants to build an environmental education center. You can see how well the uses fit the land than even a children's theme park. I am particularly enamored with the remembrance grove. We have not forgotten the three children who were on the plane that went down on 9/11 who had won a contest by the National Geographic Association and who were looking forward to that trip.

I am particularly pleased that the city's new plan complements my own work on the Anacostia Watershed Initiative bill which this Congress passed last session. I thank the chairman and the ranking member, and may I thank Mr. RAHALL and his ranking member as well for waiving jurisdiction and allowing us to get on with the work of taking Kingman and Heritage Islands back to where they belong.

Mr. CHAFFETZ, Mr. Speaker, this is a good bill with a lot of good work behind it. I appreciate the work my colleagues have done on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, I simply ask all Members on both sides of the aisle to support this measure sponsored by Ms. NORTON, the delegate from the District of Columbia, and also supported by Mr. RAHALL, the chairman of Natural Resources.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. LYNCH) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2092, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2647, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

Mr. ABERCROMBIE submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2647) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, to provide special pays and allowances to certain members of the Armed Forces, expand concurrent receipt of military retirement and VA disability benefits to disabled military retirees, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 111-288)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2647), to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal year 2010, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010".

SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) DIVISIONS.—This Act is organized into five divisions as follows:

(1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.

(2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.

(3) Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations and Other Authorizations.

(4) Division D—Funding tables.

(5) Division E—Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title.

Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.

Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 101. Army.

Sec. 102. Navy and Marine Corps.

Sec. 103. Air Force.

Sec. 104. Defense-wide activities.

Sec. 105. National Guard and Reserve equipment.

Sec. 106. Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund.

Sec. 107. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

Sec. 111. Procurement of Future Combat Systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team equipment.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

Sec. 121. Littoral Combat Ship program.

Sec. 122. Treatment of Littoral Combat Ship program as a major defense acquisition program.

Sec. 123. Report on strategic plan for home-porting the Littoral Combat Ship.

Sec. 124. Advance procurement funding.

Sec. 125. Procurement programs for future naval surface combatants.

Sec. 126. Ford-class aircraft carrier report.

Sec. 127. Report on a service life extension program for Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates.

Sec. 128. Conditional multiyear procurement authority for F/A-18E, F/A-18F, or EA-18G aircraft.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

Sec. 131. Report on the procurement of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft.

Sec. 132. Revised availability of certain funds available for the F-22A fighter aircraft.

Sec. 133. Preservation and storage of unique tooling for F-22 fighter aircraft.

Sec. 134. AC-130 gunships.

Sec. 135. Report on E-8C Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System re-engineing.

Sec. 136. Repeal of requirement to maintain certain retired C-130E aircraft.

Sec. 137. Limitation on retirement of C-5 aircraft.

Sec. 138. Reports on strategic airlift aircraft.

Sec. 139. Strategic airlift force structure.

Subtitle E—Joint and Multiservice Matters

Sec. 141. Body armor procurement.

Sec. 142. Unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicles.

Sec. 143. Modification of nature of data link for use by tactical unmanned aerial vehicles.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT,
TEST, AND EVALUATION

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 202. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 211. Extension and enhancement of Global Research Watch Program.

Sec. 212. Permanent authority for the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel.

Sec. 213. Elimination of report requirements regarding Defense Science and Technology Program.

Sec. 214. Authorization for the Secretary of the Navy to purchase infrastructure and Government purpose rights license associated with the Navy-Marine Corps intranet.

Sec. 215. Limitation on expenditure of funds for Joint Multi-Mission Submersible program.

Sec. 216. Separate program elements required for research and development of individual body armor and associated components.

Sec. 217. Separate procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation line items and program elements for the F-35B and F-35C joint strike fighter aircraft.

Sec. 218. Restriction on obligation of funds for Army tactical ground network program pending receipt of report.

Sec. 219. Programs for ground combat vehicle and self-propelled howitzer capabilities for the Army.

Sec. 220. Guidance on budget justification materials describing funding requested for operation, sustainment, modernization, and personnel of major ranges and test facilities.

Sec. 221. Assessment of technological maturity and integration risk of Army modernization programs.

Sec. 222. Assessment of activities for technology modernization of the combat vehicle and armored tactical wheeled vehicle fleets.

Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs

Sec. 231. Sense of Congress on ballistic missile defense.

Sec. 232. Assessment and plan for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Sec. 233. Continued production of Ground-based Interceptor missile and operation of Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, Alaska.

Sec. 234. Limitation on availability of funds for acquisition or deployment of missile defenses in Europe.

Sec. 235. Authorization of funds for development and deployment of alternative missile defense systems in Europe.

Sec. 236. Comprehensive plan for test and evaluation of the ballistic missile defense system.

Sec. 237. Study on discrimination capabilities of ballistic missile defense system.

Sec. 238. Ascent phase missile defense strategy and plan.

Sec. 239. Extension of deadline for study on boost-phase missile defense.

Subtitle D—Reports

Sec. 241. Repeal of requirement for biennial joint warfighting science and technology plan.

Sec. 242. Modification of reporting requirement for defense nanotechnology research and development program.

Sec. 243. Comptroller General assessment of coordination of energy storage device requirements, purchases, and investments.

Sec. 244. Annual Comptroller General report on the F-35 Lightning II aircraft acquisition program.

Sec. 245. Report on integration of Department of Defense intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities.

Sec. 246. Report on future research and development of man-portable and vehicle-mounted guided missile systems.

Sec. 247. Report on the development of command and control systems.

Sec. 248. Evaluation of Extended Range Modular Sniper Rifle Systems.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Sec. 251. Enhancement of duties of Director of Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center with respect to the Major Range and Test Facility Base.

Sec. 252. Establishment of program to enhance participation of historically black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions in defense research programs.

Sec. 253. Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements.

Sec. 254. Authority for National Aeronautics and Space Administration federally funded research and development centers to participate in merit-based technology research and development programs.

Sec. 255. Next generation bomber aircraft.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.

Sec. 302. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions

Sec. 311. Clarification of requirement for use of available funds for Department of Defense participation in conservation banking programs.

Sec. 312. Reauthorization of title I of Sikes Act.

Sec. 313. Authority of Secretary of a military department to enter into inter-agency agreements for land management on Department of Defense installations.

Sec. 314. Reauthorization of pilot program for invasive species management for military installations in Guam.

Sec. 315. Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site, Suffolk, Virginia.

Sec. 316. Procurement and use of munitions.

Sec. 317. Prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits.

Sec. 318. Military munitions response sites.

Subtitle C—Workplace and Depot Issues

Sec. 321. Public-private competition required before conversion of any Department of Defense function performed by civilian employees to contractor performance.

Sec. 322. Time limitation on duration of public-private competitions.

Sec. 323. Policy regarding installation of major modifications and upgrades.

Sec. 324. Modification of authority for Army industrial facilities to engage in cooperative activities with non-Army entities.

Sec. 325. Temporary suspension of public-private competitions for conversion of Department of Defense functions to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 326. Requirement for debriefings related to conversion of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 327. Amendments to bid protest procedures by Federal employees and agency officials in conversions of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 328. Improvement of inventory management practices.

Sec. 329. Modification of date for submittal to Congress of annual report on funding for public and private performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Sec. 331. Authorization of appropriations for Director of Operational Energy.

Sec. 332. Extension and expansion of reporting requirements regarding Department of Defense energy efficiency programs.

Sec. 333. Report on implementation of Comptroller General recommendations on fuel demand management at forward-deployed locations.

Sec. 334. Report on use of renewable fuels to meet energy requirements of Department of Defense.

Sec. 335. Energy security on Department of Defense installations.

Subtitle E—Reports

Sec. 341. Annual report on procurement of military working dogs.

Sec. 342. Plan for managing vegetative encroachment at training ranges.

Sec. 343. Comptroller General report on the sustainment strategy for the AV-8B Harrier aircraft.

Sec. 344. Study on Army modularity.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 351. Authority for airlift transportation at Department of Defense rates for non-Department of Defense Federal cargoes.

Sec. 352. Policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms.

Sec. 353. Condition-based maintenance demonstration programs.

Sec. 354. Extension of arsenal support program initiative.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.

Sec. 402. Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels.

Sec. 403. Additional authority for increases of Army active-duty end strengths for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.

Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserves.

Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).

Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2010 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.

Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.

Sec. 416. Submittal of options for creation of Trainees, Transients, Holdees, and Students account for the Army National Guard.

Sec. 417. Report on requirements of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians.

Sec. 418. Expansion of authority of Secretaries of the military departments to increase certain end strengths to include Selected Reserve end strengths.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 421. Military personnel.

Sec. 422. Repeal of delayed one-time shift of military retirement payments.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

Sec. 501. Grade of Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Sec. 502. Modification of limitations on general and flag officers on active duty.

Sec. 503. Revisions to annual reporting requirement on joint officer management.

Sec. 504. Extension of temporary increase in maximum number of days leave members may accumulate and carryover.

Sec. 505. Computation of retirement eligibility for enlisted members of the Navy who complete the Seaman to Admiral (STA-21) officer candidate program.

Sec. 506. Independent review of judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy.

Subtitle B—General Service Authorities

Sec. 511. Continuation on active duty of reserve component members during physical disability evaluation following mobilization and deployment.

Sec. 512. Medical examination required before administrative separation of members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.

Sec. 513. Legal assistance for additional reserve component members.

Sec. 514. Limitation on scheduling of mobilization or pre-mobilization training for Reserve units when certain suspension of training is likely.

Sec. 515. Evaluation of test of utility of test preparation guides and education programs in improving qualifications of recruits for the Armed Forces.

Sec. 516. Report on presence in the Armed Forces of members associated or affiliated with groups engaged in prohibited activities.

Subtitle C—Education and Training

Sec. 521. Detail of commissioned officers as students at schools of psychology.

Sec. 522. Appointment of persons enrolled in Advanced Course of the Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps at military junior colleges as cadets in Army Reserve or Army National Guard of the United States.

Sec. 523. Expansion of criteria for appointment as member of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

Sec. 524. Use of Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance program to increase number of health professionals with skills to assist in providing mental health care.

Sec. 525. Department of Defense undergraduate nurse training program.

Sec. 526. Increase in number of private sector civilians authorized for admission to National Defense University.

Sec. 527. Appointments to military service academies from nominations made by Delegate from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Sec. 528. Athletic association for the Air Force Academy.

Sec. 529. Language training centers for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle D—Defense Dependents' Education

Sec. 531. Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.

Sec. 532. Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.

Sec. 533. Two-year extension of authority for assistance to local educational agencies with enrollment changes due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

Sec. 534. Authority to extend eligibility for enrollment in Department of Defense elementary and secondary schools to certain additional categories of dependents.

Sec. 535. Permanent authority for enrollment in defense dependents' education system of dependents of foreign military members assigned to Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe.

Sec. 536. Determination of number of weighted student units for local educational agencies for receipt of basic support payments under impact aid.

Sec. 537. Study on options for educational opportunities for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces when public schools attended by such children are determined to need improvement.

Sec. 538. Comptroller General audit of assistance to local educational agencies for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 539. Sense of Congress on the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children.

Subtitle E—Missing or Deceased Persons

Sec. 541. Additional requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing in conflicts occurring before enactment of new system for accounting for missing persons.

Sec. 542. Policy and procedures on media access and attendance by family members at ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces who die overseas.

Sec. 543. Report on expansion of authority of a member to designate persons to direct disposition of the remains of a deceased member.

Sec. 544. Sense of Congress on the recovery of the remains of members of the Armed Forces who were killed during World War II in the battle of Tarawa Atoll.

Subtitle F—Decorations and Awards

Sec. 551. Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Anthony T. Kaho'ohanohano for acts of valor during the Korean War.

Sec. 552. Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to Jack T. Stewart for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

Sec. 553. Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to William T. Miles, Jr., for acts of valor during the Korean War.

Subtitle G—Military Family Readiness Matters

Sec. 561. Establishment of online resources to provide information about benefits and services available to members of the Armed Forces and their families.

Sec. 562. Additional members on Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council.

Sec. 563. Support for military families with special needs.

Sec. 564. Pilot program to secure internships for military spouses with Federal agencies.

Sec. 565. Family and medical leave for family of servicemembers.

Sec. 566. Deadline for report on sexual assault in the Armed Forces by Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services.

Sec. 567. Improved prevention and response to allegations of sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 568. Comptroller General report on progress made in implementing recommendations to reduce domestic violence in military families.

Sec. 569. Report on impact of domestic violence on military families.

Sec. 570. Report on international intrafamilial abduction of children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 571. Assessment of impact of deployment of members of the Armed Forces on their dependent children.

Sec. 572. Report on child custody litigation involving service of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 573. Comptroller General report on child care assistance for members of the Armed Forces.

Subtitle H—Military Voting

Sec. 575. Short title.

Sec. 576. Clarification regarding delegation of State responsibilities to local jurisdictions.

Sec. 577. Establishment of procedures for absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters to request and for States to send voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications by mail and electronically.

Sec. 578. Establishment of procedures for States to transmit blank absentee ballots by mail and electronically to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters.

Sec. 579. Ensuring absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters have time to vote.

Sec. 580. Procedures for collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters.

Sec. 581. Federal write-in absentee ballot.

Sec. 582. Prohibiting refusal to accept voter registration and absentee ballot applications, marked absentee ballots, and Federal write-in absentee ballots for failure to meet certain requirements.

Sec. 583. Federal Voting Assistance Program Improvements.

Sec. 584. Development of standards for reporting and storing certain data.

Sec. 585. Repeal of provisions relating to use of single application for all subsequent elections.

Sec. 586. Reporting requirements.

Sec. 587. Annual report on enforcement.

Sec. 588. Requirements payments.

Sec. 589. Technology pilot program.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

Sec. 591. Clarification of performance policies for military musical units and musicians.

Sec. 592. Navy grants for purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps.

Sec. 593. Modification of matching fund requirements under National Guard Youth Challenge Program.

Sec. 594. Expansion of Military Leadership Diversity Commission to include reserve component representatives.

Sec. 595. Expansion of suicide prevention and community healing and response training under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

Sec. 596. Comprehensive plan on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders and disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces.

Sec. 597. Reports on Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program and other reintegration programs.

Sec. 598. Reports on progress in completion of certain incident information management tools.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

Sec. 601. Fiscal year 2010 increase in military basic pay.

Sec. 602. Increase in maximum monthly amount of supplemental subsistence allowance for low-income members with dependents.

Sec. 603. Special compensation for members of the uniformed services with catastrophic injuries or illnesses requiring assistance in everyday living.

Sec. 604. Benefits under Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program for certain periods before implementation of program.

Sec. 605. Report on housing standards and housing surveys used to determine basic allowance for housing.

Sec. 606. Comptroller General comparative assessment of military and private-sector pay and benefits.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

- Sec. 611. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.
- Sec. 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.
- Sec. 613. One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.
- Sec. 614. One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.
- Sec. 615. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.
- Sec. 616. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of referral bonuses.
- Sec. 617. Technical corrections and conforming amendments to reconcile conflicting amendments regarding continued payment of bonuses and similar benefits for certain members.
- Sec. 618. Proration of certain special and incentive pays to reflect time during which a member satisfies eligibility requirements for the special or incentive pay.
- Sec. 619. Additional assignment pay or special duty pay authorized for members agreeing to serve in Afghanistan for extended periods.
- Sec. 620. Temporary authority for monthly special pay for members of the Armed Forces subject to continuing active duty or service under stop-loss authorities.
- Sec. 621. Army authority to provide additional recruitment incentives.
- Sec. 622. Report on recruitment and retention of members of the Air Force in nuclear career fields.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

- Sec. 631. Travel and transportation for survivors of deceased members of the uniformed services to attend memorial ceremonies.
- Sec. 632. Travel and transportation allowances for designated individuals of wounded, ill, or injured members of the uniformed services for duration of inpatient treatment.
- Sec. 633. Authorized travel and transportation allowances for non-medical attendants for very seriously and seriously wounded, ill, or injured members.
- Sec. 634. Reimbursement of travel expenses of members of the Armed Forces on active duty and their dependents for travel for specialty care under exceptional circumstances.
- Sec. 635. Report on adequacy of weight allowances for transportation of baggage and household effects for members of the uniformed services.

Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

- Sec. 641. Transition assistance for reserve component members injured while on active duty.
- Sec. 642. Recomputation of retired pay and adjustment of retired grade of Reserve retirees to reflect service after retirement.
- Sec. 643. Election to receive retired pay for non-regular service upon retirement for service in an active reserve status performed after attaining eligibility for regular retirement.

Sec. 644. Report on re-determination process for permanently incapacitated dependents of retired and deceased members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 645. Treatment as active service for retired pay purposes of service as member of Alaska Territorial Guard during World War II.

Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

Sec. 651. Limitation on Department of Defense entities offering personal information services to members and their dependents.

Sec. 652. Report on impact of purchasing from local distributors all alcoholic beverages for resale on military installations on Guam.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 661. Limitations on collection of overpayments of pay and allowances erroneously paid to members.

Sec. 662. Sense of Congress on airfares for members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 663. Sense of Congress on establishment of flexible spending arrangements for the uniformed services.

Sec. 664. Sense of Congress regarding support for compensation, retirement, and other military personnel programs.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits

Sec. 701. Prohibition on conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions.

Sec. 702. Health care for members of the reserve components.

Sec. 703. Enhancement of transitional dental care for members of the reserve components on active duty for more than 30 days in support of a contingency operation.

Sec. 704. Expansion of survivor eligibility under TRICARE dental program.

Sec. 705. TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for a non-regular retirement but are not yet age 60.

Sec. 706. Constructive eligibility for TRICARE benefits of certain persons otherwise ineligible under retroactive determination of entitlement to Medicare part A hospital insurance benefits.

Sec. 707. Notification of certain individuals regarding options for enrollment under Medicare part B.

Sec. 708. Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces deployed in connection with a contingency operation.

Sec. 709. Temporary TRICARE inpatient fee modification.

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

Sec. 711. Comprehensive policy on pain management by the military health care system.

Sec. 712. Administration and prescription of psychotropic medications for members of the Armed Forces before and during deployment.

Sec. 713. Cooperative health care agreements between military installations and non-military health care systems.

Sec. 714. Plan to increase the mental health capabilities of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 715. Department of Defense study on management of medications for physically and psychologically wounded members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 716. Limitation on obligation of funds under defense health program information technology programs.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Sec. 721. Study and plan to improve military health care.

Sec. 722. Study, plan, and pilot for the mental health care needs of dependent children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 723. Clinical trial on cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members and former members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 724. Department of Defense Task Force on the Care, Management, and Transition of Recovering Wounded, Ill, and Injured Members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 725. Chiropractic clinical trials.

Sec. 726. Independent study on post-traumatic stress disorder efforts.

Sec. 727. Report on implementation of requirements on the relationship between the TRICARE program and employer-sponsored group health plans.

Sec. 728. Report on stipends for members of reserve components for health care for certain dependents.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Sec. 801. Temporary authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan; report.

Sec. 802. Assessment of improvements in service contracting.

Sec. 803. Display of annual budget requirements for procurement of contract services and related clarifying technical amendments.

Sec. 804. Implementation of new acquisition process for information technology systems.

Sec. 805. Life-cycle management and product support.

Sec. 806. Treatment of non-defense agency procurements under joint programs with intelligence community.

Sec. 807. Policy and requirements to ensure the safety of facilities, infrastructure, and equipment for military operations.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitation

Sec. 811. Justification and approval of sole-source contracts.

Sec. 812. Revision of Defense Supplement relating to payment of costs prior to definitization.

Sec. 813. Revisions to definitions relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 814. Amendment to notification requirements for awards of single source task or delivery orders.

Sec. 815. Clarification of uniform suspension and debarment requirement.

Sec. 816. Extension of authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items.

Sec. 817. Reporting requirements for programs that qualify as both major automated information system programs and major defense acquisition programs.

Sec. 818. Small arms production industrial base matters.

Sec. 819. Contract authority for advanced component development or prototype units.

Sec. 820. Publication of notification of bundling of contracts of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle C—Contractor Matters

- Sec. 821. Authority for Government support contractors to have access to technical data belonging to prime contractors.
- Sec. 822. Extension and enhancement of authorities on the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan.
- Sec. 823. Authority for Secretary of Defense to reduce or deny award fees to companies found to jeopardize health or safety of Government personnel.

Subtitle D—Acquisition Workforce Matters

- Sec. 831. Enhancement of expedited hiring authority for defense acquisition workforce positions.
- Sec. 832. Funding of Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.
- Sec. 833. Review of post-employment restrictions applicable to the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 834. Review of Federal acquisition workforce training and hiring.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

- Sec. 841. Reports to Congress on full deployment decisions for major automated information system programs.
- Sec. 842. Authorization to take actions to correct the industrial resource shortfall for high-purity beryllium metal.
- Sec. 843. Report on rare earth materials in the defense supply chain.
- Sec. 844. Comptroller General report on structure and management of sub-contractors under contracts for major weapon systems.
- Sec. 845. Study of the use of factors other than cost or price as the predominate factors in evaluating competitive proposals for defense procurement contracts.
- Sec. 846. Repeal of requirements relating to the military system essential item breakout list.
- Sec. 847. Extension of SBIR and STTR programs of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 848. Extension of authority for small business innovation research Commercialization Pilot Program.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

- Sec. 901. Authority to allow private sector civilians to receive instruction at Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy of the Defense Cyber Crime Center.
- Sec. 902. Organizational structure of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity.
- Sec. 903. Sense of Congress regarding the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs.
- Sec. 904. Increased flexibility for combatant commander initiative fund.
- Sec. 905. Repeal of requirement for a Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Technology Security Policy within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.
- Sec. 906. Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense and Assistant Secretaries of Defense.

Subtitle B—Space Activities

- Sec. 911. Submission and review of space science and technology strategy.
- Sec. 912. Provision of space situational awareness services and information to non-United States Government entities.

- Sec. 913. Management and funding strategy and implementation plan for the National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System Program.

Subtitle C—Intelligence-Related Matters

- Sec. 921. Inclusion of Defense Intelligence Agency in authority to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations.
- Sec. 922. Plan to address foreign ballistic missile intelligence analysis.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 931. Implementation strategy for developing leap-ahead cyber operations capabilities.
- Sec. 932. Defense integrated military human resources system development and transition.
- Sec. 933. Report on special operations command organization, manning, and management.
- Sec. 934. Study on the recruitment, retention, and career progression of uniformed and civilian military cyber operations personnel.
- Sec. 935. Plan on access to national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

- Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.
- Sec. 1002. Relationship of the quadrennial defense review and the annual budget request.
- Sec. 1003. Audit readiness of financial statements of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

- Sec. 1011. Unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.
- Sec. 1012. Joint task forces support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.
- Sec. 1013. Reporting requirement on expenditures to support foreign counter-drug activities.
- Sec. 1014. Support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.
- Sec. 1015. Border coordination centers in Afghanistan and Pakistan.
- Sec. 1016. Comptroller General report on effectiveness of accountability measures for assistance from counter-narcotics central transfer account.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

- Sec. 1021. Sense of Congress on the maintenance of a 313-ship Navy.
- Sec. 1022. Designation of U.S.S. Constitution as America's Ship of State.
- Sec. 1023. Temporary reduction in minimum number of operational aircraft carriers.
- Sec. 1024. Sense of Congress concerning the disposition of Submarine NR-1.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Requirements, Authorities, and Limitations

- Sec. 1031. Prohibition relating to propaganda.
- Sec. 1032. Responsibility for preparation of biennial global positioning system report.
- Sec. 1033. Reports on bandwidth requirements for major defense acquisition programs and major system acquisition programs.
- Sec. 1034. Additional duties for advisory panel on Department of Defense capabilities for support of civil authorities after certain incidents.
- Sec. 1035. Charter for the National Reconnaissance Office.
- Sec. 1036. National strategic five-year plan for improving the nuclear forensic and attribution capabilities of the United States.

- Sec. 1037. Authorization of appropriations for payments to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense.

- Sec. 1038. Prohibition on interrogation of detainees by contractor personnel.
- Sec. 1039. Notification and access of International Committee of the Red Cross with respect to detainees at Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1040. No Miranda Warnings for Al Qaeda Terrorists.
- Sec. 1041. Limitation on use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
- Sec. 1042. Additional subpoena authority for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1043. Limitations on modifications of certain Government furnished equipment; one-time authority to transfer certain military prototype.

Subtitle E—Studies and Reports

- Sec. 1051. Report on statutory compliance of the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review.
- Sec. 1052. Report on the force structure findings of the 2009 quadrennial defense review.
- Sec. 1053. Annual report on the electronic warfare strategy of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1054. Study on a system for career development and management of inter-agency national security professionals.
- Sec. 1055. Report on nuclear aspirations of non-state entities, nuclear weapons and related programs in non-nuclear-weapons states and countries not parties to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and certain foreign persons.
- Sec. 1056. Comptroller General review of Department of Defense spending in final fiscal quarters.
- Sec. 1057. Report on Air America.
- Sec. 1058. Report on defense travel simplification.
- Sec. 1059. Report on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base.
- Sec. 1060. Report on enabling capabilities for special operations forces.
- Sec. 1061. Additional members and duties for the independent panel to assess the quadrennial defense review.
- Sec. 1062. Congressional earmarks relating to the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1063. Report on basing plans for certain United States geographic combatant commands.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

- Sec. 1071. Extension of certain authority for making rewards for combating terrorism.
- Sec. 1072. Business process reengineering.
- Sec. 1073. Technical and clerical amendments.
- Sec. 1074. Extension of sunset for congressional commission on the strategic posture of the United States.
- Sec. 1075. Combat air forces restructuring.
- Sec. 1076. Sense of Congress regarding carrier air wing force structure.
- Sec. 1077. Department of Veterans Affairs use of service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities.
- Sec. 1078. Plan for sustainment of land-based solid rocket motor industrial base.
- Sec. 1079. Justice for victims of torture and terrorism.

Sec. 1080. Requirement for videotaping or otherwise electronically recording strategic intelligence interrogations of persons in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1081. Modification of pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the air force.

Sec. 1082. Multiyear contracts under pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force.

Sec. 1083. Disclosure of names of students and instructors at Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

Sec. 1084. Sense of Congress regarding the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS Subtitle A—Personnel

Sec. 1101. Authority to employ individuals completing the National Security Education Program.

Sec. 1102. Authority for employment by Department of Defense of individuals who have successfully completed the requirements of the science, mathematics, and research for transformation (SMART) defense scholarship program.

Sec. 1103. Authority for the employment of individuals who have successfully completed the Department of Defense information assurance scholarship program.

Sec. 1104. Extension and modification of experimental personnel management program for scientific and technical personnel.

Sec. 1105. Modification to Department of Defense laboratory personnel authority.

Sec. 1106. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.

Sec. 1107. Extension of certain benefits to Federal civilian employees on official duty in Pakistan.

Sec. 1108. Requirement for Department of Defense strategic workforce plans.

Sec. 1109. Adjustments to limitations on personnel and requirement for annual manpower reporting.

Sec. 1110. Pilot program for the temporary exchange of information technology personnel.

Sec. 1111. Availability of funds for compensation of certain civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1112. Department of defense civilian leadership program.

Sec. 1113. Provisions relating to the National Security Personnel System.

Sec. 1114. Provisions relating to the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System.

Subtitle B—Provisions Relating to Reemployment of Annuitants

Sec. 1121. Authority to expand scope of provisions relating to unreduced compensation for certain reemployed annuitants.

Sec. 1122. Part-time reemployment.

Sec. 1123. Government Accountability Office report.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

Sec. 1201. One-year extension of authority for security and stabilization assistance.

Sec. 1202. Expansion of authority and modification of notification and reporting requirements for use of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.

Sec. 1203. Modification of report on foreign-assistance related programs carried out by the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1204. Report on authorities to build the capacity of foreign military forces and related matters.

Sec. 1205. Authority to provide administrative services and support to coalition liaison officers of certain foreign nations assigned to United States Joint Forces Command.

Sec. 1206. Modification of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces.

Sec. 1207. Authority for non-reciprocal exchanges of defense personnel between the United States and foreign countries.

Sec. 1208. Report on alternatives to use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment for personnel protection and survivability.

Sec. 1209. Enhancing Iraqi security through defense cooperation between the United States and Iraq.

Sec. 1210. Availability of appropriated funds for the State Partnership Program.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan

Sec. 1221. Limitation on availability of funds for certain purposes relating to Iraq.

Sec. 1222. One-year extension and expansion of Commanders' Emergency Response Program.

Sec. 1223. Modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.

Sec. 1224. Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

Sec. 1225. Program to provide for the registration and end-use monitoring of defense articles and defense services transferred to Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Sec. 1226. Reports on campaign plans for Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 1227. Report on responsible redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Iraq.

Sec. 1228. Report on community-based security programs in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1229. Updates of report on command and control structure for military forces operating in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1230. Report on feasibility and desirability of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the provision of monetary assistance by the United States to civilian foreign nationals for losses incident to combat activities of the armed forces.

Sec. 1231. Assessment and report on United States-Pakistan military relations and cooperation.

Sec. 1232. Report on progress toward security and stability in Pakistan.

Sec. 1233. Repeal of GAO war-related reporting requirement.

Sec. 1234. Authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 1235. Analysis of required force levels and types of forces needed to secure southern and eastern regions of Afghanistan.

Sec. 1236. Modification of report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1237. No permanent military bases in Afghanistan.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Sec. 1241. Report on United States engagement with Iran.

Sec. 1242. Annual counterterrorism status reports.

Sec. 1243. Report on United States contributions to the United Nations.

Sec. 1244. NATO Special Operations Coordination Center.

Sec. 1245. Annual report on military power of Iran.

Sec. 1246. Annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.

Sec. 1247. Report on impacts of drawdown authorities on the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1248. Risk assessment of United States space export control policy.

Sec. 1249. Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland.

Sec. 1250. Report on potential foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

Sec. 1251. Report on the plan for the nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, and delivery platforms and sense of Congress on follow-on negotiations to START Treaty.

Sec. 1252. Map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Sec. 1253. Sense of Congress relating to Israel.

Sec. 1254. Sense of Congress on imposing sanctions with respect to Iran.

Sec. 1255. Report and sense of Congress on North Korea.

Sec. 1256. Report on potential missile defense cooperation with Russia.

Subtitle D—VOICE Act

Sec. 1261. Short title.

Sec. 1262. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 1263. Iranian Electronic Education, Exchange, and Media Fund.

Sec. 1264. Annual report.

Sec. 1265. Report on actions by non-Iranian companies.

Sec. 1266. Human rights documentation.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Sec. 1301. Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds.

Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.

Sec. 1303. Utilization of contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

Sec. 1304. Metrics for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

Sec. 1305. Cooperative Threat Reduction Program authority for urgent threat reduction activities.

Sec. 1306. Cooperative Threat Reduction Defense and Military Contacts Program.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.

Sec. 1402. National Defense Sealift Fund.

Sec. 1403. Chemical agents and munitions destruction, defense.

Sec. 1404. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.

Sec. 1405. Defense Inspector General.

Sec. 1406. Defense Health Program.

Sec. 1407. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

Sec. 1411. Authorized uses of National Defense Stockpile funds.

Sec. 1412. Extension of previously authorized disposal of cobalt from National Defense Stockpile.

Sec. 1413. Report on implementation of reconfiguration of the National Defense Stockpile.

Subtitle C—Armed Forces Retirement Home
Sec. 1421. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Sec. 1501. Purpose.
Sec. 1502. Army procurement.
Sec. 1503. Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.
Sec. 1504. Navy and Marine Corps procurement.
Sec. 1505. Air Force procurement.
Sec. 1506. Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund.
Sec. 1507. Defense-wide activities procurement.
Sec. 1508. Research, development, test, and evaluation.
Sec. 1509. Operation and maintenance.
Sec. 1510. Limitations on availability of funds in Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.
Sec. 1511. Limitations on Iraq Security Forces Fund.
Sec. 1512. Military personnel.
Sec. 1513. Working capital funds.
Sec. 1514. Defense Health Program.
Sec. 1515. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.
Sec. 1516. Defense Inspector General.
Sec. 1517. Relation to funding tables.
Sec. 1518. Continuation of prohibition on use of United States funds for certain facilities projects in Iraq.
Sec. 1519. Treatment as additional authorizations.
Sec. 1520. Special transfer authority.

TITLE XVII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

Sec. 1701. Demonstration project authority.
Sec. 1702. Transfer of property.
Sec. 1703. Transfer of civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.
Sec. 1704. Joint funding authority.
Sec. 1705. Eligibility of members of the uniformed services for care and services.
Sec. 1706. Extension of DOD—VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund.

TITLE XVIII—MILITARY COMMISSIONS

Sec. 1801. Short title.
Sec. 1802. Military commissions.
Sec. 1803. Conforming amendments.
Sec. 1804. Proceedings under prior statute.
Sec. 1805. Submittal to Congress of revised rules for military commissions.
Sec. 1806. Annual reports to Congress on trials by military commission.
Sec. 1807. Sense of Congress on military commission system.

TITLE XIX—FEDERAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Subtitle A—General Provisions

Sec. 1901. Credit for unused sick leave.
Sec. 1902. Limited expansion of the class of individuals eligible to receive an actuarially reduced annuity under the Civil Service Retirement System.
Sec. 1903. Computation of certain annuities based on part-time service.
Sec. 1904. Authority to deposit refunds under FERS.
Sec. 1905. Retirement credit for service of certain employees transferred from District of Columbia service to Federal service.

Subtitle B—Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance

Sec. 1911. Short title.
Sec. 1912. Extension of locality pay.
Sec. 1913. Adjustment of special rates.
Sec. 1914. Transition schedule for locality-based comparability payments.

Sec. 1915. Savings provision.
Sec. 1916. Application to other eligible employees.
Sec. 1917. Election of additional basic pay for annuity computation by employees.
Sec. 1918. Regulations.
Sec. 1919. Effective dates.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 2001. Short title.
Sec. 2002. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.
Sec. 2003. Relation to funding tables.
Sec. 2004. General reduction across division.

TITLE XXI—ARMY

Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2102. Family housing.
Sec. 2103. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2104. Authorization of appropriations, Army.
Sec. 2105. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 project.

Sec. 2106. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects.

TITLE XXII—NAVY

Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2202. Family housing.
Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.
Sec. 2205. Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2006 project.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2302. Family housing.
Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.
Sec. 2305. Termination of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 Air Force project.
Sec. 2306. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects.
Sec. 2307. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects.
Sec. 2308. Conveyance to Indian tribes of certain housing units.

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2402. Family Housing.
Sec. 2403. Energy conservation projects.
Sec. 2404. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.
Sec. 2405. Termination or modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 projects.
Sec. 2406. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project.
Sec. 2407. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 project.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

Sec. 2411. Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.
Sec. 2607. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects.
Sec. 2608. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 project.

TITLE XXVII—BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES

Subtitle A—Authorizations

Sec. 2701. Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990.
Sec. 2702. Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005.
Sec. 2703. Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Sec. 2711. Relocation of certain Army Reserve units in Connecticut.
Sec. 2712. Authority to construct Armed Forces Reserve Center in vicinity of Pease Air National Guard Base, New Hampshire.
Sec. 2713. Sense of Congress on ensuring joint basing recommendations do not adversely affect operational readiness.
Sec. 2714. Requirements related to providing world class military medical facilities in the National Capital Region.
Sec. 2715. Use of economic development conveyances to implement base closure and realignment property recommendations.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

Sec. 2801. Modification of unspecified minor construction authorities.
Sec. 2802. Congressional notification of facility repair projects carried out using operation and maintenance funds.
Sec. 2803. Modification of authority for scope of work variations.
Sec. 2804. Modification of conveyance authority at military installations.
Sec. 2805. Imposition of requirement that acquisition of reserve component facilities be authorized by law.
Sec. 2806. Authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects inside the United States Central Command area of responsibility.
Sec. 2807. Expansion of First Sergeants Barracks Initiative.
Sec. 2808. Reports on privatization initiatives for military unaccompanied housing.

Sec. 2809. Report on Department of Defense contributions to States for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, or conversion of reserve component facilities.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

Sec. 2821. Modification of utility systems conveyance authority.

Sec. 2822. Report on global defense posture realignment and interagency review.

Sec. 2823. Property and facilities management of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Sec. 2824. Acceptance of contributions to support cleanup efforts at former Almaden Air Force Station, California.

Sec. 2825. Selection of military installations to serve as locations of brigade combat teams.

Sec. 2826. Report on Federal assistance to support communities adversely impacted by expansion of military installations.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Guam Realignment

Sec. 2831. Role of Department of Defense in management and coordination of Defense activities relating to Guam realignment.

Sec. 2832. Clarifications regarding use of special purpose entities to assist with Guam realignment.

Sec. 2833. Workforce issues related to military construction and certain other transactions on Guam.

Sec. 2834. Composition of workforce for construction projects funded through the Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account.

Sec. 2835. Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment.

Sec. 2836. Compliance with Naval Aviation Safety requirements as condition on acceptance of replacement facility for Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, Okinawa.

Sec. 2837. Report and sense of Congress on Marine Corps requirements in Asia-Pacific region.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Sec. 2841. Adoption of unified energy monitoring and utility control system specification for military construction and military family housing activities.

Sec. 2842. Department of Defense goal regarding use of renewable energy sources to meet facility energy needs.

Sec. 2843. Department of Defense participation in programs for management of energy demand or reduction of energy usage during peak periods.

Sec. 2844. Department of Defense use of electric and hybrid motor vehicles.

Sec. 2845. Study on development of nuclear power plants on military installations.

Sec. 2846. Comptroller General report on Department of Defense renewable energy initiatives, including solar initiatives, on military installations.

Subtitle E—Land Conveyances

Sec. 2851. Land conveyance, Haines Tank Farm, Haines, Alaska.

Sec. 2852. Release of reversionary interest, Camp Joseph T. Robinson, Arkansas.

Sec. 2853. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Port Chicago Naval Magazine, California.

Sec. 2854. Land conveyance, Ferndale housing at Centerville Beach Naval Facility to City of Ferndale, California.

Sec. 2855. Land conveyances, Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii.

Sec. 2856. Land conveyances of certain parcels in the Camp Catlin and Ohana Nui areas, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

Sec. 2857. Modification of land conveyance, former Griffiss Air Force Base, New York.

Sec. 2858. Land conveyance, Army Reserve Center, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

Sec. 2859. Land conveyance, Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota.

Sec. 2860. Land conveyance, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

Sec. 2861. Land Conveyance, Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia.

Sec. 2862. Completion of land exchange and consolidation, Fort Lewis, Washington.

Sec. 2863. Land conveyance, F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Cheyenne, Wyoming.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 2871. Revised authority to establish national monument to honor United States Armed Forces working dog teams.

Sec. 2872. National D-Day Memorial study.

Sec. 2873. Conditions on establishment of Cooperative Security Location in Palanquero, Colombia.

Sec. 2874. Military activities at United States Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center.

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 2901. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2902. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2903. Construction authorization for facilities for Office of Defense Representative-Pakistan.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.

Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.

Sec. 3104. Defense nuclear waste disposal.

Sec. 3105. Energy security and assurance.

Sec. 3106. Relation to funding tables.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 3111. Stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3112. Report on stockpile stewardship criteria and assessment of stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3113. Stockpile management program.

Sec. 3114. Dual validation of annual weapons assessment and certification.

Sec. 3115. Elimination of nuclear weapons life extension program from exception to requirement to request funds in budget of the President.

Sec. 3116. Long-term plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex.

Sec. 3117. Repeal of prohibition on funding activities associated with international cooperative stockpile stewardship.

Sec. 3118. Modification of minor construction threshold for plant projects.

Sec. 3119. Two-year extension of authority for appointment of certain scientific, engineering, and technical personnel.

Sec. 3120. National Nuclear Security Administration authority for urgent non-proliferation activities.

Sec. 3121. Repeal of sunset date for consolidation of counterintelligence programs of Department of Energy and National Nuclear Security Administration.

Subtitle C—Reports

Sec. 3131. National Academy of Sciences review of national security laboratories.

Sec. 3132. Plan to ensure capability to monitor, analyze, and evaluate foreign nuclear weapons activities.

Sec. 3133. Comptroller General study of stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3134. Comptroller General of the United States review of projects carried out by the Office of Environmental Management of the Department of Energy pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 3141. Ten-year plan for use and funding of certain Department of Energy facilities.

Sec. 3142. Expansion of authority of Ombudsman of Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.

Sec. 3143. Identification in budget materials of amounts for certain Department of Energy pension obligations.

Sec. 3144. Sense of Congress on production of molybdenum-99.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2010.

Sec. 3502. Unused leave balances.

Sec. 3503. Temporary program authorizing contracts with adjunct professors at the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

Sec. 3504. Maritime loan guarantee program.

Sec. 3505. Defense measures against unauthorized seizures of Maritime Security Fleet vessels.

Sec. 3506. Report on restrictions on United States-flagged commercial vessel security.

Sec. 3507. Technical corrections to State maritime academies student incentive program.

Sec. 3508. Cooperative agreements, administrative expenses, and contracting authority.

Sec. 3509. Use of funding for DOT maritime heritage property.

Sec. 3510. Use of midshipman fees.

Sec. 3511. Construction of vessels in the United States policy.

Sec. 3512. Port infrastructure development program.

Sec. 3513. Reefs for marine life conservation program.

Sec. 3514. United States Merchant Marine Academy graduate program receipt, disbursement, and accounting for nonappropriated funds.

Sec. 3515. America's short sea transportation grants for the development of marine highways.

Sec. 3516. Expansion of the Marine View system.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Sec. 4001. Authorization of amounts in funding tables.

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

- Sec. 4101. Procurement.
 Sec. 4102. Procurement for overseas contingency operations.

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

- Sec. 4201. Research, development, test, and evaluation.
 Sec. 4202. Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

- Sec. 4301. Operation and maintenance.
 Sec. 4302. Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

TITLE XLIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 4401. Other authorizations.
 Sec. 4402. Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.

TITLE XLV—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 4501. Military construction.
 Sec. 4502. 2005 base realignment and closure round FY 2010 project listing.
 Sec. 4503. Military construction for overseas contingency operations.

TITLE XLVI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

- Sec. 4601. Department of Energy national security programs.

DIVISION E—MATTHEW SHEPARD AND JAMES BYRD, JR. HATE CRIMES PREVENTION ACT

- Sec. 4701. Short title.
 Sec. 4702. Findings.
 Sec. 4703. Definitions.
 Sec. 4704. Support for criminal investigations and prosecutions by State, local, and tribal law enforcement officials.
 Sec. 4705. Grant program.
 Sec. 4706. Authorization for additional personnel to assist State, local, and tribal law enforcement.
 Sec. 4707. Prohibition of certain hate crime acts.
 Sec. 4708. Statistics.
 Sec. 4709. Severability.
 Sec. 4710. Rule of construction.
 Sec. 4711. Guidelines for hate-crimes offenses.
 Sec. 4712. Attacks on United States servicemen.
 Sec. 4713. Report on mandatory minimum sentencing provisions.

SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.

For purposes of this Act, the term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**TITLE I—PROCUREMENT****Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

- Sec. 101. Army.
 Sec. 102. Navy and Marine Corps.
 Sec. 103. Air Force.
 Sec. 104. Defense-wide activities.
 Sec. 105. National Guard and Reserve equipment.
 Sec. 106. Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund.
 Sec. 107. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

- Sec. 111. Procurement of Future Combat Systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team equipment.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

- Sec. 121. Littoral Combat Ship program.
 Sec. 122. Treatment of Littoral Combat Ship program as a major defense acquisition program.
 Sec. 123. Report on strategic plan for homeporting the Littoral Combat Ship.

- Sec. 124. Advance procurement funding.
 Sec. 125. Procurement programs for future naval surface combatants.
 Sec. 126. Ford-class aircraft carrier report.
 Sec. 127. Report on a service life extension program for Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates.
 Sec. 128. Conditional multiyear procurement authority for F/A-18E, F/A-18F, or EA-18G aircraft.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

- Sec. 131. Report on the procurement of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft.
 Sec. 132. Revised availability of certain funds available for the F-22A fighter aircraft.
 Sec. 133. Preservation and storage of unique tooling for F-22 fighter aircraft.
 Sec. 134. AC-130 gunships.
 Sec. 135. Report on E-8C Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System re-engining.
 Sec. 136. Repeal of requirement to maintain certain retired C-130E aircraft.
 Sec. 137. Limitation on retirement of C-5 aircraft.
 Sec. 138. Reports on strategic airlift aircraft.
 Sec. 139. Strategic airlift force structure.

Subtitle E—Joint and Multiservice Matters

- Sec. 141. Body armor procurement.
 Sec. 142. Unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicles.
 Sec. 143. Modification of nature of data link for use by tactical unmanned aerial vehicles.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**SEC. 101. ARMY.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement for the Army as follows:

- (1) For aircraft, \$5,110,352,000.
- (2) For missiles, \$1,368,109,000.
- (3) For weapons and tracked combat vehicles, \$2,439,052,000.
- (4) For ammunition, \$2,058,895,000.
- (5) For other procurement, \$9,450,863,000.

SEC. 102. NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.

(a) NAVY.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement for the Navy as follows:

- (1) For aircraft, \$18,842,112,000.
- (2) For weapons, including missiles and torpedoes, \$3,446,019,000.
- (3) For shipbuilding and conversion, \$13,776,867,000.
- (4) For other procurement, \$5,610,581,000.

(b) MARINE CORPS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement for the Marine Corps in the amount of \$1,603,738,000.

(c) NAVY AND MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement of ammunition for the Navy and the Marine Corps in the amount of \$814,015,000.

SEC. 103. AIR FORCE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement for the Air Force as follows:

- (1) For aircraft, \$11,224,371,000.
- (2) For ammunition, \$822,462,000.
- (3) For missiles, \$6,037,459,000.
- (4) For other procurement, \$17,133,668,000.

SEC. 104. DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for Defense-wide procurement in the amount of \$4,090,816,000.

SEC. 105. NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the procurement of aircraft, missiles, wheeled and tracked combat vehicles, tactical wheeled vehicles, ammunition, other weapons, and other procurement for the reserve components of the Armed Forces in the amount of \$600,000,000.

SEC. 106. MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund in the amount of \$600,000,000.

SEC. 107. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLE.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, and 106 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4101.

Subtitle B—Army Programs**SEC. 111. PROCUREMENT OF FUTURE COMBAT SYSTEMS SPIN OUT EARLY-INFANTRY BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM EQUIPMENT.**

(a) LIMITATION ON LOW-RATE INITIAL PRODUCTION QUANTITIES.—Notwithstanding section 2400 of title 10, United States Code, and except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may not procure more than one Future Combat Systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team equipment set (in this section referred to as a “brigade set”) for low-rate initial production.

(b) WAIVER.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics may waive the limitation in subsection (a) if—

(1) the Under Secretary submits to Congress written certification that—

(A) the Future Combat Systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team program (in this section referred to as the “program”) requires low-rate initial production in excess of 10 percent of the total number of articles to be produced;

(B) the Director of Defense Research and Engineering has completed a technology readiness assessment of the program;

(C) the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation has completed an independent cost estimate of the program;

(D) the Under Secretary has approved an acquisition strategy and acquisition program baseline for the program; and

(E) all of the systems constituting the brigade set have been tested in their intended production configuration; and

(2) a period of 30 days has elapsed after the date on which the certification under paragraph (1) is received.

(c) EXCEPTION FOR MEETING OPERATIONAL NEED STATEMENT REQUIREMENTS.—The limitation on low-rate initial production in subsection (a) does not apply to the procurement of individual components of a brigade set if the procurement of such components is specifically intended to address an operational need statement requirement (as described in Army Regulation 71-9 or a successor regulation).

Subtitle C—Navy Programs**SEC. 121. LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy may procure up to ten Littoral Combat Ships and 15 Littoral Combat Ship ship control and weapon systems by entering into a contract using competitive procedures. Such procurement may also include—

(A) materiel and equipment in economic order quantities when cost savings are achievable; and

(B) cost reduction initiatives.

(2) LIABILITY.—A contract entered into under paragraph (1) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose, and that total liability to the Government for termination of any contract entered into shall be limited to the total amount of funding obligated at time of termination.

(b) TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGE.—

(1) REQUIREMENT.—As part of the solicitation for proposals for a procurement authorized by

subsection (a), the Secretary shall require that an offeror submit a proposal that provides for conveying a complete technical data package as part of a proposal for a Littoral Combat Ship.

(2) **RIGHTS OF THE UNITED STATES.**—The Secretary shall ensure that the Government's rights in technical data for a Littoral Combat Ship are sufficient to permit the Government to—

(A) conduct a competition for a second shipyard, as soon as practicable; and

(B) transition the Littoral Combat Ship combat systems to Government-furnished equipment to achieve open architecture and foster competition to modernize future systems.

(c) **LIMITATION OF COSTS.**—

(1) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in subsection (d), and excluding amounts described in paragraph (2), beginning in fiscal year 2011, the total amount obligated or expended for the procurement of a Littoral Combat Ship awarded to a contractor selected as part of a procurement authorized by subsection (a) may not exceed \$480,000,000 per vessel.

(2) **EXCLUSION.**—The amounts described in this paragraph are amounts associated with the following:

(A) Elements designated by the Secretary of the Navy as a mission package.

(B) Plans.

(C) Technical data packages.

(D) Class design services.

(E) Post-delivery, outfitting, and program support costs.

(d) **WAIVER AND ADJUSTMENT OF LIMITATION AMOUNT.**—

(1) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of the Navy may waive the limitation in subsection (c)(1) with respect to a vessel if—

(A) the Secretary provides supporting data and certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that—

(i) the total amount obligated or expended for procurement of the vessel—

(I) is in the best interest of the United States; and

(II) is affordable, within the context of the annual naval vessel construction plan required by section 231 of title 10, United States Code; and

(ii) the total amount obligated or expended for procurement of at least one other vessel authorized by subsection (a) has been or is expected to be less than \$480,000,000; and

(B) a period of not less than 30 days has expired following the date on which such certification and data are submitted to the congressional defense committees.

(2) **ADJUSTMENT.**—The Secretary of the Navy may adjust the amount set forth in subsection (c)(1) for Littoral Combat Ship vessels referred to in that subsection by the following:

(A) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to economic inflation after September 30, 2009.

(B) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local laws enacted after September 30, 2009.

(C) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs of the vessel that are attributable to insertion of new technology into that vessel, as compared to the technology built into the first or second vessels of the Littoral Combat Ship class of vessels, if the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees, that insertion of the new technology—

(i) is expected to decrease the life-cycle cost of the vessel; or

(ii) is required to meet an emerging threat that poses grave harm to national security.

(D) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs required to correct deficiencies that may affect the safety of the vessel and personnel or otherwise preclude the vessel from safe operations and crew certifications.

(e) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—At the same time that the budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for each fiscal year,

the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on Littoral Combat Ship vessels. Each such report shall include the following:

(1) The current (as of the date of the report) and projected total basic construction costs, Government-furnished equipment costs, and other program costs associated with each of the Littoral Combat Ships under construction.

(2) Written notice of any adjustment in the amount set forth in subsection (c)(1) made during the preceding fiscal year that the Secretary adjusted under the authority provided in subsection (d)(2).

(3) A summary of investment made by the Government for cost-reduction initiatives and the projected savings or cost avoidance based on those investments.

(4) A summary of investment made by the construction yard to improve efficiency and optimization of construction along with the projected savings or cost avoidance based on those investments.

(5) Information, current as of the date of the report, regarding—

(A) the content of any element of the Littoral Combat Ship class of vessels that is designated as a mission package;

(B) the estimated cost of any such element; and

(C) the total number of such elements anticipated.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “mission package” means the interchangeable systems that deploy with a Littoral Combat Ship vessel.

(2) The term “technical data package” means a compilation of detailed engineering plans and specifications for construction of the vessels.

(3) The term “total amount obligated or expended for procurement”, with respect to a Littoral Combat Ship, means the sum of the costs of basic construction and Government-furnished equipment for the ship.

(g) **CONFORMING REPEAL.**—Section 124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3157), as amended by section 125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 29) and section 122 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4376), is repealed.

SEC. 122. TREATMENT OF LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP PROGRAM AS A MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAM.

Effective as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the program for the Littoral Combat Ship shall be treated as a major defense acquisition program for purposes of chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 123. REPORT ON STRATEGIC PLAN FOR HOMEPORING THE LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—At the same time that the budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2011, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the strategic plan of the Navy for homeporting the Littoral Combat Ship on the east coast and west coast of the United States.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An analysis of how the homeporting plan would support the requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands, by geographic area of responsibility, for the capabilities delivered by Littoral Combat Ships, including the notional transit times to the various geographic areas of responsibility.

(2) An assessment of the effect that each type of Littoral Combat Ship would have on each port in which such ship could be homeported, including an identification of the infrastructure required to support each such ship with respect to—

(A) the availability of pier space with supporting ship services infrastructure, taking into

account the largest fleet size envisioned by the long-term plan for the construction of naval vessels submitted for fiscal year 2011;

(B) the logistical and maintenance support services required in any port chosen for the Littoral Combat Ships; and

(C) any investment in naval station infrastructure required for homeporting Littoral Combat Ships (including a plan for such investment).

(3) With respect to the projected force structure size of the Navy in fiscal year 2020, a graphical depiction of the total planned ships berthing in the pier areas of any naval facility chosen to homeport Littoral Combat Ships, including the identification of the ships berthing plan for the maximum number of ships expected in-port at any one time.

SEC. 124. ADVANCE PROCUREMENT FUNDING.

(a) **ADVANCE PROCUREMENT.**—With respect to a naval vessel for which amounts are authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2010 or any fiscal year thereafter for advance procurement in shipbuilding and conversion, Navy, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into a contract, in advance of a contract for construction of any vessel, for any of the following:

(1) Components, parts, or materiel.

(2) Production planning and other related support services that reduce the overall procurement lead time of such vessel.

(b) **AIRCRAFT CARRIER DESIGNATED CVN-79.**—With respect to components of the aircraft carrier designated CVN-79 for which amounts are authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2010 or any fiscal year thereafter for advance procurement in shipbuilding and conversion, Navy, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into a contract for the advance construction of such components if the Secretary determines that cost savings, construction efficiencies, or workforce stability may be achieved for such aircraft carrier through the use of such contract.

(c) **CONDITION OF OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.**—A contract entered into under subsection (b) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under such contract for any fiscal year after fiscal year 2010 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such fiscal year.

SEC. 125. PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS FOR FUTURE NAVAL SURFACE COMBATANTS.

(a) **LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS PENDING REPORTS ABOUT SURFACE COMBATANT SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS.**—The Secretary of the Navy may not obligate or expend funds for the construction of, or advanced procurement of materials for, a surface combatant to be constructed after fiscal year 2011 until the Secretary has submitted to Congress each of the following:

(1) An acquisition strategy for such surface combatants that has been approved by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

(2) Certification that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council—

(A) has been briefed on the acquisition strategy to procure such surface combatants; and

(B) has concurred that such strategy is the best preferred approach to deliver required capabilities to address future threats, as reflected in the latest assessment by the defense intelligence community.

(3) A verification by, and conclusions of, an independent review panel that, in evaluating the program or programs concerned, the Secretary of the Navy considered each of the following:

(A) Modeling and simulation, including war gaming conclusions regarding combat effectiveness for the selected ship platforms as compared to other reasonable alternative approaches.

(B) Assessments of platform operational availability.

(C) Life cycle costs, including vessel manning levels, to accomplish missions.

(D) The differences in cost and schedule arising from the need to accommodate new sensors and weapons in surface combatants to be constructed after fiscal year 2011 to counter the future threats referred to in paragraph (2), when compared with the cost and schedule arising from the need to accommodate sensors and weapons on surface combatants as contemplated by the 2009 shipbuilding plan for the vessels concerned.

(4) The conclusions of a joint review by the Secretary of the Navy and the Director of the Missile Defense Agency setting forth additional requirements for investment in Aegis ballistic missile defense beyond the number of DDG-51 and CG-47 vessels planned to be equipped for this mission area in the budget of the President for fiscal year 2010 (as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code).

(b) **FUTURE SURFACE COMBATANT ACQUISITION STRATEGY.**—Not later than the date upon which the President submits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2012 (as so submitted), the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees an update to the open architecture report to Congress that reflects the Navy's combat systems acquisition plans for the surface combatants to be procured in fiscal year 2012 and fiscal years thereafter.

(c) **NAVAL SURFACE FIRE SUPPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees an update to the March 2006 Report to Congress on Naval Surface Fire Support. The update shall identify how the Department of Defense intends to address any shortfalls between required naval surface fire support capability and the plan of the Navy to provide that capability. The update shall include addenda by the Chief of Naval Operations and Commandant of the Marine Corps, as was the case in the 2006 report.

(d) **TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP FOR FUTURE SURFACE COMBATANTS AND FLEET MODERNIZATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall develop a plan to incorporate into surface combatants constructed after 2011, and into fleet modernization programs, the technologies developed for the DDG-1000 destroyer and the DDG-51 and CG-47 Aegis ships, including technologies and systems designed to achieve significant manpower savings.

(2) **SCOPE OF PLAN.**—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall include sufficient detail for systems and subsystems to ensure that the plan—

(A) avoids redundant development for common functions;

(B) reflects implementation of Navy plans for achieving an open architecture for all naval surface combat systems; and

(C) fosters competition.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “2009 shipbuilding plan” means the 30-year shipbuilding plan submitted to Congress pursuant to section 231, title 10, United States Code, together with the budget of the President for fiscal year 2009 (as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code).

(2) The term “surface combatant” means a cruiser, a destroyer, or any naval vessel, excluding Littoral Combat Ships, under a program currently designated as a future surface combatant program.

SEC. 126. FORD-CLASS AIRCRAFT CARRIER REPORT.

Not later than February 1, 2010, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the effects of using a five-year interval for the construction of Ford-class aircraft carriers. The report shall include, at a minimum, an assessment of the effects of such five-year interval on the following:

(1) With respect to the supplier base—

(A) the viability of the base, including suppliers exiting the market or other potential reductions in competition; and

(B) cost increases to the Ford-class aircraft carrier program.

(2) Training of individuals in trades related to ship construction.

(3) Loss of expertise associated with ship construction.

(4) The costs of—

(A) any additional technical support or production planning associated with the start of construction;

(B) material and labor;

(C) overhead; and

(D) other ship construction programs, including the costs of existing and future contracts.

SEC. 127. REPORT ON A SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM FOR OLIVER HAZARD PERRY CLASS FRIGATES.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:

(1) A detailed analysis of a service life extension program for the Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates, including—

(A) the cost of the program;

(B) a notional schedule for the program; and

(C) the shipyards available to carry out the work under the program.

(2) The strategic plan of the Navy for—

(A) the manner in which the Littoral Combat Ship will fulfill the roles and missions currently performed by the Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates as such frigates are decommissioned; and

(B) the year-by-year planned commissioning of Littoral Combat Ships and planned decommissioning of Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates through the projected service life of the Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates.

(3) An analysis of the necessary procurement rates of Littoral Combat Ships if the extension of the service life of the Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates alleviates capability gaps caused by a delay in the procurement rates of Littoral Combat Ships.

(4) A description of the manner in which the Navy has met the requirements of the United States Southern Command over time, including the assets and vessels the Navy has deployed for military-to-military engagements, UNITAS exercises, and counterdrug operations in support of the Commander of the United States Southern Command during the five-year period ending on the date of the report.

SEC. 128. CONDITIONAL MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR F/A-18E, F/A-18F, OR EA-18G AIRCRAFT.

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into a multiyear contract for the procurement of F/A-18E, F/A-18F, or EA-18G aircraft.

(2) **SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN CERTIFICATION BY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term “March 1 of the year in which the Secretary requests legislative authority to enter into such contract” in section 2306b(i)(1) of such title shall be deemed to be a reference to March 1, 2010.

(b) **CONTRACT REQUIREMENT.**—A multiyear contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose.

(c) **REPORT OF FINDINGS.**—In addition to any reports or certifications required by section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, not later than March 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the findings and conclusions of the quadrennial defense review under section 118 of such title and the 30-year

aviation plan under section 231a of such title have informed the acquisition strategy of the Secretary with regard to the F/A-18E, F/A-18F, and EA-18G aircraft programs of record.

(d) **SUNSET.**—

(1) **TERMINATION DATE.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the authority to enter into a multiyear contract under subsection (a) shall terminate on May 1, 2010.

(2) **EXTENSION.**—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into a multiyear contract under subsection (a) until September 30, 2010, if the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees in writing—

(A) that the administrative processes or other contracting activities necessary for executing this authority cannot be completed before May 1, 2010; and

(B) of the date, on or before September 30, 2010, on which the Secretary plans to enter into such multiyear contract.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

SEC. 131. REPORT ON THE PROCUREMENT OF 4.5 GENERATION FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the procurement of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft. The report shall include the following:

(1) The number of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft needed to be procured during fiscal years 2011 through 2025 to fulfill the requirement of the Air Force to maintain not less than 2,200 tactical fighter aircraft.

(2) The estimated procurement costs for those aircraft if procured through annual procurement contracts.

(3) The estimated procurement costs for those aircraft if procured through multiyear procurement contracts.

(4) The estimated savings that could be derived from the procurement of those aircraft through a multiyear procurement contract, and whether the Secretary determines the amount of those savings to be substantial.

(5) A discussion comparing the costs and benefits of obtaining those aircraft through annual procurement contracts with the costs and benefits of obtaining those aircraft through a multiyear procurement contract.

(6) A discussion regarding the availability and feasibility of procuring F-35 aircraft to proportionally and concurrently recapitalize the Air National Guard during fiscal years 2015 through fiscal year 2025.

(b) **4.5 GENERATION FIGHTER AIRCRAFT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “4.5 generation fighter aircraft” means current fighter aircraft, including the F-15, F-16, and F-18, that—

(1) have advanced capabilities, including—

(A) AESA radar;

(B) high capacity data-link; and

(C) enhanced avionics; and

(2) have the ability to deploy current and reasonably foreseeable advanced armaments.

SEC. 132. REVISED AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE F-22A FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.

(a) **REPEAL OF AUTHORITY ON AVAILABILITY OF FISCAL YEAR 2009 FUNDS.**—Section 134 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4378) is repealed.

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF ADVANCE PROCUREMENT FUNDS FOR OTHER F-22A AIRCRAFT MODERNIZATION PRIORITIES.**—Subject to the provisions of appropriations Acts and applicable requirements relating to the transfer of funds, the Secretary of the Air Force may transfer amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2009 by section 103(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4373) for aircraft procurement for the Air Force and available for advance procurement for the F-22A fighter aircraft within that subaccount or to other subaccounts for aircraft procurement for the Air

Force for purposes of providing funds for other modernization priorities with respect to the F-22A fighter aircraft.

SEC. 133. PRESERVATION AND STORAGE OF UNIQUE TOOLING FOR F-22 FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.

(a) **PLAN.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a plan for the preservation and storage of unique tooling related to the production of hardware and end items for F-22 fighter aircraft. The plan shall—

(1) ensure that the Secretary preserves and stores required tooling in a manner that—

(A) allows the production of such hardware and end items to be restarted after a period of idleness; and

(B) provides for the long-term sustenance and repair of such hardware and end items;

(2) with respect to the supplier base of such hardware and end items, identify the costs of restarting production; and

(3) identify any contract modifications, additional facilities, or funding that the Secretary determines necessary to carry out the plan.

(b) **RESTRICTION ON THE USE OF FUNDS.**—None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2010 for aircraft procurement, Air Force, for F-22 fighter aircraft may be obligated or expended for activities related to disposing of F-22 production tooling until a period of 45 days has elapsed after the date on which the Secretary submits to Congress a report describing the plan required by subsection (a).

SEC. 134. AC-130 GUNSHIPS.

(a) **REPORT ON REDUCTION IN SERVICE LIFE IN CONNECTION WITH ACCELERATED DEPLOYMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the reduction in the service life of AC-130 gunships of the Air Force as a result of the accelerated deployments of such gunships that are anticipated during the seven- to ten-year period beginning with the date of the enactment of this Act, assuming that operating tempo continues at a rate per year that is similar to the average rate per year of the five years preceding the date of the report.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An estimate by series of the maintenance costs for the AC-130 gunships during the period described in subsection (a), including any major airframe and engine overhauls of such aircraft anticipated during that period.

(2) A description by series of the age, serviceability, and capabilities of the armament systems of the AC-130 gunships.

(3) An estimate by series of the costs of modernizing the armament systems of the AC-130 gunships to achieve any necessary capability improvements.

(4) A description by series of the age and capabilities of the electronic warfare systems of the AC-130 gunships, and an estimate of the cost of upgrading such systems during that period to achieve any necessary capability improvements.

(5) A description by series of the age of the avionics systems of the AC-130 gunships and an estimate of the cost of upgrading such systems during that period to achieve any necessary capability improvements.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) **ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES.**—The Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, shall conduct an analysis of alternatives for any gunship modernization requirements identified by the 2009 quadrennial defense review under section 118 of title 10, United States Code. The results of the analysis

of alternatives shall be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than 18 months after the completion of the 2009 quadrennial defense review.

SEC. 135. REPORT ON E-8C JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM RE-ENGINEING.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on replacing the engines of E-8C Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System aircraft (in this section referred to as “Joint STARS aircraft”). The report shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of funding alternatives and options for accelerating funding for the fielding of Joint STARS aircraft with replaced engines.

(2) An analysis of the tradeoffs involved in the decision to replace the engines of Joint STARS aircraft or not to replace those engines, including the potential cost savings from replacing those engines and the operational impacts of not replacing those engines.

(3) An identification of the optimum path forward for replacing the engines of Joint STARS aircraft and modernizing the Joint STARS fleet.

(b) **LIMITATION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may not take any action that would adversely impact the pace of the execution of the program to replace the engines of Joint STARS aircraft before submitting the report required by subsection (a).

SEC. 136. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT TO MAINTAIN CERTAIN RETIRED C-130E AIRCRAFT.

Section 134 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 31) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c);

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (c); and

(3) in subsection (b), by striking “subsection (d)” and inserting “subsection (c)”.

SEC. 137. LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OF C-5 AIRCRAFT.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may not proceed with a decision to retire C-5A aircraft from the active inventory of the Air Force in any number that would reduce the total number of such aircraft in the active inventory below 111 until—

(1) the Air Force has modified a C-5A aircraft to the configuration referred to as the Reliability Enhancement and Reengineering Program (RERP) configuration, as planned under the C-5 System Development and Demonstration program as of May 1, 2003; and

(2) the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense—

(A) conducts an operational evaluation of that aircraft, as so modified; and

(B) provides to the Secretary of Defense and the congressional defense committees an operational assessment.

(b) **OPERATIONAL EVALUATION.**—An operational evaluation for purposes of paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (a) is an evaluation, conducted during operational testing and evaluation of the aircraft, as so modified, of the performance of the aircraft with respect to reliability, maintainability, and availability and with respect to critical operational issues.

(c) **OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT.**—An operational assessment for purposes of paragraph (2)(B) of subsection (a) is an operational assessment of the program to modify C-5A aircraft to the configuration referred to in subsection (a)(1) regarding both overall suitability and deficiencies of the program to improve performance of the C-5A aircraft relative to requirements and specifications for reliability, maintainability, and availability of that aircraft as in effect on May 1, 2003.

(d) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS ON RETIREMENT OF AIRCRAFT.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may not retire C-5 aircraft from the active inventory as of the date of the enactment of this Act until the later of the following:

(1) The date that is 90 days after the date on which the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation submits the report referred to in subsection (a)(2)(B).

(2) The date that is 90 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required under subsection (e).

(3) The date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(A) the retirement of such aircraft will not increase the operational risk of meeting the National Defense Strategy; and

(B) the retirement of such aircraft will not reduce the total strategic airlift force structure below 316 strategic airlift aircraft.

(e) **REPORT ON RETIREMENT OF AIRCRAFT.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:

(1) The rationale for the retirement of existing C-5 aircraft and a cost-benefit analysis of alternative strategic airlift force structures, including the force structure that would result from the retirement of such aircraft.

(2) An updated assessment to the assessment of the Under Secretary for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics certified on February 14, 2008, concerning the costs and benefits of applying the Reliability Enhancement and Reengineering Program (RERP) modification to the entire the C-5A aircraft fleet.

(3) An assessment of the implications for the Air Force, the Air National Guard, and the Air Force Reserve of operating a mix of C-5A aircraft and C-5M aircraft.

(4) An assessment of the costs and benefits of increasing the number of C-5 aircraft in Back-up Aircraft Inventory (BAI) status as a hedge against future requirements of such aircraft.

(5) An assessment of the costs, benefits, and implications of transferring C-5 aircraft to United States flag carriers operating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF) program or to coalition partners in lieu of the retirement of such aircraft.

(6) Such other matters relating to the retirement of C-5 aircraft as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 138. REPORTS ON STRATEGIC AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT.

At least 90 days before the date on which a C-5 aircraft is retired, the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Director of the Air National Guard, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the proposed force structure and basing of strategic airlift aircraft (as defined in section 8062(g)(2) of title 10, United States Code). Each report shall include the following:

(1) A list of each aircraft in the inventory of strategic airlift aircraft, including for each such aircraft—

(A) the type;

(B) the variant; and

(C) the military installation where such aircraft is based.

(2) A list of each strategic airlift aircraft proposed for retirement, including for each such aircraft—

(A) the type;

(B) the variant; and

(C) the military installation where such aircraft is based.

(3) A list of each unit affected by a proposed retirement listed under paragraph (2) and how such unit is affected.

(4) For each military installation listed under paragraph (2)(C), changes, if any, to the mission of the installation as a result of a proposed retirement.

(5) Any anticipated reductions in manpower as a result of a proposed retirement listed under paragraph (2).

SEC. 139. STRATEGIC AIRLIFT FORCE STRUCTURE.

Subsection (g)(1) of section 8062 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “2008” and inserting “2009”; and

(2) by striking “299” and inserting “316”.

Subtitle E—Joint and Multiservice Matters

SEC. 141. BODY ARMOR PROCUREMENT.

(a) **PROCUREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that body armor is procured using funds authorized to be appropriated by this title.

(b) **PROCUREMENT LINE ITEM.**—In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2011, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall ensure that within each military department procurement account, a separate, dedicated procurement line item is designated for body armor.

SEC. 142. UNMANNED CARGO-CARRYING-CAPABLE AERIAL VEHICLES.

None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for procurement may be obligated or expended for an unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicle until a period of 15 days has elapsed after the date on which the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics certify to the congressional defense committees that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council has approved a joint and common requirement for an unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicle type.

SEC. 143. MODIFICATION OF NATURE OF DATA LINK FOR USE BY TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.

Section 141(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3164) is amended by striking “, until such time as the Tactical Common Data Link standard is replaced by an updated standard for use by those vehicles” and inserting “or a data link that uses waveform capable of transmitting and receiving Internet Protocol communications”.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 202. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 211. Extension and enhancement of Global Research Watch Program.

Sec. 212. Permanent authority for the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel.

Sec. 213. Elimination of report requirements regarding Defense Science and Technology Program.

Sec. 214. Authorization for the Secretary of the Navy to purchase infrastructure and Government purpose rights license associated with the Navy-Marine Corps intranet.

Sec. 215. Limitation on expenditure of funds for Joint Multi-Mission Submersible program.

Sec. 216. Separate program elements required for research and development of individual body armor and associated components.

Sec. 217. Separate procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation line items and program elements for the F-35B and F-35C joint strike fighter aircraft.

Sec. 218. Restriction on obligation of funds for Army tactical ground network program pending receipt of report.

Sec. 219. Programs for ground combat vehicle and self-propelled howitzer capabilities for the Army.

Sec. 220. Guidance on budget justification materials describing funding requested for operation, sustainment, modernization, and personnel of major ranges and test facilities.

Sec. 221. Assessment of technological maturity and integration risk of Army modernization programs.

Sec. 222. Assessment of activities for technology modernization of the combat vehicle and armored tactical wheeled vehicle fleets.

Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs

Sec. 231. Sense of Congress on ballistic missile defense.

Sec. 232. Assessment and plan for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Sec. 233. Continued production of Ground-based Interceptor missile and operation of Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, Alaska.

Sec. 234. Limitation on availability of funds for acquisition or deployment of missile defenses in Europe.

Sec. 235. Authorization of funds for development and deployment of alternative missile defense systems in Europe.

Sec. 236. Comprehensive plan for test and evaluation of the ballistic missile defense system.

Sec. 237. Study on discrimination capabilities of ballistic missile defense system.

Sec. 238. Ascent phase missile defense strategy and plan.

Sec. 239. Extension of deadline for study on boost-phase missile defense.

Subtitle D—Reports

Sec. 241. Repeal of requirement for biennial joint warfighting science and technology plan.

Sec. 242. Modification of reporting requirement for defense nanotechnology research and development program.

Sec. 243. Comptroller General assessment of coordination of energy storage device requirements, purchases, and investments.

Sec. 244. Annual Comptroller General report on the F-35 Lightning II aircraft acquisition program.

Sec. 245. Report on integration of Department of Defense intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities.

Sec. 246. Report on future research and development of man-portable and vehicle-mounted guided missile systems.

Sec. 247. Report on the development of command and control systems.

Sec. 248. Evaluation of Extended Range Modular Sniper Rifle Systems.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Sec. 251. Enhancement of duties of Director of Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center with respect to the Major Range and Test Facility Base.

Sec. 252. Establishment of program to enhance participation of historically black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions in defense research programs.

Sec. 253. Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements.

Sec. 254. Authority for National Aeronautics and Space Administration federally funded research and development centers to participate in merit-based technology research and development programs.

Sec. 255. Next generation bomber aircraft.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as follows:

(1) For the Army, \$10,638,534,000.

(2) For the Navy, \$19,607,161,000.

(3) For the Air Force, \$28,401,642,000.

(4) For Defense-wide activities, \$20,604,271,000, of which \$190,770,000 is authorized for the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

SEC. 202. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLE.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 201 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4201.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

SEC. 211. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF GLOBAL RESEARCH WATCH PROGRAM.

(a) **LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN FUNDS FOR MILITARY DEPARTMENTS PENDING PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE UNDER PROGRAM.**—Subsection (d) of section 2365 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3)(A) Funds available to a military department for a fiscal year for monitoring or analyzing the research activities and capabilities of foreign nations may not be obligated or expended until the Director certifies to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics that the Secretary of such military department has provided the assistance required under paragraph (2).

“(B) The limitation in subparagraph (A) shall not be construed to alter or effect the availability to a military department of funds for intelligence activities.”.

(b) **FOUR-YEAR EXTENSION OF PROGRAM.**—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by striking “September 30, 2011” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

SEC. 212. PERMANENT AUTHORITY FOR THE JOINT DEFENSE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PANEL.

Section 2521 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection (e):

“(e) **JOINT DEFENSE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PANEL.**—(1) There is in the Department of Defense the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel.

“(2)(A) The Chair of the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel shall be the head of the Panel. The Chair shall be appointed, on a rotating basis, from among the appropriate personnel of the military departments and Defense Agencies with manufacturing technology programs.

“(B) The Panel shall be composed of at least one individual from among appropriate personnel of each military department and Defense Agency with manufacturing technology programs. The Panel may include as ex-officio members such individuals from other government organizations, academia, and industry as the Chair considers appropriate.

“(3) The purposes of the Panel shall be as follows:

“(A) To identify and integrate requirements for the program.

“(B) To conduct joint planning for the program.

“(C) To develop joint strategies for the program.

“(4) In carrying out the purposes specified in paragraph (3), the Panel shall perform the functions as follows:

“(A) Conduct comprehensive reviews and assessments of defense-related manufacturing

issues being addressed by the manufacturing technology programs and related activities of the Department of Defense.

“(B) Execute strategic planning to identify joint planning opportunities for increased cooperation in the development and implementation of technological products and the leveraging of funding for such purposes with the private sector and other government agencies.

“(C) Ensure the integration and coordination of requirements and programs under the program with the Office of the Secretary of Defense and other national-level initiatives, including the establishment of information exchange processes with other government agencies, private industry, academia, and professional associations.

“(D) Conduct such other functions as the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall specify.

“(5) The Panel shall report to and receive direction from the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on manufacturing technology issues of multi-service concern and application.

“(6) The administrative expenses of the Panel shall be borne by each military department and Defense Agency with manufacturing technology programs in such manner as the Panel shall provide.”.

SEC. 213. ELIMINATION OF REPORT REQUIREMENTS REGARDING DEFENSE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.

Section 212 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (10 U.S.C. 2501 note) is repealed.

SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATION FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY TO PURCHASE INFRASTRUCTURE AND GOVERNMENT PURPOSE RIGHTS LICENSE ASSOCIATED WITH THE NAVY-MARINE CORPS INTRANET.

(a) **PURCHASES AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into one or more contracts for the purchase of infrastructure and Government purpose rights for any or all technical data, computer software, and computer software documentation used or created under the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet multiyear contract, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, if the Secretary determines that such a purchase would be in the best interest of the Department of the Navy.

(b) **CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.**—Under a contract entered into under this section, the Secretary may purchase any discrete component or item of technical data, computer software, or computer software documentation of the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet and may obligate the Government only to amounts provided in advance in appropriations Acts specifically for the purpose of the contract. This section shall not apply to any purchases using funds available to the Department of the Navy for any fiscal year that begins before October 1, 2010.

(c) **LIMITATION.**—A contract entered into under this section may not, in any way, commit the Secretary or the Government to purchase any additional components or other items of technical data, computer software, or computer software documentation in subsequent years.

(d) **LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.**—A contract entered into under this section shall limit the amount of Government liability under the contract to the amount of appropriations available for such purpose at the time the Secretary enters into the contract or on the date an option is exercised.

(e) **PURCHASE BEFORE END OF CONTRACT PERIOD.**—Nothing in this section and nothing in any contract entered into under this section shall preclude the Secretary from purchasing the infrastructure and Government purpose rights for all technical data, computer software, and computer software documentation used or created under the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet multiyear contract, as in effect on the date of

the enactment of this Act, prior to the end of the contract period, for whatever reason the Secretary determine is appropriate.

SEC. 215. LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR JOINT MULTI-MISSION SUBMERSIBLE PROGRAM.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this or any other Act for fiscal year 2010 may be obligated or expended for the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible program to proceed beyond Milestone B approval (as that term is defined in section 2366(e)(7) of title 10, United States Code) until the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence—

(1) completes an assessment on the feasibility of a cost-sharing agreement between the Department of Defense and the intelligence community (as that term is defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))), for the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible program;

(2) submits to the congressional defense committees and the intelligence committees (as that term is defined in section 3(7) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(7))) the assessment referred to in paragraph (1); and

(3) certifies to the congressional defense committees and the intelligence committees that any agreement developed pursuant to the assessment referred to in paragraph (1) represents the most effective and affordable means of delivery for meeting a validated program requirement.

SEC. 216. SEPARATE PROGRAM ELEMENTS REQUIRED FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF INDIVIDUAL BODY ARMOR AND ASSOCIATED COMPONENTS.

In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2011, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall ensure that within each research, development, test, and evaluation account of each military department a separate, dedicated program element is assigned to the research and development of individual body armor and associated components.

SEC. 217. SEPARATE PROCUREMENT AND RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION LINE ITEMS AND PROGRAM ELEMENTS FOR THE F-35B AND F-35C JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.

In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2011, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall ensure that within the Navy research, development, test, and evaluation account and the Navy aircraft procurement account, a separate, dedicated line item and program element is assigned to each of the F-35B aircraft and the F-35C aircraft, to the extent that such accounts include funding for each such aircraft.

SEC. 218. RESTRICTION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS FOR ARMY TACTICAL GROUND NETWORK PROGRAM PENDING RECEIPT OF REPORT.

(a) **LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUNDING.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2010 for research and development for the Army, for the program elements specified in subsection (c), not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until 30 days after the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics submits to Congress a report on the acquisition strategy, requirements, and cost estimates for the Army tactical ground network program.

(b) **ARMY TACTICAL GROUND NETWORK PROGRAM DEFINED.**—For the purposes of subsection (a), the term “Army tactical ground network

program” means the new tactical ground network major defense acquisition program derived from the Future Combat Systems Brigade Combat Team program network, and directed to be initiated by the memorandum entitled “Future Combat Systems Brigade Combat Team Acquisition Decision Memorandum”, which was signed by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics on June 23, 2009.

(c) **ARMY TACTICAL GROUND NETWORK PROGRAM ELEMENTS SPECIFIED.**—The program elements specified in this subsection are the following:

(1) Future Combat Systems of Systems Engineering and Program Management.

(2) Future Combat Systems Sustainment and Training Research and Development.

(3) Any other program element specified by the Secretary of Defense to fund the Army tactical ground network program.

SEC. 219. PROGRAMS FOR GROUND COMBAT VEHICLE AND SELF-PROPELLED HOWITZER CAPABILITIES FOR THE ARMY.

(a) **PROGRAMS REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a separate program to achieve each of the following:

(A) The development, test, and fielding of an operationally effective, suitable, survivable, and affordable next generation ground combat vehicle for the Army.

(B) The development, test, and fielding of an operationally effective, suitable, survivable, and affordable next generation self-propelled howitzer capability for the Army.

(2) **COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN ACQUISITION REQUIREMENTS.**—Each program under paragraph (1) shall comply with the requirements of the Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009, and the amendments made by that Act.

(b) **STRATEGY AND PLAN FOR ACQUISITION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a strategy and plan for the acquisition of weapon systems under the programs required by subsection (a). Each strategy and plan shall include measurable goals and objectives for the acquisition of such weapon systems, and shall identify all proposed major development, testing, procurement, and fielding events toward the achievement of such goals and objectives.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—In developing each strategy and plan under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider the following:

(A) A single vehicle or family of vehicles utilizing a common chassis and automotive components.

(B) The incorporation of weapon, vehicle, communications, network, and system of systems common operating environment technologies developed under the Future Combat Systems program.

(c) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—

(1) **REPORTS REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, at the same time the President submits to Congress the budget for each of fiscal years 2011 through 2015 (as submitted pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), a report on the investments proposed to be made under such budget with respect to each program required by subsection (a).

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—Each report under paragraph (1) shall set forth, for the fiscal year covered by the budget with which such report is submitted—

(A) the manner in which amounts requested in such budget would be available for each program required by subsection (a); and

(B) an assessment of the extent to which utilizing such amount in such manner would improve ground combat capabilities for the Army.

SEC. 220. GUIDANCE ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS DESCRIBING FUNDING REQUESTED FOR OPERATION, SUSTAINMENT, MODERNIZATION, AND PERSONNEL OF MAJOR RANGES AND TEST FACILITIES.

(a) **GUIDANCE ON BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Director of the Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center, shall issue guidance clarifying and standardizing the information required in budget justification materials describing amounts to be requested in the budget of the President for a fiscal year (as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) for funding for each facility and resource of the Major Range and Test Facility Base in connection with each of the following:

- (1) Operation.
- (2) Sustainment.
- (3) Investment and modernization.
- (4) Government personnel.
- (5) Contractor personnel.

(b) **APPLICABILITY.**—The guidance issued under subsection (a) shall apply with respect to budgets of the President for fiscal years after fiscal year 2010.

(c) **MAJOR RANGE AND TEST FACILITY BASE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “Major Range and Test Facility Base” has the meaning given that term in section 196(h) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 221. ASSESSMENT OF TECHNOLOGICAL MATURITY AND INTEGRATION RISK OF ARMY MODERNIZATION PROGRAMS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—The Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall, in consultation with the Director of Developmental Test and Evaluation, review and assess the technological maturity and integration risk of critical technologies (as jointly identified by the Director and the Secretary of the Army for purposes of this section) of Army modernization programs and appropriate associated systems and programs, including the programs as follows:

- (1) Ground Combat Vehicle.
- (2) Future Combat Systems network hardware and software.
- (3) Warfighter Information Network—Tactical, Increment 3.
- (4) Appropriate portions of the Joint Tactical Radio System, including Ground Mobile Radios, Handheld, Manpack, Small Form Fit Radios, and Network Enterprise Domain.
- (5) Non-Line of Sight Launch System.
- (6) Small Unmanned Ground Vehicle.
- (7) Class I Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.
- (8) Class IV Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.
- (9) Multifunction Utility/Logistics Equipment Vehicle.
- (10) Tactical Unattended Ground Sensors.
- (11) Urban Unattended Ground Sensors.
- (12) Any other programs jointly identified by the Director and the Secretary for purposes of this section.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than nine months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the technological maturity and integration risk of critical technologies of Army modernization programs and associated systems and programs covered by the review and assessment required under subsection (a), as determined pursuant to that assessment.

SEC. 222. ASSESSMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR TECHNOLOGY MODERNIZATION OF THE COMBAT VEHICLE AND ARMORED TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE FLEETS.

(a) **INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF STRATEGY REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with an appropriate entity independent of the

United States Government to conduct an independent assessment of current, anticipated, and potential research, development, test, and evaluation activities for or applicable to the modernization of the combat vehicle fleet and armored tactical wheeled vehicle fleet of the Department of Defense.

(2) **ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND RESOURCES.**—The Secretary shall provide the entity with which the Secretary enters into a contract under paragraph (1) with access to such information and resources as are appropriate for the entity to conduct the assessment required by that paragraph.

(b) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The contract required by subsection (a) shall provide that the entity with which the Secretary enters into a contract under that subsection shall submit to the Secretary and the congressional defense committees—

(A) an interim report on the assessment required by that subsection by not later than July 31, 2010; and

(B) a final report on such assessment by not later than December 31, 2010.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—Each of the reports required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A detailed discussion of the requirements and capability needs identified or proposed for current and prospective combat vehicles and armored tactical wheeled vehicles.

(B) An identification of capability gaps for combat vehicles and armored tactical wheeled vehicles based on lessons learned from recent conflicts and an assessment of emerging threats.

(C) An identification of the critical technology elements or integration risks associated with particular categories of combat vehicles and armored tactical wheeled vehicles, and with particular missions of such vehicles.

(D) Recommendations with respect to actions that could be taken to develop and deploy, during the ten-year period beginning on the date of the submittal of the report, critical technology capabilities to address the capability gaps identified pursuant to subparagraph (B), including an identification of high priority science and technology, research and engineering, and prototyping opportunities.

(E) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs

SEC. 231. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should develop, test, field, and maintain operationally-effective and cost-effective ballistic missile defense systems that are capable of defending the United States, its forward-deployed forces, allies, and other friendly nations from the threat of ballistic missile attacks from nations such as North Korea and Iran;

(2) the missile defense force structure and inventory levels of such missile defense systems should be determined based on an assessment of ballistic missile threats and a determination by senior military leaders, combatant commanders, and defense officials of the requirements and capabilities needed to address those threats; and

(3) the test and evaluation program for such missile defense systems should be operationally realistic and provide a high level of confidence in the capability of such systems (including their continuing effectiveness over the course of their service lives), and adequate resources should be available for that test and evaluation program (including interceptor missiles and targets for flight tests).

SEC. 232. ASSESSMENT AND PLAN FOR THE GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE ELEMENT OF THE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should ensure the reliability, availability, maintain-

ability, and supportability of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense system throughout the service life of such element.

(b) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As part of the quadrennial defense review, the Nuclear Posture Review, and the Ballistic Missile Defense Review, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of the following:

(A) Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense system.

(B) Future options for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment required by paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of the following:

(A) The ballistic missile threat against which the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element is intended to defend.

(B) The military requirements for Ground-based Midcourse Defense capabilities against such missile threat.

(C) The capabilities of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element as of the date of the assessment.

(D) The planned capabilities of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element, if different from the capabilities under subparagraph (C).

(E) The force structure and inventory levels necessary for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element to achieve the planned capabilities of that element, including an analysis of the costs and the potential advantages and disadvantages of deploying 44 operational Ground-based Interceptor missiles.

(F) The infrastructure necessary to achieve such capabilities, including the number and location of operational silos.

(G) The number of Ground-based Interceptor missiles necessary for operational assets, test assets (including developmental and operational test assets and aging and surveillance test assets), and spare missiles.

(3) **REPORT.**—At or about the same time the budget of the President for fiscal year 2011 is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the results of the assessment required by paragraph (1). The report shall be in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In addition to the assessment required by subsection (b), the Secretary shall establish a plan for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense system. The plan shall cover the period of the future-years defense program that is submitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, at or about the same time as the submittal to Congress of the budget of the President for fiscal year 2011.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) The schedule for achieving the planned capability of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element, including the completion of operational silos, the delivery of operational Ground-Based Interceptors, and the deployment of such interceptors in those silos.

(B) The plan for funding the development, production, deployment, testing, improvement, and sustainment of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element.

(C) The plan to maintain the operational effectiveness of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element over the course of its service life, including any modernization or capability enhancement efforts, and any sustainment efforts.

(D) The plan for flight testing the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element, including aging and surveillance tests to demonstrate the continuing effectiveness of the system over the course of its service life.

(E) The plan for production of Ground-Based Interceptor missiles necessary for operational

assets, developmental and operational test assets, aging and surveillance test assets, and spare missiles.

(3) **REPORT.**—At or about the same time the budget of the President for fiscal year 2011 is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the plan required by paragraph (1). The report shall be in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as altering or revising the continued production of all Ground-Based Interceptor missiles on contract as of June 23, 2009.

(e) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) review the assessment required by subsection (b) and the plan required by subsection (c); and

(2) not later than 120 days after receiving the assessment and the plan, provide to the congressional defense committees the results of the review.

SEC. 233. CONTINUED PRODUCTION OF GROUND-BASED INTERCEPTOR MISSILE AND OPERATION OF MISSILE FIELD 1 AT FORT GREELY, ALASKA.

(a) **LIMITATION ON BREAK IN PRODUCTION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Director of the Missile Defense Agency does not allow a break in production of the Ground-based Interceptor missile until the Secretary has—

(1) completed the Ballistic Missile Defense Review;

(2) made a determination with respect to the number of Ground-based Interceptor missiles that will be necessary to support the service life of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System; and

(3) submitted to the congressional defense committees a report containing such determination.

(b) **LIMITATION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO MISSILE FIELD 1 AND MISSILE FIELD 2 AT FORT GREELY, ALASKA.**—

(1) **LIMITATION ON DECOMMISSIONING OF MISSILE FIELD 1.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, Alaska, is not completely decommissioned until six silos are operationally available in Missile Field 2 at Fort Greely.

(2) **LIMITATION WITH RESPECT TO DISPOSITION OF SILOS AT MISSILE FIELD 2.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no irreversible decision is made with respect to the number of silos at Missile Field 2 at Fort Greely, Alaska, until the date that is 60 days after the date on which the reports required by subsections (b)(3) and (c)(3) of section 232 are submitted to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 234. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ACQUISITION OR DEPLOYMENT OF MISSILE DEFENSES IN EUROPE.

No funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 or any fiscal year thereafter may be obligated or expended for the acquisition (other than initial long-lead procurement) or deployment of operational missiles of a long-range missile defense system in Europe until the Secretary of Defense, after receiving the views of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, submits to the congressional defense committees a report certifying that the proposed interceptor to be deployed as part of such missile defense system has demonstrated, through successful, operationally realistic flight testing, a high probability of working in an operationally effective manner and that such missile defense system has the ability to accomplish the mission.

SEC. 235. AUTHORIZATION OF FUNDS FOR DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF ALTERNATIVE MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS IN EUROPE.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF FUNDS FOR ALTERNATIVE EUROPEAN MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS.**—

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 for the Missile Defense Agency for the purpose of developing missile defenses in Europe, \$309,000,000 shall be available for research, development, test, and evaluation, procurement, or deployment of alternative missile defense systems or their subsystems designed to protect Europe, and the United States in the case of long-range missile threats, from the threats posed by current and future Iranian ballistic missiles of all ranges, if the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report certifying that such systems are expected to be—

(1) consistent with the direction from the North Atlantic Council to address ballistic missile threats to Europe and the United States in a prioritized manner that includes consideration of the imminence of the threat and the level of acceptable risk;

(2) operationally-effective and cost-effective in providing protection for Europe, and the United States in the case of long-range missile threats, against current and future Iranian ballistic missile threats; and

(3) interoperable, to the extent practical, with other components of missile defense and complementary to the missile defense strategy of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

(b) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Except as provided in subsection (a), nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting or preventing the Secretary of Defense from pursuing the development or deployment of operationally-effective and cost-effective ballistic missile defense systems in Europe.

(c) **INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct an independent assessment evaluating the operational-effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the alternative missile defense architecture announced by the President on September 17, 2009.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than June 1, 2010, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the independent assessment conducted under paragraph (1).

SEC. 236. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR TEST AND EVALUATION OF THE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a comprehensive plan for the developmental and operational testing and evaluation of the ballistic missile defense system and its various elements.

(2) **PERIOD OF PLAN.**—The plan shall cover the period covered by the future-years defense program that is submitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, at or about the same time as the submittal to Congress of the budget of the President for fiscal year 2011.

(3) **INPUT.**—In establishing the plan, the Secretary shall receive input on matters covered by the plan from the following:

(A) The Director of the Missile Defense Agency.

(B) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

(C) The operational test components of the military departments.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include, with respect to developmental and operational testing of the ballistic missile defense system, the following:

(1) Test and evaluation objectives.

(2) Test and evaluation criteria and metrics.

(3) Test and evaluation procedures and methodology.

(4) Data requirements.

(5) System and element configuration under test.

(6) Approaches to verification, validation, and accreditation of models and simulations.

(7) The relative role of models and simulations, ground tests, and flight tests in achieving the objectives of the plan.

(8) Test infrastructure and resources, including test range limitations and potential range enhancements.

(9) Test readiness review approaches and methodology.

(10) Testing for system and element integration and interoperability.

(11) Means for achieving operational realism and means of demonstrating operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability.

(12) Detailed descriptions of planned tests.

(13) A description of the resources required to implement the plan.

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth and describing the plan required by subsection (a) and each of the elements required in the plan under subsection (b).

(2) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE.**—The report required by this subsection shall, in addition to the matters specified in paragraph (1), include a detailed description of the test and evaluation activities pertaining to the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the ballistic missile defense system as follows:

(A) Plans for salvo testing.

(B) Plans for multiple simultaneous engagement testing.

(C) Plans for intercept testing using the Cobra Dane radar as the engagement sensor.

(D) Plans to test and demonstrate the ability of the system to accomplish its mission over the planned term of its operational service life (also known as “sustainment testing”).

(3) **FORM.**—The report required by this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 237. STUDY ON DISCRIMINATION CAPABILITIES OF BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.

(a) **STUDY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall enter into an arrangement with the JASON Defense Advisory Panel under which JASON shall carry out a study on the discrimination capabilities and limitations of the ballistic missile defense system of the United States, including such discrimination capabilities that exist or are planned as of the date of the study.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing the study.

(c) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (b) may be submitted in classified form, but shall contain an unclassified summary.

SEC. 238. ASCENT PHASE MISSILE DEFENSE STRATEGY AND PLAN.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing a strategy and plan for ascent phase missile defense.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of the programs and activities, as of the date of the submission of the report, contained in the program of record of the Missile Defense Agency that provide or are planned to provide a capability to intercept ballistic missiles in their ascent phase.

(2) A description of the capabilities that are needed to accomplish the intercept of ballistic missiles in their ascent phase, including—

(A) the key technologies and associated technology readiness levels, plans for maturing such technologies, and any technology demonstrations for such capabilities;

(B) concepts of operation for how ascent phase capabilities would be employed, including the dependence of such capabilities on, and integration with, other functions, capabilities, and information, including those provided by other elements of the ballistic missile defense system;

(C) the criteria to be used to assess the technical progress, suitability, and effectiveness of such capabilities;

(D) a comprehensive plan for development of and investment in such capabilities, including an identification of specific program and technology investments to be made in such capabilities;

(E) a description of how, and to what extent, ascent phase missile defense can leverage the capabilities and investments made in boost phase, midcourse, and any other layer or elements of the ballistic missile defense system;

(F) a description of the benefits and limitations associated with ascent phase missile defense; and

(G) any other information the Secretary determines necessary.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 239. EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR STUDY ON BOOST-PHASE MISSILE DEFENSE.

Section 232(c)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4392) is amended by striking “October 31, 2010” and inserting “March 1, 2011”.

Subtitle D—Reports

SEC. 241. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR BIENNIAL JOINT WARFIGHTING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PLAN.

Section 270 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (10 U.S.C. 2501 note) is repealed.

SEC. 242. MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR DEFENSE NANO-TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

Section 246 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following new subsection (e):

“(e) **REPORTS.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall submit to the National Science and Technology Council information on the program that covers the information described in paragraphs (1) through (5) of section 2(d) of the 21st Century Nanotechnology Research and Development Act (15 U.S.C. 7501(d)) to be included in the annual report submitted by the Council under that section.”.

SEC. 243. COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF COORDINATION OF ENERGY STORAGE DEVICE REQUIREMENTS, PURCHASES, AND INVESTMENTS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General shall conduct an assessment of the degree to which requirements, technology goals, and research and procurement investments in energy storage technologies are coordinated within and among the military departments, appropriate Defense Agencies, and other elements of the Department of Defense. In carrying out such assessment, the Comptroller General shall—

(1) assess the expenses incurred by the Department of Defense in the research, development, testing, evaluation, and procurement of energy storage devices;

(2) compare quantities of types of devices in use or under development that rely on commercial energy storage technologies and that use military-unique, proprietary, or specialty devices;

(3) assess the process by which a determination is made by an acquisition official of the Department of Defense to pursue a commercially available or custom-made energy storage device;

(4) assess the process used to develop requirements for the development and procurement of energy storage devices;

(5) assess the coordination of the activities of the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy with respect to the research, develop-

ment, procurement, and use of energy storage devices;

(6) assess the coordination of Department of Defense-wide activities in energy storage device research, development, procurement, and use;

(7) assess the process used to standardize the form, fit, and function of energy storage devices, and make recommendations with respect to how the Department should improve that process; and

(8) assess whether there are commercial advances in portable power technology, including hybrid systems, fuel cells, and electrochemical capacitors, or other relevant technologies, that could be better leveraged by the Department.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than December 31, 2010, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the findings and recommendations of the Comptroller General with respect to the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

(c) **COORDINATION.**—In carrying out subsection (a), the Comptroller General shall coordinate with the Secretary of Energy and the heads of other appropriate Federal agencies.

SEC. 244. ANNUAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON THE F-35 LIGHTNING II AIRCRAFT ACQUISITION PROGRAM.

(a) **ANNUAL GAO REVIEW.**—The Comptroller General shall conduct an annual review of the F-35 Lightning II aircraft acquisition program and shall, not later than March 15 of each of 2010 through 2015, submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the most recent review.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—Each report on the F-35 program under subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) The extent to which the acquisition program is meeting development and procurement cost, schedule, and performance goals.

(2) The progress and results of developmental and operational testing and plans for correcting deficiencies in aircraft performance, operational effectiveness, and suitability.

(3) Aircraft procurement plans, production results, and efforts to improve manufacturing efficiency and supplier performance.

SEC. 245. REPORT ON INTEGRATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE CAPABILITIES.

Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act for program element 11815F for advanced strategic programs, not more than 50 percent of such amounts may be obligated or expended until the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence submits the report required under section 923(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2004 (Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1576), including the elements of the report described in subparagraphs (D), (E), and (F) of such section 923(d)(1).

SEC. 246. REPORT ON FUTURE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF MAN-PORTABLE AND VEHICLE-MOUNTED GUIDED MISSILE SYSTEMS.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than February 15, 2010, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report on future research and development of man-portable and vehicle-mounted guided missile systems to replace the current Javelin and TOW systems. Such report shall include—

(1) an examination of current requirements for anti-armor missile systems;

(2) an analysis of battlefield uses other than anti-armor;

(3) an analysis of changes required to the current Javelin and TOW systems to maximize effectiveness and lethality in situations other than anti-armor;

(4) an analysis of the current family of Javelin and TOW warheads and a specific description of how they address threats other than armor;

(5) an examination of the need for changes to current or development of additional warheads or a family of warheads to address threats other than armor;

(6) a description of any missile system design changes required to integrate current missile systems with current manned ground systems;

(7) a detailed and current analysis of the costs associated with the development of next-generation Javelin and TOW systems and additional warheads or family of warheads to address threats other than armor, integration costs for current vehicles, integration costs for future vehicles and possible efficiencies of developing and procuring these systems at low rate and full rate based on current system production; and

(8) an analysis of the ability of the industrial base to support development and production of current and future Javelin and TOW systems.

(b) **RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated under this Act for research, test, development, and evaluation for the Army, for missile and rocket advanced technology (program element 0603313A), not more than 70 percent may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Army submits the report required by subsection (a).

SEC. 247. REPORT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than July 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing the plans for the consolidation of the Net-Enabled Command Capability system (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “NECC system”) with the Global Command and Control System family of systems (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “GCCS family of systems”).

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description of the level of investment needed to develop, sustain, and modernize the GCCS family of systems in order to meet military requirements.

(2) A description of the actions needed to convert the GCCS family of systems to a services-oriented architecture, including a timeline and milestones.

(3) An identification of the components, including modules and other technologies, developed under the NECC systems that can be implemented in the GCCS family of systems.

(4) An identification of gaps in required capabilities not resident in the GCCS family of systems or provided by the NECC system.

(5) An identification of any science and technology efforts or developing commercial capabilities that might address capability gaps identified pursuant to paragraph (4).

(6) A description of the developmental and operational test plans for the GCCS family of systems, and resources programmed to support such plans.

(7) A description of the GCCS family of systems management and governance plan structure, including—

(A) organizations involved in program planning and execution;

(B) the delegation of authorities for programmatic and technical issues in the development of the GCCS family of systems, including architecture design and control, and funding; and

(C) the role of the command and control capabilities portfolio manager and the Office of Secretary of Defense oversight agencies.

(8) Such other elements as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(c) **COORDINATION.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be developed jointly by the Vice-Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Secretaries of the military departments, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration, the commander of the United States

Joint Forces Command, the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, and the Director of the Defense Information Systems Agency.

(d) **INTERIM REPORT.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an interim report on the activities carried out to prepare the report required by subsection (a) and the preliminary findings and recommendations of the Secretary with respect to the plans for the consolidation of the NECC system with the GCCS family of systems based on such activities.

(e) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 248. EVALUATION OF EXTENDED RANGE MODULAR SNIPER RIFLE SYSTEMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology shall conduct a comparative evaluation of extended range modular sniper rifle systems, including .300 Winchester Magnum, .338 Lapua Magnum, and other calibers. The evaluation shall identify and demonstrate an integrated suite of technologies with capabilities that include—

- (1) extending the effective range of snipers;
- (2) meeting service or unit requirements or operational need statements; or
- (3) closing documented capability gaps.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than April 30, 2010, the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the evaluation required by subsection (a), including—

- (1) detailed ballistics and system performance data; and
- (2) an assessment of the operational capabilities of extended range modular sniper rifle systems to meet service or unit requirements or operational need statements or close documented capabilities gaps.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

SEC. 251. ENHANCEMENT OF DUTIES OF DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TEST RESOURCE MANAGEMENT CENTER WITH RESPECT TO THE MAJOR RANGE AND TEST FACILITY BASE.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO REVIEW PROPOSALS FOR SIGNIFICANT CHANGES.**—Section 196(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(3) by inserting “(1)” before “The Director”;

(4) by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), as so redesignated, as subparagraphs (C), (D), and (E), respectively; and

(5) by inserting after subparagraph (A), as so redesignated, the following new subparagraph (B):

“(B) To review proposed significant changes to the test and evaluation facilities and resources of the Major Range and Test Facility Base before they are implemented by the Secretaries of the military departments or the heads of the Defense Agencies with test and evaluation responsibilities and advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics of the impact of such changes on the adequacy of such test and evaluation facilities and resources to meet the test and evaluation requirements of the Department.”.

(b) **ACCESS TO RECORDS AND DATA.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The Director shall have access to such records and data of the Department of Defense (including the appropriate records and data of each military department and Defense Agency)

that are necessary in order to carry out the duties of the Director under this section.”.

SEC. 252. ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM TO ENHANCE PARTICIPATION OF HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY-SERVING INSTITUTIONS IN DEFENSE RESEARCH PROGRAMS.

(a) **PROGRAM ESTABLISHED.**—Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2361 the following new section:

“§2362. Research and educational programs and activities: historically black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions of higher education

“(a) **PROGRAM ESTABLISHED.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of Defense Research and Engineering and the Secretary of each military department, shall carry out a program to provide assistance to covered educational institutions to assist the Department in defense-related research, development, testing, and evaluation activities.

“(b) **PROGRAM OBJECTIVE.**—The objective of the program established under subsection (a) is to enhance defense-related research and education at covered educational institutions. Such objective shall be accomplished through initiatives designed to—

“(1) enhance the research and educational capabilities of such institutions in areas of importance to national defense, as determined by the Secretary;

“(2) encourage the participation of such institutions in the research, development, testing, and evaluation programs and activities of the Department of Defense;

“(3) increase the number of graduates from such institutions engaged in disciplines important to the national security functions of the Department of Defense, as determined by the Secretary; and

“(4) encourage research and educational collaborations between such institutions and other institutions of higher education, Government defense organizations, and the defense industry.

“(c) **ASSISTANCE PROVIDED.**—Under the program established by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may provide covered educational institutions with funding or technical assistance, including any of the following:

“(1) Support for research, development, testing, evaluation, or educational enhancements in areas important to national defense through the competitive awarding of grants, cooperative agreements, contracts, scholarships, fellowships, or the acquisition of research equipment or instrumentation.

“(2) Support to assist in the attraction and retention of faculty in scientific disciplines important to the national security functions of the Department of Defense.

“(3) Establishing partnerships between such institutions and defense laboratories, Government defense organizations, the defense industry, and other institutions of higher education in research, development, testing, and evaluation in areas important to the national security functions of the Department of Defense.

“(4) Other such non-monetary assistance as the Secretary finds appropriate to enhance defense-related research, development, testing, and evaluation activities at such institutions.

“(d) **PRIORITY FOR FUNDING.**—The Secretary of Defense may establish procedures under which the Secretary may give priority in providing funding under this section to institutions that have not otherwise received a significant amount of funding from the Department of Defense for research, development, testing, and evaluation programs supporting the national security functions of the Department.

“(e) **DEFINITION OF COVERED EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION.**—In this section the term ‘covered educational institution’ means—

“(1) an institution of higher education eligible for assistance under title III or IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.); or

“(2) an accredited postsecondary minority institution.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2361 the following new item:

“2362. Research and educational programs and activities: historically black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions of higher education.”.

SEC. 253. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO AWARD PRIZES FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ACHIEVEMENTS.

Subsection (f) of section 2374a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2013”.

SEC. 254. AUTHORITY FOR NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTERS TO PARTICIPATE IN MERIT-BASED TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

Section 217(f)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat 2695), as amended by section 3136 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105-261), is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A) by inserting “, of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration,” after “the Department of Defense”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) A federally funded research and development center of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration that functions primarily as a research laboratory may respond to broad agency announcements under programs authorized by the Federal Government for the purpose of promoting the research, development, demonstration, or transfer of technology in a manner consistent with the terms and conditions of such program.”.

SEC. 255. NEXT GENERATION BOMBER AIRCRAFT.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Long-range strike is a critical mission in which the United States needs to retain a credible and dominant capability.

(2) Long range, penetrating strike systems provide—

(A) a hedge against being unable to obtain access to forward bases for political reasons;

(B) a capacity to respond quickly to contingencies;

(C) the ability to base outside the reach of emerging adversary anti-access and area-denial capabilities; and

(D) the ability to impose disproportionate defensive costs on prospective adversaries of the United States.

(3) The 2006 quadrennial defense review found that there was a requirement for a next generation bomber aircraft and directed the United States Air Force to “develop a new land-based, penetrating long range strike capability to be fielded by 2018”.

(4) On April 6, 2009, Secretary of Defense Robert Gates announced that the United States “will not pursue a development program for a follow-on Air Force bomber until we have a better understanding of the need, the requirement and the technology”.

(5) On May 7, 2009, President Barack Obama announced the termination of the next generation bomber aircraft program in the document of the Office of Management and Budget entitled “Terminations, Reductions, and Savings”, stating that “there is no urgent need to begin an expensive development program for a new bomber” and that “the future bomber fleet may not be affordable over the next six years”.

(6) The United States will need a new long-range strike capability because the conflicts of

the future will likely feature heavily defended airspace, due in large part to the proliferation of relatively inexpensive, but sophisticated and deadly, air defense systems.

(7) General Michael Maples, the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, noted during a March 10, 2009, hearing of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate on worldwide threats that “Russia, quite frankly, is the developer of most of those [advanced air defense] systems and is exporting those systems both to China and to other countries in the world”.

(8) The Final Report of the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States, submitted to Congress on May 6, 2009, states that “[t]he bomber force is valuable particularly for extending deterrence in time of crisis, as their deployment is visible and signals U.S. commitment. Bombers also impose a significant cost burden on potential adversaries in terms of the need to invest in advanced air defenses”.

(9) The commanders of the United States Pacific Command, the United States Strategic Command, and the United States Joint Forces Command have each testified before the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate in support of the capability that the next generation bomber aircraft would provide.

(10) On June 17, 2009, General James Cartwright, Vice-Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and chair of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, stated during a hearing before the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate that “the nation needs a new bomber”.

(11) Nearly half of the United States bomber aircraft inventory (47 percent) pre-dates the Cuban Missile Crisis.

(12) The only air-breathing strike platforms the United States possesses today with reach and survivability to have a chance of successfully executing missions more than 1,000 nautical miles into enemy territory from the last air-to-air refueling are 16 combat ready B-2 bomber aircraft.

(13) The B-2 bomber aircraft was designed in the 1980s and achieved initial operational capability over a decade ago.

(14) The crash of an operational B-2 bomber aircraft during takeoff at Guam in early 2008 indicates that attrition can and does occur even in peacetime.

(15) The primary mission requirement of the next generation bomber aircraft is the ability to strike targets anywhere on the globe with whatever weapons the contingency requires.

(16) The requisite aerodynamic, structural, and low-observable technologies to develop the next generation bomber aircraft already exist in fifth-generation fighter aircraft.

(b) **POLICY ON CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT OF NEXT GENERATION BOMBER AIRCRAFT IN FISCAL YEAR 2010.**—It is the policy of the United States to support a development program for next generation bomber aircraft technologies.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.
Sec. 302. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions

Sec. 311. Clarification of requirement for use of available funds for Department of Defense participation in conservation banking programs.
Sec. 312. Reauthorization of title I of Sikes Act.
Sec. 313. Authority of Secretary of a military department to enter into inter-agency agreements for land management on Department of Defense installations.

Sec. 314. Reauthorization of pilot program for invasive species management for military installations in Guam.

Sec. 315. Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site, Suffolk, Virginia.

Sec. 316. Procurement and use of munitions.

Sec. 317. Prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits.

Sec. 318. Military munitions response sites.

Subtitle C—Workplace and Depot Issues

Sec. 321. Public-private competition required before conversion of any Department of Defense function performed by civilian employees to contractor performance.

Sec. 322. Time limitation on duration of public-private competitions.

Sec. 323. Policy regarding installation of major modifications and upgrades.

Sec. 324. Modification of authority for Army industrial facilities to engage in cooperative activities with non-Army entities.

Sec. 325. Temporary suspension of public-private competitions for conversion of Department of Defense functions to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 326. Requirement for debriefings related to conversion of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 327. Amendments to bid protest procedures by Federal employees and agency officials in conversions of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor.

Sec. 328. Improvement of inventory management practices.

Sec. 329. Modification of date for submittal to Congress of annual report on funding for public and private performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Sec. 331. Authorization of appropriations for Director of Operational Energy.

Sec. 332. Extension and expansion of reporting requirements regarding Department of Defense energy efficiency programs.

Sec. 333. Report on implementation of Comptroller General recommendations on fuel demand management at forward-deployed locations.

Sec. 334. Report on use of renewable fuels to meet energy requirements of Department of Defense.

Sec. 335. Energy security on Department of Defense installations.

Subtitle E—Reports

Sec. 341. Annual report on procurement of military working dogs.

Sec. 342. Plan for managing vegetative encroachment at training ranges.

Sec. 343. Comptroller General report on the sustainment strategy for the AV-8B Harrier aircraft.

Sec. 344. Study on Army modularity.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 351. Authority for airlift transportation at Department of Defense rates for non-Department of Defense Federal cargoes.

Sec. 352. Policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms.

Sec. 353. Condition-based maintenance demonstration programs.

Sec. 354. Extension of arsenal support program initiative.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the

Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, in amounts as follows:

- (1) For the Army, \$31,263,332,000.
- (2) For the Navy, \$35,041,274,000.
- (3) For the Marine Corps, \$5,543,223,000.
- (4) For the Air Force, \$34,527,149,000.
- (5) For Defense-wide activities, \$28,327,396,000.
- (6) For the Army Reserve, \$2,620,196,000.
- (7) For the Naval Reserve, \$1,278,501,000.
- (8) For the Marine Corps Reserve, \$228,925,000.
- (9) For the Air Force Reserve, \$3,079,228,000.
- (10) For the Army National Guard, \$6,262,184,000.
- (11) For the Air National Guard, \$5,885,761,000.
- (12) For the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, \$13,932,000.
- (13) For the Acquisition Development Workforce Fund, \$100,000,000.
- (14) For Environmental Restoration, Army, \$415,864,000.
- (15) For Environmental Restoration, Navy, \$285,869,000.
- (16) For Environmental Restoration, Air Force, \$494,276,000.
- (17) For Environmental Restoration, Defense-wide, \$11,000,000.
- (18) For Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites, \$267,700,000.
- (19) For Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid programs, \$109,869,000.
- (20) For Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, \$424,093,000.

SEC. 302. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLE.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 301 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4301.

Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions

SEC. 311. CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR USE OF AVAILABLE FUNDS FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PARTICIPATION IN CONSERVATION BANKING PROGRAMS.

Section 2694c of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “to carry out this section”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):

“(d) **SOURCE OF FUNDS.**—Amounts available from any of the following shall be available for activities under this section:

“(1) Operation and maintenance.

“(2) Military construction.

“(3) Research, development, test, and evaluation.

“(4) The Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account established under section 2824 of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4730; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).”.

SEC. 312. REAUTHORIZATION OF TITLE I OF SIKES ACT.

(a) **REAUTHORIZATION.**—Section 108 of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670f) is amended by striking “fiscal years 2004 through 2008” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal years 2009 through 2014”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking “There are authorized” and inserting “Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense, there are authorized”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “There are authorized” and inserting “Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Department of the Interior, there are authorized”.

SEC. 313. AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF A MILITARY DEPARTMENT TO ENTER INTO INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS FOR LAND MANAGEMENT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—Section 103a of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670c-1) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting after “and individuals” the following: “, and into interagency agreements with the heads of other Federal departments and agencies,”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “or interagency agreement” after “cooperative agreement”;

(2) in subsection (b), by inserting “or interagency agreement” after “cooperative agreement”; and

(3) in subsection (c), by inserting “and interagency agreements” after “Cooperative agreements”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—The heading for such section is amended by inserting “**AND INTERAGENCY**” after “**COOPERATIVE**”.

SEC. 314. REAUTHORIZATION OF PILOT PROGRAM FOR INVASIVE SPECIES MANAGEMENT FOR MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN GUAM.

Section 101(g)(1) of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a(g)(1)) is amended by striking “fiscal years 2004 through 2008” and inserting “fiscal years 2009 through 2014”.

SEC. 315. REIMBURSEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR CERTAIN COSTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE FORMER NANSEMOND ORDNANCE DEPOT SITE, SUFFOLK, VIRGINIA.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO REIMBURSE.**—

(1) **TRANSFER AMOUNT.**—Using funds described in subsection (b) and notwithstanding section 2215 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense may transfer not more than \$68,623 during fiscal year 2010 to the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site Special Account, within the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

(2) **PURPOSE OF REIMBURSEMENT.**—The payment under paragraph (1) is final payment to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency for all costs incurred in overseeing a time critical removal action performed by the Department of Defense under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program for ordnance and explosive safety hazards at the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site, Suffolk, Virginia.

(3) **INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT.**—The reimbursement described in paragraph (2) is provided for in an interagency agreement entered into by the Department of the Army and the Environmental Protection Agency for the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site in December 1999.

(b) **SOURCE OF FUNDS.**—Any payment under subsection (a) shall be made using funds authorized to be appropriated by section 301(18) of this Act for operation and maintenance for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

(c) **USE OF FUNDS.**—The Environmental Protection Agency shall use the amount transferred under subsection (a) to pay costs incurred by the agency at the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site.

SEC. 316. PROCUREMENT AND USE OF MUNITIONS.

The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) in making decisions with respect to the procurement of munitions, develop methods to account for the full life-cycle costs of munitions, including the effects of failure rates on the cost of disposal;

(2) undertake a review of live-fire practices for the purpose of reducing unexploded ordnance and munitions-constituent contamination without impeding military readiness; and

(3) not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, submit to Congress a report on the methods developed pursuant to

this section and the progress of the live-fire review and recommendations for reducing the life-cycle costs of munitions, unexploded ordnance, and munitions-constituent contamination.

SEC. 317. PROHIBITION ON DISPOSING OF WASTE IN OPEN-AIR BURN PITS.

(a) **REGULATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations prohibiting the disposal of covered waste in open-air burn pits during contingency operations except in circumstances in which the Secretary determines that no alternative disposal method is feasible. Such regulations shall apply to contingency operations that are ongoing as of the date of the enactment of this Act, including Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom, and to contingency operations that begin after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **NOTIFICATION.**—In determining that no alternative disposal method is feasible for an open-air burn pit pursuant to regulations prescribed under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

(A) not later than 30 days after such determination is made, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives notice of such determination, including the circumstances, reasoning, and methodology that led to such determination; and

(B) after notice is given under subparagraph (A), for each subsequent 180-day-period during which covered waste is disposed of in the open-air burn pit covered by such notice, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives the justifications of the Secretary for continuing to operate such open-air burn pit.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the use of open-air burn pits by the United States Armed Forces. Such report shall include—

(1) an explanation of the situations and circumstances under which open-air burn pits are used to dispose of waste during military exercises and operations worldwide;

(2) a detailed description of the types of waste authorized to be burned in open-air burn pits;

(3) a plan through which the Secretary intends to develop and implement alternatives to the use of open-air burn pits;

(4) a copy of the regulations required to be prescribed by subsection (a);

(5) the health and environmental compliance standards the Secretary has established for military and contractor operations in Iraq and Afghanistan with regard to solid waste disposal, including an assessment of whether those standards are being met;

(6) a description of the environmental, health, and operational impacts of open-pit burning of plastics and the feasibility of including plastics in the regulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (a); and

(7) an assessment of the ability of existing medical surveillance programs to identify and track exposures to toxic substances that result from open-air burn pits, including recommendations for such changes to such programs as would be required to more accurately identify and track such exposures.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “contingency operation” has the meaning given that term by section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “covered waste” includes—

(A) hazardous waste, as defined by section 1004(5) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6903(5));

(B) medical waste; and

(C) other waste as designated by the Secretary.

SEC. 318. MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITES.

(a) **INFORMATION SHARING.**—Section 2710(a)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “, including the county, where applicable,” after “political subdivisions of the State”.

(b) **MILITARY MUNITIONS RESPONSE PROGRAM AND INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM.**—As part of the annual budget submission of the Secretary of Defense to Congress, the Secretary shall include the funding levels requested for the Military Munitions Response Program and the Installation Restoration Program.

Subtitle C—Workplace and Depot Issues

SEC. 321. PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITION REQUIRED BEFORE CONVERSION OF ANY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNCTION PERFORMED BY CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Paragraph (1) of section 2461(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “A function” and inserting “No function”;

(2) by striking “10 or more”; and

(3) by striking “may not be converted” and inserting “may be converted”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to a function for which a public-private competition is commenced on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 322. TIME LIMITATION ON DURATION OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITIONS.

(a) **TIME LIMITATION.**—Section 2461(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 321, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the duration of a public-private competition conducted pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 or any other provision of law for any function of the Department of Defense performed by Department of Defense civilian employees may not exceed a period of 24 months, commencing on the date on which the preliminary planning for the public-private competition begins and ending on the date on which a performance decision is rendered with respect to the function.

“(B)(i) The Secretary of Defense may specify an alternative period of time for a public-private competition, which may not exceed 33 months, if the Secretary—

“(I) determines that the competition is of such complexity that it cannot be completed within 24 months; and

“(II) submits to Congress, as part of the formal congressional notification of a public-private competition pursuant to subsection (c), written notification that explains the basis of such determination.

“(ii) The notification under clause (i)(II) shall also address each of the following:

“(I) Any efforts of the Secretary to break up the study geographically or functionally.

“(II) The Secretary’s justification for undertaking a public-private competition instead of using internal reengineering alternatives.

“(III) The cost savings that the Secretary expects to achieve as a result of the public-private competition.

“(iii) If the Secretary specifies an alternative time period under this subparagraph, the alternative time period shall be binding on the Department in the same manner and to the same extent as the limitation provided in subparagraph (A).

“(C) The time period specified in subparagraph (A) for a public-private competition does not include any day during which the public-private competition is delayed by reason of the filing of a protest before the Government Accountability Office or a complaint in the United States Court of Federal Claims up until the day the decision or recommendation of either authority becomes final. In the case of a protest

before the Government Accountability Office, the recommendation becomes final after the period of time for filing a request for reconsideration, or if a request for reconsideration is filed, on the day the Government Accountability Office issues a decision on the reconsideration.

“(D) If a protest with respect to a public-private competition before the Government Accountability Office or the United States Court of Federal Claims is sustained, and the recommendation is final as described in subparagraph (C), and if such protest and recommendation result in an unforeseen delay in implementing a final performance decision, the Secretary of Defense may terminate the public-private competition or extend the period of time specified for the public-private competition under subparagraph (A) or subparagraph (B). If the Secretary decides not to terminate a competition, the Secretary shall submit to Congress written notice of such decision. Any such notification shall include a justification for the Secretary’s decision and a new time limitation for the competition, which shall not exceed 12 months from the final decision and shall be binding on the Department.

“(E) For the purposes of this paragraph, preliminary planning with respect to a public-private competition, begins on the date on which the Department of Defense obligates funds for the acquisition of contract support, or formally assigns Department of Defense personnel, to carry out any of the following activities:

“(i) Determining the scope of the competition.
“(ii) Conducting research to determine the appropriate grouping of functions for the competition.

“(iii) Assessing the availability of workload data, quantifiable outputs of functions, and agency or industry performance standards applicable to the competition.

“(iv) Determining the baseline cost of any function for which the competition is conducted.

“(F) To effectively establish the date that is the first day of preliminary planning for a public-private competition, the head of a military department shall submit to Congress written notice of such date and shall provide public notice by announcing such date on an appropriate Internet website. Such date is the first day of preliminary planning for a public-private competition for the purpose of computing the duration of the public private competition for purposes of this section.

“(G) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on the use, during the year covered by the report, of alternative time periods for public-private competitions under this section, and the explanations of the Secretary for such alternative time periods.”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Paragraph (5) of section 2461(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to a public-private competition covered by such section that is initiated on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEWS.**—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, and three years thereafter, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use by the Secretary of Defense of the alternative time period authority under section 2461(a)(5)(B) of title 10, United States Code, and the appropriateness and thoroughness of the explanations of the Secretary for such use.

SEC. 323. POLICY REGARDING INSTALLATION OF MAJOR MODIFICATIONS AND UPGRADES.

It is the Sense of Congress that no changes should be made to—

(1) the policy of the Department of Defense that in the annual allocation of depot-level maintenance and repair required under section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, the installation of major modifications and upgrades are considered to be part of the definition of depot-level maintenance; and

(2) the interpretation and application of that policy as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 324. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR ARMY INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES TO ENGAGE IN COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES WITH NON-ARMY ENTITIES.

(a) **CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—The second sentence of section 4544(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 328(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 66), is amended by inserting after “not more than eight contracts or cooperative agreements” the following: “in addition to the contracts and cooperative agreements in place as of the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181)”.

(b) **ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS REQUIRED FOR ANALYSIS OF USE OF AUTHORITY.**—Section 328(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 67) is amended—

(1) by striking “a report assessing the advisability” and inserting the following: “a report—
“(A) assessing the advisability”; and

(2) by striking “pursuant to such authority.” and inserting the following: “pursuant to such authority;

“(B) assessing the benefit to the Federal Government of using such authority;

“(C) assessing the impact of the use of such authority on the availability of facilities needed by the Army and on the private sector; and

“(D) describing the steps taken to comply with the requirements under section 4544(g) of title 10, United States Code.”.

SEC. 325. TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITIONS FOR CONVERSION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNCTIONS TO PERFORMANCE BY A CONTRACTOR.

(a) **TEMPORARY SUSPENSION.**—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees the certification required under subsection (d), no study or competition regarding a public-private competition for the conversion to performance by a contractor for any function performed by Department of Defense civilian employees may be begun or announced pursuant to 2461 of title 10, United States Code, or otherwise pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76.

(b) **REVIEW AND REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—During fiscal year 2010, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel Readiness, in consultation with the Under Secretary for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Comptroller of the Department of Defense, shall undertake a comprehensive review of the policies of the Department of Defense with respect to the conduct of public-private competitions. The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on such review not earlier than June 15, 2010. The review, at a minimum, shall address—

(1) the status of the compliance of the Department with the requirement of 2461(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 321 of this Act;

(2) actions taken by the Secretary to address issues raised in the report of the Department of Defense Inspector General numbered D-2009-034 and dated December 15, 2008;

(3) the reliability of systems in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act to provide comprehensive and reliable data to track and assess the cost and quality of the performance of functions that have been subjected to a public-private competition;

(4) the appropriateness of the cost differential in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act for determining the quantifiable costs and

the current overhead rates applied with respect to such functions; and

(5) the adequacy of the policies of the Department of Defense in implementing the requirements of section 2461(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code.

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—Not later than 90 days after the date on which the report required under subsection (b) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Comptroller General shall conduct an assessment of the review required under paragraph (b) and shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the findings of such assessment and any conclusions or recommendations of the Comptroller General based on such assessment.

(d) **CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall publish in the Federal Register and submit to the congressional defense committees certification that—

(1) the review required by subsection (b) has been completed, and that the 90-day period during which the assessment of the Comptroller General is to be completed under subsection (c) has expired;

(2) the Secretary of Defense has completed and submitted to the congressional defense committees a complete inventory of contracts for services for or on behalf of the Department in compliance with the requirements of subsection (c) of section 2330a of title 10, United States Code;

(3) the Secretary of each military department and the head of each Defense Agency responsible for activities in the inventory has initiated the review and planning activities of subsection (e) of such section; and

(4) the Secretary of Defense has submitted budget information on contract services in compliance with the requirements of section 236 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 326. REQUIREMENT FOR DEBRIEFINGS RELATED TO CONVERSION OF FUNCTIONS FROM PERFORMANCE BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES TO PERFORMANCE BY A CONTRACTOR.

The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy shall revise the Federal Acquisition Regulation to allow for debriefings of Federal employee representatives designated pursuant to 3551(2)(B) of title 31, United States Code, to the same extent and under the same circumstances as any offeror, in the case of a conversion of any function from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor. Such debriefings will conform to the requirements of section 2305(b)(6)(A) of title 10, United States Code, section 303B(f) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253b(f)), and subparts 15.505 and 15.506 (as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act) of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

SEC. 327. AMENDMENTS TO BID PROTEST PROCEDURES BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND AGENCY OFFICIALS IN CONVERSIONS OF FUNCTIONS FROM PERFORMANCE BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES TO PERFORMANCE BY A CONTRACTOR.

(a) **PROTEST JURISDICTION OF THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL.**—Section 3551(1) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) Conversion of a function that is being performed by Federal employees to private sector performance.”.

(b) **ELIGIBILITY TO PROTEST PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITIONS.**—Clause (i) of paragraph (2)(B) of section 3551 of title 31, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(i) any official who is responsible for submitting the agency tender in such competition; and”.

(c) **DECISIONS ON PROTESTS.**—Section 3554(b) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (G) as subparagraphs (D) through (H), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) cancel the solicitation issued pursuant to the public-private competition conducted under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 or any successor circular;” and

(3) in subparagraph (G), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by striking “, and (E)” and inserting “, (E), and (F)”.

(d) **APPLICABILITY.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply—

(1) to any protest or civil action that relates to a public-private competition conducted after the date of the enactment of this Act under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76, or any successor circular; and

(2) to a decision made after the date of the enactment of this Act to convert a function performed by Federal employees to private sector performance without a competition under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76.

SEC. 328. IMPROVEMENT OF INVENTORY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.

(a) **INVENTORY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IMPROVEMENT PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan for improving the inventory management systems of the military departments and the Defense Logistics Agency with the objective of reducing the acquisition and storage of secondary inventory that is excess to requirements.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A plan for a comprehensive review of demand-forecasting procedures to identify and correct any systematic weaknesses in such procedures, including the development of metrics to identify bias toward over-forecasting and adjust forecasting methods accordingly.

(2) A plan to accelerate the efforts of the Department of Defense to achieve total asset visibility, including efforts to link wholesale and retail inventory levels through multi-echelon modeling.

(3) A plan to reduce the average level of on-order secondary inventory that is excess to requirements, including a requirement for the systemic review of such inventory for possible contract termination.

(4) A plan for the review and validation of methods used by the military departments and the Defense Logistics Agency to establish economic retention requirements.

(5) A plan for an independent review of methods used by the military departments and the Defense Logistics Agency to establish contingency retention requirements.

(6) A plan to identify items stored in secondary inventory that require substantial amounts of storage space and shift such items, where practicable, to direct vendor delivery.

(7) A plan for a comprehensive assessment of inventory items on hand that have no recurring demands, including the development of—

(A) metrics to track years of no demand for items in stock; and

(B) procedures for ensuring the systemic review of such items for potential reutilization or disposal.

(8) A plan to more aggressively pursue disposal reviews and actions on stocks identified for potential reutilization or disposal.

(c) **GAO REPORTS.**—

(1) **ASSESSMENT OF PLAN.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the plan required by subsection (a) is submitted as specified in that subsection, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the extent to which the plan meets the requirements of this section.

(2) **ASSESSMENT OF IMPLEMENTATION.**—Not later than 18 months after the date on which the plan required by subsection (a) is submitted, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth

an assessment of the extent to which the plan has been effectively implemented by each military department and by the Defense Logistics Agency.

(d) **INVENTORY THAT IS EXCESS TO REQUIREMENTS DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “inventory that is excess to requirements” means inventory that—

(1) is excess to the approved acquisition objective concerned; and

(2) is not needed for the purposes of economic retention or contingency retention.

SEC. 329. MODIFICATION OF DATE FOR SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS OF ANNUAL REPORT ON FUNDING FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PERFORMANCE OF DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR WORKLOADS.

Section 2466(d)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “April 1 of each year” and inserting “90 days after the date on which the budget of the President for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31”.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

SEC. 331. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL ENERGY.

Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, \$5,000,000 is for the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs to carry out the duties prescribed for the Director under section 139b of title 10, United States Code, to be made available upon the confirmation of an individual to serve as the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs.

SEC. 332. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS REGARDING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS.

(a) **NEW REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—Section 2925(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting after “(Public Law 109-58),” the following: “section 2911(e) of this title, section 533 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8259b),”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (6) as paragraphs (4) through (8), respectively;

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraphs (2) and (3):

“(2) A table detailing funding, by account, for all energy projects funded through appropriations.

“(3) A table listing all energy projects financed through third party financing mechanisms (including energy savings performance contracts, enhanced use leases, utility energy service contracts, utility privatization agreements, and other contractual mechanisms), the duration of each such mechanism, an estimate of the financial obligation incurred through the duration of each such mechanism, and the estimated payback period for each such mechanism.”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(9) A description of steps taken to determine best practices for measuring energy consumption in Department of Defense facilities and installations, in order to use the data for better energy management.

“(10) A description of any other issues and strategies the Secretary determines relevant to a comprehensive and renewable energy policy.”.

(b) **ADDITIONAL MATERIAL REQUIRED FOR FIRST EXPANDED REPORT.**—The first report submitted by the Secretary of Defense under section 2925(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), after the date of the enactment of this Act shall include, in addition to the matters required under such section, as so amended, the following:

(1) A determination of whether the tools that exist as of the date of the enactment of this Act, including the Energy Conservation Investment Program and the Energy Savings Performance

Contracts Program, are sufficient to support renewable energy projects to achieve the Department's installation energy goals, or if new funding mechanisms would be beneficial.

(2) A determination of the cost and feasibility of a policy that would require new power generation projects established on installations to be able to switch to provide power for military operations in the event of a commercial grid outage.

(3) An assessment of the extent to which State and regional laws and regulations and market structures provide opportunities or obstacles to establish renewable energy projects on military installations.

(4) A determination of the cost and feasibility of developing or acquiring equipment or systems that would result in maximized use of renewable energy sources at contingency locations.

(5) An assessment of the feasibility of meeting the Department's renewable energy goals with on-base renewable energy production rather than with renewable energy credits.

(6) An analysis of the percentage of new construction projects subject to the Department's current building construction sustainable design standards (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design standards) that include a renewable energy component, and a determination as to whether the criteria of the Department's design standards, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, are consistent with the overall goals, including renewable energy goals, of the Secretary.

(7) The feasibility and cost of developing net-zero energy installations and a detailed assessment, by installation, of power production (including renewable energy) measured against energy consumption.

(8) A determination of whether a dedicated funding mechanism for renewable energy projects for stand-alone facilities, including National Guard and Reserve centers, would encourage greater use of renewable energy sources both at existing facilities and in new construction.

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the supplemental report required under subsection (b), the Comptroller General shall review the supplemental report and submit to Congress a report on such review. The Comptroller General may conduct such independent analysis of any issues covered by such supplemental report, as necessary in furtherance of the requirements of this section.

SEC. 333. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS ON FUEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT AT FORWARD-DEPLOYED LOCATIONS.

Not later than February 1, 2010, the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs of the Department of Defense (or, in the event that no individual has been confirmed as the Director, the Secretary of Defense) shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on any specific actions that have been taken to implement the following three recommendations made by the Comptroller General:

(1) The recommendation that each of the combatant commanders establish requirements for managing fuel demand at forward-deployed locations within their respective areas of responsibility.

(2) The recommendation that the head of each military department develop guidance to implement such requirements.

(3) The recommendation that the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff require that fuel demand considerations be incorporated into the Joint Staff's initiative to develop joint standards of life support at forward-deployed locations.

SEC. 334. REPORT ON USE OF RENEWABLE FUELS TO MEET ENERGY REQUIREMENTS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

Not later than February 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on

Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the use and potential use of renewable fuels in meeting the energy requirements of the Department of Defense. Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) An assessment of the use of renewable fuels, including domestically produced algae-based, biodiesel, and biomass-derived fuels, as alternative fuels in aviation, maritime, and ground transportation fleets (including tactical vehicles and applications). Such assessment shall include technical, logistical, and policy considerations.

(2) An assessment of whether it would be beneficial to establish a renewable fuel commodity class that is distinct from petroleum-based products.

SEC. 335. ENERGY SECURITY ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS.

(a) PLAN FOR ENERGY SECURITY REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for identifying and addressing areas in which the electricity needed to carry out critical military missions on Department of Defense installations is vulnerable to disruption.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The plan developed under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) An identification of the areas of vulnerability as described in paragraph (1), and an identification of priorities in addressing such areas of vulnerability.

(B) A schedule for the actions to be taken by the Department to address such areas of vulnerability.

(C) A strategy for working with other public or private sector entities to address such areas of vulnerability that are beyond the control of the Department.

(D) An estimate of and consideration for the costs to the Department associated with implementation of the strategy.

(b) WORK WITH NON-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENTITIES.—The Secretary of Defense shall work with other Federal entities, and with State and local government entities, to develop any regulations or other mechanisms needed to require or encourage actions to address areas of vulnerability identified pursuant to the plan developed under subsection (a) that are beyond the control of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle E—Reports

SEC. 341. ANNUAL REPORT ON PROCUREMENT OF MILITARY WORKING DOGS.

Section 358 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4427; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, and annually thereafter for each of the following five years, the Secretary, acting through the Executive Agent, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the procurement of military working dogs for the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year during which the report is submitted. Such a report may be combined with the report required under section 2583(f) of title 10, United States Code, for the same fiscal year as the fiscal year covered by the report under this subsection. Each report under this subsection shall include the following for the fiscal year covered by the report:

“(1) The number of military working dogs procured, by source, by each military department or Defense Agency.

“(2) The cost of procuring military working dogs incurred by each military department or Defense Agency.

“(3) An explanation for any significant difference in the cost of procuring military working dogs from different sources.”.

SEC. 342. PLAN FOR MANAGING VEGETATIVE ENCROACHMENT AT TRAINING RANGES.

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) An assessment of the extent to which vegetation and overgrowth limits the use of military lands available for training of the Armed Forces in the United States and overseas.

(2) An identification of the particular installations and training areas at which vegetation and overgrowth negatively impact the use of training space.

(3) A plan to address training constraints caused by vegetation and overgrowth.

SEC. 343. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON THE SUSTAINMENT STRATEGY FOR THE AV-8B HARRIER AIRCRAFT.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the sustainment strategy for the AV-8B Harrier aircraft.

(b) MATTERS COVERED.—The report under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, each of the following:

(1) An assessment of the AV-8B Integrated Maintenance Concept, including the acquisition strategy developed to conduct planned maintenance interval events.

(2) An evaluation of the process and criteria established to determine the assignment of non-core workload.

(3) An examination of the role of the single process owner in distribution of non-core workload, standardization of workload processes, facilitation of public-private partnering, implementation of lessons learned, and execution of contracting authority.

(4) An evaluation of the execution of responsibilities by the single process owner to reduce planned maintenance interval turn-around time, to reduce cost, to improve material availability, and to ensure necessary logistics and engineering functions are in place to meet objective goals.

SEC. 344. STUDY ON ARMY MODULARITY.

(a) STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with a Federally Funded Research and Development Center for the conduct of a study on the current and planned modularity structures of the Army to determine each of the following:

(A) The operational capability of the Army to execute the core mission of the Army to contribute land power to joint operations.

(B) The ability to manage the flexibility and versatility of Army forces across the range of military operations.

(C) The tactical, operational, and strategic risk associated with the heavy, medium, and light modular combat brigades and functional support and sustainment brigades.

(D) The required and planned end strength for the Army.

(2) FACTORS TO CONSIDER.—The study required under subsection (a) shall take into consideration the following factors:

(A) The historical experience of the Army with separate brigade structures.

(B) The original Army analysis or other relevant analyses, including explicit or implicit assumptions, upon which the modular brigade combat team, functional support and sustainment brigades, and higher headquarters' designs were based.

(C) Subsequent analysis that confirmed or modified the original designs.

(D) Lessons learned from Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom, including an identification and analysis of how modular brigades or formations were task organized and employed that may have differed from

the original modular concept and how that confirmed or modified the original designs.

(E) Improvements the Army has made or is implementing in brigade and headquarters designs.

(F) The deployability, employability, and sustainability of modular formations compared to the corresponding pre-modular designs of such formations.

(3) ACCESS TO INFORMATION.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army shall ensure that the Federally Funded Research and Development Center conducting the study required under subsection (a) has access to all necessary data, records, analysis, personnel, and other resources necessary to complete the study.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(A) the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), together with the comments of the Secretary of Defense on the findings contained in the study; and

(B) the separate and independent comments of the Secretary of the Army on the findings contained in the study.

(2) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report shall be in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 351. AUTHORITY FOR AIRLIFT TRANSPORTATION AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RATES FOR NON-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FEDERAL CAR- GOES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2642(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) During the five-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, for military airlift services provided to any element of the Federal Government outside the Department of Defense in circumstances other than those specified in paragraphs (1) and (2), but only if the Secretary of Defense determines that the provision of such services will promote the improved use of airlift capacity without any negative effect on the national security objectives or the national security interests contained within the United States commercial air industry.”.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than March 1 of each year for which the paragraph (3) of section 2642(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), is in effect, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives an annual report describing, in detail, the Secretary's use of the authority under that paragraph, including—

(1) how the authority was used;

(2) the frequency with which the authority was used;

(3) the Secretary's rationale for the use of the authority; and

(4) for which agencies the authority was used.

SEC. 352. POLICY ON GROUND COMBAT AND CAMOUFLAGE UTILITY UNIFORMS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States that the design and fielding of all future ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms of the Armed Forces may uniquely reflect the identity of the individual military services, as long as such ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms, to the maximum extent practicable—

(1) provide members of every military service an equivalent level of performance, functionality, and protection commensurate with their respective assigned combat missions;

(2) minimize risk to the individual soldier, sailor, airman, or marine operating in the joint battlespace; and

(3) provide interoperability with other components of individual war fighter systems, including body armor and other individual protective systems.

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.**—The Comptroller General shall conduct an assessment of the ground combat uniforms and camouflage utility uniforms currently in use in the Department of Defense. The assessment shall examine, at a minimum, each of the following:

(1) The overall performance of each uniform in various anticipated combat environments and theaters of operations.

(2) Whether the uniform design of each uniform conforms adequately and is interoperable with currently issued personal protective gear and body armor.

(3) Costs associated with the design, development, production, procurement, and fielding of existing service-specific ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms.

(4) Challenges and risks associated with fielding members of the Armed Forces into combat theaters in unique or service-specific ground combat or camouflage utility uniforms, including the tactical risk to the individuals serving in individual augmentee, in-lieu of force, or joint duty assignments of use of different ground combat uniforms in a combat environment.

(5) Implications of the use of patents and other proprietary measures that may preclude sharing of technology, advanced uniform design, camouflage techniques, and fire retardance.

(6) Logistical requirements to field and support forces in varying combat or utility uniforms.

(c) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees the results of the assessment conducted under subsection (b).

(d) **REQUIREMENT FOR JOINT CRITERIA.**—In support of the policy established in subsection (a), the Secretaries of the military departments, consistent with the authority set out in subtitles B, C, and D of title 10, United States Code, shall establish joint criteria for future ground combat uniforms by not later than 270 days after the Comptroller General submits the report required under subsection (c). The joint criteria shall take into account the findings and recommendations of such report and ensure that new technologies, advanced materials, and other advances in ground combat uniform design may be shared between the military services and are not precluded from being adapted for use by any military service due to military service-unique proprietary arrangements.

SEC. 353. CONDITION-BASED MAINTENANCE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS.

(a) **TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of the Army may conduct a 12-month condition-based maintenance demonstration program on selected vehicle systems that include on-board diagnostic systems suitable to such a demonstration program.

(b) **SURFACE COMBATANT SHIP PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of the Navy may conduct a 12-month demonstration program on selected systems or components of surface combatant ships that include integral diagnostic systems suitable to such a demonstration program.

(c) **ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED.**—The demonstration programs described in subsections (a) and (b) shall address, with respect to each vehicle, system, or component for which the program is conducted—

(1) the top 10 maintenance issues;

(2) non-evidence of failures; and

(3) the projected return on investment analysis for a 10-year period.

(d) **OPEN ARCHITECTURE.**—The design, system integration, and operations of the demonstration programs described in subsections (a) and (b) shall be conducted with an open architecture designed to—

(1) facilitate interface with industry standard computer languages, common software systems, diagnostics tools, reference models, diagnostics reasoners, electronic libraries, and user interfaces for multiple ship and vehicle types; and

(2) promote competition and ensure the best overall value to the Department of Defense.

(e) **REPORT.**—Not later than October 1, 2010, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the assessments of each of the Secretaries with respect to whether the respective military department could reduce maintenance costs and improve operational readiness by implementing condition-based maintenance for the current and future tactical wheeled vehicle fleets and Navy surface combatants.

SEC. 354. EXTENSION OF ARSENAL SUPPORT PROGRAM INITIATIVE.

Section 343 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (10 U.S.C. 4551 note), as amended by section 341 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 69), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”; and

(2) in subsection (g)(1), by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.

Sec. 402. Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels.

Sec. 403. Additional authority for increases of Army active-duty end strengths for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.

Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserves.

Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).

Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2010 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.

Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.

Sec. 416. Submittal of options for creation of Trainees, Transients, Holdees, and Students account for the Army National Guard.

Sec. 417. Report on requirements of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians.

Sec. 418. Expansion of authority of Secretaries of the military departments to increase certain end strengths to include Selected Reserve end strengths.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 421. Military personnel.

Sec. 422. Repeal of delayed one-time shift of military retirement payments.

Subtitle A—Active Forces

SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.

The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 2010, as follows:

- (1) The Army, 562,400.
- (2) The Navy, 328,800.
- (3) The Marine Corps, 202,100.
- (4) The Air Force, 331,700.

SEC. 402. REVISION IN PERMANENT ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTH MINIMUM LEVELS.

Section 691(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (4) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

- “(1) For the Army, 547,400.
- “(2) For the Navy, 328,800.
- “(3) For the Marine Corps, 202,100.
- “(4) For the Air Force, 331,700.”.

SEC. 403. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY FOR INCREASES OF ARMY ACTIVE-DUTY END STRENGTHS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2011 AND 2012.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ARMY ACTIVE-DUTY END STRENGTHS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—For each of fiscal years 2011 and 2012, the Secretary of Defense may, as the Secretary determines necessary for the purposes specified in paragraph (2), establish the active-duty end strength for the Army at a number greater than the number otherwise authorized by law up to the number equal to the fiscal-year 2010 baseline plus 30,000.

(2) **PURPOSE OF INCREASES.**—The purposes for which increases may be made in Army active-duty end strengths under paragraph (1) are—

(A) to support operational missions; and

(B) to achieve reorganizational objectives, including increased unit manning, force stabilization and shaping, and supporting wounded warriors.

(3) **FISCAL-YEAR 2010 BASELINE.**—In this subsection, the term “fiscal-year 2010 baseline”, means the active-duty end strength authorized for the Army in section 401(1).

(4) **ACTIVE-DUTY END STRENGTH.**—In this subsection, the term “active-duty end strength” means the strength for active-duty personnel of one the Armed Forces as of the last day of a fiscal year.

(b) **RELATIONSHIP TO PRESIDENTIAL WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the President’s authority under section 123a of title 10, United States Code, to waive any statutory end strength in a time of war or national emergency.

(c) **RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER VARIANCE AUTHORITY.**—The authority under subsection (a) is in addition to the authority to vary authorized end strengths that is provided in subsections (e) and (f) of section 115 of title 10, United States Code.

(d) **BUDGET TREATMENT.**—If the Secretary of Defense determines under subsection (a) that an increase in the Army active-duty end strength for a fiscal year is necessary, then the budget for the Department of Defense for that fiscal year as submitted to the President shall include the amounts necessary for funding that active-duty end strength in excess of the fiscal year 2010 active-duty end strength authorized for the Army under section 401(1).

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 2010, as follows:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 358,200.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 205,000.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 65,500.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 106,700.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 69,500.
- (7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 10,000.

(b) **END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.**—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—

(1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and

(2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

(c) **END STRENGTH INCREASES.**—Whenever units or individual members of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be increased proportionately by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.

Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 2010, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 32,060.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 16,261.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 10,818.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 14,555.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 2,896.

SEC. 413. END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY TECHNICIANS (DUAL STATUS).

The minimum number of military technicians (dual status) as of the last day of fiscal year 2010 for the reserve components of the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:

- (1) For the Army Reserve, 8,395.
- (2) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210.
- (3) For the Air Force Reserve, 10,417.
- (4) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 22,313.

SEC. 414. FISCAL YEAR 2010 LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.**(a) LIMITATIONS.—**

(1) NATIONAL GUARD.—Within the limitation provided in section 10217(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard as of September 30, 2010, may not exceed the following:

- (A) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600.
- (B) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 350.

(2) ARMY RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Army Reserve as of September 30, 2010, may not exceed 595.

(3) AIR FORCE RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Air Force Reserve as of September 30, 2010, may not exceed 90.

(b) NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “non-dual status technician” has the meaning given that term in section 10217(a) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 415. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESERVE PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.

During fiscal year 2010, the maximum number of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who may be serving at any time on full-time operational support duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, is the following:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 17,000.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 13,000.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 6,200.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,000.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 16,000.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 14,000.

SEC. 416. SUBMITTAL OF OPTIONS FOR CREATION OF TRAINEES, TRANSIENTS, HOLDEES, AND STUDENTS ACCOUNT FOR THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report evaluating options, and including a recommendation, for the creation of a Trainees, Transients,

Holdees, and Students Account within the Army National Guard.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall address, at a minimum, the following:

(1) The timelines, cost, force structure changes, and end strength changes associated with each option specified in the report.

(2) The force structure and end strength changes and growth of the Army National Guard needed to support the account referred to in subsection (a).

(3) An assessment of how the creation of such an account may affect plans under the Grow the Force initiative.

(4) An assessment of the impact of such an account on readiness and training ratings for Army National Guard forces.

SEC. 417. REPORT ON REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL GUARD FOR NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report setting forth the following:

(1) A description of the types of duties performed for the National Guard by non-dual status technicians.

(2) A description of the current requirements of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians.

(3) A description of various means of addressing any shortfalls in meeting such requirements, including both temporary shortfalls and permanent shortfalls.

(4) A description of the demands of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians under the current operational tempo, and a description of the current and anticipated demands of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians as a result of the National Guard moving from a reserve force to an operational force.

(5) An assessment whether an increase in the limit on the number of non-dual status technicians for the National Guard is advisable.

(6) Such specific recommendations, including recommendations for legislative action, as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate regarding future requirements and numbers of non-dual status technicians that are required to manage and support the National Guard.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall take into consideration the effects of the mobilization of large numbers of National Guard military technicians (dual status) on the readiness of National Guard units in critically important areas and on the capacity of the National Guard to continue performing home-based missions and responsibilities for the States.

SEC. 418. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS TO INCREASE CERTAIN END STRENGTHS TO INCLUDE SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTHS.

Subsection (g) of section 115 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(g) AUTHORITY FOR SERVICE SECRETARY VARIANCES FOR ACTIVE-DUTY AND SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTHS.—(1) Upon determination by the Secretary of a military department that such action would enhance manning and readiness in essential units or in critical specialties or ratings, the Secretary may—

“(A) increase the end strength authorized pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A) for a fiscal year for the armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary or, in the case of the Secretary of the Navy, for any of the armed forces under the jurisdiction of that Secretary, by a number equal to not more than 2 percent of such authorized end strength; and

“(B) increase the end strength authorized pursuant to subsection (a)(2) for a fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of the reserve compo-

nent of the armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary or, in the case of the Secretary of the Navy, for the Selected Reserve of the reserve component of any of the armed forces under the jurisdiction of that Secretary, by a number equal to not more than 2 percent of such authorized end strength.

“(2) Any increase under paragraph (1)(A) of the end strength for an armed force for a fiscal year shall be counted as part of the increase for that armed force for that fiscal year authorized under subsection (f)(1). Any increase under paragraph (1)(B) of the end strength for the Selected Reserve of a reserve component of an armed force for a fiscal year shall be counted as part of the increase for that Selected Reserve for that fiscal year authorized under subsection (f)(3).”.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations**SEC. 421. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel for fiscal year 2010 a total of \$136,016,281,000.

(b) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 422. REPEAL OF DELAYED ONE-TIME SHIFT OF MILITARY RETIREMENT PAYMENTS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 1002 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4581) is repealed.

(b) EFFECT ON EARLIER TRANSFER.—The repeal of section 1002 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 by subsection (a) shall not affect the validity of the transfer of funds made pursuant to subsection (e) of such section before the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy**

- Sec. 501. Grade of Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
- Sec. 502. Modification of limitations on general and flag officers on active duty.
- Sec. 503. Revisions to annual reporting requirement on joint officer management.
- Sec. 504. Extension of temporary increase in maximum number of days leave members may accumulate and carryover.
- Sec. 505. Computation of retirement eligibility for enlisted members of the Navy who complete the Seaman to Admiral (STA-21) officer candidate program.
- Sec. 506. Independent review of judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy.

Subtitle B—General Service Authorities

- Sec. 511. Continuation on active duty of reserve component members during physical disability evaluation following mobilization and deployment.
- Sec. 512. Medical examination required before administrative separation of members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.
- Sec. 513. Legal assistance for additional reserve component members.
- Sec. 514. Limitation on scheduling of mobilization or pre-mobilization training for Reserve units when certain suspension of training is likely.
- Sec. 515. Evaluation of test of utility of test preparation guides and education programs in improving qualifications of recruits for the Armed Forces.

Sec. 516. Report on presence in the Armed Forces of members associated or affiliated with groups engaged in prohibited activities.

Subtitle C—Education and Training

Sec. 521. Detail of commissioned officers as students at schools of psychology.

Sec. 522. Appointment of persons enrolled in Advanced Course of the Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps at military junior colleges as cadets in Army Reserve or Army National Guard of the United States.

Sec. 523. Expansion of criteria for appointment as member of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

Sec. 524. Use of Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance program to increase number of health professionals with skills to assist in providing mental health care.

Sec. 525. Department of Defense undergraduate nurse training program.

Sec. 526. Increase in number of private sector civilians authorized for admission to National Defense University.

Sec. 527. Appointments to military service academies from nominations made by Delegate from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Sec. 528. Athletic association for the Air Force Academy.

Sec. 529. Language training centers for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle D—Defense Dependents' Education

Sec. 531. Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.

Sec. 532. Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.

Sec. 533. Two-year extension of authority for assistance to local educational agencies with enrollment changes due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

Sec. 534. Authority to extend eligibility for enrollment in Department of Defense elementary and secondary schools to certain additional categories of dependents.

Sec. 535. Permanent authority for enrollment in defense dependents' education system of dependents of foreign military members assigned to Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe.

Sec. 536. Determination of number of weighted student units for local educational agencies for receipt of basic support payments under impact aid.

Sec. 537. Study on options for educational opportunities for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces when public schools attended by such children are determined to need improvement.

Sec. 538. Comptroller General audit of assistance to local educational agencies for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 539. Sense of Congress on the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children.

Subtitle E—Missing or Deceased Persons

Sec. 541. Additional requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing in conflicts occurring before enactment of new system for accounting for missing persons.

Sec. 542. Policy and procedures on media access and attendance by family members at ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces who die overseas.

Sec. 543. Report on expansion of authority of a member to designate persons to direct disposition of the remains of a deceased member.

Sec. 544. Sense of Congress on the recovery of the remains of members of the Armed Forces who were killed during World War II in the battle of Tarawa Atoll.

Subtitle F—Decorations and Awards

Sec. 551. Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Anthony T. Kaho'ohanohano for acts of valor during the Korean War.

Sec. 552. Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to Jack T. Stewart for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

Sec. 553. Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to William T. Miles, Jr., for acts of valor during the Korean War.

Subtitle G—Military Family Readiness Matters

Sec. 561. Establishment of online resources to provide information about benefits and services available to members of the Armed Forces and their families.

Sec. 562. Additional members on Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council.

Sec. 563. Support for military families with special needs.

Sec. 564. Pilot program to secure internships for military spouses with Federal agencies.

Sec. 565. Family and medical leave for family of servicemembers.

Sec. 566. Deadline for report on sexual assault in the Armed Forces by Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services.

Sec. 567. Improved prevention and response to allegations of sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 568. Comptroller General report on progress made in implementing recommendations to reduce domestic violence in military families.

Sec. 569. Report on impact of domestic violence on military families.

Sec. 570. Report on international intrafamilial abduction of children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 571. Assessment of impact of deployment of members of the Armed Forces on their dependent children.

Sec. 572. Report on child custody litigation involving service of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 573. Comptroller General report on child care assistance for members of the Armed Forces.

Subtitle H—Military Voting

Sec. 575. Short title.

Sec. 576. Clarification regarding delegation of State responsibilities to local jurisdictions.

Sec. 577. Establishment of procedures for absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters to request and for States to send voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications by mail and electronically.

Sec. 578. Establishment of procedures for States to transmit blank absentee ballots by mail and electronically to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters.

Sec. 579. Ensuring absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters have time to vote.

Sec. 580. Procedures for collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters.

Sec. 581. Federal write-in absentee ballot.

Sec. 582. Prohibiting refusal to accept voter registration and absentee ballot applications, marked absentee ballots, and Federal write-in absentee ballots for failure to meet certain requirements.

Sec. 583. Federal Voting Assistance Program Improvements.

Sec. 584. Development of standards for reporting and storing certain data.

Sec. 585. Repeal of provisions relating to use of single application for all subsequent elections.

Sec. 586. Reporting requirements.

Sec. 587. Annual report on enforcement.

Sec. 588. Requirements payments.

Sec. 589. Technology pilot program.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

Sec. 591. Clarification of performance policies for military musical units and musicians.

Sec. 592. Navy grants for purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps.

Sec. 593. Modification of matching fund requirements under National Guard Youth Challenge Program.

Sec. 594. Expansion of Military Leadership Diversity Commission to include reserve component representatives.

Sec. 595. Expansion of suicide prevention and community healing and response training under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

Sec. 596. Comprehensive plan on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders and disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces.

Sec. 597. Reports on Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program and other reintegration programs.

Sec. 598. Reports on progress in completion of certain incident information management tools.

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

SEC. 501. GRADE OF LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 156(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “, while so serving, hold the” and inserting “be appointed in the regular”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to individuals appointed as Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on or after that date.

SEC. 502. MODIFICATION OF LIMITATIONS ON GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY.

(a) REPORT ON STATUTES EXCLUDING CERTAIN OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY IN GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER GRADES FROM LIMITATIONS ON AUTHORIZED STRENGTHS OF GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY.—Not later than April 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Forces of the

Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth the following:

(1) An assessment of the provisions of title 10, United States Code, that exclude commissioned officers of the Armed Forces on active duty in general officer and flag officer grades from the limitations on the authorized strengths of general and flag officers, including—

(A) a list of each such provision; and

(B) for each such provision—

(i) a statement whether such provision is redundant or necessary in light of recent legislation on such provision or related provisions; and

(ii) an assessment of the impact of the repeal of such provision on the Department of Defense.

(2) A specific, comprehensive description of the legislative actions, including technical and conforming changes, necessary to conform sections 525, 526, and 528 of title 10, United States Code (and any other applicable provisions of such title), with the assessment required by paragraph (1) with a view towards increasing the transparency and comprehensiveness on the number of general and flag officers serving on active duty.

(3) An assessment of the following:

(A) Whether the authorized numbers of general and flag officers in an active status under section 12004(a) of title 10, United States Code, are adequate to provide the reserve components with a sufficient number of general and flag officers in an active status in order to meet increased authorizations for active duty service.

(B) Whether such numbers of general and flag officers provide the general and flag officers of the reserve components with appropriate opportunities for joint responsibility and joint officer development while simultaneously meeting reserve active-status requirements

(C) Whether legislative action with respect to section 12004(a) of title 10, United States Code, is necessary to achieve the purposes specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) and, if so, a specific, comprehensive description of such legislative actions.

(4) An assessment of the following:

(A) Whether the requirements for general and flag officer positions resulting from recommendations for statutory authority to specify the grade of the Chief of the Navy Dental Corps, the Chief and Deputy Chief of Chaplains in the Air Force, the Chief of the Army Medical Specialist Corps, and to establish the position of Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau, are necessary in light of recent legislative modifications of applicable provisions of law.

(B) The impact on the Department of each provision.

(C) If supported, the necessary technical and conforming changes that may be necessary to conform sections 535, 526, 528, and 12004 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the transparency and comprehensiveness of the number of general and flag officers on active duty or in an active status.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF DISTRIBUTION LIMITS.**—Section 525 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(a) For purposes of the applicable limitation in section 526(a) of this title on general and flag officers on active duty, no appointment of an officer on the active duty list may be made as follows:

“(1) in the Army, if that appointment would result in more than—

“(A) 7 officers in the grade of general;

“(B) 45 officers in a grade above the grade of major general; or

“(C) 90 officers in the grade of major general;

“(2) in the Air Force, if that appointment would result in more than—

“(A) 9 officers in the grade of general;

“(B) 43 officers in a grade above the grade of major general; or

“(C) 73 officers in the grade of major general;

“(3) in the Navy, if that appointment would result in more than—

“(A) 6 officers in the grade of admiral;

“(B) 32 officers in a grade above the grade of rear admiral; or

“(C) 50 officers in the grade of rear admiral;

“(4) in the Marine Corps, if that appointment would result in more than—

“(A) 2 officers in the grade of general;

“(B) 15 officers in a grade above the grade of major general; or

“(C) 22 officers in the grade of major general.

“(b)(1) The limitations of subsection (a) do not include the following:

“(A) An officer released from a joint duty assignment, but only during the 60-day period beginning on the date the officer departs the joint duty assignment, except that the Secretary of Defense may authorize the Secretary of a military department to extend the 60-day period by an additional 120 days, but no more than 3 officers from each armed force may be on active duty who are excluded under this subparagraph.

“(B) An officer while serving in the position of Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps under section 5046 of this title.

“(C) The number of officers required to serve in joint duty assignments as authorized by the Secretary of Defense under section 526(b) for each military service.

“(D) An officer while serving as Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

“(2) An officer of the Army while serving as Superintendent of the United States Military Academy, if serving in the grade of lieutenant general, is in addition to the number that would otherwise be permitted for the Army for officers serving on active duty in grades above major general under subsection (a). An officer of the Navy or Marine Corps while serving as Superintendent of the United States Naval Academy, if serving in the grade of vice admiral or lieutenant general, is in addition to the number that would otherwise be permitted for the Navy or Marine Corps, respectively, for officers serving on active duty in grades above major general or rear admiral under subsection (a). An officer while serving as Superintendent of the United States Air Force Academy, if serving in the grade of lieutenant general, is in addition to the number that would otherwise be permitted for the Air Force for officers serving on active duty in grades above major general under subsection (a).”

(c) **CLARIFICATION ON OFFSETTING REDUCTIONS.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

“(A) may make appointments in the Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps in the grades of lieutenant general and general in excess of the applicable numbers determined under this section if each such appointment is made in conjunction with an offsetting reduction under paragraph (2); and”

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “subsection (b)(2)” and inserting “this section”;

(2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “the number equal to 10 percent of the total number of officers that may be serving on active duty in those grades in the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps under subsection (b)” and inserting “15”; and

(3) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “the number equal to 15 percent of the total number of officers that may be serving on active duty in those grades in the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps” and inserting “5”.

(d) **OTHER DISTRIBUTION CLARIFICATIONS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (e), by striking “In determining the total number of general officers or flag officers of an armed force on active duty for purposes of this section, the following officers shall not be counted:” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting “The following officers shall not be counted for purposes of this section.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) The limitations of this section do not apply to a reserve component general or flag officer who is on active duty for a period in excess of 365 days, but not to exceed three years, except that the number of officers from each reserve component who are covered by this subsection and is not serving in a position that is a joint duty assignment for purposes of chapter 38 of this title may not exceed 5 per component, unless authorized by the Secretary of Defense

“(2) The exception in paragraph (1) does apply to the position of Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

“(3) Not later than 30 days after authorizing a number of reserve component general or flag officers in excess of the number specified in paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives of such authorization, and shall include with such notice a statement of the reason for such authorization.”

(e) **CHANGE TO AUTHORIZED STRENGTHS.**—Subsection (a) of section 526 of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “307” and inserting “230”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “216” and inserting “160”;

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “279” and inserting “208”; and

(4) in paragraph (4), by striking “81” and inserting “60”.

(f) **CHANGES TO LIMITED EXCLUSION FOR JOINT DUTY REQUIREMENTS.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff” and inserting “Secretary of Defense”;

(B) by striking “65” and inserting “324”; and

(C) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following new sentence: “The Secretary of Defense shall allocate those exclusions to the armed forces based on the number of general or flag officers required from each armed force for assignment to these designated positions.”

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (5); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraphs:

“(2) Unless the Secretary of Defense determines that a lower number is in the best interest of the Department, the minimum number of officers serving in positions designated under paragraph (1) for each armed force shall be as follows:

“(A) For the Army, 85.

“(B) For the Navy, 61.

“(C) For the Air Force, 76.

“(D) For the Marine Corps, 21.

“(3) The number excluded under paragraph (1) and serving in positions designated under that paragraph—

“(A) in the grade of general or admiral may not exceed 20;

“(B) in a grade above the grade of major general or rear admiral may not exceed 68; and

“(C) in the grade of major general or rear admiral may not exceed 144.

“(4) Not later than 30 days after determining to raise or lower a number specified in paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives of such determination.”

(g) **OTHER AUTHORIZATION CLARIFICATIONS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (d), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) The limitations of this section do not apply to a reserve component general or flag officer who is on active duty for a period in excess of 365 days but not to exceed three years, except that the number of such officers from each reserve component who are covered by this paragraph and not serving in a position that is a

joint duty assignment for purposes of chapter 38 of this title may not exceed 5 per component, unless authorized by the Secretary of Defense.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(g) **TEMPORARY EXCLUSION FOR ASSIGNMENT TO CERTAIN TEMPORARY BILLETS.**—(1) The limitations in subsection (a) and in section 525(a) of this title do not apply to a general or flag officer assigned to a temporary joint duty assignment designated by the Secretary of Defense.

“(2) A general or flag officer assigned to a temporary joint duty assignment as described in paragraph (1) may not be excluded under this subsection from the limitations in subsection (a) for a period of longer than one year.

“(h) **EXCLUSION OF OFFICERS DEPARTING FROM JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENTS.**—The limitations in subsection (a) do not apply to an officer released from a joint duty assignment, but only during the 60-day period beginning on the date the officer departs the joint duty assignment. The Secretary of Defense may authorize the Secretary of a military department to extend the 60-day period by an additional 120 days, except that not more than three officers on active duty from each armed force may be covered by an extension under this sentence at the same time.”.

(h) **EXCLUSION OF RESERVE OFFICERS DEPARTING FROM JOINT OR OTHER ACTIVE DUTY ASSIGNMENTS.**—Section 12004 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) The limitations in subsection (a) do not apply to an officer released from a joint duty assignment or other non-joint active duty assignment, but only during the 60-day period beginning on the date the officer departs the joint duty or other active duty assignment. The Secretary of Defense may authorize the Secretary of a military department to extend the 60-day period by an additional 120 days, except that not more than three officers in an active status from each reserve component may be covered by an extension under this sentence at the same time.”.

(i) **REPEAL OF LIMITATIONS ON GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE THE OFFICER'S OWN SERVICE.**—

(1) **REPEAL.**—Section 721 of such title is repealed.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 41 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 721.

(j) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.**—Section 506 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4434; 10 U.S.C. 525 note) is repealed.

SEC. 503. REVISIONS TO ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT ON JOINT OFFICER MANAGEMENT.

Section 667 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and their education and experience”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) A comparison of the number of officers who were designated as a joint qualified officer who had served in a Joint Duty Assignment List billet and completed Joint Professional Military Education Phase II, with the number designated as a joint qualified officer based on their aggregated joint experiences and completion of Joint Professional Military Education Phase II.”;

(2) by striking paragraphs (3), (4), (6), and (12);

(3) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (3);

(4) by redesignating paragraphs (7) through (11) as paragraphs (4) through (8), respectively;

(5) by inserting after paragraph (8), as so redesignated, the following new paragraph:

“(9) With regard to the principal courses of instruction for Joint Professional Military Edu-

cation Level II, the number of officers graduating from each of the following:

“(A) The Joint Forces Staff College.

“(B) The National Defense University.

“(C) Senior Service Schools.”; and

(6) by redesignating paragraph (13) as paragraph (10).

SEC. 504. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY INCREASE IN MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DAYS LEAVE MEMBERS MAY ACCUMULATE AND CARRYOVER.

Section 701(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2013”.

SEC. 505. COMPUTATION OF RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS OF THE NAVY WHO COMPLETE THE SEAMAN TO ADMIRAL (STA-21) OFFICER CANDIDATE PROGRAM.

Section 6328 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding the following new subsection:

“(c) **TIME SPENT IN SEAMAN TO ADMIRAL PROGRAM.**—The months of active service in pursuit of a baccalaureate-level degree under the Seaman to Admiral (STA-21) program of the Navy of officer candidates selected for the program on or after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 shall be excluded in computing the years of service of an officer who was appointed to the grade of ensign in the Navy upon completion of the program to determine the eligibility of the officer for retirement, unless the officer becomes subject to involuntary separation or retirement due to physical disability. Such active service shall be counted in computing the years of active service of the officer for all other purposes.”.

SEC. 506. INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF JUDGE ADVOCATE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.

(a) **INDEPENDENT PANEL FOR REVIEW.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is hereby established an independent panel to review the judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy.

(2) **COMPOSITION.**—The panel shall be composed of five members, appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among private United States citizens who have expertise in law, military manpower policies, the missions of the Armed Forces, or the current responsibilities of judge advocates in ensuring competent legal representation and advice to commanders.

(3) **CHAIR.**—The chair of the panel shall be appointed by the Secretary from among the members of the panel appointed under paragraph (2).

(4) **PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.**—Members shall be appointed for the life of the panel. Any vacancy in the panel shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(5) **DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENTS.**—All original appointments to the panel shall be made not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(6) **MEETINGS.**—The panel shall meet at the call of the chair.

(7) **FIRST MEETING.**—The chair shall call the first meeting of the panel not later than 60 days after the date of the appointment of all the members of the panel.

(b) **DUTIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The panel established under subsection (a) shall carry out a study of the policies and management and organizational practices of the Navy and Marine Corps with respect to the responsibilities, assignment, and career development of judge advocates for purposes of determining the number of judge advocates required to fulfill the legal mission of the Department of the Navy.

(2) **REVIEW.**—In carrying out the study required by paragraph (1), the panel shall—

(A) review the emergent operational law requirements of the Navy and Marine Corps, including requirements for judge advocates on

joint task forces, in support of rule of law objectives in Iraq and Afghanistan, and in operational units;

(B) review new requirements to support the Office of Military Commissions and to support the disability evaluation system for members of the Armed Forces;

(C) review the judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy for the military justice mission, including assignment policies, training and education, increasing complexity of court-martial litigation, and the performance of the Navy and Marine Corps in providing legally sufficient post-trial processing of cases in general courts-martial and special courts-martial;

(D) review the role of the Judge Advocate General of the Navy, as the senior uniformed legal officer of the Department of the Navy, to determine whether additional authority for the Judge Advocate General over manpower policies and assignments of judge advocates in the Navy and Marine Corps is warranted;

(E) review directives issued by the Navy and the Marine Corps pertaining to jointly-shared missions requiring legal support;

(F) review career patterns for Marine Corps judge advocates in order to identify and validate assignments to nonlegal billets required for professional development and promotion; and

(G) review, evaluate, and assess such other matters and materials as the panel considers appropriate for purposes of the study.

(3) **UTILIZATION OF OTHER STUDIES.**—In carrying out the study required by paragraph (1), the panel may review, and incorporate as appropriate, the findings of applicable ongoing and completed studies in future manpower requirements, including the two-part study by CNA Analysis and Solutions entitled “An Analysis of Navy JAG Corps Future Manpower Requirements”.

(4) **REPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after its first meeting under subsection (a)(7), the panel shall submit to the Secretary of Defense and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the study. The report shall include—

(A) the findings and conclusions of the panel as a result of the study; and

(B) any recommendations for legislative or administrative action that the panel considers appropriate in light of the study.

(c) **POWERS OF PANEL.**—

(1) **HEARINGS.**—The panel may hold such hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the panel considers appropriate to carry out its duties under this section.

(2) **INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—Upon request by the chair of the panel, any department or agency of the Federal Government may provide information that the panel considers necessary to carry out its duties under this section.

(d) **PERSONNEL MATTERS.**—

(1) **PAY OF MEMBERS.**—(A) Members of the panel established under subsection (a) shall serve without pay by reason of their work on the panel.

(B) Section 1342 of title 31, United States Code, shall not apply to the acceptance of services of a member of the panel under this section.

(2) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—The members of the panel shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance or services for the panel.

Subtitle B—General Service Authorities**SEC. 511. CONTINUATION ON ACTIVE DUTY OF RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS DURING PHYSICAL DISABILITY EVALUATION FOLLOWING MOBILIZATION AND DEPLOYMENT.**

Section 1218 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) The Secretary of a military department shall ensure that each member of a reserve component under the jurisdiction of the Secretary who is determined, after a mobilization and deployment to an area in which imminent danger pay is authorized under section 310 of title 37, to require evaluation for a physical or mental disability which could result in separation or retirement for disability under this chapter or placement on the temporary disability retired list or inactive status list under this chapter is retained on active duty during the disability evaluation process until such time as such member is—

“(A) cleared by appropriate authorities for continuation on active duty; or

“(B) separated, retired, or placed on the temporary disability retired list or inactive status list.

“(2)(A) A member described in paragraph (1) may request termination of active duty under such paragraph at any time during the demobilization or disability evaluation process of such member.

“(B) Upon a request under subparagraph (A), a member described in paragraph (1) shall only be released from active duty after the member receives counseling about the consequences of termination of active duty.

“(C) Each release from active duty under subparagraph (B) shall be thoroughly documented.

“(3) The requirements in paragraph (1) shall expire on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.”.

SEC. 512. MEDICAL EXAMINATION REQUIRED BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATION OF MEMBERS DIAGNOSED WITH OR REASONABLY ASSERTING POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER OR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY.

(a) MEDICAL EXAMINATION REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 59 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1176 the following new section:

“§1177. **Members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury: medical examination required before administrative separation**

“(a) MEDICAL EXAMINATION REQUIRED.—(1) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of a military department shall ensure that a member of the armed forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary who has been deployed overseas in support of a contingency operation during the previous 24 months, and who is diagnosed by a physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist as experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury or who otherwise reasonably alleges, based on the service of the member while deployed, the influence of such a condition, receives a medical examination to evaluate a diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.

“(2) A member covered by paragraph (1) shall not be administratively separated under conditions other than honorable until the results of the medical examination have been reviewed by appropriate authorities responsible for evaluating, reviewing, and approving the separation case, as determined by the Secretary concerned.

“(3) In a case involving post-traumatic stress disorder, the medical examination shall be performed by a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist. In cases involving traumatic brain injury, the medical examination may be performed by a physician, clinical psychologist, psychiatrist, or other health care professional, as appropriate.

“(b) PURPOSE OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION.—The medical examination required by subsection (a) shall assess whether the effects of post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury constitute matters in extenuation that relate to the basis for administrative separation under conditions other than honorable or the overall characterization of service of the member as other than honorable.

“(c) INAPPLICABILITY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.—The medical examination and procedures required by this section do not apply to courts-martial or other proceedings conducted pursuant to the Uniform Code of Military Justice.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1176 the following new item:

“1177. Members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury: medical examination required before administrative separation.”.

(b) REVIEW OF PREVIOUS DISCHARGES AND DISMISSALS.—Section 1553 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) In the case of a former member of the armed forces who, while serving on active duty as a member of the armed forces, was deployed in support of a contingency operation and who, at any time after such deployment, was diagnosed by a physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist as experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury as a consequence of that deployment, a board established under this section to review the former member's discharge or dismissal shall include a member who is a physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist.

“(2) In the case of a former member described in paragraph (1) or a former member whose application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury as supporting rationale or as justification for priority consideration, the Secretary concerned shall expedite a final decision and shall accord such cases sufficient priority to achieve an expedited resolution. In determining the priority of cases, the Secretary concerned shall weigh the medical and humanitarian circumstances of all cases and accord higher priority to cases not involving post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury only when the individual cases are considered more compelling.”.

(c) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 240 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing the detailed procedures and policies used by the Secretaries of the military department to implement the amendments made by this section, including—

(1) the list of officials identified by the Secretaries as required to review physical examinations to determine the possible influence of post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury on the behavior of members before their separation under other than honorable conditions;

(2) the procedures adopted by the Secretaries to ensure that appropriate physical examinations required by the amendments are conducted;

(3) the procedures adopted by the Secretaries to ensure that the medical reviews required by the amendments are conducted; and

(4) the procedures adopted by the Secretaries to ensure that requests for review of discharges based on matters related to post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury are considered in a timely manner by boards that include appropriate medical personnel, as required by the amendments.

SEC. 513. LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR ADDITIONAL RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS.

Section 1044(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “the Secretary of Defense), for a period of time, prescribed by the Secretary of Defense,” and inserting “the Secretary), for a period of time (prescribed by the Secretary)”.

SEC. 514. LIMITATION ON SCHEDULING OF MOBILIZATION OR PRE-MOBILIZATION TRAINING FOR RESERVE UNITS WHEN CERTAIN SUSPENSION OF TRAINING IS LIKELY.

(a) LIMITATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of a military department shall avoid scheduling mobilization training or pre-mobilization training for a unit of a reserve component of the Armed Forces at a temporary duty location that is outside the normal commuting distance of the unit (as determined pursuant to the regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (c)) if a suspension of training at such temporary duty location of at least five days is anticipated to occur during any portion of such mobilization or pre-mobilization training.

(2) WAIVER.—The Secretary of a military department may waive the applicability of the limitation in paragraph (1) to a unit of a reserve component if the Secretary determines that the waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

(3) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Until December 31, 2014, the Secretary of the military department concerned shall submit written notice of each waiver issued under paragraph (2) to the congressional defense committees. Notice of such waiver shall be so submitted at the time of the issuance of such waiver.

(b) NOTICE OF OTHER SUSPENSIONS OF TRAINING.—Until December 31, 2014, in the event of a suspension of training (other than an anticipated suspension of training described in subsection (a)(1)) of at least five days at a temporary duty location at which one or more units of the reserve components on active duty are engaged in mobilization training or pre-mobilization training, the Secretary of the military department having jurisdiction over such unit or units shall submit written notice of the suspension to the congressional defense committees. Notice of such suspension of training shall be so submitted at the time of such suspension of training.

(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretaries of the military departments shall administer this section in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. Such regulations shall apply uniformly among the military departments.

SEC. 515. EVALUATION OF TEST OF UTILITY OF TEST PREPARATION GUIDES AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS IN IMPROVING QUALIFICATIONS OF RECRUITS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.

Section 546(d) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2215) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by striking “in training and unit settings” and inserting “during training and unit assignments”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Data to make the comparison between the two groups shall be derived from existing sources, which may include performance ratings, separations, promotions, awards and decorations, and reenlistment statistics.”.

SEC. 516. REPORT ON PRESENCE IN THE ARMED FORCES OF MEMBERS ASSOCIATED OR AFFILIATED WITH GROUPS ENGAGED IN PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Attorney General, submit to the Committees on Armed Service of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the following:

(1) Any active participation by members of the Armed Forces in prohibited activities (as defined by subsection 3.5.8 of Department of Defense Directive 1325.6).

(2) The policies of the Department of Defense to prevent individuals who are active participants in such activities from enlisting in the Armed Forces.

Subtitle C—Education and Training

SEC. 521. DETAIL OF COMMISSIONED OFFICERS AS STUDENTS AT SCHOOLS OF PSYCHOLOGY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2004a the following new section:

“§2004b. Detail of commissioned officers as students at schools of psychology

“(a) **DETAIL AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of each military department may detail commissioned officers of the armed forces as students at accredited schools of psychology located in the United States for a period of training leading to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in clinical psychology. No more than 25 officers from each military department may commence such training in any single fiscal year.

“(b) **ELIGIBILITY FOR DETAIL.**—To be eligible for detail under subsection (a), an officer must be a citizen of the United States and must—

“(1) have served on active duty for a period of not less than two years nor more than six years and be in the pay grade 0–3 or below as of the time the training is to begin; and

“(2) sign an agreement that unless sooner separated the officer will—

“(A) complete the educational course of psychological training;

“(B) accept transfer or detail as a commissioned officer within the military department concerned when the officer's training is completed; and

“(C) agree to serve, following completion of the officer's training, on active duty (or on active duty and in the Selected Reserve) for a period as specified pursuant to subsection (c).

“(c) **SERVICE OBLIGATION.**—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the agreement of an officer under subsection (b) shall provide that the officer shall serve on active duty for two years for each year or part thereof of the officer's training under subsection (a).

“(2) The agreement of an officer may authorize the officer to serve a portion of the officer's service obligation on active duty and to complete the service obligation that remains upon separation from active duty in the Selected Reserve. Under any such agreement, an officer shall serve three years in the Selected Reserve for each year or part thereof of the officer's training under subsection (a) for any service obligation that was not completed before separation from active duty.

“(d) **SELECTION OF OFFICERS FOR DETAIL.**—Officers detailed for training under subsection (a) shall be selected on a competitive basis by the Secretary of the military department concerned.

“(e) **RELATION OF SERVICE OBLIGATIONS TO OTHER SERVICE OBLIGATIONS.**—Any service obligation incurred by an officer under an agreement entered into under subsection (b) shall be in addition to any service obligation incurred by the officer under any other provision of law or agreement.

“(f) **EXPENSES.**—Expenses incident to the detail of officers under this section shall be paid from any funds appropriated for the military department concerned.

“(g) **FAILURE TO COMPLETE PROGRAM.**—(1) An officer who is dropped from a program of psychological training to which detailed under subsection (a) for deficiency in conduct or studies, or for other reasons, may be required to perform active duty in an appropriate military capacity in accordance with the active duty obligation imposed on the officer under regulations issued by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this section.

“(2) In no case shall an officer be required to serve on active duty under paragraph (1) for any period in excess of one year for each year or part thereof the officer participated in the program.

“(h) **LIMITATION ON DETAILS.**—No agreement detailing an officer of the armed forces to an accredited school of psychology may be entered into during any period in which the President is authorized by law to induct persons into the armed forces involuntarily. Nothing in this subsection shall affect any agreement entered into during any period when the President is not authorized by law to induct persons into the armed forces.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 101 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2004a the following new item:

“2004b. Detail of commissioned officers as students at schools of psychology.”.

SEC. 522. APPOINTMENT OF PERSONS ENROLLED IN ADVANCED COURSE OF THE ARMY RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS AT MILITARY JUNIOR COLLEGES AS CADETS IN ARMY RESERVE OR ARMY NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES.

Section 2107a(h) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “17 cadets” and inserting “22 cadets”;

(2) by striking “17 members” and inserting “22 members”;

(3) by striking “17 such members” and inserting “22 such members”.

SEC. 523. EXPANSION OF CRITERIA FOR APPOINTMENT AS MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES.

Section 2113a(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “health and health education” and inserting “health care, higher education administration, or public policy”.

SEC. 524. USE OF ARMED FORCES HEALTH PROFESSIONS SCHOLARSHIP AND FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO INCREASE NUMBER OF HEALTH PROFESSIONALS WITH SKILLS TO ASSIST IN PROVIDING MENTAL HEALTH CARE.

(a) **ADDITIONAL ELEMENT WITHIN SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.**—Section 2121(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(a)”;

(2) by striking “in the various health professions” and inserting “(A) in the various health professions or (B) as a health professional with specific skills to assist in providing mental health care to members of the armed forces”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) Under the program of a military department, the Secretary of that military department shall allocate a portion of the total number of scholarships to members of the program described in paragraph (1)(B) for the purpose of assisting such members to pursue a degree at the masters and doctoral level in any of the following disciplines:

“(A) Social work.

“(B) Clinical psychology.

“(C) Psychiatry.

“(D) Other disciplines that contribute to mental health care programs in that military department.”.

(b) **AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF MEMBERS OF THE PROGRAM.**—Section 2124 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking “The number” and inserting “(a) AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF MEMBERS OF THE PROGRAM.—The number”;

(2) by striking “6,000” and inserting “6,300”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) **MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS.**—Of the number of persons designated as members of the program at any time, 300 may be members of the program described in section 2121(a)(1)(B) of this title.”.

SEC. 525. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE UNDERGRADUATE NURSE TRAINING PROGRAM.

(a) **REVISION OF CURRENT SCHOOL OF NURSING AUTHORIZATIONS.**—

(1) **REPEAL OF ESTABLISHMENT WITHIN UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES.**—Section 2117 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) **ESTABLISHMENT AS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SCHOOL.**—Chapter 108 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2169. School of Nursing: establishment

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense may establish a School of Nursing.

“(b) **DEGREE GRANTING AUTHORITY.**—The School of Nursing may include a program that awards a bachelor of science in nursing.

“(c) **PHASED DEVELOPMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense may develop the School of Nursing in phases as determined appropriate by the Secretary.”.

(3) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **CHAPTER 104.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 104 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2117.

(B) **CHAPTER 108.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 108 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2169. School of Nursing: establishment.”.

(b) **AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH UNDERGRADUATE NURSE TRAINING PROGRAM.**—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2016. Undergraduate nurse training program: establishment through agreement with academic institution

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT AUTHORIZED.**—(1) To increase the number of nurses in the armed forces, the Secretary of Defense may enter into an agreement with one or more academic institutions to establish and operate an undergraduate program (in this section referred to as a ‘undergraduate nurse training program’) under which participants will earn a nursing degree and serve as a member of the armed forces.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense may authorize the participation of members of the other uniformed services in the undergraduate nurse training program if the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Health and Human Services jointly determine the participation of such members in the program will facilitate an increase in the number of nurses in the other uniformed services.

“(b) **GRADUATION RATES.**—An undergraduate nurse training program shall have the capacity to graduate 25 students with a bachelor of science degree in the first class of the program, 50 in the second class, and 100 annually thereafter.

“(c) **ELEMENTS.**—An undergraduate nurse training program shall have the following elements:

“(1) It shall involve an academic partnership with one or more academic institutions with existing accredited schools of nursing.

“(2) It shall recruit as participants qualified individuals with at least two years of appropriate academic preparation, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

“(d) **LOCATION OF PROGRAMS.**—An academic institution selected to operate an undergraduate nurse training program shall establish the program at or near a military installation. A military installation at or near which an undergraduate nurse training program is established must—

“(1) be one of the ten largest military installations in the United States, in terms of the number of active duty personnel assigned to the installation and family members residing on or in the vicinity of the installations; and

“(2) have a military treatment facility with inpatient capability designated as a medical center located on the installation or within 10 miles of the installation.

“(e) **LIMITATION ON FACULTY.**—An agreement entered into under subsection (a) shall not require members of the armed forces who are nurses to serve as faculty members for an undergraduate nurse training program.

“(f) **MILITARY SERVICE COMMITMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall encourage members of the armed forces to apply to participate in an undergraduate nurse training program. Graduates of the program shall incur a military service obligation in a regular or reserve component, as determined by the Secretary.”

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item: “2016. Undergraduate nurse training program: establishment through agreement with academic institution.”

(c) **UNDERGRADUATE NURSE TRAINING PROGRAM PLAN.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a plan to establish an undergraduate nurse training program in the Department of Defense in accordance with the authority provided by section 2169 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), section 2016 of such title, as added by subsection (b), or any other authority available to the Secretary.

(d) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.**—The plan required by subsection (c) shall provide for the establishment of a pilot program to increase the number of nurses serving in the Armed Forces.

(2) **IMPLEMENTATION AND DURATION.**—The pilot program shall begin not later than July 1, 2011, and be of not less than five years in duration.

(3) **GRADUATION RATES.**—The pilot program shall achieve graduation rates at least equal to the rates required for the undergraduate nurse training program authorized by section 2016 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (b).

(4) **IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the pilot program, including a description of the program selected to be undertaken, the program's goals, and any additional legal authorities that may be needed to undertake the program.

(5) **PROGRESS REPORTS.**—Not later than 90 days after the end of each academic year of the pilot program, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report specifying the number of nurses accessed into the Armed Forces through the program and the number of students accepted for the upcoming academic year.

(6) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year before the end of the pilot program, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report specifying the number of nurses accessed through the program, evaluating the overall effectiveness of the program, and containing the Secretary's recommendations regarding whether the program should be extended.

(e) **EFFECT ON OTHER NURSING PROGRAMS.**—Notwithstanding the development of undergraduate nurse training programs under the amendments made by this section and subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense shall en-

sure that graduate degree programs in nursing, including advanced practice nursing, continue.

(f) **EFFECT ON OTHER RECRUITMENT EFFORTS.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting or terminating any current or future program of the Department of Defense related to the recruitment, accession, training, or retention of nurses.

SEC. 526. INCREASE IN NUMBER OF PRIVATE SECTOR CIVILIANS AUTHORIZED FOR ADMISSION TO NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY.

Section 2167(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “10 full-time student positions” and inserting “20 full-time student positions”.

SEC. 527. APPOINTMENTS TO MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES FROM NOMINATIONS MADE BY DELEGATE FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.

(a) **UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.**—Section 4342(a)(10) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “One cadet” and inserting “Two cadets”.

(b) **UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY.**—Section 6954(a)(10) of such title is amended by striking “One” and inserting “Two”.

(c) **UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY.**—Section 9342(a)(10) of such title is amended by striking “One cadet” and inserting “Two cadets”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to appointments to the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, and the United States Air Force Academy beginning with the first class of candidates nominated for appointment to these military service academies after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 528. ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR THE AIR FORCE ACADEMY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 903 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§9362. Support of athletic programs

“(a) **CORPORATION FOR SUPPORT AUTHORIZED.**—(1) The Secretary of the Air Force may, in accordance with the laws of the State of incorporation, establish a corporation (in this section referred to as the ‘corporation’) to support the athletic programs of the Academy. All stock of the corporation shall be owned by the United States and held in the name of and voted by the Secretary of the Air Force.

“(2) The corporation shall operate exclusively for charitable, educational, and civic purposes to support the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(b) **CORPORATE ORGANIZATION.**—The corporation shall be organized and operated—

“(1) as a nonprofit corporation under section 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

“(2) in accordance with this section; and

“(3) pursuant to the laws of the State of incorporation, its articles of incorporation, and its bylaws.

“(c) **CORPORATE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.**—(1) The members of the board of directors of the corporation shall serve without compensation as members of the board, except for reasonable travel and other related expenses for attendance at meetings of the board.

“(2) The Secretary of the Air Force may authorize military and civilian personnel of the Air Force under section 1033 of this title to serve, in their official capacities, as members of the board of directors of the corporation, but such personnel shall not hold more than one-third of the directorships.

“(d) **TRANSFERS FROM NONAPPROPRIATED FUND OPERATION.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may, subject to the acceptance of the corporation, transfer to the corporation all title to and ownership of the assets and liabilities of the Air Force nonappropriated fund instrumentality whose functions include providing support for

the athletic programs of the Academy, including bank accounts and financial reserves in its accounts, equipment, supplies, and other personal property, but excluding any interest in real property.

“(e) **ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may accept from the corporation funds, supplies, and services for the support of cadets and Academy personnel during their participation in Academy or corporate events related to the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(f) **LEASES.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may, in accordance with section 2667 of this title, lease real and personal property to the corporation for purposes related to the athletic programs of the Academy. Funds received from any such lease may be retained and spent by the Secretary to support athletic programs of the Academy.

“(g) **COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may enter into cooperative agreements (as described in section 6305 of title 31) with the corporation for purposes related to the athletic programs of the Academy.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 903 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“9362. Support of athletic programs.”

SEC. 529. LANGUAGE TRAINING CENTERS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense may carry out a program to establish language training centers at accredited universities, senior military colleges, or other similar institutions of higher education for purposes of accelerating the development of foundational expertise in critical and strategic languages and regional area studies (as defined by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this section) for members of the Armed Forces, including members of the reserve components and candidates of the Reserve Officers' Training Corps programs, and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—Each language training center established under the program authorized by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Programs to provide that members of the Armed Forces or civilian employees of the Department of Defense who graduate from the institution of higher education concerned include members or employees, as the case may be, who are skilled in the languages and area studies covered by the program from beginning through advanced skill levels.

(2) Programs of language proficiency training for such members and civilian employees at the institution of higher education concerned in critical and strategic languages tailored to meet operational readiness requirements.

(3) Alternative language training delivery systems and modalities to meet language and regional area study requirements for such members and employees whether prior to deployment, during deployment, or post-deployment.

(4) Programs on critical and strategic languages under the program that can be incorporated into Reserve Officers' Training Corps programs to facilitate the development of language skills in such languages among future officers of the Armed Forces.

(5) Training and education programs to expand the pool of qualified instructors and educators on critical and strategic languages and regional area studies under the program for the Armed Forces.

(6) Programs to facilitate and encourage the recruitment of native and heritage speakers of critical and strategic languages under the program into the Armed Forces and the civilian workforce of the Department of Defense and to support the Civilian Linguist Reserve Corps.

(c) **PARTNERSHIPS WITH OTHER SCHOOLS.**—Any language training center established under

the program authorized by subsection (a) may enter into a partnership with one or more local educational agencies to facilitate the development of skills in critical and strategic languages under the program among students attending the elementary and secondary schools of such agencies who may pursue a military career.

(d) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the language training centers established under the program authorized by subsection (a) are aligned with those of the National Security Education Program, the Defense Language Institute, and other appropriate Department of Defense programs to facilitate and encourage the recruitment of native and heritage speakers of critical and strategic languages under the program into the Armed Forces and the civilian workforce of the Department of Defense and to support the Civilian Linguist Reserve Corps.

(e) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the establishment of the program authorized by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the program. The report shall include the following:

(1) A description of each language training center established under the program.

(2) An assessment of the cost-effectiveness of the program in providing foundational expertise in critical and strategic languages and regional area studies in support of the Defense Language Transformation Roadmap.

(3) An assessment of the progress made by each language training center in providing capabilities in critical and strategic languages under the program to members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense employees.

(4) A recommendation whether the program should be continued and, if so, recommendations as to any modifications of the program that the Secretary considers appropriate.

Subtitle D—Defense Dependents' Education

SEC. 531. CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.

(a) **ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.**—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 pursuant to section 301(5) for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities, \$30,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (a) of section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3271; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).

(b) **ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH ENROLLMENT CHANGES DUE TO BASE CLOSURES, FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES, OR FORCE RELOCATIONS.**—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 pursuant to section 301(5) for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities, \$14,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (b) of such section 572, as amended by section 533 of this Act.

(c) **LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “local educational agency” has the meaning given that term in section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9)).

SEC. 532. IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES.

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 by section 301(5) for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities, \$5,000,000 shall be available for payments under section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-77; 20 U.S.C. 7703a).

SEC. 533. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES WITH ENROLLMENT CHANGES DUE TO BASE CLOSURES, FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES, OR FORCE RELOCATIONS.

Section 572(b)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3271; 20 U.S.C. 7703b(b)(4)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2012”.

SEC. 534. AUTHORITY TO EXTEND ELIGIBILITY FOR ENROLLMENT IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS TO CERTAIN ADDITIONAL CATEGORIES OF DEPENDENTS.

Section 2164 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(j) **TUITION-FREE ENROLLMENT OF DEPENDENTS OF FOREIGN MILITARY PERSONNEL RESIDING ON DOMESTIC MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND DEPENDENTS OF CERTAIN DECEASED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**—(1) The Secretary may authorize the enrollment in a Department of Defense education program provided by the Secretary pursuant to subsection (a) of a dependent not otherwise eligible for such enrollment who is the dependent of an individual described in paragraph (2). Enrollment of such a dependent shall be on a tuition-free basis.

“(2) An individual referred to in paragraph (1) is any of the following:

“(A) A member of a foreign armed force residing on a military installation in the United States (including territories, commonwealths, and possessions of the United States).

“(B) A deceased member of the armed forces who died in the line of duty in a combat-related operation, as designated by the Secretary.”

SEC. 535. PERMANENT AUTHORITY FOR ENROLLMENT IN DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION SYSTEM OF DEPENDENTS OF FOREIGN MILITARY MEMBERS ASSIGNED TO SUPREME HEADQUARTERS ALLIED POWERS, EUROPE.

(a) **PERMANENT ENROLLMENT AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a)(2) of section 1404A of the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 923a) is amended by striking “, and only through the 2010-2011 school year”.

(b) **COMBATANT COMMANDER ADVICE AND ASSISTANCE.**—Subsection (c)(1) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “The Secretary shall prescribe such methodology with the advice and assistance of the commander of the geographic combatant command with jurisdiction over Mons, Belgium.”

SEC. 536. DETERMINATION OF NUMBER OF WEIGHTED STUDENT UNITS FOR LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR RECEIPT OF BASIC SUPPORT PAYMENTS UNDER IMPACT AID.

Section 8003(a)(2)(C)(i) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(a)(2)(C)(i)) is amended by striking “6,500” and inserting “5,000”.

SEC. 537. STUDY ON OPTIONS FOR EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR DEPENDENT CHILDREN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHEN PUBLIC SCHOOLS ATTENDED BY SUCH CHILDREN ARE DETERMINED TO NEED IMPROVEMENT.

(a) **STUDY ON OPTIONS FOR EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.**—

(1) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, conduct a study on options for educational opportunities that are, or may be, available for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces who do not attend Department of Defense dependents' schools when the public elementary and secondary schools attended by such children are determined to be in need of improvement pursuant to section 1116(b) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6316(b)).

(2) **OPTIONS.**—The options to be considered under the study required by paragraph (1) may include the following:

(A) Education programs offered through the Internet, including programs that are provided by the Department of Defense through the Internet.

(B) Charter schools.

(C) Such other public school options as the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, considers appropriate for purposes of the study.

(3) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required by paragraph (1) shall address the following matters:

(A) The challenges faced by parents of military families in securing quality elementary and secondary education for their children when the public elementary and secondary schools attended by their children are identified as being in need of improvement.

(B) The extent to which perceptions of differing degrees of quality in public elementary and secondary schools in different regions of the United States affect plans of military families to relocate, including relocation pursuant to a permanent change of duty station.

(C) The various reasons why military families seek educational opportunities for their children other than those available through local public elementary and secondary schools.

(D) The current level of student achievement in public elementary and secondary schools in school districts which have a high percentage of students who are children of military families.

(E) The educational needs of children of military families who are required by location to attend public elementary and secondary schools identified as being in need of improvement.

(F) The value and impact of other alternative educational programs for military families.

(G) The extent to which the options referred to in paragraph (2) would provide a meaningful option for education for military children when the public elementary and secondary schools attended by such children are determined to be in need of improvement.

(H) The extent to which the options referred to in paragraph (2) would improve the quality of education available for students with special needs, including students with learning disabilities and gifted students.

(I) Such other matters as the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Education consider appropriate for purposes of the study.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate, the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives a report on the study required by subsection (a). The report shall include the following:

(1) A description of the results of the study.

(2) Such recommendations for legislative or administrative action as the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, considers appropriate in light of the results of the study.

SEC. 538. COMPTROLLER GENERAL AUDIT OF ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES FOR DEPENDENT CHILDREN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an audit of the utilization by local educational agencies of the assistance specified in subsection (b) provided to such agencies for fiscal years 2001 through 2009 for the education of dependent children of members of the Armed Forces. The audit shall include—

(1) an evaluation of the utilization of such assistance by such agencies; and

(2) an assessment of the effectiveness of such assistance in improving the quality of education provided to dependent children of members of the Armed Forces.

(b) ASSISTANCE SPECIFIED.—The assistance specified in this subsection is the following:

(1) Assistance provided under the following:

(A) Section 551 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4468).

(B) Section 571 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 119).

(C) Section 572 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2225).

(D) Section 574 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (120 Stat. 2226; 20 U.S.C. 7703b note).

(E) Section 575 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (120 Stat. 2227; 10 U.S.C. 1788 note).

(F) Section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3271; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).

(G) Section 574 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (119 Stat. 3273).

(H) Section 558 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 1916).

(I) Section 559 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (118 Stat. 1917).

(J) Section 536 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1474).

(K) Clauses (i) and (ii) of section 8003(b)(2)(H) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(b)(2)(H)).

(L) Section 341 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 116 Stat. 2514).

(M) Section 344 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (116 Stat. 2515).

(N) Section 351 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107; 115 Stat. 1063).

(O) Section 362 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-76).

(P) Section 364 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (114 Stat. 1654A-78).

(2) Payments made under section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (114 Stat. 1654A-77; 20 U.S.C. 7703a).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the audit required by subsection (a).

SEC. 539. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE INTERSTATE COMPACT ON EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY FOR MILITARY CHILDREN.

It is the sense of Congress to—

(1) express strong support and commendation for all the States that have successfully enacted the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children;

(2) express its strong support and encourage all remaining States to enact the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children;

(3) recognize the importance of the components of the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children, including—

(A) the transfer of educational records to expedite the proper enrollment and placement of students;

(B) the ability of students to continue their enrollment at a grade level in the receiving State commensurate with their grade level from the sending State;

(C) priority for attendance to children of members of the Armed Forces assuming the school district accepts transfer students;

(D) the ability of students to continue their course placement, including but not limited to

Honors, International Baccalaureate, Advanced Placement, vocational, technical, and career pathways courses;

(E) the recalculation of grades to consider the weights offered by a receiving school for the same performance in the same course when a student transfers from one grading system to another system (for example, number-based system to letter-based system);

(F) the waiver of specific courses required for graduation if similar course work has been satisfactorily completed in another local education agency or the provision of an alternative means of acquiring required coursework so that graduation may occur on time; and

(G) the recognition of an appointed guardian as a custodial parent while the child's parent or parents are deployed; and

(4) express strong support for States to develop a State Council to provide for the coordination among their agencies of government, local education agencies, and military installations concerning the participation of a State in the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children.

Subtitle E—Missing or Deceased Persons

SEC. 541. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCOUNTING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES LISTED AS MISSING IN CONFLICTS OCCURRING BEFORE ENACTMENT OF NEW SYSTEM FOR ACCOUNTING FOR MISSING PERSONS.

(a) IMPOSITION OF ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1509 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§1509. Program to resolve preenactment missing person cases

“(a) PROGRAM REQUIRED; COVERED CONFLICTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall implement a comprehensive, coordinated, integrated, and fully resourced program to account for persons described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of section 1513(1) of this title who are unaccounted for from the following conflicts:

“(1) World War II during the period beginning on December 7, 1941, and ending on December 31, 1946, including members of the armed forces who were lost during flight operations in the Pacific theater of operations covered by section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65; 10 U.S.C. 1501 note).

“(2) The Cold War during the period beginning on September 2, 1945, and ending on August 21, 1991.

“(3) The Korean War during the period beginning on June 27, 1950, and ending on January 31, 1955.

“(4) The Indochina War era during the period beginning on July 8, 1959, and ending on May 15, 1975.

“(5) The Persian Gulf War during the period beginning on August 2, 1990, and ending on February 28, 1991.

“(6) Such other conflicts in which members of the armed forces served as the Secretary of Defense may designate.

“(b) IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall implement the program within the Department of Defense POW/MIA accounting community.

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘POW/MIA accounting community’ means:

“(A) The Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office (DPMO).

“(B) The Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command (JPAC).

“(C) The Armed Forces DNA Identification Laboratory (AFDIL).

“(D) The Life Sciences Equipment Laboratory of the Air Force (LSEL).

“(E) The casualty and mortuary affairs offices of the military departments.

“(F) Any other element of the Department of Defense whose mission (as designated by the

Secretary of Defense) involves the accounting for and recovery of members of the armed forces who are missing in action, prisoners of war, or unaccounted for.

“(c) TREATMENT AS MISSING PERSONS.—Each unaccounted for person covered by subsection (a) shall be considered to be a missing person for purposes of the applicability of other provisions of this chapter to the person.

“(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF PERSONNEL FILES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that a personnel file is established and maintained for each person covered by subsection (a) if the Secretary—

“(A) possesses any information relevant to the status of the person; or

“(B) receives any new information regarding the missing person as provided in subsection (e).

“(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each file established under this subsection contains all relevant information pertaining to a person covered by subsection (a) and is readily accessible to all elements of the department, the combatant commands, and the armed forces involved in the effort to account for the person.

“(3) Each file established under this subsection shall be handled in accordance with, and subject to the provisions of, section 1506 of this title in the same manner as applies to the file of a missing person otherwise subject to such section.

“(e) REVIEW OF STATUS REQUIREMENTS.—(1) If new information (as described in paragraph (3)) is found or received that may be related to one or more unaccounted for persons covered by subsection (a), whether or not such information specifically relates (or may specifically relate) to any particular such unaccounted for person, that information shall be provided to the Secretary of Defense.

“(2) Upon receipt of new information under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure that—

“(A) the information is treated under paragraph (2) of subsection (c) of section 1505 of this title, relating to addition of the information to the personnel file of a person and notification requirements, in the same manner as information received under paragraph (1) under such subsection; and

“(B) the information is treated under paragraph (3) of subsection (c) and subsection (d) of such section, relating to a board review under such section, in the same manner as information received under paragraph (1) of such subsection (c).

“(3) For purposes of this subsection, new information is information that is credible and that—

“(A) is found or received after November 18, 1997, by a United States intelligence agency, by a Department of Defense agency, or by a person specified in section 1504(g) of this title; or

“(B) is identified after November 18, 1997, in records of the United States as information that could be relevant to the case of one or more unaccounted for persons covered by subsection (a).

“(f) COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS.—(1) In establishing and carrying out the program, the Secretary of Defense shall coordinate with the Secretaries of the military departments, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the commanders of the combatant commands.

“(2) In carrying out the program, the Secretary of Defense shall establish close coordination with the Department of State, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the National Security Council to enhance the ability of the Department of Defense POW/MIA accounting community to account for persons covered by subsection (a).”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 76 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1509 and inserting the following new item:

“1509. Program to resolve preenactment missing person cases.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1513(1) of such title is amended in the matter after subparagraph (B) by striking “section 1509(b) of this title who is required by section 1509(a)(1) of this title” and inserting “subsection (a) of section 1509 of this title who is required by subsection (b) of such section”.

(d) IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) PRIORITY.—A priority of the program required by section 1509 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), to resolve missing person cases arising before the enactment of chapter 76 of such title by section 569 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104-106; 110 Stat. 336) shall be the return of missing persons to United States control alive.

(2) ACCOUNTING FOR GOAL.—In implementing the program, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the officials specified in subsection (f)(1) of section 1509 of title 10, United States Code, shall provide such funds, personnel, and resources as the Secretary considers appropriate to increase significantly the capability and capacity of the Department of Defense, the Armed Forces, and commanders of the combatant commands to account for missing persons so that, beginning with fiscal year 2015, the POW/MIA accounting community has sufficient resources to ensure that at least 200 missing persons are accounted for under the program annually.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) The term “accounted for” has the meaning given such term in section 1513(3)(B) of title 10, United States Code.

(B) The term “POW/MIA accounting community” has the meaning given such term in section 1509(b)(2) of such title.

SEC. 542. POLICY AND PROCEDURES ON MEDIA ACCESS AND ATTENDANCE BY FAMILY MEMBERS AT CEREMONIES FOR THE DIGNIFIED TRANSFER OF REMAINS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO DIE OVERSEAS.

(a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY AND PROCEDURES ON MEDIA ACCESS AT CEREMONIES FOR DIGNIFIED TRANSFER OF REMAINS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO DIE OVERSEAS.—

(1) POLICY REQUIRED.—Not later than April 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe a policy guaranteeing media access at ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces who die while located or serving overseas (in this section referred to as “military decedents”) when approved by the primary next of kin of such military decedents.

(2) PROCEDURES.—The policy developed under paragraph (1) shall include procedures to be followed by the military departments in conducting appropriate ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains of military decedents. The procedures shall be uniform across the military departments except to the extent necessary to reflect the traditional practices or customs of a particular military department.

(3) ELEMENTS.—The policy developed under paragraph (1) shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(A) Provision for access by media representatives to transfers described in paragraph (1) if approved in advance by the primary next of kin of the military decedent or their designee.

(B) Procedures for designating with certainty who is authorized to make the decision to approve media access at transfer ceremonies described in that paragraph under reasonable, foreseeable circumstances.

(C) Conditions for coverage that media representatives must comply with during such transfer ceremonies, and procedures for ensuring agreement in advance by media representatives with the conditions for coverage prescribed by military authorities.

(D) Procedures for the waiver by the primary next of kin or other designees of Departmental policies relating to delays in release of casualty

information to the media and general public, when such waiver is required.

(b) TRANSPORTATION TO TRANSFER CEREMONIES.—

(1) PROVISION OF TRANSPORTATION REQUIRED.—Section 411f of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection (e):

“(e) TRANSPORTATION TO TRANSFER CEREMONIES OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO DIE OVERSEAS.—(1) The Secretary of the military department concerned may provide round trip transportation to ceremonies for the transfer of a member of the armed forces who dies while located or serving overseas to the following:

“(A) The primary next of kin of the member.

“(B) Two family members (other than primary next of kin) of the member.

“(C) One or more additional family members of the member, at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(2)(A) For purposes of this subsection, the primary next of kin of a member of the armed forces shall be the eligible relatives of the member specified in subparagraphs (A) through (D) of subsection (c)(1).

“(B) The Secretaries of the military departments shall prescribe in regulations the family members of a member of the armed forces who shall constitute family members for purposes of subparagraphs (B) and (C) of paragraph (1). The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that such regulations are uniform across the military departments.

“(3) Transportation shall be provided under this subsection by means of Invitational Travel Authorizations.

“(4) The Secretary of a military department may, upon the request of the primary next of kin covered by paragraph (1)(A) and at the discretion of the Secretary, provide for the accompaniment of such next of kin in travel under this subsection by a casualty assistance officer or family liaison officer of the military department who shall act as an escort in such accompaniment.”.

(2) CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(A) HEADING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§411f. Travel and transportation allowances: Transportation for survivors of deceased member to attend member's burial ceremonies; transportation for survivors of member dying overseas to attend transfer ceremonies”.

(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 411f and inserting the following new item:

“411f. Travel and transportation allowances: Transportation for survivors of deceased member to attend member's burial ceremonies; transportation for survivors of member dying overseas to attend transfer ceremonies.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 543. REPORT ON EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY OF A MEMBER TO DESIGNATE PERSONS TO DIRECT DISPOSITION OF THE REMAINS OF A DECEASED MEMBER.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report evaluating the potential effects of expanding the list of persons under section 1482(c) of title 10, United States Code, who may be designated by a member of the Armed Forces as the person authorized to

direct disposition of the remains of the member if the member is deceased to include persons who are not family members of members of the Armed Forces.

SEC. 544. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE RECOVERY OF THE REMAINS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO WERE KILLED DURING WORLD WAR II IN THE BATTLE OF TARAWA ATOLL.

Congress—

(1) reaffirms its support for the recovery and return to the United States of the remains of members of the Armed Forces killed in battle, and for the efforts by the Joint POW-MIA Accounting Command to recover the remains of members of the Armed Forces from all wars;

(2) recognizes the courage and sacrifice of the members of the Armed Forces who fought on Tarawa Atoll;

(3) acknowledges the dedicated research and efforts by persons to identify, locate, and advocate for the recovery of remains from Tarawa; and

(4) encourages the Department of Defense to review this research and, as appropriate, pursue new efforts to conduct field studies, new research, and undertake all feasible efforts to recover, identify, and return remains of members of the Armed Forces from Tarawa.

Subtitle F—Decorations and Awards

SEC. 551. AUTHORIZATION AND REQUEST FOR AWARD OF MEDAL OF HONOR TO ANTHONY T. KAHŌ'OHANO HANO FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE KOREAN WAR.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President is authorized and requested to award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to former Private First Class Anthony T. Kaho'ohanohano for the acts of valor during the Korean War described in subsection (b).

(b) ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of then Private First Class Anthony T. Kaho'ohanohano of Company H of the 17th Infantry Regiment of the 7th Infantry Division on September 1, 1951, during the Korean War for which he was originally awarded the Distinguished-Service Cross.

SEC. 552. AUTHORIZATION AND REQUEST FOR AWARD OF DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS TO JACK T. STEWART FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE VIETNAM WAR.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army is authorized and requested to award the Distinguished-Service Cross under section 3742 of such title to former Captain Jack T. Stewart of the United States Army for the acts of valor during the Vietnam War described in subsection (b).

(b) ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of Captain Jack T. Stewart as commander of a two-platoon Special Forces Mike Force element in combat with two battalions of the North Vietnamese Army on March 24, 1967, during the Vietnam War.

SEC. 553. AUTHORIZATION AND REQUEST FOR AWARD OF DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS TO WILLIAM T. MILES, JR., FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE KOREAN WAR.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army is authorized

and requested to award the Distinguished-Service Cross under section 3742 of such title to former Sergeant First Class William T. Miles, Jr., of the United States Army for the acts of valor during the Korean War described in subsection (b).

(b) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of Sergeant First Class William T. Miles, Jr., as a member of United States Special Forces from June 18, 1951, to July 6, 1951, during the Korean War, when he fought a delaying action against enemy forces in order to allow other members of his squad to escape an ambush.

Subtitle G—Military Family Readiness Matters

SEC. 561. ESTABLISHMENT OF ONLINE RESOURCES TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT BENEFITS AND SERVICES AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES.

(a) **INTERNET OUTREACH WEBSITE.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish an Internet website or other online resources for the purpose of providing comprehensive information to members of the Armed Forces and their families about the benefits and services described in subsection (b) that are available to members of the Armed Forces and their families.

(2) **CONTACT INFORMATION.**—The online resources shall provide contact information, both telephone and e-mail, that a member of the Armed Forces or dependent of the member can use to get specific information about benefits and services that may be available for the member or dependent.

(b) **COVERED BENEFITS AND SERVICES.**—The information provided through the online resources established pursuant to subsection (a) shall include information regarding the following benefits and services that may be available to a member of the Armed Forces and dependents of the member:

- (1) Financial compensation, including financial counseling.
- (2) Health care and life insurance programs.
- (3) Death benefits.
- (4) Entitlements and survivor benefits for dependents, including offsets in the receipt of such benefits under the Survivor Benefit Plan and in connection with the receipt of dependency and indemnity compensation.
- (5) Educational assistance benefits, including limitations on and the transferability of such assistance.
- (6) Housing assistance benefits, including counseling.
- (7) Relocation planning and preparation.
- (8) Maintaining military records.
- (9) Legal assistance.
- (10) Quality of life programs.
- (11) Family and community programs.
- (12) Employment assistance upon separation or retirement of a member or for the spouse of the member.
- (13) Reserve component service for members completing service in a regular component.
- (14) Disability benefits, including offsets in connection with the receipt of such benefits.
- (15) Benefits and services provided under laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(16) Such other benefits and services as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(c) **DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION ON AVAILABILITY ON ONLINE RESOURCES.**—The Secretaries of the military departments shall use public service announcements, publications, and such other announcements through the general media as the Secretaries consider appropriate to inform members of the Armed Forces and their families and the general public about the information available through the online resources established pursuant to subsection (a).

(d) **IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this

Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the quality and scope of the online resources established pursuant to subsection (a) to provide information about benefits and services for members of the Armed Forces and their families.

SEC. 562. ADDITIONAL MEMBERS ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY FAMILY READINESS COUNCIL.

(a) **RESERVE COMPONENT REPRESENTATION.**—Paragraph (1) of section 1781a(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) and (D) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) In addition to the representatives appointed under subparagraph (B)—

“(i) one representative from the Army National Guard or Air National Guard, who shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense; and

“(ii) one representative from the Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, or Air Force Reserve, who shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense.”; and

(3) in subparagraph (E), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by striking “subparagraph (B)” and inserting “subparagraphs (B) and (C)”.

(b) **TERM; ROTATION AMONG RESERVE COMPONENTS.**—Paragraph (2) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “paragraph (1)(C)” and inserting “subparagraphs (C) and (D) of paragraph (1)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentences: “Representation on the Council required by clause (i) of paragraph (1)(C) shall rotate between the Army National Guard and Air National Guard. Representation required by clause (ii) of such paragraph shall rotate among the reserve components specified in such clause.”.

SEC. 563. SUPPORT FOR MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.

(a) **OFFICE OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter I of chapter 88 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1781b the following new section:

“§1781c. Office of Community Support for Military Families With Special Needs

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is in the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness the Office of Community Support for Military Families With Special Needs (in this section referred to as the ‘Office’).

“(b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the Office is to enhance and improve Department of Defense support around the world for military families with special needs (whether medical or educational needs) through the development of appropriate policies, enhancement and dissemination of appropriate information throughout the Department of Defense, support for such families in obtaining referrals for services and in obtaining service, and oversight of the activities of the military departments in support of such families.

“(c) **DIRECTOR.**—(1) The head of the Office shall be the Director of the Office of Community Support for Military Families With Special Needs, who shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among civilian employees of the Department of Defense who are members of the Senior Executive Service or members of the Armed Forces in a general or flag grade.

“(2) The Director shall be subject to the supervision, direction, and control of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness in the discharge of the responsibilities of the Office, and shall report directly to the Under Secretary regarding the discharge of such responsibilities.

“(d) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Office shall have the responsibilities as follows:

“(1) To develop and implement a comprehensive policy on support for military families with special needs as required by subsection (e).

“(2) To establish and oversee the programs required by subsection (f).

“(3) To identify gaps in services available through the Department of Defense for military families with special needs.

“(4) To develop plans to address gaps identified under paragraph (3) through appropriate mechanisms, such as enhancing resources and training and ensuring the provision of special assistance to military families with special needs and military parents of individuals with special needs (including through the provision of training and seminars to members of the armed forces).

“(5) To monitor the programs of the military departments for the assignment of members of the Armed Forces who are members of military families with special needs, and the programs for the support of such military families, and to advise the Secretary of Defense on the adequacy of such programs in conjunction with the preparation of future-years defense programs and other budgeting and planning activities of the Department of Defense.

“(6) To monitor the availability and accessibility of programs provided by other Federal, State, local, and non-governmental agencies to military families with special needs.

“(7) To carry out such other matters with respect to the programs and activities of the Department of Defense regarding military families with special needs as the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness shall specify.

“(e) **POLICY.**—(1) The Office shall develop, and update from time to time, a uniform policy for the Department of Defense regarding military families with special needs. The policy shall apply with respect to members of the armed forces without regard to their location, whether within or outside the continental United States.

“(2) The policy developed under this subsection shall include elements regarding the following:

“(A) The assignment of members of the Armed Forces who are members of military families with special needs.

“(B) Support for military families with special needs.

“(3) In addressing the assignment of members of the Armed Forces under paragraph (2)(A), the policy developed under this subsection shall, in a manner consistent with the needs of the Armed Forces and responsive to the career development of members of the armed forces on active duty, provide for such members each of the following:

“(A) Assignment to locations where care and support for family members with special needs are available.

“(B) Stabilization of assignment for a minimum of 4 years.

“(4) In addressing support for military families under paragraph (2)(B), the policy developed under this subsection shall provide the following:

“(A) Procedures to identify members of the armed forces who are members of military families with special needs.

“(B) Mechanisms to ensure timely and accurate evaluations of members of such families who have special needs.

“(C) Procedures to facilitate the enrollment of such members of the armed forces and their families in programs of the military department for the support of military families with special needs.

“(D) Procedures to ensure the coordination of Department of Defense health care programs and support programs for military families with special needs, and the coordination of such programs with other Federal, State, local, and non-governmental health care programs and support programs intended to serve such families.

“(E) Requirements for resources (including staffing) to ensure the availability through the Department of Defense of appropriate numbers of case managers to provide individualized support for military families with special needs.

“(F) Requirements regarding the development and continuous updating of an individualized services plan (medical and educational) for each military family with special needs.

“(G) Requirements for record keeping, reporting, and continuous monitoring of available resources and family needs under individualized services support plans for military families with special needs, including the establishment and maintenance of a central or various regional databases for such purposes.

“(f) PROGRAMS.—(1) The Office shall establish, maintain, and oversee a program to provide information and referral services on special needs matters to military families with special needs on a continuous basis regardless of the location of the member's assignment. The program shall provide for timely access by members of such military families to individual case managers and counselors on matters relating to special needs.

“(2) The Office shall establish, maintain, and oversee a program of outreach on special needs matters for military families with special needs. The program shall—

“(A) assist military families in identifying whether or not they have a member with special needs; and

“(B) provide military families with special needs with information on the services, support, and assistance available through the Department of Defense regarding such members with special needs, including information on enrollment in programs of the military departments for such services, support, and assistance.

“(3)(A) The Office shall provide support to the Secretary of each military department in the establishment and sustainment by such Secretary of a program for the support of military families with special needs under the jurisdiction of such Secretary. Each program shall be consistent with the policy developed by the Office under subsection (e).

“(B) Each program under this paragraph shall provide for appropriate numbers of case managers for the development and oversight of individualized services plans for educational and medical support for military families with special needs.

“(C) Services under a program under this paragraph may be provided by contract or other arrangements with non-Department of Defense entities qualified to provide such services.

“(g) RESOURCES.—The Secretary of Defense shall assign to the Office such resources, including personnel, as the Secretary considers necessary for the discharge of the responsibilities of the Office, including a sufficient number of members of the armed forces to ensure appropriate representation by the military departments in the personnel of the Office.

“(h) REPORTS.—(1) Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities of the Office.

“(2) Each report under this subsection shall include the following:

“(A) A description of any gaps in services available through the Department of Defense for military families with special needs that were identified under subsection (d)(3).

“(B) A description of the actions being taken, or planned, to address such gaps, including any plans developed under subsection (d)(4).

“(C) Such recommendations for legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate to provide for the continuous improvement of support and services for military families with special needs.

“(i) MILITARY FAMILY WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.—For purposes of this section, a military family with special needs is any military family with one or more members who has a medical or educational special need (as defined by the Secretary in regulations for purposes of this sec-

tion), including a condition covered by the Extended Health Care Option Program under section 1079f of this title.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 88 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1781b the following new item:

“1781c. Office of Community Support for Military Families With Special Needs.”

(3) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.—Section 587 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 133; 10 U.S.C. 1781 note) is repealed.

(b) FOUNDATION FOR SUPPORT OF MILITARY FAMILIES WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may establish a foundation for the provision of assistance to the Department of Defense in providing support to military families with special needs.

(2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the foundation shall be to assist the Department of Defense as follows:

(A) In conducting outreach to identify military families with special needs.

(B) In developing programs to support and provide services to military families with special needs.

(C) In developing educational curricula for the training of professional and paraprofessional personnel providing support and services on special needs to military families with special needs.

(D) In conducting research on the following:

(i) The unique factors associated with a military career (including deployments of members of the Armed Forces) and their effects on families and individuals with special needs.

(ii) Evidence-based therapeutic and medical services for members of military families with special needs, including research in conjunction with non-Department of Defense entities such as the National Institutes of Health.

(E) In providing vocational education and training for adolescent and adult members of military families with special needs.

(F) In carrying out other initiatives to contribute to improved support for military families with special needs.

(3) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDING.—The Secretary may provide the foundation such financial support as the Secretary considers appropriate, including the provision to the foundation of appropriated funds and non-appropriated funds available to the Department of Defense.

(4) ANNUAL REPORT.—The foundation shall submit to the Secretary, and to the congressional defense committees, each year a report on its activities under this subsection during the preceding year. Each report shall include, for the year covered by such report, the following:

(A) A description of the programs and activities of the foundation.

(B) The budget of the foundation, including the sources of any funds provided to the foundation.

(5) MILITARY FAMILY WITH SPECIAL NEEDS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “military family with special needs” has the meaning given such term in section 1781c(i) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)).

(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for support of military families with special needs, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for military personnel, \$50,000,000 for purposes of carrying out this section and the amendments made by this section. Of such amount, not less than \$40,000,000 shall be allocated to the military departments for the execution of programs and activities in carrying out this section and the amendments made by this section in fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 564. PILOT PROGRAM TO SECURE INTERNSHIPS FOR MILITARY SPOUSES WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES.

(a) COST-REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into an agreement with the head of an executive department or agency that has an established internship program to reimburse the department or agency for authorized costs associated with the first year of employment of an eligible military spouse who is selected to participate in the internship program of the department or agency.

(b) ELIGIBLE MILITARY SPOUSES.—

(1) ELIGIBILITY.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any person who is married to a member of the Armed Forces on active duty is eligible for selection to participate in an internship program under a reimbursement agreement entered into under subsection (a).

(2) EXCLUSIONS.—Reimbursement may not be provided with respect to the following persons:

(A) A person who is legally separated from a member of the Armed Forces under court order or statute of any State, the District of Columbia, or possession of the United States when the person begins the internship.

(B) A person who is also a member of the Armed Forces on active duty.

(C) A person who is a retired member of the Armed Forces.

(c) FUNDING SOURCE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance, for Defense-wide activities, shall be available to carry out this section.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “authorized costs” includes the costs of the salary, benefits and allowances, and training for an eligible military spouse during the first year of the participation of the military spouse in an internship program pursuant to an agreement under subsection (a).

(2) The term “internship” means a professional, analytical, or administrative position in the Federal Government that operates under a developmental program leading to career advancement.

(e) TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—No agreement may be entered into under subsection (a) after September 30, 2011. Authorized costs incurred after that date may be reimbursed under an agreement entered into before that date in the case of eligible military spouses who begin their internship by that date.

(f) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than January 1, 2012, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that provides information on how many eligible military spouses received internships pursuant to agreements entered into under subsection (a) and the types of internship positions they occupied. The report shall specify the number of interns who subsequently obtained permanent employment with the department or agency administering the internship program or with another department or agency. The Secretary shall include a recommendation regarding whether, given the investment of Department of Defense funds, the authority to enter into agreements should be extended, modified, or terminated.

SEC. 565. FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE FOR FAMILY OF SERVICEMEMBERS.

(a) GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LEAVE.—

(1) DEFINITION OF COVERED ACTIVE DUTY.—

(A) DEFINITION.—Section 101 of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2611) is amended—

(i) by striking paragraph (14) and inserting the following:

“(14) COVERED ACTIVE DUTY.—The term ‘covered active duty’ means—

“(A) in the case of a member of a regular component of the Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the Armed Forces to a foreign country; and

“(B) in the case of a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the Armed Forces

to a foreign country under a call or order to active duty under a provision of law referred to in section 101(a)(13)(B) of title 10, United States Code.”; and

(ii) by striking paragraph (15) and redesignating paragraphs (16) through (19) as paragraphs (15) through (18), respectively.

(B) LEAVE.—Section 102 of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2612) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(E)—

(I) by striking “active duty” each place it appears and inserting “covered active duty”; and

(II) by striking “in support of a contingency operation”; and

(ii) in subsection (e)(3)—

(I) in the paragraph heading, by striking “ACTIVE DUTY” and inserting “COVERED ACTIVE DUTY”;

(II) by striking “active duty” each place it appears and inserting “covered active duty”; and

(III) by striking “in support of a contingency operation”.

(C) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 103(f) of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2613(f)) is amended, in the subsection heading, by striking “ACTIVE DUTY” each place it appears and inserting “COVERED ACTIVE DUTY”.

(2) DEFINITION OF COVERED SERVICEMEMBER.—Paragraph (15) of section 101 of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2611) (as redesignated by paragraph (1)(A)(ii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(15) COVERED SERVICEMEMBER.—The term ‘covered servicemember’ means—

“(A) a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) who is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy, is otherwise in outpatient status, or is otherwise on the temporary disability retired list, for a serious injury or illness; or

“(B) a veteran who is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy, for a serious injury or illness and who was a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) at any time during the period of 5 years preceding the date on which the veteran undergoes that medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy.”.

(3) DEFINITIONS OF SERIOUS INJURY OR ILLNESS; VETERAN.—Section 101 of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2611) is further amended by striking paragraph (18) (as redesignated by paragraph (1)(A)(ii)) and inserting the following:

“(18) SERIOUS INJURY OR ILLNESS.—The term ‘serious injury or illness’—

“(A) in the case of a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves), means an injury or illness that was incurred by the member in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces (or existed before the beginning of the member’s active duty and was aggravated by service in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces) and that may render the member medically unfit to perform the duties of the member’s office, grade, rank, or rating; and

“(B) in the case of a veteran who was a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) at any time during a period described in paragraph (15)(B), means a qualifying (as defined by the Secretary of Labor) injury or illness that was incurred by the member in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces (or existed before the beginning of the member’s active duty and was aggravated by service in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces) and that manifested itself before or after the member became a veteran.

“(19) VETERAN.—The term ‘veteran’ has the meaning given the term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.”.

(4) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 102(e)(2)(A) of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2612(e)(2)(A)) is amended

by striking “or parent” and inserting “parent, or covered servicemember”.

(5) REGULATIONS.—In prescribing regulations to carry out the amendments made by this subsection, the Secretary of Labor shall consult with the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, as applicable.

(b) LEAVE FOR CIVIL SERVICE EMPLOYEES.—

(1) EXIGENCY LEAVE FOR SERVICEMEMBERS ON COVERED ACTIVE DUTY.—

(A) DEFINITION.—Section 6381(7) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(7) the term ‘covered active duty’ means—

“(A) in the case of a member of a regular component of the Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the Armed Forces to a foreign country; and

“(B) in the case of a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the Armed Forces to a foreign country under a call or order to active duty under a provision of law referred to in section 101(a)(13)(B) of title 10, United States Code.”.

(B) LEAVE.—Section 6382 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1), by adding at the end the following:

“(E) Because of any qualifying exigency arising out of the fact that the spouse, or a son, daughter, or parent of the employee is on covered active duty (or has been notified of an impending call or order to covered active duty) in the Armed Forces.”;

(ii) in subsection (b)(1), by inserting after the second sentence the following: “Subject to subsection (e)(3) and section 6383(f), leave under subsection (a)(1)(E) may be taken intermittently or on a reduced leave schedule.”;

(iii) in subsection (d), by striking “or (D)” and inserting “(D), or (E)”;

(iv) in subsection (e), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) In any case in which the necessity for leave under subsection (a)(1)(E) is foreseeable, whether because the spouse, or a son, daughter, or parent, of the employee is on covered active duty, or because of notification of an impending call or order to covered active duty, the employee shall provide such notice to the employer as is reasonable and practicable.”.

(C) CERTIFICATION.—Section 6383(f) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 6382(a)(3)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(E) or (3) of section 6382(a)”.

(2) DEFINITION OF COVERED SERVICEMEMBER.—Paragraph (8) of section 6381 of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(8) the term ‘covered servicemember’ means—

“(A) a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) who is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy, is otherwise in outpatient status, or is otherwise on the temporary disability retired list, for a serious injury or illness; or

“(B) a veteran who is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy, for a serious injury or illness and who was a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) at any time during the period of 5 years preceding the date on which the veteran undergoes that medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy.”.

(3) DEFINITIONS OF SERIOUS INJURY OR ILLNESS; VETERAN.—Section 6381 of title 5, United States Code, is further amended—

(A) in paragraph (10), by striking “and” at the end; and

(B) by striking paragraph (11) and inserting the following:

“(11) the term ‘serious injury or illness’—

“(A) in the case of a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves), means an injury or illness that was incurred by the member in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces (or existed before the beginning of the member’s active duty

and was aggravated by service in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces) and that may render the member medically unfit to perform the duties of the member’s office, grade, rank, or rating; and

“(B) in the case of a veteran who was a member of the Armed Forces (including a member of the National Guard or Reserves) at any time during a period described in paragraph (8)(B), means an injury or illness that was incurred by the member in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces (or existed before the beginning of the member’s active duty and was aggravated by service in line of duty on active duty in the Armed Forces) and that manifested itself before or after the member became a veteran; and

“(12) the term ‘veteran’ has the meaning given the term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.”.

(4) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 6382(e)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “or parent” and inserting “parent, or covered servicemember”.

(5) REGULATIONS.—In prescribing regulations to carry out the amendments made by this subsection, the Office of Personnel Management shall consult with the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, as applicable.

SEC. 566. DEADLINE FOR REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES BY DEFENSE TASK FORCE ON SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY SERVICES.

Section 576(e)(1) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 1924; 10 U.S.C. 4331 note) is amended by striking “one year after the initiation of its examination under subsection (b)” and inserting “December 1, 2009”.

SEC. 567. IMPROVED PREVENTION AND RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PLAN.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a revised plan for the implementation of policies aimed at preventing and responding effectively to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The revised implementation plan shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) New initiatives aimed at reducing the number of sexual assaults, including timelines for implementation of such initiatives.

(2) Requirements for monitoring and reporting on progress in implementation of such initiatives and methods to measure the effectiveness of plans that implement the policies of the Department of Defense regarding sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces.

(3) Training programs for judge advocates, criminal investigators, commanders, prospective commanding officers, senior enlisted members, and personnel with less than six months of active-duty service.

(4) Information about the status of implementation, funding requirements and budgetary implications, and overall utility of data reporting systems on incidents of sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.

(5) Actions taken to implement recommendations of the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services established pursuant to section 576 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 10 U.S.C. 4331 note).

(6) Information about the funding needed to fully implement initiatives aimed at preventing and responding to sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces.

(b) SEXUAL ASSAULT MEDICAL FORENSIC EXAMINATIONS.—

(1) CAPABILITY TO CONDUCT TIMELY SEXUAL ASSAULT MEDICAL FORENSIC EXAMINATIONS IN

COMBAT ZONES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report evaluating the protocols and capabilities of the Armed Forces to conduct timely and effective sexual assault medical forensic examinations in combat zones. The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) The current availability of sexual assault medical forensic examination protocols, trained personnel, and requisite equipment in combat zones.

(B) An assessment of the barriers to providing timely sexual assault medical forensic examinations to victims of sexual assault at all echelons of care in combat zones.

(C) Recommendations regarding improved capability to conduct timely and effective sexual assault medical forensic examinations in combat zones.

(2) TRICARE COVERAGE FOR FORENSIC MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS FOLLOWING SEXUAL ASSAULTS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing the progress made in implementing section 1079(a)(17) of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 701 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2279).

(c) MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS.—

(1) REQUIREMENT FOR DATA COLLECTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, information shall be collected on—

(i) whether a military protective order was issued that involved either the victim or alleged perpetrator of a sexual assault; and

(ii) whether military protective orders involving members of the Armed Forces were violated in the course of substantiated incidents of sexual assaults against members of the Armed Forces.

(B) SUBMISSION OF DATA.—The data required to be collected under this subsection shall be included in the annual report submitted to Congress on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces.

(2) INFORMATION TO MEMBERS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report explaining the measures being taken to ensure that, when a military protective order has been issued, the member of the Armed Forces who is protected by the order is informed, in a timely manner, of the member's option to request transfer from the command to which the member is assigned.

(d) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—

(1) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing a review of the capability of each of the Armed Forces to timely and effectively investigate and adjudicate allegations of sexual assault against members of the Armed Forces. The Comptroller General shall determine whether existing policies and implementation plans of the Department of Defense, and the resources devoted for this purpose, are adequate or negatively affect the ability of each of the Armed Forces to facilitate the prevention, investigation, and adjudication of such allegations under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

(2) ELEMENTS OF REPORT.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall refer to and incorporate the recommendations of the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services regarding investigation and adjudication of sexual assault, and include a review of the following:

(A) The procedures required by each of the Armed Forces for responding to allegations of sexual assault (including guidance to commanding officers, standard operating and reporting procedures, and related matters), and the personnel (including judge advocates) and budgetary resources available to each of the Armed Forces to respond to allegations of sexual assault.

(B) The scope and effectiveness of personnel training methods regarding investigation and adjudication of sexual assault cases.

(C) The capability to investigate and adjudicate sexual assault cases in combat zones.

(D) An assessment whether the existing policies of the Department of Defense aimed at preventing and responding to incidents of sexual assault are adequate.

SEC. 568. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE IN IMPLEMENTING RECOMMENDATIONS TO REDUCE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN MILITARY FAMILIES.

(a) ASSESSMENT.—The Comptroller General shall review and assess the progress made by the Department of Defense in implementing the recommendations contained in the report by the Comptroller General entitled "Military Personnel: Progress Made in Implementing Recommendations to Reduce Domestic Violence, but Further Management Action Needed" (GAO-06-540).

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the review and assessment under subsection (a).

SEC. 569. REPORT ON IMPACT OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ON MILITARY FAMILIES.

Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing—

(1) an assessment of the impact of domestic violence in families of members of the Armed Forces on the children of such families; and

(2) information on progress being made to ensure that children of families of members of the Armed Forces receive adequate care and services when such children are exposed to domestic violence.

SEC. 570. REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL INTRAFAMILIAL ABDUCTION OF CHILDREN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretaries of the military departments, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the total number of children abducted from and returned to members of the Armed Forces in international intrafamilial abductions during the years 2007 through 2009, as such number was included in the numbers and elements of the annual Report on Compliance with the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction with respect to such years.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The current availability of, and the additional need for, assistance (including general information, psychological counseling, financial assistance, leave for travel, and legal services) provided by the military departments to left-behind members of the Armed Forces involved in international intrafamilial child abductions for the purpose of obtaining the return of their abducted children and ensuring the military readiness of such members of the Armed Forces.

(2) The measures taken by the Department of Defense and the military departments, including any written policy guidelines, to prevent the abduction of children of members of the Armed Forces.

(3) The means by which members of the Armed Forces are educated on the risks of inter-

national intrafamilial child abduction, particularly when they first arrive at a military installation overseas or when the Armed Forces receive notice that a member is considering marriage or divorce overseas.

SEC. 571. ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT OF DEPLOYMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ON THEIR DEPENDENT CHILDREN.

(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall undertake a comprehensive assessment of the impacts of military deployment on the dependent children of deployed members of the Armed Forces.

(2) CONSIDERATION OF SEPARATE CATEGORIES OF CHILDREN.—In conducting the assessment under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall separately address each of the following categories of dependent children of deployed members of the Armed Forces:

(A) Preschool-age children.

(B) Elementary-school age children.

(C) Teenage or adolescent children.

(3) CONSIDERATION OF SEPARATE CATEGORIES OF MEMBERS.—In conducting the assessment under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall separately address children of deployed members in the following circumstances:

(A) Two-parent families with only one parent in the Armed Forces.

(B) Members who are single parents.

(C) Parents who are both members and subject to dual deployments.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment undertaken under subsection (a) shall specifically address the following:

(1) The impact that separation due to the deployment of a military parent or parents has on children.

(2) The impact that multiple deployments of a military parent or parents have on children.

(3) The impact that the return from deployment of a severely wounded or injured military parent or parents has on children.

(4) The impact that the death of a military parent or parents in connection with a deployment has on children.

(5) The impact that deployment of a military parent or parents has on children with pre-existing psychological conditions, such as anxiety and depression.

(6) The impact that deployment of a military parent or parents has on risk factors, such as child abuse, child neglect, family violence, substance abuse by children, or parental substance abuse.

(7) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the assessment undertaken under subsection (a), including the findings and recommendations of the Secretary as a result of the assessment.

SEC. 572. REPORT ON CHILD CUSTODY LITIGATION INVOLVING SERVICE OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on all known reported cases since September 2003 involving child custody disputes in which the service of a member of the Armed Forces, whether a member of a regular component of the Armed Forces or a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces, was an issue in the custody dispute.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A statement of the total number of cases, by Armed Force, in which members of the Armed Forces have lost custody of a child as a result of deployment, or the prospect of deployment, under military orders.

(2) A summary of applicable Federal law pertaining to child custody disputes involving members of the Armed Forces.

(3) An analysis of the litigation history of all available reported cases involving child custody disputes in which the deployment of a member of the Armed Forces was an issue in the dispute, and a discussion of the rationale presented by deciding judges and courts of the reasons for their rulings.

(4) An assessment of the nature and extent of the problem, if any, for members of the Armed Forces who are custodial parents in being able to deploy and perform their operational mission while continuing to fulfill their role as parents with sole or joint custody of minor children.

(5) A discussion of measures being taken by the States, or which are under consideration by State legislatures, to address matters relating to child custody disputes in which one of the parties is a member of the Armed Forces, and an assessment of whether State legislatures and State courts are cognizant of issues involving members of the Armed Forces with minor children.

(6) A discussion of Family Care Plan policies aimed at ensuring that appropriate measures are taken by members of the Armed Forces to avoid litigation in child custody disputes.

(7) Such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate regarding how best to assist members of the Armed Forces who are single, custodial parents with respect to child custody disputes in connection with the performance of military duties, including the need for legislative or administrative action to provide such assistance.

(8) Such other recommendations for legislative or administrative action as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 573. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON CHILD CARE ASSISTANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on financial assistance for child care provided by the Department of Defense to members of the Armed Forces (including members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who are deployed in connection with a contingency operation).

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The types of financial assistance for child care made available by the Department of Defense to members of the Armed Forces (including members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who are deployed in connection with a contingency operation).

(2) The extent to which such members have taken advantage of such assistance since such assistance was first made available.

(3) The formulas used for calculating the amount of such assistance provided to such members.

(4) The funding allocated to such assistance.

(5) The remaining costs of child care to families of such members that are not covered by the Department of Defense.

(6) Any barriers to access to such assistance faced by such members and the families of such members.

(7) The different criteria used by different States with respect to the regulation of child care services and the potential impact differences in such criteria may have on the access of such members to such assistance.

(8) The different standards and criteria used by different programs of the Department of Defense for providing such assistance with respect to child care providers and the potential impact differences in such standards and criteria may have on the access of such members to such assistance.

(9) The number of qualified families that do not receive any financial assistance for child care made available by the Department of Defense.

(10) Any other matters the Comptroller General determines relevant to the improvement of financial assistance to expand access for child care made available by the Department of Defense to members of the Armed Forces (including members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who are deployed in connection with a contingency operation).

Subtitle H—Military Voting

SEC. 575. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act”.

SEC. 576. CLARIFICATION REGARDING DELEGATION OF STATE RESPONSIBILITIES TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

Nothing in the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) may be construed to prohibit a State from delegating its responsibilities in carrying out the requirements of such Act, including any requirements imposed as a result of the provisions of and amendments made by this Act, to jurisdictions in the State.

SEC. 577. ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES FOR ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS AND OVERSEAS VOTERS TO REQUEST AND FOR STATES TO SEND VOTER REGISTRATION APPLICATIONS AND ABSENTEE BALLOT APPLICATIONS BY MAIL AND ELECTRONICALLY.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–1) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) in addition to any other method of registering to vote or applying for an absentee ballot in the State, establish procedures—

“(A) for absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters to request by mail and electronically voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications with respect to general, special, primary, and runoff elections for Federal office in accordance with subsection (e);

“(B) for States to send by mail and electronically (in accordance with the preferred method of transmission designated by the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter under subparagraph (C)) voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications requested under subparagraph (A) in accordance with subsection (e); and

“(C) by which the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter can designate whether the voter prefers that such voter registration application or absentee ballot application be transmitted by mail or electronically.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) *DESIGNATION OF MEANS OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION FOR ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS AND OVERSEAS VOTERS TO REQUEST AND FOR STATES TO SEND VOTER REGISTRATION APPLICATIONS AND ABSENTEE BALLOT APPLICATIONS, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES RELATED TO VOTING INFORMATION.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Each State shall, in addition to the designation of a single State office under subsection (b), designate not less than 1 means of electronic communication—

“(A) for use by absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters who wish to register to vote or vote in any jurisdiction in the State to request voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications under subsection (a)(6);

“(B) for use by States to send voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications requested under such subsection; and

“(C) for the purpose of providing related voting, balloting, and election information to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters.

“(2) *CLARIFICATION REGARDING PROVISION OF MULTIPLE MEANS OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION.*—A State may, in addition to the means of electronic communication so designated, provide multiple means of electronic communication to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters, including a means of electronic communication for the appropriate jurisdiction of the State.

“(3) *INCLUSION OF DESIGNATED MEANS OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION WITH INFORMATIONAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS THAT ACCOMPANY BALLOTING MATERIALS.*—Each State shall include a means of electronic communication so designated with all informational and instructional materials that accompany balloting materials sent by the State to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters.

“(4) *AVAILABILITY AND MAINTENANCE OF ON-LINE REPOSITORY OF STATE CONTACT INFORMATION.*—The Federal Voting Assistance Program of the Department of Defense shall maintain and make available to the public an online repository of State contact information with respect to elections for Federal office, including the single State office designated under subsection (b) and the means of electronic communication designated under paragraph (1), to be used by absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters as a resource to send voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications to the appropriate jurisdiction in the State.

“(5) *TRANSMISSION IF NO PREFERENCE INDICATED.*—In the case where an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter does not designate a preference under subsection (a)(6)(C), the State shall transmit the voter registration application or absentee ballot application by any delivery method allowable in accordance with applicable State law, or if there is no applicable State law, by mail.

“(6) *SECURITY AND PRIVACY PROTECTIONS.*—

“(A) *SECURITY PROTECTIONS.*—To the extent practicable, States shall ensure that the procedures established under subsection (a)(6) protect the security and integrity of the voter registration and absentee ballot application request processes.

“(B) *PRIVACY PROTECTIONS.*—To the extent practicable, the procedures established under subsection (a)(6) shall ensure that the privacy of the identity and other personal data of an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter who requests or is sent a voter registration application or absentee ballot application under such subsection is protected throughout the process of making such request or being sent such application.”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 578. ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES FOR STATES TO TRANSMIT BLANK ABSENTEE BALLOTS BY MAIL AND ELECTRONICALLY TO ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS AND OVERSEAS VOTERS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–1), as amended by section 577, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) in addition to any other method of transmitting blank absentee ballots in the State, establish procedures for transmitting by mail and electronically blank absentee ballots to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters with respect to general, special, primary, and runoff elections for Federal office in accordance with subsection (f).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) TRANSMISSION OF BLANK ABSENTEE BALLOTS BY MAIL AND ELECTRONICALLY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State shall establish procedures—

“(A) to transmit blank absentee ballots by mail and electronically (in accordance with the preferred method of transmission designated by the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter under subparagraph (B)) to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters for an election for Federal office; and

“(B) by which the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter can designate whether the voter prefers that such blank absentee ballot be transmitted by mail or electronically.

“(2) TRANSMISSION IF NO PREFERENCE INDICATED.—In the case where an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter does not designate a preference under paragraph (1)(B), the State shall transmit the ballot by any delivery method allowable in accordance with applicable State law, or if there is no applicable State law, by mail.

“(3) SECURITY AND PRIVACY PROTECTIONS.—

“(A) SECURITY PROTECTIONS.—To the extent practicable, States shall ensure that the procedures established under subsection (a)(7) protect the security and integrity of absentee ballots.

“(B) PRIVACY PROTECTIONS.—To the extent practicable, the procedures established under subsection (a)(7) shall ensure that the privacy of the identity and other personal data of an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter to whom a blank absentee ballot is transmitted under such subsection is protected throughout the process of such transmission.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 579. ENSURING ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS AND OVERSEAS VOTERS HAVE TIME TO VOTE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1(a)(1)), as amended by sections 577 and 578, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (6), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (7), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) transmit a validly requested absentee ballot to an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter—

“(A) except as provided in subsection (g), in the case in which the request is received at least 45 days before an election for Federal office, not later than 45 days before the election; and

“(B) in the case in which the request is received less than 45 days before an election for Federal office—

“(i) in accordance with State law; and

“(ii) if practicable and as determined appropriate by the State, in a manner that expedites the transmission of such absentee ballot.”.

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) HARDSHIP EXEMPTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the chief State election official determines that the State is unable to meet the requirement under subsection (a)(8)(A) with respect to an election for Federal office due to an undue hardship described in paragraph (2)(B), the chief State election official shall request that the Presidential designee grant a waiver to the State of the application of such subsection. Such request shall include—

“(A) a recognition that the purpose of such subsection is to allow absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters enough time to vote in an election for Federal office;

“(B) an explanation of the hardship that indicates why the State is unable to transmit ab-

sent uniformed services voters and overseas voters an absentee ballot in accordance with such subsection;

“(C) the number of days prior to the election for Federal office that the State requires absentee ballots be transmitted to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters; and

“(D) a comprehensive plan to ensure that absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters are able to receive absentee ballots which they have requested and submit marked absentee ballots to the appropriate State election official in time to have that ballot counted in the election for Federal office, which includes—

“(i) the steps the State will undertake to ensure that absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters have time to receive, mark, and submit their ballots in time to have those ballots counted in the election;

“(ii) why the plan provides absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters sufficient time to vote as a substitute for the requirements under such subsection; and

“(iii) the underlying factual information which explains how the plan provides such sufficient time to vote as a substitute for such requirements.

“(2) APPROVAL OF WAIVER REQUEST.—After consulting with the Attorney General, the Presidential designee shall approve a waiver request under paragraph (1) if the Presidential designee determines each of the following requirements are met:

“(A) The comprehensive plan under subparagraph (D) of such paragraph provides absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters sufficient time to receive absentee ballots they have requested and submit marked absentee ballots to the appropriate State election official in time to have that ballot counted in the election for Federal office.

“(B) One or more of the following issues creates an undue hardship for the State:

“(i) The State’s primary election date prohibits the State from complying with subsection (a)(8)(A).

“(ii) The State has suffered a delay in generating ballots due to a legal contest.

“(iii) The State Constitution prohibits the State from complying with such subsection.

“(3) TIMING OF WAIVER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under subparagraph (B), a State that requests a waiver under paragraph (1) shall submit to the Presidential designee the written waiver request not later than 90 days before the election for Federal office with respect to which the request is submitted. The Presidential designee shall approve or deny the waiver request not later than 65 days before such election.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—If a State requests a waiver under paragraph (1) as the result of an undue hardship described in paragraph (2)(B)(ii), the State shall submit to the Presidential designee the written waiver request as soon as practicable. The Presidential designee shall approve or deny the waiver request not later than 5 business days after the date on which the request is received.

“(4) APPLICATION OF WAIVER.—A waiver approved under paragraph (2) shall only apply with respect to the election for Federal office for which the request was submitted. For each subsequent election for Federal office, the Presidential designee shall only approve a waiver if the State has submitted a request under paragraph (1) with respect to such election.”.

(b) RUNOFF ELECTIONS.—Section 102(a) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1(a)), as amended by subsection (a) and sections 577 and 578, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (7), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) if the State declares or otherwise holds a runoff election for Federal office, establish a written plan that provides absentee ballots are made available to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters in manner that gives them sufficient time to vote in the runoff election.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 580. PROCEDURES FOR COLLECTION AND DELIVERY OF MARKED ABSENTEE BALLOTS OF ABSENT OVERSEAS UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 103 the following new section:

“SEC. 103A. PROCEDURES FOR COLLECTION AND DELIVERY OF MARKED ABSENTEE BALLOTS OF ABSENT OVERSEAS UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES.—The Presidential designee shall establish procedures for collecting marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters in regularly scheduled general elections for Federal office, including absentee ballots prepared by States and the Federal write-in absentee ballot prescribed under section 103, and for delivering such marked absentee ballots to the appropriate election officials.

“(b) DELIVERY TO APPROPRIATE ELECTION OFFICIALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Under the procedures established under this section, the Presidential designee shall implement procedures that facilitate the delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters for regularly scheduled general elections for Federal office to the appropriate election officials, in accordance with this section, not later than the date by which an absentee ballot must be received in order to be counted in the election.

“(2) COOPERATION AND COORDINATION WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE.—The Presidential designee shall carry out this section in cooperation and coordination with the United States Postal Service, and shall provide expedited mail delivery service for all such marked absentee ballots of absent uniformed services voters that are collected on or before the deadline described in paragraph (3) and then transferred to the United States Postal Service.

“(3) DEADLINE DESCRIBED.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the deadline described in this paragraph is noon (in the location in which the ballot is collected) on the seventh day preceding the date of the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office.

“(B) AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH ALTERNATIVE DEADLINE FOR CERTAIN LOCATIONS.—If the Presidential designee determines that the deadline described in subparagraph (A) is not sufficient to ensure timely delivery of the ballot under paragraph (1) with respect to a particular location because of remoteness or other factors, the Presidential designee may establish as an alternative deadline for that location the latest date occurring prior to the deadline described in subparagraph (A) which is sufficient to provide timely delivery of the ballot under paragraph (1).

“(4) NO POSTAGE REQUIREMENT.—In accordance with section 3406 of title 39, United States Code, such marked absentee ballots and other balloting materials shall be carried free of postage.

“(5) DATE OF MAILING.—Such marked absentee ballots shall be postmarked with a record of the date on which the ballot is mailed.

“(c) OUTREACH FOR ABSENT OVERSEAS UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS ON PROCEDURES.—The Presidential designee shall take appropriate actions to inform individuals who are anticipated to be absent overseas uniformed services

voters in a regularly scheduled general election for Federal office to which this section applies of the procedures for the collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots established pursuant to this section, including the manner in which such voters may utilize such procedures for the submittal of marked absentee ballots pursuant to this section.

“(d) ABSENT OVERSEAS UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTER DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘absent overseas uniformed services voter’ means an overseas voter described in section 107(5)(A).”

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Presidential designee such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 101(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (6);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (7) and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) carry out section 103A with respect to the collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters in elections for Federal office.”

(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—Section 102(a) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1(a)), as amended by sections 577, 578, and 579, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (8), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding the following new paragraph:

“(10) carry out section 103A(b)(1) with respect to the processing and acceptance of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters.”

(d) TRACKING MARKED BALLOTS.—Section 102 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) TRACKING MARKED BALLOTS.—The chief State election official, in coordination with local election jurisdictions, shall develop a free access system by which an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter may determine whether the absentee ballot of the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter has been received by the appropriate State election official.”

(e) PROTECTING VOTER PRIVACY AND SECURITY OF ABSENTEE BALLOTS.—Section 101(b) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff(b)), as amended by subsection (b), is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (7);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (8) and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) to the greatest extent practicable, take such actions as may be necessary—

“(A) to ensure that absent uniformed services voters who cast absentee ballots at locations or facilities under the jurisdiction of the Presidential designee are able to do so in a private and independent manner; and

“(B) to protect the privacy of the contents of absentee ballots cast by absentee uniformed services voters and overseas voters while such ballots are in the possession or control of the Presidential designee.”

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 581. FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOT.

(a) USE IN GENERAL, SPECIAL, PRIMARY, AND RUNOFF ELECTIONS FOR FEDERAL OFFICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 103 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-2) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “general elections for Federal office” and inserting “gen-

eral, special, primary, and runoff elections for Federal office”;

(B) in subsection (e), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “a general election” and inserting “a general, special, primary, or runoff election for Federal office”; and

(C) in subsection (f), by striking “the general election” each place it appears and inserting “the general, special, primary, or runoff election for Federal office”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect on December 31, 2010, and apply with respect to elections for Federal office held on or after such date.

(b) PROMOTION AND EXPANSION OF USE.—Section 103(a) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-2) is amended—

(1) by striking “GENERAL.—The Presidential” and inserting “GENERAL.—

“(1) FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOT.—The Presidential”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) PROMOTION AND EXPANSION OF USE OF FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2011, the Presidential designee shall adopt procedures to promote and expand the use of the Federal write-in absentee ballot as a back-up measure to vote in elections for Federal office.

“(B) USE OF TECHNOLOGY.—Under such procedures, the Presidential designee shall utilize technology to implement a system under which the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter may—

“(i) enter the address of the voter or other information relevant in the appropriate jurisdiction of the State, and the system will generate a list of all candidates in the election for Federal office in that jurisdiction; and

“(ii) submit the marked Federal write-in absentee ballot by printing the ballot (including complete instructions for submitting the marked Federal write-in absentee ballot to the appropriate State election official and the mailing address of the single State office designated under section 102(b)).

“(C) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Presidential designee such sums as may be necessary to carry out this paragraph.”

SEC. 582. PROHIBITING REFUSAL TO ACCEPT VOTER REGISTRATION AND ABSENTEE BALLOT APPLICATIONS, MARKED ABSENTEE BALLOTS, AND FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOTS FOR FAILURE TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.

(a) VOTER REGISTRATION AND ABSENTEE BALLOT APPLICATIONS.—Section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) PROHIBITING REFUSAL TO ACCEPT APPLICATIONS FOR FAILURE TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.—A State shall not refuse to accept and process any otherwise valid voter registration application or absentee ballot application (including the official post card form prescribed under section 101) or marked absentee ballot submitted in any manner by an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter solely on the basis of the following:

“(1) Notarization requirements.

“(2) Restrictions on paper type, including weight and size.

“(3) Restrictions on envelope type, including weight and size.”

(b) FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOT.—Section 103 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-2) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) PROHIBITING REFUSAL TO ACCEPT BALLOT FOR FAILURE TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIRE-

MENTS.—A State shall not refuse to accept and process any otherwise valid Federal write-in absentee ballot submitted in any manner by an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter solely on the basis of the following:

“(1) Notarization requirements.

“(2) Restrictions on paper type, including weight and size.

“(3) Restrictions on envelope type, including weight and size.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 583. FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.), as amended by section 580(a), is amended by inserting after section 103A the following new section:

“SEC. 103B. FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS.

“(a) DUTIES.—The Presidential designee shall carry out the following duties:

“(1) Develop online portals of information to inform absent uniformed services voters regarding voter registration procedures and absentee ballot procedures to be used by such voters with respect to elections for Federal office.

“(2) Establish a program to notify absent uniformed services voters of voter registration information and resources, the availability of the Federal postcard application, and the availability of the Federal write-in absentee ballot on the military Global Network, and shall use the military Global Network to notify absent uniformed services voters of the foregoing 90, 60, and 30 days prior to each election for Federal office.

“(b) CLARIFICATION REGARDING OTHER DUTIES AND OBLIGATIONS.—Nothing in this section shall relieve the Presidential designee of their duties and obligations under any directives or regulations issued by the Department of Defense, including the Department of Defense Directive 1000.04 (or any successor directive or regulation) that is not inconsistent or contradictory to the provisions of this section.

“(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Federal Voting Assistance Program of the Department of Defense (or a successor program) such sums as are necessary for purposes of carrying out this section.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 101 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff), as amended by section 580, is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (b)—

(i) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (8);

(ii) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (9) and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) carry out section 103B with respect to Federal Voting Assistance Program Improvements.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CARRYING OUT FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Presidential designee such sums as are necessary for purposes of carrying out subsection (b)(10).”

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

(b) VOTER REGISTRATION ASSISTANCE FOR ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1566 the following new section:

“§ 1566a. Voting assistance: voter assistance offices

“(a) DESIGNATION OF OFFICES ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AS VOTER ASSISTANCE OFFICES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 and under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (f), the Secretaries of the military departments shall designate offices on installations under their jurisdiction to provide absent uniformed services voters, particularly those individuals described in subsection (b), and their family members with the following:

“(1) Information on voter registration procedures and absentee ballot procedures (including the official post card form prescribed under section 101 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff)).

“(2) Information and assistance, if requested, including access to the Internet where practicable, to register to vote in an election for Federal office.

“(3) Information and assistance, if requested, including access to the Internet where practicable, to update the individual's voter registration information, including instructions for absent uniformed services voters to change their address by submitting the official post card form prescribed under section 101 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act to the appropriate State election official.

“(4) Information and assistance, if requested, to request an absentee ballot under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.).

“(b) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—The individuals described in this subsection are absent uniformed services voters who—

“(1) are undergoing a permanent change of duty station;

“(2) are deploying overseas for at least six months;

“(3) are returning from an overseas deployment of at least six months; or

“(4) otherwise request assistance related to voter registration.

“(c) TIMING OF PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.—The regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (f) shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable and consistent with military necessity, that the assistance provided under subsection (a) is provided to a covered individual described in subsection (b)—

“(1) if described in subsection (b)(1), as part of the administrative in-processing of the covered individual upon arrival at the new duty station of the covered individual;

“(2) if described in subsection (b)(2), as part of the administrative out-processing of the covered individual in preparation for deployment from the home duty station of the covered individual;

“(3) if described in subsection (b)(3), as part of the administrative in-processing of the covered individual upon return to the home duty station of the covered individual; or

“(4) if described in subsection (b)(4), at the time the covered individual requests such assistance.

“(d) OUTREACH.—The Secretary of each military department, or the Presidential designee, shall take appropriate actions to inform absent uniformed services voters of the assistance available under subsection (a), including—

“(1) the availability of information and voter registration assistance at offices designated under subsection (a); and

“(2) the time, location, and manner in which an absent uniformed services voter may utilize such assistance.

“(e) AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE VOTING ASSISTANCE OFFICES AS VOTER REGISTRATION AGENCY ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense may authorize the Secretaries of the military departments to designate offices on military installations as voter registration agencies under section 7(a)(2) of the National

Voter Registration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 1973gg–5(a)(2)) for all purposes of such Act. Any office so designated shall discharge the requirements of this section, under the regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (f).

“(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations relating to the administration of the requirements of this section. The regulations shall be prescribed before the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010, and shall be implemented for such general election for Federal office and for each succeeding election for Federal office.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘absent uniformed services voter’ has the meaning given that term in section 107(1) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–6(1)).

“(2) The term ‘Federal office’ has the meaning given that term in section 107(3) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–6(3)).

“(3) The term ‘Presidential designee’ means the official designated by the President under section 101(a) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff(a)).”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 80 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1566 the following new item:

“1566a. Voting assistance: voter assistance offices.”

SEC. 584. DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS FOR REPORTING AND STORING CERTAIN DATA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff(b)), as amended by sections 580 and 583, is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (9);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (10) and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) working with the Election Assistance Commission and the chief State election official of each State, develop standards—

“(A) for States to report data on the number of absentee ballots transmitted and received under section 102(c) and such other data as the Presidential designee determines appropriate; and

“(B) for the Presidential designee to store the data reported.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 102(a) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–1(a)), as amended by sections 577, 578, 579, and 580, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (9), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (10), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) report data on the number of absentee ballots transmitted and received under section 102(c) and such other data as the Presidential designee determines appropriate in accordance with the standards developed by the Presidential designee under section 101(b)(11).”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010 and each succeeding election for Federal office.

SEC. 585. REPEAL OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO USE OF SINGLE APPLICATION FOR ALL SUBSEQUENT ELECTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsections (a) through (d) of section 104 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff–3) are repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) is amended—

(1) in section 101(b)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “, for use by States in accordance with section 104”; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking “for use by States in accordance with section 104”; and

(2) in section 104, as amended by subsection (a)—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “USE OF SINGLE APPLICATION FOR ALL SUBSEQUENT ELECTIONS” and inserting “PROHIBITION OF REFUSAL OF APPLICATIONS ON GROUNDS OF EARLY SUBMISSION”; and

(B) in subsection (e), by striking “(e) PROHIBITION OF REFUSAL OF APPLICATIONS ON GROUNDS OF EARLY SUBMISSION.—”.

SEC. 586. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 105 the following new section:

“SEC. 105A. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

“(a) REPORT ON STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT OF PROGRAMS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act, the Presidential designee shall submit to the relevant committees of Congress a report containing the following information:

“(1) The status of the implementation of the procedures established for the collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters under section 103A, and a detailed description of the specific steps taken towards such implementation for the regularly scheduled general election for Federal office held in November 2010.

“(2) An assessment of the effectiveness of the Voting Assistance Officer Program of the Department of Defense, which shall include the following:

“(A) A thorough and complete assessment of whether the Program, as configured and implemented as of such date of enactment, is effectively assisting absent uniformed services voters in exercising their right to vote.

“(B) An inventory and explanation of any areas of voter assistance in which the Program has failed to accomplish its stated objectives and effectively assist absent uniformed services voters in exercising their right to vote.

“(C) As necessary, a detailed plan for the implementation of any new program to replace or supplement voter assistance activities required to be performed under this Act.

“(3) A detailed description of the specific steps taken towards the implementation of voter registration assistance for absent uniformed services voters under section 1566a of title 10, United States Code.

“(b) ANNUAL REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS OF ACTIVITIES AND UTILIZATION OF CERTAIN PROCEDURES.—Not later than March 31 of each year, the Presidential designee shall transmit to the President and to the relevant committees of Congress a report containing the following information:

“(1) An assessment of the effectiveness of activities carried out under section 103B, including the activities and actions of the Federal Voting Assistance Program of the Department of Defense, a separate assessment of voter registration and participation by absent uniformed services voters, a separate assessment of voter registration and participation by overseas voters who are not members of the uniformed services, and a description of the cooperation between States and the Federal Government in carrying out such section.

“(2) A description of the utilization of voter registration assistance under section 1566a of title 10, United States Code, which shall include the following:

“(A) A description of the specific programs implemented by each military department of the Armed Forces pursuant to such section.

“(B) The number of absent uniformed services voters who utilized voter registration assistance provided under such section.

“(3) In the case of a report submitted under this subsection in the year following a year in which a regularly scheduled general election for Federal office is held, a description of the utilization of the procedures for the collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots established pursuant to section 103A, which shall include the number of marked absentee ballots collected and delivered under such procedures and the number of such ballots which were not delivered by the time of the closing of the polls on the date of the election (and the reasons such ballots were not so delivered).”

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ABSENT OVERSEAS UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTER.—The term ‘absent overseas uniformed services voter’ has the meaning given such term in section 103A(d).

“(2) PRESIDENTIAL DESIGNEE.—The term ‘Presidential designee’ means the Presidential designee under section 101(a).

“(3) RELEVANT COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—The term ‘relevant committees of Congress’ means—

“(A) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and Rules and Administration of the Senate; and

“(B) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and House Administration of the House of Representatives.”

SEC. 587. ANNUAL REPORT ON ENFORCEMENT.

Section 105 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973f–4) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Attorney” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than December 31 of each year, the Attorney General shall submit to Congress an annual report on any civil action brought under subsection (a) during the preceding year.”

SEC. 588. REQUIREMENTS PAYMENTS.

(a) USE OF FUNDS.—Section 251(b) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15401(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraphs (2) and (3)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) ACTIVITIES UNDER UNIFORMED AND OVERSEAS CITIZENS ABSENTEE VOTING ACT.—A State shall use a requirements payment made using funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization under section 257(a)(4) only to meet the requirements under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act imposed as a result of the provisions of and amendments made by the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act.”

(b) CONDITIONS FOR RECEIPT OF FUNDS.—

(1) INCLUSION OF COMPLIANCE IN STATE PLAN.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 254(a) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15404(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(14) How the State will comply with the provisions and requirements of and amendments made by the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act.”

(B) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 253(b)(1)(A) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 15403(b)(1)(A)) is amended by striking “section 254” and inserting “section 254(a) (or, for purposes of determining the eligibility of a State to receive a requirements payment appropriated pursuant to the authorization provided under section 257(a)(4), contains the element described in paragraph (14) of such section)”.’

(2) WAIVER OF PLAN FOR APPLICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES.—Section 253(b)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 15403(b)(2)) is amended—

(A) by striking “(2) The State” and inserting “(2)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the State”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply for purposes of determining the eligibility of a State to receive a requirements payment appropriated pursuant to the authorization provided under section 257(a)(4).”

(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR PROVISION OF 5 PERCENT MATCH.—Section 253(b)(5) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 15403(b)(5)) is amended—

(A) by striking “(5) The State” and inserting “(5)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the State”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply for purposes of determining the eligibility of a State to receive a requirements payment appropriated pursuant to the authorization provided under section 257(a)(4) for fiscal year 2010, except that if the State does not appropriate funds in accordance with subparagraph (A) prior to the last day of fiscal year 2011, the State shall repay to the Commission the requirements payment which is appropriated pursuant to such authorization.”

(c) AUTHORIZATION.—Section 257(a) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (42 U.S.C. 15407(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) For fiscal year 2010 and subsequent fiscal years, such sums as are necessary for purposes of making requirements payments to States to carry out the activities described in section 251(b)(3).”

SEC. 589. TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ABSENT UNIFORMED SERVICES VOTER.—The term “absent uniformed services voter” has the meaning given such term in section 107(a) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.).

(2) OVERSEAS VOTER.—The term “overseas voter” has the meaning given such term in section 107(5) of such Act.

(3) PRESIDENTIAL DESIGNEE.—The term “Presidential designee” means the individual designated under section 101(a) of such Act.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Presidential designee may establish 1 or more pilot programs under which the feasibility of new election technology is tested for the benefit of absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters claiming rights under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.).

(2) DESIGN AND CONDUCT.—The design and conduct of a pilot program established under this subsection—

(A) shall be at the discretion of the Presidential designee; and

(B) shall not conflict with or substitute for existing laws, regulations, or procedures with respect to the participation of absent uniformed services voters and military voters in elections for Federal office.

(c) CONSIDERATIONS.—In conducting a pilot program established under subsection (b), the Presidential designee may consider the following issues:

(1) The transmission of electronic voting material across military networks.

(2) Virtual private networks, cryptographic voting systems, centrally controlled voting stations, and other information security techniques.

(3) The transmission of ballot representations and scanned pictures in a secure manner.

(4) Capturing, retaining, and comparing electronic and physical ballot representations.

(5) Utilization of voting stations at military bases.

(6) Document delivery and upload systems.

(7) The functional effectiveness of the application or adoption of the pilot program to operational environments, taking into account envi-

ronmental and logistical obstacles and State procedures.

(d) REPORTS.—The Presidential designee shall submit to Congress reports on the progress and outcomes of any pilot program conducted under this subsection, together with recommendations—

(1) for the conduct of additional pilot programs under this section; and

(2) for such legislation and administrative action as the Presidential designee determines appropriate.

(e) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Election Assistance Commission and the National Institute of Standards and Technology shall provide the Presidential designee with best practices or standards in accordance with electronic absentee voting guidelines established under the first sentence of section 1604(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1277; 42 U.S.C. 1977ff note), as amended by section 567 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 1919) to support the pilot program or programs.

(2) REPORT.—In the case in which the Election Assistance Commission has not established electronic absentee voting guidelines under such section 1604(a)(2), as so amended, by not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Election Assistance Commission shall submit to the relevant committees of Congress a report containing the following information:

(A) The reasons such guidelines have not been established as of such date.

(B) A detailed timeline for the establishment of such guidelines.

(C) A detailed explanation of the Commission’s actions in establishing such guidelines since the date of enactment of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 1919).

(3) RELEVANT COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “relevant committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and Rules and Administration of the Senate; and

(B) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and House Administration of the House of Representatives.

(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

SEC. 591. CLARIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE POLICIES FOR MILITARY MUSICAL UNITS AND MUSICIANS.

(a) CLARIFICATION.—Section 974 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§974. Military musical units and musicians: performance policies; restriction on performance in competition with local civilian musicians

“(a) MILITARY MUSICIANS PERFORMING IN AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY.—(1) A military musical unit, and a member of the armed forces who is a member of such a unit performing in an official capacity, may not engage in the performance of music in competition with local civilian musicians.

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), the following shall, except as provided in paragraph (3), be included among the performances that are considered to be a performance of music in competition with local civilian musicians:

“(A) A performance that is more than incidental to an event that—

“(i) is not supported, in whole or in part, by United States Government funds; and

“(ii) is not free to the public.

“(B) A performance of background, dinner, dance, or other social music at an event that—

“(i) is not supported, in whole or in part, by United States Government funds; and

“(ii) is held at a location not on a military installation.

“(3) For purposes of paragraph (1), the following shall not be considered to be a performance of music in competition with local civilian musicians:

“(A) A performance (including background, dinner, dance, or other social music) at an official United States Government event that is supported, in whole or in part, by United States Government funds.

“(B) A performance at a concert, parade, or other event, that—

“(i) is a patriotic event or a celebration of a national holiday; and

“(ii) is free to the public.

“(C) A performance that is incidental to an event that—

“(i) is not supported, in whole or in part, by United States Government funds; or

“(ii) is not free to the public.

“(D) A performance (including background, dinner, dance, or other social music) at—

“(i) an event that is sponsored by a military welfare society, as defined in section 2566 of this title;

“(ii) an event that is a traditional military event intended to foster the morale and welfare of members of the armed forces and their families; or

“(iii) an event that is specifically for the benefit or recognition of members of the armed forces, their family members, veterans, civilian employees of the Department of Defense, or former civilian employees of the Department of Defense, to the extent provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

“(E) A performance (including background, dinner, dance, or other social music)—

“(i) to uphold the standing and prestige of the United States with dignitaries and distinguished or prominent persons or groups of the United States or another nation; or

“(ii) in support of fostering and sustaining a cooperative relationship with another nation.

“(b) PROHIBITION OF MILITARY MUSICIANS ACCEPTING ADDITIONAL REMUNERATION FOR OFFICIAL PERFORMANCES.—A military musical unit, and a member of the armed forces who is a member of such a unit performing in an official capacity, may not receive remuneration for an official performance, other than applicable military pay and allowances.

“(c) RECORDINGS.—(1) When authorized under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this section, a military musical unit may produce recordings for distribution to the public, at a cost not to exceed expenses of production and distribution.

“(2) Amounts received in payment for a recording distributed to the public under this subsection shall be credited to the appropriation or account providing the funds for the production of the recording. Any amount so credited shall be merged with amounts in the appropriation or account to which credited, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such appropriation or account.

“(d) PERFORMANCES AT FOREIGN LOCATIONS.—Subsection (a) does not apply to a performance outside the United States, its commonwealths, or its possessions.

“(e) MILITARY MUSICAL UNIT DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘military musical unit’ means a band, ensemble, chorus, or similar musical unit of the armed forces.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 49 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“974. Military musical units and musicians: performance policies; restriction on performance in competition with local civilian musicians.”

SEC. 592. NAVY GRANTS FOR PURPOSES OF NAVAL SEA CADET CORPS.

(a) GRANTS AUTHORIZED.—Chapter 647 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 7541a the following new section:

“§ 7541b. Authority to make grants for purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps

“Subject to the availability of funds for this purpose, the Secretary of the Navy may make grants to support the purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps, a federally chartered corporation under chapter 1541 of title 36.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 647 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 7541a the following new item:

“7541b. Authority to make grants for purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps.”

SEC. 593. MODIFICATION OF MATCHING FUND REQUIREMENTS UNDER NATIONAL GUARD YOUTH CHALLENGE PROGRAM.

(a) AUTHORITY TO INCREASE DOD SHARE OF PROGRAM.—Section 509(d)(1) of title 32, United States Code, is amended by striking “60 percent of the costs” and inserting “75 percent of the costs”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 2009, and shall apply with respect to fiscal years beginning on or after that date.

SEC. 594. EXPANSION OF MILITARY LEADERSHIP DIVERSITY COMMISSION TO INCLUDE RESERVE COMPONENT REPRESENTATIVES.

Section 596(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4476) is amended by striking subparagraphs (C), (D), (E) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(C) An active commissioned officer from each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, an active commissioned officer from the National Guard, and an active commissioned officer from the Reserves, each of whom serves or has served in a leadership position with either a military department command or combatant command.

“(D) A retired general or flag officer from each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, a retired general or flag officer from the National Guard, and a retired general or flag officer from the Reserves.

“(E) A retired noncommissioned officer from each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, a retired noncommissioned officer from the National Guard, and a retired noncommissioned officer from the Reserves.”

SEC. 595. EXPANSION OF SUICIDE PREVENTION AND COMMUNITY HEALING AND RESPONSE TRAINING UNDER THE YELLOW RIBBON REINTEGRATION PROGRAM.

Section 582 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 10101 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking paragraph (3); and

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (15) as paragraphs (3) through (14), respectively; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) SUICIDE PREVENTION AND COMMUNITY HEALING AND RESPONSE PROGRAM.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—As part of the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, the Office for Reintegration Programs shall establish a program to provide National Guard and Reserve members and their families, and in coordination with community programs, assist the communities, with training in suicide prevention and community healing and response to suicide.

“(2) DESIGN.—In establishing the program under paragraph (1), the Office for Reintegration Programs shall consult with—

“(A) persons that have experience and expertise with combining military and civilian inter-

vention strategies that reduce risk and promote healing after a suicide attempt or suicide death for National Guard and Reserve members; and

“(B) the adjutant general of each State, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands.

“(3) OPERATION.—

“(A) SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING.—The Office for Reintegration Programs shall provide National Guard and Reserve members with training in suicide prevention. Such training shall include—

“(i) describing the warning signs for suicide and teaching effective strategies for prevention and intervention;

“(ii) examining the influence of military culture on risk and protective factors for suicide; and

“(iii) engaging in interactive case scenarios and role plays to practice effective intervention strategies.

“(B) COMMUNITY HEALING AND RESPONSE TRAINING.—The Office for Reintegration Programs shall provide the families and communities of National Guard and Reserve members with training in responses to suicide that promote individual and community healing. Such training shall include—

“(i) enhancing collaboration among community members and local service providers to create an integrated, coordinated community response to suicide;

“(ii) communicating best practices for preventing suicide, including safe messaging, appropriate memorial services, and media guidelines;

“(iii) addressing the impact of suicide on the military and the larger community, and the increased risk that can result; and

“(iv) managing resources to assist key community and military service providers in helping the families, friends, and fellow soldiers of a suicide victim through the processes of grieving and healing.

“(C) COLLABORATION WITH CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.—The Office for Reintegration Programs, in consultation with the Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury, shall collect and analyze ‘lessons learned’ and suggestions from State National Guard and Reserve organizations with existing or developing suicide prevention and community response programs.

“(4) TERMINATION.—The program established under this subsection shall terminate on October 1, 2012.”

SEC. 596. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ON PREVENTION, DIAGNOSIS, AND TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AND DISPOSITION OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OFFENDERS IN THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF CURRENT CAPABILITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall conduct a comprehensive review of the following:

(A) The programs and activities of the Department of Defense for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces.

(B) The policies of the Department of Defense relating to the disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces, including disciplinary action and administrative separation.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The review conducted under paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of each of the following:

(A) The current state and effectiveness of the programs of the Department of Defense and the military departments relating to the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders.

(B) The adequacy of the availability of care, and access to care, for substance abuse in military medical treatment facilities and under the TRICARE program.

(C) The adequacy of oversight by the Department of Defense of programs relating to the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance abuse in members of the Armed Forces.

(D) The adequacy and appropriateness of current credentials and other requirements for healthcare professionals treating members of the Armed Forces with substance use disorders.

(E) The advisable ratio of physician and non-physician care providers for substance use disorders to members of the Armed Forces with such disorders.

(F) The adequacy and appropriateness of protocols and directives for the diagnosis and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces and for the disposition, including disciplinary action and administrative separation, of members of the Armed Forces for substance abuse.

(G) The adequacy of the availability of and access to care for substance use disorders for members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces, including an identification of any obstacles that are unique to the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders among members of the reserve components, and the appropriate disposition, including disciplinary action and administrative separation, of members of the reserve components for substance abuse.

(H) The adequacy of the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in dependents of members of the Armed Forces.

(I) Any gaps in the current capabilities of the Department of Defense for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces.

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth the findings and recommendations of the Secretary as a result of the review conducted under paragraph (1). The report shall—

(A) set forth the findings and recommendations of the Secretary regarding each element of the review specified in paragraph (2);

(B) set forth relevant statistics on the frequency of substance use disorders, disciplinary actions, and administrative separations for substance abuse in members of the regular components of the Armed Forces, members of the reserve component of the Armed Forces, and to the extent applicable, dependents of such members (including spouses and children); and

(C) include such other findings and recommendations on improvements to the current capabilities of the Department of Defense for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces and the policies relating to the disposition, including disciplinary action and administrative separation, of members of the Armed Forces for substance abuse, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) **PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT OF PROGRAMS AND POLICIES.**—

(1) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan for the improvement and enhancement of the following:

(A) The programs and activities of the Department of Defense for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces and their dependents.

(B) The policies of the Department of Defense relating to the disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces, including disciplinary action and administrative separation.

(2) **BASIS.**—The comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall take into account the following:

(A) The results of the review and assessment conducted under subsection (a).

(B) Similar initiatives of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to expand and improve care for substance use disorders among veterans, including the programs and activities conducted under title I of the Veterans' Mental Health and Other Care Improvements Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-387; 112 Stat. 4112).

(3) **COMPREHENSIVE STATEMENT OF POLICY.**—The comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall include a comprehensive statement of the following:

(A) The policy of the Department of Defense regarding the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces and their dependents.

(B) The policies of the Department of Defense relating to the disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces, including disciplinary action and administrative separation.

(4) **AVAILABILITY OF SERVICES AND TREATMENT.**—The comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall include mechanisms to ensure the availability to members of the Armed Forces and their dependents of a core of evidence-based practices across the spectrum of medical and non-medical services and treatments for substance use disorders, including the reestablishment of regional long-term inpatient substance abuse treatment programs. The Secretary may use contracted services for not longer than three years after the date of the enactment of this Act to perform such inpatient substance abuse treatment until the Department of Defense reestablishes this capability within the military health care system.

(5) **PREVENTION AND REDUCTION OF DISORDERS.**—The comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall include mechanisms to facilitate the prevention and reduction of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces through science-based initiatives, including education programs, for members of the Armed Forces and their dependents.

(6) **SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS.**—The comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall include each of the following:

(A) **SUBSTANCES OF ABUSE.**—Instructions on the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance abuse in members of the Armed Forces, including the abuse of alcohol, illicit drugs, and nonmedical use and abuse of prescription drugs.

(B) **HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS.**—Instructions on—

(i) appropriate training of healthcare professionals in the prevention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces;

(ii) appropriate staffing levels for healthcare professionals at military medical treatment facilities for the prevention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces; and

(iii) such uniform training and credentialing requirements for physician and nonphysician healthcare professionals in the prevention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(C) **SERVICES FOR DEPENDENTS.**—Instructions on the availability of services for substance use disorders for dependents of members of the Armed Forces, including instructions on making such services available to dependents to the maximum extent practicable.

(D) **RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND TREATMENT.**—Policy on the relationship between disciplinary actions and administrative separation processing and prevention and treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces.

(E) **CONFIDENTIALITY.**—Recommendations regarding policies pertaining to confidentiality for members of the Armed Forces in seeking or receiving services or treatment for substance use disorders.

(F) **PARTICIPATION OF CHAIN OF COMMAND.**—Policy on appropriate consultation, reference to, and involvement of the chain of command of

members of the Armed Forces in matters relating to the diagnosis and treatment of substance abuse and disposition of members of the Armed Forces for substance abuse.

(G) **CONSIDERATION OF GENDER.**—Instructions on gender specific requirements, if appropriate, in the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces, including gender specific care and treatment requirements.

(H) **COORDINATION WITH OTHER HEALTHCARE INITIATIVES.**—Instructions on the integration of efforts on the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces with efforts to address co-occurring health care disorders (such as post-traumatic stress disorder and depression) and suicide prevention.

(7) **OTHER ELEMENTS.**—In addition to the matters specified in paragraph (3), the comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**—An implementation plan for the achievement of the goals of the comprehensive plan, including goals relating to the following:

(i) Enhanced education of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents regarding substance use disorders.

(ii) Enhanced and improved identification and diagnosis of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces and their dependents.

(iii) Enhanced and improved access of members of the Armed Forces to services and treatment for and management of substance use disorders.

(iv) Appropriate staffing of military medical treatment facilities and other facilities for the treatment of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces.

(B) **BEST PRACTICES.**—The incorporation of evidence-based best practices utilized in current military and civilian approaches to the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders.

(C) **AVAILABLE RESEARCH.**—The incorporation of applicable results of available studies, research, and academic reviews on the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders.

(8) **UPDATE IN LIGHT OF INDEPENDENT STUDY.**—Upon the completion of the study required by subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall—

(A) in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, make such modifications and improvements to the comprehensive plan required by paragraph (1) as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate in light of the findings and recommendations of the study; and

(B) submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the comprehensive plan as modified and improved under subparagraph (A).

(c) **INDEPENDENT REPORT ON SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS PROGRAMS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**—

(1) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—Upon completion of the policy review required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall provide for a study on substance use disorders programs for members of the Armed Forces to be conducted by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies of Sciences or such other independent entity as the Secretary shall select for purposes of the study.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required by paragraph (1) shall include a review and assessment of the following:

(A) The adequacy and appropriateness of protocols for the diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders in members of the Armed Forces.

(B) The adequacy of the availability of and access to care for substance use disorders in military medical treatment facilities and under the TRICARE program.

(C) The adequacy and appropriateness of current credentials and other requirements for physician and non-physician healthcare professionals treating members of the Armed Forces with substance use disorders.

(D) The advisable ratio of physician and non-physician care providers for substance use disorders to members of the Armed Forces with such disorders.

(E) The adequacy of the availability of and access to care for substance use disorders for members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces when compared with the availability of and access to care for substance use disorders for members of the regular components of the Armed Forces.

(F) The adequacy of the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and management of substance use disorders programs for dependents of members of the Armed Forces, whether such dependents suffer from their own substance use disorder or because of the substance use disorder of a member of the Armed Forces.

(G) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate for purposes of the study.

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the entity conducting the study required by paragraph (1) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense and the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the study. The report shall set forth the findings and recommendations of the entity as a result of the study.

SEC. 597. REPORTS ON YELLOW RIBBON REINTEGRATION PROGRAM AND OTHER REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS.

(a) **REPORT ON REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS GENERALLY.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the various reintegration programs being administered in support of members of the National Guard and Reserves and their families.

(b) **ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF ANNUAL REPORTS ON YELLOW RIBBON REINTEGRATION PROGRAM.**—The annual reports on the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program under section 582 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 122; 10 U.S.C. 10101 note) that are submitted under subsection (e)(4) of such section after the date of the enactment of this Act shall include the following:

(1) In the first such annual report submitted after the date of the enactment of this Act—

(A) a description and assessment of the implementation of the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program in fiscal year 2009, including—

(i) an assessment of best practices from pilot programs offered by various States to provide services to supplement the services available through the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program; and

(ii) an assessment of the feasibility of incorporating such practices into the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program; and

(B) current plans for the further implementation of the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program during fiscal year 2010.

(2) A list of the accounts (including accounts of the military departments and accounts for the Office of the Secretary of Defense) from which funds for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program were derived during the most recent fiscal year, and an explanation why such accounts were the source of funding for programs and activities under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

(3) An assessment of the extent to which funding for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program during the most recent fiscal year supported robust joint programs that provided reintegration and support services to members of the National Guard and Reserves and their families regardless of Armed Force with which served.

(4) An assessment of the extent to which programs and activities under the Yellow Ribbon

Reintegration Program during the preceding year were coordinating closely with appropriate programs and activities of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(5) A description of current strategies to mitigate difficulties in sustaining attendance at events under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, and an explanation why funds, if any, that are available for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program but remain unexpended have not been used for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

SEC. 598. REPORTS ON PROGRESS IN COMPLETION OF CERTAIN INCIDENT INFORMATION MANAGEMENT TOOLS.

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every six months thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report detailing the progress of the Secretary with respect to the completion of the following:

(1) The Defense Incident-Based Reporting System.

(2) The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

Sec. 601. Fiscal year 2010 increase in military basic pay.

Sec. 602. Increase in maximum monthly amount of supplemental subsistence allowance for low-income members with dependents.

Sec. 603. Special compensation for members of the uniformed services with catastrophic injuries or illnesses requiring assistance in everyday living.

Sec. 604. Benefits under Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program for certain periods before implementation of program.

Sec. 605. Report on housing standards and housing surveys used to determine basic allowance for housing.

Sec. 606. Comptroller General comparative assessment of military and private-sector pay and benefits.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

Sec. 611. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.

Sec. 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.

Sec. 613. One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.

Sec. 614. One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.

Sec. 615. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.

Sec. 616. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of referral bonuses.

Sec. 617. Technical corrections and conforming amendments to reconcile conflicting amendments regarding continued payment of bonuses and similar benefits for certain members.

Sec. 618. Proration of certain special and incentive pays to reflect time during which a member satisfies eligibility requirements for the special or incentive pay.

Sec. 619. Additional assignment pay or special duty pay authorized for members agreeing to serve in Afghanistan for extended periods.

Sec. 620. Temporary authority for monthly special pay for members of the Armed Forces subject to continuing active duty or service under stop-loss authorities.

Sec. 621. Army authority to provide additional recruitment incentives.

Sec. 622. Report on recruitment and retention of members of the Air Force in nuclear career fields.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

Sec. 631. Travel and transportation for survivors of deceased members of the uniformed services to attend memorial ceremonies.

Sec. 632. Travel and transportation allowances for designated individuals of wounded, ill, or injured members of the uniformed services for duration of inpatient treatment.

Sec. 633. Authorized travel and transportation allowances for non-medical attendants for very seriously and seriously wounded, ill, or injured members.

Sec. 634. Reimbursement of travel expenses of members of the Armed Forces on active duty and their dependents for travel for specialty care under exceptional circumstances.

Sec. 635. Report on adequacy of weight allowances for transportation of baggage and household effects for members of the uniformed services.

Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

Sec. 641. Transition assistance for reserve component members injured while on active duty.

Sec. 642. Recomputation of retired pay and adjustment of retired grade of Reserve retirees to reflect service after retirement.

Sec. 643. Election to receive retired pay for non-regular service upon retirement for service in an active reserve status performed after attaining eligibility for regular retirement.

Sec. 644. Report on re-determination process for permanently incapacitated dependents of retired and deceased members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 645. Treatment as active service for retired pay purposes of service as member of Alaska Territorial Guard during World War II.

Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

Sec. 651. Limitation on Department of Defense entities offering personal information services to members and their dependents.

Sec. 652. Report on impact of purchasing from local distributors all alcoholic beverages for resale on military installations on Guam.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 661. Limitations on collection of overpayments of pay and allowances erroneously paid to members.

Sec. 662. Sense of Congress on airfares for members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 663. Sense of Congress on establishment of flexible spending arrangements for the uniformed services.

Sec. 664. Sense of Congress regarding support for compensation, retirement, and other military personnel programs.

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

SEC. 601. FISCAL YEAR 2010 INCREASE IN MILITARY BASIC PAY.

(a) **WAIVER OF SECTION 1009 ADJUSTMENT.**—The adjustment to become effective during fiscal

year 2010 required by section 1009 of title 37, United States Code, in the rates of monthly basic pay authorized members of the uniformed services shall not be made.

(b) INCREASE IN BASIC PAY.—Effective on January 1, 2010, the rates of monthly basic pay for members of the uniformed services are increased by 3.4 percent.

SEC. 602. INCREASE IN MAXIMUM MONTHLY AMOUNT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE FOR LOW-INCOME MEMBERS WITH DEPENDENTS.

(a) INCREASE IN MAXIMUM MONTHLY AMOUNT.—Section 402a(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$500” and inserting “\$1,100”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “\$500” and inserting “\$1,100”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 2009, and shall apply with respect to monthly supplemental subsistence allowances for low-income members with dependents payable on or after that date.

(c) REPORT ON ELIMINATION OF RELIANCE ON SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO MEET NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THEIR DEPENDENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a plan for actions to eliminate the need for members of the Armed Forces and their dependents to rely on the supplemental nutrition assistance program under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) for their monthly nutritional needs.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall address the following:

(A) An appropriate amount or amounts for the monthly supplemental subsistence allowance for low-income members with dependents payable under section 402a of title 37, United States Code.

(B) Such modifications, if any, to the eligibility requirements for the monthly supplemental subsistence allowance, including limitations on the maximum size of the household of a member for purposes of eligibility for the allowance, as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(C) The advisability of requiring members of the Armed Forces to apply for the monthly supplemental subsistence allowance before seeking assistance under the supplemental nutrition assistance program and to notify their commanding officer if they are accepted for participation in the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

(D) A method for accurately determining the total number of members of the Armed Forces who are participating in the supplemental nutrition assistance program.

(E) Such other matters as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

SEC. 603. SPECIAL COMPENSATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES WITH CATASTROPHIC INJURIES OR ILLNESSES REQUIRING ASSISTANCE IN EVERYDAY LIVING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 7 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§439. Special compensation: members of the uniformed services with catastrophic injuries or illnesses requiring assistance in everyday living

“(a) MONTHLY COMPENSATION AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may pay to any member of the uniformed services described in subsection (b) monthly special compensation in an amount determined under subsection (c).

“(b) COVERED MEMBERS.—A member eligible for monthly special compensation authorized by subsection (a) is a member who—

“(1) has a catastrophic injury or illness that was incurred or aggravated in the line of duty;

“(2) has been certified by a licensed physician to be in need of assistance from another person to perform the personal functions required in everyday living;

“(3) in the absence of the provision of such assistance, would require hospitalization, nursing home care, or other residential institutional care; and

“(4) meets such other criteria, if any, as the Secretary of Defense (or the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard) prescribes for purposes of this section.

“(c) AMOUNT.—(1) The amount of monthly special compensation payable to a member under subsection (a) shall be determined under criteria prescribed by the Secretary of Defense (or the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard), but may not exceed the amount of aid and attendance allowance authorized by section 1114(r)(2) of title 38 for veterans in need of aid and attendance.

“(2) In determining the amount of monthly special compensation, the Secretary concerned shall consider the following:

“(A) The extent to which home health care and related services are being provided by the Government.

“(B) The value of the aid and attendance care necessary to assist the member in performing the personal functions required in everyday living, to be determined regardless of the sources of the care (other than the source identified in subparagraph (A)) actually being provided to the member.

“(d) DURATION.—The eligibility of a member to receive special monthly compensation under subsection (a) expires on the earlier of the following:

“(1) The last day of the month during which a 90-day period ends that begins on the date of the separation or retirement of the member.

“(2) The last day of the month during which the member dies.

“(3) The last day of the month during which the member is determined to be no longer afflicted with the catastrophic injury or illness referred to in subsection (b)(1).

“(4) The last day of the month preceding the month during which the member begins receiving compensation under section 1114(r)(2) of title 38.

“(e) CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER PAY AND ALLOWANCES.—Monthly special compensation payable to a member under this section is in addition to any other pay and allowances payable to the member by law.

“(f) BENEFIT INFORMATION.—(1) The Secretary of Defense, in collaboration with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall ensure that members of the uniformed services who may be eligible for compensation under this section are made aware of the availability of such compensation by including information about such compensation in written and online materials for such members and their families.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that a member eligible to receive special monthly compensation under this section is aware that the member's eligibility for such compensation will expire pursuant to subsection (d)(1) after the end of the 90-day period that begins on the date of the separation or retirement of the member even though the member has not begun to receive compensation under section 1114(r)(2) of title 38 before the end of such period.

“(g) CATASTROPHIC INJURY OR ILLNESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘catastrophic injury or illness’ means a permanent, severely disabling injury, disorder, or illness that the Secretary concerned determines compromises the ability of the afflicted person to carry out the activities of daily living to such a degree that the person requires—

“(1) personal or mechanical assistance to leave home or bed; or

“(2) constant supervision to avoid physical harm to self or others.

“(h) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense (or the Secretary of Homeland Security, with re-

spect to the Coast Guard) shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.”.

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense (and the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard) shall submit to Congress a report on the provision of compensation under section 439 of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An estimate of the number of members of the uniformed services eligible for compensation under such section 439.

(B) The number of members of the uniformed services receiving compensation under such section.

(C) The average amount of compensation provided to members of the uniformed services receiving such compensation.

(D) The average amount of time required for a member of the uniformed services to receive such compensation after the member becomes eligible for such compensation.

(E) A summary of the types of injuries, disorders, and illnesses of members of the uniformed services receiving such compensation that made such members eligible for such compensation.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“439. Special compensation: members of the uniformed services with catastrophic injuries or illnesses requiring assistance in everyday living.”.

SEC. 604. BENEFITS UNDER POST-DEPLOYMENT/MOBILIZATION RESPITE ABSENCE PROGRAM FOR CERTAIN PERIODS BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION OF PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary concerned may provide any member or former member of the Armed Forces with the benefits specified in subsection (b) if the member or former member would, on any day during the period beginning on January 19, 2007, and ending on the date of the implementation of the Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence (PDMRA) program by the Secretary concerned, have qualified for a day of administrative absence under the Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program had the program been in effect during such period.

(b) BENEFITS.—The benefits specified in this subsection are the following:

(1) In the case of an individual who is a former member of the Armed Forces at the time of the provision of benefits under this section, payment of an amount not to exceed \$200 for each day the individual would have qualified for a day of administrative absence as described in subsection (a) during the period specified in that subsection.

(2) In the case of an individual who is a member of the Armed Forces at the time of the provision of benefits under this section, either one day of administrative absence or payment of an amount not to exceed \$200, as selected by the Secretary concerned, for each day the individual would have qualified for a day of administrative absence as described in subsection (a) during the period specified in that subsection.

(c) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN FORMER MEMBERS.—A former member of the Armed Forces is not eligible under this section for the benefits specified in subsection (b)(1) if the former member was discharged or released from the Armed Forces under other than honorable conditions.

(d) FORM OF PAYMENT.—The paid benefits providable under subsection (b) may be paid in a lump sum or installments, at the election of the Secretary concerned.

(e) **CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER PAY AND LEAVE.**—The benefits provided a member or former member of the Armed Forces under this section are in addition to any other pay, absence, or leave provided by law.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program” means the program of a military department to provide days of administrative absence not chargeable against available leave to certain deployed or mobilized members of the Armed Forces in order to assist such members in reintegrating into civilian life after deployment or mobilization.

(2) The term “Secretary concerned” has the meaning given that term in section 101(5) of title 37, United States Code.

(g) **DURATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The authority to provide benefits under this section shall expire on the date that is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Expiration under this subsection of the authority to provide benefits under this section shall not affect the utilization of any day of administrative absence provided a member of the Armed Forces under subsection (b)(2), or the payment of any payment authorized a member or former member of the Armed Forces under subsection (b), before the expiration of the authority in this section.

SEC. 605. REPORT ON HOUSING STANDARDS AND HOUSING SURVEYS USED TO DETERMINE BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than July 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the following reviews:

(1) A review of the housing standards used to determine the monthly rates of basic allowance for housing under section 403 of title 37, United States Code.

(2) A review of the process and schedule for conducting surveys used to establish locality rates in housing areas to determine such monthly rates of basic allowance for housing.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF HOUSING STANDARDS REVIEW.**—In conducting the reviews under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider whether the housing standards and survey process are suitable in terms of—

(1) recognizing the societal needs and expectations of families in the United States;

(2) providing for an appropriate quality of life for members of the Armed Forces in all grades;

(3) recognizing the appropriate rewards and prestige associated with promotion to higher military grades throughout the rank structure; and

(4) reflecting the most current housing cost data available.

(c) **INCLUSION OF RECOMMENDED CHANGES.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include—

(1) such recommended changes to the housing standards, including an estimate of the cost of each recommended change, as the Secretary considers appropriate; and

(2) such recommended changes to improve the survey process, including ensuring that the housing cost data used to establish the rates is the most current data available, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 606. COMPTROLLER GENERAL COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF MILITARY AND PRIVATE-SECTOR PAY AND BENEFITS.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General shall conduct a study comparing pay and benefits provided by law to members of the Armed Forces with pay and benefits provided by the private sector to comparably situated private-sector employees to assess how the differences in pay and benefits effect recruiting and retention of members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required by subsection (a) shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(1) An assessment of total military compensation for officers and for enlisted personnel, including basic pay, the basic allowance for housing (BAH), the basic allowance for subsistence (BAS), tax benefits applicable to military pay and allowances under Federal law (including the Social Security laws) and State law, military retirement benefits, commissary and exchange privileges, and military healthcare benefits.

(2) An assessment of private-sector pay and benefits for civilians of similar age, education, and experience with similar job responsibilities and working conditions as officers and enlisted personnel of the Armed Forces, including pay, bonuses, employee options, fringe benefits, retirement benefits, individual retirement investment benefits, flexible spending accounts and health savings accounts, and any other elements of private-sector compensation that the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(3) An identification of the percentile of comparable private-sector compensation at which members of the Armed Forces are paid, including an assessment of the adequacy of percentile comparisons generally and whether the Department of Defense goal of compensating members of the Armed Forces at the 80th percentile of comparable private-sector compensation, as described in the 10th Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation, is appropriate and adequate to attract and retain quality individuals to serve in the Armed Forces.

(c) **REPORT.**—The Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the study required by subsection (a) by not later than April 1, 2010.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

SEC. 611. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR RESERVE FORCES.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 308b(g), relating to Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus.

(2) Section 308c(i), relating to Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus.

(3) Section 308d(c), relating to special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units.

(4) Section 308g(f)(2), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service.

(5) Section 308h(e), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(6) Section 308i(f), relating to Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(7) Section 910(g), relating to income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

SEC. 612. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.

(a) **TITLE 10 AUTHORITIES.**—The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 2130a(a)(1), relating to nurse officer candidate accession program.

(2) Section 16302(d), relating to repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve.

(b) **TITLE 37 AUTHORITIES.**—The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 302c-1(f), relating to accession and retention bonuses for psychologists.

(2) Section 302d(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for registered nurses.

(3) Section 302e(a)(1), relating to incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.

(4) Section 302g(e), relating to special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties.

(5) Section 302h(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for dental officers.

(6) Section 302(j)(a), relating to accession bonus for pharmacy officers.

(7) Section 302k(f), relating to accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties.

(8) Section 302l(g), relating to accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

SEC. 613. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES FOR NUCLEAR OFFICERS.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 312(f), relating to special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service.

(2) Section 312b(c), relating to nuclear career accession bonus.

(3) Section 312c(d), relating to nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

SEC. 614. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO TITLE 37 CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY, INCENTIVE PAY, AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 331(h), relating to general bonus authority for enlisted members.

(2) Section 332(g), relating to general bonus authority for officers.

(3) Section 333(i), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers.

(4) Section 334(i), relating to special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers.

(5) Section 335(k), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions.

(6) Section 351(i), relating to hazardous duty pay.

(7) Section 352(g), relating to assignment pay or special duty pay.

(8) Section 353(j), relating to skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus.

(9) Section 355(i), relating to retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

SEC. 615. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER TITLE 37 BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.

The following sections of chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 301b(a), relating to aviation officer retention bonus.

(2) Section 307a(g), relating to assignment incentive pay.

(3) Section 308(g), relating to reenlistment bonus for active members.

(4) Section 309(e), relating to enlistment bonus.

(5) Section 324(g), relating to accession bonus for new officers in critical skills.

(6) Section 326(g), relating to incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage.

(7) Section 327(h), relating to incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces.

(8) Section 330(f), relating to accession bonus for officer candidates.

SEC. 616. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF REFERRAL BONUSES.

The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2010”:

(1) Section 1030(i), relating to health professions referral bonus.

(2) Section 3252(h), relating to Army referral bonus.

SEC. 617. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO RECONCILE CONFLICTING AMENDMENTS REGARDING CONTINUED PAYMENT OF BONUSES AND SIMILAR BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS.

(a) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO RECONCILE CONFLICTING AMENDMENTS.—Section 303a(e) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraphs (2) and (3)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively;

(3) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by striking “paragraph (3)(B)” and inserting “paragraph (4)(B)”;

(4) by redesignating paragraph (2), as added by section 651(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4495), as paragraph (3); and

(5) by redesignating the second subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1), originally added as paragraph (2) by section 2(a)(3) of the Hubbard Act (Public Law 110-317; 122 Stat. 3526) and erroneously designated as subparagraph (B) by section 651(a)(3) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4495), as paragraph (2).

(b) INCLUSION OF HUBBARD ACT AMENDMENT IN CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.—Section 373(b) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking the paragraph heading and inserting “SPECIAL RULE FOR DECEASED AND DISABLED MEMBERS.—”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR MEMBERS WHO RECEIVE SOLE SURVIVORSHIP DISCHARGE.—(A) If a member of the uniformed services receives a sole survivorship discharge, the Secretary concerned—

“(i) shall not require repayment by the member of the unearned portion of any bonus, incentive pay, or similar benefit previously paid to the member; and

“(ii) may grant an exception to the requirement to terminate the payment of any unpaid amounts of a bonus, incentive pay, or similar benefit if the Secretary concerned determines that termination of the payment of the unpaid amounts would be contrary to a personnel policy or management objective, would be against equity and good conscience, or would be contrary to the best interests of the United States.

“(B) In this paragraph, the term ‘sole survivorship discharge’ means the separation of a member from the Armed Forces, at the request of the member, pursuant to the Department of Defense policy permitting the early separation of a member who is the only surviving child in a family in which—

“(i) the father or mother or one or more siblings—

“(I) served in the Armed Forces; and

“(II) was killed, died as a result of wounds, accident, or disease, is in a captured or missing in action status, or is permanently 100 percent disabled or hospitalized on a continuing basis (and is not employed gainfully because of the disability or hospitalization); and

“(ii) the death, status, or disability did not result from the intentional misconduct or willful neglect of the parent or sibling and was not incurred during a period of unauthorized absence.”.

SEC. 618. PRORATION OF CERTAIN SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS TO REFLECT TIME DURING WHICH A MEMBER SATISFIES ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SPECIAL OR INCENTIVE PAY.

(a) SPECIAL PAY FOR DUTY SUBJECT TO HOSTILE FIRE OR IMMINENT DANGER.—Section 310 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “AND SPECIAL PAY AMOUNT” in the subsection heading; and

(B) by striking “at the rate of \$225 for any month” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting “under subsection (b) for any month or portion of a month”;

(2) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (3);

(3) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (c), (d), and (e), respectively; and

(4) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

“(b) SPECIAL PAY AMOUNT; PRORATION.—(1) The special pay authorized by subsection (a) may not exceed \$225 a month.

“(2) Except as provided in subsection (c), if a member does not satisfy the eligibility requirements specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) for an entire month for receipt of special pay under subsection (a), the Secretary concerned may prorate the payment amount to reflect the duration of the member’s actual qualifying service during the month.”.

(b) HAZARDOUS DUTY PAY.—Section 351 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (c) and (d) and redesignating subsections (e) through (i) as subsections (d) through (h), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

“(c) METHOD OF PAYMENT; PRORATION.—“(1) MONTHLY PAYMENT.—Subject to paragraph (2), hazardous duty pay shall be paid on a monthly basis.

“(2) PRORATION.—If a member does not satisfy the eligibility requirements specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (a) for an entire month for receipt of hazardous duty pay, the Secretary concerned may prorate the payment amount to reflect the duration of the member’s actual qualifying service during the month.”.

(c) ASSIGNMENT OR SPECIAL DUTY PAY.—Section 352(b)(1) of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “If paid monthly, the Secretary concerned may prorate the monthly amount of the assignment or special duty pay for a member who does not satisfy the eligibility requirement for an entire month to reflect the duration of the member’s actual qualifying service during the month.”.

(d) SKILL INCENTIVE PAY.—Section 353 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (f) and redesignating subsections (g) through (j) as subsections (f) through (i), respectively; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(1) SKILL INCENTIVE PAY.—(A) Skill incentive pay under subsection (a) may not exceed \$1,000 a month.

“(B) If a member does not satisfy the eligibility requirements specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) for an entire month for receipt of skill incentive pay, the Secretary concerned may prorate the payment amount to reflect the duration of the member’s actual qualifying service during the month. A member of a reserve component entitled to compensation under section 206 of this title who is authorized skill incentive pay under subsection (a) may be paid an amount of such pay that is proportionate to the compensation received by the member under section 206 of this title for inactive-duty training.”.

SEC. 619. ADDITIONAL ASSIGNMENT PAY OR SPECIAL DUTY PAY AUTHORIZED FOR MEMBERS AGREEING TO SERVE IN AFGHANISTAN FOR EXTENDED PERIODS.

(a) AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL ASSIGNMENT PAY OR SPECIAL DUTY PAY.—The Secretary of Defense may provide assignment pay or special duty pay under section 352 of title 37, United States Code, in excess of the maximum amount of monthly or lump sum assignment or special duty pay authorized under subsection (b) of such section, to members of the Armed Forces (particularly members who achieve lan-

guage proficiency at levels and in languages specified by the Secretary of Defense) who agree to serve on active duty in Afghanistan for a minimum of three years. The assignment period required by the agreement shall provide for reasonable periods of leave.

(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall submit to Congress an annual report on the use of the authority provided under subsection (a) during the preceding year, including—

(1) the number of members of the Armed Forces receiving assignment pay or special duty pay under section 352 of title 37, United States Code, in excess of the maximum amount otherwise authorized under such section; and

(2) an assessment of the impact of the use of such authority on the effectiveness and efficiency in achieving the United States mission in Afghanistan.

(c) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority provided by subsection (a) to offer additional assignment pay or special duty pay under section 352 of title 37, United States Code, expires on December 31, 2012. The expiration of such authority shall not affect the terms or duration of any agreement entered into before that date to provide additional assignment pay or special duty pay under such section.

SEC. 620. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY FOR MONTHLY SPECIAL PAY FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES SUBJECT TO CONTINUING ACTIVE DUTY OR SERVICE UNDER STOP-LOSS AUTHORITIES.

(a) SPECIAL PAY AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the military department concerned may pay monthly special pay to any member of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps (including a member of a reserve component thereof) for any month, or portion of a month, in which the member serves on active duty in the Armed Forces, or has the member’s eligibility for retirement from the Armed Forces suspended, as described in subsection (b).

(b) ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.—A member of the Armed Forces referred to in subsection (a) is eligible to receive special pay under this section if the member, at any time during the period beginning on October 1, 2009, and ending on June 30, 2011, serves on active duty while the member’s enlistment or period of obligated service is extended, or has the member’s eligibility for retirement suspended, pursuant to section 123 or 12305 of title 10, United States Code, or any other provision of law (commonly referred to as a “stop-loss authority”) that authorizes the President to extend an enlistment or period of obligated service, or suspend eligibility for retirement, of a member of the Armed Forces in time of war or national emergency declared by Congress or the President.

(c) AMOUNT.—The amount of monthly special pay payable to a member under this section for a month may not exceed \$500.

(d) CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER PAYS.—Monthly special pay payable to a member under this section is in addition to any other amounts payable to the member by law.

SEC. 621. ARMY AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL RECRUITMENT INCENTIVES.

(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (i) of section 681 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3321) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not develop an incentive under this section, or first provide an incentive developed under this section to an individual, after December 31, 2012.

“(2) CONTINUATION OF INCENTIVES.—Nothing in paragraph (1) shall be construed to prohibit or limit the continuing provision to an individual after the date specified in that paragraph of an incentive first provided the individual under this section before that date.”.

(b) LIMITATION ON USE OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended by inserting “at the same time” after “provided”.

SEC. 622. REPORT ON RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION OF MEMBERS OF THE AIR FORCE IN NUCLEAR CAREER FIELDS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the efforts of the Air Force to attract and retain qualified individuals for service as members of the Air Force involved in the operation, maintenance, handling, and security of nuclear weapons.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of current reenlistment rates and officer retention rates, set forth by Air Force Specialty Code, of members of the Air Force serving in positions involving the operation, maintenance, handling, and security of nuclear weapons.

(2) A description of the current personnel fill rate for Air Force units involved in the operation, maintenance, handling, and security of nuclear weapons.

(3) A description of the steps the Air Force has taken, including the use of retention bonuses or assignment incentive pay, to improve recruiting and reenlistment of enlisted personnel and accession and retention of officers by the Air Force for the positions described in paragraph (1).

(4) An assessment of the feasibility, advisability, utility, and cost effectiveness of establishing additional bonuses or incentive pay as a way to enhance the recruitment and retention by the Air Force of skilled personnel in the positions described in paragraph (1).

(5) An assessment of whether assignment incentive pay should be provided for members of the Air Force covered by the Personnel Reliability Program.

(6) An assessment of the long-term community management plan for recruitment, retention, and assignment by the Air Force of skilled personnel in the positions described in paragraph (1).

(7) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

SEC. 631. TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION FOR SURVIVORS OF DECEASED MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES TO ATTEND MEMORIAL CEREMONIES.

(a) **ALLOWANCES AUTHORIZED.**—Subsection (a) of section 411f of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) The Secretary concerned may provide round trip travel and transportation allowances to eligible relatives of a member of the uniformed services who dies while on active duty in order that the eligible relatives may attend a memorial service for the deceased member that occurs at a location other than the location of the burial ceremony for which travel and transportation allowances are provided under paragraph (1). Travel and transportation allowances may be provided under this paragraph for travel of eligible relatives to only one memorial service for the deceased member concerned.”

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “subsection (a)(1)” the first place it appears and inserting “paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a)”;

(2) by striking “subsection (a)(1)” the second place it appears and inserting “paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a)”.

SEC. 632. TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCES FOR DESIGNATED INDIVIDUALS OF WOUNDED, ILL, OR INJURED MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES FOR DURATION OF INPATIENT TREATMENT.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TRAVEL TO DESIGNATED INDIVIDUALS.**—Subsection (a) of section

411h of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “family members of a member described in paragraph (2)” and inserting “individuals who, with respect to a member described in paragraph (2), are designated individuals for that member”;

(B) by striking “that the presence of the family member” and inserting “, with respect to any such individual, that the presence of such individual”;

(C) by striking “of family members” and inserting “of designated individuals”;

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) In the case of a designated individual who is also a member of the uniformed services, that member may be provided travel and transportation under this section in the same manner as a designated individual who is not a member.”

(b) **DEFINITION OF DESIGNATED INDIVIDUAL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (1) of subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking “the term” and all that follows and inserting “the term ‘designated individual’, with respect to a member, means—

“(A) an individual designated by the member for the purposes of this section; or

“(B) in the case of a member who has not made a designation under subparagraph (A) and, as determined by the attending physician or surgeon, is not able to make such a designation, an individual who, as designated by the attending physician or surgeon and the commander or head of the military medical facility exercising control over the member, is someone with a personal relationship to the member whose presence may aid and support the health and welfare of the member during the duration of the member’s inpatient treatment.”

(2) **DESIGNATIONS NOT PERMANENT.**—Paragraph (2) of such subsection is amended to read as follows:

“(2) The designation of an individual as a designated individual for purposes of this section may be changed at any time.”

(c) **COVERAGE OF MEMBERS HOSPITALIZED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES WHO WERE WOUNDED OR INJURED IN A COMBAT OPERATION OR COMBAT ZONE.**—

(1) **COVERAGE FOR HOSPITALIZATION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(2) of such section is amended—

(A) in clause (i), by striking “in or outside the United States”;

(B) in clause (ii), by striking “in the United States”.

(2) **CLARIFICATION OF MEMBERS COVERED.**—Such subparagraph is further amended—

(A) in clause (i), by inserting “seriously wounded,” after “(i) is”;

(B) in clause (ii)—

(i) by striking “an injury” and inserting “a wound or an injury”;

(ii) by striking “that injury” and inserting “that wound or injury”.

(d) **COVERAGE OF MEMBERS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL DISORDERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a)(2)(B)(i) of such section, as amended by subsection (c) of this section, is further amended by inserting “(including having a serious mental disorder)” after “seriously injured”.

(2) **SERIOUS MENTAL DISORDER DEFINED.**—Subsection (b) of such section 411h, as amended by subsection (b) of this section, is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4)(A) In this section, the term ‘serious mental disorder’, in the case of a member, means that the member has been diagnosed with a mental disorder that requires intensive mental health treatment or hospitalization.

“(B) The circumstances in which a member shall be considered to have a serious mental disorder for purposes of this section shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

“(i) The member is considered to be a potential danger to self or others as a result of a diagnosed mental disorder that requires intensive mental health treatment or hospitalization.

“(ii) The member is diagnosed with a mental disorder and has psychotic symptoms that require intensive mental health treatment or hospitalization.

“(iii) The member is diagnosed with a mental disorder and has severe symptoms or severe impairment in functioning that require intensive mental health treatment or hospitalization.”

(e) **FREQUENCY OF AUTHORIZED TRAVEL.**—Paragraph (3) of subsection (a) of such section 411h is amended to read as follows:

“(3) Not more than a total of three roundtrips may be provided under paragraph (1) in any 60-day period at Government expense to the individuals who, with respect to a member, are the designated individuals of that member in effect during that period. However, if the Secretary concerned has granted a waiver under the second sentence of paragraph (1) with respect to a member, then for any 60-day period in which the waiver is in effect the limitation in the preceding sentence shall be adjusted accordingly. In addition, during any period during which there is in effect a non-medical attendant designation for a member under section 411k of this title, not more than a total of two roundtrips may be provided under paragraph (1) in any 60-day period at Government expense until there no longer is a designation of a non-medical attendant or that designation transfers to another individual, in which case during the transfer period three roundtrips may be provided.”

(f) **STYLISTIC AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “(a)(1)” and inserting “(a) TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORIZED.—(1)”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “(b)(1)” and inserting “(b) DEFINITIONS.—(1)”;

(B) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by inserting “(A)” after “(3)”;

(ii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) In this paragraph, the term ‘family member’, with respect to a member, means the following:

“(i) The member’s spouse.

“(ii) Children of the member (including stepchildren, adopted children, and illegitimate children).

“(iii) Parents of the member or persons in loco parentis to the member, including fathers and mothers through adoption and persons who stood in loco parentis to the member for a period not less than one year immediately before the member entered the uniformed service, except that only one father and one mother or their counterparts in loco parentis may be recognized in any one case.

“(iv) Siblings of the member.

“(v) A person related to the member as described in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv) who is also a member of the uniformed services.”

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “(c)(1)” and inserting “(c) ROUND TRIP TRANSPORTATION AND PER DIEM ALLOWANCE.—(1)”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “family member” and inserting “designated individual”;

and

(4) in subsection (d), by striking “(d)(1)” and inserting “(d) METHOD OF TRANSPORTATION AUTHORIZED.—(1)”.

(g) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§411h. Travel and transportation allowances: transportation of designated individuals incident to hospitalization of members for treatment of wounds, illness, or injury”.

(2) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"411h. Travel and transportation allowances: transportation of designated individuals incident to hospitalization of members for treatment of wounds, illness, or injury."

(h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO WOUNDED WARRIOR ACT.—Section 1602(4) of the Wounded Warrior Act (10 U.S.C. 1071 note) is amended by striking "411h(b)(1)" and inserting "411h(b)(3)(B)".

(i) APPLICABILITY OF AMENDMENTS.—No reimbursement may be provided under section 411h of title 37, United States Code, by reason of the amendments made by this section for travel and transportation costs incurred before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 633. AUTHORIZED TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCES FOR NON-MEDICAL ATTENDANTS FOR VERY SERIOUSLY AND SERIOUSLY WOUNDED, ILL, OR INJURED MEMBERS.

(a) PAYMENT OF TRAVEL COSTS AUTHORIZED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 7 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 411j the following new section:

"§411k. Travel and transportation allowances: non-medical attendants for members who are determined to be very seriously or seriously wounded, ill, or injured

"(a) ALLOWANCE FOR NON-MEDICAL ATTENDANT.—Under uniform regulations prescribed by the Secretaries concerned, travel and transportation described in subsection (d) may be provided for a qualified non-medical attendant for a covered member of the uniformed services described in subsection (c) if the attending physician or surgeon and the commander or head of the military medical facility exercising control over the member determine that the presence of such an attendant may contribute to the member's health and welfare.

"(b) QUALIFIED NON-MEDICAL ATTENDANT.—For purposes of this section, a qualified non-medical attendant, with respect to a covered member, is an individual who—

"(1) is designated by the member to be a non-medical attendant for the member for purposes of this section; and

"(2) is determined by the attending physician or surgeon and the commander or head of the military medical facility to be appropriate to serve as a non-medical attendant for the member and whose presence may contribute to the health and welfare of the member.

"(c) COVERED MEMBERS.—A member of the uniformed services covered by this section is a member who—

"(1) as a result of a wound, illness, or injury, has been determined by the attending physician or surgeon to be in the category known as 'very seriously wounded, ill, or injured' or 'seriously wounded, ill, or injured'; and

"(2) is hospitalized for treatment of the wound, illness, or injury or requires continuing outpatient treatment for the wound, illness, or injury.

"(d) AUTHORIZED TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION.—(1) The transportation authorized by subsection (a) for a qualified non-medical attendant for a member is round-trip transportation between the home of the attendant and the location at which the member is receiving treatment and may include transportation, while accompanying the member, to any other location to which the member is subsequently transferred for further treatment. A designated non-medical attendant under this section may not also be a designated individual for travel and transportation allowances section 411h(a) of this title.

"(2) The transportation authorized by subsection (a) includes any travel necessary to obtain treatment for the member at the location to which the member is permanently assigned.

"(3) In addition to the transportation authorized by subsection (a), the Secretary concerned

may provide a per diem allowance or reimbursement for the actual and necessary expenses of the travel, or a combination thereof, but not to exceed the rates established under section 404(d) of this title.

"(4) The transportation authorized by subsection (a) may be provided by any of the following means:

"(A) Transportation in-kind.

"(B) A monetary allowance in place of transportation in-kind at a rate to be prescribed by the Secretaries concerned.

"(C) Reimbursement for the commercial cost of transportation.

"(5) An allowance payable under this subsection may be paid in advance.

"(6) Reimbursement payable under this subsection may not exceed the cost of Government-procured commercial round-trip air travel."

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item related to section 411j the following new item:

"411k. Travel and transportation allowances: non-medical attendants for members determined to be very seriously or seriously wounded, ill, or injured."

(b) APPLICABILITY.—No reimbursement may be provided under section 411k of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), for travel and transportation costs incurred before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 634. REIMBURSEMENT OF TRAVEL EXPENSES OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ON ACTIVE DUTY AND THEIR DEPENDENTS FOR TRAVEL FOR SPECIALTY CARE UNDER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

(a) REIMBURSEMENT AUTHORIZED.—Section 1074i of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

"(b) REIMBURSEMENT FOR TRAVEL UNDER EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.—The Secretary of Defense may provide reimbursement for reasonable travel expenses of travel of members of the armed forces on active duty and their dependents, and accompaniment, to a specialty care provider not otherwise authorized by subsection (a) under such exceptional circumstances as the Secretary considers appropriate for purposes of this section."

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a) of such section is amended by inserting "of Defense" after "the Secretary".

SEC. 635. REPORT ON ADEQUACY OF WEIGHT ALLOWANCES FOR TRANSPORTATION OF BAGGAGE AND HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than July 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(1) a review of the weight allowances provided for the transportation of baggage and household goods under section 406(b)(1)(C) of title 37, United States Code; and

(2) such recommended changes to the weight allowance, including an estimate of the cost of each recommended change, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) ELEMENTS OF REVIEW.—The Secretary shall consider whether the weight allowances reviewed under subsection (a) are suitable in terms of—

(1) recognizing the societal needs and expectations of families in the United States;

(2) providing for an appropriate quality of life for members of the Armed Forces in all grades; and

(3) recognizing the appropriate rewards and prestige associated with promotion to higher military grade, with particular attention to mid-

grade and senior noncommissioned officer ranks.

Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

SEC. 641. TRANSITION ASSISTANCE FOR RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS INJURED WHILE ON ACTIVE DUTY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1218 the following new section:

"§1218a. Discharge or release from active duty: transition assistance for reserve component members injured while on active duty

"(a) PROVISION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION.—Before a member of a reserve component described in subsection (b) is demobilized or separated from the armed forces, the Secretary of the military department concerned shall provide to the member the following information:

"(1) Information on the availability of care and administrative processing through community based warrior transition units.

"(2) Information on the location of the community based warrior transition unit located nearest to the permanent place of residence of the member.

"(b) COVERED MEMBERS.—Subsection (a) applies to members of a reserve component who are injured while on active duty in the armed forces."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 61 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1218 the following new item:

"1218a. Discharge or release from active duty: transition assistance for reserve component members injured while on active duty."

SEC. 642. RECOMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY AND ADJUSTMENT OF RETIRED GRADE OF RESERVE RETIREES TO REFLECT SERVICE AFTER RETIREMENT.

(a) RECOMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY.—Section 12739 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e)(1) If a member of the Retired Reserve is recalled to an active status in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve under section 10145(d) of this title and completes not less than two years of service in such active status, the member is entitled to the recomputation under this section of the retired pay of the member.

"(2) The Secretary concerned may reduce the two-year service requirement specified in paragraph (1) in the case of a member who—

"(A) is recalled to serve in a position of adjutant general required under section 314 of title 32 or in a position of assistant adjutant general subordinate to such a position of adjutant general;

"(B) completes at least one year of service in such position; and

"(C) fails to complete the minimum two years of service solely because the appointment of the member to such position is terminated or vacated as described in section 324(b) of title 32."

(b) ADJUSTMENT OF RETIRED GRADE.—Section 12771 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking "Unless" and inserting "(a) GRADE ON TRANSFER.—Unless"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) EFFECT OF SUBSEQUENT RECALL TO ACTIVE STATUS.—(1) If a member of the Retired Reserve who is a commissioned officer is recalled to an active status in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve under section 10145(d) of this title and completes not less than two years of service in such active status, the member is entitled to an adjustment in the retired grade of the member in the manner provided in section 1370(d) of this title.

"(2) The Secretary concerned may reduce the two-year service requirement specified in paragraph (1) in the case of a member who—

“(A) is recalled to serve in a position of adjutant general required under section 314 of title 32 or in a position of assistant adjutant general subordinate to such a position of adjutant general;”

“(B) completes at least one year of service in such position; and

“(C) fails to complete the minimum two years of service solely because the appointment of the member to such position is terminated or vacated as described in section 324(b) of title 32.”.

SEC. 643. ELECTION TO RECEIVE RETIRED PAY FOR NON-REGULAR SERVICE UPON RETIREMENT FOR SERVICE IN AN ACTIVE RESERVE STATUS PERFORMED AFTER ATTAINING ELIGIBILITY FOR REGULAR RETIREMENT.

(a) **ELECTION AUTHORITY; REQUIREMENTS.**—Subsection (a) of section 12741 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO ELECT TO RECEIVE RESERVE RETIRED PAY.**—(1) Notwithstanding the requirement in paragraph (4) of section 12731(a) of this title that a person may not receive retired pay under this chapter when the person is entitled, under any other provision of law, to retired pay or retainer pay, a person may elect to receive retired pay under this chapter, instead of receiving retired or retainer pay under chapter 65, 367, 571, or 867 of this title, if the person—

“(A) satisfies the requirements specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of such section for entitlement to retired pay under this chapter;

“(B) served in an active status in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve after becoming eligible for retirement under chapter 65, 367, 571, or 867 of this title (without regard to whether the person actually retired or received retired or retainer pay under one of those chapters); and

“(C) completed not less than two years of satisfactory service (as determined by the Secretary concerned) in such active status (excluding any period of active service).

“(2) The Secretary concerned may reduce the minimum two-year service requirement specified in paragraph (1)(C) in the case of a person who—

“(A) completed at least one year of service in a position of adjutant general required under section 314 of title 32 or in a position of assistant adjutant general subordinate to such a position of adjutant general; and

“(B) failed to complete the minimum years of service solely because the appointment of the person to such position was terminated or vacated as described in section 324(b) of title 32.”.

(b) **ACTIONS TO EFFECTUATE ELECTION.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(1) terminate the eligibility of the person to retire under chapter 65, 367, 571, or 867 of this title, if the person is not already retired under one of those chapters, and terminate entitlement of the person to retired or retainer pay under one of those chapters, if the person was already receiving retired or retainer pay under one of those chapters; and”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO REFLECT NEW VARIABLE AGE REQUIREMENT FOR RETIREMENT.**—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “attains 60 years of age” and inserting “attains the eligibility age applicable to the person under section 12731(f) of this title”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “attains 60 years of age” and inserting “attains the eligibility age applicable to the person under such section”.

(d) **RETIRED PAY BASE.**—

(1) **MEMBERS BECOMING MEMBERS BEFORE SEPTEMBER 8, 1980.**—Section 1406(b)(2) of such title is amended by inserting after “when retired pay is granted” the following: “(or, in the case of a person entitled to retired pay by reason of an election under section 12741(a) of this title, at rates applicable on the date the person com-

pletes the service required under such section 12741(a))”.

(2) **MEMBERS BECOMING MEMBERS AFTER SEPTEMBER 7, 1980.**—Section 1407(d)(4) of such title is amended by inserting after “became entitled to retired pay” the following: “or, in the case of a member or former member entitled to retired pay by reason of an election under section 12741(a) of this title, before the member or former member completes the service required under such section 12741(a).”.

(e) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading for section 12741 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“**§12741. Retirement for service in an active status performed in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve after eligibility for regular retirement.**”.

(2) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1223 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 12741 and inserting the following new item:

“12741. Retirement for service in an active status performed in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve after eligibility for regular retirement.”.

SEC. 644. REPORT ON RE-DETERMINATION PROCESS FOR PERMANENTLY INCAPACITATED DEPENDENTS OF RETIRED AND DECEASED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the re-determination process of the Department of Defense used to determine the eligibility of permanently incapacitated dependents of retired and deceased members of the Armed Forces for benefits provided under laws administered by the Secretary.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the re-determination process, including the following:

(A) The rationale for requiring a quadrennial recertification of financial support after issuance of a permanent identification card to a permanently incapacitated dependent.

(B) The administrative and other burdens the quadrennial recertification imposes on the affected sponsor and dependents, especially after the sponsor becomes ill, incapacitated, or deceased.

(C) The extent to which the quadrennial recertification undermines the utility of issuing a permanent identification card.

(D) The extent of the consequences entailed in eliminating the requirement for quadrennial recertification.

(2) Specific recommendations for the following:

(A) Improving the efficiency of the recertification process.

(B) Minimizing the burden of such process on the sponsors of such dependents.

(C) Eliminating the requirement for quadrennial recertification.

SEC. 645. TREATMENT AS ACTIVE SERVICE FOR RETIRED PAY PURPOSES OF SERVICE AS MEMBER OF ALASKA TERRITORIAL GUARD DURING WORLD WAR II.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Service as a member of the Alaska Territorial Guard during World War II of any individual who was honorably discharged therefrom under section 8147 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106-259; 114 Stat. 705) shall be treated as active service for purposes of the computation under chapter 61, 71, 371, 571, 871, or 1223 of title 10, United States Code, as applicable, of the retired pay to which such individual may be entitled under title 10, United States Code.

(b) **APPLICABILITY.**—Subsection (a) shall apply with respect to amounts of retired pay

payable under title 10, United States Code, for months beginning on or after the date of the enactment of this Act. No retired pay shall be paid to any individual by reason of subsection (a) for any period before that date.

(c) **WORLD WAR II DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “World War II” has the meaning given that term in section 101(8) of title 38, United States Code.

Subtitle E—Commissary and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

SEC. 651. LIMITATION ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENTITIES OFFERING PERSONAL INFORMATION SERVICES TO MEMBERS AND THEIR DEPENDENTS.

(a) **IMPOSITION OF LIMITATION.**—Subchapter III of chapter 147 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2492 the following new section:

“§2492a. Limitation on Department of Defense entities competing with private sector in offering personal information services

“(a) **LIMITATION.**—(1) Notwithstanding section 2492 of this title, the Secretary of Defense may not authorize a Department of Defense entity to offer or provide personal information services directly to users using Department resources, personnel, or equipment, or compete for contracts to provide such personal information services directly to users, if users will be charged a fee for the personal information services to recover the cost incurred to provide the services or to earn a profit.

“(2) The limitation in paragraph (1) shall not be construed to prohibit or preclude the use of Department resources, personnel, or equipment to administer or facilitate personal information services contracts with private contractors.

“(b) **EXCEPTIONS.**—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply if the Secretary of Defense determines that—

“(1) a private sector vendor is not available to provide the personal information services at specific locations;

“(2) the interests of the user population would be best served by allowing the Government to provide such services; or

“(3) circumstances (as specified by the Secretary for purposes of this section) are such that the provision of such services by a Department entity is in the best interest of the Government or military users in general.

“(c) **PERSONAL INFORMATION SERVICES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘personal information services’ means the provision of Internet, telephone, or television services to consumers.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by inserting after section 2492 the following new item:

“2492a. Limitation on Department of Defense entities competing with private sector in offering personal information services.”.

(c) **EFFECT ON EXISTING CONTRACTS.**—Section 2492a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), does not affect the validity or terms of any contract for the provision of personal information services entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 652. REPORT ON IMPACT OF PURCHASING FROM LOCAL DISTRIBUTORS ALL ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES FOR RESALE ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS ON GUAM.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report evaluating the impact of reimposing the requirement, effective for fiscal year 2008 pursuant to section 8073 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2008 (division A of Public Law 110-116; 121 Stat. 1331) but not extended for fiscal year 2009, that all alcoholic beverages intended

for resale on military installations on Guam be purchased from local sources.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) The concerns of nonappropriated funds activities over the one-year imposition of the local purchase requirement and the impact the requirement had on alcohol resale prices.

(2) The stated justification for any change in the price of alcoholic beverages for resale on military installations on Guam.

(3) The actions of the nonappropriated fund activities in complying with the local purchase requirements for resale of alcoholic beverages and their purchase of such affected products before and after the effective date of the provision of law referred to in subsection (a).

(4) The extent to which nonappropriated funds activities on military installations on Guam are implementing the applicable Department of Defense instruction and the methods used to determine the resale price of alcoholic beverages.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 661. LIMITATIONS ON COLLECTION OF OVERPAYMENTS OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES ERRONEOUSLY PAID TO MEMBERS.

(a) **MAXIMUM MONTHLY PERCENTAGE OF MEMBER'S PAY AUTHORIZED FOR DEDUCTION.**—Paragraph (3) of subsection (c) of section 1007 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “20 percent” and inserting “15 percent”.

(b) **REQUESTS FOR DELAY IN REPAYMENT.**—Such paragraph is further amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” after “(3)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) In all cases described in subparagraph (A), the Secretary concerned shall provide a reasonable opportunity for the member to request a delay in the imposition of the repayment requirement to recover the indebtedness. Before beginning collection efforts, the Secretary concerned shall consider the reasons provided by the member for the requested delay, including the financial ability of the member to repay the indebtedness, and the hardship that immediate collection would impose on the member and the member's dependents.”.

(c) **DELAY IN INSTITUTING COLLECTIONS FROM WOUNDED OR INJURED MEMBERS.**—Paragraph (4) of such subsection is amended to read as follows:

“(4)(A) If a member of the uniformed services, through no fault of the member, incurs a wound, injury, or illness while in the line of duty in a combat operation or combat zone designated by the President or the Secretary of Defense, any overpayment of pay or allowances made to the member while the member recovers from the wound, injury, or illness may not be deducted from the member's pay until—

“(i) the member is notified of the overpayment; and

“(ii) the later of the following occurs:

“(I) The end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the completion of the tour of duty of the member in the combat operation or combat zone.

“(II) The end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the reassignment of the member from a military treatment facility or other medical unit outside of the theater of operations.

“(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply if the member, after receiving notification of the overpayment, requests or consents to initiation at an earlier date of the collection of the overpayment of the pay or allowances.”.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply only with respect to an overpayment of pay or allowances made to a member of the uniformed services after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 662. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON AIRFARES FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) all United States commercial air carriers should seek to lend their support with flexible, generous policies applicable to members of the Armed Forces who are traveling on leave or liberty at their own expense; and

(2) each United States air carrier, for all members of the Armed Forces who have been granted leave or liberty and who are traveling by air at their own expense, should—

(A) seek to provide reduced air fares that are comparable to the lowest airfare for ticketed flights and that eliminate to the maximum extent possible advance purchase requirements;

(B) seek to eliminate change fees or charges and any penalties;

(C) seek to eliminate or reduce baggage and excess weight fees;

(D) offer flexible terms that allow members to purchase, modify, or cancel tickets without time restrictions, and to waive fees (including baggage fees), ancillary costs, or penalties; and

(E) seek to take proactive measures to ensure that all airline employees, particularly those who issue tickets and respond to members of the Armed Forces and their family members, are trained in the policies of the airline aimed at benefitting members of the Armed Forces who are on leave.

SEC. 663. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ESTABLISHMENT OF FLEXIBLE SPENDING ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense, with respect to members of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to members of the Coast Guard, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, with respect to commissioned officers of the Public Health Service, and the Secretary of Commerce, with respect to commissioned officers of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, should establish procedures to implement flexible spending arrangements with respect to basic pay and compensation for health care and dependent care on a pre-tax basis in accordance with regulations prescribed under sections 106(c) and 125 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—It is the sense of Congress that, in establishing the procedures described by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the Secretary of Commerce should consider life events of members of the uniformed services that are unique to them as members of the uniformed services, including changes relating to permanent changes of duty station and deployments to overseas contingency operations.

SEC. 664. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING SUPPORT FOR COMPENSATION, RETIREMENT, AND OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL PROGRAMS.

It is the sense of Congress that members of the Armed Forces and their families and survivors and military retirees deserve ongoing recognition and support for their service and sacrifices on behalf of the United States, and Congress will continue to be vigilant in identifying appropriate direct spending offsets that can be used to address shortcomings within those military personnel programs that incur mandatory spending obligations.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits

Sec. 701. Prohibition on conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions.

Sec. 702. Health care for members of the reserve components.

Sec. 703. Enhancement of transitional dental care for members of the reserve components on active duty for more than 30 days in support of a contingency operation.

Sec. 704. Expansion of survivor eligibility under TRICARE dental program.

Sec. 705. TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for a non-regular retirement but are not yet age 60.

Sec. 706. Constructive eligibility for TRICARE benefits of certain persons otherwise ineligible under retroactive determination of entitlement to Medicare part A hospital insurance benefits.

Sec. 707. Notification of certain individuals regarding options for enrollment under Medicare part B.

Sec. 708. Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces deployed in connection with a contingency operation.

Sec. 709. Temporary TRICARE inpatient fee modification.

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

Sec. 711. Comprehensive policy on pain management by the military health care system.

Sec. 712. Administration and prescription of psychotropic medications for members of the Armed Forces before and during deployment.

Sec. 713. Cooperative health care agreements between military installations and non-military health care systems.

Sec. 714. Plan to increase the mental health capabilities of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 715. Department of Defense study on management of medications for physically and psychologically wounded members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 716. Limitation on obligation of funds under defense health program information technology programs.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Sec. 721. Study and plan to improve military health care.

Sec. 722. Study, plan, and pilot for the mental health care needs of dependent children of members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 723. Clinical trial on cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members and former members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 724. Department of Defense Task Force on the Care, Management, and Transition of Recovering Wounded, Ill, and Injured Members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 725. Chiropractic clinical trials.

Sec. 726. Independent study on post-traumatic stress disorder efforts.

Sec. 727. Report on implementation of requirements on the relationship between the TRICARE program and employer-sponsored group health plans.

Sec. 728. Report on stipends for members of reserve components for health care for certain dependents.

Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits

SEC. 701. PROHIBITION ON CONVERSION OF MILITARY MEDICAL AND DENTAL POSITIONS TO CIVILIAN MEDICAL AND DENTAL POSITIONS.

Subsection (a) of section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 198; 10 U.S.C. 129c note) is amended—

(1) by striking “during the period beginning on” and inserting “on or after”; and

(2) by striking “, and ending on September 30, 2012”.

SEC. 702. HEALTH CARE FOR MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.

Section 1074(d)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “90 days” and inserting “180 days”.

SEC. 703. ENHANCEMENT OF TRANSITIONAL DENTAL CARE FOR MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR MORE THAN 30 DAYS IN SUPPORT OF A CONTINGENCY OPERATION.

Section 1145(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “except as provided in paragraph (3),” before “medical and dental care”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) as paragraphs (4), (5), (6), and (7), respectively;

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) In the case of a member described in paragraph (2)(B), the dental care to which the member is entitled under this subsection shall be the dental care to which a member of the uniformed services on active duty for more than 30 days is entitled under section 1074 of this title.”;

(4) in paragraph (4), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section, by striking “paragraph (6)” and inserting “paragraph (7)”; and

(5) in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (6), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section, by striking “paragraph (4)” and inserting “paragraph (5)”.

SEC. 704. EXPANSION OF SURVIVOR ELIGIBILITY UNDER TRICARE DENTAL PROGRAM.

Paragraph (3) of section 1076a(k) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(3) Such term does not include a dependent by reason of paragraph (2) after the end of the three-year period beginning on the date of the member's death, except that, in the case of a dependent of the deceased who is described by subparagraph (D) or (I) of section 1072(2) of this title, the period of continued eligibility shall be the longer of the following periods beginning on such date:

“(A) Three years.

“(B) The period ending on the date on which such dependent attains 21 years of age.

“(C) In the case of such dependent who, at 21 years of age, is enrolled in a full-time course of study in a secondary school or in a full-time course of study in an institution of higher education approved by the administering Secretary and was, at the time of the member's death, in fact dependent on the member for over one-half of such dependent's support, the period ending on the earlier of the following dates:

“(i) The date on which such dependent ceases to pursue such a course of study, as determined by the administering Secretary.

“(ii) The date on which such dependent attains 23 years of age.”.

SEC. 705. TRICARE STANDARD COVERAGE FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE RETIRED RESERVE WHO ARE QUALIFIED FOR A NON-REGULAR RETIREMENT BUT ARE NOT YET AGE 60.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1076d the following new section:

“§ 1076e. TRICARE program: TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for a non-regular retirement but are not yet age 60

“(a) ELIGIBILITY.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a member of the Retired Reserve of a reserve component of the armed forces who is qualified for a non-regular retirement at age 60 under chapter 1223 of this title, but is not age 60, is eligible for health benefits under TRICARE Standard as provided in this section.

“(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to a member who is enrolled, or is eligible to enroll, in a health benefits plan under chapter 89 of title 5.

“(b) TERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY UPON OBTAINING OTHER TRICARE STANDARD COV-

ERAGE.—Eligibility for TRICARE Standard coverage of a member under this section shall terminate upon the member becoming eligible for TRICARE Standard coverage at age 60 under section 1086 of this title.

“(c) FAMILY MEMBERS.—While a member of a reserve component is covered by TRICARE Standard under this section, the members of the immediate family of such member are eligible for TRICARE Standard coverage as dependents of the member. If a member of a reserve component dies while in a period of coverage under this section, the eligibility of the members of the immediate family of such member for TRICARE Standard coverage under this section shall continue for the same period of time that would be provided under section 1086 of this title if the member had been eligible at the time of death for TRICARE Standard coverage under such section (instead of under this section).

“(d) PREMIUMS.—(1) A member of a reserve component covered by TRICARE Standard under this section shall pay a premium for that coverage.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe for the purposes of this section one premium for TRICARE Standard coverage of members without dependents and one premium for TRICARE Standard coverage of members with dependents referred to in subsection (f)(1). The premium prescribed for a coverage shall apply uniformly to all members of the reserve components covered under this section.

“(3) The monthly amount of the premium in effect for a month for TRICARE Standard coverage under this section shall be the amount equal to the cost of coverage that the Secretary determines on an appropriate actuarial basis.

“(4) The Secretary shall prescribe the requirements and procedures applicable to the payment of premiums under this subsection.

“(5) Amounts collected as premiums under this subsection shall be credited to the appropriation available for the Defense Health Program Account under section 1100 of this title, shall be merged with sums in such Account that are available for the fiscal year in which collected, and shall be available under subsection (b) of such section for such fiscal year.

“(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall prescribe regulations for the administration of this section.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘immediate family’, with respect to a member of a reserve component, means all of the member's dependents described in subparagraphs (A), (D), and (I) of section 1072(2) of this title.

“(2) The term ‘TRICARE Standard’ means—

“(A) medical care to which a dependent described in section 1076(b)(1) of this title is entitled; and

“(B) health benefits contracted for under the authority of section 1086(a) of this title and subject to the same rates and conditions as apply to persons covered under that section.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1076d the following new item:

“1076e. TRICARE program: TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for a non-regular retirement but are not yet age 60.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1076e of title 10, United States Code, as inserted by subsection (a), shall apply to coverage for months beginning on or after October 1, 2009, or such earlier date as the Secretary of Defense may specify.

SEC. 706. CONSTRUCTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR TRICARE BENEFITS OF CERTAIN PERSONS OTHERWISE INELIGIBLE UNDER RETROACTIVE DETERMINATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO MEDICARE PART A HOSPITAL INSURANCE BENEFITS.

Section 1086(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph (4):

“(4)(A) If a person referred to in subsection (c) and described by paragraph (2)(B) is subject to a retroactive determination by the Social Security Administration of entitlement to hospital insurance benefits described in paragraph (1), the person shall, during the period described in subparagraph (B), be deemed for purposes of health benefits under this section—

“(i) not to have been covered by paragraph (1); and

“(ii) not to have been subject to the requirements of section 1079(j)(1) of this title, whether through the operation of such section or subsection (g) of this section.

“(B) The period described in this subparagraph with respect to a person covered by subparagraph (A) is the period that—

“(i) begins on the date that eligibility of the person for hospital insurance benefits referred to in paragraph (1) is effective under the retroactive determination of eligibility with respect to the person as described in subparagraph (A); and

“(ii) ends on the date of the issuance of such retroactive determination of eligibility by the Social Security Administration.”.

SEC. 707. NOTIFICATION OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS REGARDING OPTIONS FOR ENROLLMENT UNDER MEDICARE PART B.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 1110a. Notification of certain individuals regarding options for enrollment under Medicare part B

“(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) As soon as practicable, the Secretary of Defense shall notify each individual described in subsection (b)—

“(A) that the individual is no longer eligible for health care benefits under the TRICARE program under this chapter; and

“(B) of options available for enrollment of the individual in the supplementary medical insurance program under part B of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395j et seq.).

“(2) In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall—

“(A) establish procedures for identifying individuals described in subsection (b); and

“(B) consult with the Secretary of Health and Human Services to accurately identify and notify such individuals.

“(b) INDIVIDUALS DESCRIBED.—An individual described in this subsection is an individual who is—

“(1) a covered beneficiary;

“(2) entitled to benefits under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395c) under section 226(b) or section 226A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 426(b) and 426–1); and

“(3) eligible to enroll in the supplementary medical insurance program under part B of such title (42 U.S.C. 1395j et seq.).”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1110 the following new item:

“1110a. Notification of certain individuals regarding options for enrollment under Medicare part B.”.

SEC. 708. MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES DEPLOYED IN CONNECTION WITH A CONTINGENCY OPERATION.

(a) MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS.—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance for the provision of a person-to-person mental health assessment for each member of the Armed Forces who is deployed in connection with a contingency operation as follows:

(A) At a time during the period beginning 60 days before the date of deployment in connection with the contingency operation.

(B) At a time during the period beginning 90 days after the date of redeployment from the contingency operation and ending 180 days after the date of redeployment from the contingency operation.

(C) Subject to subsection (d), not later than each of 6 months, 12 months, and 24 months after return from deployment.

(2) *EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN MEMBERS.*—A mental health assessment is not required for a member of the Armed Forces under subparagraphs (B) and (C) of paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that the member was not subjected or exposed to operational risk factors during deployment in the contingency operation concerned.

(b) *PURPOSE.*—The purpose of the mental health assessments provided pursuant to this section shall be to identify post-traumatic stress disorder, suicidal tendencies, and other behavioral health conditions identified among members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a) in order to determine which such members are in need of additional care and treatment for such health conditions.

(c) *ELEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The mental health assessments provided pursuant to this section shall—

(A) be performed by personnel trained and certified to perform such assessments and may be performed by licensed mental health professionals if such professionals are available and the use of such professionals for the assessments would not impair the capacity of such professionals to perform higher priority tasks;

(B) include a person-to-person dialogue between members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a) and the professionals or personnel described by paragraph (1), as applicable, on such matters as the Secretary shall specify in order that the assessments achieve the purpose specified in subsection (b) for such assessments;

(C) be conducted in a private setting to foster trust and openness in discussing sensitive health concerns; and

(D) be provided in a consistent manner across the military departments.

(2) *TREATMENT OF CURRENT ASSESSMENTS.*—The Secretary may treat periodic health assessments and other person-to-person assessments that are provided to members of the Armed Forces as of the date of the enactment of this Act as meeting the requirements for mental health assessments required under this section if the Secretary determines that such assessments and person-to-person assessments meet the requirements for mental health assessments established by this section.

(d) *CESSATION OF ASSESSMENTS.*—No mental health assessment is required to be provided to an individual under subsection (a)(1)(C) after the individual's discharge or release from the Armed Forces.

(e) *SHARING OF INFORMATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall share with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs such information on members of the Armed Forces that is derived from confidential mental health assessments, including mental health assessments provided pursuant to this section and health assessments and other person-to-person assessments provided before the date of the enactment of this Act, as the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs jointly consider appropriate to ensure continuity of mental health care and treatment of members of the Armed Forces during their transition from

health care and treatment provided by the Department of Defense to health care and treatment provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(2) *PROTOCOLS.*—Any sharing of information under paragraph (1) shall occur pursuant to a protocol jointly established by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for purposes of this subsection. Any such protocol shall be consistent with the following:

(A) Applicable provisions of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110-181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note), including in particular, section 1614 of that Act (122 Stat. 443; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(B) Section 1720F of title 38, United States Code.

(f) *CONTINGENCY OPERATION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “contingency operation” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code.

(g) *REPORTS.*—

(1) *REPORT ON GUIDANCE.*—Upon the issuance of the guidance required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the guidance.

(2) *REPORTS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF GUIDANCE.*—

(A) *INITIAL REPORT.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the issuance of the guidance, the Secretary shall submit to Congress an initial report on the implementation of the guidance by the military departments.

(B) *SUBSEQUENT REPORT.*—Not later than two years after the date of the issuance of the guidance, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the implementation of the guidance by the military departments. The report shall include an evidence-based assessment of the effectiveness of the mental health assessments provided pursuant to the guidance in achieving the purpose specified in subsection (b) for such assessments.

SEC. 709. TEMPORARY TRICARE INPATIENT FEE MODIFICATION.

Section 1086(b)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2010”.

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

SEC. 711. COMPREHENSIVE POLICY ON PAIN MANAGEMENT BY THE MILITARY HEALTH CARE SYSTEM.

(a) *COMPREHENSIVE POLICY REQUIRED.*—Not later than March 31, 2011, the Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a comprehensive policy on pain management by the military health care system.

(b) *SCOPE OF POLICY.*—The policy required by subsection (a) shall cover each of the following:

(1) The management of acute and chronic pain.

(2) The standard of care for pain management to be used throughout the Department of Defense.

(3) The consistent application of pain assessments throughout the Department of Defense.

(4) The assurance of prompt and appropriate pain care treatment and management by the Department when medically necessary.

(5) Programs of research related to acute and chronic pain, including pain attributable to central and peripheral nervous system damage characteristic of injuries incurred in modern warfare, brain injuries, and chronic migraine headache.

(6) Programs of pain care education and training for health care personnel of the Department.

(7) Programs of patient education for members suffering from acute or chronic pain and their families.

(c) *UPDATES.*—The Secretary shall revise the policy required by subsection (a) on a periodic basis in accordance with experience and evolving best practice guidelines.

(d) *ANNUAL REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the commencement of the implemen-

tation of the policy required by subsection (a), and on October 1 each year thereafter through 2018, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report on the policy.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the policy implemented under subsection (a), and any revisions to such policy under subsection (c).

(B) A description of the performance measures used to determine the effectiveness of the policy in improving pain care for beneficiaries enrolled in the military health care system.

(C) An assessment of the adequacy of Department pain management services based on a current survey of patients managed in Department clinics.

(D) An assessment of the research projects of the Department relevant to the treatment of the types of acute and chronic pain suffered by members of the Armed Forces and their families.

(E) An assessment of the training provided to Department health care personnel with respect to the diagnosis, treatment, and management of acute and chronic pain.

(F) An assessment of the pain care education programs of the Department.

(G) An assessment of the dissemination of information on pain management to beneficiaries enrolled in the military health care system.

SEC. 712. ADMINISTRATION AND PRESCRIPTION OF PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATIONS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES BEFORE AND DURING DEPLOYMENT.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than October 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of policy guidance dated November 7, 2006, regarding deployment-limiting psychiatric conditions and medications.

(b) *POLICY REQUIRED.*—Not later than October 1, 2010, the Secretary shall establish and implement a policy for the use of psychotropic medications for deployed members of the Armed Forces. The policy shall, at a minimum, address the following:

(1) The circumstances or diagnosed conditions for which such medications may be administered or prescribed.

(2) The medical personnel who may administer or prescribe such medications.

(3) The method in which the administration or prescription of such medications will be documented in the medical records of members of the Armed Forces.

(4) The exam, treatment, or other care that is required following the administration or prescription of such medications.

SEC. 713. COOPERATIVE HEALTH CARE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND NON-MILITARY HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS.

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—The Secretary of Defense may establish cooperative health care agreements between military installations and local or regional health care systems.

(b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—In establishing an agreement under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) consult with—

(A) the Secretary of the military department concerned;

(B) representatives from the military installation selected for the agreement, including the TRICARE managed care support contractor with responsibility for such installation; and

(C) Federal, State, and local government officials;

(2) identify and analyze health care services available in the area in which the military installation is located, including such services available at a military medical treatment facility or in the private sector (or a combination thereof);

(3) determine the cost avoidance or savings resulting from innovative partnerships between

the Department of Defense and the private sector; and

(4) determine the opportunities for and barriers to coordinating and leveraging the use of existing health care resources, including such resources of Federal, State, local, and private entities.

(c) **ANNUAL REPORTS.**—Not later than December 31 of each year an agreement entered into under this section is in effect, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on each such agreement. Each report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) A description of the agreement.
- (2) Any cost avoidance, savings, or increases as a result of the agreement.
- (3) A recommendation for continuing or ending the agreement.

(d) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as authorizing the provision of health care services at military medical treatment facilities or other facilities of the Department of Defense to individuals who are not otherwise entitled or eligible for such services under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 714. PLAN TO INCREASE THE MENTAL HEALTH CAPABILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **INCREASED AUTHORIZATIONS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of each military department shall increase the number of active duty mental health personnel authorized for the department under the jurisdiction of the Secretary in an amount equal to the sum of the following amounts:

- (1) The greater of—
 - (A) the amount identified on personnel authorization documents as required but not authorized to be filled; or
 - (B) the amount that is 25 percent of the amount identified on personnel authorization documents as authorized.
- (2) The amount required to fulfill the requirements of section 708, as determined by the Secretary concerned.

(b) **REPORT AND PLAN ON THE REQUIRED NUMBER OF MENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the appropriate number of mental health personnel required to meet the mental health care needs of members of the Armed Forces, retired members, and dependents. The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) An evaluation of the recommendation titled “Ensure an Adequate Supply of Uniformed Providers” made by the Department of Defense Task Force on Mental Health established by section 723 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3348).

(B) The criteria and models used to determine the appropriate number of mental health personnel.

(C) The plan under paragraph (2).

(2) **PLAN.**—The Secretary shall develop and implement a plan to significantly increase the number of military and civilian mental health personnel of the Department of Defense by September 30, 2013. The plan may include the following:

(A) The allocation of scholarships and financial assistance under the Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Program under subchapter I of chapter 105 of title 10, United States Code, to students pursuing advanced degrees in clinical psychology and other mental health professions.

(B) The offering of accession and retention bonuses for psychologists pursuant to section 620 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4489).

(C) An expansion of the capacity for training doctoral-level clinical psychologists at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

(D) An expansion of the capacity of the Department of Defense for training masters-level clinical psychologists and social workers with expertise in deployment-related mental health disorders, such as post-traumatic stress disorder.

(E) The detail of commissioned officers of the Armed Forces to accredited schools of psychology for training leading to a doctoral degree in clinical psychology or social work.

(F) The reassignment of military mental health personnel from administrative positions to clinical positions in support of military units.

(G) The offering of civilian hiring incentives and bonuses and the use of direct hiring authority to increase the number of mental health personnel of the Department of Defense.

(H) Such other mechanisms to increase the number of mental health personnel of the Department of Defense as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) **REPORT ON ADDITIONAL OFFICER OR ENLISTED MILITARY SPECIALTIES FOR MENTAL HEALTH.**—

(1) **REPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the assessment of the Secretary of the feasibility and advisability of establishing one or more military mental health specialties for officers or enlisted members of the Armed Forces in order to better meet the mental health care needs of members of the Armed Forces and their families.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall set forth the following:

(A) A recommendation as to the feasibility and advisability of establishing one or more military mental health specialties for officers or enlisted members of the Armed Forces.

(B) For each military specialty recommended to be established under subparagraph (A)—

(i) a description of the qualifications required for such specialty, which shall reflect lessons learned from best practices in academia and the civilian health care industry regarding positions analogous to such specialty; and

(ii) a description of the incentives or other mechanisms, if any, that would be advisable to facilitate recruitment and retention of individuals to and in such specialty.

SEC. 715. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STUDY ON MANAGEMENT OF MEDICATIONS FOR PHYSICALLY AND PSYCHOLOGICALLY WOUNDED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study on the management of medications for physically and psychologically wounded members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The study required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A review and assessment of current practices within the Department of Defense for the management of medications for physically and psychologically wounded members of the Armed Forces.

(2) A review and analysis of the published literature on the risks associated with the administration of medications, including accidental and intentional overdoses, under and over medication, and adverse interactions among medications.

(3) An identification of the medical conditions, and of the patient management procedures of the Department of Defense, that may increase the risks associated with the administration of medications in populations of members of the Armed Forces.

(4) An assessment of current and best practices in the Armed Forces, other departments and agencies of the Federal Government, and the private sector concerning the prescription, distribution, and management of medications, and the associated coordination of care.

(5) An identification of means for decreasing the risks associated with the administration of medications and associated problems with respect to physically and psychologically wounded members of the Armed Forces.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than April 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the study required under subsection (a). The report shall include such findings and recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate in light of the study.

SEC. 716. LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of each amount described in subsection (c), not more than 50 percent of the amount remaining unobligated as of the date of the enactment of this Act may be obligated until 30 days after the Deputy Secretary of Defense, acting in the capacity of Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense pursuant to section 132 of title 10, United States Code, submits to the congressional defense committees a report in accordance with subsection (b).

(b) **REPORT.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be on improvements to the governance and execution of health information management and information technology programs planned and programmed to electronically support clinical medical care within the military health system. Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) An assessment of the capability of the enterprise architecture to achieve optimal clinical practices and health care outcomes.

(2) For each health information management and information technology program covered by the report, an identification and assessment of the risks associated with achieving the timelines and goals of the program.

(3) A plan of action to mitigate the risks identified under paragraph (2).

(4) An assessment of the appropriateness of the health information management and information technology technical architecture and whether that architecture leverages the current best practices of industry, including the ability to meet the interoperability standards required by section 1635 of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110-181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note), as amended by section 252 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year for 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4400).

(5) An assessment, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, of—

(A) the capability of the Department of Defense of meeting the requirements for joint interoperability with the Department of Veterans Affairs, as required by such section 1635; and

(B) the progress the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs have made on the establishment of a joint virtual lifetime electronic record for members of the Armed Forces.

(6) A plan to take corrective actions that are necessary to remedy shortfalls identified as a result of the assessments under this subsection.

(7) An assessment of the estimated resources required in future years to achieve optimal information technology support for health care clinical practice and quality and compliance with the requirements of such section 1635.

(8) An analysis of the methods by which the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs procures health information management and information technology goods and services, and of the appropriateness of the application of legal and acquisition authorities.

(9) An analysis of the capabilities of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs to carry out necessary governance, management, and development functions of health information management and information technology systems, including—

(A) the recommendations of the Assistant Secretary for improvements to the Office or alternative organizational structures for the Office; and

(B) alternative organizations within the Department of Defense with equal or greater management capabilities for health information management and information technology.

(10) A recommendation as to whether health information management and information technology systems of the Department of Defense should be included in and subject to the requirements of section 2222 of title 10, United States Code.

(c) COVERED AUTHORIZATIONS OR APPROPRIATIONS.—Amounts described in this section are the following amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010:

(1) Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program (DHP IM/IT Support Program), \$116,200,000.

(2) Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for procurement for the Defense Health Program, \$144,600,000.

(3) Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for information technology development (program element 65013), \$124,400,000.

(d) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.—Not later than 30 days after the Deputy Secretary submits the report required under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees the results of an assessment carried out by the Comptroller General of the report and plan of action to achieve Department goals and mitigate risk in the management and execution of health information management and information technology programs.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

SEC. 721. STUDY AND PLAN TO IMPROVE MILITARY HEALTH CARE.

(a) STUDY AND REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the health care needs of dependents (as defined in section 1072(2) of title 10, United States Code). The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) With respect to both the direct care system and the purchased care system, an analysis of the type of health care facility in which dependents seek care.

(2) The 10 most common medical conditions for which dependents seek care.

(3) The availability of and access to health care providers to treat the conditions identified under paragraph (2), both in the direct care system and the purchased care system.

(4) Any shortfalls in the ability of dependents to obtain required health care services.

(5) Recommendations on how to improve access to care for dependents.

(6) With respect to dependents accompanying a member stationed at a military installation outside of the United States, the need for and availability of mental health care services.

(b) ENHANCED MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM AND IMPROVED TRICARE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall undertake actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program.

(2) ELEMENTS.—In undertaking actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider the following actions:

(A) Actions to guarantee the availability of care within established access standards for eligible beneficiaries, based on the results of the study required by subsection (a).

(B) Actions to expand and enhance sharing of health care resources among Federal health care

programs, including designated providers (as that term is defined in section 721(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104–201; 110 Stat. 2593; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note)).

(C) Actions using medical technology to speed and simplify referrals for specialty care.

(D) Actions to improve regional or national staffing capabilities in order to enhance support provided to military medical treatment facilities facing staff shortages.

(E) Actions to improve health care access for members of the reserve components and their families, including such access with respect to mental health care and consideration of access issues for members and their families located in rural areas.

(F) Actions to ensure consistency throughout the TRICARE program to comply with access standards, which are applicable to both commanders of military treatment facilities and managed care support contractors.

(G) Actions to create new budgeting and resource allocation methodologies to fully support and incentivize care provided by military treatment facilities.

(H) Actions regarding additional financing options for health care provided by civilian providers.

(I) Actions to reduce administrative costs.

(J) Actions to control the cost of health care and pharmaceuticals.

(K) Actions to audit the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System to improve system checks on the eligibility of TRICARE beneficiaries.

(L) Actions, including a comprehensive plan, for the enhanced availability of prevention and wellness care.

(M) Actions using technology to improve direct communication with beneficiaries regarding health and preventive care.

(N) Actions to create performance metrics by which to measure improvement in the TRICARE program.

(O) Such other actions as the Secretary, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, considers appropriate.

(c) QUALITY ASSURANCE.—In undertaking actions under this section, the Secretary of Defense and the other administering Secretaries shall continue or enhance the current level of quality health care provided by the Department of Defense and the military departments with no adverse impact to cost, access, or care.

(d) CONSULTATION.—In considering actions to be undertaken under this section, and in undertaking such actions, the Secretary shall consult with a broad range of national health care and military advocacy organizations.

(e) REPORTS REQUIRED.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees an initial report on the progress made in undertaking actions under this section and future plans for improvement of the military health system.

(2) REPORT REQUIRED WITH FISCAL YEAR 2012 BUDGET PROPOSAL.—Together with the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for fiscal year 2012 (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:

(A) Updates on the progress made in undertaking actions under this section.

(B) Future plans for improvement of the military health system.

(C) An explanation of how the budget submission may reflect such progress and plans.

(3) PERIODIC REPORTS.—The Secretary shall, on a periodic basis, submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the progress being made in the improvement of the TRICARE program under this section.

(4) ELEMENTS.—Each report under this subsection shall include the following:

(A) A description and assessment of the progress made as of the date of such report in the improvement of the TRICARE program.

(B) Such recommendations for administrative or legislative action as the Secretary considers appropriate to expedite and enhance the improvement of the TRICARE program.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “administering Secretaries” has the meaning given that term in section 1072(3) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “TRICARE program” has the meaning given that term in section 1072(7) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 722. STUDY, PLAN, AND PILOT FOR THE MENTAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) REPORT AND PLAN ON THE MENTAL HEALTH CARE AND COUNSELING SERVICES AVAILABLE TO MILITARY CHILDREN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive review of the mental health care and counseling services available to dependent children of members of the Armed Forces through the Department of Defense.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The review under paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of the following:

(A) The availability, quality, and effectiveness of Department of Defense programs intended to meet the mental health care needs of military children.

(B) The availability, quality, and effectiveness of Department of Defense programs intended to promote resiliency in military children in coping with deployment cycles, injury, or death of military parents.

(C) The extent of access to, adequacy, and availability of mental health care and counseling services for military children in military medical treatment facilities, in family assistance centers, through Military OneSource, under the TRICARE program, and in Department of Defense Education Activity schools.

(D) Whether the status of a member of the Armed Forces on active duty, or in reserve active status, affects the access of a military child to mental health care and counseling services.

(E) Whether, and to what extent, waiting lists, geographic distance, and other factors may obstruct the receipt by military children of mental health care and counseling services.

(F) The extent of access to, availability, and viability of specialized mental health care for military children (including adolescents).

(G) The extent of any gaps in the current capabilities of the Department of Defense to provide preventive mental health services for military children.

(H) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the review conducted under paragraph (1), including the findings and recommendations of the Secretary as a result of the review.

(b) COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN ACCESS TO CARE AND COUNSELING.—The Secretary shall develop and implement a comprehensive plan for improvements in access to quality mental health care and counseling services for military children in order to develop and promote psychological health and resilience in children of deploying and deployed members of the Armed Forces. The information in the report required by subsection (a) shall provide the basis for the development of the plan.

(c) PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Army shall carry out a pilot program on the mental health care needs of military children and adolescents. In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall establish a center to—

(A) develop teams to train primary care managers in mental health evaluations and treatment of common psychiatric disorders affecting children and adolescents;

(B) develop strategies to reduce barriers to accessing behavioral health services and encourage better use of the programs and services by children and adolescents; and

(C) expand the evaluation of mental health care using common indicators, including—

- (i) psychiatric hospitalization rates;
- (ii) non-psychiatric hospitalization rates; and
- (iii) mental health relative value units.

(2) REPORTS.—

(A) Not later than 90 days after establishing the pilot program, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the—

- (i) structure and mission of the program; and
- (ii) the resources allocated to the program.

(B) Not later than September 30, 2012, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that addresses the elements described under paragraph (1).

SEC. 723. CLINICAL TRIAL ON COGNITIVE REHABILITATIVE THERAPY FOR MEMBERS AND FORMER MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) CLINICAL TRIAL REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for a clinical trial to assess the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members or former members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (b).

(b) COVERED MEMBERS AND FORMER MEMBERS.—A member or former member of the Armed Forces described in this subsection is a member or former member of the Armed Forces who—

(1) has been diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury (TBI) incurred in the line of duty in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom; and

(2) is referred by a qualified physician, as determined by the Secretary, for cognitive rehabilitative therapy.

(c) FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The trial required by subsection (a) shall be funded as a medical research project using amounts authorized to be appropriated for Defense Health Program for research and development.

(2) PROHIBITION ON USE OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—Amounts in the Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund under chapter 56 of title 10, United States Code, may not be used to carry out the provisions of this section.

(d) REPORTS.—

(1) REPORT ON PLAN AND DESIGN FOR TRIAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a plan for the conduct of the trial required by subsection (a), including a description of the proposed design of the trial.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than one year after the completion of the trial required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth, at a minimum, the following:

(A) An assessment of the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitative therapy in treating traumatic brain injury in members and former members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (b).

(B) Such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate on means to provide increased access to safe, effective, and quality cognitive rehabilitative therapy services for such members and former members, including recommendations regarding the following:

(i) Procedures for access of such members and former members to cognitive rehabilitative therapy services, including appropriate treatment plans and outcome measures.

(ii) Qualifications and supervisory requirements for licensed and certified health care professionals in the provision of such services to such members and former members.

(iii) A methodology for reimbursing providers of such services in the provision of such services to such members and former members.

(C) The recommendation of the Secretary as to the advisability of including cognitive rehabilitative therapy as a benefit under the TRICARE program.

SEC. 724. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TASK FORCE ON THE CARE, MANAGEMENT, AND TRANSITION OF RECOVERING WOUNDED, ILL, AND INJURED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish within the Department of Defense a task force to be known as the “Department of Defense Task Force on the Care, Management, and Transition of Recovering Wounded, Ill, and Injured Members of the Armed Forces” (in this section referred to as the “Task Force”).

(2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Task Force shall be to assess the effectiveness of the policies and programs developed and implemented by the Department of Defense, and by each of the military departments, to assist and support the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces, and to make recommendations for the continuous improvement of such policies and programs.

(3) RELATION TO SENIOR OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.—The Secretary shall ensure that the Task Force is independent of the Senior Oversight Committee (as defined in section 726(c) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4509)).

(b) COMPOSITION.—

(1) MEMBERS.—The Task Force shall consist of not more than 14 members, appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among the individuals as described in paragraph (2).

(2) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—The individuals appointed to the Task Force shall include the following:

(A) At least one member of each of the regular components of the Army, the Navy, the Air Force, and the Marine Corps.

(B) One member of the National Guard.

(C) One member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces other than National Guard.

(D) A number of persons from outside the Department of Defense equal to the total number of personnel from within the Department of Defense (whether members of the Armed Forces or civilian personnel) who are appointed to the Task Force.

(E) Persons who have experience in—

(i) medical care and coordination for wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces;

(ii) medical case management;

(iii) non-medical case management;

(iv) the disability evaluation process for members of the Armed Forces;

(v) veterans benefits;

(vi) treatment of traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder;

(vii) family support;

(viii) medical research;

(ix) vocational rehabilitation; or

(x) disability benefits.

(F) At least one family member of a wounded, ill, or injured member of the Armed Forces or veteran who has experience working with wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces or their families.

(3) INDIVIDUALS APPOINTED FROM WITHIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—At least one of the individuals appointed to the Task Force from within the Department of Defense shall be the surgeon general of an Armed Force.

(4) INDIVIDUALS APPOINTED FROM OUTSIDE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—The individuals appointed to the Task Force from outside the Department of Defense—

(A) with the concurrence of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall include an officer or em-

ployee of the Department of Veterans Affairs; and

(B) may include individuals from other departments or agencies of the Federal Government, from State and local agencies, or from the private sector.

(5) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENTS.—All original appointments to the Task Force shall be made not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(6) CO-CHAIRS.—There shall be two co-chairs of the Task Force. One of the co-chairs shall be designated by the Secretary of Defense at the time of appointment from among the individuals appointed to the Task Force from within the Department of Defense. The other co-chair shall be selected from among the individuals appointed from outside the Department of Defense by those individuals.

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months after the date on which all members of the Task Force have been appointed, and each year thereafter for the life of the Task Force, the Task Force shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report on the activities of the Task Force and the activities of the Department of Defense and the military departments to assist and support the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces. The report shall include the following:

(A) The findings and conclusions of the Task Force as a result of its assessment of the effectiveness of the policies and programs developed and implemented by the Department of Defense, and by each of the military departments, to assist and support the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(B) A description of best practices and various ways in which the Department of Defense and the military departments could more effectively address matters relating to the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces, including members of the regular components, and members of the reserve components, and support for their families.

(C) A plan for the activities of the Task Force in the year following the year covered by the report.

(D) Such recommendations for other legislative or administrative action as the Task Force considers appropriate for measures to improve the policies and programs described in subparagraph (A).

(2) METHODOLOGY.—For purposes of the reports, the Task Force—

(A) shall conduct site visits and interviews as the Task Force considers appropriate;

(B) may consider the findings and recommendations of previous reviews and evaluations of the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces; and

(C) may use such other means for directly obtaining information relating to the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces as the Task Force considers appropriate.

(3) MATTERS TO BE REVIEWED AND ASSESSED.—For purposes of the reports, the Task Force shall review and assess the following:

(A) Case management, including the numbers and types of medical and non-medical case managers (including Federal Recovery Coordinators, Recovery Care Coordinators, National Guard or Reserve case managers, and other case managers) assigned to recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces, the training provided such case managers, and the effectiveness of such case managers in providing care and support to recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(B) Staffing of Army Warrior Transition Units, Marine Corps Wounded Warrior Regiments, Navy and Air Force Medical Hold or

Medical Holdover Units, and other service-related programs or units for recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces, including the use of applicable hiring authorities to ensure the proper staffing of such programs and units.

(C) The establishment and effectiveness of performance and accountability standards for warrior transition units and programs.

(D) The availability of services for traumatic brain injury and post traumatic stress disorder.

(E) The establishment and effectiveness of the Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury, and the centers of excellence for military eye injuries, hearing loss and auditory system injuries, and traumatic extremity injuries and amputations.

(F) The effectiveness of the Interagency Program Office in achieving fully interoperable electronic health records by September 30, 2009, in accordance with section 1635 of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 460; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(G) The effectiveness of wounded warrior information resources, including the Wounded Warrior Resource Center, the National Resource Directory, Military OneSource, Family Assistance Centers, and Service hotlines, in providing meaningful information for recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(H) The support available to family caregivers of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(I) The legal support available to recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces and their families.

(J) The availability of vocational training for recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces seeking to transition to civilian life.

(K) The effectiveness of any measures under pilot programs to improve or enhance the military disability evaluation system.

(L) The support and assistance provided to recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces as they progress through the military disability evaluation system.

(M) The support systems in place to ease the transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(N) Interagency matters affecting recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces in their transition to civilian life.

(O) The effectiveness of the Senior Oversight Committee in facilitating and overseeing collaboration between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs on matters relating to the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(P) Overall coordination between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs on the matters specified in this paragraph.

(Q) Such other matters as the Task Force considers appropriate in connection with the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured members of the Armed Forces.

(4) TRANSMITTAL.—Not later than 90 days after receipt of a report required by paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the report and the Secretary's evaluation of the report.

(d) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than six months after the receipt of a report under subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan to implement the recommendations of the Task Force included in the report.

(e) ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.—

(1) COMPENSATION.—Each member of the Task Force who is a member of the Armed Forces or

a civilian officer or employee of the United States shall serve on the Task Force without compensation (other than compensation to which entitled as a member of the Armed Forces or an officer or employee of the United States, as the case may be). Other members of the Task Force shall be appointed in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of section 3161 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) OVERSIGHT.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness shall oversee the Task Force. The Washington Headquarters Services of the Department of Defense shall provide the Task Force with personnel, facilities, and other administrative support as necessary for the performance of the duties of the Task Force.

(3) VISITS TO MILITARY FACILITIES.—Any visit by the Task Force to a military installation or facility shall be undertaken through the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments.

(f) TERMINATION.—The Task Force shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 725. CHIROPRACTIC CLINICAL TRIALS.

(a) CLINICAL TRIALS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the clinical trials described under subsection (b) to be conducted by the National Institutes of Health or an independent academic institution as the Secretary shall select for the purposes of conducting each trial.

(b) CLINICAL TRIALS DESCRIBED.—

(1) CONTROLLED TRIALS.—The clinical trials required by subsection (a) shall include controlled trials that, at a minimum, compare the outcomes of chiropractic treatment, used either exclusively or as an adjunct to other treatments, with conventional treatment on the following topics:

(A) Pain management.

(B) Orthopedic injuries or disorders that do not require surgery.

(C) Smoking cessation.

(2) INTERVENTIONAL TRIALS.—The clinical trials required by subsection (a) shall include interventional trials that, at a minimum, cover the following topics:

(A) The effect of chiropractic treatment on the reflexes and reaction times of special operation forces.

(B) The effect of chiropractic treatment on strength, balance, and injury prevention for members of the Armed Forces with combat specialties operating in a combat theater.

(c) SCHEDULE.—

(1) FIRST TRIAL.—The first clinical trial required by subsection (a) shall begin not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) FINAL TRIAL.—The final clinical trial required by subsection (a) shall begin not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) TRIAL PARTICIPANTS.—A participant of a clinical trial required by subsection (a) shall be a member of the Armed Forces on active duty.

(e) CHIROPRACTIC PROVIDERS.—Chiropractic treatment provided during a clinical trial required by subsection (a) shall be provided by a doctor of chiropractic who is licensed as a doctor of chiropractic, chiropractic physician, or chiropractor by a State, the District of Columbia, or a territory or possession of the United States, subject to credentialing requirements prescribed by the Secretary.

(f) REPORTS.—

(1) TRIAL PROTOCOL REPORTS.—Not later than 30 days before each clinical trial required by subsection (a) is scheduled to begin, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the protocol of such clinical trial.

(2) FINAL REPORTS.—Not later than one year after the completion of each clinical trial re-

quired by subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on such clinical trial, including any recommendations regarding chiropractic treatment for covered beneficiaries (as such term is defined in section 1072(5) of title 10, United States Code).

SEC. 726. INDEPENDENT STUDY ON POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER EFFORTS.

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall provide for a study on the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder to be conducted by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences or such other independent entity as the Secretary shall select for purposes of the study.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The study required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A list of each operative program and method available for the prevention, screening, diagnosis, treatment, or rehabilitation of post-traumatic stress disorder, including—

(A) the rates of success for each such program or method (including an operational definition of the term "success" and a discussion of the process used to quantify such rates);

(B) based on the incidence of actual diagnoses, an estimate of the number of members of the Armed Forces and veterans diagnosed by the Department of Defense or the Department of Veterans Affairs as having post-traumatic stress disorder and the number of such veterans who have been successfully treated; and

(C) any collaborative efforts between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to prevent, screen, diagnose, treat, or rehabilitate post-traumatic stress disorder.

(2) The status of studies and clinical trials involving innovative treatments of post-traumatic stress disorder that are conducted by the Department of Defense, the Department of Veterans Affairs, or the private sector, including—

(A) efforts to identify physiological markers of post-traumatic stress disorder;

(B) with respect to efforts to determine causation of post-traumatic stress disorder, brain imaging studies and the correlation between brain region physiology and post-traumatic stress disorder diagnoses and the results (including any interim results) of such efforts;

(C) the effectiveness of alternative therapies in the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, including the therapeutic use of animals;

(D) the effectiveness of administering pharmaceutical agents before, during, or after a traumatic event in the prevention and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder; and

(E) identification of areas in which the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs may be duplicating studies, programs, or research with respect to post-traumatic stress disorder.

(3) A description of each treatment program for post-traumatic stress disorder, including a comparison of the methods of treatment by each program, at the following locations:

(A) Fort Hood, Texas.

(B) Fort Bliss, Texas.

(C) Fort Campbell, Tennessee.

(D) Other locations the entity conducting the study considers appropriate.

(4) The respective current and projected future annual expenditures by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs for the treatment and rehabilitation of post-traumatic stress disorder.

(5) A description of gender-specific and racial and ethnic group-specific mental health treatment and services available for members of the Armed Forces, including—

(A) the availability of such treatment and services;

(B) the access to such treatment and services;

(C) the need for such treatment and services; and

(D) the efficacy and adequacy of such treatment and services.

(6) A description of areas for expanded future research with respect to post-traumatic stress disorder.

(7) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Veterans Affairs consider relevant with respect to the purposes of obtaining a comprehensive scientific assessment of—

(A) the incidence of post-traumatic stress disorder among members of the Armed Forces and veterans;

(B) the availability and effectiveness of various treatment programs and methods available for post-traumatic stress disorder;

(C) the current and future projected costs of such treatment programs and methods; or

(D) additional areas of needed research.

(8) Any other matters the entity conducting the study considers relevant.

(c) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than July 1, 2012, the entity conducting the study required by subsection (a) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the appropriate committees a report on the study.

(2) **RESPONSE.**—Not later than January 1, 2013, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall each submit to the appropriate committees a response to the report submitted under paragraph (1), including any recommendations on the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder based on such report.

(d) **UPDATED REPORTS REQUIRED.**—

(1) **UPDATED REPORT.**—Not later than July 1, 2014, the entity conducting the study required by subsection (a) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the appropriate committees an update of the report required by subsection (c).

(2) **UPDATED RESPONSE.**—Not later than January 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall each submit to the appropriate committees a response to the updated report submitted under paragraph (1), including any recommendations on the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder based on such updated report.

(e) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate.

SEC. 727. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF REQUIREMENTS ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TRICARE PROGRAM AND EMPLOYER-SPONSORED GROUP HEALTH PLANS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of the requirements of section 1097c of title 10, United States Code, relating to the relationship between the TRICARE program and employer-sponsored group health plans.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the extent to which the Secretary has established measures to assess the effectiveness of section 1097c of title 10, United States Code, in reducing health care costs to the Department for military retirees and their families, and an assessment of the effectiveness of any measures so established.

(2) An assessment of the extent to which the implementation of such section 1097c has resulted in the migration of military retirees from coverage under the TRICARE Standard option of the TRICARE program to coverage under the TRICARE Prime option of the TRICARE program.

(3) A description of the exceptions adopted under subsection (a)(2) of such section 1097c to the requirements under such section 1097c, and an assessment of the effect of the exercise of any exceptions adopted on the administration of such section 1097c.

(4) An assessment of the extent to which the Secretary collects and assembles data on the treatment of employees eligible for participation in the TRICARE program in comparison with similar employees who are not eligible for participation in that program.

(5) A description of the outreach conducted by the Secretary to inform individuals eligible for participation in the TRICARE program and employers of their respective rights and responsibilities under such section 1097c, and an assessment of the effectiveness of any outreach so conducted.

(6) Such other matters with respect to the administration and effectiveness of the authorities in such section 1097c as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 728. REPORT ON STIPENDS FOR MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS FOR HEALTH CARE FOR CERTAIN DEPENDENTS.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on stipends paid under section 704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 188; 10 U.S.C. 1076 note). The report shall include—

(1) the number of stipends paid;

(2) the amount of the average stipend; and

(3) the number of members who received such stipends.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Sec. 801. Temporary authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan; report.

Sec. 802. Assessment of improvements in service contracting.

Sec. 803. Display of annual budget requirements for procurement of contract services and related clarifying technical amendments.

Sec. 804. Implementation of new acquisition process for information technology systems.

Sec. 805. Life-cycle management and product support.

Sec. 806. Treatment of non-defense agency procurements under joint programs with intelligence community.

Sec. 807. Policy and requirements to ensure the safety of facilities, infrastructure, and equipment for military operations.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitation

Sec. 811. Justification and approval of sole-source contracts.

Sec. 812. Revision of Defense Supplement relating to payment of costs prior to definitization.

Sec. 813. Revisions to definitions relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 814. Amendment to notification requirements for awards of single source task or delivery orders.

Sec. 815. Clarification of uniform suspension and debarment requirement.

Sec. 816. Extension of authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items.

Sec. 817. Reporting requirements for programs that qualify as both major automated information system programs and major defense acquisition programs.

Sec. 818. Small arms production industrial base matters.

Sec. 819. Contract authority for advanced component development or prototype units.

Sec. 820. Publication of notification of bundling of contracts of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle C—Contractor Matters

Sec. 821. Authority for Government support contractors to have access to technical data belonging to prime contractors.

Sec. 822. Extension and enhancement of authorities on the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 823. Authority for Secretary of Defense to reduce or deny award fees to companies found to jeopardize health or safety of Government personnel.

Subtitle D—Acquisition Workforce Matters

Sec. 831. Enhancement of expedited hiring authority for defense acquisition workforce positions.

Sec. 832. Funding of Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Sec. 833. Review of post-employment restrictions applicable to the Department of Defense.

Sec. 834. Review of Federal acquisition workforce training and hiring.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Sec. 841. Reports to Congress on full deployment decisions for major automated information system programs.

Sec. 842. Authorization to take actions to correct the industrial resource shortfall for high-purity beryllium metal.

Sec. 843. Report on rare earth materials in the defense supply chain.

Sec. 844. Comptroller General report on structure and management of sub-contractors under contracts for major weapon systems.

Sec. 845. Study of the use of factors other than cost or price as the predominate factors in evaluating competitive proposals for defense procurement contracts.

Sec. 846. Repeal of requirements relating to the military system essential item breakout list.

Sec. 847. Extension of SBIR and STTR programs of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 848. Extension of authority for small business innovation research Commercialization Pilot Program.

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

SEC. 801. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN; REPORT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of a product or service to be acquired in support of military or stability operations in Afghanistan for which the Secretary of Defense makes a determination described in subsection (b), the Secretary may conduct a procurement in which—

(1) competition is limited to products or services that are from one or more countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan; or

(2) a preference is provided for products or services that are from one or more countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.

(b) **DETERMINATION.**—A determination described in this subsection is a determination by the Secretary that—

(1) the product or service concerned is to be used—

(A) in the country that is the source of the product or service;

(B) in the course of efforts by the United States and the NATO International Security Assistance Force to ship goods to Afghanistan in support of military or stability operations in Afghanistan; or

(C) by the military forces, police, or other security personnel of Afghanistan;

(2) it is in the national security interest of the United States to limit competition or provide a preference as described in subsection (a) because such limitation or preference is necessary—

(A) to reduce overall United States transportation costs and risks in shipping goods in support of military or stability operations in Afghanistan;

(B) to encourage countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan to cooperate in expanding supply routes through their territory in support of military or stability operations in Afghanistan; or

(C) to help develop more robust and enduring routes of supply to Afghanistan; and

(3) limiting competition or providing a preference as described in subsection (a) will not adversely affect—

(A) military or stability operations in Afghanistan; or

(B) the United States industrial base.

(c) **PRODUCTS AND SERVICES FROM A COUNTRY ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.**—For the purposes of this section:

(1) A product is from a country along a major route of supply to Afghanistan if it is mined, produced, or manufactured in a covered country.

(2) A service is from a country along a major route of supply to Afghanistan if it is performed in a covered country by citizens or permanent resident aliens of a covered country.

(d) **COVERED COUNTRY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered country” means Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Pakistan, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, or Turkmenistan.

(e) **CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER AUTHORITY.**—The authority provided in subsection (a) is in addition to the authority set forth in section 886 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 266; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note).

(f) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may not exercise the authority provided in subsection (a) on or after the date occurring three years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(g) **REPORT ON AUTHORITY.**—Not later than April 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use of the authority provided in subsection (a). The report shall address, at a minimum, the following:

(1) The number of determinations made by the Secretary pursuant to subsection (b).

(2) A description of the products and services acquired using the authority.

(3) The extent to which the use of the authority has met the objectives of subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (b)(2).

(4) A list of the countries providing products or services as a result of a determination made pursuant to subsection (b).

(5) Any recommended modifications to the authority.

SEC. 802. ASSESSMENT OF IMPROVEMENTS IN SERVICE CONTRACTING.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall direct the Defense Science Board to conduct an independent assessment of improvements in the procurement and oversight of services by the Department of Defense.

(b) **MATTERS COVERED.**—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the quality and completeness of guidance relating to the procurement of

services, including implementation of statutory and regulatory authorities and requirements.

(2) A determination of the extent to which best practices are being developed for setting requirements and developing statements of work.

(3) An assessment of the contracting approaches and contract types used for the procurement of services and whether such contracting approaches and contract types best serve the interests of the Department of Defense.

(4) A determination of whether effective standards to measure performance have been developed.

(5) An assessment of the effectiveness of peer reviews within the Department of Defense of contracts for services and whether such reviews are being conducted at the appropriate dollar threshold.

(6) An assessment of the management structure for the procurement of services, including how the military departments and Defense Agencies have implemented section 2330 of title 10, United States Code.

(7) A determination of whether the performance savings goals required by section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (10 U.S.C. 2330 note) are being achieved.

(8) An assessment of the effectiveness of the Acquisition Center of Excellence for Services established pursuant to section 1431(b) of the Services Acquisition Reform Act of 2003 (title XIV of Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1671; 41 U.S.C. 405 note) and the feasibility of creating similar centers of excellence in the military departments.

(9) An assessment of the quality and sufficiency of the acquisition workforce for the procurement and oversight of services.

(10) Such other related matters as the Under Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 10, 2010, the Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the assessment, including such comments and recommendations as the Under Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 803. DISPLAY OF ANNUAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS FOR PROCUREMENT OF CONTRACT SERVICES AND RELATED CLARIFYING TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) **CODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR SPECIFICATION OF AMOUNTS REQUESTED FOR PROCUREMENT OF CONTRACT SERVICES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 234 the following new section:

“§235. Procurement of contract services: specification of amounts requested in budget

“(a) **SUBMISSION WITH ANNUAL BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS.**—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31), the Secretary of Defense shall include the information described in subsection (b) with respect to the procurement of contract services.

“(b) **INFORMATION PROVIDED.**—For each budget account, the materials submitted shall clearly and separately identify—

“(1) the amount requested for the procurement of contract services for each Department of Defense component, installation, or activity; and

“(2) the number of full-time contractor employees (or the equivalent of full-time in the case of part-time contractor employees) projected and justified for each Department of Defense component, installation, or activity based on the inventory of contracts for services required by subsection (c) of section 2330a of this title and the review required by subsection (e) of such section.

“(c) **CONTRACT SERVICES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘contract services’—

“(1) means services from contractors; but

“(2) excludes services relating to research and development and services relating to military construction.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“235. Procurement of contract services: specification of amounts requested in budget.”.

(3) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISION.**—Section 806 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) is repealed.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF CONTRACT SERVICES REVIEW AND PLANNING REQUIREMENTS.**—Section 2330a(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in paragraph (4) by inserting after “plan” the following: “, including an enforcement mechanism and approval process.”.

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON INVENTORY.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress the inventory required by section 2330a(c) of title 10, United States Code, in each of 2010, 2011 and 2012, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the inventory so submitted, with such findings and recommendations as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

SEC. 804. IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW ACQUISITION PROCESS FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS.

(a) **NEW ACQUISITION PROCESS REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a new acquisition process for information technology systems. The acquisition process developed and implemented pursuant to this subsection shall, to the extent determined appropriate by the Secretary—

(1) be based on the recommendations in chapter 6 of the March 2009 report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Department of Defense Policies and Procedures for the Acquisition of Information Technology; and

(2) be designed to include—

(A) early and continual involvement of the user;

(B) multiple, rapidly executed increments or releases of capability;

(C) early, successive prototyping to support an evolutionary approach; and

(D) a modular, open-systems approach.

(b) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the new acquisition process developed pursuant to subsection (a). The report required by this subsection shall, at a minimum—

(1) describe the new acquisition process;

(2) provide an explanation for any decision by the Secretary to deviate from the criteria established for such process in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a);

(3) provide a schedule for the implementation of the new acquisition process;

(4) identify the categories of information technology acquisitions to which such process will apply; and

(5) include the Secretary's recommendations for any legislation that may be required to implement the new acquisition process.

SEC. 805. LIFE-CYCLE MANAGEMENT AND PRODUCT SUPPORT.

(a) **GUIDANCE ON LIFE-CYCLE MANAGEMENT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue comprehensive guidance on life-cycle management and the development and implementation of product support strategies for major weapon systems. The guidance issued pursuant to this subsection shall—

(1) maximize competition and make the best possible use of available Department of Defense

and industry resources at the system, subsystem, and component levels; and

(2) maximize value to the Department of Defense by providing the best possible product support outcomes at the lowest operations and support cost.

(b) **PRODUCT SUPPORT MANAGERS.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall require that each major weapon system be supported by a product support manager in accordance with this subsection.

(2) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—A product support manager for a major weapon system shall—

(A) develop and implement a comprehensive product support strategy for the weapon system;

(B) conduct appropriate cost analyses to validate the product support strategy, including cost-benefit analyses as outlined in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-94;

(C) assure achievement of desired product support outcomes through development and implementation of appropriate product support arrangements;

(D) adjust performance requirements and resource allocations across product support integrators and product support providers as necessary to optimize implementation of the product support strategy;

(E) periodically review product support arrangements between the product support integrators and product support providers to ensure the arrangements are consistent with the overall product support strategy; and

(F) prior to each change in the product support strategy or every five years, whichever occurs first, revalidate any business-case analysis performed in support of the product support strategy.

(c) **GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE OF PRODUCT SUPPORT MANAGER FUNCTION.**—Section 820(a) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2330) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (4), (5) and (6), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) Product support manager.”.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “product support” means the package of support functions required to field and maintain the readiness and operational capability of major weapon systems, subsystems, and components, including all functions related to weapon system readiness.

(2) The term “product support arrangement” means a contract, task order, or any type of other contractual arrangement, or any type of agreement or non-contractual arrangement within the Federal Government, for the performance of sustainment or logistics support required for major weapon systems, subsystems, or components. The term includes arrangements for any of the following:

(A) Performance-based logistics.

(B) Sustainment support.

(C) Contractor logistics support.

(D) Life-cycle product support.

(E) Weapon systems product support.

(3) The term “product support integrator” means an entity within the Federal Government or outside the Federal Government charged with integrating all sources of product support, both private and public, defined within the scope of a product support arrangement.

(4) The term “product support provider” means an entity that provides product support functions. The term includes an entity within the Department of Defense, an entity within the private sector, or a partnership between such entities.

(5) The term “major weapon system” has the meaning given that term in section 2302d of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 806. TREATMENT OF NON-DEFENSE AGENCY PROCUREMENTS UNDER JOINT PROGRAMS WITH INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

Section 801(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **TREATMENT OF PROCUREMENTS UNDER JOINT PROGRAMS WITH INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.**—For purposes of this subsection, a contract entered into by a non-defense agency that is an element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))) for the performance of a joint program conducted to meet the needs of the Department of Defense and the non-defense agency shall not be considered a procurement of property or services for the Department of Defense through a non-defense agency.”.

SEC. 807. POLICY AND REQUIREMENTS TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF FACILITIES, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND EQUIPMENT FOR MILITARY OPERATIONS.

(a) **POLICY.**—It shall be the policy of the Department of Defense that facilities, infrastructure, and equipment that are intended for use by military or civilian personnel of the Department in current or future military operations should be inspected for safety and habitability prior to such use, and that such facilities should be brought into compliance with generally accepted standards for the safety and health of personnel to the maximum extent practicable and consistent with the requirements of military operations and the best interests of the Department of Defense, to minimize the safety and health risk posed to such personnel.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) ensure that each contract or task or delivery order entered into for the construction, installation, repair, maintenance, or operation of facilities for use by military or civilian personnel of the Department complies with the policy established in subsection (a);

(2) ensure that contracts entered into prior to the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act comply with such policy to the maximum extent practicable;

(3) define the term “generally accepted standards” with respect to fire protection, structural integrity, electrical systems, plumbing, water treatment, waste disposal, and telecommunications networks for the purposes of this section; and

(4) provide such exceptions and limitations as may be needed to ensure that this section can be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of military operations and the best interests of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitation

SEC. 811. JUSTIFICATION AND APPROVAL OF SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised to provide that the head of an agency may not award a sole-source contract in a covered procurement for an amount exceeding \$20,000,000 unless—

(1) the contracting officer for the contract justifies the use of a sole-source contract in writing;

(2) the justification is approved by the appropriate official designated to approve contract awards for dollar amounts that are comparable to the amount of the sole-source contract; and

(3) the justification and related information are made public as provided in sections 2304(f)(1)(C) and 2304(i) of title 10, United States Code, or sections 303(f)(1)(C) and 303(j) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(f)(1)(C) and 253(j)), as applicable.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF JUSTIFICATION.**—The justification of a sole-source contract required pursuant to subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the needs of the agency concerned for the matters covered by the contract.

(2) A specification of the statutory provision providing the exception from the requirement to use competitive procedures in entering into the contract.

(3) A determination that the use of a sole-source contract is in the best interest of the agency concerned.

(4) A determination that the anticipated cost of the contract will be fair and reasonable.

(5) Such other matters as the head of the agency concerned shall specify for purposes of this section.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **COVERED PROCUREMENT.**—The term “covered procurement” means either of the following:

(A) A procurement described in section 2304(f)(2)(D)(ii) of title 10, United States Code.

(B) A procurement described in section 303(f)(2)(D)(ii) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(f)(2)(D)(ii)).

(2) **HEAD OF AN AGENCY.**—The term “head of an agency”—

(A) in the case of a covered procurement as defined in paragraph (1)(A), has the meaning provided in section 2302(1) of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) in the case of a covered procurement as defined in paragraph (1)(B), has the meaning provided the term “agency head” in section 309(a) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 259(a)).

(3) **APPROPRIATE OFFICIAL.**—The term “appropriate official” means—

(A) in the case of a covered procurement as defined in paragraph (1)(A), an official designated in section 2304(f)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) in the case of a covered procurement as defined in paragraph (1)(B), an official designated in section 303(f)(1)(B) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(f)(1)(B)).

SEC. 812. REVISION OF DEFENSE SUPPLEMENT RELATING TO PAYMENT OF COSTS PRIOR TO DEFINITIZATION.

(a) **REVISION REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise the Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to ensure that any limitations described in subsection (b) are applicable to all categories of undefinitized contractual actions (including undefinitized task orders and delivery orders).

(b) **LIMITATIONS.**—The limitations referred to in subsection (a) are any limitations on the reimbursement of costs and the payment of profits or fees with respect to costs incurred before the definitization of an undefinitized contractual action of the Department of Defense, including—

(1) such limitations as described in part 52.216-26 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation; and

(2) any such limitations implementing the requirements of section 809 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 10 U.S.C. 2326 note).

SEC. 813. REVISIONS TO DEFINITIONS RELATING TO CONTRACTS IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **REVISIONS TO DEFINITION OF CONTRACT IN IRAQ OR AFGHANISTAN.**—Section 864(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 258; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “or a task order or delivery order at any tier issued under such a contract” and inserting “a task order or delivery order at any tier issued under such a contract, a grant, or a cooperative agreement”;

(2) by striking in the parenthetical “or task order or delivery order” and inserting “task order, delivery order, grant, or cooperative agreement”;

(3) by striking “or task or delivery order” after the parenthetical and inserting “task order, delivery order, grant, or cooperative agreement”; and

(4) by striking “14 days” and inserting “30 days”.

(b) **REVISION TO DEFINITION OF COVERED CONTRACT.**—Section 864(a)(3) of such Act (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 259; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (B);

(2) by striking the period and inserting a semicolon at the end of subparagraph (C); and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(D) a grant for the performance of services in an area of combat operations, as designated by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (c) of section 862; or

“(E) a cooperative agreement for the performance of services in such an area of combat operations.”.

(c) **REVISION TO DEFINITION OF CONTRACTOR.**—Paragraph (4) of section 864(a) of such Act (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 259; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) **CONTRACTOR.**—The term ‘contractor’, with respect to a covered contract, means—

“(A) in the case of a covered contract that is a contract, subcontract, task order, or delivery order, the contractor or subcontractor carrying out the covered contract;

“(B) in the case of a covered contract that is a grant, the grantee; and

“(C) in the case of a covered contract that is a cooperative agreement, the recipient.”.

(d) **REVISION IN VALUE OF CONTRACTS COVERED BY CERTAIN REPORT.**—Section 1248(c)(1)(B) of such Act (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 400) is amended by striking “\$25,000” and inserting “\$100,000”.

SEC. 814. AMENDMENT TO NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARDS OF SINGLE SOURCE TASK OR DELIVERY ORDERS.

(a) **CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.**—Subparagraph (B) of section 2304a(d)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(B) The head of the agency shall notify the congressional defense committees within 30 days after any determination under clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv) of subparagraph (A).”.

(b) **CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.**—In the case of a task or delivery order contract awarded with respect to intelligence activities of the Department of Defense, any notification provided under subparagraph (B) of section 2304a(d)(3) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), shall also be provided at the same time as notification is provided to the congressional defense committees under that subparagraph—

(1) to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives insofar as such task or delivery order contract relates to tactical intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the Department; and

(2) to the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives insofar as such task or delivery order contract relates to intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the Department other than those specified in paragraph (1).

SEC. 815. CLARIFICATION OF UNIFORM SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT REQUIREMENT.

Section 2455(c)(1) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Such term includes subcontracts at any tier, other than subcontracts for commercially avail-

able off-the-shelf items (as defined in section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))), except that in the case of a contract for commercial items, such term includes only first-tier subcontracts.”.

SEC. 816. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR USE OF SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN COMMERCIAL ITEMS.

Section 4202 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (division D of Public Law 104-106; 110 Stat. 652; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note) as amended by section 822 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 226) is amended in subsection (e) by striking “2010” and inserting “2012”.

SEC. 817. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR PROGRAMS THAT QUALIFY AS BOTH MAJOR AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM PROGRAMS AND MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2445d of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “of this title” and all that follows and inserting “of this title, the Secretary may designate the program to be treated only as a major automated information system program covered by this chapter or to be treated only as a major defense acquisition program covered by such chapter 144.”.

(b) **GUIDANCE REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance on the implementation of section 2445d of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)). The guidance shall provide that, as a general rule—

(1) a program covered by such section that requires the development of customized hardware shall be treated only as a major defense acquisition program under chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code; and

(2) a program covered by such section that does not require the development of customized hardware shall be treated only as a major automated information system program under chapter 144A of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 818. SMALL ARMS PRODUCTION INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO MODIFY DEFINITION OF “SMALL ARMS PRODUCTION INDUSTRIAL BASE”.**—Section 2473(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “In this section” and inserting “(1) Subject to paragraph (2), in this section”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) After March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense may eliminate, modify, or add to the firms included in the small arms production industrial base, as defined in paragraph (1), as he determines appropriate to best ensure the competitive development, production, and maintenance of small arms for the Department of Defense.”.

(b) **REVIEW OF SMALL ARMS PRODUCTION INDUSTRIAL BASE.**—

(1) **REVIEW.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall review and determine, based on current and future Department requirements and competitive manufacturing capability and capacity—

(A) whether any firms included in the small arms production industrial base (as that term is defined in section 2473(c) of title 10, United States Code) should be eliminated or modified and whether any additional firms should be included; and

(B) whether any of the small arms listed in section 2473(d) of title 10, United States Code, should be eliminated from the list or modified on the list and whether any additional small arms should be included in the list.

(2) **REPORTS.**—

(A) Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review conducted under this subsection.

(B) The Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after making any modification to the list maintained pursuant to subsection (c) of section 2473 of title 10, United States Code, or the list under subsection (d) of such section.

SEC. 819. CONTRACT AUTHORITY FOR ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT OR PROTOTYPE UNITS.

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—A contract initially awarded from the competitive selection of a proposal resulting from a general solicitation referred to in section 2302(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, may contain a contract line item or contract option for—

(1) the provision of advanced component development or prototype of technology developed under the contract; or

(2) the delivery of initial or additional prototype items if the item or a prototype thereof is created as the result of work performed under the contract.

(b) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **MINIMAL AMOUNT.**—A contract line item or contract option described in subsection (a)(2) shall require the delivery of the minimal amount of initial or additional prototype items to allow for the timely competitive solicitation and award of a follow-on development or production contract for those items.

(2) **TERM.**—A contract line item or contract option described in subsection (a) shall be for a term of not more than 12 months.

(3) **DOLLAR VALUE OF WORK.**—The dollar value of the work to be performed pursuant to a contract line item or contract option described in subsection (a) may not exceed the lesser of the amounts as follows:

(A) The amount that is three times the dollar value of the work previously performed under the contract.

(B) \$20,000,000.

(4) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—A military department or defense agency may not exercise a contract line item or contract option pursuant to the authority provided in subsection (a) after September 30, 2014.

(c) **REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use of the authority provided by subsection (a) not later than March 1, 2013. The report shall, at a minimum, describe—

(1) the number of times a contract line item or contract option was exercised under such authority, the dollar amount of each such line item or option, and the scope of each such line item or option;

(2) the circumstances that rendered the military department or defense agency unable to solicit and award a follow-on development or production contract in a timely fashion, but for the use of such authority;

(3) the extent to which such authority affected competition and technology transition; and

(4) such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate, including any recommendations regarding the modification or extension of such authority.

SEC. 820. PUBLICATION OF NOTIFICATION OF BUNDLING OF CONTRACTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **REQUIREMENT TO PUBLISH NOTIFICATION FOR BUNDLING.**—A contracting officer of the Department of Defense carrying out a covered acquisition shall publish a notification consistent with the requirements of paragraph (c)(2) of subpart 10.001 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation on the website known as FedBizOpps.gov (or any successor site) at least 30 days prior to the release of a solicitation for such acquisition and, if the agency has determined that measurably substantial benefits are expected to be derived as a result of bundling such acquisition, shall include in the notification a brief description of the benefits.

(b) **COVERED ACQUISITION DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered acquisition” means an acquisition that is—

(1) funded entirely using funds of the Department of Defense; and

(2) covered by subpart 7.107 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (relating to acquisitions involving bundling).

(c) CONSTRUCTION.—

(1) NOTIFICATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter the responsibility of a contracting officer to provide the notification referred to in subsection (a) with respect to a covered acquisition, or otherwise provide notification, to any party concerning such acquisition under any other requirement of law or regulation.

(2) DISCLOSURE.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the public availability of information that is exempt from public disclosure under section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code, or is otherwise restricted from public disclosure by law or Executive order.

(3) ISSUANCE OF SOLICITATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require a contracting officer to delay the issuance of a solicitation in order to meet the requirements of subsection (a) if the expedited issuance of such solicitation is otherwise authorized under any other requirement of law or regulation.

Subtitle C—Contractor Matters

SEC. 821. AUTHORITY FOR GOVERNMENT SUPPORT CONTRACTORS TO HAVE ACCESS TO TECHNICAL DATA BELONGING TO PRIME CONTRACTORS.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR ACCESS TO TECHNICAL DATA.—Subsection (c) of section 2320 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) notwithstanding any limitation upon the license rights conveyed under subsection (a), allowing a covered Government support contractor access to and use of any technical data delivered under a contract for the sole purpose of furnishing independent and impartial advice or technical assistance directly to the Government in support of the Government’s management and oversight of the program or effort to which such technical data relates; or”.

(b) COVERED GOVERNMENT SUPPORT CONTRACTOR DEFINED.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) In this section, the term ‘covered Government support contractor’ means a contractor under a contract the primary purpose of which is to furnish independent and impartial advice or technical assistance directly to the Government in support of the Government’s management and oversight of a program or effort (rather than to directly furnish an end item or service to accomplish a program or effort), which contractor—

“(1) is not affiliated with the prime contractor or a first-tier subcontractor on the program or effort, or with any direct competitor of such prime contractor or any such first-tier subcontractor in furnishing end items or services of the type developed or produced on the program or effort; and

“(2) executes a contract with the Government agreeing to and acknowledging—

“(A) that proprietary or nonpublic technical data furnished will be accessed and used only for the purposes stated in that contract;

“(B) that the covered Government support contractor will enter into a non-disclosure agreement with the contractor to whom the rights to the technical data belong;

“(C) that the covered Government support contractor will take all reasonable steps to protect the proprietary and nonpublic nature of the technical data furnished to the covered Government support contractor during the program or effort for the period of time in which the Gov-

ernment is restricted from disclosing the technical data outside of the Government;

“(D) that a breach of that contract by the covered Government support contractor with regard to a third party’s ownership or rights in such technical data may subject the covered Government support contractor—

“(i) to criminal, civil, administrative, and contractual actions in law and equity for penalties, damages, and other appropriate remedies by the United States; and

“(ii) to civil actions for damages and other appropriate remedies by the contractor or subcontractor whose technical data is affected by the breach; and

“(E) that such technical data provided to the covered Government support contractor under the authority of this section shall not be used by the covered Government support contractor to compete against the third party for Government or non-Government contracts.”.

SEC. 822. EXTENSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITIES ON THE COMMISSION ON WARTIME CONTRACTING IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.

(a) DATE OF FINAL REPORT.—Subsection (d)(3) of section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 230) is amended by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”.

(b) ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

“(f) ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

“(1) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Commission administrative support for the performance of the Commission’s functions in carrying out the requirements of this section.

“(2) TRAVEL AND LODGING IN COMBAT THEATERS.—The administrative support provided the Commission under paragraph (1) shall include travel and lodging undertaken in combat theaters, which support shall be provided through funds made available for that purpose through the Washington Headquarters Services or on a non-reimbursable basis, as appropriate.

“(3) OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—In addition to the support required by paragraph (1), any department or agency of the Federal Government may provide to the Commission such services, funds, facilities, staff, and other support services for the performance of the Commission’s functions as the head of such department or agency considers advisable, or as may otherwise be authorized by law.”.

SEC. 823. AUTHORITY FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO REDUCE OR DENY AWARD FEES TO COMPANIES FOUND TO JEOPARDIZE HEALTH OR SAFETY OF GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.

(a) AUTHORITY TO REDUCE OR DENY AWARD FEES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall revise the guidance issued pursuant to section 814 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 129 Stat. 2321) to ensure that all covered contracts using award fees—

(1) provide for the consideration of any incident described in subsection (b) in evaluations of contractor performance for the relevant award fee period; and

(2) authorize the Secretary to reduce or deny award fees for the relevant award fee period, or to recover all or part of award fees previously paid for such period, on the basis of the negative impact of such incident on contractor performance.

(b) COVERED INCIDENTS.—An incident referred to in subsection (a) is any incident in which the contractor—

(1) has been determined, through a criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding that results in a disposition listed in subsection (c), in the

performance of a covered contract to have caused serious bodily injury or death to any civilian or military personnel of the Government through gross negligence or with reckless disregard for the safety of such personnel; or

(2) has been determined, through a criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding that results in a disposition listed in subsection (c), to be liable for actions of a subcontractor of the contractor that caused serious bodily injury or death to any civilian or military personnel of the Government, through gross negligence or with reckless disregard for the safety of such personnel.

(c) LIST OF DISPOSITIONS IN CRIMINAL, CIVIL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS.—For purposes of subsection (a), the dispositions listed in this subsection are as follows:

(1) In a criminal proceeding, a conviction.

(2) In a civil proceeding, a finding of fault and liability that results in the payment of a monetary fine, penalty, reimbursement, restitution, or damages of \$5,000 or more.

(3) In an administrative proceeding, a finding of fault and liability that results in—

(A) the payment of a monetary fine or penalty of \$5,000 or more; or

(B) the payment of a reimbursement, restitution, or damages in excess of \$100,000.

(4) To the maximum extent practicable and consistent with applicable laws and regulations, in a criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding, a disposition of the matter by consent or compromise with an acknowledgment of fault by the person if the proceeding could have led to any of the outcomes specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3).

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “defense contractor” means a company awarded a covered contract.

(2) The term “covered contract” means a contract awarded by the Department of Defense for the procurement of goods or services.

(3) The term “serious bodily injury” means a grievous physical harm that results in a permanent disability.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply with respect to contracts entered into after the date occurring 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle D—Acquisition Workforce Matters

SEC. 831. ENHANCEMENT OF EXPEDITED HIRING AUTHORITY FOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE POSITIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 1705(h) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “acquisition positions within the Department of Defense as shortage category positions” and inserting “acquisition workforce positions as positions for which there exists a shortage of candidates or there is a critical hiring need”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “highly”.

(b) EXTENSION.—Paragraph (2) of such section is amended by striking “September 30, 2012” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (1) of such section is further amended by striking “United States Code,” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A).

SEC. 832. FUNDING OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.

(a) ADDITIONAL ELEMENT OF FUND.—Subsection (d) of section 1705 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

“(B) Amounts transferred to the Fund pursuant to paragraph (3).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) TRANSFER OF CERTAIN UNOBLIGATED BALANCES.—To the extent provided in appropriations Acts, the Secretary of Defense may, during

the 24-month period following the expiration of availability for obligation of any appropriations made to the Department of Defense for procurement, research, development, test, and evaluation, or operation and maintenance, transfer to the Fund any unobligated balance of such appropriations. Any amount so transferred shall be credited to the Fund."

(b) NATURE OF EXPENDED AMOUNTS PROVIDING BASIS FOR CREDIT TO FUND.—Subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) of such subsection is amended by striking "other than" and all that follows and inserting "from amounts available for contract services for operation and maintenance."

(c) REMITTANCES.—Subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of such subsection is amended by inserting "from amounts available to such military department or Defense Agency, as the case may be, for contract services for operation and maintenance," after "remit to the Secretary of Defense".

(d) ADDITIONAL MATTERS RELATING TO REMITTANCES.—

(1) REMITTANCE BY FISCAL YEAR INSTEAD OF QUARTER.—Subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of such subsection is amended—

(A) in the first sentence, by striking "the third fiscal year quarter" and all that follows through "thereafter" and inserting "the first quarter of each fiscal year"; and

(B) by striking "quarter" before "for services".

(2) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS.—Such subsection is further amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking "Not later than" and inserting "Subject to paragraph (4), not later than"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS ON REMITTANCES.—(A) In the event amounts are transferred to the Fund during a fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (1)(B) or appropriated to the Fund for a fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (1)(C), the aggregate amount otherwise required to be remitted to the Fund for that fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (2)(B) shall be reduced by the amount equal to the amounts so transferred or appropriated to the Fund during or for that fiscal year. Any reduction in the aggregate amount required to be remitted to the Fund for a fiscal year under this subparagraph shall be allocated as provided in applicable provisions of appropriations Acts or, absent such provisions, on a pro rata basis among the military departments and Defense Agencies required to make remittances to the Fund for that fiscal year under paragraph (2)(B), subject to any exclusions the Secretary of Defense determines to be necessary in the best interests of the Department of Defense.

"(B) Any remittance of amounts to the Fund for a fiscal year under paragraph (2) shall be subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose."

(e) REMITTANCE AMOUNTS.—Paragraph (2) of such subsection is further amended by striking subparagraphs (C) and (D) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

"(C) For purposes of this paragraph, the applicable percentage for a fiscal year is the percentage that results in the credit to the Fund in such fiscal year of an amount as follows:

"(i) For fiscal year 2010, \$100,000,000.

"(ii) For fiscal year 2011, \$770,000,000.

"(iii) For fiscal year 2012, \$900,000,000.

"(iv) For fiscal year 2013, \$1,180,000,000.

"(v) For fiscal year 2014, \$1,330,000,000.

"(vi) For fiscal year 2015, \$1,470,000,000.

"(D) The Secretary of Defense may reduce an amount specified in subparagraph (C) for a fiscal year if the Secretary determines that the amount is greater than is reasonably needed for purposes of the Fund for such fiscal year. The Secretary may not reduce the amount for a fiscal year to an amount that is less than 80 percent of the amount otherwise specified in subparagraph (C) for such fiscal year."

(f) CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON PAY OF BASE SALARY OF CURRENT EMPLOYEES.—Subsection (e)(5) of such section is amended by striking "as of the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008" and inserting "serving in a position in the acquisition workforce as of January 28, 2008".

(g) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Subsection (a) of such section is amended by inserting "Development" after "Workforce".

(2) Subsection (f) of such section is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking "beginning with fiscal year 2008".

(h) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) FUNDING AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by subsections (a) through (c) shall take effect as of October 1, 2009.

(2) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by subsections (f) and (g) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 833. REVIEW OF POST-EMPLOYMENT RESTRICTIONS APPLICABLE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Panel on Contracting Integrity, established pursuant to section 813 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), shall review policies relating to post-employment restrictions on former Department of Defense personnel to determine whether such policies adequately protect the public interest, without unreasonably limiting future employment options for former Department of Defense personnel.

(b) MATTERS CONSIDERED.—In performing the review required by subsection (a), the Panel shall consider the extent to which current post-employment restrictions—

(1) appropriately protect the public interest by preventing personal conflicts of interests and preventing former Department of Defense officials from exercising undue or inappropriate influence on the Department of Defense;

(2) appropriately require disclosure of personnel accepting employment with contractors of the Department of Defense involving matters related to their official duties;

(3) use appropriate thresholds, in terms of salary or duties, for the establishment of such restrictions;

(4) are sufficiently straightforward and have been explained to personnel of the Department of Defense so that such personnel are able to avoid potential violations of post-employment restrictions and conflicts of interest in interactions with former personnel of the Department;

(5) appropriately apply to all personnel performing duties in acquisition-related activities, such as personnel involved in—

(A) the establishment of requirements;

(B) testing and evaluation; and

(C) the development of doctrine;

(6) ensure that the Department of Defense has access to world-class talent, especially with respect to highly qualified technical, engineering, and acquisition expertise; and

(7) ensure that service in the Department of Defense remains an attractive career option.

(c) COMPLETION OF THE REVIEW.—The Panel shall complete the review required by subsection (a) not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) REPORT TO COMMITTEES ON ARMED SERVICES.—Not later than 30 days after the completion of the review, the Panel shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the findings of the review and the recommendations of the Panel to the Secretary of Defense, including recommended legislative or regulatory changes, resulting from the review.

(e) NATIONAL ACADEMY OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION ASSESSMENT.—

(1) Not later than 30 days after the completion of the review, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into an arrangement with the National

Academy of Public Administration to assess the findings and recommendations of the review.

(2) Not later than 210 days after the completion of the review, the National Academy of Public Administration shall provide its assessment of the review to the Secretary, along with such additional recommendations as the National Academy may have.

(3) Not later than 30 days after receiving the assessment, the Secretary shall provide the assessment, along with such comments as the Secretary considers appropriate, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

SEC. 834. REVIEW OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION WORKFORCE TRAINING AND HIRING.

(a) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC PLAN.—Not later than 180 days after the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan required by section 869 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4553) is completed, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the relevant committees of Congress a report on the Plan.

(b) MATTERS COVERED.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include assessments of the following:

(1) The methodologies used to formulate the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan and its recommendations.

(2) The extent to which the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan addresses previously identified shortcomings in the acquisition workforce and prior efforts by agencies to develop acquisition workforce plans, including the strategies used to identify and hire acquisition personnel.

(3) The feasibility of the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan's recommendations and associated time frames for implementation, particularly as they relate to the development of a sustainable funding model and the applicability of the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund model to civilian agencies.

(4) The extent to which the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan considered the use by agencies of contractor personnel to supplement the acquisition workforce.

(5) Whether the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan considered the full range of laws, regulations, and policies that currently apply to the acquisition workforce.

(6) The extent to which the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan considered the specific training and retention tools (whether located within or outside an agency) used to professionally develop and retain acquisition personnel, including the following:

(A) The Defense Acquisition University.

(B) The Federal Acquisition Institute.

(C) Continuing education and professional development opportunities available to acquisition professionals.

(D) Opportunities to pursue higher education available to acquisition personnel, including scholarships and student loan forgiveness.

(7) Such other matters, findings, and recommendations as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(c) RELEVANT COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term "relevant committees" means each of the following:

(1) The Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives.

(2) The Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(3) The Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate.

(4) The Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

Subtitle E—Other Matters**SEC. 841. REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON FULL DEPLOYMENT DECISIONS FOR MAJOR AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM PROGRAMS.**

(a) **IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE.**—Section 2445b(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “initial operational capability, and full operational capability” and inserting “full deployment decision, and full deployment”.

(b) **CRITICAL CHANGES IN PROGRAM.**—Section 2445c(d)(2)(A) of such title is amended by striking “initial operational capability” and inserting “a full deployment decision”.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—Section 2445a of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(e) **FULL DEPLOYMENT DECISION.**—In this chapter, the term ‘full deployment decision’ means, with respect to a major automated information system program, the final decision made by the Milestone Decision Authority authorizing an increment of the program to deploy software for operational use.

“(f) **FULL DEPLOYMENT.**—In this chapter, the term ‘full deployment’ means, with respect to a major automated information system program, the fielding of an increment of the program in accordance with the terms of a full deployment decision.”.

SEC. 842. AUTHORIZATION TO TAKE ACTIONS TO CORRECT THE INDUSTRIAL RESOURCE SHORTFALL FOR HIGH-PURITY BERYLLIUM METAL.

Notwithstanding any limitation in section 303 of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2093), an action may be taken under such section to correct an industrial resource shortfall or domestic industrial base shortfall for high-purity beryllium metal if such action does not cause the aggregate outstanding amount of all such actions for such shortfall to exceed “\$85,000,000”.

SEC. 843. REPORT ON RARE EARTH MATERIALS IN THE DEFENSE SUPPLY CHAIN.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than April 1, 2010, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on rare earth materials in the supply chain of the Department of Defense.

(b) **MATTERS ADDRESSED.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall address, at a minimum, the following:

(1) An analysis of the current and projected domestic and worldwide availability of rare earths for use in defense systems, including an analysis of projected availability of these materials in the export market.

(2) An analysis of actions or events outside the control of the Government of the United States that could restrict the access of the Department of Defense to rare earth materials, such as past procurements and attempted procurements of rare earth mines and mineral rights.

(3) A determination as to which defense systems are currently dependent on, or projected to become dependent on, rare earth materials, particularly neodymium iron boron magnets, whose supply could be restricted—

(A) by actions or events identified pursuant to paragraph (2); or

(B) by other actions or events outside the control of the Government of the United States.

(4) The risk to national security, if any, of the dependencies (current or projected) identified pursuant to paragraph (3).

(5) Any steps that the Department of Defense has taken or is planning to take to address any such risk to national security.

(6) Such recommendations for further action to address the matters covered by the report as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “rare earth” means the chemical elements, all metals, beginning with lanthanum,

atomic number 57, and including all of the natural chemical elements in the periodic table following lanthanum up to and including lutetium, element number 71. The term also includes the elements yttrium and scandium.

(2) The term “rare earth material” includes rare earth ores, semi-finished rare earth products, and components containing rare earth materials.

SEC. 844. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON STRUCTURE AND MANAGEMENT OF SUBCONTRACTORS UNDER CONTRACTS FOR MAJOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.

(a) **STUDY.**—The Comptroller General shall conduct a study on the structure and management of major subcontracts under contracts for the acquisition of selected major weapon systems.

(b) **ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED.**—At a minimum, the study required by subsection (a) shall address the following:

(1) The number of major subcontracts under each prime contract reviewed.

(2) The manner in which the prime contractor addressed decisions to conduct work in-house or through subcontracts.

(3) The manner in which any potential organizational conflicts of interest were addressed and the Government’s role (if any) in selecting the approach chosen.

(4) The manner in which such subcontracts were awarded (including the degree of competition) and the Government’s role (if any) in such award decisions.

(5) Any recommendations that the Comptroller General may have for improving Government oversight, reducing the oversight burden on the acquisition workforce, or otherwise improving the management of subcontracts under contracts for the acquisition of major weapon systems.

(c) **DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study required by subsection (a), with such findings and recommendations as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

SEC. 845. STUDY OF THE USE OF FACTORS OTHER THAN COST OR PRICE AS THE PREDOMINANT FACTORS IN EVALUATING COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS FOR DEFENSE PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of Department of Defense procurements that use solicitations in which evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are more important than cost or price.

(b) **ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED.**—The study required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, an assessment of—

(1) the frequency with which evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are given more weight than cost or price in solicitations for competitive proposals;

(2) the types of contracts for products or services for which such evaluation factors are most frequently used;

(3) the reasons why the Department of Defense chooses to use such evaluation factors; and

(4) the extent to which the use of such factors is or is not in the interest of the Department of Defense.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Service of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study required by subsection (a).

SEC. 846. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE MILITARY SYSTEM ESSENTIAL ITEM BREAKOUT LIST.

Section 813 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1543) is repealed.

SEC. 847. EXTENSION OF SBIR AND STTR PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **SBIR EXTENSION.**—Section 9(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(m)) is amended—

(1) by striking “The authorization” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the authorization”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **EXCEPTION FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of each military department is authorized to carry out the Small Business Innovation Research Program of the Department of Defense until September 30, 2010”.

(b) **STTR REAUTHORIZATION.**—Section 9(n)(1)(A) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(n)(1)(A)) is amended—

(1) by striking “With respect” and inserting the following:

“(i) **FEDERAL AGENCIES GENERALLY.**—Except as provided in clause (ii), with respect”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of each military department shall carry out clause (i) with respect to each fiscal year through fiscal year 2010.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as of July 30, 2009.

SEC. 848. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH COMMERCIALIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.

Section 9(y) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(y)) is amended in paragraph (6) by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

Sec. 901. Authority to allow private sector civilians to receive instruction at Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy of the Defense Cyber Crime Center.

Sec. 902. Organizational structure of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity.

Sec. 903. Sense of Congress regarding the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs.

Sec. 904. Increased flexibility for combatant commander initiative fund.

Sec. 905. Repeal of requirement for a Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Technology Security Policy within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

Sec. 906. Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense and Assistant Secretaries of Defense.

Subtitle B—Space Activities

Sec. 911. Submission and review of space science and technology strategy.

Sec. 912. Provision of space situational awareness services and information to non-United States Government entities.

Sec. 913. Management and funding strategy and implementation plan for the National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System Program.

Subtitle C—Intelligence-Related Matters

Sec. 921. Inclusion of Defense Intelligence Agency in authority to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations.

Sec. 922. Plan to address foreign ballistic missile intelligence analysis.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 931. Implementation strategy for developing leap-ahead cyber operations capabilities.

- Sec. 932. Defense integrated military human resources system development and transition.
- Sec. 933. Report on special operations command organization, manning, and management.
- Sec. 934. Study on the recruitment, retention, and career progression of uniformed and civilian military cyber operations personnel.
- Sec. 935. Plan on access to national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems.

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

SEC. 901. AUTHORITY TO ALLOW PRIVATE SECTOR CIVILIANS TO RECEIVE INSTRUCTION AT DEFENSE CYBER INVESTIGATIONS TRAINING ACADEMY OF THE DEFENSE CYBER CRIME CENTER.

(a) ADMISSION OF PRIVATE SECTOR CIVILIANS.—Chapter 108 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2167 the following new section:

“§2167a. Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy: admission of private sector civilians to receive instruction

“(a) AUTHORITY FOR ADMISSION.—The Secretary of Defense may permit eligible private sector employees to receive instruction at the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy operating under the direction of the Defense Cyber Crime Center. No more than the equivalent of 200 full-time student positions may be filled at any one time by private sector employees enrolled under this section, on a yearly basis. Upon successful completion of the course of instruction in which enrolled, any such private sector employee may be awarded an appropriate certification or diploma.

“(b) ELIGIBLE PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYEES.—For purposes of this section, an eligible private sector employee is an individual employed by a private firm that is engaged in providing to the Department of Defense or other Government departments or agencies significant and substantial defense-related systems, products, or services, or whose work product is relevant to national security policy or strategy. A private sector employee remains eligible for such instruction only so long as that person remains employed by an eligible private sector firm.

“(c) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that—

“(1) the curriculum in which private sector employees may be enrolled under this section is not readily available through other schools; and

“(2) the course offerings at the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy continue to be determined solely by the needs of the Department of Defense.

“(d) TUITION.—The Secretary of Defense shall charge private sector employees enrolled under this section tuition at a rate that is at least equal to the rate charged for employees of the United States. In determining tuition rates, the Secretary shall include overhead costs of the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy.

“(e) STANDARDS OF CONDUCT.—While receiving instruction at the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy, students enrolled under this section, to the extent practicable, are subject to the same regulations governing academic performance, attendance, norms of behavior, and enrollment as apply to Government civilian employees receiving instruction at the Academy.

“(f) USE OF FUNDS.—Amounts received by the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy for instruction of students enrolled under this section shall be retained by the Academy to defray the costs of such instruction. The source, and the disposition, of such funds shall be specifically identified in records of the Academy.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended

by inserting after the item relating to section 2167 the following new item:

“2167a. Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy: admission of private sector civilians to receive instruction.”.

SEC. 902. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR HEALTH AFFAIRS AND THE TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the organizational structure of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS.—Organizational charts for both the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity showing, at a minimum, the senior positions in such office and such activity.

(2) SENIOR POSITION DESCRIPTIONS.—A description of the policy-making functions and oversight responsibilities of each senior position in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the policy and program execution responsibilities of each senior position of the TRICARE Management Activity.

(3) POSITIONS FILLED BY SAME INDIVIDUAL.—A description of which positions in both organizations are filled by the same individual.

(4) ASSESSMENT.—An assessment of whether the senior personnel of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity, as currently organized, are able to appropriately perform the discrete functions of policy formulation, policy and program execution, and program oversight.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) SENIOR POSITION.—The term “senior position” means a position filled by a member of the senior executive service, a position on the Executive Schedule established pursuant to title 5, United States Code, or a position filled by a general or flag officer.

(2) SENIOR PERSONNEL.—The term “senior personnel” means personnel who are members of the senior executive service, who fill a position listed on the Executive Schedule established pursuant to title 5, United States Code, or who are general or flag officers.

SEC. 903. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL ENERGY PLANS AND PROGRAMS.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The demand for operational energy within the Department of Defense imposes significant logistical burdens and operational vulnerabilities on the warfighter and increases force protection requirements.

(2) In March 2008, the Comptroller General of the United States found that responsibilities for operational energy strategy, management, and oversight within the Department are diffused throughout various offices and working groups, including the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics; the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy; the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller); the Office of Program Analysis and Evaluation; the Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff; the commanders of the combatant commands; and the offices of the Secretaries of the military departments.

(3) The Defense Science Board’s 2008 report titled “More Fight—Less Fuel” stated that “There are currently few efforts to manage energy demand by operational forces, which consume about three quarters of DoD energy, perhaps because no one is in charge. The lowest or-

ganizational level where all decisions that drive DoD energy use come together is the Deputy Secretary of Defense, implying the need for a senior energy official, and oversight of the Department’s energy strategy and program by the Deputy’s Advisory Working Group (DAWG).”.

(4) Congress established the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs in section 139b of title 10, United States Code, to provide leadership, conduct oversight, and be accountable for operational energy plans and programs in the Department of Defense and the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.

(5) Congress envisioned that the Director would have a direct line of communication with the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, including participation in the Deputy’s Advisory Working Group.

(6) The Department of Defense issued a statement that it “intends to establish this position as administratively reporting to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)), and this official would report directly to the Secretary of Defense on issues related to Operational Energy”.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs should report directly to the Secretary of Defense on issues related to operational energy and be included as a fully participating member of the Advisory Working Group of the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 904. INCREASED FLEXIBILITY FOR COMBATANT COMMANDER INITIATIVE FUND.

(a) INCREASE IN FUNDING LIMITATIONS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 166a(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”; and

(2) by striking “\$15,000” and inserting “the investment unit cost threshold in effect under section 2245a of this title”.

(b) COORDINATION WITH RELEVANT CHIEF OF MISSION.—Paragraph (6) of section 166a(b) of such title is amended by inserting after “assistance,” the following: “in coordination with the relevant chief of mission to the extent practicable.”.

SEC. 905. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR A DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR TECHNOLOGY SECURITY POLICY WITHIN THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY.

(a) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR POSITION.—(1) REPEAL.—Section 134b of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 4 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 134b.

(b) PRIOR NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN REPORTING RELATIONSHIP FOR THE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no covered action is taken until the expiration of 30 legislative days after providing notification of such action to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(c) COVERED ACTION DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered action” means—

(1) the transfer of the Defense Technology Security Administration to an Under Secretary or other office of the Department of Defense other than the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy;

(2) the consolidation of the Defense Technology Security Administration with another office, agency, or field activity of the Department of Defense; or

(3) the addition of management layers between the Director of the Defense Technology Security Administration and the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

SEC. 906. DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE AND ASSISTANT SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE.

(a) DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 137 the following new section:

“§ 137a. Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense

“(a)(1) There are five Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense.

“(2)(A) The Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense referred to in paragraphs (1) through (3) of subsection (c) shall be appointed as provided in the applicable paragraph.

“(B) The Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense referred to in paragraphs (4) and (5) of subsection (c) shall be appointed from civilian life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

“(b) Each Deputy Under Secretary of Defense shall be the first assistant to an Under Secretary of Defense and shall assist such Under Secretary in the performance of the duties of the position of such Under Secretary and shall act for, and exercise the powers of, such Under Secretary when such Under Secretary is absent or disabled.

“(c)(1) One of the Deputy Under Secretaries is the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics appointed pursuant to section 133a of this title.

“(2) One of the Deputy Under Secretaries is the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy appointed pursuant to section 134a of this title.

“(3) One of the Deputy Under Secretaries is the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness appointed pursuant to section 136a of this title.

“(4) One of the Deputy Under Secretaries shall be the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

“(5) One of the Deputy Under Secretaries shall be the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.

“(d) The Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense take precedence in the Department of Defense after the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Secretaries of the military departments, the Under Secretaries of Defense, and the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.”

(2) DELAYED LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE.—Effective as of January 1, 2011, the five Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense authorized by section 137a of title 10, United States Code (as added by paragraph (1)), shall be the only Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense.

(3) REPORT ON REVISED ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE FOR OSD.—Not later than March 15, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth a plan for the realignment of the organizational structure of the Office of the Secretary of Defense to comply with the requirement in paragraph (2).

(b) ASSISTANT SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE.—

(1) REDESIGNATION OF DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY FOR LOGISTICS AND MATERIEL READINESS AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY.—Chapter 4 of such title is further amended—

(A) by transferring section 133b to appear after section 138 and redesignating such section, as so transferred, as section 138a; and

(B) in such section, as so transferred and redesignated, by striking “Deputy Under Secretary” each place it appears and inserting “Assistant Secretary”.

(2) ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT SECRETARIES.—Section 138 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following new subsection (a):

“(a)(1) There are 12 Assistant Secretaries of Defense.

“(2)(A) The Assistant Secretary of Defense referred to in subsection (b)(7) shall be appointed as provided in that subsection.

“(B) The other Assistant Secretaries of Defense shall be appointed from civilian life by the

President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.”; and

(B) in subsection (b), by adding the following new paragraphs:

“(6) One of the Assistant Secretaries shall be the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Acquisition. The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Acquisition is the principal adviser to the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics on matters relating to acquisition.

“(7) One of the Assistant Secretaries is the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness appointed pursuant to section 138a of this title. In addition to any duties and powers prescribed under paragraph (1), the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness shall have the duties specified in section 138a of this title.”

(c) CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 133a of such title is amended—

(i) by striking “Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology” each place it appears and inserting “Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics”; and

(ii) by striking “duties relating to acquisition and technology” and inserting “duties”.

(B) Section 134a of such title is amended by striking “Deputy Under Secretary” each place it appears and inserting “Principal Deputy Under Secretary”.

(C) Section 136a of such title is amended by striking “Deputy Under Secretary” each place it appears and inserting “Principal Deputy Under Secretary”.

(2) SECTION HEADING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) The heading of section 133a of such title is amended to read as follows:

“§ 133a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics”.

(B) The heading of section 134a of such title is amended to read as follows:

“§ 134a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy”.

(C) The heading of section 136a of such title is amended to read as follows:

“§ 136a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness”.

(D) The heading of section 138a of such title, as transferred and redesignated by subsection (b)(1) of this section, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 138a. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness”.

(3) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 4 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking the item relating to section 133a and inserting the following new item:

“133a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.”;

(B) by striking the item relating to section 134a and inserting the following new item:

“134a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.”;

(C) by striking the item relating to section 136a and inserting the following new item:

“136a. Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.”;

(D) by inserting after the item relating to section 137 the following new item:

“137a. Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense.”; and

(E) by inserting after the item relating to section 138 the following new item:

“138a. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness.”.

(d) EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE MATTERS.—

(1) LEVEL III.—Section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology and inserting the following new item:

“Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.”.

(2) LEVEL IV.—Section 5315 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking the item relating to the Assistant Secretaries of Defense and inserting the following new item:

“Assistant Secretaries of Defense (12).”; and

(B) by striking the items relating to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, and the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness and inserting the following new items:

“Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

“Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.

“Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

“Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.”.

(e) INAPPLICABILITY OF APPOINTMENT REQUIREMENTS TO CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS SERVING ON DATE OF ENACTMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the amendments made by this section, the individual serving in a position specified in paragraph (2) on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act may continue to serve in such position without the requirement for appointment by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for a period of up to four years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) COVERED POSITIONS.—The positions specified in this paragraph are the following:

(A) The Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

(B) The Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.

Subtitle B—Space Activities

SEC. 911. SUBMISSION AND REVIEW OF SPACE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY STRATEGY.

(a) STRATEGY.—

(1) DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.—Paragraph (1) of section 2272(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “The Secretary of Defense shall develop” and inserting “The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly develop”.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Paragraph (2) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) The process for transitioning space science and technology programs to new or existing space acquisition programs.”.

(3) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Paragraph (5) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(5) The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall biennially submit the strategy developed under paragraph (1) to the congressional defense committees every other year on the date on which the President submits to Congress the budget for the next fiscal year under section 1105 of title 31.”.

(4) INITIAL REPORT.—The first space science and technology strategy required to be submitted under paragraph (5) of section 2272(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by paragraph (3) of this subsection, shall be submitted on the date on which the President submits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2012 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

(b) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REVIEW OF STRATEGY.—

(1) REVIEW.—The Comptroller General shall review and assess the first space science and

technology strategy submitted under paragraph (5) of section 2272(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a)(3) of this section, and the effectiveness of the coordination process required under section 2272(b) of such title.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence submit the first space science and technology strategy required to be submitted under paragraph (5) of section 2272(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a)(3) of this section, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the findings and assessment under paragraph (1).

SEC. 912. PROVISION OF SPACE SITUATIONAL AWARENESS SERVICES AND INFORMATION TO NON-UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ENTITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2274 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§2274. Space situational awareness services and information: provision to non-United States Government entities

“(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may provide space situational awareness services and information to, and may obtain space situational awareness data and information from, non-United States Government entities in accordance with this section. Any such action may be taken only if the Secretary determines that such action is consistent with the national security interests of the United States.

“(b) **ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.**—The Secretary may provide services and information under subsection (a) to, and may obtain data and information under subsection (a) from, any non-United States Government entity, including any of the following:

- “(1) A State.
- “(2) A political subdivision of a State.
- “(3) A United States commercial entity.
- “(4) The government of a foreign country.
- “(5) A foreign commercial entity.

“(c) **AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary may not provide space situational awareness services and information under subsection (a) to a non-United States Government entity unless that entity enters into an agreement with the Secretary under which the entity—

- “(1) agrees to pay an amount that may be charged by the Secretary under subsection (d);
- “(2) agrees not to transfer any data or technical information received under the agreement, including the analysis of data, to any other entity without the express approval of the Secretary; and

“(3) agrees to any other terms and conditions considered necessary by the Secretary.

“(d) **CHARGES.**—(1) As a condition of an agreement under subsection (c), the Secretary may (except as provided in paragraph (2)) require the non-United States Government entity entering into the agreement to pay to the Department of Defense such amounts as the Secretary determines appropriate to reimburse the Department for the costs to the Department of providing space situational awareness services or information under the agreement.

“(2) The Secretary may not require the government of a State, or of a political subdivision of a State, to pay any amount under paragraph (1).

“(e) **CREDITING OF FUNDS RECEIVED.**—(1) Funds received for the provision of space situational awareness services or information pursuant to an agreement under this section shall be credited, at the election of the Secretary, to the following:

- “(A) The appropriation, fund, or account used in incurring the obligation.
- “(B) An appropriate appropriation, fund, or account currently available for the purposes for which the expenditures were made.

“(2) Funds credited under paragraph (1) shall be merged with, and remain available for obligation with, the funds in the appropriation, fund, or account to which credited.

“(f) **PROCEDURES.**—The Secretary shall establish procedures by which the authority under this section shall be carried out. As part of those procedures, the Secretary may allow space situational awareness services or information to be provided through a contractor of the Department of Defense.

“(g) **IMMUNITY.**—The United States, any agencies and instrumentalities thereof, and any individuals, firms, corporations, and other persons acting for the United States, shall be immune from any suit in any court for any cause of action arising from the provision or receipt of space situational awareness services or information, whether or not provided in accordance with this section, or any related action or omission.

“(h) **NOTICE OF CONCERNS OF DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.**—If the Secretary determines that a commercial or foreign entity has declined or is reluctant to provide data or information to the Secretary in accordance with this section due to the concerns of such entity about the potential disclosure of such data or information, the Secretary shall, not later than 60 days after the Secretary makes that determination, provide notice to the congressional defense committees of the declination or reluctance of such entity.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 135 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2274 and inserting the following new item:

“2274. Space situational awareness services and information: provision to non-United States Government entities.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2009, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

SEC. 913. MANAGEMENT AND FUNDING STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYSTEM PROGRAM.

(a) **MANAGEMENT AND FUNDING STRATEGY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The President shall develop a strategy for the management and funding of the National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System Program (in this section referred to as the “Program”) by the Department of Defense, the Department of Commerce, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The strategy required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

- (A) Requirements for the Program.
- (B) The management structure of the Program.

(C) A funding profile for the Program for each year of the Program for the Department of Defense, the Department of Commerce, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(b) **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**—The President shall develop a plan to implement the strategy required under subsection (a)(1).

(c) **LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 by section 201(a)(3) for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Air Force and available for the Program—

- (1) not more than 50 percent of such amounts may be obligated or expended before the date on which the strategy developed under subsection (a)(1) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, and the Committee on Science and Technology of the House of Representatives; and
- (2) not more than 75 percent of such amounts may be obligated or expended before the date on which the plan developed under subsection (c) is

submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, and the Committee on Science and Technology of the House of Representatives.

(d) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that once all requirements for the Program are fully agreed to by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Commerce, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Program should be executed with no modifications to those requirements that would increase the cost, or extend the schedule, of the Program.

Subtitle C—Intelligence-Related Matters

SEC. 921. INCLUSION OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY IN AUTHORITY TO USE PROCEEDS FROM COUNTERINTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 423 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or the Defense Intelligence Agency” after “the military departments” each place it appears in subsections (a) and (c).

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **HEADING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§423. Authority to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations of the military departments or the Defense Intelligence Agency”.

(2) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 21 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 423 and inserting the following new item:

“423. Authority to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations of the military departments or the Defense Intelligence Agency.”.

SEC. 922. PLAN TO ADDRESS FOREIGN BALLISTIC MISSILE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT AND PLAN.**—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, shall—

- (1) conduct an assessment of foreign ballistic missile intelligence analytic gaps and shortfalls; and
- (2) develop a plan to ensure that the appropriate intelligence centers have sufficient analytical capabilities to address such gaps and shortfalls.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than February 28, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a report containing—

- (1) the results of the assessment conducted under subsection (a)(1);
- (2) the plan developed under subsection (a)(2); and
- (3) a description of the resources required to implement such plan.

(c) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

SEC. 931. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY FOR DEVELOPING LEAP-AHEAD CYBER OPERATIONS CAPABILITIES.

(a) **STRATEGY REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on a strategy for organizing the research and development bodies of the Department of Defense to develop leap-ahead cyber operations capabilities.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall address the following:

- (1) A description of the management structure and investment review process for coordinating the technology development of advanced offensive and defensive cyber operations capabilities—

(A) among the military departments, the Defense Agencies, the combatant commands, and the intelligence community;

(B) across all levels of classification, including relevant special access programs; and

(C) based on the identification and prioritization of joint cyber operations capabilities gaps.

(2) Actions taken and recommendations for further improving the coordination of research and development of offensive and defensive cyber operations capabilities among private sector, interagency, non-governmental, and international partners.

(3) Assessment of the feasibility and utility of regular national level, joint, interagency cyber exercises that would include, to the extent possible, participants from industry, international militaries, and non-governmental organizations to assess technologies, policies, and capabilities.

(c) **COORDINATION.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be developed in coordination and concurrence with the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration, the Director of the National Security Agency, and the commander of the United States Cyber Command.

(d) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(e) **CYBER OPERATIONS CAPABILITIES DEFINED.**—The term “cyber operations capabilities” means the range of capabilities needed for computer network defense, computer network attack, and computer network exploitations. Such term includes technical as well as non-materiel solutions.

SEC. 932. DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSITION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a Defense Integrated Military Human Resources System development and transition Council to provide advice to the Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments on the modernization of the integrated pay and personnel system for each military department and the collection of data generated by each such system into the enterprise information warehouse.

(b) **COUNCIL.**—The Council shall include the following members:

(1) The Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.

(2) The Director of the Business Transformation Agency.

(3) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, or a designated representative.

(4) The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, or a designated representative.

(5) One representative from each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps who is a lieutenant general or vice admiral, or a civilian equivalent.

(6) One representative of the National Guard Bureau who is a lieutenant general or vice admiral, or a civilian equivalent.

(7) The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration, or a designated representative.

(8) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, or a designated representative.

(9) Such other individuals as may be designated by the Deputy Secretary of Defense, acting in the Deputy Secretary's capacity as the Chief Management Officer.

(c) **MEETINGS.**—The Council shall meet not less than twice a year, or more often as specified by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

(d) **DUTIES.**—The Council shall have the following responsibilities:

(1) Resolution of significant policy, programmatic, or budgetary issues impeding modernization or deployment of integrated personnel and pay systems for each military department, including issues relating to—

(A) common interfaces, architectures, and systems engineering;

(B) ensuring that developmental systems are consistent with current and future enterprise accounting and pay and personnel standards and practices; and

(C) ensuring that developmental systems are consistent with current and future Department of Defense business enterprise architecture.

(2) Coordination of implementation of the integrated personnel and pay system within defense organizations to ensure interoperability between all appropriate elements of the system.

(3) Establishment of metrics to assess the following:

(A) Business process re-engineering needed for successful deployment of the integrated pay and personnel system.

(B) Interoperability between legacy, operational, and developmental pay and personnel systems.

(C) Interface and systems architecture control and standardization.

(D) Retirement of legacy systems.

(E) Use of the enterprise information warehouse.

(F) Any other relevant matters.

(4) Such other responsibilities as the Secretary determines are appropriate.

(e) **TERMINATION.**—This section shall not be in effect after September 30, 2013.

(f) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on actions taken pursuant to this section.

SEC. 933. REPORT ON SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND ORGANIZATION, MANNING, AND MANAGEMENT.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command shall prepare and submit to the Secretary of Defense a report and recommendations, in accordance with this section, on the organization, manning, and management of the command.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A comparison of current and projected fiscal year 2010 military and civilian end strength levels at special operations command headquarters with fiscal year 2000 levels, both actual and authorized.

(2) A comparison of fiscal year 2000 through 2010 special operations command headquarters end strength growth with the growth of each special operations forces component command headquarters over the same time period, both actual and authorized.

(3) A summary and assessment that identifies the resourcing, in terms of manning, training, equipping, and funding, that the United States Special Operations Command provides to each of the theater special operations commands under the geographical combatant commands and a summary of personnel specialties assigned to each such command.

(4) Options and recommendations for reducing staffing levels at special operations command headquarters by 5 and 10 percent, respectively, and an assessment of the opportunity costs and management risks associated with each option.

(5) Recommendations for increasing manning levels, if appropriate, at each component command, and especially at Army Special Operations Command.

(6) A plan to sustain the cultural engagement group of Special Operations Command Central.

(7) An assessment of the resourcing requirements to establish capability similar to the cultural engagement group capability at the other theater special operations command locations.

(8) A review and assessment for improving the relationship between the United States Special Operations Command and each of the theater

special operations commands under the geographical combatant commands and the establishment of a more direct administrative and collaborative link between them.

(9) A review and assessment of existing Department of Defense executive agent support to the United States Special Operations Command and its subordinate components, as well as commentary about proposals to use the same executive agent throughout the special operations community.

(10) An updated assessment on the specific proposal to provide executive agent support from the Defense Logistics Agency for the United States Special Operations Command.

(11) A recommendation and plan for including international development and conflict prevention representatives as participants in the Interagency Task Force process.

(c) **SUBMISSION OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the submission of the report and recommendations required under subsection (a) by the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, the Secretary of Defense shall forward the report and recommendations to the congressional defense committees, together with such additional comments as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 934. STUDY ON THE RECRUITMENT, RETENTION, AND CAREER PROGRESSION OF UNIFORMED AND CIVILIAN MILITARY CYBER OPERATIONS PERSONNEL.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the challenges to retention and professional development of cyber operations personnel within the Department of Defense.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED.**—The assessment by the Secretary of Defense shall address the following matters:

(1) The sufficiency of the numbers and types of personnel available for cyber operations, including an assessment of the balance between military and civilian positions and the availability of personnel with expertise in matters related to cyber operations from outside of the Department of Defense.

(2) The definition and coherence of career fields for both members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense, including the sufficiency of training and experience levels required, and measures to improve them if necessary.

(3) The types of recruitment and retention incentives available to members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

(4) Identification of legal, policy, or administrative impediments to attracting and retaining cyber operations personnel.

(5) The standards used by the Department of Defense to measure effectiveness at recruiting, retaining, and ensuring an adequate career progression for cyber operations personnel.

(6) The effectiveness of educational and outreach activities used to attract, retain, and reward cyber operations personnel, including how to expand outreach to academic institutions and improve coordination with other civilian agencies and industrial partners.

(7) The management of educational and outreach activities used to attract, retain, and reward cyber operations personnel, such as the National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education.

(8) Efforts to establish public-private partnerships to meet the needs of the Department with respect to cyber operations personnel and training.

(9) Recommendations for legislative changes necessary to increase the availability of cyber operations personnel.

(c) **CYBER OPERATIONS PERSONNEL DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “cyber operations personnel” refers to members of the Armed Forces

and civilian employees of the Department of Defense involved with the operations and maintenance of a computer network connected to the global information grid, as well as offensive, defensive, and exploitation functions of such a network.

SEC. 935. PLAN ON ACCESS TO NATIONAL AIRSPACE FOR UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Transportation shall, after consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, jointly develop a plan for providing expanded access to the national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems of the Department of Defense.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of how the Department of Defense and the Department of Transportation will communicate and cooperate, at the executive, management, and action levels, to provide expanded access to the national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems of the Department of Defense.

(2) Specific milestones, taking into account the operational and training needs of the Department of Defense and the safety and air traffic management needs of the Department of Transportation, for providing expanded access to the national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems and a transition plan for sites programmed to be activated as unmanned aerial system sites during fiscal years 2010 through 2015.

(3) Recommendations for policies with respect to use of the national airspace, flight standards, and operating procedures that should be implemented by the Department of Defense and the Department of Transportation to accommodate unmanned aircraft systems assigned to any State or territory of the United States.

(4) An identification of resources required by the Department of Defense and the Department of Transportation to execute the plan.

(c) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Transportation shall submit a report containing the plan required by subsection (a) to the following committees:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

(3) The Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.

Sec. 1002. Relationship of the quadrennial defense review and the annual budget request.

Sec. 1003. Audit readiness of financial statements of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

Sec. 1011. Unified counter-drug and counter-terrorism campaign in Colombia.

Sec. 1012. Joint task forces support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.

Sec. 1013. Reporting requirement on expenditures to support foreign counter-drug activities.

Sec. 1014. Support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.

Sec. 1015. Border coordination centers in Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Sec. 1016. Comptroller General report on effectiveness of accountability measures for assistance from counter-narcotics central transfer account.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

Sec. 1021. Sense of Congress on the maintenance of a 313-ship Navy.

Sec. 1022. Designation of U.S.S. Constitution as America's Ship of State.

Sec. 1023. Temporary reduction in minimum number of operational aircraft carriers.

Sec. 1024. Sense of Congress concerning the disposition of Submarine NR-1.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Requirements, Authorities, and Limitations

Sec. 1031. Prohibition relating to propaganda.

Sec. 1032. Responsibility for preparation of biennial global positioning system report.

Sec. 1033. Reports on bandwidth requirements for major defense acquisition programs and major system acquisition programs.

Sec. 1034. Additional duties for advisory panel on Department of Defense capabilities for support of civil authorities after certain incidents.

Sec. 1035. Charter for the National Reconnaissance Office.

Sec. 1036. National strategic five-year plan for improving the nuclear forensic and attribution capabilities of the United States.

Sec. 1037. Authorization of appropriations for payments to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1038. Prohibition on interrogation of detainees by contractor personnel.

Sec. 1039. Notification and access of International Committee of the Red Cross with respect to detainees at Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan.

Sec. 1040. No Miranda Warnings for Al Qaeda Terrorists.

Sec. 1041. Limitation on use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Sec. 1042. Additional subpoena authority for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1043. Limitations on modifications of certain Government furnished equipment; one-time authority to transfer certain military prototype.

Subtitle E—Studies and Reports

Sec. 1051. Report on statutory compliance of the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review.

Sec. 1052. Report on the force structure findings of the 2009 quadrennial defense review.

Sec. 1053. Annual report on the electronic warfare strategy of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1054. Study on a system for career development and management of inter-agency national security professionals.

Sec. 1055. Report on nuclear aspirations of non-state entities, nuclear weapons and related programs in non-nuclear-weapons states and countries not parties to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and certain foreign persons.

Sec. 1056. Comptroller General review of Department of Defense spending in final fiscal quarters.

Sec. 1057. Report on Air America.

Sec. 1058. Report on defense travel simplification.

Sec. 1059. Report on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base.

Sec. 1060. Report on enabling capabilities for special operations forces.

Sec. 1061. Additional members and duties for the independent panel to assess the quadrennial defense review.

Sec. 1062. Congressional earmarks relating to the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1063. Report on basing plans for certain United States geographic combatant commands.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 1071. Extension of certain authority for making rewards for combating terrorism.

Sec. 1072. Business process reengineering.

Sec. 1073. Technical and clerical amendments.

Sec. 1074. Extension of sunset for congressional commission on the strategic posture of the United States.

Sec. 1075. Combat air forces restructuring.

Sec. 1076. Sense of Congress regarding carrier air wing force structure.

Sec. 1077. Department of Veterans Affairs use of service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities.

Sec. 1078. Plan for sustainment of land-based solid rocket motor industrial base.

Sec. 1079. Justice for victims of torture and terrorism.

Sec. 1080. Requirement for videotaping or otherwise electronically recording strategic intelligence interrogations of persons in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1081. Modification of pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the air force.

Sec. 1082. Multiyear contracts under pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force.

Sec. 1083. Disclosure of names of students and instructors at Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

Sec. 1084. Sense of Congress regarding the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

SEC. 1001. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.

(a) *AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.*—

(1) *AUTHORITY.*—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 2010 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) *LIMITATION.*—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed \$4,000,000,000.

(3) *EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.*—A transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted toward the dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

(b) *LIMITATIONS.*—The authority provided by this section to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) *EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.*—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(d) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).

SEC. 1002. RELATIONSHIP OF THE QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW AND THE ANNUAL BUDGET REQUEST.

Section 118 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) **RELATIONSHIP TO BUDGET.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect section 1105(a) of title 31.”.

SEC. 1003. AUDIT READINESS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **FINANCIAL IMPROVEMENT AUDIT READINESS PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense shall, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), develop and maintain a plan to be known as the “Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan”.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan required by paragraph (1) shall—

(A) describe specific actions to be taken and the costs associated with—

(i) correcting the financial management deficiencies that impair the ability of the Department of Defense to prepare timely, reliable, and complete financial management information; and

(ii) ensuring the financial statements of the Department of Defense are validated as ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017;

(B) systematically tie the actions described under subparagraph (A) to process and control improvements and business systems modernization efforts described in the business enterprise architecture and transition plan required by section 2222 of title 10, United States Code;

(C) prioritize—

(i) improving the budgetary information of the Department of Defense, in order to achieve an unqualified audit opinion on the Department's statements of budgetary resources; and

(ii) as a secondary goal, improving the accuracy and reliability of management information on the Department's mission-critical assets (military and general equipment, real property, inventory, and operating materials and supplies) and validating its accuracy through existence and completeness audits; and

(D) include interim goals, including—

(i) the objective of ensuring that the financial statement of each of the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force, and the Defense Logistics Agency is validated as ready for audit; and

(ii) a schedule setting forth milestones for elements of the military departments and financial statements of the military departments to be made ready for audit as part of the progress required to meet the objectives established pursuant to clause (i) of this subparagraph and clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of this paragraph.

(b) **SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS ON FINANCIAL IMPROVEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than May 15 and November 15 each year, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the implementation by the Department of Defense of the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan required by subsection (a).

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum—

(A) an overview of the steps the Department has taken or plans to take to meet the objectives specified in subsection (a)(2)(A), including progress toward achieving the interim goals and milestone schedule established pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(D); and

(B) a description of any impediments identified in the efforts of the Department to meet such objectives, and of the actions the Department has taken or plans to take to address such impediments.

(3) **ADDITIONAL ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED IN FIRST REPORT.**—The first report submitted under

paragraph (1) after the date of the enactment of this Act shall address, in addition to the elements required by paragraph (2), the actions taken or to be taken by the Department as follows:

(A) To develop standardized guidance for financial improvement plans by components of the Department.

(B) To establish a baseline of financial management capabilities and weaknesses at the component level of the Department.

(C) To provide results-oriented metrics for measuring and reporting quantifiable results toward addressing financial management deficiencies.

(D) To define the oversight roles of the Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense, the chief management officers of the military departments, and other appropriate elements of the Department to ensure that the requirements of the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan are carried out.

(E) To assign accountability for carrying out specific elements of the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan to appropriate officials and organizations at the component level of the Department.

(F) To develop mechanisms to track budgets and expenditures for the implementation of the requirements of the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan.

(G) To develop a mechanism to conduct audits of the military intelligence programs and agencies and to submit audited financial statements for such agencies to Congress in a classified manner.

(c) **RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING LAW.**—The requirements of this section shall be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of section 1008 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1204; 10 U.S.C. 2222 note).

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

SEC. 1011. UNIFIED COUNTER-DRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOMBIA.

Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1023 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4586), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

SEC. 1012. JOINT TASK FORCES SUPPORT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONDUCTING COUNTER-TERRORISM ACTIVITIES.

(a) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 371 note), as most recently amended by section 1022 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4586), is further amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(b) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Subsection (c) of section 1022 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (10 U.S.C. 371 note) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Not later than December 31 of each year after 2008 in which the authority in subsection (a) is in effect, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report setting forth, for the one-year period ending on the date of such report, the following:

“(1) An assessment of the effect on counter-drug and counterterrorism activities and objectives of using counter-drug funds of a joint task force to provide counterterrorism support authorized by subsection (a).

“(2) A description of the type of support and any recipient of support provided under subsection (a).

“(3) A list of current joint task forces conducting counter-drug operations.”.

SEC. 1013. REPORTING REQUIREMENT ON EXPENDITURES TO SUPPORT FOREIGN COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES.

Section 1022(a) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 114 Stat. 1654A–255), as most recently amended by section 1021 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4586), is further amended by striking “April 15, 2006” and all that follows through “February 15, 2009” and inserting “February 15, 2010”.

SEC. 1014. SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a)(2) section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by section 1024(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4587), is further amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(b) **MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SUPPORT.**—Subsection (e)(2) of such section is amended by striking “fiscal year 2009” and inserting “either of fiscal years 2009 and 2010”.

(c) **CONDITIONS ON PROVISION OF SUPPORT.**—Subsection (f)(2) of such section is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking “for fiscal year 2009 to carry out this section and the first fiscal year in which the support is to be provided” and inserting “and available for support”.

(d) **COUNTER-DRUG PLAN.**—Subsection (h) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “fiscal year 2009” and inserting “for each fiscal year”; and

(2) in paragraph (7), by striking “fiscal year 2009, and thereafter, for the first fiscal year in which support is to be provided” and inserting “each fiscal year in which support is to be provided to a government”.

SEC. 1015. BORDER COORDINATION CENTERS IN AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN.

(a) **PROHIBITION ON USE OF COUNTER-NARCOTIC ASSISTANCE FOR BORDER COORDINATION CENTERS.**—

(1) **PROHIBITION.**—Amounts available for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense may not be expended for the construction, expansion, repair, or operation and maintenance of any existing or proposed border coordination center.

(2) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Paragraph (1) does not prohibit or limit the use of other funds available to the Department of Defense to construct, expand, repair, or operate and maintain border coordination centers.

(b) **LIMITATION ON ESTABLISHMENT OF ADDITIONAL CENTERS.**—

(1) **LIMITATION.**—The Secretary of Defense may not authorize the establishment, or any construction in connection with the establishment, of a third border coordination center in the area of operations of Regional Command-East in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan until a border coordination center has been constructed, or is under construction, in either—

(A) the area of operations of Regional Command-South in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan; or

(B) Baluchistan in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

(2) **NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.**—The Secretary may waive the limitation under paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is vital to the national security interests of the United States. The Secretary shall promptly submit to Congress notice in writing of any waiver under this paragraph.

(c) **BORDER COORDINATION CENTER DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “border coordination center” means a multilateral military coordination and intelligence center that is located, or intended to be located, near the border between the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

SEC. 1016. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS OF ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES FOR ASSISTANCE FROM COUNTER-NARCOTICS CENTRAL TRANSFER ACCOUNT.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the performance evaluation system used by the Secretary of Defense to assess the effectiveness of assistance provided for foreign nations to achieve the counter-narcotics objectives of the Department of Defense. The report shall be unclassified, but may contain a classified annex.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall contain the following:

(1) A description of the performance evaluation system of the Department of Defense used to determine the efficiency and effectiveness of counter-narcotics assistance provided by the Department of Defense to foreign nations.

(2) An assessment of the ability of the performance evaluation system to accurately measure the efficiency and effectiveness of such counter-narcotics assistance.

(3) Detailed recommendations on how to improve the capacity of the performance evaluation system for the counter-narcotics central transfer account.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

SEC. 1021. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE MAINTENANCE OF A 313-SHIP NAVY.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Department of the Navy has a stated requirement for a 313-ship fleet.

(2) The Navy can better meet this requirement—

(A) by procuring sufficient numbers of new ships; and

(B) by ensuring the sound material condition of existing ships that will enable the Navy to utilize them for their full planned service lives.

(3) When procuring new classes of ships, the Navy must exercise greater caution than it has exhibited to date in proceeding from one stage of the acquisition cycle to the next before a ship program has achieved a level of maturity that significantly lowers the risk of cost growth and schedule slippage.

(4) In retaining existing assets, the Navy can do a much better job of achieving the full planned service lives of ships and extending the service lives of certain ships so as to keep their unique capabilities in the fleet while the Navy takes the time necessary to develop and field next-generation capabilities under a low risk program.

(5) The Navy can undertake certain development approaches that can help the Navy control the total costs of ownership of a ship or class of ships, including emphasizing common hull designs, open architecture combat systems, and other common ship systems in order to achieve efficiency in acquiring and supporting various classes of ships.

(6) The Navy needs to continue its efforts toward achieving an open architecture for existing combat systems, as this will have great benefit in reducing the costs and risks of fielding new classes of ships, and will yield recurring savings from reducing the costs of buying later ships in a program and reducing life cycle support costs for ships and classes of ships.

(7) The Navy can also undertake other measures to acquire new ships and maintain the current fleet with greater efficiency, including—

(A) greater use of fixed-price contracts;

(B) maximizing competition (or the option of competition) throughout the life cycle of its ships;

(C) entering into multi-year contracts when warranted; and

(D) employing an incremental approach to developing new technologies.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Navy should meet its requirement for a 313-ship fleet until such time that modifications to the Navy's ship fleet force structure are warranted, and the Secretary of the Navy provides Congress with a justification of any proposed modifications, supported by rigorous and sufficient warfighting analysis;

(2) the Navy should take greater care to achieve the full planned service life of existing ships and reduce the incidence of early ship decommissioning;

(3) the Navy should exercise greater restraint on the acquisition process for ships in order to achieve on-time, on-cost shipbuilding programs; and

(4) Congress should support the Navy when it is acting responsibly to undertake measures that can help the Navy achieve the requirement for a 313-ship fleet and maintain a fleet that is adequate to meet the national security needs of the United States.

SEC. 1022. DESIGNATION OF U.S.S. CONSTITUTION AS AMERICA'S SHIP OF STATE.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) In the Act entitled “An Act to Provide a Naval Armament”, approved on March 27, 1794 (1 Stat. 350, Chap. XII), the 3rd Congress authorized the construction of six frigates as the first ships to be built for the United States Navy.

(2) One of the six frigates was built in Boston, Massachusetts, between 1794 and 1797, and is the only one of the original six ships to survive.

(3) President George Washington named this frigate “Constitution” to represent the Nation's founding document.

(4) President Thomas Jefferson, asserting the right of the United States to trade on the high seas, dispatched the frigate Constitution in 1803 as the flagship of the Mediterranean Squadron to end the depredations of the Barbary States against United States ships and shipping, which led to a treaty being signed with the Bashaw of Tripoli in the captain's cabin aboard the frigate Constitution on June 4, 1805.

(5) The frigate Constitution, with her defeat of the H.M.S. Guerriere, secured the first major victory by the young United States Navy against the Royal Navy during the War of 1812, gaining in the process the nickname “Old Ironsides”, which she has proudly carried since.

(6) Congress awarded gold medals to four of the ship's commanding officers (Preble, Hull, Stewart, and Bainbridge), a record unmatched by any other United States Navy vessel.

(7) The frigate Constitution emerged from the War of 1812 undefeated, having secured victories over three additional ships of the Royal Navy.

(8) As early as May 1815, the frigate Constitution had already been adopted as a symbol of the young Republic, as attested by the [Washington] National Intelligencer which proclaimed, “Let us keep ‘Old Ironsides’ at home. She has, literally become the Nation's Ship . . . and should thus be preserved . . . in honorable pomp, as a glorious Monument of her own, and our other Naval Victories.”

(9) Rumors in 1830 that “Old Ironsides”, an aging frigate, was about to be scrapped resulted in a public uproar demanding that the ship be restored and preserved, spurred by Oliver Wendell Holmes' immortal poem “Old Ironsides”.

(10) “Old Ironsides” circumnavigated the world between 1844 and 1846, showing the American flag as she searched for future coaling stations that would eventually fuel the steam-powered navy of the United States.

(11) The first Pope to set foot on United States sovereign territory was Pius IX onboard the frigate Constitution in 1849.

(12) On April 25, 1860, “Old Ironsides” evacuated the midshipmen of the United States Naval

Academy from Annapolis, Maryland, to Newport, Rhode Island, preventing the young officers and the esteemed ship from falling into Confederate hands.

(13) In 1896, Congressman John F. “Honey Fitz” Fitzgerald introduced legislation to return “Old Ironsides” from the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard in New Hampshire, where she was moored pier side and largely forgotten, to Boston for her 100th birthday.

(14) Thousands of school children contributed pennies between 1925 and 1927 to help fund a much needed restoration for “Old Ironsides”.

(15) Between 1931 and 1934, more than 4,500,000 Americans gained inspiration, at the depth of the Great Depression, by going aboard “Old Ironsides” as she was towed to 76 ports on the Atlantic, Gulf, and Pacific coasts.

(16) The 83rd Congress enacted the Act of July 23, 1954 (68 Stat. 527, chapter 565), which directed the Secretary of the Navy to transfer to the States and appropriate commissions four other historic ships then on the Navy inventory, and to repair and equip the U.S.S. Constitution, as much as practicable, to her original condition, but not for active service.

(17) Queen Elizabeth II paid a formal visit to the U.S.S. Constitution in 1976, at the start of her state visit marking the bicentennial of the United States.

(18) The U.S.S. Constitution, in celebration of her bicentennial, returned to sea under sail on July 21, 1997, for the first time since 1881, proudly setting sails purchased by the contributions of thousands of pennies given by school children across the United States.

(19) The U.S.S. Constitution is the oldest commissioned warship afloat in the world.

(20) The U.S.S. Constitution is a national historic landmark.

(21) The U.S.S. Constitution continues to perform official, ceremonial duties, including in recent years hosting a congressional dinner honoring the late Senator John Chafee of Rhode Island, a special salute for the dedication of the John Moakley Federal Courthouse, a luncheon honoring British Ambassador Sir David Manning, and a special underway demonstration during which 60 Medal of Honor recipients each received a personal Medal of Honor flag.

(22) The U.S.S. Constitution celebrated on October 21, 2007, the 210th anniversary of her launching.

(23) The U.S.S. Constitution will remain a commissioned ship in the United States Navy, with the Navy retaining control of the ship, its material condition, and its employment.

(24) The U.S.S. Constitution's primary mission will remain education and public outreach, and any Ship of State functions will be an adjunct to the ship's primary mission.

(b) **DESIGNATION AS AMERICA'S SHIP OF STATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The U.S.S. Constitution is hereby designated as “America's Ship of State”.

(2) **REFERENCES.**—The U.S.S. Constitution may be known or referred to as “America's Ship of State”.

(3) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the President, Vice President, executive branch officials, and members of Congress should use the U.S.S. Constitution for the conducting of pertinent matters of state, such as hosting visiting heads of state, signing legislation relating to the Armed Forces, and signing maritime related treaties.

(4) **FEE OR REIMBURSEMENT STRUCTURE FOR NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY USE.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall determine an appropriate fee or reimbursement structure for any non-Department of the Navy entities using the U.S.S. Constitution for Ship of State purposes.

SEC. 1023. TEMPORARY REDUCTION IN MINIMUM NUMBER OF OPERATIONAL AIRCRAFT CARRIERS.

(a) **TEMPORARY WAIVER.**—Notwithstanding section 5062(b) of title 10, United States Code, during the period beginning on the date of the

inactivation of the U.S.S. Enterprise (CVN-65) scheduled, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, for fiscal year 2013 and ending on the date of the commissioning into active service of the U.S.S. Gerald R. Ford (CVN-78), the number of operational aircraft carriers in the naval combat forces of the Navy may be 10.

(b) EVALUATION AND REPORT.—

(1) EVALUATION.—During fiscal year 2012, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in coordination with the commanders of the combatant commands, shall evaluate the required postures and capabilities of each of the combatant commands to assess the level of increased risk that could result due to a temporary reduction in the total number of operational aircraft carriers following the inactivation of the U.S.S. Enterprise (CVN-65).

(2) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Together with the budget materials submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Defense in support of the President's budget for fiscal year 2013, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the findings of the evaluation conducted pursuant to paragraph (1), and the basis for each such finding.

SEC. 1024. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF SUBMARINE NR-1.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Deep Submergence Vessel NR-1 (hereinafter in this section referred to as “NR-1”) was built by the Electric Boat Company in Groton, Connecticut, entered service in 1969, and was the only nuclear-powered research submersible in the United States Navy.

(2) NR-1 was assigned to Naval Submarine Base Groton, located in Groton, Connecticut, throughout her entire service life.

(3) NR-1 was inactivated in December 2008.

(4) Due to the unique capabilities of NR-1, it conducted numerous missions of significant military and scientific value most notably in the fields of geological survey and oceanographic research.

(5) In 1986, NR-1 played a key role in the search for and recovery of the Space Shuttle Challenger.

(6) The mission of the Submarine Force Library and Museum in Groton, Connecticut, is to collect, preserve, and interpret the history of the United States Naval Submarine Force in order to honor veterans and to educate naval personnel and the public in the heritage and traditions of the Submarine Force.

(7) NR-1 is a unique and irreplaceable part of the history of the Navy and the Submarine Force and an educational and historical asset that should be shared with the Nation and the world.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) NR-1 is a unique and irreplaceable part of the Nation's history and as much of the vessel as possible should be preserved for the historical and educational benefit of all Americans at the Submarine Force Library and Museum in Groton, Connecticut; and

(2) the Secretary of the Navy should ensure that as much of the vessel as possible, including unique components of on-board equipment and clearly recognizable sections of the hull and superstructure, to the full extent practicable, are made available for transfer to the Submarine Force Library and Museum.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Requirements, Authorities, and Limitations

SEC. 1031. PROHIBITION RELATING TO PROPAGANDA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) PROHIBITION.—Chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2241 the following new section:

“§2241a. Prohibition on use of funds for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States

“Funds available to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States not otherwise specifically authorized by law.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2241a. Prohibition on use of funds for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2241a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on October 1, 2009, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

SEC. 1032. RESPONSIBILITY FOR PREPARATION OF BIENNIAL GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM REPORT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2281(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “the Secretary of Defense” and inserting “the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Transportation, in their capacity as co-chairs of the National Executive Committee for Space-Based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing,”; and

(B) by striking “the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives” and inserting “the Committees on Armed Services and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services, Energy and Commerce, and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) In preparing each report required under paragraph (1), the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Transportation, in their capacity as co-chairs of the National Executive Committee for Space-Based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing, shall consult with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Transportation, and the Secretary of Homeland Security.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (1)(B)(ii) of such section is amended—

(1) by inserting “validated” before “performance requirements”; and

(2) by inserting “in accordance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-109” after “Plan”.

SEC. 1033. REPORTS ON BANDWIDTH REQUIREMENTS FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS AND MAJOR SYSTEM ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.

Section 1047(d) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4603; 10 U.S.C. 2366b note) is amended to read as follows:

“(d) FORMAL REVIEW PROCESS FOR BANDWIDTH REQUIREMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall, as part of the Milestone B or Key Decision Point B approval process for any major defense acquisition program or major system acquisition program, establish a formal review process to ensure that—

“(A) the bandwidth requirements needed to support such program are or will be met; and

“(B) a determination will be made with respect to how to meet the bandwidth requirements for such program.

“(2) REPORTS.—Not later than January 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall each submit to the congressional defense committees, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intel-

ligence of the House of Representatives a report on any determinations made under paragraph (1) with respect to meeting the bandwidth requirements for major defense acquisition programs and major system acquisition programs during the preceding fiscal year.”.

SEC. 1034. ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR ADVISORY PANEL ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CAPABILITIES FOR SUPPORT OF CIVIL AUTHORITIES AFTER CERTAIN INCIDENTS.

(a) ADDITIONAL DUTIES.—Section 1082(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 337) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (7) and (8) as paragraphs (9) and (10), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following new paragraphs:

“(7) assess the adequacy of the process and methodology by which the Department of Defense establishes and maintains dedicated, special, and general purpose forces for conducting operations described in paragraph (1);

“(8) assess the adequacy of the resources planned and programmed by the Department of Defense to ensure the preparedness and capability of dedicated, special, and general purpose forces for conducting operations described in paragraph (1);”.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 1082(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 337) is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “in support to” and inserting “to provide support to”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “purposes” and inserting “purpose”; and

(3) in paragraph (4), by striking “other department” and inserting “other departments”.

SEC. 1035. CHARTER FOR THE NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE.

Not later than February 1, 2010, the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a revised charter for the National Reconnaissance Office (in this section referred to as the “NRO”). The charter shall include the following:

(1) The organizational and governance structure of the NRO.

(2) The role of the NRO in the development and generation of requirements and acquisition.

(3) The scope of the capabilities of the NRO.

(4) The roles and responsibilities of the NRO and the relationship of the NRO to other organizations and agencies in the intelligence and defense communities.

SEC. 1036. NATIONAL STRATEGIC FIVE-YEAR PLAN FOR IMPROVING THE NUCLEAR FORENSIC AND ATTRIBUTION CAPABILITIES OF THE UNITED STATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The President, with the participation of the officials specified in subsection (c), shall develop a national strategic plan for improving over a five-year period the nuclear forensic and attribution capabilities of the United States and the methods, capabilities, and capacity for nuclear materials forensics and attribution.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An investment plan to support nuclear materials forensics and attribution.

(2) Recommendations with respect to—

(A) the allocation of roles and responsibilities for pre-detonation, detonation, and post-detonation activities; and

(B) methods for the attribution of nuclear or radiological material to the source when such material is intercepted by the United States, foreign governments, or international bodies or is dispersed in the course of a terrorist attack or other nuclear or radiological explosion.

(c) OFFICIALS.—The officials specified in this subsection are the following:

- (1) The Secretary of Homeland Security.
- (2) The Secretary of Defense.
- (3) The Secretary of Energy.
- (4) The Attorney General.
- (5) The Secretary of State.
- (6) The Director of National Intelligence.
- (7) Such other officials as the President considers appropriate.

(d) **SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress the plan required under subsection (a).

SEC. 1037. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR PAYMENTS TO PORTUGUESE NATIONALS EMPLOYED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENTS.**—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may authorize payments to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense in Portugal, for the difference between—

(1) the salary increases resulting from section 8002 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109-148; 119 Stat. 2697; 10 U.S.C. 1584 note) and section 8002 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 109-289; 120 Stat. 1271; 10 U.S.C. 1584 note); and

(2) salary increases supported by the Department of Defense Azores Foreign National wage surveys for survey years 2006 and 2007.

(b) **LIMITATION.**—The authority provided in subsection (a) may be exercised only if—

(1) the wage survey methodology described in the United States—Portugal Agreement on Cooperation and Defense, with supplemental technical and labor agreements and exchange of notes, signed at Lisbon on June 1, 1995, and entered into force on November 21, 1995, is eliminated; and

(2) the agreements and exchange of notes referred to in paragraph (1) and any implementing regulations thereto are revised to provide that the obligations of the United States regarding annual pay increases are subject to United States appropriation law governing the funding available for such increases.

(c) **AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated under title III, not less than \$240,000 is authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the purpose of the payments authorized by subsection (a).

SEC. 1038. PROHIBITION ON INTERROGATION OF DETAINEES BY CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), effective one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, no enemy prisoner of war, civilian internee, retained personnel, other detainee, or any other individual who is in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense or otherwise under detention in a Department of Defense facility in connection with hostilities may be interrogated by contractor personnel.

(b) **AUTHORIZED FUNCTIONS OF CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL.**—Contractor personnel with proper training and security clearances may be used as linguists, interpreters, report writers, information technology technicians, and other employees filling ancillary positions, including as trainers of and advisors to interrogators, in interrogations of persons as described in subsection (a) if—

(1) such personnel are subject to the same rules, procedures, policies, and laws pertaining to detainee operations and interrogations as apply to government personnel in such positions in such interrogations; and

(2) appropriately qualified and trained military or civilian personnel of the Department of Defense are available to oversee the contractor's performance and to ensure that contractor personnel do not perform activities that are prohibited under this section.

(c) **DISCHARGE BY GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall take appropriate

actions to ensure that, by not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense has the resources needed to ensure that interrogations described in subsection (a) are conducted by appropriately qualified government personnel.

(d) **WAIVER.**—

(1) **WAIVERS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) for a period of 60 days if the Secretary determines such a waiver is vital to the national security interests of the United States. The Secretary may renew a waiver issued pursuant to this paragraph for an additional 30-day period, if the Secretary determines that such a renewal is vital to the national security interests of the United States.

(2) **LIMITATION ON DELEGATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The waiver authority under paragraph (1) may not be delegated to any official below the level of the Deputy Secretary of Defense, except in the case of a waiver for an individual interrogation that is based on military exigencies, in which case the delegation of the waiver authority shall be done pursuant to regulations that the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe but in no instance may the latter delegation be below the level of combatant commander of the theater in which the individual is in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense or otherwise under detention in a Department of Defense facility within that theater.

(B) **DEADLINE FOR REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the regulations referred to in subparagraph (A) by not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—Not later than five days after the Secretary issues a waiver pursuant to paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to Congress written notification of the waiver.

SEC. 1039. NOTIFICATION AND ACCESS OF INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF THE RED CROSS WITH RESPECT TO DETAINEES AT THEATER INTERNMENT FACILITY AT BAGRAM AIR BASE, AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **NOTIFICATION.**—The head of a military service or department that has custody or effective control of the Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan, or of any individual detained at such facility, shall, upon the detention of any such individual at such facility, notify the International Committee of the Red Cross (referred to in this section as the "ICRC") of such custody or effective control, as soon as practicable.

(b) **ACCESS.**—

(1) **ICRC ACCESS.**—The head of a military service or department with effective control of the Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan, shall—

(A) endeavor to ensure prompt ICRC access to any individual described in subsection (a) upon receipt by such head of an ICRC request to visit the detainee, pursuant to subsection (a); or

(B) if access to a such individual is temporarily denied as an exceptional measure, due to reasons of imperative military necessity, as soon thereafter as practicable, consistent with Article 126 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, done at Geneva on August 12, 1949 (6 UST 3316), but normally no later than the next regularly scheduled ICRC visit.

(2) **PROTOCOLS AND AGREEMENTS.**—Such access to the individual shall continue pursuant to ICRC protocols and agreements reached between the ICRC and the head of a military service or department with effective control over the Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan.

(c) **SCOPE OF ACCESS.**—The ICRC shall be provided access, in accordance with this section, to those physical localities within the Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghani-

stan, that are determined to be relevant to the treatment of an individual described in subsection (a), including the individual's cell or room, interrogation facilities or rooms, hospital or related health care facilities or rooms, and recreation areas. The scope of access described in this subsection shall not be construed to apply to facilities other than the Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan.

(d) **EXCEPTION CONSISTENT WITH THE GENEVA CONVENTION RELATIVE TO THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS OF WAR.**—Consistent with Article 126 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, access by the ICRC to a detainee as provided for in subsections (b) and (c) may be temporarily denied, as an exceptional measure, for reasons of imperative military necessity.

(e) **CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to—

(1) create or modify the authority of the United States Armed Forces, the Department of Defense, a Federal law enforcement agency, or the intelligence community to detain an individual under existing law, as of the date of the enactment of this Act; or

(2) limit or otherwise affect any other rights or obligations which may arise under the Geneva Conventions, other international agreements, or other laws, or to state all of the situations under which notification to and access for the ICRC is required or allowed.

SEC. 1040. NO MIRANDA WARNINGS FOR AL QAEDA TERRORISTS.

(a) **NO MIRANDA WARNINGS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Absent a court order requiring the reading of such statements, no member of the Armed Forces and no official or employee of the Department of Defense or a component of the intelligence community (other than the Department of Justice) may read to a foreign national who is captured or detained outside the United States as an enemy belligerent and is in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense or otherwise under detention in a Department of Defense facility the statement required by *Miranda v. Arizona* (384 U.S. 436 (1966)), or otherwise inform such an individual of any rights that the individual may or may not have to counsel or to remain silent consistent with *Miranda v. Arizona* (384 U.S. 436 (1966)).

(2) **NONAPPLICABILITY TO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.**—This subsection shall not apply to the Department of Justice.

(3) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(A) The term "foreign national" means an individual who is not a citizen or national of the United States.

(B) The term "enemy belligerent" includes a privileged belligerent against the United States and an unprivileged enemy belligerent, as those terms are defined in section 948a of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1802 of this Act.

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED ON NOTIFICATION OF DETAINEES OF RIGHTS UNDER MIRANDA V. ARIZONA.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the reading of rights under *Miranda v. Arizona* (384 U.S. 436 (1966)) to individuals detained by the United States in Afghanistan may affect—

(1) the tactical questioning of detainees at the point of capture by United States Armed Forces deployed in support of Operation Enduring Freedom;

(2) post-capture theater-level interrogations and intelligence-gathering activities conducted as part of Operation Enduring Freedom;

(3) the overall counterinsurgency strategy and objectives of the United States for Operation Enduring Freedom;

(4) United States military operations and objectives in Afghanistan; and

(5) potential risks to members of the Armed Forces operating in Afghanistan.

SEC. 1041. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.

(a) **RELEASE PROHIBITION.**—During the period beginning on October 1, 2009, and ending on December 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense may not use any of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense to release into the United States, its territories, or possessions, any individual described in subsection (e).

(b) **TRANSFER LIMITATION.**—During the period beginning on October 1, 2009, and ending on December 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense may not use any of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense to transfer any individual described in subsection (e) to the United States, its territories, or possessions, until 45 days after the President has submitted to the congressional defense committees the plan described in subsection (c).

(c) **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REQUIRED.**—The President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the disposition of each individual described in subsection (e) who is proposed to be transferred to the United States, its territories, or possessions. Such plan for each individual shall include, at a minimum—

(1) an assessment of the risk that the individual described in subsection (e) poses to the national security of the United States, its territories, or possessions;

(2) a proposal for the disposition of each such individual;

(3) the measures to be taken to mitigate any risks described in paragraph (1);

(4) the location or locations at which the individual will be held under the proposal for disposition required by paragraph (2);

(5) the costs associated with executing the plan, including technical and financial assistance required to be provided to State and local law enforcement agencies, if necessary, to carry out the plan;

(6) a summary of the consultation required in subsection (d); and

(7) a certification by the Attorney General that under the plan the individual poses little or no security risk to the United States, its territories, or possessions.

(d) **CONSULTATION REQUIRED.**—The President shall consult with the chief executive of the State, the District of Columbia, or the territory or possession of the United States to which the disposition in subsection (c)(2) includes transfer to that State, District of Columbia, or territory or possession.

(e) **DETAINEES DESCRIBED.**—An individual described in this subsection is any individual who is located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—

(1) is not a citizen of the United States; and

(2) is—

(A) in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense; or

(B) otherwise under detention at the United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

SEC. 1042. ADDITIONAL SUBPOENA AUTHORITY FOR THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

Section 8 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App. 8) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(1)(1) The Inspector General of the Department of Defense is authorized to require by subpoena the attendance and testimony of witnesses as necessary in the performance of functions assigned to the Inspector General by this Act, except that the Inspector General shall use procedures other than subpoenas to obtain attendance and testimony from Federal employees.

“(2) A subpoena issued under this subsection, in the case of contumacy or refusal to obey, shall be enforceable by order of any appropriate United States district court.

“(3) The Inspector General shall notify the Attorney General 7 days before issuing any subpoena under this section.”.

SEC. 1043. LIMITATIONS ON MODIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN GOVERNMENT FURNISHED EQUIPMENT; ONE-TIME AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER CERTAIN MILITARY PROTOTYPE.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—An article of military equipment that is an end item of a major weapon system may not be furnished or transferred to a private entity for the conduct of research, development, test and evaluation under contractual agreement with the Department of Defense, if such research, development, test, and evaluation necessitates significantly modifying the military equipment, until the senior acquisition official of a military department, or his designee, submits to the congressional defense committees certification in writing—

(1) that the modification of such article of military equipment is necessary to execute the contractual scope of work and there is no suitable alternative to modifying such article;

(2) that the research, development, test, and evaluation effort is of sufficient interest to the military department to warrant the modification of such article of military equipment;

(3) that—

(A) prior to the end of the period of performance of such a contractual agreement, the article of military equipment will be restored to its original condition; or

(B) it is not necessary to restore the article of military equipment to its original condition because the military department intends to dispose of the equipment or operate the equipment in its modified form.

(4) that the private entity has sufficient resources and capability to fully perform the contractual research, development, test, and evaluation; and

(5) that the military department has—

(A) identified the scope of future test and evaluation likely to be required prior to transition of the associated technology to a program of record; and

(B) a plan for the conduct of such future test and evaluation, including the anticipated roles and responsibilities of government and the private entity, as applicable.

(b) **CERTIFICATION.**—No military equipment that is an end item of a major weapons system may be transferred or furnished to a private entity for purposes of research and development as authorized under subsection (a) unless the senior officer of the military service concerned certifies to the congressional defense committees that such equipment is not essential to the defense of the United States.

(c) **ONE-TIME AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER.**—The Secretary of the Navy may transfer, to Piasecki Aircraft Corporation of Essington, Pennsylvania (in this section referred to as “transferee”), all right, title, and interest of the United States, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, in and to Navy aircraft N40VT (Bureau Number 163283), also known as the X-49A aircraft, and associated components and test equipment, previously specified as Government-furnished equipment in contract N00019-00-C-0284. The transferee shall provide consideration for the transfer of such military equipment to the transferor of an amount not to exceed fair value, as determined, on a non-delegable basis, by the Secretary.

(d) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—The transfer or use of military equipment is subject to all applicable Federal and State laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Arms Export Control Act, the Export Administration Act of 1979, continued under Executive Order 12924, International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 C.F.R. 120 et seq.), Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R. 730 et seq.), Foreign Assets Control Regulations (31 C.F.R. 500 et 13 seq.), and the Espionage Act.

(e) **CONDITION OF EQUIPMENT TO BE TRANSFERRED.**—

(1) **AS-IS CONDITION.**—The military equipment transferred under subsection (c) shall be transferred in its current “as-is” condition. The Secretary is not required to repair or alter the condition of any military equipment before transferring any interest in such equipment under subsection (c).

(2) **SPARE PARTS OR EQUIPMENT.**—The Secretary of the Navy is not required to provide spare parts or equipment as a result of the transfer authorized under subsection (c).

(f) **TRANSFER AT NO COST TO THE UNITED STATES.**—The transfer of military equipment under subsection (c) shall be made at no cost to the United States. Any costs associated with the transfer shall be borne by the transferee.

(g) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary shall require that the transfer authorized by section (c) be carried out by means of a written agreement and shall require, at a minimum, the following conditions to the transfer:

(1) A condition stipulating that the transfer of the X-49A aircraft is for the sole purpose of further development, test, and evaluation of vectored thrust ducted propeller (hereinafter in this section referred to as “VTDP”) technology.

(2) A condition providing the Government the right to procure the VTDP technology demonstrated under this program at a discounted cost based on the value of the X-49A aircraft and associated equipment at the time of transfer, with such valuation and terms determined by the Secretary.

(3) A condition that the transferee not transfer any interest in, or transfer possession of, the military equipment transferred under subsection (b) to any other party without the prior written approval of the Secretary.

(4) A condition that if the Secretary determines at any time that the transferee has failed to comply with a condition set forth in paragraphs (1) through (3), all items referred to in subsection (b) shall be transferred back to the Navy, at no cost to the United States.

(5) A condition that the transferee acknowledges sole responsibility of the X-49A aircraft and associated equipment and assumes all liability for operation of the X-49A aircraft and associated equipment.

(h) **NO LIABILITY FOR THE UNITED STATES.**—Upon the transfer of military equipment under subsection (b), the United States shall not be liable for any death, injury, loss, or damage that results from the use of such military equipment by any person other than the United States.

(i) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a transfer under subsection (b) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(j) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(1) The term “major system” has the meaning provided in section 2302 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “contractual agreement” includes contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, and other transactions.

Subtitle E—Studies and Reports

SEC. 1051. REPORT ON STATUTORY COMPLIANCE OF THE REPORT ON THE 2009 QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.

(a) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the Secretary of Defense releases the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees and to the Secretary of Defense a report on the degree to which the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review addresses each of the items required by subsection (d) of section 118 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT.**—If the Comptroller General determines that the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review fails to directly address items required by subsection (d)

of section 118 of such title, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report directly addressing those items not later than 30 days after the submission of the report by the Comptroller General required by paragraph (1).

SEC. 1052. REPORT ON THE FORCE STRUCTURE FINDINGS OF THE 2009 QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.

(a) **REPORT REQUIREMENT.**—Concurrent with the delivery of the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review required by section 118 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report with a classified annex containing—

(1) the analyses used to determine and support the findings on force structure required by such section; and

(2) a description of any changes from the previous quadrennial defense review to the minimum military requirements for major military capabilities.

(b) **MAJOR MILITARY CAPABILITIES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “major military capabilities” includes any capability the Secretary determines to be a major military capability, any capability discussed in the report of the 2006 quadrennial defense review, and any capability described in paragraph (9) or (10) of section 118(d) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 1053. ANNUAL REPORT ON THE ELECTRONIC WARFARE STRATEGY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **ANNUAL REPORT REQUIRED.**—At the same time as the President submits to Congress the budget under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for each of fiscal years 2011 through 2015, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Secretary of each of the military departments, shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on the electronic warfare strategy of the Department of Defense.

(b) **CONTENTS OF REPORT.**—Each report required under subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A description and overview of—

(A) the electronic warfare strategy of the Department of Defense;

(B) how such strategy supports the National Defense Strategy; and

(C) the organizational structure assigned to oversee the development of the Department's electronic warfare strategy, requirements, capabilities, programs, and projects.

(2) A list of all the electronic warfare acquisition programs and research and development projects of the Department of Defense and a description of how each program or project supports the Department's electronic warfare strategy.

(3) For each unclassified program or project on the list required by paragraph (2)—

(A) the senior acquisition executive and organization responsible for oversight of the program or project;

(B) whether or not validated requirements exist for the program or project and, if such requirements do exist, the date on which the requirements were validated and the organizational authority that validated such requirements;

(C) the total amount of funding appropriated, obligated, and forecasted by fiscal year for the program or project, including the program element or procurement line number from which the program or project receives funding;

(D) the development or procurement schedule for the program or project;

(E) an assessment of the cost, schedule, and performance of the program or project as it relates to the program baseline for the program or project, as of the date of the submission of the report, and the original program baseline for such program or project, if such baselines are not the same;

(F) the technology readiness level of each critical technology that is part of the program or project;

(G) whether or not the program or project is redundant or overlaps with the efforts of another military department; and

(H) the capability gap that the program or project is being developed or procured to fulfill.

(4) A classified annex that contains the items described in subparagraphs (A) through (H) of paragraph (3) for each classified program or project on the list required by paragraph (2).

SEC. 1054. STUDY ON A SYSTEM FOR CAREER DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF INTERAGENCY NATIONAL SECURITY PROFESSIONALS.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION OF EXECUTIVE AGENCY.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall designate an Executive agency to commission a study of the matters described in subsection (b) by an appropriate independent, nonprofit organization. The designated Executive agency shall select the organization and commission the study not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **QUALIFICATIONS OF ORGANIZATION SELECTED.**—The organization selected shall be qualified on the basis of having performed related work in the fields of national security and human capital development, and on the basis of such other criteria as the head of the designated Executive agency may determine.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE EXAMINED.**—The study required by subsection (a) shall examine matters pertaining to a system for the development and management of interagency national security professionals including, at a minimum, the following:

(1) **PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT.**—The skills, education, training, and professional experiences desired in interagency national security professionals at various career stages, as well as the feasibility, benefits, and costs of developing a pool of personnel necessary to enable interagency national security professionals to undertake such professional development opportunities.

(2) **COORDINATION.**—Procedures for ensuring appropriate consistency and coordination among participating Executive agencies, such as methods for identifying positions and personnel that should be included in the system, and coordination of treatment in personnel and human resource systems, including performance review and promotion policies.

(3) **FUNDING.**—Potential mechanisms for funding an interagency national security professional development program.

(4) **MILITARY AND STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.**—The feasibility of integrating, coordinating, or supplementing the systems and requirements regarding experience and education for military officers with an interagency national security professional system, as well as potential means of, and benefits and drawbacks of, including State and local government organizations and personnel in the system.

(5) **INCENTIVES TO PARTICIPATE.**—Incentives and requirements that could be implemented to encourage personnel and organizations to fully participate in the system across various career levels.

(6) **CURRENT EFFORTS.**—The effectiveness of, and lessons learned from, major current efforts at developing interagency national security professionals.

(c) **REPORT.**—A report containing the findings and recommendations resulting from the study required by subsection (a), together with any views or recommendations of the President, shall be submitted to Congress not later than December 1, 2010.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “Executive agency” has the meaning given such term by section 105 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) The term “employee” has the meaning given such term by section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.

(3) The term “interagency national security professional” means an employee of an Executive agency who plans, coordinates, or participates in activities relating to the national security of the United States that require significant interaction and engagement with other Executive agencies.

SEC. 1055. REPORT ON NUCLEAR ASPIRATIONS OF NON-STATE ENTITIES, NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND RELATED PROGRAMS IN NON-NUCLEAR-WEAPONS STATES AND COUNTRIES NOT PARTIES TO THE NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION TREATY, AND CERTAIN FOREIGN PERSONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of National Intelligence shall biennially submit to the congressional defense committees, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a report—

(1) on the nuclear weapons programs and any related programs of countries that are non-nuclear-weapons state parties to the Treaty on Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, done at Washington, London, and Moscow July 1, 1968, and entered into force March 5, 1970 (commonly known as the “Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty”) and countries that are not parties to the Treaty;

(2) on the nuclear weapons aspirations of such non-state entities as the Director considers appropriate to include in the report; and

(3) that identifies each foreign person that, during the period covered by the report, made a material contribution to the research, development, production, or acquisition by a country of proliferation concern of—

(A) weapons of mass destruction (including nuclear weapons, chemical weapons, or biological weapons); or

(B) ballistic or cruise missile systems.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include, with respect to each country described in subsection (a)(1) and each non-state entity referred to in subsection (a)(2), the following:

(1) A statement of the number of nuclear weapons possessed by such country or non-state entity.

(2) An estimate of the total number of nuclear weapons that such country or non-state entity seeks to obtain and, in the case of such non-state entity, an assessment of the extent to which such non-state entity is seeking to develop a nuclear weapon or device or radiological dispersion device.

(3) A description of the technical characteristics of any nuclear weapons possessed by such country or non-state entity.

(4) A description of nuclear weapons designs available to such country or non-state entity.

(5) A description of any sources of assistance with respect to nuclear weapons design provided to or by such country or non-state entity and, in the case of assistance provided by such country or non-state entity, a description of to whom such assistance was provided.

(6) An assessment of the annual capability of such country and non-state entity to produce new or newly designed nuclear weapons.

(7) A description of the type of fissile materials used in any nuclear weapons possessed by such country or non-state entity.

(8) A description of the location and production capability of any fissile materials production facilities in such country or controlled by such non-state entity, the current status of any such facilities, and any plans by such country or non-state entity to develop such facilities.

(9) An identification of the source of any fissile materials used by such country or non-state entity, if such materials are not produced in facilities referred to in paragraph (8).

(10) An assessment of the intentions of such country or non-state entity to leverage civilian

nuclear capabilities for a nuclear weapons program.

(11) A description of any delivery systems available to such country or non-state entity and an assessment of whether nuclear warheads have been mated, or there are plans for such warheads to be mated, to any such delivery system.

(12) An assessment of the physical security of the storage facilities for nuclear weapons in such country or controlled by such non-state entity.

(13) An assessment of whether such country is modernizing or otherwise improving the safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile of such country.

(14) An assessment of the industrial capability and capacity of such country or non-state entity to produce nuclear weapons.

(15) In the case of a country, an assessment of the policy of such country on the employment and use of nuclear weapons.

(c) REFERENCES TO OTHER REPORTS.—Each report submitted under subsection (a) shall include a copy of any other report that is incorporated by reference into the report submitted under subsection (a).

(d) UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARY.—Each report submitted under subsection (a) shall include an unclassified summary of such report.

(e) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives the first report required under subsection (a) by not later than September 1, 2010.

(2) NOTIFICATION OF DELAY IN SUBMITTAL.—If the Director of National Intelligence determines that it will not be possible for the Director to submit the first report required under subsection (a) by September 1, 2010, the Director shall, not later than August 1, 2010, submit to the committees specified in paragraph (1) a notice—

(A) that such report will not be submitted by September 1, 2010; and

(B) setting forth the date by which the Director will submit such report.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 722 of the Combating Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996 (50 U.S.C. 2369) is repealed.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) FOREIGN PERSON.—The term “foreign person” means any of the following:

(A) A natural person who is not a citizen of the United States.

(B) A corporation, business association, partnership, society, trust, or other nongovernmental entity, organization, or group that is organized under the laws of a foreign country or has its principal place of business in a foreign country.

(C) Any foreign government or foreign governmental entity operating as a business enterprise or in any other capacity.

(D) Any successor, subunit, or subsidiary of any entity described in subparagraph (B) or (C).

(2) COUNTRY OF PROLIFERATION CONCERN.—The term “country of proliferation concern” means any country identified by the Director of Central Intelligence as having engaged in the acquisition of dual-use and other technology useful for the development or production of weapons of mass destruction (including nuclear weapons, chemical weapons, and biological weapons) or advanced conventional munitions—

(A) in the most recent report under section 721 of the Combating Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996 (50 U.S.C. 2366); or

(B) in any successor report on the acquisition by foreign countries of dual-use and other technology useful for the development or production of weapons of mass destruction.

SEC. 1056. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPENDING IN FINAL FISCAL QUARTERS.

(a) REVIEW OF SPENDING BY THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall conduct a review of obligations incurred by the Department of Defense in the final quarter each covered fiscal year, as compared to the obligations so incurred in the first three quarters of that fiscal year, to determine if policies with respect to financial execution by the Department contribute to hastened year-end spending and poor use or waste of taxpayer dollars. Such review shall include both one-year and multi-year appropriations for each covered fiscal year.

(b) COVERED FISCAL YEARS.—For purposes of this section, a covered fiscal year is fiscal year 2006, 2007, 2008, or 2009.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) the results of the review conducted under subsection (a); and

(2) any recommendations of the Comptroller General with respect to improving the policies pursuant to which amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense are obligated and expended in the final quarter of a fiscal year.

SEC. 1057. REPORT ON AIR AMERICA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AIR AMERICA.—The term “Air America” means Air America, Incorporated.

(2) ASSOCIATED COMPANY.—The term “associated company” means any entity associated with, predecessor to, or subsidiary to Air America, including Air Asia Company Limited, CAT Incorporated, Civil Air Transport Company Limited, and the Pacific Division of Southern Air Transport, during the period when such an entity was owned and controlled by the United States Government.

(b) REPORT ON RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR FORMER EMPLOYEES OF AIR AMERICA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to Congress a report on the advisability of providing Federal retirement benefits to United States citizens for the service of such citizens prior to 1977 as employees of Air America or an associated company during a period when Air America or the associated company was owned or controlled by the United States Government and operated or managed by the Central Intelligence Agency.

(2) REPORT ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The history of Air America and the associated companies prior to 1977, including a description of—

(i) the relationship between Air America and the associated companies and the Central Intelligence Agency or any other element of the United States Government;

(ii) the workforce of Air America and the associated companies;

(iii) the missions performed by Air America, the associated companies, and their employees for the United States; and

(iv) the casualties suffered by employees of Air America and the associated companies in the course of their employment.

(B) A description of—

(i) the retirement benefits contracted for or promised to the employees of Air America and the associated companies prior to 1977;

(ii) the contributions made by such employees for such benefits;

(iii) the retirement benefits actually paid such employees;

(iv) the entitlement of such employees to the payment of future retirement benefits; and

(v) the likelihood that such employees will receive any future retirement benefits.

(C) An assessment of the difference between—

(i) the retirement benefits that former employees of Air America and the associated companies

have received or will receive by virtue of their employment with Air America and the associated companies; and

(ii) the retirement benefits that such employees would have received or be eligible to receive if such employment was deemed to be employment by the United States Government and their service during such employment was credited as Federal service for the purpose of Federal retirement benefits.

(D)(i) Any recommendations regarding the advisability of legislative action to treat such employment as Federal service for the purpose of Federal retirement benefits in light of the relationship between Air America and the associated companies and the United States Government and the services and sacrifices of such employees to and for the United States.

(ii) If legislative action is considered advisable under clause (i), a proposal for such action and an assessment of its costs.

(E) The opinions of the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, if any, on any matters covered by the report that the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency considers appropriate.

(3) ASSISTANCE OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, upon the request of the Director of National Intelligence and in a manner consistent with the protection of classified information, assist the Director in the preparation of the report required by paragraph (1).

(4) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1058. REPORT ON DEFENSE TRAVEL SIMPLIFICATION.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report setting forth a comprehensive plan to simplify Department of Defense travel procedures.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A comprehensive discussion of aspects of the Department of Defense travel procedures that are most confusing, inefficient, and in need of revision.

(2) A critical review of opportunities to streamline and simplify defense travel policies and to reduce travel-related costs to the Department of Defense.

(3) A discussion of any actions to incorporate permanent duty travel that are being undertaken by the Secretary of Defense as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) A plan to gather data on the number of manual temporary duty vouchers processed by the Department of Defense.

(5) Options to leverage industry capabilities and technologies that could enhance management responsiveness to changing markets.

(6) A discussion of pilot programs that the Secretary of Defense could carry out to demonstrate the merit of improvements identified pursuant to preparing the report required by this section, including a discussion of—

(A) recommendations for legislative authority; and

(B) how the systems developed for purposes of such a pilot program would interact with the automated Defense Travel System in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(7) Such recommendations and an implementation plan for legislative or administrative action as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate to improve defense travel.

SEC. 1059. REPORT ON MODELING AND SIMULATION TECHNOLOGICAL AND INDUSTRIAL BASE.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, working through the Director for Defense Research and Engineering, the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Industrial Policy, the Commander of the United

States Joint Forces Command, and other appropriate organizations, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that describes current and planned efforts to support and enhance the defense modeling and simulation technological and industrial base, including in academia, industry, and government.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the current and future domestic defense modeling and simulation technological and industrial base and its ability to meet current and future defense requirements.

(2) A description of current and planned programs and activities of the Department of Defense to enhance the ability of the domestic defense modeling and simulation technological and industrial base to meet current and future defense requirements.

(3) A description of current and planned Department of Defense activities in cooperation with Federal, State, and local government organizations that promote the enhancement of the ability of the domestic defense modeling and simulation technological and industrial base to meet current and future defense requirements.

(4) A comparative assessment of current and future global modeling and simulation capabilities relative to those of the United States in areas related to defense applications of modeling and simulation.

(5) An identification of additional authorities or resources related to technology transfer, establishment of public-private partnerships, coordination with regional, State, or local initiatives, or other activities that would be required to enhance efforts to support the domestic defense modeling and simulation technological and industrial base.

(6) Other matters as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

SEC. 1060. REPORT ON ENABLING CAPABILITIES FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, jointly with the commanders of the combatant commands and the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps shall submit to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff a report on the availability of enabling capabilities to support special operations forces requirements.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An identification of the requirements for enabling capabilities for conventional forces and special operations forces globally, including current and projected needs in Iraq, Afghanistan, and other theaters of operation.

(2) A description of the processes used to prioritize and allocate enabling capabilities to meet the mission requirements of conventional forces and special operations forces.

(3) An identification and description of any shortfalls in enabling capabilities for special operations forces by function, region, and quantity, as determined by the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command and the commanders of the geographic combatant commands.

(4) An assessment of the current inventory of these enabling capabilities within the military departments and components and the United States Special Operations Command.

(5) An assessment of whether there is a need to create additional enabling capabilities by function and quantity.

(6) An assessment of the merits of creating additional enabling units, by type and quantity—

(A) within the military departments; and

(B) within the United States Special Operations Command.

(7) Recommendations for meeting the current and future enabling force requirements of the

United States Special Operations Command, including an assessment of the increases in endstrength, equipment, funding, and military construction that would be required to support these recommendations.

(8) Any other matters the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, the commanders of the combatant commands, and the Chief of Staff of the Army, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps consider useful and relevant.

(c) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 30 days after receiving the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall forward the report to the congressional defense committees with any additional comments the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 1061. ADDITIONAL MEMBERS AND DUTIES FOR THE INDEPENDENT PANEL TO ASSESS THE QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.

(a) **ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of conducting the assessment of the 2009 quadrennial defense review under section 118 of title 10, United States Code (in this section referred to as the “2009 QDR”), the independent panel established under subsection (f) of such section (in this section referred to as the “Panel”) shall include eight additional members as follows:

(A) Two appointed by the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(B) Two appointed by the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(C) Two appointed by the ranking member of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(D) Two appointed by the ranking member of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(2) **PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.**—Members of the Panel appointed under paragraph (1) shall be appointed for the life of the Panel. Any vacancy in an appointment to the Panel under paragraph (1) shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(b) **ADDITIONAL DUTIES.**—In addition to the duties of the Panel under section 118(f) of title 10, United States Code, the Panel shall, with respect to the 2009 QDR—

(1) review the Secretary of Defense's terms of reference, and any other materials providing the basis for, or substantial inputs to, the work of the Department of Defense on the 2009 QDR;

(2) conduct an assessment of the assumptions, strategy, findings, and risks in the report of the Secretary of Defense on the 2009 QDR, with particular attention paid to the risks described in that report;

(3) conduct an independent assessment of a variety of possible force structures for the Armed Forces, including the force structure identified in the report of the Secretary of Defense on the 2009 QDR; and

(4) review the resource requirements identified in the 2009 QDR pursuant to section 118(b)(3) of title 10, United States Code, and, to the extent practicable, make a general comparison of such resource requirements with the resource requirements to support the forces contemplated under the force structures assessed under paragraph (3).

(c) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT OF PANEL.**—The report on the 2009 QDR that is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 118(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code, shall include, in addition to any other matters required by such section, the interim findings of the Panel with respect to the matters specified in subsection (b).

(2) **FINAL REPORT OF PANEL.**—Not later than July 15, 2010, the Panel shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, and to the congressional defense committees, the final report of the Panel on the matters specified in subsection (b). The report shall include such recommendations on such matters as the Panel considers appropriate.

(3) **REPORT OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—Not later than August 15, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall, after consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the Secretary's response to the final report of the Panel under paragraph (2).

(d) **TERMINATION OF PANEL.**—The Panel shall terminate 45 days after the date on which the Panel submits its final report under subsection (c)(2).

SEC. 1062. CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS RELATING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **REPORT ON RECURRING EARMARKS.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report regarding covered earmarks.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An identification of each covered earmark that has been included in a national defense authorization Act for three or more consecutive fiscal years as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) A description of the extent to which competitive or merit-based procedures were used to award funding, or to enter into a contract, grant, or other agreement, pursuant to each covered earmark.

(C) An identification of the specific contracting vehicle used for each covered earmark.

(D) In the case of any covered earmark for which competitive or merit-based procedures were not used to award funding, or to enter into the contract, grant, or other agreement, a statement of the reasons competitive or merit-based procedures were not used.

(b) **DOD INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDIT OF CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS.**—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct an audit of contracts, grants, or other agreements pursuant to congressional earmarks of Department of Defense funds to determine whether or not the recipients of such earmarks are complying with requirements of Federal law on the use of appropriated funds to influence, whether directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matter pending before Congress.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “congressional earmark” means any congressionally directed spending item (Senate) or congressional earmark (House of Representatives) on a list published in compliance with rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate or rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “covered earmark” means any congressional earmark identified in the joint explanatory statement to accompany the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) that was printed in the Congressional Record on September 23, 2008.

(3) The term “national defense authorization Act” means an Act authorizing funds for a fiscal year for the military activities of the Department of Defense, and for other purposes.

SEC. 1063. REPORT ON BASING PLANS FOR CERTAIN UNITED STATES GEOGRAPHIC COMBATANT COMMANDS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIREMENT.**—Concurrent with the delivery of the report on the 2009 quadrennial defense review required by section 118 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan for basing of forces outside the United States.

(b) **MATTERS COVERED.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall contain a description of—

(1) how the plan supports the United States national security strategy;

(2) how the plan supports the security commitments undertaken by the United States pursuant to any international security treaty, including the North Atlantic Treaty, the Treaty of

Mutual Cooperation and Security between the United States and Japan, and the Security Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the United States of America;

(3) how the plan addresses the current security environment in each geographic combatant command's area of responsibility, including United States participation in theater security cooperation activities and bilateral partnership, exchanges, and training exercises;

(4) the impact that a permanent change in the basing of a unit currently stationed outside the United States would have on the matters described in paragraphs (1) through (3);

(5) the impact the plan will have on the status of overseas base closure and realignment actions undertaken as part of a global defense posture realignment strategy and the status of development and execution of comprehensive master plans for overseas military main operating bases, forward operating sites, and cooperative security locations of the global defense posture of the United States;

(6) any recommendations for additional closures or realignments of military installations outside of the United States; and

(7) any comments resulting from an inter-agency review of the plan that includes the Department of State and other relevant Federal departments and agencies.

(c) **NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall notify Congress at least 30 days before the permanent relocation of a unit stationed outside the United States as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **UNIT.**—The term “unit” has the meaning determined by the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this section.

(2) **GEOGRAPHIC COMBATANT COMMAND.**—For purposes of this section, the term “geographic combatant command” means a combatant command with a geographic area of responsibility that does not include North America.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 1071. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN AUTHORITY FOR MAKING REWARDS FOR COMBATING TERRORISM.

Section 127b(c)(3)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

SEC. 1072. BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING.

(a) **NEW PROGRAMS.**—Section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively;

(B) by inserting before paragraph (2), as redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this subsection, the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) the appropriate chief management officer for the defense business system modernization has determined whether or not—

“(A) the defense business system modernization is in compliance with the enterprise architecture developed under subsection (c); and

“(B) appropriate business process reengineering efforts have been undertaken to ensure that—

“(i) the business process to be supported by the defense business system modernization will be as streamlined and efficient as practicable; and

“(ii) the need to tailor commercial-off-the-shelf systems to meet unique requirements or incorporate unique interfaces has been eliminated or reduced to the maximum extent practicable;”;

(C) in paragraph (2), as redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this subsection, by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) has been determined by the appropriate chief management officer to be in compliance with the requirements of paragraph (1);”;

(D) in paragraph (3), as redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, by striking “the certification by the approval authority is”

and inserting “the certification by the approval authority and the determination by the chief management officer are”; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E), respectively;

(B) by inserting “(1)” before “The Secretary of Defense”;;

(C) in subparagraph (E) of paragraph (1), as designated by this paragraph, by striking “paragraphs (1) through (4)” and inserting “subparagraphs (A) through (D)”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) For purposes of subsection (a), the appropriate chief management officer for a defense business system modernization is as follows:

“(A) In the case of an Army program, the Chief Management Officer of the Army.

“(B) In the case of a Navy program, the Chief Management Officer of the Navy.

“(C) In the case of an Air Force program, the Chief Management Officer of the Air Force.

“(D) In the case of a program of a Defense Agency, the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.

“(E) In the case of a program that will support the business processes of more than one military department or Defense Agency, the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.”.

(b) **ONGOING PROGRAMS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the appropriate chief management officer for each defense business system modernization approved by the Defense Business Systems Management Committee before the date of the enactment of this Act that will have a total cost in excess of \$100,000,000 shall review such defense business system modernization to determine whether or not appropriate business process reengineering efforts have been undertaken to ensure that—

(A) the business process to be supported by such defense business system modernization will be as streamlined and efficient as practicable; and

(B) the need to tailor commercial-off-the-shelf systems to meet unique requirements or incorporate unique interfaces has been eliminated or reduced to the maximum extent practicable.

(2) **ACTION ON FINDING OF LACK OF REENGINEERING EFFORTS.**—If the appropriate chief management officer determines that appropriate business process reengineering efforts have not been undertaken with regard to a defense business system modernization as described in paragraph (1), that chief management officer—

(A) shall develop a plan to undertake business process reengineering efforts with respect to the defense business system modernization; and

(B) may direct that the defense business system modernization be restructured or terminated, if necessary to meet the requirements of paragraph (1).

(3) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(A) The term “appropriate chief management officer”, with respect to a defense business system modernization, has the meaning given that term in paragraph (2) of subsection (f) of section 2222 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)(2) of this section).

(B) The term “defense business system modernization” has the meaning given that term in subsection (j)(3) of section 2222 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 1073. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) **TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.**—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A is amended—

(A) in the item relating to chapter 81, by striking “1581” and inserting “1580”; and

(B) in the item relating to chapter 152, by striking “2541” and inserting “2551”.

(2) Section 118(g) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of the National Defense

Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” in paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting “January 28, 2008.”.

(3) Section 184(b)(3) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of this section” and inserting “October 17, 2006”.

(4) Section 438 at the end of subchapter I of chapter 21 is redesignated as section 428.

(5) The item relating to section 438 in the table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 21 is redesignated as section 428.

(6) Section 490(b)(1) is amended by striking “180 days after date of the enactment of this section, and every even-numbered year thereafter” and inserting “July 28 of every even-numbered year”.

(7) The table of chapters at the beginning of part II of subtitle A is amended by striking “1581” in the item relating to chapter 81 and inserting “1580”.

(8) Section 992(b)(4) is amended by striking the period after “under this section”.

(9) Section 1074(f)(3) is amended by striking “contingency” and inserting “contingency”.

(10) Section 1074g(f) is amended by striking “on or after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” and inserting “after January 28, 2008”.

(11) The section heading for section 1076d is amended by striking “standard” and inserting “Standard”.

(12) Section 1079(f)(2)(B) is amended by striking the period after “year”.

(13) Section 1142(b) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (4)(C), by striking “the Troops-to-Teachers Program Act of 1999 (20 U.S.C. 9301 et seq.)” and inserting “the Troops-to-Teachers Program under section 2302 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6672)”;

(B) in paragraph (15), by striking “federal” both places it appears and inserting “Federal”.

(14) Section 1175a(h)(1) is amended by striking “qualities” and inserting “qualifies”.

(15) Section 1408(h)(2) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A).

(16) The heading of section 1567 is amended to read as follows:

“§1567. Duration of military protective orders”.

(17) The heading of section 1567a is amended to read as follows:

“§1567a. Mandatory notification of issuance of military protective order to civilian law enforcement”.

(18) Section 2004a is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “pay grade O-3” and inserting “pay grade O-3”; and

(B) in subsection (i), by adding a period at the end.

(19) Section 2127(e) is amended by striking “of” after “an annual grant”.

(20) Section 2200a(e)(1) is amended by striking “section (b)” and inserting “subsection (b)”.

(21) The table of chapters at the beginning of part IV of subtitle A is amended by striking “2541” in the item relating to chapter 152 and inserting “2551”.

(22) Section 2306c(h) is amended by striking “section 2801(c)(2)” and inserting “section 2801(c)(4)”.

(23) Section 2333 is amended—

(A) in subsection (d)(1)(D)(ii), by striking “indefinite delivery indefinite quantity” and inserting “indefinite delivery-indefinite quantity”;

(B) in subsection (d)(2), by striking “this Act” and inserting “the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2388)”;

(C) in subsection (f)(3), by striking “section 101(13)” and inserting “section 101(a)(13)”.

(24) Section 2401(f)(2) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006” and inserting “January 6, 2006”.

(25) Section 2461(c)(3)(A) is amended by striking “public private competition” both places it appears in the first sentence and inserting “public-private competition”.

(26) Section 2667(g)(1) is amended by striking “law,” and all that follows through “may” and inserting “law, the Secretary concerned may”.

(27) Section 2684a(g)(2) is amended by striking “the following the following” and inserting “the following”.

(28) Section 2701(d)(5) is amended by striking “6920)” and inserting “9620)”.

(29) Sections 4348(f), 6959(f), and 9348(f) are amended by striking “section (a)” and inserting “subsection (a)”.

(30) The item relating to section 7317 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 633 is amended by inserting a period after “there-of”.

(31) Section 7306b(b)(1) is amended by striking “1802(14))” and inserting “1802(14))”.

(32) The item relating to section 9515 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 941 is transferred to appear after the item relating to section 9514 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 931.

(33) The item relating to chapter 1409 in the table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle E is amended by striking “Reserve-Active Status List” and inserting “Reserve Active-Status List”.

(34) Section 12310(c)(1)(A) is amended by striking “section 12304(i)(2) of this title” and inserting “section 1403 of the Defense Against Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996 (50 U.S.C. 2302(1))”.

(35) Section 12731(f)(2)(A) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” and inserting “January 28, 2008”.

(36) Section 16163(e)(1) is amended by striking “programs” and inserting “program”.

(b) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section 308(a)(2)(A)(ii) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking the comma before the period at the end.

(c) DUNCAN HUNTER NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009.—Effective as of October 14, 2008, and as if included therein as enacted, the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 314(a) (122 Stat. 4410; 10 U.S.C. 2710 note) is amended by striking “Secretary” and inserting “Secretary of Defense”.

(2) Section 523(1) (122 Stat. 4446) is amended by striking “serving or” and inserting “serving in or”.

(3) Section 616 (122 Stat. 4486) is amended by striking “of title” in subsections (b) and (c) and inserting “of such title”.

(4) Section 811(c)(6)(A)(iv)(I) (122 Stat. 4524) is amended by striking “after of ‘the program’” and inserting “after ‘of the program’”.

(5) Section 813(d)(3) (122 Stat. 4527) is amended by striking “each of subsections (c)(2)(A) and (d)(2)” and inserting “subsection (c)(2)(A)”.

(6) Section 834(a)(2) (122 Stat. 4537) is amended by inserting “subchapter II of” before “chapter 87”.

(7) Section 855 (122 Stat. 4545) is repealed.

(8) Section 921(1) (122 Stat. 4573) is amended by striking “subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h)” and inserting “subsections (f), (g), and (h) as subsections (g), (h), and (i)”.

(9) Section 931(b)(5) (122 Stat. 4575) is amended—

(A) by striking “Section 201(e)(2)” and inserting “Section 201(f)(2)(E)”;

(B) by striking “(6 U.S.C. 121(e)(2))” and inserting “(6 U.S.C. 121(f)(2)(E))”.

(10) Section 932 (122 Stat. 4576) is repealed.

(11) Section 1059 (122 Stat. 4611) is amended by striking “Act of” and inserting “Act for”.

(12) Section 1061(b)(3) (122 Stat. 4613) is amended by striking “103” and inserting “188”.

(13) Section 2104(b) (122 Stat. 4664) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “section 2401” and inserting “section 2101”.

(14) Section 3508(b) (122 Stat. 4769) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The chapter 541 of title 46, United States Code, as inserted and amended by the amendments made by subparagraphs (A) through (D) of section 3523(a)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 599), is repealed.”.

(15) Section 3511(d) (122 Stat. 4770) is amended by inserting before the period the following: “, and by striking ‘CALENDAR’ and inserting ‘FISCAL’ in the heading for paragraph (2)”.

(d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008.—Section 1107(e)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by striking “Not later than” and all that follows through “subsection is submitted,” and inserting “Not later than November 29, 2008, and not later than March 1 of each year thereafter,”.

SEC. 1074. EXTENSION OF SUNSET FOR CONGRESSIONAL COMMISSION ON THE STRATEGIC POSTURE OF THE UNITED STATES.

Section 1062(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 319) is amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “December 31, 2009”.

SEC. 1075. COMBAT AIR FORCES RESTRUCTURING.

(a) LIMITATIONS RELATING TO LEGACY AIRCRAFT.—Until the expiration of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of the Air Force submits a report in accordance with subsection (b), the following provisions apply:

(1) PROHIBITION ON RETIREMENT OF AIRCRAFT.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not retire any fighter aircraft pursuant to the Combat Air Forces restructuring plan announced by the Secretary on May 18, 2009.

(2) PROHIBITION ON PERSONNEL REASSIGNMENTS.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not reassign any Air Force personnel (whether on active duty or a member of a reserve component, including the National Guard) associated with such restructuring plan.

(b) REPORT.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate and shall include the following information:

(1) A detailed plan of how the force structure and capability gaps resulting from the retirement actions will be addressed.

(2) An explanation of the assessment conducted of the current threat environment and current capabilities.

(3) A description of the follow-on mission assignments for each affected base.

(4) An explanation of the criteria used for selecting the affected bases and the particular fighters chosen for retirement.

(5) A description of the environmental analyses being conducted.

(6) An identification of the reassignment and manpower authorizations necessary for the Air Force personnel (both active duty and reserve component) affected by the retirements if such retirements are accomplished.

(7) A description of the funding needed in fiscal years 2010 through 2015 to cover operation and maintenance costs, personnel, and aircraft procurement, if the restructuring plan is not carried out.

(8) An estimate of the cost avoidance should the restructuring plan move forward and a description of how such funds would be invested during the future-years defense plan to ensure the remaining fighter force achieves the desired service life and is sufficiently modernized to outpace the threat.

(c) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN AIRCRAFT.—The prohibition in subsection (a)(1) shall not apply to the five fighter aircraft scheduled for retirement in fiscal year 2010, as announced when the

budget for fiscal year 2009 was submitted to Congress.

SEC. 1076. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING CARRIER AIR WING FORCE STRUCTURE.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Section 5062(b) of title 10, United States Code, requires the Department of the Navy to maintain not less than 11 operational aircraft carriers.

(2) In repeated testimony before Congress, the Navy has pledged its long-term commitment to naval combat forces that include 11 operational aircraft carriers and 10 carrier air wings, composed of 44 strike-fighter aircraft per wing.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) in addition to the forces described in section 5062(b) of title 10, United States Code, the Navy should meet its current requirement for 10 carrier air wings (even if the number of aircraft carriers is temporarily reduced) that are comprised of not less than 44 strike-fighter aircraft, in addition to any other aircraft associated with the air wing; and

(2) the Congress and the Secretary of the Navy should take all appropriate actions necessary to achieve the current requirement for such carrier air wings until such time that modifications to the carrier air wing force structure are warranted and the Secretary of the Navy provides Congress with a justification of any proposed modifications, supported by rigorous and sufficient warfighting analysis.

SEC. 1077. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS USE OF SERVICE DOGS FOR THE TREATMENT OR REHABILITATION OF VETERANS WITH PHYSICAL OR MENTAL INJURIES OR DISABILITIES.

(a) PROGRAM REQUIRED.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall commence a three-year study to assess the benefits, feasibility, and advisability of using service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities, including post-traumatic stress disorder.

(b) PARTNERSHIPS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out the study by partnering with nonprofit 501(c)(3) organizations that—

(A) would not charge veterans who participate in the study fees for the dogs, services, or lodging that they provide; and

(B) are accredited by, or adhere to standards comparable to those of, an accrediting organization with demonstrated experience, national scope, and recognized leadership and expertise in the training of service dogs and education in the use of service dogs.

(2) REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.—The Secretary shall reimburse partners \$10,000 for each dog provided to a veteran who enrolls in the study and successfully completes a training program offered by one of the partners.

(c) PARTICIPATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—As part of the study, the Secretary shall, subject to paragraph (2), arrange for the provision of a service dog to the greater of the following:

(A) 200 veterans.

(B) A sufficient number of such veterans to produce scientifically valid results with respect to assessing the benefits and costs of the use of such dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of such veterans.

(2) NUMBER OF VETERANS.—The Department of Veterans Affairs may provide dogs to fewer than 200 veterans if, despite its sustained and repeated efforts, it is unable to recruit 200 veterans to participate in the study referred to in subsection (d).

(3) ELIGIBLE VETERANS.—A veteran is eligible to enroll and participate in the study on an ongoing basis if:

(A) The veteran has physical disabilities (other than blindness or hearing impairment) or mental injuries or disabilities.

(B) A Department of Veterans Affairs provider determines, based on clinical evaluation of efficacy, that the veteran is an appropriate candidate for the study and may potentially benefit from a service dog.

(C) The veteran agrees to successfully complete a training program arranged by the Department of Veterans Affairs and offered by a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization that is accredited by, or adheres to standards comparable to those of, an accrediting organization with demonstrated experience, national scope, and recognized leadership and expertise in the training of service dogs and education in the use of service dogs.

(4) COMPOSITION.—The Secretary shall ensure that at least half of the participants in the study are veterans who suffer primarily from a mental health injury or disability.

(5) AUTHORIZED BENEFITS.—The Department of Veterans Affairs will provide to a veteran participating in this study:

(A) Veterinary treatment to maintain the health of the dog and keep it functioning in its prescribed role.

(B) Hardware required by the dog to perform its tasks, and repairs to such hardware.

(C) Payments and allowances for travel incurred in becoming adjusted to the service dogs, to be paid in the same manner that payments and allowances are authorized under section 111 of title 38, United States Code, and its implementing regulations.

(6) ADDITIONAL BENEFIT FOR ASSOCIATED EXPENSES.—As an incentive for participation in the study, veterans participating in the study will receive from the Department of Veterans Affairs a monthly payment of \$75 to offset costs associated with the dog in addition to those identified in paragraph (5), such as services not prescribed or performed by a veterinarian, including but not limited to, license tags (if required), food, grooming, nail trimming, boarding, and over-the-counter medications.

(7) OPTION FOR OWNERSHIP OF, AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR, THE DOG AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE STUDY.—At the end of the study the veteran will have the option of ownership of the dog. If the veteran does not wish to retain the dog, the 501(c)(3) organization that provided the dog will be responsible for caring for or appropriately placing the dog. In any case after completion of the study, or if and when the veteran chooses to not participate in the study until completion, further responsibility by the Department of Veterans Affairs for any benefits in this provision will cease. Further, the Department of Veterans Affairs' liability related to the dog will cease.

(d) STUDY.—The Secretary shall conduct a scientifically valid research study of the costs and benefits associated with the use of service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities. The matters studied shall include the following:

(1) The therapeutic benefits to such veterans, including the quality of life benefits reported by the veterans partaking in the study.

(2) The economic benefits of using service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of such veterans, including—

(A) savings on health care costs, including savings related to reductions in hospitalization and reductions in the use of prescription drugs; and

(B) productivity and employment gains for the veterans.

(e) REPORTS.—

(1) ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SECRETARY.—After each year of the study, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the findings of the Secretary with respect to the study.

(2) FINAL REPORT BY THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the completion of the study, the National Academy of Sciences shall submit to Congress a report on the results of the study.

(f) FUNDING.—The study under this section is subject to the availability of appropriations pro-

vided to the Department of Veterans Affairs for such purpose.

SEC. 1078. PLAN FOR SUSTAINMENT OF LAND-BASED SOLID ROCKET MOTOR INDUSTRIAL BASE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall review and establish a plan to sustain the solid rocket motor industrial base, including the ability to maintain and sustain currently deployed strategic and missile defense systems and to maintain an intellectual and engineering capacity to support next generation rocket motors, as needed.

(b) SUBMISSION OF PLAN.—Not later than June 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the plan required under subsection (a).

SEC. 1079. JUSTICE FOR VICTIMS OF TORTURE AND TERRORISM.

It is the sense of Congress that the claims of American victims of torture and hostage taking by the Government of Iraq during the regime of Saddam Hussein that are subject to Presidential Determination Number 2008-9 of January 28, 2008, which waived application of section 1083 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, should be resolved by a prompt and fair settlement negotiated between the Government of Iraq and the Government of the United States, taking note of the provisions of H.R. 5167 of the 110th Congress, which was adopted by the United States House of Representatives.

SEC. 1080. REQUIREMENT FOR VIDEOTAPING OR OTHERWISE ELECTRONICALLY RECORDING STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE INTERROGATIONS OF PERSONS IN THE CUSTODY OF OR UNDER THE EFFECTIVE CONTROL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) VIDEOTAPING OR OTHER ELECTRONIC RECORDING REQUIRED.—In accordance with the Army Field Manual on Human Intelligence Collector Operations (FM 2-22.3, September 2006), or any successor thereto, and the guidelines developed pursuant to subsection (f), the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each strategic intelligence interrogation of any person who is in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense or under detention in a Department of Defense facility is videotaped or otherwise electronically recorded.

(b) CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION.—To protect United States national security, the safety of the individuals conducting or assisting in the conduct of a strategic intelligence interrogation, and the privacy of persons described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall provide for the appropriate classification of videotapes or other electronic recordings made pursuant to subsection (a). The use of such classified videotapes or other electronic recordings in proceedings conducted under the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005 (title 14 of Public Law 109-163 and title 10 of Public Law 109-148), the Military Commissions Act of 2006 (10 U.S.C. 948 et seq.; Public Law 109-366), as amended by section 1802 of this Act, or at any other judicial or administrative forum under any other provision of law shall be governed by applicable rules, regulations, and laws that protect classified information.

(c) STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE INTERROGATION DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "strategic intelligence interrogation" means an interrogation of a person described in subsection (a) conducted at a theater-level detention facility.

(d) EXCLUSION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring—

(1) any member of the Armed Forces engaged in direct combat operations to videotape or otherwise electronically record an interrogation of a person described in subsection (a); or

(2) the videotaping of or otherwise electronically recording of tactical questioning, as such term is defined in the Army Field Manual on Human Intelligence Collector Operations (FM 2-22.3, September 2006), or any successor thereto.

(e) WAIVER.—

(1) WAIVERS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may, as an exceptional measure, as part of a specific interrogation plan for a specific person described in subsection (a), waive the requirement in that subsection on a case-by-case basis for a period not to exceed 30 days, if the Secretary—

(A) makes a determination in writing that such a waiver is necessary to the national security interests of the United States; and

(B) by not later than five days after the date on which such a determination is made, submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence notice of that determination, including a justification for that determination.

(2) SUSPENSIONS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary may temporarily suspend the requirement under subsection (a) at a specific theater-level detention facility for a period not to exceed 30 days, if the Secretary—

(A) makes a determination in writing that such a suspension is vital to the national security interests of the United States; and

(B) by not later than five days after the date on which such a determination is made, submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence notice of that determination, including a justification for that determination.

(3) LIMITATION ON DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY.—This authority of the Secretary under this subsection may only be delegated as follows:

(A) In the case of the authority under paragraph (1), such authority may not be delegated below the level of the combatant commander of the theater in which the detention facility holding the person is located.

(B) In the case of the authority under paragraph (2), such authority may not be delegated below the level of the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

(4) EXTENSIONS.—The Secretary may extend a waiver under paragraph (1) for one additional 30-day period, or a suspension under paragraph (2) for one additional 30-day period, if—

(A) the Secretary—

(i) in the case of such a waiver, makes a determination in writing that such an extension is necessary to the national security interests of the United States; or

(ii) in the case of such a suspension, makes a determination in writing that such an extension is vital to the national security interests of the United States; and

(B) by not later than five days after the date on which such a determination is made, the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence notice of that determination, including a justification for that determination.

(f) GUIDELINES.—

(1) DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Judge Advocates General (as defined in section 801(1) of title 10, United States Code, (Article 1 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice)), shall develop and adopt uniform guidelines for videotaping or otherwise electronically recording strategic intelligence interrogations as required under subsection (a). Such guidelines shall, at a minimum—

(A) promote full compliance with the laws of the United States;

(B) promote the exploitation of intelligence;

(C) address the retention, maintenance, and disposition of videotapes or other electronic recordings, consistent with subparagraphs (A) and (B) and with the interests of justice; and

(D) ensure the safety of all participants in the interrogations.

(2) **SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing the guidelines developed under paragraph (1). Such report shall be in an unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1081. MODIFICATION OF PILOT PROGRAM ON COMMERCIAL FEE-FOR-SERVICE AIR REFUELING SUPPORT FOR THE AIR FORCE.

Section 1081(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 335; 10 U.S.C. 2461 note) is amended by inserting before the period at the end of the first sentence the following: “, unless the Secretary of Defense submits notification to the congressional defense committees that pursuing such a program is not in the national interest”.

SEC. 1082. MULTIYEAR CONTRACTS UNDER PILOT PROGRAM ON COMMERCIAL FEE-FOR-SERVICE AIR REFUELING SUPPORT FOR THE AIR FORCE.

(a) **MULTIYEAR CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may enter into one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2011 program year, for purposes of conducting the pilot program on utilizing commercial fee-for-service air refueling tanker aircraft for Air Force operations required by section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 335).

(b) **COMPLIANCE WITH LAW APPLICABLE TO MULTIYEAR CONTRACTS.**—Any contract entered into under subsection (a) shall be entered into in accordance with the provisions of section 2306c of title 10, United States Code, except that—

(1) the term of the contract may not be more than 8 years; and

(2) notwithstanding section 2306c(b) of such title, the authority under section 2306c(a) of such title shall apply to the fee-for-service air refueling pilot program.

(c) **COMPLIANCE WITH LAW APPLICABLE TO SERVICE CONTRACTS.**—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall be entered into in accordance with the provisions of section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, except that—

(1) the Secretary shall not be required to certify to the congressional defense committees that the contract is the most cost-effective means of obtaining commercial fee-for-service air refueling tanker aircraft for Air Force operations; and

(2) the Secretary shall not be required to certify to the congressional defense committees that there is no alternative for meeting urgent operational requirements other than making the contract.

(d) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.**—The amount of a contract under subsection (a) may not exceed \$999,999,999.

(e) **PROVISION OF GOVERNMENT INSURANCE.**—A commercial air operator contracting with the Department of Defense under the pilot program referred to in subsection (a) shall be eligible to receive Government-provided insurance pursuant to chapter 443 of title 49, United States Code, if commercial insurance is unavailable on reasonable terms and conditions.

SEC. 1083. DISCLOSURE OF NAMES OF STUDENTS AND INSTRUCTORS AT WESTERN HEMISPHERE INSTITUTE FOR SECURITY COOPERATION.

(a) **DISCLOSURE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall release to the public, upon request, the information described in paragraph (2) for each of fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

(2) **CONTENT.**—The information to be released under paragraph (1) shall include, with respect to the fiscal year covered, the entire name, including the first, middle, and surnames, with respect to each student and instructor at the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

(b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement under subsection (a) if the Secretary determines it to be in the national interest.

SEC. 1084. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE INSTITUTE FOR SECURITY COOPERATION.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation—

(A) offers quality professional military bilingual instruction for military officers and non-commissioned officers that promotes democracy, subordination to civilian authority, and respect for human rights; and

(B) is uniquely positioned to support the modernization of Latin America security forces as they work to transcend their own controversial pasts;

(2) the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation is building partner capacity which enhances regional and global security while encouraging respect for human rights and promoting democratic principles among eligible military personnel, law enforcement officials, and civilians of nations of the Western Hemisphere;

(3) the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation is an invaluable education and training facility the curriculum of which is not duplicated in any of the military departments and is not replaceable by professional military education funded by appropriations for International Military Education and Training, for which education is not conducted in Spanish and does not concentrate on regional challenges; and

(4) the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation is an essential tool to educate future generations of Latin American leaders and improve United States relationships with partner nations that are working with the United States to promote democracy, prosperity, and stability in the Western Hemisphere.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

Subtitle A—Personnel

Sec. 1101. Authority to employ individuals completing the National Security Education Program.

Sec. 1102. Authority for employment by Department of Defense of individuals who have successfully completed the requirements of the science, mathematics, and research for transformation (SMART) defense scholarship program.

Sec. 1103. Authority for the employment of individuals who have successfully completed the Department of Defense information assurance scholarship program.

Sec. 1104. Extension and modification of experimental personnel management program for scientific and technical personnel.

Sec. 1105. Modification to Department of Defense laboratory personnel authority.

Sec. 1106. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.

Sec. 1107. Extension of certain benefits to Federal civilian employees on official duty in Pakistan.

Sec. 1108. Requirement for Department of Defense strategic workforce plans.

Sec. 1109. Adjustments to limitations on personnel and requirement for annual manpower reporting.

Sec. 1110. Pilot program for the temporary exchange of information technology personnel.

Sec. 1111. Availability of funds for compensation of certain civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1112. Department of defense civilian leadership program.

Sec. 1113. Provisions relating to the National Security Personnel System.

Sec. 1114. Provisions relating to the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System.

Subtitle B—Provisions Relating to Reemployment of Annuitants

Sec. 1121. Authority to expand scope of provisions relating to unreduced compensation for certain reemployed annuitants.

Sec. 1122. Part-time reemployment.

Sec. 1123. Government Accountability Office report.

Subtitle A—Personnel

SEC. 1101. AUTHORITY TO EMPLOY INDIVIDUALS COMPLETING THE NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION PROGRAM.

Section 802 of the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1902) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(k) **EMPLOYMENT OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS.**—The Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of State, or the head of a Federal agency or office identified by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (g) as having national security responsibilities—

“(1) may, without regard to any provision of title 5 governing appointments in the competitive service, appoint to a position that is identified under subsection (b)(2)(A)(i) as having national security responsibilities, or to a position in such Federal agency or office, in the excepted service an individual who has successfully completed an academic program for which a scholarship or fellowship under this section was awarded and who, under the terms of the agreement for such scholarship or fellowship, at the time of such appointment owes a service commitment to such Department or such Federal agency or office; and

“(2) may, upon satisfactory completion of two years of substantially continuous service by an incumbent who was appointed to an excepted service position under the authority of paragraph (1), convert the appointment of such individual, without competition, to a career or career conditional appointment.”.

SEC. 1102. AUTHORITY FOR EMPLOYMENT BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OF INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND RESEARCH FOR TRANSFORMATION (SMART) DEFENSE SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR EMPLOYMENT.**—Subsection (d) of section 2192a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(d) **EMPLOYMENT OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS.**—The Secretary of Defense—

“(1) may, without regard to any provision of title 5 governing appointment of employees to competitive service positions within the Department of Defense, appoint to a position in the Department of Defense in the excepted service an individual who has successfully completed an academic program for which a scholarship or fellowship under this section was awarded and who, under the terms of the agreement for such scholarship or fellowship, at the time of such appointment, owes a service commitment to the Department; and

“(2) may, upon satisfactory completion of 2 years of substantially continuous service by an incumbent who was appointed to an excepted service position under the authority of paragraph (1), convert the appointment of such individual, without competition, to a career or career conditional appointment.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended by striking “Except as provided in subsection (d), the” in the second sentence and inserting “The”.

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**—Subsection (f) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence; and

(2) by striking “the authorities provided in such chapter” and inserting “the other authorities provided in this chapter”.

(d) **REPEAL OF OBSOLETE PROVISIONS.**—(1) Such section is further amended by striking subsection (g) and by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (g).

(2) Subparagraph (B) of section 3304(a)(3) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(B) The Office of Personnel Management has determined that there exists a severe shortage of candidates or that there is a critical hiring need.”.

SEC. 1103. AUTHORITY FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION ASSURANCE SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2200a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **EMPLOYMENT OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS.**—The Secretary of Defense—

“(1) may, without regard to any provision of title 5 governing appointments in the competitive service, appoint to an information technology position in the Department of Defense in the excepted service an individual who has successfully completed an academic program for which a scholarship under this section was awarded and who, under the terms of the agreement for such scholarship, at the time of such appointment owes a service commitment to the Department; and

“(2) may, upon satisfactory completion of two years of substantially continuous service by an incumbent who was appointed to an excepted service position under the authority of paragraph (1), convert the appointment of such individual, without competition, to a career or career conditional appointment.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (a) of such section is amended by striking “subsection (g),” and inserting “subsection (f),”.

SEC. 1104. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF EXPERIMENTAL PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.

(a) **THREE-YEAR EXTENSION.**—Subsection (e)(1) of section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note) is amended by striking “September 30, 2011” and inserting “September 30, 2014”.

(b) **LIMITATIONS ON ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “under subsection (d)(1)” and inserting “under subsection (d)”;

(2) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) **LIMITATIONS ON ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS.**—(1) Subject to paragraph (3), the total amount of additional payments paid to an employee under subsection (b)(3) for any 12-month period may not exceed the lesser of the following amounts:

“(A) \$50,000 in fiscal year 2010, which may be adjusted annually thereafter by the Secretary, with a percentage increase equal to one-half of 1 percentage point less than the percentage by which the Employment Cost Index, published quarterly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, for the base quarter of the year before the preceding calendar year exceeds the Employment Cost Index for the base quarter of the second year before the preceding calendar year.

“(B) The amount equal to 50 percent of the employee’s annual rate of basic pay.

“(2) In paragraph (1), the term ‘base quarter’ has the meaning given that term in section 5302(3) of title 5, United States Code.

“(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section or section 5307 of title 5, United

States Code, no additional payments may be paid to an employee under subsection (b)(3) in any calendar year if, or to the extent that, the employee’s total annual compensation in such calendar year will exceed the maximum amount of total annual compensation payable at the salary set in accordance with section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

“(4) An employee appointed under the program is not eligible for any bonus, monetary award, or other monetary incentive for service under the appointment other than payments authorized by this section.”.

(c) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—Paragraph (1) of subsection (g) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(1)(A) Not later than December 31 of each year in which the authority under this section is in effect, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the committees of Congress specified in subparagraph (B) a report on the operation of this section. Each report shall cover the fiscal year that most recently ended before such December 31.

“(B) The committees of Congress specified in this subparagraph are—

“(i) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

“(ii) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.”.

SEC. 1105. MODIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LABORATORY PERSONNEL AUTHORITY.

(a) **DESIGNATION OF LABORATORIES.**—Each of the following is hereby designated as a Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratory (as described in section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2721), as amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001):

(1) The Aviation and Missile Research Development and Engineering Center.

(2) The Army Research Laboratory.

(3) The Medical Research and Materiel Command.

(4) The Engineer Research and Development Command.

(5) The Communications-Electronics Command.

(6) The Soldier and Biological Chemical Command.

(7) The Naval Sea Systems Command Centers.

(8) The Naval Research Laboratory.

(9) The Office of Naval Research.

(10) The Air Force Research Laboratory.

(11) The Tank and Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center.

(12) The Armament Research Development and Engineering Center.

(13) The Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division.

(14) The Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division.

(15) The Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center, Pacific.

(16) The Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center, Atlantic.

(17) The laboratories within the Army Research Development and Engineering Command.

(b) **CONVERSION PROCEDURES.**—The Secretary of Defense shall implement procedures to convert the civilian personnel of each Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratory, as so designated by subsection (a), from the personnel system which applies as of the date of the enactment of this Act to the personnel system under an appropriate demonstration project (as referred to in such section 342(b)). Any conversion under this subsection—

(1) shall not adversely affect any employee with respect to pay or any other term or condition of employment;

(2) shall be consistent with section 4703(f) of title 5, United States Code;

(3) shall be completed within 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(4) shall not apply to prevailing rate employees (as defined by section 5342(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code) or senior executives (as defined by section 3132(a)(3) of such title).

(c) **LIMITATION.**—The science and technology reinvention laboratories, as so designated by subsection (a), may not implement any personnel system, other than a personnel system under an appropriate demonstration project (as referred to in such section 342(b)), without prior congressional authorization.

SEC. 1106. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WORKING OVERSEAS.

(a) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1101 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4615) is amended by striking “calendar year 2009,” and inserting “calendar years 2009 and 2010,”.

(b) **RELATED PROVISION.**—Subsection (b) of such section 1101 is amended to read as follows:

“(b) **APPLICABILITY OF AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 5307 of title 5, United States Code, shall not apply to any employee in any calendar year in which that employee is granted a waiver under subsection (a).

“(2) **OTHER LIMITATIONS.**—In the case of any employees who (disregarding subparagraph (A)) would otherwise be subject to a limitation on premium pay similar to one set forth in section 5547 of title 5, United States Code (as determined by the head of the Executive agency in or under which such employees are employed)—

“(A) the agency head may waive that otherwise applicable limitation, to the same extent and in the same manner as would be allowable under subsection (a) if those employees were instead subject to such section 5547; and

“(B) if a waiver under subparagraph (A) is granted with respect to such employees, then, neither section 5307 of title 5, United States Code, nor any other similar limitation (as determined by the agency head) shall apply with respect to such employees for purposes of any calendar year for which such waiver is so granted.”.

SEC. 1107. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BENEFITS TO FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES ON OFFICIAL DUTY IN PAKISTAN.

Section 1603(a)(2) of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109-234; 120 Stat. 443), as amended by section 1102 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4616), is amended by inserting “Pakistan or” after “is on official duty in”.

SEC. 1108. REQUIREMENT FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STRATEGIC WORKFORCE PLANS.

(a) **CODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR STRATEGIC WORKFORCE PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 2 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 115a the following new section:

“§ 115b. Annual strategic workforce plan

“(a) **ANNUAL PLAN REQUIRED.**—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees on an annual basis a strategic workforce plan to shape and improve the civilian employee workforce of the Department of Defense.

“(2) The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness shall have overall responsibility for developing and implementing the strategic workforce plan, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

“(b) CONTENTS.—Each strategic workforce plan under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

“(1) An assessment of—

“(A) the critical skills and competencies that will be needed in the future within the civilian employee workforce by the Department of Defense to support national security requirements and effectively manage the Department during the seven-year period following the year in which the plan is submitted;

“(B) the appropriate mix of military, civilian, and contractor personnel capabilities;

“(C) the critical skills and competencies of the existing civilian employee workforce of the Department and projected trends in that workforce based on expected losses due to retirement and other attrition; and

“(D) gaps in the existing or projected civilian employee workforce of the Department that should be addressed to ensure that the Department has continued access to the critical skills and competencies described in subparagraphs (A) and (C).

“(2) A plan of action for developing and reshaping the civilian employee workforce of the Department to address the gaps in critical skills and competencies identified under paragraph (1)(D), including—

“(A) specific recruiting and retention goals, especially in areas identified as critical skills and competencies under paragraph (1), including the program objectives of the Department to be achieved through such goals and the funding needed to achieve such goals;

“(B) specific strategies for developing, training, deploying, compensating, and motivating the civilian employee workforce of the Department, including the program objectives of the Department to be achieved through such strategies and the funding needed to implement such strategies;

“(C) any incentives necessary to attract or retain any civilian personnel possessing the skills and competencies identified under paragraph (1);

“(D) any changes in the number of personnel authorized in any category of personnel listed in subsection (f)(1) or in the acquisition workforce that may be needed to address such gaps and effectively meet the needs of the Department;

“(E) any changes in resources or in the rates or methods of pay for any category of personnel listed in subsection (f)(1) or in the acquisition workforce that may be needed to address inequities and ensure that the Department has full access to appropriately qualified personnel to address such gaps and meet the needs of the Department; and

“(F) any legislative changes that may be necessary to achieve the goals referred to in subparagraph (A).

“(3) An assessment, using results-oriented performance measures, of the progress of the Department in implementing the strategic workforce plan under this section during the previous year.

“(4) Any additional matters the Secretary of Defense considers necessary to address.

“(c) SENIOR MANAGEMENT, FUNCTIONAL, AND TECHNICAL WORKFORCE.—(1) Each strategic workforce plan under subsection (a) shall include a separate chapter to specifically address the shaping and improvement of the senior management, functional, and technical workforce (including scientists and engineers) of the Department of Defense.

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), each plan shall include, with respect to such senior management, functional, and technical workforce—

“(A) an assessment of the matters set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (D) of subsection (b)(1);

“(B) a plan of action meeting the requirements set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of subsection (b)(2);

“(C) specific strategies for developing, training, deploying, compensating, motivating, and

designing career paths and career opportunities; and

“(D) specific steps that the Department has taken or plans to take to ensure that such workforce is managed in compliance with the requirements of section 129 of this title.

“(d) DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—(1) Each strategic workforce plan under subsection (a) shall include a separate chapter to specifically address the shaping and improvement of the defense acquisition workforce, including both military and civilian personnel.

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), each plan shall include, with respect to the defense acquisition workforce—

“(A) an assessment of the matters set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (D) of subsection (b)(1);

“(B) a plan of action meeting the requirements set forth in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of subsection (b)(2);

“(C) specific steps that the Department has taken or plans to take to develop appropriate career paths for civilian employees in the acquisition field and to implement the requirements of section 1722a of this title with regard to members of the armed forces in the acquisition field; and

“(D) a plan for funding needed improvements in the acquisition workforce of the Department through the period of the future-years defense program, including—

“(i) the funding programmed for defense acquisition workforce improvements, including a specific identification of funding provided in the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Fund established under section 1705 of this title, along with a description of how such funding is being implemented and whether it is being fully used; and

“(ii) a description of any continuing shortfalls in funding available for the acquisition workforce.

“(e) SUBMITTALS BY SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS AND HEADS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES.—The Secretary of Defense shall require the Secretary of each military department and the head of each Defense Agency to submit a report to the Secretary addressing each of the matters described in this section. The Secretary of Defense shall establish a deadline for the submittal of reports under this subsection that enables the Secretary to consider the material submitted in a timely manner and incorporate such material, as appropriate, into the strategic workforce plan required by this section.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘senior management, functional, and technical workforce of the Department of Defense’ includes the following categories of Department of Defense civilian personnel:

“(A) Appointees in the Senior Executive Service under section 3131 of title 5.

“(B) Persons serving in positions described in section 5376(a) of title 5.

“(C) Highly qualified experts appointed pursuant to section 9903 of title 5.

“(D) Scientists and engineers appointed pursuant to section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2721), as amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398 (114 Stat. 1654A-315)).

“(E) Scientists and engineers appointed pursuant to section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note).

“(F) Persons serving in the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service under section 1606 of this title.

“(G) Persons serving in Intelligence Senior Level positions under section 1607 of this title.

“(2) The term ‘acquisition workforce’ includes individuals designated under section 1721 as filling acquisition positions.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 2 of such title

is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 115a the following new item:

“115b. Annual strategic workforce plan.”.

(b) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORTS.—

(1) REPORT ON STRATEGIC WORKFORCE PLAN.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees an annual strategic workforce plan under section 115b of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), in each of 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan so submitted.

(2) REPORT ON THE TRAINING OF ACQUISITION AND AUDIT PERSONNEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—(A) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth an assessment of the efficacy of Department of Defense training for acquisition and audit personnel of the Department of Defense.

(B) The report required under subparagraph (A) shall address the efficacy of training, the extent to which such training reaches appropriate personnel, and the extent to which the training recommendations of previous reviews (including the recommendations of the Commission on Army Acquisition and Program Management in Expeditionary Operations) have been implemented.

(c) CONFORMING REPEALS.—The following provisions are repealed:

(1) Section 1122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3452; 10 U.S.C. note prec. 1580).

(2) Section 1102 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2407).

(3) Section 851 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 247; 10 U.S.C. note prec. 1580).

SEC. 1109. ADJUSTMENTS TO LIMITATIONS ON PERSONNEL AND REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL MANPOWER REPORTING.

(a) AMENDMENTS.—Section 1111 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4619) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking “for four”;

(2) in paragraph (1) of subsection (b), by striking “requirements of—” and all that follows through the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting “the requirements of section 115b of this title; or”;

(3) in paragraph (2) of subsection (b), by striking “purpose described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of subsection (c).” and inserting the following:

“any of the following purposes:

“(A) Performance of inherently governmental functions.

“(B) Performance of work pursuant to section 2463 of title 10, United States Code.

“(C) Ability to maintain sufficient organic expertise and technical capability.

“(D) Performance of work that, while the position may not exercise an inherently governmental function, nevertheless should be performed only by officers or employees of the Federal Government or members of the Armed Forces because of the critical nature of the work.”; and

(4) by striking subsections (c) and (d).

(b) CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL REPORT.—

(1) INCLUSION IN ANNUAL DEFENSE MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS REPORT.—Section 115a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) The Secretary shall also include in each such report the following information with respect to personnel assigned to or supporting

major Department of Defense headquarters activities:

“(1) The military end strength and civilian full-time equivalents assigned to major Department of Defense headquarters activities for the preceding fiscal year and estimates of such numbers for the current fiscal year and subsequent fiscal years.

“(2) A summary of the replacement during the preceding fiscal year of contract workyears providing support to major Department of Defense headquarters activities with military end strength or civilian full-time equivalents, including an estimate of the number of contract workyears associated with the replacement of contracts performing inherently governmental or exempt functions.

“(3) The plan for the continued review of contract personnel supporting major Department of Defense headquarters activities for possible conversion to military or civilian performance in accordance with section 2463 of this title.

“(4) The amount of any adjustment in the limitation on personnel made by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department, and, for each adjustment made pursuant to section 1111(b)(2) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 143 note), the purpose of the adjustment.”.

(2) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO REFLECT NAME OF REPORT.—

(A) Subsection (a) of section 115a of such title is amended by inserting “defense” before “manpower requirements report.”.

(B)(i) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§ 115a. Annual defense manpower requirements report”.

(ii) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 2 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“115a. Annual defense manpower requirements report.”.

(3) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 901 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 272; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) are repealed.

SEC. 1110. PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE TEMPORARY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PERSONNEL.

(a) ASSIGNMENT AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may, with the agreement of the private sector organization concerned, arrange for the temporary assignment of an employee to such private sector organization, or from such private sector organization to a Department of Defense organization under this section. An employee shall be eligible for such an assignment only if—

(1) the employee—

(A) works in the field of information technology management;

(B) is considered by the Secretary of Defense to be an exceptional employee;

(C) is expected to assume increased information technology management responsibilities in the future; and

(D) is compensated at not less than the GS-11 level (or the equivalent); and

(2) the proposed assignment meets applicable requirements of section 209(b) of the E-Government Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. 3501 note).

(b) AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for a written agreement among the Department of Defense, the private sector organization, and the employee concerned regarding the terms and conditions of the employee's assignment under this section. The agreement—

(1) shall require that employees of the Department of Defense, upon completion of the assignment, will serve in the civil service for a period equal to the length of the assignment; and

(2) shall provide that if the employee of the Department of Defense or of the private sector

organization (as the case may be) fails to carry out the agreement, such employee shall be liable to the United States for payment of all expenses of the assignment, unless that failure was for good and sufficient reason, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

An amount for which an employee is liable under paragraph (2) shall be treated as a debt due the United States.

(c) TERMINATION.—An assignment under this section may, at any time and for any reason, be terminated by the Department of Defense or the private sector organization concerned.

(d) DURATION.—An assignment under this section shall be for a period of not less than 3 months and not more than 1 year, and may be extended in 3-month increments for a total of not more than 1 additional year; however, no assignment under this section may commence after September 30, 2013.

(e) TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYEES.—An employee of a private sector organization who is assigned to a Department of Defense organization under this section—

(1) may continue to receive pay and benefits from the private sector organization from which such employee is assigned;

(2) is deemed to be an employee of the Department of Defense for the purposes of—

(A) chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code; (B) sections 201, 203, 205, 207, 208, 209, 603, 606, 607, 643, 654, 1905, and 1913 of title 18, United States Code;

(C) sections 1343, 1344, and 1349(b) of title 31, United States Code;

(D) the Federal Tort Claims Act and any other Federal tort liability statute;

(E) the Ethics in Government Act of 1978;

(F) section 1043 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and

(G) section 27 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act; and

(3) may not have access to any trade secrets or to any other nonpublic information which is of commercial value to the private sector organization from which such employee is assigned.

(f) PROHIBITION AGAINST CHARGING CERTAIN COSTS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—A private sector organization may not charge the Department of Defense or any other agency of the Federal Government, as direct or indirect costs under a Federal contract, the costs of pay or benefits paid by the organization to an employee assigned to a Department of Defense organization under this section for the period of the assignment.

(g) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary of Defense—

(1) shall ensure that, of the assignments made under this section each year, at least 20 percent are from small business concerns (as defined by section 3703(e)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code); and

(2) shall take into consideration the question of how assignments under this section might best be used to help meet the needs of the Department of Defense with respect to the training of employees in information technology management.

(h) NUMERICAL LIMITATION.—In no event may more than 10 employees be participating in assignments under this section at any given time.

(i) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—For each of fiscal years 2010 through 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 1 month after the end of the fiscal year involved, a report on any activities carried out under this section during such fiscal year, including information concerning—

(1) the respective organizations (as referred to in subsection (a)) to and from which any employee was assigned under this section;

(2) the positions those employees held while they were so assigned;

(3) a description of the tasks they performed while they were so assigned; and

(4) a discussion of any actions that might be taken to improve the effectiveness of the program under this section, including any proposed changes in law.

(j) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED SECTION.—Section 1109 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 358) is repealed, except that—

(1) nothing in this subsection shall, in the case of any assignment commencing under such section 1109 on or before the date of the enactment of this Act, affect—

(A) the duration of such assignment or the authority to extend such assignment in accordance with subsection (d) of such section 1109, as last in effect; or

(B) the terms or conditions of the agreement governing such assignment, including with respect to any service obligation under subsection (b) thereof; and

(2) any employee whose assignment is allowed to continue by virtue of paragraph (1) shall be taken into account for purposes of—

(A) the numerical limitation under subsection (h); and

(B) the reporting requirement under subsection (i).

SEC. 1111. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR COMPENSATION OF CERTAIN CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense that are available for the purchase of contract services to meet a requirement that is anticipated to continue for five years or more shall be available to provide compensation for civilian employees of the Department to meet the same requirement.

(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prescribe regulations implementing the authority in subsection (a). Such regulations—

(1) shall ensure that the authority in subsection (a) is utilized to build government capabilities that are needed to perform inherently governmental functions, functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions, and other critical functions;

(2) shall include a mechanism to ensure that follow-on funding to provide compensation for civilian employees of the Department to perform functions described in paragraph (1) is provided from appropriate accounts; and

(3) may establish additional criteria and levels of approval within the Department for the utilization of funds to provide compensation for civilian employees of the Department pursuant to subsection (a).

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year for which the authority in subsection (a) is in effect, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use of such authority. Each report shall cover the preceding fiscal year and shall identify, at a minimum, the following:

(1) The amount of funds used under the authority in subsection (a) to provide compensation for civilian employees.

(2) The source or sources of the funds so used.

(3) The number of civilian employees employed through the use of such funds.

(4) The actions taken by the Secretary to ensure that follow-on funding for such civilian employees is provided through appropriate accounts.

(d) TEMPORARY AUTHORITY.—The authority in subsection (a) shall apply to funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal years 2010 through 2019.

SEC. 1112. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN LEADERSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) LEADERSHIP PROGRAM REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a program of

leadership recruitment and development for civilian employees of the Department of Defense, to be known as the "Department of Defense Civilian Leadership Program" (in this section referred to as the "program").

(2) **OBJECTIVES.**—The objectives of the program shall be as follows:

(A) To develop a new generation of civilian leaders for the Department of Defense.

(B) To recruit individuals with the academic merit, work experience, and demonstrated leadership skills to meet the future needs of the Department.

(C) To offer rapid advancement, competitive compensation, and leadership opportunities to highly qualified civilian employees of the Department.

(3) **AVAILABLE AUTHORITIES.**—In carrying out the program, the Secretary may exercise any authority available to the Office of Personnel Management under section 4703 of title 5, United States Code, except that the Secretary shall not be bound by the limitations in subsection (d) of such section. Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize the waiver of any part of chapter 71 of title 5, United States Code, or any regulation implementing such chapter, in the carrying out of the program.

(b) **ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The following individuals shall be eligible to participate in the program:

(A) Current employees of the Department of Defense.

(B) Appropriate individuals in the private sector.

(2) **LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN PROGRAM.**—The total number of individuals who may participate in the program in any fiscal year may not exceed 5,000.

(3) **LIMITATION ON PERIOD OF PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAM.**—The maximum period of time that an individual may participate in the program is three years.

(c) **ELEMENTS OF PROGRAM.**—

(1) **COMPETITIVE ENTRY.**—The selection of individuals for entry into the program shall be made on the basis of a competition conducted at least twice each year. In each competition, participants in the program shall be selected from among applicants determined by the Secretary to be the most highly qualified in terms of academic merit, work experience, and demonstrated leadership skills. Each competition shall provide for entry-level participants and midcareer participants in the program.

(2) **ALLOCATION OF POSITIONS.**—The Secretary shall allocate positions in the program among the components of the Department of Defense that—

(A) offer the most challenging assignments;

(B) provide the greatest level of responsibility; and

(C) demonstrate the greatest need for participants in the program.

(3) **ASSIGNMENTS TO POSITIONS.**—Participants in the program shall be assigned to components of the Department that best match their skills and qualifications. Participants in the program may be rotated among components of the Department of Defense at the discretion of the Secretary.

(4) **INITIAL COMPENSATION.**—The initial compensation of participants in the program shall be determined by the Secretary based on the qualifications of such participants and applicable market conditions.

(5) **EDUCATION AND TRAINING.**—The Secretary shall provide participants in the program with training, mentoring, and educational opportunities that are appropriate to facilitate the development of such participants into effective civilian leaders for the Department of Defense.

(6) **OBJECTIVE, MERIT-BASED PRINCIPLES FOR PERSONNEL DECISIONS.**—The Secretary shall make personnel decisions under the program in accordance with such objective, merit-based criteria as the Secretary shall prescribe in regulations for purposes of the program. Such criteria

shall include, but not be limited to, criteria applicable to the following:

(A) The selection of individuals for entry into the program.

(B) The assignment of participants in the program to positions in the Department of Defense.

(C) The initial compensation of participants in the program.

(D) The access of participants in the program to training, mentoring, and educational opportunities under the program.

(E) The consideration of participants in the program for selection into the senior management, functional, and technical workforce of the Department.

(7) **CONSIDERATION FOR SENIOR MANAGEMENT, FUNCTIONAL, AND TECHNICAL WORKFORCE.**—Any participant in the program who, as determined by the Secretary, demonstrates outstanding performance shall be afforded priority in consideration for selection into the appropriate element of the senior management, functional, and technical workforce of the Department of Defense (as defined in section 115b(f) of title 10, United States Code).

SEC. 1113. PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE NATIONAL SECURITY PERSONNEL SYSTEM.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section—

(1) the term "National Security Personnel System" or "NSPS" refers to a human resources management system established under authority of section 9902 of title 5, United States Code (as in effect before the date of the enactment of this Act); and

(2) the term "statutory pay system" means a pay system under—

(A) subchapter III of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code (relating to General Schedule pay rates); or

(B) such other provisions of law as would apply if section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, had never been enacted.

(b) **REPEAL OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO NSPS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (i) and (j); and

(B) by redesignating subsections (f) through (h) as subsections (e) through (g), respectively.

(2) **EXPANSION PROHIBITED.**—The National Security Personnel System may not be extended to any organizational or functional unit of the Department of Defense (or any component thereof) not included in such System as of March 1, 2009.

(3) **CURRENT RULES INVALID.**—Any regulations in effect as of the day before the date of the enactment of this Act which were issued pursuant to any provision of law repealed by paragraph (1)(A)—

(A) may not be modified on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, except as necessary to implement this Act; and

(B) shall cease to be effective as of January 1, 2012.

(c) **TERMINATION OF NSPS AND CONVERSION OF EMPLOYEES AND POSITIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall take all actions which may be necessary to provide, beginning no later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, for the orderly termination of the National Security Personnel System and conversion of all employees and positions from such System, by not later than January 1, 2012, to—

(A) the statutory pay system and all other aspects of the personnel system that last applied to such employee or position (as the case may be) before the National Security Personnel System applied; or

(B) if subparagraph (A) does not apply, the statutory pay system and all other aspects of the personnel system that would have applied if the National Security Personnel System had never been established.

No employee shall suffer any loss of or decrease in pay because of the preceding sentence, and,

for purposes of carrying out such preceding sentence, any determination of the system that last applied (or that would have applied) with respect to an employee or position shall take into account any modifications to such system pursuant to the provisions of subsections (a) and (b) of section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by subsection (d).

(2) **TRANSITION PERIOD APPOINTMENTS.**—To the extent practicable, any individual who, during the NSPS transition period, is appointed to any position within the Department of Defense which is subject to the NSPS shall be subject to the statutory pay system and all other aspects of the personnel system to which such individual or position is to be converted in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1).

(3) **TEMPORARY CONTINUATION OF NSPS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the National Security Personnel System, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, shall continue to apply with respect to any employees and positions remaining subject to the NSPS, in accordance with paragraph (1), during the NSPS transition period.

(4) **RESTORATION OF FULL ANNUAL PAY ADJUSTMENTS UNDER NSPS PENDING ITS TERMINATION.**—Notwithstanding subsection (b)(1)(A), section 9902(e)(7) of title 5, United States Code, to the extent that it remains in force under paragraph (3), shall be applied by substituting "100 percent" for "no less than 60 percent".

(5) **NSPS TRANSITION PERIOD DEFINED.**—For purposes of this subsection, the term "NSPS transition period" means the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on January 1, 2012.

(d) **AUTHORITY RELATING TO PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND WORKFORCE INCENTIVES, HIRING FLEXIBILITIES, AND TRAINING OF SUPERVISORS.**—Section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by subsection (b)(1), is further amended by inserting before subsection (e) (as so redesignated by subsection (b)(1)(B)) the following:

"(a) **PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND WORKFORCE INCENTIVES.**—(1) The Secretary, in coordination with the Director, shall promulgate regulations providing for the following:

"(A) A fair, credible, and transparent performance appraisal system for employees.

"(B) A fair, credible, and transparent system for linking employee bonuses and other performance-based actions to performance appraisals of employees.

"(C) A process for ensuring ongoing performance feedback and dialogue among supervisors, managers, and employees throughout the appraisal period and setting timetables for review.

"(D) Development of 'performance assistance plans' that are designed to give employees formal training, on-the-job training, counseling, mentoring, and other assistance.

"(2) In developing the regulations required by this subsection, the Secretary, in coordination with the Director, may waive the requirements of chapters 43 (other than sections 4302 and 4303(e)) and the regulations implementing such chapters, to the extent necessary to achieve the objectives of this subsection.

"(3)(A) The Secretary may establish a fund, to be known as the 'Department of Defense Civilian Workforce Incentive Fund' (in this paragraph referred to as the 'Fund').

"(B) The Fund shall consist of the following:

"(i) Amounts appropriated to the Fund.

"(ii) Amounts available for compensation of employees that are transferred to the Fund.

"(C) Amounts in the Fund shall be available for the following:

"(i) Incentive payments for employees based on team or individual performance (which payments shall be in addition to basic pay).

"(ii) Incentive payments to attract or retain employees with particular or superior qualifications or abilities.

"(D) The authority provided in this paragraph is in addition to, and does not supersede

or replace, any authority or source of funding otherwise available to the Secretary to pay bonuses or make incentive payments to civilian employees of the Department.

“(4)(A) Any action taken by the Secretary under this subsection, or to implement this subsection, shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (c) and chapter 71.

“(B) Any rules or regulations promulgated pursuant to this subsection shall be deemed an agency rule or regulation under section 7117(a)(2), and shall not be deemed a Government-wide rule or regulation under section 7117(a)(1).

“(b) FLEXIBILITIES RELATING TO APPOINTMENTS.—(1) The Secretary, in coordination with the Director, shall promulgate regulations to redesign the procedures which are applied by the Department of Defense in making appointments to positions within the competitive service in order to—

“(A) better meet mission needs;

“(B) respond to managers’ needs and the needs of applicants;

“(C) produce high-quality applicants;

“(D) support timely decisions;

“(E) uphold appointments based on merit system principles; and

“(F) promote competitive job offers.

“(2) In redesigning the process by which such appointments shall be made, the Secretary, in coordination with the Director, may waive the requirements of chapter 33, and the regulations implementing such chapter, to the extent necessary to achieve the objectives of this section, while providing for the following:

“(A) Fair, credible, and transparent methods of establishing qualification requirements for, recruitment for, and appointments to positions.

“(B) Fair and open competition and equitable treatment in the consideration and selection of individuals to positions.

“(C) Fair, credible, and transparent methods of assigning, reassigning, detailing, transferring, or promoting employees.

“(3) In implementing this subsection, the Secretary shall comply with the provisions of section 2302(b)(11), regarding veterans’ preference requirements, in a manner consistent with that in which such provisions are applied under chapter 33.

“(4)(A) Any action taken by the Secretary under this subsection, or to implement this subsection, shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (c) and chapter 71.

“(B) Any rules or regulations promulgated pursuant to this section shall be deemed an agency rule or regulation under section 7117(a)(2), and shall not be deemed a Government-wide rule or regulation under section 7117(a)(1).

“(c) CRITERIA FOR USE OF NEW PERSONNEL AUTHORITIES.—In establishing any new performance management and workforce incentive system under subsection (a) or utilizing appointment flexibilities under subsection (b), the Secretary shall—

“(1) adhere to merit principles set forth in section 2301;

“(2) include a means for ensuring employee involvement (for bargaining unit employees, through their exclusive representatives) in the design and implementation of such system;

“(3) provide for adequate training and retraining for supervisors, managers, and employees in the implementation and operation of such system;

“(4) develop—

“(A) a comprehensive management succession program to provide training to employees to develop managers for the agency; and

“(B) a program to provide training to supervisors on actions, options, and strategies a supervisor may use in administering such system;

“(5) include effective transparency and accountability measures and safeguards to ensure that the management of such system is fair, credible, and equitable, including appropriate

independent reasonableness reviews, internal assessments, and employee surveys;

“(6) utilize the annual strategic workforce plan, required by section 115b of title 10; and

“(7) ensure that adequate agency resources are allocated for the design, implementation, and administration of such system.

“(d) DEVELOPMENT OF TRAINING PROGRAM FOR SUPERVISORS.—(1) The Secretary shall develop—

“(A) a program to provide training to supervisors on use of the new authorities provided in this section, including the actions, options, and strategies a supervisor may use in—

“(i) developing and discussing relevant goals and objectives with the employee, communicating and discussing progress relative to performance goals and objectives, and conducting performance appraisals;

“(ii) mentoring and motivating employees, and improving employee performance and productivity;

“(iii) fostering a work environment characterized by fairness, respect, equal opportunity, and attention to the quality of the work of employees;

“(iv) effectively managing employees with unacceptable performance;

“(v) addressing reports of a hostile work environment, reprisal, or harassment of or by another supervisor or employee; and

“(vi) otherwise carrying out the duties and responsibilities of a supervisor;

“(B) a program to provide training to supervisors on the prohibited personnel practices under section 2302 (particularly with respect to such practices described under subsections (b)(1) and (b)(8) of such section), employee collective bargaining and union participation rights, and the procedures and processes used to enforce employee rights; and

“(C) a program under which experienced supervisors mentor new supervisors by—

“(i) sharing knowledge and advice in areas such as communication, critical thinking, responsibility, flexibility, motivating employees, teamwork, leadership, and professional development; and

“(ii) pointing out strengths and areas for development.

“(2) Each supervisor shall be required to complete a program at least once every 3 years.”

(e) REPORTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide a report to the covered committees (as defined by subsection (g)(6))—

(1) no later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, on the initial steps being taken to reclassify positions from the NSPS and the initial conversion plan to begin converting employees from the NSPS, which information shall be supplemented by reports describing the progress of the conversion process which shall be submitted to the same committees on a semi-annual basis;

(2) no later than 12 months after date of enactment, a plan for the personnel management system as authorized by section 9902(a) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this section, which plan shall not take effect until 90 days after the submission of the plan to Congress; and

(3) no later than 12 months after date of enactment, a plan for the appointment procedures as authorized by section 9902(b) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this section.

(f) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading of section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§9902. Department of Defense personnel authorities”.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 99 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 9902 and inserting the following:

“9902. Department of Defense personnel authorities.”.

(g) OTHER PERSONNEL FLEXIBILITIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary of Defense determines that it would be in the best interest of the Department of Defense to implement personnel flexibilities in addition to those authorized under section 9902 of title 5, as amended by this section, the Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, may develop and submit to the covered committees, not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, a proposal to implement—

(A) additional personnel flexibilities and associated statutory waivers with respect to the application of the General Schedule (as defined in section 5332 of title 5, United States Code); or

(B) additional personnel flexibilities and associated statutory waivers, which would require exemption from the application of the General Schedule (as so defined).

(2) RATIONALE.—If the Secretary’s proposal is to implement authorities described in paragraph (1)(B), the Secretary shall provide a detailed rationale as to why implementation of authorities described in paragraph (1)(A) are not adequate or appropriate to meet the interests of the Department.

(3) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary’s proposal (whether as described in paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B))—

(A) shall be developed in a manner consistent with the requirements of subsections (c) and (d) of section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this section;

(B) shall include a description of proposed regulations and implementing rules that the Secretary plans to adopt for the proposed system;

(C) shall identify and provide a rationale for any statutory waiver that would be required to implement the proposed system;

(D) shall describe the steps that the Department would take to avoid problems of the type described in the report of the Defense Business Board, dated August 2009, regarding the National Security Personnel System; and

(E) may not provide for the waiver of any provision of law that cannot be waived under paragraph (3) of section 9902(b) of title 5, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), and shall be subject to the requirements in paragraphs (4) and (5) of such section (as then in effect).

(4) CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL REQUIRED.—If Congress approves the Secretary’s proposal in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, the Secretary may implement the proposal (subject to any changes required by law) and begin the implementation of such proposal for personnel included in the National Security Personnel System, in lieu of the transition that would otherwise be required by subsection (b), subject to paragraph (5).

(5) RESTRICTIONS.—Notwithstanding any approval under paragraph (4), the provisions of subsection (b)(2) and (c)(4) shall apply with respect to any proposal approved under such paragraph, unless and until modified or repealed in legislation enacted after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(6) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection, the term “covered committees” means—

(A) the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives;

(B) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and

(C) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives.

(h) MODIFICATION OF IMPLEMENTATION AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS.—Section 1106 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 349) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (b);

(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b); and

(3) in subsection (b) (as so redesignated by paragraph (2))—

(A) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) The Comptroller General shall conduct a review, in each of calendar years 2010, 2011, and 2012, of—

“(A) employee satisfaction with any processes established pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to section 9902 of title 5, United States Code (as amended by section 1113 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011; and

“(B) the extent to which any processes so established are fair, credible, and transparent, as required by such section 9902 (as so amended).”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “the National Security Personnel System” and inserting “any processes established pursuant to such regulations”.

SEC. 1114. PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM.

(a) **SUSPENSION OF CERTAIN PAY AUTHORITY.**—Effective with respect to amounts paid during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2010, rates of basic pay for employees and positions within any element of the intelligence community (as defined by the National Security Act of 1947)—

(1) may not be fixed under the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System; and

(2) shall instead be fixed in accordance with the provisions of law that (disregarding DCIPS) would then otherwise apply.

The preceding sentence shall not apply with respect to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency.

(b) **RESPONSE TO GAO REPORT.**—Not later than 3 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional oversight committees a written description of any actions taken or proposed to be taken by such Secretary in response to the review and recommendations of the Government Accountability Office regarding the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System.

(c) **INDEPENDENT ORGANIZATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly designate an independent organization to review the operation of the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System, including—

(A) its impact on career progression;

(B) its appropriateness or inappropriateness in light of the complexities of the workforce affected;

(C) its sufficiency in terms of providing protections for diversity in promotion and retention of personnel; and

(D) the adequacy of the training, policy guidelines, and other preparations afforded in connection with transitioning to that system.

(2) **DEADLINE.**—The independent organization shall, after appropriate consultation with employees and employee organizations, submit its findings and recommendations under this section to the Secretary of Defense and the congressional oversight committees, in a written report, not later than June 1, 2010.

(d) **PROPOSED ACTIONS BASED ON REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after receiving the report of the independent organization under subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Personnel Management and the Director of National Intelligence, shall submit to the congressional oversight committees a written report describing any actions that the Secretary has taken or proposes to take in response to such report.

(e) **HOLD-HARMLESS PROVISION.**—No employee shall suffer any loss of or decrease in pay as a result of being converted from DCIPS in compliance with subsection (a).

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section—

(1) the terms “Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System” and “DCIPS” mean the civilian personnel system established by the Secretary of Defense under regulations—

(A) prescribed pursuant to sections 1601 through 1614 of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) taking effect in September 2008 or thereafter; and

(2) the term “congressional oversight committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

Subtitle B—Provisions Relating to Reemployment of Annuitants

SEC. 1121. AUTHORITY TO EXPAND SCOPE OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO UNREDEDUCED COMPENSATION FOR CERTAIN REEMPLOYED ANNUITANTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 9902(h) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) Benefits similar to those provided by paragraphs (1) and (2) may be extended, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the President, so as to be made available with respect to reemployed annuitants within the Department of Defense who are subject to such other retirement systems for Government employees (whose annuities are payable under authorities other than subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5) as may be provided for under such regulations.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Paragraph (4) of section 9902(h) of such title 5 (as so designated by subsection (a)(1)) is amended by striking the period and inserting “, excluding paragraph (3).”.

SEC. 1122. PART-TIME REEMPLOYMENT.

(a) **CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM.**—Section 8344 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (l) as subsection (m);

(2) by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

“(l)(1) For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) the term ‘head of an agency’ means—

“(i) the head of an Executive agency, other than the Department of Defense or the Government Accountability Office;

“(ii) the head of the United States Postal Service;

“(iii) the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, with respect to employees of the judicial branch; and

“(iv) any employing authority described under subsection (k)(2), other than the Government Accountability Office; and

“(B) the term ‘limited time appointee’ means an annuitant appointed under a temporary appointment limited to 1 year or less.

“(2) The head of an agency may waive the application of subsection (a) or (b) with respect to any annuitant who is employed in such agency as a limited time appointee, if the head of the agency determines that the employment of the annuitant is necessary to—

“(A) fulfill functions critical to the mission of the agency, or any component of that agency;

“(B) assist in the implementation or oversight of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5) or the Troubled Asset Relief Program under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5201 et seq.);

“(C) assist in the development, management, or oversight of agency procurement actions;

“(D) assist the Inspector General for that agency in the performance of the mission of that Inspector General;

“(E) promote appropriate training or mentoring programs of employees;

“(F) assist in the recruitment or retention of employees; or

“(G) respond to an emergency involving a direct threat to life of property or other unusual circumstances.

“(3) The head of an agency may not waive the application of subsection (a) or (b) with respect to an annuitant—

“(A) for more than 520 hours of service performed by that annuitant during the period ending 6 months following the individual’s annuity commencing date;

“(B) for more than 1040 hours of service performed by that annuitant during any 12-month period; or

“(C) for more than a total of 3120 hours of service performed by that annuitant.

“(4)(A) The total number of annuitants to whom a waiver by the head of an agency under this subsection or section 8468(i) applies may not exceed 2.5 percent of the total number of full-time employees of that agency.

“(B) If the total number of annuitants to whom a waiver by the head of an agency under this subsection or section 8468(i) applies exceeds 1 percent of the total number of full-time employees of that agency, the head of that agency shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Office of Personnel Management—

“(i) a report with an explanation that justifies the need for the waivers in excess of that percentage; and

“(ii) not later than 180 days after submitting the report under clause (i), a succession plan.

“(5)(A) The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may promulgate regulations providing for the administration of this subsection.

“(B) Any regulations promulgated under subparagraph (A) may—

“(i) provide standards for the maintenance and form of necessary records of employment under this subsection;

“(ii) to the extent not otherwise expressly prohibited by law, require employing agencies to provide records of such employment to the Office of Personnel Management or other employing agencies as necessary to ensure compliance with paragraph (3);

“(iii) authorize other administratively convenient periods substantially equivalent to 12 months, such as 26 pay periods, to be used in determining compliance with paragraph (3)(B);

“(iv) include such other administrative requirements as the Director of the Office of Personnel Management may find appropriate to provide for the effective operation of, or to ensure compliance with, this subsection; and

“(v) encourage the training and mentoring of employees by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection.

“(6)(A) Any hours of training or mentoring of employees by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection shall not be included in the hours of service performed for purposes of paragraph (3), but those hours of training or mentoring may not exceed 520 hours.

“(B) If the primary service performed by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection is training or mentoring of employees, the hours of that service shall be included in the hours of service performed for purposes of paragraph (3).

“(7) The authority of the head of an agency under this subsection to waive the application of subsection (a) or (b) shall terminate 5 years after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.”;

and

(3) in subsection (m) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “(k)” and inserting “(l)”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “(or (k))” and inserting “(k), or (l)”.

(b) **FEDERAL EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM.**—Section 8468 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (j);

(2) by inserting after subsection (h) the following:

“(i)(1) For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) the term ‘head of an agency’ means—

“(i) the head of an Executive agency, other than the Department of Defense or the Government Accountability Office;

“(ii) the head of the United States Postal Service;

“(iii) the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, with respect to employees of the judicial branch; and

“(iv) any employing authority described under subsection (h)(2), other than the Government Accountability Office; and

“(B) the term ‘limited time appointee’ means an annuitant appointed under a temporary appointment limited to 1 year or less.

“(2) The head of an agency may waive the application of subsection (a) with respect to any annuitant who is employed in such agency as a limited time appointee, if the head of the agency determines that the employment of the annuitant is necessary to—

“(A) fulfill functions critical to the mission of the agency, or any component of that agency;

“(B) assist in the implementation or oversight of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5) or the Troubled Asset Relief Program under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5201 et seq.);

“(C) assist in the development, management, or oversight of agency procurement actions;

“(D) assist the Inspector General for that agency in the performance of the mission of that Inspector General;

“(E) promote appropriate training or mentoring programs of employees;

“(F) assist in the recruitment or retention of employees; or

“(G) respond to an emergency involving a direct threat to life of property or other unusual circumstances.

“(3) The head of an agency may not waive the application of subsection (a) with respect to an annuitant—

“(A) for more than 520 hours of service performed by that annuitant during the period ending 6 months following the individual’s annuity commencing date;

“(B) for more than 1040 hours of service performed by that annuitant during any 12-month period; or

“(C) for more than a total of 3120 hours of service performed by that annuitant.

“(4)(A) The total number of annuitants to whom a waiver by the head of an agency under this subsection or section 8344(l) applies may not exceed 2.5 percent of the total number of full-time employees of that agency.

“(B) If the total number of annuitants to whom a waiver by the head of an agency under this subsection or section 8344(l) applies exceeds 1 percent of the total number of full-time employees of that agency, the head of that agency shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, and the Office of Personnel Management—

“(i) a report with an explanation that justifies the need for the waivers in excess of that percentage; and

“(ii) not later than 180 days after submitting the report under clause (i), a succession plan.

“(5)(A) The Director of the Office of Personnel Management may promulgate regulations providing for the administration of this subsection.

“(B) Any regulations promulgated under subparagraph (A) may—

“(i) provide standards for the maintenance and form of necessary records of employment under this subsection;

“(ii) to the extent not otherwise expressly prohibited by law, require employing agencies to provide records of such employment to the Office or other employing agencies as necessary to ensure compliance with paragraph (3);

“(iii) authorize other administratively convenient periods substantially equivalent to 12 months, such as 26 pay periods, to be used in determining compliance with paragraph (3)(B);

“(iv) include such other administrative requirements as the Director of the Office of Personnel Management may find appropriate to provide for effective operation of, or to ensure compliance with, this subsection; and

“(v) encourage the training and mentoring of employees by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection.

“(6)(A) Any hours of training or mentoring of employees by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection shall not be included in the hours of service performed for purposes of paragraph (3), but those hours of training or mentoring may not exceed 520 hours.

“(B) If the primary service performed by any limited time appointee employed under this subsection is training or mentoring of employees, the hours of that service shall be included in the hours of service performed for purposes of paragraph (3).

“(7) The authority of the head of an agency under this subsection to waive the application of subsection (a) shall terminate 5 years after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.”; and

(3) in subsection (j) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “(h)” and inserting “(i)”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “or (h)” and inserting “(h), or (i)”.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in the amendments made by this section may be construed to authorize the waiver of the hiring preferences under chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code in selecting annuitants to employ in an appointive or elective position.

(d) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 1005(d)(2) of title 39, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “(l)(2)” and inserting “(m)(2)”; and

(2) by striking “(i)(2)” and inserting “(j)(2)”.

SEC. 1123. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE REPORT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives a report regarding the use of the authority under the amendments made by section 1122.

(b) **CONTENTS.**—The report submitted under subsection (a) shall—

(1) include the number of annuitants for whom a waiver was made under subsection (l) of section 8344 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this subtitle, or subsection (i) of section 8468 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this subtitle; and

(2) identify each agency that used the authority described in paragraph (1).

(c) **AGENCY DATA.**—Each head of an agency (as defined under sections 8344(l)(1) and 8468(i)(1)(A) of title 5, United States Code, as added by section 1122 of this subtitle) shall—

(1) collect and maintain data necessary for purposes of the Comptroller General report submitted under subsection (a); and

(2) submit to the Comptroller General that data as the Comptroller General requires in a timely fashion.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

Sec. 1201. One-year extension of authority for security and stabilization assistance.

Sec. 1202. Expansion of authority and modification of notification and reporting requirements for use of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.

Sec. 1203. Modification of report on foreign-assistance related programs carried out by the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1204. Report on authorities to build the capacity of foreign military forces and related matters.

Sec. 1205. Authority to provide administrative services and support to coalition liaison officers of certain foreign nations assigned to United States Joint Forces Command.

Sec. 1206. Modification of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces.

Sec. 1207. Authority for non-reciprocal exchanges of defense personnel between the United States and foreign countries.

Sec. 1208. Report on alternatives to use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment for personnel protection and survivability.

Sec. 1209. Enhancing Iraqi security through defense cooperation between the United States and Iraq.

Sec. 1210. Availability of appropriated funds for the State Partnership Program.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan

Sec. 1211. Limitation on availability of funds for certain purposes relating to Iraq.

Sec. 1212. One-year extension and expansion of Commanders’ Emergency Response Program.

Sec. 1213. Modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.

Sec. 1214. Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

Sec. 1215. Program to provide for the registration and end-use monitoring of defense articles and defense services transferred to Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Sec. 1216. Reports on campaign plans for Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 1217. Report on responsible redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Iraq.

Sec. 1218. Report on community-based security programs in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1219. Updates of report on command and control structure for military forces operating in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1230. Report on feasibility and desirability of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the provision of monetary assistance by the United States to civilian foreign nationals for losses incident to combat activities of the armed forces.

Sec. 1231. Assessment and report on United States-Pakistan military relations and cooperation.

Sec. 1232. Report on progress toward security and stability in Pakistan.

Sec. 1233. Repeal of GAO war-related reporting requirement.

Sec. 1234. Authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Iraq and Afghanistan.

Sec. 1235. Analysis of required force levels and types of forces needed to secure southern and eastern regions of Afghanistan.

Sec. 1236. Modification of report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan.

Sec. 1237. No permanent military bases in Afghanistan.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Sec. 1241. Report on United States engagement with Iran.

Sec. 1242. Annual counterterrorism status reports.

Sec. 1243. Report on United States contributions to the United Nations.

Sec. 1244. NATO Special Operations Coordination Center.

Sec. 1245. Annual report on military power of Iran.

Sec. 1246. Annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.

Sec. 1247. Report on impacts of drawdown authorities on the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1248. Risk assessment of United States space export control policy.

Sec. 1249. Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland.

Sec. 1250. Report on potential foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

Sec. 1251. Report on the plan for the nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, and delivery platforms and sense of Congress on follow-on negotiations to START Treaty.

Sec. 1252. Map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Sec. 1253. Sense of Congress relating to Israel.

Sec. 1254. Sense of Congress on imposing sanctions with respect to Iran.

Sec. 1255. Report and sense of Congress on North Korea.

Sec. 1256. Report on potential missile defense cooperation with Russia.

Subtitle D—VOICE Act

Sec. 1261. Short title.

Sec. 1262. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 1263. Iranian Electronic Education, Exchange, and Media Fund.

Sec. 1264. Annual report.

Sec. 1265. Report on actions by non-Iranian companies.

Sec. 1266. Human rights documentation.

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

SEC. 1201. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR SECURITY AND STABILIZATION ASSISTANCE.

Section 1207(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3458), as amended by section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 369) and section 1207 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4625), is further amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2010”.

SEC. 1202. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY AND MODIFICATION OF NOTIFICATION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR USE OF AUTHORITY FOR SUPPORT OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS TO COMBAT TERRORISM.

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—Section 1208(a) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2086), as amended by section 1208(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4626), is further amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

(b) **NOTIFICATION.**—Section 1208(c) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2086), as amended by section 1208(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4626), is further amended—

(1) by striking “Upon using” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Upon using”;

(2) by inserting after “support of an approved military operation” the following: “or changing the scope or funding level of any support for such an operation”;

(3) by striking “Such a notification need be provided only once with respect to any such operation.”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) **CONTENT.**—Notifications required under this subsection shall include the following information:

“(A) The type of support provided or to be provided to United States special operations forces.

“(B) The type of support provided or to be provided to the recipient of the funds.

“(C) The amount obligated under the authority to provide support.”.

(c) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Section 1208(f) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2086) is amended in the second sentence by striking “shall describe the support” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “shall include the following information:

“(1) A description of supported operations.

“(2) A summary of operations.

“(3) The type of recipients that received support, identified by authorized category (foreign forces, irregular forces, groups, or individuals).

“(4) The total amount obligated in the previous fiscal year, including budget details.

“(5) The total amount obligated in prior fiscal years.

“(6) The intended duration of support.

“(7) A description of support or training provided to the recipients of support.

“(8) A value assessment of the operational support provided.”.

SEC. 1203. MODIFICATION OF REPORT ON FOREIGN-ASSISTANCE RELATED PROGRAMS CARRIED OUT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **AMENDMENT.**—Section 1209 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 368) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “February 1 of each year through February 1, 2013”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1)—

(A) in subparagraph (G), by striking “and” at the end; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(1) subsection (b)(6) of section 166a of title 10, United States Code; and”.

(b) **REPORT FOR FISCAL YEARS 2008 AND 2009.**—The report required to be submitted not later than February 1, 2010, under section 1209(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, as amended by subsection (a), shall include information required under such section with respect to fiscal years 2008 and 2009.

SEC. 1204. REPORT ON AUTHORITIES TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY FORCES AND RELATED MATTERS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the President shall transmit to the congressional committees specified in subsection (b) a report on the following:

(1) The relationship between authorities of the Department of Defense to conduct security cooperation programs to train and equip, or otherwise build the capacity of, foreign military forces and security assistance authorities of the Department of State and other foreign assistance agencies to provide assistance to train and equip, or otherwise build the capacity of, foreign military forces, including the distinction, if any, between the purposes of such authorities,

the processes to generate requirements to satisfy the purposes of such authorities, and the contribution such authorities make to the core missions of each such department and agency.

(2) The strengths and weaknesses of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.), the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2171 et seq.), title 10, United States Code, and any other provision of law relating to training and equipping, or otherwise building the capacity of, foreign military forces, including to conduct counterterrorist operations or participate in or support military and stability operations in which the United State Armed Forces are a participant.

(3) The changes, if any, that should be made to the provisions of law described in paragraph (2) that would improve the ability of the United States Government to train and equip, or otherwise build the capacity of, foreign military forces, including to conduct counterterrorist operations or participate in or support military and stability operations in which the United State Armed Forces are a participant.

(4) The organizational and procedural changes, if any, that should be made in the Department of Defense and the Department of State and other foreign assistance agencies to improve the ability of such departments and agencies to conduct programs to train and equip, or otherwise build the capacity of, foreign military forces, including to conduct counterterrorist operations or participate in or support military and stability operations in which the United State Armed Forces are a participant.

(5) The resources and funding mechanisms required to ensure adequate funding for such programs.

(b) **SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—The congressional committees specified in this subsection are the following:

(1) The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 1205. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AND SUPPORT TO COALITION LIAISON OFFICERS OF CERTAIN FOREIGN NATIONS ASSIGNED TO UNITED STATES JOINT FORCES COMMAND.

(a) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “assigned temporarily” and inserting “assigned temporarily as follows”;

(2) by designating the remainder of the text of that subsection as paragraph (1) and indenting that text two ems from the left margin;

(3) in paragraph (1), as so designated, by striking “to the headquarters” and inserting “To the headquarters”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) To the headquarters of the combatant command assigned by the Secretary of Defense the mission of joint warfighting experimentation and joint forces training.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Paragraph (2) of section 1051a(a) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), shall take effect on October 1, 2009, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

SEC. 1206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROGRAM TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY FORCES.

(a) **TEMPORARY LIMITATION ON AMOUNT FOR BUILDING CAPACITY FOR MILITARY AND STABILITY OPERATIONS.**—Section 1206(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3456), as amended by section 1206 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal

Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2418) and section 1206 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4625), is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) TEMPORARY LIMITATION ON AMOUNT FOR BUILDING CAPACITY TO PARTICIPATE IN OR SUPPORT MILITARY AND STABILITY OPERATIONS.—Of the funds used to carry out a program under subsection (a), not more than \$75,000,000 may be used during fiscal year 2010, and not more than \$75,000,000 may be used during fiscal year 2011, for purposes described in subsection (a)(1)(B).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 2009, and shall apply with respect to programs under section 1206(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 that begin on or after that date.

SEC. 1207. AUTHORITY FOR NON-RECIPROCAL EXCHANGES OF DEFENSE PERSONNEL BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

(a) AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO NON-RECIPROCAL INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE AGREEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into non-reciprocal international defense personnel exchange agreements.

(2) INTERNATIONAL DEFENSE PERSONNEL EXCHANGE AGREEMENTS DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, an international defense personnel exchange agreement is an agreement with the government of an ally of the United States or another friendly foreign country for the exchange of military and civilian personnel of the defense ministry of that foreign government.

(b) ASSIGNMENT OF PERSONNEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to a non-reciprocal international defense personnel exchange agreement, personnel of the defense ministry of a foreign government may be assigned to positions in the Department of Defense.

(2) MUTUAL AGREEMENT REQUIRED.—An individual may not be assigned to a position pursuant to a non-reciprocal international defense personnel exchange agreement unless the assignment is acceptable to both governments.

(c) PAYMENT OF PERSONNEL COSTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The foreign government with which the United States has entered into a non-reciprocal international defense personnel exchange agreement shall pay the salary, per diem, cost of living, travel costs, cost of language or other training, and other costs for its personnel under such agreement in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations of such government.

(2) EXCLUDED COSTS.—Paragraph (1) does not apply to the following costs:

(A) The cost of training programs conducted to familiarize, orient, or certify exchanged personnel regarding unique aspects of the assignments of the exchanged personnel.

(B) Costs incident to the use of facilities of the United States Government in the performance of assigned duties.

(C) The cost of temporary duty of the exchanged personnel directed by the United States Government.

(d) PROHIBITED CONDITIONS.—No personnel exchanged pursuant to a non-reciprocal agreement under this section may take or be required to take an oath of allegiance or to hold an official capacity in the government.

(e) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the end of the fiscal year in which the authority in subsection (a) has been exercised, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the use of the authority through the end of such fiscal year.

(2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the number of non-reciprocal international defense personnel exchange agreements, the number of

personnel assigned pursuant to such agreements, the Department of Defense component to which the personnel have been assigned, the duty title of each assignment, and the countries with which the agreements have been concluded.

(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(f) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority under this section shall expire on September 30, 2012.

SEC. 1208. REPORT ON ALTERNATIVES TO USE OF ACQUISITION AND CROSS-SERVICING AGREEMENTS TO LEND MILITARY EQUIPMENT FOR PERSONNEL PROTECTION AND SURVIVABILITY.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth and assessing various alternatives to the use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements pursuant to the temporary authority in section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2412), as amended by section 1252 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 402), for purposes of lending covered military equipment to military forces of nations as follows:

(1) A nation participating in combined operations with the United States in Iraq and Afghanistan.

(2) A nation participating in combined operations with the United States as part of a peace-keeping operation under the Charter of the United Nations or another international agreement.

(b) COVERED MILITARY EQUIPMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered military equipment” has the meaning given that term in section 1202(d)(1) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007.

SEC. 1209. ENHANCING IRAQI SECURITY THROUGH DEFENSE COOPERATION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND IRAQ.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the role of Foreign Military Sales in meeting the requirements of the military and security forces of Iraq for restoring and maintaining peace and security in Iraq.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the minimum requirements of the military and security forces of Iraq to achieve and sustain internal security.

(2) A description of how Foreign Military Sales may be leveraged to ensure the timely delivery of training, equipment, and supplies beyond the December 2011 drawdown deadline and any recommendations for improving the Foreign Military Sales process with respect to Iraq.

(3) An assessment of the feasibility and desirability of treating an undertaking by the Government of Iraq between the date of the enactment of this Act and December 31, 2011, as a dependable undertaking described in section 22(a) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2762(a)) for the purpose of entering into contracts for the procurement of defense articles and defense services as provided for in that section.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should,

with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, seek to increase the number of positions in professional military education courses, including courses at command and general staff colleges, war colleges, and the service academies, that are made available annually to personnel of the security forces of the Government of Iraq.

(d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

SEC. 1210. AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR THE STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with Secretary of State, shall prescribe regulations regarding the use of funds appropriated to the Department of Defense to pay the costs incurred by the National Guard in conducting activities under the State Partnership Program. The Secretary of Defense shall transmit to the appropriate congressional committees a copy of the regulations not later than 15 days after the date on which the regulations are prescribed under this subsection.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) APPROVAL BY COMMANDER OF COMBATANT COMMAND AND CHIEF OF MISSION.—Funds shall not be available under subsection (a) for activities conducted under the State Partnership Program in a foreign country unless such activities are jointly approved by the commander of the combatant command concerned and the chief of mission concerned.

(2) PARTICIPATION BY MEMBERS.—Funds shall not be available under subsection (a) for the participation of a member of the National Guard in activities conducted under the State Partnership Program in a foreign country unless the member is on active duty in the Armed Forces at the time of such participation.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and not later than the end of each of the fiscal years 2010 through 2013, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the civilian engagement activities conducted under the State Partnership Program, including a detailed description of the activities undertaken and funds expended in the previous fiscal year under the State Partnership Program.

(d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan

SEC. 1221. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES RELATING TO IRAQ.

No funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in this Act may be obligated or expended for a purpose as follows:

(1) To establish any military installation or base for the purpose of providing for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Iraq.

(2) To exercise United States control of the oil resources of Iraq.

SEC. 1222. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM.

(a) ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—

(1) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010.—Subsection (a) of section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006

(Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3455), as amended by section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 366) and section 1214 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4630), is further amended—

(A) in the heading, by striking “FISCAL YEARS 2008 AND 2009” and inserting “FISCAL YEAR 2010”;

(B) by striking “each of fiscal years 2008 and 2009” and inserting “fiscal year 2010”;

(C) by striking “for such fiscal year”;

(D) by striking “\$1,700,000,000 in fiscal year 2008 and \$1,500,000,000 in fiscal year 2009” and inserting “\$1,300,000,000”.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall take effect on October 1, 2009.

(b) **EXTENSION OF DUE DATE FOR QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “15 days” and inserting “30 days”; and

(2) by striking “fiscal years 2008 and 2009” and inserting “any fiscal year during which the authority under subsection (a) is in effect”.

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**—Subsections (e)(1) and (f)(1) of such section are amended by striking “the date of the enactment of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009” and inserting “October 14, 2008”.

(d) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS FOR SUPPORT OF AFGHANISTAN NATIONAL SOLIDARITY PROGRAM.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—If the Secretary of Defense determines that the use of Commanders’ Emergency Response Program funds to support the Afghanistan National Solidarity Program would enhance counterinsurgency operations or stability operations in Afghanistan, the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds, from amounts available for the Commanders’ Emergency Response Program for fiscal year 2010, to the Secretary of State for purposes of supporting the Afghanistan National Solidarity Program.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The amount of funds transferrable under paragraph (1) may not exceed \$50,000,000.

(3) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—Not later than 15 days before transferring funds under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the Secretary’s determination pursuant to paragraph (1) and a description of the amount of funds to be transferred under that paragraph.

(4) **EXPIRATION.**—The authority to transfer funds under paragraph (1) shall expire at the close of September 30, 2010.

(e) **USE OF FUNDS FOR REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES IN AFGHANISTAN.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Government of Afghanistan and with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may utilize such funds as necessary from amounts available for the Commanders’ Emergency Response Program for fiscal year 2010 to support the reintegration into Afghan society of those individuals who have renounced violence against the Government of Afghanistan.

(2) **QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on activities carried out utilizing the authority of paragraph (1). Such report shall be included in the report required under section 1202(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3455), and shall be specifically identified as having been carried out under the authority of paragraph (1).

(B) **COPY OF REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate

with a copy of that portion of the report required by section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3455) that pertains to expenditures carried out under the authority of paragraph (1).

(3) **EXPIRATION.**—The authority to utilize funds under paragraph (1) shall expire at the close of September 30, 2010.

(f) **REVIEW OF PROGRAM.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a thorough review of the Commander’s Emergency Response Program and submit to the congressional defense committees the results of such review.

(g) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term “Commanders’ Emergency Response Program” has the meaning given the term in section 1202(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3456).

SEC. 1223. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.

(a) **EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 393) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “section 1508” and inserting “section 1509(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010”;

(B) by striking “key cooperating nation for logistical” and inserting the following: “key cooperating nation for the following:

“(1) Logistical”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Logistical, military, and other support, including access, provided by that nation to or in connection with United States military operations described in paragraph (1).”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (c), (d), and (e), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

“(b) **OTHER SUPPORT.**—Using funds described in subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of Defense may also assist any key cooperating nation supporting United States military operations in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan through the following:

“(1) The provision of specialized training to personnel of that nation in connection with such operations, including training of such personnel before deployment in connection with such operations.

“(2) The procurement and provision of supplies to that nation in connection with such operations.

“(3) The procurement of specialized equipment and the loaning of such specialized equipment to that nation on a non-reimbursable basis in connection with such operations.”.

(b) **AMOUNTS OF SUPPORT.**—Paragraph (2) of subsection (c) of such section (as redesignated) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) **SUPPORT.**—Support authorized by subsection (b) may be provided in such amounts as the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget considers appropriate.”.

(c) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.**—Paragraph (1) of subsection (d) of such section (as redesignated) is amended by adding at the end the following: “The aggregate amount of reimbursements made under subsection (a) and support provided under subsection (b) during fiscal year 2010 may not exceed \$1,600,000,000.”.

(d) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—Subsection (e) of such section (as redesignated) is amended by striking “shall—” and all that follows and inserting “shall notify the appropriate congressional committees not later than 15 days before making any reimbursement under the authority

in subsection (a) or providing any support under the authority in subsection (b). In the case of any reimbursement to Pakistan under the authority of this section, such notice shall be made in accordance with the notice requirements under section 1232(b).”.

(e) **QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) **QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees on a quarterly basis a report on any reimbursements made under the authority in subsection (a), and any support provided under the authority in subsection (b), during such quarter.”.

(f) **DEFINITION.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

“(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.”.

(g) **EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.**—Section 1232(b)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 393), as amended by section 1217(d) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4635), is further amended by striking “September 30, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

SEC. 1224. PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY FUND.

(a) **AVAILABILITY.**—

(1) **AMOUNTS IN THE FUND.**—The Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund (in this section referred to as the “Fund”) shall consist of the following:

(A) Amounts appropriated to the Fund for fiscal year 2009.

(B) Amounts transferred to the Fund pursuant to subsection (d).

(2) **INITIAL ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—Concurrent with the initial use of funds available under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report setting forth an assessment by the Secretary as to whether the Government of Pakistan is making concerted efforts to confront the threat posed by al Qa’ida, the Taliban, and other militant extremists based on the national security interests of Pakistan.

(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Amounts in the Fund shall be made available to the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide assistance (including program management and the provision of equipment, supplies, services, training, facility and infrastructure repair, renovation, and construction) to the security forces of Pakistan (including military forces, police forces, and the Frontier Corps) to build and maintain the counterinsurgency capability of such forces, and of which not more than \$4,000,000 may be made available to provide humanitarian assistance to the people of Pakistan only as part of civil-military training exercises for such forces receiving assistance under the Fund.

(2) **RELATION TO OTHER AUTHORITIES.**—Except as otherwise provided in section 1225 of this Act, amounts in the Fund are authorized to be made available subject only to the terms and conditions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law. The authority to provide assistance under this subsection is in addition to any other authority to provide assistance to foreign countries.

(c) **TRANSFERS FROM FUND.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may transfer such amounts as the Secretary determines to be appropriate from the Fund—

(A) to any account available to the Department of Defense, or

(B) with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and head of the relevant Federal department or agency, to any other non-intelligence related Federal account,

for purposes consistent with this section.

(2) *TREATMENT OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.*—Subject to subsection (b)(2), amounts transferred to an account under the authority of paragraph (1) shall be merged with amounts in such account and shall be made available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such account.

(3) *TRANSFERS BACK TO FUND.*—Upon a determination by the Secretary of Defense with respect to funds transferred under paragraph (1)(A), or the head of the other Federal department or agency with the concurrence of the Secretary of State with respect to funds transferred under paragraph (1)(B), that all or part of amounts transferred from the Fund under paragraph (1) are not necessary for the purpose provided, such amounts may be transferred back to the Fund and shall be made available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as originally applicable under subsection (b).

(d) *TRANSFERS TO FUND.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Fund may include amounts transferred by the Secretary of State, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense, under any authority of the Secretary of State to transfer funds under any provision of law.

(2) *TREATMENT OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.*—Amounts transferred to the Fund under the authority of paragraph (1) shall be subject to any restriction relating to payments for Letters of Offer and Acceptance as a condition of the authority to transfer funds under paragraph (1), and merged with amounts in the Fund and shall be made available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in the Fund.

(e) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—Amounts in the Fund may not be transferred from the Fund under this section until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense notifies the appropriate congressional committees in writing of the details of the proposed transfer.

(f) *QUARTERLY REPORTS.*—Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that summarizes, on a project-by-project basis, any transfer of funds from the Fund under this section during such fiscal quarter.

(g) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(h) *SUNSET.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the authority provided under this section terminates at the close of September 30, 2010.

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—Any program supported from amounts in the Fund established before the close of September 30, 2010, may be completed after that date but only using amounts appropriated or transferred to the Fund on or before that date.

SEC. 1225. PROGRAM TO PROVIDE FOR THE REGISTRATION AND END-USE MONITORING OF DEFENSE ARTICLES AND DEFENSE SERVICES TRANSFERRED TO AFGHANISTAN AND PAKISTAN.

(a) *PROGRAM REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and carry out a program to provide for the registration and end-use monitoring of defense articles and defense services transferred to Afghanistan and Pakistan in accordance with the requirements under subsection (b) and to prohibit the retransfer of such defense articles and defense services without the consent of the United States. The program required under this subsection shall be limited to the transfer of defense articles and defense services—

(A) pursuant to authorities other than the Arms Export Control Act or the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961; and

(B) using funds made available to the Department of Defense, including funds available pursuant to the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

(2) *PROHIBITION.*—No defense articles or defense services that would be subject to the program required under this subsection may be transferred to—

(A) the Government of Afghanistan or any other group, organization, citizen, or resident of Afghanistan, or

(B) the Government of Pakistan or any other group, organization, citizen, or resident of Pakistan,

until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the specified congressional committees that the program required under this subsection has been established.

(b) *REGISTRATION AND END-USE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.*—The registration and end-use monitoring requirements under this subsection shall include the following:

(1) A detailed record of the origin, shipping, and distribution of defense articles and defense services transferred to—

(A) the Government of Afghanistan and other groups, organizations, citizens, and residents of Afghanistan; and

(B) the Government of Pakistan and other groups, organizations, citizens, and residents of Pakistan.

(2) The registration of the serial numbers of all small arms to be provided to—

(A) the Government of Afghanistan and other groups, organizations, citizens, and residents of Afghanistan; and

(B) the Government of Pakistan and other groups, organizations, citizens, and residents of Pakistan.

(3) A program of end-use monitoring of lethal defense articles and defense services transferred to the entities and individuals described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1).

(c) *REVIEW; EXEMPTION.*—

(1) *REVIEW.*—The Secretary of Defense shall periodically review the defense articles and defense services subject to the registration and end-use monitoring requirements under subsection (b) to determine which defense articles and defense services, if any, should no longer be subject to such registration and end-use monitoring requirements. The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the specified congressional committees the results of each review conducted under this paragraph.

(2) *EXEMPTION.*—The Secretary of Defense may exempt a defense article or defense service from the registration and end-use monitoring requirements under subsection (b) beginning on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary provides notice of the proposed exemption to the specified congressional committees. Such notice shall describe any controls to be imposed on such defense article or defense service, as the case may be, under any other provision of law.

(d) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *DEFENSE ARTICLE.*—The term “defense article” has the meaning given the term in section 644(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2403(d)).

(2) *DEFENSE SERVICE.*—The term “defense service” has the meaning given the term in sec-

tion 644(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2403(f)).

(3) *SMALL ARM.*—The term “small arm” means—

(A) a handgun or pistol;

(B) a shoulder-fired weapon, including a subcarbine, carbine, or rifle;

(C) a light, medium, or heavy automatic weapon up to and including a .50 caliber machine gun;

(D) a recoilless rifle up to and including 106mm;

(E) a mortar up to and including 81mm;

(F) a rocket launcher, man-portable;

(G) a grenade launcher, rifle and shoulder fired; and

(H) an individually-operated weapon which is portable or can be fired without special mounts or firing devices and which has potential use in civil disturbances and is vulnerable to theft.

(4) *SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.*—The term “specified congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(e) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—The Secretary of Defense may delay the effective date of this section by an additional period of up to 120 days if the Secretary certifies in writing to the specified congressional committees for such additional period that it is in the vital interest of the United States to do so and includes in the certification a description of such vital interest.

SEC. 1226. REPORTS ON CAMPAIGN PLANS FOR IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.

(a) *REPORTS REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees separate reports containing assessments of the extent to which the campaign plan for Iraq and the campaign plan for Afghanistan (including the supporting and implementing documents for each such plan) each adhere to military doctrine (as defined in the Department of Defense's Joint Publication 5-0, Joint Operation Planning), including the elements set forth in subsection (b).

(b) *MATTERS TO BE ASSESSED.*—The matters to be included in the assessments required under subsection (a) are as follows:

(1) The extent to which each campaign plan identifies and prioritizes the conditions that must be achieved in each phase of the campaign.

(2) The extent to which each campaign plan reports the number of combat brigade teams and other forces required for each campaign phase.

(3) The extent to which each campaign plan estimates the time needed to reach the desired end state and complete the military portion of the campaign.

(c) *UPDATE OF REPORT.*—The Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees an update of the report on the campaign plan for Iraq or the campaign plan for Afghanistan required under subsection (a) whenever the campaign plan for Iraq or the campaign plan for Afghanistan, as the case may be, is substantially updated or altered.

(d) *EXCEPTION.*—If the Comptroller General determines that a report submitted to Congress by the Comptroller General before the date of the enactment of this Act substantially meets the requirements of subsection (a) for the submission of a report on the campaign plan for Iraq or the campaign plan for Afghanistan, the Comptroller General shall so notify the congressional defense committees in writing, but shall provide an update of the report as required under subsection (c).

(e) **TERMINATION.**—

(1) **REPORTS ON IRAQ.**—The requirement to submit updates of reports on the campaign plan for Iraq under subsection (c) shall terminate on December 31, 2011.

(2) **REPORTS ON AFGHANISTAN.**—The requirement to submit updates of reports on the campaign plan for Afghanistan under subsection (c) shall terminate on September 30, 2012.

SEC. 1227. REPORT ON RESPONSIBLE REDEPLOYMENT OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM IRAQ.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, or December 31, 2009, whichever occurs later, and every 90 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report concerning the responsible redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Iraq in accordance with the policy announced by the President on February 27, 2009, and the Agreement Between the United States of America and the Republic of Iraq On the Withdrawal of United States Forces From Iraq and the Organization of Their Activities During Their Temporary Presence in Iraq.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) The number of United States military personnel in Iraq by service and component for each month of the preceding 90-day period and an estimate of the personnel levels in Iraq for the 90-day period following submission of the report.

(2) The number and type of military installations in Iraq occupied by 100 or more United States military personnel and the number of such military installations closed, consolidated, or transferred to the Government of Iraq in the preceding 90-day period.

(3) An estimate of the number of military vehicles, containers of equipment, tons of ammunition, or other significant items belonging to the Department of Defense removed from Iraq during the preceding 90-day period, an estimate of the remaining amount of such items belonging to the Department of Defense, and an assessment of the likelihood of successfully removing, demilitarizing, or otherwise transferring all items belonging to the Department of Defense from Iraq on or before December 31, 2011.

(4) An assessment of United States detainee operations and releases. Such assessment should include the total number of detainees held by the United States in Iraq, the number of detainees in each threat level category, the number of detainees who are not nationals of Iraq, the number of detainees transferred to Iraqi authorities, the number of detainees who were released from United States custody and the reasons for their release, and the number of detainees who having been released in the past were recaptured or had their remains identified planning or after carrying out attacks on United States or Coalition forces.

(5) A listing of the objective and subjective factors utilized by the commander of Multi-National Force–Iraq, including any changes to that list in the case of an update to the report, to determine risk levels associated with the drawdown of United States Armed Forces, and the process and timing that will be utilized by the commander of Multi-National Force–Iraq and the Secretary of Defense to assess risk and make recommendations to the President about either continuing the redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Iraq in accordance with the schedule announced by the President or modifying the pace or timing of that redeployment.

(c) **INCLUSION IN OTHER REPORTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) and any updates to the report may be included in any other required report on Iraq submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Defense.

(d) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (a), whether or not included in another

report on Iraq submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Defense, may include a classified annex.

(e) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1228. REPORT ON COMMUNITY-BASED SECURITY PROGRAMS IN AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Afghan Public Protection Program and other similar programs for community-based security forces in Afghanistan (in this section collectively referred to as the “programs”).

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) An assessment of the programs in Afghanistan, including, at a minimum, the following elements:

(A) A listing and short description of the programs, including major elements of each program.

(B) An evaluation of the changes in security conditions in the districts in which each program is located, from each program’s inception to the date of the report.

(C) The extent to which the forces developed under the programs are generally representative of the ethnic groups in the respective districts in which the programs are located.

(D) If the forces developed under the programs are appropriately representative of the geographic area of responsibility.

(E) An assessment of the effectiveness of each program, including, to the extent practicable, the views of the local communities and Afghan national, provincial, and district governmental officials and leaders of the local communities.

(F) Any formal reviews of the programs that are planned for the future and the timelines on which the reviews would be conducted, by whom the reviews would be conducted, and the criteria that would be used.

(G) The selection criteria that were used to select members of the program in the initial pilot districts and how the members were vetted.

(H) The costs to the Department of Defense to support the program in the initial pilot districts, to include any Commanders’ Emergency Response Program funds spent as formal or informal incentives.

(I) The roles of the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) in supporting and training forces under each program.

(J) Any other criteria used to evaluate the programs by the Commander of United States Forces–Afghanistan.

(2) An assessment of the future of the programs, including, at a minimum, the following elements:

(A) A description of the goals and objectives expected to be met by the expansion of the programs or the establishment of similar programs.

(B) A description of how such expansions would support the functions of the Afghan National Police.

(C) A description of how districts or provinces will be chosen to participate in the programs, including an explanation of the following:

(i) What mechanisms the Government of Afghanistan will use to select additional districts or provinces, including participants in the decision process and the criteria used.

(ii) How the views of relevant United States Government departments and agencies and of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)

International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) will be taken into account by the Government of Afghanistan when choosing districts or provinces to participate in the programs.

(iii) What process will be used to evaluate any changes to the programs as executed in the past to account for different or unique circumstances in additional areas of expansion.

(D) An assessment of personnel, assets, or funding of the Department of Defense that would likely be required to support any expansion of the programs.

(E) A description of the formal process, led by the Government of Afghanistan, that will be used to evaluate the programs, including a description of the following:

(i) A listing of the criteria that are expected to be considered in the process.

(ii) The roles in the process of—

(I) the Government of Afghanistan;

(II) relevant United States Government departments and agencies;

(III) NATO-ISAF;

(IV) nongovernmental representatives of the people of Afghanistan; and

(V) any other appropriate individuals and entities.

(F) A description of whether members of the forces developed under the programs will be transitioned to the ANSF or to other employment in the future, including a description of—

(i) the process that will be used to transition the forces;

(ii) additional training that may be required; and

(iii) how decisions will be made to transition the forces to the ANSF or other employment.

(G) The Afghan chain of command that will be used to implement the programs and provide command and control over the units created by the programs.

SEC. 1229. UPDATES OF REPORT ON COMMAND AND CONTROL STRUCTURE FOR MILITARY FORCES OPERATING IN AFGHANISTAN.

Section 1216(d) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4634) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Any update of the report required under subsection (c) may be included in the report required under section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 385).”.

SEC. 1230. REPORT ON FEASIBILITY AND DESIRABILITY OF ESTABLISHING GENERAL UNIFORM PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR THE PROVISION OF MONETARY ASSISTANCE BY THE UNITED STATES TO CIVILIAN FOREIGN NATIONALS FOR LOSSES INCIDENT TO COMBAT ACTIVITIES OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the feasibility and the desirability of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the provision by the United States of monetary assistance to civilian foreign nationals for losses, injuries, or death (hereafter “harm”) incident to combat activities of the United States Armed Forces.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED IN REPORT.**—The Secretary shall include in the report the following:

(1) A description of the authorities under laws in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act for the United States to provide compensation, monetary payments, or other assistance to civilians who incur harm due directly or indirectly to the combat activities of the United States Armed Forces.

(2) A description of the practices in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act for the United States to provide ex gratia, solatia, or other types of condolence payments to civilians who incur harm due directly or indirectly to the combat activities of the United States Armed Forces.

(3) A discussion of the historic practice of the United States to provide compensation, other

monetary payments, or other assistance to civilian foreign nationals who incur harm due directly or indirectly to combat activities of the United States Armed Forces.

(4) A discussion of the practice of the United States in Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom to provide compensation, other monetary payments, or other assistance to civilian foreign nationals who incur harm due directly or indirectly to the combat activities of the United States Armed Forces, including the procedures and guidelines used and an assessment of its effectiveness. This discussion will also include estimates of the total amount of funds disbursed to civilian foreign nationals who have incurred harm since the inception of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. This discussion will also include how such procedures and guidelines compare to the processing of claims filed under the Foreign Claims Act.

(5) A discussion of the positive and negative effects of using different authorities, procedures, and guidelines to provide monetary assistance to civilian foreign nationals, based upon the culture and economic circumstances of the local populace and the operational impact on the military mission. This discussion will also include whether the use of different authorities, procedures, and guidelines has resulted in disparate monetary assistance to civilian foreign nationals who have incurred substantially similar harm, and if so, the frequency and effect of such results.

(6) A discussion of the positive and negative effects of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the provision of such assistance, based upon the goals of timely commencement of a program of monetary assistance, efficient and effective implementation of such program, and consistency in the amount of assistance in relation to the harm incurred. This discussion will also include whether the implementation of general uniform procedures and guidelines would create a legally enforceable entitlement to "compensation" and, if so, any potential significant operational impact arising from such an entitlement.

(7) Assuming general uniform procedures and guidelines were to be established, a discussion of the following:

(A) Whether such assistance should be limited to specified types of combat activities or operations, e.g., such as during counterinsurgency operations.

(B) Whether such assistance should be contingent upon a formal determination that a particular combat activity/operation is a qualifying activity, and the criteria, if any, for such a determination.

(C) Whether a time limit from the date of loss for providing such assistance should be prescribed.

(D) Whether only monetary or other types of assistance should be authorized, and what types of nonmonetary assistance, if any, should be authorized.

(E) Whether monetary value limits should be placed on the assistance that may be provided, or whether the determination to provide assistance and, if so, the monetary value of such assistance, should be based, in whole or in part, on a legal advisor's assessment of the facts.

(F) Whether a written record of the determination to provide or to not provide such assistance should be maintained and a copy made available to the civilian foreign national.

(G) Whether in the event of a determination to not provide such assistance the civilian foreign national should be afforded the option of a review of the determination by a higher ranking authority.

(c) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The Secretary shall include in the report such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate for legislative or administrative action with respect to the matters discussed in the report.

(d) **SUBMISSION OF REPORT.**—The report shall be submitted not later than 180 days after the

date of the enactment of this Act. The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1231. ASSESSMENT AND REPORT ON UNITED STATES-PAKISTAN MILITARY RELATIONS AND COOPERATION.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall conduct an assessment of possible alternatives to reimbursements to Pakistan for logistical, military, or other support provided by Pakistan to or in connection with United States military operations, which could encourage the Pakistani military to undertake counterterrorism and counterinsurgency operations and achieve the goals and objectives for long-term United States-Pakistan military relations and cooperation.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the assessment required under subsection (a).

(c) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.

(d) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 1232. REPORT ON PROGRESS TOWARD SECURITY AND STABILITY IN PAKISTAN.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—The President shall submit to Congress a report on the progress toward long-term security and stability in Pakistan. The report required under this subsection shall be submitted concurrent with the submission of each report under section 1232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 392), as amended by section 1217 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4634), on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall address, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) The effectiveness of efforts to achieve the following strategic goals:

(A) To disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al Qaeda, its affiliated networks, and other extremist forces in Pakistan.

(B) To eliminate the safe havens for such forces in Pakistan.

(C) To prevent the return of such forces to Pakistan or Afghanistan.

(2) The effectiveness of United States security assistance to Pakistan to achieve the strategic goals described in paragraph (1).

(3) For any strategic goal addressed under this subsection, a description of any additional goals and objectives, and the timelines for meeting such goals and objectives.

(4) A description of the metrics used to assess progress toward each goal and objective and along each timeline described in paragraph (3).

(c) **FORM.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall be transmitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex if necessary.

SEC. 1233. REPEAL OF GAO WAR-RELATED REPORTING REQUIREMENT.

Section 1221(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3462) is amended by striking the following: "Based on these reports, the Comptroller General shall provide to Congress quarterly updates on the costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom."

SEC. 1234. AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER DEFENSE ARTICLES AND PROVIDE DEFENSE SERVICES TO THE MILITARY AND SECURITY FORCES OF IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized to transfer defense articles from the stocks of the Department of Defense, without reimbursement from the Government of Iraq or the Government of Afghanistan, and to provide defense services in connection with the transfer of such defense articles, to—

(1) the military and security forces of Iraq to support the efforts of those forces to restore and maintain peace and security in that country; and

(2) the military and security forces of Afghanistan to support the efforts of those forces to restore and maintain peace and security in that country.

(b) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **VALUE.**—The aggregate replacement value of all defense articles transferred and defense services provided under subsection (a) may not exceed \$750,000,000.

(2) **SOURCE OF TRANSFERRED DEFENSE ARTICLES.**—The authority under subsection (a) may only be used for defense articles that—

(A)(i) were present in Iraq as of the date of the enactment of this Act;

(ii) immediately before the transfer were in use to support operations in Iraq; and

(iii) are no longer required by United States forces in Iraq; or

(B)(i) were present in Kuwait as of the date of enactment of this Act;

(ii) prior to being transferred to Kuwait were in use to support operations in Iraq; and

(iii) are no longer required by United States forces in Iraq or Kuwait (as the case may be).

(c) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—Any defense articles transferred or defense services provided to Iraq or Afghanistan under the authority of subsection (a) shall be subject to the authorities and limitations applicable to excess defense articles under section 516 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j), other than the authorities and limitations contained in subsections (b)(1)(B), (e), (f), and (g) of such section.

(d) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may not exercise the authority under subsection (a) until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, provides the appropriate congressional committees a report on the plan for the disposition of equipment and other property of the Department of Defense in Iraq or Kuwait (as the case may be).

(2) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) An assessment of—

(i) the types and quantities of defense articles required by the military and security forces of Iraq to support the efforts of those military and security forces to restore and maintain peace and security in Iraq; and

(ii) the types and quantities of defense articles required by the military and security forces of Afghanistan to support the efforts of those military and security forces to restore and maintain peace and security in Afghanistan.

(B) A description of the authorities available for addressing the requirements identified in subparagraph (A).

(C) A description of the process for inventorying equipment and property, including defense articles, in Iraq or Kuwait owned by the Department of Defense, including equipment and property owned by the Department of Defense and under the control of contractors in Iraq.

(D) A description of the types of defense articles that the Department of Defense intends to transfer to the military and security forces of

Iraq and an estimate of the quantity of such defense articles to be transferred.

(E) A description of the types of defense articles that the Department of Defense intends to transfer to the military and security forces of Afghanistan and an estimate of the quantity of such defense articles to be transferred.

(F) A description of the process by which potential requirements, including requirements related to responding to natural disasters and other domestic emergencies in the continental United States, for defense articles to be transferred under the authority provided in subsection (a), other than the requirements of the security forces of Iraq or Afghanistan, are identified and the mechanism for resolving any potential conflicting requirements for such defense articles.

(G) A description of the plan, if any, for reimbursing military departments from which non-excess defense articles are transferred under the authority provided in subsection (a).

(H) An assessment of the efforts by the Government of Iraq to identify the requirements of the military and security forces of Iraq for defense articles to support the efforts of those forces to restore and maintain peace and security in that country.

(I) An assessment of the ability of the Governments of Iraq and Afghanistan to absorb the costs associated with possessing and using the defense articles to be transferred.

(J) A description of the steps taken by the Government of Iraq to procure or acquire defense articles to meet the requirements of the military and security forces of Iraq, including through military sales from the United States.

(e) NOTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may not transfer defense articles or provide defense services under subsection (a) until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, has provided notice of the proposed transfer of defense articles or provision of defense services to the appropriate congressional committees.

(2) CONTENTS.—Such notification shall include—

(A) a description of the amount and type of each defense article to be transferred or defense services to be provided;

(B) a statement describing the current value of such article and the estimated replacement value of such article;

(C) a description of whether the article is considered to be an excess defense article or a non-excess defense article;

(D) an identification of the military department from which the defense articles being transferred are drawn;

(E) an identification of the element of the military or security force that is the proposed recipient of each defense article to be transferred or defense service to be provided; and

(F) a certification and determination by the Secretary of Defense that—

(i) the defense articles to be transferred are required by the military and security forces of Iraq or the military and security forces of Afghanistan, as applicable, to build their capacity to restore and maintain peace and security in their country;

(ii) the government of the recipient country has agreed to accept and take possession of the defense articles to be transferred and to receive the defense services in connection with that transfer; and

(iii) the proposed transfer of such defense articles and the provision of defense services in connection with such transfer is in the national interest of the United States.

(f) QUARTERLY REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the report provided under subsection (d), and every 90 days thereafter during fiscal year 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall report to the appropriate congressional committees on

the implementation of the authority under subsection (a). The report shall include the replacement value of defense articles transferred pursuant to subsection (a), both in the aggregate and by military department, and services provided to Iraq and Afghanistan during the previous 90 days.

(2) INCLUSION IN OTHER REPORT.—The report required under paragraph (1) may be included in the report required under section 9204 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 122 Stat. 2410) or any follow on report to such other report.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(2) DEFENSE ARTICLES.—The term “defense articles” has the meaning given the term in section 644(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2403(d)).

(3) DEFENSE SERVICES.—The term “defense services” has the meaning given the term in section 644(f) of such Act (22 U.S.C. 2403(f)).

(4) MILITARY AND SECURITY FORCES.—The term “military and security forces” means national armies, national air forces, national navies, national guard forces, police forces and border security forces, but does not include non-governmental or irregular forces (such as private militias).

(h) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided under subsection (a) may not be exercised after September 30, 2010.

(i) EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES.—

(1) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The authority provided by subsection (a) is in addition to the authority provided by section 516 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.

(2) AGGREGATE VALUE.—The value of excess defense articles transferred to Iraq or Afghanistan during fiscal year 2010 pursuant to section 516 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 shall not be counted against the limitation on the aggregate value of excess defense articles transferred contained in subsection (g) of such Act or against the limitation on the aggregate value of defense articles transferred contained in subsection (b)(1) of this section.

(j) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as to provide the authority to refurbish, transport, or otherwise assist in the transfer to Iraq or Afghanistan of excess defense articles outside of Iraq or Kuwait as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1235. ANALYSIS OF REQUIRED FORCE LEVELS AND TYPES OF FORCES NEEDED TO SECURE SOUTHERN AND EASTERN REGIONS OF AFGHANISTAN.

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense may, in support of the Commander of United States Forces for Afghanistan (USFOR-A), enter into a contract with a Federally Funded Research Development Center (FFRDC) to provide an analysis of the required force levels and types of forces needed to implement the Commander's strategic objectives in Afghanistan, including securing the southern and eastern regions of Afghanistan in order to provide a space for the Government of Afghanistan to establish effective government control and provide the Afghan security forces with the required training and mentoring.

(b) FUNDING.—From funds made available for the Department of Defense by section 301(5) for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide activities, \$3,000,000 may be used to carry out subsection (a).

SEC. 1236. MODIFICATION OF REPORT ON PROGRESS TOWARD SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Subsection (a) of section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization

Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 385) is amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2011”.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: STRATEGIC DIRECTION OF UNITED STATES ACTIVITIES RELATING TO SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:

“(B) A description of commitments or agreements by NATO ISAF countries regarding the following:

“(i) Mutually agreed upon goals.

“(ii) Strategies to achieve such goals.

“(iii) Resource and force requirements.

“(iv) Commitments and pledges of support regarding troops and resource levels.”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (6) as paragraphs (3) through (7), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) NON-NATO ISAF TROOP-CONTRIBUTING COUNTRIES.—A description of commitments or agreements with non-NATO ISAF troop-contributing countries regarding the following:

“(A) Mutually agreed upon goals.

“(B) Strategies to achieve such goals.

“(C) Resource and force requirements.

“(D) Commitments and pledges of support regarding troops and resource levels.”.

(c) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND MEASURES OF PROGRESS TOWARD SUSTAINABLE LONG-TERM SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.—Subsection (d)(2) of such section is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “individual NATO ISAF countries” and inserting “each individual NATO ISAF country”;

(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (K) as subparagraphs (D) through (L), respectively;

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) With respect to non-NATO ISAF troop-contributing countries, a listing of contributions from each individual country, including levels of troops and equipment, the effect of contributions on operations, and unfulfilled commitments.”;

(4) by redesignating subparagraphs (F) through (L) (as redesignated) as subparagraphs (G) through (M), respectively;

(5) by inserting after subparagraph (E) (as redesignated) the following new subparagraph:

“(F) An assessment of progress in ending the ability of the insurgency (including the Taliban, Al Qaeda, and other anti-government elements), to establish control over the population of Afghanistan or regions of Afghanistan and establish safe havens in Afghanistan, and to conduct attacks inside or outside Afghanistan from such safe havens.”; and

(6) in subparagraph (J) (as redesignated)—

(A) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iv); and

(B) by inserting after clause (i) the following:

“(ii) The coordination of reconstruction and development activities in Afghanistan, including—

“(I) the roles of members of the Armed Forces and non-Armed Forces personnel within the staffing of United States-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams;

“(II) the use of members of the Armed Forces for reconstruction, development, and capacity building programs outside the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense; and

“(III) the coordination between United States-led and other international-led programs to develop the capacity of national, provincial, and local government and other civil institutions as well as reconstruction and development activities in Afghanistan.

“(iii) Unfilled staffing and resource requirements for United States reconstruction, development, and civil institution capacity building programs.”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d)(2) of such section, as amended, is further amended in subparagraph (K) (as redesignated) by striking “subsection (c)(4)” and inserting “subsection (c)(5)”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to any report required to be submitted under section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 385) after December 31, 2009.

SEC. 1237. NO PERMANENT MILITARY BASES IN AFGHANISTAN.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended by the United States Government to establish any military installation or base for the purpose of providing for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

SEC. 1241. REPORT ON UNITED STATES ENGAGEMENT WITH IRAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 31, 2010, the President shall submit to Congress a report on United States engagement with Iran.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) DIPLOMATIC ENGAGEMENT.—With respect to diplomatic engagement, the following:

(A) A description of areas of mutual interest to the Government of the United States and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran in which cooperation and discussion could be of mutual interest.

(B) A discussion and assessment of the commitment of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran to engage in good-faith discussions with the United States to resolve matters of concern through negotiation.

(C) An assessment of direct contacts between the Government of the United States and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, including any direct discussions, exchange of letters, or other activities.

(2) SUPPORT FOR TERRORISM.—An assessment of the types and amount of support provided by Iran to groups designated by the United States as foreign terrorist organizations and regional militant groups, including organizations and groups present in Iraq and Afghanistan.

(3) NUCLEAR ACTIVITIES.—With respect to nuclear activities, an assessment of the extent to which the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has complied with United Nations Security Council Resolutions 1696 (2006), 1737 (2006), 1747 (2007), 1803 (2008), and 1835 (2008), and with any other applicable resolutions adopted by the United Nations Security Council as of the date of the report.

(4) MISSILE ACTIVITIES.—With respect to missile activities, an assessment of the extent to which the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has continued development of its ballistic missile program, including participation in any imports or exports of any items, materials, goods, and technologies related to that program and has complied with applicable United Nations Security Council Resolutions.

(5) SUPPORT TO NARCOTICS NETWORK IN AFGHANISTAN.—With respect to support to the narcotics network in Afghanistan, an assessment of the extent to which the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, or agencies under that government, has or have supported or facilitated the narcotics trade in Afghanistan.

(6) SANCTIONS AGAINST IRAN.—With regard to sanctions against Iran—

(A) a list of all current United States bilateral and multilateral sanctions against Iran;

(B) a description and discussion of United States diplomatic efforts to enforce bilateral and multilateral sanctions against Iran and to

strengthen international efforts to enforce such sanctions;

(C) an assessment of the impact and effectiveness of existing bilateral and multilateral sanctions against Iran in achieving United States goals;

(D) a list of all United States and foreign registered entities that the Secretary of State has determined to be engaged in activities in violation of existing United States bilateral or multilateral sanctions against Iran; and

(E) a summary of United States efforts to enforce sanctions against Iran, including—

(i) a list of all investigations initiated in the 18-month period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act that have resulted in a determination that activities subject to sanctions have occurred; and

(ii) a description of the actions taken by the United States Government pursuant to each such determination.

(c) SUBMITTAL OF SIMILAR REPORTS AND MATERIALS.—If any report or other material, whether required by law or not, submitted to Congress or any committee of Congress substantially responds to any requirement contained in this section, such requirement shall be considered to have been satisfied by including in the report required by subsection (a) a listing the title and date of the other such report or material so submitted.

(d) SUBMITTAL IN CLASSIFIED FORM.—The report required by subsection (a), or any part of such report, may be submitted in classified form if the President considers it appropriate.

SEC. 1242. ANNUAL COUNTERTERRORISM STATUS REPORTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the “Success in Countering Al Qaeda Reporting Requirements Act of 2009”.

(b) ANNUAL COUNTERTERRORISM STATUS REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 30, 2010, and every September 30 thereafter until September 30, 2012, the President shall submit to Congress a report that contains, for the most recent 12-month period, a review of the counterterrorism strategy of the United States Government, including—

(A) a detailed assessment of the scope, status, and progress of United States counterterrorism efforts in fighting Al Qaeda and its related affiliates and undermining long-term support for violent extremism;

(B) a judgment on the adequacy of interagency integration of the counterterrorism programs and activities of the Department of Defense, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Department of State, the Department of the Treasury, the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and other Federal departments and agencies and the balance of resource commitments among such departments and agencies;

(C) a delineation of the boundaries and integration between—

(i) the strategic operational planning role of the National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC) for counterterrorism;

(ii) the operational planning role of the Department of Defense and, if applicable, the Central Intelligence Agency, for counterinsurgency and foreign internal defense;

(iii) the operational planning role of the Department of State and other Federal departments and agencies for diplomacy and foreign assistance to promote stability, human rights, prosperity, and other general United States foreign policy goals; and

(iv) the role of the President’s National Security Council staff to coordinate the national security interagency process;

(D) a determination of whether the NCTC exercises the authority and has the resources and expertise required to fulfill the interagency strategic and operational planning role described in section 119(j) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 404o), as added by section 1012 of

the National Security Intelligence Reform Act of 2004 (title I of Public Law 108-458);

(E) a description of the efforts of the United States Government to combat Al Qaeda and its related affiliates and undermine violent extremist ideology, which shall include—

(i) a specific list of the President’s highest global counterterrorism priorities;

(ii) a description of the most challenging areas for progress, in meeting the priorities described in clause (i); and

(iii) efforts in those countries in which the President determines that—

(I) Al Qaeda and its related affiliates have a presence; or

(II) acts of international terrorism have been perpetrated by Al Qaeda and its related affiliates;

(F) a specific list of United States counterterrorism efforts, and the specific status and achievements of such efforts, through integrated military, financial, political, intelligence, paramilitary, economic, and law enforcement elements, relating to—

(i) bilateral security and training programs;

(ii) law enforcement and border security;

(iii) the disruption of terrorist networks; and

(iv) the denial of terrorist safe havens and sanctuaries;

(G) a description of United States Government activities to counter terrorist recruitment and radicalization, including coordinated interagency—

(i) strategic communications;

(ii) public diplomacy;

(iii) support for economic development and political reform; and

(iv) other efforts aimed at influencing public opinion;

(H) United States Government initiatives to eliminate direct and indirect international financial support for the activities of terrorist groups;

(I) activities by foreign governments to combat Al Qaeda and its related affiliates and undermine violent extremism, and the extent of their cooperation with the United States Government;

(J) an analysis of the extent to which specific Federal appropriations—

(i) have been mapped to agency tasks as directed in the NCTC’s National Implementation Plan;

(ii) have produced tangible, calculable results in efforts to combat and defeat Al Qaeda, its related affiliates, and its violent ideology; or

(iii) contribute to investments that have expected payoffs in the medium- to long-term;

(K) statistical assessments, including those developed by the National Counterterrorism Center, on the number of individuals belonging to Al Qaeda and its related affiliates that have been killed, injured, or taken into custody as a result of United States and foreign government counterterrorism efforts as compared to estimates of the total number of personnel belonging to Al Qaeda and its related affiliates; and

(L) a concise summary of the methods used by all elements of the United States Government to assess and evaluate progress in the Nation’s overall counterterrorism efforts, including the use of specific measures, metrics, and indices.

(2) INTERAGENCY COOPERATION.—In preparing a report under this subsection, the President shall include relevant information maintained by—

(A) the National Counterterrorism Center and the National Counterproliferation Center;

(B) the Department of Justice, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

(C) the Department of State;

(D) the Department of Defense;

(E) the Department of Homeland Security;

(F) the Department of the Treasury;

(G) the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, including the Central Intelligence Agency;

(H) the Office of Management and Budget;

(I) the United States Agency for International Development; and

(J) any other Federal department that maintains relevant information.

(3) **REPORT CLASSIFICATION.**—Each report required under this subsection shall be submitted in an unclassified form, to the maximum extent practicable, and accompanied by a classified appendix, as appropriate.

SEC. 1243. REPORT ON UNITED STATES CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE UNITED NATIONS.

Section 1225 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2424) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “until December 31, 2010, the President shall submit” and inserting “until September 30, 2011, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.**—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall post a public version of each report submitted under subsection (a) on a text-based searchable and publicly available Internet Web site.”.

SEC. 1244. NATO SPECIAL OPERATIONS COORDINATION CENTER.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 pursuant to section 301(I) for operation and maintenance for the Army, to be derived from amounts made available for support of North Atlantic Treaty Organization (hereinafter in this section referred to as “NATO”) operations, the Secretary of Defense is authorized to use up to \$30,000,000 for the purposes set forth in subsection (b).

(b) **PURPOSES.**—The Secretary shall provide funds for the NATO Special Operations Coordination Center (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “NSCC”) to—

(1) improve coordination and cooperation between the special operations forces of NATO nations;

(2) facilitate joint operations by the special operations forces of NATO nations;

(3) support special operations forces peculiar command, control, and communications capabilities;

(4) promote special operations forces intelligence and informational requirements within the NATO structure; and

(5) promote interoperability through the development of common equipment standards, tactics, techniques, and procedures, and through execution of a multinational education and training program.

(c) **CERTIFICATION.**—Not less than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall certify to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that the Secretary of Defense has assigned executive agent responsibility for the NSCC to an appropriate organization within the Department of Defense, and detail the steps being undertaken by the Department of Defense to strengthen the role of the NSCC in fostering special operations capabilities within NATO.

SEC. 1245. ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.

(a) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Not later than January 30 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report, in both classified and unclassified form, on the current and future military strategy of Iran.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include a description of the security posture of Iran, including at least the following:

(1) A description and assessment of Iranian grand strategy, security strategy, and military strategy, including—

(A) the goals of Iran’s grand strategy, security strategy, and military strategy.

(B) trends in Iran’s strategy that would be designed to establish Iran as the leading power in

the Middle East and to enhance the influence of Iran in other regions of the world; and

(C) Iranian strategy regarding other countries in the region, including other specified countries.

(2) An assessment of the capabilities of Iran’s conventional forces, including—

(A) the size and capabilities of Iran’s conventional forces;

(B) an analysis of the effectiveness of Iran’s conventional forces when facing United States forces in the region and other specified countries;

(C) a description of Iranian military doctrine; and

(D) an estimate of the funding provided for each branch of Iran’s conventional forces.

(3) An assessment of Iran’s unconventional forces and related activities, including—

(A) the size and capability of Iranian special operations units, including the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps—Quds Force;

(B) the types and amount of support, including funding, lethal and non-lethal supplies, and training, provided to groups designated by the United States as foreign terrorist organizations and regional militant groups, including Hezbollah, Hamas, and the Special Groups in Iraq, in particular those forces as having been assessed as to be willing to carry out terrorist operations on behalf of Iran or in response to a military attack by another country on Iran;

(C) an analysis of the effectiveness of Iran’s unconventional forces when facing United States forces in the region and other specified countries in the region; and

(D) an estimate of the amount of funds spent by Iran to develop and support special operations forces and terrorist groups.

(4) An assessment of Iranian capabilities related to nuclear and missile forces, including—

(A) a summary of nuclear weapons capabilities and developments in the preceding year;

(B) a summary of the capabilities of Iran’s ballistic missile forces, including developments in the preceding year, the size of Iran’s ballistic missile forces and Iran’s cruise missile forces, and the locations of missile launch sites;

(C) a detailed analysis of the effectiveness of Iran’s ballistic missile forces and Iran’s cruise missile forces when facing United States forces in the region and other specified countries; and

(D) an estimate of the amount of funding expended by Iran since 2004 on programs to develop a capability to build nuclear weapons or to enhance Iran’s ballistic missile forces.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **IRAN’S CONVENTIONAL FORCES.**—The term “Iran’s conventional forces”—

(A) means military forces of the Islamic Republic of Iran designed to conduct operations on sea, air, or land, other than Iran’s unconventional forces and Iran’s ballistic missile forces and Iran’s cruise missile forces; and

(B) includes Iran’s Army, Iran’s Air Force, Iran’s Navy, and elements of the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps, other than the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps—Quds Force.

(2) **IRAN’S UNCONVENTIONAL FORCES.**—The term “Iran’s unconventional forces”—

(A) means forces of the Islamic Republic of Iran that carry out missions typically associated with special operations forces; and

(B) includes—

(i) the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps—Quds Force; and

(ii) any organization that—

(I) has been designated a terrorist organization by the United States;

(II) receives assistance from Iran; and

(III)(aa) is assessed as being willing in some or all cases of carrying out attacks on behalf of Iran; or

(bb) is assessed as likely to carry out attacks in response to a military attack by another country on Iran.

(3) **IRAN’S BALLISTIC MISSILE FORCES.**—The term “Iran’s ballistic missile forces” means those

elements of the military forces of Iran that employ ballistic missiles.

(4) **IRAN’S CRUISE MISSILE FORCES.**—The term “Iran’s cruise missile forces” means those elements of the military forces of Iran that employ cruise missiles capable of flights less than 500 kilometers.

(5) **SPECIFIED COUNTRIES.**—The term “specified countries” means the countries in the same geographic region as Iran, including Israel, Lebanon, Syria, Jordan, Iraq, Afghanistan, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Bahrain, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, Armenia, and Azerbaijan.

(d) **TERMINATION.**—The requirement to submit the report required under subsection (a) shall terminate on December 31, 2014.

SEC. 1246. ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

(a) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Subsection (a) of section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65; 113 Stat. 781; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “on the current and future military strategy of the People’s Republic of China” and inserting “on military and security developments involving the People’s Republic of China”; and

(2) in the second sentence—

(A) by striking “on the People’s Liberation Army” and inserting “of the People’s Liberation Army”; and

(B) by striking “Chinese grand strategy, security strategy,” and inserting “Chinese security strategy”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “The report shall also address United States-China engagement and cooperation on security matters during the period covered by the report, including through United States-China military-to-military contacts, and the United States strategy for such engagement and cooperation in the future.”.

(b) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—Subsection (b) of such section, as amended by section 1263 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 407), is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “goals of” inserting “goals and factors shaping”; and

(B) by striking “Chinese grand strategy, security strategy,” and inserting “Chinese security strategy”;

(2) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:

“(2) Trends in Chinese security and military behavior that would be designed to achieve, or that are inconsistent with, the goals described in paragraph (1).”.

(3) in paragraph (6)—

(A) by inserting “and training” after “military doctrine”; and

(B) by striking “, focusing on (but not limited to) efforts to exploit a transformation in military affairs or to conduct preemptive strikes”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(10) In consultation with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State, developments regarding United States-China engagement and cooperation on security matters.

“(11) The current state of United States military-to-military contacts with the People’s Liberation Army, which shall include the following:

“(A) A comprehensive and coordinated strategy for such military-to-military contacts and updates to the strategy.

“(B) A summary of all such military-to-military contacts during the period covered by the report, including a summary of topics discussed and questions asked by the Chinese participants in those contacts.

“(C) A description of such military-to-military contacts scheduled for the 12-month period following the period covered by the report and the plan for future contacts.

“(D) The Secretary’s assessment of the benefits the Chinese expect to gain from such military-to-military contacts.

“(E) The Secretary’s assessment of the benefits the Department of Defense expects to gain from such military-to-military contacts, and any concerns regarding such contacts.

“(F) The Secretary’s assessment of how such military-to-military contacts fit into the larger security relationship between the United States and the People’s Republic of China.

“(12) Other military and security developments involving the People’s Republic of China that the Secretary of Defense considers relevant to United States national security.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Such section is further amended in the heading by striking “MILITARY POWER OF” and inserting “MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING”.

(d) REPEALS.—Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65; 113 Stat. 779; 10 U.S.C. 168 note) is amended by striking subsections (e) and (f).

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to reports required to be submitted under subsection (a) of section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000, as so amended, on or after that date.

(2) STRATEGY AND UPDATES FOR MILITARY-TO-MILITARY CONTACTS WITH PEOPLE’S LIBERATION ARMY.—The requirement to include the strategy described in paragraph (1)(A) of section 1202(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000, as so amended, in the report required to be submitted under section 1202(a) of such Act, as so amended, shall apply with respect to the first report required to be submitted under section 1202(a) of such Act on or after the date of the enactment of this Act. The requirement to include updates to such strategy shall apply with respect to each subsequent report required to be submitted under section 1202(a) of such Act on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1247. REPORT ON IMPACTS OF DRAWDOWN AUTHORITIES ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate an annual report, in unclassified form but with a classified annex if necessary, on the impacts of drawdown authorities on the Department of Defense. The report required under this subsection shall be submitted concurrent with the budget submitted to Congress by the President pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

(b) ELEMENTS OF REPORT.—The report required under subsection (a) shall contain the following elements:

(1) A list of each drawdown for which a presidential determination was issued in the preceding year.

(2) A summary of the types and quantities of equipment that was provided under each drawdown in the preceding year.

(3) The cost to the Department of Defense to replace any equipment transferred as part of each drawdown, not including any depreciation, in the preceding year.

(4) The cost to the Department of Defense of any other item, including fuel or services, transferred as part of each drawdown in the preceding year.

(5) The total amount of funds transferred under each drawdown in the preceding year.

(6) An assessment by the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the impact of transfers carried out as part of drawdowns in the previous year on—

(A) the ability of the Armed Forces to meet the requirements of ongoing overseas contingency operations;

(B) the level of risk associated with the ability of the Armed Forces to execute the missions called for under the National Military Strategy as described in section 153(b) of title 10, United States Code;

(C) the ability of the Armed Forces to reset from current contingency operations;

(D) the ability of both the active and Reserve forces to conduct necessary training; and

(E) the ability of the Reserve forces to respond to domestic emergencies.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) DRAWDOWN.—The term “drawdown” means any transfer or package of transfers of equipment, services, fuel, funds or any other items carried out pursuant to a presidential determination issued under a drawdown authority.

(2) DRAWDOWN AUTHORITY.—The term “drawdown authority”—

(A) means an authority under—

(i) section 506(a) (1) or (2) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2318(a) (1) or (2));

(ii) section 552(c)(2) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2348a(c)(2)); or

(iii) any other substantially similar provision of law; but

(B) does not include the authority provided under section 1234 (relating to authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Iraq and Afghanistan).

(d) TERMINATION.—The requirement to submit the report required under subsection (a) shall terminate on December 31, 2013.

SEC. 1248. RISK ASSESSMENT OF UNITED STATES SPACE EXPORT CONTROL POLICY.

(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall carry out an assessment of the national security risks of removing satellites and related components from the United States Munitions List.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The assessment required under subsection (a) shall include the following matters:

(1) A review of the space and space-related technologies currently on the United States Munitions List, to include satellite systems, dedicated subsystems, and components.

(2) An assessment of the national security risks of removing certain space and space-related technologies identified under paragraph (1) from the United States Munitions List.

(3) An examination of the degree to which other nations’ export control policies control or limit the export of space and space-related technologies for national security reasons.

(4) Recommendations for—

(A) the space and space-related technologies that should remain on, or may be candidates for removal from, the United States Munitions List based on the national security risk assessment required paragraph (2);

(B) the safeguards and verifications necessary to—

(i) prevent the proliferation and diversion of such space and space-related technologies;

(ii) confirm appropriate end use and end users; and

(iii) minimize the risk that such space and space-related technologies could be used in foreign missile, space, or other applications that may pose a threat to the security of the United States; and

(C) improvements to the space export control policy and processes of the United States that do not adversely affect national security.

(c) CONSULTATION.—In conducting the assessment required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State may consult with the heads of other relevant departments and agencies of the United States Government as the Secretaries determine is necessary.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary

of Defense and the Secretary of State shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on the assessment required under subsection (a). The report shall be in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

(e) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “United States Munitions List” means the list referred to in section 38(a)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778(a)(1)).

SEC. 1249. PATRIOT AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE BATTERY IN POLAND.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) On August 20, 2008, representatives of the governments of the United States and Poland signed the “Declaration on Strategic Cooperation between the United States of America and the Republic of Poland”.

(2) The Declaration on Strategic Cooperation states, among other things, that the “United States and Poland intend to expand air and missile defense cooperation. In this regard, we have agreed on an important new area of such cooperation involving the deployment of a U.S. Army Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland. We intend to begin this cooperation next year and to expand it with the aim of establishing by 2012 a garrison to support the U.S. Army Patriot battery. The Government of Poland intends to provide an appropriate site, infrastructure, and facilities for this garrison acceptable to both parties. Our cooperation in this area will include joint training opportunities that will enhance Polish air defense capabilities. In the coming months, we intend to reach agreement on the specific arrangements that will enable this cooperation to begin. These steps reflect the commitment of the United States to an expanded defense relationship with Poland.”.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the United States and Poland should seek to implement the terms of the Declaration on Strategic Cooperation, including cooperation on the deployment of a United States Army Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the status of cooperation on the deployment of a United States Army Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland. The report shall be in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1250. REPORT ON POTENTIAL FOREIGN MILITARY SALES OF THE F-22A FIGHTER AIRCRAFT.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Secretary of the Air Force, submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on potential foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An estimate of the costs to the United States Government, industry, and any foreign military sales customer of developing an exportable version of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

(2) An assessment whether an exportable version of the F-22A fighter aircraft is technically feasible and executable, and, if so, a timeline for achieving an exportable version of the aircraft.

(3) An assessment of the potential strategic implications of permitting foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

(4) An identification of any modifications to current law that are required to authorize foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft.

(c) ADDITIONAL REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall enter into an agreement

with a federally funded research and development center to submit, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the committees identified in subsection (a), through the Secretary of Defense, a report on the impact of foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft on the United States aerospace and aviation industry, and the advantages and disadvantages of such sales for sustaining that industry.

SEC. 1251. REPORT ON THE PLAN FOR THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE, NUCLEAR WEAPONS COMPLEX, AND DELIVERY PLATFORMS AND SENSE OF CONGRESS ON FOLLOW-ON NEGOTIATIONS TO START TREATY.

(a) REPORT ON THE PLAN FOR THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE, NUCLEAR WEAPONS COMPLEX, AND DELIVERY PLATFORMS.—

(1) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act or at the time a follow-on treaty to the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START Treaty) is submitted by the President to the Senate for its advice and consent, whichever is later, the President shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the plan to—

(A) enhance the safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile of the United States;

(B) modernize the nuclear weapons complex; and

(C) maintain the delivery platforms for nuclear weapons.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the plan to enhance the safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile of the United States.

(B) A description of the plan to modernize the nuclear weapons complex, including improving the safety of facilities, modernizing the infrastructure, and maintaining the key capabilities and competencies of the nuclear weapons workforce, including designers and technicians.

(C) A description of the plan to maintain delivery platforms for nuclear weapons.

(D) An estimate of budget requirements, including the costs associated with the plans outlined under subparagraphs (A) through (C), over a 10-year period.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the President should maintain the stated position of the United States that the follow-on treaty to the START Treaty not include any limitations on the ballistic missile defense systems, space capabilities, or advanced conventional weapons systems of the United States;

(2) the enhanced safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile, modernization of the nuclear weapons complex, and maintenance of the nuclear delivery systems are key to enabling further reductions in the nuclear forces of the United States; and

(3) the President should submit budget requests for fiscal year 2011 and subsequent fiscal years for the programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration of the Department of Energy that are adequate to sustain the needed capabilities to support the long-term maintenance of the nuclear stockpile of the United States.

SEC. 1252. MAP OF MINERAL-RICH ZONES AND AREAS UNDER THE CONTROL OF ARMED GROUPS IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, should, consistent with the recommendation from the United Nations Group of Experts on the Democratic Republic of the Congo in their December 2008 report, work with other member states of the United Nations and

local and international nongovernmental organizations—

(1) to produce a map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo;

(2) to make such map available to the public; and

(3) to provide to the appropriate congressional committees, in classified form if necessary, an explanatory note describing in general terms the sources of information on which the map is based, the definition of the term “control of armed groups” utilized (for example, physical control of mines or forced labor of civilians, control of trade routes, and taxation or extortion of goods in transit), and the identification where possible of the armed groups or other forces in control of the mines depicted.

(b) UPDATES.—The Secretary of State should continue cooperation with the international community and sustain the intent of the report of the United Nations Group of Experts on the Democratic Republic of the Congo by assisting in the regular updating of the map required by subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

SEC. 1253. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO ISRAEL.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) Israel is one of the strongest allies of the United States;

(2) the United States remains vigorously committed to supporting Israel's welfare, security, and survival as a democratic state;

(3) Israel and the United States face common enemies; and

(4) the United States should continue to provide critical security assistance needed to address existential threats.

SEC. 1254. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPOSING SANCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO IRAN.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Government of Iran should—

(A) seize the historic offer put forward by President Barack Obama to engage in direct diplomacy with the United States;

(B) suspend all enrichment-related and reprocessing activities, as directed by the United Nations Security Council; and

(C) come into full compliance with Treaty on Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, done at Washington, London, and Moscow July 1, 1968, and entered into force March 5, 1970 (commonly known as the “Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty”), including the additional protocol to the Treaty; and

(2) the President should consider the imposition of additional, more restrictive sanctions on Iran if—

(A) the Government of Iran fails to enter into good faith talks which result in progress toward compliance with applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions; and

(B) the United Nations Security Council has failed to adopt significant and meaningful additional sanctions on the Government of Iran.

SEC. 1255. REPORT AND SENSE OF CONGRESS ON NORTH KOREA.

(a) REPORT ON CONDUCT OF NORTH KOREA.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a detailed report examining the conduct of the Government of North Korea since June 26, 2008, based on all available information, to determine whether North Korea meets the statutory criteria for listing as a state sponsor of terrorism. The report shall—

(1) present any credible evidence of support by the Government of North Korea for acts of terrorism, terrorists, or terrorist organizations;

(2) examine what steps the Government of North Korea has taken to fulfill its June 10, 2008, pledge to prevent weapons of mass destruction from falling into the hands of terrorists; and

(3) if North Korea does not meet the statutory criteria for being listed as a state sponsor of terrorism, examine whether re-listing North Korea as a state sponsor of terrorism would undermine the effectiveness of the state sponsor of terrorism designation in general and undermine United States efforts regarding existing state sponsors of terrorism.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should—

(A) vigorously enforce United Nations Security Council Resolutions 1718 (2006) and 1874 (2009) and other sanctions in place with respect to North Korea under United States law;

(B) urge all member states of the United Nations to fully implement the sanctions imposed by United Nations Security Council Resolutions 1718 and 1874; and

(C) explore the imposition of additional unilateral and multilateral sanctions against North Korea in furtherance of United States national security;

(2) the conduct of North Korea constitutes a threat to the northeast Asian region and to international peace and security; and

(3) if the United States determines that the Government of North Korea has provided assistance to terrorists or engaged in state sponsored acts of terrorism, the Secretary of State should immediately list North Korea as a state sponsor of terrorism.

(c) STATE SPONSOR OF TERRORISM DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term “state sponsor of terrorism” means a country that has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism for purposes of—

(1) section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)) (as continued in effect pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.));

(2) section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780); or

(3) section 620A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2371).

SEC. 1256. REPORT ON POTENTIAL MISSILE DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth potential options for cooperation among or between the United States, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and the Russian Federation on ballistic missile defense.

(2) FORM.—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of proposals made by the United States, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, or the Russian Federation since January 1, 2007, for potential missile defense cooperation among or between such countries and that organization, including data sharing, cooperative regional missile defense architectures, joint exercises, and transparency and confidence building measures.

(2) A description of options for the sharing by such countries and that organization of ballistic missile surveillance or early warning data, including data from the Russian early warning radars at Gabala in Azerbaijan and Armavir in southern Russia or other radars.

(3) An assessment of the potential for implementation of the agreement between the United States and the Russian Federation on the establishment of a Joint Data Exchange Center.

(4) An assessment of whether there is mutual interest in modifying the agreement on the establishment of the Joint Data Exchange Center to encompass other forms of cooperation.

(5) An assessment of the potential for missile defense cooperation between the Russian Federation and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, including through the NATO-Russia Council.

(6) An assessment of the potential security benefits to the United States, Russia, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization of the cooperation described in paragraph (5).

(7) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

Subtitle D—VOICE Act

SEC. 1261. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Victims of Iranian Censorship Act” or the “VOICE Act”.

SEC. 1262. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING OPERATIONS FUND.—In addition to amounts otherwise authorized for the Broadcasting Board of Governors’ International Broadcasting Operations Fund, there is authorized to be appropriated \$15,000,000 to expand Farsi language programming and to provide for the dissemination of accurate and independent information to the Iranian people through radio, television, Internet, cellular telephone, short message service, and other communications.

(b) BROADCASTING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND.—In addition to amounts otherwise authorized for the Broadcasting Board of Governors’ Broadcasting Capital Improvements Fund, there is authorized to be appropriated \$15,000,000 to expand transmissions of Farsi language programs to Iran.

(c) USE OF AMOUNTS.—In pursuit of the objectives described in subsections (a) and (b), amounts in the International Broadcasting Operations Fund and the Capital Improvements Fund may be used to—

(1) develop additional transmission capability for Radio Farda and the Persian News Network to counter ongoing efforts to jam transmissions, including through additional shortwave and medium wave transmissions, satellite, and Internet mechanisms;

(2) develop additional proxy server capability and anti-censorship software to counter efforts to block Radio Farda and Persian News Network Web sites;

(3) develop technologies to counter efforts to block SMS text message exchange over cellular phone networks;

(4) expand program coverage and analysis by Radio Farda and the Persian News Network, including the development of broadcast platforms and programs, on the television, radio and Internet, for enhanced interactivity with and among the people of Iran;

(5) hire, on a permanent or short-term basis, additional staff for Radio Farda and the Persian News Network; and

(6) develop additional Internet-based, Farsi-language television programming, including a Farsi-language, Internet-based news channel.

SEC. 1263. IRANIAN ELECTRONIC EDUCATION, EXCHANGE, AND MEDIA FUND.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Treasury of the United States the Iranian Electronic Education, Exchange, and Media Fund (referred to in this section as the “Fund”), consisting of amounts appropriated to the Fund pursuant to subsection (f).

(b) ADMINISTRATION.—The Fund shall be administered by the Secretary of State.

(c) OBJECTIVE.—The objective of the Fund shall be to support the development of technologies, including Internet Web sites, that will aid the ability of the Iranian people to—

(1) gain access to and share information;

(2) exercise freedom of speech, freedom of expression, and freedom of assembly through the Internet and other electronic media;

(3) engage in Internet-based education programs and other exchanges between Americans and Iranians; and

(4) counter efforts—

(A) to block, censor, and monitor the Internet; and

(B) to disrupt or monitor cellular phone networks or SMS text message exchanges.

(d) USE OF AMOUNTS.—In pursuit of the objective described in subsection (c), amounts in the Fund may be used for grants to United States or foreign universities, nonprofit organizations, or companies for targeted projects that advance the purpose of the Fund, including projects that—

(1) develop Farsi-language versions of existing social-networking Web sites;

(2) develop technologies, including Internet-based applications, to counter efforts—

(A) to block, censor, and monitor the Internet; and

(B) to disrupt or monitor cellular phone networks or SMS text message exchanges;

(3) develop Internet-based, distance learning programs for Iranian students at United States universities; and

(4) promote Internet-based, people-to-people educational, professional, religious, or cultural exchanges and dialogues between United States citizens and Iranians.

(e) TRANSFERS.—Amounts in the Fund may be transferred to the United States Agency for International Development, the Broadcasting Board of Governors, or any other agency of the Federal Government to the extent that such amounts are used to carry out activities that will further the objective described in subsection (c).

(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated \$20,000,000 to the Fund.

SEC. 1264. ANNUAL REPORT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for 5 years, the President shall submit a report to Congress that provides a detailed description of—

(1) United States-funded international broadcasting efforts in Iran;

(2) efforts by the Government of Iran to block broadcasts sponsored by the United States or other non-Iranian entities;

(3) efforts by the Government of Iran to monitor or block Internet access, and gather information about individuals;

(4) plans by the Broadcasting Board of Governors for the use of the amounts appropriated pursuant to section 1244, including—

(A) the identification of specific programs and platforms to be expanded or created; and

(B) satellite, radio, or Internet-based transmission capacity to be expanded or created;

(5) plans for the use of the Iranian Electronic Education, Exchange, and Media Fund;

(6) a detailed breakdown of amounts obligated and disbursed from the Iranian Electronic Media Fund and an assessment of the impact of such amounts;

(7) the percentage of the Iranian population and of Iranian territory reached by shortwave and medium-wave radio broadcasts by Radio Farda and Voice of America and any other relevant demographic information that can be ascertained about the audience for such broadcasts;

(8) the Internet traffic from Iran to Radio Farda and Voice of America Web sites; and

(9) the Internet traffic to proxy servers sponsored by the Broadcasting Board of Governors, and the provisioning of surge capacity.

(b) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report submitted under subsection (a) may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1265. REPORT ON ACTIONS BY NON-IRANIAN COMPANIES.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report on non-Iranian persons, including corporations with United States subsidiaries, that, after the date of the enactment of this Act, have knowingly or

negligently provided hardware, software, or other forms of assistance to the Government of Iran that has furthered Iran’s efforts to—

(1) filter online political content;

(2) disrupt cell phone and Internet communications; and

(3) monitor the online activities of Iranian citizens.

(b) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.

SEC. 1266. HUMAN RIGHTS DOCUMENTATION.

There are authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to the Secretary of State to document, collect, and disseminate information about human rights in Iran, including abuses of human rights that have taken place since the Iranian presidential election conducted on June 12, 2009.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Sec. 1301. Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds.

Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.

Sec. 1303. Utilization of contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

Sec. 1304. Metrics for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

Sec. 1305. Cooperative Threat Reduction Program authority for urgent threat reduction activities.

Sec. 1306. Cooperative Threat Reduction Defense and Military Contacts Program.

SEC. 1301. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS AND FUNDS.

(a) SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS.—For purposes of section 301 and other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction programs are the programs specified in section 1501 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

(b) FISCAL YEAR 2010 COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS DEFINED.—As used in this title, the term “fiscal year 2010 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds” means the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs shall be available for obligation for fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012.

SEC. 1302. FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.

(a) FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.—Of the \$424,093,000 authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 in section 301(20) for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

(1) For strategic offensive arms elimination in Russia, \$66,385,000.

(2) For strategic nuclear arms elimination in Ukraine, \$6,800,000.

(3) For nuclear weapons storage security in Russia, \$15,090,000.

(4) For nuclear weapons transportation security in Russia, \$46,400,000.

(5) For weapons of mass destruction proliferation prevention in the states of the former Soviet Union, \$90,886,000.

(6) For biological threat reduction in the former Soviet Union, \$152,132,000.

(7) For chemical weapons destruction, \$3,000,000.

(8) For defense and military contacts, \$5,000,000.

(9) For new Cooperative Threat Reduction initiatives, \$17,000,000.

(10) For activities designated as Other Assessments/Administrative Costs, \$21,400,000.

(b) REPORT ON OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR OTHER PURPOSES.—No fiscal year

2010 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated or expended for a purpose other than a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (10) of subsection (a) until 15 days after the date that the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a report on the purpose for which the funds will be obligated or expended and the amount of funds to be obligated or expended. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall be construed as authorizing the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2010 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expenditure of such funds is specifically prohibited under this title or any other provision of law.

(c) LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), in any case in which the Secretary of Defense determines that it is necessary to do so in the national interest, the Secretary may obligate amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (10) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for that purpose.

(2) NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIRED.—An obligation of funds for a purpose stated in paragraphs (1) through (10) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for such purpose may be made using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only after—

(A) the Secretary submits to Congress notification of the intent to do so together with a complete discussion of the justification for doing so; and

(B) 15 days have elapsed following the date of the notification.

SEC. 1303. UTILIZATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may enter into one or more agreements with any person (including a foreign government, international organization, multinational entity, or any other entity) that the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate under which the person contributes funds for activities conducted under the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense.

(b) RETENTION AND USE OF AMOUNTS.—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, and subject to subsections (c) and (d), the Secretary of Defense may retain and obligate or expend amounts contributed pursuant to subsection (a) for purposes of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense. Amounts so contributed shall be retained in a separate fund established in the Treasury for such purposes and shall be available to be obligated or expended without further appropriation.

(c) RETURN OF AMOUNTS NOT OBLIGATED OR EXPENDED WITHIN THREE YEARS.—If the Secretary of Defense does not obligate or expend an amount contributed pursuant to subsection (a) by the date that is three years after the date on which the contribution was made, the Secretary shall return the amount to the person who made the contribution.

(d) NOTICE TO CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after receiving an amount contributed pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a notice—

(A) specifying the value of the contribution and the purpose for which the contribution was made; and

(B) identifying the person who made the contribution.

(2) LIMITATION ON USE OF AMOUNTS.—The Secretary may not obligate or expend an amount contributed pursuant to subsection (a) until the date that is 15 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the notice required by paragraph (1).

(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than October 31 each year, the Secretary of Defense shall sub-

mit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on amounts contributed pursuant to subsection (a) during the preceding fiscal year. Each such report shall include, for the fiscal year covered by the report, the following:

(1) A statement of any amounts contributed pursuant to subsection (a), including, for each such amount, the value of the contribution and the identity of the person who made the contribution.

(2) A statement of any amounts so contributed that were obligated or expended by the Secretary, including, for each such amount, the purposes for which the amount was obligated or expended.

(3) A statement of any amounts so contributed that were retained but not obligated or expended, including, for each such amount, the purposes (if known) for which the Secretary intends to obligate or expend the amount.

(f) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees an implementation plan for the authority provided under this section prior to obligating or expending any amounts contributed pursuant to subsection (a). The Secretary shall submit updates to such plan as needed.

(g) TERMINATION.—The authority provided under this section shall terminate on December 31, 2015.

(h) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

SEC. 1304. METRICS FOR THE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) METRICS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement metrics to measure the impact and effectiveness of activities of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense to address threats arising from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, and biological weapons and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise.

(b) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT ON METRICS.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the metrics developed and implemented under subsection (a).

(c) NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES ASSESSMENT AND REPORT ON METRICS.—

(1) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the report is submitted by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (b), the Secretary shall enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences under which the Academy shall carry out an assessment to review the metrics developed and implemented under subsection (a) and identify possible additional or alternative metrics, if necessary.

(2) REPORT.—The National Academy of Sciences shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees and the Secretary of Defense a report on the results of the assessment carried out under paragraph (1).

(3) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT.—

(A) Not later than 90 days after receipt of the report required by paragraph (2), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the assessment carried out by the National Academy of Sciences.

(B) The report under subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

(i) A summary of the results of the assessment carried out under paragraph (1).

(ii) An evaluation by the Secretary of the assessment.

(iii) A statement of the actions, if any, to be undertaken by the Secretary to implement any recommendations in the assessment.

(C) The report under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) FUNDING.—Of the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301(20) or otherwise made available for Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs for fiscal year 2010, not more than \$1,000,000 may be obligated or expended to carry out paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (c).

(e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

SEC. 1305. COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM AUTHORITY FOR URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the notification requirement under subsection (b), not more than 10 percent of the total amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in any fiscal year for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense may be expended, notwithstanding any other law, for activities described under subsection (b)(1)(B).

(b) DETERMINATION AND NOTICE.—

(1) DETERMINATION.—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, may make a written determination that—

(A) threats arising from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, and biological weapons or weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise must be addressed urgently;

(B) certain provisions of law would unnecessarily impede the Secretary's ability to carry out activities of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense to address such threats; and

(C) it is necessary to expend amounts described in subsection (a) to carry out such activities.

(2) NOTICE REQUIRED.—Not later than 15 days before obligating or expending funds under the authority provided in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the appropriate congressional committees of the determination made under paragraph (1). The notice shall include—

(A) the determination;

(B) the activities to be undertaken by the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program;

(C) the expected time frame for such activities; and

(D) the expected costs of such activities.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 1306. COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION DEFENSE AND MILITARY CONTACTS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the Defense and Military Contacts Program under the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense—

(1) is executed pursuant to a well-developed strategy for advancing the mission of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program;

(2) is focused and expanded to support specific relationship-building opportunities, which could lead to Cooperative Threat Reduction Program development in new geographic areas and achieve other Cooperative Threat Reduction Program benefits;

(3) is directly administered as part of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program; and

(4) includes cooperation and coordination with—

(A) the unified combatant commands that operate in areas in which Cooperative Threat Reduction activities are carried out; and

(B) related diplomatic efforts.

(b) **COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ANNUAL REPORT.**—Paragraph (8) of section 1308(c) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-341; 22 U.S.C. 5959) is amended—

(1) by inserting “, including under the Defense and Military Contacts program,” after “programs”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking “the purposes” and inserting “the strategy”.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.

Sec. 1402. National Defense Sealift Fund.

Sec. 1403. Chemical agents and munitions destruction, defense.

Sec. 1404. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.

Sec. 1405. Defense Inspector General.

Sec. 1406. Defense Health Program.

Sec. 1407. Relation to funding table.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

Sec. 1411. Authorized uses of National Defense Stockpile funds.

Sec. 1412. Extension of previously authorized disposal of cobalt from National Defense Stockpile.

Sec. 1413. Report on implementation of reconfiguration of the National Defense Stockpile.

Subtitle C—Armed Forces Retirement Home

Sec. 1421. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Subtitle A—Military Programs

SEC. 1401. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds in amounts as follows:

(1) For the Defense Working Capital Funds, \$141,388,000.

(2) For the Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense Commissary, \$1,313,616,000.

SEC. 1402. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the fiscal year 2010 for the National Defense Sealift Fund in the amount of \$1,642,758,000.

SEC. 1403. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, in the amount of \$1,560,760,000, of which—

(1) \$1,146,802,000 is for Operation and Maintenance;

(2) \$401,269,000 is for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation; and

(3) \$12,689,000 is for Procurement.

(b) **USE.**—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a) are authorized for—

(1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

(2) the destruction of chemical warfare material of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.

SEC. 1404. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal

year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, in the amount of \$1,054,234,000.

SEC. 1405. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, in the amount of \$288,100,000, of which—

(1) \$287,100,000 is for Operation and Maintenance; and

(2) \$1,000,000 is for Procurement.

SEC. 1406. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program, in the amount of \$28,033,093,000, of which—

(1) \$27,094,849,000 is for Operation and Maintenance;

(2) \$616,102,000 is for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation; and

(3) \$322,142,000 is for Procurement.

SEC. 1407. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLE.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 1401, 1402, 1403, 1404, 1405, and 1406 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4401.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

SEC. 1411. AUTHORIZED USES OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE FUNDS.

(a) **OBLIGATION OF STOCKPILE FUNDS.**—During fiscal year 2010, the National Defense Stockpile Manager may obligate up to \$41,179,000 of the funds in the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund established under subsection (a) of section 9 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h) for the authorized uses of such funds under subsection (b)(2) of such section, including the disposal of hazardous materials that are environmentally sensitive.

(b) **ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS.**—The National Defense Stockpile Manager may obligate amounts in excess of the amount specified in subsection (a) if the National Defense Stockpile Manager notifies Congress that extraordinary or emergency conditions necessitate the additional obligations. The National Defense Stockpile Manager may make the additional obligations described in the notification after the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date on which Congress receives the notification.

(c) **LIMITATIONS.**—The authorities provided by this section shall be subject to such limitations as may be provided in appropriations Acts.

SEC. 1412. EXTENSION OF PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED DISPOSAL OF COBALT FROM NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.

Section 3305(a)(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85; 50 U.S.C. 98d note), as most recently amended by section 1412(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4648), is further amended by striking “during fiscal year 2009” and inserting “by the end of fiscal year 2011”.

SEC. 1413. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RECONFIGURATION OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on any actions the Secretary plans to take in response to the recommendations contained in the report entitled “Reconfiguration of the National Defense Stockpile Report to Congress” dated April 2009 and submitted by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Logistics, and Tech-

nology, as required by House Report 109-89, House Report 109-452, and Senate Report 110-115.

(b) **CONTENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the Secretary's recommendations for changes, based on the findings of the April 2009 report, to statutes, regulations, and policies, which the Secretary determines are necessary to enable the implementation of the recommendations contained in the April 2009 report or to improve Federal Government management of the National Defense Stockpile in the interest of the National Security Strategy.

(c) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—The Secretary may not take any action regarding the implementation of any initiative recommended in the report required by subsection (a) until 45 days after the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives such report.

Subtitle C—Armed Forces Retirement Home

SEC. 1421. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.

There is authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of \$134,000,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Sec. 1501. Purpose.

Sec. 1502. Army procurement.

Sec. 1503. Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

Sec. 1504. Navy and Marine Corps procurement.

Sec. 1505. Air Force procurement.

Sec. 1506. Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund.

Sec. 1507. Defense-wide activities procurement.

Sec. 1508. Research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 1509. Operation and maintenance.

Sec. 1510. Limitations on availability of funds in Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.

Sec. 1511. Limitations on Iraq Security Forces Fund.

Sec. 1512. Military personnel.

Sec. 1513. Working capital funds.

Sec. 1514. Defense Health Program.

Sec. 1515. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.

Sec. 1516. Defense Inspector General.

Sec. 1517. Relation to funding tables.

Sec. 1518. Continuation of prohibition on use of United States funds for certain facilities projects in Iraq.

Sec. 1519. Treatment as additional authorizations.

Sec. 1520. Special transfer authority.

SEC. 1501. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this title is to authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces.

SEC. 1502. ARMY PROCUREMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement accounts of the Army in amounts as follows:

(1) For aircraft procurement, \$1,636,229,000.

(2) For missile procurement, \$481,570,000.

(3) For weapons and tracked combat vehicles procurement, \$759,466,000.

(4) For ammunition procurement, \$370,635,000.

(5) For other procurement, \$5,600,326,000.

SEC. 1503. JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund in the amount of \$2,099,850,000.

(b) **USE AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS.**—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1514 of the John

Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2439), as amended by section 1503 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4649), shall apply to the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) and made available to the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

(c) MONTHLY OBLIGATIONS AND EXPENDITURE REPORTS.—Not later than 15 days after the end of each month of fiscal year 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a report on the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund explaining monthly commitments, obligations, and expenditures by line of action.

(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2439), as amended by section 1503(e) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4650), is amended by striking subsection (e).

SEC. 1504. NAVY AND MARINE CORPS PROCUREMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement accounts of the Navy and Marine Corps in amounts as follows:

- (1) For aircraft procurement, Navy, \$903,197,000.
- (2) For weapons procurement, Navy, \$50,700,000.
- (3) For ammunition procurement, Navy and Marine Corps, \$681,957,000.
- (4) For other procurement, Navy, \$293,018,000.
- (5) For procurement, Marine Corps, \$1,060,268,000.

SEC. 1505. AIR FORCE PROCUREMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for procurement accounts of the Air Force in amounts as follows:

- (1) For aircraft procurement, \$780,441,000.
- (2) For ammunition procurement, \$256,819,000.
- (3) For missile procurement, \$36,625,000.
- (4) For other procurement, \$2,321,549,000.

SEC. 1506. MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund in the amount of \$6,056,000,000.

SEC. 1507. DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES PROCUREMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the procurement account for Defense-wide activities in the amount of \$489,980,000.

SEC. 1508. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as follows:

- (1) For the Army, \$57,962,000.
- (2) For the Navy, \$90,180,000.
- (3) For the Air Force, \$29,286,000.
- (4) For Defense-wide activities, \$115,826,000.

SEC. 1509. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Armed Forces for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, in amounts as follows:

- (1) For the Army, \$52,166,761,000.
- (2) For the Navy, \$6,219,583,000.
- (3) For the Marine Corps, \$3,701,600,000.
- (4) For the Air Force, \$10,026,868,000.
- (5) For Defense-wide activities, \$7,583,400,000.
- (6) For the Army Reserve, \$204,326,000.
- (7) For the Navy Reserve, \$68,059,000.
- (8) For the Marine Corps Reserve, \$86,667,000.
- (9) For the Air Force Reserve, \$125,925,000.
- (10) For the Army National Guard, \$321,646,000.

(11) For the Air National Guard, \$289,862,000.

(12) For the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, \$7,462,769,000.

SEC. 1510. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS IN AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.

Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund in section 1509(12) shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 428).

SEC. 1511. LIMITATIONS ON IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND.

Funds made available to the Department of Defense for the Iraq Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2010 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1512 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 426).

SEC. 1512. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 to the Department of Defense for military personnel accounts in the total amount of \$14,146,341,000.

SEC. 1513. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds in the amount of \$396,915,000.

SEC. 1514. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program in the amount of \$1,256,675,000 for operation and maintenance.

SEC. 1515. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide in the amount of \$356,603,000.

SEC. 1516. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2010 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense in the amount of \$8,876,000.

SEC. 1517. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLES.

(a) AMOUNTS FOR PROCUREMENT.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, and 1507 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4102.

(b) AMOUNTS FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 1508 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4202.

(c) AMOUNTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 1509 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4302.

(d) OTHER AMOUNTS.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 1513, 1514, 1515, and 1516 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4402.

SEC. 1518. CONTINUATION OF PROHIBITION ON USE OF UNITED STATES FUNDS FOR CERTAIN FACILITIES PROJECTS IN IRAQ.

Section 1508(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4651) shall apply to funds authorized to be appropriated by this title.

SEC. 1519. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

SEC. 1520. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2010 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) LIMITATION.—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed \$4,000,000,000.

(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Transfers under this section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as transfers under section 1001.

(c) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001.

TITLE XVII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

Sec. 1701. Demonstration project authority.

Sec. 1702. Transfer of property.

Sec. 1703. Transfer of civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 1704. Joint funding authority.

Sec. 1705. Eligibility of members of the uniformed services for care and services.

Sec. 1706. Extension of DOD-VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund.

SEC. 1701. DEMONSTRATION PROJECT AUTHORITY.

(a) EXECUTIVE AGREEMENT AUTHORIZED.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may execute a signed executive agreement pursuant to section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 455) for the joint use by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs of the following:

(1) A new Navy ambulatory care center (on which construction commenced in July 2008), parking structure, and supporting structures and facilities in North Chicago, Illinois, and Great Lakes, Illinois.

(2) Medical personal property and equipment relating to the center, structures, and facilities described in paragraph (1).

(b) DEADLINE FOR ENTRY INTO AGREEMENT.—The executive agreement authorized by subsection (a) shall be entered into, if at all, by not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) SCOPE.—The executive agreement under subsection (a) shall—

(1) be a binding operational agreement on matters under the areas specified in section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009; and

(2) contain additional terms and conditions as required by the provisions of this title.

(d) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **NOTICE ON AGREEMENT.**—Not later than seven days before executing an executive agreement under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth a copy of the proposed executive agreement.

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the fifth anniversary of the date of the execution of the executive agreement under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the exercise of the authorities in this title at the facility (as defined in section 1702(a)(1)). The report shall include the following:

(A) A comprehensive description and assessment of the exercise of the authorities in this title.

(B) The recommendation of the Secretaries as to whether the exercise of the authorities in this title should continue.

(3) **REPORT ON ADDITIONAL LOCATIONS FOR SIMILAR AGREEMENTS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth such recommendations as the Secretaries jointly consider appropriate for additional locations, if any, at which executive agreements like the executive agreement under subsection (a) would be advisable.

(e) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEWS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the execution of an executive agreement under subsection (a), and annually thereafter, the Comptroller General shall conduct a review and assessment of the following:

(A) The progress made in implementing the agreement.

(B) The effects of the agreement on the provision of care and operation of the facility (as so defined).

(2) **REPORTS.**—Not later than 90 days after the commencement of each review and assessment conducted under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on such review and assessment. Each report shall set forth the following:

(A) The results of such review and assessment.

(B) Such recommendations for modifications of the executive agreement, or the authorities in this title, as the Comptroller General considers appropriate in light of the results of such review and assessment.

(f) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the Senate; and

(2) the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1702. TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.(a) **TRANSFER.**—

(1) **TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Administrator of General Services, may transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs jurisdiction, custody, and control over the center, structures, facilities, and property and equipment covered by the executive agreement under section 1701 (hereafter in this title referred to as the “facility”).

(2) **DATE OF TRANSFER.**—The transfer authorized by paragraph (1) may not occur before the earlier of—

(A) the date that is five years after the date of the execution under section 1701 of the executive agreement under that section; or

(B) the date of the completion of such specific benchmarks relating to the joint use by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs of the Navy ambulatory care cen-

ter described in section 1701(a)(1) as the Secretary of Defense (in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy) and Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs shall jointly establish for purposes of this section not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) **DELAY OF TRANSFER FOR COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.**—If construction on the center, structures, and facilities described in paragraph (1) is not complete as of the date specified in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2), as applicable, the transfer of the center, structures, and facilities under that paragraph may occur thereafter upon completion of the construction.

(4) **DISCHARGE OF TRANSFER.**—The Administrator of General Services shall complete the transfer as authorized by this subsection not later than 30 days after receipt of the request for the transfer.

(b) **REVERSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If any of the real and related personal property transferred pursuant to subsection (a) is subsequently used for purposes other than those specified in the executive agreement under section 1701, or is otherwise jointly determined by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to be excess to the needs of the facility, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall offer to transfer jurisdiction, custody, and control over such property, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Defense. Any such transfer shall be carried out by the Administrator of General Services not later than one year after the acceptance of the offer of such transfer, plus such additional time as the Administrator may require to complete such transfer.

(2) **REVERSION IN EVENT OF LACK OF FACILITIES INTEGRATION.**—

(A) **WITHIN INITIAL PERIOD.**—During the five-year period beginning on the date of the transfer of real and related personal property pursuant to subsection (a), if the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of Navy jointly determine that the integration of the facilities transferred pursuant to that subsection should not continue, jurisdiction, custody, and control over such real and related personal property shall be transferred, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Defense. The transfer under this subparagraph shall be carried out by the Administrator of General Services not later than 180 days after the date of the determination by the Secretaries, plus such additional time as the Administrator may require to complete such transfer.

(B) **AFTER INITIAL PERIOD.**—After the end of the five-year period described in subparagraph (A), if the Secretary of Veterans Affairs or the Secretary of Defense determines that the integration of the facilities transferred pursuant to subsection (a) should not continue, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Defense jurisdiction, custody, and control over the real and related personal property described in subparagraph (A). Any transfer under this subparagraph shall be carried out by the Administrator of General Services not later than one year after the date of the determination by the applicable Secretary, plus such additional time as the Administrator may require to complete such transfer.

(C) **REVERSION PROCEDURES.**—The executive agreement under section 1701 shall provide the following:

(i) Specific procedures for the reversion of real and related personal property, as appropriate, transferred pursuant to subsection (a) to ensure the continuing accomplishment by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs of their missions in the event that the integration of facilities described transferred pursuant to that subsection (a) is not completed or a reversion of property occurs under subparagraph (A) or (B).

(ii) In the event of a reversion under this paragraph, the transfer from the Department of

Veterans Affairs to the Department of Defense of associated functions including appropriate resources, civilian positions, and personnel, in a manner that will not result in adverse impact to the missions of Department of Defense or the Department of Veterans Affairs.

SEC. 1703. TRANSFER OF CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy may transfer to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs functions necessary for the effective operation of the facility. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs may accept any functions so transferred.

(b) **TERMS.**—

(1) **EXECUTIVE AGREEMENT.**—Any transfer of functions under subsection (a) shall be carried out as provided in the executive agreement under section 1701. The functions to be so transferred shall be identified utilizing the provisions of section 3503 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—In providing for the transfer of functions under subsection (a), the executive agreement under section 1701 shall provide for the following:

(A) The transfer of civilian employee positions of the Department of Defense identified in the executive agreement to the Department of Veterans Affairs, and of the incumbent civilian employees in such positions, and the transition of the employees so transferred to the pay, benefits, and personnel systems that apply to employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs (to the extent that different systems apply).

(B) The transition of employees so transferred to the pay systems of the Department of Veterans Affairs in a manner which will not result in any reduction in an employee's regular rate of compensation (including basic pay, locality pay, any physician comparability allowance, and any other fixed and recurring pay supplement) at the time of transition.

(C) The continuation after transfer of the same employment status for employees so transferred who have already successfully completed or are in the process of completing a one-year probationary period under title 5, United States Code, notwithstanding the provisions of section 7403(b)(1) of title 38, United States Code.

(D) The extension of collective bargaining rights under title 5, United States Code, to employees so transferred in positions listed in subsection 7421(b) of title 38, United States Code, notwithstanding the provisions of section 7422 of title 38, United States Code, for a two-year period beginning on the effective date of the executive agreement.

(E) At the end of the two-year period beginning on the effective date of the executive agreement, for the following actions by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs with respect to the extension of collective bargaining rights under subparagraph (D):

(i) Consideration of the impact of the extension of such rights.

(ii) Consultation with exclusive employee representatives of the transferred employees about such impact.

(iii) Determination, after consultation with the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy, whether the extension of such rights should be terminated, modified, or kept in effect.

(iv) Submittal to Congress of a notice regarding the determination made under clause (iii).

(F) The recognition after transfer of each transferred physician's and dentist's total number of years of service as a physician or dentist in the Department of Defense for purposes of calculating such employee's rate of base pay, notwithstanding the provisions of section 7431(b)(3) of title 38, United States Code.

(G) The preservation of the seniority of the employees so transferred for all pay purposes.

(c) **RETENTION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EMPLOYMENT AUTHORITY.**—Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b), the Department of Defense may employ civilian personnel at the facility if the Secretary of the Navy, or a designee of

the Secretary, determines it is necessary and appropriate to meet mission requirements of the Department of the Navy.

SEC. 1704. JOINT FUNDING AUTHORITY.

(a) JOINT MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established on the books of the Treasury under the Department of Veterans Affairs a fund to be known as the “Joint Department of Defense–Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund” (in this section referred to as the “Fund”).

(2) ELEMENTS.—The Fund shall consist of the following:

(A) Amounts transferred to the Fund by the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, from amounts authorized and appropriated for the Department of Defense specifically for that purpose.

(B) Amounts transferred to the Fund by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs from amounts authorized and appropriated for the Department of Veterans Affairs specifically for that purpose.

(C) Amounts transferred to the Fund from medical care collections under paragraph (4).

(3) DETERMINATION OF AMOUNTS TRANSFERRED GENERALLY.—The amount transferred to the Fund by each of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under subparagraphs (A) and (B), as applicable, of paragraph (2) each fiscal year shall be such amount, as determined by a methodology jointly established by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for purposes of this subsection, that reflects the mission-specific activities, workload, and costs of provision of health care at the facility of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, respectively.

(4) TRANSFERS FROM MEDICAL CARE COLLECTIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Amounts collected under the authorities specified in subparagraph (B) for health care provided at the facility may be transferred to the Fund under paragraph (2)(C).

(B) AUTHORITIES.—The authorities specified in this subparagraph are the following:

(i) Section 1095 of title 10, United States Code.
(ii) Section 1729 of title 38, United States Code.
(iii) Public Law 87–693, popularly known as the “Federal Medical Care Recovery Act” (42 U.S.C. 2651 et seq.).

(5) ADMINISTRATION.—The Fund shall be administered in accordance with such provisions of the executive agreement under section 1701 as the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly include in the executive agreement. Such provisions shall provide for an independent review of the methodology established under paragraph (3).

(b) AVAILABILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds transferred to the Fund under subsection (a) shall be available to fund the operations of the facility, including capital equipment, real property maintenance, and minor construction projects that are not required to be specifically authorized by law under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, or section 8104 of title 38, United States Code.

(2) LIMITATION.—The availability of funds transferred to the Fund under subsection (a)(2)(C) shall be subject to the provisions of section 1729A of title 38, United States Code.

(3) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), funds transferred to the Fund under subsection (a) shall be available under paragraph (1) for one fiscal year after transfer.

(B) EXCEPTION.—Of an amount transferred to the Fund under subsection (a), an amount not to exceed two percent of such amount shall be available under paragraph (1) for two fiscal years after transfer.

(c) FINANCIAL RECONCILIATION.—The executive agreement under section 1701 shall provide

for the development and implementation of an integrated financial reconciliation process that meets the fiscal reconciliation requirements of the Department of Defense, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of Veterans Affairs. The process shall permit each of the Department of Defense, the Department of Navy, and the Department of Veterans Affairs to identify their fiscal contributions to the Fund, taking into consideration accounting, workload, and financial management differences.

(d) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly provide for an annual independent review of the Fund for at least three years after the date of the enactment of this Act. Such review shall include detailed statements of the uses of amounts of the Fund and an evaluation of the adequacy of the proportional share contributed to the Fund by each of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(e) TERMINATION.—The authorities in this section shall terminate on September 30, 2015.

SEC. 1705. ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES FOR CARE AND SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of eligibility for health care under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, the facility may be treated as a facility of the uniformed services to the extent provided in the executive agreement under section 1701.

(b) PRIORITY OF TREATMENT.—The executive agreement under section 1701 shall provide an integrated priority list for access to health care at the facility, which list shall—

(1) integrate the respective health care priority lists of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, giving first priority of care to members of the Armed Forces on active duty; and

(2) take into account categories of beneficiaries, enrollment program status, and such other matters as the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs jointly consider appropriate.

(c) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS.—The executive agreement under section 1701 may include provisions as follows:

(1) To incorporate any resource-related limitations for access to health care at the facility that the Secretary of Defense may establish for purposes of administering space-available eligibility for care in facilities of the uniformed services under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) To waive the applicability to the facility of any provision of section 8111(e) of title 38, United States Code, that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly specify.

(3) To allocate financial responsibility for care provided at the facility for individuals who are eligible for care under both chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, and title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 1706. EXTENSION OF DOD-VA HEALTH CARE SHARING INCENTIVE FUND.

Section 8111(d)(3) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2010” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

TITLE XVIII—MILITARY COMMISSIONS

Sec. 1801. Short title.

Sec. 1802. Military commissions.

Sec. 1803. Conforming amendments.

Sec. 1804. Proceedings under prior statute.

Sec. 1805. Submittal to Congress of revised rules for military commissions.

Sec. 1806. Annual reports to Congress on trials by military commission.

Sec. 1807. Sense of Congress on military commission system.

SEC. 1801. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Military Commissions Act of 2009”.

SEC. 1802. MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

Chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“CHAPTER 47A—MILITARY COMMISSIONS

“SUBCHAPTER Sec.
“I. General Provisions 948a.

“II. Composition of Military Commissions 948h.

“III. Pre-Trial Procedure 948q.

“IV. Trial Procedure 949a.

“V. Classified Information Procedures .. 949p–1.

“VI. Sentences 949s.

“VII. Post-Trial Procedures and Review of Military Commissions 950a.

“VIII. Punitive Matters 950p.

“SUBCHAPTER I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

“Sec.

“948a. Definitions.

“948b. Military commissions generally.

“948c. Persons subject to military commissions.

“948d. Jurisdiction of military commissions.

“§948a. Definitions

“In this chapter:

“(1) ALIEN.—The term ‘alien’ means an individual who is not a citizen of the United States.

“(2) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The term ‘classified information’ means the following:

“(A) Any information or material that has been determined by the United States Government pursuant to statute, Executive order, or regulation to require protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national security.

“(B) Any restricted data, as that term is defined in section 11 y. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014(y)).

“(3) COALITION PARTNER.—The term ‘coalition partner’, with respect to hostilities engaged in by the United States, means any State or armed force directly engaged along with the United States in such hostilities or providing direct operational support to the United States in connection with such hostilities.

“(4) GENEVA CONVENTION RELATIVE TO THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS OF WAR.—The term ‘Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War’ means the Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, done at Geneva August 12, 1949 (6 UST 3316).

“(5) GENEVA CONVENTIONS.—The term ‘Geneva Conventions’ means the international conventions signed at Geneva on August 12, 1949.

“(6) PRIVILEGED BELLIGERENT.—The term ‘privileged belligerent’ means an individual belonging to one of the eight categories enumerated in Article 4 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War.

“(7) UNPRIVILEGED ENEMY BELLIGERENT.—The term ‘unprivileged enemy belligerent’ means an individual (other than a privileged belligerent) who—

“(A) has engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners;

“(B) has purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners; or

“(C) was a part of al Qaeda at the time of the alleged offense under this chapter.

“(8) NATIONAL SECURITY.—The term ‘national security’ means the national defense and foreign relations of the United States.

“(9) HOSTILITIES.—The term ‘hostilities’ means any conflict subject to the laws of war.

“§948b. Military commissions generally

“(a) PURPOSE.—This chapter establishes procedures governing the use of military commissions to try alien unprivileged enemy belligerents for violations of the law of war and other offenses triable by military commission.

“(b) AUTHORITY FOR MILITARY COMMISSIONS UNDER THIS CHAPTER.—The President is authorized to establish military commissions under this chapter for offenses triable by military commission as provided in this chapter.

“(c) CONSTRUCTION OF PROVISIONS.—The procedures for military commissions set forth in this chapter are based upon the procedures for trial by general courts-martial under chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

Chapter 47 of this title does not, by its terms, apply to trial by military commission except as specifically provided therein or in this chapter, and many of the provisions of chapter 47 of this title are by their terms inapplicable to military commissions. The judicial construction and application of chapter 47 of this title, while instructive, is therefore not of its own force binding on military commissions established under this chapter.

“(d) **INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS.**—(1) The following provisions of this title shall not apply to trial by military commission under this chapter:

“(A) Section 810 (article 10 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), relating to speedy trial, including any rule of courts-martial relating to speedy trial.

“(B) Sections 831(a), (b), and (d) (articles 31(a), (b), and (d) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), relating to compulsory self-incrimination.

“(C) Section 832 (article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), relating to pretrial investigation.

“(2) Other provisions of chapter 47 of this title shall apply to trial by military commission under this chapter only to the extent provided by the terms of such provisions or by this chapter.

“(e) **GENEVA CONVENTIONS NOT ESTABLISHING PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.**—No alien unprivileged enemy belligerent subject to trial by military commission under this chapter may invoke the Geneva Conventions as a basis for a private right of action.

“§948c. Persons subject to military commissions

“Any alien unprivileged enemy belligerent is subject to trial by military commission as set forth in this chapter.

“§948d. Jurisdiction of military commissions

“A military commission under this chapter shall have jurisdiction to try persons subject to this chapter for any offense made punishable by this chapter, sections 904 and 906 of this title (articles 104 and 106 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), or the law of war, whether such offense was committed before, on, or after September 11, 2001, and may, under such limitations as the President may prescribe, adjudge any punishment not forbidden by this chapter, including the penalty of death when specifically authorized under this chapter. A military commission is a competent tribunal to make a finding sufficient for jurisdiction.

“SUBCHAPTER II—COMPOSITION OF MILITARY COMMISSIONS

“Sec.

“948h. Who may convene military commissions.

“948i. Who may serve on military commissions.

“948j. Military judge of a military commission.

“948k. Detail of trial counsel and defense counsel.

“948l. Detail or employment of reporters and interpreters.

“948m. Number of members; excuse of members; absent and additional members.

“§948h. Who may convene military commissions

“Military commissions under this chapter may be convened by the Secretary of Defense or by any officer or official of the United States designated by the Secretary for that purpose.

“§948i. Who may serve on military commissions

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Any commissioned officer of the armed forces on active duty is eligible to serve on a military commission under this chapter, including commissioned officers of the reserve components of the armed forces on active duty, commissioned officers of the National Guard on active duty in Federal service, or retired commissioned officers recalled to active duty.

“(b) **DETAIL OF MEMBERS.**—When convening a military commission under this chapter, the convening authority shall detail as members thereof such members of the armed forces eligible under subsection (a) who, in the opinion of the convening authority, are best qualified for the duty by reason of age, education, training, experience, length of service, and judicial temperament. No member of an armed force is eligible to serve as a member of a military commission when such member is the accuser or a witness for the prosecution or has acted as an investigator or counsel in the same case.

“(c) **EXCUSE OF MEMBERS.**—Before a military commission under this chapter is assembled for the trial of a case, the convening authority may excuse a member from participating in the case.

“§948j. Military judge of a military commission

“(a) **DETAIL OF MILITARY JUDGE.**—A military judge shall be detailed to each military commission under this chapter. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations providing for the manner in which military judges are so detailed to military commissions. The military judge shall preside over each military commission to which such military judge has been detailed.

“(b) **ELIGIBILITY.**—A military judge shall be a commissioned officer of the armed forces who is a member of the bar of a Federal court, or a member of the bar of the highest court of a State, and who is certified to be qualified for duty under section 826 of this title (article 26 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) as a military judge of general courts-martial by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which such military judge is a member.

“(c) **INELIGIBILITY OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.**—No person is eligible to act as military judge in a case of a military commission under this chapter if such person is the accuser or a witness or has acted as investigator or a counsel in the same case.

“(d) **CONSULTATION WITH MEMBERS; INELIGIBILITY TO VOTE.**—A military judge detailed to a military commission under this chapter may not consult with the members except in the presence of the accused (except as otherwise provided in section 949d of this title), trial counsel, and defense counsel, nor may such military judge vote with the members.

“(e) **OTHER DUTIES.**—A commissioned officer who is certified to be qualified for duty as a military judge of a military commission under this chapter may perform such other duties as are assigned to such officer by or with the approval of the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which such officer is a member or the designee of such Judge Advocate General.

“(f) **PROHIBITION ON EVALUATION OF FITNESS BY CONVENING AUTHORITY.**—The convening authority of a military commission under this chapter may not prepare or review any report concerning the effectiveness, fitness, or efficiency of a military judge detailed to the military commission which relates to such judge's performance of duty as a military judge on the military commission.

“§948k. Detail of trial counsel and defense counsel

“(a) **DETAIL OF COUNSEL GENERALLY.**—(1) Trial counsel and military defense counsel shall be detailed for each military commission under this chapter.

“(2) Assistant trial counsel and assistant and associate defense counsel may be detailed for a military commission under this chapter.

“(3) Military defense counsel for a military commission under this chapter shall be detailed as soon as practicable.

“(4) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations providing for the manner in which trial counsel and military defense counsel are detailed for military commissions under this chapter and for the persons who are authorized to detail such counsel for such military commissions.

“(b) **TRIAL COUNSEL.**—Subject to subsection (e), a trial counsel detailed for a military commission under this chapter shall be—

“(1) a judge advocate (as that term is defined in section 801 of this title (article 1 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice)) who is—

“(A) a graduate of an accredited law school or a member of the bar of a Federal court or of the highest court of a State; and

“(B) certified as competent to perform duties as trial counsel before general courts-martial by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which such judge advocate is a member; or

“(2) a civilian who is—

“(A) a member of the bar of a Federal court or of the highest court of a State; and

“(B) otherwise qualified to practice before the military commission pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

“(c) **DEFENSE COUNSEL.**—(1) Subject to subsection (e), a military defense counsel detailed for a military commission under this chapter shall be a judge advocate (as so defined) who is—

“(A) a graduate of an accredited law school or a member of the bar of a Federal court or of the highest court of a State; and

“(B) certified as competent to perform duties as defense counsel before general courts-martial by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which such judge advocate is a member.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations for the appointment and performance of defense counsel in capital cases under this chapter.

“(d) **CHIEF PROSECUTOR; CHIEF DEFENSE COUNSEL.**—(1) The Chief Prosecutor in a military commission under this chapter shall meet the requirements set forth in subsection (b)(1).

“(2) The Chief Defense Counsel in a military commission under this chapter shall meet the requirements set forth in subsection (c)(1).

“(e) **INELIGIBILITY OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS.**—No person who has acted as an investigator, military judge, or member of a military commission under this chapter in any case may act later as trial counsel or military defense counsel in the same case. No person who has acted for the prosecution before a military commission under this chapter may act later in the same case for the defense, nor may any person who has acted for the defense before a military commission under this chapter may act later in the same case for the defense, nor may any person who has acted for the defense before a military commission under this chapter act later in the same case for the prosecution.

“§948l. Detail or employment of reporters and interpreters

“(a) **COURT REPORTERS.**—Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, the convening authority of a military commission under this chapter shall detail to or employ for the military commission qualified court reporters, who shall prepare a verbatim record of the proceedings of and testimony taken before the military commission.

“(b) **INTERPRETERS.**—Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, the convening authority of a military commission under this chapter may detail to or employ for the military commission interpreters who shall interpret for the military commission, and, as necessary, for trial counsel and defense counsel for the military commission, and for the accused.

“(c) **TRANSCRIPT; RECORD.**—The transcript of a military commission under this chapter shall be under the control of the convening authority of the military commission, who shall also be responsible for preparing the record of the proceedings of the military commission.

“§948m. Number of members; excuse of members; absent and additional members

“(a) NUMBER OF MEMBERS.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a military commission under this chapter shall have at least five members.

“(2) In a case in which the accused before a military commission under this chapter may be sentenced to a penalty of death, the military commission shall have the number of members prescribed by section 949m(c) of this title.

“(b) EXCUSE OF MEMBERS.—No member of a military commission under this chapter may be absent or excused after the military commission has been assembled for the trial of a case unless excused—

“(1) as a result of challenge;

“(2) by the military judge for physical disability or other good cause; or

“(3) by order of the convening authority for good cause.

“(c) ABSENT AND ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Whenever a military commission under this chapter is reduced below the number of members required by subsection (a), the trial may not proceed unless the convening authority details new members sufficient to provide not less than such number. The trial may proceed with the new members present after the recorded evidence previously introduced before the members has been read to the military commission in the presence of the military judge, the accused (except as provided in section 949d of this title), and counsel for both sides.

**“SUBCHAPTER III—PRE-TRIAL
PROCEDURE**

“Sec.

“948q. Charges and specifications.

“948r. Exclusion of statements obtained by torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment; prohibition of self-incrimination; admission of other statements of the accused.

“948s. Service of charges.

“§948q. Charges and specifications

“(a) CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS.—Charges and specifications against an accused in a military commission under this chapter shall be signed by a person subject to chapter 47 of this title under oath before a commissioned officer of the armed forces authorized to administer oaths and shall state—

“(1) that the signer has personal knowledge of, or reason to believe, the matters set forth therein; and

“(2) that such matters are true in fact to the best of the signer's knowledge and belief.

“(b) NOTICE TO ACCUSED.—Upon the swearing of the charges and specifications in accordance with subsection (a), the accused shall be informed of the charges and specifications against the accused as soon as practicable.

“§948r. Exclusion of statements obtained by torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment; prohibition of self-incrimination; admission of other statements of the accused

“(a) EXCLUSION OF STATEMENTS OBTAIN BY TORTURE OR CRUEL, INHUMAN, OR DEGRADING TREATMENT.—No statement obtained by the use of torture or by cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment (as defined by section 1003 of the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 2000dd)), whether or not under color of law, shall be admissible in a military commission under this chapter, except against a person accused of torture or such treatment as evidence that the statement was made.

“(b) SELF-INCRIMINATION PROHIBITED.—No person shall be required to testify against himself or herself at a proceeding of a military commission under this chapter.

“(c) OTHER STATEMENTS OF THE ACCUSED.—A statement of the accused may be admitted in evidence in a military commission under this chapter only if the military judge finds—

“(1) that the totality of the circumstances renders the statement reliable and possessing sufficient probative value; and

“(2) that—

“(A) the statement was made incident to lawful conduct during military operations at the point of capture or during closely related active combat engagement, and the interests of justice would best be served by admission of the statement into evidence; or

“(B) the statement was voluntarily given.

“(d) DETERMINATION OF VOLUNTARINESS.—In determining for purposes of subsection (c)(2)(B) whether a statement was voluntarily given, the military judge shall consider the totality of the circumstances, including, as appropriate, the following:

“(1) The details of the taking of the statement, accounting for the circumstances of the conduct of military and intelligence operations during hostilities.

“(2) The characteristics of the accused, such as military training, age, and education level.

“(3) The lapse of time, change of place, or change in identity of the questioners between the statement sought to be admitted and any prior questioning of the accused.

“§948s. Service of charges

“The trial counsel assigned to a case before a military commission under this chapter shall cause to be served upon the accused and military defense counsel a copy of the charges upon which trial is to be had in English and, if appropriate, in another language that the accused understands, sufficiently in advance of trial to prepare a defense.

“SUBCHAPTER IV—TRIAL PROCEDURE

“Sec.

“949a. Rules.

“949b. Unlawfully influencing action of military commission and United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“949c. Duties of trial counsel and defense counsel.

“949d. Sessions.

“949e. Continuances.

“949f. Challenges.

“949g. Oaths.

“949h. Former jeopardy.

“949i. Pleas of the accused.

“949j. Opportunity to obtain witnesses and other evidence.

“949k. Defense of lack of mental responsibility.

“949l. Voting and rulings.

“949m. Number of votes required.

“949n. Military commission to announce action.

“949o. Record of trial.

“§949a. Rules

“(a) PROCEDURES AND RULES OF EVIDENCE.—Pretrial, trial, and post-trial procedures, including elements and modes of proof, for cases triable by military commission under this chapter may be prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. Such procedures may not be contrary to or inconsistent with this chapter. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter or chapter 47 of this title, the procedures and rules of evidence applicable in trials by general courts-martial of the United States shall apply in trials by military commission under this chapter.

“(b) EXCEPTIONS.—(1) In trials by military commission under this chapter, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Attorney General, may make such exceptions in the applicability of the procedures and rules of evidence otherwise applicable in general courts-martial as may be required by the unique circumstances of the conduct of military and intelligence operations during hostilities or by other practical need consistent with this chapter.

“(2) Notwithstanding any exceptions authorized by paragraph (1), the procedures and rules of evidence in trials by military commission under this chapter shall include, at a minimum, the following rights of the accused:

“(A) To present evidence in the accused's defense, to cross-examine the witnesses who testify against the accused, and to examine and respond to all evidence admitted against the accused on the issue of guilt or innocence and for sentencing, as provided for by this chapter.

“(B) To be present at all sessions of the military commission (other than those for deliberations or voting), except when excluded under section 949d of this title.

“(C)(i) When none of the charges preferred against the accused are capital, to be represented before a military commission by civilian counsel if provided at no expense to the Government, and by either the defense counsel detailed or the military counsel of the accused's own selection, if reasonably available.

“(ii) When any of the charges preferred against the accused are capital, to be represented before a military commission in accordance with clause (i) and, to the greatest extent practicable, by at least one additional counsel who is learned in applicable law relating to capital cases and who, if necessary, may be a civilian and compensated in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

“(D) To self-representation, if the accused knowingly and competently waives the assistance of counsel, subject to the provisions of paragraph (4).

“(E) To the suppression of evidence that is not reliable or probative.

“(F) To the suppression of evidence the probative value of which is substantially outweighed by—

“(i) the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the members; or

“(ii) considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

“(3) In making exceptions in the applicability in trials by military commission under this chapter from the procedures and rules otherwise applicable in general courts-martial, the Secretary of Defense may provide the following:

“(A) Evidence seized outside the United States shall not be excluded from trial by military commission on the grounds that the evidence was not seized pursuant to a search warrant or authorization.

“(B) A statement of the accused that is otherwise admissible shall not be excluded from trial by military commission on grounds of alleged coercion or compulsory self-incrimination so long as the evidence complies with the provisions of section 948r of this title.

“(C) Evidence shall be admitted as authentic so long as—

“(i) the military judge of the military commission determines that there is sufficient evidence that the evidence is what it is claimed to be; and

“(ii) the military judge instructs the members that they may consider any issue as to authentication or identification of evidence in determining the weight, if any, to be given to the evidence.

“(D) Hearsay evidence not otherwise admissible under the rules of evidence applicable in trial by general courts-martial may be admitted in a trial by military commission only if—

“(i) the proponent of the evidence makes known to the adverse party, sufficiently in advance to provide the adverse party with a fair opportunity to meet the evidence, the proponent's intention to offer the evidence, and the particulars of the evidence (including information on the circumstances under which the evidence was obtained); and

“(ii) the military judge, after taking into account all of the circumstances surrounding the taking of the statement, including the degree to which the statement is corroborated, the indicia of reliability within the statement itself, and whether the will of the declarant was overborne, determines that—

“(I) the statement is offered as evidence of a material fact;

“(II) the statement is probative on the point for which it is offered;

“(III) direct testimony from the witness is not available as a practical matter, taking into consideration the physical location of the witness, the unique circumstances of military and intelligence operations during hostilities, and the adverse impacts on military or intelligence operations that would likely result from the production of the witness; and

“(IV) the general purposes of the rules of evidence and the interests of justice will best be served by admission of the statement into evidence.

“(4)(A) The accused in a military commission under this chapter who exercises the right to self-representation under paragraph (2)(D) shall conform the accused’s deportment and the conduct of the defense to the rules of evidence, procedure, and decorum applicable to trials by military commission.

“(B) Failure of the accused to conform to the rules described in subparagraph (A) may result in a partial or total revocation by the military judge of the right of self-representation under paragraph (2)(D). In such case, the military counsel of the accused or an appropriately authorized civilian counsel shall perform the functions necessary for the defense.

“(c) **DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO PRESCRIBE REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense may delegate the authority of the Secretary to prescribe regulations under this chapter.

“(d) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS OF MODIFICATION OF RULES.**—Not later than 60 days before the date on which any proposed modification of the rules in effect for military commissions under this chapter goes into effect, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report describing the proposed modification.

“§949b. Unlawfully influencing action of military commission and United States Court of Military Commission Review

“(a) **MILITARY COMMISSIONS.**—(1) No authority convening a military commission under this chapter may censure, reprimand, or admonish the military commission, or any member, military judge, or counsel thereof, with respect to the findings or sentence adjudged by the military commission, or with respect to any other exercises of its or their functions in the conduct of the proceedings.

“(2) No person may attempt to coerce or, by any unauthorized means, influence—

“(A) the action of a military commission under this chapter, or any member thereof, in reaching the findings or sentence in any case;

“(B) the action of any convening, approving, or reviewing authority with respect to their judicial acts; or

“(C) the exercise of professional judgment by trial counsel or defense counsel.

“(3) The provisions of this subsection shall not apply with respect to—

“(A) general instructional or informational courses in military justice if such courses are designed solely for the purpose of instructing members of a command in the substantive and procedural aspects of military commissions; or

“(B) statements and instructions given in open proceedings by a military judge or counsel.

“(b) **UNITED STATES COURT OF MILITARY COMMISSION REVIEW.**—(1) No person may attempt to coerce or, by any unauthorized means, influence—

“(A) the action of a military appellate judge or other duly appointed judge under this chapter on the United States Court of Military Commissions Review in reaching a decision on the findings or sentence on appeal in any case; or

“(B) the exercise of professional judgment by trial counsel or defense counsel appearing before the United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“(2) No person may censure, reprimand, or admonish a military appellate judge on the United States Court of Military Commission Re-

view, or counsel thereof, with respect to any exercise of their functions in the conduct of proceedings under this chapter.

“(3) The provisions of this subsection shall not apply with respect to—

“(A) general instructional or informational courses in military justice if such courses are designed solely for the purpose of instructing members of a command in the substantive and procedural aspects of military commissions; or

“(B) statements and instructions given in open proceedings by an appellate military judge or a duly appointed appellate judge on the United States Court of Military Commission Review, or counsel.

“(4) No appellate military judge on the United States Court of Military Commission Review may be reassigned to other duties, except under circumstances as follows:

“(A) The appellate military judge voluntarily requests to be reassigned to other duties and the Secretary of Defense, or the designee of the Secretary, in consultation with the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which the appellate military judge is a member, approves such reassignment.

“(B) The appellate military judge retires or otherwise separates from the armed forces.

“(C) The appellate military judge is reassigned to other duties by the Secretary of Defense, or the designee of the Secretary, in consultation with the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which the appellate military judge is a member, based on military necessity and such reassignment is consistent with service rotation regulations (to the extent such regulations are applicable).

“(D) The appellate military judge is withdrawn by the Secretary of Defense, or the designee of the Secretary, in consultation with the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which the appellate military judge is a member, for good cause consistent with applicable procedures under chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(c) **PROHIBITION ON CONSIDERATION OF ACTIONS ON COMMISSION IN EVALUATION OF FITNESS.**—In the preparation of an effectiveness, fitness, or efficiency report or any other report or document used in whole or in part for the purpose of determining whether a commissioned officer of the armed forces is qualified to be advanced in grade, or in determining the assignment or transfer of any such officer or whether any such officer should be retained on active duty, no person may—

“(1) consider or evaluate the performance of duty of any member of a military commission under this chapter; or

“(2) give a less favorable rating or evaluation to any commissioned officer because of the zeal with which such officer, in acting as counsel, represented any accused before a military commission under this chapter.

“§949c. Duties of trial counsel and defense counsel

“(a) **TRIAL COUNSEL.**—The trial counsel of a military commission under this chapter shall prosecute in the name of the United States.

“(b) **DEFENSE COUNSEL.**—(1) The accused shall be represented in the accused’s defense before a military commission under this chapter as provided in this subsection.

“(2) The accused may be represented by military counsel detailed under section 948k of this title or by military counsel of the accused’s own selection, if reasonably available.

“(3) The accused may be represented by civilian counsel if retained by the accused, provided that such civilian counsel—

“(A) is a United States citizen;

“(B) is admitted to the practice of law in a State, district, or possession of the United States, or before a Federal court;

“(C) has not been the subject of any sanction of disciplinary action by any court, bar, or other competent governmental authority for relevant misconduct;

“(D) has been determined to be eligible for access to information classified at the level Secret or higher; and

“(E) has signed a written agreement to comply with all applicable regulations or instructions for counsel, including any rules of court for conduct during the proceedings.

“(4) If the accused is represented by civilian counsel, military counsel shall act as associate counsel.

“(5) The accused is not entitled to be represented by more than one military counsel. However, the person authorized under regulations prescribed under section 948k of this title to detail counsel, in such person’s sole discretion, may detail additional military counsel to represent the accused.

“(6) Defense counsel may cross-examine each witness for the prosecution who testifies before a military commission under this chapter.

“(7) Civilian defense counsel shall protect any classified information received during the course of representation of the accused in accordance with all applicable law governing the protection of classified information, and may not divulge such information to any person not authorized to receive it.

“§949d. Sessions

“(a) **SESSIONS WITHOUT PRESENCE OF MEMBERS.**—(1) At any time after the service of charges which have been referred for trial by military commission under this chapter, the military judge may call the military commission into session without the presence of the members for the purpose of—

“(A) hearing and determining motions raising defenses or objections which are capable of determination without trial of the issues raised by a plea of not guilty;

“(B) hearing and ruling upon any matter which may be ruled upon by the military judge under this chapter, whether or not the matter is appropriate for later consideration or decision by the members;

“(C) if permitted by regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, receiving the pleas of the accused; and

“(D) performing any other procedural function which may be performed by the military judge under this chapter or under rules prescribed pursuant to section 949a of this title and which does not require the presence of the members.

“(2) Except as provided in subsections (b), (c), and (d), any proceedings under paragraph (1) shall be conducted in the presence of the accused, defense counsel, and trial counsel, and shall be made part of the record.

“(b) **DELIBERATION OR VOTE OF MEMBERS.**—When the members of a military commission under this chapter deliberate or vote, only the members may be present.

“(c) **CLOSURE OF PROCEEDINGS.**—(1) The military judge may close to the public all or part of the proceedings of a military commission under this chapter.

“(2) The military judge may close to the public all or a portion of the proceedings under paragraph (1) only upon making a specific finding that such closure is necessary to—

“(A) protect information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause damage to the national security, including intelligence or law enforcement sources, methods, or activities; or

“(B) ensure the physical safety of individuals.

“(3) A finding under paragraph (2) may be based upon a presentation, including a presentation ex parte or in camera, by either trial counsel or defense counsel.

“(d) **EXCLUSION OF ACCUSED FROM CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS.**—The military judge may exclude the accused from any portion of a proceeding upon a determination that, after being warned by the military judge, the accused persists in conduct that justifies exclusion from the courtroom—

“(1) to ensure the physical safety of individuals; or

“(2) to prevent disruption of the proceedings by the accused.

“§949e. Continuances

“The military judge in a military commission under this chapter may, for reasonable cause, grant a continuance to any party for such time, and as often, as may appear to be just.

“§949f. Challenges

“(a) CHALLENGES AUTHORIZED.—The military judge and members of a military commission under this chapter may be challenged by the accused or trial counsel for cause stated to the military commission. The military judge shall determine the relevance and validity of challenges for cause, and may not receive a challenge to more than one person at a time. Challenges by trial counsel shall ordinarily be presented and decided before those by the accused are offered.

“(b) PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES.—The accused and trial counsel are each entitled to one peremptory challenge, but the military judge may not be challenged except for cause.

“(c) CHALLENGES AGAINST ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Whenever additional members are detailed to a military commission under this chapter, and after any challenges for cause against such additional members are presented and decided, the accused and trial counsel are each entitled to one peremptory challenge against members not previously subject to peremptory challenge.

“§949g. Oaths

“(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Before performing their respective duties in a military commission under this chapter, military judges, members, trial counsel, defense counsel, reporters, and interpreters shall take an oath to perform their duties faithfully.

“(2) The form of the oath required by paragraph (1), the time and place of the taking thereof, the manner of recording thereof, and whether the oath shall be taken for all cases in which duties are to be performed or for a particular case, shall be as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. The regulations may provide that—

“(A) an oath to perform faithfully duties as a military judge, trial counsel, or defense counsel may be taken at any time by any judge advocate or other person certified to be qualified or competent for the duty; and

“(B) if such an oath is taken, such oath need not again be taken at the time the judge advocate or other person is detailed to that duty.

“(b) WITNESSES.—Each witness before a military commission under this chapter shall be examined on oath.

“(c) OATH DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘oath’ includes an affirmation.

“§949h. Former jeopardy

“(a) IN GENERAL.—No person may, without the person’s consent, be tried by a military commission under this chapter a second time for the same offense.

“(b) SCOPE OF TRIAL.—No proceeding in which the accused has been found guilty by military commission under this chapter upon any charge or specification is a trial in the sense of this section until the finding of guilty has become final after review of the case has been fully completed.

“§949i. Pleas of the accused

“(a) PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.—If an accused in a military commission under this chapter after a plea of guilty sets up matter inconsistent with the plea, or if it appears that the accused has entered the plea of guilty through lack of understanding of its meaning and effect, or if the accused fails or refuses to plead, a plea of not guilty shall be entered in the record, and the military commission shall proceed as though the accused had pleaded not guilty.

“(b) FINDING OF GUILT AFTER GUILTY PLEA.—With respect to any charge or specification to which a plea of guilty has been made by the accused in a military commission under this chapter and accepted by the military judge, a finding of guilty of the charge or specification may be entered immediately without a vote. The finding shall constitute the finding of the military commission unless the plea of guilty is withdrawn prior to announcement of the sentence, in which event the proceedings shall continue as though the accused had pleaded not guilty.

“§949j. Opportunity to obtain witnesses and other evidence

“(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Defense counsel in a military commission under this chapter shall have a reasonable opportunity to obtain witnesses and other evidence as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. The opportunity to obtain witnesses and evidence shall be comparable to the opportunity available to a criminal defendant in a court of the United States under article III of the Constitution.

“(2) Process issued in military commissions under this chapter to compel witnesses to appear and testify and to compel the production of other evidence—

“(A) shall be similar to that which courts of the United States having criminal jurisdiction may lawfully issue; and

“(B) shall run to any place where the United States shall have jurisdiction thereof.

“(b) DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE.—(1) As soon as practicable, trial counsel in a military commission under this chapter shall disclose to the defense the existence of any evidence that reasonably tends to—

“(A) negate the guilt of the accused of an offense charged; or

“(B) reduce the degree of guilt of the accused with respect to an offense charged.

“(2) The trial counsel shall, as soon as practicable, disclose to the defense the existence of evidence that reasonably tends to impeach the credibility of a witness whom the government intends to call at trial.

“(3) The trial counsel shall, as soon as practicable upon a finding of guilt, disclose to the defense the existence of evidence that is not subject to paragraph (1) or paragraph (2) but that reasonably may be viewed as mitigation evidence at sentencing.

“(4) The disclosure obligations under this subsection encompass evidence that is known or reasonably should be known to any government officials who participated in the investigation and prosecution of the case against the defendant.

“§949k. Defense of lack of mental responsibility

“(a) AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE.—It is an affirmative defense in a trial by military commission under this chapter that, at the time of the commission of the acts constituting the offense, the accused, as a result of a severe mental disease or defect, was unable to appreciate the nature and quality or the wrongfulness of the acts. Mental disease or defect does not otherwise constitute a defense.

“(b) BURDEN OF PROOF.—The accused in a military commission under this chapter has the burden of proving the defense of lack of mental responsibility by clear and convincing evidence.

“(c) FINDINGS FOLLOWING ASSERTION OF DEFENSE.—Whenever lack of mental responsibility of the accused with respect to an offense is properly at issue in a military commission under this chapter, the military judge shall instruct the members as to the defense of lack of mental responsibility under this section and shall charge the members to find the accused—

“(1) guilty;

“(2) not guilty; or

“(3) subject to subsection (d), not guilty by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

“(d) MAJORITY VOTE REQUIRED FOR FINDING.—The accused shall be found not guilty by

reason of lack of mental responsibility under subsection (c)(3) only if a majority of the members present at the time the vote is taken determines that the defense of lack of mental responsibility has been established.

“§949l. Voting and rulings

“(a) VOTE BY SECRET WRITTEN BALLOT.—Voting by members of a military commission under this chapter on the findings and on the sentence shall be by secret written ballot.

“(b) RULINGS.—(1) The military judge in a military commission under this chapter shall rule upon all questions of law, including the admissibility of evidence and all interlocutory questions arising during the proceedings.

“(2) Any ruling made by the military judge upon a question of law or an interlocutory question (other than the factual issue of mental responsibility of the accused) is conclusive and constitutes the ruling of the military commission. However, a military judge may change such a ruling at any time during the trial.

“(c) INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO VOTE.—Before a vote is taken of the findings of a military commission under this chapter, the military judge shall, in the presence of the accused and counsel, instruct the members as to the elements of the offense and charge the members—

“(1) that the accused must be presumed to be innocent until the accused’s guilt is established by legal and competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt;

“(2) that in the case being considered, if there is a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the accused, the doubt must be resolved in favor of the accused and the accused must be acquitted;

“(3) that, if there is reasonable doubt as to the degree of guilt, the finding must be in a lower degree as to which there is no reasonable doubt; and

“(4) that the burden of proof to establish the guilt of the accused beyond a reasonable doubt is upon the United States.

“§949m. Number of votes required

“(a) CONVICTION.—No person may be convicted by a military commission under this chapter of any offense, except as provided in section 949i(b) of this title or by concurrence of two-thirds of the members present at the time the vote is taken.

“(b) SENTENCES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), sentences shall be determined by a military commission by the concurrence of two-thirds of the members present at the time the vote is taken.

“(2) No person may be sentenced to death by a military commission, except insofar as—

“(A) the penalty of death has been expressly authorized under this chapter, chapter 47 of this title, or the law of war for an offense of which the accused has been found guilty;

“(B) trial counsel expressly sought the penalty of death by filing an appropriate notice in advance of trial;

“(C) the accused was convicted of the offense by the concurrence of all the members present at the time the vote is taken; and

“(D) all members present at the time the vote was taken concurred in the sentence of death.

“(3) No person may be sentenced to life imprisonment, or to confinement for more than 10 years, by a military commission under this chapter except by the concurrence of three-fourths of the members present at the time the vote is taken.

“(c) NUMBER OF MEMBERS REQUIRED FOR PENALTY OF DEATH.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), in a case in which the penalty of death is sought, the number of members of the military commission under this chapter shall be not less than 12 members.

“(2) In any case described in paragraph (1) in which 12 members are not reasonably available for a military commission because of physical conditions or military exigencies, the convening authority shall specify a lesser number of members for the military commission (but not fewer

than 9 members), and the military commission may be assembled, and the trial held, with not less than the number of members so specified. In any such case, the convening authority shall make a detailed written statement, to be appended to the record, stating why a greater number of members were not reasonably available.

“§949n. Military commission to announce action

“A military commission under this chapter shall announce its findings and sentence to the parties as soon as determined.

“§949o. Record of trial

“(a) RECORD; AUTHENTICATION.—Each military commission under this chapter shall keep a separate, verbatim, record of the proceedings in each case brought before it, and the record shall be authenticated by the signature of the military judge. If the record cannot be authenticated by the military judge by reason of death, disability, or absence, it shall be authenticated by the signature of the trial counsel or by a member of the commission if the trial counsel is unable to authenticate it by reason of death, disability, or absence. Where appropriate, and as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the record of a military commission under this chapter may contain a classified annex.

“(b) COMPLETE RECORD REQUIRED.—A complete record of the proceedings and testimony shall be prepared in every military commission under this chapter.

“(c) PROVISION OF COPY TO ACCUSED.—A copy of the record of the proceedings of the military commission under this chapter shall be given the accused as soon as it is authenticated. If the record contains classified information, or a classified annex, the accused shall receive a redacted version of the record consistent with the requirements of subchapter V of this chapter. Defense counsel shall have access to the unredacted record, as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

“SUBCHAPTER V—CLASSIFIED INFORMATION PROCEDURES

“Sec.

“949p-1. Protection of classified information: applicability of subchapter.

“949p-2. Pretrial conference.

“949p-3. Protective orders.

“949p-4. Discovery of, and access to, classified information by the accused.

“949p-5. Notice by accused of intention to disclose classified information.

“949p-6. Procedure for cases involving classified information.

“949p-7. Introduction of classified information into evidence.

“§949p-1. Protection of classified information: applicability of subchapter

“(a) PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—Classified information shall be protected and is privileged from disclosure if disclosure would be detrimental to the national security. Under no circumstances may a military judge order the release of classified information to any person not authorized to receive such information.

“(b) ACCESS TO EVIDENCE.—Any information admitted into evidence pursuant to any rule, procedure, or order by the military judge shall be provided to the accused.

“(c) DECLASSIFICATION.—Trial counsel shall work with the original classification authorities for evidence that may be used at trial to ensure that such evidence is declassified to the maximum extent possible, consistent with the requirements of national security. A decision not to declassify evidence under this section shall not be subject to review by a military commission or upon appeal.

“(d) CONSTRUCTION OF PROVISIONS.—The judicial construction of the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.) shall be author-

itative in the interpretation of this subchapter, except to the extent that such construction is inconsistent with the specific requirements of this chapter.

“§949p-2. Pretrial conference

“(a) MOTION.—At any time after service of charges, any party may move for a pretrial conference to consider matters relating to classified information that may arise in connection with the prosecution.

“(b) CONFERENCE.—Following a motion under subsection (a), or sua sponte, the military judge shall promptly hold a pretrial conference. Upon request by either party, the court shall hold such conference ex parte to the extent necessary to protect classified information from disclosure, in accordance with the practice of the Federal courts under the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.).

“(c) MATTERS TO BE ESTABLISHED AT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE.—

“(1) TIMING OF SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS.—At the pretrial conference, the military judge shall establish the timing of—

“(A) requests for discovery;

“(B) the provision of notice required by section 949p-5 of this title; and

“(C) the initiation of the procedure established by section 949p-6 of this title.

“(2) OTHER MATTERS.—At the pretrial conference, the military judge may also consider any matter—

“(A) which relates to classified information; or

“(B) which may promote a fair and expeditious trial.

“(d) EFFECT OF ADMISSIONS BY ACCUSED AT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE.—No admission made by the accused or by any counsel for the accused at a pretrial conference under this section may be used against the accused unless the admission is in writing and is signed by the accused and by the counsel for the accused.

“§949p-3. Protective orders

“Upon motion of the trial counsel, the military judge shall issue an order to protect against the disclosure of any classified information that has been disclosed by the United States to any accused in any military commission under this chapter or that has otherwise been provided to, or obtained by, any such accused in any such military commission.

“§949p-4. Discovery of, and access to, classified information by the accused

“(a) LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY OR ACCESS BY THE ACCUSED.—

“(1) DECLARATIONS BY THE UNITED STATES OF DAMAGE TO NATIONAL SECURITY.—In any case before a military commission in which the United States seeks to delete, withhold, or otherwise obtain other relief with respect to the discovery of or access to any classified information, the trial counsel shall submit a declaration invoking the United States' classified information privilege and setting forth the damage to the national security that the discovery of or access to such information reasonably could be expected to cause. The declaration shall be signed by a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information.

“(2) STANDARD FOR AUTHORIZATION OF DISCOVERY OR ACCESS.—Upon the submission of a declaration under paragraph (1), the military judge may not authorize the discovery of or access to such classified information unless the military judge determines that such classified information would be noncumulative, relevant, and helpful to a legally cognizable defense, rebuttal of the prosecution's case, or to sentencing, in accordance with standards generally applicable to discovery of or access to classified information in Federal criminal cases. If the discovery of or access to such classified information is authorized, it shall be addressed in accordance with the requirements of subsection (b).

“(b) DISCOVERY OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—

“(1) SUBSTITUTIONS AND OTHER RELIEF.—The military judge, in assessing the accused's discovery of or access to classified information under this section, may authorize the United States—

“(A) to delete or withhold specified items of classified information;

“(B) to substitute a summary for classified information; or

“(C) to substitute a statement admitting relevant facts that the classified information or material would tend to prove.

“(2) EX PARTE PRESENTATIONS.—The military judge shall permit the trial counsel to make a request for an authorization under paragraph (1) in the form of an ex parte presentation to the extent necessary to protect classified information, in accordance with the practice of the Federal courts under the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.). If the military judge enters an order granting relief following such an ex parte showing, the entire presentation (including the text of any written submission, verbatim transcript of the ex parte oral conference or hearing, and any exhibits received by the court as part of the ex parte presentation) shall be sealed and preserved in the records of the military commission to be made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal.

“(3) ACTION BY MILITARY JUDGE.—The military judge shall grant the request of the trial counsel to substitute a summary or to substitute a statement admitting relevant facts, or to provide other relief in accordance with paragraph (1), if the military judge finds that the summary, statement, or other relief would provide the accused with substantially the same ability to make a defense as would discovery of or access to the specific classified information.

“(c) RECONSIDERATION.—An order of a military judge authorizing a request of the trial counsel to substitute, summarize, withhold, or prevent access to classified information under this section is not subject to a motion for reconsideration by the accused, if such order was entered pursuant to an ex parte showing under this section.

“§949p-5. Notice by accused of intention to disclose classified information

“(a) NOTICE BY ACCUSED.—

“(1) NOTIFICATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL AND MILITARY JUDGE.—If an accused reasonably expects to disclose, or to cause the disclosure of, classified information in any manner in connection with any trial or pretrial proceeding involving the prosecution of such accused, the accused shall, within the time specified by the military judge or, where no time is specified, within 30 days before trial, notify the trial counsel and the military judge in writing. Such notice shall include a brief description of the classified information. Whenever the accused learns of additional classified information the accused reasonably expects to disclose, or to cause the disclosure of, at any such proceeding, the accused shall notify trial counsel and the military judge in writing as soon as possible thereafter and shall include a brief description of the classified information.

“(2) LIMITATION ON DISCLOSURE BY ACCUSED.—No accused shall disclose, or cause the disclosure of, any information known or believed to be classified in connection with a trial or pretrial proceeding until—

“(A) notice has been given under paragraph (1); and

“(B) the United States has been afforded a reasonable opportunity to seek a determination pursuant to the procedure set forth in section 949p-6 of this title and the time for the United States to appeal such determination under section 950d of this title has expired or any appeal under that section by the United States is decided.

“(b) **FAILURE TO COMPLY.**—If the accused fails to comply with the requirements of subsection (a), the military judge—

“(1) may preclude disclosure of any classified information not made the subject of notification; and

“(2) may prohibit the examination by the accused of any witness with respect to any such information.

“§949p-6. Procedure for cases involving classified information

“(a) MOTION FOR HEARING.—

“(1) REQUEST FOR HEARING.—Within the time specified by the military judge for the filing of a motion under this section, either party may request the military judge to conduct a hearing to make all determinations concerning the use, relevance, or admissibility of classified information that would otherwise be made during the trial or pretrial proceeding.

“(2) CONDUCT OF HEARING.—Upon a request by either party under paragraph (1), the military judge shall conduct such a hearing and shall rule prior to conducting any further proceedings.

“(3) IN CAMERA HEARING UPON DECLARATION TO COURT BY APPROPRIATE OFFICIAL OF RISK OF DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—Any hearing held pursuant to this subsection (or any portion of such hearing specified in the request of a knowledgeable United States official) shall be held in camera if a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information submits to the military judge a declaration that a public proceeding may result in the disclosure of classified information. Classified information is not subject to disclosure under this section unless the information is relevant and necessary to an element of the offense or a legally cognizable defense and is otherwise admissible in evidence.

“(4) MILITARY JUDGE TO MAKE DETERMINATIONS IN WRITING.—As to each item of classified information, the military judge shall set forth in writing the basis for the determination.

“(b) NOTICE AND USE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION BY THE GOVERNMENT.—

“(1) NOTICE TO ACCUSED.—Before any hearing is conducted pursuant to a request by the trial counsel under subsection (a), trial counsel shall provide the accused with notice of the classified information that is at issue. Such notice shall identify the specific classified information at issue whenever that information previously has been made available to the accused by the United States. When the United States has not previously made the information available to the accused in connection with the case the information may be described by generic category, in such forms as the military judge may approve, rather than by identification of the specific information of concern to the United States.

“(2) ORDER BY MILITARY JUDGE UPON REQUEST OF ACCUSED.—Whenever the trial counsel requests a hearing under subsection (a), the military judge, upon request of the accused, may order the trial counsel to provide the accused, prior to trial, such details as to the portion of the charge or specification at issue in the hearing as are needed to give the accused fair notice to prepare for the hearing.

“(c) SUBSTITUTIONS.—

“(1) IN CAMERA PRETRIAL HEARING.—Upon request of the trial counsel pursuant to the Military Commission Rules of Evidence, and in accordance with the security procedures established by the military judge, the military judge shall conduct a classified in camera pretrial hearing concerning the admissibility of classified information.

“(2) PROTECTION OF SOURCES, METHODS, AND ACTIVITIES BY WHICH EVIDENCE ACQUIRED.—When trial counsel seeks to introduce evidence before a military commission under this chapter and the Executive branch has classified the sources, methods, or activities by which the United States acquired the evidence, the mili-

tary judge shall permit trial counsel to introduce the evidence, including a substituted evidentiary foundation pursuant to the procedures described in subsection (d), while protecting from disclosure information identifying those sources, methods, or activities, if—

“(A) the evidence is otherwise admissible; and

“(B) the military judge finds that—

“(i) the evidence is reliable; and

“(ii) the redaction is consistent with affording the accused a fair trial.

“(d) ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE FOR DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—

“(1) MOTION BY THE UNITED STATES.—Upon any determination by the military judge authorizing the disclosure of specific classified information under the procedures established by this section, the trial counsel may move that, in lieu of the disclosure of such specific classified information, the military judge order—

“(A) the substitution for such classified information of a statement admitting relevant facts that the specific classified information would tend to prove;

“(B) the substitution for such classified information of a summary of the specific classified information; or

“(C) any other procedure or redaction limiting the disclosure of specific classified information.

“(2) ACTION ON MOTION.—The military judge shall grant such a motion of the trial counsel if the military judge finds that the statement, summary, or other procedure or redaction will provide the defendant with substantially the same ability to make his defense as would disclosure of the specific classified information.

“(3) HEARING ON MOTION.—The military judge shall hold a hearing on any motion under this subsection. Any such hearing shall be held in camera at the request of a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information.

“(4) SUBMISSION OF STATEMENT OF DAMAGE TO NATIONAL SECURITY IF DISCLOSURE ORDERED.—The trial counsel may, in connection with a motion under paragraph (1), submit to the military judge a declaration signed by a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information certifying that disclosure of classified information would cause identifiable damage to the national security of the United States and explaining the basis for the classification of such information. If so requested by the trial counsel, the military judge shall examine such declaration during an ex parte presentation.

“(e) SEALING OF RECORDS OF IN CAMERA HEARINGS.—If at the close of an in camera hearing under this section (or any portion of a hearing under this section that is held in camera), the military judge determines that the classified information at issue may not be disclosed or elicited at the trial or pretrial proceeding, the record of such in camera hearing shall be sealed and preserved for use in the event of an appeal. The accused may seek reconsideration of the military judge's determination prior to or during trial.

“(f) PROHIBITION ON DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION BY THE ACCUSED; RELIEF FOR ACCUSED WHEN THE UNITED STATES OPPOSES DISCLOSURE.—

“(1) ORDER TO PREVENT DISCLOSURE BY ACCUSED.—Whenever the military judge denies a motion by the trial counsel that the judge issue an order under subsection (a), (c), or (d) and the trial counsel files with the military judge a declaration signed by a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information objecting to disclosure of the classified information at issue, the military judge shall order that the accused not disclose or cause the disclosure of such information.

“(2) RESULT OF ORDER UNDER PARAGRAPH (1).—Whenever an accused is prevented by an order under paragraph (1) from disclosing or causing the disclosure of classified information, the military judge shall dismiss the case, except

that, when the military judge determines that the interests of justice would not be served by dismissal of the case, the military judge shall order such other action, in lieu of dismissing the charge or specification, as the military judge determines is appropriate. Such action may include, but need not be limited to, the following:

“(A) Dismissing specified charges or specifications.

“(B) Finding against the United States on any issue as to which the excluded classified information relates.

“(C) Striking or precluding all or part of the testimony of a witness.

“(3) TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES TO SEEK INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.—An order under paragraph (2) shall not take effect until the military judge has afforded the United States—

“(A) an opportunity to appeal such order under section 950d of this title; and

“(B) an opportunity thereafter to withdraw its objection to the disclosure of the classified information at issue.

“(g) RECIPROCITY.—

“(1) DISCLOSURE OF REBUTTAL INFORMATION.—Whenever the military judge determines that classified information may be disclosed in connection with a trial or pretrial proceeding, the military judge shall, unless the interests of fairness do not so require, order the United States to provide the accused with the information it expects to use to rebut the classified information. The military judge may place the United States under a continuing duty to disclose such rebuttal information.

“(2) SANCTION FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY.—If the United States fails to comply with its obligation under this subsection, the military judge—

“(A) may exclude any evidence not made the subject of a required disclosure; and

“(B) may prohibit the examination by the United States of any witness with respect to such information.

“§949p-7. Introduction of classified information into evidence

“(a) PRESERVATION OF CLASSIFICATION STATUS.—Writings, recordings, and photographs containing classified information may be admitted into evidence in proceedings of military commissions under this chapter without change in their classification status.

“(b) PRECAUTIONS BY MILITARY JUDGES.—

“(1) PRECAUTIONS IN ADMITTING CLASSIFIED INFORMATION INTO EVIDENCE.—The military judge in a trial by military commission, in order to prevent unnecessary disclosure of classified information, may order admission into evidence of only part of a writing, recording, or photograph, or may order admission into evidence of the whole writing, recording, or photograph with excision of some or all of the classified information contained therein, unless the whole ought in fairness be considered.

“(2) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION KEPT UNDER SEAL.—The military judge shall allow classified information offered or accepted into evidence to remain under seal during the trial, even if such evidence is disclosed in the military commission, and may, upon motion by the United States, seal exhibits containing classified information for any period after trial as necessary to prevent a disclosure of classified information when a knowledgeable United States official possessing authority to classify information submits to the military judge a declaration setting forth the damage to the national security that the disclosure of such information reasonably could be expected to cause.

“(c) TAKING OF TESTIMONY.—

“(1) OBJECTION BY TRIAL COUNSEL.—During the examination of a witness, trial counsel may object to any question or line of inquiry that may require the witness to disclose classified information not previously found to be admissible.

“(2) ACTION BY MILITARY JUDGE.—Following an objection under paragraph (1), the military judge shall take such suitable action to determine whether the response is admissible as will

safeguard against the compromise of any classified information. Such action may include requiring trial counsel to provide the military judge with a proffer of the witness' response to the question or line of inquiry and requiring the accused to provide the military judge with a proffer of the nature of the information sought to be elicited by the accused. Upon request, the military judge may accept an ex parte proffer by trial counsel to the extent necessary to protect classified information from disclosure, in accordance with the practice of the Federal courts under the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.).

“(d) DISCLOSURE AT TRIAL OF CERTAIN STATEMENTS PREVIOUSLY MADE BY A WITNESS.—

“(1) MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF STATEMENTS IN POSSESSION OF THE UNITED STATES.—After a witness called by the trial counsel has testified on direct examination, the military judge, on motion of the accused, may order production of statements of the witness in the possession of the United States which relate to the subject matter as to which the witness has testified. This paragraph does not preclude discovery or assertion of a privilege otherwise authorized.

“(2) INVOCATION OF PRIVILEGE BY THE UNITED STATES.—If the United States invokes a privilege, the trial counsel may provide the prior statements of the witness to the military judge during an ex parte presentation to the extent necessary to protect classified information from disclosure, in accordance with the practice of the Federal courts under the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.).

“(3) ACTION BY MILITARY JUDGE ON MOTION.—If the military judge finds that disclosure of any portion of the statement identified by the United States as classified would be detrimental to the national security in the degree to warrant classification under the applicable Executive Order, statute, or regulation, that such portion of the statement is consistent with the testimony of the witness, and that the disclosure of such portion is not necessary to afford the accused a fair trial, the military judge shall excise that portion from the statement. If the military judge finds that such portion of the statement is inconsistent with the testimony of the witness or that its disclosure is necessary to afford the accused a fair trial, the military judge, shall, upon the request of the trial counsel, review alternatives to disclosure in accordance with section 949p–6(d) of this title.

“SUBCHAPTER VI—SENTENCES

“Sec.

“949s. Cruel or unusual punishments prohibited.

“949t. Maximum limits.

“949u. Execution of confinement.

“§949s. Cruel or unusual punishments prohibited

“Punishment by flogging, or by branding, marking, or tattooing on the body, or any other cruel or unusual punishment, may not be adjudged by a military commission under this chapter or inflicted under this chapter upon any person subject to this chapter. The use of irons, single or double, except for the purpose of safe custody, is prohibited under this chapter.

“§949t. Maximum limits

“The punishment which a military commission under this chapter may direct for an offense may not exceed such limits as the President or Secretary of Defense may prescribe for that offense.

“§949u. Execution of confinement

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, a sentence of confinement adjudged by a military commission under this chapter may be carried into execution by confinement—

“(1) in any place of confinement under the control of any of the armed forces; or

“(2) in any penal or correctional institution under the control of the United States or its allies, or which the United States may be allowed to use.

“(b) TREATMENT DURING CONFINEMENT BY OTHER THAN THE ARMED FORCES.—Persons confined under subsection (a)(2) in a penal or correctional institution not under the control of an armed force are subject to the same discipline and treatment as persons confined or committed by the courts of the United States or of the State, District of Columbia, or place in which the institution is situated.

“SUBCHAPTER VII—POST-TRIAL PROCEDURE AND REVIEW OF MILITARY COMMISSIONS

“Sec.

“950a. Error of law; lesser included offense.

“950b. Review by the convening authority.

“950c. Appellate referral; waiver or withdrawal of appeal.

“950d. Interlocutory appeals by the United States.

“950e. Rehearings.

“950f. Review by United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“950g. Review by United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; writ of certiorari to Supreme Court.

“950h. Appellate counsel.

“950i. Execution of sentence; suspension of sentence.

“950j. Finality of proceedings, findings, and sentences.

“§950a. Error of law; lesser included offense

“(a) ERROR OF LAW.—A finding or sentence of a military commission under this chapter may not be held incorrect on the ground of an error of law unless the error materially prejudices the substantial rights of the accused.

“(b) LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE.—Any reviewing authority with the power to approve or affirm a finding of guilty by a military commission under this chapter may approve or affirm, instead, so much of the finding as includes a lesser included offense.

“§950b. Review by the convening authority

“(a) NOTICE TO CONVENING AUTHORITY OF FINDINGS AND SENTENCE.—The findings and sentence of a military commission under this chapter shall be reported in writing promptly to the convening authority after the announcement of the sentence.

“(b) SUBMITTAL OF MATTERS BY ACCUSED TO CONVENING AUTHORITY.—(1) The accused may submit to the convening authority matters for consideration by the convening authority with respect to the findings and the sentence of the military commission under this chapter.

“(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a submittal under paragraph (1) shall be made in writing within 20 days after the accused has been given an authenticated record of trial under section 949o(c) of this title.

“(B) If the accused shows that additional time is required for the accused to make a submittal under paragraph (1), the convening authority may, for good cause, extend the applicable period under subparagraph (A) for not more than an additional 20 days.

“(3) The accused may waive the accused's right to make a submittal to the convening authority under paragraph (1). Such a waiver shall be made in writing, and may not be revoked. For the purposes of subsection (c)(2), the time within which the accused may make a submittal under this subsection shall be deemed to have expired upon the submittal of a waiver under this paragraph to the convening authority.

“(c) ACTION BY CONVENING AUTHORITY.—(1) The authority under this subsection to modify the findings and sentence of a military commission under this chapter is a matter of the sole discretion and prerogative of the convening authority.

“(2) The convening authority is not required to take action on the findings of a military commission under this chapter. If the convening au-

thority takes action on the findings, the convening authority may, in the sole discretion of the convening authority, only—

“(A) dismiss any charge or specification by setting aside a finding of guilty thereto; or

“(B) change a finding of guilty to a charge to a finding of guilty to an offense that is a lesser included offense of the offense stated in the charge.

“(3)(A) The convening authority shall take action on the sentence of a military commission under this chapter.

“(B) Subject to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, action under this paragraph may be taken only after consideration of any matters submitted by the accused under subsection (b) or after the time for submitting such matters expires, whichever is earlier.

“(C) In taking action under this paragraph, the convening authority may, in the sole discretion of the convening authority, approve, disapprove, commute, or suspend the sentence in whole or in part. The convening authority may not increase a sentence beyond that which is found by the military commission.

“(4) The convening authority shall serve on the accused or on defense counsel notice of any action taken by the convening authority under this subsection.

“(d) ORDER OF REVISION OR REHEARING.—(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), the convening authority of a military commission under this chapter may, in the sole discretion of the convening authority, order a proceeding in revision or a rehearing.

“(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a proceeding in revision may be ordered by the convening authority if—

“(i) there is an apparent error or omission in the record; or

“(ii) the record shows improper or inconsistent action by the military commission with respect to the findings or sentence that can be rectified without material prejudice to the substantial rights of the accused.

“(B) In no case may a proceeding in revision—

“(i) reconsider a finding of not guilty of a specification or a ruling which amounts to a finding of not guilty;

“(ii) reconsider a finding of not guilty of any charge, unless there has been a finding of guilty under a specification laid under that charge, which sufficiently alleges a violation; or

“(iii) increase the severity of the sentence unless the sentence prescribed for the offense is mandatory.

“(3) A rehearing may be ordered by the convening authority if the convening authority disapproves the findings and sentence and states the reasons for disapproval of the findings. If the convening authority disapproves the finding and sentence and does not order a rehearing, the convening authority shall dismiss the charges. A rehearing as to the findings may not be ordered by the convening authority when there is a lack of sufficient evidence in the record to support the findings. A rehearing as to the sentence may be ordered by the convening authority if the convening authority disapproves the sentence.

“§950c. Appellate referral; waiver or withdrawal of appeal

“(a) AUTOMATIC REFERRAL FOR APPELLATE REVIEW.—Except as provided in subsection (b), in each case in which the final decision of a military commission under this chapter (as approved by the convening authority) includes a finding of guilty, the convening authority shall refer the case to the United States Court of Military Commission Review. Any such referral shall be made in accordance with procedures prescribed under regulations of the Secretary.

“(b) WAIVER OF RIGHT OF REVIEW.—(1) Except in a case in which the sentence as approved under section 950b of this title extends to death, an accused may file with the convening authority a statement expressly waiving the right of

the accused to appellate review by the United States Court of Military Commission Review under section 950f of this title of the final decision of the military commission under this chapter.

“(2) A waiver under paragraph (1) shall be signed by both the accused and a defense counsel.

“(3) A waiver under paragraph (1) must be filed, if at all, within 10 days after notice of the action is served on the accused or on defense counsel under section 950b(c)(4) of this title. The convening authority, for good cause, may extend the period for such filing by not more than 30 days.

“(c) WITHDRAWAL OF APPEAL.—Except in a case in which the sentence as approved under section 950b of this title extends to death, the accused may withdraw an appeal at any time.

“(d) EFFECT OF WAIVER OR WITHDRAWAL.—A waiver of the right to appellate review or the withdrawal of an appeal under this section bars review under section 950f of this title.

“§950d. Interlocutory appeals by the United States

“(a) INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), in a trial by military commission under this chapter, the United States may take an interlocutory appeal to the United States Court of Military Commission Review of any order or ruling of the military judge—

“(1) that terminates proceedings of the military commission with respect to a charge or specification;

“(2) that excludes evidence that is substantial proof of a fact material in the proceeding;

“(3) that relates to a matter under subsection (c) or (d) of section 949d of this title; or

“(4) that, with respect to classified information—

“(A) authorizes the disclosure of such information;

“(B) imposes sanctions for nondisclosure of such information; or

“(C) refuses a protective order sought by the United States to prevent the disclosure of such information.

“(b) LIMITATION.—The United States may not appeal under subsection (a) an order or ruling that is, or amounts to, a finding of not guilty by the military commission with respect to a charge or specification.

“(c) SCOPE OF APPEAL RIGHT WITH RESPECT TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The United States has the right to appeal under paragraph (4) of subsection (a) whenever the military judge enters an order or ruling that would require the disclosure of classified information, without regard to whether the order or ruling appealed from was entered under this chapter, another provision of law, a rule, or otherwise. Any such appeal may embrace any preceding order, ruling, or reasoning constituting the basis of the order or ruling that would authorize such disclosure.

“(d) TIMING AND ACTION ON INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS RELATING TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—

“(1) APPEAL TO BE EXPEDITED.—An appeal taken pursuant to paragraph (4) of subsection (a) shall be expedited by the United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“(2) APPEALS BEFORE TRIAL.—If such an appeal is taken before trial, the appeal shall be taken within 10 days after the order or ruling from which the appeal is made and the trial shall not commence until the appeal is decided.

“(3) APPEALS DURING TRIAL.—If such an appeal is taken during trial, the military judge shall adjourn the trial until the appeal is decided, and the court of appeals—

“(A) shall hear argument on such appeal within 4 days of the adjournment of the trial (excluding weekends and holidays);

“(B) may dispense with written briefs other than the supporting materials previously submitted to the military judge;

“(C) shall render its decision within four days of argument on appeal (excluding weekends and holidays); and

“(D) may dispense with the issuance of a written opinion in rendering its decision.

“(e) NOTICE AND TIMING OF OTHER APPEALS.—The United States shall take an appeal of an order or ruling under subsection (a), other than an appeal under paragraph (4) of that subsection, by filing a notice of appeal with the military judge within 5 days after the date of the order or ruling.

“(f) METHOD OF APPEAL.—An appeal under this section shall be forwarded, by means specified in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, directly to the United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“(g) APPEALS COURT TO ACT ONLY WITH RESPECT TO MATTER OF LAW.—In ruling on an appeal under paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (a), the appeals court may act only with respect to matters of law.

“(h) SUBSEQUENT APPEAL RIGHTS OF ACCUSED NOT AFFECTED.—An appeal under paragraph (4) of subsection (a), and a decision on such appeal, shall not affect the right of the accused, in a subsequent appeal from a judgment of conviction, to claim as error reversal by the military judge on remand of a ruling appealed from during trial.

“§950e. Rehearings

“(a) COMPOSITION OF MILITARY COMMISSION FOR REHEARING.—Each rehearing under this chapter shall take place before a military commission under this chapter composed of members who were not members of the military commission which first heard the case.

“(b) SCOPE OF REHEARING.—(1) Upon a rehearing—

“(A) the accused may not be tried for any offense of which the accused was found not guilty by the first military commission; and

“(B) no sentence in excess of or more than the original sentence may be imposed unless—

“(i) the sentence is based upon a finding of guilty of an offense not considered upon the merits in the original proceedings; or

“(ii) the sentence prescribed for the offense is mandatory.

“(2) Upon a rehearing, if the sentence approved after the first military commission was in accordance with a pretrial agreement and the accused at the rehearing changes his plea with respect to the charges or specifications upon which the pretrial agreement was based, or otherwise does not comply with pretrial agreement, the sentence as to those charges or specifications may include any punishment not in excess of that lawfully adjudged at the first military commission.

“§950f. Review by United States Court of Military Commission Review

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is a court of record to be known as the ‘United States Court of Military Commission Review’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Court’). The Court shall consist of one or more panels, each composed of not less than three appellate military judges. For the purpose of reviewing decisions of military commissions under this chapter, the Court may sit in panels or as a whole, in accordance with rules prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

“(b) JUDGES.—(1) Judges on the Court shall be assigned or appointed in a manner consistent with the provisions of this subsection.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense may assign persons who are appellate military judges to be judges on the Court. Any judge so assigned shall be a commissioned officer of the armed forces, and shall meet the qualifications for military judges prescribed by section 948j(b) of this title.

“(3) The President may appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, additional judges to the United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“(4) No person may serve as a judge on the Court in any case in which that person acted as a military judge, counsel, or reviewing official.

“(c) CASES TO BE REVIEWED.—The Court shall, in accordance with procedures prescribed under regulations of the Secretary, review the record in each case that is referred to the Court by the convening authority under section 950c of this title with respect to any matter properly raised by the accused.

“(d) STANDARD AND SCOPE OF REVIEW.—In a case reviewed by the Court under this section, the Court may act only with respect to the findings and sentence as approved by the convening authority. The Court may affirm only such findings of guilty, and the sentence or such part or amount of the sentence, as the Court finds correct in law and fact and determines, on the basis of the entire record, should be approved. In considering the record, the Court may weigh the evidence, judge the credibility of witnesses, and determine controverted questions of fact, recognizing that the military commission saw and heard the witnesses.

“(e) REHEARINGS.—If the Court sets aside the findings or sentence, the Court may, except where the setting aside is based on lack of sufficient evidence in the record to support the findings, order a rehearing. If the Court sets aside the findings or sentence and does not order a rehearing, the Court shall order that the charges be dismissed.

“§950g. Review by United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; writ of certiorari to Supreme Court

“(a) EXCLUSIVE APPELLATE JURISDICTION.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit shall have exclusive jurisdiction to determine the validity of a final judgment rendered by a military commission (as approved by the convening authority and, where applicable, the United States Court of Military Commission Review) under this chapter.

“(b) EXHAUSTION OF OTHER APPEALS.—The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit may not review a final judgment described in subsection (a) until all other appeals under this chapter have been waived or exhausted.

“(c) TIME FOR SEEKING REVIEW.—A petition for review by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit must be filed by the accused in the Court of Appeals not later than 20 days after the date on which—

“(1) written notice of the final decision of the United States Court of Military Commission Review is served on the accused or on defense counsel; or

“(2) the accused submits, in the form prescribed by section 950c of this title, a written notice waiving the right of the accused to review by the United States Court of Military Commission Review.

“(d) SCOPE AND NATURE OF REVIEW.—The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit may act under this section only with respect to the findings and sentence as approved by the convening authority and as affirmed or set aside as incorrect in law by the United States Court of Military Commission Review, and shall take action only with respect to matters of law, including the sufficiency of the evidence to support the verdict.

“(e) REVIEW BY SUPREME COURT.—The Supreme Court may review by writ of certiorari pursuant to section 1254 of title 28 the final judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit under this section.

“§950h. Appellate counsel

“(a) APPOINTMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall, by regulation, establish procedures for the appointment of appellate counsel for the United States and for the accused in military commissions under this chapter. Appellate counsel shall meet the qualifications of counsel for appearing before military commissions under this chapter.

“(b) REPRESENTATION OF UNITED STATES.—Appellate counsel appointed under subsection (a)—

“(1) shall represent the United States in any appeal or review proceeding under this chapter before the United States Court of Military Commission Review; and

“(2) may, when requested to do so by the Attorney General in a case arising under this chapter, represent the United States before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit or the Supreme Court.

“(c) REPRESENTATION OF ACCUSED.—The accused shall be represented by appellate counsel appointed under subsection (a) before the United States Court of Military Commission Review, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, and the Supreme Court, and by civilian counsel if retained by the accused. Any such civilian counsel shall meet the qualifications under paragraph (3) of section 949c(b) of this title for civilian counsel appearing before military commissions under this chapter and shall be subject to the requirements of paragraph (7) of that section.

“§950i. Execution of sentence; suspension of sentence

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to carry out a sentence imposed by a military commission under this chapter in accordance with such procedures as the Secretary may prescribe.

“(b) EXECUTION OF SENTENCE OF DEATH ONLY UPON APPROVAL BY THE PRESIDENT.—If the sentence of a military commission under this chapter extends to death, that part of the sentence providing for death may not be executed until approved by the President. In such a case, the President may commute, remit, or suspend the sentence, or any part thereof, as he sees fit.

“(c) EXECUTION OF SENTENCE OF DEATH ONLY UPON FINAL JUDGMENT OF LEGALITY OF PROCEEDINGS.—(1) If the sentence of a military commission under this chapter extends to death, the sentence may not be executed until there is a final judgment as to the legality of the proceedings (and with respect to death, approval under subsection (b)).

“(2) A judgment as to legality of proceedings is final for purposes of paragraph (1) when review is completed in accordance with the judgment of the United States Court of Military Commission Review and—

“(A) the time for the accused to file a petition for review by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit has expired, the accused has not filed a timely petition for such review, and the case is not otherwise under review by the Court of Appeals; or

“(B) review is completed in accordance with the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and—

“(i) a petition for a writ of certiorari is not timely filed;

“(ii) such a petition is denied by the Supreme Court; or

“(iii) review is otherwise completed in accordance with the judgment of the Supreme Court.

“(d) SUSPENSION OF SENTENCE.—The Secretary of the Defense, or the convening authority acting on the case (if other than the Secretary), may suspend the execution of any sentence or part thereof in the case, except a sentence of death.

“§950j. Finality of proceedings, findings, and sentences

“The appellate review of records of trial provided by this chapter, and the proceedings, findings, and sentences of military commissions as approved, reviewed, or affirmed as required by this chapter, are final and conclusive. Orders publishing the proceedings of military commissions under this chapter are binding upon all departments, courts, agencies, and officers of the United States, subject only to action by the Secretary or the convening authority as provided in section 950i(c) of this title and the authority of the President.

“SUBCHAPTER VIII—PUNITIVE MATTERS

“Sec.

“950p. Definitions; construction of certain offenses; common circumstances.

“950q. Principals.

“950r. Accessory after the fact.

“950s. Conviction of lesser offenses.

“950t. Crimes triable by military commission.

“§950p. Definitions; construction of certain offenses; common circumstances

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this subchapter:

“(1) The term ‘military objective’ means combatants and those objects during hostilities which, by their nature, location, purpose, or use, effectively contribute to the war-fighting or war-sustaining capability of an opposing force and whose total or partial destruction, capture, or neutralization would constitute a definite military advantage to the attacker under the circumstances at the time of an attack.

“(2) The term ‘protected person’ means any person entitled to protection under one or more of the Geneva Conventions, including civilians not taking an active part in hostilities, military personnel placed out of combat by sickness, wounds, or detention, and military medical or religious personnel.

“(3) The term ‘protected property’ means any property specifically protected by the law of war, including buildings dedicated to religion, education, art, science, or charitable purposes, historic monuments, hospitals, and places where the sick and wounded are collected, but only if and to the extent such property is not being used for military purposes or is not otherwise a military objective. The term includes objects properly identified by one of the distinctive emblems of the Geneva Conventions, but does not include civilian property that is a military objective.

“(b) CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN OFFENSES.—The intent required for offenses under paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), and (12) of section 950t of this title precludes the applicability of such offenses with regard to collateral damage or to death, damage, or injury incident to a lawful attack.

“(c) COMMON CIRCUMSTANCES.—An offense specified in this subchapter is triable by military commission under this chapter only if the offense is committed in the context of and associated with hostilities.

“(d) EFFECT.—The provisions of this subchapter codify offenses that have traditionally been triable by military commission. This chapter does not establish new crimes that did not exist before the date of the enactment of this subchapter, as amended by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, but rather codifies those crimes for trial by military commission. Because the provisions of this subchapter codify offenses that have traditionally been triable under the law of war or otherwise triable by military commission, this subchapter does not preclude trial for offenses that occurred before the date of the enactment of this subchapter, as so amended.

“§950q. Principals

“Any person punishable under this chapter who—

“(1) commits an offense punishable by this chapter, or aids, abets, counsels, commands, or procures its commission;

“(2) causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him would be punishable by this chapter; or

“(3) is a superior commander who, with regard to acts punishable by this chapter, knew, had reason to know, or should have known, that a subordinate was about to commit such acts or had done so and who failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the perpetrators thereof, is a principal.

“§950r. Accessory after the fact

“Any person subject to this chapter who, knowing that an offense punishable by this chapter has been committed, receives, comforts,

or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial, or punishment shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“§950s. Conviction of lesser offenses

“An accused may be found guilty of an offense necessarily included in the offense charged or of an attempt to commit either the offense charged or an offense necessarily included therein.

“§950t. Crimes triable by military commission

“The following offenses shall be triable by military commission under this chapter at any time without limitation:

“(1) MURDER OF PROTECTED PERSONS.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally kills one or more protected persons shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(2) ATTACKING CIVILIANS.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally engages in an attack upon a civilian population as such, or individual civilians not taking active part in hostilities, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(3) ATTACKING CIVILIAN OBJECTS.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally engages in an attack upon a civilian object that is not a military objective shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(4) ATTACKING PROTECTED PROPERTY.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally engages in an attack upon protected property shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(5) PILLAGING.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally and in the absence of military necessity appropriates or seizes property for private or personal use, without the consent of a person with authority to permit such appropriation or seizure, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(6) DENYING QUARTER.—Any person subject to this chapter who, with effective command or control over subordinate groups, declares, orders, or otherwise indicates to those groups that there shall be no survivors or surrender accepted, with the intent to threaten an adversary or to conduct hostilities such that there would be no survivors or surrender accepted, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(7) TAKING HOSTAGES.—Any person subject to this chapter who, having knowingly seized or detained one or more persons, threatens to kill, injure, or continue to detain such person or persons with the intent of compelling any nation, person other than the hostage, or group of persons to act or refrain from acting as an explicit or implicit condition for the safety or release of such person or persons, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(8) EMPLOYING POISON OR SIMILAR WEAPONS.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally, as a method of warfare, employs a substance or weapon that releases a substance that causes death or serious and lasting damage to health in the ordinary course of events, through its asphyxiating, bacteriological, or toxic properties, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death

does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(9) USING PROTECTED PERSONS AS A SHIELD.—Any person subject to this chapter who positions, or otherwise takes advantage of, a protected person with the intent to shield a military objective from attack, or to shield, favor, or impede military operations, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(10) USING PROTECTED PROPERTY AS A SHIELD.—Any person subject to this chapter who positions, or otherwise takes advantage of the location of, protected property with the intent to shield a military objective from attack, or to shield, favor, or impede military operations, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(11) TORTURE.—

“(A) OFFENSE.—Any person subject to this chapter who commits an act specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions) upon another person within his custody or physical control for the purpose of obtaining information or a confession, punishment, intimidation, coercion, or any reason based on discrimination of any kind, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(B) SEVERE MENTAL PAIN OR SUFFERING DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘severe mental pain or suffering’ has the meaning given that term in section 2340(2) of title 18.

“(12) CRUEL OR INHUMAN TREATMENT.—Any person subject to this chapter who subjects another person in their custody or under their physical control, regardless of nationality or physical location, to cruel or inhuman treatment that constitutes a grave breach of common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions shall be punished, if death results to the victim, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to the victim, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(13) INTENTIONALLY CAUSING SERIOUS BODILY INJURY.—

“(A) OFFENSE.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally causes serious bodily injury to one or more persons, including privileged belligerents, in violation of the law of war shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(B) SERIOUS BODILY INJURY DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘serious bodily injury’ means bodily injury which involves—

- “(i) a substantial risk of death;
- “(ii) extreme physical pain;
- “(iii) protracted and obvious disfigurement; or
- “(iv) protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty.

“(14) MUTILATING OR MAIMING.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally injures one or more protected persons by disfiguring the person or persons by any mutilation of the person or persons, or by permanently disabling any member, limb, or organ of the body of the person or persons, without any legitimate medical or dental purpose, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or

such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(15) MURDER IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW OF WAR.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally kills one or more persons, including privileged belligerents, in violation of the law of war shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(16) DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW OF WAR.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally destroys property belonging to another person in violation of the law of war shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(17) USING TREACHERY OR PERFDY.—Any person subject to this chapter who, after inviting the confidence or belief of one or more persons that they were entitled to, or obliged to accord, protection under the law of war, intentionally makes use of that confidence or belief in killing, injuring, or capturing such person or persons shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(18) IMPROPERLY USING A FLAG OF TRUCE.—Any person subject to this chapter who uses a flag of truce to feign an intention to negotiate, surrender, or otherwise suspend hostilities when there is no such intention shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(19) IMPROPERLY USING A DISTINCTIVE EMBLEM.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally uses a distinctive emblem recognized by the law of war for combatant purposes in a manner prohibited by the law of war shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(20) INTENTIONALLY MISTREATING A DEAD BODY.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally mistreats the body of a dead person, without justification by legitimate military necessity, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(21) RAPE.—Any person subject to this chapter who forcibly or with coercion or threat of force wrongfully invades the body of a person by penetrating, however slightly, the anal or genital opening of the victim with any part of the body of the accused, or with any foreign object, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(22) SEXUAL ASSAULT OR ABUSE.—Any person subject to this chapter who forcibly or with coercion or threat of force engages in sexual contact with one or more persons, or causes one or more persons to engage in sexual contact, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(23) HIJACKING OR HAZARDING A VESSEL OR AIRCRAFT.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally seizes, exercises unauthorized control over, or endangers the safe navigation of a vessel or aircraft that is not a legitimate military objective shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(24) TERRORISM.—Any person subject to this chapter who intentionally kills or inflicts great bodily harm on one or more protected persons, or intentionally engages in an act that evinces a wanton disregard for human life, in a manner calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government or civilian population by intimidation or coercion, or to retaliate against government conduct, shall be punished, if death results

to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(25) PROVIDING MATERIAL SUPPORT FOR TERRORISM.—

“(A) OFFENSE.—Any person subject to this chapter who provides material support or resources, knowing or intending that they are to be used in preparation for, or in carrying out, an act of terrorism (as set forth in paragraph (24) of this section), or who intentionally provides material support or resources to an international terrorist organization engaged in hostilities against the United States, knowing that such organization has engaged or engages in terrorism (as so set forth), shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(B) MATERIAL SUPPORT OR RESOURCES DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘material support or resources’ has the meaning given that term in section 2339A(b) of title 18.

“(26) WRONGFULLY AIDING THE ENEMY.—Any person subject to this chapter who, in breach of an allegiance or duty to the United States, knowingly and intentionally aids an enemy of the United States, or one of the co-belligerents of the enemy, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(27) SPYING.—Any person subject to this chapter who, in violation of the law of war and with intent or reason to believe that it is to be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign power, collects or attempts to collect information by clandestine means or while acting under false pretenses, for the purpose of conveying such information to an enemy of the United States, or one of the co-belligerents of the enemy, shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(28) ATTEMPTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Any person subject to this chapter who attempts to commit any offense punishable by this chapter shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(B) SCOPE OF OFFENSE.—An act, done with specific intent to commit an offense under this chapter, amounting to more than mere preparation and tending, even though failing, to effect its commission, is an attempt to commit that offense.

“(C) EFFECT OF CONSUMMATION.—Any person subject to this chapter may be convicted of an attempt to commit an offense although it appears on the trial that the offense was consummated.

“(29) CONSPIRACY.—Any person subject to this chapter who conspires to commit one or more substantive offenses triable by military commission under this subchapter, and who knowingly does any overt act to effect the object of the conspiracy, shall be punished, if death results to one or more of the victims, by death or such other punishment as a military commission under this chapter may direct, and, if death does not result to any of the victims, by such punishment, other than death, as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(30) SOLICITATION.—Any person subject to this chapter who solicits or advises another or others to commit one or more substantive offenses triable by military commission under this chapter shall, if the offense solicited or advised is attempted or committed, be punished with the punishment provided for the commission of the offense, but, if the offense solicited or advised is not committed or attempted, shall be punished as a military commission under this chapter may direct.

“(31) CONTEMPT.—A military commission under this chapter may punish for contempt any person who uses any menacing word, sign, or gesture in its presence, or who disturbs its proceedings by any riot or disorder.

“(32) PERJURY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.—A military commission under this chapter may try offenses and impose such punishment as the military commission may direct for perjury, false testimony, or obstruction of justice related to the military commission.”.

SEC. 1803. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(a) UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.—

(1) PERSONS SUBJECT TO UCMJ.—Paragraph (13) of section 802(a) of title 10, United States Code (article 2(a) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

“(13) Individuals belonging to one of the eight categories enumerated in Article 4 of the Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, done at Geneva August 12, 1949 (6 UST 3316), who violate the law of war.”.

(2) CONSTRUCTION OF MILITARY COMMISSIONS WITH COURTS-MARTIAL.—Section 839 of such title (article 39 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) The findings, holdings, interpretations, and other precedents of military commissions under chapter 47A of this title—

“(1) may not be introduced or considered in any hearing, trial, or other proceeding of a court-martial under this chapter; and

“(2) may not form the basis of any holding, decision, or other determination of a court-martial.”.

(b) APPELLATE REVIEW UNDER DETAINEE TREATMENT ACT OF 2005.—Section 1005(e) of the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005 (title X of Public Law 109–359; 10 U.S.C. 801 note) is amended by striking paragraph (3).

SEC. 1804. PROCEEDINGS UNDER PRIOR STATUTE.

(a) PRIOR CONVICTIONS.—The amendment made by section 1802 shall have no effect on the validity of any conviction pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as such chapter was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act).

(b) COMPOSITION OF MILITARY COMMISSIONS.—Notwithstanding the amendment made by section 1802—

(1) any commission convened pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as such chapter was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been convened pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802);

(2) any member of the Armed Forces detailed to serve on a commission pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been detailed pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended);

(3) any military judge detailed to a commission pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been detailed pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended);

(4) any trial counsel or defense counsel detailed for a commission pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been detailed pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended);

(5) any court reporters detailed to or employed by a commission pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been detailed or employed pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended); and

(6) any appellate military judge or other duly appointed appellate judge on the Court of Military Commission Review pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of

this Act), shall be deemed to have been detailed or appointed to the United States Court of Military Commission Review pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended).

(c) CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS.—Notwithstanding the amendment made by section 1802—

(1) any charges or specifications sworn or referred pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as such chapter was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be deemed to have been sworn or referred pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802); and

(2) any charges or specifications described in paragraph (1) may be amended, without prejudice, as needed to properly allege jurisdiction under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended), and crimes triable under such chapter.

(d) PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsections (a) through (c) and subject to paragraph (2), any commission convened pursuant to chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as such chapter was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), shall be conducted after the date of the enactment of this Act in accordance with the procedures and requirements of chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802).

(2) TEMPORARY CONTINUATION OF PRIOR PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS.—Any military commission described in paragraph (1) may be conducted in accordance with any procedures and requirements of chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), that are not inconsistent with the provisions of chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, (as so amended), until the earlier of—

(A) the date of the submittal to Congress under section 1805 of the revised rules for military commissions under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended); or

(B) the date that is 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1805. SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS OF REVISED RULES FOR MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

(a) DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the revised rules for military commissions prescribed by the Secretary for purposes of chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802).

(b) TREATMENT OF REVISED RULES UNDER REQUIREMENT FOR NOTICE AND WAIT REGARDING MODIFICATION OF RULES.—The revised rules submitted to Congress under subsection (a) shall not be treated as a modification of the rules in effect for military commissions for purposes of section 949a(d) of title 10, United States Code (as so amended).

SEC. 1806. ANNUAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON TRIALS BY MILITARY COMMISSION.

(a) ANNUAL REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than January 31 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on any trials conducted by military commissions under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802), during the preceding year.

(b) FORM.—Each report under this section shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1807. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MILITARY COMMISSION SYSTEM.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the fairness and effectiveness of the military commissions system under chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 1802), will depend to a significant degree on

the adequacy of defense counsel and associated resources for individuals accused, particularly in the case of capital cases, under such chapter 47A; and

(2) defense counsel in military commission cases, particularly in capital cases, under such chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code (as so amended), should be fully resourced as provided in such chapter 47A.

TITLE XIX—FEDERAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Subtitle A—General Provisions

Sec. 1901. Credit for unused sick leave.

Sec. 1902. Limited expansion of the class of individuals eligible to receive an actuarially reduced annuity under the Civil Service Retirement System.

Sec. 1903. Computation of certain annuities based on part-time service.

Sec. 1904. Authority to deposit refunds under FERS.

Sec. 1905. Retirement credit for service of certain employees transferred from District of Columbia service to Federal service.

Subtitle B—Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance

Sec. 1911. Short title.

Sec. 1912. Extension of locality pay.

Sec. 1913. Adjustment of special rates.

Sec. 1914. Transition schedule for locality-based comparability payments.

Sec. 1915. Savings provision.

Sec. 1916. Application to other eligible employees.

Sec. 1917. Election of additional basic pay for annuity computation by employees.

Sec. 1918. Regulations.

Sec. 1919. Effective dates.

Subtitle A—General Provisions

SEC. 1901. CREDIT FOR UNUSED SICK LEAVE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8415 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating the second subsection (k) and subsection (l) as subsections (l) and (m), respectively; and

(2) in subsection (l) (as so redesignated by paragraph (1))—

(A) by striking “(l) In computing” and inserting “(l)(1) In computing”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) Except as provided in paragraph (1), in computing an annuity under this subchapter, the total service of an employee who retires on an immediate annuity or who dies leaving a survivor or survivors entitled to annuity includes the applicable percentage of the days of unused sick leave to his credit under a formal leave system and for which days the employee has not received payment, except that these days will not be counted in determining average pay or annuity eligibility under this subchapter. For purposes of this subsection, in the case of any such employee who is excepted from subchapter I of chapter 63 under section 6301(2)(x) through (xiii), the days of unused sick leave to his credit include any unused sick leave standing to his credit when he was excepted from such subchapter.

“(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘applicable percentage’ means—

“(i) 50 percent in the case of an annuity, entitlement to which is based on a death or other separation occurring during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this paragraph and ending on December 31, 2013; and

“(ii) 100 percent in the case of an annuity, entitlement to which is based on a death or other separation occurring after December 31, 2013.”.

(b) EXCEPTION FROM DEPOSIT REQUIREMENT.—Section 8422(d)(2) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 8415(k)” and inserting “paragraph (1) or (2) of section 8415(l)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to any annuity, entitlement to which is based on a

death or other separation from service occurring on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1902. LIMITED EXPANSION OF THE CLASS OF INDIVIDUALS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE AN ACTUARIALLY REDUCED ANNUITY UNDER THE CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8334(d)(2)(A)(i) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “October 1, 1990” each place it appears and inserting “March 1, 1991”.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall be effective with respect to any annuity, entitlement to which is based on a separation from service occurring on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1903. COMPUTATION OF CERTAIN ANNUITIES BASED ON PART-TIME SERVICE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8339(p) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) In the administration of paragraph (1)—“(A) subparagraph (A) of such paragraph shall apply with respect to service performed before, on, or after April 7, 1986; and

“(B) subparagraph (B) of such paragraph—“(i) shall apply with respect to that portion of any annuity which is attributable to service performed on or after April 7, 1986; and

“(ii) shall not apply with respect to that portion of any annuity which is attributable to service performed before April 7, 1986.”.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall be effective with respect to any annuity, entitlement to which is based on a separation from service occurring on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1904. AUTHORITY TO DEPOSIT REFUNDS UNDER FERS.

(a) DEPOSIT AUTHORITY.—Section 8422 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i)(1) Each employee or Member who has received a refund of retirement deductions under this or any other retirement system established for employees of the Government covering service for which such employee or Member may be allowed credit under this chapter may deposit the amount received, with interest. Credit may not be allowed for the service covered by the refund until the deposit is made.

“(2) Interest under this subsection shall be computed in accordance with paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 8334(e) and regulations prescribed by the Office. The option under the third sentence of section 8334(e)(2) to make a deposit in one or more installments shall apply to deposits under this subsection.

“(3) For the purpose of survivor annuities, deposits authorized by this subsection may also be made by a survivor of an employee or Member.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) DEFINITIONAL AMENDMENT.—Section 8401(19)(C) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “8411(f);” and inserting “8411(f) or 8422(i);”.

(2) CREDITING OF DEPOSITS.—Section 8422(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Deposits made by an employee, Member, or survivor also shall be credited to the Fund.”.

(3) SECTION HEADING.—(A) The heading for section 8422 of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§8422. Deductions from pay; contributions for other service; deposits”.

(B) The analysis for chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 8422 and inserting the following:

“8422. Deductions from pay; contributions for other service; deposits.”.

(4) RESTORATION OF ANNUITY RIGHTS.—The last sentence of section 8424(a) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “based.”

and inserting “based, until the employee or Member is reemployed in the service subject to this chapter.”.

SEC. 1905. RETIREMENT CREDIT FOR SERVICE OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES TRANSFERRED FROM DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SERVICE TO FEDERAL SERVICE.

(a) RETIREMENT CREDIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any individual who is treated as an employee of the Federal Government for purposes of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code, on or after the date of enactment of this Act who performed qualifying District of Columbia service shall be entitled to have such service included in calculating the individual's creditable service under section 8332 or 8411 of title 5, United States Code, but only for purposes of the following provisions of such title:

(A) Sections 8333 and 8410 (relating to eligibility for annuity).

(B) Sections 8336 (other than subsections (d), (h), and (p) thereof) and 8412 (relating to immediate retirement).

(C) Sections 8338 and 8413 (relating to deferred retirement).

(D) Sections 8336(d), 8336(h), 8336(p), and 8414 (relating to early retirement).

(E) Section 8341 and subchapter IV of chapter 84 (relating to survivor annuities).

(F) Section 8337 and subchapter V of chapter 84 (relating to disability benefits).

(2) TREATMENT OF DETENTION OFFICER SERVICE AS LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER SERVICE.—Any portion of an individual's qualifying District of Columbia service which consisted of service as a detention officer under section 2604(2) of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978 (sec. 1-626.04(2), D.C. Official Code) shall be treated as service as a law enforcement officer under sections 8331(20) or 8401(17) of title 5, United States Code, for purposes of applying paragraph (1) with respect to the individual.

(3) SERVICE NOT INCLUDED IN COMPUTING AMOUNT OF ANY ANNUITY.—Qualifying District of Columbia service shall not be taken into account for purposes of computing the amount of any benefit payable out of the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund.

(b) QUALIFYING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SERVICE DEFINED.—In this section, “qualifying District of Columbia service” means any of the following:

(1) Service performed by an individual as a nonjudicial employee of the District of Columbia courts—

(A) which was performed prior to the effective date of the amendments made by section 11246(b) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997; and

(B) for which the individual did not ever receive credit under the provisions of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code (other than by virtue of section 8331(1)(iv) of such title).

(2) Service performed by an individual as an employee of an entity of the District of Columbia government whose functions were transferred to the Pretrial Services, Parole, Adult Supervision, and Offender Supervision Trustee under section 11232 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997—

(A) which was performed prior to the effective date of the individual's coverage as an employee of the Federal Government under section 11232(f) of such Act; and

(B) for which the individual did not ever receive credit under the provisions of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code (other than by virtue of section 8331(1)(iv) of such title).

(3) Service performed by an individual as an employee of the District of Columbia Public Defender Service—

(A) which was performed prior to the effective date of the amendments made by section 7(e) of the District of Columbia Courts and Justice Technical Corrections Act of 1998; and

(B) for which the individual did not ever receive credit under the provisions of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code (other than by virtue of section 8331(1)(iv) of such title).

(4) In the case of an individual who was an employee of the District of Columbia Department of Corrections who was separated from service as a result of the closing of the Lorton Correctional Complex and who was appointed to a position with the Bureau of Prisons, the District of Columbia courts, the Pretrial Services, Parole, Adult Supervision, and Offender Supervision Trustee, the United States Parole Commission, or the District of Columbia Public Defender Service, service performed by the individual as an employee of the District of Columbia Department of Corrections—

(A) which was performed prior to the effective date of the individual's coverage as an employee of the Federal Government; and

(B) for which the individual did not ever receive credit under the provisions of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code (other than by virtue of section 8331(1)(iv) of such title).

(c) CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE.—The Office of Personnel Management shall accept the certification of the appropriate personnel official of the government of the District of Columbia or other independent employing entity concerning whether an individual performed qualifying District of Columbia service and the length of the period of such service the individual performed.

Subtitle B—Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance

SEC. 1911. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009” or the “Non-Foreign AREA Act of 2009”.

SEC. 1912. EXTENSION OF LOCALITY PAY.

(a) LOCALITY-BASED COMPARABILITY PAYMENTS.—Section 5304 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (f)(1), by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) each General Schedule position in the United States, as defined under section 5921(4), and its territories and possessions, including the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, shall be included within a pay locality; and”;

(2) in subsection (g)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A); and

(ii) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) positions under subsection (h)(1)(C) not covered by appraisal systems certified under subsection 5307(d); and

“(C) any positions under subsection (h)(1)(D) as the President may determine.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) The applicable maximum under this subsection shall be level II of the Executive Schedule for positions under subsection (h)(1)(C) covered by appraisal systems certified under section 5307(d).”;

(3) in subsection (h)(1)—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” after the semicolon;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D);

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) a Senior Executive Service position under section 5312 or 3151 or a senior level position under section 5376 stationed within the United States, but outside the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia in which the incumbent was an individual who on the day before the effective date of section 1912 of the Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009 was eligible to receive a cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 and who thereafter has

served continuously in an area in which such an allowance was payable; and”;

(D) in clause (iv) (in the matter following subparagraph (D)), by inserting “, except for a position covered by subparagraph (C)” before the semicolon;

(E) in clause (v) (in the matter following subparagraph (D)), by inserting “, except for a position covered by subparagraph (C)” before the semicolon; and

(F) in clause (vii) (in the matter following subparagraph (D)), by inserting “, except for a position covered by subparagraph (C)” before the period; and

(4) in subsection (h)(2)—

(A) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking “and (B)” and inserting “through (C)”;

(B) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking “(1)(C)” and inserting “(1)(D)”.

(b) ALLOWANCES BASED ON LIVING COSTS AND CONDITIONS OF ENVIRONMENT.—Section 5941 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any preceding provision of this subsection, the cost-of-living allowance rate based on paragraph (1) shall be the cost-of-living allowance rate in effect on the date of enactment of the Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009, except as adjusted under subsection (c).”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (d); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) This section shall apply only to areas that are designated as cost-of-living allowance areas as in effect on December 31, 2009.

“(c)(1) The cost-of-living allowance rate payable under this section shall be adjusted on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after—

“(A) January 1, 2010; and

“(B) January 1 of each calendar year in which a locality-based comparability adjustment takes effect under paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively, of section 1914 of the Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009.

“(2)(A) In this paragraph, the term ‘applicable locality-based comparability pay percentage’ means, with respect to calendar year 2010 and each calendar year thereafter, the applicable percentage under paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of section 1914 of Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009.

“(B) Each adjusted cost-of-living allowance rate under paragraph (1) shall be computed by—

“(i) subtracting 65 percent of the applicable locality-based comparability pay percentage from the cost-of-living allowance percentage rate in effect on December 31, 2009; and

“(ii) dividing the resulting percentage determined under clause (i) by the sum of—

“(I) one; and

“(II) the applicable locality-based comparability payment percentage expressed as a numeral.

“(3) No allowance rate computed under paragraph (2) may be less than zero.

“(4) Each allowance rate computed under paragraph (2) shall be paid as a percentage of basic pay (including any applicable locality-based comparability payment under section 5304 or similar provision of law and any applicable special rate of pay under section 5305 or similar provision of law).”.

SEC. 1913. ADJUSTMENT OF SPECIAL RATES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each special rate of pay established under section 5305 of title 5, United States Code, and payable in an area designated as a cost-of-living allowance area under section 5941(a) of that title, shall be adjusted, on the dates prescribed by section 1914, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management under section 1918.

(b) AGENCIES WITH STATUTORY AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each special rate of pay established under an authority described under

paragraph (2) and payable in a location designated as a cost-of-living allowance area under section 5941(a)(1) of title 5, United States Code, shall be adjusted in accordance with regulations prescribed by the applicable head of the agency that are consistent with the regulations issued by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management under subsection (a).

(2) STATUTORY AUTHORITY.—The authority referred to under paragraph (1), is any statutory authority that—

(A) is similar to the authority exercised under section 5305 of title 5, United States Code;

(B) is exercised by the head of an agency when the head of the agency determines it to be necessary in order to obtain or retain the services of persons specified by statute; and

(C) authorizes the head of the agency to increase the minimum, intermediate, or maximum rates of basic pay authorized under applicable statutes and regulations.

(c) TEMPORARY ADJUSTMENT.—Regulations issued under subsection (a) or (b) may provide that statutory limitations on the amount of such special rates may be temporarily raised to a higher level during the transition period described in section 1914 ending on the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2012, at which time any special rate of pay in excess of the applicable limitation shall be converted to a retained rate under section 5363 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 1914. TRANSITION SCHEDULE FOR LOCALITY-BASED COMPARABILITY PAYMENTS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle or section 5304 or 5304a of title 5, United States Code, in implementing the amendments made by this subtitle, for each non-foreign area determined under section 5941(b) of such title, the applicable rate for the locality-based comparability adjustment that is used in the computation required under section 5941(c) of such title shall be adjusted, effective on the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 1—

(1) in calendar year 2010, by using 1/3 of the locality pay percentage for the rest of United States locality pay area;

(2) in calendar year 2011, by using 2/3 of the otherwise applicable comparability payment approved by the President for each non-foreign area; and

(3) in calendar year 2012 and each subsequent year, by using the full amount of the applicable comparability payment approved by the President for each non-foreign area.

SEC. 1915. SAVINGS PROVISION.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the application of this subtitle to any employee should not result in a decrease in the take home pay of that employee;

(2) in calendar year 2012 and each subsequent year, no employee shall receive less than the Rest of the U.S. locality pay rate;

(3) concurrent with the surveys next conducted under the provisions of section 5304(d)(1)(A) of title 5, United States Code, beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Bureau of Labor Statistics should conduct separate surveys to determine the extent of any pay disparity (as defined by section 5302 of that title) that may exist with respect to positions located in the State of Alaska, the State of Hawaii, and the United States territories, including American Samoa, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands;

(4) if the surveys under paragraph (3) indicate that the pay disparity determined for the State of Alaska, the State of Hawaii, or any 1 of the United States territories including American Samoa, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands ex-

ceeds the pay disparity determined for the locality which (for purposes of section 5304 of that title) is commonly known as the “Rest of the United States”, the President’s Pay Agent should take appropriate measures to provide that each such surveyed area be treated as a separate pay locality for purposes of that section; and

(5) the President’s Pay Agent will establish 1 locality area for the entire State of Hawaii and 1 locality area for the entire State of Alaska.

(b) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—During the transition period described in section 1914 ending on the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2012, an employee paid a special rate under 5305 of title 5, United States Code, who the day before the date of enactment of this Act was eligible to receive a cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of title 5, United States Code, and who continues to be officially stationed in an allowance area, shall receive an increase in the employee’s special rate consistent with increases in the applicable special rate schedule. For employees in allowance areas, the minimum step rate for any grade of a special rate schedule shall be increased at the time of an increase in the applicable locality rate percentage for the allowance area by not less than the dollar increase in the locality-based comparability payment for a non-special rate employee at the same minimum step provided under section 1914 of this subtitle, and corresponding increases shall be provided for all step rates of the given pay range.

(2) CONTINUATION OF COST OF LIVING ALLOWANCE RATE.—If an employee, who the day before the date of enactment of this Act was eligible to receive a cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of title 5, United States Code, would receive a rate of basic pay and applicable locality-based comparability payment which is in excess of the maximum rate limitation set under section 5304(g) of title 5, United States Code, for his position (but for that maximum rate limitation) due to the operation of this subtitle, the employee shall continue to receive the cost-of-living allowance rate in effect on December 31, 2009 without adjustment until—

(A) the employee leaves the allowance area or pay system; or

(B) the employee is entitled to receive basic pay (including any applicable locality-based comparability payment or similar supplement) at a higher rate, but, when any such position becomes vacant, the pay of any subsequent appointee thereto shall be fixed in the manner provided by applicable law and regulation.

(3) LOCALITY-BASED COMPARABILITY PAYMENTS.—Any employee covered under paragraph (2) shall receive any applicable locality-based comparability payment extended under section 1914 of this subtitle which is not in excess of the maximum rate set under section 5304(g) of title 5, United States Code, for his position including any future increase to statutory pay limitations under 5318 of title 5, United States Code. Notwithstanding paragraph (2), to the extent that an employee covered under that paragraph receives any amount of locality-based comparability payment, the cost-of-living allowance rate under that paragraph shall be reduced accordingly, as provided under section 5941(c)(2)(B) of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 1916. APPLICATION TO OTHER ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term “covered employee” means—

(A) any employee who—

(i) on the day before the date of enactment of this Act—

(I) was eligible to be paid a cost-of-living allowance under 5941 of title 5, United States Code; and

(II) was not eligible to be paid locality-based comparability payments under 5304 or 5304a of that title; or

(ii) on or after the date of enactment of this Act becomes eligible to be paid a cost-of-living allowance under 5941 of title 5, United States Code; or

(B) any employee who—
(i) on the day before the date of enactment of this Act—

(I) was eligible to be paid an allowance under section 1603(b) of title 10, United States Code;

(II) was eligible to be paid an allowance under section 1005(b) of title 39, United States Code;

(III) was employed by the Transportation Security Administration of the Department of Homeland Security and was eligible to be paid an allowance based on section 5941 of title 5, United States Code; or

(IV) was eligible to be paid under any other authority a cost-of-living allowance that is equivalent to the cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of title 5, United States Code; or

(ii) on or after the date of enactment of this Act—

(I) becomes eligible to be paid an allowance under section 1603(b) of title 10, United States Code;

(II) becomes eligible to be paid an allowance under section 1005(b) of title 39, United States Code;

(III) is employed by the Transportation Security Administration of the Department of Homeland Security and becomes eligible to be paid an allowance based on section 5941 of title 5, United States Code; or

(IV) becomes eligible to be paid under any other authority a cost-of-living allowance that is equivalent to the cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) APPLICATION TO COVERED EMPLOYEES.—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for purposes of this subtitle (including the amendments made by this subtitle) any covered employee shall be treated as an employee to whom section 5941 of title 5, United States Code (as amended by section 1912 of this subtitle), and section 1914 of this subtitle apply.

(B) **PAY FIXED BY STATUTE.**—Pay to covered employees under section 5304 or 5304a of title 5, United States Code, as a result of the application of this subtitle shall be considered to be fixed by statute.

(C) **PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM.**—With respect to a covered employee who is subject to a performance appraisal system no part of pay attributable to locality-based comparability payments as a result of the application of this subtitle including section 5941 of title 5, United States Code (as amended by section 1912 of this subtitle), may be reduced on the basis of the performance of that employee.

(b) **POSTAL EMPLOYEES IN NON-FOREIGN AREAS.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1005(b) of title 39, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(B) by striking “Section 5941,” and inserting “Except as provided under paragraph (2), section 5941”;

(C) by striking “For purposes of such section,” and inserting “Except as provided under paragraph (2), for purposes of section 5941 of that title,”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) On and after the date of enactment of the Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance Act of 2009—

“(A) the provisions of that Act and section 5941 of title 5 shall apply to officers and employees covered by section 1003 (b) and (c) whose duty station is in a nonforeign area; and

“(B) with respect to officers and employees of the Postal Service (other than those officers and employees described under subparagraph (A)) of section 1916(b)(2) of that Act shall apply.”.

(2) **CONTINUATION OF COST OF LIVING ALLOWANCE.—**

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, any employee of the

Postal Service (other than an employee covered by section 1003 (b) and (c) of title 39, United States Code, whose duty station is in a nonforeign area) who is paid an allowance under section 1005(b) of that title shall be treated for all purposes as if the provisions of this subtitle (including the amendments made by this subtitle) had not been enacted, except that the cost-of-living allowance rate paid to that employee—

(i) may result in the allowance exceeding 25 percent of the rate of basic pay of that employee; and

(ii) shall be the greater of—

(I) the cost-of-living allowance rate in effect on December 31, 2009 for the applicable area; or
(II) the applicable locality-based comparability pay percentage under section 1914.

(B) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to—

(i) provide for an employee described under subparagraph (A) to be a covered employee as defined under subsection (a); or

(ii) authorize an employee described under subparagraph (A) to file an election under section 1917 of this subtitle.

SEC. 1917. ELECTION OF ADDITIONAL BASIC PAY FOR ANNUITY COMPUTATION BY EMPLOYEES.

(a) **DEFINITION.**—In this section the term “covered employee” means any employee—

(1) to whom section 1914 applies;

(2) who is separated from service by reason of retirement under chapter 83 or 84 of title 5, United States Code, during the period of January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2012; and

(3) who files an election with the Office of Personnel Management under subsection (b).

(b) **ELECTION.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—An employee described under subsection (a) (1) and (2) may file an election with the Office of Personnel Management to be covered under this section.

(2) **DEADLINE.**—An election under this subsection may be filed not later than December 31, 2012.

(c) **COMPUTATION OF ANNUITY.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided under paragraph (2), for purposes of the computation of an annuity of a covered employee any cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of title 5, United States Code, paid to that employee during the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2010 through the first applicable pay period ending on or after December 31, 2012, shall be considered basic pay as defined under section 8331(3) or 8401(4) of that title.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—An employee's cost-of-living allowance may be considered basic pay under paragraph (1) only to the extent that, when added to the employee's locality-based comparability payments, the resulting sum does not exceed the amount of the locality-based comparability payments the employee would have received during that period for the applicable pay area if the limitation under section 1914 did not apply.

(d) **CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY RETIREMENT FUND.—**

(1) **EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS.**—A covered employee shall pay into the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Retirement Fund—

(A) an amount equal to the difference between—

(i) employee contributions that would have been deducted and withheld from pay under section 8334 or 8422 of title 5, United States Code, during the period described under subsection (c) of this section if the cost-of-living allowances described under that subsection had been treated as basic pay under section 8331(3) or 8401(4) of title 5, United States Code; and

(ii) employee contributions that were actually deducted and withheld from pay under section 8334 or 8422 of title 5, United States Code, during that period; and

(B) interest as prescribed under section 8334(e) of title 5, United States Code, based on the amount determined under subparagraph (A).

(2) **AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS.—**

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The employing agency of a covered employee shall pay into the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Retirement Fund an amount for applicable agency contributions based on payments made under paragraph (1).

(B) **SOURCE.**—Amounts paid under this paragraph shall be contributed from the appropriation or fund used to pay the employee.

(3) **REGULATIONS.**—The Office of Personnel Management may prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

SEC. 1918. REGULATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of the Office of Personnel Management shall prescribe regulations to carry out this subtitle, including—

(1) rules for special rate employees described under section 1913;

(2) rules for adjusting rates of basic pay for employees in pay systems administered by the Office of Personnel Management when such employees are not entitled to locality-based comparability payments under section 5304 of title 5, United States Code, without regard to otherwise applicable statutory pay limitations during the transition period described in section 1914 ending on the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2012; and

(3) rules governing establishment and adjustment of saved or retained rates for any employee whose rate of pay exceeds applicable pay limitations on the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2012.

(b) **OTHER PAY SYSTEMS.**—With the concurrence of the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, the administrator of a pay system not administered by the Office of Personnel Management shall prescribe regulations to carry out this subtitle with respect to employees in such pay system, consistent with the regulations prescribed by the Office under subsection (a). With respect to employees not entitled to locality-based comparability payments under section 5304 of title 5, United States Code, regulations prescribed under this subsection may provide for special payments or adjustments for employees who were eligible to receive a cost-of-living allowance under section 5941 of that title on the date before the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1919. EFFECTIVE DATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided by subsection (b), this subtitle (including the amendments made by this subtitle) shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **LOCALITY PAY AND SCHEDULE.**—The amendments made by section 1912 and the provisions of section 1914 shall take effect on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2010.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the “Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010”.

SEC. 2002. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.

(a) **EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVII and title XXIX for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—

(1) October 1, 2012; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2013.

(b) **EXCEPTION.**—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the

North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—

(1) October 1, 2012; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 2013 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

SEC. 2003. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLES.

(a) **MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING, AND RELATED ACTIVITIES.**—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 2104, 2204, 2304, 2404, 2411, 2502, and 2606 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4501.

(b) **BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES.**—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 2703 shall be available, in ac-

cordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4502.

(c) **OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**—The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 2901 and 2902 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4503.

SEC. 2004. GENERAL REDUCTION ACROSS DIVISION.

(a) **REDUCTION.**—Of the amounts provided in the authorizations of appropriations in this division, the overall authorization of appropriations in this division is reduced by \$529,091,000.

(b) **REPORT ON APPLICATION.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing how the reduction required by subsection (a) is applied.

TITLE XXI—ARMY

Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2102. Family housing.

Sec. 2103. Improvements to military family housing units.

Sec. 2104. Authorization of appropriations, Army.

Sec. 2105. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 project.

Sec. 2106. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects.

SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alabama	Anniston Army Depot	\$3,300,000
	Redstone Arsenal	\$3,550,000
Alaska	Fort Richardson	\$56,050,000
	Fort Wainwright	\$198,000,000
Arizona	Fort Huachuca	\$27,700,000
Arkansas	Pine Bluff Arsenal	\$25,000,000
California	Fort Irwin	\$9,500,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$240,950,000
Florida	Eglin Air Force Base	\$132,800,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	\$295,300,000
	Fort Gillem	\$10,800,000
	Fort Stewart	\$100,400,000
Hawaii	Schofield Barracks	\$184,000,000
	Wheeler Army Air Field	\$7,500,000
Kansas	Fort Riley	\$168,500,000
Kentucky	Fort Campbell	\$14,400,000
	Fort Knox	\$70,000,000
Louisiana	Fort Polk	\$55,400,000
Maryland	Aberdeen Proving Ground	\$15,500,000
	Fort Detrick	\$46,400,000
	Fort Meade	\$2,350,000
Missouri	Fort Leonard Wood	\$170,800,000
New Jersey	Picatinny Arsenal	\$10,200,000
New York	Fort Drum	\$92,700,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	\$114,600,000
	Sunny Point Military Ocean Terminal	\$28,900,000
Oklahoma	Fort Sill	\$90,500,000
	McAlester Army Ammunition Plant	\$12,500,000
South Carolina	Charleston Naval Weapons Station	\$21,800,000
	Fort Jackson	\$103,500,000
Texas	Fort Bliss	\$219,400,000
	Fort Hood	\$42,900,000
	Fort Sam Houston	\$19,800,000
Utah	Dugway Proving Ground	\$25,000,000
Virginia	Fort A.P. Hill	\$23,000,000
	Fort Belvoir	\$17,900,000
	Fort Eustis	\$8,900,000
	Fort Lee	\$5,000,000
Washington	Fort Lewis	\$18,700,000
Various locations	Troop Trainee Housing	\$350,000,000

(b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(2), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Afghanistan	Bagram Air Base	\$87,100,000
Belgium	Mons	\$20,000,000
Germany	Ansbach	\$31,700,000
	Kleber Kaserne	\$20,000,000
Japan	Okinawa	\$6,000,000
	Sagamihara	\$6,000,000
Korea	Camp Humphreys	\$50,200,000
Kuwait	Camp Arifjan	\$82,000,000

SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) **CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Army: Family Housing

Country	Installation or Location	Units	Amount
Germany	Baumholder	38	\$18,000,000

(b) **PLANNING AND DESIGN.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$3,936,000.

SEC. 2103. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$219,300,000.

SEC. 2104. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army in the total amount of \$4,516,073,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2101(a), \$2,752,500,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2101(b), \$303,000,000.

(3) For unspecified minor military construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, \$25,000,000.

(4) For host nation support and architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$200,519,000.

(5) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$241,236,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including the functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), \$523,418,000.

(6) For the construction of increment 4 of a brigade complex at Fort Lewis, Washington, authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2445), as amended by section 20814 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-289), as added by section 2 of the Revised Continuing Resolution, 2007 (Public Law 110-5; 121 Stat. 41) \$102,000,000.

(7) For the construction of increment 3 of the United States Southern Command Headquarters at Miami Doral, Florida, authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 504), \$55,400,000.

(8) For the construction of increment 3 of the brigade complex operations support facility at Vicenza, Italy, authorized by section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 505), \$23,500,000.

(9) For the construction of increment 3 of the brigade complex barracks and community support facility at Vicenza, Italy, authorized by section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 505), \$22,500,000.

(10) For the construction of increment 2 of a barracks and dining complex at Fort Carson, Colorado, authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4659), \$60,000,000.

(11) For the construction of increment 2 of a barracks and dining complex at Fort Stewart, Georgia, authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4659), \$80,000,000.

(12) For the construction of increment 2 of the family housing replacement construction at Wiesbaden Air Base, Germany, authorized by section 2102(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4663), \$10,000,000.

(13) For the construction of increment 2 of the family housing replacement construction at Wiesbaden Air Base, Germany, authorized by section 2102(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4663), \$11,000,000.

(14) For the construction of increment 2 of the family housing replacement construction at Wiesbaden Air Base, Germany, authorized by section 2102(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4663), \$11,000,000.

(15) For the construction of increment 1 of an Aviation Task Force Complex Phase 1 at Fort Wainwright, Alaska, authorized by section 2101(a), \$95,000,000.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a).

(2) \$95,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) for an aviation task force complex, Phase I at Fort Wainwright, Alaska).

(3) \$25,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 505) for construction of a brigade complex operations support facility at Vicenza, Italy.

(4) \$26,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 505) for construction of a brigade complex operations support facility at Vicenza, Italy.

(c) **LIMITATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF TROOP TRAINEE BARRACKS PROJECTS.**—The Secretary of the Army may not enter into an award of a project for any troop trainee barracks authorized under section 2101(a) until the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) Within the amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), a list of the proposed projects.

(2) A Military Construction Data Sheet for each project.

(3) A certification that the projects can be awarded in the year for which the appropriation of funds is made.

(4) A certification that the projects are listed in the current Future Years Defense Program for the Army.

SEC. 2105. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4659) for Fort Bragg, North Carolina, for construction of a chapel at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to a 22,600 square-foot (400 person) chapel consistent with the Army's standard square footage for chapel construction guidelines.

SEC. 2106. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECTS.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3501), authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (119 Stat. 3485) and extended by section 2107 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4665), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2010, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later:

(b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2006 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Hawaii	Pohakuloa	Tactical Vehicle Wash Facility	\$9,207,000
		Battle Area Complex	\$33,660,000

TITLE XXII—NAVY

Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2202. Family housing.

Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.

Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.

Sec. 2205. Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2006 project.

SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-

ization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction

projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Inside the United States

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Arizona	Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma	\$28,770,000
California	Mountain Warfare Training Center Bridgeport	\$11,290,000
	Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton	\$775,162,000
	Edwards Air Force Base	\$3,007,000
	Naval Station Monterey	\$10,240,000
	Marine Corps Base, Twentynine Palms	\$513,680,000
	Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar	\$9,280,000
	Point Loma Annex	\$11,060,000
	Naval Station, San Diego	\$23,590,000
Connecticut	Naval Submarine Base, New London	\$6,570,000
Florida	Blount Island Command	\$3,760,000
	Eglin Air Force Base	\$26,287,000
	Naval Air Station, Jacksonville	\$5,917,000
	Naval Station, Mayport	\$102,345,000
	Naval Air Station, Pensacola	\$26,161,000
	Naval Air Station, Whiting Field	\$4,120,000
Georgia	Marine Corps Logistics Base, Albany	\$4,870,000
Hawaii	Oahu	\$5,380,000
	Naval Station, Pearl Harbor	\$60,252,000
	Naval Support Activity	\$13,710,000
Indiana	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	\$7,090,000
Maine	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock	\$6,520,000
Maryland	Naval Air Station, Patuxent River	\$11,043,000
	Naval Air Station, Fallon	\$10,670,000
Nevada	Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune	\$673,570,000
North Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point	\$22,960,000
	Marine Corps Air Station, New River	\$107,090,000
Rhode Island	Naval Station, Newport	\$64,883,000
South Carolina	Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort	\$1,280,000
	Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Parris Island	\$6,972,000
Texas	Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi	\$19,764,000
	Naval Air Station, Kingsville	\$4,470,000
Virginia	Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek	\$13,095,000
	Naval Station Norfolk	\$18,139,000
	Naval Special Weapons Center, Dahlgren	\$3,660,000
	Dam Neck	\$14,170,000
	Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth	\$226,969,000
	Marine Corps Base, Quantico	\$105,240,000
Washington	Bremerton	\$108,939,000
	Naval Station, Everett	\$3,810,000
	Naval Magazine, Indian Island	\$13,130,000
	Spokane	\$12,707,000
West Virginia	Naval Security Group, Sugar Grove	\$10,990,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(2),

the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installation or location outside

the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Outside the United States

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Bahrain	Southwest Asia	\$41,526,000
Djibouti	Camp Lemonier	\$41,845,000
Guam	Naval Activities, Guam	\$575,006,000
Spain	Naval Station, Rota	\$26,278,000

SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) *CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amount set forth in the following table:

Navy: Family Housing

<i>Location</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Korea	Pusan	Welcome center/ warehouse	\$4,376,000
Mariana Islands	Naval Activities, Guam	30	\$20,730,000

(b) *PLANNING AND DESIGN.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$2,771,000.

SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family

housing units in an amount not to exceed \$118,692,000.

SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family

housing functions of the Department of the Navy in the total amount of \$4,284,112,000, as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2201(a), \$2,746,704,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2201(b), \$233,445,000.

(3) For unspecified minor military construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, \$12,483,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$179,652,000.

(5) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$146,569,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), \$368,540,000.

(6) For the construction of increment 6 of a limited area production and storage complex at Bangor, Washington, authorized by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (division B of Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2106), \$87,292,000.

(7) For the construction of increment 2 of enclave fencing at Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington, authorized by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3490), as amended by section 2205 of this Act, \$67,419,000.

(8) For the construction of increment 2 of a replacement maintenance pier at Bremerton, Washington, authorized by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 510), \$69,064,000.

(9) For the construction of increment 3 of a submarine drive-in magazine silencing facility at Naval Base Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, authorized by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 510), \$8,645,000.

(10) For the construction of the first increment of a ship repair pier replacement at Norfolk

Naval Shipyard, Virginia, authorized by section 2201(a), \$126,969,000.

(11) For the construction of the first increment of a wharves improvement, Apra Harbor, Guam, authorized by section 2201(b), \$127,033,000.

(12) For the construction of the first increment of north ramp utilities, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, authorized by section 2201(b), \$21,500,000.

(13) For the construction of the first increment of north ramp parking, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, authorized by section 2201(b), \$88,797,000.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a).

(2) \$100,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for Ship Repair Pier Replacement at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Virginia).

(3) \$40,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) for wharves improvements, Apra Harbor, Guam).

(4) \$41,520,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for Enclave Fencing/Parking at Bremerton, Washington).

(5) \$94,100,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) for north ramp parking at Andersen Air Force Base, Guam).

(6) \$79,780,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) for north ramp utilities at Andersen Air Force Base, Guam).

SEC. 2205. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT.

(a) MODIFICATION.—The table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3490) is amended in the item relating to Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington, by striking “\$60,160,000” and inserting “\$127,163,000”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2204(b) of that Act (119 Stat. 3492) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) \$67,003,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for construction of a waterfront security enclave at Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington).”.

(c) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3501), the authorization relating to enclave fencing/parking at Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington (formerly referred to as a project at Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington), as provided in section 2201 of that Act, shall remain in effect until October 1, 2012, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2013, whichever is later.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2302. Family housing.

Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.

Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.

Sec. 2305. Termination of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 Air Force project.

Sec. 2306. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects.

Sec. 2307. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects.

Sec. 2308. Conveyance to Indian tribes of certain housing units.

SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(1), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alaska	Clear Air Force Station	\$24,300,000
	Eielson Air Force Base	\$13,350,000
	Elmendorf Air Force Base	\$15,700,000
Arizona	Davis-Monthan Air Force Base	\$41,900,000
Arkansas	Little Rock Air Force Base	\$16,200,000
California	Los Angeles Air Force Base	\$8,000,000
	Travis Air Force Base	\$12,900,000
	Vandenberg Air Force Base	\$13,000,000
Colorado	Peterson Air Force Base	\$32,300,000
	United States Air Force Academy	\$17,500,000
Delaware	Dover Air Force Base	\$24,900,000
Florida	Eglin Air Force Base	\$84,360,000
	Hurlburt Field	\$19,900,000
	MacDill Air Force Base	\$59,300,000
	Patrick Air Force Base	\$8,400,000
Georgia	Moody Air Force Base	\$10,000,000
	Warner Robins Air Force Base	\$6,200,000
Hawaii	Hickam Air Force Base	\$4,000,000
	Wheeler Air Force Base	\$15,000,000
	Mountain Home Air Force Base	\$20,000,000
Idaho	Scott Air Force Base	\$7,400,000
Illinois	Barksdale Air Force Base	\$12,800,000
Louisiana	Andrews Air Force Base	\$9,300,000
Maryland	Columbus Air Force Base	\$9,800,000
Mississippi	Whiteman Air Force Base	\$12,900,000
Missouri	Malstrom Air Force Base	\$10,600,000
Montana	Offutt Air Force Base	\$10,400,000
Nebraska	Creech Air Force Base	\$2,700,000
Nevada	McGuire Air Force Base	\$7,900,000
New Jersey	Cannon Air Force Base	\$15,000,000
New Mexico	Holloman Air Force Base	\$53,400,000
	Kirtland Air Force Base	\$22,500,000
North Carolina	Pope Air Force Base	\$9,000,000
	Seymour Johnson Air Force Base	\$6,900,000

Air Force: Inside the United States—Continued

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
North Dakota	Grand Forks Air Force Base	\$12,000,000
.....	Minot Air Force Base	\$11,500,000
Ohio	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	\$58,600,000
Oklahoma	Altus Air Force Base	\$20,300,000
.....	Tinker Air Force Base	\$18,237,000
.....	Vance Air Force Base	\$10,700,000
South Carolina	Shaw Air Force Base	\$21,183,000
South Dakota	Ellsworth Air Force Base	\$14,500,000
Texas	Dyess Air Force Base	\$4,500,000
.....	Goodfellow Air Force Base	\$44,400,000
.....	Lackland Air Force Base	\$113,879,000
.....	Sheppard Air Force Base	\$13,450,000
Utah	Hill Air Force Base	\$26,153,000
Virginia	Langley Air Force Base	\$10,000,000
Washington	Fairchild Air Force Base	\$15,150,000
Wyoming	F. E. Warren Air Force Base	\$9,100,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(2), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Outside the United States

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Afghanistan	Bagram Air Base	\$22,000,000
Colombia	Palanquero Air Base	\$46,000,000
Germany	Ramstein Air Base	\$34,700,000
.....	Spangdahlem Air Base	\$23,500,000
Guam	Andersen Air Force Base	\$61,702,000
Italy	Naval Air Station Sigonella	\$31,300,000
Qatar	Al Udeid Air Base	\$60,000,000
Turkey	Incirlik Air Base	\$9,200,000

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,314,000.

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$61,737,000.

SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force in the total amount of \$1,984,963,000, as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2301(a), \$1,003,962,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2301(b), \$288,402,000.

(3) For unspecified minor military construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, \$20,000,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$103,562,000.

(5) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$66,101,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), \$502,936,000.

SEC. 2305. TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2009 AIR FORCE PROJECT.

(a) TERMINATION.—The table in section 2301(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4682) is amended in the item

relating to Unspecified Worldwide Locations by striking “\$38,391,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$891,000”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 2304 of that Act (122 Stat. 4683) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “\$2,108,090,000” and inserting “\$2,070,590,000”; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “\$38,391,000” and inserting “\$891,000”.

SEC. 2306. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2007 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2463), authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2301 and 2302 of that Act, shall remain in effect until October 1, 2010, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2007 Project Authorizations

<i>State/Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Delaware	Dover Air Force Base	C-17 Aircrew Life Support	\$7,400,000
Idaho	Mountain Home Air Force Base	Replace Family Housing (457 units)	\$107,800,000

SEC. 2307. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law

109-163; 119 Stat. 3501), authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2302 of that Act (119 Stat. 3495) and extended by section 2305 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4684),

shall remain in effect until October 1, 2010, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2006 Project Authorizations

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Alaska	Eielson Air Force Base	Replace Family Housing (92 units)	\$37,650,000
.....	Eielson Air Force Base	Purchase Build/Lease Housing (300 units) ...	\$18,144,000

Air Force: Extension of 2006 Project Authorizations—Continued

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Amount</i>
North Dakota	Grand Forks Air Force Base	Replace Family Housing (150 units)	\$43,353,000

SEC. 2308. CONVEYANCE TO INDIAN TRIBES OF CERTAIN HOUSING UNITS.(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:(1) **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.**—The term “Executive Director” means the Executive Director of Walking Shield, Inc.(2) **INDIAN TRIBE.**—The term “Indian tribe” means any Indian tribe included on the list published by the Secretary of the Interior under section 104 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994 (25 U.S.C.479a–1).(b) **REQUESTS FOR CONVEYANCE.**—(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Executive Director may submit to the Secretary of the Air Force, on behalf of any Indian tribe located in the State of Idaho, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Montana, or Minnesota, a request for conveyance of any relocatable military housing unit located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Minot Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, or Mountain Home Air Force Base.(2) **CONFLICTS.**—The Executive Director shall resolve any conflict among requests of Indian tribes for housing units described in paragraph

(1) before submitting a request to the Secretary of the Air Force under this subsection.

(c) **CONVEYANCE BY SECRETARY.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, on receipt of a request under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary of the Air Force may convey to the Indian tribe that is the subject of the request, at no cost to the Air Force and without consideration, any relocatable military housing unit described in subsection (b)(1) that, as determined by the Secretary, is in excess of the needs of the military.**TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES**

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2402. Family Housing.

Sec. 2403. Energy conservation projects.

Sec. 2404. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.

Sec. 2405. Termination or modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 projects.

Sec. 2406. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project.

Sec. 2407. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 project.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

Sec. 2411. Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.(a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2404(a)(1), the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following tables:**Defense Education Activity**

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Georgia	Fort Benning	\$2,330,000
North Carolina	Fort Stewart/Hunter Army Air Field	\$22,501,000
	Fort Bragg	\$3,439,000

Defense Information Systems Agency

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Hawaii	Naval Station Pearl Harbor, Ford Island	\$9,633,000

Defense Logistics Agency

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
California	El Centro	\$11,000,000
	Travis Air Force Base	\$15,357,000
Florida	Jacksonville International Airport (Air National Guard)	\$11,500,000
Minnesota	Duluth International Airport (Air National Guard)	\$15,000,000
Oklahoma	Altus Air Force Base	\$2,700,000
Texas	Fort Hood	\$3,000,000
Washington	Fairchild Air Force Base	\$7,500,000

Missile Defense Agency

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	\$12,000,000
Virginia	Naval Support Facility, Dahlgren	\$24,500,000

National Security Agency

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Maryland	Fort Meade	\$203,800,000

Special Operations Command

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
California	Naval Amphibious Base, Coronado	\$15,722,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$48,246,000
Florida	Eglin Air Force Base	\$3,046,000
	Hurlburt Field	\$8,156,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	\$3,046,000
Kentucky	Fort Campbell	\$39,135,000
New Mexico	Cannon Air Force Base	\$58,864,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	\$101,488,000
	Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune	\$11,791,000
Virginia	Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek	\$18,669,000

Special Operations Command—Continued

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Washington	Fort Lewis	\$14,500,000

TRICARE Management Activity

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Alaska	Elmendorf Air Force Base	\$25,017,000
	Fort Richardson	\$3,518,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$31,900,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	\$17,200,000
	Fort Stewart/Hunter Army Field	\$22,200,000
Kentucky	Fort Campbell	\$8,600,000
Maryland	Fort Detrick	\$29,807,000
Missouri	Fort Leonard Wood	\$5,570,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	\$57,658,000
Oklahoma	Fort Sill	\$10,554,000
Texas	Lackland Air Force Base	\$101,928,000
	Fort Bliss	\$990,600,000
Washington	Fort Lewis	\$15,636,000

Washington Headquarters Services

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Virginia	Pentagon Reservation	\$27,672,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2404(a)(2), the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following tables:

Defense Education Activity

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Belgium	Brussels	\$38,124,000
Germany	Boeblingen	\$50,000,000
	Kaiserslautern	\$93,545,000
	Wiesbaden Air Base	\$5,379,000
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	\$4,509,000

Defense Intelligence Agency

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Korea	K-16 Airfield	\$5,050,000

Defense Logistics Agency

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Cuba	Naval Air Station, Guantanamo Bay	\$12,500,000
Greece	Souda Bay	\$24,000,000
Guam	Naval Air Station, Agana	\$4,900,000
Korea	Osan Air Base	\$28,000,000
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Mildenhall	\$4,700,000

National Security Agency

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Menwith Hill Station	\$37,588,000

North Atlantic Treaty Organization

<i>State</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Belgium	NATO Headquarters	\$41,400,000

TRICARE Management Activity

<i>Country</i>	<i>Installation or Location</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Guam	Naval Activities, Guam	\$446,450,000
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Alconbury	\$14,227,000

SEC. 2402. FAMILY HOUSING.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2404(a)(7), the Secretary of Defense may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installation, in the number of units, and in the amount set forth in the following table:

Defense Logistics Agency: Family Housing

State	Location	Units	Amount
Pennsylvania	Cumberland Depot	6	\$2,859,000

SEC. 2403. ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2404(a)(6), the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, in the amount of \$123,013,000.

SEC. 2404. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments) in the total amount of \$3,177,496,000, as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2401(a), \$1,048,783,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2401(b), \$188,762,000.

(3) For unspecified minor military construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, \$33,025,000.

(4) For contingency construction projects of the Secretary of Defense under section 2804 of title 10, United States Code, \$10,000,000.

(5) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$121,442,000.

(6) For energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, \$123,013,000.

(7) For military family housing functions:

(A) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), \$49,214,000.

(B) For construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, \$2,859,000.

(C) For credits to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund under section 2883 of title 10, United States Code, and the Homeowners Assistance Fund established under section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 3374), \$302,600,000.

(8) For the construction of increment 4 of the Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases Stage 1 at Fort Detrick, Maryland, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2457), \$108,000,000.

(9) For the construction of increment 2 of replacement fuel storage facilities at Point Loma Annex, California, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 521), as amended by section 2406 of this Act, \$92,300,000.

(10) For the construction of increment 3 of a special operations facility at Dam Neck, Virginia, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 521), \$15,967,000.

(11) For the construction of increment 2 of the United States Army Medical Research Institute

of Chemical Defense replacement facility at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4689), \$111,400,000.

(12) For the construction of fuel storage tanks and pipeline replacement at Souda Bay, Greece, authorized by section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4691), as amended by section 2405 of this Act, \$24,000,000.

(13) For the construction of increment 2 of a National Security Agency data center at Camp Williams, Utah, authorized as a Military Construction, Defense-Wide project by the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32; 123 Stat. 1888), \$600,000,000.

(14) For the construction of the first increment of a hospital at Fort Bliss, Texas, authorized by section 2401(a), \$86,975,000.

(15) For the construction of the first increment of a hospital at Naval Activities, Guam, authorized by section 2401(b), \$259,156,000.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a).

(2) \$187,294,000 (the balance of the amount authorized by section 2401(b) for the hospital replacement, Guam).

(3) \$820,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-32) for the Utah Data Center, Camp Williams, Utah).

(4) \$879,025,000 (the balance of the amount authorized by section 2401(a) for the hospital replacement phase I, Fort Bliss, Texas).

(5) \$290,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4689) for the USAMRIID replacement facility at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland).

(6) \$47,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 521), as modified by section 2406(a) of this Act, for the replacement of fuel storage facilities at Point Loma Annex, California).

(c) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS.**—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated by subsection (a)(6) for energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall reserve a portion of the amount for energy conservation projects for the reserve components in an amount that is not less than an amount that bears the same proportion to the total amount authorized to be appropriated as the total quantity of energy consumed by reserve fa-

cilities (as defined in section 18232(2) of such title) during fiscal year 2009 bears to the total quantity of energy consumed by all military installations (as defined in section 2687(e)(1) of such title) during that fiscal year, as determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 2405. TERMINATION OR MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROJECTS.

(a) **TERMINATION.**—Section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4690) is amended by striking the table relating to the Missile Defense Command.

(b) **MODIFICATION.**—The table relating to the Defense Logistics Agency in such section is amended in the item relating to Souda Bay, Greece, by striking “\$8,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$32,000,000”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 2403 of that Act (122 Stat. 4692) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “\$1,639,050,000” and inserting “\$1,487,890,000”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$246,360,000” and inserting “\$87,200,000”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) For construction of the first increment of fuel storage tanks and pipeline replacement at Souda Bay, Greece, \$8,000,000.”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(3) \$24,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized for the Defense Logistics Agency under section 2401(b) for fuel storage tanks and pipeline replacement at Souda Bay, Greece).”.

SEC. 2406. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2008 PROJECT.

(a) **MODIFICATION.**—The table relating to the Defense Logistics Agency in section 2401 (a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 521) is amended in the item relating to Point Loma Annex, California, by striking “\$140,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$195,000,000”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2403(b)(2) of that Act (122 Stat. 524) is amended by striking “\$84,300,000” and inserting “\$139,300,000”.

SEC. 2407. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2007 PROJECT.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2463), authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2402 of that Act, shall remain in effect until October 1, 2010, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

(b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

State	Location	Units	Amount
Virginia	Defense Supply Center, Richmond	Whole House Renovation	\$484,000

Defense Logistics Agency: Family Housing

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

SEC. 2411. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction and land acquisition for chemical demilitarization in the total amount of \$151,541,000 as follows:

(1) For the construction of phase 11 of a chemical munitions demilitarization facility at Pueblo Chemical Activity, Colorado, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (division B of Public Law 104–201; 110 Stat. 2775), as amended by section 2406 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 839), section 2407 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat. 2698), and section 2413 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), \$92,500,000.

(2) For the construction of phase 10 of a munitions demilitarization facility at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 835), as amended by section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1298), section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization

Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat. 2698), and section 2414 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), \$59,041,000.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.

SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program authorized by section 2501, in the amount of \$197,414,000.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.

Sec. 2607. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects.

Sec. 2608. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 project.

SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army National Guard: Inside the United States

State	Location	Amount
Alabama	Fort McClellan	\$3,000,000
Arizona	Camp Navajo	\$3,000,000
California	Los Alamitos Joint Forces Training Base	\$31,000,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	\$15,500,000
	Hunter Army Air Field	\$8,967,000
Idaho	Gowen Field	\$16,100,000
Illinois	Milan	\$5,600,000
Indiana	Muscatatuck Urban Training Center	\$10,100,000
Iowa	Camp Dodge	\$4,000,000
Kansas	Salina Army National Guard Aviation Facility	\$2,227,000
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	\$29,000,000
Michigan	Fort Custer	\$7,732,000
Minnesota	Arden Hills Army Training Site	\$6,700,000
	Camp Ripley	\$1,710,000
Mississippi	Camp Shelby	\$16,100,000
	Monticello	\$14,350,000
Missouri	Boonville	\$1,800,000
Nebraska	Lincoln Municipal Airport	\$23,000,000
Nevada	Carson City	\$2,000,000
	North Las Vegas	\$26,000,000
New Mexico	Santa Fe	\$39,000,000
North Carolina	East Flat Rock	\$2,516,000
	Fort Bragg	\$6,038,000
Oregon	Clatsop County	\$3,369,000
	Polk County	\$12,100,000
South Carolina	Eastover	\$26,000,000
	Greenville	\$40,000,000
South Dakota	Camp Rapid	\$9,840,000
Texas	Austin	\$22,200,000
Vermont	Ethan Allen Firing Range	\$1,996,000
Virginia	Fort Pickett	\$32,000,000
West Virginia	St. Albans Armory	\$2,000,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army National Guard: Outside the United States

Country	Location	Amount
Guam	Barrigada	\$30,000,000
Virgin Islands	St. Croix	\$20,000,000

(c) *UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.*—Using the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified installations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Army National Guard

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$30,000,000

SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(2), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army Reserve: Inside the United States

State	Location	Amount
California	Camp Pendleton	\$19,500,000
	Los Angeles	\$29,000,000
Colorado	Colorado Springs	\$13,000,000
Connecticut	Bridgeport	\$18,500,000
Florida	Panama City	\$7,300,000
	West Palm Beach	\$26,000,000
Georgia	Atlanta	\$14,000,000
Illinois	Chicago	\$23,000,000
Minnesota	Fort Snelling	\$12,000,000
New York	Rochester	\$13,600,000
Ohio	Cincinnati	\$13,000,000
Pennsylvania	Ashley	\$9,800,000
	Harrisburg	\$7,600,000
	Newton Square	\$20,000,000
	Uniontown	\$11,800,000
Texas	Austin	\$20,000,000
	Bryan	\$12,200,000
	Fort Bliss	\$9,500,000
	Houston	\$24,000,000
	Robstown	\$10,200,000
	San Antonio	\$20,000,000
Wisconsin	Fort McCoy	\$28,550,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(2), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army Reserve location outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

Army Reserve: Outside the United States

Country	Location	Amount
Puerto Rico	Caguas	\$12,400,000

(c) *UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.*—Using the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(2), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified installations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Army Reserve

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$30,000,000

SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(3), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve locations, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve

State	Location	Amount
Arizona	Luke Air Force Base	\$10,986,000
California	Alameda	\$5,960,000
Illinois	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant	\$7,957,000
South Carolina	Goose Creek	\$4,240,000
Texas	San Antonio	\$2,210,000
	Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base	\$6,170,000
Virginia	Oceana Naval Air Station	\$30,400,000

(b) *UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.*—Using the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(3), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified installations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$55,000,000

SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(4), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air National Guard locations, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air National Guard

State	Location	Amount
Arizona	Davis-Monthan Air Force Base	\$5,600,000
California	Fresno Yosemite International Airport	\$9,800,000
	South California Logistics Airport	\$8,400,000
Colorado	Buckley Air National Guard Base	\$4,500,000
Connecticut	Bradley International Airport	\$9,000,000
Hawaii	Hickam Air Force Base	\$33,000,000
Illinois	Lincoln Capital Airport	\$3,000,000
Iowa	Des Moines	\$4,600,000
Kansas	McConnell Air Force Base	\$8,700,000
Maine	Bangor International Airport	\$28,000,000
Maryland	Andrews Air Force Base	\$14,000,000
Massachusetts	Barnes Air National Guard Base	\$8,100,000
	Otis Air National Guard Base	\$12,800,000
Michigan	Alpena Combat Readiness Training Center	\$8,900,000
	Battle Creek Air National Guard Base	\$14,000,000
	Selfridge Air National Guard Base	\$7,100,000
Minnesota	Minnesota/St. Paul International Airport 133rd Airlift Wing Base	\$1,900,000
Mississippi	Gulftport-Biloxi Regional Airport	\$6,500,000
Missouri	Rosecrans Memorial Airport	\$9,300,000
Nebraska	Lincoln Municipal Airport	\$1,500,000
Nevada	Reno	\$10,800,000
New Hampshire	Pease Air National Guard Base	\$10,000,000
New Jersey	McGuire Air Force Base	\$9,700,000
New York	Wheeler Sack Army Airfield	\$2,700,000
Ohio	Mansfield Lahm Airport	\$11,400,000
Oklahoma	Will Rogers World Airport	\$7,300,000
South Carolina	McEntire Joint Air National Guard Base	\$1,300,000
South Dakota	Joe Foss Field	\$2,600,000
Tennessee	Memphis, 164th Airlift Wing	\$9,800,000
Texas	Kelly Field Annex	\$7,900,000
Vermont	Burlington International Airport	\$6,000,000
West Virginia	Martinsburg	\$19,500,000
Wisconsin	General Mitchell International Airport	\$5,000,000
Wyoming	Cheyenne Airport	\$1,500,000

(b) *UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.*—Using the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(4), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified installations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Air National Guard

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$30,000,000

SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(5), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve locations, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force Reserve

State	Location	Amount
California	March Air Reserve Base	\$9,800,000
Colorado	Schriever Air Force Base	\$10,200,000
Mississippi	Keesler Air Force Base	\$9,800,000
New York	Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station	\$5,700,000
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh Air Force Base	\$12,400,000
Texas	Lackland Air Force Base	\$1,500,000
Utah	Hill Air Force Base	\$3,200,000

(b) *UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.*—Using the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a)(5), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified installations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Air Force Reserve

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$55,000,000

SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering serv-

ices, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), in the following amounts:

(1) For the Department of the Army, for the Army National Guard of the United States, \$582,056,000.

(2) For the Department of the Army, for the Army Reserve, \$431,566,000.

(3) For the Department of the Navy, for the Navy and Marine Corps Reserve, \$125,874,000.

(4) For the Department of the Air Force, for the Air National Guard of the United States, \$364,226,000.

(5) For the Department of the Air Force, for the Air Force Reserve, \$112,269,000.

(b) **LIMITATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECTS AT CERTAIN UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS.**—The Secretary of the military department concerned may not enter into an award of a project at an unspecified worldwide location authorized under section 2601(c), 2602(c), 2603(b), 2604(b), or 2605(b) until the Sec-

retary submits to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) Within the amounts authorized to be appropriated under the applicable paragraph of subsection (a), a list of the proposed projects.

(2) A Military Construction Data Sheet for each project.

(3) A certification that the projects can be awarded in the year for which the appropriation of funds is made.

(4) A certification that the projects are listed in the current Future Years Defense Program for the reserve component involved.

Army National Guard: Extension of 2007 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
California	Fresno	AVCRAD Add/Alt, PH I	\$30,000,000
New Jersey	Lakehurst	Consolidated Logistics Training Facility, PH II.	\$20,024,000

SEC. 2608. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2006 PROJECT.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (division B of Public Law

109–163; 119 Stat. 3501), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2601 of that Act (119 Stat. 3501) and extended by section 2608 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat.

SEC. 2607. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2007 PROJECTS.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2463), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2601 of that Act, shall remain in effect until October 1, 2010, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

(b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Army National Guard: Extension of 2006 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Montana	Townsend	Automated Qualification Training Range	\$2,532,000

TITLE XXVII—BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES

Subtitle A—Authorizations

Sec. 2701. Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990.

Sec. 2702. Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005.

Sec. 2703. Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Sec. 2711. Relocation of certain Army Reserve units in Connecticut.

Sec. 2712. Authority to construct Armed Forces Reserve Center in vicinity of Pease Air National Guard Base, New Hampshire.

Sec. 2713. Sense of Congress on ensuring joint basing recommendations do not adversely affect operational readiness.

Sec. 2714. Requirements related to providing world class military medical facilities in the National Capital Region.

Sec. 2715. Use of economic development conveyances to implement base closure and realignment property recommendations.

Subtitle A—Authorizations

SEC. 2701. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for base closure and realignment activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realign-

ment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990 established by section 2906 of such Act, in the total amount of \$496,768,000, as follows:

(1) For the Department of the Army, \$138,723,000.

(2) For the Department of the Navy, \$228,000,000.

(3) For the Department of the Air Force, \$127,364,000.

(4) For the Defense Agencies, \$2,681,000.

SEC. 2702. AUTHORIZED BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2703, the Secretary of Defense may carry out base closure and realignment activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 established by section 2906A of such Act, in the amount of \$5,934,740,000.

SEC. 2703. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for base closure and realignment activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 established by section 2906A of such Act, in the total amount of \$7,455,498,000, as follows:

(1) For the Department of the Army, \$4,057,037,000.

(2) For the Department of the Navy, \$591,572,000.

(3) For the Department of the Air Force, \$418,260,000.

(4) For the Defense Agencies, \$2,388,629,000.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

SEC. 2711. RELOCATION OF CERTAIN ARMY RESERVE UNITS IN CONNECTICUT.

The Secretary of the Army may use funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2703 for the purpose of constructing an Army Reserve Center and Maintenance Facility in the vicinity of Newtown, Connecticut, at a location determined by the Secretary to be in the best interest of national security and in the public interest.

SEC. 2712. AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER IN VICINITY OF PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, NEW HAMPSHIRE.

The Secretary of the Army may use funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2703 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4715) for the purpose of constructing an Armed Forces Reserve Center at Pease Air National Guard Base, New Hampshire, to construct instead an Armed Forces Reserve Center in the vicinity of Pease Air National Guard Base at a location determined by the Secretary to be in the best interest of national security and in the public interest.

SEC. 2713. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ENSURING JOINT BASING RECOMMENDATIONS DO NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT OPERATIONAL READINESS.

It is the sense of Congress that, in implementing the joint basing recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission contained in the report of the Commission transmitted to Congress on September 15, 2005, under section 2903(e) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), the Secretary of Defense should ensure that the joint basing of military installations at any of the recommended locations does not adversely impact—

(1) the ability of commanders, and the units of the Armed Forces under their command, to perform their operational missions;

(2) the command and control of commanders at each military installation that has an operational mission requirement; and

(3) the readiness of the units of the Armed Forces under their command.

SEC. 2714. REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO PROVIDING WORLD CLASS MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITIES IN THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.

(a) **MASTER PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a comprehensive master plan to provide sufficient world class military medical facilities and an integrated system of health care delivery for the National Capital Region that—

(1) addresses—

(A) the unique needs of members of the Armed Forces and retired members of the Armed Forces and their families;

(B) the care, management, and transition of seriously ill and injured members of the Armed Forces and their families;

(C) the missions of the branch or branches of the Armed Forces served; and

(D) performance expectations for the future integrated health care delivery system, including—

(i) information management and information technology support; and

(ii) expansion of support services;

(2) delineates the process for the development of budgets, prioritization of requirements, and the allocation of funds;

(3) delineates budget and operational authority to provide and operate world class military medical facilities in the National Capital Region;

(4) incorporates all ancillary and support facilities at the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, including education and research facilities as well as centers of excellence, transportation, and parking structures required to provide a full range of adequate care and services for members of the Armed Forces and their families;

(5) incorporates a facilities needs assessment, including an assessment of standards for patient rooms, and provides a program to meet the facility requirements;

(6) specifies the personnel authorizations and personnel systems required to provide and operate a world class military medical facility;

(7) can be used as a basis to develop similar master plans for other military medical facilities of the Department of Defense; and

(8) includes a community development plan that incorporates multiple options to alleviate traffic congestion related to the expansion of the National Naval Medical Center and Fort Belvoir Community Hospital, including a review of options—

(A) to expand adjacent highways;

(B) improvements to nearby intersections;

(C) on-facility site queuing; and

(D) multimodal expansion that could include expanded support for buses and subways.

(b) **SUBMISSION OF MASTER PLAN AND RELATED MATERIALS.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(1) the master plan developed under subsection (a);

(2) the certification of the Secretary that the requirements specified in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of section 1650(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 475) remain satisfied and accurate;

(3) the certification of the Secretary that the master plan ensures that each facility covered by the plan meets or exceeds applicable Joint Commission hospital design standards; and

(4) an assessment of the risks and benefits to patient care associated with completing the re-

alignment of Walter Reed National Military Medical Center by the statutory deadline imposed for implementation of the recommendations contained in the report of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission transmitted to Congress on September 15, 2005.

(c) **SUBMISSION OF MILESTONE SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATES.**—Not later than June 30, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

(1) the schedule for completion of requirements identified in the master plan developed under subsection (a); and

(2) updated cost estimates to provide world class military medical facilities for the National Capital Region.

(d) **SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TRAFFIC MITIGATION IN VICINITY OF NATIONAL NAVAL MEDICAL CENTER.**—Given the anticipated significant increases in local traffic in the vicinity of the National Naval Medical Center, and the unusual impact that such traffic increases will have on the surrounding community due to the planned expansion of the installation, it is the sense of Congress that—

(1) multiple methods are available to the Department of Defense to implement the defense access roads program (section 210 of title 23, United States Code) to help alleviate traffic congestion, including expansion of adjacent highways, improvements to nearby intersections, on-base queuing options, and multi-modal expansion, including expanded support of buses and subways and other measures; and

(2) all of the efforts to alleviate the significant traffic impact need to be pursued to ensure readily available access to health care at the installation.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.**—The term “National Capital Region” has the meaning given the term in section 2674(f) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) **WORLD CLASS MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITY.**—The term “world class military medical facility” has the meaning given the term by the National Capital Region Base Realignment and Closure Health Systems Advisory Subcommittee of the Defense Health Board in appendix B of the report entitled “Achieving World Class – An Independent Review of the Design Plans for the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and the Fort Belvoir Community Hospital”, published in May, 2009.

SEC. 2715. USE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CONVEYANCES TO IMPLEMENT BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PROPERTY RECOMMENDATIONS.

(a) **ECONOMIC REDEVELOPMENT CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.**—Section 2905(b)(4) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking the matter preceding clause (i) of such subparagraph and inserting the following:

“(B) The transfer of property located at a military installation under subparagraph (A) may be for consideration at or below the estimated fair market value or without consideration. The determination of such consideration may account for the economic conditions of the local affected community and the estimated costs to redevelop the property. The Secretary may accept, as consideration, a share of the revenues that the redevelopment authority receives from third-party buyers or lessees from sales and long-term leases of the conveyed property, consideration in kind (including goods and services), real property and improvements, or such other consideration as the Secretary considers appropriate. The transfer of property located at a military installation under subparagraph (A) may be made for consideration below the estimated fair market value or without consideration only if the redevelopment authority with respect to the installation—”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “subparagraph (B)” and inserting “subparagraph (B)(i)”.

(b) **REPORT CONCERNING PROPERTY CONVEYANCES.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report regarding the status of current and anticipated economic development conveyances involving surplus real and personal property at closed or realigned military installations, projected job creation as a result of the conveyances, community reinvestment, and the progress made as a result of the implementation of the amendments made by subsection (a).

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

Sec. 2801. Modification of unspecified minor construction authorities.

Sec. 2802. Congressional notification of facility repair projects carried out using operation and maintenance funds.

Sec. 2803. Modification of authority for scope of work variations.

Sec. 2804. Modification of conveyance authority at military installations.

Sec. 2805. Imposition of requirement that acquisition of reserve component facilities be authorized by law.

Sec. 2806. Authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects inside the United States Central Command area of responsibility.

Sec. 2807. Expansion of First Sergeants Barracks Initiative.

Sec. 2808. Reports on privatization initiatives for military unaccompanied housing.

Sec. 2809. Report on Department of Defense contributions to States for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, or conversion of reserve component facilities.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

Sec. 2821. Modification of utility systems conveyance authority.

Sec. 2822. Report on global defense posture realignment and interagency review.

Sec. 2823. Property and facilities management of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Sec. 2824. Acceptance of contributions to support cleanup efforts at former Almaden Air Force Station, California.

Sec. 2825. Selection of military installations to serve as locations of brigade combat teams.

Sec. 2826. Report on Federal assistance to support communities adversely impacted by expansion of military installations.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Guam Realignment

Sec. 2831. Role of Department of Defense in management and coordination of defense activities relating to Guam realignment.

Sec. 2832. Clarifications regarding use of special purpose entities to assist with Guam realignment.

Sec. 2833. Workforce issues related to military construction and certain other transactions on Guam.

Sec. 2834. Composition of workforce for construction projects funded through the Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account.

Sec. 2835. Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Relocation.

Sec. 2836. Compliance with Naval Aviation Safety requirements as condition on acceptance of replacement facility for Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, Okinawa.

Sec. 2837. Report and sense of Congress on Marine Corps requirements in Asia-Pacific region.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Sec. 2841. Adoption of unified energy monitoring and utility control system specification for military construction and military family housing activities.

Sec. 2842. Department of Defense goal regarding use of renewable energy sources to meet facility energy needs.

Sec. 2843. Department of Defense participation in programs for management of energy demand or reduction of energy usage during peak periods.

Sec. 2844. Department of Defense use of electric and hybrid motor vehicles.

Sec. 2845. Study on development of nuclear power plants on military installations.

Sec. 2846. Comptroller General report on Department of Defense renewable energy initiatives, including solar initiatives, on military installations.

Subtitle E—Land Conveyances

Sec. 2851. Land conveyance, Haines Tank Farm, Haines, Alaska.

Sec. 2852. Release of reversionary interest, Camp Joseph T. Robinson, Arkansas.

Sec. 2853. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Port Chicago Naval Magazine, California.

Sec. 2854. Land conveyance, Ferndale housing at Centerville Beach Naval Facility to City of Ferndale, California.

Sec. 2855. Land conveyances, Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii.

Sec. 2856. Land conveyances of certain parcels in the Camp Catlin and Ohana Nui areas, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

Sec. 2857. Modification of land conveyance, former Griffiss Air Force Base, New York.

Sec. 2858. Land conveyance, Army Reserve Center, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

Sec. 2859. Land conveyance, Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota.

Sec. 2860. Land conveyance, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

Sec. 2861. Land Conveyance, Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia.

Sec. 2862. Completion of land exchange and consolidation, Fort Lewis, Washington.

Sec. 2863. Land conveyance, F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Cheyenne, Wyoming.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 2871. Revised authority to establish national monument to honor United States Armed Forces working dog teams.

Sec. 2872. National D-Day Memorial study.

Sec. 2873. Conditions on establishment of Cooperative Security Location in Palanquero, Colombia.

Sec. 2874. Military activities at United States Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center.

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

SEC. 2801. MODIFICATION OF UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITIES.

(a) REPEAL OF LIMITATIONS ON EXERCISE-RELATED PROJECTS OVERSEAS.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT PROJECTS.—Subsection (a) of section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “Except as provided in paragraph (2), within” and inserting “Within”;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by striking “An unspecified” and inserting the following:

“(2) An unspecified”.

(2) USE OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking “Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3)” and inserting “Except as provided in paragraph (2)”;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2806(c)(1) of such title is amended by striking “section 2805(a)(2)” and inserting “section 2805(a)”.

(b) LABORATORY REVITALIZATION AUTHORIZED.—Section 2805(d) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(B), by inserting “or from funds authorized to be made available under section 219(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note)” after “authorized by law”;

(2) by striking paragraph (3); and

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (4), (5), and (6) as paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), respectively.

(c) MECHANISMS TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR LABORATORY REVITALIZATION.—

(1) ADDITIONAL PURPOSE.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 219 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) To fund the revitalization and recapitalization of the laboratory pursuant to section 2805(d) of title 10, United States Code.”.

(2) MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking paragraph (2); and

(B) by striking “AUTHORITY” and all that follows through “Not” and inserting “AUTHORITY.—Not”.

SEC. 2802. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF FACILITY REPAIR PROJECTS CARRIED OUT USING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS.

Section 2811(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) if the current estimate of the cost of the repair project exceeds 75 percent of the estimated cost of a military construction project to replace the facility, an explanation of the reasons why replacement of the facility is not in the best interest of the Government; and

“(3) a description of the elements of military construction, including the elements specified in section 2802(b) of this title, incorporated into the repair project.”.

SEC. 2803. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR SCOPE OF WORK VARIATIONS.

Section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “Except as provided in subsection (c)” and inserting “(1) Except as provided in subsection (c)”;

(B) by striking “may be reduced by not more than 25 percent from the amount approved for that project, construction, improvement, or acquisition by Congress.” and inserting “may be reduced by not more than 25 percent from the amount specified for that project, construction, improvement, or acquisition in the justification data provided to Congress as part of the request for authorization of the project, construction, improvement, or acquisition.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The scope of work for a military construction project or for the construction, improvement, and acquisition of a military family housing project may not be increased above the amount specified for that project, construction, improvement, or acquisition in the justification data provided to Congress as part of the request for authorization of the project, construction, improvement, or acquisition.”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “limitation on scope reduction in subsection (b)” and inserting “limitation on scope reduction in subsection (b)(1)”.

SEC. 2804. MODIFICATION OF CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) LIMITED PURPOSES FOR WHICH REAL PROPERTY MAY BE CONVEYED.—Section 2869 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “agrees, in exchange for the real property—” and all that follows through “to carry out a military construction project or land acquisition” and inserting “agrees, in exchange for the real property, to carry out a land acquisition”;

(ii) by striking “; or” and inserting a period; and

(iii) by striking subparagraph (B); and

(B) by striking paragraph (3);

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “fair market value of the military construction, military family housing, or military unaccompanied housing” both places it appears and inserting “fair market value of the land”;

(3) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(c) LIMITATION ON USE OF CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY AT INSTALLATIONS CLOSED UNDER BASE CLOSURE LAWS.—The authority under subsection (a)(2)(A) to convey property located on a military installation may only be used to the extent the conveyance is consistent with an approved redevelopment plan for such installation.”; and

(4) in subsection (d)(2)(A), by striking “military construction project, land acquisition, military family housing, or military unaccompanied housing” both places it appears and inserting “land acquisition”.

(b) REQUIREMENT TO DEPOSIT FUNDS IN FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS, CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE ACCOUNT.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended by striking “(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Secretary concerned may deposit funds” and all that follows through “funds deposited under paragraph (2) shall be available” in paragraph (3) and inserting “The Secretary concerned shall deposit funds received under subsection (b) in the appropriation ‘Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense’. The funds deposited shall be available”.

(c) ELIMINATION OF ANNUAL REPORT REQUIREMENT; SUNSET.—Subsection (f) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(f) SUNSET.—The authority to enter into an agreement under this section shall expire on September 30, 2013.”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§2869. Conveyance of property at military installations to limit encroachment”.

(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 169 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“2869. Conveyance of property at military installations to limit encroachment.”.

SEC. 2805. IMPOSITION OF REQUIREMENT THAT ACQUISITION OF RESERVE COMPONENT FACILITIES BE AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Section 18233(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “as he determines

to be necessary" and inserting "as are authorized by law".

SEC. 2806. AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS INSIDE THE UNITED STATES CENTRAL COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.

(a) **ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1723), as most recently amended by section 2806 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 112 Stat. 4724), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "During fiscal year 2004" and all that follows through "obligate" and inserting "The Secretary of Defense may obligate"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) **EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority to obligate funds under this section expires on the later of—

"(1) September 30, 2010; or

"(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011.".

(b) **GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of such section is further amended by striking "and United States Africa Command areas of responsibility" and inserting "area of responsibility".

(c) **ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION ON USE OF AUTHORITY; EXCEPTION.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the first sentence, by inserting "for fiscal year 2010" after "operation and maintenance"; and

(B) in the second sentence, by striking "fiscal year 2009" and inserting "that fiscal year"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense may authorize the obligation under this section of not more than an additional \$10,000,000 of appropriated funds available for operation and maintenance for a fiscal year if the Secretary determines that the additional funds are needed for costs associated with contract closeouts. Funds obligated under this paragraph are not subject to the limitation in the second sentence of paragraph (2)."

(d) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT TO CORRECT REFERENCE TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE.**—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by striking "Subcommittees on Defense and Military Construction" both places it appears and inserting "Subcommittee on Defense and the Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies".

SEC. 2807. EXPANSION OF FIRST SERGEANTS BARRACKS INITIATIVE.

(a) **EXPANSION OF INITIATIVE.**—Not later than September 30, 2011, the Secretary of the Army shall expand the First Sergeants Barracks Initiative (FSBI) to include all Army installations in order to improve the quality of life and living environments for single soldiers.

(b) **PROGRESS REPORTS.**—Not later than February 15, 2010, and February 15, 2011, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the progress made in expanding the First Sergeants Barracks Initiative to all Army installations.

SEC. 2808. REPORTS ON PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVES FOR MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING.

(a) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT.**—Not later than March 31, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) an evaluation of the process by which the Secretary develops, implements, and oversees housing privatization transactions involving military unaccompanied housing;

(2) recommendations regarding additional opportunities for members of the Armed Forces to utilize housing privatization transactions involving military unaccompanied housing;

(3) an evaluation of the impact of a prohibition on civilian occupancy of such housing on the ability to secure private partners for such housing privatization transactions; and

(4) the Secretary's assessment of the feasibility and cost of privatizing military unaccompanied housing for all members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the Secretary of Defense submits the report under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report evaluating such report. The report of the Comptroller General shall include the Comptroller General's assessment of the process used by the Secretary in preparing the report under subsection (a) and the Comptroller General's assessment of the extent to which such report addresses the elements required under subsection (a).

(2) **INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.**—The Comptroller General may conduct such independent research and make such independent findings and recommendations as the Comptroller General determines appropriate for purposes of the report submitted under this subsection.

(c) **HOUSING PRIVATIZATION TRANSACTION DEFINED.**—In this section, the term "housing privatization transaction" means any contract or other transaction for the construction or acquisition of military unaccompanied housing entered into under the authority of subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 2809. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRIBUTIONS TO STATES FOR ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, EXPANSION, REHABILITATION, OR CONVERSION OF RESERVE COMPONENT FACILITIES.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report specifying, for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009, the total amount of contributions by project made by the Secretary to each State under the authority of paragraphs (2) through (6) of section 1823(a) of title 10, United States Code, for reserve component facilities. The amounts contributed under each of such paragraphs for each State shall be specified separately.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, the terms "State" and "facility" have the meanings given those terms in section 18232 of such title.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

SEC. 2821. MODIFICATION OF UTILITY SYSTEMS CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.

(a) **CLARIFICATION OF REQUIRED DETERMINATION THAT CONVEYANCE REDUCE LONG-TERM COSTS.**—Paragraph (2)(A)(ii) of subsection (a) of section 2688 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "system; and" and inserting the following: "system by 10 percent of the long-term cost for provision of those utility services in the agency tender; and".

(b) **LIMITATION ON REPEATED USE OF AUTHORITY FOR SAME UTILITY SYSTEM.**—Such subsection is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3)(A) If, as a result of the economic analysis required by paragraph (2)(A), the Secretary concerned determines that a utility system, or part of a utility system, is not eligible for conveyance under this subsection, the Secretary concerned may not further reconsider the utility system, or part of a utility system, for conversion to contractor operation under section 2461 of this title for a period of five years beginning on the date of the determination.

"(B) If the results of a public-private competition for conversion of a utility system, or part of a utility system, to operation by a contractor fa-

vors continued operation by civilian employees of the Department of Defense, the Secretary concerned may not reconsider the utility system, or part of a utility system, for conversion under section 2461 of this title or for conveyance under this subsection for a period of five years beginning on the date of the completion of the public-private competition."

SEC. 2822. REPORT ON GLOBAL DEFENSE POSTURE REALIGNMENT AND INTER-AGENCY REVIEW.

(a) **ANNUAL REVIEW OF OVERSEAS BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIONS AND BASING MASTER PLANS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 159 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2687 the following new section:

"§2687a. Overseas base closures and realignments and basing master plans

"(a) **ANNUAL STATUS REPORT.**—At the same time that the budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31 for a fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on—

"(1) the status of overseas base closure and realignment actions undertaken as part of a global defense posture realignment strategy; and

"(2) the status of development and execution of comprehensive master plans for overseas military main operating bases, forward operating sites, and cooperative security locations.

"(b) **REPORT ELEMENTS.**—A report under subsection (a) shall address the following:

"(1) How the master plans described in subsection (a)(2) would support the security commitments undertaken by the United States pursuant to any international security treaty, including, the North Atlantic Treaty, The Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security between the United States and Japan, and the Security Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the United States of America.

"(2) The impact of such plans on the current security environments in the combatant commands, including United States participation in theater security cooperation activities and bilateral partnership, exchanges, and training exercises.

"(3) Any comments of the Secretary of Defense resulting from an interagency review of these plans that includes the Department of State and other Federal departments and agencies that the Secretary of Defense considers necessary for national security."

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2687 the following new item:

"2687a. Overseas base closures and realignments and basing master plans."

(b) **INTERAGENCY OVERSEAS BASING REPORT IN RESPONSE TO QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.**—Section 118 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subsection (h), as added by section 1002, the following new subsection:

"(i) **INTERAGENCY OVERSEAS BASING REPORT.**—(1) Not later than 90 days after submitting a report on a quadrennial defense review under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing how the results of the assessment conducted as part of such review will impact—

"(A) the status of overseas base closure and realignment actions undertaken as part of a global defense posture realignment strategy; and

"(B) the status of development and execution of comprehensive master plans for overseas military main operating bases, forward operating sites, and cooperative security locations of the global defense posture of the United States.

"(2) A report under paragraph (1) shall include any recommendations for additional closures or realignments of military installations

outside of the United States and any comments resulting from an interagency review of these plans that includes the Department of State and other relevant Federal departments and agencies.”.

SEC. 2823. PROPERTY AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OF THE ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.

(a) **ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY.**—Subsection (e)(2) of section 1511 of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 (24 U.S.C. 411) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “If the purchase price to acquire fee title to real property for inclusion in the Retirement Home is more than \$750,000, the Secretary may acquire the real property only if the acquisition is specifically authorized by law.”.

(b) **DISPOSAL OF EXCESS PROPERTY AND LEASE OF NON-EXCESS PROPERTY.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (e), by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(3) If the Secretary of Defense determines that any property of the Retirement Home is excess to the needs of the Retirement Home, the Secretary shall dispose of the property in accordance with subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code (40 U.S.C. 541 et seq.). The proceeds from the disposal of property under this paragraph shall be deposited in the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) **AUTHORITY TO LEASE NON-EXCESS PROPERTY.**—(1) Whenever the Chief Operating Officer of the Armed Forces Retirement Home considers it advantageous to the Retirement Home, the Secretary of Defense (acting on behalf of the Chief Operating Officer) may lease to such lessee and upon such terms as the Secretary considers will promote the purpose and financial stability of the Retirement Home or be in the public interest, real or personal property that is—

“(A) under the control of the Retirement Home; and

“(B) not excess property (as defined by section 102 of title 40, United States Code) subject to disposal under subsection (e)(3).

“(2) A lease under this subsection—

“(A) may not be for more than five years, unless the Chief Operating Officer determines that a lease for a longer period will promote the purpose and financial stability of the Retirement Home or be in the public interest;

“(B) may give the lessee the first right to buy the property if the lease is revoked to allow the United States to sell the property under any other provision of law;

“(C) shall permit the Chief Operating Officer to revoke the lease at any time, unless the Chief Operating Officer determines that the omission of such a provision will promote the purpose and financial stability of the Retirement Home or be in the public interest;

“(D) shall provide for the payment (in cash or in kind) by the lessee of consideration in an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the lease interest, as determined by the Chief Operating Officer; and

“(E) may provide, notwithstanding section 1302 of title 40, United States Code, or any other provision of law, for the alteration, repair, or improvement, by the lessee, of the property leased as the payment of part or all of the consideration for the lease.

“(3) In addition to any in-kind consideration accepted under subparagraph (D) or (E) of paragraph (2), in-kind consideration accepted with respect to a lease under this subsection may include the following:

“(A) Maintenance, protection, alteration, repair, improvement, or restoration (including environmental restoration) of property or facilities of the Retirement Home.

“(B) Construction of new facilities for the Retirement Home.

“(C) Provision of facilities for use by the Retirement Home.

“(D) Facilities operation support for the Retirement Home.

“(E) Provision of such other services relating to activities that will occur on the leased property as the Chief Operating Officer considers appropriate.

“(4) In-kind consideration under paragraph (3) may be accepted at any property or facilities of the Retirement Home that are selected for that purpose by the Chief Operating Officer.

“(5) In the case of a lease for which all or part of the consideration proposed to be accepted under this subsection is in-kind consideration with a value in excess of \$500,000, the Secretary of Defense may not enter into the lease on behalf of the Chief Operating Officer until at least 30 days after the date on which a report on the facts of the lease is submitted to Congress. This paragraph does not apply to a lease covered by paragraph (6).

“(6)(A) If a proposed lease under this subsection involves only personal property, the lease term exceeds one year, or the fair market value of the lease interest exceeds \$100,000, as determined by the Chief Operating Officer, the Secretary of Defense shall use competitive procedures to select the lessee unless the Chief Operating Officer determines that—

“(i) a public interest will be served as a result of the lease; and

“(ii) the use of competitive procedures for the selection of certain lessees is unobtainable or not compatible with the public benefit served under clause (i).

“(B) Not later than 45 days before entering into a lease described in subparagraph (A), the Chief Operating Officer shall submit to Congress written notice describing the terms of the proposed lease and—

“(i) the competitive procedures used to select the lessee; or

“(ii) in the case of a lease involving the public benefit exception authorized by subparagraph (A)(ii), a description of the public benefit to be served by the lease.

“(7) The proceeds from the lease of property under this subsection shall be deposited in the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund.

“(8) The interest of a lessee of property leased under this subsection may be taxed by State or local governments. A lease under this subsection shall provide that, if and to the extent that the leased property is later made taxable by State or local governments under an Act of Congress, the lease shall be renegotiated.”.

SEC. 2824. ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO SUPPORT CLEANUP EFFORTS AT FORMER ALMADEN AIR FORCE STATION, CALIFORNIA.

(a) **ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS; PURPOSE.**—The Secretary of the Army may accept contributions from other Federal entities, the State of California, and other entities, both public and private, for the purposes of helping to cover the costs of—

(1) demolition of property at former Almaden Air Force Station, California; and

(2) environmental remediation and restoration.

(b) **AVAILABILITY.**—Amounts received as contributions under subsection (a) may be merged with other amounts available to the Secretary to carry out the purposes described in such subsection and shall be available without further appropriations and until expended.

SEC. 2825. SELECTION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS TO SERVE AS LOCATIONS OF BRIGADE COMBAT TEAMS.

In selecting the military installations at which brigade combat teams will be stationed, the Secretary of the Army shall take into consideration the availability and proximity of training spaces for the units and the capacity of the installations to support the units.

SEC. 2826. REPORT ON FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO SUPPORT COMMUNITIES ADVERSELY IMPACTED BY EXPANSION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following information:

(1) A description of the current authorities under which the Secretary may assist a community that is adversely impacted by the expansion of a military installation (in this section referred to as “impacted community”).

(2) A description of the current authorities under which heads of other Federal agencies may assist an impacted community.

(3) A review of additional authorities that the Secretary requires to assist impacted communities, including an assessment on the following:

(A) Methods to obtain educational opportunities for members of the Armed Forces and their dependents in impacted communities.

(B) Opportunities to use payments in lieu of taxes under chapter 69 of title 31, United States Code, to offset impacts on impacted communities.

(C) In remote locations where the Armed Forces does not have a presence and significant military expansion has been proposed, the ability to augment local medical capacities and public utilities to support expansion requirements.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Guam Realignment

SEC. 2831. ROLE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE IN MANAGEMENT AND COORDINATION OF DEFENSE ACTIVITIES RELATING TO GUAM REALIGNMENT.

(a) **DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—Section 132 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) Until September 30, 2015, the Deputy Secretary of Defense shall lead the Guam Executive Council and shall be the Department of Defense’s principal representative for coordinating the interagency efforts in matters relating to Guam, including the following executive orders:

“(1) Executive Order No. 13299 of May 12, 2003 (68 Fed. Reg. 25477; 48 U.S.C. note prec. 1451; relating to the Interagency Group on Insular Affairs).

“(2) Executive Order No. 12788 of January 15, 1992, as amended (57 Fed. Reg. 2213; relating to the Defense Economic Adjustment Program).”.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report including the charter that establishes the Guam Executive Council.

SEC. 2832. CLARIFICATIONS REGARDING USE OF SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES TO ASSIST WITH GUAM REALIGNMENT.

(a) **SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “special purpose entity” means any private person, corporation, firm, partnership, company, State or local government, or authority or instrumentality of a State or local government that the Secretary of Defense determines is capable of producing military family housing or providing utilities to support the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam.

(b) **REPORT ON INTENDED USE SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the intended use of special purpose entities to provide military family housing or utilities to support the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam.

(2) **NOTICE AND WAIT.**—The Secretary of Defense may not authorize the use of special use entities as described in paragraph (1) until the

end of the 30-day period (15-day period if the report is submitted electronically) beginning on the date on which the report required by such paragraph is submitted.

(c) **APPLICABILITY OF UNIFIED FACILITIES CRITERIA.**—

(1) **APPLICABILITY TO SECTION 2350K CONTRIBUTIONS.**—Section 2824(c)(4) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) **APPLICABILITY OF UNIFIED FACILITIES CRITERIA.**—The unified facilities criteria promulgated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and dated May 29, 2002, and any successor to such criteria shall be the minimum standard applicable to projects funded using contributions referred to in subsection (b)(1) for a transaction authorized by paragraph (1).”.

(2) **APPLICABILITY TO SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITY CONTRIBUTIONS.**—The unified facilities criteria promulgated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and dated May 29, 2002, and any successor to such criteria shall be the minimum standard applicable to projects funded using contributions provided by a special purpose entity.

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an evaluation of various options, including a preferred option, that the Secretary could utilize to comply with the unified facilities criteria referred to in paragraph (2) in the acquisition of military housing on Guam in connection with the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam. In preparing the report, the Secretary shall consider the impact of—

(A) increasing the overseas housing allowance for members of the Armed Forces serving on Guam; and

(B) providing a direct Federal subsidy to public-private ventures.

(d) **SENSE OF CONGRESS ON SCOPE OF UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS.**—Section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4729) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b); and

(2) in such subsection, by striking “should incorporate the civilian and military infrastructure into a single grid to realize and maximize the effectiveness of the overall utility system” and inserting “should support proposed utility infrastructure improvements on Guam that incorporate the civilian and military infrastructure into a single grid to realize and maximize the effectiveness of the overall utility system, rather than simply supporting one or more military installations”.

SEC. 2833. WORKFORCE ISSUES RELATED TO MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND CERTAIN OTHER TRANSACTIONS ON GUAM.

(a) **PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS.**—Subsection (c) of section 2824 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) **APPLICATION OF PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The requirements of subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code, shall apply to any military construction project or other transaction authorized by paragraph (1) that is carried out on Guam using contributions referred to in subsection (b)(1) or appropriated funds.

“(B) **SECRETARY OF LABOR AUTHORITIES.**—In order to carry out the requirements of subparagraph (A) and paragraph (6) (relating to composition of workforce for construction projects), the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority

and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Number 14 of 1950 and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code.

“(C) **WAGE RATE DETERMINATION.**—In making wage rate determinations pursuant to subparagraph (A), the Secretary of Labor shall not include in the wage survey any persons who hold a visa described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)).

“(D) **ADDITION TO WEEKLY STATEMENT ON THE WAGES PAID.**—In the case of projects and other transactions covered by subparagraph (A), the weekly statement required by section 3145 of title 40, United States Code, shall also identify each employee working on the project or transaction who holds a visa described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)).

“(E) **DURATION OF REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary of Labor shall make and issue a wage rate determination for Guam annually until 90 percent of the funds in the Account and other funds made available for the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam have been expended.”.

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS REGARDING SUPPORT OF CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE.**—Subsection (e) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “Not later than” and inserting the following:

“(1) **MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION.**—Not later than”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) **CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE INFORMATION.**—The annual report shall also include an assessment of the living standards of the construction workforce employed to carry out military construction projects covered by the report, including, at a minimum, the adequacy of contract standards and infrastructure that support temporary housing the construction workforce and their medical needs.”.

SEC. 2834. COMPOSITION OF WORKFORCE FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FUNDED THROUGH THE SUPPORT FOR UNITED STATES RELOCATION TO GUAM ACCOUNT.

(a) **COMPOSITION OF WORKFORCE.**—Section 2824(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110-417; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by inserting after paragraph (5), as added by section 2833, the following new paragraph:

“(6) **COMPOSITION OF WORKFORCE FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—

“(A) **LIMITATION.**—With respect to each construction project that is carried out using amounts described in subparagraph (B), no work may be performed by a person holding a visa described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)) unless—

“(i) the application for that visa has been approved pursuant to the issuance of a temporary labor certification by the Governor of Guam as provided under section 214.2 of title 8, Code of Federal Regulations; and

“(ii) the Governor of Guam, in consultation with the Secretary of Labor, makes the certification described in subparagraph (C) to the Secretary of Defense.

“(B) **SOURCE OF FUNDS.**—Subparagraph (A) applies to—

“(i) amounts in the Account used for projects associated with the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam;

“(ii) funds associated with activities under section 2821 of this Act; and

“(iii) funds for authorized military construction projects.

“(C) **CERTIFICATION.**—The certification referred to in subparagraph (A) is a certification, in addition to the certifications required by section 214.2 of title 8, Code of Federal Regulations, that—

“(i) there are not sufficient United States workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place where the persons holding visas described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)) are to perform such skilled or unskilled labor; and

“(ii) the employment of such persons holding visas described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)) will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in Guam similarly employed.

“(D) **SOLICITATION OF WORKERS.**—In order to ensure compliance with subparagraph (A), as a condition of a contract covered by such subparagraph, the contractor shall be required to advertise and solicit for construction workers in the United States, including Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, in accordance with a recruitment plan approved by the Secretary of Labor. The contractor shall submit a copy of the employment offer, including a description of wages and other terms and conditions of employment, to the Secretary of Labor at least 60 days before the start date of the workers under a contract. The contractor shall authorize the Secretary of Labor to post a notice of the employment offer on a website, with State, territorial, and local job banks, with State and territorial workforce agencies, and with any other referral and recruitment sources the Secretary of Labor determines may be pertinent to the employment opportunity.

“(E) **RECRUITMENT PERIOD.**—The Secretary of Labor shall ensure that a contractor's recruitment of construction workers complies with the recruitment plan required by subparagraph (D) for a period beginning 60 days before the start date of workers under a contract and continuing for the next 28 days. During the recruitment period, the contractor shall interview all qualified and available United States construction workers who have applied for the employment opportunity, and, at the close of the recruitment period, the contractor shall provide the Secretary of Labor with a recruitment report providing any reasons for which the contractor did not hire an applicant who is a qualified United States construction worker. Not later than 21 days before the start date of the workers under a contract, the Secretary of Labor shall certify to the Governor of Guam whether the contractor has satisfied the recruitment plan created under subparagraph (D).

“(F) **LIMITATION.**—An employer, its attorney or agent, the Secretary of Labor, the Governor of Guam, and any designee thereof, may not seek or receive payment of any kind from any worker for any activity related to obtaining an H-2B labor certification with respect to any construction project that is carried out using amounts described in subparagraph (B).”.

(b) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—Not later than June 30, 2010, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (3) a report containing an assessment of efforts to establish a Project Labor Agreement for construction projects associated with the Guam realignment as encouraged by Executive Order 13502, entitled “Use of Project Labor Agreements for Federal Construction Projects” (74 Fed. Reg. 6985), as a means of complying with the requirements of paragraph (6) of section 2824(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, as added by subsection (a).

(2) **SECRETARY OF LABOR.**—Not later than June 30, 2010, the Secretary of Labor shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (3) a report containing an assessment of—

(A) the opportunities to expand the recruitment of construction workers in the United

States, including Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, to support the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam, consistent with the requirements of paragraph (6) of section 2824(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, as added by subsection (a);

(B) the ability of labor markets to support the Guam realignment;

(C) the sufficiency of efforts to recruit United States construction workers; and

(D) The costs to the United States for recruitment plans required by such paragraph (6) and a proposed method to cover such costs.

(3) COVERED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The reports required by this subsection shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate.

SEC. 2835. INTERAGENCY COORDINATION GROUP OF INSPECTORS GENERAL FOR GUAM REALIGNMENT.

(a) INTERAGENCY COORDINATION GROUP.—There is hereby established the Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment (in this section referred to as the “Interagency Coordination Group”)—

(1) to provide for the objective conduct and supervision of audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam in connection with the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam; and

(2) to provide for coordination of, and recommendations on, policies designed—

(A) to promote economic efficiency and effectiveness in the administration of the programs and operations described in paragraph (1); and

(B) to prevent and detect waste, fraud, and abuse in such programs and operations.

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—

(1) CHAIRPERSON.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall serve as chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group.

(2) ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Additional members of the Interagency Coordination Group shall include the Inspector General of the Department of Interior and the Inspector General of such other Federal agencies as the chairperson considers appropriate to carry out the duties of the Interagency Coordination Group.

(c) DUTIES.—

(1) OVERSIGHT OF GUAM CONSTRUCTION.—It shall be the duty of the Interagency Coordination Group to conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits and investigations of the treatment, handling, and expenditure of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam and of the programs, operations, and contracts carried out utilizing such funds, including—

(A) the oversight and accounting of the obligation and expenditure of such funds;

(B) the monitoring and review of construction activities funded by such funds;

(C) the monitoring and review of contracts funded by such funds;

(D) the monitoring and review of the transfer of such funds and associated information between and among departments, agencies, and entities of the United States and private and nongovernmental entities;

(E) the maintenance of records on the use of such funds to facilitate future audits and investigations of the use of such fund; and

(F) the monitoring and review of the implementation of the Defense Posture Review Initiative relating to the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam.

(2) OTHER DUTIES RELATED TO OVERSIGHT.—The Interagency Coordination Group shall es-

tablish, maintain, and oversee such systems, procedures, and controls as the Interagency Coordination Group considers appropriate to discharge the duties under paragraph (1).

(3) OVERSIGHT PLAN.—The chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group shall prepare an annual oversight plan detailing planned audits and reviews related to the Guam realignment.

(d) ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

(1) PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.—Upon request of the Interagency Coordination Group for information or assistance from any department, agency, or other entity of the Federal Government, the head of such entity shall, insofar as is practicable and not in contravention of any existing law, furnish such information or assistance to the Interagency Coordination Group.

(2) REPORTING OF REFUSED ASSISTANCE.—Whenever information or assistance requested by the Interagency Coordination Group is, in the judgment of the chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group, unreasonably refused or not provided, the chairperson shall report the circumstances to the Secretary of Defense and to the congressional defense committees without delay.

(e) REPORTS.—

(1) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Not later than February 1 of each year, the chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of the Interior a report summarizing, for the preceding calendar year, the activities of the Interagency Coordination Group during such year and the activities under programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam. Each report shall include, for the year covered by the report, a detailed statement of all obligations, expenditures, and revenues associated with such construction, including the following:

(A) Obligations and expenditures of appropriated funds.

(B) A project-by-project and program-by-program accounting of the costs incurred to date for military construction in connection with the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam, together with the estimate of the Department of Defense and the Department of the Interior, as applicable, of the costs to complete each project and each program.

(C) Revenues attributable to or consisting of funds contributed by the Government of Japan in connection with the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam and any obligations or expenditures of such revenues.

(D) Operating expenses of agencies or entities receiving amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam.

(E) In the case of any contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism described in paragraph (2)—

(i) the amount of the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism;

(ii) a brief discussion of the scope of the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism;

(iii) a discussion of how the department or agency of the United States Government involved in the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism identified, and solicited offers from, potential individuals or entities to perform the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism, together with a list of the potential individuals or entities that were issued solicitations for the offers; and

(iv) the justification and approval documents on which was based the determination to use procedures other than procedures that provide for full and open competition.

(2) COVERED CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AGREEMENTS, AND FUNDING MECHANISMS.—A contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism

described in this paragraph is any major contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism that—

(A) is entered into by any department or agency of the United States Government with any public or private sector entity; and

(B) involves the use of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam.

(3) FORM.—Each report required under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if the Interagency Coordination Group considers it necessary.

(4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to authorize the public disclosure of information that is—

(A) specifically prohibited from disclosure by any other provision of law;

(B) specifically required by Executive order to be protected from disclosure in the interest of national defense or national security or in the conduct of foreign affairs; or

(C) a part of an ongoing criminal investigation.

(5) SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS.—Not later than 30 days after receipt of a report under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the Interior may submit to the congressional defense committees any comments on the matters covered by the report as the Secretary concerned considers appropriate. Any comments on the matters covered by the report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if the Secretary concerned considers it necessary.

(f) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY; WAIVER.—

(1) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Interagency Coordination Group shall publish on a publicly-available Internet website each report prepared under subsection (e). Any comments on the report submitted under paragraph (5) of such subsection shall also be published on such website.

(2) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The President may waive the requirement under paragraph (1) with respect to availability to the public of any element in a report under subsection (e), or any comment with respect to a report, if the President determines that the waiver is justified for national security reasons.

(3) NOTICE OF WAIVER.—The President shall publish a notice of each waiver made under this subsection in the Federal Register no later than the date on which a report required under subsection (e), or any comment under paragraph (5) of such subsection, is submitted to the congressional defense committees. The report and comments shall specify whether waivers under this subsection were made and with respect to which elements in the report or which comments, as appropriate.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AMOUNTS APPROPRIATED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE.—The term “amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam” includes amounts derived from the Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account.

(2) GUAM.—The term “Guam” includes any island in the Northern Mariana Islands.

(h) TERMINATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Interagency Coordination Group shall terminate upon the expenditure of 90 percent of all funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Guam realignment.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Before the termination of the Interagency Coordination Group pursuant to paragraph (1), the chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group shall prepare and submit to the congressional defense committees a final report containing—

(A) notice that the termination condition in paragraph (1) has occurred; and

(B) a final forensic audit on programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction on Guam.

SEC. 2836. COMPLIANCE WITH NAVAL AVIATION SAFETY REQUIREMENTS AS CONDITION ON ACCEPTANCE OF REPLACEMENT FACILITY FOR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, FUTENMA, OKINAWA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may not accept, or authorize any other official of the Department of Defense to accept, a replacement facility in Okinawa for air operations conducted at Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, Okinawa until the Secretary reports to the congressional defense committees that the replacement facility and its planned operating procedures are consistent with naval aviation safety requirements.

(b) EXERCISE OF WAIVER AUTHORITIES.—

(1) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as preventing the Secretary from exercising existing waiver authorities provided the Secretary first determines the waiver is necessary in the interest of national defense.

(2) REQUIRED REPORTING OF EFFORTS.—The report specified under subsection (a) shall clearly identify efforts made to mitigate deviations from criteria in the planning and construction of the replacement facility described in such subsection.

SEC. 2837. REPORT AND SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MARINE CORPS REQUIREMENTS IN ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the training and readiness requirements necessary for Marine Forces Pacific, the field command of the Marine Corps within the United States Pacific Command.

(b) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—The report required under subsection (a) shall contain each of the following:

(1) A description of the units of the Marine Corps expected to be assigned or realigned on a permanent or temporary basis to Marine Forces Pacific, including the type of unit, the organizational element, the current location of the unit, and proposed location for the unit.

(2) A description of the training requirements necessary to sustain the current and planned realignment of forces specified in paragraph (1).

(3) A description of the strategic- and tactical-lift requirements associated with the training, operational readiness, and movement of Marine Forces Pacific, including programming information regarding the intent of the Department of Defense to eliminate deficiencies in the strategic-lift capabilities.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that an evaluation of training and readiness requirements for Marine Forces Pacific—

(1) should be conducted and completed as soon as possible;

(2) should include an analysis that, at a minimum, reviews the capabilities required to support the training, operational readiness, and movement of Marine Air-Ground Task Force; and

(3) should not impact the implementation of the recently signed international agreement entitled “Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Japan concerning the Implementation of the Relocation of the III Marine Expeditionary Force Personnel and their Dependents from Okinawa to Guam”.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

SEC. 2841. ADOPTION OF UNIFIED ENERGY MONITORING AND UTILITY CONTROL SYSTEM SPECIFICATION FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING ACTIVITIES.

(a) ADOPTION REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter III of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2866 the following new section:

“§2867. Energy monitoring and utility control system specification for military construction and military family housing activities

“(a) ADOPTION OF DEPARTMENT-WIDE, OPEN PROTOCOL, ENERGY MONITORING AND UTILITY CONTROL SYSTEM SPECIFICATION.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall adopt an open protocol energy monitoring and utility control system specification for use throughout the Department of Defense in connection with a military construction project, military family housing activity, or other activity under this chapter for the purpose of monitoring and controlling, with respect to the project or activity, the items specified in paragraph (2) with the goal of establishing installation-wide energy monitoring and utility control systems.

“(2) The energy monitoring and utility control system specification required by paragraph (1) shall cover the following:

“(A) Utilities and energy usage, including electricity, gas, steam, and water usage.

“(B) Indoor environments, including temperature and humidity levels.

“(C) Heating, ventilation, and cooling components.

“(D) Central plant equipment.

“(E) Renewable energy generation systems.

“(F) Lighting systems.

“(G) Power distribution networks.

“(b) EXCLUSION.—(1) The energy monitoring and utility control system specification required by subsection (a) is not required to apply to projects carried out under the authority provided in subchapter IV of chapter 169 of this title.

“(2) The Secretary concerned may waive the application of the energy monitoring and utility control system specification required by subsection (a) with respect to a specific military construction project, military family housing activity, or other activity under this chapter if the Secretary determines that the application of the specification to the project or activity is not life cycle cost-effective. The Secretary concerned shall notify the congressional defense committees of any waiver granted under this paragraph.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter III is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2866 the following new item:

“2867. Energy monitoring and utility control system specification for military construction and military family housing activities.”.

(3) DEADLINE FOR ADOPTION.—The Secretary of Defense shall adopt the open protocol energy monitoring and utility control system specification required by section 2867 of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the following items:

(1) A contract specification that will implement the open protocol energy monitoring and utility control system specification required by section 2867 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) A description of the method to ensure compliance of the Department of Defense information assurance certification and accreditation process.

(3) A plan and expected timetable for integration of the standard with the energy monitoring and utility control systems.

(4) A list of the justifications and authorizations provided by the Department, pursuant to Federal Acquisition Regulation Chapter 6.3, relating to Other Than Full and Open Competition, for energy monitoring and utility control systems during fiscal year 2009.

SEC. 2842. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE GOAL REGARDING USE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCES TO MEET FACILITY ENERGY NEEDS.

(a) FACILITY BASIS OF GOAL.—Subsection (e) of section 2911 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(2) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated)—

(A) by striking “electric energy” and inserting “facility energy”;

(B) by striking “and in its activities”;

(C) by striking “(as defined in section 203(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15852(b)))”;

(3) in subparagraph (B) (as so redesignated), by striking “electric energy” and inserting “facility energy”.

(b) DEFINITION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE.—Such subsection is further amended—

(1) by striking “It shall be” and inserting “(1) It shall be”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) In this subsection, the term ‘renewable energy source’ means energy generated from renewable sources, including the following:

“(A) Solar.

“(B) Wind.

“(C) Biomass.

“(D) Landfill gas.

“(E) Ocean, including tidal, wave, current, and thermal.

“(F) Geothermal, including electricity and heat pumps.

“(G) Municipal solid waste.

“(H) New hydroelectric generation capacity achieved from increased efficiency or additions of new capacity at an existing hydroelectric project. For purposes of this subparagraph, hydroelectric generation capacity is ‘new’ if it was placed in service on or after January 1, 1999.

“(I) Thermal energy generated by any of the preceding sources.”.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The heading of such subsection is amended by striking “ELECTRICITY NEEDS” and inserting “FACILITY ENERGY NEEDS”.

SEC. 2843. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAMS FOR MANAGEMENT OF ENERGY DEMAND OR REDUCTION OF ENERGY USAGE DURING PEAK PERIODS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2919. Department of Defense participation in programs for management of energy demand or reduction of energy usage during peak periods

“(a) PARTICIPATION IN DEMAND RESPONSE OR LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS.—The Secretary of Defense, the Secretaries of the military departments, the heads of the Defense Agencies, and the heads of other instrumentalities of the Department of Defense are authorized to participate in demand response programs for the management of energy demand or the reduction of energy usage during peak periods conducted by any of the following parties:

“(1) An electric utility.

“(2) An independent system operator.

“(3) A State agency.

“(4) A third party entity (such as a demand response aggregator or curtailment service provider) implementing demand response programs on behalf of an electric utility, independent system operator, or State agency.

(b) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL INCENTIVES.—Financial incentives received from an entity specified in subsection (a) shall be—

“(1) received as a cost reduction in the utility bill for a facility; or

“(2) deposited into the fund established under subsection (c) for use, to the extent provided for in an appropriations Act, by the military department, Defense Agency, or instrumentality

receiving such financial incentive for energy management initiatives.

“(c) **ENERGY SAVINGS FINANCIAL INCENTIVES FUND.**—There is established in the Treasury a fund to be known as the ‘Energy Savings Financial Incentives Fund’. The Fund shall consist of any amount deposited in the Fund pursuant to subsection (b)(2) and amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Fund by law.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2919. Department of Defense participation in programs for management of energy demand or reduction of energy usage during peak periods.”.

SEC. 2844. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE USE OF ELECTRIC AND HYBRID MOTOR VEHICLES.

(a) **PREFERENCE.**—Subchapter II of chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2922g. Preference for motor vehicles using electric or hybrid propulsion systems

“(a) **PREFERENCE.**—In leasing or procuring motor vehicles for use by a military department or Defense Agency, the Secretary of the military department or the head of the Defense Agency shall provide a preference for the lease or procurement of motor vehicles using electric or hybrid propulsion systems, including plug-in hybrid systems, if the electric or hybrid vehicles—

“(1) will meet the requirements or needs of the Department of Defense; and

“(2) are commercially available at a cost, including operating cost, reasonably comparable to motor vehicles containing only an internal combustion or heat engine using combustible fuel.

“(b) **EXCEPTION.**—Subsection (a) does not apply with respect to tactical vehicles designed for use in combat.

“(c) **RELATION TO OTHER VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES THAT REDUCE CONSUMPTION OF FOSSIL FUELS.**—The preference required by subsection (a) does not preclude the Secretary of Defense from authorizing the Secretary of a military department or head of a Defense Agency to provide a preference for another vehicle technology that reduces the consumption of fossil fuels if the Secretary of Defense determines that the technology is consistent with the energy performance goals and plan of the Department required by section 2911 of this title.

“(d) **HYBRID DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘hybrid’, with respect to a motor vehicle, means a motor vehicle that draws propulsion energy from onboard sources of stored energy that are both—

“(1) an internal combustion or heat engine using combustible fuel; and

“(2) a rechargeable energy storage system.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2922g. Preference for motor vehicles using electric or hybrid propulsion systems.”.

(c) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to implement section 2922g of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), within one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 2845. STUDY ON DEVELOPMENT OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study to assess the feasibility of developing nuclear power plants on military installations.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—As part of the study required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) summarize options available to the Department to enter into public-private partnerships or

other transactions for the construction and operation of the nuclear power plants;

(2) estimate the potential cost per kilowatt-hour and life-cycle cost savings to the Department;

(3) consider the potential energy security advantages of generating electricity on military installations through the use of nuclear power plants;

(4) assess the additional infrastructure that would be needed to enable the power plants to provide power through the general electricity grid and to military installations in the event of a commercial grid failure;

(5) consider the potential impact on the quality of life of personnel stationed at military installations at which a nuclear power plant is installed and ways to mitigate those impacts;

(6) review the range of Federal, State, and local regulatory processes governing the establishment of nuclear power plants on military installations;

(7) assess the degree to which nuclear power plants might adversely affect operations on military installations, including consideration of training and readiness requirements;

(8) assess potential environmental liabilities for the Department;

(9) consider factors impacting safe co-location and operation of nuclear power plants on military installations; and

(10) consider other factors that affect the development of nuclear power plants on military installations.

(c) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS OF STUDY.**—Not later than June 1, 2010, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing the results of the study required by subsection (a).

SEC. 2846. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RENEWABLE ENERGY INITIATIVES, INCLUDING SOLAR INITIATIVES, ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report describing all renewable energy initiatives, including projects involving the installation of solar panels, that are currently producing energy or are under development on military installations.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall—

(1) specify the costs associated with each renewable energy initiative;

(2) address whether the renewable energy initiative has a clearly delineated set of goals or targets and whether the goals or targets are being met or are likely to be met by the completion of the renewable energy initiative; and

(3) contain recommendations for legislative or administrative actions that will assist—

(A) renewable energy initiatives in meeting the goals or targets; and

(B) the Department of Defense in achieving its renewable energy goal by 2025, as specified in section 2911(e) of title 10, United States Code.

Subtitle E—Land Conveyances

SEC. 2851. LAND CONVEYANCE, HAINES TANK FARM, HAINES, ALASKA.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the Chilkoot Indian Association (in this section referred to as the “Association”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 201 acres located at the former Haines Fuel Terminal (also known as the Haines Tank Farm) in Haines, Alaska, for the purpose of permitting the Association to develop a Deep Sea Port and for other industrial and commercial development purposes. To the extent practicable, the Secretary is encouraged to complete the conveyance by September 30, 2013, but not prior to the date of completion of all obligations referenced in subsection (e).

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the Association shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the fair market value of the property, as determined by the Secretary. The determination of the Secretary shall be final.

(c) **REVERSIONARY INTEREST.**—If the Secretary determines at any time that the real property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance, all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements and appurtenant easements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(d) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall require the Association to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the Association in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Association.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursements under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(f) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(g) **ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2852. RELEASE OF REVERSIONARY INTEREST, CAMP JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, ARKANSAS.

The United States releases to the State of Arkansas the reversionary interest described in sections 2 and 3 of the Act entitled “An Act authorizing the transfer of part of Camp Joseph T. Robinson to the State of Arkansas”, approved June 30, 1950 (64 Stat. 311, chapter 429), in and to the surface estate of the land constituting Camp Joseph T. Robinson, Arkansas, which is comprised of 40.515 acres of land to be acquired by the United States of America and 40.513 acres to be acquired by the City of North Little Rock, Arkansas, and lies in sections 6, 8, and 9 of township 2 North, Range 12 West, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

SEC. 2853. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, PORT CHICAGO NAVAL MAGAZINE, CALIFORNIA.

(a) **TRANSFER REQUIRED; ADMINISTRATION.**—Section 203 of the Port Chicago National Memorial Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-562; 16 U.S.C.

431 note; 106 Stat. 4235) is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(c) **ADMINISTRATION.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall administer the Port Chicago Naval Magazine National Memorial as a unit of the National Park System in accordance with this Act and laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including the National Park Service Organic Act (39 Stat. 535; 16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.) and the Act of August 21, 1935 (49 Stat. 666; 16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.). Land transferred to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior under subsection (d) shall be administered in accordance with this subsection.

“(d) **TRANSFER OF LAND.**—The Secretary of the Army shall transfer to the Secretary of the Interior administrative jurisdiction over of a parcel of land consisting of approximately five acres, depicted within the proposed boundary on the map entitled ‘Port Chicago Naval Magazine National Memorial, Proposed Boundary’, numbered 018/80,001, and dated August 2005, if the Secretary of the Army determines that the land is in excess to military needs. At the time of the transfer of administrative jurisdiction, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior shall enter into an agreement to determine the responsibilities of the respective agencies in the application of, or obligation to comply with, any applicable environmental law affecting the transferred land, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).

“(e) **PUBLIC ACCESS.**—The Secretary of the Army shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary of the Interior to provide as much public access as possible to the Port Chicago Naval Magazine National Memorial without interfering with military needs. This subsection shall no longer apply if, at some point in the future, the National Memorial ceases to be an enclave within the Military Ocean Terminal—Concord.

“(f) **AGREEMENT WITH CITY OF CONCORD AND EAST BAY REGIONAL PARK DISTRICT.**—The Secretary of the Interior is authorized to enter into an agreement with the City of Concord, California, and the East Bay Regional Park District, to establish and operate a facility for visitor orientation and parking, administrative offices, and curatorial storage for the National Memorial.

“(g) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).”

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS ON REPAIR AND MODIFICATION OF NATIONAL MEMORIAL.**—In accordance with public access provided by section 203(e) of the Port Chicago National Memorial Act of 1992, as amended by subsection (a), it is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior should work together to develop a process by which future repairs and modifications to mutually used infrastructure at the Port Chicago Naval Magazine National Memorial can be carried out in as timely and cost-effective a manner as possible.

SEC. 2854. LAND CONVEYANCE, FERNDAL HOUSING AT CENTERVILLE BEACH NAVAL FACILITY TO CITY OF FERNDAL, CALIFORNIA.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—At such time as the Navy vacates the Ferndale Housing, which previously supported the now closed Centerville Beach Naval Facility in the City of Ferndale, California, the Secretary of the Navy may convey, at fair market value, to the City of Ferndale (in this section referred to as the “City”), all right, title, and interest of the

United States in and to the parcels of real property, including improvements thereon, for the purpose of permitting the City to utilize the property for low- and moderate-income housing for seniors, families, or both.

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.

(c) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the City to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the city in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the City.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursements under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary of the Navy in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(d) **TRANSFER OF PROCEEDS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of Defense may transfer any proceeds received from the conveyance under subsection (a), less amounts received as reimbursement for costs under subsection (c), to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund established under section 2883(a) of title 10, United States Code, for the purposes of carrying out activities under subchapter IV of chapter 169 of that title with respect to military family housing.

(e) **ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary of the Navy considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2855. LAND CONVEYANCES, NAVAL AIR STATION, BARBERS POINT, HAWAII.

(a) **CONVEYANCES AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcels of real property, including any improvements thereon, described in subsection (b) and located at former Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Oahu, Hawaii—

(1) to the Hawaii Community Development Authority (in this section referred to as the “Authority”), which is the local redevelopment authority for former Naval Air Station, Barbers Point; or

(2) to the Department of Hawaiian Homelands (in this section referred to as the “Department”).

(b) **COVERED PARCELS.**—The real property authorized to be conveyed under subsection (a) includes the following:

(1) An approximately 10.569-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13126 B” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-031:047.

(2) An approximately 145.785-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13058 D” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-013:039.

(3) An approximately 9.303-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13058 F” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-013:041.

(4) An approximately 57.937-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13058 G” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-013:042.

(5) An approximately 11.501-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13073 D” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-013:069.

(6) An approximately 65.356-acre parcel of land identified as “Parcel No. 13073 B” and further identified by Oahu Tax Map Key No. 9-1-013:067.

(7) Any other property at former Naval Air Station, Barbers Point identified for closure through the base closure process.

(c) **CONSIDERATION.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY CONVEYANCES.**—Any conveyance under subsection (a)(1) to the Authority shall be made without consideration if the conveyed real property is to be used for public benefit, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) **DEPARTMENT CONVEYANCES.**—Any conveyance under subsection (a)(2) to the Department shall be made to mitigate further claims associated with the Hawaiian Home Lands Recovery Act (title II of Public Law 104-42; 109 Stat. 357; 48 U.S.C. 491 note prec.).

(d) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall require the Authority or the Department, as the case may be, to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out a conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Authority or the Department, whichever entity paid the excess amount.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursements under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(f) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of the parcels of real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(g) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions, including easements or covenants to protect cultural or natural resources, in connection with the conveyances under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2856. LAND CONVEYANCES OF CERTAIN PARCELS IN THE CAMP CATLIN AND OHANA NUI AREAS, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII.

(a) **CONVEYANCES AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to any person or entity leasing or licensing real property located at Camp Catlin and Ohana Nui areas, Hawaii, as of the date of the enactment of this Act (in this section referred to as the “lessee”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the portion of such property that is respectively leased or licensed by such person or entity for the purpose of continuing the same functions as are being conducted on the property as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—As consideration for a conveyance under subsection (a), the lessee

shall provide the United States, whether by cash payment, in-kind consideration described in section 2667(c) of title 10, United States Code, or a combination thereof, an amount that is not less than the fair market of the conveyed property, as determined pursuant to an appraisal acceptable to the Secretary.

(c) EXERCISE OF RIGHT TO PURCHASE PROPERTY.—

(1) ACCEPTANCE OF OFFER.—For a period of 180 days beginning on the date the Secretary makes a written offer to convey the property or any portion thereof under subsection (a), the lessee shall have the exclusive right to accept such offer by providing written notice of acceptance to the Secretary within the specified 180-day time period. If the Secretary's offer is not so accepted within the 180-day period, the offer shall expire.

(2) CONVEYANCE DEADLINE.—If a lessee accepts the offer to convey the property or a portion thereof in accordance with paragraph (1), the conveyance shall take place not later than 2 years after the date of the lessee's written acceptance, provided that the conveyance date may be extended for a reasonable period of time by mutual agreement of the parties, evidenced by a written instrument executed by the parties prior to the end of the 2-year period. If the lessee's lease or license term expires before the conveyance is completed, the Secretary may extend the lease or license term up to the date of conveyance, provided that the lessee shall be required to pay for such extended term at the rate in effect at the time it was declared excess property.

(d) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.—

(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary shall require the lessee to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out a conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the lessee in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the lessee.

(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out a conveyance under subsection (a) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of any real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2857. MODIFICATION OF LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER GRIFFISS AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK.

(a) ADDITIONAL CONVEYANCE.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 2873 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (division B of Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2152) is amended by striking “two parcels” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “three parcels of real property consisting of 7.897 acres, 1.742 acres, and 5.037 acres, respectively, and containing all or a portion of the five buildings specified in paragraph (2), which were vacated, or will be vacated, by the Air Force in conjunction with its relocation to the Consolidated Intelligence

and Reconnaissance Laboratory and to a replacement Modification and Fabrication Facility at Air Force Research Laboratory—Rome Research Site, Rome, New York.”.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—Subsection (a)(2) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) Bay Number 4 in Building 101 (approximately 115,000 square feet).”.

(c) PURPOSE OF CONVEYANCE.—Subsection (a)(3) of such section is amended by adding before the period at the end the following: “and to provide adequate reimbursement, real property, and replacement facilities for the Air Force Research Laboratory units that are relocated as a result of the conveyance”.

(d) CONSIDERATION.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “in-kind contribution” and inserting “in-kind consideration (including land and new facilities)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Any cash payment received by the Secretary under this subsection shall be deposited in the special account established for the Secretary under section 2667(e) of title 10, United States Code, and shall be available to the Secretary for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.”.

SEC. 2858. LAND CONVEYANCE, ARMY RESERVE CENTER, CHAMBERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—At such time as the Army Reserve vacates the Army Reserve Center at 721 South Sixth Street, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania, the Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to the Chambersburg Area School District (in this section referred to as the “School District”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Reserve Center for the purpose of permitting the School District to utilize the property for educational and educational-support activities.

(b) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If the Secretary determines at any time that the real property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance, all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements and appurtenant easements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(d) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.—

(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary shall require the School District to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the School District in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the School District.

(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received as reimbursements under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2859. LAND CONVEYANCE, ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH DAKOTA.

(a) CHANGE IN RECIPIENT UNDER EXISTING AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2863(a) of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (division B of Public Law 105-85; 111 Stat. 2010), as amended by section 2865(a) of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-435), is further amended by striking “West River Foundation for Economic and Community Development, Sturgis, South Dakota (in this section referred to as the ‘Foundation’)” and inserting “South Dakota Ellsworth Development Authority, Pierre, South Dakota (in this section referred to as the ‘Authority’)”.

(2) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 2863 of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (division B of Public Law 105-85; 111 Stat. 2010), as amended by section 2865(b) of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-435), is further amended—

(A) by striking “Foundation” each place it appears in subsections (c) and (e) and inserting “Authority”;

(B) in subsection (b)(1)—

(i) in subparagraph (B), by striking “137.56 acres” and inserting “120.70 acres”; and

(ii) by striking subparagraphs (C), (D), and (E).

(b) NEW CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.—

(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the South Dakota Ellsworth Development Authority, Pierre, South Dakota (in this subsection referred to as the “Authority”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcels of real property located at Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota, referred to in paragraph (2).

(2) COVERED PROPERTY.—The real property referred to in paragraph (1) is the following:

(A) A parcel of real property, together with any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 2.37 acres and comprising the 11000 West Communications Annex.

(B) A parcel of real property, together with any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 6.643 acres and comprising the South Nike Education Annex.

(3) CONDITION.—As a condition of the conveyance under this subsection, the Authority, and any person or entity to which the Authority transfers the property, shall comply in the use of the property with the applicable provisions of the Ellsworth Air Force Base Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study.

(4) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If the Secretary determines at any time that the real property conveyed under paragraph (1) is not being used in compliance with the applicable provisions of the Ellsworth Air Force Base Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study, all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements and appurtenant easements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this paragraph shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(5) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under this subsection shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(6) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms

and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this subsection as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2860. LAND CONVEYANCE, LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey to an eligible entity, all right, title, and interest of the United States to not more than 250 acres of real property and associated easements and improvements on Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, in exchange for real property adjacent to or near the installation for the purpose of relocating and consolidating Air Force tenants located on the former Kelly Air Force Base, Texas, onto the main portion of Lackland Air Force Base.

(b) **CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.**—The conveyance under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the eligible entity accept the real property in its condition at the time of the conveyance.

(c) **ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.**—A conveyance under this section may be made to the City of San Antonio, Texas, or an organization or agency chartered or sponsored by the local or State government.

(d) **CONSIDERATION.**—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the eligible entity shall provide the Air Force with real property or real property improvements, or a combination of both, of equal value, as determined by the Secretary. If the fair market value of the real property or real property improvements, or combination thereof, is less than the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed by the Air Force, the eligible entity shall provide cash payment to the Air Force, or provide Lackland Air Force Base with in-kind consideration of an amount equal to the difference in the fair market values. Any cash payment received by the Air Force for the conveyance authorized by subsection (a) shall be deposited in the special account described in section 2667(e) of title 10, United States Code, and shall be available to the Secretary for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.

(e) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may require the eligible entity to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyances under this section, including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyances. If amounts are collected from the eligible entity in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the eligible entity.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyances. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(f) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(g) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(h) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms

and conditions in connection with the conveyances under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2861. LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA, VIRGINIA.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to the City of Virginia Beach, Virginia (in this section referred to as the “City”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 2.4 acres at Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, for the purpose of permitting the City to expand services to support the Marine Animal Care Center.

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the City shall provide compensation to the Secretary of the Navy in an amount equal to the fair market value of the real property conveyed under such subsection, as determined by appraisals acceptable to the Secretary.

(c) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be exchanged under this section shall be determined by surveys satisfactory to the Secretary.

(d) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCES.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall require the City to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under this section, including survey costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the City in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the City.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance under this section shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2862. COMPLETION OF LAND EXCHANGE AND CONSOLIDATION, FORT LEWIS, WASHINGTON.

Subsection (a)(1) of section 2837 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1315), as amended by section 2852 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (division B of Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2143), is further amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “The Secretary of the Army may transfer” and inserting “Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, the Secretary of the Army shall transfer”; and

(2) in the second sentence—

(A) by striking “may make the transfer” and inserting “shall make the transfer”; and

(B) by striking “may accept” and inserting “shall accept”.

SEC. 2863. LAND CONVEYANCE, F.E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, CHEYENNE, WYOMING.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey to the County of Laramie, Wyoming (in this section referred to as the “County”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real prop-

erty, including any improvements thereon and appurtenant easements thereto, consisting of approximately 73 acres along the southeastern boundary of F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Cheyenne, Wyoming, for the purpose of removing the property from the boundaries of the installation and permitting the County to preserve the entire property for healthcare facilities.

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the County shall provide the United States consideration, whether by cash payment, in-kind consideration as described under paragraph (2), or a combination thereof, in an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the conveyed real property, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) **IN-KIND CONSIDERATION.**—In-kind consideration provided by the County under paragraph (1) may include the acquisition, construction, provision, improvement, maintenance, repair, or restoration (including environmental restoration), or combination thereof, of any facilities or infrastructure relating to the security of F.E. Warren Air Force Base, that the Secretary considers acceptable.

(3) **RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.**—Sections 2662 and 2802 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to any new facilities or infrastructure received by the United States as in-kind consideration under paragraph (2).

(4) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—The Secretary shall provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of the types and value of consideration provided the United States under paragraph (1).

(5) **TREATMENT OF CASH CONSIDERATION RECEIVED.**—Any cash payment received by the United States under paragraph (1) shall be deposited in the special account described in section 2667(e) of title 10, United States Code, and shall be available in accordance with paragraph (5)(B)(ii) of such subsection.

(c) **REVERSIONARY INTEREST.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the Secretary determines at any time that the County is not using the property conveyed under subsection (a) in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in such subsection, all right, title, and interest in and to the property, including any improvements thereon, shall revert, at the option of the Secretary, to the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property. Any determination of the Secretary under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(2) **RELEASE OF REVERSIONARY INTEREST.**—The Secretary shall release, without consideration, the reversionary interest retained by the United States under paragraph (1) if—

(A) F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Cheyenne, Wyoming, is no longer being used for Department of Defense activities; or

(B) the Secretary determines that the reversionary interest is otherwise unnecessary to protect the interests of the United States.

(d) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall require the County to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a) and implement the receipt of in-kind consideration under paragraph (b), including survey costs, appraisal costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance and receipt of in-kind consideration. If amounts are received from the County in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount received exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary under this section, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the County.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursements under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or

account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance and implementing the receipt of in-kind consideration. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) **DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(f) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 2871. REVISED AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH NATIONAL MONUMENT TO HONOR UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES WORKING DOG TEAMS.

Section 2877 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 563; 16 U.S.C. 431 note) is amended by striking “National War Dogs Monument, Inc.,” both places it appears and inserting “John Burnam Monument Foundation, Inc.”.

SEC. 2872. NATIONAL D-DAY MEMORIAL STUDY.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **AREA.**—The term “Area” means in the National D-Day Memorial in Bedford, Virginia.

(2) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the National Park Service.

(b) **STUDY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may conduct a study of the Area to evaluate the national significance of the Area and suitability and feasibility of designating the Area as a unit of the National Park System.

(2) **CRITERIA.**—In conducting the study authorized under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall use the criteria for the study of areas for potential inclusion in the National Park System in section 8(c) of Public Law 91-383 (16 U.S.C. 1a-5(c)).

(3) **CONTENTS.**—The study authorized under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) determine the suitability and feasibility of designating the Area as a unit of the National Park System;

(B) include cost estimates for any necessary acquisition, development, operation, and maintenance of the Area; and

(C) identify alternatives for the management, administration, and protection of the Area.

(c) **REPORT.**—Section 8(c) of Public Law 91-383 (16 U.S.C. 1a-5(c)) shall apply to the conduct of the study authorized under this section, except that the study shall be submitted to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are first made available for the study.

SEC. 2873. CONDITIONS ON ESTABLISHMENT OF COOPERATIVE SECURITY LOCATION IN PALANQUERO, COLOMBIA.

(a) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF AGREEMENT.**—None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this division or otherwise made available for military construction for fiscal year 2010 may be obligated to commence construction of a Cooperative Security Location at the German Olano Moreno Airbase (the Palanquero AB Development Project) in Palanquero, Colombia, until at least 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that an agreement has been entered into with the Government of Colombia to allow access to and use of its facilities at the German Olano Moreno Airbase for the duration of the agreement to carry out mutually agreed-upon activities.

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Afghanistan	Airborne	\$7,800,000
	Altimur	\$7,750,000
	Asadabad	\$5,500,000
	Bagram Air Base	\$132,850,000
	Camp Joyce	\$7,700,000
	Camp Kabul	\$137,000,000
	Camp Kandahar	\$132,500,000
	Camp Salerno	\$50,200,000
	Forward Operating Base Blessing	\$5,600,000
	Forward Operating Base Bostick	\$5,500,000
	Forward Operating Base Dwyer	\$19,300,000
	Forward Operating Base Ghazni	\$5,500,000
	Forward Operating Base Shank	\$19,700,000
	Forward Operating Base Sharana	\$60,800,000
	Frontenac	\$2,200,000
	Jalalabad Airfield	\$41,400,000
	Maywand	\$7,800,000
	Methar-Lam	\$4,150,000
	Provincial Reconstruction Team Gardez	\$36,200,000
	Provincial Reconstruction Team Tarin Kowt	\$55,800,000
	Tombstone/Bastion	\$71,800,000
	Wolverine	\$17,050,000

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army in the total amount of \$924,484,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by subsection (a), \$834,100,000.

(2) For unspecified minor military construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, \$20,100,000.

(3) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$70,284,000.

SEC. 2902. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-

(b) **PROHIBITION ON PERMANENT UNITED STATES MILITARY INSTALLATION.**—The agreement referred to in subsection (a) may not provide for or authorize the establishment of a United States military installation or base for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Colombia.

SEC. 2874. MILITARY ACTIVITIES AT UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING CENTER.

Section 1806 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11; 123 Stat. 1059; 16 U.S.C. 460vvv) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **MILITARY ACTIVITIES AT UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING CENTER.**—The designation of the Bridgeport Winter Recreation Area by this section is not intended to restrict or preclude the activities conducted by the United States Armed Forces at the United States Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center.”.

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 2901. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2902. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2903. Construction authorization for facilities for Office of Defense Representative-Pakistan.

SEC. 2901. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (b)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ization of appropriations in subsection (b)(1), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Air Force: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Afghanistan	Bagram Air Base	\$29,100,000
	Camp Kandahar	\$234,600,000
	Forward Operating Base Dwyer	\$4,900,000
	Forward Operating Base Shank	\$4,900,000
	Provincial Reconstruction Team Tarin Kowt	\$4,900,000
	Tombstone/Bastion	\$156,200,000
	Wolverine	\$4,900,000

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2009, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force in the total amount of \$474,500,000, as follows:

(1) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by subsection (a), \$439,500,000.

(2) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, \$35,000,000.

SEC. 2903. CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION FOR FACILITIES FOR OFFICE OF DEFENSE REPRESENTATIVE-PAKISTAN.

(a) **USE OF FUNDS AUTHORIZED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title, the Secretary of Defense may use not more than \$10,000,000 to plan, design, and construct facilities on the United States Embassy Compound in Islamabad, Pakistan, in support of the Office of the Defense Representative-Pakistan (in this section referred to as the “ODRP”).

(2) **NOTICE AND WAIT.**—The Secretary may not obligate funds made available pursuant to paragraph (1) until the end of the 14-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing notice of the proposed obligation of the funds and addressing the items specified in subsection (b)(2).

(b) **ADDITIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the submission of the notice under subsection (a)(2), and every 180 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the number of personnel and activities of the ODRP.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A detailed accounting of the number of personnel permanently assigned or on temporary duty in the ODRP.

(B) A description of the mission of those personnel assigned on a temporary or permanent basis to the ODRP.

(C) A projection of space requirements for the ODRP.

(3) **TERMINATION.**—The requirement to submit a report under paragraph (1) terminates on the date occurring two years after the date on which the first report under such paragraph is submitted.

(c) **FORM.**—A report under this section may be submitted in a classified form.

(d) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—For the purposes of this section, the appropriate congressional committees are the following:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(3) The Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.

Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.

Sec. 3104. Defense nuclear waste disposal.

Sec. 3105. Energy security and assurance.

Sec. 3106. Relation to funding tables.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 3111. Stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3112. Report on stockpile stewardship criteria and assessment of stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3113. Stockpile management program.

Sec. 3114. Dual validation of annual weapons assessment and certification.

Sec. 3115. Elimination of nuclear weapons life extension program from exception to requirement to request funds in budget of the President.

Sec. 3116. Long-term plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex.

Sec. 3117. Repeal of prohibition on funding activities associated with international cooperative stockpile stewardship.

Sec. 3118. Modification of minor construction threshold for plant projects.

Sec. 3119. Two-year extension of authority for appointment of certain scientific, engineering, and technical personnel.

Sec. 3120. National Nuclear Security Administration authority for urgent nonproliferation activities.

Sec. 3121. Repeal of sunset date for consolidation of counterintelligence programs of Department of Energy and National Nuclear Security Administration.

Subtitle C—Reports

Sec. 3131. National Academy of Sciences review of national security laboratories.

Sec. 3132. Plan to ensure capability to monitor, analyze, and evaluate foreign nuclear weapons activities.

Sec. 3133. Comptroller General study of stockpile stewardship program.

Sec. 3134. Comptroller General of the United States review of projects carried out by the Office of Environmental Management of the Department of Energy pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 3141. Ten-year plan for use and funding of certain Department of Energy facilities.

Sec. 3142. Expansion of authority of Ombudsman of Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.

Sec. 3143. Identification in budget materials of amounts for certain Department of Energy pension obligations.

Sec. 3144. Sense of Congress on production of molybdenum-99.

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

SEC. 3101. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated

to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2010 for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying out programs necessary for national security in the amount of \$10,033,477,000, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For weapons activities, \$6,433,131,000.

(2) For defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, \$2,176,459,000.

(3) For naval reactors, \$1,003,133,000.

(4) For the Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security, \$420,754,000.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.**—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration as follows:

(1) For readiness in technical base and facilities, the following new plant project:

Project 10-D-501, nuclear facilities risk reduction, Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, \$12,500,000.

(2) For safeguards and security, the following new plant project:

Project 10-D-701, security improvement project, Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, \$49,000,000.

(3) For naval reactors, the following new plant projects:

Project 10-D-903, KAPL security upgrades, Schenectady, New York, \$1,500,000.

Project 10-D-904, Naval Reactors Facility infrastructure upgrades, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho, \$700,000.

SEC. 3102. DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2010 for defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs necessary for national security in the amount of \$5,495,831,000.

SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2010 for other defense activities in carrying out programs necessary for national security in the amount of \$852,468,000.

SEC. 3104. DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2010 for defense nuclear waste disposal for payment to the Nuclear Waste Fund established in section 302(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C. 10222(c)) in the amount of \$98,400,000.

SEC. 3105. ENERGY SECURITY AND ASSURANCE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2010 for energy security and assurance programs necessary for national security in the amount of \$6,188,000.

SEC. 3106. RELATION TO FUNDING TABLES.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 3101, 3102, 3103, 3104, and 3105 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4601.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

SEC. 3111. STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 4201 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (division

D of Public Law 107-314; 50 U.S.C. 2521) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Secretary of Energy, acting through the Administrator for Nuclear Security, shall establish a stewardship program to ensure—

“(1) the preservation of the core intellectual and technical competencies of the United States in nuclear weapons, including weapons design, system integration, manufacturing, security, use control, reliability assessment, and certification; and

“(2) that the nuclear weapons stockpile is safe, secure, and reliable without the use of underground nuclear weapons testing.”.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “detonation” and inserting “performance over time”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(4) Support for the use of, and experiments facilitated by, the advanced experimental facilities of the United States, including—

“(A) the National Ignition Facility at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory;

“(B) the Dual Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamic Test Facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory;

“(C) the Z Machine at Sandia National Laboratories; and

“(D) the experimental facilities at the Nevada test site.

“(5) Support for the sustainment and modernization of facilities with production and manufacturing capabilities that are necessary to ensure the safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile, including—

“(A) the Pantex Plant;

“(B) the Y-12 National Security Complex;

“(C) the Kansas City Plant;

“(D) the Savannah River Site; and

“(E) production and manufacturing capabilities resident in the national security laboratories (as defined in section 3281 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2471)).”.

(c) **PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994.**—Such section is further amended by striking subsection (c).

SEC. 3112. REPORT ON STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP CRITERIA AND ASSESSMENT OF STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (c) of section 4202 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (division D of Public Law 107-314; 50 U.S.C. 2522) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **REPORT.**—(1) In each odd-numbered year, beginning in 2011, the Secretary of Energy shall include in the stockpile stewardship plan required by section 4203 a report containing the following elements:

“(A) A description of the information needed to determine that the nuclear weapons stockpile is safe and reliable and the relationship of the science-based tools to the collection of that information.

“(B) A description of any updates to the criteria established under subsection (a) during—

“(i) the previous two years; or

“(ii) with respect to the report in 2011, the period beginning on the date of the submission of the report under section 3133 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1751; 50 U.S.C. 2523 note) and ending on the date of the submission of the 2011 stockpile stewardship plan required by section 4203.

“(C) For each science-based tool to collect information needed to determine that the nuclear weapons stockpile is safe, secure, and reliable that is developed or modified by the Department of Energy during the relevant period described in subparagraph (B)—

“(i) a description of the relationship of the science-based tool to the collection of such information; and

“(ii) a description of criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the science-based tool in collecting such information.

“(D) An assessment described in paragraph (2).

“(2) An assessment described in this paragraph is an assessment of the stockpile stewardship program conducted by the Administrator for Nuclear Security in consultation with the directors of the national security laboratories. Such assessment shall set forth the following:

“(A) An identification and description of—

“(i) any key technical challenges to the stockpile stewardship program; and

“(ii) the strategies to address such challenges without the use of nuclear testing.

“(B) A strategy for using the science-based tools (including advanced simulation and computing capabilities) of each national security laboratory to ensure that the nuclear weapons stockpile is safe, secure, and reliable without the use of nuclear testing.

“(C) An assessment of the science-based tools (including advanced simulation and computing capabilities) of each national security laboratory that exist at the time of the assessment compared with the science-based tools expected to exist during the period covered by the future-years nuclear security program.

“(D) An assessment of the core scientific and technical competencies required to achieve the objectives of the stockpile stewardship program and other weapons activities and weapons-related activities of the Department of Energy, including—

“(i) the number of scientists, engineers, and technicians, by discipline, required to maintain such competencies; and

“(ii) a description of any shortage of such individuals that exists at the time of the assessment compared with any shortage expected to exist during the period covered by the future-years nuclear security program.”.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following subsection:

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘future-years nuclear security program’ means the program required by section 3253 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2453).

“(2) The term ‘national security laboratory’ has the meaning given such term in section 3281 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2471).

“(3) The term ‘weapons activities’ means each activity within the budget category of weapons activities in the budget of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

“(4) The term ‘weapons-related activities’ means each activity under the Department of Energy that involves nuclear weapons, nuclear weapons technology, or fissile or radioactive materials, including activities related to—

“(A) nuclear nonproliferation;

“(B) nuclear forensics;

“(C) nuclear intelligence;

“(D) nuclear safety; and

“(E) nuclear incident response.”.

SEC. 3113. STOCKPILE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Atomic Energy Defense Act (division D of Public Law 107-314; 50 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.) is amended—

(1) by repealing section 4204A (50 U.S.C. 2524a); and

(2) by amending section 4204 (50 U.S.C. 2524) to read as follows:

“SEC. 4204. STOCKPILE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

“(a) **PROGRAM REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Energy, acting through the Administrator for Nuclear Security and in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall carry out a program, in support of the stockpile stewardship program, to provide for the effective management of the weapons in the nuclear weapons stockpile, including the extension of the effective life of such weapons. The program shall have the following objectives:

“(1) To increase the reliability, safety, and security of the nuclear weapons stockpile of the United States.

“(2) To further reduce the likelihood of the resumption of underground nuclear weapons testing.

“(3) To achieve reductions in the future size of the nuclear weapons stockpile.

“(4) To reduce the risk of an accidental detonation of an element of the stockpile.

“(5) To reduce the risk of an element of the stockpile being used by a person or entity hostile to the United States, its vital interests, or its allies.

“(b) **PROGRAM LIMITATIONS.**—In carrying out the stockpile management program under subsection (a), the Secretary of Energy shall ensure that—

“(1) any changes made to the stockpile shall be made to achieve the objectives identified in subsection (a); and

“(2) any such changes made to the stockpile shall—

“(A) remain consistent with basic design parameters by including, to the maximum extent feasible, components that are well understood or are certifiable without the need to resume underground nuclear weapons testing; and

“(B) use the design, certification, and production expertise resident in the nuclear complex to fulfill current mission requirements of the existing stockpile.

“(c) **PROGRAM PLAN.**—In carrying out the stockpile management program under subsection (a), the Secretary of Energy shall develop a long-term plan to extend the effective life of the weapons in the nuclear weapons stockpile without the use of nuclear weapons testing. The plan shall include the following:

“(1) Mechanisms to provide for the manufacture, maintenance, and modernization of each weapon design in the nuclear stockpile, as needed.

“(2) Mechanisms to expedite the collection of information necessary for carrying out the program, including information relating to the aging of materials and components, new manufacturing techniques, and the replacement or substitution of materials.

“(3) Mechanisms to ensure the appropriate assignment of roles and missions for each nuclear weapons laboratory and production plant of the Department of Energy, including mechanisms for allocation of workload, mechanisms to ensure the carrying out of appropriate modernization activities, and mechanisms to ensure the retention of skilled personnel.

“(4) Mechanisms to ensure that each national laboratory of the National Nuclear Security Administration has full and complete access to all weapons data to enable a rigorous peer review process to support the annual assessment of the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile required under section 4205.

“(5) Mechanisms for allocating funds for activities under the program, including allocations of funds by weapon type and facility.

“(6) An identification of the funds needed, in the fiscal year in which the plan is developed and in each of the following five fiscal years, to carry out the program.

“(d) **ANNUAL UPDATES.**—The Secretary of Energy shall annually update the plan required under subsection (c) and shall submit the updated plan to Congress as part of the stockpile stewardship plan required by section 4203(c).

“(e) **PROGRAM BUDGET.**—In accordance with the requirements under section 4209, for each budget submitted by the President to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the amounts requested for the program under this section shall be clearly identified in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of that budget.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents in section 4001(b) of such Act (division D of Public Law 107-314) is amended by striking

the items relating to sections 4204 and 4204A and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4204. Stockpile management program.”.

SEC. 3114. DUAL VALIDATION OF ANNUAL WEAPONS ASSESSMENT AND CERTIFICATION.

(a) DUAL VALIDATION.—

(1) PLAN.—Not later than March 1, 2010, the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan (including a schedule) to carry out subsection (c) of section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (division D of Public Law 107–314; 50 U.S.C. 2525), as added by paragraph (2) of this subsection.

(2) DUAL VALIDATION.—Section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (division D of Public Law 107–314; 50 U.S.C. 2525) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (c) through (h) as subsections (d) through (i), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) DUAL VALIDATION TEAMS IN SUPPORT OF ASSESSMENTS.—In support of the assessments required by subsection (a), the Administrator for Nuclear Security may establish teams, known as ‘dual validation teams’, to provide each national security laboratory responsible for weapons design with independent evaluations of the condition of each warhead for which such laboratory has lead responsibility. A dual validation team established by the Administrator shall—

“(1) be comprised of weapons experts from the laboratory that does not have lead responsibility for fielding the warhead being evaluated;

“(2) have access to all surveillance and underground test data for all stockpile systems for use in the independent evaluations;

“(3) use all relevant available data to conduct independent calculations; and

“(4) pursue independent experiments to support the independent evaluations.”.

(b) RED TEAM REVIEWS.—Subsection (d)(1) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section, is amended—

(1) by inserting “both” after “review”; and

(2) by inserting after “that laboratory” the following: “and any independent evaluations conducted by a dual validation team under subsection (c)”.

(c) SUMMARY.—Subsection (e)(3) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) a concise summary of the results of any independent evaluation conducted by a dual validation team under subsection (c).”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)(C) of subsection (e), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section, by striking “subsection (c)” and inserting “subsection (d)”; and

(2) in paragraph (1)(A) of subsection (f), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section, by striking “subsection (d)” and inserting “subsection (e)”; and

(3) in subsection (g), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section, by striking “subsection (e)” and inserting “subsection (f)”; and

(4) in subsection (i), as redesignated by subsection (a)(2)(A) of this section—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “subsection (d)” and inserting “subsection (e)”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “subsection (e)” and inserting “subsection (f)”.

SEC. 3115. ELIMINATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM FROM EXCEPTION TO REQUIREMENT TO REQUEST FUNDS IN BUDGET OF THE PRESIDENT.

Section 4209 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2529) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “necessary—” and all that follows through the period and inserting “necessary to address proliferation concerns.”; and

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking paragraph (1); and

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

SEC. 3116. LONG-TERM PLAN FOR THE MODERNIZATION AND REFURBISHMENT OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY COMPLEX.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle D of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2451 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 3255. BIENNIAL PLAN AND BUDGET ASSESSMENT ON THE MODERNIZATION AND REFURBISHMENT OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY COMPLEX.

“(a) NUCLEAR SECURITY COMPLEX MODERNIZATION AND REFURBISHMENT PLAN AND ASSESSMENT.—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall include with the nuclear security budget materials submitted for each odd-numbered fiscal year—

“(1) the plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex described under subsection (b); and

“(2) an assessment by the Administrator of whether both the budget for such fiscal year and the future-years nuclear security program submitted to Congress in relation to such budget under section 3253 provide for funding of the nuclear security complex at a level that is sufficient for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex in accordance with the plan described under subsection (b).

“(b) PLAN ELEMENTS.—(1) The plan required under subsection (a)(1) shall be designed so that the nuclear security complex is capable of supporting—

“(A) the national security strategy of the United States, as set forth in the most recent national security strategy report of the President under section 108 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 404a), except that, if at the time such plan is submitted with the nuclear security budget materials a national security strategy report required under such section 108 has not been submitted to Congress, then such plan shall be designed so that the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex provided for under such plan is capable of supporting the nuclear security complex recommended in the report of the most recent Quadrennial Defense Review; and

“(B) the nuclear posture of the United States as set forth in the most recent Nuclear Posture Review.

“(2) The plan required under subsection (a)(1) shall include the following:

“(A) A description of the modernization and refurbishment measures the Administrator determines necessary to meet the requirements of the national security strategy of the United States or the most recent Quadrennial Defense Review, whichever is applicable under paragraph (1)(A), and the Nuclear Posture Review.

“(B) A schedule for implementing those measures determined necessary under subparagraph (A) during the 10 years following the date of the plan.

“(C) The estimated levels of annual funds the Administrator determines necessary to carry out the program, including a discussion of the criteria, evidence, and strategies on which such estimated levels of annual funds are based.

“(c) BUDGET ASSESSMENT.—If the Administrator determines a budget request is insufficient for the modernization and refurbishment of the

nuclear security complex provided for in the plan required under subsection (a)(1), the Administrator shall include with the nuclear security budget materials for such fiscal year a further assessment that describes and discusses the risks and implications associated with the ability of the nuclear security complex to support the annual certification of the nuclear stockpile of the United States and maintain its long-term safety, security, and reliability. Such assessment shall be coordinated in advance with the Secretary of Defense and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘nuclear security complex’ means the physical facilities, technology, and human capital of—

“(A) the national security laboratories;

“(B) the Pantex Plant;

“(C) the Y-12 National Security Complex;

“(D) the Kansas City Plant;

“(E) the Savannah River Site; and

“(F) the Nevada test site.

“(2) The term ‘budget’, with respect to a fiscal year, means the budget for that fiscal year that is submitted to Congress by the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

“(3) The term ‘nuclear security budget materials’, with respect to a fiscal year, means the materials submitted to Congress by the Administrator for Nuclear Security in support of the budget for that fiscal year.

“(4) The term ‘Quadrennial Defense Review’ means the review of the defense programs and policies of the United States that is carried out every four years under section 118 of title 10, United States Code.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3254 the following new item:

“3255. Biennial plan and budget assessment on the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex.”.

SEC. 3117. REPEAL OF PROHIBITION ON FUNDING ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVE STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 4301 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2561) is repealed.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for that Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4301.

SEC. 3118. MODIFICATION OF MINOR CONSTRUCTION THRESHOLD FOR PLANT PROJECTS.

(a) INCREASE.—Paragraph (3) of section 4701 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2741(3)) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

(b) SUNSET.—Effective September 30, 2010, such paragraph, as amended by subsection (a), is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$5,000,000”.

(c) NOTIFICATION.—Notwithstanding section 4703 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2743), in carrying out construction projects during fiscal year 2010, the Secretary of Energy may not start a general plant project with a total estimated cost of more than \$5,000,000 until—

(1) the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees of such project and total estimated cost; and

(2) a period of 15 days has elapsed after the date on which such notification is received.

SEC. 3119. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR APPOINTMENT OF CERTAIN SCIENTIFIC, ENGINEERING, AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.

Section 4601(c)(1) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2701(c)(1)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2011”.

SEC. 3120. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AUTHORITY FOR URGENT NONPROLIFERATION ACTIVITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the notification requirement under subsection (b), not more than

10 percent of the total amounts appropriated or otherwise made available in any fiscal year for the nonproliferation programs of the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration may be expended, notwithstanding any other law, for activities described under subsection (b)(1)(B).

(b) DETERMINATION AND NOTICE.—

(1) DETERMINATION.—The Secretary of Energy, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense, may make a written determination that—

(A) threats arising from the proliferation of nuclear or radiological weapons or weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise must be addressed urgently;

(B) certain provisions of law would unnecessarily impede the Secretary's ability to carry out nonproliferation activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration to address such threats; and

(C) it is necessary to expend amounts described in subsection (a) to carry out such activities.

(2) NOTICE REQUIRED.—Not later than 15 days before obligating or expending funds under the authority provided in subsection (a), the Secretary of Energy shall notify the appropriate congressional committees of the determination made under paragraph (1). The notice shall include—

(A) the determination;

(B) the activities to be undertaken by the nonproliferation programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration;

(C) the expected time frame for such activities; and

(D) the expected costs of such activities.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

SEC. 3121. REPEAL OF SUNSET DATE FOR CONSOLIDATION OF COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

Subsection (a) of section 3117 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2507; 42 U.S.C. 7144b note) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS.—The functions, personnel, funds, assets, and other resources of the Office of Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence of the National Nuclear Security Administration are transferred to the Secretary of Energy, to be administered (except to any extent otherwise directed by the Secretary) by the Director of the Office of Counterintelligence of the Department of Energy.”

Subtitle C—Reports

SEC. 3131. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES REVIEW OF NATIONAL SECURITY LABORATORIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a study of the following laboratories:

(1) The Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California.

(2) The Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico.

(3) The Sandia National Laboratories, California and New Mexico.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The study required under subsection (a) shall include, with respect to each laboratory specified in such subsection, an evaluation of the following:

(1) The quality of the scientific research being conducted at the laboratory, including research

with respect to weapons science, nonproliferation, energy, and basic science.

(2) The quality of the engineering being conducted at the laboratory.

(3) The criteria used to assess the quality of scientific research and engineering being conducted at the laboratory.

(4) The relationship between the quality of the science and engineering at the laboratory and the contract for managing and operating the laboratory.

(5) The management of work conducted by the laboratory for entities other than the Department of Energy, including academic institutions and other Federal agencies, and interactions between the laboratory and such entities.

(c) COOPERATION.—The Secretary of Energy shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence, ensure that the National Academy of Sciences receives full and timely cooperation from the Department of Energy, the Department of Defense, and the intelligence community (as that term is defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401a(4))) in conducting the study required under subsection (a).

(d) REPORT.—The National Academy of Sciences shall submit to the Secretary of Energy a report containing the results of the study and any recommendations resulting from the study.

(e) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 1, 2011, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the report submitted under subsection (d) and any comments or recommendations of the Secretary with respect to that report.

(2) FORM.—The report shall be submitted to the appropriate congressional committees in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(f) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(1) The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the Committee on Science and Technology of the House of Representatives.

(2) The Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

SEC. 3132. PLAN TO ENSURE CAPABILITY TO MONITOR, ANALYZE, AND EVALUATE FOREIGN NUCLEAR WEAPONS ACTIVITIES.

(a) PLAN.—The Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense, shall prepare a plan to ensure that the national laboratories overseen by the Department of Energy maintain a robust technical capability to monitor, analyze, and evaluate foreign nuclear weapons activities.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than February 28, 2010, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the plan required under subsection (a) and the resources necessary to implement the plan. The report shall be in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

SEC. 3133. COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY OF STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the stockpile stewardship program established under section 4201 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act

(50 U.S.C. 2521) to determine if the program was functioning, as of December 2008, as envisioned when the program was established.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The study required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of whether the capabilities determined to be necessary to maintain the nuclear weapons stockpile without the use of nuclear testing have been implemented and the extent to which such capabilities are functioning.

(2) A review and description of the agreements governing use, management, and support of the capabilities developed for the stockpile stewardship program and an assessment of enforcement of, and compliance with, those agreements.

(3) An assessment of plans for surveillance and testing of nuclear weapons in the stockpile and the extent of the compliance with such plans.

(4) An assessment of—

(A) the condition of the infrastructure at the plants and laboratories of the nuclear weapons complex;

(B) the value of nuclear weapons facilities built after 1992;

(C) any plans that are in place to maintain, improve, or replace such infrastructure;

(D) whether there is a validated requirement for all planned infrastructure replacement projects; and

(E) the projected costs for each such project and the time line for completion of each such project.

(5) An assessment of the efforts to ensure and maintain the intellectual and technical capability of the nuclear weapons complex to support the nuclear weapons stockpile.

(6) Recommendations for the stockpile stewardship program going forward.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the study required by subsection (a).

SEC. 3134. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REVIEW OF PROJECTS CARRIED OUT BY THE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY PURSUANT TO THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a series of three reviews, as described in subsections (b), (c), and (d), of projects carried out by the Office of Environmental Management of the Department of Energy (in this section referred to as the “Office”) using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds.

(b) PHASE ONE REVIEW.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall conduct a review of the following:

(A) The criteria used by the Office to select projects to be carried out using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds.

(B) The extent to which lessons learned during previous accelerations of defense environmental cleanup efforts were used in the development of such criteria.

(C) The process used by the Office to estimate costs and develop schedules for such projects.

(D) The process used by the Office for the independent validation of the scope, cost, and schedule for such projects.

(E) The criteria and methodology used by the Office to measure the contribution of each such project toward reducing the overall costs, and meeting the goals, of defense environmental cleanup.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the review conducted under paragraph (1).

(c) PHASE TWO REVIEW.—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Comptroller General shall conduct a review, during the period described in paragraph (2), of the following:

(A) The implementation of each project carried out using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds.

(B) The extent to which each such project is meeting the cost and scheduling goals of the project.

(C) The number of jobs created or maintained through such projects.

(D) The adequacy of contract oversight for such projects.

(E) Any program management, implementation or technical problems, or other problems in connection with such projects that are identified by the Comptroller General in the course of the review.

(F) Any management and implementation issues or actions, or other systemic issues, identified by the Comptroller General in the course of the review that either hinder or assist the effective management of defense environmental cleanup efforts.

(2) *PERIOD DESCRIBED.*—The period described in this paragraph is the period—

(A) beginning on the date on which the Comptroller General submits the report required under subsection (b)(2); and

(B) ending on the later of—

(i) the date on which all projects carried out using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds have been completed; or

(ii) the date on which all American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds have been obligated or expended or are no longer available to be obligated or expended.

(3) *REPORTS.*—The Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the review conducted under paragraph (1) not later than 30 days after submitting the report required under subsection (b)(2) and every 120 days thereafter until the end of the period described in paragraph (2).

(d) *PHASE THREE REVIEW.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Beginning on the date on which the Comptroller General submits the last report required under subsection (c)(3), the Comptroller General shall conduct a review of the following:

(A) The implementation of all projects carried out using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds, including the number of such projects—

(i) that were completed;

(ii) that were not completed;

(iii) that were completed on budget;

(iv) that exceeded the budget for such project;

(v) that were completed on schedule; and

(vi) that exceeded the scheduling goals for such project.

(B) The impact on employment as a result of the completion of such projects.

(C) Any lessons learned as a result of accelerating such projects.

(D) The extent to which the achievement of the overall goals of defense environmental cleanup were accelerated, and the overall costs of defense environmental cleanup were reduced, as a result of such projects.

(E) Any other issues the Comptroller General considers appropriate with respect to such projects.

(2) *REPORT.*—Not later than 90 days after submitting the last report required under subsection (c)(3), the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the review conducted under paragraph (1).

(e) *AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT FUNDS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds” means funds made available for the Office of Environmental Management under the heading “DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP” under the heading “ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY” under

title IV of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5; 123 Stat. 140).

Subtitle D—Other Matters

SEC. 3141. TEN-YEAR PLAN FOR USE AND FUNDING OF CERTAIN DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FACILITIES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Under Secretary for Science of the Department of Energy shall jointly develop a plan to use and fund, over a ten-year period, the following facilities of the Department of Energy:

(1) The National Ignition Facility at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California.

(2) The Los Alamos Neutron Science Center at the Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico.

(3) The Z Machine at the Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico.

(4) The Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Application Facility at the Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico.

(b) *SUBMITTAL OF PLAN.*—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Under Secretary for Science of the Department of Energy shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the plan required by subsection (a).

(c) *REQUIREMENT TO SPECIFY SOURCE OF FACILITY FUNDING IN BUDGET REQUESTS.*—In any budget request for the Department of Energy for a fiscal year that is submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall identify for that fiscal year the portion of the funding for each facility specified in subsection (a) that is to be provided by the National Nuclear Security Administration and by the Office of Science of the Department of Energy.

(d) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Science and Technology of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

SEC. 3142. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY OF OMBUDSMAN OF ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION PROGRAM.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 3686 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385s–15) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by inserting “and subtitle B” after “this subtitle” each place it appears;

(2) in subsection (d), by inserting “and subtitle B” after “this subtitle”;

(3) in subsection (e), by inserting “and subtitle B” after “this subtitle” each place it appears;

(4) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(5) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection:

“(g) *NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH OMBUDSMAN.*—In carrying out the duties of the Ombudsman under this section, the Ombudsman shall work with the individual employed by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health to serve as an ombudsman to individuals making claims under subtitle B.”.

(b) *CONSTRUCTION.*—Except as specifically provided in subsection (g) of section 3686 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000, as amended by subsection (a) of this section, nothing in the amendments made by such subsection (a) shall be construed to alter or affect the duties and

functions of the individual employed by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health to serve as an ombudsman to individuals making claims under subtitle B of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384i et seq.).

SEC. 3143. IDENTIFICATION IN BUDGET MATERIALS OF AMOUNTS FOR CERTAIN DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY PENSION OBLIGATIONS.

The Secretary of Energy shall include in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Energy budget for a fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) specific identification, as a budgetary line item, of the amounts required to meet the pension obligations of the Department of Energy for contractor employees at each facility of the Department of Energy operated using amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Energy.

SEC. 3144. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PRODUCTION OF MOLYBDENUM-99.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress finds the following:

(1) There are fewer than five reactors around the world currently capable of producing molybdenum-99 (in this section referred to as “Mo-99”) and there are no such reactors in the United States that can provide a reliable supply of Mo-99 to meet medical needs.

(2) Since November 2007, there have been major disruptions in the global availability of Mo-99, including at facilities in Canada and the Netherlands, which have led to shortages of Mo-99-based medical products in the United States and around the world.

(3) Ensuring a reliable supply of medical radioisotopes, including Mo-99, is of great importance to the public health.

(4) It is also a national security priority of the United States, and specifically of the Department of Energy, to encourage the production of low-enriched uranium-based radioisotopes in order to promote a more peaceful international nuclear order.

(5) The National Academy of Sciences has identified a need to establish a reliable capability in the United States for the production of Mo-99 and its derivatives for medical purposes using low-enriched uranium.

(6) There also exists a capable industrial base in the United States that can support the development of Mo-99 production facilities and can conduct the processing and distribution of radiopharmaceutical products for use in medical tests worldwide.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) radioisotopes and radiopharmaceuticals, including Mo-99 and its derivatives, are essential components of medical tests that help diagnose and treat life-threatening diseases affecting millions of people each year; and

(2) the Secretary of Energy should continue and expand a program to meet the need identified by the National Academy of Sciences to ensure a source of Mo-99 and its derivatives for use in medical tests to help ensure the health security of the United States and around the world and promote peaceful nuclear industries through the use of low-enriched uranium.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.

There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010, \$26,086,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

SEC. 3401. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) AMOUNT.—There are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy \$23,627,000 for fiscal year 2010 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves.

(b) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2010.

Sec. 3502. Unused leave balances.

Sec. 3503. Temporary program authorizing contracts with adjunct professors at the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

Sec. 3504. Maritime loan guarantee program.

Sec. 3505. Defense measures against unauthorized seizures of Maritime Security Fleet vessels.

Sec. 3506. Report on restrictions on United States-flagged commercial vessel security.

Sec. 3507. Technical corrections to State maritime academies student incentive program.

Sec. 3508. Cooperative agreements, administrative expenses, and contracting authority.

Sec. 3509. Use of funding for DOT maritime heritage property.

Sec. 3510. Use of midshipman fees.

Sec. 3511. Construction of vessels in the United States policy.

Sec. 3512. Port infrastructure development program.

Sec. 3513. Reefs for marine life conservation program.

Sec. 3514. United States Merchant Marine Academy graduate program receipt, disbursement, and accounting for nonappropriated funds.

Sec. 3515. America's short sea transportation grants for the development of marine highways.

Sec. 3516. Expansion of the Marine View system.

SEC. 3501. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for the Maritime Administration as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations and training activities, \$152,900,000, of which—

(A) \$15,391,000 shall remain available until expended for capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy;

(B) \$11,240,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of training ships of the State Maritime Academies; and

(C) \$74,500,000 shall be available for operations at the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

(2) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant fleet to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, \$174,000,000.

(3) For expenses to dispose of obsolete vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, \$15,000,000.

(4) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5))) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, \$60,000,000.

(5) For administrative expenses related to the implementation of the loan guarantee program under chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, administrative expenses related to the implementation of the reimbursement program

under section 3517 of the Maritime Security Act of 2003 (46 U.S.C. 53101 note), and administrative expenses related to the implementation of the program of assistance for small shipyards and maritime communities under section 54101 of title 46, United States Code, \$4,000,000.

SEC. 3502. UNUSED LEAVE BALANCES.

The Maritime Administrator may, subject to the availability of appropriations, make a lump-sum payment for the accumulated balance of unused annual leave, at a rate of pay that existed on the date of termination or on the day before conversion to the Civil Service, to any former employee of a United States Merchant Marine Academy nonappropriated fund instrumentality who was terminated from such employment in the period September 2008 through March 2009 under authority granted by section 3506 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4356).

SEC. 3503. TEMPORARY PROGRAM AUTHORIZING CONTRACTS WITH ADJUNCT PROFESSORS AT THE UNITED STATES MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 513 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“§51317. Adjunct professors

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Maritime Administrator may establish a program for the purpose of contracting with individuals as personal services contractors to provide services as adjunct professors at the Academy, if the Maritime Administrator determines that there is a need for adjunct professors and the need is not of permanent duration.

“(b) CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.—Each contract under the program—

“(1) must be approved by the Maritime Administrator; and

“(2) shall be for a duration, including options, of not to exceed one year unless the Maritime Administrator finds that exceptional circumstances justify an extension of up to one additional year.

“(3) shall be subject to the availability of appropriations.

“(c) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF CONTRACTORS.—In awarding contracts under this section, the Maritime Administrator shall ensure that not more than 25 individuals actively provide services in any one academic trimester, or equivalent, as contractors under subsection (a).

“(d) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—When the authority granted by subsection (a) is used to hire an adjunct professor at the Academy in fiscal year 2010 or fiscal year 2011, the Administrator shall notify the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, including the need for and the term of employment for the adjunct professor.”.

(b) CLERICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for chapter 513 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new item:

“§51317. Adjunct professors.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3506 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (46 U.S.C. 53101 note) is repealed.

SEC. 3504. MARITIME LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM.

The Congress finds that—

(1) it is in the national security interest of the United States to foster commercial shipbuilding in the United States;

(2) the maritime loan guarantee program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, has a long and successful history of facilitating construction of commercial vessels in domestic shipyards;

(3) the Maritime Loan Guarantee Program strengthens our Nation's industrial base by allowing domestic shipyards and their allied service and supply industries to more effectively produce commercial vessels that enhance the commercial seafight capability of the Department of Defense; and

(4) a revitalized and effective Maritime Loan Guarantee Program would result in construction of a more modern and larger fleet of commercial vessels manned by United States citizens, thereby providing a pool of trained United States citizen mariners available to assist the Department of Defense in times of war or national emergency.

SEC. 3505. DEFENSE MEASURES AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED SEIZURES OF MARITIME SECURITY FLEET VESSELS.

Section 53107(b) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) DEFENSE MEASURES AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED SEIZURES.—(A) The Emergency Preparedness Agreement for any operating agreement that first takes effect or is renewed after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 shall require that any vessel operating under the agreement in the carriage of cargo for the Department of Defense in an area that is designated by the Coast Guard as an area of high risk of piracy shall be equipped with, at a minimum, appropriate non-lethal defense measures to protect the vessel, crew, and cargo from unauthorized seizure at sea.

“(B) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall jointly prescribe the non-lethal defense measures that are required under this paragraph.”.

SEC. 3506. REPORT ON RESTRICTIONS ON UNITED STATES-FLAGGED COMMERCIAL VESSEL SECURITY.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall prepare and submit a joint report to the appropriate congressional committees not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on actions within their respective departments to—

(1) eliminate or reduce restrictions under any regulation or provision of law on the carriage of arms and use of armed security teams on United States-flagged commercial vessels for purpose of self defense in areas that are designated as being at a high risk of piracy;

(2) negotiate bilateral agreements with coastal states to allow United States-flagged commercial vessels carrying United States Government cargoes, such as food aid, that must transit areas designated as being at high risk of piracy, to enter the ports of those coastal states while carrying arms or embarked armed security teams for the purpose of self-defense; and

(3) establish common standards, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard, for the training and professional qualifications of armed security teams.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(2) ARMED SECURITY TEAMS.—The term “armed security teams” means security guards employed from the private sector for the purpose of self-defense of the vessel.

SEC. 3507. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO STATE MARITIME ACADEMIES STUDENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM.

(a) INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS.—Section 51509(b) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “and be paid before the start of each academic year, as prescribed by the Secretary,” and inserting “and be paid in such installments as the Secretary shall determine”;

(2) by striking “academy,” and inserting “academy, as prescribed by the Secretary.”.

(b) **REPEAL OF REDUNDANT SECTION.**—Section 177 of division I of Public Law 111–8 (123 Stat. 945; relating to amendments previously enacted by section 3503 of division C of Public Law 110–417 (122 Stat. 4762)) is repealed and shall have no force or effect.

SEC. 3508. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, AND CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.

Section 109 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the headline for subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) **CONTRACTS, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, AND AUDITS.**—”;

(2) by striking the heading for paragraph (1) of subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(1) **CONTRACTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—”;

(3) by striking “make contracts” in subsection (h)(1) and inserting “make contracts and cooperative agreements”;

(4) by striking “section and” in subsection (h)(1)(A) and inserting “section.”;

(5) by striking “title 46,” in subsection (h)(1)(A) and insert “title 46, and all other Maritime Administration programs.”; and

(6) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (j) and inserting after subsection (h) the following:

“(i) **GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.**—Except as otherwise provided by law, the administrative and related expenses for the administration of any grant programs by the Maritime Administrator may not exceed 3 percent.”.

SEC. 3509. USE OF FUNDING FOR DOT MARITIME HERITAGE PROPERTY.

Section 6(a)(1) of the National Maritime Heritage Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 5405(a)(1)) is amended by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) The remainder, whether collected before or after the date of enactment of the Maritime Administration Authorization Act of 2010, shall be available to the Secretary to carry out the Program, as provided in subsection (b) of this section or, if otherwise determined by the Maritime Administrator, for use in the preservation and presentation to the public of maritime heritage property of the Maritime Administration.”.

SEC. 3510. USE OF MIDSHIPMAN FEES.

Section 51314 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking “1994.” in subsection (b) and inserting “1994, or for calculators, computers, personal and academic supplies, midshipman services such as barber, tailor, or laundry services, and Coast Guard license fees.”.

SEC. 3511. CONSTRUCTION OF VESSELS IN THE UNITED STATES POLICY.

Section 50101(a)(4) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting “constructed in the United States” after “vessels”.

SEC. 3512. PORT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

Section 50302 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(c) **PORT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.**—

“(1) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Transportation, through the Maritime Administrator, shall establish a port infrastructure development program for the improvement of port facilities as provided in this subsection.

“(2) **AUTHORITY OF THE ADMINISTRATOR.**—In order to carry out any project under the program established under paragraph (1), the Administrator may—

“(A) receive funds provided for the project from Federal, non-Federal, and private entities that have a specific agreement or contract with

the Administrator to further the purposes of this subsection;

“(B) coordinate with other Federal agencies to expedite the process established under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) for the improvement of port facilities to improve the efficiency of the transportation system, to increase port security, or to provide greater access to port facilities;

“(C) seek to coordinate all reviews or requirements with appropriate local, State, and Federal agencies; and

“(D) provide such technical assistance to port authorities or commissions or their subdivisions and agents as needed for project planning, design, and construction.

“(3) **PORT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT FUND.**—

“(A) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is a Port Infrastructure Development Fund for use by the Administrator in carrying out projects under the port infrastructure development program. The Fund shall be available to the Administrator—

“(i) to administer and carry out projects under the program;

“(ii) to receive Federal, non-Federal, and private funds from entities which have specific agreements or contracts with the Administrator; and

“(iii) to make refunds for projects that will not be completed.

“(B) **CREDITS.**—There may be deposited into the Fund—

“(i) funds from Federal, non-Federal, and private entities which have agreements or contracts with the Administrator and which shall remain in the Fund until expended or refunded; and

“(ii) such amounts as may be appropriated or transferred, subject to subparagraph (C), to the Fund under this subsection.

“(C) **TRANSFERS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to clauses (ii) and (iii), amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for any fiscal year for a marine facility or intermodal facility that includes maritime transportation may be transferred, at the option of the recipient of such amounts, to the Fund and administered by the Administrator as a component of a project under the program.

“(ii) **PROHIBITION ON TRANSFERS.**—Except as provided in clause (iii), no funds appropriated or made available under title 23 or chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, including funds from the Highway Trust Fund (section 9503(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), funds from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund (section 9503(e) of Internal Revenue Code of 1986), and funds provided for public transportation programs within the mass transit category (as defined in section 250(c)(4)(C) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985), shall be transferred into the Fund.

“(iii) **EXCEPTION.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Amounts described in subclause (II) are eligible for transfer into the Fund if—

“(aa) the recipient of the amounts has a specific agreement or contract with the Administrator;

“(bb) the Department of Transportation agency that administers the amounts to be transferred has granted project approval for each component of the project that is to be funded using such amounts;

“(cc) the Department of Transportation agency that administers the amounts to be transferred and the Maritime Administration agree to the transfer through a signed Memorandum of Understanding; and

“(dd) the amounts will be used only to carry out the project for which funds were approved, and in accordance with any conditions governing the amounts under title 23 or chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code.

“(II) **AMOUNTS DESCRIBED.**—The amounts referred to in subclause (I) are amounts appropriated or made available—

“(aa) for loans, loan guarantees, or lines of credit under chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code, for a project eligible under such chapter to facilitate direct intermodal exchange, transfer, and access into and out of a port as defined under section 601(a)(8)(D)(iii) of such title, as in effect on the date of enactment of this subsection; or

“(bb) for projects under title XII of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5).

“(D) **LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or otherwise affect existing authorities to conduct port infrastructure programs in Hawaii (as authorized by section 9008 of Public Law 109–59), Alaska (as authorized by section 10205 of Public Law 109–59), or Guam (as authorized by section 3512 of Public Law 110–417).

“(4) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Fund such sums as may be necessary to carry out the program, taking into account amounts received under paragraph (3)(A)(ii).”.

SEC. 3513. REEFS FOR MARINE LIFE CONSERVATION PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 3 of Public Law 92–402 (16 U.S.C. 1220) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(d) Any territory, possession, or Commonwealth of the United States, and any foreign country, may apply to the Secretary for an obsolete vessel to be used for an artificial reef under this section. The application process and reefing of any such obsolete vessel shall be performed in a manner consistent with the process jointly developed by the Secretary of Transportation and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency under section 3504(b) of Public Law 107–314 (16 U.S.C. 1220 note).”.

(b) **LIMITATION.**—Section 7 of Public Law 92–402 (16 U.S.C. 1220c–1) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(d) **LIMITATION.**—The Secretary may not provide assistance under this section to a foreign country to which an obsolete ship is transferred under this Act.”.

SEC. 3514. UNITED STATES MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY GRADUATE PROGRAM RECEIPT, DISBURSEMENT, AND ACCOUNTING FOR NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS.

Section 51309(b) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “body,” the following: “Nonappropriated funds received for this purpose shall be credited to the Maritime Administration’s Operations and Training appropriation, to remain available until expended, for those expenses directly related to the purpose of such receipts. The Superintendent shall maintain a separate and detailed accounting of nonappropriated fund receipts and all associated expenses.”.

SEC. 3515. AMERICA’S SHORT SEA TRANSPORTATION GRANTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF MARINE HIGHWAYS.

Section 55601 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) **GRANTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall establish and implement a short sea transportation grant program to implement projects or components of a project designated under subsection (d).

“(2) **APPLICATIONS.**—In order to receive a grant under the program, an applicant shall—

“(A) submit an application to the Secretary, in such form and manner, at such time, and containing such information as the Secretary may require; and

“(B) demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Secretary that—

“(i) the project is financially viable;

“(ii) the funds received will be spent efficiently and effectively; and

“(iii) a market exists for the services of the proposed project as evidenced by contracts or

written statements of intent from potential customers.

“(3) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—An applicant shall provide at least 20 percent of the project costs from non-Federal sources. In awarding grants under the program, the Secretary shall give a preference to those projects or components that present the most financially viable transportation services and require the lowest percentage Federal share of the costs.”.

SEC. 3516. EXPANSION OF THE MARINE VIEW SYSTEM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.—The term “marine transportation system” means the navigable water transportation system of the United States, including the vessels, ports (and intermodal connections thereto), and shipyards and other vessel repair facilities that are components of that system.

(2) MARINE VIEW SYSTEM.—The term “Marine View system” means the information system of the Maritime Administration known as Marine View.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—

(1) to expand the Marine View system; and

(2) to provide support for the strategic requirements of the marine transportation system and its contribution to the economic viability of the United States.

(c) EXPANSION OF MARINE VIEW SYSTEM.—To accomplish the purposes of this section, the Sec-

retary of Transportation shall expand the Marine View system so that such system is able to identify, collect, integrate, secure, protect, store, and securely distribute throughout the marine transportation system information that—

(1) provides access to many disparate marine transportation system data sources;

(2) enables a system-wide view of the marine transportation system;

(3) fosters partnerships between the Government of the United States and private entities;

(4) facilitates accurate and efficient modeling of the entire marine transportation system environment;

(5) monitors and tracks threats to the marine transportation system, including areas of severe weather or reported piracy; and

(6) provides vessel tracking and rerouting, as appropriate, to ensure that the economic viability of the United States waterways is maintained.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

SEC. 4001. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDING TABLES.

(a) AMOUNTS SPECIFIED IN FUNDING TABLES ARE AUTHORIZED BY LAW.—Wherever a funding table in this Division specifies a dollar amount for a project, program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the specified dollar amount for the indicated project, program, or activity is hereby authorized by law, subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.—Decisions by agency heads to commit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity on the basis of dollar amount authorized pursuant to subsection (a) shall be based on authorized, transparent, statutory criteria, or merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

(c) RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND REPROGRAMMING AUTHORITY.—This section does not prevent an amount covered by this section from being transferred or reprogrammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority provided by another provision of this Act or by other law. The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in a funding table referred to in subsection (a) shall not count against a ceiling on such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 of this Act or any other provision of law, unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds between appropriation accounts.

(d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This section applies to any classified annex that accompanies this Act.

(e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION.—No oral or written communication concerning any amount specified in a funding table referred to in subsection (a) shall supersede the requirements of this section.

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
AIRCRAFT			
FIXED WING			
001	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)		
002	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT		
003	MQ-1 UAV	401,364	201,364
	Avoid forward funding of production		[-200,000]
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	35,008	35,008
004A	C-12A		
ROTARY WING			
006	ARMED RECONNAISSANCE HELICOPTER		
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
008	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	326,040	326,040
009	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK III	161,280	161,280
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	57,890	57,890
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	1,258,374	1,258,374
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	98,740	98,740
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	860,087	882,087
	Transfer From APA 22		[22,000]
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	50,676	50,676
015	HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING	19,639	0
	Program Not Justified		[-19,639]
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT			
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS	87,424	87,424
017	MQ-1 WEAPONIZATION—UAS	14,832	14,832
018	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	61,517	61,517
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	21,457	21,457
020	AH-64 MODS	426,415	427,415
	Air Filtration Systems		[1,000]
021	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
022	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)	102,876	85,876
	Common Avionics Architecture System		[2,000]
	Vibration Management Enhancement Program		[3,000]
	Transfer to APA 13		[-22,000]
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
024	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	39,547	39,547
025	AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS	823	823
026	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	66,682	71,682
	UH-60 ARNG Rewiring Program		[5,000]
027	KIOWA WARRIOR	140,768	140,768
028	AIRBORNE AVIONICS	241,287	241,287
029	GATM ROLLUP	103,142	103,142
030	RQ-7 UAV MODS	283,012	283,012
030A	C-12A		
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
031	SPARE PARTS (AIR)	7,083	7,083
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS		
032	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	25,975	25,975
033	ASE INFRARED CM	186,356	186,356
	OTHER SUPPORT		
034	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,933	4,933
035	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	87,682	87,682
036	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	52,725	55,725
	Air warrior ensemble—generation III		[3,000]
037	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	76,999	76,999
038	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,533	1,533
039	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	2,716	2,716
040	AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS	11,109	11,109
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,315,991	5,110,352
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	OTHER MISSILES		
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM		
001	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	348,351	348,351
002	PATRIOT/MEADS CAP SYSTEM SUMMARY	16,406	16,406
003	SURFACE-LAUNCHED AMRAAM SYSTEM SUMMARY:	72,920	72,920
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM		
005	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	31,154	29,154
	Unjustified cost growth		[-2,000]
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM		
006	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	148,649	148,649
007	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	108,066	108,066
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	293,617	293,617
009	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	15,663	15,663
010	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (HIMARS)	209,061	209,061
011	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM		
	MODIFICATIONS		
012	PATRIOT MODS	44,775	44,775
013	ITAS/TOW MODS	6,983	6,983
014	MLRS MODS	3,662	3,662
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	38,690	38,690
016	HELLFIRE MODIFICATIONS	10	10
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
017	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	22,338	22,338
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		
018	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	4,188	4,188
019	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,178	1,178
020	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,398	4,398
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,370,109	1,368,109
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS & TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	BRADLEY PROGRAM		
002	BRADLEY TRAINING DEVICES (MOD)		
003	ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES		
004	STRYKER VEHICLE	388,596	364,196
	Program Reduction		[-24,400]
005	FUTURE COMBAT SYSTEMS: (FCS)		
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
007	FCS SPIN OUTS	285,920	285,920
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	42,001	42,001
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
009	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	34,192	34,192
010	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	526,356	526,356
011	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	96,503	5,003
	Army requested transfer to RDT&E, A, line 114		[-91,500]
012	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	96,814	96,814
013	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	63,250	63,250
014	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	70,637	70,637
015	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	183,829	183,829
016	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	185,611	185,611
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
017	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TCV-WTCV)		
018	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	6,601	6,601
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		
019	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM, M119	95,631	95,631
020	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62MM)	32,919	32,919
021	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	84,588	84,588
022	LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN	977	977
023	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN (5.56MM)	7,535	7,535
024	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN (40MM)	7,700	7,700
025	MORTAR SYSTEMS	14,779	14,779
026	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE	224	224
027	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	16,023	16,023

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
028	M110 SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM (SASS)	6,223	6,223
029	M4 CARBINE	20,500	20,500
030	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY SYSTEM (MASS)	6,945	6,945
031	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION (CRO)		100,000
	Program Increase		[100,000]
032	HANDGUN	3,389	3,389
033	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	49,572	49,572
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH		
034	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS	8,164	8,164
035	M4 CARBINE MODS	31,472	31,472
036	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	7,738	7,738
037	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	7,833	7,833
038	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	17,964	17,964
039	PHALANX MODS		
040	M119 MODIFICATIONS	25,306	25,306
041	M16 RIFLE MODS	4,186	4,186
041A	M14 7.62 RIFLE MODS		
042	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	6,164	6,164
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
043	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	551	551
044	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	9,855	12,855
	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) at Rock Island		[3,000]
045	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	392	392
046	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	5,012	5,012
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF WTCV, ARMY	2,451,952	2,439,052
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
	AMMUNITION		
	SMALL/MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION		
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	207,752	207,752
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	77,602	77,602
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	5,120	5,120
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	162,342	162,342
005	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	17,054	17,054
006	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	96,572	96,572
007	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	172,675	175,675
	Additional 40mm Mortar Rounds—Milan AAP		[3,000]
	MORTAR AMMUNITION		
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	23,607	25,607
	M722 60mm White Phosphorous Smoke Mortar Rounds		[2,000]
009	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	28,719	28,719
010	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES	104,961	104,961
	TANK AMMUNITION		
011	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES	7,741	7,741
012	CTG, TANK, 120MM, ALL TYPES	113,483	113,483
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION		
013	CTG, ARTY, 75MM: ALL TYPES	5,229	5,229
014	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES	90,726	90,726
015	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES	54,546	54,546
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	62,292	62,292
017	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T	33,441	33,441
	ARTILLERY FUZES		
018	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES	19,870	19,870
	MINES		
019	MINES, ALL TYPES	815	815
020	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES		
021	ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE ALTERNATIVES	56,387	56,387
022	INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM (IMS), ALL TYPES	19,507	19,507
	ROCKETS		
023	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	45,302	45,302
024	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	99,904	99,904
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	18,793	18,793
026	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	49,910	49,910
027	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	83,094	83,094
028	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	12,081	12,081
	MISCELLANEOUS		
029	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	17,968	17,968
030	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	7,378	7,378
031	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	3,353	3,353
032	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	8,826	8,826
033	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	11,187	11,187
034	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	14,354	14,354
035	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	99	99
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		
036	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	151,943	153,943
	Bomb line modernization		[2,000]
037	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	9,529	9,529
038	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES	8,772	8,772
039	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL	145,777	145,777
040	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,184	3,184

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	2,051,895	2,058,895
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	95,893	95,893
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	20,870	20,870
003	SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS	13,217	13,217
004	HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV)	281,123	281,123
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	1,158,522	1,033,522
	Program reduction		[-125,000]
006	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN	17,575	17,575
007	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	812,918	812,918
008	PLS ESP	18,973	18,973
009	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)	136,605	136,605
010	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY	402,517	312,517
	Reassessment of program requirement		[-90,000]
011	FAMILY OF MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTEC (MRAP)		
012	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916	74,703	74,703
013	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P	180,793	180,793
014	HMMWV RECAPITALIZATION PROGRAM	2,904	2,904
015	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	10,314	10,314
016	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH)	298	298
017	TOWING DEVICE-FIFTH WHEEL	414	414
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES		
018	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1,980	1,980
019	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	269	269
020	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	3,052	3,052
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	COMM-JOINT COMMUNICATIONS		
021	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM		
022	JOINT COMBAT IDENTIFICATION MARKING SYSTEM	11,868	11,868
023	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	544,202	544,202
024	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	4,868	4,868
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
025	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS (S	145,108	145,108
026	SHF TERM	90,918	90,918
027	SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE)	653	653
028	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	72,735	72,735
029	SMART-T (SPACE)	61,116	61,116
030	SCAMP (SPACE)	1,834	1,834
031	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS	6,849	6,849
032	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	2,862	2,862
	COMM—COMBAT SUPPORT COMM		
032A	MOD-IN-SERVICE PROFILER		
	COMM—C3 SYSTEM		
033	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	22,996	22,996
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		
034	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	1,705	1,705
035	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	90,204	35,040
	Testing delays in JTRS GMR		[-55,164]
036	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	8,549	8,549
037	SINGGARS FAMILY	6,812	0
	Program Reduction		[-6,812]
038	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2		
038A	SINGGARS—GROUND		
039	MULTI-PURPOSE INFORMATIONS OPERATIONS SYSEMS	6,164	6,164
040	BRIDGE TO FUTURE NETWORKS		
041	COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING		
042	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	21,820	21,820
043	IMS REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	9,256	9,256
044	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	4,646	4,646
045	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL)	2,367	2,367
046	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	6,555	6,555
047	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)	18,583	18,583
	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM		
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)	1,414	1,414
	INFORMATION SECURITY		
049	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)	29,525	29,525
050	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	33,189	33,189
	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS		
051	TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION	1,890	1,890
052	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	25,525	25,525
053	ELECTROMAG COMP PROG (EMCP)		
054	WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP)	31,256	31,256
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
055	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	216,057	216,057
056	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	6,203	6,203
057	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM(.....	147,111	147,111
058	PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM	39,906	39,906
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)		
061	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (MIP)		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
062	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)	3,279	3,279
063	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	64,498	64,498
064	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL SYS (TUAS)MIP		
065	SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (SUAS)		
066	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)		
067	DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM (DIP) (TIARA)		
068	TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM (MIP)		
069	DCGS-A (MIP)	85,354	85,354
070	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	6,703	6,703
071	TROJAN (MIP)	26,659	26,659
072	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	7,021	7,021
073	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) (MIP)	4,509	4,509
074	SEQUOYAH FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM	6,420	6,420
075	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)	17,053	17,053
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)		
076	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	31,661	31,661
077	WARLOCK		
078	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	1,284	1,284
079	CI MODERNIZATION (MIP)	1,221	1,221
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
080	SENTINEL MODS	25,863	25,863
081	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	25,352	25,352
082	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	366,820	191,158
	Contractor production delays in Enhanced Night Vision Goggle line		[-175,662]
083	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	133,836	133,836
084	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	313,237	313,237
085	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	9,179	9,179
086	RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEMS	2,198	2,198
087	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C-RAM)		
088	BASE EXPEDITIONARY TARGETING AND SURV SYS		
089	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	5,838	5,838
090	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MMS)		
091	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SE	1,178	1,178
092	PROFILER	4,766	4,766
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	2,801	2,801
094	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	271,979	271,979
095	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P)	17,242	17,242
096	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD)	59,080	59,080
097	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32		
098	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	15,520	15,520
099	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	194,665	194,665
100	INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS)—MIP		
101	ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM	1,944	1,944
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
102	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS	29,934	29,934
103	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	39,042	39,042
104	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM (BC	31,968	31,968
105	FAAD C2	8,289	8,289
106	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD	62,439	62,439
107	KNIGHT FAMILY	80,831	80,831
108	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	1,778	1,778
109	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	31,542	31,542
110	TC AIMS II	11,124	11,124
111	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JNMS)		
112	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER		
113	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	53,898	53,898
114	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	77,646	77,646
115	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	46,861	46,861
116	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	11,118	11,118
117	MOUNTED BATTLE COMMAND ON THE MOVE (MBCOTM)	926	926
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION		
118	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	85,801	85,801
119	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	12,823	12,823
120	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	254,723	239,723
	Program Reduction		[-15,000]
121	CSS COMMUNICATIONS	33,749	33,749
122	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	39,675	39,675
	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)		
123	AFRTS		
124	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)	2,709	2,709
125	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	5,172	5,172
	ELECT EQUIP—MODS TACTICAL SYS/EQ		
126	WEAPONIZATION OF UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (UAS)		
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT		
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5M (SSE)		
128	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	518	518
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,522	2,522
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
129	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	2,081	2,081
130	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	108,334	108,334
131	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)	7,135	7,135
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
132	TACTICAL BRIDGING	58,509	58,509
133	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	135,015	135,015
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		
134	HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS-HST	42,264	42,264
135	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS	56,123	59,123
	FIDO explosives detector		[3,000]
136	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	49,333	49,333
137	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	3,479	3,479
138	AERIAL DETECTION	11,200	200
	Funding ahead of need		[-11,000]
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
139	HEATERS AND ECU'S	11,924	11,924
140	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES		
141	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	4,071	4,071
142	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME)		
142A	LAND WARRIOR		
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	6,981	6,981
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	1,809	1,809
145	MOUNTED SOLDIER SYSTEM	1,085	1,085
146	FORCE PROVIDER		
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	57,872	57,872
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	66,381	66,381
149	MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS COLLECTION SYSTEM:	16,585	16,585
150	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	25,531	25,531
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT		
151	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	84,019	84,019
	WATER EQUIPMENT		
153	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	7,173	7,173
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		
154	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	33,694	37,694
	Combat casualty care equipment upgrade program		[3,000]
	Life Support for Trauma and Transport (LSTAT)		[1,000]
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT		
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	137,002	137,002
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	812	812
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		
157	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	50,897	50,897
158	SKID STEER LOADER (SSL) FAMILY OF SYSTEM	18,387	18,387
159	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING		
160	DISTR, WATER, SP MIN 2500G SEC/NON-SEC		
161	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING	44,420	44,420
162	LOADERS	20,824	20,824
163	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	18,785	18,785
164	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	50,102	50,102
165	CRANES		
166	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	12,915	12,915
167	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HME) FOS	36,451	36,451
168	CONST EQUIP ESP	8,391	8,391
169	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	12,562	12,562
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT		
170	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	183,666	183,666
171	HARBORMASTER COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTER (HCCC	10,962	10,962
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	6,785	6,785
	GENERATORS		
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	146,067	146,067
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)	41,239	41,239
175	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM	44,898	44,898
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	22,967	22,967
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	261,348	276,698
	Operator driving simulator		[350]
	Joint fires & effects training systems (JFETS)		[5,000]
	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)		[4,000]
	Mobile Firing Range—TX ARNG		[1,500]
	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment (V.I.C.E.) Training System—VA ARNG		[2,000]
	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training Systems for the Hawaii ARNG		[2,500]
178	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	65,155	65,155
179	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA	12,794	12,794
180	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	7,870	7,870
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)		
181	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	16,844	16,844
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	101,320	101,320
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	15,526	15,526
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
184	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	21,770	21,770
185	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	49,758	49,758
186	BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT	1,303	1,303
187	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	53,884	53,884
188	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	3,050	3,050
189	BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE		
190	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	45,516	45,516

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
191	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	12,232	12,232
192	MA8975	4,492	4,492
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
	OPA2		
193	INITIAL SPARES—C&E	25,867	25,867
194	WIN-T INCREMENT 2 SPARES	9,758	9,758
194a	Procurement of computer services / systems		
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	9,907,151	9,450,863
	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND		
	NETWORK ATTACK		
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK	203,100	0
	Transfer to OCO		[−203,100]
	JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT		
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	199,100	0
	Transfer to OCO		[−199,100]
	FORCE TRAINING		
003	TRAIN THE FORCE	41,100	0
	Transfer to OCO		[−41,100]
	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
004	OPERATIONS	121,550	0
	Transfer to OCO		[−121,550]
	TOTAL—JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND	564,850	0
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
001	AV-8B (V/STOL) HARRIER		
002	EA-18G	1,611,837	1,611,837
003	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	20,559	20,559
004	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET	1,009,537	1,521,817
	Additional aircraft		[512,280]
005	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	51,431	159,431
	EOQ for MYP III		[108,000]
006	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	3,997,048	3,997,048
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	481,000	481,000
008	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	2,215,829	2,215,829
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	84,342	84,342
010	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	709,801	609,801
	UH-1Y/AH-1Z Program Reduction		[−100,000]
011	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	70,550	70,550
012	MH-60S (MYP)	414,145	414,145
013	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	78,830	78,830
014	MH-60R	811,781	811,781
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	131,504	131,504
016	P-8A POSEIDON	1,664,525	1,664,525
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	160,526	149,646
	Excessive advance procurement growth		[−7,680]
	Funding for production line slots		[−3,200]
018	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	511,245	511,245
019	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	94,924	94,924
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
020	C-40A	74,381	74,381
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
021	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK		
022	JPATS	266,539	266,539
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
023	KC-130J		
024	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
025	RQ-7 UAV	56,797	53,797
	Attrition vehicles		[−3,000]
026	MQ-8 UAV	77,616	77,616
027	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT		
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
028	EA-6 SERIES	39,977	39,977
029	AV-8 SERIES	35,668	35,668
030	F-18 SERIES	484,129	480,729
	Excessive growth of IR Marker ECP		[−3,400]
031	H-46 SERIES	35,325	35,325
032	AH-1W SERIES	66,461	66,461
033	H-53 SERIES	68,197	68,197
034	SH-60 SERIES	82,253	82,253
035	H-1 SERIES	20,040	20,040
036	EP-3 SERIES	92,530	92,530
037	P-3 SERIES	445,171	445,571
	P-3 Series Program Reduction		[−39,600]
038	S-3 SERIES		
039	E-2 SERIES	22,853	22,853
040	TRAINER A/C SERIES	20,907	20,907
041	C-2A	21,343	21,343
042	C-130 SERIES	22,449	22,449
043	FEWSG	9,486	9,486

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
044	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	19,429	19,429
045	E-6 SERIES	102,646	102,646
046	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	42,456	42,456
047	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	14,869	14,869
048	T-45 SERIES	51,484	51,484
049	POWER PLANT CHANGES	26,395	26,395
050	JPATS SERIES	4,922	4,922
051	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS	5,594	5,594
052	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	47,419	49,419
	Crane Integrated Defensive Countermeasures (IDECM) Depot Capability		[2,000]
053	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	151,112	151,112
054	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM		
055	ID SYSTEMS	24,125	24,125
056	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	24,502	24,502
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
057	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,264,012	1,262,412
	UH-1Y/AH-1Z reduction		[-1,600]
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES		
058	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	363,588	363,588
059	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	11,075	11,075
060	WAR CONSUMABLES	55,406	55,406
061	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	23,861	23,861
062	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	42,147	42,147
063	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,734	1,734
064	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	18,378,312	18,842,112
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	BALLISTIC MISSILES		
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
001	TRIDENT II MODS	1,060,504	1,060,504
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,447	3,447
	OTHER MISSILES		
	STRATEGIC MISSILES		
003	TOMAHAWK	283,055	283,055
	TACTICAL MISSILES		
004	AMRAAM	145,506	140,506
	Diminished manufacturing sources funding ahead of need		[-5,000]
005	SIDEWINDER	56,845	56,845
006	JSOW	145,336	145,336
007	SLAM-ER		
008	STANDARD MISSILE	249,233	249,233
009	RAM	74,784	74,784
010	HELLFIRE	59,411	59,411
011	AERIAL TARGETS	47,003	47,003
012	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,928	3,928
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
013	ESSM	51,388	51,388
014	HARM MODS	47,973	47,973
015	STANDARD MISSILES MODS	81,451	81,451
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
016	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,211	13,211
	Accelerate facility restoration program		[10,000]
017	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	487,280	487,280
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	28,847	28,847
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
019	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	48,883	48,883
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.		
020	SSTD		
021	ASW TARGETS	9,288	9,288
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
022	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS	94,159	87,023
	Support funding carryover		[-7,136]
023	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	61,608	56,308
	Support funding carryover		[-5,300]
024	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	4,680	4,680
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
025	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	39,869	39,869
026	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	10,044	10,044
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		
027	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,434	3,434
	OTHER WEAPONS		
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
028	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	12,742	12,742
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
029	CIWS MODS	158,896	158,896
030	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	21,157	21,157
031	GUN MOUNT MODS	30,761	30,761
032	LCS MODULE WEAPONS		
033	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	51,227	51,227

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
034	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	12,309	12,309
	OTHER		
035	MARINE CORPS TACTIAL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM		
036	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
037	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	65,196	65,196
	TOTAL—WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,453,455	3,446,019
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		
	PROC AMMO, NAVY		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	75,227	75,227
002	JDAM	1,968	1,968
003	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	38,643	38,643
004	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	19,622	12,062
	20MM linkless TP cost growth		[-2,900]
	20MM linked TP cost growth		[-1,990]
	20MM linked HEI cost growth		[-2,670]
005	PRACTICE BOMBS	33,803	24,503
	Enhanced laser guided training round cost growth		[-9,300]
006	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	50,600	50,600
007	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	79,102	69,302
	MJU-55 production termination		[-9,800]
008	JATOS	3,230	3,230
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	27,483	27,483
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	25,974	25,974
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	35,934	35,934
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	43,490	43,490
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	10,623	10,623
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	3,214	3,214
	PROC AMMO, MC		
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	87,781	87,781
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	23,582	23,582
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	57,291	57,291
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	22,037	22,037
019	81MM, ALL TYPES	54,869	54,869
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	29,579	29,579
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES	2,259	2,259
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	10,694	10,694
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	13,948	13,948
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	57,948	57,948
025	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING VEHICLE		
026	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	14,886	14,886
027	FUZE, ALL TYPES	575	575
028	NON LETHALS	3,034	3,034
029	AMMO MODERNIZATION	8,886	8,886
030	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,393	4,393
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	840,675	814,015
	SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY		
	OTHER WARSHIPS		
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	739,269	739,269
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	484,432	484,432
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	1,964,317	1,964,317
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	1,959,725	1,959,725
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS	1,563,602	1,563,602
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	211,820	211,820
007	SSBN ERO		
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
009	DDG 1000	1,084,161	1,084,161
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
011	DDG-51	1,912,267	1,912,267
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	328,996	328,996
013	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,380,000	1,380,000
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		
014	LPD-17	872,392	872,392
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	184,555	184,555
016	LHA REPLACEMENT		
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
018	INTRATHEATER CONNECTOR	177,956	177,956
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST		
019	OUTFITTING	391,238	391,238
020	SERVICE CRAFT	3,694	3,694
021	LCAC SLEP	63,857	63,857
022	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	454,586	454,586
	TOTAL—SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY	13,776,867	13,776,867
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	8,014	8,014
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	9,162	9,162
003	OTHER PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		
004	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	34,743	34,743
	PERISCOPES		
005	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	75,127	70,127
	Digital periscope contract delay		[-5,000]
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		
006	DDG MOD	142,262	142,262
007	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	11,423	14,523
	Smart valves for fire suppression		[3,100]
008	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	4,383	4,383
009	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	24,992	24,992
010	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,867	16,867
011	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	103,153	103,153
012	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	51,482	51,482
013	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	15,672	15,672
014	DSSP EQUIPMENT	10,641	10,641
015	CG MODERNIZATION	315,323	315,323
016	LCAC	6,642	6,642
017	MINESWEEPING EQUIPMENT		
018	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	19,232	19,232
019	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	127,554	124,430
	CVN auto voltage regulators ahead of need		[-3,124]
020	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	8,899	8,899
021	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	14,721	14,721
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT		
022	REACTOR POWER UNITS		
023	REACTOR COMPONENTS	262,354	262,354
	OCEAN ENGINEERING		
024	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	5,304	5,304
	SMALL BOATS		
025	STANDARD BOATS	35,318	35,318
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
026	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	15,113	15,113
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT		
027	OPERATING FORCES IPE	47,172	47,172
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT		
028	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	136,683	136,683
029	LCS MODULES	137,259	137,259
	LOGISTIC SUPPORT		
030	LSO MIDLIFE	117,856	117,856
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	SHIP RADARS		
031	RADAR SUPPORT	9,968	9,968
032	SPQ-9B RADAR	13,476	13,476
033	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	111,093	95,593
	SQQ-89 backfit suites ahead of need		[-15,500]
034	SSN ACOUSTICS	299,962	303,962
	TB-33 thinline towed array		[4,000]
035	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	38,705	38,705
036	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	13,537	13,537
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
037	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	20,681	20,681
038	SSTD	2,184	2,184
039	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	63,017	63,017
040	SURTASS	24,108	24,108
041	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	22,464	22,464
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT		
042	AN/SLQ-32	34,264	34,264
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT		
043	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	105,883	105,883
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		
044	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	98,645	83,495
	Multi-function modular mast units ahead of need		[-15,150]
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
045	NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM		
046	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	30,522	30,522
047	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT	13,594	13,594
048	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	35,933	35,933
049	ATDLS	7,314	7,314
050	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	79,091	74,291
	RMS restructure		[-4,800]
051	SHALLOW WATER MCM	7,835	7,835
052	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	10,845	10,845
053	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	3,333	3,333
054	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	4,149	4,149
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
055	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	36,784	36,784
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
056	MATCALS	17,468	17,468

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
057	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	7,970	7,970
058	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	18,878	18,878
059	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	28,988	28,988
060	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,203	8,203
061	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	10,526	10,526
062	ID SYSTEMS	38,682	38,682
063	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)	9,102	9,102
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
064	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	8,719	11,719
	Shelter Upgrade Program		[3,000]
065	TADIX-B	793	793
066	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE	11,820	11,820
067	COMMON IMAGERY GROUND SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,632	27,632
068	CANES	1,181	1,181
069	RADIAC	5,990	5,990
070	GPETE	3,737	3,737
071	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,423	4,423
072	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,778	4,778
073	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	65,760	65,760
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS		
074	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS		
075	PORTABLE RADIOS		
076	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	310,605	290,305
	Shipboard network systems ahead of need		[-20,300]
077	AN/URC-82 RADIO	4,913	4,913
078	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	25,314	25,314
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS		
079	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	105	105
080	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	48,729	48,729
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
081	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	50,172	50,172
082	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	72,496	72,496
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		
083	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	2,322	2,322
084	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	1,293	1,293
085	NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	2,542	2,542
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		
086	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	119,054	119,054
087	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	16,839	16,839
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT		
088	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	18,892	18,892
	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT		
089	OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT		
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	SONOBUOYS		
090	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	91,976	91,976
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
091	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	75,329	75,329
092	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	8,343	8,343
093	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	12,850	12,850
094	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	46,849	46,849
	ADMACS Block II upgrade cost growth		[-1,821]
095	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	21,458	21,458
096	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	1,582	1,582
097	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	27,367	32,367
	Multi Climate Protection System		[5,000]
098	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	55,408	55,408
099	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	23,694	23,694
100	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS	9,710	9,710
101	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,541	16,541
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT		
102	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM	1,391	1,391
103	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT	7,891	7,891
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		
104	NATO SEASPARROW	13,556	13,556
105	RAM GMLS	7,762	7,762
106	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM	34,079	34,079
107	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	108,886	108,886
108	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	88,475	88,475
109	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS	5,513	5,513
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
110	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	155,579	155,579
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
111	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	118,528	118,528
112	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,200	5,200
113	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	13,646	13,646
114	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,256	7,256
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
115	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	54,069	54,069
116	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	3,478	3,478
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE		
117	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	37,128	37,128

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
118	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	7,430	7,430
119	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	25,271	25,271
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
120	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	4,139	4,139
121	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	1,731	1,731
122	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	12,931	12,931
123	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	12,976	12,976
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES	25,352	25,352
125	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	2,950	2,950
126	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	5,097	5,097
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	23,787	23,787
128	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,115	1,115
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
129	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	17,153	17,153
130	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,368	6,368
131	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	6,217	6,217
132	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	71,597	71,597
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	TRAINING DEVICES		
133	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,944	12,944
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
134	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	55,267	55,267
135	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,084	2,084
136	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,517	5,517
137	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1,537	1,537
139	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,250	12,250
140	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	5,324	5,324
141	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	18,183	18,183
142	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	128,921	128,921
143	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	79,747	79,747
	OTHER		
144	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	19,463	19,463
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
145	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	247,796	247,796
145a	Procurement of computer services / systems		
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	5,661,176	5,610,581
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	AAV7A1 PIP	9,127	9,127
002	LAV PIP	34,969	34,969
003	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (IRV)		
004	M1A1 FIREPOWER ENHANCEMENTS		
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		
005	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	19,591	19,591
006	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	7,420	7,420
007	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	71,476	71,476
008	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	25,949	25,949
	WEAPONS		
009	MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM		
	OTHER SUPPORT		
010	MODIFICATION KITS	33,990	33,990
011	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	22,238	22,238
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		
	GUIDED MISSILES		
012	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	11,387	11,387
013	JAVELIN		
014	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	25,333	25,333
015	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	71,225	71,225
	OTHER SUPPORT		
016	MODIFICATION KITS	2,114	2,114
	COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		
017	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	19,832	19,832
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
018	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	31,087	31,087
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
019	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	11,368	11,368
020	MODIFICATION KITS		
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
021	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	3,531	3,531
022	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	45,084	45,084
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
023	RADAR SYSTEMS	7,428	7,428
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,580	2,580
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	37,581	37,581
026	RQ-11 UAV	42,403	42,403
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
027	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	10,360	10,360
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
028	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	115,263	115,263
029	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	49,820	49,820
030	RADIO SYSTEMS	61,954	61,954
031	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	98,254	98,254
032	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	15,531	15,531
	SUPPORT VEHICLES		
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		
033	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	1,265	1,265
034	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	13,610	13,610
035	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	9,796	9,796
036	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	6,111	6,111
037	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT	10,792	10,792
038	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP	217,390	217,390
039	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	26,497	26,497
040	TRAILERS	18,122	18,122
	OTHER SUPPORT		
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	5,948	5,948
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	5,121	5,121
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	13,035	13,035
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	35,059	38,159
	Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage Units		[3,100]
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	21,033	21,033
046	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	39,876	39,876
047	EOD SYSTEMS	93,335	93,335
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	12,169	12,169
049	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE)	11,825	11,825
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	41,430	41,430
051	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	5,301	5,301
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
052	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	6,811	6,811
053	TRAINING DEVICES	14,854	14,854
054	CONTAINER FAMILY	3,770	3,770
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	37,735	37,735
056	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEH (ITV)	10,360	10,360
057	BRIDGE BOATS		
058	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	2,159	2,159
	OTHER SUPPORT		
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	8,792	8,792
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
060	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	41,547	41,547
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,600,638	1,603,738
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
	TACTICAL FORCES		
001	F-35	2,048,830	2,178,830
	F136 engine procurement		[130,000]
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	300,600	278,600
	Reduction of 2 aircraft previously funded in fiscal year 2009		[-22,000]
003	F-22A	95,163	95,163
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
	TACTICAL AIRLIFT		
005	C-17A (MYP)	88,510	88,510
	OTHER AIRLIFT		
006	C-130J	285,632	285,632
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	108,000	108,000
008	HC/MC-130 RECAP	879,231	375,231
	Funded in fiscal year 2009 supplemental		[-504,000]
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	137,360	137,360
010	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT	319,050	319,050
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
	UPT TRAINERS		
011	USAFA POWERED FLIGHT PROGRAM	4,144	4,144
	OPERATIONAL TRAINERS		
012	JPATS	15,711	15,711
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
	HELICOPTERS		
013	V22 OSPREY	437,272	437,272
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	13,835	13,835
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT		
015	C-29A FLIGHT INSPECTION ACFT		
016	C-12 A		
017	C-40	154,044	259,294
	Program Increase		[105,250]
018	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,426	2,426
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
020	TARGET DRONES	78,511	78,511

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
021	C-37A	66,400	66,400
022	GLOBAL HAWK	554,775	554,775
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	113,049	113,049
024	MQ-1		
025	MQ-9	489,469	489,469
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,608	3,608
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE AIRCRAFT		
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
026	B-2A	283,955	264,155
	USAF requested transfer to APAF 78A, B-2 Post Production Support for the B-2 Weapon System Support Center		[-19,800]
027	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
028	B-1B	107,558	78,558
	Program delay for various programs. Funding transferred to PE 11126F (RDAF 119)		[-29,000]
029	B-52	78,788	61,466
	Air Force identified excess		[-17,322]
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT		
030	A-10	252,488	252,488
031	F-15	92,921	143,421
	5 AESA Radars		[50,500]
032	F-16	224,642	221,875
	Funding ahead of need—BLOS Installs		[-2,767]
033	F-22A	350,735	192,336
	Common Configuration—Early to need		[-158,399]
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
034	C-5	606,993	578,993
	Funding ahead of need—RERP Install		[-28,000]
035	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	108,300	108,300
036	C-9C	10	10
037	C-17A	469,731	424,431
	Funding requested ahead of need		[-45,300]
038	C-21	562	562
039	C-32A	10,644	10,644
040	C-37A	4,336	4,336
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
041	GLIDER MODS	119	119
042	T-6	33,074	33,074
043	T-1	35	35
044	T-38	75,274	61,057
	Improved Brake System Program Termination		[-14,217]
045	T-43		
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
046	KC-10A (ATCA)	9,441	9,441
047	C-12	472	472
048	MC-12W	63,000	63,000
049	C-20 MODS	734	734
050	VC-25A MOD	15,610	15,610
051	C-40	9,162	9,162
052	C-130	354,421	134,171
	Scathe View Hyper-Spectral Imagery Upgrade		[4,500]
	Senior Scout COMINT Capability Upgrade		[3,750]
	Program Excess		[-209,500]
	Centerwing Replacements—Early to need		[-19,000]
053	CI30J MODS	13,627	13,627
054	C-135	150,425	150,425
055	COMPASS CALL MODS	29,187	29,187
056	DARP	107,859	107,859
057	E-3	79,263	79,263
058	E-4	73,058	73,058
059	E-8	225,973	225,973
060	H-1	18,280	18,280
061	H-60	14,201	95,201
	HH-60G AAQ-29 FLIR		[81,000]
062	GLOBAL HAWK MODS	134,864	134,864
063	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	1,964	1,964
064	OTHER AIRCRAFT	103,274	127,274
	Litening ATP upgrade kits		[24,000]
065	MQ-1 MODS	123,889	123,889
066	MQ-9 MODS	48,837	48,837
	Reflect USAF decision to change sensor payload		
067	CV-22 MODS	24,429	24,429
067A	CAF Restructure		
	AIRCRAFT SPARES + REPAIR PARTS		
068	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	418,604	418,604
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIP		
069	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	105,820	105,820
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		
070	B-1	3,929	3,929
071	B-2A		
072	B-2A	24,481	24,481
073	C-5	2,259	2,259
074	C-5	11,787	11,787

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Agreement
075	KC-10A (ATCA)	4,125	4,125
076	C-17A	91,400	0
	Funding requested ahead of need		[-91,400]
077	C-130	28,092	28,092
078	EC-130J	5,283	5,283
078A	B-2 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		19,800
	USAF requested transfer from APAC 26 for the B-2 Weapon System Support Center		[19,800]
079	F-15	15,744	15,744
080	F-16	19,951	19,951
081	OTHER AIRCRAFT	51,980	51,980
082	T-1		
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		
083	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	25,529	25,529
	WAR CONSUMABLES		
084	WAR CONSUMABLES	134,427	134,427
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		
085	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	490,344	490,344
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES—SOF		
087	CANCELLED ACCT ADJUSTMENTS		
	DARP		
088	DARP	15,323	15,323
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	19,443	19,443
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	11,966,276	11,224,371
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		
	ROCKETS		
001	ROCKETS	43,461	43,461
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	123,886	123,886
	BOMBS		
003	PRACTICE BOMBS	52,459	52,459
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	225,145	225,145
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	103,041	103,041
	FLARE, IR MJU-7B		
006	CAD/PAD	40,522	40,522
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	3,302	3,302
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	4,582	4,582
009	MODIFICATIONS	1,289	1,289
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	5,061	5,061
	FUZES		
011	FLARES	152,515	152,515
012	FUZES	61,037	61,037
	WEAPONS		
	SMALL ARMS		
013	SMALL ARMS	6,162	6,162
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	822,462	822,462
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	BALLISTIC MISSILES		
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT-BALLISTIC		
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	58,139	58,139
	OTHER MISSILES		
	TACTICAL		
002	JASSM	52,666	52,666
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	78,753	78,753
004	AMRAAM	291,827	286,827
	Funding ahead of need for DMS		[095,000]
005	PREDITOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	79,699	64,530
	Updated pricing		[-15,169]
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	134,801	134,801
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		
007	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION	841	841
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE MISSILES		
	CLASS IV		
008	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	32	32
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS	199,484	199,484
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK	258	258
011	AGM-88A HARM	30,280	30,280
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
	MISSILE SPARES + REPAIR PARTS		
013	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	70,185	70,185
	OTHER SUPPORT		
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
014	ADVANCED EHF	1,843,475	1,843,475
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE)	201,671	151,671
	Program delay		[-50,000]
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	62,380	62,380

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
018	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	9,871	9,871
019	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	53,140	53,140
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		
021	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM		
022	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE)	97,764	97,764
023	TITAN SPACE BOOSTERS(SPACE)		
024	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE)	1,295,325	1,102,225
	Reduction in Requirement for Launch Vehicles		[-88,100]
	EELV reduction for AFSPC 4		[-105,000]
025	MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLE(SPACE)		
026	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	307,456	307,456
027	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	159,000	159,000
028	NATL POLAR-ORBITING OP ENV SATELLITE	3,900	3,900
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		
029	DEFENSE SPACE RECONN PROGRAM	105,152	105,152
031	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	311,070	311,070
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	853,559	853,559
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	6,300,728	6,037,459
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	25,922	25,922
003	CAP VEHICLES	897	897
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		
004	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	44,603	44,603
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		
005	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	27,760	27,760
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
006	HALVERSEN LOADER		
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		
007	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND CLEANING EQU	24,884	24,884
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000(VEHICLES)	57,243	40,243
	Reduce program growth		[-17,000]
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	18,163	18,163
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS		
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)		
009	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	209,249	209,249
010	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	1,570	1,570
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS		
011	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	4,230	4,230
012	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT	21,965	27,465
	Eagle Vision-ANG		[4,000]
	Eagle Vision Upgrade-ANG		[1,500]
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS		
013	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS	22,591	22,591
014	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	47,670	47,670
015	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN	56,776	56,776
016	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	19,357	19,357
017	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	35,116	35,116
018	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	28,608	28,608
019	DRUG INTERDICTION SPT	452	452
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
020	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	111,282	111,282
021	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	15,499	15,499
022	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	8,610	8,610
023	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	137,293	77,293
	Weapons Storage Area—Request ahead of need		[-60,000]
024	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	40,633	44,633
	Unmanned modular threat emitter (UMTE)		[3,000]
	Joint threat emitter (JTE)		[1,000]
025	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	8,177	8,177
026	GCSS-AF FOS	81,579	81,579
027	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	29,687	29,687
028	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	54,093	54,093
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS		
029	BASE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE	433,859	384,859
	Excess funding		[-49,000]
030	USCENTCOM	38,958	38,958
031	AUTOMATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS PRG		
	DISA PROGRAMS		
032	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE	34,440	34,440
033	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	6,415	6,415
034	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	15,436	15,436
035	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	58,865	58,865
036	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	100,275	100,275
037	MILSATCOM SPACE	110,575	110,575
038	SPACE MODS SPACE	30,594	30,594
039	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	29,793	29,793
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
040	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	240,890	207,890
	Reduce Vehicle Communication Systems		[-33,000]
041	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	35,029	35,029
042	RADIO EQUIPMENT	15,536	15,536
043	TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV)		
044	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	12,961	12,961
045	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	121,049	121,049
	MODIFICATIONS		
046	COMM ELECT MODS	64,087	64,087
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
047	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	28,226	28,226
048	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	17,223	17,223
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ		
049	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	15,449	15,449
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
050	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	14,300	14,300
051	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	22,973	10,000
	Reduce program growth		[-12,973]
052	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	3,020	3,020
053	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	32,855	32,855
054	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (BASE S)	8,195	11,195
	Aircrew Body Armor and Load Carriage Vest		[3,000]
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
056	DARPA RC135	23,132	23,132
057	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	293,640	293,640
059	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	471,234	471,234
060	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	30,041	30,041
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	13,830,722	13,830,722
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
061	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	19,460	19,460
061a	Procurement of computer services / systems		
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	17,293,141	17,133,668
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		600,000
	Additional MRAP vehicles to meet new requirement		[600,000]
	TOTAL—MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		600,000
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS		
001	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	8,858	8,858
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA		
003	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,489	1,489
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA		
004	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	2,012	2,012
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA		
005	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	10,431	10,431
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
017	INTERDICTION SUPPORT		
018	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	13,449	13,449
019	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	7,053	7,053
020	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,820	2,820
021	TELEPORT PROGRAM	68,037	68,037
022	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	196,232	196,232
023	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,051	3,051
024	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK (DISN)	89,725	89,725
025	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE	1,780	1,780
026	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM	2,835	2,835
027	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	18,188	18,188
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA		
028	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	7,728	7,728
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT		
029	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	10,149	10,149
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA		
030	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,463	1,463
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY		
031	EQUIPMENT		
032	VEHICLES	50	50
033	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	7,447	7,447
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA		
034	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	436	436
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY		
035	THAAD SYSTEM	420,300	420,300
036	SM-3	168,723	191,923
	Additional SM-3 Block 1A missiles		[23,200]
036A	TPY-2 Radar		

PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA		
044	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	4,013	4,013
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		
047	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	111,487	111,487
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		
048	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	12,065	12,065
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		
049	WHS MOTOR VEHICLES		
050	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	26,945	26,945
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	818,766	818,766
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
051	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	101,936	101,936
052	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM	22,958	22,958
053	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	146,820	146,820
054	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	227,552	197,552
	Procurement Schedule		[-30,000]
055	UNMANNED VEHICLES		
056	SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	34,200	34,200
057	SOF U-28	2,518	2,518
058	MC-130H, COMBAT TALON II		
059	CV-22 SOF MOD	114,553	114,553
060	MQ-1 UAV	10,930	10,930
061	MQ-9 UAV	12,671	12,671
062	STUASLO	12,223	12,223
063	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	59,950	145,950
	MC-130W multi-mission modifications		[85,000]
	Intelligence Broadcast Receiver (IBR) for AFSOC MC-130		[1,000]
064	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	973	973
	SHIPBUILDING		
065	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM (ASDS)	5,236	0
	Program termination		[-5,236]
066	MK8 MOD1 SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE	1,463	1,463
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
067	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	61,360	61,360
068	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION	26,791	26,791
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
069	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS	55,080	55,080
070	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	72,811	72,811
071	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	35,235	42,735
	Advanced lightweight grenade launcher		[5,000]
	Special Operations Forces Combat Assault Rifle (SCAR)		[2,500]
072	MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS	791	791
073	SPEC APPLICATION FOR CONT		
074	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	6,156	16,156
	Special Operations Craft-Riverine		[10,000]
075	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	2,010	2,010
076	TACTICAL VEHICLES	18,821	18,821
077	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS	17,265	17,265
078	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	20,000	20,000
079	MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT	6,835	6,835
081	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS	60,836	60,836
082	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	12,401	12,401
083	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	26,070	26,070
084	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	550	550
085	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS	33,741	38,741
	Special operations visual augmentation systems		[5,000]
086	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	53,034	63,034
	Special operations forces multi-band inter/intra team radio		[10,000]
087	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT	2,777	2,777
088	DRUG INTERDICTION		
089	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	7,576	7,576
090	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	273,998	273,998
091	PSYOP EQUIPMENT	43,081	43,081
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,573	5,573
	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		
	CBDP		
092	Installation Force Protection	65,590	65,590
093	Individual Force Protection	92,004	92,004
094	Decontamination	22,008	22,008
095	Joint Bio Defense Program (Medical)	12,740	12,740
096	Collective Protection	27,938	27,938
097	Contamination Avoidance	151,765	151,765
097a	Procurement of computer services / systems		
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	3,984,352	4,090,816
	RAPID ACQUISITION FUND		
001	JOINT RAPID ACQUISITION CELL	79,300	0
	Program Reduction		[-79,300]

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	TOTAL—RAPID ACQUISITION FUND	79,300	0
	NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
	RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
	UNDISTRIBUTED		600,000
	ARMY RESERVE		
001	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	NAVY RESERVE		
002	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	MARINE CORPS RESERVE		
003	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	AIR FORCE RESERVE		
004	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
005	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
006	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		
	TOTAL—NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT	0	600,000
	Total Procurement	105,819,330	105,029,379

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	AIRCRAFT		
	FIXED WING		
003	MQ-1 UAV	250,000	250,000
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	44,640	44,640
004A	C-12A	45,000	45,000
	ROTARY WING		
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	74,340	74,340
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	141,200	141,200
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
018	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	50,210	50,210
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	54,000	54,000
020	AH-64 MODS	315,300	315,300
026	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	2,500	2,500
027	KIOWA WARRIOR	94,335	94,335
030	RQ-7 UAV MODS	326,400	326,400
030A	C-12A	60,000	60,000
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
031	SPARE PARTS (AIR)	18,200	18,200
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS		
033	ASE INFRARED CM	111,600	111,600
	OTHER SUPPORT		
035	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	23,704	23,704
036	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	24,800	24,800
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,636,229	1,636,229
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	OTHER MISSILES		
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM		
005	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	219,700	219,700
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM		
006	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	140,979	115,979
	Funding ahead of need		[-25,000]
007	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	59,200	34,200
	Funding ahead of need		[-25,000]
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	60,600	60,600
	MODIFICATIONS		
014	MLRS MODS	18,772	18,772
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	32,319	32,319
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	531,570	481,570
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS & TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
009	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	36,000	36,000
010	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	243,600	243,600
011	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	37,620	37,620
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
027	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	13,900	13,900
031	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION (CRO)	235,000	235,000
033	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	107,996	107,996
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH		
036	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	27,600	27,600
037	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	20,900	20,900
038	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	4,800	4,800
040	M119 MODIFICATIONS	21,250	21,250
041A	M14 7.62 RIFLE MODS	5,800	5,800
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
043	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	5,000	5,000
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF WTCV, ARMY	759,466	759,466
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
	AMMUNITION		
	SMALL/MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION		
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	22,000	22,000
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	8,300	8,300
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	500	500
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	26,500	26,500
006	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	530	530
	MORTAR AMMUNITION		
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	20,000	20,000
	TANK AMMUNITION		
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION		
014	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES	9,200	9,200
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	52,200	52,200
017	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T	10,000	10,000
	ARTILLERY FUZES		
018	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES	7,800	7,800
	MINES		
019	MINES, ALL TYPES	5,000	5,000
020	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES	7,000	7,000
	ROCKETS		
024	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	169,505	169,505
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
027	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	100	100
	MISCELLANEOUS		
030	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	32,000	32,000
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	370,635	370,635
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	1,948	1,948
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	40,403	40,403
003	SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS	8,651	8,651
004	HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV)	1,251,038	875,718
	Army end strength budget amendment		[–375,320]
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	461,657	286,337
	Army end strength budget amendment		[–175,320]
007	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	623,230	623,230
009	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)	13,206	13,206
012	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916	62,654	62,654
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS		
023	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	13,500	13,500
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
028	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	53,486	53,486
029	SMART-T (SPACE)	26,000	26,000
032	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	23,900	23,900
	COMM—COMBAT SUPPORT COMM		
032A	MOD-IN-SERVICE PROFILER	6,070	6,070
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		
034	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	239	239
037	SINGARS FAMILY	128,180	53,180
	Unjustified program growth		[–75,000]
038	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2	100,000	100,000
046	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	11,286	11,286
047	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)	18	18
	INFORMATION SECURITY		
050	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	32,095	32,095
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
055	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	330,342	330,342
057	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM(.....	227,733	227,733
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)		
062	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)	1,660	1,660
066	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)	265	265
069	DCGS-A (MIP)	167,100	167,100
073	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) (MIP)	34,208	34,208
075	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)	5,064	5,064

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)		
076	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	58,590	58,590
077	WARLOCK	164,435	164,435
078	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	126,030	126,030
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
082	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	93,183	93,183
084	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	25,000	25,000
085	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	15,000	15,000
087	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C-RAM)	150,400	150,400
091	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SE	1,900	1,900
094	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	242,999	242,999
096	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD)	97,020	97,020
097	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32	3,780	3,780
099	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	26,000	26,000
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
103	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	14,840	14,840
104	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM (BC	16	16
107	KNIGHT FAMILY	178,500	178,500
113	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	58,900	58,900
114	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	5,000	5,000
115	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	1,440	1,440
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	760	760
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
129	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	44,460	44,460
130	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	38,811	38,811
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT		
133	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	13,525	13,525
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		
136	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	10,800	10,800
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
140	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES	21,561	21,561
142	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME)	1,955	1,955
146	FORCE PROVIDER	245,382	245,382
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	4,011	4,011
150	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	4,987	4,987
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT		
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	58,554	58,554
	WATER EQUIPMENT		
153	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	3,017	3,017
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		
154	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	11,386	11,386
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT		
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	12,365	12,365
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	546	546
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		
162	LOADERS	1,100	1,100
163	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	290	290
166	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	2,500	2,500
167	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HME) FOS	16,500	16,500
169	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	360	360
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT		
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	3,550	3,550
	GENERATORS		
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	62,210	62,210
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)	54,360	54,360
175	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM	49,319	49,319
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	60,200	60,200
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	28,200	28,200
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)		
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	1,524	1,524
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	3,817	3,817
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
184	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	27,000	27,000
187	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	555,950	555,950
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	6,225,966	5,600,326
	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND		
	NETWORK ATTACK		
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK	812,000	1,015,100
	Transfer from base budget		[203,100]
	JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT		
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	536,000	735,100
	Transfer from base budget		[199,100]
	FORCE TRAINING		
003	TRAIN THE FORCE	187,000	228,100
	Transfer from base budget		[41,100]
	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
004	OPERATIONS		121,550
	Transfer from base budget		[121,550]

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	TOTAL—JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND	1,535,000	2,099,850
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
010	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	55,006	55,006
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
028	EA-6 SERIES	45,000	45,000
029	AV-8 SERIES	28,296	19,396
	ALE-47 upgrades complete		[-8,900]
030	F-18 SERIES	96,000	96,000
031	H-46 SERIES	17,485	17,485
033	H-53 SERIES	164,730	164,730
034	SH-60 SERIES	11,192	11,192
035	H-1 SERIES	11,217	11,217
037	P-3 SERIES	74,900	74,900
039	E-2 SERIES	17,200	17,200
041	C-2A	14,100	14,100
042	C-130 SERIES	52,324	52,324
049	POWER PLANT CHANGES	4,456	0
	Non-emergency modifications		[-4,456]
052	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	263,382	263,382
054	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	5,500	5,500
056	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	53,500	53,500
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
057	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	2,265	2,265
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	916,553	903,197
010	HELLFIRE	73,700	50,700
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-23,000]
	TOTAL—WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	73,700	50,700
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		
	PROC AMMO, NAVY		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	40,500	40,500
003	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	42,510	42,510
004	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	109,200	80,377
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-28,823]
007	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	5,501	5,501
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	352	352
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	2,835	2,835
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	14,229	14,229
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	1,442	1,442
	PROC AMMO, MC		
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	16,930	16,930
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	5,881	5,881
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	104,824	104,824
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	43,623	43,623
019	81MM, ALL TYPES	103,647	103,647
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	62,265	62,265
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES	563	563
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	6,074	6,074
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	8,117	8,117
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	81,975	81,975
026	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	9,241	9,241
027	FUZE, ALL TYPES	51,071	51,071
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	710,780	681,957
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		
018	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	12,040	12,040
	SMALL BOATS		
025	STANDARD BOATS	13,000	13,000
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
056	MATCALS	400	400
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS		
076	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	1,500	1,500
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
092	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	37,345	37,345
097	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	17,883	17,883
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
115	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	43,650	43,650
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
120	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	25	25
121	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	93	93
122	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	11,167	11,167

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES	54,008	54,008
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	10,842	10,842
128	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,130	1,130
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
129	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	25	25
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
134	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,000	4,000
139	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	15,452	15,452
140	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	3,100	3,100
142	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	89,521	64,521
	OCO unjustified request		[-25,000]
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
145	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	2,837	2,837
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	318,018	293,018
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
002	LAV PIP	58,229	58,229
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		
006	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	54,000	0
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-54,000]
008	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	3,351	3,351
	OTHER SUPPORT		
010	MODIFICATION KITS	20,183	20,183
011	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	9,151	9,151
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		
	OTHER SUPPORT		
016	MODIFICATION KITS	8,506	8,506
	COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
018	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	11,741	11,741
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
019	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	462	462
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
021	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	4,153	4,153
022	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	3,096	3,096
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
023	RADAR SYSTEMS	3,417	3,417
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	521	521
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	37,547	37,547
026	RQ-11 UAV	13,000	13,000
	OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
027	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	12,570	0
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-12,570]
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
028	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	23,105	23,105
029	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	23,041	23,041
030	RADIO SYSTEMS	32,497	32,497
031	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	2,044	2,044
032	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	64	64
	SUPPORT VEHICLES		
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		
035	54T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	205,036	205,036
036	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	10,177	0
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-10,177]
037	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT	131,044	131,044
038	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP	59,219	59,219
039	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	13,388	13,388
	OTHER SUPPORT		
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	5,119	5,119
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	4,549	4,549
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	33,421	33,421
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	24,860	24,860
047	EOD SYSTEMS	47,697	47,697
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	19,720	2,720
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-17,000]
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	56,875	56,875
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
053	TRAINING DEVICES	157,734	147,304
	Army end strength budget amendment		[-10,430]
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	35,818	35,818
058	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	55	55
	OTHER SUPPORT		
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	39,055	39,055
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,164,445	1,060,268

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	OTHER AIRLIFT		
006	C-130J	72,000	72,000
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE AIRCRAFT		
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
028	B-1B	20,500	20,500
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT		
030	A-10	10,000	10,000
032	F-16	20,025	0
	Army end strength budget amendment—secure line-of-sight/beyond line-of-sight mods		[-20,025]
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
034	C-5	57,400	57,400
037	C-17A	132,300	120,725
	Army end strength budget amendment—LAIRCM mods		[-11,575]
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
052	C-130	210,800	86,400
	Army end strength budget amendment—LAIRCM mods		[-124,400]
054	C-135	16,916	16,916
056	DARP	10,300	10,300
063	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	7,000	7,000
064	OTHER AIRCRAFT	90,000	90,000
065	MQ-1 MODS	65,000	65,000
066	MQ-9 MODS	99,200	99,200
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		
076	C-17A	11,000	11,000
	WAR CONSUMABLES		
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		
085	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	114,000	114,000
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	936,441	780,441
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	ROCKETS		
001	ROCKETS	3,488	3,488
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	39,236	39,236
	BOMBS		
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	34,085	34,085
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	97,978	97,978
	FLARE, IR M-JU-7B		
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	4,800	4,800
	FUZES		
011	FLARES	41,000	41,000
012	FUZES	14,595	14,595
	WEAPONS		
	SMALL ARMS		
013	SMALL ARMS	21,637	21,637
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	256,819	256,819
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	OTHER MISSILES		
	TACTICAL		
005	PREDITOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	29,325	29,325
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	7,300	7,300
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	36,625	36,625
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	3,364	3,364
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		
004	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	11,337	11,337
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		
005	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	8,626	8,626
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
023	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	1,600	1,600
	DISA PROGRAMS		
037	MILSATCOM SPACE	714	714
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
047	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	14,528	14,528
048	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	4,900	4,900
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ		
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
051	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	11,300	11,300
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
060	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	34,400	34,400
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,230,780	2,230,780
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	2,321,549	2,321,549
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND	5,456,000	6,056,000
	Additional MRAP vehicles to meet new requirement		[600,000]
	TOTAL—MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND	5,456,000	6,056,000
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
019	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	1,500	1,500
021	TELEPORT PROGRAM	7,411	7,411
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	304,794	304,794
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
052	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM	5,900	5,900
057	SOF U-28	3,000	3,000
060	MQ-1 UAV	1,450	0
	Funding Early to Need		[-1,450]
062	STUASLO	12,000	12,000
063	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	19,500	19,500
	SHIPBUILDING		
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
067	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	51,156	51,156
068	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION	17,560	17,560
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
069	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS	2,000	2,000
070	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	23,260	23,260
071	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	3,800	3,800
076	TACTICAL VEHICLES	6,865	6,865
083	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	11,000	11,000
086	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	5,448	5,448
090	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	11,900	11,900
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,886	2,886
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	491,430	489,980
	Total Procurement	23,741,226	23,878,630

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,671	19,671
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	173,024	176,524
		Ballistic materials research		[3,500]
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	88,421	92,421
		Nanocomposite materials research		[2,000]
		Open source intelligence research		[1,000]
		Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-Infected Battle Wounds		[1,000]
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	96,144	98,844
		Immersive simulation research		[1,200]
		Materials processing research		[1,500]
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, ARMY	377,260	387,460
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	27,206	47,206
		Advanced renewable jet fuels		[3,000]
		Applied composite materials research		[3,000]
		High strength fibers for ballistic armor applications		[2,000]
		Moldable fabric armor		[2,000]
		Smart materials and structures		[1,000]
		Dual Stage Variable Energy Absorber		[3,000]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
		Next Generation High Strength Glass Fibers for Ballistic Armor Applications		[2,000]
		Ultra Lightweight Metallic Armor		[1,000]
		Nanomanufacturing of Multifunctional Sensors		[3,000]
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	50,641	53,141
		Nanoelectronic memory, sensor and energy devices		[2,500]
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP	14,324	14,324
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	41,332	41,332
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	16,119	16,119
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	50,716	50,716
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	19,678	19,678
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	17,473	19,473
		Cognitive modeling and simulation research		[2,000]
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	55,937	74,437
		Advanced composite materials research		[3,500]
		Composite vehicle shelters		[2,000]
		Tactical metal fabrication program		[1,000]
		Tribology research		[2,000]
		Vehicle systems engineering and integration activities		[10,000]
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	61,843	65,843
		Electromagnetic gun		[-2,000]
		Reactive armor research		[3,000]
		Beneficial Infrastructure for Rotorcraft Risk Reduction		[1,000]
		Lethality research		[2,000]
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	5,293	5,293
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,674	7,674
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	41,085	59,085
		Acoustic gun detection systems		[2,000]
		Acoustic research		[3,000]
		UGV weaponization		[2,500]
		Highly Integrated Production for Expediting RESET		[2,500]
		Hybrid Projectile Program		[3,000]
		Specialized Compact Automated Mechanical Clearance Platform		[4,000]
		Defense Support for Civil Authorities (DSCA) for Key Resource Protection—South Central, PA		[1,000]
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	61,404	67,104
		Hybrid portable power program		[3,200]
		Novel Zinc Air Power Sources for Military		[2,500]
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	26,893	26,893
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	18,945	18,945
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	18,605	33,605
		LWI Training-Based Collaborative Research		[15,000]
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15,902	20,402
		Cluster Bomb Unit & Combined Effects Munitions Demil System		[1,000]
		SUNY Cobleskill Biowaste-to-Bioenergy Center		[2,500]
		Renewable Energy Testing Center		[1,000]
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	24,833	24,833
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	5,639	5,639
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	54,818	59,818
		Cellulose Nanocomposite Panels for Ballistic Protection		[2,000]
		Geosciences Atmospheric Research		[3,000]
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	18,701	18,701
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	27,109	29,609
		Thermal resistant fiber research		[2,500]
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	99,027	134,527
		Biomechanics research		[3,500]
		Blast wave modeling		[3,000]
		Hemorrhage research		[3,000]
		Malaria vaccine development		[2,500]
		Neurotrauma research		[3,500]
		Secondary trauma research		[2,500]
		Advanced Functional Nanomaterials for Biological Processes		[2,500]
		Improving Soldier Recovery from Catastrophic Bone Injuries		[4,000]
		Advanced Bio-Engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability		[3,000]
		Self-Powered Prosthetic Limb Technology		[2,000]
		Human Organ and Tissue Preservation Technology		[2,000]
		Optical Neural Techniques for Combat and Post Trauma Care		[4,000]
SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, ARMY			781,197	914,397
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,574	45,874
		High Pressure Pasteurization & Pressure Assisted Thermal Sterilization		[4,300]
		Next Generation Precision Airdrop System		[2,500]
		Onyx System Precision Guided Airdropped Equipment		[1,500]
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	72,940	124,240
		Biosensor controller systems development		[2,000]
		Body temperature conditioner systems		[2,500]
		Gulf War illness research		[12,000]
		Integrated medical technology program		[7,500]
		Lower limb prosthetics research		[2,000]
		Regenerative medical research		[4,000]
		Proton Treatment and Research Center—Northern Illinois		[2,000]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
		Wounded Service Member Bioelectronics Research		[1,500]
		Malaria Vaccine Development		[5,000]
		Regenerative Medicine to Address Astute Hearing Loss		[3,000]
		Multi-Dose Closed Loop pH Monitoring System for Platelets		[1,000]
		Carbide-Derived Carbon for Treatment of Combat Related Sepsis		[1,000]
		Clinical Technology Integration for Military Health		[2,000]
		Institute for Simulation and Interprofessional Studies		[5,800]
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	60,097	80,597
		Advanced Affordable Turbine Engine Program		[5,000]
		Robust Composite Structural Core for Army Helicopters		[2,000]
		UH-60 Transmission/Gearbox Galvanic Corrosion Reduction		[1,500]
		Drive System Composite Structural Component Risk Reduction Program		[3,000]
		Universal Control—FADEC		[9,000]
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	66,410	61,410
		Electromagnetic gun		[-11,500]
		Lethality research		[6,500]
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	89,586	174,986
		Advanced APU development		[2,000]
		Advanced battery development program		[10,000]
		Advanced lithium ion battery systems		[3,000]
		Advanced suspension systems for heavy vehicles		[2,700]
		Advanced thermal management systems		[3,000]
		Alternative energy research		[20,000]
		Hybrid engine development program		[4,000]
		Hybrid truck development		[4,000]
		Smart plug-in hybrid electric vehicle program		[4,100]
		Threat cue research		[2,000]
		Unmanned ground vehicle initiative		[12,000]
		Vehicle prognostics technologies		[3,100]
		Unmanned Robotic System Utilizing Hydrocarbon Fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell		[3,000]
		Advanced Composites for Light Weight, Low Cost Transportation Systems Using a 3+ Ring Extruder		[3,000]
		Protective 3-D Armor Structure to Safeguard Military Vehicles and Troops		[2,000]
		Fire Shield		[2,000]
		Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle (HHV) for the Tactical Wheeled Fleet		[3,500]
		Heavy Duty Hybrid Electric Vehicle		[2,000]
034	0603006A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	8,667	12,467
		Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)		[3,800]
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	7,410	7,410
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,458	50,458
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE	11,328	11,328
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	19,415	24,915
		Combat medic training systems		[2,000]
		Joint Fires & Effects Trainer System enhancements		[2,500]
		HapMed Combat Medic Trainer		[1,000]
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE	14,569	14,569
040	0603103A	EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY		2,000
		Propellant Conversion to Fertilizer Program for Tooele Army Depot		[2,000]
041	0603105A	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH	6,657	6,657
042	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,989	11,989
043	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	19,192	22,692
		Laser systems for light aircraft missile defense		[1,000]
		Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare & Signals Intelligence System		[2,500]
044	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	63,951	67,251
		Discriminatory imaging research		[2,500]
		Scenario Generation for Integrated Air and Missile Defense Evaluation		[800]
045	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE	12,154	12,154
046	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	30,317	30,317
047	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	8,996	8,996
048	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,329	52,329
		Bradley third generation FLIR		[5,000]
		Buster/Blacklight UAV Development		[1,000]
		Hyper Spectral Sensor for Improved Force Protection System		[2,000]
		Brownout Situational Awareness		[3,000]
		High Resolution Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer		[1,000]
049	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	15,706	15,706
050	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,911	19,211
		Permafrost tunnel		[500]
		Photovoltaic technology development		[2,000]
		PacCom Renewable Energy Security System		[3,000]
		Field Deployable Hologram Production System		[4,800]
		Demonstration of Thin Film Solar Modules as a Renewable Energy Source		[1,000]
		Nanotechnology for Potable Water and Waste Treatment		[2,000]
051	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	41,561	45,061
		Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance, Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar		[2,000]
		Optimizing Natural Language Processing of Open Source Intelligence (OSINT)		[1,500]
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	695,217	902,617
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
052	0603024A	UNIQUE ITEM IDENTIFICATION (UID)		
053	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION(NON SPACE)	14,683	30,183

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
		Biological Air Filtering System Technology		[3,000]
		Compact Pulsed Power for Military Applications		[4,000]
		Adaptive robotic technology		[3,500]
		Advanced electronics integration		[3,000]
		Advanced environmental controls		[2,000]
054	0603308A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	117,471	117,471
055	0603327A	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	209,531	160,531
		Center for Defense Systems Research		[1,000]
		Excessive Project Cost Growth—Integrated Air and Missile Defense		[-50,000]
056	0603460A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)		
057	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	17,536	17,536
058	0603627A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	4,920	4,920
059	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	33,934	33,934
060	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	90,299	90,299
061	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	31,752	31,752
062	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV	18,228	18,228
063	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT		
064	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	4,770	8,770
		Cadmium Emissions Reduction—Letterkenny Army Depot		[1,000]
		Vanadium Technology Program		[3,000]
065	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL	180,673	180,673
066	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,048	5,048
067	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV	8,537	8,537
068	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	56,373	46,373
		Premature JLTV program growth		[-10,000]
069	0603805A	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS	9,868	9,868
070	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	31,275	31,275
071	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	71,832	73,832
		Acid Alkaline Direct Methanol Fuel Cell		[2,000]
072	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	1,476	1,476
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, ARMY	908,206	870,706
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
073	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	92,977	92,977
074	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS	65,515	65,515
075	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	248,463	248,463
076	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	13,107	13,107
077	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE	16,286	16,286
078	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	74,814	78,814
		Lightweight caliber .50 machine gun		[4,000]
079	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	5,683	5,683
080	0604609A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-SDD	978	978
081	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	7,477	9,477
		Heavy tactical vehicle development		[2,000]
082	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	7,578	7,578
083	0604646A	NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	88,660	88,660
084	0604647A	NON-LINE OF SIGHT CANNON	58,216	31,216
		Unjustified Termination Costs		[-27,000]
085	0604660A	FCS MANNED GRD VEHICLES & COMMON GRD VEHICLE	368,557	184,557
		Unjustified Termination Costs		[-184,000]
086	0604661A	FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	1,067,191	1,067,191
087	0604662A	FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	68,701	68,701
088	0604663A	FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	125,616	125,616
089	0604664A	FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	26,919	26,919
090	0604665A	FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	749,182	749,182
091	0604666A	SPIN OUT TECHNOLOGY/CAPABILITY INSERTION		
092	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD	55,410	55,410
093	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	2,092	2,092
094	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD	30,209	30,209
095	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—SDD	28,936	28,936
096	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	33,213	33,213
097	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	15,320	15,320
098	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD	15,727	15,727
099	0604778A	POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	9,446	9,446
100	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	26,243	26,243
101	0604783A	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM		
102	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD	34,878	42,378
		Common guidance control module		[7,500]
103	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD	36,018	37,518
		Autonomous Sustainment Cargo Container Sea Truck		[1,500]
104	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD	88,995	88,995
105	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—SDD	33,893	34,693
		Plasma Sterilizer		[800]
106	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD	82,260	60,960
		Program reduction		[-21,300]
107	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS	42,452	42,452
108	0604817A	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	20,070	20,070
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	90,864	90,864
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT		
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBs)	6,002	6,002

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER	20,333	20,333
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	19,786	19,786
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	23,318	81,534
		Accelerate Paladin integration management		[58,216]
115	0604869A	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP)	569,182	569,182
116	0604870A	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK	7,140	7,140
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	35,309	66,109
		Transfer from RDDW, line 117, for DIMHRS execution		[30,800]
118	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	127,439	127,439
119	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	100,000	100,000
SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, ARMY			4,640,455	4,512,971
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
120	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	22,222	22,222
121	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,615	13,615
122	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	51,846	51,846
123	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER	16,305	18,305
		Program Increase		[2,000]
124	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	163,514	163,514
125	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	23,445	23,445
126	0605502A	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		
127	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	354,693	354,693
128	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	72,911	84,111
		Common regional operational systems		[3,000]
		Data fusion systems		[2,500]
		Dugway field test improvements		[4,500]
		MOTS All Sky Imager		[1,200]
129	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	45,016	45,016
130	0605605A	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	2,891	8,891
		Program increase		[6,000]
131	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	3,766	3,766
132	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	8,391	8,391
133	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	19,969	19,969
134	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	5,432	5,432
135	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	77,877	77,877
136	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	66,309	66,309
137	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG	5,357	5,357
138	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	77,823	77,823
139	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	51,620	51,620
140	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	45,053	47,053
		3D woven preform technology for Army munitions		[2,000]
141	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	5,191	5,191
142	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	15,866	15,866
143	0909999A	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, ARMY			1,149,112	1,170,312
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
144	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	27,693	27,693
145	0603820A	WEAPONS CAPABILITY MODIFICATIONS UAV		
146	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	360,076	340,076
		Program delay reduction		[-20,000]
147	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	23,727	26,227
		AFATDS Voice Recognition and Cross Platform Speech Interface System		[2,500]
148	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	190,301	190,301
149	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	21,394	21,394
150	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	209,401	209,401
151	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	792	792
152	0203758A	DIGITIZATION	10,692	10,692
153	0203759A	FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2)		
154	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	39,273	39,273
155	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS		5,000
		Javelin Warhead Improvement Plan		[5,000]
156	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	20,035	20,035
157	0208010A	JOINT TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM (TRI-TAC)		
158	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	13,258	13,258
159	0208058A	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,082	3,082
160	0301359A	SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM	[]	[]
161	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	2,144	2,144
162	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	74,355	74,355
163	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	144,733	144,733
164	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	40,097	40,097
165	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	12,034	12,034
166	0303158A	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	20,365	20,365
167	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	202,521	202,521
168	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	188,414	190,714
		Joint STARS Surveillance and Control Data Link (SCDL) Technology Refresh		[1,000]
		Adaptive Defense High-Speed IP Packet Inspection Engine on a Chip		[1,300]
169	0305287A	BASE EXPED TARGETING SURVEILLANCE SYS-COMBINED		
170	0307207A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	210,035	210,035

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
171	0702239A	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		
172	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	68,466	71,966
		Smart machine platform initiative		[2,000]
		Weapon systems repair technologies		[1,500]
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	3,883	3,883
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	1,886,771	1,880,071
		TOTAL, RDT&E ARMY	10,438,218	10,638,534
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	99,472	99,472
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,076	18,076
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	413,743	416,243
		Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology, and Nanobiology Initiative		[2,500]
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, NAVY	531,291	533,791
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	59,787	64,787
		Energetics research		[3,000]
		Multifunctional Materials, their Applications and Devices		[2,000]
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	91,400	124,400
		Alternative energy research		[20,000]
		Energy systems integration research		[4,000]
		Port security technologies		[2,000]
		Design Optimization of Composite High-Speed Boats Using Advanced Composite and Manufacturing and Non-de- structive Evaluation.		[2,000]
		Lithium Ion Storage Advancement for Aircraft Applications		[2,500]
		Non-Traditional Weaving Applications for Aramid Ballistic Fibers and Fabrics		[2,500]
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	39,308	39,308
007	0602234N	MATERIALS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY		
008	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	83,163	83,163
009	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	104,169	107,169
		Anti-reverse engineering technologies		[1,000]
		Managing and Extending DOD Asset Lifecycles (MEDAL)		[2,000]
010	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	64,816	64,816
011	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	48,750	51,750
		Advanced UUV research		[1,000]
		Laser underwater imaging and communications research		[2,000]
012	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,008	6,008
013	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	55,694	55,694
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	40,880	42,880
		Electromagnetic signature assessment system		[2,000]
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, NAVY	593,975	639,975
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	107,969	116,369
		Countermine Lidar UAV-Based System (CLUBS)		[2,000]
		Detection, Tracking, and Identification for ISRTE of Mobile Asymmetric Targets		[2,500]
		Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator		[2,000]
		Tactical High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile Demonstration		[1,900]
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	66,035	78,035
		Advance coatings for aviation components		[2,000]
		Single generator operations lithium ion battery		[5,000]
		High-Temperature Radar Dome Materials		[2,000]
		Pure Hydrogen Supply from Logistic Fuels		[3,000]
017	0603235N	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	108,394	49,294
		High-integrity GPS		[-59,100]
018	0603236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	86,239	86,239
019	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,827	65,827
020	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	107,363	112,363
		Acoustic combat sensors		[5,000]
021	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,998	10,998
022	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	18,609	21,109
		Navy Special Warfare Performance and Injury Prevention Program for SBT 22 at Stennis Space Center		[2,500]
023	0603747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	68,037	68,037
024	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	52,643	52,643
025	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	28,782	28,782
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, NAVY	720,896	689,696
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
026	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	116,082	117,482
		Semi-submersible for UUV sensor developments		[1,400]
027	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	6,505	9,505
		Lighter Than Air Stratospheric UAV for Persistent Communications Relay and Surveillance		[3,000]
028	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	6,032	6,032

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
029	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,585	20,585
		Sonobuoy wave energy module		[1,000]
		Marine Mammal Awareness, Alert, and Response Systems		[3,000]
030	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	7,713	7,713
031	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,677	1,677
032	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	76,739	76,739
033	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	57,538	62,038
		Continuous Active Sonar for Torpedo Systems		[4,500]
034	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	173,594	173,594
035	0603513N	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	1,691	18,791
		DDG-51 hybrid propulsion system		[8,100]
		Advanced Steam Turbine		[4,000]
		Next Generation Shipboard Integrated Power: Fuel Efficiency and Advanced Capability Enhancer		[5,000]
036	0603525N	PILOT FISH	79,194	79,194
037	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH	99,757	99,757
038	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	120,752	120,752
039	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,372	1,372
040	0603553N	SURFACE ASW	21,995	21,995
041	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	551,836	553,836
		Submarine Fatline Vector Sensor Towed Array		[2,000]
042	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	10,172	10,172
043	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	22,541	22,541
044	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	28,135	32,135
		Support for Naval Ship Hydrodynamics Test Facilities		[4,000]
045	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	259,887	259,887
046	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	5,599	9,099
		High Density Power Conversion and Distribution Equipment		[1,500]
		Hybrid Electric Drive		[2,000]
047	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE	443,555	443,555
048	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	360,518	360,518
049	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	22,558	22,558
050	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	3,458	3,458
051	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	293,466	293,466
052	0603612M	USMC MINE COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS—ADV DEV		
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	73,798	61,798
		Premature JLTV program growth		[-12,000]
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	21,054	21,054
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	56,586	56,586
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	17,328	17,328
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,661	20,661
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	8,476	16,226
		Molten carbonate fuel cell demonstrator		[3,000]
		Solar heat reflective film development		[4,750]
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	4,002	9,602
		Wave Energy Powerbuoy Generating System		[2,400]
		Photovoltaic Rooftop Systems—Navy		[1,500]
		Regenerative Fuel Cell Back-Up Power		[1,700]
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL	70,772	70,772
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	4,301	6,301
		Highly integrated optical interconnects for advanced air vehicles		[1,000]
		RFID technology exploitation		[1,000]
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE	210,237	210,237
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA	69,313	69,313
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM	152,151	152,151
065	0603755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE	6,960	6,960
066	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN	123,660	123,660
067	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	54,115	54,115
068	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	10,194	10,194
069	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	1,238	1,238
070	0603851M	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	46,971	46,971
071	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	150,304	150,304
072	0603879N	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP) SYSTEM ENGINEER (SE)	52,716	52,716
073	0603889N	COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS		
074	0603925N	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	5,003	7,003
		Joint Technology Insertion & Accelerated System Intergration Capability for Electronic Warfare		[2,000]
075	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM)	63,702	63,702
076	0604450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)		
077	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW)	67,843	67,843
078	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	40,926	40,926
079	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT	42,533	42,533
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, NAVY	4,163,795	4,208,645
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
080	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	54,092	54,092
081	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	20,886	20,886
082	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,540	55,540
		Measurement Standards Research and Development		[2,000]
083	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	81,953	86,653
		USN MH-60S "Close the Lethality Gap" M230 Pylon Qualification		[4,700]
084	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	7,485	7,485

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
085	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,659	3,659
086	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	6,307	6,307
087	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	86,462	86,462
088	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	364,557	364,557
089	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES	32,830	32,830
090	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	56,369	56,369
091	0604262N	V-22A	89,512	89,512
092	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	14,265	14,265
093	0604269N	EA-18	55,446	55,446
094	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	97,635	97,635
095	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	85,240	85,240
096	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	127,970	127,970
097	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	876,374	876,374
098	0604300N	SC-21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING		
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	178,459	180,459
		Surface Ship Advanced Capability Build		[2,000]
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	5,304	5,304
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	43,902	43,902
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	182,197	182,197
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM	48,712	48,712
104	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	11,727	11,727
105	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	236,078	251,078
		Mobile maritime sensor technology development		[15,000]
106	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	122,733	122,733
107	0604504N	AIR CONTROL	6,533	6,533
108	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	80,623	80,623
109	0604518N	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	13,305	13,305
110	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN	154,756	162,756
		Common command & control system module		[6,000]
		Mold-in-Place Coating for Development of U.S. Submarine Fleet		[2,000]
111	0604561N	SSN-21 DEVELOPMENTS		
112	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	59,703	69,703
		Artificial Intelligence-based combat system kernel		[4,000]
		Submarine environment for evaluation & development		[3,000]
		Weapon acquisition & firing system		[3,000]
113	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	89,988	92,488
		Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative for Navy Ships		[2,500]
114	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,620	4,620
115	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	2,249	2,249
116	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	21,105	21,105
117	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	10,327	10,327
118	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	5,898	5,898
119	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	10,022	10,022
120	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	35,459	38,459
		AUSV		[3,000]
121	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	34,236	46,236
		Phalanx Next Generation		[12,000]
122	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	88,895	88,895
123	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	14,438	14,438
124	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	9,888	23,488
		Composite tissue transplantation research		[2,000]
		Custom body implant development		[2,000]
		Multivalent dengue vaccine program		[1,600]
		Orthopedic surgery instrumentation		[3,000]
		U.S. Navy Vaccine Program		[3,000]
		U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program: Enhancement of Influenza Vaccine Efficacy		[2,000]
125	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	63,184	63,184
126	0604784N	DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		
127	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	1,741,296	1,956,296
		F136 Development		[215,000]
128	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	9,868	9,868
129	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,026	77,126
		Information systems research		[4,000]
		Integrated network-centric technology systems		[2,600]
		Maintenance Planning and Assessment Technology (MPAT) Insertion		[1,500]
130	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE	554,827	554,827
131	0605430N	C/KC-130 AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM (AMP)		
132	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	81,434	81,434
133	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	1,162,417	1,162,417
134	0204201N	CG(X)	150,022	110,022
		Program delay		[-40,000]
135	0204202N	DDG-1000	539,053	539,053
136	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	19,016	19,016
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, NAVY	7,975,882	8,231,782
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
137	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	25,534	25,534
138	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,603	79,603
139	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	44,844	49,844
		Aviation enterprise interoperability upgrades		[5,000]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
140	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	11,422	11,422
141	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	49,821	49,821
142	0605502N	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		
143	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	735	3,235
		Center for Commercialization of Advanced Technology		[2,500]
144	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	60,590	60,590
145	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,633	3,633
146	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	70,942	70,942
147	0605862N	RDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION		
148	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	193,353	193,353
149	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	380,733	380,733
150	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	12,010	12,010
151	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	2,703	2,703
152	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	20,921	20,921
153	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	19,004	19,004
154	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	2,464	2,464
155	0804758N	SERVICE SUPPORT TO JFCOM, JNTC	4,197	4,197
156	0909999N	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, NAVY	982,509	990,009
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
158	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS		
159	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT	311,204	311,204
160	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	74,939	76,109
		Advanced LINAC Facility		[1,170]
161	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,479	34,479
162	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	7,211	7,211
163	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	43,982	46,982
		E-6B Strategic Communications Upgrade Block 1A (VLF-TX & HPTS)		[3,000]
164	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	39,125	39,125
165	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	127,733	127,733
166	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS	63,058	63,058
167	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	37,431	37,431
168	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	13,238	13,238
169	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	24,835	24,835
170	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT)	2,324	2,324
171	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	49,293	49,293
172	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,609	1,609
173	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	37,524	37,524
174	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT	30,045	30,045
175	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	25,003	25,003
176	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	41,803	41,803
177	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP	28,438	28,438
178	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	135,840	123,349
		F135 engine funding ahead of need		[-12,491]
179	0205658N	NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	3,716	3,716
180	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	72,031	72,031
181	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	287,348	287,348
182	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	120,379	124,379
		Expandable rigid wall composite shelters		[1,000]
		Marine personnel carrier support system		[3,000]
183	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	17,057	18,057
		High performance capabilities for military vehicles		[1,000]
184	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	30,167	30,167
185	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	2,298	2,298
186	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	3,604	3,604
187	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	8,431	8,431
188	0301303N	MARITIME INTELLIGENCE	[]	[]
189	0301323N	COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]
190	0301327N	TECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE	[]	[]
191	0301372N	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]
192	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	474,009	474,009
193	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES)	45,513	45,513
194	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	24,226	24,226
195	0303158M	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	2,453	2,453
196	0303158N	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	4,139	4,139
197	0305149N	COBRA JUDY	62,061	62,061
198	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	28,094	28,094
199	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	4,600	4,600
200	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,971	8,971
201	0305205N	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES		
202	0305206N	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	46,208	46,208
203	0305207N	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	22,599	22,599
204	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	18,079	18,079
205	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV	465,839	465,839
206	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV	25,639	25,639
207	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV	553	553
208	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV	986	986
209	0305234M	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	18,763	18,763
210	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	23,594	23,594

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
211	0307207N	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)		
212	0307217N	EP-3E REPLACEMENT (EPX)	11,976	11,976
213	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	8,028	8,028
214	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	14,675	14,675
215	0702239N	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	2,725	2,725
216	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	56,691	66,691
		Integrated manufacturing enterprise		[5,000]
		Life extension of weapon system structures research		[2,500]
		Laser Optimization Remote Lighting Systems		[2,500]
217	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)		4,000
		National Shipbuilding Research Program		[4,000]
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,258,018	1,258,018
SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, RDT&E			4,302,584	4,313,263
TOTAL, RDT&E NAVY			19,270,932	19,607,161
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	321,028	322,028
		Coal transformation research		[1,000]
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	132,249	138,449
		Cybersecurity for control networks research		[1,700]
		End-user software safeguard research		[2,000]
		Informatics research		[1,000]
		Information security research		[1,500]
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,834	12,834
004	0301555F	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
005	0301556F	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, AIR FORCE			466,111	473,311
APPLIED RESEARCH				
006	0602015F	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT		
007	0602102F	MATERIALS	127,957	136,957
		Advanced aerospace heat exchangers		[3,000]
		Energy and automation technologies		[2,000]
		Energy efficiency, recovery, and generation systems		[1,000]
		Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components		[2,000]
		Mid-infrared laser source research		[1,000]
008	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	127,129	136,529
		Unmanned aerial system collaboration technologies		[2,500]
		UAV Sensor and Maintenance Development		[4,900]
		Unmanned Sense, Track, and Avoid Radar		[2,000]
009	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	85,122	85,122
010	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	196,529	210,029
		Hybrid bearing development		[1,000]
		Integrated electrical starter/generator systems		[2,000]
		Lithium ion technologies for aviation batteries		[1,500]
		Thermally efficient engine pumping system		[2,000]
		Advanced Lithium Battery Scale-Up and Manufacturing		[2,000]
		Advanced Vehicle Propulsion Center (AVPC)		[3,000]
		Multi-Mode Propulsion Phase IIA: High Performance Green Propellant		[2,000]
011	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS	121,768	126,568
		Net-Centric Sensor Grids		[3,000]
		Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets		[1,800]
012	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	104,148	113,248
		Reconfigurable electronics research		[1,000]
		Seismic research program		[5,000]
		Advanced Modular Avionics for ORS Use		[3,100]
013	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	58,289	58,289
014	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	105,677	101,427
		Chemical laser technology		[-4,250]
015	0602702F	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS		
016	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	115,278	115,278
017	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	52,754	48,654
		Advanced deformable mirrors for high energy laser weapons		[2,000]
		Chemical laser technology		[-6,100]
SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, AIR FORCE			1,094,651	1,132,101
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
018	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	37,901	54,201
		Sewage-derived biofuels program		[4,800]
		Metals Affordability Initiative		[10,000]
		Rapid Automated Processing of Advances Low Observables		[1,500]
019	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	2,955	2,955
020	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	51,482	53,482
		Reconfigurable secure computing technologies		[2,000]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
021	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	76,844	81,844
		Long Loiter, Load Bearing Antenna Platform for Pervasive Airborne Intelligence		[5,000]
022	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	175,676	198,676
		Alternative energy research		[20,000]
		Silicon carbide power electronics research		[3,000]
023	0603231F	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY		
024	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	31,021	31,021
025	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	83,909	86,909
		Small Responsive Spacecraft at Low-Cost (SRSL)		[3,000]
026	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	5,813	5,813
027	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,565	24,565
028	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	14,356	14,356
029	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	30,056	30,056
030	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	39,913	45,163
		Next generation casting initiative		[3,250]
		Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospace Applications		[2,000]
031	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	39,708	46,208
		Optical interconnects research		[2,500]
		Cyber Attack and Security Environment		[4,000]
032	0603789F	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT		
033	0603924F	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	3,831	3,831
SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE			618,030	679,080
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES				
034	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,009	5,009
035	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,623	3,623
036	0603421F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III		
037	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT		
038	0603430F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	464,335	464,335
039	0603432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	253,150	253,150
040	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	97,701	102,701
		Space situational awareness		[5,000]
041	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	27,252	27,252
042	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,351	4,351
043	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	632	632
044	0603845F	TRANSFORMATIONAL SATCOM (TSAT)		
045	0603850F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	20,739	20,739
046	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	66,079	66,079
047	0603854F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM RDT&E (SPACE)	70,956	70,956
048	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION	2,896	2,896
049	0603860F	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	23,174	23,174
050	0604015F	NEXT GENERATION BOMBER		
051	0604283F	BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	22,612	22,612
052	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM	20,891	20,891
053	0604330F	JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE	6,882	6,882
054	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	35,533	35,533
055	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	18,778	18,778
056	0604796F	ALTERNATIVE FUELS	89,020	91,020
		Advanced Propulsion Non-Tactical Vehicle		[2,000]
057	0604830F	AUTOMATED AIR-TO-AIR REFUELING	43,158	43,158
058	0604856F	COMMON AERO VEHICLE (CAV)		
059	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	112,861	112,861
060	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	9,611	9,611
061	0305178F	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYSTEM (NPOESS)	396,641	396,641
061a	604xxxxF	NEXT GENERATION MILSATCOM TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		50,000
		Next generation MILSATCOM technology development		[50,000]
SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, AIR FORCE			1,795,884	1,852,884
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION				
062	0603840F	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)	31,124	31,124
063	0604222F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	37,860	37,860
064	0604226F	B-1B		
065	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	6,227	6,227
066	0604240F	B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER		12,000
		Advanced Data Link		[12,000]
067	0604261F	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SYSTEMS		
068	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	97,275	97,275
069	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	88,444	88,444
070	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50	50
071	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	153,815	153,815
072	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	64,248	64,248
073	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	308,134	271,434
		SBSS follow-on—program delay		[–36,700]
074	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	11,107	11,107
075	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	512,642	512,642
076	0604443F	THIRD GENERATION INFRARED SURVEILLANCE (3GIRS)	143,169	143,169
077	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	18,671	18,671
078	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	1,784	1,784
079	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	11,261	12,261

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
		<i>Backpack Medical Oxygen System</i>		[1,000]
080	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	10,711	13,111
		ACES 5 Ejection Seat		[2,400]
081	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	29,718	29,718
082	0604740F	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)	10	4,010
		Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)		[4,000]
083	0604750F	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,495	1,495
084	0604800F	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	1,858,055	2,073,055
		F136 Engine Development		[215,000]
085	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	60,010	60,010
086	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)	26,545	26,545
087	0605011F	RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT		
088	0605221F	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT	439,615	439,615
089	0605277F	CSAR-X RDT&E	89,975	0
		Use available prior year funds		[-89,975]
090	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	20,582	20,582
091	0605452F	JOINT SIAP EXECUTIVE PROGRAM OFFICE	34,877	34,877
092	0207434F	LINK-16 SUPPORT AND SUSTAINMENT		
093	0207450F	E-10 SQUADRONS		
094	0207451F	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP)	13,466	13,466
095	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	99,807	99,807
096	0305176F	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR		
097	0401138F	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)	9,353	9,353
098	0401318F	CV-22	19,640	19,640
099	0401845F	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	20,056	20,056
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, AIR FORCE	4,219,726	4,327,451
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
100	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	27,789	27,789
101	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	60,824	68,324
		Holloman High Speed Test Track		[5,000]
		Eglin AFB Range Operations Control Center		[2,500]
102	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	27,501	27,501
103	0605502F	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH		
104	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	25,833	25,833
105	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	736,488	755,788
		Program increase		[19,300]
106	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	14,637	14,637
107	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	47,215	47,215
108	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	52,409	52,409
109	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	29,683	29,683
110	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,947	18,947
111	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,450	1,450
112	0909999F	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
113	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,748	3,748
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, AIR FORCE	1,046,524	1,073,324
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
114	0604263F	COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM	9,513	9,513
115	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	47,276	47,276
116	0605798F	ANALYSIS SUPPORT GROUP	[]	[]
117	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	93,930	93,930
118	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	3,652	3,652
119	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS	148,025	177,025
		Transferred from APAF Line 28		[29,000]
120	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS	415,414	415,414
121	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	33,836	33,836
122	0101314F	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	5,328	5,328
123	0101815F	ADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	[]	[]
124	0102325F	ATMOSPHERIC EARLY WARNING SYSTEM	9,832	9,832
125	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	25,734	25,734
126	0102823F	STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	18	18
127	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND	11,996	11,996
128	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	39,245	39,245
129	0207040F	MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT	14,747	14,747
130	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS	9,697	9,697
131	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	141,020	141,020
132	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS	311,167	312,167
		Corrosion Detection and Visualization Program		[1,000]
133	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	10,748	10,748
134	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS	569,345	569,345
135	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	5,915	5,915
136	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	49,971	49,971
137	0207170F	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	2,529	2,529
138	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	2,950	2,950
139	0207247F	AF TENCAP	11,643	11,643
140	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	2,950	2,950
141	0207253F	COMPASS CALL	13,019	13,019
142	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	166,563	154,563

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
		<i>F135 Engine—Early to need</i>		[–12,000]
143	0207277F	CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM	4,621	4,621
144	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	29,494	29,494
145	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	99,405	99,405
146	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	52,508	52,508
147	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	176,040	176,040
148	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS		
149	0207423F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	63,782	63,782
150	0207424F	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM	[]	[]
151	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	1,475	1,475
152	0207438F	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I	19,067	19,067
153	0207445F	FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK	72,106	72,106
154	0207446F	BOMBER TACTICAL DATA LINK		
155	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,667	1,667
156	0207449F	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	26,792	26,792
157	0207581F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS)	140,670	140,670
158	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE	22,071	22,071
159	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	27,245	27,245
160	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	7,018	7,018
161	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	6,740	6,740
162	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	91,995	91,995
163	0208021F	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	12,271	12,271
164	0208161F	SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	[]	[]
165	0301310F	NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER	[]	[]
		Open Source Research Centers		[1,000]
166	0301314F	COBRA BALL	[]	[]
167	0301315F	MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION	[]	[]
168	0301324F	FOREST GREEN	[]	[]
169	0301386F	GDIP COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]
170	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	26,107	26,107
171	0303112F	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS (AIRCOM)		
172	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	72,694	72,694
173	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	196,621	196,621
174	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,375	3,375
175	0303150F	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,149	3,149
176	0303158F	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	3,087	3,087
177	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	257,693	257,693
179	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	176,989	176,989
180	0304311F	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
181	0304348F	ADVANCED GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE (AGI)	[]	[]
		Advanced Technical Intelligence Center		[6,500]
182	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	6,028	6,028
183	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,065	2,065
184	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	20,991	20,991
185	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE	33,531	33,531
186	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS)	9,006	9,006
187	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS	54,807	54,807
188	0305124F	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	[]	[]
189	0305127F	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
190	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	742	742
191	0305142F	APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION	[]	[]
192	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	39	39
194	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE)	137,692	137,692
195	0305165F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS)	52,039	52,039
196	0305172F	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	[]	[]
197	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,599	3,599
198	0305174F	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	3,009	3,009
199	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	9,957	9,957
200	0305193F	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	1,240	1,240
201	0305202F	DRAGON U-2		
202	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	73,736	38,736
		ISIS		[–35,000]
203	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	143,892	145,892
		GORGON STARE		
		Multiple UAS Cooperative Concentrated Observation and Engagement Against a Common Ground Objective		[2,000]
204	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	12,846	15,346
		Rivet Joint Services Oriented Architecture (SOA)		[2,500]
205	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	82,765	82,765
206	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	18,101	22,101
		Sense and avoid		[4,000]
207	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV	317,316	317,316
208	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	8,160	8,160
209	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	815,095	717,695
		GPS Control Segment (OCX)		[–97,400]
210	0305614F	JSPC MISSION SYSTEM	131,271	137,271
		Karnac		[6,000]
211	0305887F	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE	5,267	5,267
212	0305906F	NCMC—TW/AA SYSTEM		
213	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	84,021	84,021
214	0305924F	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE OFFICE	10,634	10,634
215	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	54,648	54,648

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
216	0307141F	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOPMENT	30,076	30,076
217	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	3,082	3,082
218	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	201,250	201,250
219	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	95,266	95,266
220	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	161,855	161,855
221	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM	30,019	30,019
222	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	31,784	31,784
223	0401218F	KC-135S	10,297	10,297
224	0401219F	KC-10S	35,586	35,586
225	0401221F	KC-135 TANKER REPLACEMENT		
226	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	4,916	4,916
227	0401839F	AIR MOBILITY TACTICAL DATA LINK		
228	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,222	8,222
229	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,508	1,508
230	0702976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—LOGISTICS		
231	0708011F	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		2,000
		Wire Integrity Technology		[2,000]
232	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	246,483	246,483
233	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,288	8,288
		ALC Logistics Integration Environment		[2,000]
234	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	805	805
235	0804757F	JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	3,220	3,220
236	0804772F	TRAINING DEVELOPMENTS	1,769	1,769
237	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	116	116
238	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	6,376	11,376
		Biometric signature and passive physiological monitoring		[5,000]
239	0901212F	SERVICE-WIDE SUPPORT (NOT OTHERWISE ACCOUNTED FOR)		
240	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	8,174	8,174
241	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	10,492	30,982
		DIMHRS—OSD requested transfer from RDDW, Line 117		[20,490]
242	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	55,991	55,991
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	11,955,084	12,137,084
		Program Increase		[172,500]
		Carbon Nanotube Enhanced Power Sources for Space		[2,000]
SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE			18,751,901	18,863,491
TOTAL, RDT&E AIR FORCE			27,992,827	28,401,642
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	48,544	48,544
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	226,125	226,125
003	0601111D8Z	GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY COSPONSORSHIP OF UNIVERSITY RESEARCH		
004	0601114D8Z	DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH		
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	89,980	89,980
006	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	58,974	64,874
		In-vitro models for bio-defense vaccines		[1,900]
		Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station		[4,000]
SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, DEFENSE-WIDE			423,623	429,523
APPLIED RESEARCH				
007	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	22,669	18,961
		Partial Program Growth Reduction		[−3,708]
008	0602227D8Z	MEDICAL FREE ELECTRON LASER		
009	0602228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) SCIENCE	15,164	20,164
		Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Serving Institutions Program		[5,000]
010	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	34,034	34,034
011	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	282,749	272,749
		Program Reduction		[−10,000]
012	0602304E	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	142,840	142,840
013	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	40,587	40,587
014	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	209,072	212,972
		Chemical and biological infrared detector		[1,900]
		Chemical and Biological Resistant Clothing		[2,000]
015	0602663D8Z	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	4,940	4,940
016	0602670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH	9,446	9,446
017	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	276,075	266,075
		Program Reduction		[−10,000]
018	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	268,859	263,859
		Program Reduction		[−5,000]
019	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	223,841	213,841
		Program Reduction		[−10,000]
020	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	219,130	220,630
		Blast mitigation and protection		[1,500]
021	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	27,384	27,384
022	1160407BB	SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, DEFENSE-WIDE			1,776,790	1,748,482

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
023	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	23,538	16,754
		Partial Program Growth Reduction		[-6,784]
024	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	43,808	43,808
025	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	81,868	92,368
		Reconnaissance and data exploitation systems		[3,500]
		Affordable Robust Mid-Sized UGV		[2,000]
		Integrated Rugged Checkpoint Container		[2,500]
		Combating Terrorism: Threat and Risk Assessment		[2,500]
026	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT	233,203	233,203
027	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	109,760	104,760
		General Reduction		[-5,000]
028	0603200D8Z	JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS	7,817	7,817
029	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	23,276	23,276
030	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	338,360	249,360
		Program Reduction		[-89,000]
031	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	200,612	200,612
032	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	282,235	284,235
		Total Perimeter Surveillance		[2,000]
033	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	10,838	10,838
034	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	198,352	177,352
		JCTD new starts		[-25,000]
		High Accuracy Network Determination System—Intelligent Optical Networks (HANDS-ION)		[2,000]
		Distributed Network Switching and Security		[2,000]
035	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	28,212	28,212
036	0603663D8Z	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH	4,935	4,935
037	0603665D8Z	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	10,993	10,993
038	0603670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	11,480	11,480
039	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	14,638	24,638
		High performance defense manufacturing technology		[10,000]
040	0603711D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS	9,110	11,110
		Robotics training systems		[2,000]
041	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	19,043	33,643
		Biofuels program		[2,000]
		Biomass conversion research		[1,600]
		Fuel cell manufacturing research		[1,000]
		Vehicle fuel cell and hydrogen logistics program		[8,000]
		Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies Initiative		[2,000]
042	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,356	29,356
043	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	69,175	69,175
044	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	26,310	30,810
		Feature Size Yield Enhancement at DMEA's Semiconductors Foundry		[2,500]
		End to End Semi Fab Alpha Tool		[2,000]
045	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	11,135	11,135
046	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	205,912	190,912
		Program Reduction		[-15,000]
047	0603745D8Z	SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR (SAR) COHERENT CHANGE DETECTION (CDD)	4,864	4,864
048	0603750D8Z	ADVANCED CONCEPT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS		
049	0603755D8Z	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	221,286	224,286
		Computational design of novel materials		[3,000]
050	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	293,476	275,326
		CCC-CLS execution delays		[-18,150]
051	0603764E	LAND WARFARE TECHNOLOGY		
052	0603765E	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	186,526	186,526
053	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	135,941	135,941
054	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	243,056	218,056
		Program Reduction		[-15,000]
		SEN-CLS execution delays		[-10,000]
055	0603768E	GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	37,040	37,040
056	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,822	13,822
057	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	31,298	31,298
058	0603805S	DUAL USE TECHNOLOGY		
059	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	107,984	94,484
		Quick Reaction Fund		[-15,000]
		Special warfare domain awareness		[1,500]
060	0603828D8Z	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	124,480	122,180
		Tidewater Full Scale Exercise		[2,700]
		National Center for Small Unit Excellence		[-5,000]
061	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	38,505	38,505
062	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	95,734	95,734
063	0603942D8Z	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,219	5,219
		National Radio Frequency RD&T Transfer Center		[3,000]
064	0909999D8Z	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		
065	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	31,675	36,775
		Lithium ion battery safety research		[1,600]
		Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center		[3,500]
066	1160422BB	AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS	3,544	3,544
067	1160472BB	SOF INFORMATION AND BROADCAST SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,988	4,988
SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE			3,570,404	3,429,370

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES				
068	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P	36,019	36,019
069	0603228D8Z	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		
070	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH	21,718	21,718
071	0603709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	11,803	13,803
		Autonomous Machine Vision for Mapping and Investigation of Remote Sites		[2,000]
072	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	17,771	17,771
073	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	31,613	31,613
074	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	719,465	719,465
075	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	982,922	1,002,922
		GBI vendor base sustainment		[20,000]
076	0603883C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE BOOST DEFENSE SEGMENT	186,697	186,697
077	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	205,952	207,552
		Real-time non-specific viral agent detector		[1,600]
078	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	636,856	636,856
079	0603886C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM INTERCEPTOR		
080	0603888C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	966,752	940,752
		Target Synchronization with Test Schedule		[–26,000]
081	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS	369,145	354,145
		Programs Reduction		[–15,000]
082	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	301,566	286,566
		Program Decrease due to excessive growth		[–15,000]
083	0603892C	AEGIS BMD	1,690,758	1,690,758
084	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	180,000	173,200
		Demonstration Satellites		[–6,800]
085	0603894C	MULTIPLE KILL VEHICLE		
086	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	12,549	12,549
087	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS	340,014	340,014
088	0603897C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE HERCULES	48,186	48,186
089	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	60,921	61,421
		Independent Advisory Group to Review Ballistic Missile Defense Training Needs		[500]
090	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC)	86,949	86,949
091	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH	6,164	6,164
092	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	174,576	174,576
093	0603908C	BMD EUROPEAN INTERCEPTOR SITE		
094	0603909C	BMD EUROPEAN MIDCOURSE RADAR		
095	0603911C	BMD EUROPEAN CAPABILITY	50,504	50,504
096	0603912C	BMD EUROPEAN COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		
097	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	119,634	144,634
		Short-range ballistic missile defense		[25,000]
098	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	14,687	14,687
099	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE	13,885	13,885
100	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	4,887	8,387
		Corrosion control research		[3,500]
101	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT	55,289	55,289
102	0604648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	18,577	18,577
103	0604670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING	7,006	7,006
104	0604787D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC)	19,744	19,744
105	0604828D8Z	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	16,972	16,972
106	0605017D8Z	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	24,647	24,647
107	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	3,949	3,949
SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, DEFENSE-WIDE			7,438,177	7,427,977
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION				
108	0604051D8Z	DEFENSE ACQUISITION CHALLENGE PROGRAM (DACP)	28,862	28,862
109	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD	7,628	7,628
110	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	166,913	166,913
111	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	332,895	332,895
112	0604709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	5,127	5,127
113	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	39,911	39,911
114	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	20,633	20,633
115	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	8,735	8,735
116	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,705	11,705
117	0605018BTA	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (DIMHRS)	70,000	18,710
		Transfer to RDA, line 117 for DIMHRS execution		[–30,800]
		Transfer to RDAF, line 241 for DIMHRS execution		[–20,490]
118	0605020BTA	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY R&D ACTIVITIES	197,008	197,008
119	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	395	395
120	0605027D8Z	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,000	5,000
121	0605140D8Z	TRUSTED FOUNDRY	41,223	41,223
122	0605648D8Z	DEFENSE ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE (DAE) PILOT PROGRAM	4,267	4,267
123	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	18,431	18,431
124	0303158K	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	49,047	49,047
125	0807708D8Z	WOUNDED ILL AND INJURED SENIOR OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (WII-SOC) STAFF OFFICE	1,609	1,609
SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, DEFENSE-WIDE			1,009,389	958,099
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
126	0603757D8Z	TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (T2)		

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
127	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	13,121	13,121
128	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	15,247	15,247
129	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP)	145,052	155,052
		Joint Gulf Range Test and Training Complex		[3,000]
		Gulf Range Mobile Instrumentation Capability		[3,000]
		Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development		[4,000]
130	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR	9,045	9,045
131	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	9,455	9,455
132	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	44,760	44,760
133	0605110D8Z	USD(A&T)—CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,914	4,914
134	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	94,921	94,921
135	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO)	96,909	96,909
136	0605128D8Z	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	[]	[]
137	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	35,054	35,054
138	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	6,474	6,474
139	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	14,916	14,916
140	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	5,888	5,888
141	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	106,477	106,477
142	0605502BR	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH		
143	0605502C	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH—MDA		
144	0605502D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		
145	0605502E	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		
146	0605502S	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		
147	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTRATION	2,163	4,063
		Anti-tamper software systems		[1,900]
148	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	11,005	11,005
149	0605798S	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS		
150	0605799D8Z	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	19,981	19,981
151	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	54,411	49,411
		Program Reduction		[-5,000]
152	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION	19,554	19,554
153	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	23,512	23,512
154	0605897E	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION	45,000	45,000
155	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	51,055	51,055
156	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	5,929	5,929
157	0606301D8Z	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	8,000	8,000
158	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	1,250	1,250
159	0301555G	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
160	0301556G	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
161	0303166D8Z	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	30,604	30,604
162	0303169D8Z	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	4,667	4,667
163	0305103E	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	50,000	50,000
164	0305193D8Z	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	20,648	20,648
165	0305193G	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	[]	[]
166	0305400D8Z	WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT	829	829
167	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2)	34,306	34,306
168	0901585C	PENTAGON RESERVATION	19,709	19,709
169	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA	57,403	57,403
170	0901598D8W	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	980	980
170.A	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	124,705	124,705
SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, DEFENSE-WIDE			1,187,944	1,194,844
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
171	0604130V	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS)	1,384	1,384
172	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA	2,001	2,001
173	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS)	292	292
174	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT)	6,198	6,198
175	0607828D8Z	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	46,214	46,214
176	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT		
177	0208043J	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,179	2,179
178	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	74,786	74,786
180	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	10,767	10,767
181	0301301L	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	[]	[]
		Advanced Scientific Missile Intelligence Preparation of the Battlespace (IPB)		[2,500]
		Portable Device for Latent Fingerprint Identification		[1,800]
182	0301318BB	HUMINT (CONTROLLED)	[]	[]
183	0301371G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—CCP	[]	[]
184	0301372L	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]
185	0301555BZ	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
186	0301556BZ	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
187	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	548	548
188	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION	17,655	17,655
189	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS	9,406	9,406
190	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	9,830	9,830
191	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	8,116	8,116
192	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	41,002	41,002
193	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	13,477	13,477
194	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	408,316	408,316
195	0303140K	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM		
196	0303148K	DISA MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	1,205	1,205

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
197	0303149J	C4I FOR THE WARRIOR	4,098	4,098
198	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	23,761	23,761
199	0303153K	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	18,944	18,944
200	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	1,782	1,782
201	0303260D8Z	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	942	942
202	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM	5,239	5,239
203	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	16,381	16,381
204	0304345BQ	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	[]	[]
206	0305103D8Z	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	993	993
207	0305103G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	[]	[]
208	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,080	10,080
209	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	12,725	12,725
210	0305127BZ	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES		
211	0305127L	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
212	0305146BZ	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
213	0305146L	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
214	0305183L	DEFENSE HUMAN INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
215	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	6,948	6,948
216	0305193L	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)		
217	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY	1,479	1,479
218	0305202G	DRAGON U-2	[]	[]
219	0305206G	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
220	0305207G	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS		
221	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,407	1,407
222	0305208BQ	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
223	0305208G	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
224	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,158	3,158
225	0305208L	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
226	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	2,067	2,067
227	0305229G	REAL-TIME ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT (RTIO)	[]	[]
228	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,963	2,963
229	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCEMENT AND INTEGRATION	1,389	1,389
230	0305866L	DIA SUPPORT TO SOUTHCOM INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES		
231	0305880L	COMBATANT COMMAND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS		
232	0305883L	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET (HDBT) INTEL SUPPORT	[]	[]
233	0305884L	INTELLIGENCE PLANNING AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
		Technology applications for security enhancement		[3,000]
235	0305889G	COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT		
236	0307141G	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEV	[]	[]
237	0307207G	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	[]	[]
238	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	20,514	51,714
		Industrial Base Innovation Fund		[30,000]
		Northwest Manufacturing Initiative		[1,200]
239	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,798	2,798
240	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	8,303	8,303
241	1001018D8Z	NATO AGS	74,485	74,485
242	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV	4,380	4,380
243	1130435BB	STORM		
244	1160279BB	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH/SMALL BUS TECH TRANSFER PILOT PROG		
245	1160403BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	82,621	72,621
		Avionics Modernization Program		[-10,000]
246	1160404BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,182	1,594
		SOF Resource Business Information System		[-4,588]
247	1160405BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	21,273	33,173
		Biometric Optical Surveillance System (BOSS)		[2,000]
		Counterproliferation Analysis and Planning System (CAPS)		[5,000]
		Advanced long endurance unattended ground sensor technologies		[4,900]
248	1160408BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	60,310	60,310
249	1160421BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV-22 DEVELOPMENT	12,687	12,687
250	1160423BB	JOINT MULTI-MISSION SUBMERSIBLE	43,412	43,412
251	1160425BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS		
252	1160426BB	OPERATIONS ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM (ASDS) DEVELOPMENT	1,321	0
		ASDS		[-1,321]
253	1160427BB	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS (MTPS)	3,192	3,192
254	1160428BB	UNMANNED VEHICLES (UV)		
255	1160429BB	MCI30J SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	5,957	5,957
256	1160474BB	SOF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS	733	733
257	1160476BB	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	2,368	2,368
258	1160477BB	SOF WEAPONS SYSTEMS	1,081	1,081
259	1160478BB	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	597	597
260	1160479BB	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS	3,369	4,869
		Miniature Day Night Sight for Crew Served Weapons		[1,500]
261	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	1,973	1,973
262	1160482BB	SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION	18,863	18,863
263	1160483BB	SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	3,452	7,452
		Transformer Technology for Combat Submersibles (TTCS)		[4,000]
264	1160484BB	SOF SURFACE CRAFT	12,250	12,250
265	1160488BB	SOF PSYOP	9,887	9,887
266	1160489BB	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	4,944	4,944
267	1160490BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	11,547	11,547
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	4,148,984	4,156,284

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	5,335,215	5,375,206
		DARPA execution adjustment		-150,000
		Total, RDT&E Defense-Wide	20,741,542	20,413,501
		OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	58,647	58,647
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	12,285	12,285
003	0605814OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	119,838	119,838
		Total, Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	190,770	190,770
		TOTAL RDT&E	78,634,289	79,251,608

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY		
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
075	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	18,598	18,598
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, ARMY	18,598	18,598
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
160	0301359A	SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM	[]	[]
161	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	7,644	7,644
162	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	2,220	2,220
167	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	29,500	29,500
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	39,364	39,364
		TOTAL, RDT&E ARMY	57,962	57,962
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
026	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS		
027	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	8,000	0
		Non-emergency development funding		[-8,000]
041	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	9,000	0
		Non-emergency development funding		[-9,000]
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, NAVY	17,000	0
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
188	0301303N	MARITIME INTELLIGENCE	[]	[]
189	0301323N	COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]
190	0301327N	TECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE	[]	[]
191	0301372N	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]
203	0305207N	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	51,900	51,900
210	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0)	6,000	6,000
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	32,280	32,280
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, RDT&E	90,180	90,180
		TOTAL, RDT&E NAVY	107,180	90,180
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
004	0301555F	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
005	0301556F	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	0	0
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
116	0605798F	ANALYSIS SUPPORT GROUP	[]	[]
123	0101815F	ADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	[]	[]
128	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	1,400	1,400
149	0207423F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	9,375	9,375

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Program Element</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ized</i>
150	0207424F	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM	[]	[]
164	0208161F	SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	[]	[]
165	0301310F	NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER	[]	[]
166	0301314F	COBRA BALL	[]	[]
167	0301315F	MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION	[]	[]
168	0301324F	FOREST GREEN	[]	[]
169	0301386F	GDIP COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]
180	0304311F	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
181	0304348F	ADVANCED GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE (AGI)	[]	[]
188	0305124F	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	[]	[]
189	0305127F	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
191	0305142F	APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION	[]	[]
196	0305172F	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	[]	[]
206	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	1,400	1,400
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	17,111	17,111
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE	29,286	29,286
		TOTAL, RDT&E AIR FORCE	29,286	29,286
 RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE				
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
159	0301555G	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
160	0301556G	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
165	0305193G	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	[]	[]
181	0301301L	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	[]	[]
182	0301318BB	HUMINT (CONTROLLED)	[]	[]
183	0301371G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—CCP	[]	[]
184	0301372L	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]
185	0301555BZ	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]
186	0301556BZ	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]
198	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,750	2,750
204	0304345BQ	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	[]	[]
207	0305103G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	[]	[]
211	0305127L	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
212	0305146BZ	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
213	0305146L	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
214	0305183L	DEFENSE HUMAN INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
218	0305202G	DRAGON U-2	[]	[]
219	0305206G	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
221	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
222	0305208BQ	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
223	0305208G	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
225	0305208L	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]
226	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	[]	[]
227	0305229G	REAL-TIME ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT (RT10)	[]	[]
231	0305880L	COMBATANT COMMAND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS	[]	[]
232	0305883L	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET (HDBT) INTEL SUPPORT	[]	[]
233	0305884L	INTELLIGENCE PLANNING AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES	[]	[]
236	0307141G	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEV	[]	[]
237	0307207G	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	[]	[]
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	113,076	113,076
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	115,826	115,826
		Total, RDT&E Defense-Wide	115,826	115,826
		TOTAL RDT&E	310,254	293,254

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,020,490	1,020,490
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	105,178	105,178
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	708,038	708,038
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	718,233	718,233
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,379,529	1,315,129
	Budget realignment of combat training center transportation funding in support of helicopter training		[-64,400]
060	AVIATION ASSETS	850,750	773,350
	Budget realignment in support of helicopter training		[-77,400]
LAND FORCES READINESS			
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,088,233	2,088,233
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	633,704	633,704
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	692,601	695,601
	Texas Defense Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative		[3,000]
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,586,455	7,588,155
	Fort Bliss Data Center		[1,700]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,221,446	2,221,446
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	333,119	333,119
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	123,163	123,163
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	0
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	0	0
160	RESET	0	0
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	460,159	460,159
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		18,921,098	18,783,998
BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	228,376	228,376
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS	98,129	98,129
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	5,705	5,705
TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION		332,210	332,210
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	125,615	125,615
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	87,488	87,488
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	59,302	59,302
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	449,397	449,397
BASIC SKILL/ADVANCE TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	970,777	971,277
	Rule of law increase		[500]
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	843,893	985,693
	Budget realignment in support of helicopter training		[141,800]
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	166,812	166,812
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	702,031	702,031
RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	541,852	541,852
300	EXAMINING	147,915	147,915
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	238,353	238,353
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	217,386	217,386
330	JUNIOR ROTC	156,904	156,904
TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING		4,707,725	4,850,025

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,017,055	1,017,055
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	540,249	540,249
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	614,093	614,093
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	481,318	481,318
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	434,661	435,661
	M24 Sniper Weapons System Upgrade		[1,000]
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390	ADMINISTRATION	776,866	776,866
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,166,491	1,141,491
	Servicewide communications underexecution		[-25,000]
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	289,383	289,383
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	221,779	229,029
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS		[7,250]
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	993,852	993,852
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	215,168	215,168
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	118,785	118,785
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	430,449	430,449
470	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	13,700	13,700
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		7,313,849	7,297,099
Total Operation and Maintenance, Army		31,274,882	31,263,332
Operation and Maintenance, Navy			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	3,814,000	3,814,000
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	120,868	120,868
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	52,259	52,259
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	121,649	121,649
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	485,321	485,321
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,057,747	1,127,774
	Aviation Depot Maintenance		[70,027]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	32,083	32,083
SHIP OPERATIONS			
080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,320,222	3,320,222
090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	699,581	699,581
100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,296,544	4,296,544
110	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,170,785	1,170,785
COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	601,595	601,595
130	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	86,019	86,019
140	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	167,050	167,050
150	WARFARE TACTICS	407,674	407,674
160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	315,228	315,228
170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	758,789	758,789
180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	186,794	186,794
190	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,305	3,305
200	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	167,789	167,789
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	259,188	252,188
	Reduction for National Program for Small Unit Excellence		[-7,000]
WEAPONS SUPPORT			
220	CRUISE MISSILE	131,895	131,895
230	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,145,020	1,145,020
240	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	64,731	64,731
250	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	448,777	460,777
	Gun depot overhauls		[12,000]
260	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	326,535	326,535

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
BASE SUPPORT			
270	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	1,095,587	1,095,587
280	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,746,418	1,746,418
290	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,058,046	4,058,046
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	27,141,499	27,216,526
BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION			
READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
300	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	407,977	407,977
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
310	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,491	7,491
320	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	192,401	195,401
	Navy Ship Disposal-Carrier Demonstration Program		[3,000]
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
330	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	24,546	24,546
340	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,409	2,409
350	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	25,727	25,727
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	660,551	663,551
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
360	OFFICER ACQUISITION	145,027	145,027
370	RECRUIT TRAINING	11,011	11,011
380	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	127,490	127,490
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
390	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	477,383	477,383
400	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,268,846	1,268,846
410	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	161,922	161,922
420	TRAINING SUPPORT	158,685	158,685
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
430	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	276,564	277,215
	Navy Sea Cadet Corps		[651]
440	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	154,979	154,979
450	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	101,556	101,556
460	JUNIOR ROTC	49,161	49,161
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	2,932,624	2,933,275
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
470	ADMINISTRATION	768,048	768,048
480	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	6,171	6,171
490	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	114,675	114,675
500	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	182,115	189,365
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS		[7,250]
510	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	298,729	298,729
520	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	408,744	393,744
	Service-wide communications underexecution		[-15,000]
530	MEDICAL ACTIVITIES	0	0
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
540	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	246,989	246,989
550	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS	0	0
560	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	244,337	244,337
570	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	778,501	778,501
580	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	60,223	60,223
590	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	17,328	17,328
600	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	79,065	79,065
INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS			
610	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	515,989	515,989
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
670	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	5,918	5,918

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
CANCELLED ACCOUNTS			
680	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0	0
690	JUDGMENT FUND	0	0
OTHER PROGRAMS			
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	608,840	608,840
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		4,335,672	4,327,922
Unobligated balances estimate			-100,000
Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy		35,070,346	35,041,274
 Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	730,931	737,931
	Family of shelter and tents		[2,000]
	Flame Resistant Organizational Gear		[1,500]
	Ultra Lightweight Camouflage Net System		[3,500]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	591,020	591,020
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	80,971	80,971
USMC PREPOSITIONING			
050	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	72,182	72,182
060	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	5,090	5,090
COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
070	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	0	0
BASE SUPPORT			
080	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	666,330	666,330
090	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,250,191	2,250,191
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		4,396,715	4,403,715
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
100	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,129	16,129
110	OFFICER ACQUISITION	418	418
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
120	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	67,336	67,336
130	FLIGHT TRAINING	369	369
140	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	28,112	28,112
150	TRAINING SUPPORT	330,885	330,885
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION			
160	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	240,832	240,832
170	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	64,254	64,254
180	JUNIOR ROTC	19,305	19,305
BASE SUPPORT			
190	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	0	0
200	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	0	0
TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING		767,640	767,640
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
210	SPECIAL SUPPORT	299,065	299,065
220	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	28,924	28,924
230	ADMINISTRATION	43,879	43,879
BASE SUPPORT			
240	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION	0	0
250	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	0	0

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	371,868	371,868
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,536,223	5,543,223
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	AIR OPERATIONS		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,017,156	4,017,156
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,754,563	2,754,563
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,414,913	1,416,413
	Air Education and Training Command Range Improvements		[1,500]
050	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,389,738	2,389,738
060	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,420,083	1,420,083
070	BASE SUPPORT	2,859,943	2,860,183
	Wage Modification for US Azores Portugese National Employees		[240]
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS		
080	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,411,813	1,411,813
090	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	880,353	880,353
110	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	552,148	552,148
	SPACE OPERATIONS		
120	LAUNCH FACILITIES	356,367	356,367
130	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	725,646	725,646
	COCOM		
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	608,796	608,796
150	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	216,073	216,073
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	19,607,592	19,609,332
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION		
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS		
160	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,932,080	2,934,080
	Warner Robins Air Logistics Center Strategic Airlift Aircraft Availability Improvements		[2,000]
170	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	211,858	211,858
180	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	332,226	332,226
190	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	362,954	362,954
200	BASE SUPPORT	657,830	657,830
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	4,496,948	4,498,948
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
	ACCESSION TRAINING		
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	120,870	120,870
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	18,135	18,135
230	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	88,414	88,414
240	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	372,788	372,788
250	BASE SUPPORT	685,029	685,029
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING		
260	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	514,048	514,048
270	FLIGHT TRAINING	833,005	833,005
280	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	215,676	215,676
290	TRAINING SUPPORT	118,877	118,877
300	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	576	576
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION		
320	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	152,983	152,983
330	EXAMINING	5,584	5,584
340	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	188,198	188,198
350	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	174,151	174,151
360	JUNIOR ROTC	67,549	67,549
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,555,883	3,555,883
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
370	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,055,672	1,055,672
380	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	735,036	735,036
400	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	15,411	15,411
410	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	359,562	359,562
420	BASE SUPPORT	1,410,097	1,410,097
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
430	ADMINISTRATION	646,080	643,330
	Servicewide administration		[-10,000]
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS		[7,250]
440	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	581,951	581,951
450	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,062,803	1,062,803
460	CIVIL AIR PATROL	22,433	22,433
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
470	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,148,704	1,148,704
SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
480	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	49,987	49,987
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		7,087,736	7,084,986
	USAF Civilian Underexecution		-50,000
	Unobligated Balances Estimate		-172,000
Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force		34,748,159	34,527,149
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES			
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	457,169	457,169
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,611,492	3,612,992
	Special Operations Forces Modular Glove System		[1,500]
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:		4,068,661	4,070,161
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES			
030	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	115,497	115,497
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION			
040	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	103,408	103,408
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:		218,905	218,905
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES			
050	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	0	0
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	132,231	152,231
	National Guard Youth Challenge Program		[5,000]
	Junior ROTC		[15,000]
080	CLASSIFIED AND INTELLIGENCE	0	0
090	DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	139,579	117,829
	DIMHRS Transfer to Services (Army, Navy and Air Force)		[-21,750]
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	458,316	458,316
110	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	0	0
120	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	665,743	665,743
130	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,322,163	1,322,163
150	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	42,532	42,532
160	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	405,873	414,873
	Procurement and Technical Assistance Program		[9,000]
170	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	253,667	253,667
180	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE	20,679	20,679
190	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	34,325	34,325
200	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	385,453	385,453
210	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY	2,302,116	2,305,516

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	SoAR Recruiting Initiative		[3,400]
220	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,058,721	1,058,721
230	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	721,756	621,756
	Security and Stabilization (1207)		[-100,000]
240	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	497,857	497,857
	NATIONAL GUARD BORDER SECURITY	0	0
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	37,166	38,166
	Redevelopment of Naval Station Ingleside		[1,000]
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,955,985	1,977,985
	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative		[20,000]
	Critical Language Training		[2,000]
280	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	589,309	589,309
	OTHER PROGRAMS		
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,046,209	13,046,209
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	24,069,680	24,003,330
	Impact Aid		30,000
	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities		5,000
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	28,357,246	28,327,396
	 Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	LAND FORCES		
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,403	1,403
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	12,707	12,707
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	468,288	468,288
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	152,439	152,439
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	520,420	520,420
060	AVIATION ASSETS	61,063	61,063
	LAND FORCES READINESS		
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	290,443	290,443
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	106,569	106,569
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	94,499	94,499
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT		
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	522,310	522,310
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	234,748	234,748
120	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	0
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,464,889	2,464,889
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	9,291	9,291
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT		
140	ADMINISTRATION	72,075	72,075
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,635	3,635
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	9,104	9,104
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	61,202	61,202
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	155,307	155,307
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,620,196	2,620,196
	 Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	AIR OPERATIONS		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	570,319	570,319
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	16,596	16,596
030	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	3,171	3,171
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	125,004	125,004

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	397	397
	SHIP OPERATIONS		
060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	55,873	55,873
070	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	592	592
080	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	41,899	41,899
	COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT		
090	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,241	15,241
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	142,924	142,924
	WEAPONS SUPPORT		
110	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,494	5,494
	BASE SUPPORT		
120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	83,611	83,611
130	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	69,853	69,853
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	124,757	124,757
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	1,255,731	1,255,731
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT		
150	ADMINISTRATION	3,323	3,323
160	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	13,897	13,897
170	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,957	1,957
180	OTHER SERVICEWIDE POWER	0	0
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT		
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,593	3,593
	CANCELLED ACCOUNTS		
200	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0	0
210	JUDGMENT FUND	0	0
	OTHER PROGRAMS		
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	0	0
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	22,770	22,770
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,278,501	1,278,501
	Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES		
010	OPERATING FORCES	61,117	61,117
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	13,217	13,217
030	TRAINING SUPPORT	29,373	29,373
	BASE SUPPORT		
040	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	25,466	25,466
050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	73,899	73,899
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	203,072	203,072
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	SPECIAL SUPPORT	5,639	5,639
070	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	818	818
080	ADMINISTRATION	10,642	10,642
090	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,754	8,754
	BASE SUPPORT		
100	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	0	0
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	25,853	25,853

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	228,925	228,925
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	AIR OPERATIONS		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,049,303	2,049,303
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	121,417	121,417
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	441,958	441,958
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	78,763	78,763
050	BASE SUPPORT	258,091	258,091
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,949,532	2,949,532
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	77,476	77,476
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	24,553	24,553
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	20,838	20,838
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,121	6,121
100	AUDIOVISUAL	708	708
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	129,696	129,696
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,079,228	3,079,228
	Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	LAND FORCES		
010	MANEUVER UNITS	876,269	876,269
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	173,843	173,843
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	615,160	615,160
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	253,997	253,997
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	34,441	34,441
060	AVIATION ASSETS	819,031	821,281
	Joint Command Vehicle and Supporting C3 Systems		[2,250]
	LAND FORCES READINESS		
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	436,799	436,799
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	99,757	99,757
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	379,646	379,646
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT		
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	798,343	800,943
	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers		[1,600]
	Our Military Kids		[1,000]
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	580,171	580,471
	Camp Ethan Allen Training Site Road Equipment		[300]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	573,452	573,452
130	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	0
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,640,909	5,646,059
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT		
140	ADMINISTRATION	119,186	119,186
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	48,020	48,020
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	7,920	7,920
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	440,999	440,999
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	616,125	616,125

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,257,034	6,262,184
	 Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	AIR OPERATIONS		
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,347,685	3,347,685
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	779,917	779,917
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	780,347	780,347
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	302,949	302,949
050	BASE SUPPORT	606,916	606,916
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,817,814	5,817,814
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	35,174	35,174
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	32,773	32,773
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	67,947	67,947
	 Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,885,761	5,885,761
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
010	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	13,932	13,932
010	ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	100,000	100,000
010	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	109,869	109,869
010	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	404,093	424,093
	Program increase		[20,000]
020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	415,864	415,864
030	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	285,869	285,869
040	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	494,276	494,276
050	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	11,100	11,100
060	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	267,700	267,700
070	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	0
	Program decrease		[-5,000]
080	IRAQ FREEDOM FUND	0	0
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	2,107,703	2,122,703
	TOTAL TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	156,444,204	156,179,872

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	Operation and Maintenance, Army		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT		
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	36,330,899	36,330,899
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	1,500,000	1,300,000
	Program reduction		[-200,000]
160	RESET	7,867,551	7,867,551
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	45,698,450	45,498,450
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SECURITY PROGRAMS		
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,426,309	1,426,309
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS		
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	5,045,902	5,045,902

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,472,211	6,472,211
	<i>Army end strength budget amendment</i>		[196,100]
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	52,170,661	52,166,761
	Operation and Maintenance, Navy		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES		
	AIR OPERATIONS		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	1,138,398	1,138,398
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	2,640	2,640
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	1,212	1,212
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	26,815	26,815
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	44,532	44,532
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	158,559	158,559
	SHIP OPERATIONS		
080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	651,209	651,209
090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	22,489	22,489
100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,001,037	1,001,037
	<i>Transfer to base</i>		
	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT		
120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	20,704	20,704
150	WARFARE TACTICS	15,918	15,918
160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	16,889	16,889
170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,891,799	1,891,799
180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	306	306
200	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	6,929	6,929
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	7,344	7,344
	WEAPONS SUPPORT		
240	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	68,759	68,759
250	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	82,496	82,496
260	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	16,902	16,902
	BASE SUPPORT		
280	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	7,629	7,629
290	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	338,604	338,604
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,521,170	5,521,170
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION		
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES		
300	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	27,290	27,290
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS		
330	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	4,336	4,336
350	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	245,039	245,039
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	276,665	276,665
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING		
390	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	97,995	97,995
420	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,463	5,463
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	103,458	103,458
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT		
470	ADMINISTRATION	3,899	3,899
480	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	463	463
500	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	563	563
510	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	2,525	2,525
520	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	23,557	23,557
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT		

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
540	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	223,890	223,890
570	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	642	642
INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS			
610	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	37,452	37,452
OTHER PROGRAMS			
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	25,299	25,299
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		318,290	318,290
Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy		6,219,583	6,219,583
 Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,048,844	2,048,844
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	486,014	486,014
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	554,000	554,000
USMC PREPOSITIONING			
060	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	950	950
BASE SUPPORT			
090	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	121,700	121,700
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		3,211,508	3,211,508
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
120	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	6,303	6,303
140	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	923	923
150	TRAINING SUPPORT	205,625	205,625
TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING		212,851	212,851
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
210	SPECIAL SUPPORT	2,576	2,576
220	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	269,415	269,415
230	ADMINISTRATION	5,250	5,250
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		277,241	277,241
Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps		3,701,600	3,701,600
 Operation and Maintenance, Air Force			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,582,431	1,582,431
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,460,018	1,460,018
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	109,255	109,255
050	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	304,540	304,540
060	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	121,881	121,881
070	BASE SUPPORT	1,394,809	1,394,809
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
080	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	130,885	130,885
090	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	407,554	407,554
SPACE OPERATIONS			
130	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	38,677	38,677

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
COCOM			
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	157,000	157,000
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,707,050	5,707,050
BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
160	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,171,148	3,171,148
170	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	169,659	169,659
180	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	167,070	167,070
190	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	942	942
200	BASE SUPPORT	45,998	45,998
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	3,554,817	3,554,817
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
240	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,019	1,019
250	BASE SUPPORT	19,361	19,361
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
260	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	48,442	48,442
270	FLIGHT TRAINING	291	291
280	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	1,500	1,500
290	TRAINING SUPPORT	1,427	1,427
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	72,040	72,040
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
370	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	328,009	328,009
420	BASE SUPPORT	35,322	35,322
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
430	ADMINISTRATION	9,000	9,000
440	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	178,470	178,470
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
470	SECURITY PROGRAMS	142,160	142,160
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	692,961	692,961
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	10,026,868	10,026,868
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES			
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	25,000	25,000
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,519,935	2,519,935
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	2,544,935	2,544,935
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES			
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	13,908	13,908
130	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	245,117	245,117
150	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	115,000	115,000
170	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	13,364	13,364
200	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	2,018	2,018
210	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY	553,600	553,600
220	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	63,130	63,130
230	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,950,000	1,950,000
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	79,047	79,047

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
OTHER PROGRAMS			
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,998,181	1,998,181
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	5,033,365	5,033,365
	<i>Army end strength budget amendment</i>		5,100
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	7,578,300	7,583,400
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	86,881	86,881
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	40,675	40,675
LAND FORCES READINESS			
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	21,270	21,270
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	17,500	17,500
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	38,000	38,000
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	204,326	204,326
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	204,326	204,326
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	26,673	26,673
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	400	400
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	3,600	3,600
SHIP OPERATIONS			
060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	7,416	7,416
080	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	8,917	8,917
COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT			
090	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	3,147	3,147
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	13,428	13,428
BASE SUPPORT			
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,478	4,478
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	68,059	68,059
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	68,059	68,059
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
010	OPERATING FORCES	77,849	77,849
BASE SUPPORT			
050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	8,818	8,818
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	86,667	86,667
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	86,667	86,667

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,618	3,618
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	7,276	7,276
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	114,531	114,531
050	BASE SUPPORT	500	500
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		125,925	125,925
Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve		125,925	125,925
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	89,666	89,666
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	1,196	1,196
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	18,360	18,360
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	380	380
060	AVIATION ASSETS	59,357	59,357
LAND FORCES READINESS			
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	94,458	94,458
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	22,536	22,536
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	35,693	35,693
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		321,646	321,646
Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard		321,646	321,646
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	103,259	103,259
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	51,300	51,300
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	135,303	135,303
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		289,862	289,862
Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard		289,862	289,862
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund			
010	INFRASTRUCTURE	868,320	868,320
020	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	1,615,192	1,615,192
030	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	272,998	272,998
040	SUSTAINMENT	1,945,887	1,945,887
060	INFRASTRUCTURE	605,584	605,584
070	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	279,186	279,186
080	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	648,217	648,217
090	SUSTAINMENT	1,219,966	1,219,966
120	SUSTAINMENT	5,919	5,919
130	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	1,500	1,500

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
	TOTAL, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	7,462,769	7,462,769
	Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund		
	INFRASTRUCTURE	41,970	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-41,970]
	EQUIPMENT/TRANSPORTATION	397,907	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-397,907]
	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	67,953	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-67,953]
	INFRASTRUCTURE	73,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-73,000]
	EQUIPMENT/TRANSPORTATION	107,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-107,000]
	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	8,170	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-8,170]
	HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	4,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-4,000]
	TOTAL, Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund	700,000	0
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
080	IRAQ FREEDOM FUND	115,300	0
	Program reduction		[-115,300]
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	115,300	0
	TOTAL TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	89,071,566	88,257,466

TITLE XLIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 4401. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS		
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS		
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	141,388	141,388
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY	1,313,616	1,313,616
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,455,004	1,455,004
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND		
Strategic Ship Acquisition		
T-AKE	940,115	940,115
MLP	120,047	120,047
OUTFITTING AND POST DELIVERY	29,740	29,740
DoD Mobilization Assets		
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT VESSEL	1,438	1,438
LMSR MAINTENANCE	96,363	96,363
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS	64,167	64,167
T-AH MAINTENANCE	37,627	37,627
Strategic Sealift Support		
STRATEGIC SEALIFT SUPPORT	4,794	4,794
Sealift Research and Development		
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	72,983	72,983
Ready Reserve Force		
READY RESERVE FORCE	275,484	275,484
Total, National Defense Sealift Fund	1,642,758	1,642,758
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND		
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND	22,000	0
Total Revolving and Management Funds	3,119,762	3,097,762

MILITARY PROGRAMS

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—O&M	26,967,919	27,094,849
TRICARE Continuation Pending MEDICARE Eligibility		[4,000]
Transitional Dental Care (S712)		[11,000]
Pre-mobilization health care coverage for Reservists and their families		[92,000]
Madigan Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program		[2,500]
Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization		[430]
Extend Dental Coverage to Dependent Survivors		[2,000]
Chiropractic Clinical Trials		[5,000]
TRICARE Coverage for Gray-Area Retirees		[10,000]
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—R&D	613,102	616,102
USUHS Immersive, Wide Area Virtual Environment		[3,000]
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—PROCUREMENT	322,142	322,142
Total Defense Health Program	27,903,163	28,033,093
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION		
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—O&M	1,146,802	1,146,802
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RDT&E	401,269	401,269
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROC	12,689	12,689
Total Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction	1,560,760	1,560,760
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES		
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	1,058,984	1,054,234
EUCOM Interagency Fusion Centers (PC2365)		[-750]
PC9205 EUCOM CN Operation Support—excessive growth		[-2,000]
PC9206 AFRICOM CN Operational Support—excessive growth		[-2,000]
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	1,058,984	1,054,234
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—O&M	271,444	287,100
Second year growth plan		[15,656]
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000
Total Office of the Inspector General	272,444	288,100
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	33,915,113	34,033,949
Memorandum: Civil Program (non-defense)		
Armed Forces Retirement Home (Budget Function 600)	134,000	134,000

SEC. 4402. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Program Title</i>	<i>FY 2010 Request</i>	<i>Conference Authorized</i>
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS		
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS		
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	396,915	396,915
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	396,915	396,915
Total Revolving and Management Funds	396,915	396,915
MILITARY PROGRAMS		
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—O&M	1,155,235	1,256,675
Army end strength budget amendment		[101,440]
Total Defense Health Program	1,155,235	1,256,675
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES		
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	324,603	356,603
International Support—US CENTCOM CN Training—Mi-17 Procurement		[32,000]
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	324,603	356,603
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—O&M	8,876	8,876
Total Office of the Inspector General	8,876	8,876
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	1,885,629	2,019,069

TITLE XLV—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 4501. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Alabama				
Army	Anniston Army Depot	Industrial Area Elec System Upgrade		3,300
ARNG	Fort McClellan	Urban Assault Course	3,000	3,000
Army	Redstone Arsenal	Gate 7 Access Control Point		3,550
Def-Wide	Redstone Arsenal	Missile and Space Intel Center Eoe Complex		12,000
Alaska				
Air Force	Clear AFS	Power Plant Facility	24,300	24,300
Air Force	Eielson AFB	Arctic Utilidors—phase 1		9,900
Air Force	Eielson AFB	Taxiway Lighting		3,450
Air Force	Elmendorf AFB	Red Flag Alaska Add/Alter Operations Center	3,100	3,100
Air Force	Elmendorf AFB	F-22 Weapons Load Training Facility	12,600	12,600
Def-Wide	Elmendorf AFB	Aeromedical Services/Mental Health Clinic	25,017	25,017
Army	Fort Richardson	Airborne Sustainment Training Complex	6,100	6,100
Army	Fort Richardson	Training Aids Center	2,050	2,050
Army	Fort Richardson	Warrior In Transition Complex	43,000	43,000
Army	Fort Richardson	Combat Pistol Range		4,900
Def-Wide	Fort Richardson	Health Clinic	3,518	3,518
Army	Fort Wainwright	Railhead Complex	26,000	26,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Unit Operations Complex	19,000	19,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Task Force Complex, Ph 1, Inc 1	125,000	95,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Warrior In Transition Complex	28,000	28,000
Arizona				
ARNG	Camp Navajo	Combat Pistol Qualification Course	3,000	3,000
Air_Guard	Davis-Monthan AFB	TFI-Predator Beddown-FOC	5,600	5,600
Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	Dormitory (144 Rm)	20,000	20,000
Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	CSAR HC-130J Simulator Facility	8,400	8,400
Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	CSAR HC-130J Rqs Operations Facility	8,700	8,700
Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	CSAR HC-130J Infrastructure	4,800	4,800
Army	Fort Huachuca	UAV ER/MPER/MP	15,000	15,000
Army	Fort Huachuca	Battalion Headquarters UAV	6,000	6,000
Army	Fort Huachuca	Fire Station, Two company		6,700
Milcon, Naval	Phoenix	Reserve Center Move To Luke AFB, NOSC Phoenix	10,986	10,986
Res				
Navy	Yuma	Aircraft Maintenance Hanger (phase 1)	27,050	27,050
Navy	Yuma	Airfield Elec. Dist. and Contol	1,720	1,720
Arkansas				
Air Force	Little Rock AFB	C-130 Flight Simulator Addition	5,800	5,800
Air Force	Little Rock AFB	Security Forces Operations Facility		10,400
Army	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Fuse & Detonator Magazine, Depot Level	25,000	25,000
California				
Milcon, Naval	Alameda	Reserve Training Center—Alameda, Ca	5,960	5,960
Res				
Navy	Bridgeport	Fire Station—Renovation—MWTC	4,460	4,460
Navy	Bridgeport	Mountain Warfare Training, Commissary		6,830
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Anglico Operations Complex	25,190	25,190
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Recon Bn Operations Complex	77,660	77,660
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Comm/elec Maintenance Facility	13,170	13,170
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Expansion Of SRTTP To 7.5 MGD	55,180	55,180
Navy	Camp Pendleton	North Region Tertiary Treatment Plant (Incr 1)	142,330	112,330
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Gas/Electrical Upgrades	51,040	51,040
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Recruit Barracks—School of Infantry	53,320	53,320
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Enlisted Dining Facility	32,300	32,300
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Recruit Barracks—field/K—span	23,200	23,200
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Communications Upgrades	79,492	79,492
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Electrical Distribution System	76,950	76,950
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Operations Access Points	12,740	12,740
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Enlisted Dining Facility—Edson Range	37,670	37,670
Navy	Camp Pendleton	BEQ	39,610	39,610
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Recruit Marksmanship Training Facility	13,730	13,730
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Expand Combat Aircraft Loading Apron	12,240	12,240
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Aviation Transmitter/Receiver Site	13,560	13,560
Navy	Camp Pendleton	WFTBN Support Facilities	15,780	15,780
USAR	Camp Pendleton	Army Reserve Center	19,500	19,500
Def-Wide	Coronado	SOF Close Quarters Combat Training Facility	15,722	15,722
Navy	Edwards AFB	Edwards Ramp Extension	3,007	3,007
Def-Wide	El Centro	Aircraft Direct Fueling Station	11,000	11,000
Army	Fort Irwin	Mout Assault Course, Ph 4	9,500	9,500
Air_Guard	Fresno Yosemite IAP ANG	144th Squadron Operations Facility		9,800
ARNG	Los Alamitos	Readiness Center Ph1	31,000	31,000
USAR	Los Angeles	Army Reserve Center	29,000	29,000
Air Force	Los Angeles AFB	Consolidated Parking Area, Ph1		8,000
AF Reserve	March ARB	Small Arms Firing Range		9,800
Navy	Miramar	Aircraft Parking Apron Modification	9,280	9,280
Navy	Monterey NSA	Marine Meteorology Center		10,240
Def-Wide	Point Loma Annex	Replace Fuel Storage Fac Incr 2	92,300	92,300
Navy	Point Loma Annex	Alter/Add Marine Mammal Surgical Center		2,330
Navy	Point Loma Annex	Public Works Shops Consolidation	8,730	8,730
Navy	San Diego	Messhall Expansion	23,590	23,590
Air_Guard	Socal Logistics Airport	TFI-Predator Beddown—FTU/LRE Site	8,400	8,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Air Force	Travis AFB	Construct Kc-10 Cargo Load Training Facility	6,900	6,900
Air Force	Travis AFB	Taxiway M Bypass Load		6,000
Def-Wide	Travis AFB	Replace Fuel Distribution System	15,357	15,357
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Station Comm Facility and Infrastructure	49,040	49,040
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Sub-Station and Electrical Upgrades	31,310	31,310
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Elec. Infra. Upgrade—34.5kv To 115kv	46,220	46,220
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Elec. Power Plant/CO—GEN/Gas Turbine—n	53,260	53,260
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Water Improvements and Storage Tank	30,610	30,610
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Sewage System Imp. and Lift Station	5,800	5,800
Navy	Twentynine Palms	HTHW/chilled Water System	25,790	25,790
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Natural Gas System Extension	19,990	19,990
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Industrial Waste Water Pretreatment Sys.	3,330	3,330
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Laydown Site Work—north mainside	21,740	21,740
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Secondary elec.dist.—north mainside	31,720	31,720
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Construct Loads—north mainside	29,360	29,360
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Maint. Shop—wheeled	16,040	16,040
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Maint. Sunshades— wheeled	12,580	12,580
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Comm/Elect Maint/Storage	12,660	12,660
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Dining Facility—north mainside	17,200	17,200
Navy	Twentynine Palms	BEQ	37,290	37,290
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Maint. Shop—tracked	19,780	19,780
Navy	Twentynine Palms	BEQ	37,290	37,290
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Consolidated Armory— tanks	12,670	12,670
Air Force	Vandenberg AFB	Child Development Center	13,000	13,000
	Colorado			
Air_Guard	Buckley Ang Base	Add/Alter Weapons Release		4,500
USAR	Colorado Springs	Army Reserve Center/land	13,000	13,000
Army	Fort Carson	Training Aids Center	18,500	18,500
Army	Fort Carson	Brigade Complex	69,000	69,000
Army	Fort Carson	Brigade Complex, Ph 1	102,000	
Army	Fort Carson	Railroad Tracks	14,000	14,000
Army	Fort Carson	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	56,000	56,000
Army	Fort Carson	Automated Qualification Training Range	11,000	11,000
Army	Fort Carson	Modified Record Fire Range	4,450	4,450
Army	Fort Carson	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	7,400	7,400
Army	Fort Carson	Scout/recece Gunnery Complex	16,000	16,000
Army	Fort Carson	Urban Assault Course	3,100	3,100
Army	Fort Carson	Convoy Live Fire Range	6,500	6,500
Army	Fort Carson	Commissary	35,000	35,000
Army	Fort Carson	Barracks & dining, Increment 2	60,000	60,000
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	SOF Battalion Ops Complex	45,200	45,200
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	SOF Military Working Dog Facility	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	Health and Dental Clinic	52,773	31,900
Air Force	Peterson AFB	East Gate Realignment		7,200
Air Force	Peterson AFB	C-130 Squad Ops/AMU (TFI)	5,200	5,200
Air Force	Peterson AFB	National Security Space Institute	19,900	19,900
Chem Demil	Pueblo Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph XI	92,500	92,500
AF Reserve	Schriever AFB	Wing Headquarters	10,200	10,200
Air Force	U.S. Air Force Academy	Add To Cadet Fitness Center	17,500	17,500
	Connecticut			
Air_Guard	Bradley National Airport	CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities		9,000
USAR	Bridgeport	Army Reserve Center/land	18,500	18,500
Navy	New London NSB	Mk-48 Torpedo Magazine		6,570
	Delaware			
Air Force	Dover AFB	C-5 Cargo Aircraft maint Training Facility P1	5,300	5,300
Air Force	Dover AFB	Consol Comm Fac	12,100	12,100
Air Force	Dover AFB	Chapel Center		7,500
	Florida			
Navy	Blount Island	Port Operations Facility	3,760	3,760
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 Duke Control Tower	3,420	3,420
Air Force	Eglin AFB	Construct Dormitory (96 rm)	11,000	11,000
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 Pol Ops Facility	3,180	5,236
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 Hydrant Refueling System Phase 1	8,100	14,308
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 Parallel Taxiway lAdder	1,440	2,371
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 JPS Flightline fillstands	5,400	8,892
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 JP-8 West Side bulk Fuel Tank Upgrades	960	1,581
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 Live Ordinance Load Facility	9,900	9,900
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 A/C Parking Apron	16,400	27,652
Army	Eglin AFB	Operations Complex, Ph 3	80,000	80,000
Army	Eglin AFB	Indoor Firing Range	8,900	8,900
Army	Eglin AFB	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	8,000	8,000
Army	Eglin AFB	Live Fire Exercise Breach Facility	4,950	4,950
Army	Eglin AFB	Non-standard Small Arms range	3,400	3,400
Army	Eglin AFB	Grenade Launcher Range	1,600	1,600
Army	Eglin AFB	Hand Grenade Qualification Course	1,400	1,400
Army	Eglin AFB	Urban Assault Course	2,700	2,700
Army	Eglin AFB	Anti-armor, Tracking & Live Fire Range	3,400	3,400
Army	Eglin AFB	Automated Qualification/Training Range	12,000	12,000
Army	Eglin AFB	Light Demolition Range	2,200	2,200
Army	Eglin AFB	Basic 10m-25m Firing range (zero)	3,050	3,050
Def-Wide	Eglin AFB	SOF Military Working Dog Facility	3,046	3,046
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 Hydrant Refueling sys, Ph 1	6,208	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 Parallel Taxiway ladder	931	
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 A/C Parking Apron	11,252	
Navy	Eglin AFB	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters, EOD School, Phase	26,287	26,287
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 JP8 West Side Bulk Tank Upgrades	621	
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 Pol Operations Facility (Eglin)	2,056	
Navy	Eglin AFB	F-35 JP8 Flightline Fillstands (Eglin)	3,492	
Army	Eglin AFB (Camp Rudder)	Elevated Water Storage Tank		1,200
Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Refueling Vehicle Maintenance Facility	2,200	2,200
Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Electrical Distribution Substation	8,300	8,300
Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Flight Test Opns Fac (413 Flts)		9,400
Def-Wide	Hurlburt Field	SOF Simulator Facility for Mc-130 (recap)	8,156	8,156
Navy	Jacksonville	P-8/MMA Facilities Modification	5,917	5,917
Def-Wide	Jacksonville IAP	Replace Jet Fuel Storage Complex	11,500	11,500
Air Force	Macdill AFB	Dormitory (120 Room)	16,000	16,000
Air Force	Macdill AFB	Child Development Center	7,000	7,000
Air Force	Macdill AFB	Centcom Commandant Facility	15,300	15,300
Air Force	Macdill AFB	Consolidated Commo Facility		21,000
Navy	Mayport	Fitness Ctr		26,360
Navy	Mayport	Wharf Charlie Repair	29,682	29,682
Navy	Mayport	Channel Dredging	46,303	46,303
Army	Miami Doral	Southcom Headquarters, incr 3	55,400	55,400
USAR	Panama City	Army Reserve Center/land	7,300	7,300
Air Force	Patrick AFB	Combat Weapons Training Facility		8,400
Navy	Pensacola	Corry "A" School bachelor Enlisted Quarters R	22,950	22,950
Navy	Pensacola	Simulator Addition For umfo Program	3,211	3,211
USAR	West Palm Beach	Army Reserve Center/land	26,000	26,000
Navy	Whiting Field	T-6B JPATS Trng. Ops Paraloft Facility	4,120	4,120
Georgia				
Navy	Albany MCLB	Wpns Maint Hardstand Fac		4,870
USAR	Atlanta	Army Reserve Center/land	14,000	14,000
Army	Fort Benning	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Benning	Fire and Movement Range	2,800	2,800
Army	Fort Benning	Battle Lab	30,000	30,000
Army	Fort Benning	Training Area Tank Trails	9,700	9,700
Army	Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex	38,000	38,000
Army	Fort Benning	Dining Facility	15,000	15,000
Army	Fort Benning	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	53,000	53,000
Army	Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 1	31,000	31,000
Army	Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 1	31,000	31,000
Army	Fort Benning	Trainee Barracks Complex, Ph 1	74,000	74,000
ARNG	Fort Benning	Readiness Center	15,500	15,500
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Wilson Es Construct Gymnasium	2,330	2,330
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	SOF Expand Battalion Headquarters	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Blood Donor Center Replacement	12,313	12,313
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Dental Clinic	4,887	4,887
Army	Fort Gillem	Forensic Lab	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Stewart	Brigade Complex	93,000	48,000
Army	Fort Stewart	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	3,400	3,400
Army	Fort Stewart	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	49,000	49,000
Army	Fort Stewart	Barracks & Dining, Increment 2	80,000	80,000
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	New Elementary School	22,502	
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	New Elementary School	22,501	22,501
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	Health and Dental Clinic	26,386	22,200
ARNG	Hunter Army Airfield	Aviation Readiness Center		8,967
Air Force	Moody AFB	Rescue Opns/maint HQ Fac		10,000
Air Force	Warner Robins AFB	Hot Cargo Pad/taxiway		6,200
Hawaii				
Def-Wide	Ford Island	Pacific Operations Facility Upgrade	9,633	9,633
Air Force	Hickam AFB	Ground Control Tower		4,000
Air_Guard	Hickam AFB	TFI—F-22 LO/composite repair Facility	26,000	26,000
Air_Guard	Hickam AFB	TFI—F-22 Parking Apron and Taxiways	7,000	7,000
Navy	Navsta Pearl Harbor	Production Services Support Facility		25,070
Navy	Oahu	Range, 1000 - Puuloa	5,380	5,380
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Pacflt Sub Drive-in Mag Silencing Fac (inc)	8,645	8,645
Navy	Pearl Harbor	APCSS Conference & Technology Learning Center	12,775	12,775
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Missile Magazines (5), West Loch	22,407	22,407
Army	Schofield Barracks	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	63,000	63,000
Army	Schofield Barracks	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	36,000	36,000
Army	Schofield Barracks	Warrior In Transition (WT) Barracks	55,000	55,000
Army	Schofield Barracks	Warrior In Transition Complex	30,000	30,000
Air Force	Wheeler AAF	Construct ASOC Complex	15,000	15,000
Army	Wheeler AAF	Regional SATCOM Information Center	7,500	7,500
Idaho				
ARNG	Gowen Field	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	16,100	16,100
Air Force	Mountain Home AFB	Logistics Readiness Center	20,000	20,000
Illinois				
USAR	Chicago	Army Reserve Center	23,000	23,000
Milcon, Naval Res	Joliet Army Ammo Plant	Reserve Training Center—Joliet, IL	7,957	7,957
Air_Guard	Lincoln Capital Airport	Security Improv—Relocate Entrance		3,000
ARNG	Milan	Readiness Center		5,600
Air Force	Scott AFB	Aeromedical Evac Facility		7,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
	<i>Indiana</i>			
ARNG	Muscatatuck	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility Ph	10,100	10,100
Navy	Naval Support Activity Crane	Strategic Weapons Systems Engineering Facility		13,710
	<i>Iowa</i>			
ARNG	Camp Dodge	US Property and Fiscal Office		4,000
Air_Guard	Des Moines	Des Moines Alt Security Forces Fac		4,600
	<i>Kansas</i>			
Army	Fort Riley	Training Aids Center	15,500	15,500
Army	Fort Riley	Advanced Waste Water Treatment Plant	28,000	28,000
Army	Fort Riley	Igloo Storage, Installation	7,200	7,200
Army	Fort Riley	Brigade Complex	49,000	49,000
Army	Fort Riley	Battalion Complex	59,000	59,000
Army	Fort Riley	Land Vehicle Fueling Facility	3,700	3,700
Army	Fort Riley	Estes Load Access Control Point		6,100
Air_Guard	McConnell AFB	TFI-Upgrade DCGS		8,700
ARNG	Salina Army NG Aviation Facility	Taxiway Alterations		2,227
	<i>Kentucky</i>			
Chem Demil	Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Ph X	54,041	54,041
Chem Demil	Blue Grass Army Depot	Blue Grass Army Depot Chem Demil Project		5,000
Army	Fort Campbell	Installation Chapel Center		14,400
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	5th SFG Language Sustainment Trng Fac		6,800
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	SOF Battalion Operations Complex	29,289	29,289
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	SOF Military Working Dog Facility	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	Health Clinic	8,600	8,600
Army	Fort Knox	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	70,000	70,000
	<i>Louisiana</i>			
Air Force	Barksdale Air Force Base	Phase Five Ramp Replacement—Aircraft Apron		12,800
Army	Fort Polk	Multipurpose Machinangun Range		6,400
Army	Fort Polk	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	32,000	32,000
Army	Fort Polk	Land Purchases	17,000	17,000
	<i>Maine</i>			
Air_Guard	Bangor IAP	Replace Aircraft Maint Hanger/shops	28,000	28,000
Navy	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Gate 2 Security Improvements		7,090
	<i>Maryland</i>			
Army	Aberdeen PG	Analytical Chem Wing—Advanced Chem Lab		15,500
Def-Wide	Aberdeen PG	USAMRICD Replacement, inc I	111,400	111,400
Air Force	Andrews AFB	Replace Munitions Storage Area	9,300	9,300
Air_Guard	Andrews AFB	Rpl Munitions maintenance and Storage Complex	14,000	14,000
Navy	Carderock Nswc Det	RDTE Support Facility, ph2		6,520
Army	Fort Detrick	ATL Auditorium & Tng Cntr Expand		7,400
Army	Fort Detrick	Satellite Communications Center	18,000	18,000
Army	Fort Detrick	Satellite Communications Facility	21,000	21,000
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	Boundary Gate At Nalin Pond	10,750	10,750
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	Emergency Service Center	16,125	16,125
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Stage I, Inc IV	108,000	108,000
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	Nibc Truck Inspection Station & RLoad	2,932	2,932
Army	Fort Meade	Intersection, Rockenbach Rd & Cooper Ave		2,350
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	South Campus Utility Plant Ph 2	175,900	175,900
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Campus Chilled Water Backup	19,100	19,100
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	Mission Support—PSAT	8,800	8,800
Navy	Patuxent River NAS	Special Commo Rqts Eng Facility		11,043
	<i>Massachusetts</i>			
Air_Guard	Barnes ANGB	F-15 Aircraft Ready Shelters		8,100
ARNG	Hanscom AFB	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JFHQ)	29,000	29,000
Air_Guard	Otis Air National Guard Base	Composite Operations and Training Facility		12,800
	<i>Michigan</i>			
Air_Guard	Alpena CRTC	Replace Troop Quarters		8,900
Air_Guard	Battle Creek ANG Base	CNAF Bed Down Facilities		14,000
ARNG	Fort Custer	Org Maint Shop (ADRS)		7,732
Air_Guard	Selfridge ANG Base	A-10 Squad Operations Facility		7,100
	<i>Minnesota</i>			
ARNG	Arden Hills	Readiness Center Ph2	6,700	6,700
ARNG	Camp Ripley	Urban Assault Course	1,710	1,710
Def-Wide	Duluth IAP	Jet Fuel Stoarge Complex	15,000	15,000
USAR	Fort Snelling (Minneapolis)	Army Reserve Center	12,000	12,000
Air_Guard	Minn/St. Paul IAP 133rd AW Base	Minnesota Starbase Facility Alteration		1,900
	<i>Mississippi</i>			
ARNG	Camp Shelby	Combined Arms Collective Tng Fac Add/alt	16,100	16,100
Air Force	Columbus AFB	Aircraft Fuel Systems Maint Dock		9,800
Air_Guard	Gulfport-bilozl RA	Relocate Base Entrance		6,500
AF Reserve	Keesler AFB	Aerial Port Squadron Facility	9,800	9,800
ARNG	Monticello	Monticello National guard Readiness Center		14,350
	<i>Missouri</i>			
ARNG	Boonville	Readiness Center Add/alt	1,800	1,800
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Automated-aided Instruction Facility	27,000	27,000
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Wheeled Vehicle Drivers Course	17,500	17,500
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Warrior In Transition Complex	19,500	19,500
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Transient Advanced Trainee Barracks, Ph 1	99,000	99,000
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Health Clinic		7,800
Def-Wide	Fort Leonard Wood	Dental Clinic Addition	5,570	5,570
Air_Guard	Rosecrans Memorial Airport	Replace Fire/crash rescue Station Phase I		9,300
Air Force	Whiteman AFB	EOD Opns Complex		7,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Account</i>	<i>State/Country and Installation</i>	<i>Project Title</i>	<i>Budget Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
Air Force	Whiteman AFB	Land Acquisition North & South Bdry		5,500
	Montana			
Air Force	Malmstrom AFB	Upgrade Weapons Storage Area		10,600
	Nebraska			
ARNG	Lincoln	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JFHQ)	23,000	23,000
Air_Guard	Lincoln Map	Joint Forces Operations Center—ANG Share	1,500	1,500
Air Force	Offutt AFB	STRATCOM Gate		10,400
	Nevada			
ARNG	Carson City	National Guard Energy Sustainable Projects		2,000
Air Force	Creech AFB	UAS AT/FP Security Updates	2,700	2,700
Navy	Naval Air Station Fallon	Warrior Physical Training Facility		10,670
ARNG	North Las Vegas	Readiness Center	26,000	26,000
Air_Guard	Reno, NV	NV Air National Guard Fire Station Replacement		10,800
	New Hampshire			
Air_Guard	Pease ANGB	Replace Squadron Operations Facilities		10,000
	New Jersey			
Air Force	McGuire AFB	Warfighter & Family Sup Cntr		7,900
Air_Guard	McGuire AFB	108th Air Refuel Wng, Base Civil Eng Complex		9,700
Army	Picatinny Arsenal	Ballistic Eval Facility, Ph 2		10,200
Air Force	Cannon AFB	WB—Consolidated Communication Fac	15,000	15,000
	New Mexico			
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF Fuel Cell Hanger (MC-130)	41,269	41,269
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF AMU Addition (CV-22)	11,595	11,595
Def-Wide	Cannon AFB	SOF Ac-130 Load Out Apron Ph1		6,000
Air Force	Holloman AFB	F-22a Consolidated Munitions Maint (TFI)	5,500	5,500
Air Force	Holloman AFB	Fire-crash Rescue Station		10,400
Air Force	Holloman AFB	UAS Field Training Complex		37,500
Air Force	Kirtland AFB	Add To Space RDT&E Opns Cntr		5,800
Air Force	Kirtland AFB	MC-130J Simulator Facility	8,000	8,000
Air Force	Kirtland AFB	HC-130J Simulator Facility	8,700	8,700
ARNG	Santa Fe	Army Aviation Support Facility	39,000	39,000
	New York			
Army	Fort Drum	All Wr Marksmanship Facility		8,200
Army	Fort Drum	Water System Expansion	6,500	6,500
Army	Fort Drum	Barracks	57,000	57,000
Army	Fort Drum	Warrior In Transition Complex	21,000	21,000
AF Reserve	Niagra Falls ARB	Indoor Small Arms Range		5,700
USAR	Rochester	Army Reserve Center/land	13,600	13,600
Air_Guard	Wheeler Sack AAF	TFI-reaper LRE Beddown		2,700
	North Carolina			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Academic Instruction Facility Expansion	11,791	11,791
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Maintenance/OPS Complex	52,390	52,390
Navy	Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	34,160	34,160
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Utility Expansion—Courthouse Bay	56,280	56,280
Navy	Camp Lejeune	SOI-east Facilities—Camp Geiger	56,940	56,940
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Field Training fac.—Devil Dog - SOI	37,170	37,170
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Road Network—Wallace creek	15,130	15,130
Navy	Camp Lejeune	MP Working Dog Kennel— relocation	8,370	8,370
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Consolidated Info tech/telecom Complex	46,120	46,120
Navy	Camp Lejeune	New Base Entry Point and Road (phase 1)	79,150	79,150
Navy	Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	43,480	43,480
Navy	Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	44,390	44,390
Navy	Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	44,390	44,390
Navy	Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	42,110	42,110
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Pre-trial Detainee Facility	18,580	18,580
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Physical Fitness Center	39,760	39,760
Navy	Camp Lejeune	4th Infantry Battalion Ops Complex	55,150	55,150
Navy	Cherry Point MCAS	Ordnance Magazines	12,360	12,360
Navy	Cherry Point MCAS	EMS/fire Vehicle Facility	10,600	10,600
ARNG	East Flat Rock	Readiness Center Add/alt		2,516
Army	Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	19,500	19,500
Army	Fort Bragg	Simulations Center	50,000	50,000
Army	Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	17,500	17,500
Army	Fort Bragg	Company Operations Facility	3,300	3,300
Army	Fort Bragg	Transient Training Barracks Complex	16,500	16,500
Army	Fort Bragg	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range		3,450
Army	Fort Bragg	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun	4,350	4,350
ARNG	Fort Bragg	TUAS Support Facility		6,038
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Albritton JHS Addition	3,439	3,439
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Special Ops Prep & Conditioning Course	24,600	24,600
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Battalion & Company HQ	15,500	15,500
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Operations Support Addition	13,756	13,756
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Military Working Dog Facility	1,125	1,125
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Battalion Headquarters Facility	13,000	13,000
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Operations Addition North	27,513	27,513
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF TUAV Hanger	2,948	2,948
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Military Working Dog Facility	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Consolidated Health Clinic	26,386	26,386
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Health Clinic	31,272	31,272
Navy	New River	Apron Expansion (phase 2)	35,600	35,600
Navy	New River	VMMT-204 Maintenance Hanger—phase 3	28,210	28,210
Navy	New River	Parallel Taxiway	17,870	17,870

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Navy	New River	Tactical Support Van Pad Addition	5,490	5,490
Navy	New River	Gymnasium/outdoor Pool	19,920	19,920
Air Force	Pope AFB	Pope AFB Air Traffic Control Tower		9,000
Air Force	Seymour Johnson AFB	Radar Approach Control Complex, Ph1		6,900
Army	Sunny Point Mot	Towers	3,900	3,900
Army	Sunny Point Mot	Lightning Protection System	25,000	25,000
	North Dakota			
Air Force	Grand Forks AFB	Consolidated Security Forces Facility		12,000
Air Force	Minot AFB	Munitions Trailer Storage Facility	1,500	1,500
Air Force	Minot AFB	Missile Procedures Trng Operations	10,000	10,000
	Ohio			
USAR	Cincinnati	Army Reserve Center/land	13,000	13,000
Air_Guard	Mansfield Lahm Airport	TFI—Red Horse Squadron Beddown	11,400	11,400
Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	Info Tech Complex Ph 1	27,000	27,000
Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	Conversion For Advanced Power Research Lab	21,000	21,000
Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	Replace West Ramp, phase I		10,600
	Oklahoma			
Air Force	Altus AFB	Repair Taxiways	20,300	20,300
Def-Wide	Altus AFB	Replace Upload Facility	2,700	2,700
Army	Fort Sill	Automated Infantry Squad Battle Course	3,500	3,500
Army	Fort Sill	Barracks	65,000	65,000
Army	Fort Sill	Warrior In Transition Complex	22,000	22,000
Def-Wide	Fort Sill	Dental Clinic	10,554	10,554
Army	McAlester	High Explosive Magazine, Depot Level	1,300	1,300
Army	McAlester	General Purpose Storage Building	11,200	11,200
Air Force	Tinker AFB	T-9 Noise Suppressor		5,200
Air Force	Tinker AFB	Building 3001 Hanger Door	13,037	13,037
Air Force	Vance AFB	Control Tower		10,700
Air_Guard	Will Rogers World Airport	TFI—Air Supt Opers Sqdn (ASOS) Beddn	7,300	7,300
	Oregon			
ARNG	Clatsop County, Warrenton	Camp Rilea Infrastructure (Water Supply System)		3,369
ARNG	Polk County	Readiness Center		12,100
	Pennsylvania			
USAR	Ashley	Army Reserve Center	9,800	9,800
FH Con DW	Def Distro Depot	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	2,859	2,859
USAR	Harrisburg	Army Reserve Center	7,600	7,600
USAR	Newton Square	Army Reserve Center/land	20,000	20,000
AF Reserve	Pittsburgh AFR Base	Visiting Quarters Phase 1		12,400
USAR	Uniontown	Army Reserve Center/land	11,800	11,800
	Rhode Island			
Navy	Newport	Officer Training Command Quarters	45,803	45,803
Navy	Newport	Renovate of Senior Enlisted Academy		10,550
Navy	Newport	Renovate Perry Hall		8,530
	South Carolina			
Navy	Beaufort	Widebody Aircraft Fuel Lane	1,280	1,280
Milcon, Naval	Charleston	Reserve Vehicle Maintenance Facility	4,240	4,240
	Res			
Army	Charleston NWS	Staging Area	4,100	4,100
Army	Charleston NWS	Railroad Tracks	12,000	12,000
Army	Charleston NWS	Pier and Loading/Unloading Ramps	5,700	5,700
ARNG	Eastover	Army Aviation Support Facility Add/Alt	26,000	26,000
Army	Fort Jackson	Advanced Skills Trainee Barracks	32,000	32,000
Army	Fort Jackson	Modified Record Fire Range	3,600	3,600
Army	Fort Jackson	Training Battalion Complex	66,000	66,000
Army	Fort Jackson	Infiltration Course	1,900	1,900
ARNG	Greenville	Army Aviation Support Facility	40,000	40,000
Air_Guard	McEntire JNGB	Joint Force headquarters Building		1,300
Navy	Parris Island	Electrical SubStation and Improvements	6,972	6,972
Air Force	Shaw AFB	Add/Alter USAFCENT HQ		21,183
	South Dakota			
ARNG	Camp Rapid	Joint Force HQ Readiness Center Supplement		7,890
ARNG	Camp Rapid	Troop Medical Clinic Addition and Alteration		1,950
Air Force	Ellsworth AFB	Add/Alter Deployment Center		14,500
Air_Guard	Joe Foss Field	Add and Alter Munitions Maintenance Complex		1,300
Air_Guard	Joe Foss Field	Above Ground Multi-cubicle Magazine Storage		1,300
	Tennessee			
Air_Guard	164 AirLift Wing, Mem	164th AirLift Wing ANG Eng Maint Trng Fac		9,800
	Texas			
ARNG	Austin	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,500	16,500
ARNG	Austin	Field Maintenance Shop, joint	5,700	5,700
USAR	Austin	Armed Forces Reserve Center/AMSA	20,000	20,000
USAR	Bryan	Army Reserve Center		12,200
Navy	Corpus Christi	Operational Facilities for T-6	19,764	19,764
Air Force	Dyess AFB	C-130J Alter Hanger	4,500	4,500
Army	Fort Bliss	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	16,000	16,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Brigade Staging Area Complex	14,800	14,800
Army	Fort Bliss	Digital Multipurpose Range Complex	45,000	45,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Fire and Military Police Stations	16,500	16,500
Army	Fort Bliss	Aircraft Fuel Storage	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Bliss	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	20,000	20,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	4,250	4,250
Army	Fort Bliss	Known Distance Range	4,750	4,750

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Account</i>	<i>State/Country and Installation</i>	<i>Project Title</i>	<i>Budget Request</i>	<i>Conference Agreement</i>
Army	Fort Bliss	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	6,900	6,900
Army	Fort Bliss	Scout/recce Gunnery Complex	17,000	17,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Light Demolition Range	2,400	2,400
Army	Fort Bliss	Automated Infantry Platoon Battle Course	7,000	7,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Simulation Center	23,000	23,000
Army	Fort Bliss	Vehicle Maintenance & Company Ops Fac	31,000	31,000
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Health and Dental Clinic	30,295	24,600
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Incl	86,975	86,975
USAR	Fort Bliss	Army Reserve Center	9,500	9,500
Army	Fort Hood	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	23,000	23,000
Army	Fort Hood	Urban Assault Course	2,400	2,400
Army	Fort Hood	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	6,700	6,700
Army	Fort Hood	Family Life Center		10,800
Def-Wide	Fort Hood	Alter Fuel Pump House and Fill Stand	3,000	3,000
Army	Fort Sam Houston	Access Control Point and Road Improvements	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Sam Houston	General Instruction building	9,000	9,000
Milcon, Naval	Fort Worth NAS/JRB	Replace Joint Base Comms		6,170
Res				
Air Force	Goodfellow AFB	Joint Intel Tech Trng fac, Ph 1 (tfl)	18,400	18,400
Air Force	Goodfellow AFB	Student Dormitory (100 rm)	14,000	14,000
Air Force	Goodfellow AFB	Consolidated Learning Center		12,000
USAR	Houston	Army Reserve Center/Land	24,000	24,000
Air_Guard	Kelly Field Annex	Add/Alter Aircraft Maint Shops		7,900
Navy	Kingsville NAS	Solar Panel Array		4,470
AF Reserve	Lackland AFB	C-5 Ground Training Schoolhouse Addition	1,500	1,500
Air Force	Lackland AFB	Evasion, Conduct After Capture Trng	4,879	4,879
Air Force	Lackland AFB	Recruit Dormitory 2, phase 2	77,000	77,000
Air Force	Lackland AFB	Bmt Satellite Classroom/dining Fac	32,000	32,000
Def-Wide	Lackland AFB	Dental Clinic replacement	29,318	29,318
Def-Wide	Lackland AFB	Ambulatory Care Center, phase 1	72,610	72,610
USAR	Robstown	Tactical Equip Maint Facility		10,200
Milcon, Naval	San Antonio	Reserve Training Center	2,210	2,210
Res				
USAR	San Antonio	Army Reserve Center	20,000	20,000
Air Force	Sheppard Air Force Base	ENJJPT Operations Complex, Phase 1		13,450
	Utah			
Def-Wide	Camp Williams	IC CNCI Data Center (Incr. 2)	800,000	600,000
Army	Dugway Proving Ground	Water Treatment Systems	25,000	25,000
AF Reserve	Hill AFB	Reserve Squad Ops/AMU Facility	3,200	3,200
Air Force	Hill AFB	F-22A Radar Cross Section Testing Fac	21,053	21,053
Air Force	Hill AFB	PCC Apron Northwest End Taxiway		5,100
	Vermont			
Air_Guard	Burlington IAP	Fire Crash and Rescue Station Addition and Alteration		6,000
ARNG	Ethan Allen Firing Range	BOQ Additions and Improvements		1,996
	Virginia			
Def-Wide	Dahlgren	Aegis BMD Facility Expansion	24,500	24,500
Navy	Dahlgren	Electromagnetic Research and Engineering Facility		3,660
Def-Wide	Dam Neck	SOF Operations Facility inc III	15,967	15,967
Navy	Dam Neck	SOF Cafeteria		14,170
Army	Fort A.P. Hill	Automated Infantry Platoon Battle Course	4,900	4,900
Army	Fort A.P. Hill	Field Training Area	9,000	9,000
Army	Fort A.P. Hill	Training Aids Center	9,100	9,100
Army	Fort Belvoir	Flight Control Tower	8,400	8,400
Army	Fort Belvoir	Road and Access Control Point	9,500	9,500
Army	Fort Belvoir	Road and Infrastructure Improvements	20,000	
Army	Fort Lee	Defense Access Roads		5,000
ARNG	Fort Pickett	Regional Training Institute Ph2	32,000	32,000
Army	Ft. Eustis	Upgrade Marshalling Area		8,900
Air Force	Langley AFB	West & Lasalle Gates Force Protection/access	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Little Creek	SOF Support Activity Operation Facility	18,669	18,669
Navy	Little Creek	Naval Construction Division Operations Fac	13,095	13,095
Navy	Norfolk	E-2D Trainer Facility	11,737	11,737
Navy	Norfolk	Facility Upgrades For E-2D Program	6,402	6,402
Milcon, Naval	Oceana Naval Air Station	C-40 Hanger	30,400	30,400
Res				
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pentagon Electrical Upgrade	19,272	19,272
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Secondary Uninterruptible Power Raven Rock	8,400	8,400
Navy	Portsmouth	Ship Repair Pier replacement (Incr. 1)	226,969	126,969
Navy	Quantico	Student Quarters—TBS (phase 4)	32,060	32,060
Navy	Quantico	Battalion Training Facility—MSGBN	10,340	10,340
Navy	Quantico	MC Information Operations Center—MCIOC	29,620	29,620
Navy	Quantico	Aircraft Trainer	3,170	3,170
Navy	Quantico	Dining Facility - TBS	14,780	14,780
Navy	Quantico	South Mainside Electrical SubStation	15,270	15,270
	Washington			
Navy	Bangor	Limited Area Production/strg Cmplx (inc 6)	87,292	87,292
Navy	Bremerton	Enclave Fencing/ parking, Silverdale WA	67,419	67,419
Navy	Bremerton	CVN Maintenance Pier replacement (inc 2)	69,064	69,064
Navy	Everett NS	Small Craft Launch		3,810
Air Force	Fairchild AFB	SERE Force Support Complex, Phase I		11,000
Air Force	Fairchild AFB	TFI Refuel Veh Maint Facility		4,150
Def-Wide	Fairchild AFB	Replace Fuel Distribution System	7,500	7,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Army	Fort Lewis	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	2,550	2,550
Army	Fort Lewis	Animal Building	3,050	3,050
Army	Fort Lewis	Brigade Complex, Inc 4	102,000	102,000
Army	Fort Lewis	Modified Record Fire Range	4,100	4,100
Army	Fort Lewis	Ft Lewis-Mechord AFB Joint Access	9,000	
Def-Wide	Fort Lewis	SOF Support Company Facility	14,500	14,500
Def-Wide	Fort Lewis	Health and Dental Clinic	15,636	15,636
Navy	Indian Island NM	Ord Storage Pads W/2 Covers		13,130
Navy	Spokane	Jnt Pers Recovery agency Specialized SERE Tra	12,707	12,707
	West Virginia			
Air_Guard	Martinsburg	C-5 Taxiway Upgrades		19,500
Navy	Navy, Sugar Grove	Emergency Services Center		10,990
ARNG	St. Albans Armory	Readiness Center Additions		2,000
	Wisconsin			
USAR	Fort McCoy	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	25,000	25,000
USAR	Fort McCoy	Range Utility Upgrade		3,850
Air_Guard	General Mitchell IAP	Upgrade Corrosion Control Hanger		5,000
	Wyoming			
Air_Guard	Cheyenne Airport	Squadron Operations		1,500
Air Force	F. E. Warren AFB	ADAL Missile Service Complex	9,100	9,100
	Zu			
Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Recission Pl 110-417 UAS Maint Complex		-22,000
Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Recission Pl 110-417 UAS Ops Complex		-15,500
BRAC 05	Unspecified Worldwide	Base Realignment and Closure 2005	7,479,498	7,455,498
BRAC IV	Unspecified Worldwide	Base Realignment and Closure IV	396,768	496,768
Army	Various Locations	Brigade Combat Team Stationing		-166,000
Army	Various Locations	Trainee Troop Housing		350,000
	Afghanistan			
Air Force	Bagram Air Base	Passenger Terminal	22,000	22,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Fuel System Ph 6	12,000	12,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Fuel System Ph 7	5,000	5,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Coalition Operation Center	49,000	49,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	APS Compound	38,000	
Army	Bagram Air Base	Aviation Support Facility	2,600	2,600
Army	Bagram Air Base	Barracks	18,500	18,500
Army	Bagram Air Base	Command and Control Facility	38,000	
Army	Bagram Air Base	Perimeter Fence and Guard Towers	7,000	
	Bahrain			
Navy	SW Asia	Waterfront Development phase 2	41,526	41,526
	Belgium			
Def-Wide	Brussels	Replace Elementary School (shape)	38,124	38,124
Army	Mons	NATO SOF Operational Support		20,000
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters		41,400
	Colombia			
Air Force	Palanquero Ab	Palanquero AB Development	46,000	46,000
	Czech Republic			
Def-Wide	Various Locations	Recission Pl 110-417 Emcr Site		-108,560
	Djibouti			
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Interior Paved Roads Phase A	7,275	7,275
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Ammo Supply Point	21,689	21,689
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Security Fencing I	8,109	8,109
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Fire Station	4,772	4,772
	Germany			
Army	Ansbach	Barracks	17,500	17,500
Army	Ansbach	Barracks	14,200	14,200
FH Con Army	Baumholder	Family Housing Replacement Constr(138 Units)	18,000	18,000
Def-Wide	Boeblingen	New Elementary School		50,000
Def-Wide	Kaiserslautern AB	Kaiserslautern Complex-phase 1	19,380	19,380
Def-Wide	Kaiserslautern AB	Kaiserslautern HS Replace School	74,165	74,165
Army	Kleber Kaserne	Barracks	20,000	20,000
Army	Landstuhl	Warrior In Transition (WT) Complex	25,000	
Air Force	Ramstein AB	Construct Age Maint Complex	11,500	11,500
Air Force	Ramstein AB	Contingency Response Group Command	23,200	23,200
Air Force	Spangdahlem AB	Fitness Ctr	23,500	23,500
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Wiesbaden HS New Cafeteria and Kitchen	5,379	5,379
FH Con Army	Weisbaden	Family Housing replacement Const Inc 2	10,000	10,000
FH Con Army	Weisbaden	Family Housing replacement Const Inc 2	11,000	11,000
FH Con Army	Weisbaden	Family Housing replacement Const Inc 2	11,000	11,000
	Greece			
Def-Wide	Souda Bay	Fuel Storage Tanks & Pipeline Rpl	24,000	24,000
	Guam			
Def-Wide	Agana Naval Air Station	Replace Gas Cylander Storage Facility	4,900	4,900
Air Force	Andersen AFB	Postal Service Center		3,500
Air Force	Andersen AFB	Strike Fol Electrical Infrastructure	33,750	33,750
Air Force	Andersen AFB	NW Field ATFP Perimeter Fence and Road	4,752	4,752
Air Force	Andersen AFB	Commando Warrior Operations Fac	4,200	4,200
Air Force	Andersen AFB	NW Field Combat Spt Vehicle Maint Fac	15,500	15,500
ARNG	Barrigada	Readiness Center	30,000	30,000
Def-Wide	Guam	Hospital Replacement incr I	259,156	259,156
FH Con Navy	Guam	Replace Guam N. Tipalao ph III	20,730	20,730
Navy	Guam	Consolidated Slc Training & CSS-15 HQ Fac	45,309	45,309
Navy	Guam	Military Working Dog Relocation, Apra Harbor	27,070	14,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Navy	Guam	Defense Access Road improvements	48,860	48,860
Navy	Guam	AAFB North Ramp Utilities Incr 1	21,500	21,500
Navy	Guam	AAFB North Ramp Parking incr 1	88,797	88,797
Navy	Guam	Apra Harbor Wharves Imp. Incr 1	167,033	127,033
Navy	Guam	Torpedo Exercise Support Building	15,627	15,627
Def-Wide	Various Locations	Unspecified Various locations		
	Guantanamo			
Def-Wide	Guantanamo Bay	Replace Fuel Storage Tanks	12,500	12,500
	Italy			
Air Force	Sigonella	Global Hawk Aircraft Maint and Ops Complex	31,300	31,300
Army	Vicenza	Bde Complex—Operations spt Fac, Incr 3	23,500	23,500
Army	Vicenza	Bde Complex—Barracks/community, Incr 3	22,500	22,500
	Japan			
Army	Okinawa	Training Aids Center	6,000	6,000
Army	Sagamihara	Training Aids Center	6,000	6,000
	Korea			
Army	Camp Humphreys	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	19,000	19,000
Army	Camp Humphreys	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	18,000	18,000
Army	Camp Humphreys	Fire Stations	13,200	13,200
Def-Wide	K-16 Airfield	Convert Warehouses	5,050	5,050
Def-Wide	Osan AB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	28,000	28,000
FH Con Navy	Pusan	Constr Chinhae Welcome Ctr/warehouse	4,376	4,376
	Kuwait			
Army	Camp Arifjan	APS Warehouses	82,000	82,000
Air Force	Al Musannah AB	War Reserve Material Compound	47,000	
Air Force	Al Musannah AB	Air Lift Ramp and Fuel Facilities	69,000	
	Poland			
Def-Wide	Various Locations	Recission Pl 110-417 European Interceptor Site		-42,600
	Puerto Rico			
USAR	Caguas	Army Reserve Center/land	12,400	12,400
	Qatar			
Air Force	Al Udeid, Qatar	Blatchford-preston Complex Ph Ii	60,000	60,000
	Spain			
Navy	Rota	Reception Airfield Facilities	26,278	26,278
	Turkey			
Air Force	Incirlik Ab	Construct Consolidated Community Ctr	9,200	9,200
	United Kingdom			
Def-Wide	Menuith Hill Station	MHS PSC Construction	37,588	37,588
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	Connect Fuel Tank Distribution Pipe Ln	4,700	4,700
Def-Wide	Royal Air Force Alconbury	Medical/dental Clinic replacement	14,227	14,227
Def-Wide	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Liberty IS—Gymnasium	4,509	4,509
	Virgin Islands			
ARNG	St. Croix	Regional Training Institute Ph1	20,000	20,000
	Zc			
Air Force	Classified Location	Classified Planning & Design	3,000	3,000
	Zu			
NSIP	NATO Security Invest Prgm	NATO Security Investment Program	276,314	197,414
AF Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	1,976	3,869
AF Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Minor Construction	800	800
Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified minor construction	18,000	20,000
Air Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning & design	79,363	100,562
Air Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Minor Construction	9,000	17,005
Air Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning & design	10,061	13,021
Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Minor Construction FY 10	23,000	25,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning & design FY 10	153,029	175,519
Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Host Nation Support FY 10	25,000	25,000
ARNG	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor construction	10,300	29,682
ARNG	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	23,981	47,429
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor construction	6,800	6,800
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	8,855	8,855
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor construction	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Minor Construction	3,717	3,717
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	2,000	2,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	10,534	10,534
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor construction	6,022	6,022
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	4,425	4,425
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	JEP Exercise Related construction	7,861	7,861
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Minor Construction	4,525	4,525
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	72,974	72,974
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Energy Conservation Improvement Program	90,000	123,013
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Contingency construction	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor construction	3,000	
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	35,579	19,079
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	3,575	3,575
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction improvements	61,737	61,737
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Classified Project	50	50
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	4,314	4,314
FH Con Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction improvements (2428 Units)	219,300	219,300
FH Con Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Family Housing P&D	3,936	3,936
FH Con Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Improvements	118,692	118,692
FH Con Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Design	2,771	2,771

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	81,686	81,686
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	1,557	1,557
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	51,334	51,334
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	20,183	20,183
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	39,182	39,182
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,543	1,543
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing Account	548	548
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	102,858	102,858
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance Account	1,911	1,911
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance (RPMA & RPMC)	148,318	148,318
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Privatization	53,816	53,816
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	81,650	81,650
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Operations	87,263	87,263
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,177	1,177
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	205,685	205,685
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	115,854	115,854
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Privatization Support Costs	31,789	31,789
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	4,426	4,426
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	33,579	33,579
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	274	274
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	19	19
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	29	29
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	309	309
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	366	366
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Recission (Public Law 110-5)		
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Operations	35	35
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	10,108	10,108
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	69	69
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	53,956	53,956
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	14,624	14,624
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	60,278	60,278
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	457	457
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	16,462	16,462
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	101,432	101,432
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	94,184	94,184
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Privatization Support Costs	27,147	27,147
FHIF	Unspecified Worldwide	Family Housing improvement Fund	2,600	2,600
HOAP	Unspecified Worldwide	Homeowners Assistance program	23,225	300,000
Milcon, Naval Res	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	2,371	2,951
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified minor construction	12,483	12,483
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	166,896	179,652
USAR	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified minor construction	3,600	3,600
USAR	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	22,262	22,716
AF Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Programmatic Plus Up		55,000
Air Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Programmatic Plus Up		30,000
ARNG	Unspecified Worldwide	Programmatic Plus Up		30,000
Milcon, Naval Res	Unspecified Worldwide	Programmatic Plus Up		55,000
USAR	Unspecified Worldwide	Programmatic Plus Up		30,000
Total FY2010 Authorizations			22,946,036	23,879,856
Prior Year Savings				-175,800
General Reduction				-529,091
Grand Total			22,946,036	23,174,965

SEC. 4502. 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING.

2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State and Location	Project Title	Project Author- ization	Con- ference Author- ization
AL				
Army	Anniston (Pelham Range)	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,000	8,000
Army	Birmingham	Armed Forces Reserve Center	10,000	10,000
Army	Mobile	Armed Forces Reserve Center	20,430	20,430
Defense Wide	Redstone Arsenal	Von Braun Complex	0	27,800
Army	Tuscaloosa	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	18,000
AR				
Army	Camden	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,800	9,800
Army	El Dorado	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,000	14,000
Army	Hot Springs	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,600	14,600
Army	Pine Bluff	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	15,500
AZ				
Army	Marana	Armed Forces Reserve Center	31,000	31,000
CA				
Navy	Barstow	Industrial Machine Shop Facility	14,131	14,130
Navy	China Lake	Shipboard Shock Test Facility	3,160	3,160
Navy	China Lake	Weapons Dynamics RDT&E Center	5,970	5,970
CT				
Army	Middletown	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Incr 2	37,000	37,000
DC				
Navy	Washington	Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation (INCR II of II)	71,929	71,929
Navy	Washington	Renovate 3rd Floor Building 176, Washington Navy Yard	750	750
FL				
Army	Eglin AFB	Special Forces Complex, Incr 2	8,000	8,000
Air Force	Eglin AFB	BRAC F-35 Live Ordnance Load Area (LOLA)	6,624	6,624
Air Force	Eglin AFB	CE Facility	2,000	2,000
Air Force	Eglin AFB	F-35 (JSF) Duke Field Control Tower	2,280	2,280
Air Force	Eglin AFB	Fitness Facility	2,750	2,750
Air Force	Eglin AFB	STOVL Simulated Carrier Practice Landing Deck	27,690	27,690
Air Force	Eglin AFB	School Age Facility	2,600	2,600
Air Force	Eglin AFB	Security Forces Facility	890	890
Air Force	Eglin AFB	Taxiway Extension	13,000	13,000
Air Force	Eglin AFB	Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility	900	900
GA				
Army	Benning	AAFES Troop Store	1,950	1,950
Army	Benning	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	18,000
Army	Benning	Equipment Concentration Site	43,000	43,000
Army	Benning	General Instruction Complex 2, Incr 2	58,000	58,000
Army	Benning	Maneuver Ctr HQ & CDI Bldg Expansion	42,000	42,000
Army	Benning	Medical Facility, Incr 2	77,000	77,000
IA				
Army	Cedar Rapids	Armed Forces Reserve Center	42,000	42,000
Army	Iowa AAP	Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,000	27,000
Army	Muscatine	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,800	8,800
IL				
Army	Rock Island	Army Headquarters Building Renovation	20,000	20,000
KY				
Army	Campbell	Armed Forces Reserve Center	5,900	5,900
Army	Campbell	Headquarters Building, Group	14,800	14,800
Army	Knox	Armed Forces Reserve Center	2,300	2,300
MD				
Army	Aberdeen PG	C4ISR, Phase 2, Incr 2	156,000	156,000
Defense Wide	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	Medical Center Addition—Increment 3	108,850	108,850
Defense Wide	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	Traffic Mitigation Increment 1	18,400	18,400
Defense Wide	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	Site Utility Infrastructure Upgrade for NICoE	0	6,500
Army	Detrick	Joint Bio-Med RDA Management Center	8,300	8,300
Army	Forest Glenn	Museum	12,200	12,200
Defense Wide	Fort Meade	Construct DISA Building	131,662	131,662
Army	Fort Meade	Defense Media Activity, Incr 2	17,000	17,000
ME				
Navy	Brunswick	Marine Corps Reserve Center	12,960	12,960
MI				
Army	Detroit Arsenal	Administrative Office Buildings, Incr 2	0	21,384
Army	Detroit Arsenal	Weapons Systems Support and Training	8,300	8,300
Army	Ft. Custer (Augusta)	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500	18,500
Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	A10 Arm/Disarm Apron	1,350	1,350
Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	Repair Munitions Admin Building 891	3,100	3,100
Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	Upgrade Munitions Maintenance Shop	1,650	1,650
Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	Upgrade Munitions Missile Maintenance Bays	2,350	2,350
MO				
Army	Kirksville	Armed Forces Reserve Center	6,600	6,600
MT				
Army	Great Falls	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,600	7,600

2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State and Location	Project Title	Project Author- ization	Con- ference Author- ization
	NC			
Army	Bragg	Band Training Facility	4,200	4,200
Army	Bragg	Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Incr 3	124,000	124,000
Army	Wilmington	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500
	ND			
Army	Fargo	Armed Forces Reserve Center	11,200	11,200
	NE			
Army	Columbus	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,300	9,300
Army	McCook	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,900	7,900
	NJ			
Army	Camden	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	21,000
	NY			
Army	West Point	US Military Academy Prep School, Incr 2	0	98,000
	OH			
Army	Columbus	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Incr 2	0	30,218
Navy	Akron	Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,840	13,840
	OK			
Army	Sill	Joint Fires & Effects Simulator Building	28,000	28,000
Air Force	Will Rogers World APT AGS	Relocate Global Air Traffic Operation Program Office	1,200	1,200
	PA			
Army	Allentown	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	15,000
Army	Tobyhanna	Electronics Maintenance Shop, Depot Level	3,200	3,200
Air Force	Willow Grove ARS, NAS Wil- low Grove JRB	Establish Enclave	4,000	4,000
	RI			
Army	Bristol	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500
	SC			
Navy	Charleston	SPAWAR Data Center	9,670	9,670
Navy	Goose Creek	Consolidated Brig Addition	9,790	9,790
Army	Shaw AFB	Headquarters Building, Third US Army, Incr 2	55,000	55,000
	TN			
Army	Chattanooga	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,900	8,900
	TX			
Army	Bliss	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Incr 3	110,000	110,000
Army	Bliss	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Incr 3	94,000	94,000
Army	Bliss	Hospital Add/Alt, WBAMC	24,000	0
Army	Bliss	Hospital Replacement	89,000	89,000
Army	Bliss	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 2	104,000	104,000
Army	Brownsville	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	15,000
Army	Huntsville	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,000	16,000
Army	Kingsville	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500
Air Force	Lackland AFB	Joint Base San Antonio Headquarters Facility	8,500	8,500
Army	Lufkin	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	15,500
Air Force	Randolph AFB	Renovate Building 38	2,050	2,050
Army	Red River	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,200	14,200
Defense Wide	Fort Sam Houston	San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Incr 3	0	163,750
Army	Sam Houston	Add/Alt Building 2270	18,000	18,000
Army	Sam Houston	Housing, Enlisted Permanent Party	10,800	10,800
Army	Sam Houston	IMCOM Campus Area Infrastructure	11,000	11,000
Army	Sam Houston	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM	48,000	48,000
	VA			
Army	Belvoir	Infrastructure Support, Incr 3	13,000	13,000
Army	Belvoir	Infrastructure Support, Incr 3	39,400	39,400
Army	Belvoir	NARMC HQ Building	17,500	17,500
Defense Wide	Fort Belvoir	NGA Headquarters Facility	0	168,749
Defense Wide	Fort Belvoir	Hospital Replacement—Increment 4	140,750	140,750
Defense Wide	Fort Belvoir	Dental Clinic	12,600	12,600
Defense Wide	Fort Belvoir	Office Complex Increment 3		360,533
Army	Eustis	Bldg 705 Renv (AAA & 902d MI)	1,600	1,600
Army	Eustis	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM Eastern Region	5,700	5,700
Army	Eustis	Headquarters Building, TRADOC, Incr 2	34,300	34,300
Army	Eustis	Joint Task Force—Civil Support	19,000	19,000
Army	Eustis	Renovation for ACA and NETCOM	4,800	4,800
Army	Lee	AAFES Troop Store	1,850	1,850
Army	Lee	Administrative Building (DCMA)	28,000	28,000
Army	Lee	Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Incr 4	0	30,000
Army	Lee	Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Incr 3	137,000	137,000
Army	Lee	Combat Service Support School, Ph 3, Incr 2	145,000	145,000
Army	Lee	Consolidated Troop Med/Dntl Clinic	20,000	20,000
Army	Lee	HQs, Transportation Management Detachment	1,200	1,200
Army	Lee	USMC Training Facilities	25,000	25,000
Navy	Arlington	Crystal Park 5 to Arlington Service Center	33,660	33,660
Navy	Chesapeake	Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR II of II)	0	47,560
Navy	Norfolk	Building 1558 Renovations for SPAWAR	2,510	2,510

2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Account</i>	<i>State and Location</i>	<i>Project Title</i>	<i>Project Author- ization</i>	<i>Con- ference Author- ization</i>
	WV			
Army	Elkins	Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000	22,000
Army	Fairmont	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	21,000
Army	Spencer-Ripley	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,540	19,540
	WW			
Army	Various	Planning and Design	26,100	26,100
Army	Various	Environmental	147,693	147,693
Navy	Various	Environmental	16,529	16,529
Air Force	Various	Environmental	19,454	19,454
Defense	Various	Environmental	0	0
Wide				
Army	Various	Operation and Maintenance	1,169,334	1,169,334
Navy	Various	Operation and Maintenance	322,495	322,495
Air Force	Various	Operation and Maintenance	288,459	288,459
Defense	Various	Operation and Maintenance	836,715	836,715
Wide				
Army	Various	MilPers PCS	0	0
Navy	Various	MilPers PCS	6,504	6,504
Air Force	Various	MilPers PCS	3,970	3,970
Defense	Various	MilPers PCS	0	0
Wide				
Army	Various	Other	311,138	311,138
Navy	Various	Other	20,115	20,115
Air Force	Various	Other	23,443	23,443
Defense	Various	Other	412,320	412,320
Wide				
Defense	Various	Other		
Wide				
		Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Army		4,057,037
		Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Navy		591,572
		Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Air Force		418,260
		Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Defense Wide		2,388,629
		Total BRAC 2005 FY 2010 All Categories	5,934,740	7,455,498
Army	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Army		138,723
Navy	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Navy		228,000
Air Force	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Air Force		127,364
Defense	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Defense Wide		2,681
Wide				
		Total BRAC IV for FY 2010		496,768

SEC. 4503. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)					
Service	Country and Location		Project	Request	Conference Authorized
	Afghanistan				
Army	Airborne	Dining Facility		2,200	2,200
Army	Airborne	Waste Management Area		5,600	5,600
Army	Altimur	Dining Facility		2,150	2,150
Army	Altimur	Waste Management Area		5,600	5,600
Army	Asadabad	Waste Management Area		5,500	5,500
Air	Bagram Air Base	Cargo Terminal		13,800	13,800
Force					
Air	Bagram Air Base	Aviation Operations & Maintenance Facilities		8,900	8,900
Force					
Air	Bagram Air Base	Expeditionary Fighter Shelter		6,400	6,400
Force					
Army	Bagram Air Base	Troop Housing Phase 3		22,000	0
Army	Bagram Air Base	Drainage System, Ph 2		21,000	21,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	APS Compound		0	38,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Barracks		0	0
Army	Bagram Air Base	Perimeter Fence and Guard Towers		0	7,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Command and Control Facility		0	38,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Access Roads		21,000	21,000
Army	Bagram Air Base	Command and Control Facility		4,500	4,500
Army	Bagram Air Base	Medlog Warehouse		3,350	3,350
Army	Blessing	Waste Management Area		5,600	5,600
Army	Bostick	Waste Management Area		5,500	5,500
Air	Dwyer	Cargo Handling Area		4,900	4,900
Force					
Army	Dwyer	Contingency Housing Phase 1		8,600	0
Army	Dwyer	Contingency Housing Phase 2		6,900	0
Army	Dwyer	Fuel System, Ph 1		5,800	5,800
Army	Dwyer	Waste Management Complex		6,900	6,900
Army	Dwyer	Dining Facility		6,600	6,600
Army	Frontenac	Dining Facility		2,200	2,200
Army	Frontenac	Contingency Housing		3,800	0
Army	Gardez	Tactical Runway		28,000	28,000
Army	Gardez	Dining Facility		2,200	2,200
Army	Gardez	Contingency Housing		8,400	0
Army	Gardez	Fuel System, Ph 1		6,000	6,000
Army	Ghazni	Waste Management Complex		5,500	5,500
Army	Jalalabad	Dining Facility		4,350	4,350
Army	Jalalabad	Ammunition Supply Point		35,000	35,000
Army	Jalalabad	Contingency Housing		6,900	0
Army	Jalalabad	Perimeter Fencing		2,050	2,050
Army	Joyce	Dining Facility		2,100	2,100
Army	Joyce	Waste Management Area		5,600	5,600
Army	Kabul	USFOR-A Headquarters & Housing		98,000	98,000
Army	Kabul	Camp Phoenix West Expansion		39,000	39,000
Air	Kandahar	Secure RSOI Facility		9,700	9,700
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Tactical Airlift Apron		29,000	29,000
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Refueler Apron/Relocate HCP		66,000	66,000
Force					
Air	Kandahar	CAS Apron Expansion		25,000	25,000
Force					
Air	Kandahar	ISR Apron Expansion		40,000	40,000
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Aviation Operations & Maintenance Facilities		10,500	10,500
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Expeditionary Fighter Shelter		6,400	6,400
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Cargo Helicopter Apron		32,000	32,000
Force					
Air	Kandahar	Relocate North Airfield Road		16,000	16,000
Force					
Army	Kandahar	Troup Housing Phase 2		4,250	0
Army	Kandahar	Command and Control Facility		4,500	4,500
Army	Kandahar	Tanker Truck Offload Facility		23,000	23,000
Army	Kandahar	Command and Control Facility		4,500	4,500
Army	Kandahar	Command and Control Facility		4,500	4,500
Army	Kandahar	Southpark Roads		11,000	11,000
Army	Kandahar	Waste Management Complex		10,000	10,000
Army	Kandahar	Warehouse		20,000	20,000
Army	Kandahar	Theater Vehicle Maintenance Facility		55,000	55,000
Army	Maywand	Dining Facility		2,200	2,200
Army	Maywand	Waste Management Area		5,600	5,600
Army	Methar-lam	Waste Management Area		4,150	4,150
Army	Salerno	Waste Management Complex		5,500	5,500
Army	Salerno	Electrical Distribution Grid		2,600	2,600
Army	Salerno	Fuel System, Ph 1		12,800	12,800
Army	Salerno	Dining Facility		4,300	4,300
Army	Salerno	Runway Upgrade		25,000	25,000
Air	Shank	Cargo Handling Area		4,900	4,900
Force					

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Service	Country and Location	Project	Request	Conference Authorized
Army	Shank	Dining Facility	4,350	4,350
Army	Shank	Electrical Distribution Grid	4,600	4,600
Army	Shank	Waste Management Complex	8,100	8,100
Army	Shank	Water Distribution System	2,650	2,650
Army	Shank	Troup Housing Phase 2	8,600	0
Army	Sharana	Rotary Wing Parking	32,000	32,000
Army	Sharana	Ammunition Supply Point	14,000	14,000
Army	Sharana	Aircraft Maintenance Facilities	12,200	12,200
Army	Sharana	Electrical Distribution Grid	2,600	2,600
Air	Tarin Kowt	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900
Force				
Army	Tarin Kowt	Fuel System Phase 2	11,800	11,800
Army	Tarin Kowt	Waste Management Area	6,800	6,800
Army	Tarin Kowt	Ammunition Supply Point	35,000	35,000
Army	Tarin Kowt	Dining Facility	2,200	2,200
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Strategic Airlift Apron Expansion	32,000	32,000
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	CAS Apron Expansion	40,000	40,000
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	ISR Apron	41,000	41,000
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Secure RSOI Facility	10,000	10,000
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Cargo Handling Area	18,000	18,000
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Aviation Operations & Maintenance Facs	8,900	8,900
Force				
Air	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Expeditionary Fighter Shelter	6,300	6,300
Force				
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Basic Load Ammunition Holding Area	7,500	7,500
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Dining Facility	8,900	8,900
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Entry Control Point and Access Roads	14,200	14,200
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Fuel System, Ph 2	14,200	14,200
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Roads	4,300	4,300
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Troop Housing Phase 3	3,250	0
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Troop Housing Phase 4	3,800	0
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Level 3 Medical Facility	16,500	16,500
Army	Tombstone/Bastion ...	Water Supply and Distribution System	6,200	6,200
Air	Wolverine	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900
Force				
Army	Wolverine	Dining Facility	4,350	4,350
Army	Wolverine	Fuel System, Ph 1	5,800	5,800
Army	Wolverine	Waste Management Complex	6,900	6,900
Army	Belgium			
Army	Mons	NATO SOF Operational Support		
Army	ZU			
Air	Unspecified World-wide.	Planning and Design	35,000	29,000
Force				
Army	Unspecified World-wide.	Minor Construction	20,000	20,100
Army	Unspecified World-wide.	Planning and Design	76,284	76,284
NSA	Unspecified World-wide.	Classified Project	6,000	0
NSA	Unspecified World-wide.	Planning and Design	600	0
Grand Total Military Construction			1,404,984	1,398,984

TITLE XLVI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 4601. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability		
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability		
Infrastructure security & energy restoration	6,188	6,188
Weapons Activities		
Directed stockpile work		
Life extension programs		
W76 Life extension program	209,196	209,196
Total, Life extension programs	209,196	209,196
Stockpile systems		
B61 Stockpile systems	124,456	124,456
W76 Stockpile systems	65,497	65,497
W78 Stockpile systems	50,741	50,741
W80 Stockpile systems	19,064	19,064
B83 Stockpile systems	35,682	35,682
W87 Stockpile systems	51,817	51,817
W88 Stockpile systems	43,043	43,043
Total, Stockpile systems	390,300	390,300
Weapons dismantlement and disposition		
Operation and maintenance	84,100	94,100
Total, Weapons dismantlement and disposition	84,100	94,100
Stockpile services		
Production support	301,484	301,484
Research and development support	37,071	37,071
R&D certification and safety	143,076	153,076
Dynamic plutonium experiment—NTS		[10,000]
Management, technology, and production	200,223	200,223
Plutonium infrastructure sustainment	149,201	149,201
Total, Stockpile services	831,055	841,055
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,514,651	1,534,651
Campaigns:		
Science campaign		
Advanced certification	19,400	19,400
Primary assessment technologies	80,181	80,181
Dynamic materials properties	86,617	86,617
Academic alliances	30,251	30,251
Advanced radiography	22,328	22,328
Secondary assessment technologies	77,913	77,913
Total, Science campaign	316,690	316,690
Engineering campaign		
Enhanced surety	42,000	47,000
Program increase		[5,000]
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	18,000	18,000
Nuclear survivability	21,000	21,000
Enhanced surveillance	69,000	69,000
Total, Engineering campaign	150,000	155,000
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign		
Ignition	106,734	106,734
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	72,252	73,252
National Ignition Campaign program increase		[1,000]
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,000	5,000
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	4,000	4,000
Facility operations and target production	248,929	266,629
Omega operations		[6,500]
National Ignition Campaign program increase		[11,200]
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	436,915	455,615
Advanced simulation and computing campaign		
Operation and maintenance	556,125	556,125
Total, Advanced simulation and computing campaign	556,125	556,125

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Readiness Campaign		
Stockpile readiness	5,746	5,746
High explosives and weapon operations	4,608	4,608
Nonnuclear readiness	12,701	12,701
Tritium readiness	68,246	68,246
Advanced design and production technologies	8,699	8,699
Total, Readiness campaign	100,000	100,000
Total, Campaigns	1,559,730	1,583,430
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)		
Operation of facilities	1,342,303	1,360,303
Pantex Plant program increase	[8,000]	[8,000]
Y-12 National Security Complex program increase	[10,000]	[10,000]
Total, Operation of facilities	1,342,303	1,360,303
Program readiness	73,021	73,021
Material recycle and recovery	69,542	69,542
Containers	23,392	23,392
Storage	24,708	24,708
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)	1,532,966	1,550,966
Construction:		
10-D-501 Nuclear facilities risk reduction Y-12 National Security Complex, Oakridge, TN	12,500	12,500
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	30,321	30,321
09-D-007, LANSCE—Refurbishment, Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM	0	24,000
Program increase in support of RTBF		[24,000]
09-D-404 Test capabilities revitalization II, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM	0	5,000
Program increase in support of RTBF		[5,000]
08-D-801 High pressure fire loop (HPFL), Pantex, TX	31,910	31,910
08-D-804 TA-55 Reinvestment project, Los Alamos National Laboratory	0	
08-D-802 High Explosive Pressing Facility, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	0	
06-D-140 Project engineering design (PED), various locations	70,678	70,678
06-D-402 NTS replace fire stations 1 & 2 Nevada Test Site, NV	1,473	1,473
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	55,000	55,000
04-D-128 TA-18 Criticality experiments facility (CEF), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Nevada Test Site, NV	1,500	1,500
Total, Construction	203,382	232,382
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,736,348	1,783,348
Secure transportation asset		
Operation and equipment	138,772	138,772
Program direction	96,143	96,143
Total, Secure transportation asset	234,915	234,915
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response	221,936	221,936
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program		
Operation and maintenance	144,959	144,959
Construction		
07-D-253 TA 1 heating systems modernization (HSM) Sandia National Laboratory	9,963	9,963
Total, Construction	9,963	9,963
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	154,922	154,922
Site stewardship		
Environmental projects and operations	41,288	41,288
Nuclear materials integration	20,000	20,000
Stewardship planning	29,086	29,086
Total, Site stewardship	90,374	90,374
Safeguards and security		
Defense nuclear security		
Operation and maintenance	700,044	700,044
Construction:		
10-D-701 Security improvements project Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	49,000	49,000
Total, Construction	49,000	49,000
Total, Defense nuclear security	749,044	749,044
Cyber security	122,511	122,511
Total, Safeguards and security	871,555	871,555
Use of prior year balances		-42,000
Total, Weapons Activities	6,384,431	6,433,131

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Nonproliferation and verification research and development		
Operation and maintenance	297,300	337,300
Nonproliferation and international security	207,202	187,202
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	552,300	592,050
MPC&A		[39,750]
Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	24,507	24,507
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition		
Operation and maintenance		
U.S. plutonium disposition	90,896	90,896
U.S. uranium disposition	34,691	34,691
Supporting activities	1,075	1,075
Total, Operation and maintenance	126,662	126,662
Construction:		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River Site, SC	504,238	504,238
99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building, Savannah River, SC	70,000	70,000
Total, Construction	574,238	574,238
Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition	700,900	700,900
Russian surplus materials disposition	1,000	1,000
Total, Fissile materials disposition	701,900	701,900
Global threat reduction initiative	353,500	333,500
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,176,459
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,176,459
Naval Reactors		
Naval reactors development		
Operation and maintenance		
Operation and maintenance	935,533	935,533
Total, Operation and maintenance	935,533	935,533
Construction:		
10-D-903, KAPL Security upgrades, Schnectady, NY	1,500	1,500
10-D-904, NRF infrastructure upgrades, ID	700	700
09-D-190, PED, Infrastructure upgrades, KAPL, Schnectady, NY	1,000	1,000
09-D-902, NRF Production Support Complex, ID	6,400	6,400
08-D-190 NRF Project engineering and design Expended Core Facility M-290 receiving/discharge station, ID	9,500	9,500
07-D-190 Materials research and technology complex, BAPL, Pittsburgh, PA	11,700	11,700
Total, Construction	30,800	30,800
Total, Naval reactors development	966,333	966,333
Program direction	36,800	36,800
Total, Naval Reactors	1,003,133	1,003,133
Office Of The Administrator		
Office of the administrator	431,074	431,074
Use of prior year balances	-10,320	-10,320
Total, Office Of The Administrator	420,754	420,754
Total, National Nuclear Security Administration	9,945,027	10,033,477
Defense Environmental Cleanup		
Closure sites:		
Closure sites administration	8,225	8,225
Miamisburg	33,243	33,243
Total, Closure sites	41,468	41,468
Hanford site:		
2012 accelerated completions		
Nuclear facility D&D river corridor closure project	327,955	327,955
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition PFP	118,087	118,087
SNF stabilization and disposition	55,325	55,325
Total, 2012 accelerated completions	501,367	501,367
2035 accelerated completions		
Nuclear facility D&D—remainder of Hanford	70,250	70,250
Richland community and regulatory support	21,940	21,940
Soil and water remediation—groundwater vadose zone	176,766	176,766

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Solid waste stabilization and disposition 200 area	132,757	132,757
Total, 2035 accelerated completions	401,713	401,713
Total, Hanford site	903,080	903,080
Idaho National Laboratory:		
SNF stabilization and disposition—2012	14,768	14,768
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	137,000	137,000
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	95,800	95,800
Construction		
06-D-401 Sodium bearing waste treatment project, Idaho	83,700	83,700
Soil and water remediation—2012	71,000	71,000
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,900	3,900
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	406,168	406,168
NNSA sites		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	910	910
NNSA Service Center/SPRU	17,938	17,938
Nevada	65,674	65,674
California site support	238	238
Sandia National Laboratories	2,864	2,864
Los Alamos National Laboratory	189,000	189,000
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	276,624	276,624
Oak Ridge Reservation:		
Building 3019	38,900	38,900
Nuclear facility D & D ORNL	38,900	38,900
Nuclear facility D & D Y-12	34,000	34,000
Nuclear facility D & D, E. Tennessee technology park	100	100
OR reservation community and regulatory support	6,253	6,253
Solid waste stabilization and disposition—2012	35,615	35,615
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	153,768	153,768
Office of River Protection:		
Waste treatment and immobilization plant		
Construction:		
01-D-416 Waste treatment and immobilization plant		
01-D-16A Low activity waste facility	100,000	100,000
01-D-16B Analytical laboratory	55,000	55,000
01-D-16C Balance of facilities	50,000	50,000
01-D-16D High level waste facility	160,000	160,000
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	325,000	325,000
Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant	690,000	690,000
Tank farm activities		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	408,000	408,000
Total, Office of River protection	1,098,000	1,098,000
Savannah River sites:		
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition		
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	385,310	385,310
Construction:		
08-D-414 Project engineering and design Plutonium Vitrification Facility, VL	6,315	6,315
Total, Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	391,625	391,625
2035 accelerated completions		
SR community and regulatory support	18,300	18,300
Spent nuclear fuel stabilization and disposition	38,768	38,768
Total, 2035 accelerated completions	57,068	57,068
Tank farm activities		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	527,138	527,138
Construction:		
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	234,118	234,118
Total, Tank farm activities	761,256	761,256
Total, Savannah River site	1,209,949	1,209,949
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant		
Waste isolation pilot plant	144,902	144,902
Central characterization project	13,730	13,730
Transportation	33,851	33,851
Community and regulatory support	27,854	27,854
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	220,337	220,337
Program direction	355,000	355,000

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2010 Request	Conference Authorized
Program support	34,000	34,000
Safeguards and Security:		
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,644	4,644
Oak Ridge Reservation	32,400	32,400
West Valley	1,859	1,859
Paducah	8,190	8,190
Portsmouth	17,509	17,509
Richland/Hanford Site	82,771	82,771
Savannah River Site	132,064	132,064
Total, Safeguards and Security	279,437	279,437
Technology development	55,000	55,000
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	463,000
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	5,495,831	5,495,831
UNDISTRIBUTED		
Realignment to support NNSA Weapons Activities	0	
Transfer to Title II	0	
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,495,831	5,495,831
Other Defense Activities		
Health, safety and security		
Health, safety and security	337,757	337,757
Program direction	112,125	112,125
Total, Health, safety and security	449,882	449,882
Office of Legacy Management		
Legacy management	177,618	177,618
Program direction	12,184	12,184
Total, Office of Legacy Management	189,802	189,802
Nuclear energy		
Infrastructure		
Idaho facilities management		
INL infrastructure O&M	83,358	83,358
Total, Infrastructure	83,358	83,358
Total, Nuclear energy	83,358	83,358
Defense related administrative support	122,982	122,982
Office of hearings and appeals	6,444	6,444
Total, Other Defense Activities	852,468	852,468
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	98,400	98,400
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,446,699	6,446,699
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	16,391,726	16,480,176
Total, Department of Energy	16,397,914	16,486,364

DIVISION E—MATTHEW SHEPARD AND JAMES BYRD, JR. HATE CRIMES PREVENTION ACT

Sec. 4701. Short title.

Sec. 4702. Findings.

Sec. 4703. Definitions.

Sec. 4704. Support for criminal investigations and prosecutions by State, local, and tribal law enforcement officials.

Sec. 4705. Grant program.

Sec. 4706. Authorization for additional personnel to assist State, local, and tribal law enforcement.

Sec. 4707. Prohibition of certain hate crime acts.

Sec. 4708. Statistics.

Sec. 4709. Severability.

Sec. 4710. Rule of construction.

Sec. 4711. Guidelines for hate-crimes offenses.

Sec. 4712. Attacks on United States servicemen.

Sec. 4713. Report on mandatory minimum sentencing provisions.

SEC. 4701. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the “Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act”.

SEC. 4702. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The incidence of violence motivated by the actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability of the victim poses a serious national problem.

(2) Such violence disrupts the tranquility and safety of communities and is deeply divisive.

(3) State and local authorities are now and will continue to be responsible for prosecuting the overwhelming majority of violent crimes in the United States, including violent crimes motivated by bias. These authorities can carry out their responsibilities more effectively with greater Federal assistance.

(4) Existing Federal law is inadequate to address this problem.

(5) A prominent characteristic of a violent crime motivated by bias is that it devastates not just the actual victim and the family and friends of the victim, but frequently savages the community sharing the traits that caused the victim to be selected.

(6) Such violence substantially affects interstate commerce in many ways, including the following:

(A) The movement of members of targeted groups is impeded, and members of such groups are forced to move across State lines to escape the incidence or risk of such violence.

(B) Members of targeted groups are prevented from purchasing goods and services, obtaining or sustaining employment, or participating in other commercial activity.

(C) Perpetrators cross State lines to commit such violence.

(D) Channels, facilities, and instrumentalities of interstate commerce are used to facilitate the commission of such violence.

(E) Such violence is committed using articles that have traveled in interstate commerce.

(7) For generations, the institutions of slavery and involuntary servitude were defined by the race, color, and ancestry of those held in bondage. Slavery and involuntary servitude were enforced, both prior to and after the adoption of the 13th amendment to the Constitution of the United States, through widespread public and private violence directed at persons because of their race, color, or ancestry, or perceived race, color, or ancestry. Accordingly, eliminating racially motivated violence is an important means of eliminating, to the extent possible, the badges, incidents, and relics of slavery and involuntary servitude.

(8) Both at the time when the 13th, 14th, and 15th amendments to the Constitution of the United States were adopted, and continuing to date, members of certain religious and national origin groups were and are perceived to be distinct "races". Thus, in order to eliminate, to the extent possible, the badges, incidents, and relics of slavery, it is necessary to prohibit assaults on the basis of real or perceived religions or national origins, at least to the extent such religions or national origins were regarded as races at the time of the adoption of the 13th, 14th, and 15th amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

(9) Federal jurisdiction over certain violent crimes motivated by bias enables Federal, State, and local authorities to work together as partners in the investigation and prosecution of such crimes.

(10) The problem of crimes motivated by bias is sufficiently serious, widespread, and interstate in nature as to warrant Federal assistance to States, local jurisdictions, and Indian tribes.

SEC. 4703. DEFINITIONS.

(a) AMENDMENT.—Section 280003(a) of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322; 108 Stat. 2096) is amended by inserting "gender identity," after "gender,".

(b) THIS DIVISION.—In this division—

(1) the term "crime of violence" has the meaning given that term in section 16 of title 18, United States Code;

(2) the term "hate crime" has the meaning given that term in section 280003(a) of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-322; 108 Stat. 2096), as amended by this Act;

(3) the term "local" means a county, city, town, township, parish, village, or other general purpose political subdivision of a State; and

(4) the term "State" includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

SEC. 4704. SUPPORT FOR CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS AND PROSECUTIONS BY STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS.

(a) ASSISTANCE OTHER THAN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—At the request of a State, local, or tribal law enforcement agency, the Attorney General may provide technical, forensic, prosecutorial, or any other form of assistance in the criminal investigation or prosecution of any crime that—

(A) constitutes a crime of violence;

(B) constitutes a felony under the State, local, or tribal laws; and

(C) is motivated by prejudice based on the actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability of the victim, or is a violation of the State, local, or tribal hate crime laws.

(2) PRIORITY.—In providing assistance under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall give priority to crimes committed by offenders who have committed crimes in more than one State and to rural jurisdictions that have difficulty covering the extraordinary expenses relating to the investigation or prosecution of the crime.

(b) GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General may award grants to State, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies for extraordinary expenses associated with the investigation and prosecution of hate crimes.

(2) OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS.—In implementing the grant program under this subsection, the Office of Justice Programs shall work closely with grantees to ensure that the concerns and needs of all affected parties, including community groups and schools, colleges, and universities, are addressed through the local infrastructure developed under the grants.

(3) APPLICATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State, local, and tribal law enforcement agency that desires a grant under this subsection shall submit an application to the Attorney General at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by or containing such information as the Attorney General shall reasonably require.

(B) DATE FOR SUBMISSION.—Applications submitted pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be submitted during the 60-day period beginning on a date that the Attorney General shall prescribe.

(C) REQUIREMENTS.—A State, local, and tribal law enforcement agency applying for a grant under this subsection shall—

(i) describe the extraordinary purposes for which the grant is needed;

(ii) certify that the State, local government, or Indian tribe lacks the resources necessary to investigate or prosecute the hate crime;

(iii) demonstrate that, in developing a plan to implement the grant, the State, local, and tribal law enforcement agency has consulted and coordinated with nonprofit, nongovernmental victim services programs that have experience in providing services to victims of hate crimes; and

(iv) certify that any Federal funds received under this subsection will be used to supplement, not supplant, non-Federal funds that would otherwise be available for activities funded under this subsection.

(4) DEADLINE.—An application for a grant under this subsection shall be approved or denied by the Attorney General not later than 180 business days after the date on which the Attorney General receives the application.

(5) GRANT AMOUNT.—A grant under this subsection shall not exceed \$100,000 for any single jurisdiction in any 1-year period.

(6) REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 2011, the Attorney General shall submit to Congress a report describing the applications submitted for grants under this subsection, the award of such grants, and the purposes for which the grant amounts were expended.

(7) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry

out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012.

SEC. 4705. GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD GRANTS.—The Office of Justice Programs of the Department of Justice may award grants, in accordance with such regulations as the Attorney General may prescribe, to State, local, or tribal programs designed to combat hate crimes committed by juveniles, including programs to train local law enforcement officers in identifying, investigating, prosecuting, and preventing hate crimes.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.

SEC. 4706. AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL TO ASSIST STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL LAW ENFORCEMENT.

There are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Justice, including the Community Relations Service, for fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012 such sums as are necessary to increase the number of personnel to prevent and respond to alleged violations of section 249 of title 18, United States Code, as added by section 4707 of this division.

SEC. 4707. PROHIBITION OF CERTAIN HATE CRIME ACTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 13 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§249. Hate crime acts

"(a) IN GENERAL.—

"(1) OFFENSES INVOLVING ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN.—Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, willfully causes bodily injury to any person or, through the use of fire, a firearm, a dangerous weapon, or an explosive or incendiary device, attempts to cause bodily injury to any person, because of the actual or perceived race, color, religion, or national origin of any person—

"(A) shall be imprisoned not more than 10 years, fined in accordance with this title, or both; and

"(B) shall be imprisoned for any term of years or for life, fined in accordance with this title, or both, if—

"(i) death results from the offense; or

"(ii) the offense includes kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill.

"(2) OFFENSES INVOLVING ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, GENDER, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY, OR DISABILITY.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, in any circumstance described in subparagraph (B) or paragraph (3), willfully causes bodily injury to any person or, through the use of fire, a firearm, a dangerous weapon, or an explosive or incendiary device, attempts to cause bodily injury to any person, because of the actual or perceived religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability of any person—

"(i) shall be imprisoned not more than 10 years, fined in accordance with this title, or both; and

"(ii) shall be imprisoned for any term of years or for life, fined in accordance with this title, or both, if—

"(I) death results from the offense; or

"(II) the offense includes kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill.

"(B) CIRCUMSTANCES DESCRIBED.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the circumstances described in this subparagraph are that—

"(i) the conduct described in subparagraph (A) occurs during the course of, or as the result of, the travel of the defendant or the victim—

“(I) across a State line or national border; or
 “(II) using a channel, facility, or instrumentality of interstate or foreign commerce;

“(ii) the defendant uses a channel, facility, or instrumentality of interstate or foreign commerce in connection with the conduct described in subparagraph (A);

“(iii) in connection with the conduct described in subparagraph (A), the defendant employs a firearm, dangerous weapon, explosive or incendiary device, or other weapon that has traveled in interstate or foreign commerce; or

“(iv) the conduct described in subparagraph (A)—

“(I) interferes with commercial or other economic activity in which the victim is engaged at the time of the conduct; or

“(II) otherwise affects interstate or foreign commerce.

“(3) OFFENSES OCCURRING IN THE SPECIAL MARITIME OR TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES.—Whoever, within the special maritime or territorial jurisdiction of the United States, engages in conduct described in paragraph (1) or in paragraph (2)(A) (without regard to whether that conduct occurred in a circumstance described in paragraph (2)(B)) shall be subject to the same penalties as prescribed in those paragraphs.

“(b) CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—No prosecution of any offense described in this subsection may be undertaken by the United States, except under the certification in writing of the Attorney General, or a designee, that—

“(A) the State does not have jurisdiction;

“(B) the State has requested that the Federal Government assume jurisdiction;

“(C) the verdict or sentence obtained pursuant to State charges left demonstratively unvindicated the Federal interest in eradicating bias-motivated violence; or

“(D) a prosecution by the United States is in the public interest and necessary to secure substantial justice.

“(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the authority of Federal officers, or a Federal grand jury, to investigate possible violations of this section.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

“(1) the term ‘bodily injury’ has the meaning given such term in section 1365(h)(4) of this title, but does not include solely emotional or psychological harm to the victim;

“(2) the term ‘explosive or incendiary device’ has the meaning given such term in section 232 of this title;

“(3) the term ‘firearm’ has the meaning given such term in section 921(a) of this title;

“(4) the term ‘gender identity’ means actual or perceived gender-related characteristics; and

“(5) the term ‘State’ includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

“(d) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) OFFENSES NOT RESULTING IN DEATH.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), no person shall be prosecuted, tried, or punished for any offense under this section unless the indictment for such offense is found, or the information for such offense is instituted, not later than 7 years after the date on which the offense was committed.

“(2) DEATH RESULTING OFFENSES.—An indictment or information alleging that an offense under this section resulted in death may be found or instituted at any time without limitation.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 13 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“249. Hate crime acts.”.

SEC. 4708. STATISTICS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b)(1) of the first section of the Hate Crime Statistics Act (28

U.S.C. 534 note) is amended by inserting “gender and gender identity,” after “race.”.

(b) DATA.—Subsection (b)(5) of the first section of the Hate Crime Statistics Act (28 U.S.C. 534 note) is amended by inserting “, including data about crimes committed by, and crimes directed against, juveniles” after “data acquired under this section”.

SEC. 4709. SEVERABILITY.

If any provision of this division, an amendment made by this division, or the application of such provision or amendment to any person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this division, the amendments made by this division, and the application of the provisions of such to any person or circumstance shall not be affected thereby.

SEC. 4710. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

For purposes of construing this division and the amendments made by this division the following shall apply:

(1) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this division shall be construed to allow a court, in any criminal trial for an offense described under this division or an amendment made by this division, in the absence of a stipulation by the parties, to admit evidence of speech, beliefs, association, group membership, or expressive conduct unless that evidence is relevant and admissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence. Nothing in this division is intended to affect the existing rules of evidence.

(2) VIOLENT ACTS.—This division applies to violent acts motivated by actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability of a victim.

(3) CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION.—Nothing in this division, or an amendment made by this division, shall be construed or applied in a manner that infringes any rights under the first amendment to the Constitution of the United States. Nor shall anything in this division, or an amendment made by this division, be construed or applied in a manner that substantially burdens a person’s exercise of religion (regardless of whether compelled by, or central to, a system of religious belief), speech, expression, or association, unless the Government demonstrates that application of the burden to the person is in furtherance of a compelling governmental interest and is the least restrictive means of furthering that compelling governmental interest, if such exercise of religion, speech, expression, or association was not intended to—

(A) plan or prepare for an act of physical violence; or

(B) incite an imminent act of physical violence against another.

(4) FREE EXPRESSION.—Nothing in this division shall be construed to allow prosecution based solely upon an individual’s expression of racial, religious, political, or other beliefs or solely upon an individual’s membership in a group advocating or espousing such beliefs.

(5) FIRST AMENDMENT.—Nothing in this division, or an amendment made by this division, shall be construed to diminish any rights under the first amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

(6) CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS.—Nothing in this division shall be construed to prohibit any constitutionally protected speech, expressive conduct or activities (regardless of whether compelled by, or central to, a system of religious belief), including the exercise of religion protected by the first amendment to the Constitution of the United States and peaceful picketing or demonstration. The Constitution of the United States does not protect speech, conduct or activities consisting of planning for, conspiring to commit, or committing an act of violence.

SEC. 4711. GUIDELINES FOR HATE-CRIMES OFFENSES.

Section 249(a) of title 18, United States Code, as added by section 4707 of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) GUIDELINES.—All prosecutions conducted by the United States under this section shall be undertaken pursuant to guidelines issued by the Attorney General, or the designee of the Attorney General, to be included in the United States Attorneys’ Manual that shall establish neutral and objective criteria for determining whether a crime was committed because of the actual or perceived status of any person.”.

SEC. 4712. ATTACKS ON UNITED STATES SERVICEMEN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 67 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§1389. Prohibition on attacks on United States servicemen on account of service

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Whoever knowingly assaults or batters a United States serviceman or an immediate family member of a United States serviceman, or who knowingly destroys or injures the property of such serviceman or immediate family member, on account of the military service of that serviceman or status of that individual as a United States serviceman, or who attempts or conspires to do so, shall—

“(1) in the case of a simple assault, or destruction or injury to property in which the damage or attempted damage to such property is not more than \$500, be fined under this title in an amount not less than \$500 nor more than \$10,000 and imprisoned not more than 2 years;

“(2) in the case of destruction or injury to property in which the damage or attempted damage to such property is more than \$500, be fined under this title in an amount not less than \$1000 nor more than \$100,000 and imprisoned not more than 5 years; and

“(3) in the case of a battery, or an assault resulting in bodily injury, be fined under this title in an amount not less than \$2500 and imprisoned not less than 6 months nor more than 10 years.

“(b) EXCEPTION.—This section shall not apply to conduct by a person who is subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

“(1) the term ‘Armed Forces’ has the meaning given that term in section 1388;

“(2) the term ‘immediate family member’ has the meaning given that term in section 115; and

“(3) the term ‘United States serviceman’—

“(A) means a member of the Armed Forces; and

“(B) includes a former member of the Armed Forces during the 5-year period beginning on the date of the discharge from the Armed Forces of that member of the Armed Forces.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 67 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“1389. Prohibition on attacks on United States servicemen on account of service.”.

SEC. 4713. REPORT ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCING PROVISIONS.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the United States Sentencing Commission shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report on mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law.

(b) CONTENTS OF REPORT.—The report submitted under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) a compilation of all mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law;

(2) an assessment of the effect of mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law on the goal of eliminating unwarranted sentencing disparity and other goals of sentencing;

(3) an assessment of the impact of mandatory minimum sentencing provisions on the Federal prison population;

(4) an assessment of the compatibility of mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law and the sentencing guidelines system established under the Sentencing Reform

Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-473; 98 Stat. 1987) and the sentencing guidelines system in place after *Booker v. United States*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005);

(5) a description of the interaction between mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law and plea agreements;

(6) a detailed empirical research study of the effect of mandatory minimum penalties under Federal law;

(7) a discussion of mechanisms other than mandatory minimum sentencing laws by which Congress can take action with respect to sentencing policy; and

(8) any other information that the Commission determines would contribute to a thorough assessment of mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law.

Amend the title to as to read: "A bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes."

And the Senate agree to the same.

Congresswoman Ellen O. Tauscher resigned from the U.S. House of Representatives on June 26, 2009. Congressman John M. McHugh resigned from the U.S. House of Representatives on September 21, 2009.

From the Committee on Armed Services, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

IKE SKELTON,
JOHN M. SPRATT, Jr.,
SOLOMON P. ORTIZ,
NEIL ABERCROMBIE,
SILVESTRE REYES,
VIC SNYDER,
ADAM SMITH,
LORETTA SANCHEZ,
ROBERT A. BRADY,
ROBERT E. ANDREWS,
SUSAN A. DAVIS,
JAMES R. LANGEVIN,
RICK LARSEN,
JIM COOPER,
JIM MARSHALL,
MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO,

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of that committee under clause 11 of rule X:

ALCEE L. HASTINGS,
ADAM B. SCHIFF,

From the Committee on Education and Labor, for consideration of secs. 243, 551-553, 585, 2833, and 2834 of the House bill and secs. 531-534, and 3136 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

LYNN C. WOOLSEY,
JASON ALTMIRE,
JUDY BIGGERT,

From the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for consideration of secs. 247, 315, and 601 of the House bill and secs. 311, 601, 2835, and 3118 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HENRY A. WAXMAN,
EDWARD J. MARKEY,

From the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for consideration of secs. 812, 907, 912, 1011, 1013, 1046, 1201, 1211, 1213-1215, 1226, 1230A, 1231, 1236, 1239, 1240, Title XIII, secs. 1513, 1516,

1517, and 2903 of the House bill and secs. 1021, 1023, 1201-1203, 1205-1208, 1211-1214, Subtitle D of Title XII, Title XIII, and sec. 1517 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HOWARD L. BERMAN,
GARY L. ACKERMAN,
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN,

From the Committee on Homeland Security, for consideration of sec. 1101 of the House bill, and modifications committed to conference:

BENNIE G. THOMPSON,
DINA TITUS,
GUS M. BILIRAKIS,

From the Committee on House Administration, for consideration of Subtitle H of Title V of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

MICHAELA E. CAPUANO,
CHARLES A. GONZALEZ,
DANIEL E. LUNGREN,

From the Committee on Judiciary, for consideration of secs. 583, 584, 1021, and 1604 of the House bill and secs. 821, 911, 1031, 1033, 1056, 1086, and Division E of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JERROLD NADLER,
ZOE LOFGREN,

From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 1091 and 2308 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NICK J. RAHALL II,

From the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, for consideration of secs. 321, 322, 326-329, 335, 537, 666, 814, 815, 834, 1101-1107, 1110-1113, and Title II of Division D of the House bill and secs. 323, 323A-323C, 814, 822, 824, 901, 911, 1056, 1086, 1101-1105, and 1162 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

EDOLPHUS TOWNS,
STEPHEN F. LYNCH,

From the Committee on Science and Technology, for consideration of secs. 248, 819, 836, and 911 of the House bill and secs. 801, 814, 833, 834, 912 and Division F of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

BART GORDON,
DAVID WU,

From the Committee on Small Business, for consideration of secs. 830 of the House bill and secs. 833, 834 838, 1090 and Division F of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NYDIA M. VELÁZQUEZ,
GLENN C. NYE,

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of secs. 315, 601, and 2811 of the House bill and secs. 311, 601, 933, 2835, 3301, 6002, 6007, 6008, 6012 and 6013 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

ELIJAH J. CUMMINGS,
LAURA RICHARDSON,

From the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for consideration of secs. 525, 583, 584, and sec. 121 of Division D of the House bill and secs. 573-575, 617, 711, Subtitle E of Title X, secs. 1084, and 1085 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

CIRO D. RODRIGUEZ,

Managers on the Part of the House.

CARL LEVIN,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
JOSEPH I. LIEBERMAN,
JACK REED,
DANIEL K. AKAKA,
BILL NELSON,
BEN NELSON,
EVAN BAYH,
JIM WEBB,
CLAIRE MCCASKILL,
MARK UDALL,
KAY R. HAGAN,
MARK BEGICH,
ROLAND W. BURRIS,
JOHN MCCAIN,
SUSAN M. COLLINS,
PAUL G. KIRK, Jr.,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF CONFERENCE ACTIONS

Explanation of funding summary

The administration's budget request for national defense discretionary programs for fiscal year 2010 was \$680.2 billion. This amount was primarily comprised of \$550.2 billion for the base budget of which \$533.8 billion was for the Department of Defense and \$16.4 billion was for the Department of Energy. The discretionary budget request also included \$130.0 billion for overseas contingency operations. In total, the conference agreement authorizes \$680.2 billion, which matches the request. The conference agreement authorizes \$550.2 billion for the base budget and \$130.0 billion for overseas contingency operations. The agreement accommodates a budget amendment received on August 13, 2009, to reallocate approximately \$1.0 billion from lower-priority Department of Defense contingency operations' requirements to expand the Army's active component by up to 22,000 personnel in 2010.

The administration's budget for national defense also included discretionary programs outside the jurisdiction of the committees, discretionary programs that do not require further authorizations, mandatory programs that are part of current law, and a new mandatory proposal dealing with concurrent receipt. When these programs are added the total request for national defense equaled \$693.1 billion as re-estimated by the Congressional Budget Office. The bill is consistent with this level with one exception. The administration's concurrent receipt proposal was not included in this bill as acceptable and specific offsets were not proposed by the administration.

The following two tables summarize the direct authorizations and the equivalent budget authority levels for fiscal year 2010 defense programs. The first table summarizes the conference agreement on national defense authorizations. It also includes a memorandum of non-defense authorizations in the agreement. The second table summarizes the total budget authority implication for national defense by adding funding for items that are not within the jurisdiction of the committees or that do not require an annual authorization.

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 (In Thousands of Dollars)

Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization

DISCRETIONARY AUTHORIZATIONS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEES

Department of Defense Authorizations—Base Bill

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization
Division A: Department of Defense Authorization			
Title I—PROCUREMENT			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,315,991	-205,639	5,110,352
Missile Procurement, Army	1,370,109	-2,000	1,368,109
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2,451,952	-12,900	2,439,052
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2,051,895	7,000	2,058,895
Other Procurement, Army	9,907,151	-456,288	9,450,863
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	564,850	-564,850	
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	18,378,312	463,800	18,842,112
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,453,455	-7,436	3,446,019
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps	840,675	-26,660	814,015
Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy	13,776,867		13,776,867
Other Procurement, Navy	5,661,176	-50,595	5,610,581
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,600,638	3,100	1,603,738
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	11,966,276	-741,905	11,224,371
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	822,462		822,462
Missile Procurement, Air Force	6,300,728	-263,269	6,037,459
Other Procurement, Air Force	17,293,141	-159,473	17,133,668
Mine Resistant Ambush Protection Veh Fund		600,000	600,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide	3,984,352	106,464	4,090,816
Rapid Acquisition Fund	79,300	-79,300	
National Guard and Reserve Equipment		600,000	600,000
Subtotal, PROCUREMENT	105,819,330	-789,951	105,029,379
Title II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION			
RDT&E, Army	10,438,218	200,316	10,638,534
RDT&E, Navy	19,270,932	336,229	19,607,161
RDT&E, Air Force	27,992,827	408,815	28,401,642
RDT&E, Defense-Wide	20,741,542	-328,041	20,413,501
Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	190,770		190,770
Subtotal, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	78,634,289	617,319	79,251,608
Title III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	31,274,882	-11,550	31,263,332
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	35,070,346	-29,072	35,041,274
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,536,223	7,000	5,543,223
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	34,748,159	-221,010	34,527,149
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	28,357,246	-29,850	28,327,396
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,620,196		2,620,196
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,278,501		1,278,501
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	228,925		228,925
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,079,228		3,079,228
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,257,034	5,150	6,262,184
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,885,761		5,885,761
US Court of Appeals for The Armed Forces, Defense	13,932		13,932
Defense Acquisition Development Workforce Fund	100,000		100,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster And Civic Aid	109,869		109,869
CooperativeThreat Reduction	404,093	20,000	424,093
Environmental Restoration, Army	415,864		415,864
Environmental Restoration, Navy	285,869		285,869
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	494,276		494,276
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	11,100		11,100
Environmental Restoration Formerly Used Sites	267,700		267,700
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	5,000	-5,000	
Subtotal, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	156,444,204	-264,332	156,179,872
Title IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL	136,016,281		136,016,281
Title XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS			
Defense Working Capital Funds	141,388		141,388
Defense Commissary Agency	1,313,616		1,313,616
National Defense Sealift Fund	1,642,758		1,642,758
Defense Coalition Support Fund	22,000	-22,000	
Defense Health Program	27,903,163	129,930	28,033,093
Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction, Defense	1,560,760		1,560,760
Drug Interdiction & Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,058,984	-4,750	1,054,234
Office of the Inspector General	272,444	15,656	288,100
Subtotal, OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	33,915,113	118,836	34,033,949

Division B: Military Construction Authorization**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization
Military Construction, Army	3,660,779	58,640	3,719,419
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	3,763,264	5,739	3,769,003
Military Construction, Air Force	1,145,434	270,492	1,415,926
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	3,097,526	-274,703	2,822,823
Chemical Demilitarization Construction	146,541	5,000	151,541
NATO Security Investment Program	276,314	-78,900	197,414
Military Construction, Army National Guard	426,491	155,565	582,056
Military Construction, Army Reserve	374,862	56,704	431,566
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	64,124	61,750	125,874
Military Construction, Air National Guard	128,261	235,965	364,226
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	27,476	84,793	112,269
Subtotal, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	13,111,072	581,045	13,692,117
FAMILY HOUSING			
Family Housing Construction, Army	273,236		273,236
Family Housing O&M, Army	523,418		523,418
Family Housing Construction, Navy & Marine Corps	146,569		146,569
Family Housing O&M, Navy & Marine Corps	368,540		368,540
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	66,101		66,101
Family Housing O&M, Air Force	502,936		502,936
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide	2,859		2,859
Family Housing O&M, Defense-Wide	49,214		49,214
Homeowners Assistance Fund	23,225	276,775	300,000
DoD Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,600		2,600
Subtotal, FAMILY HOUSING	1,958,698	276,775	2,235,473
BRAC			
Base Realignment and Closure Account 1990	396,768	100,000	496,768
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005	7,479,498	-24,000	7,455,498
Subtotal, BRAC	7,876,266	76,000	7,952,266
Prior Year Savings		-175,800	-175,800
General Reduction FY 10 (Title XX)		-529,091	-529,091
Subtotal, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, FAMILY HOUSING & BRAC	22,946,036	228,929	23,174,965
General Transfer Authority (non-add)	[5,000,000]	[-1,000,000]	[4,000,000]
SUBTOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051)	533,775,253	-89,199	533,686,054
Department of Energy Authorization (Division C)			
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	6,188		6,188
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION			
Weapons Activities	6,384,431	48,700	6,433,131
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,136,709	39,750	2,176,459
Naval Reactors	1,003,133		1,003,133
Office of the Administrator	420,754		420,754
Subtotal NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	9,945,027	88,450	10,033,477
ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES			
Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,495,831		5,495,831
Other Defense Activities	852,468		852,468
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	98,400		98,400
Subtotal ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	6,446,699		6,446,699
TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	16,397,914	88,450	16,486,364
Independent Federal Agency Authorization			
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	26,086		26,086
Subtotal, DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD	26,086		26,086
SUBTOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE PROGRAMS (053)	16,424,000	88,450	16,512,450
TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE (050)—BASE BILL	550,199,253	-749	550,198,504
Department of Defense Authorizations—Overseas Contingency Operations (Title XV)			
Division A: Department of Defense Authorization			
Title XV—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO)			

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization
PROCUREMENT			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	1,636,229		1,636,229
Missile Procurement, Army	531,570	-50,000	481,570
Procurement of WTCV, Army	759,466		759,466
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	370,635		370,635
Other Procurement, Army	6,225,966	-625,640	5,600,326
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	1,535,000	564,850	2,099,850
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	916,553	-13,356	903,197
Weapons Procurement, Navy	73,700	-23,000	50,700
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and MC	710,780	-28,823	681,957
Other Procurement, Navy	318,018	-25,000	293,018
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,164,445	-104,177	1,060,268
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	936,441	-156,000	780,441
Procurement of Ammunition, AF	256,819		256,819
Missile Procurement, AF	36,625		36,625
Other Procurement, Air Force	2,321,549		2,321,549
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund	5,456,000	600,000	6,056,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide	491,430	-1,450	489,980
Subtotal, PROCUREMENT, OCO	23,741,226	137,404	23,878,630
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION			
RDT&E, Army	57,962		57,962
RDT&E, Navy	107,180	-17,000	90,180
RDT&E, Air Force	29,286		29,286
RDT&E, Defense-Wide	115,826		115,826
Subtotal, RDT&E, OCO	310,254	-17,000	293,254
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
Operation & Maintenance, Army	52,170,661	-3,900	52,166,761
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	6,219,583		6,219,583
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	3,701,600		3,701,600
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	10,026,868		10,026,868
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	7,578,300	5,100	7,583,400
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	204,326		204,326
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	68,059		68,059
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	86,667		86,667
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	125,925		125,925
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	321,646		321,646
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	289,862		289,862
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	7,462,769		7,462,769
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund	700,000	-700,000	
Iraq Freedom Fund	115,300	-115,300	
Subtotal, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, OCO	89,071,566	-814,100	88,257,466
MILITARY PERSONNEL, OCO	13,586,341	560,000	14,146,341
OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS			
Defense Working Capital Funds	396,915		396,915
Defense Health Program	1,155,235	101,440	1,256,675
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	324,603	32,000	356,603
Office of the Inspector General	8,876		8,876
Subtotal, OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS, OCO	1,885,629	133,440	2,019,069
Special Transfer Authority (non-add)	[4,000,000]		[4,000,000]
Division B: Military Construction Authorization			
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION			
Military Construction, Army	923,884	600	924,484
Military Construction, Air Force	474,500		474,500
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	6,600	-6,600	
Subtotal, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, OCO	1,404,984	-6,000	1,398,984
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	130,000,000	-6,256	129,993,744
TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	663,775,253	-95,455	663,679,798
GRAND TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE	680,199,253	-7,005	680,192,248
MEMORANDUM: NON-DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS			
Title IV—Armed Forces Retirement Home (Function 600)	134,000		134,000
Title XII—Voice Act (Function 150)		55,000	55,000

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization
Title XXXIV—Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves (Function 270)	23,627		23,627
Title XXXV—Maritime Administration (Function 400)	152,900		152,900

NATIONAL DEFENSE BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

	Authorization Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorization
Summary, Discretionary Authorizations Within the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee			
SUBTOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051)	533,775,253	-89,199	533,686,054
SUBTOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE PROGRAMS (053)	16,424,000	88,450	16,512,450
TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE (050)—BASE BILL	550,199,253	-749	550,198,504
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	130,000,000	-6,256	129,993,744
GRAND TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE	680,199,253	-7,005	680,192,248

Base National Defense Discretionary Programs that are Not In the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee or Do Not Require Additional Authorization

Defense Production Act Purchases	38,246		38,246
National Science Center, Army	25		25
Disposal of DOD Real Property	10,393		10,393
Lease of DOD Real Property	8,856		8,856
DOD Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery	1,227		1,227
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051	58,747		58,747
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program	134,000		134,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053	134,000		134,000
Other Discretionary Programs	6,751,000		6,751,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054	6,751,000		6,751,000
Total Defense Discretionary Adjustments (050)	6,943,747		6,943,747

OCO National Defense Discretionary Programs that are Not In the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee

FBI Salaries and Expenses	101,066		101,066
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054	101,066		101,066

Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary

Department of Defense—Military (051)	663,834,000	-95,455	663,738,545
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)	16,558,000	88,450	16,646,450
Defense-Related Activities (054)	6,852,066		6,852,066
Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary	687,244,066	-7,005	687,237,061

National Defense Mandatory Programs, Current Law (CBO Estimates)

Concurrent receipt accrual payments to the Military Retirement Fund	4,376,000		4,376,000
Concurrent receipt policy proposal	330,000	-330,000	
Revolving, trust and other DOD Mandatory	1,240,000		1,240,000
Offsetting receipts	-1,741,000		-1,741,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051	4,205,000	-330,000	3,875,000
Energy employees occupational illness compensation programs and other	1,377,000		1,377,000
Expansion of Authority of EEOIC Ombudsman		1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053	1,377,000	1,000	1,378,000
Radiation exposure compensation trust fund	32,000		32,000
Payment to CIA retirement fund and other	291,000		291,000
Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054	323,000		323,000
Total National Defense Mandatory (050)	5,905,000	-329,000	5,576,000

Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory

Department of Defense—Military (051)	668,039,000	-425,455	667,613,545
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)	17,935,000	89,450	18,024,450
Defense-Related Activities (054)	7,175,066		7,175,066
Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory	693,149,066	-336,005	692,813,061

*DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
AUTHORIZATIONS*

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

BUDGET ITEMS

Paladin Integration Management

The budget request included \$96.5 million in Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, for M109A6 Paladin Integration Management (PIM).

The House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to a \$91.5 million decrease for PIM procurement as requested by the Army.

Standard Missile-3 procurement

The budget request included \$168.7 million in Procurement, Defense-wide, for procurement of Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IA interceptors for the Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) system.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conference agreement would authorize \$191.9 million in Procurement, Defense-wide, for procurement of SM-3 Block IA missiles, an increase of \$23.2 million.

The conferees note that on September 17, 2009, the President announced a new missile defense architecture for Europe that will rely heavily on the SM-3 interceptor, to be used both on ships and on land. The first phase of the architecture, to be deployed in 2011, would include deployment of Aegis BMD ships equipped with SM-3 Block IA

interceptors to defend against existing Iranian short- and medium-range ballistic missiles. The conferees believe it would be valuable to increase the inventory of SM-3 Block IA interceptors to defend against Iran's existing ballistic missile capabilities.

National Guard and Reserve Equipment—Overview

The budget request for fiscal year 2010 included an authorization request for National Guard and Reserve Equipment procurement within various accounts in the Department of Defense.

The House bill would authorize \$600.0 million specifically for National Guard and Reserve Equipment.

The Senate amendment would provide no authorization for National Guard and Reserve Equipment.

The conferees recommend an authorization of \$600.0 million for National Guard and Reserve Equipment. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

The conferees expect that the National Guard and Reserve forces to use this funding to procure high priority equipment that would be used by these units in their critical dual mission role of full-spectrum combat operations and domestic civil support missions.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

VH-71 Presidential helicopter program

In April 2009, the administration proposed in budget documents, including a document called "Terminations, Reductions, and Savings, Fiscal Year 2010," to terminate the Presidential helicopter replacement (VH-71) program and initiate a new Presidential helicopter replacement program.

The Secretary of Defense announced on April 6, 2009, the cancellation of the VH-71 program, after that program experienced a history of excessive and uncontrolled cost growth and persistent slips in its delivery schedule. On May 15, 2009, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisitions, Technology, and Logistics issued an acquisition decision memorandum implementing the Secretary's decision and the Department of the Navy issued a stop-work order on the program. Subsequently, on June 1, 2009, the Secretary of the Navy canceled the System Development and Design contract for the program.

While the conferees agree that cancellation of the program was warranted under the circumstances, they are disappointed that:

(1) the Nation has invested more than \$3.0 billion in this program and has little to show for that investment;

(2) the Navy invested considerable time and talent in trying to implement the acquisition program without success; and

(3) the "requirements" system failed to do its fair share of trading requirements or adding resources when the acquisition program ran into immovable obstacles.

During this process, the Navy and its acquisition system failed to receive adequate support, resources, and authority from the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and the White House Military Office (WHMO) to execute a successful acquisition program. The conferees understand that despite the many warnings and expert advice from the Government Accountability Office, Navy acquisition officials were directed by OSD and WHMO to execute a schedule-driven program and were unable to adhere to prudent acquisition practices.

The conferees note that a June 5, 2009, Congressional Research Service report cites Navy estimates that a new acquisition program would probably cost between \$10.0 billion and \$17.0 billion.

Therefore, given that level of possible investment, the conferees strongly encourage the Department of Defense and the Executive Branch to consider a complete range of alternatives for meeting requirements. The conferees believe that such consideration must include evaluating both single- and multi-platform solutions to meet the complete transportation requirements of the President, and evaluating costs, consider the investment already made in the VH-71 program for possible use for some portion of the mission within a multi-platform solution. The conferees also believe that a program to replace the Presidential helicopter presents a particularly valuable opportunity for the Department of Defense to demonstrate the right way to develop and procure major weapon systems. Accordingly, the conferees expect that, in implementing such a program, the Department will fully comply with the letter and the spirit of the recently enacted Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23).

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations (secs. 101–106)

The House bill contained provisions (secs. 101–106) that would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2010 funding levels for procurement for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Defense-wide activities, and National Guard and reserve equipment, and Rapid Acquisition Fund.

The Senate amendment contained provisions (secs. 101–104) that would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2010 funding levels for procurement for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Defense-wide activities.

The conferees agree to include provisions that would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2010 funding levels for procurement for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Defense-wide activities, National Guard and reserve equipment, and Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund.

Relation to funding table (sec. 107)

The Senate amendment contained a provision that would specify that the amounts authorized to be appropriated by sections 101, 102, 103, and 104 of the amendment would be available, in accordance with the requirements of section 4001 of the amendment, for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts, specified in the funding table in section 4101 of the amendment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

Procurement of future combat systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team equipment (sec. 111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 112) that would limit the Army to the procurement of one brigade set of Future Combat Systems spin out early-infantry brigade combat team equipment in order to allow for adequate testing prior to full-rate production.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Army to increase the quantity of equipment procured through low-rate initial production provided that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics makes certain certifications with respect to the program's strategy and baseline, testing, technology readiness, and independent cost estimates.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

Littoral Combat Ship program (sec. 121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 121) that would restructure the cost cap for

the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) program, subject to certain prerequisites and certifications. The bill would also authorize the Secretary to obligate funds to compile a technical data package necessary for future competition.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide authority to the Secretary of the Navy to implement a new acquisition strategy, as requested by the Secretary, that would utilize a block-buy approach for the procurement of LCS vessels during the period of fiscal year 2010 through 2014, and would include authority to obligate funds for economic order quantity buys and cost reduction initiatives, should such measures improve overall program affordability. The conferees note that, unlike a multiyear procurement, a block-buy is useful here in that it conveys a long-term commitment by the Government to execute the program in a way that allows the Government to extract economic advantages from its purchases. However, a block-buy does not bind the government to performance under a multiyear contract, thereby subjecting the government to liability for cancellation or termination costs in the event of non-performance under the contract.

In addition, the amendment would apply a revised cost cap to the fiscal 2011 ships, which could be waived under certain circumstances. The amendment would also require the Navy to obtain a technical data package from the winning LCS contractor.

The conferees support the revised acquisition strategy for the program, which is based upon many of the principles long advocated by the conferees, including enhancing competition, assuring more program stability, achieving more efficient construction rates, incentivizing industry investment, and increasing commonality.

The conferees recognize that the existing cost cap for the LCS program has been effective, prohibiting the Navy from awarding an unaffordable contract in fiscal year 2010. Consequently, the conferees agree to retain a cost cap, while giving the Secretary of the Navy significant discretion in the award of the fiscal year 2010 through 2014 ships. The conferees intend the cost cap described in subsection (c)(1) to apply to the fiscal year 2011 ships and any additional ship constructed through 2014 at the shipyard that is a member of the contractor team selected in response to the solicitation for the fiscal year 2010 ships.

The conferees expect that, if contractors and suppliers respond to this solicitation with aggressive pricing proposals that result in a more affordable program, the government will guarantee long-term stability in the procurement plan. The conferees believe that, with aggressive construction yard investment and "design for affordability" changes, costs for vessels should continue to decline (in constant dollars) over the period of the block-buy.

The amendment would also require that the Navy report yearly on specific costs incurred in the construction of LCS vessels and adjustments to the cost caps. The conferees intend that this annual report would assist in providing strong oversight on the costs of this program. If the Navy and contractors are unable to achieve significant cost savings under this new acquisition strategy, the conferees' support for this program will not be assured.

Treatment of Littoral Combat Ship program as a major defense acquisition program (sec. 122)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 111) that would require the Littoral Combat Ship program be designated as a major defense acquisition program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23), if interpreted properly, would require this program already to be so designated.

Report on strategic plan for homeporting the Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 123)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 112) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a strategic plan for homeporting vessels in the Littoral Combat Ship program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with technical amendments.

Advance procurement funding (sec. 124)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 123) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use advance procurement funds to enter into contracts for production planning and other related support services that reduce overall procurement lead time of the vessel. Additionally, this section would authorize the Secretary to enter into contracts for advance construction efforts for the aircraft carrier designated CVN-79, if the Secretary determines that cost savings, construction efficiencies, or workforce stability would be achieved through the use of such contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Procurement programs for future naval surface combatants (sec. 125)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 113) that would prevent the Navy from obligating any funds for building surface combatants after 2011 until the Navy conducts particular analyses, and completes certain tasks that should be required at the beginning of major defense acquisition programs. The committee report (S. Rept. 111-35) also would direct that the Secretary of the Navy obligate no more than 50 percent of the funds authorized for fiscal year 2010 in PE 24201N, CG(X), until the Navy submits a plan for implementing the requirements of this section to the congressional defense committees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with technical amendments. The conferees agree to direct that the Secretary submit the plan for implementing the requirements of this section to the congressional defense committees at the same time as the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2011.

Ford-class aircraft carrier report (sec. 126)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 122) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to make an assessment of the cost of shifting to 5-year intervals for the construction of aircraft carriers, including the effect of such shifting of that interval on other programs. The House bill would have placed a limitation on the use of any funds for the aircraft carrier, designated CVN-79, for shifting to a 5-year interval.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove the limitation on the use of funds for CVN-79.

The conferees note that a 5-year interval for aircraft carrier construction, as proposed by the Secretary of Defense, may be the appropriate course of action for the Department of the Navy. However, the conferees are concerned that this decision may not have been made following a rigorous cost-

benefit analysis. Therefore, the conferees expect that the Secretary of the Navy will take no further action to preclude the ability of the Secretary to award a construction contract for CVN-79 in fiscal year 2012 or the aircraft carrier designated CVN-80 in fiscal year 2016, consistent with the Annual Long-Range Plan for Construction of Naval Vessels for Fiscal Year 2009, until he completes the required assessment and fully informs the congressional defense committees of any such a decision.

Report on service life extension program for Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates (sec. 127)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 114) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report on a service life extension program for the Oliver Hazard Perry class frigates.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Conditional multiyear procurement authority for F/A-18E, F/A-18F, or EA-18G aircraft (sec. 128)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 124) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to buy F/A-18E/F or EA-18G aircraft under a multiyear contract.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would, notwithstanding only that element within section 2306b(i)(1) of title 10, United States Code, that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide required certifications (in this case) by March 1, 2009, and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to buy F/A-18E/F or EA-18G aircraft under a multiyear contract, but only if that multiyear contract otherwise fully complies with the requirements of section 2306b of title 10, United States Code. In addition, the amendment would require by March 1, 2010, that the Secretary of the Defense submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the findings and conclusions of the Quadrennial Defense Review and 30-year aviation procurement plan have informed the Department's acquisition strategy with regard to the F/A-18E/F and EA-18G aircraft programs-of-record.

The conferees note that the authority granted under this provision would expire on May 1, 2010, unless the Secretary of the Navy provides Congress written notification that the Department intends to execute the authority provided by this provision, but that administrative processes or other contracting activities necessary for execution of this authority cannot be completed by May 1, 2010. The provision would require that any such notification: (1) include a date certain for execution of the authority; and (2) specify a date no later than September 30, 2010, for such completion.

With this provision, the conferees convey general support for the Department's current plans to ensure that it meets the Navy's forward presence and operational requirements, while the F-35B and F-35C are being developed and ultimately fielded. However, this provision is also intended to reflect the conferees continuing concerns that, in light of the continuing increase in the strike-fighter shortfall, definitive actions that mitigate the stated shortfall cannot be delayed for too much longer. Should the Quadrennial Defense Review and the 30-year aviation procurement plan warrant a change in the programs-of-record for either the F/A-18E/F or EA-18G, the conferees expect that the Department of the Defense will give full and fair consideration to buying additional F/A-18E/F or EA-18G aircraft under a multiyear contract.

On August 17, 2009, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics provided the congressional defense committees with a report, required by section 123 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), detailing a cost and benefit comparison between an annual and multiyear F/A-18E/F and EA-18G aircraft procurement through fiscal year 2015. In that report, the Under Secretary, using the current pricing agreement data provided by the contractor, estimated that the savings that the Navy could expect to achieve, procuring the remaining program of record 89 F/A-18E/F and EA-18G aircraft, equated to 6.48 percent, or \$315.0 million. The conferees expect that, should the Navy exercise the authority granted under this provision, the contractors and suppliers would respond to any solicitation with aggressive pricing proposals that would allow the Navy to achieve greater savings.

In view of the lateness with which the Department submitted the fiscal year 2010 budget to Congress, the conferees agree to grant this narrow exception to the requirements of section 2306b, title 10, United States Code, as amended in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181). However, the conferees fully expect the Department to address with due diligence and spirit of intent, items of interest addressed by conferees in section 811 of the statement of managers (H. Rept. 110-477) accompanying that Act. Finally, the conferees expect that all subsequent multiyear procurement authority requests from the Department of Defense will be fully compliant with the requirements set forth in section 2306b, title 10, United States Code.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

Report on the procurement of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft (sec. 131)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 133) that would report on various aspects of potential procurement of 4.5 generation fighter aircraft.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would delete references in the provision to multiyear procurement and certifications.

The conferees agree that the investment strategy that the Department of the Air Force intends to help the Department of Defense transition from, the capability provided by the current tactical fighter force to a smaller but more flexible, lethal and capable strike fighter force, will be challenging. As the Air Force implements that strategy but where circumstances warrant, the conferees expect the Air Force will analyze the viability of procuring additional 4.5 generation fighter aircraft under a multiyear contract and, where those conditions required to be present under Section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, as amended, exist, submit a multiyear procurement proposal to Congress, accompanied with certifications required under Section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, as amended.

With this provision, the conferees merely intend for the Air Force to conduct, and provide the congressional defense committees with, the analysis necessary to support, where warranted, a multiyear purchase of additional 4.5 generation fighter aircraft, specifically defined under this provision to capture the F-15, F-16, and F-18 that have advanced radar, data-link and avionics capabilities and the capability to deploy advanced armaments. The conferees do not intend that this provision will modify in any way the requirements of Section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, as amended, by section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law

110-181), and the statement of managers accompanying those amendments (H. Rept. 110-477).

Revised availability of certain funds available for the F-22A fighter aircraft (sec. 132)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would repeal section 134 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 122) that would (1) repeal section 134; and (2) allow the Secretary of the Air Force to reallocate fiscal year 2009 F-22A advanced procurement funds to other F-22A priorities.

The House recedes.

Preservation and storage of unique tooling for F-22 fighter aircraft (sec. 133)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a plan for the preservation and storage of unique tooling related to the production of hardware and end items for F-22 fighter aircraft which would: (1) ensure that the Secretary preserves and stores such tooling in a manner that allows the production of such hardware and end items to be restarted after a period of idleness; (2) identify the costs of restarting production with respect to the supplier base of such hardware and end items; and (3) identify any contract modifications, additional facilities, or funding that the Secretary determines necessary to carry out the plan. This section would also prevent the Secretary from spending any funds to dispose of F-22 production tooling until 45 days after the Secretary submits the required report.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

AC-130 gunships (sec. 134)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 125) that would require a report on the service life of AC-130 gunships and an analysis of alternatives for any gunship modernization requirements identified by the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on E-8C Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System re-engining (sec. 135)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 126) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report on various aspects of the Air Force's plan to provide new engines to the E-8C joint surveillance and target attack radar system (JSTARS) aircraft. The provision would also prevent the Air Force from taking any action that would disrupt execution of that re-engining program until the Secretary submits that report.

The House bill no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Repeal of requirement to maintain certain retired C-130E aircraft (sec. 136)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 136) that would amend section 134 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to repeal the requirement to maintain certain retired C-130E aircraft.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Limitation on retirement of C-5 aircraft (sec. 137)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 121) that would prevent the Air Force from retiring any C-5 aircraft until certain conditions are met. These include: (1)

completing operational testing of the C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program; (2) providing a report by the Director of Operational Testing on the results of that operational testing; and (3) delivering reports on the economic and risk analyses that led to any decision to retire the aircraft before the end of their useful service lives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would, among other things, reduce the required waiting period after notification by the Secretary and eliminate the requirement that any aircraft retired be maintained in Type 1000 storage.

Reports on strategic airlift aircraft (sec. 138)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Director of the Air National Guard, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the proposed force structure and basing of strategic airlift aircraft at least 120 days before the date on which any C-5 aircraft is retired.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) change the reporting period to 90 days before any retirement; and (2) remove a reporting requirement related to military construction funding increases.

Strategic airlift force structure (sec. 139)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 135) that would increase the minimum required strategic airlift force structure by amending subsection (g)(1) of section 8062 of title 10, United States Code, by striking "2008" and inserting "2009," and by striking "299" and inserting "316."

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Subtitle E—Joint and Multiservice Matters
Body armor procurement (sec. 141)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 141) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish within each military service procurement account a separate procurement budget line item assigned for body armor investment and funding transparency.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment. The conferees do not intend that this provision should limit the military departments' ability to use other rapid development or acquisition authorities to ensure the fastest possible exploitation of body armor material improvements, production, or fielding to our deployed or deploying forces.

Unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicles (sec. 142)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 142) that would prohibit obligation or expenditure of procurement funding for an unmanned cargo-carrying-capable aerial vehicle until 15 days after the Department had certified that the Joint Requirements Oversight Council has approved a joint and common requirement for such a vehicle type.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Modification of nature of data link for use by tactical unmanned aerial vehicles (sec. 143)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 131) that would amend section 141(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163) to add Internet Protocol-capable communications relays as an additional standard for Department of Defense unmanned aerial vehicles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes, with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Elimination of F-22A aircraft procurement funding

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 106) that eliminated funding from the bill as reported by the Senate Committee on Armed Services that would have authorized additional F-22A procurement funding.

The House bill contained no similar provision and no authorization of funding for additional procurement of F-22A in fiscal year 2010.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree not to authorize funding for additional procurement of F-22A in fiscal year 2010.

Restriction on obligation of funds for Army tactical radio systems

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 111) that would restrict the obligation of funds for all Army tactical radio sets except for those approved by the joint tactical radio system (JTRS) joint program office and those specifically procured to meet an operational needs statement or joint urgent operational need statement.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are concerned that the Army lacks clear requirements, or a comprehensive acquisition strategy, for the procurement and upgrade of its tactical radio systems. Given the billions of dollars requested each year by the Army for communications equipment, and the importance of this equipment in ongoing combat operations, the conferees are alarmed that the Army has been unable to put a disciplined acquisition process in place to procure the needed equipment in a manner that avoids waste, fosters true competition, moves the Army away from reliance on legacy radio systems, and anticipates and bridges to next generation radio communications.

The conferees believe that despite clear congressional intent and guidance, the Army continues to rely on legacy radio systems awarded through a marginally competitive process, has failed to update requirements, has not provided adequate internal oversight and discipline to its radio acquisition plans, and is potentially jeopardizing its ability to create and transition affordably to the future battlefield network. For example, the Army has yet to adjust its requirements for the various models of the JTRS program 6 months after the Department of Defense's (DOD) termination of the Future Combat Systems program. In addition, the Army, in the fiscal year 2010 budget, requested \$135.0 million in funding for the Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio system despite the fact that Congress had already provided funding adequate to procure the current acquisition objective. Finally, the Army has yet to develop a plan to integrate into its inventory or requirements more than 20,000 vehicular radio sets procured from commercial sources that the Army still claims do not meet full Army requirements despite their use in combat operations for more than 5 years.

The conferees understand that managing the requirements and acquisition system for such a large and diverse set of equipment is not easy, especially with the funding demands of ongoing combat operations. Therefore, instead of dictating specific legislative guidance for fiscal year 2010, the conferees urge the Army in 2010 to reassess its tactical radio requirements, make all needed adjustments based on force structure and programmatic changes, and deliver to Congress

as part of its fiscal year 2012 budget submission a new comprehensive tactical radio plan that addresses the numerous concerns expressed by Congress. The conferees also urge senior Army and DOD leaders to take a direct role in developing this new plan, in order to ensure that the various competing interests and demands within the Army are reconciled and accounted for in the new path forward.

Competitive bidding for procurement of steam turbines for ship service turbine generators and main propulsion turbines for Ohio-class submarine replacement program

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 115) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to take measures to ensure appropriate competition is conducted for procurement of steam turbines for both the ships service turbine generators and main engines of the Ohio-class submarine replacement program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that section 202 of the Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23) requires that the Secretary of Defense ensure that the acquisition strategy for each major defense acquisition program include measures to preserve the option of competition through the life of the program. Such a requirement would apply to the Ohio-class replacement program.

The Secretary of the Navy should consider means of preserving competition for this program at the system, subsystem, and component level to the maximum extent practicable. In particular, the conferees agree that steam turbines for this new class of submarine should be procured in a competitive environment. The conferees direct that, if the Secretary of the Navy were to decide in favor of sole source procurement of steam turbines, the Secretary must forward the justification required by section 2302 of title 10, United States Code, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days prior to awarding such a contract.

Multiyear procurement authority for DDG-51 Burke-class destroyers

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 125) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a multiyear contract, beginning in fiscal year 2010, for procuring DDG-51 Burke-class destroyers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Conversion of certain vessels; leasing rates

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 126) that would permit the Secretary of the Navy to use up to \$35.0 million from the Weapons Procurement, Navy, account to lease and convert vessels that have defaulted on construction loan guarantees: (1) that have become the property of the United States; and (2) for which, the Maritime Administrator has a right of disposal.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that the Navy should, in trying to make near-term additions to the high speed vessel fleet, consider fully the possibility of using vessels within the control of the Maritime Administration.

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
BUDGET ITEMS**

Minerva

The conferees note the potential of social science research to contribute to vital national security missions. The conferees are concerned over the dearth of social science

expertise within the Department, and believe that as new initiatives are started or expanded, such as the Minerva Initiative and the Human Terrain System, that lack of organic expertise is becoming more acute and apparent.

The conference agreement includes an authorization of \$13.3 million in PE 61103A for the Minerva Research Initiative, a portion of the roughly \$20.0 million being requested for this purpose across the Department of Defense. The conferees direct that at least \$5.0 million of the Initiative's fiscal year 2010 funding be used to develop in-house Department of Defense capabilities at defense laboratories and schools consistent with the research goals of the Minerva Initiative. The conferees support the greater development of in-house social science capabilities in order to reduce dependencies on contractors on the battlefield, and to enable the Department to more effectively fund, manage, and oversee extramural social science research activities.

Electromagnetic gun

The budget request included \$11.7 million in PE 63004A, \$4.1 million in PE 62618A, and \$6.4 million in PE 61104A for activities related to the Army's Electromagnetic (EM) Gun initiative.

The House bill would authorize the budget request for these programs.

The Senate amendment would authorize reductions of \$11.5 million in PE 63004A and \$2.0 million in PE 62618A for these programs.

The conferees agree to authorize reductions of \$11.5 million in PE 63004A and \$2.0 million in PE 62618A for these programs. The conferees note that the Army has terminated its program to develop a vehicle-mounted EM gun due to significant questions raised about the technical feasibility of the program. The conferees further note that the Army still has a need to develop advanced lethality capabilities, leveraging technologies and mechanisms such as advanced energetic materials, hypervelocity, and novel penetrators. Therefore, the conferees authorize increases of \$2.0 million in PE 62618A and \$6.5 million in PE 63004A for advanced lethality research efforts.

The conferees note that the Army's reassessment of the large planned investments of its limited science and technology resources into the EM gun program and the ultimate termination of the effort was largely based on the independent analyses of the program performed by the JASON scientific advisory board, the Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. The conferees are concerned that internal Army scientific and technical organizations appear to have been unable to identify and highlight the technical shortfalls in the envisioned program to decision-makers, and further that the Army did not task the National Research Council's Board on Army Science and Technology to examine the technical feasibility of the program.

The conferees believe that the Army should place a higher priority on robust technical analysis of modernization programs. The difficulties that the EM gun and Future Combat Systems development efforts have encountered can be partially attributed to a lack of independent, technically informed discussion within the Army's decision making process. The conferees recommend that the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Army closely examine how they obtain independent technical advice to support technical and programmatic decision-making.

Joint Future Theater Lift

The budget request included \$8.5 million in PE 63801A for Aviation Advanced Development.

The House bill would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional \$50.0 million for risk reduction activities for the Joint Future Theater Lift (JFTL). The Senate amendment also would require a report from the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) by December 1, 2009.

The conferees do not expect that additional funds will be appropriated for JFTL risk-reduction activities, and therefore agree to authorize the requested amount for Army Aviation Advanced Development. The conferees also agree to modify the reporting requirement contained in the Senate amendment.

The conferees direct the USD(AT&L), in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, to report to the congressional defense committees coincident with submission of the fiscal year 2011 budget request with answers to the following questions:

1. What is the Department's acquisition strategy regarding a C-130 replacement and what is the schedule for such a program?
2. What is the Department's strategy for maintaining the advanced tiltrotor industrial base?
3. Are there operational benefits of a vertical takeoff and landing heavy transport worth paying a premium over the acquisition cost of a conventional fixed wing transport?
4. Does the operational requirement justify proceeding with an acquisition strategy requiring investing in a heavy lift vertical takeoff and landing transport program of record without first building a technology prototype to demonstrate technical feasibility and cost?

Future combat system non-line-of-sight cannon

The budget request included \$58.2 million in PE 64647A for the contract termination liability associated with the cancellation of the Future Combat Systems non-line-of-sight cannon.

The House bill would authorize a decrease of \$58.2 million in PE 64660A for excess contract termination liability.

The Senate amendment would authorize an identical decrease.

The conferees agree to authorize a decrease of \$27.0 million in PE 64647A for excess contract termination liability.

Future combat system manned ground vehicles and common ground vehicle

The budget request included \$368.6 million in PE 64660A for the contract termination liability associated with the cancellation of the Future Combat Systems manned ground vehicle.

The House bill would authorize a decrease of \$268.6 million in PE 64660A for excess contract termination liability.

The Senate amendment would authorize a decrease of \$368.6 million in PE 64660A for excess contract termination liability.

The conferees agree to authorize a decrease of \$184.0 million in PE 64660A for excess contract termination liability.

Life support systems

The budget request contained \$10.7 million in PE 64706F for life support systems development.

The House bill would add \$7.0 million for the advanced common ejection seat 5 (ACES 5) development program.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional \$2.4 million for the ACES 5 program within PE 64706F.

The conferees understand that the ACES 5 program might be able to serve as a competitor for the ejection seat system in the F-35

Joint Strike Fighter program. At this time, the F-35 Joint Program Office is considering the procurement of only one ejection seat system for all variants of the F-35 aircraft.

This approach raises a broader question about the implementation of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23). Section 202 of that Act requires that the Secretary of Defense ensure that the acquisition strategy of every major defense acquisition program (MDAP) includes “measures to ensure competition, or the option of competition, at both the prime contract level and the subcontract level (at such tier or tiers as are appropriate) of such program throughout the life-cycle of such program as a means to improve contractor performance.” The Act also lists a number of measures that such competition may include if such measures are cost-effective. These measures include dual sourcing and unbundling of contracts.

The conferees believe that the F-35 ejection seat system could be such a system where the benefits of competition would be cost-effective. The conferees believe that there may be other systems as well, such as training systems, logistics management systems, etc., that could lend themselves to increasing the competitive options for the F-35 program. As the Defense Department’s largest MDAP, the conferees believe the F-35 program should be one of the first to benefit from implementation of the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009. The conferees expect that, over the next budget cycle, the Department and the F-35 Program Executive Office (PEO) will develop a specific plan for how the F-35 PEO will implement the provisions of that Act.

Wide-area airborne surveillance

The budget request included \$46.0 million in PE 35206F for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation of the Gorgon Stare wide-area airborne surveillance system (WAAS); \$19.9 million in Aircraft Procurement Air Force, Line 25, and \$13.0 million in Operations and Maintenance, Air Force. The budget request also included \$39.0 million in PE 35206F to begin development of the WAAS program of record following the Gorgon Stare quick reaction capability (QRC).

The House bill would authorize the requested amounts.

The Senate amendment would authorize no funds to continue the Gorgon Stare Quick Reaction Capability (QRC).

The conferees are concerned that the Air Force is rushing to develop a WAAS program of record in fiscal year 2010 with the requirement, the mission, and the concept of operations remaining ill-defined. Based on the limited analysis done on systems with similar mission requirements, it is evident that Gorgon Stare does not currently have the resolution required to meet the full mission set of requirements. It is also evident that the technology required to meet the full mission set is several years away and program personnel are hoping that the technology required will evolve on a schedule to provide affordable capabilities.

The conferees believe that the Department should proceed expeditiously to deploy the Gorgon Stare QRC and Blue Devil to support ongoing military operations and to determine the value of WAAS imagery, in conjunction with other types of sensors, to support ground force overwatch operations and high-value individual targeting.

The conferees agree that WAAS technology development programs should be pursued to provide the necessary resolution and associated technology required and only at such time as technology readiness levels are satisfactorily demonstrated should a program of record be initiated.

The conferees direct that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics provide to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, not later than April 1, 2010, a report, for each WAAS project for which funding is requested in the fiscal year 2011 budget request, detailing:

- (1) the operational requirement, including requirements for observing, identifying, and tracking individuals;
- (2) the results of operations research studies associated with the WAAS requirement for high-value targeting, forensic analysis, and overwatch of ground operations;
- (3) the concept of operations for each;
- (4) lessons learned from the deployment of Constant Hawk, Angel Fire, and Gorgon Stare quick reaction capability;
- (5) the number of sensors and orbits planned for each service and platform;
- (6) the resolution, frame rate, area coverage, and look angles required to support operational requirements to track vehicles and individuals;
- (7) data processing advances, data storage requirements, processing, exploitation, distribution requirements, and their associated costs and budgets to meet operational requirements;
- (8) the relationship between the WAAS program of record and the Long Endurance, Multi-intelligence hybrid airship program; and
- (9) the requirements and plans for multi-sensor integration, tipping and cueing necessary for the WAAS program of record to meet operational requirements.

Irregular Warfare Support

The budget request included \$43.8 million in PE 63121D8Z for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict advanced development, including funding for the Irregular Warfare Support Program (IWSP), in the base budget, and no funding in this PE in Research, Development, Test, & Evaluation for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).

The House bill would authorize an increase of \$100.0 million in this PE in Research, Development, Test, & Evaluation for OCO for expansion of IWSP.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request in PE 63121D8Z for both the base and OCO budget.

The conferees agree to authorize the requested amount in PE 63121D8Z for both the base and OCO budget. The conferees recognize the importance of enhancing the counterterrorism and counterinsurgency capabilities of the Department of Defense (DOD), and the government as a whole, through the types of innovative projects and activities undertaken and proposed by IWSP within the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD(SO/LIC)).

The conferees are aware of concerns about whether this program office within ASD(SO/LIC) is the appropriate location for a substantial effort to support the combatant commands through unconventional, creative, and multi-disciplinary (military, cultural, social, ideological, economic, and legal) approaches to counterinsurgency and counterterrorism. The conferees are more concerned, however, that: (1) this small program office in the Office of the Secretary of Defense appears to be the only entity in the Department, and perhaps in the executive branch, engaged in these types of activities; and (2) that so little funding is requested each year to sustain such activities and to scale up those that prove to be successful. The conferees are aware that the Commander of the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) in Afghanistan, General Stanley McChrystal, requested substantial,

specific support from the IWSP office on an urgent basis, citing a “critical gap” in capabilities.

The conferees direct the ASD(SO/LIC) to inform the congressional defense committees, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, how DOD intends to respond to the ISAF Commander’s request. The conferees also direct the Assistant Secretary to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, coincident with the submission of the fiscal year 2011 budget request, describing all activities and programs within DOD and elsewhere in the executive branch that are similar to those projects underway or proposed by IWSP, their level of funding, and the executing organization. The report also should include an assessment of the results to date and the potential utility of the ongoing and proposed IWSP programs, at their present scope and if they were to be scaled up substantially.

Ground-Based Interceptor vendor base sustainment

The budget request included \$982.9 million in PE 63882C for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System, including funds for the Ground-Based Interceptor (GBI). The request did not include funds for continued sustainment of the active vendor base for GBIs.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conference agreement includes an authorization of \$1.0 billion in PE 63882C, an additional \$20.0 million for sustainment of the GBI vendor base.

The conferees note a number of new developments since the budget submission that affect consideration of the GBI vendor base. In late summer, the Department of Defense approved the new Integrated Master Test Plan for the Ballistic Missile Defense System. The Missile Defense Agency acknowledges that it will need an additional seven GBIs to implement the new test plan. These GBIs would be in addition to the ones currently on contract, and would require new production. In September, 2009, the Missile Defense Agency informed the congressional defense committees that a recently finished study of the GBI vendor base concluded that additional funding in fiscal year 2010 would permit sustainment of active GBI vendors and reduce the amount of funding that would be needed for the same function in fiscal year 2011. The conferees believe such additional funding will help keep active vendors producing needed parts, and will reduce risk to the future production of GBIs for the test program.

National Cyber Range

The budget request included \$50.0 million in PE 35103E for Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)-funded efforts in Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize a decrease of \$19.6 million for the DARPA National Cyber Range (NCR) program.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request for the NCR program. The conferees agree that DARPA is uniquely qualified to develop the test tools and infrastructure needed to assess potential future cyber capabilities. The conferees also agree that DARPA is not qualified to provide for the long-term operation of this type of infrastructure, which is intended to be shared between the Federal Government, industry and academia, and that there has been insufficient attention paid to the transition of this

resource to an organization that can operate, maintain, and sustain the capability. The conferees note that DARPA has indicated that it “envision[s] transitioning the NCR program to a U.S. government operational partner after prototype development in the late 2010/early 2011 timeframe.” However, this partner has yet to be identified to the conferees’ knowledge and no funding has been programmed in any other organization’s budget to support continued operations of the NCR.

The conferees note that there has been a proliferation of network testbeds across the Department of Defense, the Federal Government, and even among contractors that operate program-specific testbeds. This creates an environment of unnecessary duplication and waste of resources and expertise. The conferees note that the Director of the Test Resource Management Center is currently assessing the Department’s overall capabilities for network systems testing, including for cyber security capabilities. The conferees look forward to reviewing the results of this assessment, and urge the leadership of the Department to pay greater attention to ensure a comprehensive approach to development, testing, and evaluation of cyber operations systems and capabilities.

ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Utilization of Future Combat Systems contract vehicles

The conferees note that, consistent with the direction of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, the Army intends to continue some second-tier technology development efforts that were initiated under the Future Combat Systems (FCS) program, including the development of active protection systems. The conferees understand that this work continues to be funded through the FCS Lead Systems Integrator (LSI) contract vehicle, despite the termination of the FCS program, thereby potentially incurring additional costs to the government. The conferees believe that any use of FCS contract vehicles for continuing FCS-related technology development efforts should only be temporary, and that the work should be transitioned into new contract vehicles as soon as practicable. The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees no later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act, as to the contract vehicles being used to continue FCS-related technology development work, the plan and schedule for the establishment of new contract vehicles, and the role of the LSI in these programs.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations *Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 201) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 201).

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation.

Relation to funding table (sec. 202)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 201(b)) that would authorize funds in this title in accordance with the requirements of section 4001 and in the amounts specified in the funding table in section 4201.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Extension and enhancement of Global Research Watch Program (sec. 211)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 215) that would extend and enhance the Global Research Watch program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Permanent authority for the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel (sec. 212)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 214) that would provide permanent authority for the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Elimination of report requirements regarding defense science and technology program (sec. 213)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 217) that would modify report requirements related to the defense science and technology program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the reporting requirement that is the subject of the Senate provision. The conferees continue to support strong and stable investment in defense science and technology programs in order to support the development of advanced warfighting capabilities.

The conferees note that the recent JASON report entitled “S&T [Science and Technology] for National Security” raised a number of critical concerns with respect to the Department of Defense’s (DOD) basic research program. The JASONs observed that “important aspects of the DOD basic research programs are broken” and that “throwing more money at the problems will not fix them.” The study group further observed that “basic research funding is not exploited to seed inventions and discoveries that can shape the future; investments tend to be technological expenditures at the margin” and that “the portfolio balance of DOD basic research is generally not critically reviewed by independent, technically knowledgeable individuals,” adding that the Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering “has too little time, staff, and authority to do this properly.” Finally, the JASONs observed that “civilian career paths in the DOD research labs and program management are not competitive to other opportunities in attracting outstanding young scientists and retaining the best people.”

The conferees note that the Secretary of Defense has called for significant increases in investments in basic research, and the conferees have supported that effort in this authorization act. However, given the significant concerns that a respected, independent review board have raised with the program, the conferees will carefully review how the DOD reacts to the JASON study and what steps it takes to address the issues raised in the study, before authorizing further increases in the basic research program.

Authorization for the Secretary of the Navy to purchase infrastructure and government purpose rights license associated with the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet (sec. 214)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would limit the obligation of funds for the Navy Next-Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) program until a detailed architectural specification for the network was submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide the Secretary of the Navy with the authority to enter into one or more contracts for the purpose of purchasing infrastructure, technical data, and intellectual property related to the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) program. The intent of the provision is to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to establish contractual arrangements that would permit budgeting for these purchases over multiple years.

The conferees note that the purchase of the infrastructure and intellectual property related to the NMCI program is a critical step in the development and procurement of NGEN. The conferees are concerned that the Navy and the current NMCI contractor have yet to come to an agreement on the valuation, or terms of purchase, of the critical elements of NMCI that are the subject of this provision. As the NMCI program has been in planning and execution for over 10 years and represents a large investment of Department of Defense resources, it is a poor reflection on Navy planning and Office of the Secretary of Defense oversight that the detailed plans for the completion of the NMCI program and the transition to NGEN are still so uncertain.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of the Navy provide a detailed discussion of the use of the authority provided by the provision as part of the reporting requirement established by section 1034 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

Limitation on expenditure of funds for Joint Multi-Mission Submersible program (sec. 215)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to complete an assessment of the feasibility of implementing a cost-sharing agreement between the Department of Defense and the intelligence community pertaining to the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible Program. The provision would also prohibit the expenditure of funds for the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible Program until such an assessment is complete.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the expenditure of funds for the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible Program to proceed beyond Defense Acquisition Milestone B Approval until such time as the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, certifies that the agreement reached as a result of the assessment represents the most effective and affordable means of delivery for meeting a validated program requirement. The conferees expect that the required assessment will take into account any past use of submersible assets by the Department of Defense and the intelligence community.

The conferees strongly support the Department’s decision to designate the Joint Multi-Mission Submersible Program as an Acquisition Category 1D Special Interest program, significantly increasing the level of program oversight from what was given to the Advanced SEAL Delivery System.

Separate program elements required for research and development of individual body armor and associated components (sec. 216)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish within each military service research, development, test, and evaluation account a separate program element assigned to the research and development of individual body armor.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment. The conferees do not intend that this provision should limit the military departments' ability to use other rapid development or acquisition authorities to ensure the fastest possible exploitation of body armor material improvements, production, or fielding to our deployed or deploying forces.

Separate procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation line items and program elements for the F-35B and F-35C Joint Strike Fighter aircraft (sec. 217)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Department of Defense procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation program and budget exhibits provide separate data for the Navy and Marine Corps variants of the Joint Strike Fighter aircraft (F-35C and F-35B, respectively).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Restriction on obligation of funds for Army tactical ground network program pending receipt of report (sec. 218)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would restrict the obligation of 75 percent of fiscal year 2010 Future Combat Systems (FCS) research and development funds pending receipt of the milestone review report required by section 214(c) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would narrow the scope of the funding limitation to the Army tactical ground network program.

The conferees are concerned that despite termination of the FCS Brigade Combat Team program in June 2009, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) has not issued specific guidance to the Army with regard to network hardware and software development which could lead to a stop work order for, or modification to, the base FCS contract. As a result, the conferees note that, according to the Department's budget documentation, the Army continues to spend millions of dollars a day on a contract for which no approved program of record currently exists. The conferees note that the Army was directed in June 2009 to establish a separate, new major defense acquisition program for an Army tactical ground network, but that no such program has been established. In addition, OSD and the Army have yet to determine the new program's acquisition strategy, structure, estimated cost, or technology readiness levels, all of which the conferees consider essential to ensure that the new Army tactical ground network program complies with existing DOD policy and the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-23).

Therefore, the conferees have agreed to an amendment that would restrict the obligation of certain funds for the new Army tactical ground network program until Congress receives additional detailed information on the new program from the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

Programs for ground combat vehicle and self-propelled howitzer capabilities for the Army (sec. 219)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 219) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out programs to develop and field new or upgraded Army ground combat vehicle and self-propelled artillery capabilities.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 218).

The managers note that cancelation of the Non-Line of Sight Cannon (NLOS-C) vehicle leaves the Army solely dependent upon the Paladin Integrated Management (PIM) program for upgrading its self-propelled howitzer fleet. The managers direct the Army to prioritize the development and fielding of the PIM upgrade program. In doing so, it should ensure that maximum value is derived from the many years of research and development on the Crusader and NLOS-C programs. Efforts should be made to integrate relevant mature technologies from NLOS-C to the PIM.

The House recedes.

Guidance on budget justification materials describing funding requested for operation, sustainment, modernization, and personnel of major ranges and test facilities (sec. 220)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 213) that that would clarify and standardize the information required in budget justification materials delivered to Congress describing amounts requested for funding of major range and test facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Assessment of technological maturity and integration risk of Army modernization programs (sec. 221)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 219) that would require the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDRE) to review and assess the technological maturity and integration risk of the technologies critical to the development and deployment of systems and technologies related to the platforms, sensors, and networks of Army modernization programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the requirements of the required technology assessment.

The conferees note that the restructuring of the Future Combat Systems (FCS) program has resulted in a number of continuing changes in the nomenclature and execution of Army modernization programs. The intent of this provision is to require an assessment of the technological maturity and integration risk of all critical systems and technologies supportive of Army modernization efforts that were formerly within the FCS program. As the required assessment is initiated, the conferees direct that the DDRE consult with Congress on which systems are included and excluded from the assessment.

Assessment of activities for technology modernization of the combat vehicle and armored tactical wheeled vehicle fleets (sec. 222)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 220) that would require an independent assessment of the strategy for technology for modernization of combat and tactical wheeled vehicle fleets.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the timing of the delivery of the assessment and clarify that the assessment should cover the combat vehicle fleet and armored tactical wheeled vehicle fleet.

*Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs
Sense of Congress on ballistic missile defense (sec. 231)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 224) that would express the sense of Congress reaffirming support for protecting the United States against limited ballistic missile attack.

The Senate amendment contained a related provision (sec. 241) that would express the sense of the Congress on the development, testing, fielding, and maintenance of ballistic missile defense systems that are capable of defending the United States, its forward-deployed forces, allies, and other friendly nations from the threat of ballistic missile attacks from nations such as North Korea and Iran.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees note that the terms "operationally effective" and "cost effective" encompass the qualities of affordable, reliable, suitable, and survivable missile defense systems.

Assessment and plan for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System (sec. 232)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a sustainment and modernization program for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) element of the Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS), to ensure the long-term reliability, availability, maintainability, and supportability of the GMD element.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 243) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a detailed assessment of the GMD element, and establish a plan for the GMD element, and to submit reports on the assessment and the plan at about the time of the submission of the budget request for fiscal year 2011. The assessment and the plan would each include consideration of issues related to the ability of the GMD element to maintain its operational effectiveness over the course of its service life.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add a statement of the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should ensure the reliability, availability, maintainability, and supportability of the GMD element throughout its service life. The amendment would also require the assessment of, and the plan for, the GMD element.

The conferees note that the GMD element is expected to have a service life of approximately 20 years, and stress the importance of ensuring that the GMD element should remain operationally effective throughout its service life. In order to ensure this effectiveness, the Department of Defense should take appropriate actions to preserve the reliability, availability, and maintainability of the GMD element over its entire service life.

The conferees note that these actions include maintaining the ability to produce any Ground-Based Interceptors (GBIs) needed for the element, including those needed to implement the newly approved Integrated Master Test Plan (IMTP). The Missile Defense Agency (MDA) recently acknowledged a need for seven additional GBIs for that purpose. Accordingly, the conferees urge MDA to take appropriate actions to reduce risk to the production capability for the additional GBIs, and for future GBI refurbishment and sustainment work. The conferees agree to authorize additional funding for the active GBI vendor base, as described elsewhere in this report.

The conferees note that a number of developments have occurred since the submission of the budget request, which affect the GMD element. These include the approval of the IMTP, the acknowledgment of a need for seven additional test GBIs, a new GBI industrial base study, and the decision to build seven silos at Missile Field 2 at Fort Greely, Alaska, and to decommission Missile Field 1. The conferees expect MDA to keep the congressional defense committees informed in a

timely manner of any such changes in the future that would affect the reliability, availability, and maintainability of the GMD element.

Continued production of Ground-Based Interceptor missile and operation of Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, Alaska (sec. 233)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 245) that would require the Secretary of Defense to not allow a break in the production of the Ground-Based Interceptor (GBI) missiles for the Ground-based Mid-course Defense (GMD) element until the Department of Defense completes the Ballistic Missile Defense Review and makes a determination on how many GBIs will be needed to support the service life of the GMD element. The provision would also require the Secretary to ensure that Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, Alaska, is not completely decommissioned until seven GBI silos have been emplaced at Missile Field 2 at Fort Greely, and would require the Secretary to ensure that no irreversible decision is made with respect to the disposition of GBI silos at Missile Field 2 until 60 days after submitting the reports required in another section of the Senate amendment that would describe the Department's assessment of, and plan for, the GMD element.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Limitation on availability of funds for acquisition or deployment of missile defenses in Europe (sec. 234)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 223) that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2010 or future funds for the acquisition (other than initial long-lead procurement) or deployment of operational interceptors of a long-range missile defense system in Europe until the Secretary of Defense submits a report certifying that the proposed interceptor and the proposed radars to be deployed as part of such missile defense system have demonstrated, through successful, operationally realistic flight testing, a high probability of working in an operationally effective manner and the ability to accomplish the mission.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove the specific reference to the radars and clarify that the certification would include information about the ability of the proposed ballistic missile defense system to accomplish the mission.

The conferees note that this provision would extend a limitation contained in section 233(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417, 122 Stat. 4393).

Authorization of funds for development and deployment of alternative missile defense systems in Europe (sec. 235)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 226) that would authorize the use of \$353.1 million in fiscal year 2009 and 2010 funds authorized or otherwise made available for the Missile Defense Agency for the development of missile defenses in Europe to be used for the development and deployment of an alternative missile defense system that would protect Europe and the United States, subject to a certification by the Secretary of Defense that the alternative defense system is expected to meet certain conditions.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 246) that would authorize the use of the fiscal year 2009 and 2010 funds for the development and deployment of alternative missile defense systems designed to protect Europe, and the United States in

the case of long-range missile threats, from the threats posed by current and future Iranian ballistic missiles of all ranges, if the Secretary certifies that the alternative systems are expected to meet certain conditions. The provision also included a rule of construction stating that it would not limit or prevent the Department of Defense from pursuing the development or deployment of operationally effective and cost effective missile defense systems in Europe.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add a requirement for an independent assessment of the operational effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the alternative missile defense architecture announced by the President on September 17, 2009. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by June 1, 2010, on the independent assessment.

The conference agreement would authorize the use of \$309.0 million in fiscal year 2009 and 2010 funds, the amount of funding available other than for military construction, for alternative European missile defense systems or their subsystems. The conferees expect the Department of Defense to promptly provide to the congressional defense committees an expenditure plan for any of these funds planned to be used for such missile defense systems in Europe pursuant to a certification by the Secretary.

Comprehensive plan for test and evaluation of the ballistic missile defense system (sec. 236)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 242) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a comprehensive plan for the developmental and operational test and evaluation of the Ballistic Missile Defense System. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees setting forth the plan by March 1, 2011. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to submit the report by March 1, 2010.

Study on discrimination capabilities of ballistic missile defense system (sec. 237)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 227) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an arrangement with the JASON Defense Advisory Panel to conduct a study on the discrimination capabilities of the Ballistic Missile Defense System. The provision would require the Secretary to submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the study.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Ascent phase missile defense strategy and plan (sec. 238)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 225) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a strategy for ascent phase missile defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the Secretary's report would include both a strategy and a plan for ascent phase missile defense.

Extension of deadline for study on boost-phase missile defense (sec. 239)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 247) that would extend by 4 months the deadline for the submission of the boost-phase missile defense study required by section 232 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Reports

Repeal of requirement for biennial joint warfighting science and technology plan (sec. 241)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 251) that would eliminate the biennial Joint Warfighting Science and Technology Plan reporting requirement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of reporting requirement for defense nanotechnology research and development program (sec. 242)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 252) that would modify the reporting requirement for the defense nanotechnology research and development program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General assessment of coordination of energy storage device requirements, purchases, and investments (sec. 243)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would require a Comptroller General assessment of energy storage device requirements and investments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the details of the required assessment.

Annual Comptroller General report on the F-35 Lightning II aircraft acquisition program (sec. 244)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 232) that would require the Comptroller General to conduct, during the period from 2010 to 2015, an annual review of the F-35 Lightning II Aircraft acquisition program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on integration of Department of Defense Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance capabilities (sec. 245)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that would limit the obligation and expenditure of funds to 25 percent of the amount authorized to be appropriated for program element 35884L until 30 days after the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence submits all elements of the report required under section 923(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2004 (Public Law 108-136).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the obligation and expenditure of funds to 50 percent of program element 11815F.

Report on future research and development of man-portable and vehicle-mounted guided missile systems (sec. 246)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require a report from the Secretary of the Army on the Army's future plans for upgrades to and replacement of selected missile systems. The provision would also limit the obligation of funds pending submission of the report.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on the development of command and control systems (sec. 247)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 217) that would limit the obligation of funds

for the Net Enabled Command Capability (NECC) system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require a report detailing the Department of Defense's (DOD) plans for consolidating the systems and technologies of the NECC program into the Global Command and Control System (GCCS) family of systems.

The conferees note the NECC program was originally intended to modernize DOD command and control systems, building them into a web-based, open architecture, interoperable set of systems that would better support joint warfighting operational capabilities. However, the NECC program has not managed to overcome significant technical, programmatic, and bureaucratic challenges and so is expected to be terminated or significantly restructured in the near future.

The conferees direct that the Department of Defense merge the NECC program and the GCCS family of systems and transition appropriate technologies from NECC into the GCCS family of systems. The conferees further expect that lessons learned from the attempted development of NECC be incorporated as DOD develops a plan of governance and development for next-generation command and control systems. The conferees note that the evolution of the GCCS family of systems into a more capable system may be best served using an incremental, spiral approach to modernizing the GCCS family of systems, deploying modular, operationally useful, and tested capabilities while moving towards a net-centric, web-based, standards-based service oriented architecture.

Since both the NECC program and the GCCS family of systems are currently undergoing significant restructuring, the conferees direct that the Department actively engage with the congressional defense committees during the development of the report required by this provision to ensure that the intent of the conferees is satisfied.

Evaluation of Extended Range Modular Sniper Rifle Systems (sec. 248)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 253) that would require the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology to conduct a comparative evaluation of extended range modular sniper rifles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would strike language making available unobligated balances from prior years' appropriations.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Enhancement of duties of Director of Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center with respect to the major range and test facility base (sec. 251)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 241) that would authorize the Director of the Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) to have access to all of the data and records he or she needs to make recommendations to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) on test resource issues.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 212) that would similarly authorize access to data and records, as well as authorizing the Director, TRMC to review changes to major test range funding before those changes are implemented.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees note that the intent of this provision is to facilitate the Director, TRMC's ability to conduct appropriate over-

sight and analysis of changes to the Major Range and Test Facility Base (MTRFB) made outside the existing budget review mechanisms, and to enhance the Director's access to information. It is not the conferees' intent to create a new administrative function so onerous that it detracts from the ability of the service testing organizations to accomplish their designated missions. The conferees' intent is to authorize the Director, TRMC to review and advise the USD(AT&L) on changes to test facilities and resources that would result in the inability to adequately test critical defense systems, or that would place an undue burden on programs or other elements of the MTRFB. The provision is not intended to convey new authority to the Director, TRMC to unilaterally restrict service or agency efforts to execute improvements or upgrades, or to improve operational efficiency.

Therefore, the conferees direct that the USD(AT&L) work in conjunction with the Director, TRMC and appropriate service and agency organizations to establish procedures under which the review processes and access to records and data authorized by this provision are not overly intrusive and do not place an undue burden, in terms of workload or funding, on service and agency personnel and resources.

Establishment of program to enhance participation of historically black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions in defense research programs (sec. 252)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 243) that would authorize a program to enhance participation of historically black colleges and minority-serving institutions in defense research programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the details of the program, modify the description of the types of institutions that could participate in the program, and authorize the Secretary of Defense, if so desired, to limit the participation of institutions that can successfully compete for research funding outside the program.

Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements (sec. 253)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 244) that would extend the authority for organizations within the Department of Defense to award prizes for advanced technology development.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 216).

The conference agreement includes the provision.

Authority for National Aeronautics and Space Administration federally funded research and development centers to participate in merit-based technology research and development programs (sec. 254)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 248) that would authorize federally funded research and development centers of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration respond to Department of Defense broad agency announcements for research funding opportunities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Next generation bomber aircraft (sec. 255)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 124) that would make a series of findings with respect to the next-generation bomber and that would declare that it is the policy of the United States to support a development program for next-generation bomber technologies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

F-35 and alternate propulsion system program

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 211) that would: (1) increase in funding for procurement of UH-1Y/AH-1Z rotary wing aircraft and for management reserves for the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter program; and (2) prohibit the obligation of funds authorized to be appropriated for development or procurement of an alternate propulsion system for the F-35 until the Secretary of Defense certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that development and procurement of the alternate propulsion system would: (a) reduce life cycle costs of the F-35; (b) improve operational readiness of the fleet of F-35 aircraft; (c) will not disrupt the F-35 research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) and procurement phases of the program; and (d) will not result in the procurement of fewer F-35 aircraft during the life cycle of the program.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 218) that would limit obligations for the F-35 RDT&E program to 75 percent until 15 days after the later of the dates on which: (1) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that all fiscal year 2010 funds for the F-35 competitive propulsion system have been obligated; (2) the Secretary of Defense submits the report on F/A-18 multiyear procurement costs required by section 123 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417); and (3) the Department submits the 30-year aircraft procurement plan required by section 231a of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 242) that would require the Secretary of Defense to include in annual budget requests submitted to the President, beginning in 2011, such amounts as are necessary for the full funding of continued development and procurement of a competitive propulsion system for the F-35.

Both the House and Senate recede from their respective provisions.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request for 30 F-35 aircraft in Aircraft Procurement, Navy, and Aircraft Procurement, Air Force. The conferees also agree to authorize an increase of a total of \$430.0 million in RDT&E, Navy, and RDT&E, Air Force for continued F136 engine development; and \$130.0 million in Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, for F136 engine procurement. The conferees expect that the Secretary of Defense will comply with the direction in section 213 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), and ensure that sufficient annual amounts are obligated and expended, in each fiscal year, for the continued development and procurement of two options for the F-35 propulsion system in order to ensure the development and competitive production of the F-35 propulsion system.

Restriction on obligation of funds pending submission of Selected Acquisition Report

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would restrict certain research and development accounts pending submission of comprehensive annual Selected Acquisition Reports for seven Army programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Integrated Air and Missile Defense system project

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would restrict the availability of fiscal year 2010 funds for the Army's Integrated Air and Missile Defense project until

the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that certain conditions have been met.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Systems engineering and prototyping program

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 221) that would establish a systems engineering and prototyping program in the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress reaffirming the requirement to thoroughly consider the role of ballistic missile defenses during the Quadrennial Defense Review and the Nuclear Posture Review

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 228) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should thoroughly consider the role of ballistic missile defenses during the Quadrennial Defense Review and the Nuclear Posture Review.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Executive agent for advanced energetics

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 245) that would have established an executive agent for advanced energetics within the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note the importance of advanced energetic materials in the development of next-generation defense capabilities. The conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to provide a report describing the plan and process it is using to address the concerns raised in the original House provision (sec. 245). The report should clearly indicate how the activities envisioned to be undertaken by the proposed executive agent are currently being executed with existing Departmental processes. The report should be provided to the congressional defense committees no later than October 1, 2010.

Study on thorium-liquid fueled reactors for Naval forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 246) that would have directed the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to carry out jointly a study on the use of thorium-liquid fueled nuclear reactors for naval propulsion.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that while there may be credible research initiatives to explore the use of molten salt reactors for commercial power generation, the use of molten salt reactors on naval vessels is not currently technically feasible and a requirement to perform a study on the use of molten salt reactors is premature. This is due to technology challenges with material construction (molten salt reactors are inherently corrosive to metals), storage of the liquid fuel, and radiation shielding for the crew from a non-solid fuel reactor. The conferees recommend that the Navy continue to monitor the progress of technology development in commercial application of molten salt reactors, including licensing, for potential future application.

Visiting National Institutes of Health Senior Neuroscience Fellowship Program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 247) that would establish a neuroscience fellowship program within the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Department of Defense to continue to support neuroscience research to support the development of military capabilities.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

BUDGET ITEM

Commercial imagery augmentation

The budget request included classified amounts in Operations and Maintenance, Defense-wide, in the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency budget to begin acquiring the equivalent capacity of two additional 1.1-meter electro-optical (EO) imaging satellites.

The House bill would authorize the Department of Defense (DOD) to implement the initiative as requested.

The Senate amendment would direct DOD to acquire the capacity of one 1.5-meter EO satellite in lieu of one of the two proposed 1.1-meter satellite equivalents "on a schedule keyed to the risks identified" by the Secretary of Defense in congressional testimony.

The conferees agree that DOD should consider obtaining the capabilities of 1.5-meter aperture EO satellites for multiple reasons: to hedge against the risk of gaps in national intelligence collection capabilities; to determine how well 1.5-meter EO satellites can meet imagery collection requirements; to better support combatant commander requirements for point-target and area imagery; and to ensure that U.S. industry retains world leadership in commercial remote sensing.

The conferees agree that the DOD should plan to acquire the capacity of one or more 1.5-meter satellites by the middle of the coming decade, consistent with the administration's plans for replenishment in the mid-decade timeframe, and with the estimates of the time needed to develop and construct 1.5-meter aperture satellites.

The conferees understand that fulfilling this plan would require that the fiscal year 2011 budget request include funding to begin the process of acquiring the capacity of a 1.5-meter aperture satellite. The conferees expect that it will take at least 60 months to deliver a 1.5-meter EO satellite (1 year of non-recurring development and 4 years to build the satellite).

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to acquire the capacity of at least one 1.5-meter aperture EO satellite on the schedule outlined above and provide this plan to the congressional defense and intelligence committees when the fiscal year 2011 budget request is submitted.

The conferees also agree on the need to review the existing limitation on the resolution of the imagery that the space commercial data providers (CDP) are allowed to sell commercially. The conferees agree that controls remain necessary on what targets the CDPs can image for commercial sale, but are skeptical that the current limit on resolution makes sense. The conferees direct that the Secretary of Defense request that the Office of Science and Technology Policy undertake an interagency review of current regulatory policy. The conferees further request that the administration provide the results of this review to the congressional intelligence and defense committees by June 1, 2010.

ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Navy depot maintenance

The conferees note that the budget request for ship maintenance would leave \$200.0 million in deferred maintenance in fiscal year

2010 for active and reserve ships at a time when it is questionable whether the Navy can sustain ship material readiness while serving as a key element of the Nation's strategic reserve force. The conferees also note that depot maintenance programs were identified by the Chief of Naval Operations as the sole priorities in the Navy's unfunded priority list for fiscal year 2010 that was submitted to the committee.

The conferees are very concerned that continued underfunding of these critical sustainment programs jeopardizes ship material readiness, reduces the service life of the fleet, drives up long-term sustainment costs, and increases strategic risk for the Nation. The conferees urge the Secretary of the Navy to fully resource ship depot maintenance requirements in the future.

While the House and Senate bills added funding to meet 100 percent of the Navy's ship depot maintenance requirement for fiscal year 2010, the conferees note that the House and Senate appropriations committees chose not to provide additional funding, leaving the conferees no option but to authorize at the appropriators' level or risk hollow budget authority. The conferees understand this decision was based on Government Accountability Office analysis of the Navy's historical execution of its ship depot maintenance budget.

Likewise, the conferees have provided additional funding for Navy aviation depot maintenance at the same level as the allowable appropriation but still short of the Navy's unfunded requirement.

The conferees urge the Secretary of the Navy to take action as necessary to demonstrate that the Navy can successfully execute its depot maintenance account to the fullest extent to ensure material readiness.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Operation and maintenance funding (sec. 301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301) that would authorize fiscal year 2010 funding levels for all operation and maintenance accounts.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 301).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Relation to funding table (sec. 302)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 301(b)) that would authorize funds in this title in accordance with the requirements of section 4001 and in the amounts specified in the funding table in section 4301.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions

Clarification of requirement for use of available funds for Department of Defense participation in conservation banking programs (sec. 311)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would clarify the authorization for use of funds for Department of Defense participation in conservation banking programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Reauthorization of title I of Sikes Act (sec. 312)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 312) that would reauthorize title I of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670f).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that extends the authorization through fiscal year 2014.

Authority of secretary of a military department to enter into interagency agreements for land management on Department of Defense installations (sec. 313)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to enter into interagency agreements for land management on Department of Defense installations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reauthorization of pilot program for invasive species management for military installations in Guam (sec. 314)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would reauthorize the pilot program for invasive species management for military installations in Guam.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that extends the program through fiscal year 2014.

Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site, Suffolk, Virginia (sec. 315)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Former Nansemond Ordnance Depot Site, Suffolk, Virginia.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 311).

The Senate recedes.

Procurement and use of munitions (sec. 316)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 316) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop methods to account for the full life-cycle costs of munitions, undertake a review of live-fire practices for the purpose of reducing unexploded ordnance and munitions-constituent contamination, and to submit to Congress a report on the methods developed pursuant to this section and recommendations for reducing life-cycle costs of munitions, unexploded ordnance, and munitions-constituent contamination.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits (sec. 317)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 317) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prohibit the disposal of certain waste in open-air burn pits during contingency operations lasting longer than 1 year. The provision would also require the Secretary to issue regulations and to provide a report to Congress.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require: (1) the Secretary to issue regulations that prohibit the disposal of certain waste in open-air burn pits during contingency operations except in circumstances in which the Secretary determines that no alternative disposal method is feasible; (2) notification to congressional defense committees when the Secretary determines that no alternative disposal method is feasible; and (3) a report on the use of open-air burn pits by the United States armed forces. For purposes of this provision, "waste" is defined as: (1) hazardous waste as defined by the Solid Waste Disposal Act; (2) medical waste; and (3) other waste as designated by the Secretary.

Military munitions response sites (sec. 318)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 318) that would require the Secretary of De-

fense to specify in the annual budget submission to Congress the funding levels requested for the Military Munitions Response Program and the Installation Restoration Program. The provision would also clarify that the inventories required by section 2710(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, must include identification of sites by county and would require additional information in the Defense Environmental Program's annual report to Congress.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Workplace and Depot Issues

Public-private competition required before conversion of any Department of Defense function performed by civilian employees to contractor performance (sec. 321)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would eliminate the de minimis standard from section 2461 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 323A). The conference agreement includes this provision.

Time limitation on duration of public-private competitions (sec. 322)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would establish an 18-month time limitation on public-private competitions, starting with the date on which preliminary planning begins.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 323B) that would establish a time limitation of 30 months for single function activities and 36 months for multi-function activities.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish a time limitation of 24 months, while providing the Secretary of Defense with the flexibility to extend the time for a competition (up to specified limits) in certain circumstances.

Policy regarding installation of major modifications and upgrades (sec. 323)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would amend section 2460 of title 10, United States Code, to include the installation of major modifications and upgrades to major weapon systems in the definition of depot-level maintenance and repair.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would express the Sense of Congress that no changes should be made to: (1) the Department of Defense policy that in the annual allocation of depot-level maintenance and repair work under section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, the installation of major modifications and upgrades are considered to be part of the definition of depot-level maintenance; and (2) the interpretation and application of that policy as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

Modification of authority for Army industrial facilities to engage in cooperative activities with non-Army entities (sec. 324)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 324) that would modify and clarify the authority of the Army to enter in cooperative activities with non-Army entities.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 321).

The House recedes.

Temporary suspension of public-private competitions for conversion of Department of Defense functions to performance by a contractor (sec. 325)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 327) that would suspend for 3 years the authority of the Secretary of Defense to initiate public-private competitions for the

conversion of Department of Defense functions to contractor performance.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 323) that would suspend such authority until the Secretary of Defense certifies that the Department of Defense is in compliance with the requirements of section 2330a of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would suspend the authority to initiate public-private competitions until the Secretary certifies that the Department is in compliance with certain statutory requirements. Based on the timing of a required review and report, the earliest date on which this certification could be made would be October 15, 2010.

Requirement for debriefings related to conversion of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor (sec. 326)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 328) that would require that federal employee representatives receive pre- and post-award debriefings in any case where a public-private competition results in the conversion of a function from performance by federal employees to performance by a contractor.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment clarifying that such debriefings will be available: (1) to federal employee representatives designated pursuant to section 3551(2)(B) of title 31, United States Code; and (2) to the same extent and under the same circumstances as such debriefings would be available to an offeror in such a competition.

Amendments to bid protest procedures by Federal employees and agency officials in conversions of functions from performance by Federal employees to performance by a contractor (sec. 327)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 329) that would clarify language in section 3551 of title 31, United States Code, addressing bid protests filed on behalf of federal employees.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment deleting language that would have expanded standing in bid protests.

Improvement of inventory management practices (sec. 328)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 322) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a comprehensive plan for improving its inventory management systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of date for submittal to Congress of annual report on funding for public and private performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads (sec. 329)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 325) that would amend section 2466(d)(1) of title 10, United States Code, by replacing April 1 of each year with 90 days after the date on which the budget of the President for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Authorization of appropriations for Director of Operational Energy (sec. 331)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would authorize \$5.0 million for the Office of the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 334).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension and expansion of reporting requirements regarding Department of Defense energy efficiency programs (sec. 332)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 332) that would expand Department of Defense reporting requirements regarding energy efficiencies, energy projects and investments, best practices, and recommendations on areas which could improve.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on implementation of Comptroller General recommendations on fuel demand management at forward-deployed locations (sec. 333)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 332) that would require the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs of the Department of Defense (DOD) to submit a report on the implementation of the recommendations made by the Comptroller General in their report entitled, "Increased Attention on Fuel Demand Management at DOD's Forward-Deployed Locations Could Reduce Operational Risks and Costs."

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on use of renewable fuels to meet energy requirements of Department of Defense (sec. 334)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 333) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to consider renewable fuels and to assess and report on the use of renewable fuels in aviation, maritime, and ground transportation fleets.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Energy security on Department of Defense installations (sec. 335)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 331) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for identifying and addressing areas in which the electricity needed to carry out military missions on Department of Defense installations is vulnerable to disruption.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) clarify that the Secretary should consider cost effectiveness in developing the required strategy; and (2) delete a paragraph providing the Secretary with contracting authority to achieve the purposes of the section. The conferees conclude that the Secretary already has broad contracting authority to execute projects that support the national defense.

Subtitle E—Reports

Annual report on procurement of military working dogs (sec. 341)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would require an annual report on the procurement of military working dogs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would sunset the reporting requirement after 5 years and clarify the contents of the report.

The conferees note that the provision would require that certain information be provided with regard to working dogs provided by different sources. The conferees expect that report will identify each source by State or country.

Plan for managing vegetative encroachment at training ranges (sec. 342)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 342) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the extent to which vegetation and overgrowth limits the use of military land for training, to identify the installations impacted by overgrowth, to provide a plan to address the constraints caused by vegetation, and to provide updates to the plan, as necessary. The Senate provision would have required this information be included in the Department of Defense's annual sustainable range report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make the report a single report separate from the Department of Defense's sustainable range report.

Comptroller General report on the sustainment strategy for the AV-8B Harrier aircraft (sec. 343)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 325) that would require a report and cost-benefit analysis on the planned maintenance internal events and concurrent modifications performed on the AV-8B Harrier weapon system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Comptroller General to conduct a review of the sustainment strategy and produce the accompanying report.

Study on Army modularity (sec. 344)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 341) that would require the Secretary of Defense to contract for an independent study on the current and planned modularity structures of the Army.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Authority for airlift transportation at Department of Defense rates for non-Department of Defense Federal cargoes (sec. 351)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to charge other Federal Government agencies the same rate for airlift services as the Department charges internal Department of Defense customers, whenever the Secretary determines that such action would promote efficiency and would not have any negative effect on national security objectives.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms (sec. 352)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Defense Logistics Agency, to require that future ground combat uniforms be standardized in order to ensure increased interoperability of ground combat forces, and reduce tactical risks encountered when military personnel wear a different uniform from their counterparts in the other military services in a combat area.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish, as policy of the United States, that the design and fielding of all future ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms of the armed forces may uniquely reflect the identity of the individual military services, provided that the ground combat and utility uniforms, to the maximum extent practicable provide members of every

service an equivalent level of performance, functionality, and protection commensurate with their respective assigned combat missions, minimize the risk to the individual, and provide interoperability with other components of individual war fighter systems. The Senate amendment would direct the Comptroller General to conduct an assessment of the ground combat uniforms and camouflage utility uniforms currently in use in the Department of Defense, and report within 180 days of enactment of this Act. The Senate amendment would also require the Secretaries of the military departments, consistent with their title 10 authority over acquisition matters, to establish joint criteria for future ground combat uniforms.

The conferees note that this section is not intended to impair or reduce the authority of special operations forces under section 167 of this title to design and employ combat uniforms to meet their specific mission requirements. However, the conferees believe that the technological advances and improvements made in support of special operations forces uniform requirements should be shared across the Department for incorporation in other uniforms when appropriate and cost-effective.

The conferees note that Department of Defense Instruction (DODI) 4140.63, dated August 5, 2008, establishes the Joint Clothing and Textiles Governance Board and assigns the Director, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) as chair of the Board. The conferees also note that DODI 4140.63 prescribes that the Director, DLA is responsible to "ensure collaboration and DoD-wide integration of clothing and textile activities" and shall "participate as an advisor on joint Service boards and committees established to facilitate research, development and inter-service product standardization opportunities". In establishing the joint criteria for future ground combat uniforms, the conferees expect that the Secretaries of the military departments will collaborate with the Director, DLA.

Condition-based maintenance demonstration programs (sec. 353)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 355) that would authorize the Secretaries of the Army and Navy to conduct 12-month condition-based maintenance (CBM) demonstration programs on specific tactical wheeled vehicle systems and on four systems or components of the guided missile destroyer class of surface combatant ships.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize CBM demonstration programs on selected tactical wheeled vehicles and selected systems or components of surface combatant ships, such as guided missile destroyers. The Senate amendment would allow the services flexibility in the selection of systems which include on-board diagnostic systems suitable to such a program, would promote open architecture, and would ensure competition and best value to the Department of Defense.

Extension of arsenal support program initiative (sec. 354)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 357) that would extend the Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) for an additional year pending the findings of a comprehensive depot study which will assess a wide range of manufacturing activities to include ASPI.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 324).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees remain concerned that cost savings to the Army have not been significant and encourage the Army to explore the

use of other existing and readily available authorities to accomplish the same goals as ASPI.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Exception to alternative fuel procurement requirement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 335) that would amend section 526 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-140) to specify that federal agencies are not prohibited from entering into contracts to purchase generally-available fuels that are not alternative or synthetic fuels or predominantly produced from nonconventional petroleum sources in certain circumstances.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that section 526 was not intended to preclude the Department of Defense from purchasing the fuel that it needs for national defense from the generally-available fuel supply. The conferees believe that clarification would be helpful and that such clarification should take place in the context of pending energy or climate change legislation.

Termination of certain public-private competitions for conversion of Department of Defense functions to performance by a contractor

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 326) that would halt all ongoing public-private competitions being conducted by the Department of Defense pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76, and establish a review and approval process for recommending such competitions.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 323) that would terminate public-private competitions that exceed certain time limitations.

The conference agreement does not include either provision.

Defense Science Board review of alternative fuel goals and certification activities

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 333) that would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to continue alternative aviation fuel initiatives with specific goals, and would require a notification to Congress if the goals were adjusted. The provision would direct the Army, Navy, and Air Force to submit annual reports on goals and progress to research, test, and certify the use of alternative fuels in their respective aircraft fleets. The provision would also direct the Defense Science Board to assess the feasibility and advisability of achieving the alternative fuel goals.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would establish a goal for the Department of Defense to procure 25 percent of the total quantity of aviation fuel consumed by the Department in the contiguous United States from renewable aviation fuel sources in fiscal year 2025 and each subsequent fiscal year.

Both the Senate and House recede.

The conferees direct the Defense Science Board to report to the Secretary of Defense and to the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs, not later than February 1, 2011, on the alternative fuel certification efforts of the military services. The report shall include a review and comparison of the military services' existing alternative fuel goals and alternative fuel certification activities, including a comparison of the different types of alternative fuels being considered by each service, an assessment of the technological and economic achievability of the services' current goals, a review of the role of renewable fuels in the services' alternative fuel strategies and a detailed summary of resources being applied to renewable fuels as compared with non-renewable alternatives, an assessment of the military utility of military goals for domestic alternative fuel use, an assessment of the military utility of technologies that reduce fuel consumption by forward-deployed forces, consideration of the environmental impacts of the different types of alternative fuels under consideration or use by each of the services in comparison with fuels from conventional petroleum sources, an assessment of the advisability of the services' current alternative fuel goals, and recommendations for the Department of Defense and military services relating to fuel use in the future.

Report on status of Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 343) that would require a report from the Secretary of Defense on the status and readiness of the Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Restriction on use of funds for counterthreat finance efforts

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 353) that would require the Secretary of Defense to limit Department of Defense (DOD) financial support of counterthreat finance (CTF) efforts to only those activities carried out by DOD personnel and supporting DOD contract personnel until a report is provided to the congressional defense committees describing the nature, extent, and expected future cost requirements associated with the mission.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees, however, direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a classified report to the congressional defense committees 120 days after the enactment of this Act outlining each counterthreat finance activity currently being conducted by the Department of Defense, including the defense intelligence agencies, and including those efforts the Department may be a part of but for which other government agencies may be the lead. The outline of each program should in-

clude a description of the activity, the component of the Department leading the activity, the level of funding and manpower, the source of funding, the authority under which the activity is being conducted, and, if applicable, other government agencies involved in the activity. The report should also include counter threat finance challenges, if any, related to funding, authorities, interagency issues, and any other matters deemed appropriate by the Secretary.

Limitation on obligation of funds pending submission of classified justification material

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 354) that would limit the obligation of funds authorized to be appropriated for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for budget activity four, line 270, until classified justification material is provided to Congress.

Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Study on distribution of hemostatic agents

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 356) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the distribution of hemostatic agents to service members serving in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would authorize the following end strengths for active-duty personnel of the armed forces as of September 30, 2010: Army, 547,400; Navy, 328,800; Marine Corps, 202,100; and Air Force, 331,700.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 401).

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize an active-duty end strength for the Army of 562,400.

The conferees remain concerned about the stress on all of the services, but most particularly the Army and the Marine Corps. To help ease this stress, the conferees support the President's request for increased active-duty end strengths for all components, totaling over 55,000 more active-duty service members than authorized in 2009. After passage of the House and the Senate bills, the administration submitted a budget amendment to Congress that proposed additional Army active-duty end strength of 15,000, funded out of 2010 overseas contingency operation funds. In light of the budget amendment, and the continued stress on the Army, the conferees believe the Army should be authorized the additional end strength requested by the President.

End strength levels for the active forces for fiscal year 2010 are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2009 authorized	FY 2010		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2010 request	FY 2009 authorized
Army	532,400	562,400	562,400	0	30,000
Navy	326,323	328,800	328,800	0	2,477
Marine Corps	194,000	202,100	202,100	0	8,100
Air Force	317,050	331,700	331,700	0	14,650
DOD Total	1,369,773	1,425,000	1,425,000	0	55,227

Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels (sec. 402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would establish the following minimum end strengths for active-duty per-

sonnel as of September 30, 2010: Army, 547,400; Navy, 328,800; Marine Corps, 202,100; and Air Force 331,700.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Minimum end strength levels for active forces are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2009 authorized	FY 2010 Recommendation	Change from FY 2009
Army	532,400	547,400	15,000
Navy	325,300	328,800	3,500
Marine Corps	194,000	202,100	8,100
Air Force	317,050	331,700	14,650
DOD Total	1,368,750	1,410,000	41,250

Additional authority for increases of Army active-duty end strengths for fiscal years 2011 and 2012 (sec. 403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 403) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to increase the Army's active-duty end strength by 30,000 over the fiscal year 2010 level during fiscal years 2011 and 2012 provided the Secretary included the cost of such increases in the annual budget request for those fiscal years.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 402) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to increase the active-duty end strength of the Army by 30,000 over the

fiscal year 2010 level during fiscal year 2010 provided that the Secretary funded the increase through Department of Defense reserve funds or an emergency supplemental, and in fiscal years 2011 and 2012 provided the Secretary included the costs of such increases in the annual budget request for those fiscal years.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize the following end strengths for Selected Reserve personnel, in-

cluding the end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves as of September 30, 2010: the Army National Guard of the United States, 358,200; the Army Reserve, 205,000; the Navy Reserve, 65,500; the Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 106,700; the Air Force Reserve, 69,500; and the Coast Guard Reserve, 10,000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 411).

The Senate recedes.

End strength levels for the Selected Reserve are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2009 authorized	FY 2010		Change from	
		Request	Conferee recommendation	FY 2010 request	FY 2009 authorized
Army National Guard	352,600	358,200	358,200	0	5,600
Army Reserve	205,000	205,000	205,000	0	0
Navy Reserve	66,700	65,500	65,500	0	-1,200
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,600	39,600	0	0
Air National Guard	106,756	106,700	106,700	0	-56
Air Force Reserve	67,400	69,500	69,500	0	2,100
Coast Guard Reserve	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0
DOD Total	838,056	844,500	844,500	0	6,444

End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserves (sec. 412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize the following end strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserve components as of September 30, 2010: the Army National Guard of

the United States, 32,060; the Army Reserve, 16,261; the Navy Reserve, 10,818; the Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261; the Air National Guard of the United States, 14,555; and the Air Force Reserve, 2,896.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 412).

The conference agreement includes the provision.

End strength levels for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2009 authorized	FY 2010		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2010 request	FY 2009 authorized
Army National Guard	32,060	32,060	32,060	0	0
Army Reserve	16,170	16,261	16,261	0	91
Navy Reserve	11,099	10,818	10,818	0	-281
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,261	2,261	0	0
Air National Guard	14,360	14,555	14,555	0	195
Air Force Reserve	2,733	2,896	2,896	0	163
DOD Total	78,683	78,851	78,851	0	168

End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2010: the Army Re-

serve, 8,395; the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210; the Air Force Reserve, 10,417; and the Air National Guard of the United States, 22,313.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 413).

The conference agreement includes the provision.

End strength levels for military technicians (dual status) are set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2009 authorized	FY 2010		Change from	
		Request	Recommendation	FY 2010 request	FY 2009 authorized
Army Reserve	8,395	8,154	8,395	241	0
Army National Guard	27,210	26,901	27,210	309	0
Air Force Reserve	10,003	10,417	10,417	0	414
Air National Guard	22,452	22,313	22,313	0	-139
DOD Total	68,060	67,785	68,335	550	275

Fiscal year 2010 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians (sec. 414)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 414) that would establish the following maximum end strengths for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force for non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2010: the Army National Guard of the United

States, 2,191; the Air National Guard of the United States, 350; the Army Reserve, 595; and the Air Force Reserve, 90.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 414) that would establish a maximum end strength for the Army National Guard for non-dual status technicians of 1,600.

The House recedes.

The conferees understand that the operational tempo for the reserve components has increased during the current conflicts, and that higher tempo in turn necessitates higher numbers of full-time support personnel to support the reserve components. Consequently, Congress has acted in recent

years to increase the number of Army full-time support personnel, including military technicians. In some cases, Congress has authorized more full-time support personnel than was requested by the administration. The conferees understand that the Army continues to assess their temporary and permanent full-time support requirements and is working on a comprehensive study that will address its needs.

Under a Presidential waiver of end strength limitations, the Army National Guard's non-dual status technician population is now over 3,000 strong, despite the 1,600 cap on permanent end strength. As such, the conferees feel it is prudent to wait for the result of the full-time support report, as well as the report on non-dual status technician requirements found elsewhere in this Act, before increasing the permanent cap. The purpose of the Presidential waiver authority is precisely to satisfy short-term emergency needs. In light of the reports still outstanding and the current strength of the Army National Guard's non-dual status technician population under the Presidential waiver, the conferees feel that the permanent cap of 1,600 remains sufficient for fiscal year 2010.

Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 415) that would authorize the maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2010 to provide operational support.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 415).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Submission of options for creation of trainees, transients, holdees, and students account for the Army National Guard (sec. 416)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 416) that would require the Secretary of the Army to report to the congressional defense committees on options for the creation of a trainee, transients, holdees, and students (TTHS) account within the Army National Guard.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 416).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on requirements of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians (sec. 417)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 511) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act on the roles, duties, and requirements for non-dual status technicians in the National Guard.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add to the report elements a description of the demands for non-dual status technicians given current operational tempo and a description of the current and anticipated demands of the National Guard for non-dual status technicians as a result of the evolution of the National Guard into an operational force.

Expansion of authority of secretaries of the military departments to increase certain end strengths to include Selected Reserve end strengths (sec. 418)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 417) that would amend section

115(g) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the secretaries of the military departments to increase their authorized Selected Reserve end strengths by up to 2 percent.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations Military personnel (sec. 421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would authorize appropriations for military personnel.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 421).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The following are the changes from the budget request for the military personnel accounts:

<i>[Changes in millions of dollars]</i>	
Increase in military pay raise	351.0
Post Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence Program	59.0
Mental health assessments	3.0
Substance abuse study	1.5
Critical and Strategic Languages Program	5.0
Limitations on collections	15.0
Army additional recruitment incentives	5.0
Mental health HPSP scholarships	20.0
Community support for families with special needs	50.0
Family Supplemental Subsistence Allowance	0.5
Psychology officer	0.2
Reimbursement for exceptional travel for medical benefits	10.0
Reduction of unobligated military personnel balances	-520.2
Total	0.0

Repeal of delayed one-time shift of military retirement payments (sec. 422)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 422) that would repeal section 1002 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), which required a one-time delay in military retirement payments from September 1, 2013, to October 1, 2013.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

Grade of Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (sec. 501)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 503) that would amend section 156(c) of title 10, United States Code, to require that an officer appointed to serve as Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff be appointed in the regular grade of brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of limitations on general and flag officers on active duty (sec. 502)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend sections 525, 526, and 721 of title 10, United States Code, to implement section 506 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) to modify the distribution and authorized end strengths of general and flag officers on active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add a requirement that the Secretary of Defense submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth an assessment of: (1) the provisions of title 10, United States Code, that exclude commissioned officers of the armed forces on active duty in general and flag officer grades from the limitations on the authorized strengths of general and flag officers; (2) whether the authorized numbers of general and flag officers in active status under section 12004(a) of title 10, United States Code, are adequate to provide the reserve components a sufficient number of general and flag officers on active status in order to meet increased authorizations for active duty service and provide these officers with appropriate opportunities for joint responsibility and joint officer development; and (3) whether the requirements for general and flag officer positions resulting from recommendations for statutory authority to specify the grade of the Chief of the Navy Dental Corps, the Chief and Deputy Chief of Chaplains in the Air Force, the Chief of the Army Medical Specialist Corps, and the establishment of the Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau are necessary in light of recent legislative modifications of applicable provisions of law.

Revisions to annual report requirement on joint officer management (sec. 503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would amend section 667 of title 10, United States Code, to align the reporting requirement on joint officer management with joint programs and policies of the Department of Defense, and remove the requirement to report on the joint qualifications of critical occupational specialty officers and the analysis of assignments of officers after designation as joint qualified officers.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 502).

The Senate recedes.

The conferees believe that the limitation contained in section 668(b)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code, that excludes assignments as instructor at joint professional military education Phase I courses from the joint duty assignment list may be inappropriate and could negatively impact the quality of instructors. The conferees intend to address this issue in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 with the goal of improving instructor quality.

Extension of temporary increase in maximum number of days leave members may accumulate and carryover (sec. 504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would extend to December 31, 2012, the temporary authority for service members to accumulate and carryover 75 days of leave from one fiscal year to the next.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would extend the temporary authority to September 30, 2013.

Computation of retirement eligibility for enlisted members of the Navy who complete the Seaman to Admiral (STA-21) officer candidate program (sec. 505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 503) that would amend section 6328 of title 10,

United States Code, to exclude from years of service for retirement purposes the months of active service spent in pursuit of a baccalaureate degree under the Seaman to Admiral (STA-21) program of the Navy of officer candidates selected after January 1, 2011.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit this provision to officer candidates selected for this program after the date of enactment of this Act and allow this service to be included in computing retirement eligibility for officers subject to involuntary separation or retirement due to disability.

Independent review of judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy (sec. 506)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 541) that would establish an independent panel to review the judge advocate requirements of the Department of the Navy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—General Service Authorities

Continuation on active duty of reserve component members during physical disability evaluation following mobilization and deployment (sec. 511)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 654) that would amend section 1218 of title 10, United States Code, to require retention on active duty of reserve component members following mobilization and deployment to an area in which imminent danger pay is authorized until completion of any required physical or mental disability evaluation unless the member requests termination of active duty. The provision would require counseling of members who request termination of active duty about the consequences of such action.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Medical examination required before administrative separation of members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury (sec. 512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend chapter 59 of title 10, United States Code, to require a medical examination of a member who has been deployed overseas in support of a contingency operation to evaluate a diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury before the member may be involuntarily separated under conditions other than honorable. The provision would also amend section 1553 of title 10, United States Code, to require that a discharge review board render a decision within 6 months of receipt of an application for relief and include in its membership a physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist when reviewing a discharge or dismissal of a former member of the armed forces who, while a member, was deployed in support of a contingency operation and has been diagnosed as experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that would require discharge review boards to accord applications for relief based in whole or in part on matters relating to post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury sufficient priority, based on medical and humanitarian circumstances, to expedite a final decision. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense,

not later than 240 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the detailed procedures and policies used to implement this provision.

Legal assistance for additional reserve component members (sec. 513)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 598) that would amend section 1044(a)(4) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the service secretary, rather than the Secretary of Defense, to prescribe the mobilization authority and period of active duty necessary to authorize legal assistance to members of reserve components following release from active duty under a call or order to active duty for more than 30 days.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Limitation on scheduling of mobilization or pre-mobilization training for reserve units when certain suspension of training is likely (sec. 514)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 633) that would authorize travel and transportation allowances for reserve component service members on active duty for more than 30 days to travel from a temporary duty station to their permanent duty station and back again when training is suspended at the temporary duty station for a period of 5 days or more.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would direct the secretaries of the military departments to avoid scheduling mobilization or pre-mobilization training for a unit of a reserve component at a temporary duty location outside the normal commuting distance of the unit if a suspension of training of at least 5 days is anticipated during such training. The amendment would authorize the secretary concerned to waive the applicability of this limitation when the secretary determines it is in the national security interests of the United States to do so. Finally, the amendment would require the secretary concerned to notify the congressional defense committees when such waivers are granted or when unanticipated suspensions of training occur.

Evaluation of test of utility of test preparation guides and education programs in improving qualifications of recruits for the Armed Forces (sec. 515)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would amend section 546(d) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) to clarify that the evaluation of job performance required to complete the test of the utility of using test preparation guides to improve the qualification test scores of new recruits will be derived from existing sources, including performance ratings, separations, promotions, awards and decorations, and reenlistment statistics.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on presence in the Armed Forces of members associated or affiliated with groups engaged in prohibited activities (sec. 516)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would amend section 504 of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit the recruitment, enlistment, or retention in the armed forces of a person associated or affiliated with a group associated with hate-related violence against groups or persons or the United States Government. The provision would also require a report to the Committees on Armed Service of the Senate and the

House of Representatives on the presence in the armed forces of members associated or affiliated with a group associated with hate-related violence and describing actions to discharge such members and describing actions to prevent such persons from enlisting in the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Attorney General, to submit a report not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act on active participation by members of the armed forces in prohibited activities as defined by Department of Defense Directive 1325.6, and the policies of the Department of Defense to prevent individuals who are active participants in such prohibited activities from enlisting in the armed forces.

Subtitle C—Education and Training

Detail of commissioned officers as students at schools of psychology (sec. 521)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 523) that would amend chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the secretary of each military department to detail up to 25 commissioned officers each year as students at accredited schools of psychology for training leading to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in clinical psychology.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Appointment of persons enrolled in Advanced Course of the Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps at military junior colleges as cadets in Army Reserve or Army National Guard of the United States (sec. 522)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend section 2107a(h) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 17 to 22 the number of cadets at each of the military junior colleges who may be enrolled in the financial assistance program for specially selected members as cadets in the Army Reserve and Army National Guard of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Expansion of criteria for appointment as member of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 523)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 522) that would amend section 2113a(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize appointment of individuals with experience in the fields of health care, higher education administration, or public policy as members of the Board of Regents of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Use of Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Program to increase number of health professionals with skills to assist in providing mental health care (sec. 524)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 535) that would amend sections 2121 and 2124 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the authorized number of Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Program scholarships from 6,000 to 6,300 and to require that a portion of the scholarships be allocated for social work, clinical psychology, psychiatry, and other disciplines that contribute to mental health care programs of the military departments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove a funding limitation of \$20.0 million.

Department of Defense undergraduate nurse training program (sec. 525)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a School of Nursing within the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a School of Nursing and to enter into agreements with one or more academic institutions to establish and operate an undergraduate nurse training program under which participants would earn a nursing degree and serve as a member of a uniformed service. The amendment would also require submission of a plan to establish an undergraduate nurse training program to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. This plan must provide for the establishment of a pilot program to increase the number of nurses in the armed forces.

The conferees acknowledge the need for additional nurse officers in the military services and intend that the Department of Defense pursue the most cost-effective option for increasing the number of military nurses. The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to incorporate as many of the programs listed in section 955(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) as possible. The conferees note the discussions between the Department of Defense and Texas A&M Health Science Center referenced on page 35 of the report to Congress required by section 955(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181).
Increase in number of private sector civilians authorized for admission to National Defense University (sec. 526)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would amend section 2167 of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 10 to 20 the maximum number of private sector employees who work in organizations relevant to national security who may be authorized admission to the professional military education program at the National Defense University.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Appointments to military service academies from nominations made by Delegate from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (sec. 527)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 533) that would amend sections 4342(a)(10), 6954(a)(10), and 9342(a)(10) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 1 to 2 the number of cadets or midshipmen appointed to each military service academy from nominations made by the Delegate from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Athletic association for the Air Force Academy (sec. 528)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 537) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to establish a nonprofit corporation, to be known as the Air Force Academy Athletic Association, to support the athletic programs of the Air Force Academy.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 524).

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees are mindful that the United States Military Academy and the United States Naval Academy have benefited for many years from their working relationship with the Army Athletic Association and Naval Academy Athletic Association respectively. The conferees expect the Secretary of the Army and Secretary of the Navy to provide their assessment of the need for additional legislation regarding their respective athletic associations in view of this provision.

Language training centers for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense (sec. 529)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 534) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program to establish at least three language training centers at accredited universities, senior military colleges, or other similar institutions of higher education to create the foundational critical and strategic language and regional area expertise for members of the armed forces, including reserve component members and Reserve Officers' Training Corps candidates, and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out a program to establish language training centers at accredited universities, senior military colleges, or other similar institutions of higher education for the purposes of accelerating the development of foundational expertise in critical and strategic languages and regional area studies for members of the armed forces, including reserve component members and Reserve Officers' Training Corps candidates, and civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle D—Defense Dependents' Education Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 531)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would authorize \$50.0 million for continuation of the Department of Defense (DOD) assistance program to local educational agencies that are impacted by enrollment of dependent children of military members and DOD civilian employees. This provision would also authorize \$15.0 million for assistance to local educational agencies with significant changes in enrollment of school-aged dependents of military members and civilian employees due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 531) that would authorize \$30.0 million and \$10.0 million for each assistance program, respectively.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize \$30.0 million for continuation of assistance to agencies impacted by enrollment of DOD military and civilian employee dependents, and \$14.0 million for assistance to agencies with significant changes in enrollment of children due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 532)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 532) that would authorize \$5.0 million in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for impact aid payments for children with disabilities under section 8003(d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(d)), using the formula set forth in section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence

National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398), for continuation of the Department of Defense's assistance to local educational agencies that benefit dependents with severe disabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Two-year extension of authority for assistance to local educational agencies with enrollment changes due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations (sec. 533)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 533) that would extend for 2 years, from September 30, 2010, to September 30, 2012, the authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide financial assistance to local educational agencies with enrollment changes due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Authority to extend eligibility for enrollment in Department of Defense elementary and secondary schools to certain additional categories of dependents (sec. 534)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 538) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enroll in a Department of Defense education program a dependent not otherwise eligible for enrollment who is the dependent of a member of a foreign armed force residing on a military installation in the United States, or a dependent of a deceased service member who died in the line of duty in a combat-related operation, as designated by the Secretary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying that this provision would authorize enrollment only at Department of Defense schools.

Permanent authority for enrollment in defense dependents' education system of dependents of foreign military members assigned to Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe (sec. 535)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would make permanent the temporary authority provided to the Secretary of Defense in section 571 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) to enroll on a space-required, tuition-free basis a limited number of dependents of foreign military members who are assigned to the Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe, in the Department of Defense dependents' education system in Mons, Belgium.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 534).

The Senate recedes.

Determination of number of weighted student units for local educational agencies for receipt of basic support payments under impact aid (sec. 536)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Public Law 89-10) to change the requisite number of federally connected children that attend area schools daily in order for a school district to receive impact aid from 6,500 to 5,000 students.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Study on options for educational opportunities for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces when public schools attended by such children are determined to need improvement (sec. 537)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 535) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, to conduct a study on options for educational opportunities that are, or may be, available for dependent children of members of the armed forces who do not attend Department of Defense dependents' schools when the public elementary and secondary schools are determined to be in need of improvement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would remove vouchers from the options to be studied.

Comptroller General audit of assistance to local educational agencies for dependent children of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 538)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 537) that would require the Comptroller General to conduct an audit of the utilization by local educational agencies of Department of Defense supplemental impact aid assistance provided to support the education of dependent children of service members.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would expand the list of impact aid statutes to be assessed by the Comptroller General.

Sense of Congress on the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children (sec. 539)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 536) that would express the sense of the Senate to endorse the Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children developed by the Council of State Governments, in cooperation with the Department of Defense, commend States that have successfully enacted it, and encourage all remaining States to enact the Interstate Compact.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees acknowledge that incongruous State requirements for school enrollment, eligibility, placement, and graduation create unique challenges for military families whose school-aged children move, on average, six to nine times between kindergarten and high school graduation. The conferees believe that enactment of the Interstate Compact, which includes development of State councils to provide for coordination among government agencies and military installations, will improve educational opportunities and support for military school-aged children.

Subtitle E—Missing or Deceased Persons

Additional requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing in conflicts occurring before enactment of new system for accounting for missing persons (sec. 541)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would amend section 1509 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to implement a comprehensive and fully resourced program to account for missing persons from all conflicts beginning with World War II. The provision would expand the prisoner of war/missing in action (POW/MIA) community to include other elements of the Department which are involved

in the accounting for and recovery of missing persons, such as the Defense Intelligence Agency's Stony Beach program. Finally, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense to take necessary measures to ensure that the number of missing persons annually accounted for increases to 200 by 2015 and 350 by 2020.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure adequate resources are provided to the POW/MIA accounting community necessary to account for 200 persons annually by 2015. The conferees understand that accounting for 200 persons annually by 2015 represents a significant increase from the current accounting effort. Given that more than 80,000 service members remain missing from the Nation's conflicts from World War II to the present, and that achieving the fullest possible accounting of those missing has been a long-standing national priority, the conferees believe that the Department should make every effort to increase the number of persons accounted for annually. As accounting efforts become more difficult with the passage of time, the conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to increase annual accounting to 350 by 2020.

Policy and procedures on media access and attendance by family members at ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains of members of the Armed Forces who die overseas (sec. 542)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would codify the Department of Defense policy on media access at ceremonies for the dignified transfer of remains from a theater of combat operations to Dover Air Force Base.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe a policy not later than April 1, 2010, guaranteeing media access at ceremonies conducted for the dignified transfer of remains of members who die while located or serving overseas when approved by the military decedent's primary next of kin. The amendment would also amend section 411f of title 37, United States Code, to authorize service secretaries to provide round trip transportation to primary next of kin and family members of a service member who dies while located or serving overseas.

Report on expansion of authority of a member to designate persons to direct disposition of the remains of a deceased member (sec. 543)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on the potential effects of expanding the list of persons under section 1482(c) of title 10, United States Code, eligible to be designated as the person authorized to direct disposition of remains to persons who are not family members of the deceased.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Sense of Congress on the recovery of the remains of members of the Armed Forces who were killed during World War II in the battle of Tarawa Atoll (sec. 544)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 564) that would express the sense of the Congress reaffirming its support for the recovery of remains of service members killed in all wars, recognizing the courage and sacrifice of the members of the armed forces who fought on Tarawa Atoll, acknowledging the dedicated research and efforts undertaken to

identify and locate remains from Tarawa Atoll, and encouraging the Department of Defense to review its research and, if appropriate, pursue new efforts to increase the recovery of remains from Tarawa Atoll.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle F—Decorations and Awards

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Anthony T. Kah'o'ohanohano for acts of valor during the Korean War (sec. 551)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would waive the time limitation contained in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, and would authorize and request the President to award the Medal of Honor to former Private First Class Anthony T. Kah'o'ohanohano for acts of valor during the Korean War.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to Jack T. Stewart for acts of valor during the Vietnam War (sec. 552)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 573) that would waive the time limitation contained in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, and would authorize and request the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished-Service Cross to former Captain Jack T. Stewart for acts of valor during the Vietnam War.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authorization and request for award of Distinguished-Service Cross to William T. Miles, Jr., for acts of valor during the Korean War (sec. 553)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 574) that would waive the time limitation contained in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, and would authorize and request the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished-Service Cross to former Sergeant First Class William T. Miles, Jr., for acts of valor during the Korean War.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle G—Military Family Readiness Matters

Establishment of online resources to provide information about benefits and services available to members of the Armed Forces and their families (sec. 561)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 577) that would require the service secretaries to provide certain information to service members and their families at certain points in their career concerning service and veteran benefits, including disability and survivor benefits and mandatory offsets thereto. The provision would also require the service secretaries to provide biennial notice of benefits to all service members, perform public outreach on benefits, and to establish and maintain a website providing comprehensive benefit information to service members and their families. Finally, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress within 1 year on the implementation of the provision.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense

to establish and maintain a website to provide comprehensive benefit information to service members and their families, and to conduct public service outreach on the availability of the website.

The conferees remain concerned that service members and their families lack essential information about the compensation, benefits, services, and programs available to them. Similarly, the conferees believe that many service members, retirees, their families, and their survivors, are unaware, to their detriment, of disability and survivor benefits and statutorily-mandated offsets affecting those benefits. The conferees urge the Department to take necessary initiatives to enhance the knowledge and understanding of service members, retirees, and their survivors concerning pay and compensation matters, veteran benefits, and survivor benefits.

Additional members on Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council (sec. 562)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 551) that would mandate the addition of two members to the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council. One representative would be from the National Guard, and the other representative would be from a reserve component other than the National Guard, and each would be appointed by the Secretary of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would specify that one representative must be from the Army National Guard or Air National Guard, and the other must be from the Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, or the Air Force Reserve. The amendment would also limit these representatives' terms to 3 years, as well as require that the Guard representative rotate between the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard, and the reserve component representative that is not National Guard must rotate among the specified reserve components.

Support for military families with special needs (sec. 563)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 710B) that would require coverage under the TRICARE program for any treatment of autism spectrum disorders that a health care professional determines to be medically necessary, and would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from considering applied behavior analysis or other structured behavior programs as special education services not otherwise authorized under TRICARE.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 553) that would require the Secretary to develop and implement a policy and program to provide community support for military dependent children with autism and their families, including two or more pilot projects to evaluate the effectiveness of various approaches to provide such support.

The House recedes with an amendment that would establish an Office of Community Support for Military Families with Special Needs within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, which would have the responsibility to develop and implement a comprehensive policy and program of support for military families with special needs, to establish the capability to provide timely access to information and referral services, and to oversee the expansion of case management and individualized support services provided by the military departments. The amendment would also authorize the Secretary to establish a foundation to enhance the Department of Defense's programs, training, and research.

The conferees agree to an increase of \$50.0 million to be available for this purpose, which is reflected in the tables for this Act.

The conferees believe that expanding support for families with special needs is a critical requirement for the all-volunteer force. Regrettably such programs have not been a priority for the Department, as evidenced by its failure to implement requirements for expanded services for autism support as required by section 587 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181). The conferees are also concerned that enrollment in the Exceptional Family Member Program, which is crucial to ensuring that the needs of eligible dependents are met, is far lower than necessary to reach the estimated 220,000 family members who are eligible for such enrollment.

The conferees expect that implementation of this section will result in substantial improvements in identification and outreach to larger numbers of individuals who need support and coordination of available services, expansion of case management services, more direct training and counseling for parents and families, and timely access to information and referral to both Department of Defense and other federal, State, and local special needs resources and services.

The conferees direct the Secretary to examine ways to mitigate the challenges for families who may be disadvantaged by relocation during their military service, and to ensure that enrollment in the Exceptional Family Member Program, or any successor to that program, is perceived as a positive and necessary family readiness resource.

The conferees applaud those who, through their advocacy for families with autism, have illuminated the shortfalls in support for families with all special needs that this section is intended to address.

Pilot program to secure internships for military spouses with Federal agencies (sec. 564)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish an internship pilot program for certain military spouses to obtain employment with other federal agencies or departments that could potentially lead to career portability and advancement. The provision would also require a report on the utilization and effectiveness of the pilot program, and the Secretary's recommendation on the need to extend, modify, or terminate the program authority.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Family and medical leave for family of servicemembers (sec. 565)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 585) that would expand coverage of exigency leave available under the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-3) to eligible family members of active-duty service members deployed to a foreign country. The provision would also modify the definition of a covered active-duty service member, and expand coverage of such members to include a veteran who is undergoing medical treatment, recuperation, or therapy for a serious injury or illness and who was a member of the armed forces at any time during the period of 5 years preceding the date on which the veteran receives treatment.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide for similar expansion of eligibility for family and medical leave under title 5, United States Code, for federal civil service employees.

Deadline for report on sexual assault in the Armed Forces by Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services (sec. 566)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 571) that would amend section

576(e)(1) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375) to change the date for the report of the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services to December 1, 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Improved prevention and response to allegations of sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 567)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 592) that would require a Comptroller General report on the capacity of each military service to investigate and adjudicate allegations of sexual assault, a sexual assault prevention program developed by the Secretary of Defense, a report by the Secretary of Defense evaluating the availability of sexual assault forensic examinations in combat zones, and collection of statistical information on the issuance of military protective orders involving either the victim or alleged perpetrator of a sexual assault.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Comptroller General report on progress made in implementing recommendations to reduce domestic violence in military families (sec. 568)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would require the Comptroller General to review and assess the progress made by the Department of Defense in implementing the recommendations contained in the Comptroller General report entitled "Military Personnel: Progress made in implementing Recommendations to reduce Domestic Violence, but Further Management Action Needed" (GAO-06-540). The provision would require the Comptroller General to report the results of this review and assessment to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on impact of domestic violence on military families (sec. 569)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 586) that would require the Comptroller General to submit to Congress a report on the impact of domestic violence on military families, and to include an assessment of such impact and information on progress being made to ensure care and services are provided to children exposed to domestic violence.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, rather than the Comptroller General, to submit the report, and would clarify a reporting deadline.

Report on international intrafamilial abduction of children of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 570)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 588) that would express a sense of Congress that intra-familial abduction to foreign countries of children of members of the armed forces constitutes a grave violation of the rights of military parents whose children are abducted and poses a significant threat to the psychological well-being and development of the abducted children. The provision also required recurring reports on the programs, projects, and activities carried out by the Department of Defense to assist members of the armed forces whose children are abducted.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, on international intrafamilial abduction of children of members of the armed forces and an assessment of assistance available to parents of abducted children, measures taken to prevent abduction of children of military personnel, and education available to military parents on the risks of international intrafamilial child abduction.

Assessment of impact of deployment of members of the Armed Forces on their dependent children (sec. 571)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 554) that would require the Secretary of Defense to undertake a comprehensive assessment of the impact of deployment on dependent children and adolescents of military service members. The provision would also require the Secretary to conduct a review of the mental health care and counseling services available to children of service members; whether the status of a service member as active duty or reserve affects the access of a military child to such services; and whether and to what extent waiting lists, geographic distance, and other factors may obstruct military children's receipt of such services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary also to address children of deployed service members in families in which one parent is in the armed forces, both parents are in the armed forces, and the service member is a single parent.

The conferees note that the requirement for the Secretary to review the mental health care and counseling services available to dependent children is addressed elsewhere in this Act.

Report on child custody litigation involving service of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 572)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 584) that would amend title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. 521 et seq.) to provide that if a motion for change of custody of a child of a service member is filed while the service member is deployed in support of a contingency operation, no court may enter an order modifying or amending any previous judgment or order, or issue a new order, that changes custody arrangements for that child that existed as of the date of the deployment of the service member, except that a court may enter a temporary custody order if the court finds that it is in the best interest of the child. The provision would also preclude a court from considering the absence of a service member by reason of deployment, or possibility of deployment, in determining the best interest of the child.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 555) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than June 1, 2010, a report on reported judicial cases involving child custody disputes in which the service of a deployed or deploying member of the armed forces, active or reserve, was an issue in a child custody dispute.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the date for the report on judicial cases involving child custody disputes to March 31, 2010.

The conferees believe that actions need to be taken by the Secretary of Defense and by

military leaders and legal assistance personnel to assist in preventing, where possible, legal disputes over child custody involving military members who are custodial parents.

The conferees have raised concerns in previous conference agreements since 2008 that service members who have been awarded custody of minor children but who are required to deploy in defense of the Nation or be absent from their children as a result of their military duties are vulnerable to litigation by non-custodial, biological parents. The conferees believe that providing assistance and education regarding measures service members can take in advance of deployment to prevent child custody disputes will serve to prevent many lawsuits and minimize the distraction of having to fight to retain custody of children while our service members are deployed. Further, the conferees are concerned that service members faced with the risk of losing custody of children as a result of military service may opt to leave the military, and potential recruits may choose not to join a military service.

The conferees commend the several States that have enacted legislation to address child custody circumstances that arise from the current demands of military service.

The conferees believe that the Secretary of Defense must also take steps to assist deployed members of the armed forces in child custody disputes that arise as a result of their military service. Although the Secretary has concluded that it would be unwise to push for federal legislation in an area that is typically a matter of state law concern, he did identify several steps that the Department should take to address this issue.

The conferees commend the Secretary for his initiative, and ask that he report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on the measures the Department has taken to prevent child custody litigation involving military members who are custodial parents to include contacting the governors of each of the States that have yet to pass legislation addressing the special considerations of child custody cases in the military to urge them to pass such legislation, asking the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to follow up with the Adjutant General of those States on the issue of child custody in the military, including concerns over child custody matters on the list of 10 key quality of life issues that will be presented to governors, outreach activities by the Department of Defense Regional State Liaisons with States whose legislatures have not addressed military custody concerns, efforts by the Judge Advocate General of the Army, Navy, and Air Force and the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps to work with the American Bar Association to publicize and support the national pro bono project of the American Bar Association, and engaging with the military services to update and standardize the family care plans to provide for long-term and short-term care, care and support for children, and financial arrangements including power of attorney when the service members are deployed. The conferees request a second and final report assessing the effectiveness of these actions no later than 1 year after the initial report.

Comptroller General report on child care assistance for members of the Armed Forces (sec. 573)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 561) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report on financial assistance for child care provided by the Department of Defense to members of the reserve components who are deployed in connection with a contingency operation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would expand the scope of the report to include an assessment of the financial assistance for child care provided to all active-duty service members, as well as to members of the reserve components who are deployed in connection with a contingency operation.

Subtitle H—Military Voting

Short Title (sec. 575)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 581) that would cite this subtitle as the "Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act".

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Clarification regarding delegation of State responsibilities to local jurisdictions (sec. 576)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 583) that would authorize a State to delegate its responsibilities in carrying out the requirements under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) imposed as a result of the provisions of and amendments made by this Act to jurisdictions of the State.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Establishment of procedures for absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters to request and for States to send voter registration applications and absentee ballot applications by mail and electronically (sec. 577)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 584) that would amend section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1) to require States to establish procedures for absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters to request, and for States to send, voter registration and absentee ballot applications by mail and electronically with respect to general, special, primary and runoff elections for federal office. The provision would also require each State to designate not less than one means of electronic communication for use by absentee uniform service voters and overseas voters.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Establishment of procedures for States to transmit blank absentee ballots by mail and electronically to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters (sec. 578)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 585) that would amend section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1) to require States to establish procedures for transmitting by mail and electronically blank absentee ballots to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters with respect to general, special, primary and runoff elections for federal elections.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Ensuring absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters have time to vote (sec. 579)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 586) that would amend section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA) (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1(a)(1)) to require States to transmit a validly requested absentee ballot to an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter at least 45 days before an election for federal office unless the request is received

less than 45 days before the election or a hardship exemption is approved by the Presidential designee responsible for federal functions under UOCAVA. The provision also amends section 102(a) of UOCAVA to require States holding a runoff election for federal office to establish a written plan that would provide that absentee ballots are made available to absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters in a manner that gives them sufficient time to vote in the runoff election.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Procedures for collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters (sec. 580)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 587) that would amend section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) to require the Presidential designee in coordination with the United States Postal Service to establish procedures for collecting marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed voters and for delivering such marked absentee ballots to the appropriate election officials not later than the date by which an absentee ballot must be received in order to be counted in a federal election. The provision would also require chief State election officials to develop a free access system by which an absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter may determine whether the absentee ballot of the absent uniformed services voter or overseas voter has been received by the appropriate State election official.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Federal write-in absentee ballot (sec. 581)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 588) that would amend section 103 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-2) to require the Presidential designee to prescribe a federal write-in absentee ballot for general, special, primary, and runoff elections for federal office and to require the Presidential designee to adopt procedures to promote and expand the use of the federal write-in absentee ballot as a back-up measure to vote in elections for federal office. This provision would also require the Presidential designee to use technological advances to implement a system under which absentee voters may obtain a list of all candidates in federal elections and submit the marked federal write-in absentee ballot.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prohibiting refusal to accept voter registration and absentee ballot applications, marked absentee ballots, and Federal write-in absentee ballots for failure to meet certain requirements (sec. 582)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 589) that would amend section 102 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-1) to prohibit a State from refusing to accept and process any otherwise valid voter registration application, absentee ballot application, or marked absentee ballot solely on the basis of notarization requirements or restrictions on paper or envelope type.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Federal Voting Assistance Program Improvements (sec. 583)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 587) that would establish the Overseas Voting Advisory Board.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 590) that would amend the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA) (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) to require: (1) the Presidential designee to develop online portals of information to inform absent uniformed services voters regarding voter registration and absentee ballot procedures for elections for federal office; and (2) to establish a program to notify absentee uniformed services voters of voter registration information and resources, the availability of the federal postcard application, and the availability of the federal write-in absentee ballot on the military Global Network. The provision would also amend section 102 of UOCAVA to require each service secretary to designate an office on each installation as a voter registration agency designated under section 7(a)(2) of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-31), and authorize the Secretary of Defense to designate pay, personnel, and identification offices as designated voter registration agencies.

The House recedes with an amendment that would amend chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, to require service secretaries to designate offices on military installations to provide absent uniformed services voters and their family members with written information on voter registration procedures and absentee ballot procedures, information and assistance to register to vote in federal elections, information and assistance to update the individual's voter registration information, and information and assistance to request an absentee ballot.

Development of standards for reporting and storing certain data (sec. 584)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 591) that would amend section 101(b) of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff(b)) to require the Presidential designee to work with the Election Assistance Commission and the chief State election official of each State, to develop standards for States to report data on the number of absentee ballots transmitted and received from absentee uniformed and overseas voters and such other data as the Presidential designee determines appropriate, and for the Presidential designee to store the data.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Repeal of provisions relating to use of single application for all subsequent elections (sec. 585)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 592) that would amend subsections (a) through (d) of section 104 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-3) to repeal the requirement that States use an absent uniformed voter's application for registration and absentee ballot for the next two regularly scheduled general elections for federal office.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reporting requirements (sec. 586)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 593) that would amend the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff-et seq.) to require the Presidential designee to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to the relevant congressional committees on the status of implementation of procedures established for the collection and delivery of marked absentee ballots of absent overseas uniformed services voters, an assessment of the effectiveness of

the Voting Assistance Officer Program of the Department of Defense, and a detailed description of voter registration assistance for absent uniformed services voters required elsewhere in this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Annual report on enforcement (sec. 587)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 594) that would amend section 105 of the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA) (42 U.S.C. 1973f-4) to require the Attorney General to submit an annual report to Congress on any civil action brought for declaratory or injunctive relief necessary to carry out UOCAVA.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Requirements payments (sec. 588)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 595) that would amend section 251(b) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) (42 U.S.C. 15401(b)) to provide that States shall use a requirements payment made under section 357(4) of HAVA only to meet requirements under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) imposed as a result of the provisions and amendments made by this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Technology pilot program (sec. 589)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 596) that would authorize the Presidential designee to establish one or more pilot programs under which the feasibility of new election technology is tested for the benefit of absent uniformed services voters and overseas voters claiming rights under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

Clarification of performance policies for military musical units and musicians (sec. 591)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 572) that would amend section 974 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify the restrictions on performances in competition with local musicians and the authority of military musical units and musicians to support official events that are funded, in whole or in part, by appropriated or non-appropriated funds.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Navy grants for purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps (sec. 592)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 591) that would amend chapter 647 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make grants to support the purposes of Naval Sea Cadet Corps, a federally chartered corporation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Modification of matching fund requirements under National Guard Youth Challenge Program (sec. 593)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 593) that would amend section 509(d)(1) of

title 32, United States Code, to increase the maximum cost share of the Department of Defense (DOD) for state National Guard Youth Challenge Programs from 60 to 75 percent of the costs of the program, beginning October 1, 2009.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 576) that would also authorize the Department of Defense to fund 100 percent of a new program's costs during the first 2 years of operation.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees are aware that the National Guard Youth Challenge Program is an effective youth outreach and mentoring program that benefits both the States in which it operates and the Nation, and that there is continued pressure for the Department of Defense to assume a larger funding role for this program. The conferees understand that the Department currently allows States to include in-kind, non-cash support as part of the statutorily mandated State share of the cost of operating the program.

To better understand the nature and extent to which the States' required contributions for operation of the program are fulfilled by monetary contributions and also by in-kind, non-cash support, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Service of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on: 1) the annual overall cost in each State over the last 3 years of operating the Challenge program; 2) the annual amounts of cash and value of in-kind contributions by each State for those years; 3) a description of the in-kind, non-cash contributions that made up each State's required share; and 4) the total DOD cash contribution, to include personnel costs, to support the program in each State for the last 3 years. The conferees also direct the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations to clearly define and specify the criteria for allowable in-kind, non-cash support for both the Department and the participating States that will fulfill the requirement of section 509(d)(1) of title 32, United States Code.

Expansion of Military Leadership Diversity Commission to include reserve component representatives (sec. 594)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 595) that would amend section 596(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) by including reserve component representatives in the membership of the Military Leadership Diversity Commission.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Expansion of suicide prevention and community healing and response training under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program (sec. 595)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 596) that would require the Office for Reintegration Programs in the Department of Defense to establish, as part of the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, a program to provide National Guard and reserve members and their families, in coordination with community programs, with training in suicide prevention and community healing and response to suicide.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 557).

The House recedes.

Comprehensive plan on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorders and disposition of substance abuse offenders in the Armed Forces (sec. 596)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 552) that would require the Sec-

retary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive review of and report on the programs and activities of the Department of Defense for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of substance abuse disorders and the policies of the Department relating to the disposition of substance abuse offenders. The provision would also require a study by an independent entity on substance abuse disorder programs for members of the armed forces and require the Secretary of Defense to submit a comprehensive plan to improve these programs, activities, and policies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would require the reestablishment of regional long-term inpatient substance abuse treatment programs.

The conferees note that overall responsibility for substance abuse programs is a personnel function of the military departments, but that medical treatment policy is formulated and resourced by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs. The conferees expect a better integration of these functions.

The conferees note the success of regional residential rehabilitation programs that the Department of Defense disestablished in the 1990s, and consequently this provision would require the Department of Defense to reestablish this capability.

Reports on Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program and other reintegration programs (sec. 597)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 558) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the various reintegration programs being administered in support of National Guard and reserve members and their families, to include assessments of various elements of the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program and administration of the program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would create two separate reporting requirements; one on the various reintegration programs being administered in support of National Guard and reserve members and their families, and one on the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, and would add to the required reporting elements for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program a list of accounts from which funds for the program were derived during the last fiscal year and why funds from those accounts were chosen.

Reports on progress in completion of certain incident information management tools (sec. 598)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 597) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act and every 6 months thereafter, on the progress with respect to the completion of the Defense Incident-Based Reporting System (DIBRS).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would also require reports on progress with respect to the completion of the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID).

The conferees are concerned that the Department of Defense has failed to take the steps necessary to ensure compliance with section 563 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417). The Department of Defense's experience with the Defense Inte-

grated Military Human Resources System, and the Defense Personal Property System, among others, demonstrate that a centralized, joint military program office with proven information system acquisition expertise, as well as necessary resourcing, personnel, and organizational authority is essential for success. The lack of progress in implementing DIBRS illustrates the problems the DSAID will encounter if it is not managed properly. The conferees expect the Department of Defense, not just the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, to take the measures necessary to complete these critical information systems.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Rank requirement for officer serving as Chief of the Navy Dental Corps to correspond to Army and Air Force requirements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 502) that would amend section 5138(a) of title 10, United States Code, to require that the Chief of the Dental Corps of the Navy be appointed in the grade of rear admiral.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

A separate provision in this Act would require the Secretary of Defense to include an assessment of this provision in a report on general and flag officers.

Chief and Deputy Chief of Chaplains of the Air Force

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 504) that would amend chapter 805 of title 10, United States Code, to establish in statute the positions of Chief and Deputy Chief of Chaplains in the Air Force and require their appointment in the grades of major general and brigadier general, respectively.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

A separate provision in this Act would require the Secretary of Defense to include an assessment of this provision in a report on general and flag officers.

Grade of commissioned officers in uniformed medical accession programs

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend sections 2114(b) and 2121(c) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize medical students attending the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) and students participating in the armed forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Programs (HPSP) who have prior commissioned service to serve, while on active duty, in pay grade O-1, or in pay grade O-2 if they meet specified promotion criteria prescribed by the service secretary. The amendment would also amend section 2004a of title 10, United States Code, to provide that an officer detailed as a student at a medical school would serve on active duty in the same grade with the same entitlement to pay as specified in section 2114(b) of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees believe that the requirement that USUHS and HPSP students remain in the grade of O-1 throughout their 4-year course of medical study appears to be a vestige of the conscription era. This requirement lacks adequate justification at a time when the Army, Navy, and Air Force urgently need to attract and retain highly capable and motivated medical officers who, per section 2114(a) of title 10, United States Code, demonstrate "dedication to a career in the uniformed services." In this regard, the conferees question this requirement when no

other category of commissioned officer currently is denied regular promotion opportunity. If the Department of Defense desires to retain the policy in section 2114(b), the conferees expect the services, in consultation with the Surgeons General of the Army, Navy, and Air Force, to explain why it should be retained, and whether it should also be applied to other categories of military officers in a student status.

Inclusion of email address on Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would amend section 596 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to require the Secretary of Defense to modify the Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) in order to permit a member of the armed forces to include an email address on the form.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that Department of Defense Instruction 1336.01, issued on August 20, 2009, provides that if the service member elects, the member's email address will be included on the DD Form 214.

Secure electronic delivery of Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would amend section 596 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop and implement a secure electronic method of forwarding the Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) to the appropriate state or local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Establishment of Junior Reserve Officer's Training Corps units for students in grades above sixth grade

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 536) that would amend section 2031 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize service secretaries to carry out a pilot program to establish and support Junior Officer's Training Corps (JROTC) units at public and private educational institutions that are not secondary educational institutions to permit the enrollment of students in a grade above the sixth grade in JROTC.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Senate on preparation and coordination of family care plans

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 556) that would express the sense of the Senate that a properly prepared and coordinated family care plan is essential for service members who have custody of a child pursuant to a court order or separation agreement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Award of Vietnam Service Medal to veterans who participated in Mayaguez rescue operation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would authorize the secretary of a military department to award the Vietnam Service Medal to eligible veterans in lieu of any Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal awarded to the veteran for participation in the Mayaguez rescue operation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Guarantee of residency for spouses of military personnel for voting purposes

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 573) that would amend section 705 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 595) to provide that, for the purposes of voting in federal, state, or local elections, a person who is absent from a state because the person is accompanying the person's spouse who is absent from that same State in compliance with military or naval orders shall not, solely by reason of that absence, be deemed to have lost a residence or domicile in that State, without regard to whether or not the person intends to return to that State, to have acquired a residence or domicile in any other State, or to have become a resident in or a resident of any other State.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision was included in S.475, the Military Spouses Residency Relief Act, which passed in the Senate on August 4, 2009, and is under review by the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives.

Determination for tax purposes of residence of spouses of military personnel

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 574) that would amend section 511 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 571) to provide that a spouse of a service member shall neither lose nor acquire a residence or domicile for purposes of taxation with respect to the person, personal property, or income of the spouse by reason of being absent or present in any tax jurisdiction of the United States solely to be with the service member in compliance with the service member's military orders if the residence or domicile is the same for the service member and the spouse.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision was included in S.475, the Military Spouses Residency Relief Act, which passed in the Senate on August 4, 2009, and is under review by the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives.

Retroactive Award of Army Combat Action Badge

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 575) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Army Combat Action Badge to a person who, while a member of the Army, participated in combat between December 7, 1941, and September 18 2001, if the Secretary determines that the person has not been previously recognized in an appropriate manner for such participation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Suspension of land rights residency requirement for spouses of military personnel

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 575) that would amend section 508 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 568) to suspend for spouses of military personnel residency requirements for land rights under laws relating to federally owned lands, including mining and mineral leasing laws.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision was included in S.475, the Military Spouses Residency Relief Act, which passed in the Senate on August 4, 2009, and is under review by the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives.

Establishment of Combat Medevac Badge

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 576) that would require service secretaries to issue a Combat Medevac Badge to each qualified person who, while a member of military service, served in combat on or after June 25, 1950, as a pilot or crew member of a helicopter medical evacuation ambulance.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Findings

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 582) that would express the following congressional findings: (1) the right to vote is a fundamental right; (2) due to logistical, geographic, operational, and environmental barriers, military and overseas voters are burdened by many obstacles that impact their ability to vote and register to vote, the most critical of which include problems transmitting balloting materials and not being given enough time to vote; (3) States play an essential role in facilitating the ability of military and overseas voters to register to vote and have their ballots cast and counted, especially with respect to implementing improvements in absentee voter registration and absentee ballot procedures; (4) the Department of Defense educates military and overseas voters about their rights under the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (42 U.S.C. 1973ff et seq.) and plays an indispensable role in taking measures which allow military and overseas voters to have their votes count; and (5) local, State, and Federal Government entities involved with getting ballots to military and overseas voters must work in cooperation to provide voter registration services and balloting materials in a secure and expeditious manner.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Modification of Servicemembers Civil Relief Act regarding termination or suspension of service contracts and effect of violation of interest rate limitation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 583) that would amend section 305A of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 535a) to authorize a service member to terminate or suspend a contract for cellular phone service, telephone exchange service, multichannel video programming service, Internet access service, water, electricity, oil, gas, or other utility if the service member receives orders to deploy in support of a contingency operation or for a permanent change of station that does not support the contract.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of Servicemembers Civil Relief Act regarding residential and motor vehicle leases

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 594) that would amend section 305(e) of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 535) to require that rent amounts for leases of premises and motor vehicles that are unpaid for the period preceding the effective date of the lease termination be paid on a prorated basis and to prohibit early termination charges.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

Fiscal year 2010 increase in military basic pay (sec. 601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would authorize a pay raise for the

members of the uniformed services of 3.4 percent effective January 1, 2010. This across-the-board pay raise is 0.5 percent above the Administration request.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 601).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Increase in maximum monthly amount of supplemental subsistence allowance for low-income members with dependents (sec. 602)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 603) that would amend section 402a of title 37, United States Code, to increase the maximum monthly amount of the supplemental subsistence allowance from \$500 to \$1,100 per month. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees by September 1, 2010, a plan, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, to ensure members of the armed forces and their dependents need not rely on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) under chapter 51 of title 7, United States Code, for nutritional assistance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to report on the advisability of requiring service members to notify their commands if they participate in SNAP and on a method for accurately determining how many service members participate in SNAP.

Special compensation for members of the uniformed services with catastrophic injuries or illnesses requiring assistance in everyday living (sec. 603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would authorize special monthly compensation for members of the uniformed services with a combat-related catastrophic injury or illness who are certified by a physician as requiring assistance in performing functions necessary in everyday living. The provision would cap the amount of special compensation at the amount authorized for aid and attendance compensation for veterans under section 1114(r) of title 38, United States Code, and would terminate following the separation, death, or recovery of the service member.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 617) that would authorize special monthly compensation for members of the uniformed services whose injury or illness was incurred or aggravated in the line of duty. The provision would cap the amount of special compensation at the amount authorized for aid and attendance compensation for veterans under section 1114(r)(2) of title 38, United States Code.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize special compensation for service members with a catastrophic injury or illness incurred or aggravated in the line of duty if, in the absence of such assistance, the member would require hospitalization or other institutional care.

The conferees believe it is imperative that the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs ensure the seamless transition of care of all service members retiring for disability. This provision would recognize that family members are making life altering sacrifices in order to care for service members at home. By aligning the authority available under this provision with the authority to provide aid and attendance compensation for veterans under section 1114 of title 38, United States Code, the conferees expect there to be no gaps in coverage and care for catastrophically injured service members transitioning from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Benefits under Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program for certain periods before implementation of program (sec. 604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 663) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, to provide any member or former member of the armed forces up to \$200 for each day of administrative absence that such member would have earned between January 19, 2007, and the date of their respective service's implementation of the Post-Deployment/Mobilization Respite Absence program, up to a maximum of 40 days, had the program been implemented during that time. The authority would expire 1 year from the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 604).

The House recedes with an amendment that would remove the 40 day limitation on the number of days that may be compensated under this provision.

Report on housing standards and housing surveys used to determine basic allowance for housing (sec. 605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 604) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the housing standards used to calculate the monthly rates of basic allowance for housing (BAH) and to report on the findings of the study, including recommended changes to the housing standards and associated cost estimates, by July 1, 2010.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include in the study a review of the process and schedule for conducting surveys used to establish locality rates in housing areas that form the basis for changes to monthly BAH rates with the goal of ensuring that amounts budgeted for housing costs are sufficient to cover actual costs.

Comptroller General comparative assessment of military and private-sector pay and benefits (sec. 606)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 602) that would require the Comptroller General to conduct a comprehensive study comparing military pay and benefits with comparable private-sector pay and benefits and to report to the congressional defense committees on the study by April 1, 2010.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying that the purpose of the study is to assess how the differences in pay and benefits affect recruiting and retention of members of the armed forces.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 611 and 101D) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus; the Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus; the special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units; the Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service; the Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service; the Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service; and income replacement payments for certain reserve component members.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 611).

The Senate recedes.

One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 612 and 102D) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the nurse officer candidate accession bonus; the repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve; accession and retention bonuses for psychologists; the accession bonus for registered nurses; incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists; special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties; the accession bonus for dental officers; the accession bonus for pharmacy officers; the accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties; and the accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 612).

The Senate recedes.

One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 613 and 103D) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending their period of active service; the nuclear career accession bonus; and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 613).

The Senate recedes.

One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities (sec. 614)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 614 and 104D) that would extend for 1 year the general bonus authority for enlisted members; the general bonus authority for officers; the special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers; the special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities; and the special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in the health professions. The provision would also extend for 1 year the authority to pay hazardous duty pay; assignment pay or special duty pay; the skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus; and the retention bonus for members with critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 614).

The Senate recedes.

One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 615)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 615 and 105D) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus; assignment incentive pay; the reenlistment bonus for active members; the enlistment bonus; the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills; the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage; the incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces; and the accession bonus for officer candidates.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 615).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of referral bonuses (sec. 616)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 616 and 106D) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the health professions referral bonus and the Army referral bonus under sections 1030 and 3252 of title 10, United States Code, respectively.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 616).

The Senate recedes.

Technical corrections and conforming amendments to reconcile conflicting amendments regarding continued payment of bonuses and similar benefits for certain members (sec. 617)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 617 and 107D) that would make technical and conforming amendments to sections 303a and 373 of title 37, United States Code, to reconcile provisions concerning the payment of bonuses that were included in the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) and the Hubbard Act (Public Law 110-317).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Proration of certain special and incentive pays to reflect time during which a member satisfies eligibility requirements for the special or incentive pay (sec. 618)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 618) that would clarify that the monthly payment of hostile fire pay, imminent danger pay, hazardous duty pay, assignment or special duty pay, and skill incentive pay may be prorated to reflect the actual qualifying service that active and reserve component members performed during the month.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would make the provision effective on the date of enactment of this Act.

Additional assignment pay or special duty pay authorized for members agreeing to serve in Afghanistan for extended periods (sec. 619)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 619) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a demonstration program that would allow the payment of assignment or special duty pay in amounts exceeding the maximum monthly cap for service members, particularly those demonstrating critical language proficiency, who agree to serve in Afghanistan for 6 years. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit to Congress an annual report on the Department's use of this authority. The authority would expire December 31, 2012.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide an exception to the maximum monthly cap on assignment or special duty pay under section 352 of title 37, United States Code, for qualified service members demonstrating critical language proficiency who agree to serve in Afghanistan for a minimum of 3 years.

Temporary authority for monthly special pay for members of the Armed Forces subject to continuing active duty or service under stop-loss authorities (sec. 620)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 618) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to pay, until June 30, 2011, stop-loss special pay in an amount not to exceed \$500 per month for service members on active-duty or in an active status in a reserve component whose enlistment or period of obligated service is extended, or whose retirement is suspended, pursuant to stop-loss authorities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the stop-loss special pay for service members on active-duty.

Army authority to provide additional recruitment incentives (sec. 621)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 662) that would amend section 681 of the Na-

tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163) to extend from December 31, 2009, to December 31, 2012, the authority of the Secretary of the Army to develop and implement Army recruiting and incentive programs and permit new recruitment incentives provided that the total number of ongoing recruitment programs is limited to four at the same time.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 651) that would amend section 681 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163) to authorize the continuation of an Army recruitment incentive for 3 years from the date the recruitment incentive is first provided.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the provisions.

Report on recruitment and retention of members of the Air Force in nuclear career fields (sec. 622)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 657) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the efforts of the Air Force to attract and retain qualified individuals for service that involved the operation, maintenance, handling, or security of nuclear weapons. The report would be due no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

Travel and transportation for survivors of deceased members of the uniformed services to attend memorial ceremonies (sec. 631)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 635) that would authorize the secretary concerned to provide round trip travel and transportation allowances to eligible relatives of a member of the uniformed services who dies while on active duty in order for the eligible relatives to travel to a memorial service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Travel and transportation allowances for designated individuals of wounded, ill, or injured members of the uniformed services for duration of inpatient treatment (sec. 632)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would amend section 411h of title 37, United States Code, to authorize the secretary concerned to provide travel and transportation allowances for designated individuals to visit certain wounded, ill, or injured service members for the duration of inpatient treatment. The authority would include up to three roundtrips in any 60-day period for a maximum of three designated individuals per injured service member.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 631) that would also clarify the definition of "seriously injured" in section 411h of title 37, United States Code, to include serious mental disorders.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Authorized travel and transportation allowances for non-medical attendants for very seriously and seriously wounded, ill, or injured members (sec. 633)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would authorize the secretary concerned to provide travel and transportation benefits to non-medical attendants serving very seriously or seriously wounded, ill, or injured service members when such persons are designated as non-medical attendants by the injured service members and proper med-

ical authorities agree that the designee is qualified to serve as a non-medical attendant and would contribute to the health and welfare of the service member.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 632).

The Senate recedes.

Reimbursement of travel expenses of members of the Armed Forces on active duty and their dependents for travel for specialty care under exceptional circumstances (sec. 634)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 634) that would amend section 1074i of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide, in exceptional circumstances, reimbursement for the travel expenses of active-duty beneficiaries and their dependents otherwise ineligible for reimbursement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on adequacy of weight allowances for transportation of baggage and household effects for members of the uniformed services (sec. 635)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 634) that would authorize an increased weight allowance for shipping household goods during permanent changes of station for noncommissioned officers in the grades of E-5 through E-9.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by July 1, 2010, that reviews the weight allowances provided for the transportation of baggage and household goods and that includes any recommendations for changing the weight allowances, including the estimated cost of such changes, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

Subtitle D—Disability, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

Transition assistance for reserve component members injured while on active duty (sec. 641)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 656) that would require service secretaries to provide to reserve component members injured on active duty: (1) information on the availability of care and administrative processing through community based warrior transition units, (2) the location of the nearest community based warrior transition unit, and (3) an opportunity to consult with a member of the applicable judge advocate general's corps, or other qualified legal assistance attorney, regarding the member's eligibility for compensation, disability, or other transitional benefits.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would remove the requirement to provide an opportunity to consult with a judge advocate or other legal assistance attorney. The conferees believe that, while the counseling regarding the member's eligibility for compensation, disability, or other transitional benefits is vitally important, such counseling can be provided by properly trained personnel who are not licensed attorneys.

Recomputation of retired pay and adjustment of retired grade of Reserve retirees to reflect service after retirement (sec. 642)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 641 and 111D) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to recompute the retired pay and adjust the retired grade of reserve retirees who have been recalled to an active status in the Selected

Reserve for at least 2 years. The provision would authorize the secretaries concerned to reduce the 2-year service requirement for members recalled to serve in the position of adjutant general or assistant adjutant general when the members serve at least 6 months in such position but fail to complete the 2-year service requirement due to the requirements of applicable State law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require adjutants general or assistant adjutants general to serve at least 1 year in such positions before their retired pay could be recomputed or their retired grade adjusted, and that would change the effective date of the provision to the date of enactment of this Act.

Election to receive retired pay for non-regular service upon retirement for service in an active reserve status performed after attaining eligibility for regular retirement (sec. 643)

The House bill contained provisions (sections 642 and 112D) that would authorize members of the reserve components who serve in an active status in the Selected Reserve for at least 2 years after becoming eligible for an active-duty retirement to elect to receive a non-regular retirement. The provision would also authorize the secretary of a military department to reduce the 2-year requirement for a member recalled to serve in the position of adjutant general or assistant adjutant general within the National Guard when the member serves at least 6 months but fails to complete the 2 years of service by operation of State law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize members of the reserve components who have served at least 2 years in the Selected Reserve and who have already qualified for an active-duty retirement to elect to receive the non-regular retirement, but at the rates applicable at the time they leave active service in the Selected Reserve. The amendment would also require adjutants general and assistant adjutants general to serve at least 1 year before becoming eligible to elect non-regular retirement. Finally, the amendment would make this authority effective on the date of enactment of this Act.

Report on re-determination process for permanently incapacitated dependents of retired and deceased members of the Armed Forces (sec. 644)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1073) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the re-determination process of the Department of Defense used to determine the eligibility of permanently incapacitated dependents of retired and deceased service members for benefits provided under laws administered by the Secretary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical change.

Treatment as active service for retired pay purposes of service as member of Alaska Territorial Guard during World War II (sec. 645)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 659) that would require that service in the Alaska Territorial Guard during World War II be treated as active service for the purposes of computing military retired pay.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle E—Commissary and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

Limitation on Department of Defense entities of offering personal information services to members and their dependents (sec. 651)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 652) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from authorizing a Department of Defense entity to offer or provide Internet, telephone, or television services directly to users using Department resources, personnel, or equipment, or compete for contracts to provide such personal information services directly to users if users will be charged a fee to recover the cost incurred to provide the services or earn a profit. The prohibition would apply unless a private sector vendor is not available or the interests of the user population would be best served by allowing the government to provide the services.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would allow a Department of Defense entity to provide such services under circumstances specified by the Secretary of Defense as being in the best interest of the government or military users in general.

Report on impact of purchasing from local distributors all alcoholic beverages for resale on military installations on Guam (sec. 652)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 653) that would require the Comptroller General to report, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the impact of requiring that all alcoholic beverages intended for resale on military installations on Guam be purchased from local sources.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that would require the report within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Limitations on collection of overpayments of pay and allowances erroneously paid to members (sec. 661)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 661) that would reduce the maximum percentage of monthly compensation that may be involuntarily collected to repay overpayments erroneously paid to a service member from 20 percent to 10 percent. The provision would also require the secretaries of the military departments to consult with service members when establishing a repayment plan, delay collection from wounded warriors for 180 days, and consider forgiving the debt when the service member relies on social security benefits or if repayment would impose an undue financial hardship. Finally, the provision would establish a bar on collection activities after 5 years.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would reduce the maximum percentage of monthly compensation that may be involuntarily collected to repay overpayments from 20 percent to 15 percent. The amendment would also require the secretaries of the military departments to provide a reasonable opportunity for members to request a delay in collection and to consider any hardship to the service member or former member caused by the collection efforts.

The conferees believe that the Department of Defense should consider the financial consequences of requiring repayment of erroneous overpayments made to service members including the extent to which a repayment plan would leave service members or former service members with inadequate re-

sources to cover their reasonable monthly expenses. This is especially the case when a former member relies on social security benefits or veterans disability compensation for their living expenses.

Additionally, the Department should consider the length of time that has passed between the time the overpayment occurred and the collection effort. As a general matter, the conferees believe that the secretaries concerned should not collect debts that are identified more than 6 years after they are incurred unless not collecting the debt would amount to an unjust enrichment. The Department should weigh all these factors when considering whether to waive the debt and in determining an appropriate repayment plan for members or former members to ensure a fair and equitable result for a debt that resulted from Department error, through no fault of the member.

Sense of Congress on airfares for members of the Armed Forces (sec. 662)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 653) that would express the sense of Congress that United States commercial air carriers should seek to lend their support to members of the armed forces traveling on leave or liberty at their own expense by reducing air fares and waiving or eliminating additional fees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Sense of Congress on establishment of flexible spending arrangements for the uniformed services (sec. 663)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 658) that expressed the sense of the Congress that members of the uniformed services should have access to flexible spending arrangements for health care and dependent care on a pre-tax basis in accordance with established programs under sections 106(c) and 125 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that are widely available to civilian employees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress regarding support for compensation, retirement, and other military personnel programs (sec. 664)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 664) that would express the sense of the Congress that members of the armed forces, military retirees, and their families deserve ongoing recognition and support for their service, and that Congress would continue to look for appropriate direct spending offsets that could be used to address shortcomings within military personnel programs that incur direct spending obligations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Stabilization of pay and allowances for senior enlisted members and warrant officers appointed as officers and officers reappointed in a lower grade

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would authorize a member of the armed forces who accepts an appointment as an officer or a reappointment as an officer in a lower grade without a break in service to retain the pay and allowances to which the member was entitled while serving in the rank immediately preceding the appointment or reappointment, if the pay and allowances were greater than what the officer would receive in the newly appointed or reappointed grade.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Transportation of additional motor vehicle of members on change of permanent station to or from nonforeign areas outside the continental United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would authorize service members with at least one dependent of driving age to ship two privately owned vehicles during permanent change of station moves to or from nonforeign duty locations outside the continental United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Additional exception to limitation on use of appropriated funds for Department of Defense golf courses

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 651) that would authorize the use of appropriated funds to purchase, operate, or maintain equipment to ensure compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101) at Department of Defense golf courses.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Repeal of requirement of reduction of SBP survivor annuities by Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 652) that would eliminate the offset of Survivor Benefit Plan annuities by the amount of Dependency and Indemnity Compensation received from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note the mandatory spending associated with this provision, and consistent with the sense of Congress stated elsewhere in this Act regarding congressional support for compensation, retirement, and other military personnel programs, the conferees would support the provision provided that acceptable offsets are identified consistent with budgetary requirements of both the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Use of local residences for community-based care for certain reserve component members

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 655) that would authorize certain reserve component members who require an evaluation for a physical or mental disability to be assigned to the community-based warrior transition unit located nearest to the member's permanent place of residence if residing at that location is medically feasible and consistent with the needs of the armed forces and the optimal course of medical treatment of the member.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Inclusion of service after September 11, 2001, in determination of reduced eligibility age for receipt of non-regular service retired pay

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 660) that would make retroactive to September 11, 2001, the authority in section 12731 of title 10, United States Code, to reduce the age at which a reserve retiree may receive retired pay below the age of 60 by 3 months for every aggregate 90 days of active duty performed.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note the mandatory spending associated with this provision, and con-

sistent with the sense of Congress stated elsewhere in this Act regarding congressional support for compensation, retirement, and other military personnel programs, the conferees would support the provision provided that acceptable offsets are identified consistent with budgetary requirements of both the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Comptroller General report on cost to cities and other municipalities that cover the difference between an employee's military salary and municipal salary

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 665) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to Congress a report on the costs incurred by cities and other municipalities that elect to cover the difference between their employees' military and municipal salaries when their employees are called or ordered to active duty.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Postal benefits program for sending free mail to members of the armed forces serving in certain overseas operations and hospitalized members

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 666) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the United States Postal Service, to provide a postal benefits program to service members serving in certain overseas locations or who are hospitalized in a Department of Defense facility as a result of service in certain overseas locations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Short title

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1D) that would establish a short title for the Disabled Military Retiree Relief Act of 2009.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Table of contents

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2D) that would provide a table of contents for the Disabled Military Retiree Relief Act of 2009.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

One-year expansion of eligibility for concurrent receipt of military retired pay and veterans' disability compensation to include all chapter 61 disability retirees regardless of disability rating percentage or years of service

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 121D) that would authorize for 1 year the phased implementation of concurrent receipt of military retired pay and veteran disability compensation for medical retirees retired under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees acknowledge and in principle support the administration's proposal to permanently authorize concurrent receipt of military retired pay and veteran disability compensation for all medical retirees. In its budget submission, however, the administration failed to identify an acceptable and specific funding source to offset the increase in mandatory spending. Under House and Senate budget rules that derive from the Congressional Budget Act, Congress must offset increases in mandatory spending in non-emergency legislation with cuts in other entitlement programs or through increased revenues. Although the House provision in-

cluded offsets sufficient to authorize concurrent receipt for 9 months, those offsets did not comply with Senate budget rules. Accordingly, the provision could not be included.

The conferees urge the administration to resubmit its proposal next year and to include specific offsets that would allow Congress to permanently authorize concurrent receipt for medical retirees.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits

Prohibition on conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions (sec. 701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would prohibit the secretary of a military department from converting military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical change.

Health care for members of the reserve components (sec. 702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 706) that would extend the eligibility of reserve component members who are issued or covered by a delayed-effective-date active-duty order in support of a contingency operation for TRICARE coverage under section 1074 of title 10, United States Code, from 90 days before the date on which the period of active duty is to commence, to 180 days before that date.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Enhancement of transitional dental care for members of the reserve components on active duty for more than 30 days in support of a contingency operation (sec. 703)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 712) that would modify the transitional health care benefit for reservists who separate after more than 30 days of active duty in support of a contingency operation, giving them the same priority for dental care in a military treatment facility as an active-duty member.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Expansion of survivor eligibility under TRICARE Dental Program (sec. 704)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would expand the eligibility of surviving children under the TRICARE Dental Program. Current law allows survivors to keep this dental coverage for a period of 3 years after the service member's death. The provision would increase the eligibility for surviving dependent children from 3 years to the longer of the following periods: (1) 3 years; (2) until they reach age 21; or (3) until age 23 if the dependent is a full-time student at age 21 and is or was dependent on the member for at least half of their support. The provision would make the dental benefit provided to surviving children consistent with the medical benefit for which they are already eligible.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 702).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for a non-regular retirement but are not yet age 60 (sec. 705)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 704) that would extend eligibility for

TRICARE Standard to members of the Retired Reserve who are qualified for non-reg- ular retirement but who are not yet age 60, and their dependents. Eligibility would ter- minate when the member becomes eligible for TRICARE coverage as a retiree at age 60. Members would be responsible for paying a premium equal to the total cost of coverage as determined by the Secretary of Defense, based on actual program costs.

The Senate amendment contained a simi- lar provision (sec. 701).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Constructive eligibility for TRICARE benefits of certain persons otherwise ineligible under retroactive determination of entitlement to Medicare part A hospital insurance benefits (sec. 706)

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 703) that would exempt TRICARE beneficiaries under the age of 65 who become disabled from the requirement to enroll in Medicare part B for the retroactive months of entitlement to Medicare part A in order to maintain TRICARE coverage.

Eligible beneficiaries would still be re- quired to enroll in Medicare part B in order to maintain TRICARE coverage for future months, but would be considered to have coverage under the TRICARE program for the months retroactive to their entitlement to Medicare part A.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes.

Notification of certain individuals regarding op- tions for enrollment under Medicare part B (sec. 707)

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 707) that would require the Sec- retary of Defense to identify eligible TRICARE beneficiaries who are entitled to benefits under Medicare part A and who are eligible to enroll in Medicare part B of the options available to them for enrollment in Medicare part B and the potential con- sequences to TRICARE coverage of waiving enrollment in part B.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes with several technical changes.

Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces deployed in connection with a contingency operation (sec. 708)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 709) that would require the Secretary of De- fense to conduct a demonstration project to assess the feasibility and efficacy of pro- viding a service member with a post-deploy- ment mental health screening that is con- ducted in person by a mental health pro- vider.

The Senate amendment contained provi- sion (sec. 711) that would require the Sec- retary to issue guidance for the provision of a person-to-person mental health assessment for each service member deployed in con- nection with a contingency operation during the 60-day period before deployment, between 90 and 180 days after deployment, and not later than 6 months, 12 months, and 24 months after return from deployment. A mental health assessment would not be required by this provision for service members who are not subjected or exposed to operational risk factors during deployment.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Temporary TRICARE inpatient fee modification (sec. 709)

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 706) that would express the sense of the Senate that in the past, the Department of Defense has proposed fee increases on cer-

tain military health care beneficiaries in order to cover the growing cost of health care, that the Department has additional op- tions to constrain the growth of health care spending, and that it should consider such options rather than increasing certain fees.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend for 1 year the current limi- tation on charges for inpatient care in a ci- vilian hospital under TRICARE Standard.

*Subtitle B—Health Care Administration
Comprehensive policy on pain management by the military health care system (sec. 711)*

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 721) that would require the Sec- retary of Defense to develop and implement a comprehensive policy on pain management by the military health care system.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the date by which the Secretary is required to develop and imple- ment this policy from October 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011.

In developing and implementing this pol- icy, the conferees urge the Department to examine best practices in pain management used by public and private health care in- stitutions, including treatment methods and approaches designed to lessen reliance on multiple medications for the purpose of pain management.

Administration and prescription of psychotropic medications for members of the Armed Forces before and during deployment (sec. 712)

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 724) that would require the Sec- retary of Defense to submit an annual report to Congress on the prescription of antidepressants and drugs to treat anxiety for troops serving in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional de- fense committees not later than October 1, 2010, on the implementation of policy guid- ance dated November 7, 2006, regarding de- ployment-limiting psychiatric conditions and medications. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to establish and implement by October 1, 2010, a policy for the use of psychotropic medications for deployed members of the armed forces.

Cooperative health care agreements between military installations and non-military health care systems (sec. 713)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish cooperative health care agreements between military installations and local or regional health care systems.

The Senate amendment contained no simi- lar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Plan to increase the mental health capabilities of the Department of Defense (sec. 714)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 715) that would require the Secretary of De- fense to report on the appropriate number of military mental health providers required to meet the mental health care needs of mem- bers of the armed forces, retired members, and dependents. The provision would also re- quire the Secretary to provide a plan on how the Department of Defense (DOD) will achieve the appropriate number of military mental health providers.

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 722) that would require the Sec-

retary to develop and implement a plan to significantly increase the number of DOD military and civilian behavioral health per- sonnel.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: require the Secretary of each military department to increase by a speci- fied amount the number of active-duty men- tal health personnel authorized for each de- partment; require the Secretary of Defense to report on the appropriate number of men- tal health personnel required to meet mental health care needs of service members, retired members, and dependents; require the Sec- retary to develop and implement a plan to significantly increase the number of DOD military and civilian mental health per- sonnel; and require the Secretary to assess the feasibility and advisability of estab- lishing one or more military mental health specialties for officers or enlisted service members.

Department of Defense study on management of medications for physically and psycho- logically wounded members of the Armed Forces (sec. 715)

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 723) that would require the Sec- retary of Defense to conduct a study on the management of medications for physically and psychologically wounded service mem- bers.

The House bill contained no similar provi- sion.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Limitation on obligation of funds under defense health program information technology pro- grams (sec. 716)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1403) that would authorize \$26.9 billion in fi- scal year 2010 funds for the Defense Health Program (DHP) and would recommend a transfer of funds from the DHP to the Office of the Secretary of Defense from several ac- counts relating to information management, technology, and support, which is reflected in the tables.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 710) that would require the Secretary of De- fense, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to submit a report to Con- gress on the progress that has been made on the establishment of a Joint Virtual Life- time Electronic Record for members of the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained a provi- sion (sec. 1403) that would authorize \$27.9 bil- lion in fiscal year 2010 to be appropriated for the DHP.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the obligation of funds under DHP information technology programs so that not more than 50 percent of the amount remaining unobligated from certain accounts may be obligated until 30 days after the Deputy Secretary of Defense, acting in the capacity of the Chief Management Offi- cer of the Department of Defense (DOD), sub- mits a report on improvements to the gov- ernance and execution of health information management and information technology programs planned and programmed to elec- tronically support clinical medical care within the military health system. The re- port would include an assessment of the ca- pabilities of the Office of the Assistant Sec- retary of Defense for Health Affairs to carry out necessary governance, management, and development functions of such systems, and an analysis of the alternative organizations within DOD with equal or greater manage- ment capabilities for health information management and technology.

The conferees are concerned that signifi- cant doubts have been raised in relation to both health information systems perform- ance and program integrity, which require

attention and resolution at the highest levels of the Department.

The authorization for appropriations for the Defense Health Program is included elsewhere in this act.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Study and plan to improve military health care (sec. 721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the health care needs of military family members, and require the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program focused on the needs of military children and adolescents.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 716) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the access to health care of service members and other eligible beneficiaries who live in rural areas.

The Senate amendment contained two provisions (sec. 559 and sec. 560) that would require the Secretary to develop and implement a plan to expand existing Department of Defense initiatives to increase access to mental health care for family members of members of the National Guard and reserve deployed overseas during periods of mobilization, deployment, and demobilization of such members of the reserve component.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 704) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to initiate a process of improvement of the TRICARE health system.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to submit a report on the health care needs of military family members and to undertake actions to enhance the capability of the military health system and improve the TRICARE program, to include addressing access issues for National Guard and reserve members and their families and those beneficiaries living in rural areas. The amendment would also require the Secretary to submit reports on the progress made in undertaking such actions and future plans for improvement of the military health system, to include the submission of a report together with the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the DOD budget for fiscal year 2012.

The conferees note that private sector care, which was originally intended to be and is still described by the DOD as a program to fill gaps in the direct care system, now accounts for nearly 70 percent of DOD health care expenditures. The conferees recognize that several factors have contributed to the unintentional growth in private sector care: staffing shortages, mobilization, and training demands. The conferees are concerned that without appropriate planning, the effect of these factors could be an irreversible trend, placing medical readiness for future contingencies in jeopardy. The conferees believe the Secretary must develop a long-term plan to maximize the capabilities of the direct care system.

In addition, the conferees recognize that improvements to health information technology are a crucial component to improvement of the overall military health system, and note that it is addressed elsewhere in this Act. Finally, the conferees note that the requirement for the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program focused on the needs of military children and adolescents is addressed elsewhere in this Act.

Study, plan, and pilot for the mental health care needs of dependent children of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 722)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the health care

needs of military family members, and require the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program focused on the needs of military children and adolescents.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 554) that would require the Secretary to undertake a comprehensive assessment of the impacts of military deployment on dependent children of service members. The provision would also require the Secretary to conduct a comprehensive review of the mental health care and counseling services available to children of service members.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to conduct a comprehensive review of the mental health care and counseling services available to dependent children of members of the armed forces and to develop and implement a plan for improvements in access to quality mental health care and counseling services for such children. The amendment would also require the Secretary of the Army to conduct a pilot program to address the mental health care needs of military children and adolescents.

The conferees note that the requirements for the Secretary to submit a report on the health care needs of military family members and to assess the impacts of military deployment on dependent children of service members are addressed elsewhere in this Act.

Clinical trial on cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members and former members of the Armed Forces (sec. 723)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 731) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program under the TRICARE program to determine the feasibility and advisability of expanding coverage for cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members and former members of the armed forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for a clinical trial to assess the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitative therapy for members or former members of the armed forces who have been diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury incurred in the line of duty in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom.

The conferees recognize that the body of scientific knowledge on the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitative therapy is growing and intend that as part of the Department of Defense's expanded research program for wounded warriors the project required by this section will contribute to that knowledge.

Department of Defense Task Force on the Care, Management, and Transition of Recovering Wounded, Ill, and Injured Members of the Armed Forces (sec. 724)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 732) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a task force to assess the effectiveness of the policies and programs developed and implemented by the Department of Defense and each of the military departments to assist and support the care, management, and transition of recovering wounded, ill, and injured service members.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the reporting required under the provision and clarify that the task force is to be an entity separate from the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs Senior Oversight Committee.

Chiropractic clinical trials (sec. 725)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would require the Secretary of De-

fense to provide chiropractic services and benefits as a permanent part of the Defense Health Program, including the TRICARE program, for all active-duty service members.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for and report on clinical trials to be conducted by the National Institutes of Health or a similar independent academic institution to compare the outcomes of chiropractic treatment, used either exclusively or as an adjunct to other treatments, with conventional treatment, and to assess the effect of chiropractic treatment on certain service member groups.

Independent study on post-traumatic stress disorder efforts (sec. 726)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, to submit a report itemizing the current treatments of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), ongoing research, and areas for future exploration.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 733) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit a report on research related to PTSD.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to provide for a study on the treatment of PTSD to be conducted by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences or another independent entity, and a clarifying amendment.

Report on implementation of requirements on the relationship between the TRICARE program and employer-sponsored group health plans (sec. 727)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 705) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than March 31, 2010, on the implementation of the requirements of section 1097c of title 10, United States Code, relating to the relationship between the TRICARE program and employer-sponsored group health plans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the implementation of section 1097c of title 10, United States Code.

The conferees are concerned that the Secretary of Defense has not yet promulgated implementing regulations for section 1097c of title 10, United States Code, which was effective on January 1, 2008. The conferees believe that implementing regulations are essential for accurate application of the law both to employers and employees, and urge the Secretary of Defense to expedite the publication of these regulations.

Report on stipends for members of reserve components for health care for certain dependents (sec. 728)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 714) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the extent to which the Secretary has exercised the authority provided in section 704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to provide a health care stipend for members of the reserve component who are called or ordered to active duty for more than 30 days.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

National Casualty Care Research Center

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 707) that would require the Secretary of Defense to designate a National Casualty Care Research Center at the Army Medical Research and Materiel Command.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Notification of members of the Armed Forces of exposure to potentially harmful materials and contaminants

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 708) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify service members and the State military department of reserve component members who are exposed to a potentially harmful material or contaminant of the exposure and associated health risks.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Suicide among members of the Individual Ready Reserve

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 710A) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that all eligible members of the Individual Ready Reserve (IRR) receive a counseling call not less than once every 90 days, for as long as the member remains in the IRR.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on the feasibility of TRICARE Prime in certain commonwealths and territories of the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would require the Secretary of Defense to examine the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of offering TRICARE Prime in certain commonwealths and territories of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reduction of minimum distance of travel for reimbursement of covered beneficiaries of the military health care system for travel for specialty health care

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 713) that would amend section 1074i of title 10, United States Code, to change the minimum distance required for reimbursement for travel for specialty health care under TRICARE from 100 miles to 50 miles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on post-deployment health assessments of Guard and reserve members

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 714) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the feasibility of administering a post-deployment health assessment to Guard and reserve members at their home station or in the county of residence of the member.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Temporary authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan; report (sec. 801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would authorize the Secretary of

Defense to establish a preference for the acquisition of certain products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 831).

The Senate recedes with an amendment clarifying the scope of the Secretary's authority.

Assessment of improvements in service contracting (sec. 802)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct an independent assessment of improvements in the procurement and oversight of contracting for services by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) require that the study be conducted by the Defense Science Board; and (2) provide for the study to review additional issues.

Display of annual budget requirements for procurement of contract services and related clarifying technical amendments (sec. 803)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would codify and expand the requirement in section 806 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) for the Secretary of Defense to include information on contracts for services in the materials submitted to Congress in support of the President's budget request.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the reporting requirement and require the Comptroller General to conduct a review of the Department's efforts to compile an annual inventory of contract services in accordance with the requirements of section 2330a of title 10, United States Code.

The conferees note that including in the annual budget submission the total amounts for the procurement of services and the number of full-time equivalents requested by each Department of Defense component, installation or activity should provide greater clarity on amounts proposed to be spent annually on contract services. In addition, specific break-outs of how that money is obligated for each type of service should be reflected in the annual contract inventories compiled by the military departments and defense agencies. The information in the budget submission, together with the detail provided in the annual inventories, should provide the information needed for improved oversight by both the Department and Congress of the procurement of contractor services.

Implementation of new acquisition process for information technology systems (sec. 804)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 804) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to designate up to 10 information technology programs annually to be included in a demonstration of an alternative acquisition process for rapidly acquiring information technology capabilities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary to develop and implement an alternative acquisition process for the rapid acquisition of information technology systems. The new acquisition process would be designed to include, to the extent determined appropriate by the

Secretary, early and continual involvement of the user; multiple, rapidly executed increments or releases of capability; early, successive prototyping to support an evolutionary approach; and a modular, open-systems approach. The Secretary would be required to report to Congress on the new acquisition process, including a schedule for implementation and identification of the categories of information technology acquisitions to which the process will apply.

Life-cycle management and product support (sec. 805)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would have prohibited contractors from performing product support integrator functions for a major system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) require the Secretary of Defense to issue comprehensive guidance on life-cycle management and the development and implementation of product support strategies for major weapon systems; (2) require that each major weapon system be supported by a product support manager; and (3) amend section 802 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) to require that each such position be performed by a properly qualified member of the armed forces or full-time employee of the Department of Defense (DOD).

The conferees understand that product support encompasses all critical functions related to weapon-system readiness, including materiel management, distribution, technical data management, maintenance, training, cataloging, configuration management, engineering support, repair parts management, failure reporting and analyses, and reliability growth. Included within logistics and sustainment functions are the tasks normally performed as part of the logistics support required for a major weapon system that are designed to focus on such metrics as readiness, reliability, availability, mean down time, customer wait time, footprint reduction, and reduced ownership costs.

The conferees note that in implementation of this provision, the positions of product support manager, assistant program manager for logistics, deputy program manager for logistics, and system support manager shall be considered synonymous. However, the conferees emphasize that the product support manager is a separate position from the program manager with distinct responsibilities.

Additionally, the conferees in no way intend to limit DOD from establishing product support managers and comprehensive product support strategies for other acquisition programs that are not designated major weapon systems as defined by section 2302d of title 10, United States Code.

Treatment of non-defense agency procurements under joint programs with intelligence community (sec. 806)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 814) that would exclude a contract entered into by a non-defense agency for the performance of a joint program conducted to meet the needs of both the Department of Defense and the non-defense agency from the prohibition in section 801(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the provision to contracts with non-defense agencies that are members of the intelligence community, as defined in section 401a of title 50, United States Code.

Policy and requirements to ensure the safety of facilities, infrastructure, and equipment for military operations (sec. 807)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 835) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to establish appropriate health and safety standards for incorporation into contracts for the construction, installation, repair, maintenance, and operation of expeditionary facilities for use by military or civilian personnel of the Department in current and future military operations overseas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would ensure that DOD has the flexibility needed to address health and safety issues in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of military operations and the best interests of the Department of Defense.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitation

Justification and approval of sole-source contracts (sec. 811)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 802) that would require a written justification and approval for Department of Defense contracts in excess of \$20.0 million dollars that are awarded on a sole-source basis.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) clarify that this section applies specifically to procurements that are exempted by section 2304(f)(2)(D)(ii) of title 10, United States Code, from generally applicable justification and approval requirements; and (2) make the provision applicable government-wide. The conferees intend this provision to ensure that sole-source contracts may be awarded in such procurements only when those awards have been determined to be in the best interest of the Department of Defense or other agency concerned.

Revision of Defense Supplement relating to payment of costs prior to definitization (sec. 812)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would require the Secretary of Defense to revise the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation to ensure that regulatory limitations applicable to undefinitized contract actions apply to all categories of such contract actions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying the provision. The conferees agree that the limitations in the Supplement should apply to all categories of undefinitized contract actions, including undefinitized task orders and delivery orders, and undefinitized modifications to contracts, task orders, and delivery orders.

Revisions to definitions relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 813)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would clarify reporting requirements relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Amendment to notification requirements for awards of single source task or delivery orders (sec. 814)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would clarify the congressional committees required to be notified of the

award of a single source task or delivery order under section 2304a(d)(3) of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Clarification of uniform suspension and debarment requirement (sec. 815)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 814) that would clarify the applicability of a suspension or debarment decision to the award of subcontracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide that a decision to suspend or debar a contractor applies to subcontracts at any tier, other than: (1) subcontracts for commercially available off-the-shelf items; and (2) subcontracts (other than first-tier subcontracts) under contracts for commercial items.

The conferees note that contractor representatives have expressed concern about due process and coordination between federal agencies in suspension and debarment decisions. Section 2 of Executive Order 12549 requires federal agencies to follow government-wide criteria and government-wide minimum due process procedures when they act to debar or suspend contractors. The conferees expect the Department of Defense and other affected agencies to review these procedures to ensure that: (1) federal agencies coordinate with other affected federal agencies on suspension or debarment decisions, as appropriate; and (2) contractors are notified of the basis for suspension or debarment decisions and provided an opportunity to respond as early as practicable, consistent with the fundamental purpose of protecting the Federal Government and the taxpayers from unscrupulous contractors.

Extension of authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items (sec. 816)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 815) that would extend for 2 years the authority for federal agencies to use simplified acquisition procedures to acquire certain commercial items.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reporting requirements for programs that qualify as both major automated information system programs and major defense acquisition programs (sec. 817)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 816) that would address reporting requirements for programs that qualify as both major automated information system programs under chapter 144A of title 10, United States Code, and major defense acquisition programs under chapter 144 of such title.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 811).

The House recedes.

Small arms production industrial base matters (sec. 818)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 817) that would define the small arms production base to mean the persons and organizations that are engaged in the production or maintenance of small arms within the United States.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 832) that would authorize the Secretary to modify the definition of the small arms production industrial base.

House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the Secretary's authority under the provision.

The conferees understand the current small arms production industrial base is de-

finied by an Army Science Board report from 1994 entitled "Preservation of Critical Elements of the Small Arms Industrial Base" and is statutorily limited to three manufacturers. The conferees also note the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) required the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the military's requirements for sustaining and managing the small arms industrial base, however this report has yet to be submitted to Congress. The conferees recognize the need to preserve reliable sources for the development, production, and maintenance of small arms, and note the benefits full and open competition could have, particularly in the areas of small arms technological innovation and more competitive pricing in small arms and critical small arms parts manufacturing.

Contract authority for advanced component development or prototype units (sec. 819)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 819) that would authorize the use of a contract option to extend, subject to certain limitations, a basic research contract awarded on a competitive basis pursuant to a broad agency announcement, as described in section 2302(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 801).

The Senate recedes with an amendment combining the two provisions. Under the conference agreement, a covered contract may be extended for the purpose of providing advanced component development and prototyping, subject to strict limitations on time and cost. The authority to so extend contracts would expire on September 30, 2014.

Publication of notification of bundling of contracts of the Department of Defense (sec. 820)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 818) that would require the Department of Defense to publish the justification for bundling of contracts at least 30 days prior to the release of a solicitation that requires such bundling.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the publication of a notification that is consistent with existing requirements and includes a brief description of the benefits that are expected as a result of the bundling.

Subtitle C—Contractor Matters

Authority for Government support contractors to have access to technical data belonging to prime contractors (sec. 821)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 821) that would authorize the Department of Defense (DOD) to provide access to technical data delivered under a DOD contract to a support contractor providing advice and assistance to the government.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) delete the criminal penalties for disclosure of information; and (2) require the support contractor to agree to enter into a non-disclosure agreement with the contractor to whom the technical data rights belong. This modification would result in civil enforcement, rather than criminal enforcement, for violations of the non-disclosure requirements in the provision.

Extension and enhancement of authorities on the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 822)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 822) that would extend the life of

the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan and clarify the nature of the support to be provided to the Commission by the Department of Defense and other federal agencies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House bill recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Authority for Secretary of Defense to reduce or deny award fees to companies found to jeopardize health or safety of Government personnel (sec. 823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would prohibit the payment of award and incentive fees to any defense contractor that has been determined to have caused the death or serious bodily injury of Department of Defense personnel.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) require the Secretary of Defense to consider any such contractor misconduct in assessments of contractor performance; and (2) authorize the Secretary to withhold or recover all or part of award fees for the relevant period of time on the basis of the negative impact of such misconduct on contractor performance.

*Subtitle D—Acquisition Workforce Matters
Enhancement of expedited hiring authority for defense acquisition workforce positions (sec. 831)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would clarify the expedited hiring authority for the defense acquisition workforce in section 1705 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 813) that would also extend the authority to cover the period of the acquisition workforce build-up announced by the Secretary of Defense.

The House recedes with an amendment combining the two provisions.

The conferees acknowledge that there is intense competition for skilled workers and that the current hiring process is too lengthy and complicated to attract quality candidates to the acquisition workforce. Rather than continually relying on temporary authorities such as the expedited hiring authorities provided by this section, the conferees agree that the Department should undertake a comprehensive effort to redesign its hiring procedures to meet its mission needs and promote competitive job offers, including improvements in recruitment, better assessment of candidates, and timely, merit-based hiring decisions.

Funding of Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund (sec. 832)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would amend section 1705 of title 10, United States Code, to streamline and clarify the requirements for the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund (the "Fund").

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 812).

The House recedes with an amendment combining elements of the two provisions. Under the conference agreement: (1) remissions to the Fund would be made on an annual basis, rather than a quarterly basis; (2) such remissions would be made exclusively from operation and maintenance accounts; (3) the Department could transfer certain unobligated balances to the Fund, as provided in appropriations Acts; and (4) the annual amounts to be deposited in the Fund would be adjusted to reflect the funding requirements of the hiring plan announced by the Secretary of Defense.

The conferees support the Secretary's initiative to increase the size of the Depart-

ment's acquisition workforce by hiring 9,000 new government personnel and converting 11,000 contractor positions to civilian employee positions by 2015. The Fund, as revised by this section, should provide a critical tool to enable the Department to achieve this objective. The conferees conclude that the Fund must be used as intended, to increase the size of the acquisition workforce and to ensure such workforce has the appropriate skill mix rather than merely to subsidize the military departments and defense agencies in training and maintaining their existing workforces.

Review of post-employment restrictions applicable to the Department of Defense (sec. 833)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 826) that would require the Department of Defense Panel on Contracting Integrity and the National Academy of Public Administration to review and assess post-employment restrictions applicable to former Department of Defense personnel.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Review of federal acquisition workforce training and hiring (sec. 834)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 833) that would require the Comptroller General to convene a panel of experts to study the ethics, competence, and effectiveness of acquisition personnel in federal agencies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring that the Comptroller General review and report to Congress on the Acquisition Workforce Development Strategic Plan developed pursuant to Sec. 869 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417). The report shall include a review of the methodologies used to formulate the plan and the extent to which the plan identified shortcomings in the acquisition workforce, highlighted strategies needed to recruit appropriately qualified personnel, and considered the specific training and retention tools needed to professionally develop and retain such personnel.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Reports to Congress on full deployment decisions for major automated information system programs (sec. 841)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would amend section 2445b(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, to replace terms generally used for major defense acquisition programs with terms more appropriate to the major automated information system programs covered by the provision.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Authorization to take actions to correct the industrial resource shortfall for high-purity beryllium metal (sec. 842)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 825) that would correct the industrial resource shortfall for high-purity beryllium with a limitation of \$85.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1412).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on rare earth materials in the defense supply chain (sec. 843)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 828) that would require a report on the usage of rare earth materials in the supply chain of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 837).

The House recedes with an amendment combining the requirements of the two provisions.

Comptroller General report on structure and management of subcontractors under contracts for major weapon systems (sec. 844)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 831) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the management of subcontractors on Department of Defense contracts for major weapon systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the study to be conducted by the Comptroller General and clarify the issues to be addressed.

Study of the use of factors other than cost or price as the predominate factors in evaluating competitive proposals for defense procurement contracts (sec. 845)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 836) that would require the Department of Defense to justify each contract solicitation that gives greater weight to factors relating to performance than to cost or price.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General to review Department of Defense procurements in which performance-related factors are given greater weight than cost or price and to assess the extent to which the use of such weights is likely to be in the best interest of the Department.

Repeal of requirements relating to the military system essential item breakout list (sec. 846)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 836) that would repeal section 813 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136), which requires the Secretary of Defense to prepare an annual list of essential items, assemblies, and components of each military system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Extension of SBIR and STTR programs of the Department of Defense (sec. 847)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 833) that would reauthorize the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) programs of the Department of Defense (DOD) through fiscal year 2023.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would reduce the period of reauthorization of the programs to 1 year.

The conferees believe that this limited reauthorization will provide time for the passage of a more complete reauthorization of the government-wide SBIR/STTR program. The conferees note that the House and Senate committees of jurisdiction are working towards such a reauthorization. The conferees further expect that the DOD SBIR/STTR programs and the authorities for those programs will be modified by that reauthorization, including potentially changing the reauthorization period for the DOD programs established by this conference agreement. The conferees note that the Department of Defense has indicated that a 2-year reauthorization of the SBIR/STTR is not appropriate since it does not cover the length of consecutive Phase I and Phase II awards, and would compromise SBIR/STTR program planning, execution, and evaluation, and will make the program difficult to align within the broader context of the DOD program, planning, budgeting, and execution process. The conferees believe that any reauthorization of the

SBIR/STTR program should reflect these DOD concerns.

The conferees further note that DOD represents, by far, the largest SBIR/STTR program in the federal government. The conferees expect that any reauthorization of the program should strongly take into advisement concerns related to the practical executability of the authorizing statutes, the burdens they may place on limited staff and management resources, and their impact on technology development and deployment of new technologies to support the missions of the Department of Defense. The conferees expect to work closely with the committees of jurisdiction as they work towards a complete reauthorization of the government-wide SBIR/STTR program.

Extension of authority for Small Business Innovation Research Commercialization Pilot Program (sec. 848)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 834) that would make permanent the Small Business Innovation Research Commercialization Pilot Program and extend the authority to include projects under the Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) program, as requested by the Department of Defense (DOD).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the period of reauthorization to 1 year and not authorize the expansion of the successful program to include projects under the STTR program.

The conferees note that DOD has viewed this program as a success, and from the limited data available the conferees have seen no evidence to indicate otherwise. Further, the conferees understand that the National Research Council has indicated that “case studies . . . support the view that small businesses, especially less experienced small businesses, value commercialization assistance programs as a forum to present their technologies and gain information on government procurement needs.” The conferees intend to continue to review progress on this pilot program and, if appropriate, expand and reauthorize it in the future.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Additional reporting requirements for inventory relating to contracts for services

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 835) that would amend section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, to add certain additional reporting requirements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that it would be premature to add new reporting requirements to section 2330a when the Department of Defense (DOD) has not yet submitted an inventory meeting the existing requirements. Once such an inventory has been completed, Congress will have an opportunity to review the inventory to determine whether additional reporting requirements would be appropriate to facilitate DOD management or congressional oversight functions.

Comptroller General report on defense contract cost overruns

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 832) that would require the Comptroller General to conduct a study of cost overruns in the performance of Department of Defense contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has performed, and continues to perform, substantial work on cost overruns in the performance of de-

fense contracts. This work includes GAO’s annual assessment of the performance of the Department’s major defense acquisition programs.

Follow-on contracts for certain items acquired for special operations forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 830) that would have authorized the Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to award sole-source contracts in certain cases where other contract approaches would unduly delay the fielding of an item to forces preparing for or participating in overseas contingency operations or for other deployments undertaken in response to a request from a combatant commander.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Furniture standards

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 829) that would require that all Department of Defense purchases of furniture meet quality standards established by the General Services Administration.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modifications to requirement for database of information regarding the integrity and performance of persons awarded federal contracts and grants

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 834) that would amend the contractor database requirement in section 872 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 824) that would make unrelated changes to the same provision.

The conference report does not contain either provision.

The conferees agree that it would be premature to amend section 872 before the required database has been operational for a sufficient period to determine its effectiveness.

Requirement to buy military decorations, ribbons, badges, medals, insignia, and other uniform accouterments produced in the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 827) that would require that all military decorations, ribbons, badges, medals, insignia, and other uniform accouterments be produced in the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are aware of allegations that substandard medals and decorations, produced in foreign countries, may have been sold to some service members or their families. The conferees note that the production and sale of such medals and decorations would be criminal violations under existing law. Title 32, Part 507 of the Code of Regulations prohibits the manufacture or sale of heraldic items unless they are “manufactured in accordance with Government specifications using government furnished tools” by a company that has received a certificate of authority to manufacture the articles by the Institute of Heraldry. Each certified manufacturer is assigned a hallmark, which must be placed on all insignia that it manufactures. Section 704 of title 18, United States Code, establishes criminal penalties for anybody who knowingly “sells, attempts to sell, [or] advertises for sale” any medals or decorations that fail to comply with these regulations.

The conferees direct the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to investigate allegations of the sale of substandard medals

and decorations and to refer any potential violations of the applicable laws and regulations to the appropriate criminal enforcement agencies.

Small business contracting programs parity

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 838) that would amend section 31(b)(2)(B) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. Section 657a(b)(2)(B)), relating to the HUBZone small business program, to clarify that when a contract could be awarded pursuant to more than one small business program, the Department of Defense and other federal agencies have discretion as to which program to apply.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the Department of Justice has concluded that no change to the Small Business Act is required to ensure that contracting officers of the Department of Defense and other federal agencies have the discretion whether or not to award contracts pursuant to the HUBZone program. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to continue to administer the HUBZone program in a manner consistent with the Department of Justice opinion.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

Authority to allow private sector civilians to receive instruction at Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy of the Defense Cyber Crime Center (sec. 901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would permit private sector employees to receive instruction at the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy operating under the direction of the Defense Cyber Crime Center.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 932).

The Senate recedes.

Organizational structure of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity (sec. 902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 905) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report on the organizational structure of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity. The provision would also require an assessment of whether the senior personnel of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and the TRICARE Management Activity, as currently organized, are able to appropriately perform the discrete functions of policy formulation, policy and program execution, and program oversight.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees are concerned that in the area of health care, as with other elements of the Department of Defense (DOD) civilian workforce, the Department lacks plans and programs to ensure that employees possess or are able to obtain critical skills needed for the most effective administration of the Department’s \$45.0 billion military health care program. The conferees expect the Secretary, in the development of the Strategic Workforce Plan required elsewhere in this Act, to assess the skills and competencies that will be needed in the future in health care policy and administration, health economics, contracting, health information management and health information technology, and to ensure that gaps in such skills

in the DOD workforce are addressed as part of the Strategic Workforce Plan.

Sense of Congress regarding the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs (sec. 903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would amend section 139b(c) of title 10, United States Code, to have the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs report directly to the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that the Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs should report directly to the Secretary of Defense on certain issues and be included in the Deputy's Advisory Working Group.

Increased flexibility for combatant commander initiative fund (sec. 904)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would amend section 166a of title 10, United States Code, to provide the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff increased flexibility in the use of funds available under the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund (CCIF). The provision would increase the limit, from \$10.0 million to \$20.0 million, on the amount of CCIF funds in a fiscal year that may be used to purchase items. The provision would also increase the limit on the per unit cost of items that may be purchased using CCIF funds from \$15,000 to the investment unit cost threshold in effect under section 2245a of title 10, United States Code, currently \$250,000. The provision would also require the Chairman to coordinate with the Secretary of State in approving the use of CCIF funds for humanitarian and civic assistance, to include urgent and unanticipated humanitarian relief and reconstruction assistance.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision that would raise the per unit cost of items that may be purchased using CCIF funds from \$15,000 to the investment unit cost threshold in effect under section 2245a of title 10, United States Code, currently \$250,000.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Chairman to coordinate with the relevant chief of mission to the extent practicable in the use of CCIF funds for humanitarian and civic assistance, to include urgent and unanticipated humanitarian relief and reconstruction assistance.

Repeal of requirement for a Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Technology Security Policy within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy (sec. 905)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 908) that would repeal section 134b of title 10, United States Code, which establishes the position of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Technology Security Policy within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense and Assistant Secretaries of Defense (sec. 906)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 901) that would establish five Principal Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense (DUSDs), each of whom would serve as the first assistant to an Under Secretary of Defense, and each of whom would be subject to confirmation by the Senate; abolish all other DUSD provisions in the Department; and establish six new Assistant Secretary of Defense positions, subject to Senate confirmation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would establish the new Principal DUSD positions, but delay the effective date for the abolition of other DUSD positions until January 1, 2011, to provide the Secretary of Defense with an opportunity to plan for the realignment and replacement of these positions. The amendment would also authorize individuals currently serving in Principal DUSD positions to continue to serve for up to 4 years after the date of the enactment of this Act without Senate confirmation.

Subtitle B—Space Activities

Submission and review of space science and technology strategy (sec. 911)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would amend section 2271(a) of title 10, United States Code, to require that the space science and technology strategy submitted by the Secretary of Defense be modified to an annual reporting requirement and include a transition plan for new space technologies. In addition, the provision would direct the Comptroller General to review the first strategy within 90 days of the date the strategy is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the space science and technology strategy to be developed and submitted jointly by the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence. In addition, the amendment would require the strategy to be submitted biennially, in odd-numbered years, with the first strategy to be submitted in 2011 for fiscal year 2012. The first strategy would be submitted with the budget request for fiscal year 2012.

The Comptroller General review would be due 90 days after the first strategy is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

Both the strategy and Comptroller General review should also be submitted to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

Provision of space situational awareness services and information to non-United States Government entities (sec. 912)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 911) that would modify section 2274 of title 10, United States Code, to make the program known as the commercial and foreign entities (CFE) program a permanent program. The provision included an exemption from the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) to exclude from disclosure any data or analyses provided pursuant to a space situational awareness (SSA) agreement, as well as the SSA agreement itself.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 912).

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the exemption from the FOIA. In addition, the amendment would require that the Secretary of Defense notify the congressional defense committees if any commercial or foreign entity has declined or is reluctant to provide SSA data or information due to concerns about potential disclosure.

The CFE program was originally started as a pilot program to allow the Department of Defense (DOD), working through the Air Force, to provide non-United States Government entities, including commercial entities, State and local governments, and foreign governments and entities, SSA data to among other things, avoid damage to satellites in space. This provision will expand the CFE program to allow the DOD to receive SSA data and information from com-

mercial and foreign entities if the Secretary of Defense determines that it is in the national security interest to do so. Any data provided would be provided pursuant to SSA agreements.

The commercial and foreign entities, including satellite owners and operators, are under no obligation to provide any data to the DOD under SSA agreements. Not only would the information be voluntarily provided, but in many instances, the SSA data or information could be proprietary, business sensitive, or trade secrets. In evaluating whether an agency may protect certain kinds of financial or commercial information from public release under the FOIA, the courts have looked at whether the information was provided to the government voluntarily or under compulsion.

The conferees believe that the current exemptions in law pertaining to the FOIA are adequate to protect the SSA data and information, related analysis, and the agreements under which the data and information are provided from disclosure.

Management and funding strategy and implementation plan for the National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System Program (sec. 913)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 912) that would direct the Secretaries of Defense and Commerce, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to jointly develop a plan for the management and funding of the National Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System (NPOESS). The plan would include the NPOESS requirements, the management structure, and the funding profile for each participating agency.

The provision would also prohibit the obligation and expenditure of more than 50 percent of the Air Force funds available for the NPOESS program until the plan has been submitted to the relevant congressional committees.

The provision would also set forth an extensive sense of the Senate with respect to the NPOESS program including that the NPOESS program should be maintained as an operational satellite.

The House bill contained no similar provision, although the report accompanying the House bill did include language directing the Executive Agent for Space at the Department of Defense (DOD) to develop options for restructuring the program. These options, with an accompanying evaluation of such options, would be due to the congressional defense committees by October 1, 2009.

The House recedes with an amendment that would direct the President to develop a strategy for the management and funding of the NPOESS program that would include a funding profile for each year of the program by department or agency. The President would also be required to develop an implementing plan to carry out the management and funding strategy.

The amendment would prohibit the Air Force from spending more than 50 percent of the funds available for NPOESS until the management and funding strategy is submitted to the relevant congressional committees. When the strategy is submitted the Air Force would be prohibited from spending more than 75 percent of the funds available to it for NPOESS until the implementation plan is submitted to the relevant congressional committees.

The conferees believe that this two step process of a management and funding strategy followed by an implementing plan is consistent with the direction provided to the NPOESS agencies by the Executive Office of the President.

The conferees are deeply concerned about the current status of the NPOESS program, a technically complex, expensive program that is behind schedule and over budget, with a complicated management structure and the funding split between two agencies, DOD and the Department of Commerce. Although the approach to resolving the NPOESS issues adopted by the Executive Office of the President will take longer than the conferees would like to see, the conferees acknowledge that there are many issues to be resolved.

The conferees also want to express their strongly held view that this program has suffered greatly from the past management approach and that once a path forward is developed, all involved departments and agencies should stick with the plan. The conferees are very concerned that if the disagreements amongst the departments and agencies continue, the NPOESS program runs the risk of being truncated into several different programs that will cost more in the long run and potentially damage all the communities NPOESS serves.

The conferees agree that this provision would obviate the NPOESS reporting requirement included in the House report accompanying the House bill.

Subtitle C—Intelligence-Related Matters

Inclusion of Defense Intelligence Agency in authority to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations (sec. 921)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 921) that would provide the same authority accorded the military departments to use proceeds from counterintelligence operations to offset necessary and reasonable expenses incurred in such operations under section 423 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Plan to address foreign ballistic missile intelligence analysis (sec. 922)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to conduct an assessment of foreign ballistic missile intelligence gaps and shortfalls, and to develop a plan to ensure that the appropriate intelligence centers have sufficient analytical capabilities to address such gaps and shortfalls. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the assessment and plan, including a description of the resources needed to implement the plan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Implementation strategy for developing leap-ahead cyber operations capabilities (sec. 931)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would establish a joint program office for cyber operations capabilities to assist the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) in improving the development of leap-ahead capabilities for offensive and defensive cyber operations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the USD(AT&L) to report on a strategy for organizing the research and development bodies of the Department of Defense to develop leap-ahead cyber operations capabilities.

Defense integrated military human resources system development and transition (sec. 932)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 932) that would establish a Defense Inte-

grated Military Human Resources System (DIMHRS) transition council and require an annual report on DIMHRS transition activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the composition, meeting frequency, and duties of the transition council.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense (DOD) has invested nearly \$1.0 billion in the development of DIMHRS, which was intended to be a single integrated pay and personnel information system for the Department. To this point, the DIMHRS program has not yet been successfully developed or deployed due to a number of technical and organizational difficulties. The conferees believe that the transition council required by the provision will help ease the development and transition issues that face the program, by creating a senior level body to adjudicate the funding, architectural, process, and other technical issues that plague enterprise information systems programs. The conferees believe that the council can be easily integrated into the DIMHRS governance system that is being developed currently by DOD.

The conferees direct that the report required under this section should specifically address: implementation status of integrated pay and personnel systems in defense organizations, description of developmental and operational testing activities for the systems, plans and progress in terminating the use and support of legacy pay and personnel information systems, and identification of resources to be invested by all organizations involved in the development of integrated pay and personnel systems.

Report on special operations command organization, manning, and management (sec. 933)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 934) that would require the Commander of the U.S. Special Operations Command to submit to the congressional defense committees a report and recommendations on the Commander's efforts to provide increased special operations capability through organization, manning, and management of special operations forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that requires the Commander of the U.S. Special Operations Command to submit the report and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense within 120 days of enactment of this Act. The amendment further requires that the Secretary forward to the congressional defense committees the report and recommendations with any appropriate comments within 30 days of receipt.

Study on the recruitment, retention, and career progression of uniformed and civilian military cyber operations personnel (sec. 934)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 935) that would require a report from the Secretary of Defense assessing the challenges to recruitment, retention, and professional development of cyber operations personnel.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the report to describe efforts to establish public-private partnerships to meet the cyber operations personnel needs of the Department, to assess the required levels of experience and training of cyber operations personnel, and to include recommendations for legislative changes.

Plan on access to national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems (sec. 935)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require that the

Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Transportation, after consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to jointly develop a plan for providing expanded access to the national airspace for unmanned aircraft systems of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Recognition of and support for state defense forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 936) that would amend section 109 of title 32, United States Code, to recognize state defense forces as integral military components of the homeland security effort of the United States, while reaffirming that those forces would remain entirely state regulated, organized and equipped exclusively for the purposes of homeland security at the local level.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The house recedes.

Recommendations to Congress by members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 909) that would amend section 151(f) of title 10, United States Code, to require the members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, individually or collectively, in their capacity as military advisors, to provide advice to Congress on a particular matter when Congress requests such advice.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense has repeatedly failed to provide information and documents to Congress in a timely manner as required by law. In order to exercise its legislative and oversight responsibilities, it is important that congressional defense committees and other appropriate committees of Congress receive testimony, briefings, and other communications of information. The conferees remind those uniformed military officers subject to confirmation by the Senate of their obligations as a result of confirmation. In particular, the conferees remind these officers of their affirmative answers to the following questions: Do you agree to provide documents, including copies of electronic forms of communication, in a timely manner when requested by a duly constituted committee, or to consult with the committee regarding the basis for any good faith delay or denial in providing such documents? Do you agree, when asked, to give your personal views, even if those views differ from the administration in power?

Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and the Marine Corps and change the title of its secretary to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reestablishment of position of Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 904) that would amend chapter 1011 of title 10, United States Code, to reestablish the position of the Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

A separate provision in this Act would require the Secretary of Defense to include an assessment of this provision in a report on general and flag officers.

Role of the Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command regarding personnel management policy and plans affecting special operations forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would modify section 167 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretaries of the military services to coordinate certain personnel management policy and plans affecting special operations personnel with the Commander of the U.S. Special Operations Command.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense is in the process of finalizing a revised Department of Defense Directive which will establish a means by which the service secretaries and the Commander of the U.S. Special Operations Command can coordinate on personnel management policy and plans as they relate to accessions, assignments, compensation, promotions, professional development, readiness, retention, sustainment, and training of all special operations personnel.

The conferees appreciate this approach, but remain concerned that the intent of the Department's policy revision may not be fully realized without strong implementation procedures. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the service secretaries, to establish procedures to implement the revised policy and report the implementation plans to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Special operations activities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would revise section 167 of title 10, United States Code, defining the activities of special operations forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees understand that the Department recently updated its policy related to special operations activities to better reflect the current mission requirements of the U.S. Special Operations Command. Given this change, the conferees expect the Department to submit a legislative proposal in the next budgetary cycle recommending any appropriate legislative changes to the special operations activities defined in section 167 of title 10, United States Code.

United States Military Cancer Institute

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 931) that would amend chapter 104 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish a United States Military Cancer Institute in the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Fiscal year 2011 congressional budget justification documents for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a report documenting the total amount of counterdrug assistance that foreign countries have received in fiscal years (FY) 2009 and 2010 under section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 1991 (Public Law 101-510), as

amended, and section 1033 of the NDAA for FY 1998 (Public Law 105-85), as amended, on a per country basis and organized by the location of that country within a combatant command's area of responsibility. At a minimum, the conferees believe this report should include: recipient partner nation and recipient within the partner nation's government (i.e. national police, border patrol, customs enforcement, etc...); type and level of support provided; expected duration; and entity (i.e. Department of Defense, Department of State, Drug Enforcement Administration, contractor, etc...) executing said support.

The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to provide the same type of information for the proposed budget for FY 2011. The FY 2011 information should be provided in a report that should be presented to the congressional defense committees on the same date as the budget justification materials for the FY 2011 are presented to Congress.

Information operations and strategic communications programs

The conferees note that the Department of Defense's (DOD) funding for strategic communication and information operations (IO) programs has steadily increased over the past 8 years. Since the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, the U.S. Government, according to the Government Accountability Office, has spent at least \$10.0 billion on these communications efforts designed to advance the interests of the United States. The DOD's funding for these programs has grown from approximately \$103.3 million in fiscal year 2006 to approximately \$626.0 million in fiscal year 2010. The conferees note that much of this funding is for IO and psychological operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, but a growing portion is for broader purposes or is focused on other geographic regions.

The conferees also note that the congressional defense committees have all raised similar concerns about the Department's strategic communications and IO activities. These concerns include the fact that the Department's policy oversight mechanisms have not kept pace with the growth in the funding, scope, and variety of IO and strategic communications activities. For example, the disestablishment of the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Support to Public Diplomacy has left a void within the Department and potentially across the interagency inhibiting the comprehensive, enterprise-wide oversight and coordination of these programs.

The conferees believe IO and strategic communications programs are important activities and are aware of numerous successes in the Iraq and Afghanistan theaters of operations from tactical IO and psychological operations. The conferees doubt, however, that DOD's IO and strategic communications activities outside of those theaters are adequately integrated and considered carefully enough within broader strategic and operational planning across the whole of government. Further, the conferees remind the Department that such activities executed outside of Iraq and Afghanistan should be coordinated closely with the respective chiefs of mission.

Given the consistent level of concern and interest in these programs by Congress and military leadership, the conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Chief Financial Officer to develop separate budget documentation materials to accompany the fiscal year 2011 budget request and any future supplemental budget requests for IO and strategic communications programs.

While Congress awaits delivery of the report on strategic communication and public

diplomacy activities of the Federal Government required under section 1055 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), the conferees further direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to report to the congressional defense committees 90 days after the enactment of this Act with a reassessment of the Department's efforts to develop an enterprise-wide oversight and coordination mechanism, including strategic objectives and metrics for IO and strategic communications programs.

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

General transfer authority (sec. 1001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to make transfers between any amounts of authorizations for fiscal year 2010 in Division A of this Act. This section would limit the total amount transferred under this authority to \$5.0 billion with an exception that a transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted against the dollar limitation. This section would also require prompt notification to Congress of each transfer made.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1001) that would provide \$4.0 billion in transfer authority.

The House recedes.

Relationship of the Quadrennial Defense Review and the annual budget request (sec. 1002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would express the sense of Congress that the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) should not be budget constrained and would also amend section 118(a) of title 10, United States Code, stipulating that the existence of an ongoing QDR does not exempt the Department of Defense from submitting annual budget materials as required by law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would strike the sense of Congress and would add a subsection (h) to section 118 of title 10, United States Code, clarifying that the development of the QDR should not interfere with or delay delivery of budget materials and congressional reporting requirements tied to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

Audit readiness of financial statements of the Department of Defense (sec. 1003)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to achieve a full, unqualified audit of the Department of Defense by September 30, 2013.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would require the Secretary to develop a plan to ensure that the financial statements of the Department are validated as ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to ensure that the financial statements of the Department of Defense are validated as ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017, and to establish interim objectives, including objectives for the audit readiness of each of the military departments and a schedule of milestones for elements of the military departments and financial statements of the military departments to be made ready for audit.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

Unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia (sec. 1011)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011(b)) that would extend for 1 fiscal year

the continuation of the authorities provided in section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2005 (Public Law 108-375), as amended most recently by section 1023 of the Duncan Hunter NDAA for FY 2009 (Public Law 110-417), which allows the Department of Defense to support a unified campaign against narcotics trafficking and activities by the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia; the United Self-Defense Forces of Colombia; and the National Liberation Army.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1023).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Joint task forces support to law enforcement agencies conducting counterterrorism activities (sec. 1012)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would extend, by 1 year, the support by joint task forces under section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136), as most recently amended by section 1022 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1022) that would extend the authority as well and require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report evaluating the effect on counterdrug and counterterrorism activities and objectives of using counterdrug funds of a joint task force to provide counterterrorism support, a description of the type of support and recipient(s) of support provided, and a list of current joint task forces conducting counterdrug operations.

The House recedes with a technical amendment to the Senate amendment.

Reporting requirement on expenditures to support foreign counter-drug activities (sec. 1013)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011(a)) that would extend, by 1 year, the reporting requirement on expenditures to support foreign counterdrug activities under section 1022(a) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398), as most recently amended by section 1021 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1014)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011(c)) that would extend by 1 fiscal year (FY) the duration of authority for assistance under section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 1998 (Public Law 105-85), as most recently amended by section 1024 of the Duncan Hunter NDAA for FY 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1023) that would also extend this authority. The provision would also increase the funding limitation under section 1033 from \$75.0 million to \$100.0 million for fiscal year 2010; and would require more regular reports to the congressional defense committees.

The conference agreement includes the 1-year extension of the authority.

The House recedes with an amendment that would incorporate the enhanced reporting requirements from the Senate amendment.

Border coordination centers in Afghanistan and Pakistan (sec. 1015)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would prohibit the use of drug

interdiction and counterdrug funds of the Department of Defense for the construction, expansion, repair, or operation and maintenance of any existing or proposed border coordination center. The House bill also prohibited the construction of a third Border Coordination Center in the area of operations for Regional Command East, Afghanistan, until a Border Coordination Center is, at least, under construction in Baluchistan, Pakistan, or the area of operations of Regional Command South, Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the Secretary of Defense to waive the first limitation of the provision should he determine it to be vital for the national security interests of the United States.

Comptroller General report on effectiveness of accountability measures for assistance from counter-narcotics central transfer account (sec. 1016)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1014) that would require the Comptroller General to present a report to the appropriate defense committees within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, which would: describe the performance evaluation system of the Department of Defense for measuring the effectiveness of the Department of Defense's counterdrug activities; assess the ability of this system to measure such activities effectively; and recommend improvements to such a system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

Sense of Congress on the maintenance of a 313-ship Navy (sec. 1021)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Navy should achieve and maintain the goal of having a 313-ship fleet.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) make the provision a sense of Congress; and (2) state that the Navy should meet its current requirement for a 313-ship fleet until such time that modifications to the Navy's surface fleet force structure are warranted, and the Secretary of the Navy provides Congress with a justification of any proposed modifications, supported by rigorous and sufficient analysis.

Designation of U.S.S. Constitution as America's Ship of State (sec. 1022)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1014) that would designate the USS Constitution as "America's Ship of State," and state the sense of Congress that the members of the Executive and Legislative Branches of the Federal Government should use the vessel for official functions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Temporary reduction in minimum number of operational aircraft carriers (sec. 1023)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would provide a temporary waiver in the requirement in section 5306(b) of title 10, United States Code, that the Navy maintain at least 11 operational aircraft carriers. The provision also would require that the Secretary of Defense provide a report on additional risks, as assessed by the commanders of the combatant commands, resulting from that reduction.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1011) that would provide a

temporary waiver, but not require any report.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress concerning the disposition of Submarine NR-1 (sec. 1024)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1049) that would state the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should ensure that as much of the vessel NR-1 as possible is maintained at the Submarine Force Museum and Library.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Requirements, Authorities, and Limitations

Prohibition relating to propaganda (sec. 1031)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would prohibit the expenditure of Department of Defense funds for publicity or propaganda purposes within the United States not specifically authorized by law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees intend the term "publicity or propaganda," as used in this section, to have the meaning given to such term in decisions of the Government Accountability Office on this subject.

Responsibility for preparation of biennial global positioning system report (sec. 1032)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1055) that would shift the responsibility for preparation of the biennial Global Positioning System report from the Secretary of Defense to the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Transportation, in their capacity as co-chairs of the National Executive Committee for Space-Based Positioning, Navigation and Timing. The provision would also direct that the report be provided to additional congressional committees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reports on bandwidth requirements for major defense acquisition programs and major system acquisition programs (sec. 1033)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1057) that would amend section 1047(d) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) to require a report on the bandwidth determinations made each year by the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence for each major defense acquisition program and each major systems acquisition program respectively.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Additional duties for advisory panel on Department of Defense capabilities for support of civil authorities after certain incidents (sec. 1034)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1059) that would amend section 1082(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 337) to provide for additional duties for the advisory panel required by section 1082. The provision would require the panel to assess the adequacy of the process and methodology by which the Department of Defense establishes, maintains, and resources forces to provide support to civil authorities in the event of a chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosive incident. The provision would also require the panel to assess the adequacy of the resources planned and programmed by

the Department to ensure the preparedness and capability of its forces to provide such support.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Charter for the National Reconnaissance Office (sec. 1035)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to jointly submit to the congressional intelligence and defense committees a revised charter for the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish February 1, 2010, as the required date for submission of the report and would clarify the requirement to address the NRO role in the requirements process. The conferees agree that the NRO, as the agency responsible for acquisition of space reconnaissance systems for the intelligence community, should not develop or define operational requirements. The conferees agree that the NRO should provide technical and cost-estimating support to the requirements process, and must translate approved system performance requirements into design requirements and engineering specifications.

National strategic five-year plan for improving the nuclear forensic and attribution capabilities of the United States (sec. 1036)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would direct the President to develop a strategic plan for improving over a 5 year period the nuclear forensic and attribution capability of the United States and the methods, capabilities and capacity for nuclear materials forensics and attribution.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The managers note that the Department of Homeland Security is the agency tasked with responsibility to coordinate the actions of the federal agencies. As such, the Secretary of Homeland Security should be the lead secretary in preparing the plan with the approval and participation of the Secretaries of Defense, Energy, and State, the Attorney General, the Director of National Intelligence, and other such officials as the President considers appropriate. The plan is to be submitted to Congress 180 days after date of enactment of this Act.

Authorization of appropriations for payments to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense (sec. 1037)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would authorize payments for salary increases for Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense based on survey data for fiscal years 2006 and 2007 under certain limited circumstances.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Prohibition on interrogation of detainees by contractor personnel (sec. 1038)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 823) that would provide that the interrogation of detainees during or in the aftermath of hostilities is an inherently governmental function that cannot be transferred to private sector contractors.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would prohibit contractor employees from conducting the interrogation of detain-

ees in connection with hostilities, subject to a limited waiver authority.

The conferees note that the provision would permit the continued use of contractors to perform supporting functions such as providing training to government interrogators and supplying advice in the development of government interrogation plans, provided that contractor employees are subject to rules, procedures, policies, and laws pertaining to detainee operations and interrogations, and are supervised by appropriately qualified and trained government personnel.

Notification and access of International Committee of the Red Cross with respect to detainees at Theater Internment Facility at Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan (sec. 1039)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1055) that would require the head of a military service or department that has control of the Bagram Theater Internment Facility (BTIF) in Afghanistan, or the head of a federal department or agency that has custody or effective control of any individual detained at that facility, to notify the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) of the detention of any individual at that facility as soon as possible and that the ICRC be given access to such an individual within 24 hours of the receipt of an ICRC request.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the head of a military service or department that has custody or effective control of the BTIF, or of any individual detained at that facility, to notify the ICRC of the detention of an individual at the BTIF as soon as practicable. The amendment would also require that the ICRC be provided prompt access to any individual detained at the BTIF upon receipt of an ICRC request. If, however, access to the individual is temporarily denied due to reasons of imperative military necessity, consistent with Article 126 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, the ICRC would be granted access as soon thereafter as practicable, normally no later than the next regularly scheduled ICRC visit. The conferees take no position on whether the provisions of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War apply to detainees at the BTIF.

No Miranda warnings for al Qaeda terrorists (sec. 1040)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1036) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the impact of the reading of rights under *Miranda v. Arizona* (384 U.S. 436 (1966)) to detainees in Afghanistan on United States military and intelligence operations in that country.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would prohibit the reading of such rights to enemy belligerents, subject to certain limitations.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the two provisions.

Limitation on use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1041)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using Department of Defense (DOD) funds to transfer or release any detainee at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States until 120 days after the President submits a disposition plan for any such detainee. The provision would specify elements of that plan, including a proposal for disposition; an assessment of the risks posed to U.S. national security; a plan for mitigating those

risks; and the results of required consultations with the chief executives of the state, District of Columbia, territory or possession to which a detainee is to be transferred or released.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the use of funds available to DOD from October 1, 2009, until December 31, 2010, to release any Guantanamo detainee into the United States, its territories, or possessions. The amendment would also prohibit the use of funds available to DOD from October 1, 2009, until December 31, 2010, for the transfer of any Guantanamo detainee into the United States until 45 days after the President submits a comprehensive plan for the disposition of any such detainee. In addition to the elements of the plan required under the House bill, the amendment would require that the plan also include: a certification by the Attorney General that the detainee poses little or no security risk to the United States given the mitigation that the plan provides; the location or locations at which the detainee would be held, including should the detainee be convicted, the place of incarceration; and the costs associated with executing the risk mitigation plan, including any technical and financial assistance to state and local law enforcement necessary to carry out that plan.

Additional subpoena authority for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (sec. 1042)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1056) that would authorize the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to subpoena the testimony of a witness, where necessary to carry out an audit or investigation, unless disapproved by the Attorney General.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require notice to the Attorney General, but would not make subpoenas subject to the Attorney General's disapproval.

Limitations on modifications of certain government furnished equipment; one time authority to transfer certain military prototype (sec. 1043)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would direct the senior military officer of each military service, in consultation with the senior acquisition executive of each military department, to develop and prescribe guidance for conducting test and evaluation efforts of experimental military prototypes. This guidance would allow for the testing of equipment or systems that have been modified from their original condition for the purpose of developing new technology or improving system capability. The provision would require that the secretary of each military department submit a report detailing the development of the required guidance within 12 months of the date of enactment of the Act.

Additionally, the House provision would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer to Piasecki Aircraft Corporation of Essington, Pennsylvania, all rights, title, and interest to Navy aircraft N40VT (Bureau Number 163283) under certain conditions.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1081) that would merely authorize the Secretary to transfer to Navy aircraft N40VT to Piasecki Aircraft Corporation, also under certain conditions.

The Senate recedes with technical amendments.

Subtitle E—Studies and Reports

Report on statutory compliance of the report on the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review (sec. 1051)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would require the Comptroller General to issue a report on the degree to which the report of the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) complies with the requirements found in section 118(d) of title 10, United States Code, as amended. It would also require the Secretary of Defense to issue a report addressing the elements of the report of the QDR that the Comptroller General determined were insufficiently addressed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment. The conferees intend that in the required report the Comptroller General should determine whether the Secretary's report of the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review directly addresses, indirectly addresses, or does not address each of the items identified in section 118(d) of title 10, United States Code, as amended.

For purposes of this report, the conferees intend for "directly addresses" to mean that the required element is easily identified and the report of the QDR clearly articulates the position of the Department of Defense (DOD). "Indirectly addresses" is meant to convey that the required element is present in one or more places within the report of the QDR, but the DOD position is not easily inferred. "Does not address" means that there is no mention of the required element.

If the Comptroller General's report required by this section does determine that the report of the QDR did fail to directly address a required element, the conferees expect that the Secretary will directly address those elements in the second report required by this section.

Report on the force structure findings of the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review (sec. 1052)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report concurrently with the report on the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) containing the analyses used to determine and support the findings on force structure in the QDR.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Annual report on the electronic warfare strategy of the Department of Defense (sec. 1053)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1037) that would require an annual report on various aspects of the Defense Department's strategy for electronic warfare.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would sunset the requirement for an annual report after 5 years.

Study on a system for career development and management of interagency national security professionals (sec. 1054)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1040) that would require an independent study to address the design and implementation of an interagency system for the career development and support of national security professionals.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on nuclear aspirations of non-state entities, nuclear weapons and related programs in non-nuclear weapons states and countries not parties to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and certain foreign persons (sec. 1055)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would direct the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) to prepare a national intelligence estimate (NIE) on nuclear weapons and related programs of non-nuclear weapons state parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) and the weapons aspirations of such non-state actors as the DNI considers appropriate to include in the estimate. The NIE would be due on September 1, 2010. If the DNI determines that it is not possible to complete the NIE by such date then the DNI shall provide notification not later than August 1 2010, that the NIE will be late and the date that the NIE will be submitted. The completed NIE would be submitted to the congressional defense committees and the intelligence committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would direct the DNI to complete a biennial report on the nuclear weapons programs and any related programs of countries that are non-state parties to the NPT and countries that are not parties to the NPT.

Because the conferees recognize that elements of the required report may be included in other reports prepared by the intelligence community for this particular report only, the conferees have agreed to allow the DNI to incorporate by reference other reports. The conferees expect that when this option is exercised the DNI will include in the report required by this provision a summary of the report included by reference and an update if needed. In addition, a copy of the referenced report should be included when the required report is submitted. The conferees direct that this report be coordinated amongst the member agencies of the intelligence community.

Comptroller General review of Department of Defense spending in final fiscal quarters (sec. 1056)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1074) that would require the Comptroller General to review the Department of Defense (DOD) in the final quarter of fiscal year 2009 to determine whether DOD policies contributed to hastened year-end spending and poor use or waste of taxpayer dollars.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying the issues to be addressed in the review.

The conferees note that in 1979 and 1980, the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs, Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, held hearings and issued a report, "Hurry-Up Spending", in which it found that "the rush to obligate expiring funds before the end of the fiscal year frequently resulted in lack of competition, poorly defined statements of work, inadequately negotiated contacts, and the procurement of low-priority items or services." The subcommittee's work contributed to the enactment of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984 (P.L. 98-369, Div B., title VIII). A follow-up review conducted by the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in 1998 concluded that "systemic procurement reforms addressed most of the issues raised in the Subcommittee's report," but that some problems persisted. The conferees conclude that, with the passage of 10 more years, another GAO follow-up review is justified.

Report on Air America (sec. 1057)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1075) that would require a report from the Director of National Intelligence within 180 days of enactment of this Act on the advisability of providing Federal retirement benefits to United States citizens who were employed by Air America prior to 1977.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on defense travel simplification (sec. 1058)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1077) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth a comprehensive plan to simplify defense travel procedures.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add to the reporting requirements a discussion of any actions underway to incorporate permanent duty travel into the automated web-based Defense Travel System (DTS), a plan to gather data on the number of temporary duty vouchers being processed manually by the Department of Defense, and options to leverage industry capabilities and technologies that could enhance management responsiveness to changing markets.

The conferees believe that these amendments will provide the Department a foundation to improve and simplify defense travel procedures in a way that will benefit service members and reduce travel-related costs. The conferees note that the House report accompanying H.R. 2647 (H. Rept. 111-166) requires a report from the Secretary of Defense on the Department's progress in making DTS the single online system for arranging defense travel. The conferees believe that the information in this report will assist the Department's reform efforts by providing an assessment of DTS, a single system that can be further simplified and streamlined.

Report on modeling and simulation industrial base (sec. 1059)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1078) that would require a report on modeling and simulation industrial base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on enabling capabilities for special operations forces (sec. 1060)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1079) that would require the Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command, jointly with the commanders of the combatant commands and the chiefs of the services, to submit to the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff a report on the availability of enabling capabilities to support the requirements of special operations forces. The Secretary would then be required to forward the report to the congressional defense committees with any additional comments the Secretary considers appropriate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Additional members and duties for the independent panel to assess the Quadrennial Defense Review (sec. 1061)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would create a separate 12-member, bipartisan National Defense Panel to review the work of the Department of Defense

on the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR). It would further require the panel to provide its recommendations and findings in interim and final reports to Congress and the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1089) that would add eight congressionally appointed members to the Independent Panel required by section 118(f) of title 10, United States Code, and would expand the duties of that panel.

The House recedes with an amendment that would strike the findings and sense of Congress, clarify and expand the tasks of the Independent Panel, and change the Panel's reporting requirements and timeline for the 2009 QDR.

The conferees agree that an independent review of the Quadrennial Defense Review is a useful part of this important strategic assessment and that such a review by a bipartisan independent panel of experts should build confidence in the objectivity and comprehensiveness of the Department's analytical processes, findings, and recommendations. Conferees acknowledge, however, that there is not enough time to create a separate National Defense Panel without risking the availability of such a panel's report in time for full and careful consideration during Congress's fiscal year 2011 defense authorization bill legislative cycle. The conferees do note that the Department of Defense's charter for the independent panel commits to providing the funds, staff, access to information, and analytical support necessary to assure the independence of the panel and timely completion.

The conferees believe that an analysis of different force structure options suitable to meet the national security challenges identified in the review is essential for Congress to make informed decisions as it raises and sustains the Nation's military forces. An important input into that decision-making process is an understanding of the cost comparison between options. The conferees understand that a fully formed budget proposal for each of the options the panel may consider is well beyond the panel's capabilities, given its resources. Nevertheless, the conferees expect that the panel could provide a cost comparison of the force structure options to the force structure recommended in the review in a general way. A graduated scale that ranges from "considerably less expensive" to "considerably more expensive" is one example of how the panel might make such a comparison.

The conferees agree that the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives will study the processes, outcomes, and lessons from the conduct of the 2009 QDR and Independent Panel and consider options for potential changes to future QDR's and their independent review, to include the possibility of the formation of a National Defense Panel in lieu of the independent panel currently provided in statute. The conferees note that elsewhere in this bill the Comptroller General is tasked to report on the degree to which the 2009 QDR addresses statutorily required assessments and recommendations which will contribute significantly to Congress's consideration of any change to current QDR law.

Congressional earmarks relating to the Department of Defense (sec. 1062)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1039) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the extent to which competitive or merit-based procedures were used to award contracts based on congressional earmarks and if not, why not.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1051) that would also re-

quire a report by the Department of Defense Inspector General on compliance with restrictions on lobbying with appropriated funds by recipients of congressional earmarks.

The House recedes with an amendment combining the requirements of the two provisions.

Report on basing plans for certain United States geographic combatant commands (sec. 1063)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report concurrently with the report on the 2009 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) required by section 118 of title 10, United States Code, which describes the plan for basing forces in Europe. The report would be required to be submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs. This section also requires that the Secretary of Defense notify Congress at least 30 days prior to permanently relocating a unit stationed outside the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that makes this reporting requirement applicable to all geographic combatant commands.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Extension of certain authority for making rewards for combating terrorism (sec. 1071)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would extend for 1 year the authority provided in section 127b(c)(3)(C) of title 10, United States Code, to offer and make rewards through government personnel of allied forces to persons who provide information or nonlethal assistance that is beneficial to operations against international terrorism conducted by U.S. Armed Forces or allied forces operating in combination with U.S. Armed Forces, or is beneficial to force protection.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1053).

The conference report includes this provision.

Business process reengineering (sec. 1072)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would require the Department of Defense to undertake business process reengineering efforts before approving the acquisition of a new business system. The provision would also require the Department to ensure that appropriate business process reengineering efforts are undertaken for business system acquisitions that are already under way.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1073)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would make technical and clerical amendments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment adding additional technical and clerical changes.

Extension of sunset for congressional commission on the strategic posture of the United States (sec. 1074)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would extend the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States (Commission) for 1 year and require the Commission to submit a follow-on report to their original report.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1088) that would also extend the Commission for 1 year and that

would allow the Commission to conduct public outreach. The Senate provision would not require a follow-on report.

The conferees agree to include a provision that would extend the Commission for 3 months until December 31, 2009. This extension is to allow the Commission to discuss the findings and conclusions in its final report with officials in the Department of Defense (DOD) as the DOD prepares its Nuclear Posture Review and Quadrennial Defense Review.

The conferees would like to thank the commissioners for their hard work in examining the many contentious issues, striving to achieve consensus where possible and explaining the nature of the differences where consensus was not possible.

Combat air forces restructuring (sec. 1075)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from retiring additional legacy fighter aircraft, announced in the Combat Air Forces restructuring plan on May 18, 2009, until the Secretary: (1) submits a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives; and (2) waits 90 days. The provision would also include requirements for continued funding of aircraft operations for the aircraft identified in the restructuring plan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) shorten the waiting period to 30 days; and (2) eliminate the requirements for continued funding.

Sense of Congress regarding carrier air wing force structure (sec. 1076)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would express the sense of Congress that the Navy should achieve and maintain the goal of having a 10 carrier air wings with 44 strike-fighter aircraft.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the provision to state that the Navy should meet its current requirement for 10 carrier air wings with 44 strike-fighter aircraft until such time that modifications to the carrier air wing force structure are warranted, and the Secretary of the Navy provides Congress with a justification of any proposed modifications, supported by rigorous and sufficient analysis.

Department of Veterans Affairs use of service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities (sec. 1077)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1084) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct a 3-year pilot program to assess the benefits, feasibility, and advisability of using service dogs for the treatment or rehabilitation of veterans with physical or mental injuries or disabilities, including post-traumatic stress disorder.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the pilot program to a study and clarify that the Secretary shall partner with organizations that would not charge veterans participating in the study for the dogs, services, and lodging that they provide.

Plan for sustainment of land-based solid rocket motor industrial base (sec. 1078)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1083) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to review and establish a plan to sustain the solid rocket motor industrial base to maintain and sustain currently

deployed strategic and missile defense systems and to maintain an intellectual and engineering capacity to support next-generation rocket motors as needed. The report would be required no later than March 1, 2010, and would include an expenditure plan for how the fiscal year 2010 funds will be used to support the plan.

The House bill contained no similar provision, although the House report accompanying the House bill did include a requirement that the Secretary of Defense submit a plan to Congress to sustain the strategic solid rocket motor industrial base no later than February 1, 2010. This plan would also include an expenditure plan for the fiscal year 2010 funds.

The House recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to review and establish a plan to sustain the solid rocket motor industrial base, including both strategic and missile defense rockets, and to maintain an intellectual and engineering capacity to support next-generation rocket motors as needed. The plan should also identify capability requirements and production capacity to support such requirements. The plan would be due no later than June 1, 2010.

In preparing the plan, the conferees direct the Secretary to review the solid rocket motor plans and programs of other agencies, including the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, to determine how or if the programs and plans of other agencies assist the Department of Defense in maintaining a solid rocket motor industrial base.

The conferees also direct the Secretary to provide the expenditure plan for fiscal year 2010 to sustain the solid rocket motor industrial base in fiscal year 2010. This plan should be submitted by February 1, 2010.

The conferees agree that the reports required in this provision and in this statement of managers obviate the need for the reports on the solid rocket motor industrial base required in the House report accompanying the House bill.

Justice for victims of torture and terrorism (sec. 1079)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1053) that makes a number of findings related to American victims of torture and kidnapping by the former regime in the Islamic Republic of Iraq and states that it is the sense of Congress that the claims of these individuals should be resolved.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that states that it is the sense of Congress that the claims of American victims of torture and kidnapping by the former regime in the Islamic Republic of Iraq should be resolved by a prompt and fair settlement negotiated between the Government of the United States and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iraq.

Requirement for videotaping or otherwise electronically recording strategic intelligence interrogations of persons in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense (sec. 1080)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1058) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that each strategic intelligence interrogation of any person in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense (DOD) or under detention in a DOD facility is videotaped or otherwise electronically recorded. The Secretary of Defense would also be required to provide for the appropriate classification of videotapes or other electronic recordings to protect U.S. national security and address safety and privacy concerns. The requirements of this section would not apply to any

member of the armed forces engaged in direct combat operations or to tactical questioning. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to develop, and report to Congress on, uniform guidelines for the videotaping or other electronic recording required under this section, including guidelines to ensure that videotapes and recordings are maintained for a length of time that serves the interests of justice in cases for which trials are being or may be conducted.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive the requirements of this section with regard to a specific interrogation plan for a specific individual for up to 30 days, if he determines that such a waiver is necessary for U.S. national security interests and notifies the relevant committees of Congress of that determination within 5 days. The amendment would also allow the Secretary of Defense to suspend the requirements of this section at a specific theater-level detention facility for up to 30 days, if he determines that such a waiver is vital for U.S. national security interests and notifies the relevant committees of Congress of that determination within 5 days. Each such waiver or suspension could be extended for one additional 30-day period.

The amendment would also clarify that the use of classified videotapes or other electronic recordings in administrative or judicial proceedings would be governed by applicable rules, regulations, and laws that protect classified information from public disclosure, including the exemptions under section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

Modification of pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force (sec. 1081)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would repeal section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), which directed the Secretary of the Air Force to undertake a pilot program to determine the feasibility and advisability of utilizing commercial fee-for-service aerial refueling support for the Air Force.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct the pilot program, unless the Secretary of Defense were to notify the congressional defense committees in writing that pursuing such a pilot program is not in the national interest.

Multiyear contracts under pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force (sec. 1082)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1058) that would: (1) provide multiyear contract authority for commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force for a duration of up to 8 years, an exemption to the 5-year limitation on multiyear contracts under section 2306b of title 10, United States Code; (2) waive the required cancellation ceiling and the unfunded contingent liability limits contained in section 2306c, title 10, United States Code; (3) exempt the Secretary of the Air Force from certifying that the contract is the most cost-effective means of obtaining the services; (4) exempt the Secretary of the Air Force from certifying that there is no alternative for meeting urgent operational requirements other than making the contract; (5) establish a contract ceiling of \$999,999,999; and (6) provide eligibility for government-provided insurance to commercial air operators contracting with the Department of Defense for refueling services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would not waive the cancellation ceiling and the unfunded contingent liability limits contained in section 2306c, title 10, United States Code.

Disclosure of names of students and instructors at Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (sec. 1083)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1057) that would amend section 2166 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to release to the public, upon request, the full names, ranks, countries of origin, and other information of students and instructors of the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (WHINSEC) for fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and any fiscal year (FY) thereafter.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to release the full name of the students and instructors at WHINSEC for FY 2009 and FY 2010. The provision, however, would permit the Secretary to waive this provision should it be deemed to be in the national interest.

Sense of Congress regarding the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (sec. 1084)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 903) that would express the sense of Senate that the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (WHINSEC), among other things, is an essential tool to educate future leaders of the Western Hemisphere and improve relationships with partner nations that are working with the United States to promote democracy, prosperity, and stability in the Western Hemisphere.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would remove the findings of the Senate amendment and retains the resolved clauses and changes it to a sense of Congress.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Adjustment of certain authorizations of appropriations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would provide for the adjustment of certain authorization of appropriations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Compliance with requirement for plan on the disposition of detainees at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1050) that would require that the President comply with House bill section 1023, Limitation on Use of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General of the United States assessment of military whistleblower protections

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would require the Comptroller General to review protections afforded to members of the armed services by the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (DOD IG).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that in July 2009, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice (DOJ IG) completed a report entitled "A

Review of the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General's Process for Handling Military Whistleblower Reprisal Investigations." The conferees direct the DOD IG to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by no later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act on the steps that the DOD IG has taken to implement the recommendations of the DOJ IG report.

Contracting improvements

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1090) that would have made certain modifications relating to the HUBZone program established pursuant to section 31 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. Section 657a).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Expansion of state home care for parents of veterans who died while serving in Armed Forces

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to permit a state home to provide services to a nonveteran any of whose children died while serving in the armed forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Federal Employees Retirement System age and retirement treatment for certain retirees of the Armed Forces

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1086) that would set the maximum age limit for certain federal law enforcement and firefighter positions at 47 years old.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that there is existing authority for heads of agencies to determine and fix the maximum age limit for an original appointment to a firefighter or law enforcement officer, and encourage the agencies to consider this authority when filling such positions.

Guam World War II Loyalty Recognition Act

The House bill included provisions (secs. 1601-1606) that would recognize the suffering and loyalty of the people of Guam during World War II. The provisions would authorize payments to people of Guam for World War II claims involving death, personal injury, forced labor, forced march and internment, and would provide for the adjudication of associated claims. Criminal penalties for false statements would apply to claims. The provisions would authorize \$126.0 million in appropriations for the payment and adjudication of claims, and would authorize \$5.0 million for a grants program to memorialize the occupation of Guam during World War II.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes.

The conferees emphasize that the issues involved in the House provisions are important and complex and deserve to be considered fully by Congress. The conferees also acknowledge the findings of the Guam War Claims Review Commission, which was established to examine these issues in accordance with Public Law 107-333, and believe further deliberations on the Commission's recommendations are needed. This matter falls within the jurisdiction of committees other than the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives. Nevertheless, the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives are willing to hold hearings on these issues in the coming year

and, in the absence of resolution of these matters elsewhere, are willing to consider them in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

Repeal of certain laws pertaining to the joint committee for the review of counterproliferation programs of the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would repeal section 1605 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160), as amended, and section 1503 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337) as amended.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Since September 11, 2001, many changes have occurred in the organization and management of Executive Branch agencies, as well as many new governing statutes to deal with increasing threats from proliferation of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and associated materials and technologies, to include the recently implemented Office of the United States Coordinator for Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation and Terrorism.

The conferees continue to believe that there is value in an interagency coordinating function and a biennial report that brings together the actions of the government to address these programs. Until such time as the roles of the new Coordinator and the Counterproliferation Program Review Committee (CPRC) have been reconciled, the conferees believe that it is premature to repeal the various provisions governing the CPRC.

The conferees direct the agencies involved with the CPRC to work with the Coordinator to determine and propose legislative recommendations that will ensure interagency coordination and a single coordinated report on the activities to prevent the proliferation of WMD and associated materials and technologies.

Report on criteria for selection of strategic embarkation ports and ship layberthing locations

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would require the Commander of the United States Transportation Command to develop criteria for selection of strategic embarkation ports and ship layberth locations and report on those criteria to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision. The House report (H. Rept. 111-166) contained direction similar to that included in the Senate provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to direct the Commander of the U.S. Transportation Command to: (1) develop criteria for the selection of strategic embarkation ports and ship layberth locations; and (2) submit the report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer reauthorization

The Senate amendment contained a provision (Division F) that would reauthorize the government-wide Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Ellen O. Tauscher

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Ellen O. Tauscher.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress honoring the Honorable John M. McHugh

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1056) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable John M. McHugh.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on manned airborne irregular warfare platforms

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1087) that would state that it is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should, with regard to the development of manned airborne irregular warfare platforms, coordinate requirements for such weapons systems with the military services, including the reserve components.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Secretary should coordinate requirements for such weapon systems with the military services, including the reserve components.

Studies to analyze alternative models for acquisition and funding of technologies supporting network-centric operations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1038) that would require concurrent studies by an independent federally funded research and development center and the Joint Staff to analyze alternative models and recommend changes to the present Service-based approach for acquisition and funding of interconnected systems for network-centric operations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Transfer of Big Crow aircraft

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1082) that would provide permissive authority to the Secretary of the Air Force to sell the Big Crow aircraft to a private sector entity, at a cost determined by the Air Force, and with no liability to the government.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the Big Crow test aircraft represent another example of the underfunding of "orphan" test assets. These assets have significant joint use for research, development, test and evaluation activities, but not enough support within any one service or agency to warrant priority for funding for sustainment within that service or agency's budget. The conferees note that many of the new technologies that are currently being deployed to support current operations were not tied to formal requirements, programmed activities, or programs of record and required specialized research or testing to accelerate their transition into operational use. The test and research assets used to support these types of programs need to be preserved despite the lack of formal "requirements".

Therefore, the conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology, through the Director of the Test Resource Management Center, and in conjunction with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to review issues related to "orphan" test assets, including their funding for sustainment and operations, and make recommendations on methods for ensuring that appropriate assets are preserved for DOD use in the absence of resource support by any single service or

agency, including direct management by the Office of the Secretary of Defense or other joint entity, or potentially acquiring test services from private sector organizations. The conferees direct that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology provide a report on the review and recommendations no later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

Trial by military commission of alien unprivileged belligerents for violations of the law of war

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would express the sense of Congress that the preferred forum for the trial of alien unprivileged enemy belligerents for violations of the law of war is trial by military commission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Repeal of policy relating to the major combatant vessels of the United States Navy

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would repeal section 1012 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181). Section 1012, as amended, would require that all new classes of surface combatants and all new amphibious assault ships larger than 15,000 deadweight ton light ship displacement have integrated nuclear power systems, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that the inclusion of an integrated nuclear power system in such vessel is not in the national interest.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Title XI—Civilian Personnel Matters

SUBTITLE A—PERSONNEL

Authority to employ individuals completing the National Security Education Program (sec. 1101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense and other agencies and organizations with national security responsibilities to appoint individuals who have successfully completed the National Security Education Program to a position in the excepted service.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authority for employment by Department of Defense of individuals who have successfully completed the requirements of the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) defense scholarship program (sec. 1102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would authorize the direct hire of graduates of the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation Defense Scholarship Program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authority for the employment of individuals who have successfully completed the Department of Defense information assurance scholarship program (sec. 1103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would authorize direct hire authority of graduates of the Information Assurance Scholarship Program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension and modification of experimental personnel management program for scientific and technical personnel (sec. 1104)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would extend and modify the authorities of an experimental personnel management program for scientific and technical personnel.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Modification to Department of Defense laboratory personnel authority (sec. 1105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1110) that would modify the Department of Defense laboratory personnel demonstration system by authorizing additional laboratories to participate in the program and extend the exclusion of demonstration program laboratories from inclusion into the National Security Personnel System (NSPS).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to study the possibility of including additional laboratories into the laboratory demonstration program.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that requires the inclusion of a number of additional laboratories into the laboratory personnel demonstration programs and would require congressional approval before DOD could move these laboratories into any other personnel system.

The conferees are concerned that DOD is not expeditiously moving to implement legislated authorities that are intended to improve the quality of the science and engineering workforce. The conferees note that DOD has been slow in implementing the authorities provided by section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) relating to sharing of successfully demonstrated personnel management initiatives between demonstration laboratories, and section 1108 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) which grants select direct hiring authorities at the demonstration laboratories. Given the widely accepted assessment that the quality of DOD laboratories has declined over the years, and recent claims by DOD that the Department is working to reinvigorate its in-house technical expertise as part of acquisition reform, the conferees believe the utilization of these authorities is necessary to revitalize DOD laboratory mission performance.

One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would extend for 1 year the authority of the head of an executive agency to waive the limitations on the amount of premium pay that may be paid to a civilian employee who performs work in an overseas location that is in the area of responsibility of the Commander, United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), or an overseas location that was formerly in the area of responsibility of the Commander, USCENTCOM but has been moved to the area of responsibility of the Commander, United States Africa Command, in support of a contingency operation or an operation in response to a declared emergency.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1103).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension of certain benefits to Federal civilian employees on official duty in Pakistan (sec. 1107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would extend to Department of Defense (DOD) civilian employees working in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan the same benefits that are currently provided to DOD civilians on official duty in a specified combat zone.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Requirement for Department of Defense strategic workforce plans (sec. 1108)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would codify the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to develop and submit to the congressional defense committees an annual plan for shaping and improving the civilian employee workforce of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 815) that would require the Comptroller General to assess the efficacy of Department of Defense (DOD) training for acquisition and audit personnel.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include both the requirement for an annual strategic workforce plan and the requirement for a report on DOD training for acquisition and audit personnel.

Adjustments to limitations on personnel and requirement for annual manpower reporting (sec. 1109)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1109) that would amend section 1111 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110-417) to clarify the authority of the Secretary of Defense to waive annual limitations on major headquarters personnel.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 902) that would repeal the annual limitations.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove the sunset date on the Secretary's waiver authority, making that authority permanent.

Pilot program for the temporary exchange of information technology personnel (sec. 1110)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1111) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program for the temporary assignment of Department of Defense (DOD) employees to private sector organizations and of private sector employees to DOD.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would specify legal and ethical requirements applicable to an employee of a private sector organization who is assigned to DOD under the pilot program.

In 2006, the DOD Chief Information Officer published the "DOD ITEP Toolkit" in connection with a predecessor program, known as the Information Technology Exchange Program (ITEP). That document required a three-way agreement between the appropriate federal agency, the participating private sector organization, and the individual program participant spelling out the rights and responsibilities—including statutory and regulatory requirements—applicable to each of the parties to an exchange. The conferees direct DOD to use the DOD ITEP Toolkit, including the three-party agreements prescribed by that document, as a model for carrying out the program authorized by this section.

Availability of funds for compensation of certain civilian employees of the Department of Defense (sec. 1111)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would authorize the Department of Defense to use funds available

for the purchase of contract services to instead provide compensation for civilian employees to meet the same requirement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Department of Defense Civilian Leadership Program (sec. 1112)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a Department of Defense Civilian Leadership Program (DCLP) to recruit and develop individuals with the academic merit, work experience, and leadership skills needed for the civilian employee workforce of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment limiting the participation of any individual in the DCLP to a 3-year period.

Provisions relating to the National Security Personnel System (sec. 1113)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1112) that would require the Secretary of Defense to transition all employees in the National Security Personnel System (NSPS) back to previously existing civilian compensation systems within 1 year of the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would freeze the expansion of NSPS and terminate the program unless the Secretary of Defense certifies that termination is not in the best interest of the Department and provides a specific schedule of changes that will be made to improve the fairness, credibility, and transparency of the system.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would repeal the authority for NSPS and require the transition of NSPS employees to previously existing civilian personnel systems by January 1, 2012.

The amendment would also provide the Secretary of Defense with new personnel flexibilities, which would apply across the civilian workforce of the Department. In particular, the amendment would authorize the Secretary, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, to develop new regulations for the civilian workforce which include fair, credible, and transparent methods for hiring and assigning personnel, and for appraising employee performance. The conferees agree that these flexibilities can be exercised consistent with the existing General Schedule pay system, without the need for any legislative change to that system. In addition, the amendment would direct the Secretary to develop special training programs for managers to implement the authorities granted.

Finally, the amendment would authorize the Secretary, upon a determination that it is in the best interest of the Department of Defense, to request additional personnel authorities within the context of the General Schedule pay system, or to develop a proposal for the use of personnel authorities that would require exemption from the application of the General Schedule pay system. If approved by Congress in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, the Secretary would be permitted to implement the latter proposal in lieu of making the transition to the General Schedule pay system that would otherwise be required by the provision. Any proposal submitted by the Secretary would be required to guarantee collective bargaining rights and would not be permitted to cover prevailing wage employees.

The conferees note that section 9902 of title 5, United States Code, as amended by

this section, would not include an exemption for the defense laboratories, because such an exemption is unnecessary in light of the continuing authority for the laboratories to conduct personnel demonstration projects in accordance with section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (P.L. 103-337), as amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (P.L. 106-398). The conferees expect the Department to fully utilize the authority to carry out demonstration projects for such laboratories, as required by section 1105 of this Act.

Provisions relating to the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (sec. 1114)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1113) that would require the termination of the pay system under the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) and require the transfer of individuals covered by DCIPS to other pay systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would suspend the implementation of the DCIPS pay system until January 1, 2011, and require an independent study and review of the system.

Subtitle B—Provisions Relating to Reemployment of Annuitants

Authority to expand scope of provisions relating to unreduced compensation for certain reemployed annuitants (sec. 1121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would allow former federal employees who receive a federal annuity from other than the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund to retain their annuity if reemployed by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Part-time reemployment (sec. 1122)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1162) that would provide temporary authority to federal agencies to reemploy retired federal civilian employees under limited conditions, without offset of annuity against salary, for certain specified purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Government Accountability Office report (sec. 1123)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1163) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report regarding the use of the authority provided elsewhere in this Act, which would authorize federal agencies to reemploy retired federal civilian employees under limited conditions, without offset of annuity against salary, for certain specified purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Additional personnel authorities for the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would provide the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction additional personnel authorities similar to those provided to the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The authority provided to the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction was enacted in separate

legislation and signed into law (Public Law 111-38) on June 30, 2009.

Sense of Congress on pay parity for Federal employees service at Joint Base McGuire/Dix/Lakehurst

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1114) that would express the sense of Congress that the pay schedules and rates for federal employees serving at the Joint Base McGuire/Dix/Lakehurst should be the same, and that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) should develop regulations ensuring pay parity among civilian employees employed by different military services at joint bases.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees have been informed that OPM is developing regulations to address the issue of pay parity at this joint base.

Short title

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1161) that would allow a subtitle of this Act to be cited as the "Part-Time Reemployment of Annuitants Act of 2009".

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

One-year extension of authority for security and stabilization assistance (sec. 1201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would extend through September 30, 2010, the authority provided in section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), as amended by section 1207(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), for the Secretary of Defense to provide support to Department of State programs of security and stabilization assistance. The provision would reduce the amount authorized for these purposes to \$25.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would extend through September 30, 2010, the authority provided under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), as amended by section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) and section 1207 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417). The provision makes no change to \$100.0 million limitation on the amount authorized for these purposes.

The House recedes.

While the conferees believe that the increased coordination between the Department of Defense and Department of State resulting from the joint formulation and implementation of security and stabilization projects under the section 1207 authority has value, the conferees reaffirm that Congress has always intended for this transfer authority to be temporary and are disappointed that the Department of State has not yet achieved the capacity to fulfill its statutory requirements. The conferees urge the administration to work toward this goal as rapidly as possible. They further recommend that the administration examine ways to maintain this coordination in the absence of this authority.

Expansion of authority and modification of notification and reporting requirements for use of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism (sec. 1202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would increase the amount of funds available to provide assistance to foreign forces, irregular forces, groups, or individuals supporting or facilitating military

operations by U.S. special operations forces to combat terrorism, as authorized by section 1208 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375), as amended by section 1208 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), from \$35.0 million to \$50.0 million during any fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees at least 72 hours prior to the Department's providing assistance, under this authority, to irregular forces, groups, or individuals. This provision would also require the Department to notify the congressional defense committees should there be any change in the scope or level of funding for such assistance. The Senate provision would also enhance the notification and annual reporting requirements.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would increase the amount of funds available from \$35.0 million to \$40.0 million during any fiscal year.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require notification to the congressional defense committees upon the use of this authority to support an approved military operation or change in scope or funding level for any support to such an operation.

The conferees agree that, to date, the Department's notifications and annual report have been insufficient and that some of the projects entered into by U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) under this authority have appeared to be focused on long-term engagement with foreign forces, irregular forces, groups, or individuals, rather than exclusively for support of or facilitating of ongoing military operations by U.S. special operations forces to combat terrorism. The conferees urge USSOCOM to review the current programs to ensure they are being executed in a manner consistent with the intent of this authority and that enduring programs continue to provide an appropriate payback for the command. Until such a review is complete, the conferees would be reluctant to approve any additional increases to the maximum amount that can be expended under this authority in any given fiscal year.

Modification of report on foreign-assistance related programs carried out by the Department of Defense (sec. 1203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would amend section 1209 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to require a permanent, annual report on certain foreign-assistance related programs carried out by the Department of Defense. The provision also added the humanitarian and civil assistance provided through the Combatant Commander's Initiative Fund as an authority subject to this reporting requirement.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide for the annual reporting requirement contained in the House bill through February 1, 2013.

Report on authorities to build the capacity of foreign military forces and related matters (sec. 1204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would require a report from the President by March 1, 2010, on the relationship between security cooperation authorities of the Department of Defense and security assistance authorities of the Department of State and other agencies to train and equip, or otherwise build the capacity of, foreign military forces, and the distinctions,

if any, between those authorities. The provision would also require information regarding the strengths and weaknesses of current laws governing and relating to the provision of this type of assistance; recommended changes, if any, to those laws; any organizational and procedural changes that should be made in the Department of Defense and Department of State to improve their ability to conduct such programs; and the funding and resources mechanisms required to assure adequate funding for such programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority to provide administrative services and support to coalition liaison officers of certain foreign nations assigned to United States Joint Forces Command (sec. 1205)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would modify the authority provided under section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, to permit the Secretary of Defense to provide administrative services and support to, as well as to pay travel and subsistence expenses of, certain coalition liaison officers while they are temporarily assigned to U.S. Joint Forces Command.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces (sec. 1206)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would allow that, of the funds authorized annually for fiscal years 2010 and 2011 for capacity building programs under section 1206 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2418), as amended, no more than \$75.0 million may be used for programs to build the capacity of foreign military forces to participate in or support military or stability operations in which the United States Armed Forces are a participant.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note the legislative proposals of the Department for new authorities to build the capacity of foreign military general purpose forces and special operations forces to support ongoing coalition operations in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. The conferees agree that the existing authority of section 1206 of Public Law 109-364, as amended, is sufficient to permit these activities and would be open to consider programs to build the capacity of North Atlantic Treaty Organization and other coalition partners whose ability to contribute to ongoing operations in Iraq and Afghanistan would otherwise be limited. The conferees believe that one appropriate use of this authority would be to build the capacity of foreign military's Operational and Mentoring Liaison Teams for deployment to Afghanistan.

Authority for non-reciprocal exchanges of defense personnel between the United States and foreign countries (sec. 1207)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1208) that would permit the Department of Defense to accept, on a non-reciprocal basis, defense personnel of the defense ministry of an ally or friendly foreign government.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a series of amendments that would: (1) permit the Department of Defense to pay for the cost of temporary duty of the exchanged personnel when it is

directed by the United States Government; (2) require the Secretary of Defense to report to the appropriate congressional committees annually on the use of this authority; and (3) adjust the expiration of the authority to align with the end of the fiscal year vice the calendar year.

The conferees agree that the authority is not to be used as a mechanism to require the Department of Defense to fund visits and training of military and civilian personnel from allied and other foreign countries. Further, the conferees caution the Department that this authority should be used to accept personnel where the duties contemplated either necessitate or would be enhanced by the presence of foreign defense personnel. The authority should not be used to provide training or educational opportunities that are more properly conducted under the International Military Education and Training or Counterterrorism Fellowship programs. Additionally, the authority should not be used as an alternative to existing engagement programs, including those activities conducted pursuant to section 168 of title 10, United States Code. Temporary duty authorized under this exchange program should be directly related to the duties and responsibilities of the position to which the individual is assigned.

Report on alternatives to use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment for personnel protection and survivability (sec. 1208)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1210) that would require the Secretary of Defense to assess and report on possible alternatives to the temporary authority provided under section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2412), as amended, which allows for the lending or leasing under acquisition and cross-servicing agreements of certain significant military equipment to military forces of countries participating in combined operations with the United States in Iraq or Afghanistan, or as part of peacekeeping operations under the United Nations Charter or another international agreement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Enhancing Iraqi security through defense cooperation between the United States and Iraq (sec. 1209)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would permit the President to treat an undertaking by the Government of Iraq, made between the date of the enactment of this Act and December 31, 2011, as a dependable undertaking described in section 22(a) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2762(a)) for the purposes of entering into contracts for the procurement of defense articles and defense services as provided for in that section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to provide a report on the role of Foreign Military Sales in meeting the requirements of the military and security forces of Iraq for restoring and maintaining peace and security in Iraq.

It also includes a sense of Congress that encourages the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to increase the number of positions available in professional military education courses available annually to personnel of the security forces of the Government of Iraq.

Availability of appropriated funds for the State Partnership Program (sec. 1210)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would allow the Secretary of Defense, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, to use Department of Defense (DOD) funds for fiscal year 2010 to pay costs associated with the National Guard's State Partnership Program, in support of the objectives of the combatant commanders or to build international civil-military partnerships and capacity on matters relating to defense and security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, prescribe regulations regarding the use of DOD funds to pay the costs of the National Guard in conducting activities under the State Partnership Program. The Secretary of Defense will transmit a copy of these regulations to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

The conferees believe that the security cooperation activities of the State Partnership Program of the National Guard have made a valuable contribution to global security through building relationships between State National Guard units and over 60 partner nations throughout the world.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan

Limitation on availability of funds for certain purposes relating to Iraq (sec. 1221)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized by this Act to establish permanent U.S. military installations or bases in Iraq or to exercise U.S. control of Iraqi oil resources.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

One-year extension and expansion of Commanders' Emergency Response Program (sec. 1222)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would amend section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 106-163), as amended, to authorize \$1.3 billion in fiscal year 2010 for the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1206) that would authorize \$1.4 billion in fiscal year 2010 for CERP. The provision of the Senate amendment would also authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer up to \$100.0 million of CERP funds to the Department of State to support the Afghanistan National Solidarity Program (NSP) if the Secretary of Defense determines that doing so would enhance counterinsurgency or stability operations in Afghanistan.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize \$1.3 billion in fiscal year 2010 for CERP and provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to transfer to the Department of State up to \$50.0 million of CERP funds to support the NSP.

The amendment would also provide the Secretary of Defense with the authority, in concurrence with the Secretary of State, to use funds provided for CERP to support the reintegration of those who have renounced violence against the Government of Afghanistan. The conferees note that the authority to use CERP funds for this purpose has been provided for 1 year only. The conferees ex-

pect that the administration will submit a request for any required legal authority and funding to carry out a reintegration program separate from the CERP program with the President's budget request.

In addition, the amendment would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a thorough review of CERP and report to Congress on the results of that review. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include as part of this review an assessment of the following: the process for generating and justifying CERP budget; the existing management and oversight of CERP funds and contracts; personnel requirements specifically in support of CERP and the number of personnel deployed to meet those requirements in Afghanistan, including with the Joint Contracting Command and U.S. Forces—Afghanistan; the extent and effectiveness of coordination of projects with other U.S. Government agencies, international organizations, and Non-Governmental Organizations carrying out projects in Iraq and Afghanistan; and coordination with the host government on CERP projects, including procedures for ensuring the sustainment of those projects by the host government over the long run.

Modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1223)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reimburse any key cooperating nation for logistical and military support provided by that nation to or in connection with U.S. military operations in Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) or Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), also known as Coalition Support Fund reimbursements. Total reimbursements under this authority during fiscal year 2010 are limited to \$1.6 billion.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1205) that would extend through fiscal year 2010 the authority provided in section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 393) for the Secretary of Defense to pay Coalition Support Fund reimbursements. In addition, this provision would modify section 1233 of Public Law 110-181 to allow funds under that section to be used to provide key cooperating nations with specialized training and supplies, or to loan them specialized equipment. The provision in the Senate amendment would also limit reimbursements under this authority during fiscal year 2010 to \$1.6 billion.

The House recedes with clarifying and technical amendments.

Prior to making any reimbursement to Pakistan in fiscal year 2010 under the authority provided in this section, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to make a determination based on reasonably available information as to whether such reimbursement is consistent with the national security interest of the United States and will not adversely impact the balance of power in the region. The conferees direct the Secretary to include any such determination in the relevant quarterly report to Congress required under this section.

Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund (sec. 1224)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would provide that the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund (PCF) would consist of amounts appropriated to the PCF for fiscal year 2009 and amounts transferred to the PCF by the Secretary of State, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense. The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may use amounts

in the PCF to improve the counterinsurgency capabilities of the security forces of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan (including Pakistan's military, Frontier Corps, and other security forces), and to provide limited humanitarian assistance to the people of Pakistan as part of civil-military training exercises for Pakistani security forces receiving assistance under the PCF.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1517) specifying that funds in the PCF pursuant to a transfer by the Secretary of State to the Secretary of Defense during fiscal year 2010 will be available to the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to the security forces of Pakistan to build the counterinsurgency capability of the Pakistan military forces and the Pakistan Frontier Corps. The provision would require prior to the expenditure of PCF funds that the Secretary of Defense provide an assessment as to whether the Government of Pakistan is committed to confronting the threat posed by al Qaeda, the Taliban, and other militant extremists based on a determination by the Government of Pakistan that confronting these extremist groups is critical to Pakistan's own national interest.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require that concurrent with the initial use of funds available under this section, the Secretary of Defense will provide an assessment as to whether the Government of Pakistan is making concerted efforts to confront the threat posed by al Qaeda, the Taliban, and other militant extremists based on Pakistan's national security interests. The amendment also requires quarterly reports summarizing, on a project-by-project basis, any transfer of funds from the PCF during the fiscal quarter. Section (d)(2) of the amendment regarding any restriction relating to payments for Letters of Offer and Acceptance refers to section 203(d) of S. 1707, as enrolled, regarding limitations on certain assistance.

Program to provide for the registration and end-use monitoring of defense articles and defense services transferred to Afghanistan and Pakistan (sec. 1225)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1215) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish programs to provide for the registration and end-use monitoring of defense articles and defense services transferred to Afghanistan and Pakistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with clarifying and technical amendments.

The conferees expect that the registration and end-use monitoring programs established pursuant to this section will be implemented so as to minimize both the risks to U.S. personnel carrying out these programs and the impact on ongoing military operations, including ongoing Pakistani military operations against terrorists and militants in Pakistan.

Reports on campaign plans for Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 1226)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would require that the Comptroller General of the Government Accountability Office to submit separate assessments of the campaign plans for the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on responsible redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Iraq (sec. 1227)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1218) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a quarterly report on the responsible redeployment of U.S. forces out of the Republic of Iraq.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on community-based security programs in Afghanistan (sec. 1228)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the Afghan Public Protection Program (APPP). The report would include an assessment of the program as implemented in the initial pilot districts of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, and an assessment of the future of the program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report, not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the APPP and other similar programs for community-based security forces in Afghanistan. The report would include an assessment of these programs in the initial pilot districts and of the future of each program.

Updates of report on command and control structure for military forces operating in Afghanistan (sec. 1229)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220) that would clarify that any updates of the report on command and control arrangements in Afghanistan as required by section 1216 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) can be provided as part of the reports on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan as required by section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on feasibility and desirability of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the provision of monetary assistance by the United States to civilian foreign nationals for losses incident to combat activities of the armed forces (sec. 1230)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report semi-annually on payments made to noncombatant residents of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan for losses caused by United States military operations.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1236) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on the feasibility of establishing general uniform procedures and guidelines for the United States to provide monetary assistance to civilian foreign nationals for losses, injuries, or death incident to combat activities of the United States Armed Forces during contingency operations.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Assessment and report on United States-Pakistan military relations and cooperation (sec. 1231)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would require that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to assess possible alternatives to Department of Defense reimbursements to Pakistan for logistical, military, or other support provided by Pakistan to, or in

connection with, U.S. military operations (Coalition Support Fund reimbursements), which could encourage the Pakistani military to undertake counterterrorism and counterinsurgency operations and achieve the goals and objectives for long-term U.S.-Pakistan military relations and cooperation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on progress toward security and stability in Pakistan (sec. 1232)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) that would require the President, 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act and every 180 days thereafter, to conduct an assessment of progress toward long-term security and stability in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan in a number of specified areas. The provision would also require the President to establish goals, objectives, and timelines for achieving progress in the areas specified in this provision to be assessed, and metrics to measure such progress. The provision would also require the President to report to Congress on the assessment and how it was conducted.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide that the report required by this section is to be submitted concurrent with the submission of each report under section 1232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 392), as amended. The amendment would also make certain other clarifying and technical changes.

Repeal of GAO war-related reporting requirement (sec. 1233)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1224) that would eliminate the requirement that the Government Accountability Office report quarterly to Congress on the costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom as required under section 1221(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 1234)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for the disposition of major end items and tactical equipment in the Republic of Iraq and address a number of specified elements as part of that plan. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on the plan required by this section no later than the time of the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2011. The provision did not provide any additional authority to transfer U.S. equipment to Iraq or any other entity outside the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would authorize the President to transfer defense articles in Iraq, and provide defense services in connection with the transfer of those defense articles, to the military and security forces of Iraq or the military and security forces of Afghanistan to support their efforts to restore and maintain peace and security internally. The aggregate replacement value of defense articles transferred and defense services provided would be limited to \$500,000,000. The provision would also require that the President may not exercise the authority under this section until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, submits a report on a plan

for the disposition of equipment and other property of the Department of Defense in Iraq.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to transfer defense articles, without reimbursement from the Government of Iraq or the Government of Afghanistan, and to provide defense services in connection with those transfers, to the military and security forces of Iraq and the military and security forces of Afghanistan. The amendment would clarify that defense articles that may be transferred under this authority includes equipment that was used in support of operations in Iraq but at the time of enactment of this Act is present in Kuwait. The conferees expect that any equipment located in Kuwait that is transferred under this authority will not have been transferred to Kuwait from a location other than Iraq for the purpose of being transferred under this authority.

The amendment would increase the limit on the aggregate replacement value of defense articles transferred and defense services provided to \$750,000,000.

The amendment would provide that the report required prior to the exercise of this authority will also include a description of the types of defense articles the Department of Defense intends to transfer to the military and security forces of Afghanistan. The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to reimburse the military departments for non excess defense articles transferred to Iraq and Afghanistan under this authority.

The amendment would also provide that the report to be provided quarterly on the implementation of the authority under this section may be included in the report required under section 9204 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252; 122 Stat. 2410). The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that, if the quarterly report required under this section is included in the section 9204 report, that report will be provided to the committees designated to receive the quarterly report under this section.

Analysis of required force levels and types of forces needed to secure southern and eastern regions of Afghanistan (sec. 1235)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1229) that would require the Secretary of Defense, at the request of the Commander of United States Forces for Afghanistan (USFOR-A), to enter into a contract with a Federally Funded Research Development Center (FFRDC) that would provide analysis on the required force levels and types of forces needed to secure southern and eastern Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the Secretary of Defense, in support of the Commander of USFOR-A, to contract with a FFRDC to provide analysis on the required force levels and types of forces needed to secure southern and eastern Afghanistan.

Modification of report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan (sec. 1236)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1230) that would modify the report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan required by section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 11-181; 122 Stat. 385) to require additional information on the commitments of North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) countries and non-NATO countries to meeting International Security Assistance Force goals and force requirements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment clarifying certain matters to be included in the report and requiring additional information on: the progress in ending the ability of the insurgency to establish control over the population of Afghanistan and establish safe havens within Afghanistan; and the coordination of reconstruction and development activities in Afghanistan.

No permanent military bases in Afghanistan (sec. 1237)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1230A) that would prohibit the establishment of any military installation or base for purposes of permanently stationing U.S. Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Report on United States engagement with Iran (sec. 1241)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would require the President, no later than January 31, 2010, to deliver a report to Congress on U.S. engagement with the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a series of technical amendments.

Annual counterterrorism status reports (sec. 1242)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would require the President to provide a report annually to Congress on the status of U.S. efforts and the level of progress achieved to defeat al Qaeda and its affiliates. More specifically, the report would require: (1) an assessment of the scope, status, and progress of U.S. counterterrorism efforts in fighting al Qaeda and its affiliates abroad; (2) a description of U.S. counterterrorism activities (political, economic, military, intelligence, etc.) including a description of efforts to counter terrorist recruitment and financing and support public diplomacy efforts; (3) an analysis of the budgets of all Federal Government agencies as they relate to counterterrorism funding; and (4) an analysis of the extent to which specific federal appropriations have provided a return on investment on efforts to combat and defeat al Qaeda.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) sunset the annual reporting requirement in 2012; (2) require the administration to delineate the boundaries between the strategic operational planning mission assigned to the National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC) by the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-458) and the broad military and diplomatic planning missions of the Defense Department, the State Department, and other agencies; and (3) strengthen reporting requirements on joint, interagency operations.

The conferees agree that, 8 years after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the terrorist threat remains formidable, and that despite the amount of news coverage and public analyses of the evolving threat and counterterrorist operations, objective measures of progress are inadequate. Existing executive branch reporting on various aspects of the national struggle against terrorism is piecemeal and does not address the full scope of U.S. activities or assess overall effectiveness. The conferees note that this fragmentation of assessment and reporting is itself a reflection of the fact that, while

many Federal departments and agencies have critical roles in countering terrorism, interagency coordination and integration remains elusive and difficult. It is now understood that success in countering terrorism requires the mobilization and effective integration of all elements of national power. It is also widely perceived that the Nation has yet to achieve a “whole-of-government” effort.

The annual reporting requirement required by this provision is intended to help multiple congressional committees, and Congress as a whole, to conduct oversight. It is also intended to enable the public to gain a better understanding of how well the government is performing in this vital mission. The reporting requirement is also intended to assist the new administration in identifying and overcoming challenges in harnessing all the Nation’s capabilities.

Report on United States contributions to the United Nations (sec. 1243)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would amend and extend permanently an existing reporting requirement relating to contributions by the United States to the United Nations (section 1225 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (public law 109-364)). The provision would also direct the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to post a public version of each report on its website.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend the reporting requirement until September 30, 2011.

NATO Special Operations Coordination Center (sec. 1244)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to allocate up to \$30.0 million to improve the capacity and capabilities of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Special Operations Coordination Center. Funds under this section would be available for the purposes of:

- (1) improving coordination and cooperation among the special operations forces of NATO nations;
- (2) facilitating joint operations by the special operations forces of NATO nations;
- (3) supporting special operations-peculiar command, control, and communications capabilities;
- (4) promoting special operations forces’ intelligence and informational requirements within the NATO structure; and
- (5) promoting interoperability.

This section would further require the Secretary of Defense, within 180 days after the enactment of this Act, to certify to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that the Department of Defense (DOD) has assigned executive agent responsibility for the NATO Special Operations Coordination Center to an appropriate DOD organization.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision. The Senate recedes.

Annual report on military power of Iran (sec. 1245)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report by March 1 of each year to the congressional defense committees, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs on the current and future military strategy of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1224).

The conference report includes this provision with a series of conforming and technical amendments.

Annual report on military and security developments involving the People’s Republic of China (sec. 1246)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would amend section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) by changing the title of the report to “Annual Report on Military and Security Developments Involving the People’s Republic of China” and by making certain clarifying and technical changes. The provision would also expand the scope of the report to include information regarding U.S. engagement and cooperation with China on security matters, and information on additional developments involving China that the Secretary of Defense considers relevant to national security. In addition, the provision would repeal the reporting requirements on military-to-military contacts under sections 1201(e) and (f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 and add these requirements to the reporting requirements under section 1202 of that Act. Details of the provision’s reporting requirements are set forth in the report accompanying the House bill (House Report 111-166).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees encourage the Secretary to further examine the implications of China’s concepts of psychological warfare, media warfare, and legal warfare on U.S. military affairs in the region and include additional detail on each of these concepts, including examples and trends, in the fiscal year 2010 report to Congress required under this section.

Report on impacts of drawdown authorities on the Department of Defense (sec. 1247)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the impact of authorities to drawdown Department of Defense equipment, services, and other items on the Department of Defense in a number of specified areas.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment clarifying the elements of the report and providing that the requirement to report under this section will terminate on December 31, 2013.

Risk assessment of United States space export control policy (sec. 1248)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to carry out an assessment of the national security risks of removing satellites and related components from the United States Munitions List (USML). A report on the assessment would be due 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees believe that the time has come for an analysis of the risks and benefits of satellites and related components remaining on the USML. While clearly the conferees want to protect national security interests, it is also in the national security interest of the United States to maintain a robust satellite industrial base. Over the past several years it has become clear that satellite manufacturers in other countries have used the USML status as a competitive tool against U.S. satellite manufacturers and U.S. satellite manufacturers have been hampered

in their manufacturing and marketing efforts as a result of the USML status. The conferees hope that this risk assessment and report will serve as the basis for future discussion about possible revisions to U.S. export policy.

Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland
(sec. 1249)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1236) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, subject to the availability of appropriations, to seek to deploy a United States Army Patriot air and missile defense battery, and the personnel required to operate and maintain such battery, to Poland by 2012.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that the United States and Poland should seek to implement the terms of their Declaration on Strategic Cooperation, dated August 20, 2008, including cooperation on the deployment of a U.S. Army Patriot air and missile defense battery in Poland. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the status of cooperation on the deployment of the Patriot battery.

Report on potential foreign military sales of the F-22A fighter aircraft (sec. 1250)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1237) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, and in consultation with the Secretary of the Air Force, to report on: (1) the costs of developing an exportable version of the F-22A; (2) an assessment of whether such development is technically feasible, and if so, how long it would take; (3) an assessment of the strategic implications of permitting foreign sales of the F-22A; (4) an assessment of the potential impact of foreign sales on the domestic aerospace industry; and (5) any changes in law that would be required to permit such sales.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 123).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on the plan for the nuclear weapons stockpile, nuclear weapons complex, and delivery platforms and sense of Congress on follow-on negotiations to START Treaty
(sec. 1251)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1239) that would prohibit fiscal year 2010 funds from being used to implement reductions in the strategic nuclear forces of the United States pursuant to a treaty or other agreement entered into between the United States and the Russian Federation on strategic nuclear forces, after the date of enactment of this Act, until the President makes certain certifications. The certifications would include: that the treaty or agreement was verifiable; that the treaty or agreement does not place any restrictions on U.S. ballistic missile, space, or advanced conventional weapons capabilities; and that the fiscal year 2011 budget request for the Department of Energy (DOE) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) was sufficiently funded to maintain the reliability, safety and security of the remaining strategic nuclear forces, and modernize and refurbish the nuclear weapons complex. In addition, the provision would require the President to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the stockpiles of the strategic and nonstrategic weapons of the United States and the Russian Federation.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1234) that would require

the President to submit a report to the congressional defense and foreign relations committees on the plan to enhance the safety, security and reliability of the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile, to modernize the nuclear weapons complex, and to maintain the delivery platforms for nuclear weapons. This report would be due with the submission of any follow-on to the Strategic Arms Reduction (START) Treaty or 30 days after enactment of this Act, whichever is earlier.

The provision would also set forth the sense of the Senate urging the President to maintain his stated position to not include any limitations on the ballistic missile defense systems, space capabilities, or advanced conventional weapons systems of the United States in any follow-on to the START Treaty.

The House recedes with an amendment that requires the report to be submitted 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act or when any follow-on to the START Treaty is submitted to the Senate, whichever is later. The amendment would also change the sense of the Senate to a sense of Congress and expand the sense of Congress to include two additional items. The first item is that enhanced safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile, the modernization of the nuclear weapons complex, and the maintenance of the nuclear delivery systems are key to enabling further reductions in our nuclear forces. The second item is that the President should submit a budget request for fiscal year 2011 for the NNSA that is adequate to sustain the needed capabilities to support the long-term maintenance of the U.S. nuclear weapon stockpile.

Map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (sec. 1252)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1240) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to produce a map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would call on the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, to work with other member states of the United Nations and non-governmental organizations to produce a publicly available map of mineral-rich zones and areas under the control of armed groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and to provide to the appropriate congressional committees an explanatory note regarding sources, definitions, and identification of armed groups or other forces in control of the mines.

Sense of Congress relating to the State of Israel
(sec. 1253)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1241) that would express Congress' commitment to maintaining the State of Israel's qualitative military edge.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Sense of Congress on imposing sanctions with respect to Iran (sec. 1254)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would express the sense of the Senate on imposing additional sanctions against the Islamic Republic of Iran should they: fail to accept the offer of the United States to engage in diplomatic talks; fail to suspend all enrichment-related and reprocessing activities; and the United Nations Security Council fails to adopt additional sanctions against the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a series of technical amendments.

Report and sense of Congress on North Korea
(sec. 1255)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would require the President to submit to Congress a report examining the conduct of the Government of North Korea to determine whether North Korea meets the statutory criteria for listing as a state sponsor of terrorism. The provision also expresses the sense of the Senate that the United States should enforce United Nations Security Council Resolution 1718 and 1874, urge other member states of the United Nations to fully implement those sanctions, and explore imposition of additional sanctions. It also expresses the sense that North Korea should be immediately re-listed as a state sponsor of terrorism if it is determined that the Government of North Korea has provided assistance to terrorists or engaged in terrorism, or if it failed to fulfill the pledges it made in its statement of June 10, 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) narrow the sense of the Congress; and (2) modify an element of the report to require an examination of whether relisting North Korea as a state sponsor of terrorism, if North Korea does not meet the statutory criteria for listing, would undermine the effectiveness of the state sponsor of terrorism designation in general and undermine United States efforts regarding existing state sponsors of terrorism.

Report on potential missile defense cooperation with Russia (sec. 1256)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1238) that would authorize the expansion of the activities of the planned U.S.-Russian Joint Data Exchange Center beyond the exchange of data on ballistic missile early warning, to include the exchange of data on missile defense-related activities.

The Senate amendment contained a related provision (sec. 244) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth potential options for cooperation among or between the United States, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and the Russian Federation on ballistic missile defense.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the report to include an assessment of whether there is mutual interest in modifying the U.S.-Russian agreement on the establishment of the Joint Data Exchange Center to encompass other forms of cooperation.

Subtitle D—VOICE Act

Short title (sec. 1261)

The Senate amendment contained a provision that would name this subtitle the Victims of Iranian Censorship Act or the 'VOICE' Act (section 1241).

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes.

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 1262)

The Senate amendment would authorize \$30.0 million to the Broadcasting Board of Governors to expand Farsi language broadcasting into Iran. These funds would be available to develop additional transmission capability to counter Iranian government efforts to jam radio, satellite, and Internet-based transmissions; establish additional proxy server capability and anti-censorship software to counter efforts to block access to

websites in Iran; and develop technologies to counter efforts to block text message exchanges over cellular phone networks.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that this authorization of appropriations is not an authorization for Department of Defense funds (i.e. 050 funding), but rather an authorization for Department of State funds (i.e. 150 funding).

Iranian Electronic Education, Exchange, and Media Fund (sec. 1263)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1245) that would authorize \$20.0 million for a new fund, which would support the development of technologies that will enhance the Iranian people's ability to access and share information; counter efforts to block, censor, or monitor the Internet in Iran; and engage in Internet-based education programs and other exchanges online.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that this authorization of appropriations is not an authorization for Department of Defense funds (i.e. 050 funding), but rather an authorization for Department of State funds (i.e. 150 funding).

Annual report (sec. 1264)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (Sec. 1246) that would require the President to submit an annual report for the next 5 years describing in depth U.S. broadcasting into Iran, Iranian government efforts to jam U.S. broadcasting, and U.S. efforts to counter Iranian jamming.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on actions by non-Iranian companies (sec. 1265)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (Sec. 1247) that would require a study by the President on non-Iranian companies that have aided the Iranian government's Internet censorship efforts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a series of clarifying amendments.

Human rights documentation (sec. 1266)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (Sec. 1248) that would authorize \$5.0 million for the Secretary of State to document, collect, and dissemination information about human rights in Iran, including abuses of human rights that have taken place since the June 12, 2009, election in the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that this authorization of appropriations is not an authorization for Department of Defense funds (i.e. 050 funding), but rather an authorization for Department of State funds (i.e. 150 funding).

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Defense cooperation between the United States and Iraq

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1209) that would encourage the Secretary of Defense to increase the number of positions available annually to the Government of Iraq in professional military education courses at command and general staff colleges, war colleges, and the service academies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Certification requirement for Coalition Support Fund reimbursements

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would modify the notification requirement under section 1232(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, as amended, regarding Coalition Support Fund reimbursements to Pakistan. The modification would require the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, to provide in the notification a certification that the reimbursement to Pakistan: (1) is consistent with the national security interests of the United States; and (2) will not adversely impact the balance of power in the region.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that matters raised by this provision of the Senate amendment are addressed in another section of this report relating to Coalition Support Fund reimbursements.

Required assessments of United States efforts in Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would require the President, to conduct a semi-annual assessment and report on the progress of U.S. efforts in Afghanistan in a number of specified areas. This section would require that the President develop goals, timelines, and metrics for measuring progress toward achieving U.S. goals in these areas.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that information similar to certain assessments required by section 1217 of the House bill has been incorporated into reporting requirements under other provisions in this title of this Act.

Report on the Republic of Cuba and Cuba's relations with other countries

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would require the Director of National Intelligence to provide a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees on a number of matters relating to the Republic of Cuba's military and intelligence activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1223) that would require the Director of National Intelligence to provide a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees on a number of matters relating to the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela's military and intelligence activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Civilian Ministry of Defense Advisor Program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1226) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide civilian advisors to the Republic of Iraq and Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to offer institutional, ministerial-level advice and training to senior civilian and military officials of those countries.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on Taiwan's Air Defense Force

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1226) that would have required a report on Taiwan's Air Forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this

Act, a report that contains an assessment of the following: (1) the current state of Taiwan's air defense forces; (2) the ability of Taiwan's air defense forces to defend Taiwan's air space in response to a range of cross-Strait scenarios; and (3) possible measures, if any, that Taiwan could undertake to strengthen its air defense forces. The report shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.

Report on the status of interagency coordination in the Afghanistan and Operation Enduring Freedom theater of operations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to submit a semi-annual report on the status of interagency cooperation in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and Operation Enduring Freedom theater of operations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that information similar to certain matters required by section 1227 of the House bill has been included in reporting requirements under other provisions in this title of this Act.

Sense of Congress supporting United States policy for Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1228) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the strategy for Afghanistan and Pakistan announced by the President on March 27, 2009, and the funding and resources to support that strategy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on establishment of measures of progress to evaluate United States strategic objectives in Afghanistan and Pakistan

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would express the sense of Congress that the administration should review any previously established measures of progress for Afghanistan as required by section 1230(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) and modify, add, or further establish appropriate measures of progress for Afghanistan and Pakistan, as part of the report on Afghanistan required by section 1230 of Public Law 110-181 and the report on Pakistan required by section 1232 of Public Law 110-181, as amended, consistent with the administration's new strategy for the region announced by the President on March 27, 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress on continued support by the United States for a stable and democratic Republic of Iraq

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would express the sense of Congress on the United States continued support for a stable and democratic Republic of Iraq.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Iran VOICE Act-Sense of Congress

The Senate contained a provision that would express Congress' respect for the sovereignty, proud history, and rich culture of the Iranian people; condemn acts of censorship and intimidation by the Government of Iran; and support the Iranian people's desire to peacefully express their voices, opinions, and aspirations (section 1242).

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The Senate recedes.

Iran VOICE Act-Statement of Policy

The Senate amendment contained a provision which would state U.S. policy relating to supporting for freedom of the press, freedom of speech, and freedom of assembly in Iran, discouraging businesses from aiding efforts to interfere with the ability of the people of Iran to access freely information, and encouraging the developing of technologies to enable the people of Iran to access electronic media on the internet (section 1243).

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds (sec. 1301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1301) that would define the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs, define the funds as authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of this Act, and authorize CTR funds to be available for obligation for 3 fiscal years.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1301).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Funding allocations (sec. 1302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1302) that would authorize \$434.1 million for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program, an increase of \$30.0 million above the budget request. The provision would also authorize specific amounts for each CTR program element.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1302) that would authorize \$424.1 million for the CTR program, an increase of \$20.0 million above the budget request. The provision would also authorize specific amounts for each CTR program element.

The conferees agree to authorize \$424.1 million for the CTR program, an increase of \$20.0 million above the budget request, including an increase of \$17.0 million for new initiatives and \$3.0 million for chemical demilitarization.

Utilization of contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1303)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1303) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to accept contributions from any person, including any foreign government or entity, for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program. The Secretary would be required to submit quarterly reports to the appropriate congressional committees on the use and purpose of the funds, plus a one-time implementation plan. Funds received would be maintained in a separate account in the Treasury and would be subject to appropriation. Any funds not used within 5 years from receipt would be returned to the original donor. The authority to accept contributions would expire on December 2012.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1303) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to receive contributions from any person, including any foreign government or entity, for the biological threat reduction program (BTRP) carried out under the CTR program. The Secretary would be required to notify the congressional defense committees within 30 days after receiving any contributions, and would include the name of the person who made the contribution and the value and purpose of the contribution. The provision would also direct the Secretary of Defense to submit an

annual report for each fiscal year in which funds are accepted describing the contributions received in that fiscal year. Any funds received would be maintained in a separate account in the Treasury, but would be available for obligation and expenditure without further appropriation. Any funds not used within 3 years from receipt would be returned to the original donor. The authority to accept contributions would expire on December 31, 2015.

The House recedes with an amendment that would broaden the authority of the Secretary of Defense to receive funds for any CTR activity. In addition, the amendment would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an implementation plan prior to obligating or expending any funds received by any entity. The amendment would also require that the notifications and reports be submitted to the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Metrics for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1304)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1304) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to carry out a study to identify metrics to measure the impact and effectiveness of activities under the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program at the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop metrics for the CTR program. Not later than 270 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees describing the metrics developed and implemented.

Not later than 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits the metrics report, the Secretary shall enter into an agreement for the NAS to review and assess the metrics report. The NAS shall submit the results of its assessment of the metrics report to the Secretary of Defense and the appropriate congressional committees.

No later than 90 days after receiving the NAS report the Secretary shall submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees on the assessment carried by the NAS and shall include actions, if any, to be taken by the Secretary to implement any recommendation in the NAS assessment.

Cooperative Threat Reduction Program authority for urgent threat reduction activities (sec. 1305)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1305) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to expend not more than 10 percent of the funds available for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for CTR activities to address urgent threats from chemical, nuclear or biological weapons, or weapons-related materials, technologies and expertise, subject to certain conditions. These conditions would include a series of determinations and written notification 15 days in advance of the use of the authority.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1304) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to obligate not more than 10 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program for any bilateral or multilateral activities relating to nonproliferation or disarmament, notwithstanding any other provision of law, subject to a certification by the President that the action is necessary to support national security objectives.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to exercise the authority only with the concurrence of the Secretaries of State and Energy. The amendment would also include technical changes to the determinations and the notification.

Cooperative Threat Reduction Defense and Military Contacts Program (sec. 1306)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1306) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the defense and military contacts program under the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program be administered by the CTR program office and be used to support and advance the mission of the CTR program, as well as be coordinated with relevant combatant commanders.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Working capital funds (sec. 1401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1401) that would authorize funds to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital and revolving funds.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1401).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402)

The budget request for fiscal year 2010 included an authorization of \$1,642.8 million for various programs within the National Defense Sealift Fund (NDSF).

The House bill would authorize an increase of \$60.0 million for additional funding for the mobile landing platform program that would be a key enabler of the Maritime Prepositioning Force (Future) (MPF(F)) program.

The Senate amendment would authorize a reduction of \$400.0 million for the T-AKE dry cargo/ammunition ship program because the Secretary of Defense announced that the Quadrennial Defense Review would be reconsidering the MPF(F) concept and program.

The conferees agree to authorize \$1,642.8 million for the NDSF as requested.

Chemical agents and munitions destruction, defense (sec. 1403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1404) that would authorize fiscal year 2010 funds for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1404).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1405) that would authorize \$1.1 billion for Drug Interdiction and Counterdrug Activities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1405).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Defense Inspector General (sec. 1405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1406) that would authorize funds to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 for the office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1406).

The conference agreement includes a provision that authorizes funds for the Office of the Inspector General.

Defense Health Program (sec. 1406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1403) that would authorize fiscal year 2010 funds for the Defense Health Program (DHP) and other programs and would recommend a transfer of funds from the DHP to the Office of the Secretary of Defense from several accounts relating to information management, technology, and support, which is reflected in the tables.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for the DHP (sec. 1403).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Relation to funding table (sec. 1407)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1407) that authorizes funds in this title in accordance with section 4001 and in the amounts specified in the funding table in section 4401.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile**Authorized uses of National Defense Stockpile funds (sec. 1411)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would authorize uses of National Defense Stockpile funds during fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of previously authorized disposal of cobalt from National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1412) that would extend the authorization of disposal of cobalt from the National Defense Stockpile from fiscal year 2009 to fiscal year 2011.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on implementation of reconfiguration of the National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1413) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on actions planned or taken in response to the recommendations in the April 2009 report entitled, "Reconfiguration of the National Defense Stockpile Report to Congress" submitted by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Armed Forces Retirement Home Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421) that would authorize \$134.0 million to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1421).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**BUDGET ITEM***Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization*

The budget request for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) includes \$1,535.0 million for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (JIEDDF), and \$564.9 mil-

lion in the base budget request, reflecting the administration's decision to make the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) a permanent institution.

The House bill would have reorganized the JIEDDF base budget request to reflect better the enduring costs of the JIEDDO. Additionally, the House bill would have transferred from the JIEDDF to Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army (RDTEA) for JIEDDO RDTEA and to Operation and Maintenance, Army for JIEDDO operations and information fusion support, for the Joint Center of Excellence, and for staff and infrastructure. The House bill would also have transferred \$100.0 million from the JIEDDF OCO request to help accelerate the Irregular Warfare Support (IWS) program.

The Senate amendment would have transferred the \$564.9 million requested in the base budget to the OCO account because the Senate views JIEDDO as a temporary organization created to support U.S. forces in the theaters of operation in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The conferees agree to transfer the JIEDDO funds requested in title I to the title XV JIEDDF OCO account and endorse the concerns and direction noted in the Senate amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED*Purpose (sec. 1501)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1501) stating the purpose of this title which is to authorize additional appropriations for overseas contingency operations.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1501).

The Senate recedes.

Army procurement (sec. 1502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1502) that would authorize appropriations for Army procurement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1502).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1503) that would authorize funding for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund; extend the funding transfer authorities for the fund; and extend the requirement for Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) to provide monthly obligation and expenditure reports to congressional defense committees.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1502(6)) funding authorization.

The conference agreement includes the JIEDDO funding authorization. The funding authorization levels are reflected in title XV tables.

The Senate recedes to the House bill's transfer authority extension.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include the House bill's requirement for monthly obligation and expenditure reports, but would repeal the requirement for JIEDDO to provide quarterly obligation and expenditure reports required under section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), as amended.

The conferees are concerned by JIEDDO's inability to provide the required monthly obligation and expenditure reports on a timely basis. The conferees believe that JIEDDO has become a sufficiently mature organization to allow it to plan and program in advance for continuing and enduring costs. Further, in order for the congressional defense committees to conduct adequate oversight of

JIEDDO and its efforts to accomplish its mandate, the conferees believe JIEDDO must submit timely detailed budgetary and programmatic information.

Navy and Marine Corps procurement (sec. 1504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1505) that would authorize appropriations for Navy and Marine Corps procurement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1503).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Air Force procurement (sec. 1505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1506) that would authorize appropriations for Air Force procurement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1504).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund (sec. 1506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1508) that would authorize \$5.5 billion for the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Vehicle Fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would increase the total authorization for the MRAP Vehicle Fund to \$6.7 billion—\$6.1 billion in title XV of this Act and \$600.0 million in title I of this Act.

The conferees are aware that MRAP vehicles continue to be high priority assets in Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) and Operation Iraqi Freedom and save lives in combat. The committee notes the extraordinary effort to produce over 16,000 MRAP vehicles in 2 years and commends the Secretary of Defense for acknowledging the importance of this program by making it a top priority.

The conferees understand that in response to a joint, urgent operational needs statement from OEF, the MRAP joint program office is now procuring an MRAP all-terrain variant (M-ATV) that is a smaller, lighter-weight version of the original MRAP vehicle. The conferees are aware the M-ATV requirement has increased from 2,080 to 6,466. The conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to use the funds provided to fund fully this new requirement in fiscal year 2010.

The conferees also believe troops in pre-mobilization training should have training on the same types of equipment they will operate while deployed in combat. The committee understands MRAP vehicles are currently in short supply for home-station training at joint national training centers, and at combined training centers. The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to address these shortfalls and facilitate the fielding of MRAP vehicles for pre-mobilization training.

Defense-wide activities procurement (sec. 1507)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1507) that would authorize appropriations for Defense-wide activities procurement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1505).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 1508)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1509) that would authorize appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1506).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Operation and maintenance (sec. 1509)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1510) that would authorize appropriations for operations and maintenance programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1507).

The House recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Limitations on availability of funds in Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1510)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1513) that would authorize to be appropriated for fiscal year 2010 \$7.5 billion for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund and would subject these funds or any funds made available for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund to the certain terms and conditions of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1516).

The House recedes.

Limitations on Iraq Security Forces Fund (sec. 1511)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1516) that would make certain terms and conditions contained in section 1512 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) applicable to funds made available to the Department of Defense for the Iraq Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Military personnel (sec. 1512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1512) that would authorize appropriations for military personnel accounts.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1508).

The Senate recedes with an amendment with agreement on funding levels.

Working Capital Funds (sec. 1513)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1511) that would authorize appropriations for Working Capital Funds.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1509).

The Senate recedes.

Defense Health Program (sec. 1514)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515(a)) that would authorize appropriations for the Defense Health Program.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1510).

The House recedes with an amendment with agreement on the funding level.

Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1515)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515(b)) that would authorize appropriations for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, defense-wide.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1511).

The House recedes with agreement on the funding level.

Defense Inspector General (sec. 1516)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515(c)) that would authorize appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1512).

The House recedes with agreement on the funding level.

Relation to funding tables (sec. 1517)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1514) that would authorize funds in this title in accordance with the requirements of section 4001 and in the amounts specified in the funding tables in sections 4102, 4202, 4302, and 4402.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Continuation of prohibition on use of United States funds for certain facilities projects in Iraq (sec. 1518)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1517) that would make funds authorized to be appropriated under this title subject to the prohibition in section 1508(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) on the use of authorized funds for the acquisition, conversion, rehabilitation, or installation of facilities in Iraq for the use of the Government of Iraq, political subdivisions of Iraq, or agencies, departments, or forces of the Government of Iraq or its subdivisions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1519)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1519) that would treat the amounts authorized in this title as additional to amounts otherwise authorized by this Act.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1513).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Special transfer authority (sec. 1520)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1518) that would authorize the transfer of up to \$4.0 billion of authorizations for war-related funding authorizations in this title.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1515) that would authorize transfers of up to \$4.5 billion.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE ITEMS NOT ADOPTED

Limitation on obligation of funds for Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization pending report to Congress

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1504) that would limit the amount of funds that the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) may obligate until the committee is provided JIEDDO's detailed budget and program information.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Iraq Freedom Fund

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1514) that would authorize an additional \$115.3 million for the Iraq Freedom Fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Other Department of Defense programs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515) that would authorize funding for the Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counterdrug Activities, and the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (sec. 1510, sec. 1511, sec. 1512) that were adopted.

The House recedes.

TITLE XVII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

Demonstration project authority (sec. 1701)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to execute a signed agreement for the joint use by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs of the Navy ambulatory care center, parking structure, supporting structures and facilities, and related medical personal property and equipment in North Chicago, Illinois, and Great Lakes, Illinois.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) authorize, but not require, the secretaries to execute the agreement; (2) require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a copy of the proposed executive agreement not later than 7 days before executing the agreement, and to submit a final report on the exercise of the authorities granted for the demonstration project not later than 180 days after the fifth anniversary of the date of execution of the agreement; (3) require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit a report to Congress setting forth recommendations for additional locations, if any, at which similar executive agreements would be advisable; and (4) require the Comptroller General, not later than 1 year after the execution of an executive agreement, and annually thereafter, to review and assess the progress made by the departments in implementing the agreement and the effects of the agreement on the provision of care and operation of the facility.

Transfer of property (sec. 1702)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Administrator of General Services, to transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, jurisdiction over the Navy ambulatory care center and supporting structures in North Chicago, Illinois, and Great Lakes, Illinois. The provision would also designate the center, structure, and facilities transferred to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs as the "Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center".

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would remove the designation of the facility and to authorize transfer of jurisdiction, custody, and control over the center, structures, facilities, and property and equipment covered by the executive agreement.

The conferees encourage the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to use customary procedures for designation of federal facilities if and when the transfer is completed.

Transfer of civilian personnel of the Department of Defense (sec. 1703)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy to transfer to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs civilian employee positions necessary for the effective operation of the facility.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a conforming amendment.

Joint funding authority (sec. 1704)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would authorize the Department of Veterans Affairs/Department of Defense Health-Care Resources Sharing Committee to provide for the joint funding of the facility and establish on the books of the Treasury a Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund to fund the operations of the facility, including capital equipment, real property maintenance, and certain minor construction projects.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require that funds be authorized and appropriated specifically for the purpose

of funding the Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs joint medical facility, and would remove the authorization for the Department of Veterans Affairs/Department of Defense Health-Care Resources Sharing Committee to provide for the joint funding of the facility.

Eligibility of members of the uniformed services for care and services (sec. 1705)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would authorize the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center to be treated as a facility of the uniformed services under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a conforming amendment and an amendment that would give first priority for care in the integrated priority lists of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to members of the armed forces on active duty.

Extension of DOD-VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund (sec. 1706)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would change the termination date for the DOD-VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund from September 30, 2010, to September 30, 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Short title

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would cite this subtitle as the "Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center Act of 2009".

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XVIII—MILITARY COMMISSIONS

Military commissions (secs. 1801–1807)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would amend chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, addressing the trial by military commission of certain detainees for violations of the law of war.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would break up the provision into seven sections and provide it with a new short title: the Military Commissions Act of 2009. The amendment would also modify language addressing the qualifications of defense counsel and the resources available to such counsel, standards precluding the use of coerced testimony, standards applicable to the use of hearsay evidence, the protection of classified information, the appellate process, implementing regulations, and reports to Congress, and make other clarifying changes.

Section 948a(7) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1802, would define the term "unprivileged enemy belligerent." This definition is included for the purpose of establishing persons subject to trial by military commission in accordance with section 948c, of title 10, United States Code, and is not intended to address the scope of the authority of the United States to detain individuals in accordance with the laws of war or for any other purpose.

The conferees note that section 948k(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1802, would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations for the appointment and performance of defense counsel in capital cases. The conferees are aware that the Chief Defense Counsel for the Office of Military Commissions has expressed concern about what he and his office per-

ceive to be systemic under-resourcing of the defense needs of capital and other cases brought before military commissions. Witnesses testifying on behalf of the administration before the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives have indicated that they share many of these concerns. Accordingly, the conferees strongly encourage the Secretary of Defense to take appropriate steps to ensure the adequacy of representation for detainees, particularly in capital cases. The conferees further expect the Secretary, in prescribing regulations under section 948k(c)(2), of title 10, United States Code, to give appropriate consideration to the American Bar Association's Guidelines for the Appointment and Performance of Defense Counsel in Death Penalty Cases (February 2003) and other comparable guidelines.

The conferees also note that section 949a(b)(2), of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1802, would require that defendants in capital cases be represented, to the greatest extent practicable, by counsel who is "learned in applicable law related to capital cases." The conferees understand this phrase to have the same meaning that is commonly attributed to the same words in section 3005 of title 18, United States Code.

The conference agreement would permit the admission of a statement by the accused if, among other criteria, the military judge finds that the statement is reliable, probative, was made incident to lawful conduct during military operations at the point of capture or during closely related active combat engagement, and the interests of justice would best be served by its admission. The conferees expect the phrase "closely related active combat engagement," to be interpreted in the context of testimony before the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives addressing the unique circumstances applicable to statements that are made during a force-protection, tactical, or intelligence-related interrogation which occurs within a reasonable proximity in time and location to the point of capture given the unique circumstance of active combat operations.

The conferees note the Supreme Court's opinion in *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551 (2005), in which a majority of the court determined that the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution did not permit the imposition of the death penalty on offenders who were under the age of 18 at the time of their offense. The conferees take no position as to the applicability of this decision to the detainees at the United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, or any other detainee who may be tried by a military commission established pursuant to chapter 47A, of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 1802. However, the conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to give appropriate consideration to this decision in light of Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions, which requires that military commissions afford "all of the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples."

Lastly, the conferees are aware that pending prosecutions before military commissions have been delayed on several occasions during the consideration of this legislation. The conferees believe that it would be in the interest of justice to minimize any further delay in such cases. While section 1805 would provide up to 90 days for the Secretary of Defense to revise rules to be consistent with the requirements of chapter 47A of title 10, United States Code, as amended by this provision, the conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to promulgate such rules sooner.

TITLE XIX—FEDERAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Subtitle A—General Provisions

Credit for unused sick leave (sec. 1901)

The House bill contained a provision in Division D (sec. 201) that would allow unused sick leave to be applied toward length of service for purposes of computing a retirement annuity under the Federal Employee Retirement System (FERS).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the annuity of an employee retiring under FERS to include an applicable percentage of unused sick leave. The applicable percentage would be 50 percent of such leave between the date of enactment of this Act and December 31, 2013, and 100 percent of unused sick leave thereafter.

Limited expansion of the class of individuals eligible to receive an actuarially reduced annuity under the Civil Service Retirement System (sec. 1902)

The House bill contained a provision in Division D (sec. 202) that would allow employees who were refunded Civil Service Retirement System contributions made during the period from October 1, 1990, to February 28, 1991, and who were subsequently reemployed with the government, to satisfy the redeposit requirement by receiving an actuarially-reduced annuity in lieu of making cash payment to cover the interest. The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Computation of certain annuities based on part-time service (sec. 1903)

The House bill contained a provision in Division D (sec. 203) that would allow an employee under the Civil Service Retirement System to take their highest salary, including their deemed full-time salary for years of part-time work, to be used in computing benefits derived from a pre-1986 salary.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority to deposit refunds under FERS (sec. 1904)

The House bill contained a provision in Division D (sec. 204) that would allow former federal employees under the Federal Employee Retirement System (FERS) who withdrew their contributions to the retirement trust fund, thereby waiving retirement credit for those years of service, to redeposit their earlier contributions, plus interest, upon reemployment with the Federal Government.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Retirement credit for service of certain employees transferred from District of Columbia service to Federal service (sec. 1905)

The House bill contained a provision in Division D (sec. 205) that would provide certain District of Columbia employees whose positions were converted into federal positions with pension credit for their service prior to the transition for the purpose of determining federal retirement benefits.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle B—Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance

Non-Foreign Area Retirement Equity Assurance (secs. 1911–1919)

The House bill contained several provisions in Division D (sections 211 through 219) that would phase out cost of living allowances for federal employees working in Hawaii, Alaska, and other non-foreign U.S. territories,

and would phase in locality comparability pay in place of the allowances. This locality pay could be counted toward an employees' salary for retirement pay purposes, whereas cost of living allowances are not.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes with several technical amendments.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Repeal

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301D) that would amend section 999h of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, codified in section 16378 of title 42, United States Code, to repeal the federal subsidy to the Ultra-Deep-water and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Research program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Short title (sec. 2001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2001) that would designate Division B of this Act as the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2001).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2002) that would establish the expiration date for authorizations in this Act for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program, as October 1, 2012, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2013, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2002).

The Senate recedes.

Relation to funding tables (sec. 2003)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2004) that directs the funding authorized for appropriations in sections 2104, 2204, 2304, 2404, 2411, 2502, 2606, and 2703 shall be available, in accordance with the requirements of these sections for projects, programs, and activities, and in the amounts specified in sections 4501, 4502, 4503, and 4504.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment which eliminates section 4504.

General reduction across division (sec. 2004)

The conference agreement includes a provision (sec. 2005) that reduces the amount authorized for appropriations in Division B by \$529.1 million. The provision requires a report from the Secretary of Defense not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act describing how the reduction will be applied. The conferees note that because of a favorable construction climate, the Department is currently averaging savings of about 8 percent in fiscal year 2009 military construction contract awards. The conferees are also aware that the Department has budgeted an additional 4.4 percent for inflation, across the board, in the fiscal year 2010 military construction budget request. Therefore, the projected savings for military construction projects are substantial and are growing. This general reduction is in anticipation of continued substantial contract savings in fiscal year 2010, and is not intended to reduce or eliminate any individual construction projects authorized for appropriations in this Act.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Effective date

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2003) that would provide that titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, XXVI, XXVII, and XXIX of this Act take effect on October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2003).

Because the conference report was not adopted prior to October 1, 2009, this provision is no longer required.

Technical corrections regarding certain military construction projects, New Mexico

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2005) that would make technical corrections to two military construction projects in New Mexico. Those changes were incorporated into the military construction tables and at the other appropriate places in the bill.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXI—ARMY BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The budget request included authorization of appropriations of \$3.66 billion for military construction and \$796.65 million for family housing for the Army for fiscal year 2010. The conference agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$3.72 billion for military construction and \$796.65 million for family housing for fiscal year 2010.

The conferees note that in December 2007, the Army announced its specific force structure and stationing strategy to accommodate active end strength growth of 65,000 personnel. As part of that strategy the Army indicated that it would increase its number of brigade combat teams (BCTs) by six, from 42 to 48. In fiscal year 2009 the Army was authorized and had appropriated more than \$1.1 billion in military construction funding and \$333.0 million in Army Family Housing for BCTs 46, 47, and 48 at Fort Stewart, Georgia, Fort Carson, Colorado, and Fort Bliss, Texas. The fiscal year 2010 budget request included a proposal to reduce the total number of BCTs from 48 to 45.

As a consequence, the conference agreement reduces or eliminates specific authorizations for military construction projects included in the budget request for fiscal year 2010 that the Army's "gap analysis" has determined to be excess of requirements. The conference agreement also includes a general reduction of \$166.0 million for the Army and requests that the Department apply those reductions after a thorough review of military requirements affected by the reduction of three BCT's.

Additionally, the conference agreement includes a reduction of \$150.0 million in authorization of appropriations contained in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417) for Army Family Housing at Fort Carson and Ft Stewart, to account for a reduction in requirements. The Army has indicated to the conferees that because of the elimination of one BCT from each installation, there exists adequate family housing without the fiscal year 2009 appropriations.

The conference agreement includes \$350.0 million to be applied by the Department of the Army to requirements for the construction of trainee troop barracks to meet critical shortfalls in housing for trainees. The conferees expect the Army to utilize this funding to reduce the need for relocatable facilities that are in use at all installations where basic and advance individual training is conducted. The conference agreement in-

cludes a legislative provision (sec. 2104) that requires the funds be available only after the Secretary of the Army provides a list of projects to the congressional defense committees along with a certification that the projects can be executed in fiscal year 2010, have a valid DD form 1391, and are in the Defense Department's current future-years defense program (FYDP).

The conference agreement includes a reduction in the authorization of appropriations without prejudice of \$20.0 million requested to prepare a site for the eventual construction of the National Museum of the U.S. Army at Fort Belvoir, Virginia. The conferees note that the construction of the museum is contingent on the availability of certain levels of private donations, which to date, have not been raised. The conferees are concerned that a delay in museum construction may result in a military construction project that is not complete and useable. Therefore, the conferees encourage the Department to include authorization of this project in a future budget request when donated funds will permit the construction of the museum to commence. The conferees do not intend for this deferment in the authorization of appropriations to indicate any concern about the site for the National Museum of the United States Army.

Finally, the conference agreement includes a reduction in the authorization of the appropriation of \$25.0 million for the Warrior Transition Complex at Landstuhl, Germany, pending a decision on the final location of a hospital replacement facility for the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2101) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Army for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2101).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2102) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2010. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2102).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2103) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2010 to improve existing Army family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2103).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2104) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Army for fiscal year 2010. This provision would also

provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2010 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2104).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 project (sec. 2105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2105) that authorizes the Secretary of the Army to construct a chapel at Ft Bragg, North Carolina, that is increased in scope over that previously authorized in fiscal year 2009.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2106) that would extend the authorization for Army fiscal year 2006 military construction projects at Pohakuloa, Hawaii, until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2105).

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXII—NAVY

BUDGET ITEM

Summary

The budget request included authorization of appropriations of \$3.76 billion for military construction and \$515.11 million for family housing for the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2010. The conferees recommend authorization of appropriations of \$3.77 billion for military construction and \$515.11 million for family housing for fiscal year 2010.

The budget request contains the first substantial increment of funding for the relocation of Marines from Okinawa to Guam. The conferees are concerned about the lack of a Guam Master Plan with budget level detail as well as firm commitments to funding as reflected in a future-years defense program for the Department of Defense. Because a long range master plan has not been submitted to Congress, the projects included in the fiscal year 2010 budget request seem disjointed and premature. For example, the acquisition of real estate to complete the Finegayan site for both the stationing and training of Marine forces would appear to be the highest priority for the use of military construction funds, along with a reliable road network and supporting utilities infrastructure. The conferees are also concerned that the Andersen Air Force Base north ramp and utilities projects intended to support the redeployment of Marine Corps aviation did not represent complete and usable facilities as required by section 2801 of title 10, United States Code. Therefore, the conference agreement includes an authorization for a military construction project that results in a complete and useable facility. The conference report also authorizes incremental appropriations conditioned on receipt of the final Guam master plan from the Department of Defense.

The conference agreement also includes a reduction of the authorization for a Marine Corps Military Working Dog facility on Guam, based on the conferee assessment that the supporting construction costs for the facility were overstated.

The conference agreement includes an authorization for the Ship Repair Pier Replacement Facility at Norfolk, Virginia, the Apra Harbor Wharves Improvement project on Guam, and the North Region Tertiary Treat-

ment Plant at Camp Pendleton, California, that will result in complete and useable facilities. The conference agreement includes an authorization of incremental appropriations required to carry out construction activities in fiscal year 2010, as the conferees note that these large projects are projected for late fiscal year 2010 award, and will take several years to complete construction.

The conference agreement includes authorization for \$46.3 million for channel and turning basin dredging at Naval Station (NS) Mayport, Florida. The Navy requested this project in order to allow a nuclear aircraft carrier to enter Naval Station Mayport on a temporary basis with an embarked air wing, full stores, and under any tidal conditions. The conferees authorize funding for this project based on the Secretary of the Navy and Chief of Naval Operations' assurances that the dredging is needed for current operational considerations to permit the use of Mayport as a transient dock and is "required irrespective of the final decision on aircraft carrier homeporting at Mayport."

The conferees emphasize that the inclusion of an authorization for dredging at NS Mayport is not an indication of conferee support for the establishment of an additional homeport for nuclear aircraft carriers on the east coast, or intended to influence the ongoing Quadrennial Defense Review, which may include a recommendation on the establishment of a second east coast homeport for nuclear aircraft carriers. Furthermore, the conferees note that this funding is provided solely to permit use of Mayport as a transient port, and that any potential designation of Mayport as a nuclear carrier homeport will require future authorizations from the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2201) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2201).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2202) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2010. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2202).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2203) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2010 to improve existing Navy and Marine Corps family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2203).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2204) that would authorize appropriations for

the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2010. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2010 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2006 project (sec. 2205)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would increase and extend the authorization until October 1, 2012, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2013 whichever is later, for a project at the Naval Submarine Base Bangor, Washington, for construction of a waterfront security enclave.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2205).

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

BUDGET ITEM

Summary

The budget request included authorization of appropriations of \$1.15 billion for military construction and \$569.04 million for family housing for the Air Force in fiscal year 2010. The committee recommends authorization of appropriations of \$1.42 billion for military construction, and \$569.04 million for family housing for fiscal year 2010.

The conferees do not recommend authorization of appropriations at this time for the War Reserve Material Compound and the Airlift Ramp and Fuel Facilities at Al Musannah Air Base in Oman. The projects were proposed as a result of a Government of Oman request to U.S. Central Command to relocate existing U.S. military facilities from Seeb International Airport, Oman, in order to facilitate commercial development. The conferees are concerned that projects have been requested for Al Musannah Air Base, without a base master plan, without the appropriate long-term agreements in place with the Omani Government, and without consideration of contributions from the host nation. Furthermore, an additional \$350.0 million would need to be included in U.S. defense future budgets in order to ensure these projects could be used for their intended purpose. The conferees recommend that the Department of Defense confirm the existence of an updated host nation agreement that will detail the terms of the United States' presence at Al Musannah Air Base, before considering this project for inclusion in a President's budget request.

The conferees are aware that future Department of Defense and North Atlantic Treaty Organization plans may result in the construction of separate facilities supporting the Global Hawk aircraft at Naval Station Sigonella, Sicily. Therefore, the conferees encourage the Department of Defense to explore options for the use of existing facilities and the consolidation of facility requirements for the stationing and operation of Global Hawk before carrying out the project to construct the hangar authorized in this Act.

At the request of the Department of the Air Force, the conferees have included an authorization of \$37.5 million for a project for the bed down of the Unmanned Aerial Systems Field Training Unit squadron at Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico. The conferees also rescinded the fiscal year 2009 authorization of \$37.5 million for this same

project which had been included under World-wide Unspecified Locations. This was necessary because the fiscal year 2009 project was generic in nature. Once a bed down location was determined, the scope of the project changed and required a new authorization.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2301) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Air Force for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2301).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2302) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2010. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2302).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2303) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2010 to improve existing Air Force family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2303).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2304)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2304) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Air Force for fiscal year 2010. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2010 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Air Force.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2304).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

Termination of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 Air Force project (sec. 2305)

The conferees recommend a provision that terminates two Air Force projects for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles previously authorized in the tables of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417; Stat. 4682).

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects (sec. 2306)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would extend the authorizations for certain Air Force fiscal year 2007 military construction projects until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2305).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2307)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2306) that would extend the authorizations

for certain Air Force fiscal year 2006 military construction projects until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2306).

The Senate recedes.

Conveyance to Indian tribes of certain housing units (sec. 2308)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2308) that would permit the Secretary of the Air Force to convey excess relocatable military housing units to certain Indian tribes, at no cost, and without consideration. The provision also provides a mechanism for the tribes to make requests to the Air Force as well as designating eligible bases.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The budget request included authorization of appropriations of \$3.1 billion for military construction for the defense agencies, \$146.54 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$75.04 million for family housing for the defense agencies, the Family Housing Improvement Fund, and the Homeowners Assistance Program for fiscal year 2010.

The conferees recommend authorization of appropriations of \$2.82 billion (including fiscal year 2009 reductions) for military construction, \$151.54 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$354.67 million for family housing programs for fiscal year 2010, including an increase of \$276.8 million for the Homeowners Assistance Program.

The conference agreement includes a reduction to the budget request of \$200.0 million for the second increment of the National Security Agency's Data Center at Camp Williams, Utah. This \$1.59 billion facility was fully authorized as a military construction project in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 111-32). The conferees believe that the amount provided for the second increment for this project in this Act is adequate to maintain the construction schedule provided to the conferees through fiscal year 2010 with no delays.

The conference agreement includes a full authorization and incremental funding for hospital replacement projects in Guam and Fort Bliss, Texas, in order to permit the Department of Defense to proceed with design and construction of complete facilities fully satisfying the military requirement. The Department has estimated that these full authorizations will save over \$300.0 million through the construction period and will ensure complete and useable facilities as required by law. The conferees strongly encourage the Department of Defense to take into consideration the potential costs, delays in project completion schedules, and impact to military operations before including phased military construction projects in future budget requests.

The conference agreement also includes reductions in funding for Health and Dental Clinics at Fort Carson, Colorado, Fort Stewart, Georgia, and Fort Bliss, Texas, in order to adjust requirements to account for the elimination of a brigade combat team from the Army at each of those installations.

The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$22.5 million for one of two elementary schools requested for Fort Stewart, Georgia, which the Department of Defense Educational Activity has indicated is no

longer required given the current plan for assigned soldiers and dependents.

The conference agreement includes an increase of \$50.0 million for construction of an elementary school at Boeblingen, Germany. The current facility is located in a converted World War II era troop barracks and has significant life, health, and safety concerns.

As a result of the administration's recently announced decision to restructure the European missile defense architecture, the conference agreement includes a reduction of \$151.2 million to the authorization of appropriations provided in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division D of Public Law 110-417) for the interceptor site in Poland and the mid course radar site in the Czech Republic without prejudice. Although, the new architecture will likely require new military construction projects in the future, those projects must be requested individually in the year of anticipated construction.

The conference agreement includes a transfer to the Defense-wide account from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Security Investment Program of \$41.4 million to account for the United States contribution to the construction of the new NATO headquarters.

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized defense agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2401) that would authorize construction projects for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (sec. 2401 and sec. 2402).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2402)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out new construction of family housing units for fiscal year 2010.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Energy conservation projects (sec. 2403)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Authorization of appropriations, defense agencies (sec. 2404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize specific appropriations for each line item contained in the budget request for fiscal year 2010 for the defense agencies. This section provides an overall limit on the amount the defense agencies may spend on military construction projects. Lastly, this section requires that a proportion of the funds for energy conservation projects equivalent to the proportion of energy used by reserve component facilities as a percentage of the total energy consumed by military installations be made available for reserve components.

The Senate amendment contained two similar provisions (sec. 2403 and 2404).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Termination or modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2009 projects (sec. 2405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would increase the authorization for a Defense Logistics Agency project authorized in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417) for a project at Souda Bay, Greece.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2406).

The Senate recedes with an amendment terminating the authority for construction projects for the Missile Defense Agency previously authorized in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417: 122 Stat. 4690).

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project (sec. 2406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would increase the authorization for a Defense Logistics Agency project authorized in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Division B of Public Law 110-181) for a project at Point Loma Annex, California.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2405).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 project (sec. 2407)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2405) that would extend the authorization for a fiscal year 2007 military construction project for the Defense Logistics Agency until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2407).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide (sec. 2411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2411) that would authorize military construction projects for the chemical demilitarization program for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2411).

The Senate recedes with an amendment increasing the authorized amount and making other technical changes.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2501) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this title and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2501).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize \$276,314,000 as the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Security Investment Program.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2502).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that reduces the amount authorized to \$197,417,000. The reduction is caused by the transfer of \$41,400,000 to the Defense-wide account for the United States contribution to the construction of a new NATO headquarters. The total authorization was also reduced by \$37,500,000 to account for the United States prefinancing of the NATO International Security Assistance Force Headquarters in Afghanistan.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The budget request included authorization of appropriations of \$1.02 billion for military construction in fiscal year 2010 for National Guard and Reserve facilities. The conferees recommend a total of \$1.61 billion for military construction for the reserve components. This authorization for appropriations includes programmatic increases of \$200.0 million divided among the components as provided in the tables in title 26 of this Act. The conferees have included a legislative provision (sec. 2606) that requires that prior to an award using certain authorized amounts, the secretary of the military department concerned provides a list of projects to the congressional defense committees along with a certification that the projects can be executed in fiscal year 2010, have a valid DD form 1391, and are included in the future-years defense program of the involved reserve component.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army National Guard for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2601).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army Reserve for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2602).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2603) that would authorize military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2603).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2604) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air National Guard for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2604).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2605) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2605).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table in section 4501 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2606) that would authorize appropriations for reserve component military construction projects for fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2606).

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2007 projects (sec. 2607)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2607) that would extend the authorizations for certain Guard and reserve fiscal year 2007 military construction projects until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2607).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 project (sec. 2608)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2608) that would extend the authorization for an Army National Guard fiscal year 2006 military construction project in Montana until October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2608).

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXVII—BASE CLOSURE AND
REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES

Subtitle A—Authorizations

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 1990 (sec. 2701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decision of the 1988, 1991, 1993, and 1995 Base Closure and Realignment.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2701).

The Senate recedes with an amendment to increase the amount authorized by \$100.0 million.

Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 2005 (sec. 2702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2702) that would authorize military construction projects for fiscal year 2010 for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Base Closure and Realignment.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2702).

The Senate recedes with an amendment to the amounts authorized.

The table included in this title lists the specific amounts authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 2005 (sec. 2703)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2703) that would authorize appropriations for military construction projects for fiscal year 2010 for the Department of Defense that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure round.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2703).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that changes the amount authorized.

The State list contained in this Act is the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Relocation of certain Army Reserve units in Connecticut (sec. 2711)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2706) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to locate a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in the vicinity of Newtown, Connecticut, at a location determined by the Secretary to be in the best interest of national security and in the public interest.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Authority to construct Armed Forces Reserve Center in vicinity of Pease Air National Guard Base, New Hampshire (sec. 2712)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2707) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to locate a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in the vicinity of Pease Air National Guard Base, New Hampshire, at a location determined by the Secretary to be in the best interest of national security and in the public interest.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2722).

The House recedes with a clerical amendment.

Sense of Congress on ensuring joint basing recommendations do not adversely affect operational readiness (sec. 2713)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2721) that would express the sense of Con-

gress that, in implementing joint basing recommendations associated with the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510), the Secretary of Defense should ensure that the operational employment of units at the joint base are not adversely impacted.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Requirements related to providing world class military medical facilities in the National Capital Region (sec. 2714)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2723) that expressed a sense of the Congress that the Department of Defense should use all available methods to implement the defense access road program to mitigate traffic congestion in and around the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2708) that would require a master plan from the Secretary of Defense for the development of world class medical facilities and an integrated system of health care delivery for the National Capital Region. The provision provides a specific list of issues to be addressed from wounded warrior care, to information technology integration, to ancillary and support facilities.

The House recedes with an amendment that integrates the sense of the Congress on traffic management into the requirement for a master plan for the National Capital Region. The conferees are concerned that the Department lacks a plan for the entirety of facilities at the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and that there may be differing standards and levels of construction and funding that could result in a facility that is not in totality a world class medical facility. The conferees believe that the quality of patient care should be at the foundation of all decisions regarding the development of these facilities and the closure of facilities at the current Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

Use of economic development conveyances to implement base closure and realignment property recommendations (sec. 2715)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2711) that would amend section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510) redefining the role of economic development conveyances. Furthermore, the provision would eliminate fair market value negotiations between eligible parties and the Department of Defense prior to a conveyance, instead relying on actual market returns realized at the completion of the development. Finally, the Secretary of Defense would be required to complete implementing regulations within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act and be required to submit a report to Congress within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act regarding the status of ongoing economic development conveyances.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2705) that would express the sense of the Senate that, as the Federal Government implements base closures and realignments, global repositioning, and initiatives to increase the end strength of the Army and the Marine Corps, it is necessary to assist local communities coping with these programs and to comprehensively assess the needs and degree of assistance to communities to effectively implement the various initiatives of the Department of Defense while aiding communities to either recover quickly from closures or to accommodate growth associated with troop influxes.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would amend section 2905 of the Defense

Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510) that would replace the requirement for the Secretary to seek fair market value as consideration for the conveyance of properties disposed as a result of the 2005 BRAC round with an authority that grants the Secretary the discretion to account for the local economic conditions of affected communities and the cost of public infrastructure when determining the amount of consideration to be requested for properties affected by all BRAC rounds. The amendment would also authorize the Secretary to receive as consideration a range of resources including in-kind services or goods, or a share of revenues that the local redevelopment authority receives from third party buyers or from lessees from sales and long-term leases of the conveyed property.

The conferees note that negotiations between the Department of Defense and local redevelopment authorities at many locations over the value of property to be disposed under an economic development conveyance (EDC) have stalled over the past 2 years due to difficulties in the nation's financial markets, the deterioration of local economic conditions, and the potential of legislative changes. The conferees are concerned that these stalled negotiations are detrimental to both local communities, which are denied an expansion of their tax revenue base and the opportunity for jobs generation and economic redevelopment, and to the Department of Defense, which must maintain the properties, some dating back to the 1993 BRAC round, with scarce resources. The conferees intend for the Department to use the language contained in this conference agreement to conclude negotiations and expedite the transfer of properties in order to allow for affected communities to proceed with economic redevelopment initiatives. The conferees have included a specific authorization to the Secretary to accept consideration in the form of revenues received from third-party buyers, or lessees from sales and long-term leases. This "back end" funding is also intended to speed the process without putting undue burden on local communities to provide large initial contributions, or to burden taxpayers to provide public contributions as a condition of property conveyance.

The conferees agree that the Secretary of Defense should have the discretion to receive consideration up to and including fair market value for a property when warranted by the proposed economic redevelopment plan submitted by the local redevelopment authority. The conferees note that proceeds gained from consideration received as a result of a property disposed under BRAC authorities are used to supplement appropriated funds to accelerate environmental clean-up, remediation, and compliance actions for other BRAC property. Therefore, funds received as a result of BRAC conveyances have a direct impact on the Department's timing and ability to dispose of additional properties. However, the conferees also note that the cost avoidance realized as a result of quickly disposing of property and not maintaining the required repair and overhead associated with BRAC properties needs to be equally valued.

The conference agreement provides broad permissive authorities aimed at balancing the requirements of the Department with the needs of the local communities. The conferees believe that by providing sufficient authorities to the Department without mandating courses of action for the transfer of the property, and by permitting a range of considerations and funding methods for proposal by local redevelopment authorities, property transfers negotiations can, within a

reasonable time, conclude with an agreement that serves the best interests of both parties.

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY
CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**
Subtitle A—Military Construction Program
and Military Family Housing Changes

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Modification of unspecified minor construction
authorities (sec. 2801)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would amend section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, to eliminate exercise-related project restrictions. This section also would expand the authority to receive funds provided in section 219(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) for revitalization and recapitalization of the defense laboratory complex.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a conforming amendment that alters section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, and amends the reporting requirements pursuant to section 219(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417).

*Congressional notification of facility repair
projects carried out using operations and
maintenance funds (sec. 2802)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would amend section 2811 of title 10, United States Code, to require that congressional notice of repair projects in excess of \$7.5 million include comparison of the repair versus replacement cost of a specific project if the repair exceeds 50 percent of replacement cost, and to require a description of the military construction contemplated in the repair.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would change the requirement for cost comparisons only for projects exceeding 75 percent of replacement cost.

*Modification of authority for scope of work
variations (sec. 2803)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would amend section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and authorize the Department of Defense to exceed the scope of a military construction project after providing notification to the appropriate committees of Congress.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would amend section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit the Department of Defense from carrying out military construction projects or the construction, improvement, or acquisition of a military family housing project in which the scope of work exceeds the amount specifically authorized by Congress.

The House recedes.

*Modification of conveyance authority at mili-
tary installations (sec. 2804)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would amend section 2869 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the secretary concerned to enter into an agreement to convey real property, including any improvements thereon, to any person who agrees, in exchange for the real property, to carry out a land acquisition to limit encroachment around Department of Defense installations and ranges. This provision would also require the authority to sunset on September 20, 2013.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a minor clarifying amendment.

*Imposition of requirement that acquisition of re-
serve component facilities be authorized by
law (sec. 2805)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would amend section 18233(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, to require reserve components have a military construction authorization prior to initiating construction.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Authority to use operation and maintenance
funds for construction projects inside the
United States Central Command area of re-
sponsibility (sec. 2806)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would amend section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Division B of Public Law 108-136), as most recently amended by section 2806 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417) to extend the use of operation and maintenance funds for construction projects at locations in the United States Central Command for an additional year. This section would eliminate the discretion of the Secretary of Defense to expand the authority from \$200.0 million to \$500.0 million, provided in the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417). Finally, expanded authority to include an additional \$10.0 million would be provided to the Secretary of Defense if the Secretary determines that additional funds are required to complete contract closeouts.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2811).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that retains the expanded authority for projects in Afghanistan provided in the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), but limits the authority to United States Central Command only.

*Expansion of First Sergeants Barracks Initiative
(sec. 2807)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2807) that would require the Secretary of the Army to implement the First Sergeants Barracks Initiative to improve the quality of life for single soldiers and promote higher use of barracks spaces. Furthermore, it would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by February 15, 2010, and February 15, 2011, on efforts the Army has taken to achieve the goals stipulated in the provision.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2841).

The Senate recedes.

*Reports on privatization initiatives for military
unaccompanied housing (sec. 2808)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2808) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on options to expand the privatization of military unaccompanied housing authority associated with section 2881a of title 10, United States Code. The Comptroller General of the United States also would be required to submit a concurrent report on the same subject.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring the Secretary to assess the feasibility and cost of privatizing military unaccompanied housing for all members of the armed forces.

*Report on Department of Defense contributions
to States for acquisition, construction, ex-
pansion, rehabilitation, or conversion of re-
serve component facilities (sec. 2809)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would require the Secretary of De-

fense to submit a report on disbursements made to States associated with section 18233(a) of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

**Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities
Administration**

*Modification of utility systems conveyance au-
thority (sec. 2821)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would amend section 2688 of title 10, United States Code, to require, in the consideration of a utility privatization proposal, a 10 percent preference to a government proposal when the period of performance is less than 10 years and a 20 percent preference to a government proposal when the period of performance is more than 10 years and less than 50 years. Furthermore, this provision would restrict review under this section when a similar review has been completed using the authority of section 2461 of title 10, United States Code, within the past 5 years.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the government preference to 10 percent regardless of the period of performance.

*Report on global defense posture realignment
and interagency review (sec. 2822)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2704) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on the status of overseas base closure and realignment actions undertaken as part of a global defense posture realignment strategy and the status of development and execution of comprehensive master plans for overseas military main operating bases, forward operating sites, and cooperative security locations. In addition, the report would require the Secretary of Defense to include comments resulting from a review by the Department of State and other federal departments and agencies deemed necessary to national security. The provision would also amend section 118 of title 10, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees 90 days after completing a Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) on the impact of that review on the global posture of United States military forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment that would include the report under section 2687 of title 10, United States Code.

*Property and facilities management of the
Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 2823)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2816) that would amend section 1511 of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 (24 U.S.C. 411) to require the Secretary of Defense to dispose of excess property in accordance with subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code. This type of property disposal method brings the Armed Forces Retirement Home into alignment with the Department of Defense on methods to dispose property.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that more closely aligns the property disposal and lease process with the principles of 10 USC 2667. It also places responsibility for approving disposal of excess property and leases of non-excess property for the Armed

Forces Retirement Home under the authority of the Secretary of Defense acting on behalf of the Chief Operating Officer and provides for competitive procedures for certain leases.

Acceptance of contributions to support cleanup efforts at former Almaden Air Force Station, California (sec. 2824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2817) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to accept contributions from the State of California that would allow the demolition of property and to provide environmental remediation at the former Almaden Air Force Station.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to receive the contributions, and limit the scope of activities authorized to be funded with these contributions.

Selection of military installations to serve as locations of brigade combat teams (sec. 2825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2820) that would require the Secretary of the Army to take into consideration the availability and proximity of training spaces and the capacity of the installations to support the possible relocations of brigade combat teams to the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment eliminating the names of specific candidate installations.

Report on Federal assistance to support communities adversely impacted by expansion of military installations (sec. 2826)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would amend section 2391 of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Department of Defense Office of Economic Adjustment to use operation and maintenance funds authorized for the purpose of making grants to communities to also fund public infrastructure projects.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring a report only from the Secretary of Defense on what additional authorities may be required to assist impacted communities in absorbing growth at expanding military installations.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Guam Realignment

Role of Department of Defense in management and coordination of defense activities relating to Guam realignment (sec. 2831)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would amend section 132 of title 10, United States Code, and delegate responsibility for coordinating the Guam realignment activities of the Department of Defense, and the activities of the Joint Guam Program Office, to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy. Programming authority would remain the responsibility of the secretaries of the military departments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment designating the Deputy Secretary of Defense to lead the Guam Executive Council and to be the Department's principal representative for coordinating the interagency efforts in matters related to Guam.

Clarifications regarding use of special purpose entities to assist with Guam realignment (sec. 2832)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the proposed implementing guidance associated with the

special purpose entities that would be used in the Guam realignment.

This section also would apply the United States Unified Facilities Criteria to all projects supported by the "Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account" established in section 2824 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417). Finally, this section would express the sense of Congress that utility improvements on Guam should incorporate military and civilian utilities on Guam into a unified grid.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the definition of a special purpose entity as well as changing the reporting requirement and the notice and wait requirements.

Workforce issues related to military construction and certain other transactions on Guam (sec. 2833)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2833) that would amend section 2824 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417) to require military construction contracts comply with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code, and requires a construction wage determination to be determined at the rate of the lowest wage rate on a project of similar character for Hawaii. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress by February 15 of each year, on an assessment of the living standards of the construction workforce employed to carry out military construction projects and the adequacy of the contract standards and infrastructure that support temporary housing for the construction workforce and their medical needs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that requires compliance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code, at the local rate only for military construction contracts related to the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam. It also requires that the Secretary of Labor issue a wage rate determination annually, until 90 percent of the funds for this project are expended.

Composition of workforce for construction projects funded through the Support for United States Relocation to Guam Account (sec. 2834)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2834) that would amend section 2824 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Division B of Public Law 110-417) and provide a 30 percent limit to the total hours worked per month by H2B visa holders on construction projects that support the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel on Guam. This authority would expire for construction projects whose groundbreaking extends beyond October 1, 2011. Furthermore, the construction contractor would be required to advertise and solicit for construction workers in the United States. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by June 30, 2010, on efforts to implement Executive Order 13502, entitled "Use of Project Labor Agreements for Federal Construction Projects". Finally, the Secretary of Labor would be required to submit a report to the committees of jurisdiction by June 30, 2010, on efforts to expand the recruitment of construction workers in the United States to support this effort; on the ability of labor

markets to support the Guam realignment; and the sufficiency of efforts to recruit United States construction workers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that eliminates the percentage limitation on H2B waivers. It also requires that the Governor of Guam consult with the Secretary of Labor and certify to the Secretary of Defense that the requirements of section 214.2 of title 8, Code of Federal Regulations, are being met with regard to construction projects supporting the realignment of military installations and the relocation of military personnel to Guam.

The conference agreement specifies a number of measures aimed at insuring the maximum numbers of United States workers are solicited before H2B visa waivers are issued and further requires the Secretary of Labor to approve a recruitment plan.

The conference agreement provides a limitation that would prevent public officials, attorneys, or agents from receiving payments on behalf of any worker attempting to obtain an H2B labor certification.

Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment (sec. 2835)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2835) that would establish the Interagency Coordination Group for Guam Realignment in order to provide independent and objective oversight and a transparent and reliable source of information relating to the programs and operations funded by the Department of Defense for military construction activities on Guam.

This section would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to serve as chairperson of the Interagency Coordination Group and include the Inspector General of the Department of the Interior and Inspectors General of such other federal agencies as the chairperson considers appropriate. This section would require the Interagency Coordination Group for Guam Realignment to submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report summarizing Guam realignment activities and activities under the programs and operations funded by the Department for military construction activities in Guam. The Interagency Coordination Group for Guam Realignment shall terminate upon the expenditure of 90 percent of all funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Guam realignment.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Compliance with naval aviation safety requirements as condition on acceptance of replacement facility for Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, Okinawa (sec. 2836)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2836) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify to the congressional defense committees that the Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, replacement facility meets minimum naval aviation safety requirements before final acceptance of the facility.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that conditions acceptance of the Futenma replacement facility on a report by the Secretary of Defense to the congressional defense committees that the planned replacement facility and the operating procedures are consistent with naval aviation safety requirements. The provision does not prevent the Secretary from exercising existing waiver authorities.

Report and sense of Congress on Marine Corps requirements in Asia-Pacific region (sec. 2837)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2837) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy and the Joint Guam Program Office, to submit a report on the command structure associated with the current and future locations of Marine Corps units in the Pacific, within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. Furthermore, the Secretary of Defense report would assess the training expectations associated with the Marine Corps realignment to Guam and the overall training requirements in the Northern Mariana Islands. This section also would express the sense of Congress that the Marine Corps training expansion should be completed as soon as possible and should not impact the overall rebasing of Marines from Okinawa to Guam. This supports a two-tiered approach to reviewing training requirements for the Marine Forces Pacific. The upper tier would include a comprehensive strategy that includes transient forces that train Marine Corps elements up to and including a Marine Air-Ground Task Force. The lower tier would include elements associated with current Marine Corps training capabilities available in Japan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove reference to any potential National Environmental Policy Act timing or other activities and would broaden the reporting requirement. The new reporting requirement should address training, readiness, and movement requirements for all Marine Corps forces in the Pacific.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

Adoption of unified energy monitoring and utility control system specification for military construction and military family housing activities (sec. 2841)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would create section 2867 of title 10, United States Code, and require the Department of Defense to adopt a single specification for an energy management and monitoring system for use in military construction projects. The Secretary concerned would be able to waive the requirements to adopt a single specification if the Secretary determines that the inclusion in a military construction project is not cost effective over the life cycle of the project. This section also would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act on the items associated with the adoption of a single specification for an energy management and monitoring system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that changes the term energy management system to energy and utilities control system, and makes other clarifying changes.

Department of Defense goal regarding use of "renewable energy sources to meet facility energy needs (sec. 2842)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would amend section 2911(e) of title 10, United States Code, by changing the definition of renewable energy source" from the definition provided in section 203(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-58) to a new definition that includes non-electric renewable energy such as thermal energy. This change applies to the Department of Defense goal to produce or procure renewable energy equivalent to 25 percent of the total quantity of electric energy it con-

sumes within its facilities and in its activities during fiscal year 2025 and each fiscal year thereafter.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Department of Defense participation in programs for management of energy demand or reduction of energy usage during peak periods (sec. 2843)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2846) that would authorize the Department of Defense to participate in demand response programs for the management of energy demand or the reduction of energy usage during peak periods.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 335).

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Department of Defense use of electric and hybrid motor vehicles (sec. 2844)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would establish a preference for the lease or procurement of motor vehicles using electric or hybrid propulsion systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the preference and require the establishment of regulations to implement the preference.

Study on development of nuclear power plants on military installations (sec. 2845)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2845) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the feasibility of developing nuclear power plants on military installations. The provision directs the Secretary to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by June 1, 2010.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Comptroller General report on Department of Defense renewable energy initiatives, including solar initiatives, on military installations (sec. 2846)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would require a report no later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on the Department's efforts to place solar panels and other renewable energy projects on military installations.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2844).

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the report be provided by the Comptroller General.

Subtitle E—Land Conveyances

Land conveyance, Haines Tank Farm, Haines, Alaska (sec. 2851)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2836) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey 201 acres at the former Haines Fuel Terminal to the Chilkoot Indian Association for industrial and commercial development purposes.

The House bill contained a similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that eliminates any reference to ongoing remediation efforts.

Release of reversionary interest, Camp Joseph T. Robinson, Arkansas (sec. 2852)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would release the reversionary interest in two parcels of land on the former Camp Joseph T. Robinson to the State of Arkansas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment changing the title of the section.

Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Port Chicago Naval Magazine, California (sec. 2853)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2851) that would amend section 203 of the Port Chicago National Memorial Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-562) to require the Secretary of Defense to transfer five acres of land to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior if the Secretary of Defense determines that the land is excess to military needs and all environmental remediation has been completed. The land would be used by the National Park System for purposes of administering the Port Chicago Naval Magazine National Memorial. The Secretary of Defense shall provide as much public access as possible without interfering with military needs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that makes the Secretary of the Army the responsible official and expresses a sense of the Congress that the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior should develop a process to maintain the infrastructure of the memorial.

Land conveyance, Ferndale housing at Centerville Beach Naval Facility to City of Ferndale, California (sec. 2854)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2858) that would permit fair market conveyance of former Navy housing on the closed Centerville Beach Naval Facility to the City of Ferndale, California.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment eliminating the reversionary clause because the sale is to be at fair market value.

Land conveyances, Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii (sec. 2855)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2852) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, six parcels of the former Naval Air Station, Barbers Point to the Hawaii Community Development Authority.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that authorizes the Secretary to transfer the parcels for no consideration if the parcels to be conveyed will be for public benefit as determined by the Secretary.

Land conveyances of certain parcels in the Camp Catlin and Ohana Nui areas, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii (sec. 2856)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2837) that would permit the Secretary of the Navy to convey to any person or entity leasing or licensing real property located at Camp Catlin and Ohana Nui areas, Hawaii, all right, title, and interest in that property for the purpose of continuing the same functions as are currently being conducted on the property.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment which clarifies the continuance of functions being conducted on the property.

Modification of land conveyance, former Griffiss Air Force Base, New York (sec. 2857)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2853) that would amend section 2873 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Division B of Public Law 108-375) and allow the Secretary of the Air Force to convey a third parcel at the former Griffiss Air Force Base to the Oneida County Industrial Development Agency.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would specify that any cash payments received by the Secretary shall be deposited in an account established under section 2667(e) of title 10, United States Code, and should be available for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.

Land conveyance, Army Reserve Center, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania (sec. 2858)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2854) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey the Army Reserve Center in Chambersburg, Pennsylvania without consideration, to the Chambersburg Area School District for educational, education support, and community activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would eliminate community activities as one of the purposes for property transfer.

Land conveyance, Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota (sec. 2859)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2833) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, two small parcels of property on Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota, to the South Dakota Ellsworth Development Authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Land conveyance, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas (sec. 2860)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2835) that would permit the Secretary of the Air Force to convey 250 acres of real property at Lackland Air Force Base in exchange for real property adjacent to the installation for the purpose of relocating and consolidating Air Force tenants located on the former Kelly Air Force Base onto the main portion of Lackland Air Force Base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that clarifies that the property shall be conveyed as is. Furthermore, a savings provision was included that requires this provision to comply with applicable environmental laws.

Land conveyance, Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia (sec. 2861)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2855) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey 2.4 acres at Naval Air Station Oceana, to the City of Virginia Beach, Virginia, for the purpose of permitting the City to expand services to support the Marine Animal Care Center.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2831).

The House recedes.

Completion of land exchange and consolidation, Fort Lewis, Washington (sec. 2862)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2857) that would amend section 2837 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Division B of Public Law 107-107), as amended by section 2852 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Division B of Public Law 108-375) and change the nature of the land conveyance from the Secretary of the Army to the Nisqually Tribe. Specifically, the conveyance would be modified by striking "may make the transfer" and inserting "shall make the transfer".

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Land conveyance, F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Cheyenne, Wyoming (sec. 2863)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2834) that would permit the Sec-

retary of the Air Force to convey approximately 73 acres along the southeastern boundary of the installation to the County of Laramie, Wyoming, for the purpose of permitting the County to preserve the entire property for healthcare facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that specifies that the treatment of cash consideration received will be deposited in a special account described in section 2667(e) of title 10, United States Code.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Revised authority to establish national monument to honor United States Armed Forces working dog teams (sec. 2871)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2871) that would revise the authority provided in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Division B of Public Law 110-181) for the armed forces Working Dog Monument.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

National D-day Memorial study (sec. 2872)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1091) that would authorize the Secretary of the Interior acting through the Director of the National Park Service to conduct a study of the suitability of the National D-day Memorial in Bedford, Virginia, for designation as a unit of the National Park System.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment making the study permissive rather than directive.

Conditions on establishment of Cooperative Security Location in Palanquero, Colombia (sec. 2873)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2873) that would prohibit funds being made available for military construction of a cooperative security location (CSL) at German Olano Airbase in Palanquero, Republic of Colombia, until 15 days from the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that an agreement has been entered into with the government of Colombia that will enable the United States Southern Command to execute its Theater Posture Strategy in cooperation with the armed forces of Colombia.

The Senate amendment contained an almost identical provision (sec. 2307).

The conference report includes this provision with a series of technical amendments.

Military activities at United States Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center (sec. 2874)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2874) that would amend section 1806 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11) by ensuring the United States Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center is not restricted or precluded by conducting activities at the Bridgeport Winter Recreation Center, California.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Military construction and land acquisition projects authorized by American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would authorize military construction and land acquisition projects for the Department of Defense for projects authorized by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Imposition of requirement that leases of real property to the United States with annual rental costs of more than \$750,000 be authorized by law

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would amend section 2661 of title 10, United States Code, and require that leases to the United States, in excess of \$750,000, be specifically authorized by law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Consolidation of notice-and-wait requirements applicable to leases of real property owned by the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would amend section 2662 of title 10, United States Code, and require additional reporting requirements associated with leases of real property owned by the United States that were previously included in section 2667 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Clarification of authority of military departments to acquire low cost interests in land and interests in land when need is urgent

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would amend section 2664 of title 10, United States Code, and clarify that the requirement to obtain an authorization for land acquisition may be superseded when the elements of section 2663 of title 10, United States Code, are met.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Two-year extension of authority for pilot projects for acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would extend the Navy's authority to conduct pilot projects to acquire or construct unaccompanied housing for 2 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Decontamination and use of former bombardment area on Island of Culebra

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2815) that would amend the Military Construction Authorization Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-166) and remove restrictions pertaining to environmental remediation and land use on the Island of Culebra, Puerto Rico, that were incorporated to protect the former bombardment area on the island from further development.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Limitation on establishment of Navy outlying landing fields

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2818) that would limit the Secretary of the Navy from establishing an outlying landing field at a proposed location if the Secretary determines that the governmental body of the political subdivision of a state containing the proposed location is formally opposed to the establishment of the outlying landing field. This provision shall not apply if Congress enacts a law authorizing the Secretary to proceed with the outlying landing field notwithstanding the local government action.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prohibition on outlying landing field at Sandbanks or Hale's Lake, North Carolina, for Oceana Naval Air Station

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2819) that would prohibit the Sandbanks and Hale's Lake sites in North Carolina from further consideration as an Outlying Landing Field to support field carrier landing practice for naval aircraft operating out of Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General Report on Navy security measures for Laurelwood housing complex, Naval Weapons Station Earle, New Jersey

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2822) that would require the Comptroller General to report on the sufficiency of the Navy's security measures in advance of the proposed occupancy by the general public of units of the Laurelwood housing complex on Naval Weapons Station, Earle.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the military services still have leasing agreements for military housing units on base but operated by private entities such as those known as Section 801 Housing which by contract are scheduled to transition to outlease periods in which the military departments are required to permit the owner-operator to lease these units inside the military installation to unvetted civilians. Upon transfer to the outlease period of the contract, certain responsibilities for security, land/utility management, education, emergency services, and other civic functions are shared with the military department or fully assumed by the local and State governments.

The conferees note that in specific cases, such as the proposed public occupancy the Laurelwood housing complex inside Naval Weapons Station Earle, New Jersey, local communities have raised concerns about the anticipated costs of governance and the ability of the Navy to provide added security since public occupants will have to have unfettered access to the housing complex inside the base.

Therefore, the conferees direct the Comptroller General to prepare a report to the congressional defense committees that examines and provides full cost estimates for the impact on local communities (including but not limited to impact costs in the areas of security, education, transportation, environment) resulting from the transfer of responsibilities inherent in the change of occupancy from military to civilian residents as defined in Section 801 lease agreements. The report shall study the specific situation of the Laurelwood housing complex as one example to determine accurate costs for civic service and the sufficiency and costs of proposed security measures to the Department of Defense (DOD) for housing units that remain on DOD land. The report shall also provide a review of the Department's plans for military housing disposals or lease terminations in the United States and the Department's plan for community interaction to ensure a smooth transition of civic responsibilities.

Naming of child development center at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, in honor of Mr. S. Lee Kling

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2872) that would designate a child development center at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri as the "S. Lee Kling Child Development Center."

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The Army has independently decided to name the child development center after Mr. Kling through their normal facilities naming procedures.

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2901) that would authorize war-related military construction projects for the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A list of projects contained in the table in section 4503 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2902) that would authorize war-related military construction projects for the Air Force.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A list of projects contained in the table in section 4503 of this Act provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Construction authorization for facilities for Office of Defense Representative-Pakistan (sec. 2903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2903) that would waive section 2801 of title 10, United States Code, and would authorize to be appropriated, up to \$25.0 million for the planning, design, and construction of facilities on the United States Embassy Compound in Islamabad, Islamic Republic of Pakistan, for use by the Office of Defense Representative-Pakistan (ODRP). This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs on the number of personnel and the activities of the ODRP beginning with a report 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and continuing semi-annually thereafter. This section would allow the submission of the report in classified form. The report would terminate after 2 years.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that provides a specific one time authority for the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$10.0 million to plan, design, and construct facilities on the United States Embassy Compound in Islamabad, Pakistan, in support of the Office of the Defense Representative-Pakistan. The amendment also requires congressional notification and a 14 day waiting requirement. The reporting requirements of the House provision remain.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

Overview

Title XXXI authorizes appropriations for atomic energy defense activities of the De-

partment of Energy for fiscal year 2010, including: the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment; research and development; nuclear weapons activities; nuclear nonproliferation activities; naval nuclear propulsion; environmental cleanup; operating expenses; and other expenses necessary to carry out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Public Law 95-91). This title authorizes appropriations in five categories: (1) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA); (2) defense environmental cleanup; (3) other defense activities; (4) defense nuclear waste disposal; and (5) energy security and assurance.

The budget request for atomic energy defense activities at the Department of Energy included \$16.4 billion for atomic energy defense activities, a 1 percent increase above the fiscal year 2009 appropriated level. Of the total amount requested:

- (1) \$9.9 billion is for NNSA, of which:
 - (a) \$6.4 billion is for weapons activities;
 - (b) \$2.1 billion is for defense nuclear nonproliferation activities;
 - (c) \$1.0 billion is for naval reactors; and (d) \$420.7 million is for the Office of the Administrator;
- (2) \$5.5 billion is for defense environmental cleanup;
- (3) \$852.5 million is for other defense activities; and
- (4) \$98.4 million is for defense nuclear waste disposal.

The budget request also included \$6.2 million for energy security and assurance within energy supply.

The conferees agree to authorize \$16.5 billion for atomic energy defense activities, an increase of \$88.4 million above the budget request.

Of this amount, the conferees agree to authorize:

- (1) \$10.1 billion for NNSA, of which:
 - (a) \$6.4 billion would be for weapons activities, an increase of \$48.7 million above the budget request;
 - (b) \$2.2 billion would be for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$39.7 million above the budget request;
 - (c) \$1.0 billion would be for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request; and
 - (d) \$420.7 million would be for the Office of the Administrator, the amount of the budget request;
- (2) \$5.5 billion would be for defense environmental cleanup activities, the amount of the budget request;
- (3) \$852.5 million would be for other defense activities, the amount of the budget request; and
- (4) \$98.4 million would be for defense nuclear waste disposal, the amount of the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize \$6.2 million for energy security and assurance, the amount of the budget request.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Reports

The conferees direct that all reports requested by this or any other act and that address the programs and activities funded by the fiscal year 2010 Atomic Energy Defense funds, should be provided to the congressional defense committees in addition to any committees specifically specified in the provision or requirement directing such report.

Department of Energy protective forces

In section 3124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), the Comptroller General was directed to submit to Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the management of the protective forces at the Department of

Energy (DOE). Section 3124 also directed the Secretary of Energy to review the Comptroller General report, and submit no later than 90 days after receiving the Comptroller General report, the results of that review including any additional options, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The report was completed in August 2009, and will be formally published shortly. The report identified a series of issues and concerns with the management of the DOE protective forces. These issues include rigid physical fitness and other requirements and the relationship these requirements have to recruitment, hiring, training, retirement, retention, and health care. In addition the report found significant differences amongst the sites as to how the forces were managed, trained, and compensated. Other issues were identified, including varying hot pursuit policies and varying law enforcement authorities.

After September 11, 2001, the requirements for security at the DOE facilities with Category I nuclear materials were increased significantly. The conferees note that the effect of the new requirements has placed many of the members of the DOE protective force in a situation where they will not meet the physical and other requirements long before they reach retirement age.

Of the many issues identified in the Comptroller General's report that should be addressed by the DOE, the disconnect between the physical demands and requirements of the protective forces on the one hand, and the retirement program on the other is the most problematic. Each of the relevant sites has seen increased injury and attrition rates. Because recruiting, hiring, training, and obtaining clearances for the protective forces is a time consuming and costly process, increased attrition rates will drive up the overall security costs. The conferees are concerned that the attrition rate is connected to the changes in the requirements and the lack of a career path that reflects these requirements.

DOE is aware of the problem and earlier this year assembled a group with representatives from each of the relevant DOE offices to examine "realistic and reasonable options for improving the career opportunities and retirement prospects of protective force members while maintaining, within current and anticipated budgetary constraints a robust and effective security posture." This study group made 29 recommendations to address the issues in the protective forces.

The conferees believe that DOE needs to take seriously the concerns raised in the Government Accountability Office report and the recommendations of the study committee to ensure that the protective forces are able to carry out reliably their responsibilities to prevent nuclear material and nuclear weapons from being stolen or worse. To that end, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy and the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to develop a comprehensive, DOE-wide plan to identify and implement the recommendations of the study group. This implementation plan should be submitted with the plan required to be submitted by section 3124, as discussed above.

The conferees recognize that the protective forces fall under a wide arrange of contractual mechanisms. The conferees do not believe, however, that the different contractual mechanisms are the problem; rather it is the lack of clear, uniform, and realistic guidance and policy from DOE to the various contractors who manage the protective forces that is at the root of the problems.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$10.5 billion for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), an increase of \$534.6 million above the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$10.1 billion for the NNSA, an increase of \$106.2 million above the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$10.0 billion, an increase of \$88.4 million above the budget request.

Within NNSA, the provision would authorize \$6.4 billion for weapons activities, an increase of \$48.7 million above the budget request; \$2.2 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$39.8 million above the budget request; \$1.0 billion for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request; and \$420.8 million for the Office of the Administrator, the amount of the request. This includes an offset of \$42.0 million for prior year balances in weapons activities.

The budget request included \$6.4 billion for weapons activities. The provision would authorize \$6.4 billion, an increase of \$48.7 million above the budget request. Within directed stockpile work the provision would authorize \$1.5 billion, an increase of \$20.0 million above the budget request, including an increase of \$10.0 million for dynamic plutonium experimentation at the Nevada Test Site, and an increase of \$10.0 million for weapons dismantlement and disposition. Within campaigns, the provision would authorize \$1.6 billion an increase of \$23.7 million above the budget request, including \$5.0 million for enhanced surety, and an increase of \$18.7 million above the budget request for inertial confinement fusion including, an increase of \$1.0 million for National Ignition Facility diagnostics, cryogenics, and experimental support, an increase of \$6.5 million for Omega operations and an increase of \$11.2 million for the national ignition campaign. Within readiness in the technical base and facilities, the provision would authorize \$1.4 billion for operations of facilities, an increase of \$18.0 million above the budget request, including an increase of \$8.0 million for the Pantex Plant and \$10.0 million for the Y-12 National Security Complex, and \$232.4 million for construction, an increase of \$29.0 million including, \$24.0 million for the LANSCE refurbishment at Los Alamos National Laboratory, project number 09-D-007, and \$5.0 million for test capabilities revitalization at Sandia National Laboratories, project number 09-D-104.

The budget request included \$2.1 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation. The provision would authorize \$2.2 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$39.8 million. The provision would include an increase of \$40.0 million above the budget request for the Nonproliferation and Verification Research and Development program to support international safeguards technologies, advanced nuclear detection technologies, and to support the joint Department of Energy Air Force space situational awareness activities. The provision would authorize a reduction of \$20.0 million below the budget request for the Nonproliferation and International Security program. The provision would authorize an increase of \$39.8 million above the budget request for the International Nuclear Materials and Cooperation program to secure nuclear weapons and weapons materials outside the United States and to deploy radiation detection equipment and related capabilities at high-threat border crossings and ports of

transit. Funding for the fissile materials disposition program is authorized at the budget request. The conferees continue to believe that the NNSA is the responsible entity within the Department of Energy to manage nonproliferation programs and have included funding for fissile materials disposition in the NNSA. The provision would authorize a decrease of \$20.0 million below the budget request for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative.

The conferees note, regretfully, that no funds are provided for nonproliferation work in North Korea. If the North Koreans return to the Six-Party Talks and real progress is possible to disable and dismantle the North Korean nuclear weapons program, the conferees would consider a reprogramming or other request for funds to address the North Korean nuclear weapons program at such time.

The budget request included \$1.0 billion for naval reactors. The provision would authorize the amount of the budget request.

The budget request included \$420.8 million for the Office of the Administrator. The provision would authorize the amount of the budget request.

Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize \$5.1 billion for defense environmental cleanup, a decrease of \$471.3 million below the amount of the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize \$5.4 billion for defense environmental cleanup, a decrease of \$100.0 million below the amount of the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize \$5.5 billion, the amount of the budget request.

Other defense activities (sec. 3103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize \$872.4 million for other defense activities including \$357.8 million for health, safety, and security, an increase of \$20.0 million above the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize \$852.5 for other defense activities, the amount of the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$852.5 million the amount of the budget request.

Defense nuclear waste disposal (sec. 3104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize \$98.4 million for the defense nuclear waste disposal payment to the Nuclear Waste Fund.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 3104).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Energy security assistance (sec. 3105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3105) that would authorize \$6.2 million for energy security and assurance.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Relation to funding tables (sec. 3106)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3105) that would provide that the amounts authorized for the Department of Energy in this title are available for the projects, programs, or activities and in the dollar amounts indicated by the funding tables in Division D of the Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Stockpile stewardship program (sec. 3111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend section 4201 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521) to update the goals and objectives of the stockpile stewardship program (SSP) carried out by the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) at the Department of Energy. The provision would restate a current objective of the SSP to preserve core intellectual and technical competencies and establish a second broad objective to assure that the nuclear weapons stockpile remains safe, secure, and reliable without underground testing. In addition, the provision would expand the goals of the advanced computing and simulation capabilities to include improved understanding of the performance over time of nuclear weapons. The provision would also add new requirements for the SSP to support the laboratories and manufacturing facilities needed to support the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would expand and clarify the facilities and experimental tools that should be maintained and supported under the SSP to include the experimental facilities at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) and the production and manufacturing capabilities of the national security laboratories.

The conferees note that the NTS, the national security laboratories, and the production and manufacturing facilities also support the nonproliferation programs and other nuclear security activities of the NNSA. While this provision includes supporting these facilities in support of the weapons activities, the conferees also believe that it is equally important to support the NNSA facilities in support of the nonproliferation programs and additional nuclear security programs of the NNSA.

Report on stockpile stewardship criteria and assessment of stockpile stewardship program (sec. 3112)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would modify existing requirements for annual plans to support execution of the stockpile stewardship and management programs, including a new requirement for an assessment of the stockpile stewardship program by the directors of the national laboratories.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would require an update of the stockpile stewardship criteria and direct the Secretary of Energy to include, in the annual stockpile stewardship plan for fiscal year 2010, an update on the stewardship criteria used to assess the safety, security, and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile. The 2010 plan would also include a review of each science-based tool, such as experimental facilities, developed or modified in the last 5 years.

The House recedes with an amendment that would amend section 4202 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2522) to include in the annual stockpile stewardship plan for each odd-numbered year the information needed to determine that the nuclear weapons are safe, secure and reliable, an update of the stockpile stewardship criteria used to determine the information needed, the relationship of the science-based tools to the collection of that information, and an update to the criteria used for assessing the effectiveness of each science based-stockpile tool.

In addition, the provision would require an assessment of any key technical challenges to the stockpile stewardship program and strategies to address such challenges. The

provision would also require development of a strategy for using each science-based tool and to determine what future science-based tools are needed and how they would be used. Finally the assessment would include the core scientific and technical competencies needed to achieve the objectives of the stockpile stewardship program. The assessment would be conducted in consultation with each of the directors of the national security laboratories.

Stockpile management program (sec. 3113)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would amend section 4204 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2524) to direct the Secretary of Energy acting through the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to carry out a new stockpile management program (SMP) and establish objectives for the program. The provision would also establish program limitations for the SMP and repeal section 4204A of the Atomic Energy Defense Act, (50 U.S.C. 2524a) which established a requirement for a reliable replacement warhead (RRW).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would also repeal section 4204A of the Atomic Energy Defense Act.

The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend section 4204 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act to expand and update the goals and objectives of the stockpile life extension program, to develop a life extension plan, to direct the manner in which funds for the life extension plan are requested, and to ensure that the life extension plan is updated as needed. The Senate provision would also set forth the sense of Congress that the President should include in each fiscal year budget request for the NNSA sufficient funds to carry the activities under the life extension plan for that fiscal year.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to clarify that the SMP is part of the stockpile stewardship program and that the SMP includes stockpile life extension activities. The provision would also direct the Secretary of Energy to develop a long-term SMP plan to extend the effective life of the weapons in the nuclear weapons stockpile without the use of nuclear weapons testing. The plan would be updated annually as needed, and any updates would be included in the annual stockpile stewardship plan required under section 4203(c) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act. The provision would also direct that each budget submitted by the President that includes funds for the SMP should clearly identify the funds requested for the SMP.

Dual validation of annual weapons assessment and certification (sec. 3114)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would amend section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525) to modify existing requirements for annual assessments and reports to the President and Congress on the condition of the United States' nuclear weapons stockpile to require the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to establish a dual validation process.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the Administrator of NNSA to establish dual validation teams.

The conferees believe that a rigorous surveillance, and annual assessment and certification process is essential to sustain the United States' nuclear weapons stockpile. Reluctantly, the conferees have agreed to provide the Administrator with discretionary authority to conduct a dual validation process and to not make dual validation mandatory. Without an understanding of the

complexity and cost of dual revalidation the conferees believe that it is premature to mandate dual validation for fiscal year 2010. Nevertheless, the conferees believe that a dual validation process should be instituted in the future and have directed the Administrator to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than March 1, 2010, to carry out a dual validation program. This report should include a plan to implement dual validation beginning in fiscal year 2011.

Elimination of nuclear weapons life extension program from exception to requirement to request funds in budget of the President (sec. 3115)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would amend section 4209 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2529) to eliminate the nuclear weapons life extension program exception in the budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Long-term plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex (sec. 3116)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would establish the policy of the United States that sustainment, modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex is mandatory for any reductions in the nuclear weapons stockpile. In addition, the provision would require the development of an annual plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear complex, an annual determination as to the adequacy of the budget request to support the plan, and an assessment as to the risks and implications to the stockpile if the budget request is inadequate.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to develop a biennial plan for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex. The plan should be submitted in each odd-numbered fiscal year beginning in 2011. The provision would also require an assessment by the Administrator of the budget for such fiscal year and the future-years nuclear security program as to whether the funding is adequate to support the modernization and refurbishment plan. If the Administrator determines that the budget request is insufficient for the modernization and refurbishment of the nuclear security complex as provided in the plan, the Administrator shall include with the budget materials for that fiscal year a further assessment that describes and discusses the risks and implications associated with the ability of the nuclear security complex to support the annual certification of the nuclear stockpile. This assessment is to be coordinated with the Secretary of Defense and the Commander of U.S. Strategic Command.

Repeal of prohibition on funding activities associated with international cooperative stockpile stewardship (sec. 3117)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would repeal section 4301 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2561).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of minor construction threshold for plant projects (sec. 3118)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would amend section 4701(3) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2741(3)) to modify permanently the

threshold for general plant projects (GPP) from \$5.0 million to \$7.0 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would temporarily increase the threshold for general plant projects to \$10.0 million for fiscal year 2010. The amendment would also prohibit the Secretary of Energy from initiating any GPP that is in excess of \$5.0 million until 15 days after submitting a notification to the congressional defense committees setting forth the total estimated cost of the GPP. For fiscal year 2011 and beyond the threshold would revert back to \$5.0 million.

The conferees remind the Secretary of the obligation to submit an annual report briefly describing the GPPs for the preceding fiscal year. The conferees expect this report to be submitted in the first quarter of the fiscal year following the fiscal year covered by the report.

Two-year extension of authority for appointment of certain scientific, engineering, and technical personnel (sec. 3119)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3117) that would extend until September 30, 2011, the authority for the Secretary of Energy to hire, establish, and set rates of pay for not more than 200 positions in the Department of Energy for scientific, engineering, and technical personnel whose duties will relate to safety at defense nuclear facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

National Nuclear Security Administration authority for urgent nonproliferation activities (sec. 3120)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to obligate not more than 10 percent of the funds for the international nuclear materials protection and cooperation program in the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) for any bilateral or multilateral activities relating to nonproliferation or disarmament, notwithstanding any other provision of law. The authority could be exercised by the Secretary after notifying the congressional defense committees 15 days in advance of the intent to exercise this authority and if the President certifies the action is necessary to support the national security objectives of the United States.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to utilize 10 percent of the funds available for the NNSA nonproliferation programs to address certain urgent nonproliferation threats and require that the Secretary of Energy obtain the concurrence of the Secretaries of State and Defense prior to exercising the authority. The amendment would also clarify that the activities carried out to address the urgent threats would be the nonproliferation activities of the NNSA nonproliferation program.

Prior to utilizing the authority the Secretary of Energy would be required to make a series of determinations, and submit written notification to the appropriate congressional committees, 15 days before using the authority.

Repeal of sunset date for consolidation of counterintelligence programs of the Department of Energy and National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3121)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3118) that would repeal section 3117 of the John Warner National Defense Au-

thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), which established a sunset date for the authority to consolidate the offices of intelligence and counterintelligence at the Department of Energy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Reports

National Academy of Sciences review of national security laboratories (sec. 3131)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would require the Comptroller General to assess the costs associated with the transition to new management and operations (M&O) contracts, which took place at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) in 2006 and at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) in 2007. A report on the results of the assessment would be due to the congressional defense committees on March 1, 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would direct the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, to appoint an independent panel of experts to conduct a review of the management and operation of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, the Los Alamos National Laboratory, and the Sandia National Laboratories (SNL).

The conferees agree to include a provision that would direct the Secretary of Energy to enter into a contract with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a study of the three laboratories, LANL, LLNL, and SNL.

The study would include an evaluation for each of the laboratories of the quality of the scientific research and engineering conducted at each lab; the criteria used to assess the quality of the scientific research and engineering; the relationship between the quality of the work and the contract for managing and operating the laboratory; and the management of the work that the laboratories perform for other entities.

There is a growing concern about the ability of the Department of Energy to maintain the overall quality of the scientific research and engineering capability at the three laboratories. This concern was most recently highlighted in the report of the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States. The conferees believe that an even handed, unbiased assessment of the quality of the scientific research and engineering at each of the three laboratories, with a clear understanding of the criteria used to measure quality and what factors influence quality would be useful in long-term planning for the operations of the laboratories.

Plan to ensure capability to monitor, analyze, and evaluate foreign nuclear weapons activities (sec. 3132)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would direct the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense, to prepare a plan to ensure that the national laboratories overseen by the Department of Energy maintain a robust technical capability to monitor, analyze, and evaluate foreign nuclear weapons and related activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General study of stockpile stewardship program (sec. 3133)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3137) that would direct the Comptroller General to conduct a study of the stockpile stewardship program to determine

if the program was functioning, as of December 2008, as envisioned when the program was established. A report on the study would be due to the congressional defense committees 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General of the United States review of projects carried out by the Office of Environmental Management of the Department of Energy pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (sec. 3134)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3134) that would direct the Comptroller General to review and report on the efforts of the Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Environmental Management (EM) to identify and implement cleanup projects using the funds received pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5). The review would occur in three phases. The first phase is an initial review focused on the criteria used for project selection and the process to develop cost and schedules for the projects. The second phase is an ongoing review of the project implementation with quarterly reports on the ongoing work. The third and final phase of the review is a recap of the entire effort that would look at areas such as cost and schedule compliance and how the overall effort has led to an accelerated cleanup schedule.

The House contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Ten-year plan for use and funding of certain Department of Energy facilities (sec. 3141)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would direct the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Under Secretary of Science at the Department of Energy to jointly develop a plan to use and fund, over a 10-year period, the National Ignition Facility at the Livermore National Laboratory, the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center at the Los Alamos National Laboratory, and the "Z" Machine at the Sandia National Laboratory.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Expansion of authority of Ombudsman of Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program (sec. 3142)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3136) that would amend section 3686 of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA) (42 U.S.C. 7385s-15) to include part B of the program under the EEOICPA ombudsman and direct the ombudsman in carrying out his duties, to work with the ombudsman of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the EEOICPA ombudsman has been helpful to claimants under part E of EEOICPA program in navigating the claims process and could provide the same assistance to claimants under part B of the program.

The conferees note that nothing in this expanded authority for the EEOICPA ombudsman is intended to provide authority for the EEOICPA ombudsman to participate directly in the NIOSH process to determine exposures. On the other hand, the conferees recognize a need for the two offices to work cooperatively in assisting claimants process their claims.

Identification in budget materials of amounts for certain Department of Energy pension obligations (sec. 3143)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3135) that would require the funding needed to meet pension obligations of contractor employees at each Department of Energy (DOE) facility operated using funds authorized in the National Defense Authorization Acts be included and specifically identified in the DOE budget materials in support of each DOE budget request for each fiscal year for which funds are requested.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on production of Molybdenum-99 (sec. 3144)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3138) that would set forth the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Energy should continue and expand the program to meet the need identified by the National Academy of Sciences for Molybdenum-99 (Mo-99) for medical purposes in the United States by developing a source of Mo-99 using low enriched uranium.

The House had no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Authorization (sec. 3201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize \$26.1 million for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB).

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 3201).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The conferees note that DNFSB brings a consultative, interactive, technically competent approach to oversight that is well suited to the work at Department of Energy defense nuclear facilities.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize \$23.6 million for the Department of Energy for the naval petroleum reserves.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2010 (sec. 3501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) that would authorize a total of \$152.9 million for fiscal year 2010 for operations and training. Of that amount, \$52.2 million would be available for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy; \$15.4 million would be available for the capital improvement program at the United States Merchant Marine Academy; and \$11.24 million would be available for the State maritime academies Schoolship Maintenance and Repair Program for training ships. Additionally, the provision would authorize \$60.0 million to execute loan guarantees under the title XI loan guarantee program.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 6014)

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize funding consistent with the President's fiscal year 2010 budget proposal to Congress, with the exception that the provision would authorize \$60.0 million for the title XI loan guarantee program, consistent with the House position.

Unused leave balances (sec. 3502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3502) that would authorize the Maritime Administration to use appropriated funds to make a lump-sum payment for any unused annual leave accrued by a non-appropriated fund instrumentality employee who was terminated if determined ineligible for conversion, or converted to the Civil Service as a United States Merchant Marine Academy during Fiscal Year 2009.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 6004).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include conversions that took place between September 2008 and March 2009.

Temporary program authorizing contracts with adjunct professors at the United States Merchant Marine Academy (sec. 3503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3503) that would make permanent a temporary authority for the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy to hire adjunct professors, up to a level of 25 professors per trimester. The provision would also require that the Maritime Administrator provide a report whenever he chooses to exercise that authority.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 6005).

The Senate recedes with an amendment changing the reporting requirement to an annual report, and to eliminate the reporting requirement after 2 years.

Maritime loan guarantee program (sec. 3504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3504) that would express the sense of Congress that the maritime loan guarantee program is important in encouraging the production of U.S.-built vessels and in increasing the pool of U.S. mariners.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Defense measures against unauthorized seizures of Maritime Security Fleet vessels (sec. 3505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3505) that would amend section 53107(b) of title 46, United States Code, to require that vessels operating under agreements with the United States under that section and in areas designated by the Coast Guard or International Maritime Bureau of the International Chamber of Commerce as areas of high risk of piracy, be equipped with appropriate non-lethal defense measures to protect the vessel from acts of piracy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment which would delete the designation authority of the International Maritime Bureau of the International Chamber of Commerce. The amendment would also clarify that the requirement for such vessels to be equipped with non-lethal measures does not preclude the use of lethal measures.

Report on restrictions on United States-flagged commercial vessel security (sec. 3506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3506) that would require the Department of Defense to embark military personnel on commercial ships transiting certain areas designated as high risk for pirate attacks.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense to report jointly to Congress on efforts to: (1) eliminate or reduce restrictions on the carriage of arms and use of armed security teams on United States-flag commercial vessels for purpose of self defense in areas that are designated as being at a high risk of piracy; (2) negotiate

bilateral agreements with coastal states to allow United States-flag commercial vessels carrying United States Government cargos that must transit areas designated as being at high risk of piracy to enter ports of those coastal states while carrying arms or embarked security teams for the purpose of self-defense; and (3) establish common standards, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard, for the training and professional qualifications of armed security teams.

Technical corrections to State maritime academies student incentive program (sec. 3507)

The House bill contained a technical correction (sec. 3507) that would clarify when and how student incentive payments can be made.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 6010).

The Senate recedes.

Cooperative agreements, administrative expenses, and contracting authority (sec. 3508)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6002) that would clarify the Maritime Administration's authority to enter into cooperative agreements and collect administrative expenses.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Use of funding for DOT maritime heritage property (sec. 3509)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6003) that would allow the Maritime Administration to use proceeds from the sale of National Defense Reserve Fleet vessels for the purpose of preserving their historic maritime property, with the permission and concurrence of the National Park Service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Use of midshipman fees (sec. 3510)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6006) that would authorize the Maritime Administration to credit receipts of midshipman fees to a separate account within its Operations and Training appropriation account and restrict the items for which fees could be assessed.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the authority to credit receipts of midshipman fees to a separate account.

Construction of vessels in the United States policy (sec. 3511)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6007) that would correct a codification error to clarify section 50101(a)(4) in title 46, United States Code, to make certain that the intent of the section was to include vessels constructed in the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Port infrastructure development program (sec. 3512)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6008) that would authorize the Maritime Administration to assist States, territories, municipalities, and port facilities with management and federal coordination of their port infrastructure development projects.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would prohibit funds from title 23 and Chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, from being eligible for transfer, with the exception of amounts made available for loans,

loan guarantees, and lines of credit under chapter 6 of title 23, United States Code, and amounts made available under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act for 2009 (Public Law 111–5).

The revised provision would not affect or otherwise alter any existing authorities for the Hawaii Port Infrastructure Expansion Program (authorized by section 9008 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy For Users, or SAFETEA-LU (Public Law 109–59)), the Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Program (authorized by section 10205 of the SAFETEA-LU), or the Guam Port Infrastructure Expansion Program (authorized by section 3512 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417)).

Reefs for marine life conservation program (sec. 3513)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6009) that would codify in title 46, United States Code, existing authority for the Maritime Administration to convey obsolete vessels to foreign countries, consistent with current authorities in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

United States Merchant Marine Academy graduate program receipt, disbursement, and accounting for nonappropriated funds (sec. 3514)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6011) that would authorize the Maritime Administration to credit tuition and designated gifts to its Operations and Training appropriation account and would provide that such receipts remain available until expended.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

America's short sea transportation grants for the development of marine highways (sec. 3515)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6012) that would allow the Secretary to establish a grant program to support the short sea transportation initiative he was directed to develop.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Expansion of the Marine View system (sec. 3516)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6013) that would authorize the information technology system Marine View to support the strategic requirements of the marine transportation system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Limitation on disposal of interest in certain vessels

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3508) that would prevent the Maritime Administrator from disposing of any interest in a vessel in which the United States acquires an interest due to a loan default until the Administrator has: (1) notified the Secretary of the Navy of such interest; and (2) allowed 180 days to elapse.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Maritime Administration

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3301) that would re-authorize certain aspects of the Maritime Administration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Short title

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 6001) that would name the title within the Act as the “Maritime Administration Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.”

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Authorization of amounts in funding tables (sec. 4001)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 4001) that would provide for the allocation of funds among programs, projects, and activities in accordance with the tables in Division D of the bill, subject to reprogramming in accordance with established procedures.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1002) that would incorporate by reference the funding tables in the committee report.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

Procurement (sec. 4101)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4101) for procurement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	AIRCRAFT										
	FIXED WING										
001	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)										
002	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT										
003	MQ-1 UAV	24	401,364			12	201,364	-12	-200,000	12	201,364
	Avoid forward funding of production						[-200,000]	[-12]	[-200,000]		
	Program Requested Not Executable				[-163,375]						
	Transfer to Title XV				[-12]		[-237,989]				
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	618	35,008	618	35,008	618	35,008			618	35,008
004A	C-12A										
	ROTARY WING										
006	ARMED RECONNAISSANCE HELICOPTER										
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
008	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	54	326,040	54	326,040	54	326,040			54	326,040
009	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK III	8	161,280	8	161,280		161,280			8	161,280
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		57,890		57,890		57,890				57,890
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	79	1,258,374	79	1,258,374	79	1,258,374			79	1,258,374
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		98,740		98,740		98,740				98,740
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	35	860,087	35	882,087	35	882,087		22,000	35	882,087
	Multiyear procurement execution						[22,000]				
	Transfer From APA 22				[22,000]				[22,000]		
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		50,676		50,676		50,676				50,676
015	HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING		19,639				19,639		-19,639		
	Program Not Justified				[-19,639]				[-19,639]		
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT										
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD-UAS		87,424				87,424				87,424
	Transfer to Title XV				[-87,424]						
017	MQ-1 WEAPONIZATION-UAS		14,832				14,832				14,832
	Transfer to Title XV				[-14,832]						
018	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)		61,517		61,517		61,517				61,517
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)		21,457		21,457		21,457				21,457
020	AH-64 MODS		426,415		428,415		431,915		1,000		427,415
	Fuselage manufacturing						[5,500]				
	Air Filtration Systems				[2,000]				[1,000]		
021	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
022	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)		102,876		86,876		80,876		-17,000		85,876

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	Multiyear procurement execution							[−22,000]			
	Common Avionics Architecture System				[2,000]				[2,000]		
	Vibration Management Enhancement Program				[4,000]				[3,000]		
	Transfer to APA 13				[−22,000]				[−22,000]		
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
024	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS		39,547		39,547		39,547				39,547
025	AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS		823		823		823				823
026	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		66,682		92,082		87,082		5,000		71,682
	UH−60A to UH−60L conversion						[20,400]				
	UH−60A to UH−60L Conversion—ARNG				[20,400]						
	UH−60 ARNG Rewiring Program				[5,000]				[5,000]		
027	KIOWA WARRIOR		140,768		140,768		140,768				140,768
028	AIRBORNE AVIONICS		241,287		241,287		241,287				241,287
029	GATM ROLLUP		103,142		103,142		103,142				103,142
030	RQ−7 UAV MODS		283,012		285,512		283,012				283,012
	Shadow TUAS Training Aids, Devices, Simulators, and Simulations (TADSS) for Army National Guard.				[2,500]						
030A	C−12A										
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
031	SPARE PARTS (AIR)		7,083		7,083		7,083				7,083
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS										
032	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		25,975		25,975		25,975				25,975
033	ASE INFRARED CM		186,356		186,356		186,356				186,356
	OTHER SUPPORT										
034	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		4,933		4,933		4,933				4,933
035	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		87,682		87,682		87,682				87,682
036	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		52,725		52,725		55,725		3,000		55,725
	Air warrior ensemble—generation III						[3,000]		[3,000]		
037	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		76,999		76,999		76,999				76,999
038	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,533		1,533		1,533				1,533
039	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET		2,716		2,716		2,716				2,716
040	AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS		11,109		11,109		11,109				11,109
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,315,991		4,828,632		5,144,891		−205,639		5,110,352
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	OTHER MISSILES										
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM										
001	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	59	348,351	59	348,351	59	348,351			59	348,351
002	PATRIOT/MEADS CAP SYSTEM SUMMARY		16,406		16,406		16,406				16,406
003	SURFACE-LAUNCHED AMRAAM SYSTEM SUMMARY	13	72,920	13	72,920	13	72,920			13	72,920
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM										
005	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	240	31,154	240	31,154	240	31,154		−2,000	240	29,154
	Unjustified cost growth								[−2,000]		
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM										
006	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	470	148,649	470	123,649	470	148,649			470	148,649
	Program Reduction				[−25,000]						
007	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1165	108,066	1,165	83,066	1,165	108,066			1165	108,066
	Program Reduction				[−25,000]						
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	2628	293,617	2,628	293,617	2,628	293,617			2628	293,617
009	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2064	15,663	2,064	15,663	2,064	15,663			2064	15,663
010	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (HIMARS)	46	209,061	46	209,061	46	209,061			46	209,061
011	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM										
	MODIFICATIONS										
012	PATRIOT MODS		44,775		44,775		49,775				44,775
	Command & control modifications						[5,000]				
013	ITAS/TOW MODS		6,983		6,983		6,983				6,983
014	MLRS MODS		3,662		3,662		3,662				3,662
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		38,690		38,690		38,690				38,690
016	HELLFIRE MODIFICATIONS		10		10		10				10
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
017	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		22,338		22,338		22,338				22,338
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
018	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		4,188		4,188		4,188				4,188
019	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		1,178		1,178		1,178				1,178
020	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		4,398		4,398		4,398				4,398
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,370,109		1,320,109		1,375,109		−2,000		1,368,109
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS & TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
001	BRADLEY PROGRAM										
002	BRADLEY TRAINING DEVICES (MOD)										
003	ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES										
004	STRYKER VEHICLE		388,596		334,596		388,596		−24,400		364,196
	Program Reduction				[−54,000]				[−24,400]		
005	FUTURE COMBAT SYSTEMS: (FCS)										
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
007	FCS SPIN OUTS		285,920		285,920		285,920				285,920
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		42,001		42,001		42,001				42,001
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
009	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		34,192		34,192		34,192				34,192
010	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		526,356		526,356		526,356				526,356
011	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		96,503		96,503		96,503		-91,500		5,003
	Army requested transfer to RDT&E, A, line 114								[-91,500]		
012	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	12	96,814	12	96,814	12	96,814			12	96,814
013	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE		63,250		63,250		63,250				63,250
014	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE		70,637		70,637		70,637				70,637
015	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		183,829		183,829		183,829				183,829
016	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	22	185,611	22	185,611	22	185,611			22	185,611
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES										
017	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TCV-WTCV)										
018	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		6,601		6,601		6,601				6,601
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES										
019	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM, M119	70	95,631	70	95,631	70	95,631			70	95,631
020	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62MM)	2010	32,919	2,010	32,919	2,010	32,919			2010	32,919
021	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	4825	84,588	4,825	84,588	4,825	84,588			4825	84,588
022	LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN		977		977		977				977
023	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN (5.56MM)	1550	7,535	1,550	7,535	1,550	7,535			1550	7,535
024	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN (40MM)	349	7,700	349	7,700	349	7,700			349	7,700
025	MORTAR SYSTEMS	315	14,779	315	14,779	315	14,779			315	14,779
026	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE		224		224		224				224
027	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	4740	16,023	4,740	16,023	4,740	16,023			4740	16,023
028	M110 SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM (SASS)	448	6,223	448	6,223	448	6,223			448	6,223
029	M4 CARBINE	12000	20,500	12,000	20,500	12,000	20,500			12000	20,500
030	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY SYSTEM (MASS)	3738	6,945	3,738	6,945	3,738	6,945			3738	6,945
031	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION (CRO)				100,000				100,000		100,000
	Program Increase				[100,000]				[100,000]		
032	HANDGUN	5000	3,389	5,000	3,389	5,000	3,389			5000	3,389
033	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	17	49,572	17	49,572	17	49,572			17	49,572
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH										
034	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS		8,164		8,164		8,164				8,164
035	M4 CARBINE MODS		31,472		31,472		31,472				31,472
036	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS		7,738		7,738		7,738				7,738
037	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		7,833		7,833		7,833				7,833
038	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS		17,964		17,964		17,964				17,964
039	PHALANX MODS										
040	M119 MODIFICATIONS		25,306		25,306		25,306				25,306
041	M16 RIFLE MODS		4,186		4,186		4,186				4,186
041A	M14 7.62 RIFLE MODS										
042	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		6,164		6,164		6,164				6,164
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES										
043	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		551		551		551				551
044	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		9,855		12,855		9,855		3,000		12,855
	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) at Rock Island				[3,000]				[3,000]		
045	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		392		392		392				392
046	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)		5,012		5,012		5,012				5,012
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF WTCV, ARMY		2,451,952		2,500,952		2,451,952		-12,900		2,439,052
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY										
	AMMUNITION										
	SMALL/MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION										
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		207,752		207,752		207,752				207,752
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		77,602		77,602		77,602				77,602
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		5,120		5,120		5,120				5,120
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		162,342		162,342		162,342				162,342
005	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES		17,054		17,054		17,054				17,054
006	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		96,572		90,572		96,572				96,572
	Unjustified Cost Growth				[-6,000]						
007	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES		172,675		175,675		172,675		3,000		175,675
	Additional 40mm Mortar Rounds—Milan AAP				[3,000]				[3,000]		
	MORTAR AMMUNITION										
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		23,607		26,607		26,607		2,000		25,607
	Additional ammunition						[3,000]				
	M722 60mm White Phosphorous Smoke Mortar Rounds				[3,000]				[2,000]		
009	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		28,719		28,719		28,719				28,719
010	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES		104,961		104,961		104,961				104,961
	TANK AMMUNITION										
011	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES		7,741		7,741		7,741				7,741
012	CTG, TANK, 120MM, ALL TYPES		113,483		113,483		113,483				113,483
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION										
013	CTG, ARTY, 75MM: ALL TYPES		5,229		5,229		5,229				5,229
014	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES		90,726		90,726		90,726				90,726
015	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES		54,546		54,546		54,546				54,546
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982		62,292		62,292		62,292				62,292
017	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T		33,441		33,441		33,441				33,441
	ARTILLERY FUZES										

		PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)									
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
018	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES		19,870		19,870		19,870				19,870
	MINES										
019	MINES, ALL TYPES		815		815		815				815
020	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES										
021	ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE ALTERNATIVES		56,387		56,387		56,387				56,387
022	INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM (IMS), ALL TYPES		19,507		19,507		19,507				19,507
	ROCKETS										
023	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		45,302		45,302		45,302				45,302
024	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		99,904		99,904		99,904				99,904
	OTHER AMMUNITION										
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		18,793		18,793		18,793				18,793
026	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		49,910		49,910		49,910				49,910
027	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		83,094		83,094		83,094				83,094
028	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		12,081		12,081		12,081				12,081
	MISCELLANEOUS										
029	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES		17,968		17,968		17,968				17,968
030	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES		7,378		7,378		7,378				7,378
031	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES		3,353		3,353		3,353				3,353
032	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		8,826		8,826		8,826				8,826
033	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT		11,187		11,187		11,187				11,187
034	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)		14,354		14,354		14,354				14,354
035	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES		99		99		99				99
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT										
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT										
036	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		151,943		170,143		156,943		2,000		153,943
	Bomb line modernization						[5,000]		[2,000]		
	Additional Mobile Ammunition Processing Facilities				[7,400]						
	Bomb Line Modernization at McAlester Ammunition Plant				[5,000]						
	Iowa Army Ammunition Plant Energy Infrastructure Project				[5,800]						
037	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		9,529		9,529		9,529				9,529
038	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES		8,772		8,772		8,772				8,772
039	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL		145,777		145,777		145,777				145,777
040	ARMS INITIATIVE		3,184		3,184		3,184				3,184
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		2,051,895		2,070,095		2,059,895		7,000		2,058,895
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES										
	TACTICAL VEHICLES										
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	8037	95,893	8,037	95,893	8,037	95,893			8037	95,893
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	290	20,870	290	20,870	290	20,870			290	20,870

		PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)									
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	COMM—C3 SYSTEM										
033	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)		22,996		22,996		22,996				22,996
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS										
034	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)		1,705		1,705		1,705				1,705
035	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM		90,204		35,004		35,004		–55,164		35,040
	Testing delays in JTRS GMR						[–55,200]		[–55,164]		
	JTRS Program Reduction				[–55,200]						
036	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)		8,549		8,549		8,549				8,549
037	SINGGARS FAMILY		6,812				6,812		–6,812		
	Program Reduction				[–6,812]				[–6,812]		
038	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2										
038A	SINGGARS—GROUND										
039	MULTI-PURPOSE INFORMATIONS OPERATIONS SYSEMS		6,164		6,164		6,164				6,164
040	BRIDGE TO FUTURE NETWORKS										
041	COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING										
042	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT		21,820		21,820		21,820				21,820
043	IMS REMOTE CONTROL UNIT		9,256		9,256		9,256				9,256
044	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS		4,646		4,646		4,646				4,646
045	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL)		2,367		2,367		2,367				2,367
046	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY		6,555		6,555		6,555				6,555
047	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)		18,583		18,583		18,583				18,583
	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM										
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)		1,414		1,414		1,414				1,414
	INFORMATION SECURITY										
049	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)		29,525		29,525		29,525				29,525
050	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP		33,189		33,189		33,189				33,189
	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS										
051	TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION		1,890		46,690		1,890				1,890
	Information Security System COMSEC				[44,800]						
052	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS		25,525		25,525		25,525				25,525
053	ELECTROMAG COMP PROG (EMCP)										
054	WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP)		31,256		31,256		31,256				31,256
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS										
055	INFORMATION SYSTEMS		216,057		216,057		216,057				216,057
056	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)		6,203		6,203		6,203				6,203
057	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM(.....		147,111		147,111		147,111				147,111
058	PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM		39,906		39,906		39,906				39,906
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)										
061	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (MIP)										
062	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)		3,279		3,279		3,279				3,279
063	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)		64,498		64,498		64,498				64,498
064	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL SYS (TUAS)MIP										
065	SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (SUAS)										
066	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)										
067	DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM (DIP) (TIARA)										
068	TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM (MIP)										
069	DCGS-A (MIP)		85,354		85,354		85,354				85,354
070	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)		6,703		6,703		3				6,703
	Program reduction						[–6,700]				
071	TROJAN (MIP)		26,659		26,659		26,659				26,659
072	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)		7,021		7,021		7,021				7,021
073	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) (MIP		4,509		4,509		4,509				4,509
074	SEQUOYAH FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM		6,420		6,420		6,420				6,420
075	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)		17,053		17,053		17,053				17,053
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)										
076	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR		31,661		31,661		31,661				31,661
077	WARLOCK										
078	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES		1,284		1,284		1,284				1,284
079	CI MODERNIZATION (MIP)		1,221		1,221		1,221				1,221
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)										
080	SENTINEL MODS		25,863		25,863		25,863				25,863
081	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)		25,352		25,352		25,352				25,352
082	NIGHT VISION DEVICES		366,820		166,820		266,820		–175,662		191,158
	Contractor production delays in Enhanced Night Vision Goggle line				[–200,000]		[–100,000]		[–175,662]		
083	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		133,836		180,636		133,836				133,836
	Program Increase				[46,800]						
084	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT		313,237		313,237		313,237				313,237
085	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF		9,179		9,179		9,179				9,179
086	RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEMS		2,198		2,198		2,198				2,198
087	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C-RAM)										
088	BASE EXPEDITIONARY TARGETING AND SURV SYS										
089	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP		5,838		5,838		5,838				5,838
090	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MMS)										
091	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SE		1,178		1,178		1,178				1,178
092	PROFILER		4,766		4,766		4,766				4,766
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)		2,801		2,801		2,801				2,801
094	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)		271,979		271,979		271,979				271,979
095	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P)		17,242		17,242		17,242				17,242
096	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD		59,080		59,080		59,080				59,080
097	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMCB XM32										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
098	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM		15,520		15,520		15,520				15,520
099	COUNTERFIRE RADARS		194,665		194,665		194,665				194,665
100	INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS)—MIP										
101	ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM		1,944		1,944		1,944				1,944
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS										
102	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS		29,934		29,934		29,934				29,934
103	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY		39,042		39,042		39,042				39,042
104	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM (BC)		31,968		31,968		31,968				31,968
105	FAAD C2		8,289		8,289		8,289				8,289
106	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD)		62,439		62,439		62,439				62,439
107	KNIGHT FAMILY		80,831		80,831		80,831				80,831
108	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)		1,778		1,778		1,778				1,778
109	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY		31,542		31,542		31,542				31,542
110	TC AIMS II		11,124		11,124		11,124				11,124
111	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JNMS)										
112	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER										
113	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE		53,898		53,898		53,898				53,898
114	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)		77,646		77,646		77,646				77,646
115	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)		46,861		46,861		46,861				46,861
116	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET		11,118		11,118		11,118				11,118
117	MOUNTED BATTLE COMMAND ON THE MOVE (MBCOTM)		926		926		926				926
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION										
118	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM		85,801		85,801		85,801				85,801
119	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION		12,823		12,823		12,823				12,823
120	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP		254,723		244,723		254,723		–15,000		239,723
	Program Reduction				[–10,000]				[–15,000]		
121	CSS COMMUNICATIONS		33,749		33,749		33,749				33,749
122	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)		39,675		39,675		39,675				39,675
	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)										
123	AFRTS										
124	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)		2,709		2,709		2,709				2,709
125	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)		5,172		5,172		5,172				5,172
	ELECT EQUIP—MODS TACTICAL SYS/EQ										
126	WEAPONIZATION OF UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (UAS)										
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT										
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5M (SSE)										
128	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)		518		518		518				518
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		2,522		2,522		2,522				2,522
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT										
129	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS		2,081		2,081		2,081				2,081
130	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION		108,334		108,334		108,334				108,334
131	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)		7,135		7,135		7,135				7,135
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT										
132	TACTICAL BRIDGING		58,509		58,509		58,509				58,509
133	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON		135,015		135,015		135,015				135,015
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT										
134	HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS-HST		42,264		42,264		42,264				42,264
135	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS)		56,123		63,123		63,123		3,000		59,123
	FIDO explosives detector				[7,000]		[7,000]		[3,000]		
136	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)		49,333		49,333		49,333				49,333
137	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT		3,479		3,479		3,479				3,479
138	AERIAL DETECTION		11,200		11,200		11,200		–11,000		200
	Funding ahead of need								[–11,000]		
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
139	HEATERS AND ECU'S		11,924		11,924		11,924				11,924
140	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES										
141	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT		4,071		4,071		4,071				4,071
142	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME)										
142A	LAND WARRIOR										
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)		6,981		6,981		6,981				6,981
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM		1,809		1,809		1,809				1,809
145	MOUNTED SOLDIER SYSTEM		1,085		1,085		1,085				1,085
146	FORCE PROVIDER										
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT		57,872		57,872		57,872				57,872
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM		66,381		66,381		66,381				66,381
149	MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS COLLECTION SYSTEM:		16,585		16,585		16,585				16,585
150	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)		25,531		25,531		25,531				25,531
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT										
151	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT										
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER		84,019		84,019		84,019				84,019
	WATER EQUIPMENT										
153	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS		7,173		7,173		7,173				7,173
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT										
154	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		33,694		36,694		41,994		4,000		37,694
	Combat casualty care equipment upgrade program						[8,300]		[3,000]		
	Life Support for Trauma and Transport (LSTAT)				[3,000]				[1,000]		
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT										
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS		137,002		137,002		137,002				137,002
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)		812		812		812				812

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT										
157	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)		50,897		50,897		50,897				50,897
158	SKID STEER LOADER (SSL) FAMILY OF SYSTEM		18,387		18,387		18,387				18,387
159	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING										
160	DISTR, WATER, SP MIN 2500G SEC/NON-SEC										
161	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING		44,420		44,420		44,420				44,420
162	LOADERS		20,824		20,824		20,824				20,824
163	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR		18,785		18,785		18,785				18,785
164	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED		50,102		50,102		50,102				50,102
165	CRANES										
166	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING		12,915		12,915		12,915				12,915
167	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) FOS		36,451		36,451		36,451				36,451
168	CONST EQUIP ESP		8,391		8,391		8,391				8,391
169	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)		12,562		12,562		12,562				12,562
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT										
170	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)		183,666		183,666		183,666				183,666
171	HARBORMASTER COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTER (HCCC)		10,962		10,962		10,962				10,962
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)		6,785		6,785		6,785				6,785
	GENERATORS										
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP		146,067		146,067		146,067				146,067
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)		41,239		41,239		41,239				41,239
175	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM		44,898		44,898		44,898				44,898
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT										
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT		22,967		22,967		22,967				22,967
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM		261,348		282,148		283,788		15,350		276,698
	Operator driving simulator						[5,000]		[350]		
	Immersive group simulation virtual training system						[5,500]				
	Joint fires & effects training systems (JFETS)						[5,000]		[5,000]		
	Urban training instrumentation						[2,000]				
	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)						[4,940]		[4,000]		
	Basic Rifle and Pistol Marksmanship Program—U.S. Army Reserve				[2,500]						
	Marksmanship Skills Trainer—TX ARNG				[2,200]						
	Mobile Firing Range—TX ARNG				[1,500]				[1,500]		
	Training Aid Enhancements—VT ARNG				[1,300]						
	Virtual Door Gunner—TX ARNG				[1,100]						
	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment (V.I.C.E.) Training System—VA ARNG				[4,900]				[2,000]		
	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training Systems for the Hawaii ARNG				[2,500]				[2,500]		
	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment (V.I.C.E.) Training System—Ft. Jackson				[4,800]						
178	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER		65,155		65,155		65,155				65,155
179	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA)		12,794		12,794		12,794				12,794
180	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING		7,870		7,870		7,870				7,870
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)										
181	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT		16,844		16,844		16,844				16,844
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)		101,320		101,320		101,320				101,320
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)		15,526		15,526		15,526				15,526
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
184	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		21,770		21,770		21,770				21,770
185	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)		49,758		49,758		49,758				49,758
186	BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT		1,303		1,303		1,303				1,303
187	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)		53,884		53,884		53,884				53,884
188	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)		3,050		3,050		3,050				3,050
189	BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE										
190	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING		45,516		45,516		45,516				45,516
191	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3		12,232		12,232		12,232				12,232
192	MA8975		4,492		4,492		4,492				4,492
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
	OPA2										
193	INITIAL SPARES—C&E		25,867		25,867		25,867				25,867
194	WIN-T INCREMENT 2 SPARES		9,758		9,758		9,758				9,758
194a	Procurement of computer services / systems						–75,000				
	Eliminate redundant activities						[–75,000]				
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		9,907,151		9,762,539		9,617,991		–456,288		9,450,863
	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND										
	NETWORK ATTACK										
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK		203,100						–203,100		
	Transfer to OCO						[–203,100]		[–203,100]		
	Transfer to Title II and Title III				[–203,100]						
	JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT										
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE		199,100						–199,100		
	Transfer to OCO						[–199,100]		[–199,100]		
	Transfer to Title II and Title III				[–199,100]						
	FORCE TRAINING										
003	TRAIN THE FORCE		41,100						–41,100		
	Transfer to OCO						[–41,100]		[–41,100]		
	Transfer to Title II and Title III				[–41,100]						

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
004	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE OPERATIONS		121,550						-121,550		
	Transfer to OCO						[-121,550]		[-121,550]		
	Transfer to Title II and Title III				[-121,550]						
	TOTAL—JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND		564,850		0		0		-564,850		0
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
001	AV-8B (V/STOL) HARRIER										
002	EA-18G	22	1,611,837	22	1,667,837		1,611,837			22	1,611,837
	Aircraft Support Equipment				[56,000]						
003	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		20,559		20,559		20,559				20,559
004	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET	9	1,009,537	9	1,009,537		1,569,537	9	512,280	18	1,521,817
	Additional aircraft						[560,000]		[512,280]		
005	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		51,431		159,431		51,431		108,000		159,431
	EOQ for MYP III				[108,000]				[108,000]		
006	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	20	3,997,048	19	3,875,048		3,997,048			20	3,997,048
	Program Reduction			[-1]	[-164,000]						
	F136 Procurement				[42,000]						
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		481,000		486,000		481,000				481,000
	F136 Procurement				[5,000]						
008	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	30	2,215,829	30	2,215,829		2,215,829			30	2,215,829
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		84,342		84,342		84,342				84,342
010	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	28	709,801	18	426,901		709,801	-4	-100,000	24	609,801
	UH-1Y/AH-1Z Program Reduction			[-10]	[-282,900]			[-4]	[-100,000]		
011	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		70,550		70,550		70,550				70,550
012	MH-60S (MYP)	18	414,145	18	414,145		414,145			18	414,145
013	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		78,830		78,830		78,830				78,830
014	MH-60R	24	811,781	24	811,781		811,781			24	811,781
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		131,504		131,504		131,504				131,504
016	P-8A POSEIDON	6	1,664,525	6	1,664,525		1,664,525			6	1,664,525
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		160,526		160,526		160,526		-10,880		149,646
	Excessive advance procurement growth								[-7,680]		
	Funding for production line slots								[-3,200]		
018	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	2	511,245	2	511,245		511,245			2	511,245
019	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		94,924		94,924		94,924				94,924
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
020	C-40A	1	74,381	1	74,381		74,381			1	74,381
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
021	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK										
022	JPATS	38	266,539	38	266,539		266,539			38	266,539

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
055	ID SYSTEMS		24,125		24,125		24,125				24,125
056	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY		24,502		24,502		24,502				24,502
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
057	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,264,012		1,262,012		1,264,012		-1,600		1,262,412
	F-35 Spares Reduction				[-4,000]						
	F136 Spares				[2,000]						
	UH-1Y/AH-1Z reduction								[-1,600]		
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES										
058	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		363,588		366,988		363,588				363,588
	Engine Intallation & Removal Vehicle (EIRV)				[3,400]						
059	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		11,075		11,075		11,075				11,075
060	WAR CONSUMABLES		55,406		55,406		55,406				55,406
061	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		23,861		23,861		23,861				23,861
062	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		42,147		42,147		42,147				42,147
063	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		1,734		1,734		1,734				1,734
064	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS										
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		18,378,312		18,102,112		18,938,312		463,800		18,842,112
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY										
	BALLISTIC MISSILES										
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES										
001	TRIDENT II MODS	24	1,060,504	24	1,060,504	24	1,060,504			24	1,060,504
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES										
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		3,447		3,447		3,447				3,447
	OTHER MISSILES										
	STRATEGIC MISSILES										
003	TOMAHAWK	196	283,055	196	283,055	196	283,055			196	283,055
	TACTICAL MISSILES										
004	AMRAAM	79	145,506	79	145,506	79	145,506		-5,000	79	140,506
	Diminished manufacturing sources funding ahead of need								[-5,000]		
005	SIDEWINDER	161	56,845	161	56,845	161	56,845			161	56,845
006	JSOW	430	145,336	430	145,336	430	145,336			430	145,336
007	SLAM-ER										
008	STANDARD MISSILE	62	249,233	62	249,233	62	249,233			62	249,233
009	RAM	90	74,784	90	74,784	90	74,784			90	74,784
010	HELLFIRE	818	59,411	818	59,411	818	59,411			818	59,411
011	AERIAL TARGETS		47,003		47,003		47,003				47,003
012	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		3,928		3,928		3,928				3,928
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES										
013	ESSM	50	51,388	50	51,388	50	51,388			50	51,388

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
037	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		65,196		65,196		65,196				65,196
	TOTAL—WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		3,453,455		3,453,455		3,515,455		−7,436		3,446,019
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS										
	PROC AMMO, NAVY										
	NAVY AMMUNITION										
	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		75,227		75,227		75,227				75,227
001	JDAM		1,968		1,968		1,968				1,968
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		38,643		38,643		38,643				38,643
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		19,622		19,622		19,622				12,062
004	20MM linkless TP cost growth								−7,560		
	20MM linked TP cost growth								[−1,990]		
	20MM linked HEI cost growth								[−2,670]		
005	PRACTICE BOMBS		33,803		33,803		33,803		−9,300		24,503
	Enhanced laser guided training round cost growth								[−9,300]		
006	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		50,600		50,600		50,600				50,600
007	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		79,102		79,102		79,102		−9,800		69,302
	MJU−55 production termination								[−9,800]		
008	JATOS		3,230		3,230		3,230				3,230
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		27,483		27,483		27,483				27,483
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION		25,974		25,974		25,974				25,974
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		35,934		35,934		35,934				35,934
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		43,490		43,490		43,490				43,490
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		10,623		10,623		10,623				10,623
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		3,214		3,214		3,214				3,214
	PROC AMMO, MC										
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION										
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		87,781		87,781		87,781				87,781
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		23,582		23,582		23,582				23,582
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES		57,291		57,291		57,291				57,291
018	60MM, ALL TYPES		22,037		22,037		22,037				22,037
019	81MM, ALL TYPES		54,869		54,869		54,869				54,869
020	120MM, ALL TYPES		29,579		29,579		29,579				29,579
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES		2,259		2,259		2,259				2,259
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		10,694		10,694		10,694				10,694
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		13,948		13,948		13,948				13,948
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		57,948		57,948		57,948				57,948
025	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING VEHICLE										
026	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		14,886		14,886		14,886				14,886
027	FUZE, ALL TYPES		575		575		575				575
028	NON LETHALS		3,034		3,034		3,034				3,034
029	AMMO MODERNIZATION		8,886		8,886		8,886				8,886
030	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,393		4,393		4,393				4,393
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		840,675		840,675		840,675		−26,660		814,015
	SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY										
	OTHER WARSHIPS										
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM		739,269		739,269		739,269				739,269
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		484,432		484,432		484,432				484,432
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	1	1,964,317	1	1,964,317	1	1,964,317			1	1,964,317
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		1,959,725		1,959,725		1,959,725				1,959,725
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS		1,563,602		1,563,602		1,563,602				1,563,602
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		211,820		211,820		211,820				211,820
007	SSBN ERO										
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
009	DDG 1000		1,084,161		1,084,161		1,084,161				1,084,161
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
011	DDG−51	1	1,912,267	1	1,912,267	1	1,912,267			1	1,912,267
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		328,996		328,996		328,996				328,996
	Program Increase—EOQ Quantity						[100,000]				
013	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	3	1,380,000	3	1,380,000	3	1,380,000	−1		2	1,380,000
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS										
014	LPD−17		872,392		872,392		872,392				872,392
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		184,555		244,555		184,555				184,555
	Program Increase						[60,000]				
016	LHA REPLACEMENT										
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
018	INTRATHEATER CONNECTOR	1	177,956	1	177,956	1	177,956			1	177,956
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST										
019	OUTFITTING		391,238		391,238		391,238				391,238
020	SERVICE CRAFT		3,694		3,694		3,694				3,694
021	LCAC SLEP	3	63,857	3	63,857	3	63,857			3	63,857
022	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS		454,586		304,586		454,586				454,586
	Completion of Prior Year Shipbuilding (DDG 1000)						[−150,000]				
	TOTAL—SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY		13,776,867		13,786,867		13,776,867		0		13,776,867
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT										
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE		8,014	8,014		8,014				8,014	
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE		9,162	9,162		9,162				9,162	
003	OTHER PROPULSION EQUIPMENT										
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT										
004	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		34,743	34,743		34,743				34,743	
	PERISCOPES										
005	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP		75,127	75,127		75,127		-5,000		70,127	
	Digital periscope contract delay							[-5,000]			
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT										
006	DDG MOD		142,262	142,262		142,262				142,262	
007	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		11,423	11,423		15,423		3,100		14,523	
	Smart valves for fire suppression					[4,000]		[3,100]			
008	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD		4,383	4,383		4,383				4,383	
009	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		24,992	24,992		24,992				24,992	
010	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		16,867	16,867		16,867				16,867	
011	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		103,153	103,153		103,153				103,153	
012	SUBMARINE BATTERIES		51,482	51,482		51,482				51,482	
013	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		15,672	15,672		15,672				15,672	
014	DSSP EQUIPMENT		10,641	10,641		10,641				10,641	
015	CG MODERNIZATION		315,323	315,323		315,323				315,323	
016	LCAC		6,642	6,642		6,642				6,642	
017	MINESWEEPING EQUIPMENT										
018	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS		19,232	19,232		19,232				19,232	
019	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		127,554	131,554		127,554		-3,124		124,430	
	M952 Weapon Light			[4,000]							
	CVN auto voltage regulators ahead of need							[-3,124]			
020	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS		8,899	8,899		8,899				8,899	
021	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM		14,721	14,721		14,721				14,721	
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT										
022	REACTOR POWER UNITS										
023	REACTOR COMPONENTS		262,354	262,354		262,354				262,354	
	OCEAN ENGINEERING										
024	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT		5,304	5,304		5,304				5,304	
	SMALL BOATS										
025	STANDARD BOATS		35,318	40,318		35,318				35,318	
	Barrier Boat Craft			[5,000]							
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT										
026	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT		15,113	15,113		15,113				15,113	
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT										
027	OPERATING FORCES IPE		47,172	47,172		47,172				47,172	
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT										
028	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS		136,683	136,683		136,683				136,683	
029	LCS MODULES		137,259	137,259		137,259				137,259	
	LOGISTIC SUPPORT										
030	LSD MIDLIFE		117,856	117,856		117,856				117,856	
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	SHIP RADARS										
031	RADAR SUPPORT		9,968	9,968		9,968				9,968	
032	SPQ-9B RADAR		13,476	13,476		13,476				13,476	
033	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM		111,093	111,093		111,093		-15,500		95,593	
	SQQ-89 backfit suites ahead of need							[-15,500]			
034	SSN ACOUSTICS		299,962	299,962		303,962		4,000		303,962	
	TB-33 thinline towed array					[4,000]		[4,000]			
035	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		38,705	38,705		38,705				38,705	
036	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS		13,537	13,537		13,537				13,537	
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
037	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM		20,681	20,681		20,681				20,681	
038	SSTD		2,184	2,184		2,184				2,184	
039	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		63,017	63,017		63,017				63,017	
040	SURTASS		24,108	24,108		24,108				24,108	
041	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER		22,464	22,464		22,464				22,464	
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT										
042	AN/SLQ-32		34,264	34,264		34,264				34,264	
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT										
043	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT		105,883	105,883		105,883				105,883	
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT										
044	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG		98,645	98,645		98,645		-15,150		83,495	
	Multi-function modular mast units ahead of need							[-15,150]			
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
045	NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM			3,000							
	AN/USQ-167 COMSEC Upgrade			[3,000]							
046	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY		30,522	30,522		30,522				30,522	
047	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT		13,594	13,594		13,594				13,594	
048	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)		35,933	35,933		35,933				35,933	
049	ATDLS		7,314	7,314		7,314				7,314	
050	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT		79,091	79,091		79,091		-4,800		74,291	
	RMS restructure							[-4,800]			
051	SHALLOW WATER MCM		7,835	7,835		7,835				7,835	

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
052	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)		10,845		10,845		10,845				10,845
053	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV		3,333		3,333		3,333				3,333
054	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		4,149		4,149		4,149				4,149
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT										
055	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT		36,784		36,784		36,784				36,784
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
056	MATCALs		17,468		17,468		17,468				17,468
057	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		7,970		7,970		7,970				7,970
058	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM		18,878		18,878		18,878				18,878
059	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM		28,988		28,988		28,988				28,988
060	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		8,203		8,203		8,203				8,203
061	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM		10,526		10,526		10,526				10,526
062	ID SYSTEMS		38,682		38,682		38,682				38,682
063	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)		9,102		9,102		9,102				9,102
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
064	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT		8,719		12,719		8,719		3,000		11,719
	Shelter Upgrade Program				[4,000]				[3,000]		
065	TADIX-B		793		793		793				793
066	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE		11,820		11,820		11,820				11,820
067	COMMON IMAGERY GROUND SURFACE SYSTEMS		27,632		27,632		27,632				27,632
068	CANES		1,181		1,181		1,181				1,181
069	RADIAC		5,990		5,990		5,990				5,990
070	GPETE		3,737		3,737		3,737				3,737
071	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY		4,423		4,423		4,423				4,423
072	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION		4,778		4,778		4,778				4,778
073	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		65,760		65,760		65,760				65,760
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS										
074	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS										
075	PORTABLE RADIOS										
076	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION		310,605		310,605		310,605		-20,300		290,305
	Shipboard network systems ahead of need								[-20,300]		
077	AN/URC-82 RADIO		4,913		4,913		4,913				4,913
078	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M		25,314		25,314		25,314				25,314
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS										
079	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT		105		105		105				105
080	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT		48,729		48,729		48,729				48,729
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS										
081	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS		50,172		50,172		50,172				50,172
082	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)		72,496		72,496		72,496				72,496
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS										
083	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		2,322		2,322		2,322				2,322
084	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS		1,293		1,293		1,293				1,293
085	NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		2,542		2,542		2,542				2,542
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT										
086	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)		119,054		119,054		119,054				119,054
087	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		16,839		16,839		16,839				16,839
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT										
088	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT		18,892		18,892		18,892				18,892
	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
089	OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	SONOBUOYS										
090	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES		91,976		91,976		91,976				91,976
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
091	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		75,329		75,329		75,329				75,329
092	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS		8,343		8,343		8,343				8,343
093	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT		12,850		12,850		12,850				12,850
094	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT		48,670		48,670		48,670		-1,821		46,849
	ADMACS Block II upgrade cost growth								[-1,821]		
095	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT		21,458		21,458		21,458				21,458
096	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		1,582		1,582		1,582				1,582
097	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT		27,367		32,367		27,367		5,000		32,367
	Multi Climate Protection System				[5,000]				[5,000]		
098	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES		55,408		55,408		55,408				55,408
099	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		23,694		23,694		23,694				23,694
100	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS		9,710		9,710		9,710				9,710
101	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		16,541		16,541		16,541				16,541
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT										
102	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM		1,391		1,391		1,391				1,391
103	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT		7,891		7,891		7,891				7,891
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT										
104	NATO SEASPARROW		13,556		13,556		13,556				13,556
105	RAM GMLS		7,762		7,762		7,762				7,762
106	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM		34,079		34,079		34,079				34,079
107	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		108,886		108,886		108,886				108,886
108	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		88,475		88,475		88,475				88,475
109	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS		5,513		5,513		5,513				5,513
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
110	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP		155,579		155,579		155,579				155,579

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
111	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS		118,528	118,528		118,528				118,528	
112	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		5,200	5,200		5,200				5,200	
113	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		13,646	13,646		13,646				13,646	
114	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,256	7,256		7,256				7,256	
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
115	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP		54,069	54,069		54,069				54,069	
116	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		3,478	3,478		3,478				3,478	
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE										
117	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM		37,128	37,128		37,128				37,128	
118	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS		7,430	7,430		7,430				7,430	
119	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS		25,271	25,271		25,271				25,271	
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
120	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		4,139	4,139		4,139				4,139	
121	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		1,731	1,731		1,731				1,731	
122	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		12,931	12,931		12,931				12,931	
123	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		12,976	12,976		12,976				12,976	
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES		25,352	25,352		25,352				25,352	
125	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		2,950	2,950		2,950				2,950	
126	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		5,097	5,097		5,097				5,097	
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		23,787	23,787		23,787				23,787	
128	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		1,115	6,115		1,115				1,115	
	LRAD (Long Range Acoustical Device) Anti-Terrorism Force Protection Equipment for USN Assets.			[5,000]							
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
129	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		17,153	17,153		17,153				17,153	
130	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,368	6,368		6,368				6,368	
131	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		6,217	6,217		6,217				6,217	
132	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS		71,597	71,597		71,597				71,597	
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	TRAINING DEVICES										
133	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		12,944	12,944		12,944				12,944	
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
134	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		55,267	57,267		56,267				55,267	
	National small unit center of excellence					[−3,000]					
	Man overboard indicators			[2,000]		[4,000]					
135	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,084	2,084		2,084				2,084	
136	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		5,517	5,517		5,517				5,517	
137	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,537	1,537		1,537				1,537	
139	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		12,250	12,250		12,250				12,250	
140	C4ISR EQUIPMENT		5,324	5,324		5,324				5,324	
141	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		18,183	18,183		18,183				18,183	
142	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		128,921	128,921		128,921				128,921	
143	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		79,747	79,747		79,747				79,747	
	OTHER										
144	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS										
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
145	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		247,796	247,796		247,796				247,796	
145a	PROCUREMENT OF COMPUTER SERVICES / SYSTEMS					−75,000					
	Eliminate redundant activities					[−75,000]					
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		19,463	19,463		19,463				19,463	
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		5,661,176	5,689,176		5,595,176		−50,595		5,610,581	
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS										
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES										
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
001	AAV7A1 PIP		9,127	9,127		9,127				9,127	
002	LAV PIP		34,969	34,969		34,969				34,969	
003	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (IRV)										
004	M1A1 FIREPOWER ENHANCEMENTS										
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS										
005	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	20	19,591	20	19,591	20	19,591			20	19,591
006	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER		7,420		7,420		7,420				7,420
007	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM		71,476		71,476		71,476				71,476
008	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION		25,949		25,949		25,949				25,949
	WEAPONS										
009	MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM										
	OTHER SUPPORT										
010	MODIFICATION KITS		33,990	33,990		33,990				33,990	
011	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM		22,238	22,238		22,238				22,238	
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT										
	GUIDED MISSILES										
012	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE		11,387	11,387		11,387				11,387	
013	JAVELIN										
014	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW		25,333	25,333		25,333				25,333	
015	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)		71,225	71,225		71,225				71,225	
	OTHER SUPPORT										
016	MODIFICATION KITS		2,114	2,114		2,114				2,114	

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS										
017	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER		19,832		19,832		19,832				19,832
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT										
018	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		31,087		31,087		31,087				31,087
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)										
019	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM		11,368		11,368		11,368				11,368
020	MODIFICATION KITS										
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)										
021	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		3,531		3,531		3,531				3,531
022	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		45,084		45,084		45,084				45,084
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
023	RADAR SYSTEMS		7,428		7,428		7,428				7,428
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		2,580		2,580		2,580				2,580
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		37,581		48,081		37,581				37,581
	Tier I Unmanned Aircraft Systems				[10,500]						
026	RQ-11 UAV	517	42,403	517	42,403	517	42,403			517	42,403
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
027	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		10,360		10,360		10,360				10,360
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)										
028	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		115,263		115,263		115,263				115,263
029	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		49,820		49,820		49,820				49,820
030	RADIO SYSTEMS		61,954		61,954		61,954				61,954
031	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		98,254		98,254		98,254				98,254
032	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT		15,531		15,531		15,531				15,531
	SUPPORT VEHICLES										
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES										
033	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES		1,265		1,265		1,265				1,265
034	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES		13,610		16,610		13,610				13,610
	Mountain Terrain Support Vehicles				[3,000]						
035	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	54	9,796	54	9,796	54	9,796			54	9,796
036	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS		6,111		6,111		6,111				6,111
037	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT		10,792		39,692		10,792				10,792
	Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement Trailers				[28,900]						
038	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP	495	217,390	495	217,390	495	217,390			495	217,390
039	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS		26,497		26,497		26,497				26,497
040	TRAILERS		18,122		18,122		18,122				18,122
	OTHER SUPPORT										
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		5,948		5,948		5,948				5,948
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT										
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT		5,121		5,121		5,121				5,121
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		13,035		13,035		13,035				13,035
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS		35,059		40,159		35,059		3,100		38,159
	Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage Units				[5,100]				[3,100]		
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		21,033		21,033		21,033				21,033
046	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		39,876		39,876		39,876				39,876
047	EOD SYSTEMS		93,335		93,335		93,335				93,335
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		12,169		12,169		12,169				12,169
049	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE)		11,825		11,825		11,825				11,825
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		41,430		105,430		41,430				41,430
	Tractors, Rubber Tired, Articulated Steering, Multi-purpose (TRAM)				[21,000]						
	Light Rough Terrain Forks (LRTF)				[13,000]						
	Millennia Military Vehicle/Extendable Boom Fork Lift (MMV/EBFL)				[30,000]						
051	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		5,301		5,301		5,301				5,301
	GENERAL PROPERTY										
052	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		6,811		6,811		6,811				6,811
053	TRAINING DEVICES		14,854		14,854		14,854				14,854
054	CONTAINER FAMILY		3,770		3,770		3,770				3,770
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		37,735		37,735		37,735				37,735
056	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEH (ITV)	52	10,360	52	10,360	52	10,360			52	10,360
057	BRIDGE BOATS										
058	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN		2,159		2,159		2,159				2,159
	OTHER SUPPORT										
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		8,792		8,792		8,792				8,792
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
060	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		41,547		41,547		41,547				41,547
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		1,600,638		1,712,138		1,600,638		3,100		1,603,738
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
	TACTICAL FORCES										
001	F-35	10	2,048,830	9	2,115,830	10	2,048,830		130,000	10	2,178,830
	Program Reduction				[−131,000]						
	Spares Decrease				[−9,000]						
	F136 Engine Procurement				[57,000]				[130,000]		
	F136 Engine Spares				[21,000]						
	F-35 Spares and Support Equipment				[129,000]						

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		300,600		313,600		300,600		–22,000		278,600
	F136 Advance Procurement				[13,000]						
	Reduction of 2 aircraft previously funded in fiscal year 2009								[–22,000]		
003	F–22A		95,163		95,163		62,898				95,163
	Use FY 09 funds to offset FY 10 requirements						[–32,265]				
	Unneeded production shutdown costs						[–64,000]				
	Other program requirements						[64,000]				
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				368,800						
	Program Increase				[368,800]						
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
	TACTICAL AIRLIFT										
005	C–17A (MYP)		88,510		88,510		88,510				88,510
	OTHER AIRLIFT										
006	C–130J	3	285,632	3	285,632	3	285,632			3	285,632
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		108,000		96,000		108,000				108,000
	Excess AP Based on FY09 Projections				[–12,000]						
008	HC/MC–130 RECAP	9	879,231	9	879,231	9	879,231	–7	–504,000	2	375,231
	Funded in fiscal year 2009 supplemental								[–504,000]		
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		137,360		87,360		137,360				137,360
	Excess AP Based on FY09 Projections				[–50,000]						
010	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT	8	319,050	8	319,050	8	319,050			8	319,050
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
	UPT TRAINERS										
011	USAF POWERED FLIGHT PROGRAM	13	4,144	13	4,144	13	4,144			13	4,144
	OPERATIONAL TRAINERS										
012	JPATS		15,711		15,711		15,711				15,711
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
	HELICOPTERS										
013	V22 OSPREY	5	437,272	5	437,272	5	437,272			5	437,272
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		13,835		13,835		13,835				13,835
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT										
015	C–29A FLIGHT INSPECTION ACFT										
016	C–12 A										
017	C–40	3	154,044	4	259,294	3	154,044	1	105,250	4	259,294
	Program Increase				[105,250]				[105,250]		
018	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C		2,426		2,426		2,426				2,426
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
020	TARGET DRONES		78,511		78,511		78,511				78,511
021	C–37A	1	66,400	1	66,400	1	66,400			1	66,400
022	GLOBAL HAWK	5	554,775	5	554,775	5	504,775			5	554,775
	Reduction due to program delays						[–50,000]				
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		113,049		113,049		113,049				113,049
024	MQ–1										
025	MQ–9	24	489,469	13	274,134	24	469,569			24	489,469
	Gorgon Stare						[–19,900]				
	Transfer to Title XV				[–11]		[–215,335]				
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		3,608		3,608		3,608				3,608
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE AIRCRAFT										
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT										
026	B–2A		283,955		283,955		283,955		–19,800		264,155
	USAF requested transfer to APAF 78A, B–2 Post Production Support for the B–2 Weapon System Support Center.								[–19,800]		
027	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
028	B–1B		107,558		107,558		107,558		–29,000		78,558
	Program delay for various programs. Funding transferred to PE 11126F (RDAF 119).								[–29,000]		
029	B–52		78,788		78,788		78,788		–17,322		61,466
	Air Force identified excess								[–17,322]		
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT										
030	A–10		252,488		319,588		252,488				252,488
	AAR–47 Kits/Installations				[67,100]						
031	F–15		92,921		143,421		92,921		50,500		143,421
	5 AESA Radars				[50,500]				[50,500]		
032	F–16		224,642		224,642		224,642		–2,767		221,875
	Funding ahead of need—BLOS Installs								[–2,767]		
033	F–22A		350,735		12,735				–158,399		192,336
	Use FY 09 funds to offset FY 10 requirements						[–350,735]				
	FY 09 Funds Available to Meet Requirement				[–338,000]						
	Common Configuration—Early to need								[–158,399]		
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
034	C–5		606,993		606,993		606,993		–28,000		578,993
	Funding ahead of need—RERP Install								[–28,000]		
035	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		108,300		108,300		108,300				108,300
036	C–9C		10		10		10				10
037	C–17A		469,731		469,731		469,731		–45,300		424,431
	Funding requested ahead of need								[–45,300]		
038	C–21		562		562		562				562
039	C–32A		10,644		10,644		10,644				10,644
040	C–37A		4,336		4,336		4,336				4,336

		PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)									
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
041	GLIDER MODS		119		119		119				119
042	T-6		33,074		33,074		33,074				33,074
043	T-1		35		35		35				35
044	T-38		75,274		75,274		75,274				61,057
	Improved Brake System Program Termination								-14,217		
045	T-43								[-14,217]		
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
046	KC-10A (ATCA)		9,441		9,441		9,441				9,441
047	C-12		472		472		472				472
048	MC-12W		63,000		63,000		63,000				63,000
049	C-20 MODS		734		734		734				734
050	VC-25A MOD		15,610		15,610		15,610				15,610
051	C-40		9,162		9,162		9,162				9,162
052	C-130		354,421		154,321		144,921		-220,250		134,171
	Use FY 08 & FY 09 resources to fund AMP production						[-209,500]				
	Scathe View Hyper-Spectral Imagery Upgrade				[5,400]				[4,500]		
	Senior Scout COMINT Capability Upgrade				[4,000]				[3,750]		
	Program Excess				[-209,500]				[-209,500]		
	Centerwing Replacements—Early to need								[-19,000]		
053	C130J MODS		13,627		13,627		13,627				13,627
054	C-135		150,425		150,425		150,425				150,425
055	COMPASS CALL MODS		29,187		107,187		29,187				29,187
	Baseline 1 Group B Kits				[78,000]						
056	DARP		107,859		107,859		107,859				107,859
057	E-3		79,263		79,263		79,263				79,263
058	E-4		73,058		73,058		73,058				73,058
059	E-8		225,973		225,973		225,973				225,973
060	H-1		18,280		18,280		18,280				18,280
061	H-60		14,201		95,201		14,201		81,000		95,201
	HH-60G AAQ-29 FLIR				[81,000]				[81,000]		
062	GLOBAL HAWK MODS		134,864		134,864		134,864				134,864
063	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS		1,964		1,964		1,964				1,964
064	OTHER AIRCRAFT		103,274		103,274		127,274		24,000		127,274
	Litening ATP upgrade kits						[24,000]		[24,000]		
065	MQ-1 MODS		123,889		123,889		123,889				123,889
066	MQ-9 MODS		48,837		48,837		48,837				48,837
	Reflect USAF decision to change sensor payload										
067	CV-22 MODS		24,429		24,429		24,429				24,429
067A	CAF Restructure				10,500						
	AIRCRAFT SPARES + REPAIR PARTS										
068	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		418,604		418,604		418,604				418,604
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIP										
069	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP		105,820		105,820		105,820				105,820
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT										
070	B-1		3,929		3,929		3,929				3,929
071	B-2A										
072	B-2A		24,481		24,481		24,481				24,481
073	C-5		2,259		2,259		2,259				2,259
074	C-5		11,787		11,787		11,787				11,787
075	KC-10A (ATCA)		4,125		4,125		4,125				4,125
076	C-17A		91,400		91,400		91,400				
	Funding requested ahead of need								-91,400		
077	C-130		28,092		28,092		28,092		[-91,400]		28,092
078	EC-130J		5,283		5,283		5,283				5,283
078A	B-2 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT								19,800		19,800
	USAF requested transfer from APAF 26 for the B-2 Weapon System Support Center.								[19,800]		
079	F-15		15,744		15,744		15,744				15,744
080	F-16		19,951		19,951		19,951				19,951
081	OTHER AIRCRAFT		51,980		51,980		51,980				51,980
082	T-1										
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS										
083	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS		25,529		25,529		25,529				25,529
	WAR CONSUMABLES										
084	WAR CONSUMABLES		134,427		134,427		134,427				134,427
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES										
085	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		490,344		490,344		490,344				490,344
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES—SOF										
087	CANCELLED ACCT ADJUSTMENTS										
	DARP										
088	DARP		15,323		15,323		15,323				15,323
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		19,443		19,443		19,443				19,443
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		11,966,276		11,991,991		11,327,876		-741,905		11,224,371
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE										
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO. AIR FORCE										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	ROCKETS										
001	ROCKETS		43,461		43,461		43,461				43,461
	CARTRIDGES										
002	CARTRIDGES		123,886		123,886		123,886				123,886
	BOMBS										
003	PRACTICE BOMBS		52,459		52,459		52,459				52,459
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		225,145		225,145		225,145				225,145
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	3592	103,041	3,592	103,041	3,592	103,041			3592	103,041
	FLARE, IR MJU-7B										
006	CAD/PAD		40,522		40,522		40,522				40,522
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)		3,302		3,302		3,302				3,302
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		4,582		4,582		4,582				4,582
009	MODIFICATIONS		1,289		1,289		1,289				1,289
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		5,061		5,061		5,061				5,061
	FUZES										
011	FLARES		152,515		152,515		152,515				152,515
012	FUZES		61,037		61,037		61,037				61,037
	WEAPONS										
	SMALL ARMS										
013	SMALL ARMS		6,162		6,162		6,162				6,162
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		822,462		822,462		822,462		0		822,462
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	BALLISTIC MISSILES										
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT-BALLISTIC										
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC		58,139		58,139		58,139				58,139
	OTHER MISSILES										
	TACTICAL										
002	JASSM		52,666		51,666		52,666				52,666
	Program Decrease				[–1,000]						
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM–9X)	219	78,753	219	78,753	219	78,753			219	78,753
004	AMRAAM	196	291,827	196	291,827	196	291,827		–5,000	196	286,827
	Funding ahead of need for DMS								[–5,000]		
005	PREDITOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	792	79,699	792	79,699	792	79,699		–15,169	792	64,530
	Updated pricing								[–15,169]		
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	2340	134,801	2,340	134,801	2,340	134,801			2340	134,801
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES										
007	INDUSTR'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION		841		841		841				841
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE MISSILES										
	CLASS IV										
008	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		32		32		32				32
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS		199,484		199,484		199,484				199,484
010	AGM–65D MAVERICK		258		258		258				258
011	AGM–88A HARM		30,280		30,280		30,280				30,280
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)										
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
	MISSILE SPARES + REPAIR PARTS										
013	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		70,185		70,185		70,185				70,185
	OTHER SUPPORT										
	SPACE PROGRAMS										
014	ADVANCED EHF	1	1,843,475	1	1,843,475	1	1,843,475			1	1,843,475
015	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE)		201,671		201,671		201,671		–50,000		151,671
	Program delay								[–50,000]		
017	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		62,380		62,380		62,380				62,380
018	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		9,871		9,871		9,871				9,871
019	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)		53,140		53,140		53,140				53,140
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
021	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM										
022	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE)		97,764		97,764		97,764				97,764
023	TITAN SPACE BOOSTERS(SPACE)										
024	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE)	5	1,295,325	4	1,207,225	5	1,102,325	–2	–193,100	3	1,102,225
	EELV reduction for GPS IF8						[–88,000]				
	EELV reduction for AFSPC4						[–105,000]	[–1]	[–105,000]		
	Reduction in Requirement for Launch Vehicles			[–1]	[–88,100]			[–1]	[–88,100]		
025	MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLE(SPACE)										
026	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	1	307,456	1	307,456	1	307,456			1	307,456
027	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		159,000		159,000		159,000				159,000
028	NATL POLAR-ORBITING OP ENV SATELLITE		3,900		3,900		3,900				3,900
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS										
029	DEFENSE SPACE RECONN PROGRAM		105,152		105,152		105,152				105,152
031	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS		311,070		311,070		311,070				311,070
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		853,559		853,559		853,559				853,559
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		6,300,728		6,211,628		6,107,728		–263,269		6,037,459
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES										
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		25,922		25,922		25,922				25,922
003	CAP VEHICLES		897		897		897				897
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES										
004	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES		44,603		44,603		44,603				44,603
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT										
005	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES		27,760		27,760		27,760				27,760
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
006	HALVERSEN LOADER						12,000				
	Procure additional loaders						[12,000]				
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT										
007	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND CLEANING EQU		24,884		24,884		24,884				24,884
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000(VEHICLES)		57,243		57,243		57,243		–17,000		40,243
	Reduce program growth								[–17,000]		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		18,163		18,163		18,163				18,163
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS										
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)										
009	COMSEC EQUIPMENT		209,249		209,249		209,249				209,249
010	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)		1,570		1,570		1,570				1,570
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS										
011	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT		4,230		4,230		4,230				4,230
012	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT		21,965		27,965		21,965		5,500		27,465
	Eagle Vision-ANG				[4,000]				[4,000]		
	Eagle Vision Upgrade-ANG				[2,000]				[1,500]		
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS										
013	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS		22,591		22,591		22,591				22,591
014	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		47,670		47,670		47,670				47,670
015	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN		56,776		56,776		56,776				56,776
016	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		19,357		19,357		19,357				19,357
017	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		35,116		35,116		35,116				35,116
018	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		28,608		28,608		28,608				28,608
019	DRUG INTERDICTION SPT		452		452		452				452
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS										
020	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		111,282		111,282		111,282				111,282
	Program Reduction				[–5,000]						
	Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence				[5,000]						
021	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS		15,499		15,499		15,499				15,499
022	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL		8,610		8,610		8,610				8,610
023	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		137,293		137,293		137,293		–60,000		77,293
	Weapons Storage Area—Request ahead of need								[–60,000]		
024	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		40,633		40,633		46,833		4,000		44,633
	Unmanned modular threat emitter (UMTE)						[3,000]		[3,000]		
	Joint threat emitter (JTE)						[3,200]		[1,000]		
025	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		8,177		8,177		8,177				8,177
026	GCSS-AF FOS		81,579		81,579		81,579				81,579
027	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM		29,687		29,687		29,687				29,687
028	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS		54,093		54,093		54,093				54,093
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS										
029	BASE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE		433,859		433,859		433,859		–49,000		384,859
	Excess funding								[–49,000]		
030	USCENTCOM		38,958		38,958		38,958				38,958
031	AUTOMATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS PRG										
	DISA PROGRAMS										
032	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE		34,440		34,440		34,440				34,440
033	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE		6,415		6,415		6,415				6,415
034	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE		15,436		15,436		15,436				15,436
035	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE		58,865		58,865		58,865				58,865
036	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		100,275		100,275		100,275				100,275
037	MILSATCOM SPACE		110,575		110,575		119,575				110,575
	Application software assurance						[9,000]				
038	SPACE MODS SPACE		30,594		30,594		30,594				30,594
039	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM		29,793		29,793		29,793				29,793
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE										
040	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT		240,890		230,890		240,890		–33,000		207,890
	Network Control Center-Deployed Cost Growth				[–10,000]						
	Reduce Vehicle Communication Systems								[–33,000]		
041	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER		35,029		35,029		35,029				35,029
042	RADIO EQUIPMENT		15,536		15,536		15,536				15,536
043	TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV)										
044	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT		12,961		12,961		12,961				12,961
045	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE		121,049		121,049		121,049				121,049
	MODIFICATIONS										
046	COMM ELECT MODS		64,087		64,087		64,087				64,087
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP										
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP										
047	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES		28,226		28,226		28,226				28,226
048	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)		17,223		17,223		17,223				17,223
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ										
049	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		15,449		15,449		15,449				15,449

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
050	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT		14,300		14,300		14,300				14,300
051	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS		22,973		22,973		22,973		–12,973		10,000
	Reduce program growth								[–12,973]		
052	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT		3,020		3,020		3,020				3,020
053	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT		32,855		32,855		32,855				32,855
054	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (BASE S)		8,195		18,895		8,195		3,000		11,195
	Advanced Reconfigurable Containers				[1,700]						
	Aircrew Body Armor and Load Carriage Vest				[9,000]				[3,000]		
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS										
056	DARP RC135		23,132		23,132		23,132				23,132
057	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS		293,640		293,640		293,640				293,640
059	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM		471,234		471,234		471,234				471,234
060	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.		30,041		30,041		30,041				30,041
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		13,830,722		13,830,722		13,830,722				13,830,722
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
061	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		19,460		19,460		19,460				19,460
061a	Procurement of computer services / systems						–75,000				
	Eliminate redundant activities						[–75,000]				
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		17,293,141		17,299,841		17,245,341		–159,473		17,133,668
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND										
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND						1,200,000		600,000		600,000
	Additional MRAP vehicles to meet new requirement						[1,200,000]		[600,000]		
	TOTAL—MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		0		0		1,200,000		600,000		600,000
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS										
001	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA										
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA		8,858		8,858		8,858				8,858
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA										
003	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		1,489		1,489		1,489				1,489
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA										
004	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		2,012		2,012		2,012				2,012
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA										
005	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION		10,431		10,431		10,431				10,431
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA										
017	INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
018	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY		13,449		13,449		13,449				13,449
019	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM		7,053		7,053		7,053				7,053
020	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM		2,820		2,820		2,820				2,820
021	TELEPORT PROGRAM		68,037		68,037		68,037				68,037
022	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		196,232		196,232		196,232				196,232
023	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)		3,051		3,051		3,051				3,051
024	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK (DISN)		89,725		89,725		89,725				89,725
025	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE		1,780		1,780		1,780				1,780
026	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM		2,835		2,835		2,835				2,835
027	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE		18,188		18,188		18,188				18,188
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA										
028	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		7,728		7,728		7,728				7,728
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT										
029	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	4	10,149	4	10,149	4	10,149			4	10,149
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA										
030	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS		1,463		1,463		1,463				1,463
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY										
031	EQUIPMENT										
032	VEHICLES		50		50		50				50
033	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		7,447		7,447		7,447				7,447
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA										
034	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		436		436		436				436
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY										
035	THAAD SYSTEM		420,300		420,300		420,300				420,300
036	SM–3		168,723		168,723		168,723		23,200		191,923
	Additional SM–3 Block 1A missiles								[23,200]		
036A	TPY–2 Radar										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA										
044	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)		4,013		4,013		4,013				4,013
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD										
047	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		111,487		256,097		111,487				111,487
	Transfer from Title XIV				[144,610]						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS										
048	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		12,065		12,065		12,065				12,065
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS										
049	WHS MOTOR VEHICLES										
050	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		26,945		26,945		26,945				26,945

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		818,766		818,766		818,766				818,766
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND										
	AVIATION PROGRAMS										
051	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT		101,936		101,936		101,936				101,936
052	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM		22,958		22,958		22,958				22,958
053	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		146,820		146,820		146,820				146,820
054	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	9	227,552	9	227,552	9	227,552		-30,000	9	197,552
	Procurement Schedule								[-30,000]		
055	UNMANNED VEHICLES										
056	SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION		34,200		34,200		34,200				34,200
057	SOF U-28		2,518		2,518		2,518				2,518
058	MC-130H, COMBAT TALON II										
059	CV-22 SOF MOD	5	114,553	5	114,553	5	114,553			5	114,553
060	MQ-1 UAV		10,930		10,930		10,930				10,930
061	MQ-9 UAV		12,671		12,671		12,671				12,671
062	STUASLO	9	12,223	9	12,223	9	12,223			9	12,223
063	C-130 MODIFICATIONS		59,950		66,450		144,950		86,000		145,950
	MC-130W multi-mission modifications						[85,000]		[85,000]		
	Intelligence Broadcast Receiver (IBR) for AFSOC MC-130				[2,500]				[1,000]		
	LAIRCM for AFSOC MC-130				[4,000]						
064	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT		973		973		973				973
	SHIPBUILDING										
065	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM (ASDS)		5,236		5,236		5,236		-5,236		
	Program termination								[-5,236]		
066	MK8 MOD1 SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE		1,463		1,463		1,463				1,463
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS										
067	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT		61,360		61,360		61,360				61,360
068	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION		26,791		26,791		26,791				26,791
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS										
069	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS		55,080		55,080		55,080				55,080
070	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		72,811		72,811		72,811				72,811
071	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		35,235		39,535		40,235		7,500		42,735
	Advanced lightweight grenade launcher						[5,000]		[5,000]		
	Special Operations Forces Combat Assault Rifle (SCAR)				[4,300]				[2,500]		
072	MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS		791		791		791				791
073	SPEC APPLICATION FOR CONT										
074	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		6,156		16,956		6,156		10,000		16,156
	Special Operations Craft-Riverine				[10,800]				[10,000]		
075	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		2,010		2,010		2,010				2,010
076	TACTICAL VEHICLES		18,821		18,821		18,821				18,821
077	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS		17,265		17,265		17,265				17,265
078	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS		20,000		20,000		20,000				20,000
079	MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT		6,835		6,835		6,835				6,835
081	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS		60,836		60,836		60,836				60,836
082	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES		12,401		12,401		12,401				12,401
083	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE		26,070		26,070		26,070				26,070
084	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS		550		550		550				550
085	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS		33,741		33,741		49,141		5,000		38,741
	Special operations visual augmentation systems						[15,400]		[5,000]		
086	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS		53,034		53,034		84,334		10,000		63,034
	Special operations forces multi-band inter/intra team radio						[31,300]		[10,000]		
087	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT		2,777		2,777		2,777				2,777
088	DRUG INTERDICTION										
089	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		7,576		7,576		7,576				7,576
090	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS		273,998		273,998		273,998				273,998
091	PSYOP EQUIPMENT		43,081		43,081		43,081				43,081
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		5,573		5,573		5,573				5,573
	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE										
	CBDP										
092	Installation Force Protection		65,590		65,590		65,590				65,590
093	Individual Force Protection		92,004		92,004		96,004				92,004
	M53 joint chemical biological protection mask						[4,000]				
094	Decontamination		22,008		22,008						22,008
095	Joint Bio Defense Program (Medical)		12,740		12,740		12,740				12,740
096	Collective Protection		27,938		27,938		27,938				27,938
097	Contamination Avoidance		151,765		151,765		151,765				151,765
097a	Procurement of computer services / systems						-75,000				
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		3,984,352		4,150,562		4,050,052		106,464		4,090,816
	RAPID ACQUISITION FUND										
001	JOINT RAPID ACQUISITION CELL		79,300		55,000		79,300		-79,300		
	Program Reduction				[-24,300]				[-79,300]		
	TOTAL—RAPID ACQUISITION FUND		79,300		55,000		79,300		-79,300		0
	NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT										
	RESERVE EQUIPMENT										

PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	UNDISTRIBUTED				600,000				600,000		600,000
	ARMY RESERVE										
001	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	NAVY RESERVE										
002	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	MARINE CORPS RESERVE										
003	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	AIR FORCE RESERVE										
004	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD										
005	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD										
006	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	TOTAL—NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT		0		600,000		0		600,000		600,000
	Total Procurement		105,819,330		105,198,234		105,749,720		−789,951		105,029,379

Procurement for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4102)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4102) for pro-

curement for overseas contingency operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)											
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	AIRCRAFT										
	FIXED WING										
003	MQ-1 UAV	12	250,000	24	487,989	12	250,000			12	250,000
	Transfer from Title I			[12]	[237,989]						
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	86	44,640	86	44,640	86	44,640			86	44,640
004A	C-12A	6	45,000	6	45,000	6	45,000			6	45,000
	ROTARY WING										
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	4	74,340	4	74,340	4	74,340			4	74,340
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	4	141,200	4	141,200	4	141,200			4	141,200
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT										
016	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS				87,424						
	Transfer from Title I				[87,424]						
017	MQ-1 WEAPONIZATION—UAS				14,832						
	Transfer from Title I				[14,832]						
018	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)		50,210		50,210		50,210				50,210
019	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)		54,000		54,000		54,000				54,000
020	AH-64 MODS	4	315,300	4	315,300	4	315,300			4	315,300
026	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		2,500		2,500		2,500				2,500
027	KIOWA WARRIOR	6	94,335	6	94,335	6	94,335			6	94,335
030	RQ-7 UAV MODS		326,400		326,400		326,400				326,400
030A	C-12A		60,000		60,000		60,000				60,000
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
031	SPARE PARTS (AIR)		18,200		18,200		18,200				18,200
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS										
033	ASE INFRARED CM		111,600		111,600		111,600				111,600
	OTHER SUPPORT										
035	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		23,704		23,704		23,704				23,704
036	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		24,800		24,800		24,800				24,800
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,636,229		1,976,474		1,636,229		0		1,636,229
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	OTHER MISSILES										
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM										
005	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	2133	219,700	2,133	219,700	2,133	219,700			2133	219,700
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM										
006	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	864	140,979	864	140,979	864	140,979		−25,000	864	115,979
	Funding ahead of need								[−25,000]		
007	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1294	59,200	1,294	59,200	1,294	59,200		−25,000	1294	34,200
	Funding ahead of need								[−25,000]		
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	678	60,600	678	60,600	678	60,600			678	60,600
	MODIFICATIONS										
014	MLRS MODS		18,772		18,772		18,772				18,772
015	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		32,319		32,319		32,319				32,319

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		531,570		531,570		531,570		–50,000		481,570
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS & TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
009	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		36,000		36,000		36,000				36,000
010	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		243,600		243,600		243,600				243,600
011	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		37,620		37,620		37,620				37,620
012	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)				115,000						
	M88A2 Program Increase				[115,000]						
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES										
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES										
027	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	3643	13,900	3,643	13,900	3,643	13,900			3643	13,900
031	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION (CRO)	1000	235,000	1,000	235,000	1,000	235,000			1000	235,000
033	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	36	107,996	36	107,996	36	107,996			36	107,996
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH										
036	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS		27,600		27,600		27,600				27,600
037	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		20,900		20,900		20,900				20,900
038	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS		4,800		4,800		4,800				4,800
040	M119 MODIFICATIONS		21,250		21,250		21,250				21,250
041A	M14 7.62 RIFLE MODS		5,800		5,800		5,800				5,800
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES										
043	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		5,000		5,000		5,000				5,000
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF WTCV, ARMY		759,466		874,466		759,466		0		759,466
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY										
	AMMUNITION										
	SMALL/MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION										
001	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		22,000		22,000		22,000				22,000
002	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		8,300		8,300		8,300				8,300
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		500		500		500				500
004	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		26,500		26,500		26,500				26,500
006	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		530		530		530				530
	MORTAR AMMUNITION										
008	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		20,000		20,000		20,000				20,000
	TANK AMMUNITION										
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION										
014	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES		9,200		9,200		9,200				9,200
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982		52,200		52,200		52,200				52,200
017	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T		10,000		10,000		10,000				10,000
	ARTILLERY FUZES										
018	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES		7,800		7,800		7,800				7,800
	MINES										
019	MINES, ALL TYPES		5,000		5,000		5,000				5,000
020	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES		7,000		7,000		7,000				7,000
	ROCKETS										
024	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		169,505		169,505		169,505				169,505
	OTHER AMMUNITION										
027	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		100		100		100				100
	MISCELLANEOUS										
030	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES		32,000		32,000		32,000				32,000
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		370,635		370,635		370,635		0		370,635
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES										
	TACTICAL VEHICLES										
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	185	1,948	185	1,948	185	1,948			185	1,948
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	670	40,403	670	40,403	670	40,403			670	40,403
003	SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS	44	8,651	44	8,651	44	8,651			44	8,651
004	HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV)	8,444	1,251,038	8,444	1,251,038	8,444	1,251,038			8,444	875,718
	Army end strength budget amendment							–375,320			
	[–375,320]										
005	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	1,643	461,657	1,643	261,657	1,643	461,657			1,643	286,337
	Production and Delivery Delays				[–200,000]						
	Army end strength budget amendment							[–175,320]			
007	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)		623,230		623,230		623,230				623,230
009	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)		13,206		13,206		13,206				13,206
012	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916	259	62,654	259	62,654	259	62,654			259	62,654
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS										
023	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK		13,500		13,500		13,500				13,500
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS										
028	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		53,486		58,486		53,486				53,486
	Defense Advanced GPS Receiver (DAGR)				[5,000]						
029	SMART-T (SPACE)		26,000		26,000		26,000				26,000
032	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)		23,900		23,900		23,900				23,900
	COMM—COMBAT SUPPORT COMM										
032A	MOD-IN-SERVICE PROFILER		6,070		6,070		6,070				6,070
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS										
034	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)		239		239		239				239

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
037	SINGARS FAMILY		128,180				53,180		-75,000		53,180
	Unjustified program growth						[-75,000]		[-75,000]		
	SINGARS Family				[-128,180]						
038	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2		100,000		100,000		100,000				100,000
046	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY		11,286		11,286		11,286				11,286
047	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)		18		18		18				18
	INFORMATION SECURITY										
050	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP		32,095		32,095		32,095				32,095
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS										
055	INFORMATION SYSTEMS		330,342		330,342		330,342				330,342
057	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM(.....		227,733		227,733		227,733				227,733
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)										
062	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)		1,660		1,660		1,660				1,660
066	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)		265		265		265				265
069	DCGS-A (MIP)		167,100		167,100		167,100				167,100
073	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) (MIP)		34,208		34,208		34,208				34,208
075	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)		5,064		5,064		5,064				5,064
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)										
076	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR		58,590		58,590		58,590				58,590
077	WARLOCK		164,435		164,435		164,435				164,435
078	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES		126,030		126,030		126,030				126,030
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)										
082	NIGHT VISION DEVICES		93,183		93,183		93,183				93,183
084	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT		25,000		25,000		25,000				25,000
085	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF		15,000		15,000		15,000				15,000
087	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C-RAM)		150,400		150,400		150,400				150,400
091	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SE		1,900		1,900		1,900				1,900
094	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)		242,999		421,999		421,999				242,999
	Unfunded requirement				[179,000]		[179,000]				
096	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD)		97,020		97,020		97,020				97,020
097	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMCB XM32		3,780		3,780		3,780				3,780
099	COUNTERFIRE RADARS		26,000		26,000		26,000				26,000
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS										
103	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY		14,840		14,840		14,840				14,840
104	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM (BC		16		16		16				16
107	KNIGHT FAMILY		178,500		178,500		178,500				178,500
113	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE		58,900		58,900		58,900				58,900
114	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)		5,000		5,000		5,000				5,000
115	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)		1,440		1,440		1,440				1,440
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT										
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		760		760		760				760
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT										
129	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS		44,460		44,460		44,460				44,460
130	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION		38,811		38,811		38,811				38,811
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT										
133	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON		13,525		13,525		13,525				13,525
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT										
136	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)		10,800		10,800		10,800				10,800
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
140	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES		21,561		21,561		21,561				21,561
142	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME)		1,955		1,955		1,955				1,955
146	FORCE PROVIDER		245,382		185,382		245,382				245,382
	Funding in Excess of Requirement				[-60,000]						
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT		4,011		4,011		4,011				4,011
150	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)		4,987		4,987		4,987				4,987
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT										
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER		58,554		58,554		58,554				58,554
	WATER EQUIPMENT										
153	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS		3,017		3,017		3,017				3,017
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT										
154	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		11,386		11,386		11,386				11,386
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT										
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS		12,365		12,365		12,365				12,365
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)		546		546		546				546
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT										
162	LOADERS		1,100		1,100		1,100				1,100
163	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR		290		290		290				290
166	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING		2,500		2,500		2,500				2,500
167	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) FOS		16,500		16,500		16,500				16,500
169	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)		360		360		360				360
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT										
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)		3,550		3,550		3,550				3,550
	GENERATORS										
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP		62,210		62,210		62,210				62,210
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)		54,360		54,360		54,360				54,360
175	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM		49,319		49,319		49,319				49,319
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT										
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT		60,200		60,200		60,200				60,200
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM		28,200		28,200		28,200				28,200

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)										
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)		1,524		1,524		1,524				1,524
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)		3,817		3,817		3,817				3,817
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
184	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		27,000		27,000		27,000				27,000
187	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)		555,950		555,950		555,950				555,950
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		6,225,966		6,021,786		6,329,966		-625,640		5,600,326
	JOINT IMPROVED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND										
	NETWORK ATTACK										
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK		812,000		712,000		1,015,100		203,100		1,015,100
	Transfer from base budget						[203,100]		[203,100]		
	Transfer to RDDW-24				[-100,000]						
	JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT										
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE		536,000		536,000		735,100		199,100		735,100
	Transfer from base budget						[199,100]		[199,100]		
	FORCE TRAINING										
003	TRAIN THE FORCE		187,000		187,000		228,100		41,100		228,100
	Transfer from base budget						[41,100]		[41,100]		
	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE										
004	OPERATIONS						121,550		121,550		121,550
	Transfer from base budget						[121,550]		[121,550]		
	TOTAL—JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND		1,535,000		1,435,000		2,099,850		564,850		2,099,850
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY										
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT										
010	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	2	55,006	2	55,006	2	55,006			2	55,006
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT										
028	EA-6 SERIES		45,000		45,000		45,000				45,000
029	AV-8 SERIES		28,296		28,296		28,296		-8,900		19,396
	ALE-47 upgrades complete								[-8,900]		
030	F-18 SERIES		96,000		96,000		96,000				96,000
031	H-46 SERIES		17,485		17,485		17,485				17,485
033	H-53 SERIES		164,730		164,730		164,730				164,730
034	SH-60 SERIES		11,192		11,192		11,192				11,192
035	H-1 SERIES		11,217		11,217		11,217				11,217
037	P-3 SERIES		74,900		74,900		74,900				74,900
039	E-2 SERIES		17,200		17,200		17,200				17,200
041	C-2A		14,100		14,100		14,100				14,100
042	C-130 SERIES		52,324		52,324		52,324				52,324
049	POWER PLANT CHANGES		4,456		4,456		4,456		-4,456		
	Non-emergency modifications								[-4,456]		
052	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		263,382		263,382		263,382				263,382
054	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM		5,500		5,500		5,500				5,500
056	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY		53,500		53,500		53,500				53,500
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
057	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		2,265		2,265		2,265				2,265
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		916,553		916,553		916,553		-13,356		903,197
010	HELLFIRE	782	73,700	782	73,700	782	73,700	-381	-23,000	401	50,700
	Army end strength budget amendment								[-23,000]		
	TOTAL—WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		73,700		73,700		73,700		-23,000		50,700
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS										
	PROC AMMO, NAVY										
	NAVY AMMUNITION										
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		40,500		40,500		40,500				40,500
003	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		42,510		42,510		42,510				42,510
004	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		109,200		109,200		109,200		-28,823		80,377
	Army end strength budget amendment								[-28,823]		
007	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		5,501		5,501		5,501				5,501
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		352		352		352				352
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		2,835		2,835		2,835				2,835
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		14,229		14,229		14,229				14,229
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		1,442		1,442		1,442				1,442
	PROC AMMO, MC										
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION										
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		16,930		16,930		16,930				16,930
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		5,881		5,881		5,881				5,881
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES		104,824		104,824		104,824				104,824
018	60MM, ALL TYPES		43,623		43,623		43,623				43,623
019	81MM, ALL TYPES		103,647		103,647		103,647				103,647
020	120MM, ALL TYPES		62,265		62,265		62,265				62,265
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES		563		563		563				563
022	GRENADERS, ALL TYPES		6,074		6,074		6,074				6,074
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		8,117		8,117		8,117				8,117

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		81,975		81,975		81,975				81,975
026	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		9,241		9,241		9,241				9,241
027	FUZE, ALL TYPES		51,071		51,071		51,071				51,071
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		710,780		710,780		710,780		−28,823		681,957
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY										
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT										
018	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS		12,040		12,040		12,040				12,040
	SMALL BOATS										
025	STANDARD BOATS		13,000		13,000		13,000				13,000
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
056	MATCALS		400		400		400				400
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS										
076	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION		1,500		1,500		1,500				1,500
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
092	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS		37,345		37,345		37,345				37,345
097	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT		17,883		17,883		17,883				17,883
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
115	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP		43,650		43,650		43,650				43,650
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
120	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		25		25		25				25
121	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		93		93		93				93
122	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		11,167		11,167		11,167				11,167
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES		54,008		54,008		54,008				54,008
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		10,842		10,842		10,842				10,842
128	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		1,130		1,130		1,130				1,130
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
129	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		25		25		25				25
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
134	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		4,000		4,000		4,000				4,000
139	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		15,452		15,452		15,452				15,452
140	C4ISR EQUIPMENT		3,100		3,100		3,100				3,100
142	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		89,521		89,521		89,521		−25,000		64,521
	OCO unjustified request								[−25,000]		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
145	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		2,837		2,837		2,837				2,837
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		318,018		318,018		318,018		−25,000		293,018
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS										
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES										
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
002	LAV PIP		58,229		58,229		58,229				58,229
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS										
006	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	18	54,000	18	54,000	18	54,000	−18	−54,000		
	Army end strength budget amendment								[−54,000]		
008	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION		3,351		3,351		3,351				3,351
	OTHER SUPPORT										
010	MODIFICATION KITS		20,183		20,183		20,183				20,183
011	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM		9,151		9,151		9,151				9,151
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT										
	OTHER SUPPORT										
016	MODIFICATION KITS		8,506		8,506		8,506				8,506
	COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT										
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT										
018	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		11,741		11,741		11,741				11,741
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)										
019	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM		462		462		462				462
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)										
021	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		4,153		4,153		4,153				4,153
022	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		3,096		3,096		3,096				3,096
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
023	RADAR SYSTEMS		3,417		3,417		3,417				3,417
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
024	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		521		521		521				521
025	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		37,547		37,547		37,547				37,547
026	RQ-11 UAV		13,000		13,000		13,000				13,000
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)										
027	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		12,570		12,570		12,570		−12,570		
	Army end strength budget amendment								[−12,570]		
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)										
028	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		23,105		23,105		23,105				23,105
029	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		23,041		23,041		23,041				23,041
030	RADIO SYSTEMS		32,497		32,497		32,497				32,497
031	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		2,044		2,044		2,044				2,044
032	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT		64		64		64				64

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
	SUPPORT VEHICLES										
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES										
035	5/4T TRUCK HMMV (MYP)		205,036		205,036		205,036				205,036
036	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS		10,177		10,177		10,177		–10,177		
	Army end strength budget amendment								[–10,177]		
037	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT		131,044		131,044		131,044				131,044
038	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP		59,219		59,219		59,219				59,219
039	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS		13,388		13,388		13,388				13,388
	OTHER SUPPORT										
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT										
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT		5,119		5,119		5,119				5,119
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		4,549		4,549		4,549				4,549
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS		33,421		33,421		33,421				33,421
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		24,860		24,860		24,860				24,860
047	EOD SYSTEMS		47,697		47,697		47,697				47,697
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		19,720		19,720		19,720		–17,000		2,720
	Army end strength budget amendment								[–17,000]		
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		56,875		56,875		56,875				56,875
	GENERAL PROPERTY										
053	TRAINING DEVICES		157,734		157,734		157,734		–10,430		147,304
	Army end strength budget amendment								[–10,430]		
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		35,818		35,818		35,818				35,818
058	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN		55		55		55				55
	OTHER SUPPORT										
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		39,055		39,055		39,055				39,055
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		1,164,445		1,164,445		1,164,445		–104,177		1,060,268
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	OTHER AIRLIFT										
006	C–130J		72,000		72,000		72,000				72,000
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
025	MQ–9			11	215,335						
	Transfer from Title I			[11]	[215,335]						
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE AIRCRAFT										
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT										
028	B–1B		20,500		20,500		20,500				20,500
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT										
030	A–10		10,000		10,000		10,000				10,000
032	F–16		20,025		20,025		20,025		–20,025		
	Army end strength budget amendment—secure line-of-sight/beyond line-of-sight mods.								[–20,025]		
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
034	C–5		57,400		57,400		57,400				57,400
037	C–17A		132,300		132,300		132,300		–11,575		120,725
	Army end strength budget amendment—LAIRCM mods								[–11,575]		
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
052	C–130		210,800		210,800		210,800		–124,400		86,400
	Army end strength budget amendment—LAIRCM mods								[–124,400]		
054	C–135		16,916		16,916		16,916				16,916
056	DARP		10,300		10,300		10,300				10,300
063	HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS		7,000		7,000		7,000				7,000
064	OTHER AIRCRAFT		90,000		90,000		90,000				90,000
065	MQ–1 MODS		65,000		65,000		65,000				65,000
066	MQ–9 MODS		99,200		99,200		99,200				99,200
	Reflect USAF decision to change sensor payload								[–40,000]		
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES										
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT										
076	C–17A		11,000		11,000		11,000				11,000
	WAR CONSUMABLES										
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES										
085	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		114,000		114,000		114,000				114,000
	TOTAL—AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		936,441		1,151,776		896,441		–156,000		780,441
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE										
	ROCKETS										
001	ROCKETS		3,488		3,488		3,488				3,488
	CARTRIDGES										
002	CARTRIDGES		39,236		39,236		39,236				39,236
	BOMBS										
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		34,085		34,085		34,085				34,085
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	3,860	97,978	3,860	97,978	3,860	97,978			3,860	97,978
	FLARE, IR MJU–7B										
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)		4,800		4,800		4,800				4,800
	FUZES										
011	FLARES		41,000		41,000		41,000				41,000

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
012	FUZES		14,595		14,595		14,595				14,595
	WEAPONS										
	SMALL ARMS										
013	SMALL ARMS		21,637		21,637		21,637				21,637
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		256,819		256,819		256,819		0		256,819
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	OTHER MISSILES										
	TACTICAL										
005	PREDITOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	385	29,325	385	29,325	385	29,325			385	29,325
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	100	7,300	100	7,300	100	7,300			100	7,300
	TOTAL—MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		36,625		36,625		36,625		0		36,625
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT										
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES										
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		3,364		3,364		3,364				3,364
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES										
004	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES		11,337		11,337		11,337				11,337
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT										
005	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES		8,626		8,626		8,626				8,626
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT										
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS										
023	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		1,600		1,600		1,600				1,600
	DISA PROGRAMS										
037	MILSATCOM SPACE		714		714		714				714
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP										
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP										
047	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES		14,528		14,528		14,528				14,528
048	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)		4,900		4,900		4,900				4,900
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ										
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
051	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS		11,300		11,300		11,300				11,300
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS										
060	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.		34,400		34,400		34,400				34,400
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		2,230,780		2,230,780		2,230,780				2,230,780
	TOTAL—OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,321,549		2,321,549		2,321,549		0		2,321,549
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND										
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		5,456,000		5,456,000		5,456,000		600,000		6,056,000
	Additional MRAP vehicles to meet new requirement								[600,000]		
	TOTAL—MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND		5,456,000		5,456,000		5,456,000		600,000		6,056,000
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA										
019	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM		1,500		1,500		1,500				1,500
021	TELEPORT PROGRAM		7,411		7,411		7,411				7,411
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		304,794		304,794		304,794				304,794
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND										
	AVIATION PROGRAMS										
052	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM		5,900		5,900		5,900				5,900
055	UNMANNED VEHICLES				14,000						
	All Environment Capable Variant UAV				[14,000]						
057	SOF U-28		3,000		3,000		3,000				3,000
060	MQ-1 UAV		1,450		1,450		1,450		-1,450		
	Funding Early to Need								[-1,450]		
062	STUASLO	9	12,000	9	12,000	9	12,000			9	12,000
063	C-130 MODIFICATIONS		19,500		104,500		19,500				19,500
	MC-130W Dragon Spear Modifications				[85,000]						
	SHIPBUILDING										
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS										
067	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT		51,156		51,156		51,156				51,156
068	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION		17,560		17,560		17,560				17,560
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS										
069	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS		2,000		2,000		2,000				2,000
070	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		23,260		57,060		23,260				23,260
	HF-TTL Mission Set				[33,800]						
071	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		3,800		3,800		3,800				3,800
076	TACTICAL VEHICLES		6,865		50,165		6,865				6,865
	Ground Mobility Vehicle SOF Mod Kits				[43,300]						
083	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE		11,000		11,000		11,000				11,000
085	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS				28,900						
	Hand Held Imager—Pocket/Short Range and Long Range				[28,900]						
086	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS		5,448		36,748		5,448				5,448

PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change		Conference Agreement	
		Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
090	MultiBand Inter/Intra Team Radios				[31,300]						
	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS		11,900		84,000		11,900				11,900
	Classified				[9,500]						
	PRC 117G				[23,900]						
	Hatch Mounted Satellite Antenna				[13,000]						
	Multi-Discipline Intel PED Capability				[6,200]						
	Classified				[19,500]						
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		2,886		2,886		2,886				2,886
	TOTAL—PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		491,430		799,830		491,430		–1,450		489,980
	Total Procurement		23,741,226		24,416,026		24,370,076		137,404		23,878,630

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 4201)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4201) for research, development, test, and evaluation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY							
		BASIC RESEARCH					
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,671	19,671	19,671		19,671
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	173,024	173,024	178,524	3,500	176,524
		Ballistic materials research			[3,500]	[3,500]	
		Military operating environments research			[2,000]		
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	88,421	92,421	92,421	4,000	92,421
		Nanocomposite materials research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Open source intelligence research			[2,000]	[1,000]	
		Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-Infected Battle Wounds		[4,000]		[1,000]	
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	96,144	101,144	103,844	2,700	98,844
		Advanced nanomaterials design			[2,000]		
		Electrolyte research for batteries			[1,000]		
		Immersive simulation research			[1,200]	[1,200]	
		Materials processing research			[2,000]	[1,500]	
		Structural modeling and analysis			[1,500]		
		Performance Steel Castings for Improved Weapons Systems Reliability.		[4,000]			
		AEOP eCybermission		[1,000]			
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, ARMY	377,260	386,260	394,460	10,200	387,460
		APPLIED RESEARCH					
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	27,206	50,576	50,206	20,000	47,206
		Advanced manufacturing technologies			[2,000]		
		Advanced renewable jet fuels			[4,000]	[3,000]	
		Applied composite materials research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		High strength fibers for ballistic armor applications			[3,000]	[2,000]	
		Moldable fabric armor			[2,500]	[2,000]	
		Nanosensor manufacturing research			[4,000]		
		Smart materials and structures			[4,500]	[1,000]	
		Multi-Scale Modeling of 3-D Damage Tolerant Composite Materials.		[1,000]			
		Dual Stage Variable Energy Absorber		[4,070]		[3,000]	
		Hardmetal Epidemiology Investigation		[7,000]			
		Next Generation High Strength Glass Fibers for Ballistic Armor Applications.		[3,300]		[2,000]	
		Ultra Lightweight Metallic Armor		[3,000]		[1,000]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Advanced Nanoscale Tungsten Kinetic Energy Composites		[2,000]			
		Nanomanufacturing of Multifunctional Sensors		[3,000]		[3,000]	
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	50,641	52,641	53,141	2,500	53,141
		Nanoelectronic memory, sensor and energy devices			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Electromagnetic Geolocation		[2,000]			
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP	14,324	14,324	14,324		14,324
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	41,332	41,332	43,332		41,332
		Manned-unmanned aerial system teaming technologies			[2,000]		
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	16,119	16,119	16,119		16,119
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	50,716	54,466	50,716		50,716
		Anti-Material Explosive Round for Javelin		[3,000]			
		CoE in Integrated Sensor Systems		[750]			
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	19,678	19,678	19,678		19,678
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	17,473	17,473	19,473	2,000	19,473
		Cognitive modeling and simulation research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	55,937	65,487	118,937	18,500	74,437
		Advanced composite materials research			[4,000]	[3,500]	
		Army vehicle modernization research			[25,000]		
		Composite vehicle shelters			[2,500]	[2,000]	
		Fuel cell APU systems			[3,000]		
		Hybrid electric vehicle reliability research			[2,000]		
		Materials research for alternative energy and transportation			[1,500]		
		Tactical metal fabrication program		[4,800]	[3,000]	[1,000]	
		Tribology research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Vehicle systems engineering and integration activities			[20,000]	[10,000]	
		Advanced Lightweight Opaque Ceramic Armor		[1,250]			
		Digital Engine/Hydraulic Value Actuation Technology		[3,500]			
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	61,843	63,843	87,843	4,000	65,843
		Army vehicle survivability research			[25,000]		
		Electromagnetic gun			[-2,000]	[-2,000]	
		Reactive armor research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Beneficial Infrastructure for Rotorcraft Risk Reduction		[2,000]		[1,000]	
		Lethality research				[2,000]	
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	5,293	5,293	5,293		5,293
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,674	7,674	7,674		7,674
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	41,085	68,285	50,085	18,000	59,085
		Acoustic gun detection systems			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Acoustic research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		UGV weaponization			[4,000]	[2,500]	
		Highly Integrated Production for Expediting RESET		[8,200]		[2,500]	
		Hybrid Projectile Program		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		High Power Electrolytic Conducting Polymer Super-Capacitors		[9,000]			
		Specialized Compact Automated Mechanical Clearance Platform ...		[4,000]		[4,000]	
		Defense Support for Civil Authorities (DSCA) for Key Resource Protection—South Central, PA.		[3,000]		[1,000]	
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	61,404	68,904	67,404	5,700	67,104
		Hybrid battery systems			[2,500]		
		Hybrid portable power program			[3,500]	[3,200]	
		Recon Scout Robot		[3,500]			
		Novel Zinc Air Power Sources for Military		[4,000]		[2,500]	
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	26,893	26,893	26,893		26,893
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	18,945	18,945	18,945		18,945
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	18,605	33,605	18,605	15,000	33,605
		LWI Training-Based Collaborative Research		[15,000]		[15,000]	
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15,902	29,752	15,902	4,500	20,402
		Cluster Bomb Unit & Combined Effects Munitions Demil System ...		[1,000]		[1,000]	
		Self-Inerting Munitions		[4,500]			
		SUNY Cobleskill Biowaste-to-Bioenergy Center		[4,650]		[2,500]	
		Range Scrap Demil System		[1,500]			
		Renewable Energy Testing Center		[2,200]		[1,000]	
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	24,833	26,833	24,833		24,833
		Portable Non-Magnetic Compass Positioning and Timing Device ...		[2,000]			
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	5,639	9,639	5,639		5,639
		SIDEP Supporting Project National Shield		[4,000]			
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	54,818	69,318	63,318	5,000	59,818
		Ballistic materials for force protection			[3,000]		

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Critical infrastructure monitoring and protection research			[3,500]		
		Geosciences research			[2,000]		
		Cellulose Nanocomposite Panels for Ballistic Protection		[5,000]		[2,000]	
		Encapsulated Ballistic Protection System		[5,000]			
		Geosciences Atmospheric Research		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Photovoltaic Rooftop Systems		[1,500]			
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	18,701	18,701	18,701		18,701
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	27,109	27,109	35,609	2,500	29,609
		Airbeam shelter protection systems			[3,000]		
		Enhanced ballistic protection research			[3,000]		
		Thermal resistant fiber research			[2,500]	[2,500]	
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	99,027	138,077	125,527	35,500	134,527
		Bioengineering research			[2,500]		
		Biomechanics research			[3,500]	[3,500]	
		Blast protection for ground soldiers			[2,000]		
		Blast wave modeling			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Dengue fever research			[2,000]		
		Hemorrhage research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Malaria vaccine development			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Nanomaterials for biological processes			[2,000]		
		Neurotrauma research			[3,500]	[3,500]	
		Secondary trauma research			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Plasma Technologies		[1,000]			
		Prevention of Compartment Syndrome with Ultrafiltration Catheters.		[1,900]			
		Advanced Functional Nanomaterials for Biological Processes		[2,500]		[2,500]	
		Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Attention Modification		[1,250]			
		Locally Delivered Treatments for Noise Induced Hearing Loss		[1,500]			
		Improving Soldier Recovery from Catastrophic Bone Injuries		[5,000]		[4,000]	
		Developing Interventions to Repress Viral Replication		[2,500]			
		Advanced Bio-Engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability.		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Self-Powered Prosthetic Limb Technology		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Center for Vaccine Scale-Up/Process Research		[1,700]			
		Human Organ and Tissue Preservation Technology		[3,000]		[2,000]	
		Optical Neural Techniques for Combat and Post Trauma Care		[4,700]		[4,000]	
		Brain Injury Recovery Clinic		[6,000]			
		Military Photomedicine Program		[3,000]			
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, ARMY	781,197	944,967	958,197	133,200	914,397
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,574	48,764	37,574	8,300	45,874
		High Pressure Pasteurization & Pressure Assisted Thermal Sterilization.		[4,300]		[4,300]	
		Next Generation Precision Airdrop System		[3,000]		[2,500]	
		Onyx System Precision Guided Airdropped Equipment		[3,890]		[1,500]	
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	72,940	110,490	110,940	51,300	124,240
		Biosensor controller systems development			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Body temperature conditioner systems			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Gulf War illness research			[12,000]	[12,000]	
		Integrated medical technology program			[7,500]	[7,500]	
		Lower limb prosthetics research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Prosthetics technology transition			[8,000]		
		Regenerative medical research			[4,000]	[4,000]	
		Nightengale		[5,000]			
		Proton Treatment and Research Center—Northern Illinois		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Pediatric Adolescent Trauma and Resuscitation		[2,900]			
		Wounded Service Member Bioelectrics Research		[2,000]		[1,500]	
		Malaria Vaccine Development		[5,000]		[5,000]	
		Regenerative Medicine to Address Astute Hearing Loss		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Multi-Dose Closed Loop pH Monitoring System for Platelets		[1,000]		[1,000]	
		Carbide-Derived Carbon for Treatment of Combat Related Sepsis		[2,750]		[1,000]	
		Clinical Technology Integration for Military Health		[8,100]		[2,000]	
		Institute for Simulation and Interprofessional Studies		[5,800]		[5,800]	
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	60,097	95,097	79,847	20,500	80,597

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Advanced Affordable Turbine Engine Program		[6,000]	[4,000]	[5,000]	
		Advanced ultrasonic inspections			[2,000]		
		Aviation weapons technology integration			[2,000]		
		Full authority digital engine control systems			[5,000]		
		Heavy fuel UAV propulsion systems			[3,000]		
		Integration facility enterprise resource planning system			[3,750]		
		Robust Composite Structural Core for Army Helicopters		[4,000]		[2,000]	
		Mission Equipment Technology Implementation		[5,300]			
		UH-60 Transmission/Gearbox Galvanic Corrosion Reduction		[3,800]		[1,500]	
		Advanced Performance for Military Helicopters		[1,900]			
		Drive System Composite Structural Component Risk Reduction Program.		[5,000]		[3,000]	
032	0603004A	Universal Control—FADEC		[9,000]		[9,000]	
		WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	66,410	75,910	61,910	-5,000	61,410
		Electromagnetic gun			[-11,500]	[-11,500]	
		Lightweight advanced metals program			[3,000]		
		Nanotechnology manufacturing research			[4,000]		
		Dual Mode Mortar SAL Integration		[7,500]			
		Remote Sighting System		[2,000]			
		Lethality research				[6,500]	
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	89,586	121,986	272,686	85,400	174,986
		Advanced APU development			[6,000]	[2,000]	
		Advanced battery development program			[20,000]	[10,000]	
		Advanced lithium ion battery systems		[3,000]	[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Advanced suspension systems for heavy vehicles			[3,500]	[2,700]	
		Advanced thermal management systems			[5,500]	[3,000]	
		Alternative energy research			[20,000]	[20,000]	
		Applied power management controls			[3,000]		
		Army vehicle modernization technologies			[50,000]		
		Dynamometer facility upgrade			[4,000]		
		Electric drive advanced tactical wheeled armored vehicle system			[5,500]		
		Fuel cell unmanned robotic system			[4,500]		
		Ground robotics reliability research			[2,000]		
		Heavy fuel engines for unmanned ground vehicles			[2,500]		
		Hybrid blast protected vehicle technologies			[4,000]		
		Hybrid engine development program			[8,000]	[4,000]	
		Hybrid truck development			[4,000]	[4,000]	
		Next generation superchargers for military engines			[3,000]		
		Silicon carbide electronics for ground vehicles			[2,500]		
		Simulations for vehicle reliability and performance			[2,000]		
		Smart plug-in hybrid electric vehicle program			[4,100]	[4,100]	
		Threat cue research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Tire development for JLTV program			[1,500]		
		Unmanned ground vehicle initiative			[12,000]	[12,000]	
		Vehicle autonomy research			[1,500]		
		Vehicle prognostics technologies			[4,000]	[3,100]	
		Water analysis technologies			[2,000]		
		Unmanned Robotic System Utilizing Hydrocarbon Fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell.		[6,000]		[3,000]	
		Advanced Composites for Light Weight, Low Cost Transportation Systems Using a 3+ Ring Extruder.		[4,000]		[3,000]	
		Protective 3-D Armor Structure to Safeguard Military Vehicles and Troops.		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Automatic Data Organization for Vehicle and Diagnostic Systems		[1,500]			
		Industry Innovation for Defense Sustainment Program		[5,000]			
		Fire Shield		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle (HHV) for the Tactical Wheeled Fleet		[3,500]	[3,000]	[3,500]	
		Heavy Duty Hybrid Electric Vehicle		[3,000]		[2,000]	
		Vehicle Electronics SWaP2-C2 Optimization		[2,400]			
034	0603006A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	8,667	15,667	8,667	3,800	12,467
		Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)		[7,000]		[3,800]	
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	7,410	7,410	7,410		7,410
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,458	50,458	50,458		50,458
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE	11,328	11,328	11,328		11,328
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	19,415	22,915	26,415	5,500	24,915
		Combat medic training systems			[2,500]	[2,000]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Joint Fires & Effects Trainer System enhancements		[2,500]	[4,500]	[2,500]	
		HapMed Combat Medic Trainer		[1,000]		[1,000]	
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE	14,569	14,569	14,569		14,569
040	0603103A	EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY		8,400		2,000	2,000
		Propellant Conversion to Fertilizer Program for Tooele Army Depot		[3,400]		[2,000]	
		Development of Demilitarized Equipment for Cluster Ammunition—MCAAP		[5,000]			
041	0603105A	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH	6,657	6,657	6,657		6,657
042	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,989	11,989	15,489		11,989
		Mid-sized unmanned ground vehicle			[3,500]		
043	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	19,192	26,192	21,192	3,500	22,692
		Laser systems for light aircraft missile defense			[2,000]	[1,000]	
		Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare & Signals Intelligence System		[7,000]		[2,500]	
044	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	63,951	64,751	66,951	3,300	67,251
		Discriminatory imaging research			[3,000]	[2,500]	
		Scenario Generation for Integrated Air and Missile Defense Evaluation		[800]		[800]	
045	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE	12,154	12,154	12,154		12,154
046	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	30,317	30,317	30,317		30,317
047	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	8,996	8,996	8,996		8,996
048	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,329	59,129	45,329	12,000	52,329
		Bradley third generation FLIR			[5,000]	[5,000]	
		Buster/Blacklight UAV Development		[5,000]		[1,000]	
		Hyper Spectral Sensor for Improved Force Protection System		[5,400]		[2,000]	
		Brownout Situational Awareness		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Infrared Goggle Upgrade System		[3,200]			
		High Resolution Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer		[2,200]		[1,000]	
049	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	15,706	15,706	15,706		15,706
050	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,911	23,261	14,411	13,300	19,211
		Permafrost tunnel			[500]	[500]	
		Photovoltaic technology development			[8,000]	[2,000]	
		PacCom Renewable Energy Security System		[3,500]		[3,000]	
		Electric Vehicle Charging Network		[2,500]			
		Field Deployable Hologram Production System		[4,800]		[4,800]	
		Demonstration of Thin Film Solar Modules as a Renewable Energy Source		[1,000]		[1,000]	
		Renewable Energy Project—Fort Jackson		[3,550]			
		Nanotechnology for Potable Water and Waste Treatment		[2,000]		[2,000]	
051	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	41,561	58,061	45,561	3,500	45,061
		Wideband digital airborne electronic sensing array			[4,000]		
		Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance, Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar		[5,000]		[2,000]	
		X Band Interferometric Radar		[5,000]			
		Optimizing Natural Language Processing of Open Source Intelligence (OSINT)		[1,500]		[1,500]	
		Software Lifecycle Affordability Management (SLAM)		[5,000]			
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	695,217	900,207	964,567	207,400	902,617
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES					
052	0603024A	UNIQUE ITEM IDENTIFICATION (UID)					
053	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION(NON SPACE)	14,683	27,183	14,683	15,500	30,183
		Biological Air Filtering System Technology		[4,000]		[3,000]	
		Compact Pulsed Power for Military Applications		[8,500]		[4,000]	
		Adaptive robotic technology				[3,500]	
		Advanced electronics integration				[3,000]	
		Advanced environmental controls				[2,000]	
054	0603308A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	117,471	117,471	117,471		117,471
055	0603327A	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	209,531	32,057	222,031	—49,000	160,531
		Adaptive robotic technology			[3,500]		
		Advanced electronics integration			[4,000]		
		Advanced environmental controls			[5,000]		
		Center for Defense Systems Research		[1,000]		[1,000]	
		Excessive Project Cost Growth—Integrated Air and Missile Defense		[—178,474]		[—50,000]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
056	0603460A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)					
057	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	17,536	17,536	17,536		17,536
058	0603627A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	4,920	4,920	4,920		4,920
059	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	33,934	23,134	33,934		33,934
		Funding Ahead of Need for Advanced Kinetic Energy Cartridge		[−10,800]			
060	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	90,299	90,299	140,299		90,299
		Advanced Tank Armament Systems			[50,000]		
061	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	31,752	31,752	31,752		31,752
062	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV	18,228	18,228	18,228		18,228
063	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT		10,000			
		Enhanced Threat Imaging		[10,000]			
064	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	4,770	10,970	4,770	4,000	8,770
		Cadmium Emissions Reduction—Letterkenny Army Depot		[1,000]		[1,000]	
		Vanadium Technology Program		[4,000]		[3,000]	
		Zero Waste to Landfill Demonstration—Washington State		[1,200]			
065	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL	180,673	165,673	180,673		180,673
		Program Reduction		[−15,000]			
066	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,048	5,048	5,048		5,048
067	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV	8,537	8,537	58,537		8,537
		Joint Future Theater Lift			[50,000]		
068	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	56,373	46,373	46,373	−10,000	46,373
		Premature JLTV program growth			[−10,000]	[−10,000]	
		Unjustified Cost Growth (RDA 068a)		[−10,000]			
069	0603805A	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS.	9,868	9,868	9,868		9,868
070	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	31,275	33,475	31,275		31,275
		Leishmaniasis Skin Test		[1,000]			
		Rapid Bone Fracture Reduction and Fixation		[1,200]			
071	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	71,832	76,832	71,832	2,000	73,832
		Acid Alkaline Direct Methanol Fuel Cell		[5,000]		[2,000]	
072	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	1,476	1,476	1,476		1,476
072A	XXXXXXA	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE		327,100			
		Transfer from Title I		[327,100]			
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, ARMY.	908,206	1,057,932	1,010,706	−37,500	870,706
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
073	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	92,977	92,977	92,977		92,977
074	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS	65,515	65,515	65,515		65,515
075	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	248,463	248,463	248,463		248,463
076	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	13,107	13,107	13,107		13,107
077	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE	16,286	16,286	16,286		16,286
078	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	74,814	74,814	82,814	4,000	78,814
		Lightweight caliber .50 machine gun			[5,000]	[4,000]	
		Next generation helmet ballistic materials technology			[3,000]		
079	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	5,683	5,683	15,683		5,683
		Medium tactical vehicle development			[10,000]		
080	0604609A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-SDD	978	978	978		978
081	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	7,477	7,477	17,477	2,000	9,477
		Heavy tactical vehicle development			[10,000]	[2,000]	
082	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	7,578	7,578	7,578		7,578
083	0604646A	NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	88,660	88,660	88,660		88,660
084	0604647A	NON-LINE OF SIGHT CANNON	58,216	0	0	−27,000	31,216
		Unjustified Termination Costs		[−58,216]	[−58,216]	[−27,000]	
085	0604660A	FCS MANNED GRD VEHICLES & COMMON GRD VEHICLE	368,557	100,000	45,000	−184,000	184,557
		Unjustified Termination Costs		[−268,557]	[−323,557]	[−184,000]	
086	0604661A	FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	1,067,191	1,067,191	1,067,191		1,067,191
087	0604662A	FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	68,701	68,701	68,701		68,701
088	0604663A	FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	125,616	125,616	125,616		125,616
089	0604664A	FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	26,919	26,919	26,919		26,919
090	0604665A	FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	749,182	749,182	749,182		749,182
091	0604666A	SPIN OUT TECHNOLOGY/CAPABILITY INSERTION					
092	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD	55,410	55,410	55,410		55,410
093	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	2,092	2,092	2,092		2,092
094	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD	30,209	30,209	33,209		30,209

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Urban training development			[3,000]		
095	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—SDD	28,936	28,936	28,936		28,936
096	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	33,213	33,213	33,213		33,213
097	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	15,320	15,320	15,320		15,320
098	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD	15,727	15,727	15,727		15,727
099	0604778A	POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	9,446	9,446	9,446		9,446
100	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	26,243	26,243	26,243		26,243
101	0604783A	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM					
102	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD	34,878	34,878	42,378	7,500	42,378
		Common guidance control module			[7,500]	[7,500]	
103	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD	36,018	43,518	36,018	1,500	37,518
		Autonomous Sustainment Cargo Container Sea Truck		[7,500]		[1,500]	
104	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD	88,995	88,995	88,995		88,995
105	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—SDD.	33,893	37,193	33,893	800	34,693
		Rotary Valve Pressure Swing Absorption Oxygen Generator		[2,500]			
		Plasma Sterilizer		[800]		[800]	
106	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD	82,260	60,960	82,260	21,300	60,960
		Program Reduction		[–21,300]		[–21,300]	
107	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS	42,452	42,452	42,452		42,452
108	0604817A	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	20,070	20,070	20,070		20,070
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	90,864	90,864	90,864		90,864
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT					
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS)	6,002	6,002	6,002		6,002
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER	20,333	20,333	20,333		20,333
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	19,786	19,786	19,786		19,786
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	23,318	32,318	81,534	58,216	81,534
		Accelerate Paladin integration management		[9,000]	[58,216]	[58,216]	
115	0604869A	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP)	569,182	568,182	569,182		569,182
		Program Reduction		[–5,000]			
		Ultra Low Phase Noise Oscillator		[4,000]			
116	0604870A	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK	7,140	7,140	7,140		7,140
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	35,309	35,309	35,309	30,800	66,109
		Transfer from RDDW, line 117, for DIMHRS execution				[30,800]	
118	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	127,439	127,439	127,439		127,439
119	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	100,000	50,000	100,000		100,000
		Program Reduction		[–50,000]			
119A	XXXXXXA	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR		210,035			
		Transfer from RDA 170		[210,035]			
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, ARMY	4,640,455	4,471,217	4,355,398	–127,484	4,512,971
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT					
120	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	22,222	22,222	22,222		22,222
121	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,615	13,615	13,615		13,615
122	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	51,846	51,846	51,846		51,846
123	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER	16,305	20,305	16,305	2,000	18,305
		Program Increase		[4,000]		[2,000]	
124	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	163,514	163,514	163,514		163,514
125	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	23,445	23,445	23,445		23,445
126	0605502A	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
127	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	354,693	354,693	380,293		354,693
		Program increase			[25,600]		
128	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	72,911	74,111	82,911	11,200	84,111
		Common regional operational systems			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Data fusion systems			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Dugway field test improvements			[4,500]	[4,500]	
		MOTS All Sky Imager		[1,200]		[1,200]	
129	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	45,016	45,016	45,016		45,016
130	0605605A	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	2,891	2,891	8,891	6,000	8,891
		Program increase			[6,000]	[6,000]	
131	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	3,766	3,766	3,766		3,766
132	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	8,391	8,391	8,391		8,391
133	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	19,969	19,969	19,969		19,969
134	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	5,432	5,432	5,432		5,432
135	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	77,877	77,877	77,877		77,877

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
136	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	66,309	66,309	66,309		66,309
137	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG	5,357	5,357	5,357		5,357
138	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	77,823	77,823	77,823		77,823
139	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	51,620	51,620	51,620		51,620
140	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	45,053	45,053	47,253	2,000	47,053
		3D woven preform technology for Army munitions			[2,200]	[2,000]	
141	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	5,191	5,191	5,191		5,191
142	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	15,866	15,866	15,866		15,866
143	0909999A	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, ARMY	1,149,112	1,154,312	1,192,912	21,200	1,170,312
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
144	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	27,693	27,693	27,693		27,693
145	0603820A	WEAPONS CAPABILITY MODIFICATIONS UAV					
146	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	360,076	238,076	340,076	−20,000	340,076
		Program delay reduction		[−122,000]	[−20,000]	[−20,000]	
147	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	23,727	26,227	23,727	2,500	26,227
		AFATDS Voice Recognition and Cross Platform Speech Interface System		[2,500]		[2,500]	
148	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	190,301	190,301	190,301		190,301
149	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	21,394	21,394	21,394		21,394
150	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	209,401	213,001	209,401		209,401
		Boned Cellular Aluminum Tail Rotor Blades		[3,600]			
151	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	792	792	792		792
152	0203758A	DIGITIZATION	10,692	10,692	10,692		10,692
153	0203759A	FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2)					
154	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	39,273	39,273	39,273		39,273
155	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS		10,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
		TOW LBS			[5,000]		
		Javelin Warhead Improvement Plan		[10,000]		[5,000]	
156	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	20,035	20,035	20,035		20,035
157	0208010A	JOINT TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM (TRI-TAC)					
158	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	13,258	13,258	0		13,258
		Joint Tactical Ground System			[−13,258]		
159	0208058A	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,082	3,082	3,082		3,082
160	0301359A	SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
161	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	2,144	2,144	7,144		2,144
		Collection management tools			[5,000]		
162	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	74,355	74,355	74,355		74,355
163	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	144,733	144,733	144,733		144,733
164	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	40,097	40,097	40,097		40,097
165	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	12,034	12,034	12,034		12,034
166	0303158A	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	20,365	20,365	20,365		20,365
167	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	202,521	202,521	288,521		202,521
		A160 Afghanistan deployment			[86,000]		
168	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	188,414	204,414	188,414	2,300	190,714
		Joint STARS Surveillance and Control Data Link (SCDL) Technology Refresh		[5,000]		[1,000]	
		Adaptive Defense High-Speed IP Packet Inspection Engine on a Chip		[6,000]		[1,300]	
		Asymmetric Threat Response and Analysis		[5,000]			
169	0305287A	BASE EXPED TARGETING SURVEILLANCE SYS-COMBINED					
170	0307207A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	210,035	0	210,035		210,035
		Transfer to RDA 119A		[−210,035]			
171	0702239A	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM					
172	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	68,466	73,466	105,716	3,500	71,966
		Combat vehicle manufacturing technology			[30,000]		
		Manufacturing metrology research			[2,750]		
		Smart machine platform initiative			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Weapon systems repair technologies			[2,500]	[1,500]	
		Lightweight Armored Windows for Airborne Vehicles		[3,000]			
		Moldable Ceramic Composite for Tactical Vehicle Protection		[2,000]			
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	3,883	3,883	3,883		3,883
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	1,886,771	1,591,836	1,986,763	−6,700	1,880,071

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		TOTAL, RDT&E ARMY	10,438,218	10,506,731	10,863,003	200,316	10,638,534
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY					
		BASIC RESEARCH					
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	99,472	104,972	101,472		99,472
		Blast and impact resistant structures			[2,000]		
		Gulf of Mexico Geoid Model		[3,500]			
		Study of Renewable and Alternative Energy Options for Military Build-Up.		[2,000]			
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,076	18,076	19,076		18,076
		S&T educational outreach			[1,000]		
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	413,743	417,743	415,743	2,500	416,243
		Nanoscale research program			[2,000]		
		Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology, and Nanobiology Initiative		[2,000]		[2,500]	
		Development of Cyber Security K–12 Outreach Program		[2,000]			
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, NAVY	531,291	540,791	536,291	2,500	533,791
		APPLIED RESEARCH					
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	59,787	67,337	62,787	5,000	64,787
		Energetics research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Multifunctional Materials, their Applications and Devices		[5,000]		[2,000]	
		Whale and Dolphin Hearing and Echolocation		[2,550]			
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	91,400	106,186	123,400	33,000	124,400
		Alternative energy research			[20,000]	[20,000]	
		Energy systems integration research			[4,000]	[4,000]	
		Port security technologies			[3,500]	[2,000]	
		Reconfigurable shipboard power systems			[2,500]		
		SOF combatant research			[2,000]		
		Standoff Explosive Detection System		[2,000]			
		Advanced Energy Storage Technologies for UUVs		[3,000]			
		Design Optimization of Composite High-Speed Boats Using Advanced Composite and Manufacturing and Non-destructive Evaluation.		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Lithium Ion Storage Advancement for Aircraft Applications		[4,200]		[2,500]	
		Non-Traditional Weaving Applications for Aramid Ballistic Fibers and Fabrics.		[3,586]		[2,500]	
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	39,308	39,308	39,308		39,308
007	0602234N	MATERIALS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY					
008	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	83,163	85,163	83,163		83,163
		Coordinated Operation of Unmanned Vehicles for Littoral Defense		[2,000]			
009	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	104,169	109,169	109,169	3,000	107,169
		Anti-reverse engineering technologies			[1,000]	[1,000]	
		Asset lifecycle program			[4,000]		
		Remote Fuel Assessment System		[2,000]			
		Managing and Extending DOD Asset Lifecycles (MEDAL)		[3,000]		[2,000]	
010	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	64,816	70,316	67,816		64,816
		Photonic digital radar systems			[3,000]		
		Photonic Digital Radar for the Next Generation of Electronic Warfare Systems.		[5,500]			
011	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	48,750	48,750	54,250	3,000	51,750
		Advanced UUV research			[3,500]	[1,000]	
		Laser underwater imaging and communications research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
012	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,008	6,008	6,008		6,008
013	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	55,694	55,694	59,444		55,694
		Littoral glider systems			[3,000]		
		Quiet power technologies			[750]		
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	40,880	40,880	42,880	2,000	42,880
		Electromagnetic signature assessment system			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, NAVY	593,975	628,811	648,225	46,000	639,975
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	107,969	117,969	111,969	8,400	116,369

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Mobile target tracking technologies			[4,000]		
		Countermine Lidar UAV-Based System (CLUBS)		[2,600]		[2,000]	
		Detection, Tracking, and Identification for ISRTE of Mobile Asymmetric Targets.		[3,500]		[2,500]	
		Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Tactical High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile Demonstration		[1,900]		[1,900]	
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	66,035	82,535	74,035	12,000	78,035
		Advanced coatings for aviation components			[3,000]	[2,000]	
		Single generator operations lithium ion battery			[5,000]	[5,000]	
		Euler Turbine for Fuel Cell Energy Recovery		[2,000]			
		High Speed Power Node Switching and Power Node Control Centers.		[5,000]			
		High-Temperature Radar Dome Materials		[2,250]		[2,000]	
		ASC-1187 ADV Countermeasures		[1,250]			
		Pure Hydrogen Supply from Logistic Fuels		[4,000]		[3,000]	
		Strategic Mobility 21		[2,000]			
017	0603235N	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	108,394	49,284	49,294	-59,100	49,294
		High-integrity GPS		[-59,110]	[-59,100]	[-59,100]	
018	0603236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	86,239	90,239	86,239		86,239
		Active Acoustic Analysis and Mitigation System		[4,000]			
019	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,827	65,827	65,827		65,827
020	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	107,363	107,363	116,863	5,000	112,363
		Acoustic combat sensors			[7,500]	[5,000]	
		Unmanned vehicle conversion kits			[2,000]		
021	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,998	10,998	10,998		10,998
022	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	18,609	21,109	18,609	2,500	21,109
		Navy Special Warfare Performance and Injury Prevention Program for SBT 22 at Stennis Space Center.		[2,500]		[2,500]	
023	0603747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	68,037	71,537	68,037		68,037
		Navy Use of UNOLS Fleet		[3,500]			
024	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	52,643	52,643	52,643		52,643
025	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	28,782	28,782	28,782		28,782
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, NAVY	720,896	698,286	683,296	-31,200	689,696
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES					
026	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	116,082	116,082	117,482	1,400	117,482
		Semi-submersible for UUV sensor developments			[1,400]	[1,400]	
027	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	6,505	12,505	6,505	3,000	9,505
		Lighter Than Air Stratospheric UAV for Persistent Communications Relay and Surveillance.		[6,000]		[3,000]	
028	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	6,032	6,032	6,032		6,032
029	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,585	28,785	20,585	4,000	20,585
		Sonobuoy wave energy module			[4,000]	[1,000]	
		Marine Mammal Awareness, Alert, and Response Systems		[3,500]		[3,000]	
		Trigger and Alert Sonobuoy System Project		[1,500]			
		Air Deployable ASW Cluster Sensors for Non-Acoustic Detection		[2,000]			
		Airborne Aquatic Detection Sensor System		[1,200]			
		NIR Sight (Near-Infra-Red Ranging)		[4,000]			
030	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	7,713	7,713	7,713		7,713
031	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,677	1,677	1,677		1,677
032	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	76,739	76,739	76,739		76,739
033	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	57,538	62,538	57,538	4,500	62,038
		Continuous Active Sonar for Torpedo Systems		[5,000]		[4,500]	
034	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	173,594	173,594	173,594		173,594
035	0603513N	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	1,691	15,191	10,991	17,100	18,791
		DDG-51 hybrid propulsion system			[9,300]	[8,100]	
		Advanced Steam Turbine		[7,500]		[4,000]	
		Mobile Valve and Flex Hose Maintenance (MVFM)		[1,000]			
		Next Generation Shipboard Intergrated Power: Fuel Efficiency and Advanced Capability Enhancer.		[5,000]		[5,000]	
036	0603525N	PILOT FISH	79,194	79,194	79,194		79,194
037	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH	99,757	99,757	99,757		99,757
038	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	120,752	120,752	120,752		120,752
039	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,372	1,372	1,372		1,372
040	0603553N	SURFACE ASW	21,995	21,995	21,995		21,995

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
041	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	551,836	561,236	551,836	2,000	553,836
		Future Generation Thinline Towed Array		[4,700]			
		Submarine Fatline Vector Sensor Towed Array		[3,200]		[2,000]	
		Low-Cost Laser Module Assembly for Navy's Acoustic Sensors (LC-LMA)		[1,500]			
042	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	10,172	10,172	10,172		10,172
043	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	22,541	22,541	28,361		22,541
		Remote monitoring & troubleshooting project			[5,820]		
044	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	28,135	38,135	28,135	4,000	32,135
		Support for Naval Ship Hydrodynamics Test Facilities		[10,000]		[4,000]	
045	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	259,887	259,887	259,887		259,887
046	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	5,599	13,999	5,599	3,500	9,099
		High Density Power Conversion and Distribution Equipment		[3,400]		[1,500]	
		Hybrid Electric Drive		[5,000]		[2,000]	
047	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE	443,555	443,555	443,555		443,555
048	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	360,518	360,518	360,518		360,518
049	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	22,558	22,558	22,558		22,558
050	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	3,458	3,458	3,458		3,458
051	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	293,466	293,466	293,466		293,466
052	0603612M	USMC MINE COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS—ADV DEV					
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	73,798	73,798	66,298	−12,000	61,798
		Model-based management decision tools			[4,500]		
		Premature JLTV program growth			[−12,000]	[−12,000]	
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	21,054	21,054	21,054		21,054
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	56,586	56,586	56,586		56,586
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	17,328	17,328	17,328		17,328
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,661	20,661	20,661		20,661
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	8,476	8,476	10,250	7,750	16,226
		Fuel cell and hydrogen generation technologies			[2,500]		
		Molten carbonate fuel cell demonstrator			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Solar heat reflective film development			[4,750]	[4,750]	
		Unjustified request			[−8,476]		
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	4,002	14,002	4,002	5,600	9,602
		Wave Energy Powerbuoy Generating System		[3,000]		[2,400]	
		Photovoltaic Rooftop Systems—Navy		[1,500]		[1,500]	
		Regenerative Fuel Cell Back-Up Power		[1,700]		[1,700]	
		Testing of Critical Components for Ocean Alternate Energy Options		[3,800]			
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL	70,772	70,772	70,772		70,772
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	4,301	5,501	9,301	2,000	6,301
		Highly integrated optical interconnects for advanced air vehicles			[4,000]	[1,000]	
		RFID technology exploitation			[1,000]	[1,000]	
		In Transit Visibility System		[1,200]			
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE	210,237	210,237	210,237		210,237
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA	69,313	69,313	69,313		69,313
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM	152,151	152,151	152,151		152,151
065	0603755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE	6,960	6,960	6,960		6,960
066	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN	123,660	123,660	123,660		123,660
067	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	54,115	54,115	54,115		54,115
068	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	10,194	10,194	10,194		10,194
069	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	1,238	6,238	1,238		1,238
		Land Attack Technology		[5,000]			
070	0603851M	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	46,971	46,971	46,971		46,971
071	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	150,304	150,304	150,304		150,304
072	0603879N	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP) SYSTEM ENGINEER (SE)	52,716	52,716	52,716		52,716
073	0603889N	COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS					
074	0603925N	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	5,003	12,003	5,003	2,000	7,003
		High Energy Density Capacitors for Military Applications		[3,000]			
		Joint Technology Insertion & Accelerated System Intergration Capability for Electronic Warfare		[4,000]		[2,000]	
075	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM)	63,702	63,702	63,702		63,702
076	0604450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)					
077	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW)	67,843	67,843	67,843		67,843
078	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	40,926	40,926	40,926		40,926

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
079	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT.	42,533	42,533	42,533		42,533
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, NAVY.	4,163,795	4,251,495	4,183,589	44,850	4,208,645
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
080	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	54,092	54,092	54,092		54,092
081	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	20,886	20,886	20,886		20,886
082	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,540	55,540	53,540	2,000	55,540
		Measurement Standards Research and Development		[2,000]		[2,000]	
083	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	81,953	86,653	81,953	4,700	86,653
		USN MH-60S "Close the Lethality Gap" M230 Pylon Qualification		[4,700]		[4,700]	
084	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	7,485	7,485	7,485		7,485
085	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,659	3,659	3,659		3,659
086	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	6,307	6,307	6,307		6,307
087	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	86,462	86,462	86,462		86,462
088	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	364,557	364,557	364,557		364,557
089	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES	32,830	32,830	32,830		32,830
090	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	56,369	56,369	56,369		56,369
091	0604262N	V-22A	89,512	92,512	89,512		89,512
		MV-22 Satellite Weather Systems Development		[3,000]			
092	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	14,265	14,265	14,265		14,265
093	0604269N	EA-18	55,446	55,446	55,446		55,446
094	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	97,635	101,635	97,635		97,635
		Electronic Warfare Technology, Doctrine, and Tactics Development		[4,000]			
095	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	85,240	85,240	85,240		85,240
096	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	127,970	127,970	127,970		127,970
097	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	876,374	876,374	876,374		876,374
098	0604300N	SC-21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING					
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	178,459	183,459	178,459	2,000	180,459
		Surface Ship Advanced Capability Build		[5,000]		[2,000]	
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	5,304	5,304	5,304		5,304
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	43,902	43,902	43,902		43,902
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	182,197	182,197	182,197		182,197
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM	48,712	48,712	48,712		48,712
104	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.	11,727	11,727	11,727		11,727
105	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	236,078	236,078	286,078	15,000	251,078
		Mobile maritime sensor technology development			[50,000]	[15,000]	
106	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	122,733	122,733	127,733		122,733
		SSN Communications			[5,000]		
107	0604504N	AIR CONTROL	6,533	6,533	6,533		6,533
108	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	80,623	80,623	80,623		80,623
109	0604518N	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	13,305	13,305	13,305		13,305
110	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN	154,756	165,756	165,756	8,000	162,756
		Common command & control system module		[9,000]	[9,000]	[6,000]	
		Mold-in-place coating development			[2,000]		
		Mold-in-Place Coating for Development of U.S. Submarine Fleet ...		[2,000]		[2,000]	
111	0604561N	SSN-21 DEVELOPMENTS					
112	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	59,703	59,703	72,703	10,000	69,703
		Artificial Intelligence-based combat system kernel			[5,000]	[4,000]	
		Submarine environment for evaluation & development			[4,000]	[3,000]	
		Weapon acquisition & firing system			[4,000]	[3,000]	
113	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	89,988	95,188	91,988	2,500	92,488
		Automated fiber optic manufacturing			[2,000]		
		Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative for Navy Ships		[5,200]		[2,500]	
114	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,620	4,620	4,620		4,620
115	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	2,249	2,249	2,249		2,249
116	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	21,105	21,105	21,105		21,105
117	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	10,327	10,327	10,327		10,327
118	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	5,898	5,898	5,898		5,898
119	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	10,022	16,522	10,022		10,022
		Extended Range Joint Stand-Off Weapon		[6,500]			
120	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	35,459	35,459	40,459	3,000	38,459
		AUSV			[5,000]	[3,000]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
121	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	34,236	34,236	46,236	12,000	46,236
		Phalanx Next Generation			[12,000]	[12,000]	
122	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	88,895	88,895	97,895		88,895
		NULKA decoy R&D			[9,000]		
123	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	14,438	14,438	14,438		14,438
124	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	9,888	33,388	20,388	13,600	23,488
		Composite tissue transplantation research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Custom body implant development			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Multivalent dengue vaccine program			[3,500]	[1,600]	
		Orthopedic surgery instrumentation			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Flexible Medical Solutions FlexMedPatch Program		[2,000]			
		HI-CA Biorepository Consortium for DOD Research		[2,500]			
		Neurogenesis Therapeutics for PTSD		[3,000]			
		Strategies to Reduce Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) & Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Burden.		[4,000]			
		U.S. Navy Vaccine Program		[4,000]		[3,000]	
		U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program: Enhancement of Influenza Vaccine Efficacy.		[8,000]		[2,000]	
125	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	63,184	63,184	63,184		63,184
126	0604784N	DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM					
127	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	1,741,296	1,894,796	1,741,296	215,000	1,956,296
		F136 Development		[231,500]		[215,000]	
		Program Excess		[−78,000]			
128	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	9,868	9,868	9,868		9,868
129	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,026	72,626	81,026	8,100	77,126
		Information systems research			[7,000]	[4,000]	
		Integrated network-centric technology systems			[5,000]	[2,600]	
		Maintenance Planning and Assessment Technology (MPAT) Insertion.		[3,000]		[1,500]	
		Advanced Maintenance and Environmental Monitoring Technologies for Public Shipyards.		[600]			
130	0605212N	CH−53K RDTE	554,827	554,827	554,827		554,827
131	0605430N	C/KC−130 AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM (AMP)					
132	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	81,434	81,434	81,434		81,434
133	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	1,162,417	1,162,417	1,162,417		1,162,417
134	0204201N	CG(X)	150,022	150,022	150,022	−40,000	110,022
		Program delay				[−40,000]	
135	0204202N	DDG−1000	539,053	539,053	539,053		539,053
136	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	19,016	19,016	19,016		19,016
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, NAVY	7,975,882	8,197,882	8,105,382	255,900	8,231,782
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT					
137	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	25,534	25,534	25,534		25,534
138	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,603	79,603	79,603		79,603
139	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	44,844	44,844	49,844	5,000	49,844
		Aviation enterprise interoperability upgrades			[5,000]	[5,000]	
140	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	11,422	11,422	11,422		11,422
141	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	49,821	49,821	49,821		49,821
142	0605502N	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
143	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	735	3,735	735	2,500	3,235
		Center for Commercialization of Advanced Technology		[3,000]		[2,500]	
144	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	60,590	60,590	60,590		60,590
145	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,633	3,633	3,633		3,633
146	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	70,942	70,942	70,942		70,942
147	0605862N	RDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION					
148	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	193,353	193,353	193,353		193,353
149	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	380,733	380,733	380,733		380,733
150	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	12,010	12,010	12,010		12,010
151	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	2,703	2,703	2,703		2,703
152	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	20,921	20,921	20,921		20,921
153	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	19,004	19,004	19,004		19,004
154	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	2,464	2,464	2,464		2,464
155	0804758N	SERVICE SUPPORT TO JFCOM, JNTC	4,197	4,197	4,197		4,197
156	0909999N	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, NAVY	982,509	985,509	987,509	7,500	990,009
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
158	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS					
159	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT.	311,204	311,204	311,204		311,204
160	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	74,939	76,109	76,109	1,170	76,109
		Advanced LINAC Facility		[1,170]	[1,170]	[1,170]	
161	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,479	34,479	34,479		34,479
162	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	7,211	7,211	7,211		7,211
163	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	43,982	47,982	43,982	3,000	46,982
		E-6B Strategic Communications Upgrade Block 1A (VLF-TX & HPTS).		[4,000]		[3,000]	
164	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	39,125	39,125	39,125		39,125
165	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	127,733	127,733	127,733		127,733
166	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS	63,058	63,058	63,058		63,058
167	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	37,431	37,431	37,431		37,431
168	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	13,238	13,238	13,238		13,238
169	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	24,835	28,435	24,835		24,835
		Deployable Autonomous Distributed System		[3,600]			
170	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT)	2,324	2,324	2,324		2,324
171	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	49,293	49,293	49,293		49,293
172	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,609	1,609	1,609		1,609
173	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	37,524	37,524	37,524		37,524
174	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT	30,045	31,945	30,045		30,045
		AARGM Derivative Program		[1,900]			
175	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	25,003	25,003	25,003		25,003
176	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	41,803	41,803	41,803		41,803
177	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP	28,438	28,438	28,438		28,438
178	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	135,840	139,840	135,840	-12,491	123,349
		Reduction of Weapon System Downtime Rapid Repair Structural Adhesives.		[4,000]			
		F135 engine funding ahead of need				[-12,491]	
179	0205658N	NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	3,716	3,716	3,716		3,716
180	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	72,031	72,031	72,031		72,031
181	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	287,348	287,348	287,348		287,348
182	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	120,379	120,379	128,579	4,000	124,379
		Expandable rigid wall composite shelters			[1,300]	[1,000]	
		Marine personnel carrier support system			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Ultrasonic armor consolidation			[3,900]		
183	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	17,057	17,057	18,057	1,000	18,057
		High performance capabilities for military vehicles			[1,000]	[1,000]	
184	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	30,167	30,167	30,167		30,167
185	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	2,298	2,298	2,298		2,298
186	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	3,604	3,604	3,604		3,604
187	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	8,431	8,431	8,431		8,431
188	0301303N	MARITIME INTELLIGENCE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
189	0301323N	COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
190	0301327N	TECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
191	0301372N	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
192	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	474,009	474,009	442,009		474,009
		MUOS program transfer to WPN			[-32,000]		
193	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES)	45,513	45,513	45,513		45,513
194	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	24,226	24,226	27,726		24,226
		Policy decision point for Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services.			[3,500]		
195	0303158M	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	2,453	2,453	2,453		2,453
196	0303158N	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	4,139	4,139	4,139		4,139
197	0305149N	COBRA JUDY	62,061	62,061	62,061		62,061
198	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	28,094	28,094	28,094		28,094
199	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	4,600	4,600	4,600		4,600
200	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,971	8,971	8,971		8,971
201	0305205N	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES					
202	0305206N	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	46,208	46,208	46,208		46,208
203	0305207N	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	22,599	22,599	22,599		22,599
204	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	18,079	18,079	18,079		18,079

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
205	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV	465,839	465,839	465,839		465,839
206	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV	25,639	25,639	25,639		25,639
207	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV	553	553	553		553
208	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV	986	986	986		986
209	0305234M	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	18,763	18,763	18,763		18,763
210	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	23,594	23,594	23,594		23,594
211	0307207N	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)					
212	0307217N	EP-3E REPLACEMENT (EPX)	11,976	11,976	11,976		11,976
213	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	8,028	8,028	8,028		8,028
214	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	14,675	14,675	14,675		14,675
215	0702239N	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	2,725	2,725	2,725		2,725
216	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	56,691	59,191	64,191	10,000	66,691
		Integrated manufacturing enterprise			[5,000]	[5,000]	
		Life extension of weapon system structures research			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Laser Optimization Remote Lighting Systems		[2,500]		[2,500]	
217	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)			20,000	4,000	4,000
		National Shipbuilding Research Program			[20,000]	[4,000]	
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,258,018	1,258,018	1,258,018		1,258,018
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, RDT&E	4,302,584	4,319,754	4,311,954	10,679	4,313,263
		TOTAL, RDT&E NAVY	19,270,932	19,622,528	19,456,246	336,229	19,607,161
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE							
BASIC RESEARCH							
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	321,028	321,028	323,528	1,000	322,028
		Coal transformation research			[1,000]	[1,000]	
		Nanotechnology for portable power research			[1,500]		
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	132,249	132,249	145,749	6,200	138,449
		Cybersecurity for control networks research			[4,000]	[1,700]	
		End-user software safeguard research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Informatics research			[1,500]	[1,000]	
		Information security research			[4,000]	[1,500]	
		Integrated design and manufacturing research			[2,000]		
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,834	12,834	12,834		12,834
004	0301555F	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
005	0301556F	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	466,111	466,111	482,111	7,200	473,311
APPLIED RESEARCH							
006	0602015F	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT		1,000			
		AFSOC Injury Prevention and Human Performance Initiative		[1,000]			
007	0602102F	MATERIALS	127,957	132,957	147,707	9,000	136,957
		Advanced aerospace heat exchangers			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Aircraft active corrosion protection systems			[2,000]		
		Energy and automation technologies			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		Energy efficiency, recovery, and generation systems			[4,000]	[1,000]	
		Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Intelligent manufacturing research			[1,000]		
		Light alloy aerospace and automotive parts development			[1,000]		
		Mid-infrared laser source research			[2,750]	[1,000]	
		Rio Grande Valley Nanotech Institute		[5,000]			
008	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	127,129	135,629	129,629	9,400	136,529
		Unmanned aerial system collaboration technologies			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		UAV Sensor and Maintenance Development		[5,500]		[4,900]	
		Unmanned Sense, Track, and Avoid Radar		[3,000]		[2,000]	
009	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	85,122	85,122	85,122		85,122
010	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	196,529	218,029	214,529	13,500	210,029
		Hybrid bearing development			[1,000]	[1,000]	
		Integrated electrical starter/generator systems		[3,500]	[2,500]	[2,000]	
		Lithium battery manufacturing			[5,000]		
		Lithium ion technologies for aviation batteries			[2,000]	[1,500]	
		Scramjet research			[3,500]		

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Thermally efficient engine pumping system			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		Advanced Lithium Battery Scale-Up and Manufacturing		[10,000]		[2,000]	
		Advanced Vehicle Propulsion Center (AVPC)		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Multi-Mode Propulsion Phase IIA: High Performance Green Propellant.		[5,000]		[2,000]	
011	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS	121,768	130,518	121,768	4,800	126,568
		Net-Centric Sensor Grids		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Advanced Meta Materials		[3,750]			
		Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets		[2,000]		[1,800]	
012	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	104,148	108,948	113,648	9,100	113,248
		Reconfigurable electronics research			[2,000]	[1,000]	
		Seismic research program			[7,500]	[5,000]	
		Advanced Modular Avionics for ORS Use		[4,800]		[3,100]	
013	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	58,289	58,289	58,289		58,289
014	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	105,677	105,677	99,927	-4,250	101,427
		Chemical laser technology			[-5,750]	[-4,250]	
015	0602702F	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS					
016	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	115,278	116,278	115,278		115,278
		Cyber Boot Camp		[1,000]			
017	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	52,754	54,754	48,654	-4,100	48,654
		Advanced deformable mirrors for high energy laser weapons		[2,000]	[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Chemical laser technology			[-6,100]	[-6,100]	
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	1,094,651	1,147,201	1,134,551	37,450	1,132,101
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
018	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	37,901	52,401	51,901	16,300	54,201
		Sewage-derived biofuels program			[5,000]	[4,800]	
		Sonic infrared imaging technology development			[2,000]		
		Metals Affordability Initiative		[10,000]	[7,000]	[10,000]	
		Rapid Automated Processing of Advances Low Observables		[4,500]		[1,500]	
019	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	2,955	2,955	2,955		2,955
020	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	51,482	54,482	55,482	2,000	53,482
		Reconfigurable secure computing technologies			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		Moving Target Strike		[3,000]			
021	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	76,844	84,844	76,844	5,000	81,844
		Long Loiter, Load Bearing Antenna Platform for Pervasive Airborne Intelligence.		[8,000]		[5,000]	
022	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	175,676	184,876	215,176	23,000	198,676
		Alternative energy research			[20,000]	[20,000]	
		Long range supersonic engine for high speed strike			[10,000]		
		Scalable UAV engines			[3,500]		
		Silicon carbide power electronics research			[6,000]	[3,000]	
		150 Shaft HP Scaleable UAV Engine		[5,000]			
		Adaptable Integrated Vapor Cycle Based Environmental Control and Power System.		[4,200]			
023	0603231F	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY		5,000			
		JSF Tactical Air Configuration Module		[5,000]			
024	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	31,021	32,521	31,021		31,021
		COTS Analysis Tools for Navigational Warfare		[1,500]			
025	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	83,909	90,409	83,909	3,000	86,909
		Department of Defense Cubesat Bus Development		[2,000]			
		Small Responsive Spacecraft at Low-Cost (SRSL)		[4,500]		[3,000]	
026	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	5,813	5,813	5,813		5,813
027	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,565	24,565	24,565		24,565
028	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	14,356	14,356	14,356		14,356
029	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	30,056	30,056	30,056		30,056
030	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	39,913	55,613	43,163	5,250	45,163
		Next generation casting initiative			[3,250]	[3,250]	
		Nano-Composite and Structures Manufacturing Technology Development.		[4,000]			
		Repair Technology Insertion Program		[5,200]			
		Advanced Integrated Structure for Affordable Transport Aircraft ...		[3,000]			
		Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospace Applications		[3,500]		[2,000]	
031	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	39,708	44,708	42,208	6,500	46,208
		Optical interconnects research			[2,500]	[2,500]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Cyber Attack and Security Environment		[5,000]		[4,000]	
032	0603789F	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT					
033	0603924F	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	3,831	3,831	3,831		3,831
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE	618,030	686,430	681,280	61,050	679,080
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES					
034	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,009	5,009	5,009		5,009
035	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,623	3,623	3,623		3,623
036	0603421F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III					
037	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT					
038	0603430F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	464,335	464,335	464,335		464,335
039	0603432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	253,150	253,150	253,150		253,150
040	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	97,701	97,701	110,201	5,000	102,701
		Space protection program			[6,500]		
		Space situational awareness			[6,000]	[5,000]	
041	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	27,252	27,252	27,252		27,252
042	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,351	4,351	4,351		4,351
043	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	632	632	632		632
044	0603845F	TRANSFORMATIONAL SATCOM (TSAT)					
045	0603850F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	20,739	20,739	20,739		20,739
046	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	66,079	66,079	61,079		66,079
		Program decrease			[-5,000]		
047	0603854F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM RDT&E (SPACE)	70,956	70,956	70,956		70,956
048	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION	2,896	2,896	2,896		2,896
049	0603860F	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	23,174	23,174	23,174		23,174
050	0604015F	NEXT GENERATION BOMBER					
051	0604283F	BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	22,612	22,612	22,612		22,612
052	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM	20,891	20,891	20,891		20,891
053	0604330F	JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE	6,882	6,882	6,882		6,882
054	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	35,533	35,533	35,533		35,533
055	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	18,778	18,778	18,778		18,778
056	0604796F	ALTERNATIVE FUELS	89,020	97,520	89,020	2,000	91,020
		Bio-Diesel Algae Fuel Production Program		[3,000]			
		Advanced Propulsion Non-Tactical Vehicle		[5,500]		[2,000]	
057	0604830F	AUTOMATED AIR-TO-AIR REFUELING	43,158	43,158	43,158		43,158
058	0604856F	COMMON AERO VEHICLE (CAV)					
059	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	112,861	136,261	282,861		112,861
		ORS smallsat imaging prototyping			[115,000]		
		ORS-1			[40,000]		
		RSLV			[15,000]		
		Program Increase		[23,400]			
060	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	9,611	9,611	9,611		9,611
061	0305178F	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYSTEM (NPOESS)	396,641	396,641	476,641		396,641
		Program increase			[80,000]		
061a	604xxxxF	NEXT GENERATION MILSATCOM TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			53,000	50,000	50,000
		IRIS			[3,000]		
		Next generation MILSATCOM technology development			[50,000]	[50,000]	
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, AIR FORCE.	1,795,884	1,827,784	2,106,384	57,000	1,852,884
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
062	0603840F	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)	31,124	31,124	31,124		31,124
063	0604222F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	37,860	37,860	37,860		37,860
064	0604226F	B-1B			2,000		
		B-1B AESA radar			[2,000]		
065	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	6,227	6,227	6,227		6,227
066	0604240F	B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER		14,600		12,000	12,000
		Advanced Data Link		[14,600]		[12,000]	
067	0604261F	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SYSTEMS					
068	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	97,275	102,175	97,275		97,275
		Rapid Replacement of Mission Critical Logistics Electronics		[4,900]			
069	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	88,444	88,444	88,444		88,444

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
070	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50	50	50		50
071	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	153,815	153,815	153,815		153,815
072	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	64,248	64,248	64,248		64,248
073	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	308,134	308,134	308,134	–36,700	271,434
		SBSS follow-on—program delay				[–36,700]	
074	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	11,107	11,107	11,107		11,107
075	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	512,642	512,642	527,642		512,642
		HEO ground and data exploitation			[15,000]		
076	0604443F	THIRD GENERATION INFRARED SURVEILLANCE (3GIRS)	143,169	123,169	143,169		143,169
		Program Reduction		[–20,000]			
077	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	18,671	18,671	18,671		18,671
078	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	1,784	1,784	1,784		1,784
079	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	11,261	14,161	11,261	1,000	12,261
		Backpack Medical Oxygen System		[2,900]		[1,000]	
080	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	10,711	17,711	10,711	2,400	13,111
		ACES 5 Ejection Seat		[7,000]		[2,400]	
081	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	29,718	29,718	29,718		29,718
082	0604740F	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)	10	7,010	10	4,000	4,010
		Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)		[7,000]		[4,000]	
083	0604750F	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,495	1,495	1,495		1,495
084	0604800F	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	1,858,055	2,011,555	1,858,055	215,000	2,073,055
		F136 Engine Development		[231,500]		[215,000]	
		Program Excess		[–78,000]			
085	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	60,010	60,010	60,010		60,010
086	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)	26,545	26,545	38,545		26,545
		EELV metric tracking			[12,000]		
087	0605011F	RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT					
088	0605221F	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT	439,615	439,615	439,615		439,615
089	0605277F	CSAR-X RDT&E	89,975	14,975	0	–89,975	0
		Use available prior year funds			[–89,975]	[–89,975]	
		Unjustified Request for HH–60M		[–75,000]			
090	0605278F	HC/MC–130 RECAP RDT&E	20,582	20,582	20,582		20,582
091	0605452F	JOINT SIAP EXECUTIVE PROGRAM OFFICE	34,877	34,877	34,877		34,877
092	0207434F	LINK–16 SUPPORT AND SUSTAINMENT					
093	0207450F	E–10 SQUADRONS					
094	0207451F	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP)	13,466	13,466	13,466		13,466
095	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	99,807	99,807	99,807		99,807
096	0305176F	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR					
097	0401138F	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)	9,353	9,353	9,353		9,353
098	0401318F	CV–22	19,640	19,640	19,640		19,640
099	0401845F	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	20,056	20,056	20,056		20,056
SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, AIR FORCE ..			4,219,726	4,314,626	4,158,751	107,725	4,327,451
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT							
100	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	27,789	27,789	27,789		27,789
101	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	60,824	63,824	65,824	7,500	68,324
		Holloman High Speed Test Track			[5,000]	[5,000]	
		Eglin AFB Range Operations Control Center		[3,000]		[2,500]	
102	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	27,501	27,501	27,501		27,501
103	0605502F	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH					
104	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	25,833	25,833	25,833		25,833
105	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	736,488	736,488	756,488	19,300	755,788
		Program increase			[20,000]	[19,300]	
106	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	14,637	14,637	14,637		14,637
107	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	47,215	47,215	47,215		47,215
108	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	52,409	52,409	52,409		52,409
109	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	29,683	31,433	29,683		29,683
		Computer-Control Upgrade to the BAK–12		[1,750]			
110	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,947	18,947	18,947		18,947
111	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,450	1,450	1,450		1,450
112	0909999F	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					
113	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,748	3,748	3,748		3,748
SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, AIR FORCE			1,046,524	1,051,274	1,071,524	26,800	1,073,324

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT							
114	0604263F	COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM	9,513	9,513	9,513		9,513
115	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	47,276	47,276	47,276		47,276
116	0605798F	ANALYSIS SUPPORT GROUP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
117	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	93,930	93,930	93,930		93,930
118	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	3,652	3,652	3,652		3,652
119	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS	148,025	148,025	148,025	29,000	177,025
		Transferred from APAF Line 28				[29,000]	
120	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS	415,414	415,414	415,414		415,414
121	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	33,836	33,836	33,836		33,836
122	0101314F	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	5,328	5,328	5,328		5,328
123	0101815F	ADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		DaVinci Project		[1,250]			
124	0102325F	ATMOSPHERIC EARLY WARNING SYSTEM	9,832	9,832	9,832		9,832
125	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.	25,734	25,734	25,734		25,734
126	0102823F	STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	18	18	18		18
127	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND.	11,996	11,996	11,996		11,996
128	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	39,245	39,245	39,245		39,245
129	0207040F	MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT	14,747	14,747	14,747		14,747
130	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS	9,697	9,697	9,697		9,697
131	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	141,020	141,020	141,020		141,020
132	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS	311,167	313,167	311,167	1,000	312,167
		Corrosion Detection and Visualization Program		[2,000]		[1,000]	
133	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	10,748	10,748	10,748		10,748
134	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS	569,345	569,345	569,345		569,345
135	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	5,915	5,915	5,915		5,915
136	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	49,971	49,971	49,971		49,971
137	0207170F	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	2,529	2,529	2,529		2,529
138	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	2,950	2,950	2,950		2,950
139	0207247F	AF TENCAP	11,643	11,643	11,643		11,643
140	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	2,950	2,950	2,950		2,950
141	0207253F	COMPASS CALL	13,019	13,019	13,019		13,019
142	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	166,563	166,563	166,563	-12,000	154,563
		F135 Engine—Early to need				[-12,000]	
143	0207277F	CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM	4,621	4,621	4,621		4,621
144	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	29,494	29,494	29,494		29,494
145	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	99,405	99,405	99,405		99,405
146	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	52,508	52,508	52,508		52,508
147	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	176,040	176,040	176,040		176,040
148	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS					
149	0207423F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	63,782	63,782	63,782		63,782
150	0207424F	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
151	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	1,475	1,475	1,475		1,475
152	0207438F	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I	19,067	19,067	19,067		19,067
153	0207445F	FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK	72,106	72,106	72,106		72,106
154	0207446F	BOMBER TACTICAL DATA LINK					
155	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,667	1,667	1,667		1,667
156	0207449F	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	26,792	26,792	26,792		26,792
157	0207581F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS)	140,670	140,670	232,670		140,670
		MP-RTIP integration & test on JSTARS aircraft			[92,000]		
158	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE	22,071	22,071	22,071		22,071
159	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	27,245	27,245	27,245		27,245
160	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	7,018	7,018	7,018		7,018
161	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	6,740	6,740	6,740		6,740
162	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	91,995	91,995	91,995		91,995
163	0208021F	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	12,271	12,271	12,271		12,271
164	0208161F	SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
165	0301310F	NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		Open Source Research Centers		[4,000]		[1,000]	
166	0301314F	COBRA BALL	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
167	0301315F	MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		Technical Sensors Integrated Ground Station		[4,800]			
168	0301324F	FOREST GREEN	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
169	0301386F	GDIP COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
170	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	26,107	26,107	26,107		26,107
171	0303112F	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS (AIRCOM)					
172	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	72,694	72,694	72,694		72,694
173	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	196,621	196,621	196,621		196,621
174	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,375	3,375	3,375		3,375
175	0303150F	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,149	3,149	3,149		3,149
176	0303158F	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	3,087	3,087	3,087		3,087
177	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	257,693	257,693	257,693		257,693
179	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	176,989	176,989	176,989		176,989
180	0304311F	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
181	0304348F	ADVANCED GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE (AGI)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		Advanced Technical Intelligence Center		[9,000]		[6,500]	
182	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	6,028	9,328	6,028		6,028
		Carbon Nanotube Enhanced Power Sources for Space		[3,300]			
183	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,065	2,065	2,065		2,065
184	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	20,991	20,991	20,991		20,991
185	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE	33,531	33,531	33,531		33,531
186	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS) ..	9,006	9,006	9,006		9,006
187	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS	54,807	54,807	54,807		54,807
188	0305124F	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
189	0305127F	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
190	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	742	742	742		742
191	0305142F	APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
192	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	39	39	39		39
194	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) ..	137,692	137,692	137,692		137,692
195	0305165F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS) ..	52,039	52,039	52,039		52,039
196	0305172F	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
197	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,599	3,599	3,599		3,599
198	0305174F	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	3,009	3,009	3,009		3,009
199	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	9,957	9,957	9,957		9,957
200	0305193F	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	1,240	1,240	1,240		1,240
201	0305202F	DRAGON U-2					
202	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	73,736	73,736	38,736	-35,000	38,736
		ISIS			[-35,000]	[-35,000]	
203	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	143,892	151,392	97,892	2,000	145,892
		GORGON STARE			[-46,000]		
		Multiple UAS Cooperative Concentrated Observation and Engagement Against a Common Ground Objective.		[7,500]		[2,000]	
204	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	12,846	15,346	12,846	2,500	15,346
		Rivet Joint Services Oriented Architecture (SOA)		[2,500]		[2,500]	
205	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	82,765	82,765	82,765		82,765
206	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	18,101	18,101	22,101	4,000	22,101
		Sense and avoid			[4,000]	[4,000]	
207	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV	317,316	317,316	317,316		317,316
208	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	8,160	8,160	8,160		8,160
209	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	815,095	815,095	815,095	-97,400	717,695
		GPS Control Segment (OCX)				[-97,400]	
210	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	131,271	131,271	137,271	6,000	137,271
		Karnac			[6,000]	[6,000]	
211	0305887F	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE	5,267	5,267	5,267		5,267
212	0305906F	NCMC—TW/AA SYSTEM					
213	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	84,021	84,021	84,021		84,021
214	0305924F	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE OFFICE	10,634	10,634	10,634		10,634
215	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	54,648	54,648	54,648		54,648
216	0307141F	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOPMENT.	30,076	30,076	30,076		30,076
217	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	3,082	3,082	3,082		3,082
218	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	201,250	201,250	201,250		201,250
219	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	95,266	95,266	95,266		95,266
220	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	161,855	161,855	161,855		161,855
221	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM	30,019	30,019	30,019		30,019
222	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	31,784	31,784	31,784		31,784
223	0401218F	KC-135S	10,297	10,297	10,297		10,297

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
224	0401219F	KC-10S	35,586	35,586	35,586		35,586
225	0401221F	KC-135 TANKER REPLACEMENT					
226	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	4,916	0	4,916		4,916
		Unjustified Requirement for PAR		[-4,916]			
227	0401839F	AIR MOBILITY TACTICAL DATA LINK					
228	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,222	10,922	8,222		8,222
		Special Mission Clothing for AFSOC		[2,700]			
229	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,508	1,508	1,508		1,508
230	0702976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—LOGISTICS					
231	0708011F	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		2,000		2,000	2,000
		Wire Integrity Technology		[2,000]		[2,000]	
232	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	246,483	246,483	246,483		246,483
233	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,288	17,488	6,288	2,000	8,288
		Warner-Robins Air Logistics Center Streamlined Processes		[4,200]			
		Micro-Grid Energy Storage Utilizing a Deployable Zinc-Bromide Flow Battery		[5,000]			
		ALC Logistics Integration Environment		[2,000]		[2,000]	
234	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	805	805	805		805
235	0804757F	JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	3,220	3,220	3,220		3,220
236	0804772F	TRAINING DEVELOPMENTS	1,769	1,769	1,769		1,769
237	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	116	116	116		116
238	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	6,376	6,376	11,376	5,000	11,376
		Biometric signature and passive physiological monitoring			[5,000]	[5,000]	
239	0901212F	SERVICE-WIDE SUPPORT (NOT OTHERWISE ACCOUNTED FOR)					
240	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	8,174	8,174	8,174		8,174
241	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	10,492	10,492	10,492	20,490	30,982
		DIMHRS—OSD requested transfer from RDDW, Line 117				[20,490]	
242	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	55,991	55,991	55,991		55,991
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	11,955,084	12,189,134	12,095,084	182,000	12,137,084
		Program Increase		[215,000]	[140,000]	[172,500]	
		Carbon Nanotube Enhanced Power Sources for Space				[2,000]	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE			18,751,901	19,012,235	18,917,901	111,590	18,863,491
TOTAL, RDT&E AIR FORCE			27,992,827	28,505,661	28,552,502	408,815	28,401,642
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE							
BASIC RESEARCH							
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	48,544	50,544	48,544		48,544
		Virtual Perimeter Monitoring System (VPMS)		[2,000]			
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	226,125	230,325	226,125		226,125
		Development of Low-Cost, Stable Vaccines for Field Application		[3,200]			
		High School Science Study Group/CS Futures		[1,000]			
003	0601111D8Z	GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY COSPONSORSHIP OF UNIVERSITY RESEARCH					
004	0601114D8Z	DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH			8,000		
		Program Increase			[8,000]		
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	89,980	89,980	89,980		89,980
006	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	58,974	63,974	60,974	5,900	64,874
		In-vitro models for bio-defense vaccines			[2,000]	[1,900]	
		Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station		[5,000]		[4,000]	
SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, DEFENSE-WIDE			423,623	434,823	433,623	5,900	429,523
APPLIED RESEARCH							
007	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	22,669	22,669	22,669	-3,708	18,961
		Partial Program Growth Reduction				[-3,708]	
008	0602227D8Z	MEDICAL FREE ELECTRON LASER					
009	0602228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) SCIENCE	15,164	20,164	15,164	5,000	20,164
		Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Serving Institutions Program		[5,000]		[5,000]	
010	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	34,034	34,034	34,034		34,034
011	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	282,749	272,749	270,749	-10,000	272,749
		Content distribution			[-4,500]		
		CORONET			[-7,500]		

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
012	0602304E	Program Reduction		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
		COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	142,840	142,840	117,840		142,840
		Cognitive networking			[-25,000]		
013	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	40,587	40,587	40,587		40,587
014	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	209,072	211,072	222,950	3,900	212,972
		Chemical and biological infrared detector			[3,000]	[1,900]	
		Biological decontamination research			[1,000]		
		Funding for meritorious unfunded TMTI projects			[9,878]		
		Chemical and Biological Resistant Clothing		[2,000]		[2,000]	
015	0602663D8Z	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	4,940	4,940	4,940		4,940
016	0602670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH.	9,446	9,446	9,446		9,446
017	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	276,075	266,075	263,075	-10,000	266,075
		EXACTO			[-10,000]		
		Submersible aircraft			[-3,000]		
		Program Reduction		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
018	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	268,859	265,859	268,859	-5,000	263,859
		Improved Performance of ODS Ferritic Steels		[2,000]			
		Program Reduction		[-5,000]		[-5,000]	
019	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	223,841	213,841	223,841	-10,000	213,841
		Program Reduction		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
020	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	219,130	222,730	221,130	1,500	220,630
		Blast mitigation and protection			[2,000]	[1,500]	
		Eagles Eyes—Stand-off Radiation Detection		[3,600]			
021	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	27,384	31,634	27,384		27,384
		SOF Craft Integrated Backbone		[2,000]			
		Rapid and Low Cost Development of Next Generation Patrol Ships for Special Operations.		[2,250]			
022	1160407BB	SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, DEFENSE-WIDE	1,776,790	1,758,640	1,742,668	-28,308	1,748,482
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
023	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	23,538	23,538	23,538	-6,784	16,754
		Partial Program Growth Reduction				[-6,784]	
024	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	43,808	46,808	43,808		43,808
		Lasercomm Link for Explosive Ordnance Disposal Robot Operations		[3,000]			
025	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	81,868	95,268	87,868	10,500	92,368
		Impact and blast loading laboratory testing program			[2,500]		
		Reconnaissance and data exploitation systems			[3,500]	[3,500]	
		Affordable Robust Mid-Sized UGV		[4,000]		[2,000]	
		Advanced Transparent LAS Glass Ceramic Armor Systems for Force Protection.		[1,250]			
		Integrated Rugged Checkpoint Container		[2,500]		[2,500]	
		Combating Terrorism: Threat and Risk Assessment		[2,650]		[2,500]	
		Thresholds for Neurological Injuries from Repeated Blast Exposures.		[3,000]			
026	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT.	233,203	233,203	233,203		233,203
027	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	109,760	109,760	109,760	-5,000	104,760
		General Reduction				[-5,000]	
028	0603200D8Z	JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS	7,817	11,817	10,817		7,817
		Joint Future Theater Lift joint advanced concepts			[3,000]		
		Robotic Border Area Surveillance System Program		[4,000]			
029	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	23,276	23,276	23,276		23,276
030	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	338,360	253,360	232,360	-89,000	249,360
		Disc-rotor compound helicopter			[-5,000]		
		Endurance UAS programs			[-90,000]		
		Heliplane			[-4,000]		
		Triple target terminator			[-7,000]		
		Program Reduction		[-75,000]		[-89,000]	
		Integrated Sensor is Structure		[-10,000]			
031	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	200,612	200,612	200,612		200,612
032	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	282,235	284,235	282,235	2,000	284,235
		Total Perimeter Surveillance		[2,000]		[2,000]	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
033	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	10,838	10,838	10,838		10,838
034	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	198,352	202,652	173,352	−21,000	177,352
		JCTD new starts			[−25,000]	[−25,000]	
		High Accuracy Network Determination System—Intelligent Optical Networks (HANDS-ION)		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Distributed Network Switching and Security		[2,300]		[2,000]	
035	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	28,212	28,212	28,212		28,212
036	0603663D8Z	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH	4,935	4,935	4,935		4,935
037	0603665D8Z	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	10,993	10,993	10,993		10,993
038	0603670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	11,480	11,480	11,480		11,480
039	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	14,638	14,638	24,638	10,000	24,638
		High performance defense manufacturing technology			[10,000]	[10,000]	
040	0603711D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS	9,110	9,110	11,110	2,000	11,110
		Robotics training systems			[2,000]	[2,000]	
041	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	19,043	21,043	60,293	14,600	33,643
		Alternative energy research			[20,000]		
		Biofuels program			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		Biomass conversion research			[2,500]	[1,600]	
		Fuel cell manufacturing research			[3,750]	[1,000]	
		Renewable power for forward operating bases			[3,000]		
		Vehicle fuel cell and hydrogen logistics program			[8,000]	[8,000]	
		Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies Initiative		[2,000]		[2,000]	
042	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,356	29,356	29,356		29,356
043	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	69,175	69,175	69,175		69,175
044	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	26,310	33,810	26,310	4,500	30,810
		Feature Size Yield Enhancement at DMEA's Semiconductors Foundry		[2,500]		[2,500]	
		End to End Semi Fab Alpha Tool		[5,000]		[2,000]	
045	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	11,135	11,135	11,135		11,135
046	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	205,912	190,912	205,912	−15,000	190,912
		Program Reduction		[−15,000]		[−15,000]	
047	0603745D8Z	SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR (SAR) COHERENT CHANGE DETECTION (CDD)	4,864	4,864	4,864		4,864
048	0603750D8Z	ADVANCED CONCEPT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS					
049	0603755D8Z	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	221,286	221,286	224,286	3,000	224,286
		Computational design of novel materials			[3,000]	[3,000]	
050	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	293,476	293,476	283,476	−18,150	275,326
		Deep Green			[−10,000]		
		CCC-CLS execution delays				[−18,150]	
051	0603764E	LAND WARFARE TECHNOLOGY					
052	0603765E	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	186,526	186,526	186,526		186,526
053	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	135,941	135,941	135,941		135,941
054	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	243,056	228,056	235,556	−25,000	218,056
		SUDS			[−7,500]		
		Program Reduction		[−15,000]		[−15,000]	
		SEN-CLS execution delays				[−10,000]	
055	0603768E	GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	37,040	37,040	37,040		37,040
056	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,822	13,822	13,822		13,822
057	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	31,298	31,298	31,298		31,298
058	0603805S	DUAL USE TECHNOLOGY					
059	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	107,984	97,984	94,784	−13,500	94,484
		Quick Reaction Fund			[−15,000]	[−15,000]	
		Special warfare domain awareness			[1,800]	[1,500]	
		Program Reduction		[−10,000]			
060	0603828D8Z	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	124,480	127,180	119,480	−2,300	122,180
		Space control and GPS experimentation			[−5,000]		
		Tidewater Full Scale Exercise		[2,700]		[2,700]	
		National Center for Small Unit Excellence				[−5,000]	
061	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	38,505	38,505	38,505		38,505
062	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	95,734	95,734	95,734		95,734
063	0603942D8Z	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,219	5,219	2,219	3,000	5,219
		National Radio Frequency RD&T Transfer Center		[3,000]		[3,000]	
064	0909999D8Z	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					
065	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	31,675	35,175	33,275	5,100	36,775

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Lithium ion battery safety research			[1,600]	[1,600]	
		Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center.		[3,500]		[3,500]	
066	1160422BB	AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS	3,544	3,544	3,544		3,544
067	1160472BB	SOF INFORMATION AND BROADCAST SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	4,988	4,988	4,988		4,988
SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE			3,570,404	3,490,804	3,470,554	−141,034	3,429,370
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES							
068	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P.	36,019	36,019	36,019		36,019
069	0603228D8Z	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT					
070	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH	21,718	21,718	21,718		21,718
071	0603709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	11,803	15,653	11,803	2,000	13,803
		Autonomous Machine Vision for Mapping and Investigation of Remote Sites.		[2,250]		[2,000]	
		RobonostiX Integration to Improve Readiness of Robotic Unmanned Systems.		[1,600]			
072	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	17,771	17,771	17,771		17,771
073	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	31,613	31,613	31,613		31,613
074	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	719,465	719,465	719,465		719,465
075	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	982,922	982,922	982,922	20,000	1,002,922
		GBI vendor base sustainment				[20,000]	
076	0603883C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE BOOST DEFENSE SEGMENT	186,697	186,697	186,697		186,697
077	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	205,952	205,952	207,952	1,600	207,552
		Real-time non-specific viral agent detector			[2,000]	[1,600]	
078	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	636,856	636,856	641,856		636,856
		Airborne infrared surveillance technology			[5,000]		
079	0603886C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM INTERCEPTOR					
080	0603888C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	966,752	966,752	966,752	−26,000	940,752
		Target Synchronization with Test Schedule				[−26,000]	
081	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS	369,145	344,145	369,145	−15,000	354,145
		Programs Reduction		[−25,000]		[−15,000]	
082	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	301,566	301,566	301,566	−15,000	286,566
		Program Decrease due to excessive growth				[−15,000]	
083	0603892C	AEGIS BMD	1,690,758	1,690,758	1,660,758		1,690,758
		Excess to execution			[−30,000]		
084	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	180,000	180,000	180,000	−6,800	173,200
		Demonstration Satellites				[−6,800]	
085	0603894C	MULTIPLE KILL VEHICLE					
086	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	12,549	12,549	12,549		12,549
087	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS.	340,014	340,014	340,014		340,014
088	0603897C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE HERCULES	48,186	48,186	48,186		48,186
089	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	60,921	61,421	60,921	500	61,421
		Independent Advisory Group to Review Ballistic Missile Defense Training Needs.		[500]		[500]	
090	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC)	86,949	91,949	86,949		86,949
		Joint Data Exchange Center-Missile Defense		[5,000]			
091	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH	6,164	6,164	6,164		6,164
092	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	174,576	174,576	174,576		174,576
093	0603908C	BMD EUROPEAN INTERCEPTOR SITE					
094	0603909C	BMD EUROPEAN MIDCOURSE RADAR					
095	0603911C	BMD EUROPEAN CAPABILITY	50,504	50,504	50,504		50,504
096	0603912C	BMD EUROPEAN COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT					
097	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	119,634	140,134	144,634	25,000	144,634
		Short-range ballistic missile defense		[20,500]	[25,000]	[25,000]	
098	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	14,687	14,687	14,687		14,687
099	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE	13,885	13,885	13,885		13,885
100	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	4,887	4,887	8,387	3,500	8,387
		Corrosion control research			[3,500]	[3,500]	
101	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT.	55,289	55,289	55,289		55,289
102	0604648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	18,577	22,877	18,577		18,577
		Mobile Detection Assessment Response System Enhancements		[4,300]			

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
103	0604670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RE-SEARCH AND ENGINEERING.	7,006	7,006	7,006		7,006
104	0604787D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC) Systems engineering and prototyping program	19,744	19,744	69,744 [50,000]		19,744
105	0604828D8Z	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	16,972	16,972	16,972		16,972
106	0605017D8Z	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	24,647	24,647	24,647		24,647
107	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	3,949	3,949	3,949		3,949
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, DEFENSE-WIDE.	7,438,177	7,447,327	7,493,677	-10,200	7,427,977
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
108	0604051D8Z	DEFENSE ACQUISITION CHALLENGE PROGRAM (DACP)	28,862	28,862	28,862		28,862
109	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD.	7,628	7,628	7,628		7,628
110	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	166,913	166,913	166,913		166,913
111	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	332,895	332,895	332,895		332,895
112	0604709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	5,127	5,127	5,127		5,127
113	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	39,911	39,911	39,911		39,911
114	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	20,633	20,633	20,633		20,633
115	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	8,735	8,735	8,735		8,735
116	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,705	136,115 [124,410]	11,705		11,705
117	0605018BTA	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (DIMHRS). Transfer from Title XIV Transfer to RDAF, line 241 for DIMHRS execution	70,000	70,000	70,000	-51,290 [-30,800] [-20,490]	18,710
118	0605020BTA	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY R&D ACTIVITIES	197,008	197,008	197,008		197,008
119	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	395	395	395		395
120	0605027D8Z	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
121	0605140D8Z	TRUSTED FOUNDRY	41,223	41,223	41,223		41,223
122	0605648D8Z	DEFENSE ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE (DAE) PILOT PROGRAM	4,267	4,267	4,267		4,267
123	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	18,431	18,431	18,431		18,431
124	0303158K	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	49,047	49,047	49,047		49,047
125	0807708D8Z	WOUNDED ILL AND INJURED SENIOR OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (WII-SOC) STAFF OFFICE.	1,609	1,609	1,609		1,609
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.	1,009,389	1,133,799	1,009,389	-51,290	958,099
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT					
126	0603757D8Z	TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (T2)					
127	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	13,121	13,121	13,121		13,121
128	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	15,247	15,247	15,247		15,247
129	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) SAM hardware simulators Joint Gulf Range Test and Training Complex Gulf Range Mobile Instrumentation Capability Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development	145,052	152,552 [3,000] [3,000] [1,500]	149,052 [4,000]	10,000 [3,000] [3,000] [4,000]	155,052
130	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR	9,045	9,045	9,045		9,045
131	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	9,455	9,455	9,455		9,455
132	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	44,760	45,760 [1,000]	44,760		44,760
		Center for Technology and National Security Policy at the National Defense University.					
133	0605110D8Z	USD(A&T)—CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,914	4,914	4,914		4,914
134	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	94,921	94,921	94,921		94,921
135	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO). Information System Security—Program Not Justified	96,909	75,909 [-21,000]	96,909		96,909
136	0605128D8Z	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
137	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	35,054	35,054	35,054		35,054
138	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	6,474	6,474	6,474		6,474
139	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	14,916	14,916	14,916		14,916
140	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	5,888	5,888	5,888		5,888
141	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	106,477	106,477	106,477		106,477
142	0605502BR	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH					

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
143	0605502C	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH—MDA					
144	0605502D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
145	0605502E	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
146	0605502S	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
147	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTRATION. Anti-tamper software systems	2,163	2,163	5,163	1,900	4,063
148	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	11,005	11,005	11,005	[1,900]	11,005
149	0605798S	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS					
150	0605799D8Z	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	19,981	19,981	19,981		19,981
151	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	54,411	49,411	54,411	–5,000	49,411
		Program Reduction		[–5,000]		[–5,000]	
152	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION	19,554	19,554	19,554		19,554
153	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	23,512	26,512	23,512		23,512
		Renewable Energy Systems (RES) for Defense Applications		[3,000]			
154	0605897E	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION	45,000	35,000	45,000		45,000
		Program Reduction		[–10,000]			
155	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	51,055	51,055	51,055		51,055
156	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	5,929	5,929	5,929		5,929
157	0606301D8Z	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	8,000	8,000	8,000		8,000
158	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	1,250	1,250	1,250		1,250
159	0301555G	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
160	0301556G	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
161	0303166D8Z	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	30,604	30,604	30,604		30,604
162	0303169D8Z	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	4,667	4,667	4,667		4,667
163	0305103E	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	50,000	50,000	30,400		50,000
		Program decrease			[–19,600]		
164	0305193D8Z	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	20,648	20,648	20,648		20,648
165	0305193G	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
166	0305400D8Z	WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT	829	829	829		829
167	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2).	34,306	34,306	34,306		34,306
168	0901585C	PENTAGON RESERVATION	19,709	19,709	19,709		19,709
169	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA	57,403	57,403	57,403		57,403
170	0901598D8W	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	980	980	980		980
170A	9,999,999	OTHER PROGRAMS	124,705	124,705	124,705		124,705
		SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, DEFENSE-WIDE	1,187,944	1,163,444	1,175,344	6,900	1,194,844
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
171	0604130V	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS)	1,384	1,384	1,384		1,384
172	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA.	2,001	2,001	2,001		2,001
173	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS).	292	292	292		292
174	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT).	6,198	6,198	6,198		6,198
175	0607828D8Z	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	46,214	46,214	46,214		46,214
176	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT					
177	0208043J	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,179	2,179	2,179		2,179
178	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	74,786	74,786	74,786		74,786
180	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	10,767	10,767	10,767		10,767
181	0301301L	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		Advanced Scientific Missile Intelligence Preparation of the Battlespace (IPB).		[4,000]		[2,500]	
		Portable Device for Latent Fingerprint Identification		[1,800]		[1,800]	
182	0301318BB	HUMINT (CONTROLLED)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
183	0301371G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—CCP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
184	0301372L	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
185	0301555BZ	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
186	0301556BZ	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
187	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	548	548	548		548
188	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION	17,655	17,655	17,655		17,655
189	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS	9,406	9,406	9,406		9,406
190	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN).	9,830	9,830	9,830		9,830

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
191	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	8,116	8,116	8,116		8,116
192	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	41,002	41,002	41,002		41,002
193	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	13,477	13,477	13,477		13,477
194	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	408,316	408,316	410,116		408,316
		Software assurance courseware			[1,800]		
195	0303140K	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM					
196	0303148K	DISA MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	1,205	1,205	1,205		1,205
197	0303149J	C4I FOR THE WARRIOR	4,098	4,098	4,098		4,098
198	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	23,761	23,761	23,761		23,761
199	0303153K	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	18,944	18,944	18,944		18,944
200	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	1,782	1,782	1,782		1,782
201	0303260D8Z	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	942	942	942		942
202	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM	5,239	5,239	5,239		5,239
203	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	16,381	16,381	16,381		16,381
204	0304345BQ	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
206	0305103D8Z	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	993	993	993		993
207	0305103G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
208	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,080	10,080	10,080		10,080
209	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	12,725	12,725	12,725		12,725
210	0305127BZ	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES					
211	0305127L	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
212	0305146BZ	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
213	0305146L	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
214	0305183L	DEFENSE HUMAN INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
215	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	6,948	6,948	948		6,948
		Program reduction			[-6,000]		
216	0305193L	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)					
217	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY	1,479	1,479	1,479		1,479
218	0305202G	DRAGON U-2	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
219	0305206G	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
220	0305207G	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS		3,000			
		Personal Area Network for Land Soldiers (PANLS)		[3,000]			
221	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,407	1,407	1,407		1,407
222	0305208BQ	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
223	0305208G	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
224	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,158	3,158	3,158		3,158
225	0305208L	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
226	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	2,067	2,067	2,067		2,067
227	0305229G	REAL-TIME ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT (RT10)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
228	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,963	2,963	2,963		2,963
229	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCE- MENT AND INTEGRATION.	1,389	1,389	1,389		1,389
230	0305866L	DIA SUPPORT TO SOUTHCOM INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES					
231	0305880L	COMBATANT COMMAND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS					
232	0305883L	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET (HDBT) INTEL SUPPORT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
233	0305884L	INTELLIGENCE PLANNING AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		Technology applications for security enhancement			[4,000]	[3,000]	
235	0305889G	COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT					
236	0307141G	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEV ..	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
237	0307207G	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
238	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	20,514	24,714	60,514	31,200	51,714
		Advanced microcircuit emulation			[4,500]		
		Castings for improved defense readiness			[3,000]		
		Industrial Base Innovation Fund			[30,000]	[30,000]	
		Insensitive munitions manufacturing			[2,500]		
		Commercialization of High Rate Polyimide Composites for Military & Commercial Aircraft.		[2,000]			
		Optical Fiber Assembly Manufacturing		[1,000]			
		Northwest Manufacturing Initiative		[1,200]		[1,200]	
239	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,798	2,798	2,798		2,798
240	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	8,303	8,303	8,303		8,303
241	1001018D8Z	NATO AGS	74,485	74,485	74,485		74,485
242	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV	4,380	4,380	4,380		4,380
243	1130435BB	STORM					
244	1160279BB	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH/SMALL BUS TECH TRANS- FER PILOT PROG					

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY							
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION							

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
075	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	18,598	18,598	18,598		18,598
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, ARMY	18,598	18,598	18,598	0	18,598
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
160	0301359A	SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
161	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	7,644	7,644	7,644		7,644
162	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	2,220	2,220	2,220		2,220
167	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	29,500	29,500	29,500		29,500
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	39,364	39,364	39,364	0	39,364
		TOTAL, RDT&E ARMY	57,962	57,962	57,962	0	57,962
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES					
026	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS					
027	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	8,000	8,000	8,000	–8,000	0
		Non-emergency development funding				[–8,000]	
041	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	9,000	9,000	9,000	–9,000	0
		Non-emergency development funding				[–9,000]	
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, NAVY	17,000	17,000	17,000	–17,000	0
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
188	0301303N	MARITIME INTELLIGENCE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
189	0301323N	COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
190	0301327N	TECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
191	0301372N	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
203	0305207N	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	51,900	51,900	51,900		51,900
210	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	32,280	32,280	32,280		32,280
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, RDT&E	90,180	90,180	90,180	0	90,180
		TOTAL, RDT&E NAVY	107,180	107,180	107,180	–17,000	90,180
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
		BASIC RESEARCH					
004	0301555F	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
005	0301556F	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	0	0	0	0	0
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
116	0605798F	ANALYSIS SUPPORT GROUP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
123	0101815F	ADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
128	0205219F	MQ–9 UAV	1,400	1,400	1,400		1,400
149	0207423F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	9,375	9,375	9,375		9,375
150	0207424F	EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
164	0208161F	SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
165	0301310F	NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
166	0301314F	COBRA BALL	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
167	0301315F	MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
168	0301324F	FOREST GREEN	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
169	0301386F	GDIP COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
180	0304311F	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
181	0304348F	ADVANCED GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE (AGI)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
188	0305124F	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
189	0305127F	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
191	0305142F	APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
196	0305172F	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
206	0305219F	MQ–1 PREDATOR A UAV	1,400	1,400	1,400		1,400

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	17,111	17,111	17,111		17,111
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE	29,286	29,286	29,286	0	29,286
		TOTAL, RDT&E AIR FORCE	29,286	29,286	29,286	0	29,286
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE							
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT							
024	060312108Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT		100,000			
		Transfer from JIEDDO OCO		[100,000]			
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE ...	0	100,000	0	0	0
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT							
159	0301555G	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
160	0301556G	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
165	0305193G	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
181	0301301L	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
182	0301318BB	HUMINT (CONTROLLED)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
183	0301371G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—CCP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
184	0301372L	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE—GDIP	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
185	0301555BZ	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
186	0301556BZ	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
198	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,750	2,750	2,750		2,750
204	0304345BQ	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
207	0305103G	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
211	0305127L	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
212	0305146BZ	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
213	0305146L	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
214	0305183L	DEFENSE HUMAN INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
218	0305202G	DRAGON U-2	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
219	0305206G	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
221	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
222	0305208BQ	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
223	0305208G	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
225	0305208L	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
226	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
227	0305229G	REAL-TIME ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT (RT10)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
231	0305880L	COMBATANT COMMAND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
232	0305883L	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET (HDBT) INTEL SUPPORT	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
233	0305884L	INTELLIGENCE PLANNING AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
236	0307141G	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEV	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
237	0307207G	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
999	9999999	OTHER PROGRAMS	113,076	113,076	113,076		113,076
		SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	115,826	115,826	115,826	0	115,826
		Total, RDT&E Defense-Wide	115,826	215,826	115,826	0	115,826
		TOTAL RDT&E	310,254	410,254	310,254	-17,000	293,254

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Operation and maintenance (sec. 4301)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4301) for operation and maintenance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
LAND FORCES						
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,020,490	1,020,490	1,020,490		1,020,490
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	105,178	105,178	105,178		105,178
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	708,038	708,038	708,038		708,038
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	718,233	718,233	718,233		718,233
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,379,529	1,381,529	1,379,529	–64,400	1,315,129
	M-Gator		[2,000]			
	Budget realignment of combat training center transportation funding in support of helicopter training				[–64,400]	
060	AVIATION ASSETS	850,750	858,750	850,750	–77,400	773,350
	MI–17 Aircraft Modifications		[8,000]			
	Budget realignment in support of helicopter training				[–77,400]	
LAND FORCES READINESS						
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,088,233	2,088,233	2,096,233		2,088,233
	Generation III Extended Cold Weather Clothing System			[8,000]		
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	633,704	634,704	633,704		633,704
	Operational and Technical Training Validation for Joint Maneuver Forces at Fort Bliss		[1,000]			
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	692,601	697,601	692,601	3,000	695,601
	Texas Defense Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative		[5,000]		[3,000]	
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT						
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,586,455	7,588,155	7,586,455	1,700	7,588,155

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	Fort Bliss Data Center		[1,700]		[1,700]	
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,221,446	2,465,446	2,221,446		2,221,446
	Increase in sustainment to 100%		[244,000]			
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	333,119	333,119	333,119		333,119
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	123,163	123,163	123,163		123,163
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	237,750			0
	Transfer from Title I		[237,750]			
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	0				0
160	RESET	0				0
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	460,159	460,159	460,159		460,159
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	18,921,098	19,420,548	18,929,098	-137,100	18,783,998
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS					
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	228,376	228,376	228,376		228,376
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS	98,129	98,129	98,129		98,129
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	5,705	5,705	5,705		5,705
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	332,210	332,210	332,210	0	332,210
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
	ACCESSION TRAINING					
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	125,615	125,615	125,615		125,615
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	87,488	87,488	87,488		87,488

230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	59,302	59,302	59,302	59,302	59,302
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	449,397	449,397	449,397	449,397	449,397
250	BASIC SKILL/ADVANCE TRAINING					
	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	970,777	970,777	971,277	500	971,277
	Rule of law increase			[500]		
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	843,893	843,893	843,893	141,800	985,693
	Budget realignment in support of helicopter training				[141,800]	
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	166,812	166,812	166,812		166,812
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	702,031	702,031	702,031		702,031
290	RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING					
	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	541,852	541,852	541,852		541,852
300	EXAMINING	147,915	147,915	147,915		147,915
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	238,353	238,353	238,353		238,353
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	217,386	217,386	217,386		217,386
330	JUNIOR ROTC	156,904	169,904	156,904		156,904
	Junior ROTC		[13,000]			
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,707,725	4,720,725	4,708,225	142,300	4,850,025
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,017,055	1,017,055	1,017,055		1,017,055
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	540,249	540,249	540,249		540,249
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	614,093	614,093	614,093		614,093
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	481,318	484,318	481,318		481,318
	Operational and Tactical Logistics Asset Visibility (Fuel/Ammo)		[3,000]			
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	434,661	435,661	434,661	1,000	435,661

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	M24 Sniper Weapons System Upgrade		[1,000]		[1,000]	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
390	ADMINISTRATION	776,866	776,866	776,866		776,866
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,166,491	1,141,491	1,166,491	-25,000	1,141,491
	Servicewide communications underexecution		[-25,000]		[-25,000]	
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	289,383	289,383	289,383		289,383
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	221,779	221,779	221,779	7,250	229,029
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS				[7,250]	
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	993,852	993,852	993,852		993,852
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	215,168	205,168	215,168		215,168
	Unexpended balance		[-10,000]			
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	118,785	118,785	118,785		118,785
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS					
460	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	430,449	440,449	430,449		430,449
	NATO Special Operations Coordination Center		[10,000]			
470	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	13,700	13,700	13,700		13,700
	Unobligated balances estimate		[-351,700]			
	Fuel reduction		[-69,200]			
	Postal Benefit Program		[50,000]			
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	7,313,849	6,921,949	7,313,849	-16,750	7,297,099
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	31,274,882	31,395,432	31,283,382	-11,550	31,263,332

Operation and Maintenance, Navy

BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES

AIR OPERATIONS			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	3,814,000	3,814,000
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	120,868	120,868
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	52,259	52,259
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	121,649	121,649
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	485,321	485,321
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,057,747	1,252,747
	Aviation Depot Maintenance	[195,000]	[195,000]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	32,083	32,083
			70,027
			[70,027]
			3,814,000
			120,868
			52,259
			121,649
			485,321
			1,127,774
			32,083
SHIP OPERATIONS			
080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,320,222	3,320,222
090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	699,581	699,581
100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,296,544	5,065,394
	Ship Depot Maintenance		[200,000]
	Transfer from OCO		[568,850]
110	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,170,785	1,170,785
	Ship Life Assessment Pilot Program		[1,500]
			3,320,222
			699,581
			4,482,544
			[186,000]
			1,172,285
			1,170,785
COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	601,595	601,595
130	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	86,019	86,019
140	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	167,050	167,050
150	WARFARE TACTICS	407,674	407,674
160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	315,228	315,228
170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	758,789	758,789
	Navy Tactical Development		[1,000]

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	186,794	186,794	186,794		186,794
190	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,305	3,305	3,305		3,305
200	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	167,789	167,789	167,789		167,789
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	259,188	259,188	252,188	-7,000	252,188
	Reduction for National Program for Small Unit Excellence			[-7,000]	[-7,000]	
	WEAPONS SUPPORT					
220	CRUISE MISSILE	131,895	131,895	131,895		131,895
230	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,145,020	1,145,020	1,145,020		1,145,020
240	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	64,731	64,731	64,731		64,731
250	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	448,777	448,777	460,777	12,000	460,777
	Gun depot overhauls			[12,000]	[12,000]	
260	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	326,535	326,535	326,535		326,535
	BASE SUPPORT					
270	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	1,095,587	1,095,587	1,095,587		1,095,587
280	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,746,418	1,894,418	1,746,418		1,746,418
	Increase in sustainment to 100%		[148,000]			
290	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,058,046	4,058,046	4,058,046		4,058,046
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	27,141,499	27,672,999	28,110,349	75,027	27,216,526
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES					
300	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	407,977	407,977	407,977		407,977

310	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS					
	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,491	7,491	7,491	7,491	7,491
320	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	192,401	198,401	192,401	195,401	195,401
	Navy Ship Disposal-Carrier Demonstration Program		[6,000]		3,000	[3,000]
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS					
330	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	24,546	24,546	24,546	24,546	24,546
340	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,409	2,409	2,409	2,409	2,409
350	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	25,727	25,727	25,727	25,727	25,727
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	660,551	666,551	660,551	3,000	663,551
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
	ACCESSION TRAINING					
360	OFFICER ACQUISITION	145,027	145,027	145,027	145,027	145,027
370	RECRUIT TRAINING	11,011	11,011	11,011	11,011	11,011
380	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	127,490	127,490	127,490	127,490	127,490
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
390	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	477,383	478,883	478,233	477,383	477,383
	Naval Strike Air Warfare Center training			[850]		
	Mobile Learning Cultural Training for Military Personnel		[1,500]			
400	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,268,846	1,268,846	1,268,846	1,268,846	1,268,846
410	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	161,922	161,922	161,922	161,922	161,922
420	TRAINING SUPPORT	158,685	158,685	158,685	158,685	158,685
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
430	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	276,564	277,215	276,564	651	277,215
	Navy Sea Cadet Corps		[651]		[651]	
440	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	154,979	154,979	154,979	154,979	154,979
450	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	101,556	101,556	101,556	101,556	101,556

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
460	JUNIOR ROTC	49,161	54,061	49,161		49,161
	Junior ROTC		[4,900]			
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	2,932,624	2,939,675	2,933,474	651	2,933,275
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT						
470	ADMINISTRATION	768,048	768,048	768,048		768,048
480	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	6,171	6,171	6,171		6,171
490	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	114,675	114,675	114,675		114,675
500	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	182,115	182,115	182,115	7,250	189,365
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS				[7,250]	
510	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	298,729	298,729	298,729		298,729
520	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	408,744	383,744	408,744	-15,000	393,744
	Servicewide communications underexecution				[-15,000]	
530	MEDICAL ACTIVITIES	0				0
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT						
540	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	246,989	246,989	246,989		246,989
550	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS	0				0
560	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	244,337	247,337	244,337		244,337
	Mobile Condition Assessment System Pilot for Commander, Navy Region Hawaii		[3,000]			
570	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	778,501	778,501	778,501		778,501
580	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	60,223	60,223	60,223		60,223
590	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	17,328	17,328	17,328		17,328
600	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	79,065	79,065	79,065		79,065

610	INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS					
	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	515,989	515,989	515,989	515,989	515,989
670	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS					
	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	5,918	3,418	5,918	5,918	5,918
	International Headquarters and Agencies		[-2,500]			
680	CANCELLED ACCOUNTS					
	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0				0
690	JUDGMENT FUND	0				0
999	OTHER PROGRAMS					
	OTHER PROGRAMS	608,840	608,840	608,840	608,840	608,840
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	4,335,672	4,311,172	4,335,672	4,327,922	4,327,922
	Unobligated balances estimate		[-177,700]	[-50,000]	[-50,000]	-100,000
	Fuel reduction		[-123,600]			
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy	35,070,346	35,289,097	35,990,046	20,928	35,041,274
	Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
	OPERATIONAL FORCES	730,931	742,531	741,931	7,000	737,931
	Advanced load bearing equipment			[3,000]		
	Family of shelter and tents			[3,000]	[2,000]	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	Cold Weather Layering System		[2,600]	[5,000]		
	Flame Resistant Organizational Gear		[5,000]		[1,500]	
	Ultra Lightweight Camouflage Net System		[4,000]		[3,500]	
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	591,020	591,020	591,020		591,020
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	80,971	80,971	80,971		80,971
	USMC PREPOSITIONING					
050	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	72,182	72,182	72,182		72,182
060	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	5,090	5,090	5,090		5,090
	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT					
070	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	0				0
	BASE SUPPORT					
080	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	666,330	666,330	666,330		666,330
090	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,250,191	2,250,191	2,250,191		2,250,191
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	4,396,715	4,408,315	4,407,715	7,000	4,403,715
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
	ACCESSION TRAINING					
100	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,129	16,129	16,129		16,129
110	OFFICER ACQUISITION	418	418	418		418
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
120	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	67,336	67,336	67,336		67,336

130	FLIGHT TRAINING	369	369	369	369
140	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	28,112	28,112	28,112	28,112
150	TRAINING SUPPORT	330,885	330,885	330,885	330,885
160	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION	240,832	240,832	240,832	240,832
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	64,254	64,254	64,254	64,254
180	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	19,305	19,305	19,305	19,305
	JUNIOR ROTC				
	Junior ROTC	[1,000]			
190	BASE SUPPORT	0	66,000	0	0
	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION		[66,000]		
	Increase in sustainment to 100%				
200	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	0		0	0
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	767,640	834,640	767,640	767,640
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
210	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT	299,065	299,065	299,065	299,065
220	SPECIAL SUPPORT	28,924	28,924	28,924	28,924
230	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	43,879	43,879	43,879	43,879
	ADMINISTRATION				
240	BASE SUPPORT	0		0	0
250	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION	0		0	0
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT				
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	371,868	371,868	371,868	371,868
	Unobligated balances estimate		[-36,100]		
	Fuel reduction		[-9,900]		

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,536,223	5,568,823	5,547,223	7,000	5,543,223
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,017,156	4,162,856	4,017,156		4,017,156
	Advanced Autonomous Robotic Inspections for Aging Aircraft		[2,000]			
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[143,700]			
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,754,563	2,754,563	2,754,563		2,754,563
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,414,913	1,549,968	1,414,913	1,500	1,416,413
	Air Education and Training Command Range Improvements		[4,555]		[1,500]	
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[130,500]			
050	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,389,738	2,386,138	2,389,738		2,389,738
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[-3,600]			
060	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,420,083	1,709,083	1,420,083		1,420,083
	Increase in sustainment to 100%		[289,000]			
070	BASE SUPPORT	2,859,943	2,860,183	2,863,443	240	2,860,183
	Mission essential airfield operations equipment			[3,500]		
	Wage Modification for US Azores Portuguese National Employees		[240]		[240]	
080	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS					
	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,411,813	1,411,813	1,411,813		1,411,813

090	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	880,353	884,353	883,353	880,353
	National Security Space Institute			[3,000]	
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[4,000]		
110	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	552,148	552,148	539,148	552,148
	Program decrease for Gorgon Stare			[-13,000]	
	SPACE OPERATIONS				
120	LAUNCH FACILITIES	356,367	356,367	356,367	356,367
130	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	725,646	725,646	725,646	725,646
	COCOM				
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	608,796	608,796	608,796	608,796
150	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	216,073	216,073	216,073	216,073
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	19,507,592	20,177,987	19,501,092	19,509,332
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION				
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS				
160	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,932,080	2,924,080	2,932,080	2,934,080
	Warner Robins Air Logistics Center Strategic Airlift Aircraft Availability Improvements ..		[2,000]		
	Fee for Service Refueling		[-10,000]		[2,000]
170	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	211,858	211,858	211,858	211,858
180	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	332,226	332,226	332,226	332,226
190	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	362,954	362,954	362,954	362,954
200	BASE SUPPORT	657,830	657,830	657,830	657,830
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	4,496,948	4,488,948	4,496,948	4,488,948
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
	ACCESSION TRAINING				

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	120,870	120,870	120,870		120,870
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	18,135	18,135	18,135		18,135
230	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	88,414	88,414	88,414		88,414
240	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	372,788	372,788	372,788		372,788
250	BASE SUPPORT	685,029	685,029	685,029		685,029
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
260	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	514,048	514,048	514,048		514,048
270	FLIGHT TRAINING	833,005	833,005	833,005		833,005
280	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	215,676	215,676	215,676		215,676
290	TRAINING SUPPORT	118,877	118,877	118,877		118,877
300	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	576	576	576		576
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
320	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	152,983	152,983	152,983		152,983
330	EXAMINING	5,584	5,584	5,584		5,584
340	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	188,198	188,198	188,198		188,198
350	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	174,151	174,151	174,151		174,151
360	JUNIOR ROTC	67,549	72,049	67,549		67,549
	Junior ROTC		[4,500]			
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,555,883	3,560,383	3,555,883	0	3,555,883
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
370	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,055,672	1,055,672	1,055,672		1,055,672

380	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	735,036	699,036	735,036	735,036
	Servicewide technical support		[-36,000]		
400	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	15,411	15,411	15,411	15,411
410	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	359,562	359,562	359,562	359,562
420	BASE SUPPORT	1,410,097	1,410,097	1,410,097	1,410,097
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
430	ADMINISTRATION	646,080	591,380	646,080	643,330
	Servicewide administration		[-54,700]		
	Transfer from O&M, DW BTA for DIMHRS				
440	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	581,951	551,951	581,951	581,951
	Unexecutable growth		[-30,000]		
450	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,062,803	1,009,803	1,062,803	1,062,803
	Servicewide other activities		[-53,000]		
460	CIVIL AIR PATROL	22,433	22,433	22,433	22,433
	SECURITY PROGRAMS				
470	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,148,704	1,148,704	1,148,704	1,148,704
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS				
480	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	49,987	49,987	49,987	49,987
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	7,087,736	6,914,036	7,087,736	7,084,986
	Overstatement of civilian pay			[-288,100]	-50,000
	Unobligated balances estimate			[-150,000]	-172,000
	USAF civilian underexecution				
	Fuel reduction				
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	34,748,159	34,396,554	34,303,559	34,527,149
				990	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES						
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES						
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	457,169	457,169	457,169		457,169
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,611,492	3,613,992	3,611,492	1,500	3,612,992
	Special Operations Forces Modular Glove System		[2,500]		[1,500]	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	4,068,661	4,071,161	4,068,661	1,500	4,070,161
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING						
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES						
030	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	115,497	115,497	115,497		115,497
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION						
040	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	103,408	103,408	103,408		103,408
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:	218,905	218,905	218,905	0	218,905
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES						
050	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	0				0
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	132,231	159,231	132,231	20,000	152,231

	National Guard Youth Challenge Program	[20,000]			
	Starbase	[7,000]			[5,000]
	Junior ROTC		0		[15,000]
080	CLASSIFIED AND INTELLIGENCE		139,579	139,579	0
090	DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY				117,829
	DIMHRS Transfer to Services (Army, Navy and Air Force)				[-21,750]
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY		458,316	458,316	458,316
110	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE		0		0
120	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY		665,743	665,743	665,743
130	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY		1,322,163	1,322,163	1,322,163
150	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES		42,532	42,532	42,532
160	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY		405,873	405,873	414,873
	Procurement and Technical Assistance Program				9,000
170	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY		253,667	253,667	[9,000]
180	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE		20,679	20,679	253,667
190	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY		34,325	34,325	20,679
200	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY		385,453	385,453	34,325
210	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY		2,302,116	2,307,116	385,453
	Family support for military children with autism				2,305,516
	SoAR Recruiting Initiative				3,400
	Increase DoDEA schools sustainment to 100%				[3,400]
220	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY		1,058,721	1,058,721	[11,000]
230	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY		721,756	721,756	1,058,721
	Security and Stabilization (1207)				721,756
240	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE		497,857	497,857	[-100,000]
	NATIONAL GUARD BORDER SECURITY		0		[-100,000]
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT		37,166	37,166	497,857
	Impact Aid				0
	Redevelopment of Naval Station Ingleside				38,166
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE		1,955,985	1,990,985	[1,000]
	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative				22,000
	Director of operational energy plans and programs				[20,000]

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	Acceleration of Defense Readiness Reporting System			[5,000]		
	Transfer from Title XIV					
	Corrosion Prevention and Control		[6,000]			
	Critical Language Training		[2,000]		[2,000]	
	Reduction to Security and Stabilization Assistance		[-175,000]			
	Tools for Implementation of Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009		[10,000]			
280	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	589,309	589,309	589,309		589,309
	OTHER PROGRAMS					
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,046,209	13,046,209	13,046,209		13,046,209
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	24,069,580	24,859,466	24,109,580	-66,350	24,003,330
	Impact Aid			[30,000]	[30,000]	30,000
	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities			[5,000]	[5,000]	5,000
	Special assistance to local education agencies			[10,000]		
	Undistributed bulk fuel adjustment			[-596,249]		
	Decrease for software licenses			[-50,000]		
	Unobligated balances estimate		[-128,300]			
	Fuel reduction		[-9,900]	[-14,000]		
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	28,357,246	29,011,332	27,781,997	-29,850	28,327,396

Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve

BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES

LAND FORCES				
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,403	1,403	1,403
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	12,707	12,707	12,707
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	468,288	468,288	468,288
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	152,439	152,439	152,439
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	520,420	520,420	520,420
060	AVIATION ASSETS	61,063	61,063	61,063
LAND FORCES READINESS				
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	290,443	290,443	290,443
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	106,569	110,169	106,569
	Mobile corrosion protection		[3,600]	
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	94,499	94,499	94,499
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	522,310	522,310	522,310
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	234,748	234,748	234,748
120	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0		0
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES		2,464,889	2,468,489	2,464,889
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS				
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	9,291	9,291	9,291
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT				
140	ADMINISTRATION	72,075	72,075	72,075
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,635	3,635	3,635
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	9,104	9,104	9,104
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	61,202	61,202	61,202

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	155,307	155,307	155,307	0	155,307
	Unobligated balances estimate		[-48,000]			
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,620,196	2,572,196	2,623,796	0	2,620,196
	Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	570,319	570,319	570,319		570,319
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	16,596	16,596	16,596		16,596
030	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	3,171	3,171	3,171		3,171
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	125,004	125,004	125,004		125,004
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	397	397	397		397
	SHIP OPERATIONS					
060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	55,873	55,873	55,873		55,873
070	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	592	592	592		592
080	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	41,899	55,899	41,899		41,899
	Ship Depot Maintenance		[14,000]			
	COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT					
090	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,241	15,241	15,241		15,241

100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	142,924	142,924	142,924	142,924
	WEAPONS SUPPORT				
110	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,494	5,494	5,494	5,494
	BASE SUPPORT				
120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	83,611	83,611	83,611	83,611
130	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	69,853	69,853	69,853	69,853
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	124,757	124,757	124,757	124,757
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	1,255,731	1,269,731	1,255,731	1,255,731
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT				
150	ADMINISTRATION	3,323	3,323	3,323	3,323
160	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	13,897	13,897	13,897	13,897
170	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,957	1,957	1,957	1,957
180	OTHER SERVICEWIDE POWER	0			0
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT				
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593
	CANCELLED ACCOUNTS				
200	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0			0
210	JUDGMENT FUND	0			0
	OTHER PROGRAMS				
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	0			0
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	22,770	22,770	22,770	22,770

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,278,501	1,292,501	1,278,501	0	1,278,501
	Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
010	OPERATING FORCES	61,117	61,117	61,117		61,117
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	13,217	13,217	13,217		13,217
030	TRAINING SUPPORT	29,373	29,373	29,373		29,373
	BASE SUPPORT					
040	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	25,466	25,466	25,466		25,466
050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	73,899	73,899	73,899		73,899
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	203,072	203,072	203,072	0	203,072
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
060	SPECIAL SUPPORT	5,639	5,639	5,639		5,639
070	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	818	818	818		818
080	ADMINISTRATION	10,642	10,642	10,642		10,642
090	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,754	8,754	8,754		8,754

100	BASE SUPPORT					
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	0				0
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	25,853	25,853	25,853	0	25,853
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	228,925	228,925	228,925	0	228,925
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,049,303	2,060,103	2,049,303		2,049,303
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[10,800]			
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	121,417	119,917	121,417		121,417
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[-1,500]			
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	441,958	441,958	441,958		441,958
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	78,763	78,763	78,763		78,763
050	BASE SUPPORT	258,091	258,091	258,091		258,091
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,949,532	2,958,832	2,949,532	0	2,949,532
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
060	ADMINISTRATION	77,476	77,476	77,476		77,476
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	24,553	24,553	24,553		24,553
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	20,838	20,838	20,838		20,838
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,121	6,121	6,121		6,121

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
100	AUDIOVISUAL	708	708	708		708
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	129,696	129,696	129,696	0	129,696
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,079,228	3,088,528	3,079,228	0	3,079,228
	Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	LAND FORCES					
010	MANEUVER UNITS	876,269	876,269	876,269		876,269
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	173,843	173,843	173,843		173,843
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	615,160	615,160	615,160		615,160
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	253,997	253,997	253,997		253,997
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	34,441	36,641	34,441		34,441
	Modular Shoot House		[2,200]			
060	AVIATION ASSETS	819,031	821,281	819,031	2,250	821,281
	Joint Command Vehicle and Supporting C3 Systems		[2,250]		[2,250]	
	LAND FORCES READINESS					
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	436,799	436,799	436,799		436,799
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	99,757	99,757	103,357		99,757

090	Mobile corrosion protection					
	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	379,646	379,646	[3,600]	379,646	379,646
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT						
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	798,343	803,443	798,343	2,600	800,943
	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers		[1,600]		[1,600]	
	Our Military Kids		[3,500]		[1,000]	
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	580,171	580,471	580,171	300	580,471
	Camp Ethan Allen Training Site Road Equipment		[300]		[300]	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	573,452	573,452	573,452		573,452
130	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0				0
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,640,909	5,650,759	5,644,509	5,150	5,646,059
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT						
140	ADMINISTRATION	119,186	119,186	119,186		119,186
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	48,020	50,020	48,020		48,020
	Emergency Management Staff Trainer Distributed Learning Courseware		[2,000]			
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	7,920	7,920	7,920		7,920
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	440,999	440,999	440,999		440,999
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	616,125	618,125	616,125	0	616,125
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,257,034	6,268,884	6,260,634	5,150	6,262,184

Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
AIR OPERATIONS						
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,347,685	3,381,385	3,350,385		3,347,685
	Controlled humidity protection			[2,700]		
	MBU-20AP Oxygen Mask and Mask Light		[6,000]			
	Restoration of legacy aircraft retirements		[27,700]			
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	779,917	779,917	779,917		779,917
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	780,347	780,347	780,347		780,347
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	302,949	302,949	302,949		302,949
050	BASE SUPPORT	606,916	606,916	606,916		606,916
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,817,814	5,851,514	5,820,514	0	5,817,814
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
060	ADMINISTRATION	35,174	35,174	35,174		35,174
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	32,773	32,773	32,773		32,773
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	67,947	67,947	67,947	0	67,947
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,885,761	5,919,461	5,888,461	0	5,885,761
MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS						

010	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	13,932	13,932	13,932	13,932
010	ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
010	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	109,869	109,869	109,869	109,869
010	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	404,093	434,093	424,093	424,093
	Program increase		[30,000]	20,000	[20,000]
020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	415,864	415,864	415,864	415,864
030	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	285,869	285,869	285,869	285,869
040	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	494,276	494,276	494,276	494,276
050	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	11,100	11,100	11,100	11,100
060	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	267,700	267,700	267,700	267,700
070	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	5,000	5,000	0
	Program decrease			-5,000	[-5,000]
080	IRAQ FREEDOM FUND	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	2,107,703	2,137,703	2,127,703	2,122,703
	TOTAL TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	156,444,204	157,169,536	156,393,455	156,179,872
				-264,332	

Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4302)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4302) for oper-

ation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT						
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	36,330,899	36,330,899	36,330,899		36,330,899
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	1,500,000	1,300,000	1,400,000	-200,000	1,300,000
	Program reduction		[-200,000]	[-100,000]	[-200,000]	
160	RESET	7,867,551	7,867,551	7,867,551		7,867,551
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	45,698,450	45,498,450	45,598,450	-200,000	45,498,450
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
SECURITY PROGRAMS						
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,426,309	1,426,309	1,426,309		1,426,309
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS						
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	5,045,902	5,045,902	5,045,902		5,045,902
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,472,211	6,472,211	6,472,211	0	6,472,211
	Army end strength budget amendment				[196,100]	[196,100]
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	52,170,661	51,970,661	52,070,661	-3,900	52,166,761
Operation and Maintenance, Navy						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
AIR OPERATIONS						
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	1,138,398	1,138,398	1,138,398		1,138,398
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	2,640	2,640	2,640		2,640
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	1,212	1,212	1,212		1,212
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	26,815	26,815	26,815		26,815
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	44,532	44,532	44,532		44,532
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	158,559	158,559	158,559		158,559
SHIP OPERATIONS						
080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	651,209	651,209	651,209		651,209
090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	22,489	22,489	22,489		22,489
100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,001,037	1,001,037	432,187		1,001,037
	Transfer to base			[-568,850]		
COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT						
120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	20,704	20,704	20,704		20,704
150	WARFARE TACTICS	15,918	15,918	15,918		15,918
160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	16,889	16,889	16,889		16,889
170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,891,799	1,891,799	1,891,799		1,891,799
180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	306	306	306		306
200	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	6,929	6,929	6,929		6,929
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	7,344	7,344	7,344		7,344
WEAPONS SUPPORT						
240	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	68,759	68,759	68,759		68,759
250	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	82,496	82,496	82,496		82,496
260	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	16,902	16,902	16,902		16,902
BASE SUPPORT						
280	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	7,629	7,629	7,629		7,629
290	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	338,604	338,604	338,604		338,604

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,521,170	5,521,170	4,952,320	0	5,521,170
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES					
300	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	27,290	27,290	27,290		27,290
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS					
330	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	4,336	4,336	4,336		4,336
350	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	245,039	245,039	245,039		245,039
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	276,665	276,665	276,665	0	276,665
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
390	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	97,995	97,995	97,995		97,995
420	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,463	5,463	5,463		5,463
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	103,458	103,458	103,458	0	103,458
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
470	ADMINISTRATION	3,899	3,899	3,899		3,899
480	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	463	463	463		463
500	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	563	563	563		563
510	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	2,525	2,525	2,525		2,525
520	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	23,557	23,557	23,557		23,557
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT					
540	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	223,890	223,890	223,890		223,890
570	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	642	642	642		642
	INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS					
610	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	37,452	37,452	37,452		37,452
	OTHER PROGRAMS					
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	25,299	25,299	25,299		25,299
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	318,290	318,290	318,290	0	318,290
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy	6,219,583	6,219,583	5,650,733	0	6,219,583
	Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,048,844	2,048,844	2,048,844		2,048,844
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	486,014	486,014	486,014		486,014
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	554,000	554,000	554,000		554,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING					
060	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	950	950	950		950
	BASE SUPPORT					
090	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	121,700	121,700	121,700		121,700
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	3,211,508	3,211,508	3,211,508	0	3,211,508
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING						
120	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	6,303	6,303	6,303		6,303
140	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	923	923	923		923
150	TRAINING SUPPORT	205,625	205,625	205,625		205,625
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	212,851	212,851	212,851	0	212,851
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT						
210	SPECIAL SUPPORT	2,576	2,576	2,576		2,576
220	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	269,415	269,415	269,415		269,415
230	ADMINISTRATION	5,250	5,250	5,250		5,250
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	277,241	277,241	277,241	0	277,241
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	3,701,600	3,701,600	3,701,600	0	3,701,600
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
AIR OPERATIONS						
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,582,431	1,582,431	1,582,431		1,582,431
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,460,018	1,460,018	1,460,018		1,460,018
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	109,255	109,255	109,255		109,255
050	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	304,540	304,540	304,540		304,540
060	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	121,881	121,881	121,881		121,881
070	BASE SUPPORT	1,394,809	1,394,809	1,394,809		1,394,809
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS						
080	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	130,885	256,085	130,885		130,885
	Battlefield Airborne Communications Node		[125,200]			
090	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	407,554	407,554	407,554		407,554
SPACE OPERATIONS						
130	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	38,677	38,677	38,677		38,677
COCOM						
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	157,000	157,000	157,000		157,000
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,707,050	5,832,250	5,707,050	0	5,707,050
BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION						
MOBILITY OPERATIONS						
160	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,171,148	3,171,148	3,171,148		3,171,148
170	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	169,659	169,659	169,659		169,659
180	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	167,070	167,070	167,070		167,070
190	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	942	942	942		942
200	BASE SUPPORT	45,998	45,998	45,998		45,998
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	3,554,817	3,554,817	3,554,817	0	3,554,817
BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING						
ACCESSION TRAINING						
240	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,019	1,019	1,019		1,019
250	BASE SUPPORT	19,361	19,361	19,361		19,361
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING						
260	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	48,442	48,442	48,442		48,442

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
270	FLIGHT TRAINING	291	291	291		291
280	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
290	TRAINING SUPPORT	1,427	1,427	1,427		1,427
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	72,040	72,040	72,040	0	72,040
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
370	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	328,009	328,009	328,009		328,009
420	BASE SUPPORT	35,322	35,322	35,322		35,322
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
430	ADMINISTRATION	9,000	9,000	9,000		9,000
440	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	178,470	178,470	178,470		178,470
	SECURITY PROGRAMS					
470	SECURITY PROGRAMS	142,160	142,160	142,160		142,160
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	692,961	692,961	692,961	0	692,961
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	10,026,868	10,152,068	10,026,868	0	10,026,868
	Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES					
	DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES					
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,519,935	2,519,935	2,519,935		2,519,935
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	2,544,935	2,544,935	2,544,935	0	2,544,935
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
	DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES					
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	13,908	13,908	13,908		13,908
130	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	245,117	245,117	245,117		245,117
150	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	115,000	115,000	115,000		115,000
170	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	13,364	13,364	13,364		13,364
200	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	2,018	2,018	2,018		2,018
210	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY	553,600	553,600	553,600		553,600
220	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	63,130	63,130	63,130		63,130
230	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,950,000	1,950,000	1,950,000		1,950,000
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	79,047	79,047	79,047		79,047
	OTHER PROGRAMS					
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,998,181	1,998,181	1,998,181		1,998,181
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	5,033,365	5,033,365	5,033,365	0	5,033,365
	Army end strength budget amendment				[5,100]	5,100
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	7,578,300	7,578,300	7,578,300	5,100	7,583,400
	Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	LAND FORCES					
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	86,881	86,881	86,881		86,881
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	40,675	40,675	40,675		40,675

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
LAND FORCES READINESS						
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	21,270	21,270	21,270		21,270
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	17,500	17,500	17,500		17,500
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT						
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	38,000	38,000	38,000		38,000
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	204,326	204,326	204,326	0	204,326
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	204,326	204,326	204,326	0	204,326
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
AIR OPERATIONS						
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	26,673	26,673	26,673		26,673
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	400	400	400		400
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	3,600	3,600	3,600		3,600
SHIP OPERATIONS						
060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	7,416	7,416	7,416		7,416
080	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	8,917	8,917	8,917		8,917
COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT						
090	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	3,147	3,147	3,147		3,147
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	13,428	13,428	13,428		13,428
BASE SUPPORT						
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,478	4,478	4,478		4,478
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	68,059	68,059	68,059	0	68,059
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	68,059	68,059	68,059	0	68,059
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES						
010	OPERATING FORCES	77,849	77,849	77,849		77,849
BASE SUPPORT						
050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	8,818	8,818	8,818		8,818
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	86,667	86,667	86,667	0	86,667
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	86,667	86,667	86,667	0	86,667
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve						
BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES						
AIR OPERATIONS						
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,618	3,618	3,618		3,618
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	7,276	7,276	7,276		7,276
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	114,531	114,531	114,531		114,531

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
050	BASE SUPPORT	500	500	500		500
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	125,925	125,925	125,925	0	125,925
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	125,925	125,925	125,925	0	125,925
	Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	LAND FORCES					
010	MANEUVER UNITS	89,666	89,666	89,666		89,666
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	1,196	1,196	1,196		1,196
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	18,360	18,360	18,360		18,360
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	380	380	380		380
060	AVIATION ASSETS	59,357	59,357	59,357		59,357
	LAND FORCES READINESS					
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	94,458	94,458	94,458		94,458
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	22,536	22,536	22,536		22,536
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	35,693	35,693	35,693		35,693
130	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	0				
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	321,646	321,646	321,646	0	321,646
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	321,646	321,646	321,646	0	321,646
	Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	103,259	103,259	103,259		103,259
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	51,300	51,300	51,300		51,300
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	135,303	135,303	135,303		135,303
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	289,862	289,862	289,862	0	289,862
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	289,862	289,862	289,862	0	289,862
	Afghanistan Security Forces Fund					
010	INFRASTRUCTURE	868,320	868,320	868,320		868,320
020	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	1,615,192	1,615,192	1,615,192		1,615,192
030	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	272,998	272,998	272,998		272,998
040	SUSTAINMENT	1,945,887	1,945,887	1,945,887		1,945,887
060	INFRASTRUCTURE	605,584	605,584	605,584		605,584
070	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	279,186	279,186	279,186		279,186
080	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	648,217	648,217	648,217		648,217
090	SUSTAINMENT	1,219,966	1,219,966	1,219,966		1,219,966
120	SUSTAINMENT	5,919	5,919	5,919		5,919
130	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
	TOTAL, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	7,462,769	7,462,769	7,462,769	0	7,462,769
	Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund					
	INFRASTRUCTURE	41,970	0	0	-41,970	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-41,970]	[-41,970]	[-41,970]	
	EQUIPMENT/TRANSPORTATION	397,907	0	0	-397,907	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-397,907]	[-397,907]	[-397,907]	
	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	67,953	0	0	-67,953	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-67,953]	[-67,953]	[-67,953]	
	INFRASTRUCTURE	73,000	0	0	-73,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-73,000]	[-73,000]	[-73,000]	
	EQUIPMENT/TRANSPORTATION	107,000	0	0	-107,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-107,000]	[-107,000]	[-107,000]	
	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	8,170	0	0	-8,170	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-8,170]	[-8,170]	[-8,170]	
	HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	4,000	0	0	-4,000	0
	Realigned from Defense to International Affairs		[-4,000]	[-4,000]	[-4,000]	
	TOTAL, Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund	700,000		0	-700,000	0
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS					
080	IRAQ FREEDOM FUND	115,300	115,300	115,300	-115,300	0
	Program reduction				[-115,300]	
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	115,300	115,300	115,300	0	0
	TOTAL TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	89,071,566	88,296,766	87,702,716	-814,100	88,257,466

TITLE XLIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
Other authorizations (sec. 4401)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4401) for other authorizations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or ac-

tivities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS					
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	141,388	141,388	141,388		141,388
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY	1,313,616	1,313,616	1,313,616		1,313,616
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,455,004	1,455,004	1,455,004	0	1,455,004
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND					
Strategic Ship Acquisition					
T-AKE	940,115	940,115	540,115		940,115
T-AKE Program Reduction			[-400,000]		
MLP	120,047	180,047	120,047		120,047
Program Increase		[60,000]			
OUTFITTING AND POST DELIVERY	29,740	29,740	29,740		29,740
DoD Mobilization Assets					
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT VESSEL	1,438	1,438	1,438		1,438
LMSR MAINTENANCE	96,363	96,363	96,363		96,363
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS	64,167	64,167	64,167		64,167
T-AH MAINTENANCE	37,627	37,627	37,627		37,627
Strategic Sealift Support					
STRATEGIC SEALIFT SUPPORT	4,794	4,794	4,794		4,794
Sealift Research and Development					
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	72,983	72,983	72,983		72,983

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)					
Program Title	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Ready Reserve Force					
READY RESERVE FORCE	275,484	275,484	275,484		275,484
Total, National Defense Sealift Fund	1,642,758	1,702,758	1,242,758	0	1,642,758
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND					
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND	22,000	0	0	–22,000	0
Total Revolving and Management Funds	3,119,762	3,157,762	2,697,762	–22,000	3,097,762
MILITARY PROGRAMS					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—O&M	26,967,919	26,342,463	27,007,919	126,930	27,094,849
TRICARE Continuation Pending MEDICARE Eligibility			[4,000]	[4,000]	
Reimbursement for exceptional travel under TRICARE			[10,000]		
TRICARE eligibility for Retired Reservists under the age of 60			[10,000]		
Expansion of survivor eligibility for the TRICARE dental program			[2,000]		
Reimbursement for travel over 50 miles under TRICARE			[14,000]		
Transitional Dental Care (S712)				[11,000]	
Transfer to Title III		[–808,386]			
Pre-mobilization health care coverage for Reservists and their families		[92,000]		[92,000]	
Establish the Military School of Nursing		[10,000]			
Madigan Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program		[2,500]		[2,500]	
Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization		[430]		[430]	
Extend Dental Coverage to Dependent Survivors		[2,000]		[2,000]	
National Casualty Care Research Center		[1,000]			
Chiropractic Clinical Trials		[5,000]		[5,000]	
TRICARE Coverage for Gray-Area Retirees		[10,000]		[10,000]	
TRICARE Coverage for Autism Treatment		[50,000]			
Center of Care for Military Family Members		[10,000]			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—R&D	613,102	493,192	597,802	3,000	616,102
Program Reduction (PE 67100HP)			[–10,000]		
Cancer Center of Excellence (PE 63115HP)			[–5,300]		
Combined Injury Consortium		[1,500]			
Transfer to Title III		[–124,410]			
USUHS Immersive, Wide Area Virtual Environment		[3,000]		[3,000]	
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—PROCUREMENT	322,142	177,532	322,142		322,142
Transfer to Title I		[–144,610]			
Total Defense Health Program	27,903,163	27,013,187	27,927,863	129,930	28,033,093
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION					
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—O&M	1,146,802	1,146,802	1,146,802		1,146,802
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RDT&E	401,269	401,269	401,269		401,269
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROC	12,689	12,689	12,689		12,689
Total Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction	1,560,760	1,560,760	1,560,760		1,560,760
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES					
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	1,058,984	1,050,984	1,077,784	–4,750	1,054,234
High Priority National Guard Counterdrug Programs			[30,000]		
Mobile Sensor Barrier			[5,000]		
United States European Command (EUCOM) Counternarcotics Support (Project Code (PC) 9205)			[–8,000]		
EUCOM Headquarters Support (PC2346)			[–800]		
EUCOM Interagency Fusion Centers (PC2365)			[–1,000]	[–750]	
Relocatable Over-the Horizon-Radar (PC3217)			[–5,000]		
U.S. Special Operations Command Support to Combatant Commanders (PC6505)			[–200]		
EUCOM Counternarcotics Reserve Support (PC9215)			[–1,200]		
International Support		[–32,000]			
International Support—USEUCOM		[–5,000]			
International Support—USNORTHCOM/US SOUTHCOM		[5,600]			
International Support—US CENTCOM CN Training		[24,000]			
PC9205 EUCOM CN Operation Support—excessive growth				[–2,000]	
PC9206 AFRICOM CN Operational Support—excessive growth				[–2,000]	
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	1,058,984	1,050,984	1,077,784	–4,750	1,054,234

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—O&M	271,444	278,224	286,444	15,656	287,100
Second year growth plan		[6,780]	[15,000]	[15,656]	
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000	2,000		1,000
Second year growth plan			[1,000]		
Total Office of the Inspector General	272,444	279,224	288,444	15,656	288,100
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	33,915,113	33,061,917	33,552,613	118,836	34,033,949
Memorandum: Civil Program (non-defense)					
Armed Forces Retirement Home (Budget Function 600)	134,000	134,000	134,000		134,000

Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4402)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4402) for other

authorizations for overseas contingency operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program Title	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS					
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	396,915	396,915	396,915		396,915
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	396,915	396,915	396,915	0	396,915
Total Revolving and Management Funds	396,915	396,915	396,915	0	396,915
MILITARY PROGRAMS					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM—O&M	1,155,235	1,155,235	1,155,235	101,440	1,256,675
Army end strength budget amendment				[101,440]	
Total Defense Health Program	1,155,235	1,155,235	1,155,235	101,440	1,256,675
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES					
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	324,603			32,000	356,603
International Support—US CENTCOM CN Training—Mi-17 Procurement				[32,000]	
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	324,603	0	0	32,000	356,603
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL—O&M	8,876				8,876
Total Office of the Inspector General	8,876	0	0		8,876
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	1,885,629	1,552,150	1,949,065	133,440	2,019,069

TITLE XLV—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Military construction (sec. 4501)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4501) for military construction.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Army	ALABAMA	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT	INDUSTRIAL AREA ELEC SYSTEM UPGRADE		3,300		3,300	3,300
ARNG	ALABAMA	FORT MC CLELLAN	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,000	3,000	3,000		3,000
Army	ALABAMA	REDSTONE ARSENAL	GATE 7 ACCESS CONTROL POINT		3,550	3,550	3,550	3,550
Def-Wide	ALABAMA	REDSTONE ARSENAL	MISSILE AND SPACE INTEL CENTER EOE COM- PLEX			12,000	12,000	12,000
Air Force	ALASKA	CLEAR AFS	POWER PLANT FACILITY	24,300	24,300	24,300		24,300

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Air Force	ALASKA	EIELSON AFB	ARCTIC UTILIDORS, PHASE 1			9,900	9,900	9,900
Air Force	ALASKA	EIELSON AFB	TAXIWAY LIGHTING			3,450	3,450	3,450
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	RED FLAG ALASKA ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS CENTER	3,100	3,100	3,100		3,100
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	12,600	12,600	12,600		12,600
Def-Wide	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	AEROMEDICAL SERVICES/MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC	25,017	25,017	25,017		25,017
Army	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	AIRBORNE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING COMPLEX	6,100	6,100	6,100		6,100
Army	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	2,050	2,050	2,050		2,050
Army	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	43,000	43,000	43,000		43,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	COMBAT PISTOL RANGE			4,900	4,900	4,900
Def-Wide	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	HEALTH CLINIC	3,518	3,518	3,518		3,518
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	RAILHEAD COMPLEX	26,000	26,000	26,000		26,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	19,000	19,000	19,000		19,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 1, Inc 1	125,000	95,000	125,000	-30,000	95,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	28,000	28,000	28,000		28,000
ARNG	ARIZONA	CAMP NAVAJO	COMBAT PISTOL QUALIFICATION COURSE	3,000	3,000	3,000		3,000
Air Guard	ARIZONA	DAVIS MONTHAN AFB	TFI-PREDATOR BEDDOWN-FOC	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Air Force	ARIZONA	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	DORMITORY (144 RM)	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
Air Force	ARIZONA	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	CSAR HC-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,400	8,400	8,400		8,400
Air Force	ARIZONA	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	CSAR HC-130J RQS OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,700	8,700	8,700		8,700
Air Force	ARIZONA	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	CSAR HC-130J INFRASTRUCTURE	4,800	4,800	4,800		4,800
Army	ARIZONA	FORT HUACHUCA	UAV ER/MPER/MP	15,000	15,000	15,000		15,000
Army	ARIZONA	FORT HUACHUCA	BATTALION HEADQUARTERS UAV	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
Army	ARIZONA	FORT HUACHUCA	FIRE STATION, TWO COMPANY		6,700		6,700	6,700
Milcon, Naval Res	ARIZONA	PHOENIX	RESERVE CENTER MOVE TO LUKE AFB, NOSC PHOENIX	10,986	10,986	10,986		10,986

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Navy	ARIZONA	YUMA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE 1)	27,050	27,050	27,050		27,050
Navy	ARIZONA	YUMA	AIRFIELD ELEC. DIST. AND CONTROL	1,720	1,720	1,720		1,720
Air Force	ARKANSAS	LITTLE ROCK AFB	C-130 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION	5,800	5,800	5,800		5,800
Air Force	ARKANSAS	LITTLE ROCK AFB	SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY		10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400
Army	ARKANSAS	PINE BLUFF ARSENAL	FUSE & DETONATOR MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
Milcon, Naval Res	CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER—ALAMEDA, CA	5,960	5,960	5,960		5,960
Navy	CALIFORNIA	BRIDGEPORT	FIRE STATION—RENOVATION—MUTC	4,460	4,460	4,460		4,460
Navy	CALIFORNIA	BRIDGEPORT	MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING, COMMISSARY		6,830		6,830	6,830
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	ANGLICO OPERATIONS COMPLEX	25,190	25,190	25,190		25,190
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	RECON BN OPERATIONS COMPLEX	77,660	77,660	77,660		77,660
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	COMMELEC MAINTENANCE FACILITY	13,170	13,170	13,170		13,170
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	EXPANSION OF SRTP TO 7.5 MGD	55,180	55,180	55,180		55,180
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	NORTH REGION TERTIARY TREATMENT PLANT, Inc 1	142,330	112,330	142,330	-30,000	112,330
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	GAS/ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	51,040	51,040	51,040		51,040
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	RECRUIT BARRACKS—SCHOOL OF INFANTRY	53,320	53,320	53,320		53,320
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	32,300	32,300	32,300		32,300
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	RECRUIT BARRACKS—FIELD/R-SPAN	23,200	23,200	23,200		23,200
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	COMMUNICATIONS UPGRADES	79,492	79,492	79,492		79,492
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	76,950	76,950	76,950		76,950
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	OPERATIONS ACCESS POINTS	12,740	12,740	12,740		12,740
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	ENLISTED DINING FACILITY—EDSON RANGE	37,670	37,670	37,670		37,670
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ	39,610	39,610	39,610		39,610
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	RECRUIT MARKSMANSHIP TRAINING FACILITY	13,730	13,730	13,730		13,730
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	EXPAND COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON	12,240	12,240	12,240		12,240
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	AVIATION TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER SITE	13,560	13,560	13,560		13,560
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	WFTBN SUPPORT FACILITIES	15,780	15,780	15,780		15,780
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	19,500	19,500	19,500		19,500
USAR	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	SOF CLOSE QUARTERS COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	15,722	15,722	15,722		15,722
Def-Wide	CALIFORNIA	CORONADO	EDWARDS RAMP EXTENSION	3,007	3,007	3,007		3,007
Navy	CALIFORNIA	EDWARDS AFB	AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	11,000	11,000	11,000		11,000
Def-Wide	CALIFORNIA	EL CENTRO						

Army	CALIFORNIA	FORT IRWIN	MOUT ASSAULT COURSE, PH 4	9,500	9,500	9,500
Air Guard	CALIFORNIA	FRESNO YOSEMITE IAP	144th SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,800	9,800	9,800
		ANG				
ARNG	CALIFORNIA	LOS ALAMITOS	READINESS CENTER PHI	31,000	31,000	31,000
USAR	CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	29,000	29,000	29,000
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES AFB	CONSOLIDATED PARKING AREA, PHI	8,000	8,000	8,000
AF Reserve	CALIFORNIA	MARCH AFB	SMALL ARMS FIRING RANGE	9,800	9,800	9,800
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON MODIFICATION	9,280	9,280	9,280
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MONTREY NSA	MARINE METEOROLOGY CENTER	10,240	10,240	10,240
Def-Wide	CALIFORNIA	POINT LOMA ANNEX	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FAC INCR 2	92,300	92,300	92,300
Navy	CALIFORNIA	POINT LOMA ANNEX	ALTER/ADD MARINE MAMMAL SURGICAL CENTER	2,330	2,330	2,330
Navy	CALIFORNIA	POINT LOMA ANNEX	PUBLIC WORKS SHOPS CONSOLIDATION	8,730	8,730	8,730
Navy	CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO	MESSHALL EXPANSION	23,590	23,590	23,590
Air Guard	CALIFORNIA	SOCAL LOGISTICS AIR-PORT	TFT-PREDATOR BEDDOWN—FTU/LRE SITE	8,400	8,400	8,400
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	TRAVIS AFB	CONSTRUCT KC-10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	6,900	6,900	6,900
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	TRAVIS AFB	TAXIWAY M BYPASS ROAD	6,000	6,000	6,000
Def-Wide	CALIFORNIA	TRAVIS AFB	REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	15,357	15,357	15,357
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	STATION COMM FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	49,040	49,040	49,040
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	SUB-STATION AND ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	31,310	31,310	31,310
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	ELEC. INFRA. UPGRADE—34.5KV TO 115KV	46,220	46,220	46,220
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	ELEC. POWER PLANT/CO—GEN/GAS TURBINE—N	53,260	53,260	53,260
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	WATER IMPROVEMENTS AND STORAGE TANK	30,610	30,610	30,610
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	SEWAGE SYSTEM IMP. AND LIFT STATION	5,800	5,800	5,800
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	HTHW/CHILLED WATER SYSTEM	25,790	25,790	25,790
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	NATURAL GAS SYSTEM EXTENSION	19,990	19,990	19,990
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	INDUSTRIAL WASTE WATER PRETREATMENT SYS.	3,330	3,330	3,330
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	LAYDOWN SITE WORK—NORTH MAINSIDE	21,740	21,740	21,740
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	SECONDARY ELEC.DIST.—NORTH MAINSIDE	31,720	31,720	31,720
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	CONSTRUCT ROADS—NORTH MAINSIDE	29,360	29,360	29,360
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	MAINT. SHOP—WHEELED	16,040	16,040	16,040
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	MAINT. SUNSHADES—WHEELED	12,580	12,580	12,580
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	COMM/ELECT MAINT/STORAGE	12,660	12,660	12,660
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	DINING FACILITY—NORTH MAINSIDE	17,200	17,200	17,200
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BEQ	37,290	37,290	37,290
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	MAINT. SHOP—TRACKED	19,780	19,780	19,780

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)							
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change Agreement
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BEQ	37,290	37,290	37,290	37,290
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	CONSOLIDATED ARMORY—TANKS	12,670	12,670	12,670	12,670
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	VANDENBERG AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Air Guard	COLORADO	BUCKLEY ANG BASE	ADD/ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE			4,500	4,500
USAR	COLORADO	COLORADO SPRINGS	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	18,500	18,500	18,500	18,500
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BRIGADE COMPLEX	69,000	69,000	69,000	69,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1	102,000	102,000		-102,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	RAILROAD TRACKS	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WIT) COMPLEX	56,000	56,000	56,000	56,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,450	4,450	4,450	4,450
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	CONVOY LIVE FIRE RANGE	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	COMMISSARY	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BARRACKS & DINING, INCREMENT 2	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
Def-Wide	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	45,200	45,200	45,200	45,200
Def-Wide	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	52,773	52,773	31,900	-20,873
Air Force	COLORADO	PETERSON AFB	EAST GATE REALIGNMENT	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
Air Force	COLORADO	PETERSON AFB	C-130 SQUAD OPS/AMU (TFI)	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
Air Force	COLORADO	PETERSON AFB	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE	19,900	19,900	19,900	19,900
Chem Demil	COLORADO	PUEBLO DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XI	92,500	92,500	92,500	92,500
AF Reserve	COLORADO	SCHRIEVER AFB	WING HEADQUARTERS	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,200
Air Force	COLORADO	U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY	ADD TO CADET FITNESS CENTER	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Air Guard	CONNECTICUT	BRADLEY NATIONAL AIR- PORT	CNAF BEDDOWN UPGRADE FACILITIES		9,000	9,100	9,000
USAR	CONNECTICUT	BRIDGEPORT	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	18,500	18,500	18,500	18,500

Navy	CONNECTICUT	NEW LONDON NSB	MAK-48 TORPEDO MAGAZINE	6,570	6,570	
Air Force	DELAWARE	DOVER AFB	C-5 CARGO AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY P1	5,300	5,300	
Air Force	DELAWARE	DOVER AFB	CONSOL COMM FAC	12,100	12,100	
Air Force	DELAWARE	DOVER AFB	CHAPEL CENTER		7,500	
Navy	FLORIDA	BLOUNT ISLAND	PORT OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,760	3,760	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 DUKE CONTROL TOWER	3,420	3,420	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	CONSTRUCT DORMITORY (96 RM)	11,000	11,000	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 POL OPS FACILITY	3,180	3,180	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM PHASE 1	14,308	14,308	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 PARALLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	2,371	2,371	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 JPS FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS	8,892	8,892	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 JP-8 WEST SIDE BULK FUEL TANK UPGRADES	1,581	1,581	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 LIVE ORDNANCE LOAD FACILITY	9,900	9,900	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 A/C PARKING APRON	16,400	16,400	
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	OPERATIONS COMPLEX, PH 3	80,000	80,000	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	INDOOR FIRING RANGE	8,900	8,900	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	8,000	8,000	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	LIVE FIRE EXERCISE BREACH FACILITY	4,950	4,950	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	NON-STANDARD SMALL ARMS RANGE	3,400	3,400	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	GRENADE LAUNCHER RANGE	1,600	1,600	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	HAND GRENADE QUALIFICATION COURSE	1,400	1,400	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,700	2,700	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	ANTI-ARMOR, TRACKING & LIVE FIRE RANGE	3,400	3,400	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	12,000	12,000	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,200	2,200	
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE (ZERO)	3,050	3,050	
Def-Wide	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYS, PH 1	6,208	6,208	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 PARALLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	931	931	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 A/C PARKING APRON	11,252	11,252	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, EOD SCHOOL, PHASE	26,287	26,287	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 JP8 WEST SIDE BULK TANK UPGRADES	621	621	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 POL OPERATIONS FACILITY (EGLIN)	2,056	2,056	
Navy	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS (EGLIN)	3,492	3,492	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Army	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB (CAMP RUD- DER)	ELEVATED WATER STORAGE TANK			1,200	1,200	1,200
Air Force	FLORIDA	HURLBURT FIELD	REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,200	2,200			2,200
Air Force	FLORIDA	HURLBURT FIELD	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION	8,300	8,300			8,300
Air Force	FLORIDA	HURLBURT FIELD	FLIGHT TEST OPNS FAC (413 FLTS)		9,400		9,400	9,400
Def-Wide	FLORIDA	HURLBURT FIELD	SOF SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC-130 (RECAP)	8,156	8,156			8,156
Navy	FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE	P-8/MMA FACILITIES MODIFICATION	5,917	5,917			5,917
Def-Wide	FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE IAP	REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	11,500	11,500			11,500
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	DORMITORY (120 ROOM)	16,000	16,000			16,000
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,000	7,000			7,000
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	CENTCOM COMMANDANT FACILITY	15,300	15,300			15,300
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	CONSOLIDATED COMMO FACILITY		21,000		21,000	21,000
Navy	FLORIDA	MAYPORT	FITNESS CTR		26,360		26,360	26,360
Navy	FLORIDA	MAYPORT	WHARF CHARLIE REPAIR	29,682	29,682			29,682
Navy	FLORIDA	MAYPORT	CHANNEL DREDGING	46,303		46,303		46,303
Army	FLORIDA	MIAMI DORAL	SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS, INCR 3	55,400	55,400			55,400
USAR	FLORIDA	PANAMA CITY	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	7,300	7,300			7,300
Air Force	FLORIDA	PATRICK AFB	COMBAT WEAPONS TRAINING FACILITY		8,400		8,400	8,400
Navy	FLORIDA	PENSACOLA	CORRY "A" SCHOOL BACHELOR ENLISTED QUAR- TERS R	22,950	22,950			22,950
Navy	FLORIDA	PENSACOLA	SIMULATOR ADDITION FOR UMFO PROGRAM	3,211	3,211			3,211
USAR	FLORIDA	WEST PALM BEACH	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	26,000	26,000			26,000
Navy	FLORIDA	WHITING FIELD	T-6B JPATS TRNG. OPS PARALOFT FACILITY	4,120	4,120			4,120
Navy	GEORGIA	ALBANY MCIB	WPNS MAINT HARDSTAND FAC		4,870		4,870	4,870
USAR	GEORGIA	ATLANTA	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	14,000	14,000			14,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	10,800	10,800			10,800
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	FIRE AND MOVEMENT RANGE	2,800	2,800			2,800
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BATTLE LAB	30,000	30,000			30,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRAINING AREA TANK TRAILS	9,700	9,700			9,700
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000	38,000			38,000

Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Dining Facility	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Warrior in Transition (WT) Complex	53,000	53,000	53,000	53,000	53,000	53,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 1	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 1	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Trainee Barracks Complex, Ph 1	74,000	74,000	74,000	74,000	74,000	74,000
Georgia	ARNG	Fort Benning	Readiness Center	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Wilson ES Construct Gymnasium	2,330	2,330	2,330	2,330	2,330	2,330
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Benning	SOF Expand Battalion Headquarters	3,046	3,046	3,046	3,046	3,046	3,046
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Blood Donor Center Replacement	12,313	12,313	12,313	12,313	12,313	12,313
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Dental Clinic	4,887	4,887	4,887	4,887	4,887	4,887
Georgia	Army	Fort Gillem	Forensic Lab	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800
Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart	Brigade Complex	93,000	93,000	93,000	93,000	93,000	93,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart	Warrior in Transition (WT) Complex	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000
Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart	Barracks & Dining, Increment 2	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	New Elementary School	22,502	22,502	22,502	22,502	22,502	22,502
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	New Elementary School	22,501	22,501	22,501	22,501	22,501	22,501
Georgia	Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	Health and Dental Clinic	26,386	26,386	26,386	26,386	26,386	26,386
Georgia	ARNG	Hunter Army Airfield	Aviation Readiness Center	8,967	8,967	8,967	8,967	8,967	8,967
Georgia	Air Force	Moody AFB	Rescue OPNS/MAINT HQ FAC	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900
Georgia	Air Force	Warner Robins AFB	Hot Cargo Pad/Taxiway	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200
Hawaii	Def-Wide	Ford Island	Pacific Operations Facility Upgrade	9,633	9,633	9,633	9,633	9,633	9,633
Hawaii	Air Force	Hickam AFB	Ground Control Tower	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Hawaii	Air Guard	Hickam AFB	T1-F-22 LO/Composite Repair Facility	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Hawaii	Air Guard	Hickam AFB	T1-F-22 Parking Apron and Taxiways	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Hawaii	Navy	Navsta Pearl Harbor	Production Services Support Facility	30,360	30,360	30,360	30,360	30,360	30,360
Hawaii	Navy	Oahu	Range, 1000 - Puuloa	5,380	5,380	5,380	5,380	5,380	5,380
Hawaii	Navy	Pearl Harbor	PacFLT Sub Drive-In Mag Silencing FAC (INC)	8,645	8,645	8,645	8,645	8,645	8,645
Hawaii	Navy	Pearl Harbor	APCSS Conference & Technology Learning Center	12,775	12,775	12,775	12,775	12,775	12,775
Hawaii	Navy	Pearl Harbor	Missile Magazines (5), West Loch	22,407	22,407	22,407	22,407	22,407	22,407
Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	63,000	63,000	63,000	63,000	63,000	63,000
Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000
Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Warrior in Transition (WT) Barracks	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Warrior in Transition Complex	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Hawaii	Air Force	Wheeler AAF	Construct ASOC Complex	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)							
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change Agreement
Army	HAWAII	WHEELER AAF	REGIONAL SATCOM INFORMATION CENTER	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
ARNG	IDAHO	GOWEN FIELD	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	16,100	16,100	16,100	16,100
Air Force	IDAHO	MOUNTAIN HOME AFB	LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
USAR	ILLINOIS	CHICAGO	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
Milcon, Naval Res	ILLINOIS	JOLIET ARMY AMMO PLANT	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER—JOLIET, IL	7,957	7,957	7,957	7,957
Air Guard	ILLINOIS	LINCOLN CAPITAL AIR- PORT	SECURITY IMPROV.—RELOCATE ENTRANCE		3,000		3,000
ARNG	ILLINOIS	MILAN	READINESS CENTER			5,600	5,600
Air Force	ILLINOIS	SCOTT AFB	AEROMEDICAL EVAC FACILITY		7,400	7,400	7,400
ARNG	INDIANA	MUSCATATUCK	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY PH	10,100	10,100	10,100	10,100
Navy	INDIANA	NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY CRANE	STRATEGIC WEAPONS SYSTEMS ENGINEERING FACIL- ITY			13,710	13,710
ARNG	IOWA	CAMP DODGE	US PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE			4,000	4,000
Air Guard	IOWA	DES MOINES	DES MOINES ALT SECURITY FORCES FAC			4,600	4,600
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	ADVANCED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	IGLOO STORAGE, INSTALLATION	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	BRIGADE COMPLEX	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	BATTALION COMPLEX	59,000	59,000	59,000	59,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	LAND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	ESTES ROAD ACCESS CONTROL POINT			6,100	6,100
Air Guard	KANSAS	MCCONNELL AFB	TFT-UPGRADE DCGS		8,700	8,700	8,700
ARNG	KANSAS	SALINA ARMY NG AVIA- TION FACILITY	TAXIWAY ALTERATIONS			2,227	2,227
Chem Demil	KENTUCKY	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH X	54,041	54,041	54,041	54,041
Chem Demil	KENTUCKY	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT CHEM DEMIL PROJECT			5,000	5,000
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	INSTALLATION CHAPEL CENTER		14,400	14,400	14,400
Det-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	5TH SFG LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRNG FAC			5,800	6,800

Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	29,289	29,289	29,289	29,289
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	3,046	3,046
Def-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	HEALTH CLINIC	8,600	8,600	8,600	8,600
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT KNOX	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WT) COMPLEX	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
Air Force	LOUISIANA	BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE	PHASE FIVE RAMP REPLACEMENT—AIRCRAFT APRON	12,800	12,800	12,800	12,800
Army	LOUISIANA	FORT POLK	MULTIPURPOSE MACHNEGUN RANGE	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
Army	LOUISIANA	FORT POLK	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WT) COMPLEX	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
Army	LOUISIANA	FORT POLK	LAND PURCHASES	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
Air_Guard	MAINE	BANGOR IAP	REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR/SHOPS	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Navy	MAINE	PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD	GATE 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	7,090	7,090	7,090	7,090
Army	MARYLAND	ABERDEEN PG	ANALYTICAL CHEM WING—ADVANCED CHEM LAB	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	ABERDEEN PG	USAMRIID REPLACEMENT, INC II	111,400	111,400	111,400	111,400
Air Force	MARYLAND	ANDREWS AFB	REPLACE MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA	9,300	9,300	9,300	9,300
Air_Guard	MARYLAND	ANDREWS AFB	RPL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Navy	MARYLAND	CARDEROCK NSWC DET	RDTE SUPPORT FACILITY, PH2	6,520	6,520	6,520	6,520
Army	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	ATL AUDITORIUM & TNG CNTR EXPAND	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
Army	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Army	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	BOUNDARY GATE AT NALIN POND	10,750	10,750	10,750	10,750
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	EMERGENCY SERVICE CENTER	16,125	16,125	16,125	16,125
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	USAMRIID STAGE I, INC. IV	108,000	108,000	108,000	108,000
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	NIBC TRUCK INSPECTION STATION & ROAD	2,932	2,932	2,932	2,932
Army	MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	INTERSECTION, ROCKENBACH RD & COOPER AVE	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	SOUTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT PH 2	175,900	175,900	175,900	175,900
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	NSAW CAMPUS CHILLED WATER BACKUP	19,100	19,100	19,100	19,100
Def-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	MISSION SUPPORT—PSAT	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
Navy	MARYLAND	PATUXENT RIVER NAS	SPECIAL COMMO RQTS ENG FACILITY	11,043	11,043	11,043	11,043
Air_Guard	MASSACHUSETTS	BARNES ANGB	F-15 AIRCRAFT READY SHELTERS	8,100	8,100	8,100	8,100
ARMG	MASSACHUSETTS	HANSCOM AFB	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ)	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000
Air_Guard	MASSACHUSETTS	OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE	COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	12,800	12,800	12,800	12,800
Air_Guard	MICHIGAN	ALPENA CRTC	REPLACE TROOP QUARTERS	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900
Air_Guard	MICHIGAN	BATTLE CREEK ANG BASE	CNAF BED DOWN FACILITIES	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)							
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change Agreement
ARNG	MICHIGAN	FORT CUSTER	ORG MAINT SHOP (ADRS)		7,732		7,732
Air_Guard	MICHIGAN	SELFIDGE ANG BASE	A-10 SQUAD OPERATIONS FACILITY			7,100	7,100
ARNG	MINNESOTA	ARDEN HILLS	READINESS CENTER PH2	6,700	6,700		6,700
ARNG	MINNESOTA	CAMP RIPLEY	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,710	1,710		1,710
Def-Wide	MINNESOTA	DULUTH IAP	JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
USAR	MINNESOTA	FORT SNEILING (MIN-NEAPOLIS)	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Air_Guard	MINNESOTA	MINN/ST. PAUL IAP 133RD AW BASE	MINNESOTA STARBASE FACILITY ALTERATION			1,900	1,900
ARNG	MISSISSIPPI	CAMP SHELBY	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TNG FAC ADD/ALT	16,100	16,100		16,100
Air Force	MISSISSIPPI	COLUMBUS AFB	AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEMS MAINT DOCK		9,800		9,800
Air_Guard	MISSISSIPPI	GULFPORT-BILOXI RA	RELOCATE BASE ENTRANCE		6,500		6,500
AF Reserve	MISSISSIPPI	KEESLER AFB	AERIAL PORT SQUADRON FACILITY	9,800	9,800		9,800
ARNG	MISSISSIPPI	MONTICELLO	MONTICELLO NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER		14,350		14,350
ARNG	MISSOURI	BOONVILLE	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	1,800	1,800		1,800
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	AUTOMATED-AIDED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	WHEELED VEHICLE DRIVERS COURSE	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	19,500	19,500	19,500	19,500
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 1	99,000	99,000	99,000	99,000
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	HEALTH CLINIC		7,800		7,800
Def-Wide	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION	5,570	5,570	5,570	5,570
Air_Guard	MISSOURI	ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT	REPLACE FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION PHASE II		9,300		9,300
Air Force	MISSOURI	WHITEMAN AFB	EOD OPNS COMPLEX		7,400		7,400
Air Force	MISSOURI	WHITEMAN AFB	LAND ACQUISITION NORTH & SOUTH BDRY		5,500		5,500
Air Force	MONTANA	MALMSTROM AFB	UPGRADE WEAPONS STORAGE AREA		9,600		10,600
ARNG	NEBRASKA	LINCOLN	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHO)	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
Air_Guard	NEBRASKA	LINCOLN MAP	JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE	1,500	1,500		1,500
Air Force	NEBRASKA	OFFUTT AFB	STRATCOM GATE		10,400		10,400
ARNG	NEVADA	CARSON CITY	NATIONAL GUARD ENERGY SUSTAINABLE PROJECTS		2,000		2,000

Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS AT/FP SECURITY UPDATES	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Navy	NEVADA	NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON	WARRIOR PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY			10,670	10,670
ARMG	NEVADA	NORTH LAS VEGAS	READINESS CENTER	26,000	26,000		26,000
Air Guard	NEVADA	RENO, NV	INV AIR NATIONAL GUARD FIRE STATION REPLACE- MENT			10,800	10,800
Air Guard	NEW HAMPSHIRE	PEASE ANGB	REPLACE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITIES			10,000	10,000
Air Force	NEW JERSEY	MCGUIRE AFB	WARFIGHTER & FAMILY SUP CNTR		7,900	7,900	7,900
Air Guard	NEW JERSEY	MCGUIRE AFB	108TH AIR REFUEL WING, BASE CIVIL ENG COMPLEX			9,700	9,700
Army	NEW JERSEY	PICATINNY ARSENAL	BALLISTIC EVAL FACILITY, PH 2		10,200	10,200	10,200
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	CANNON AFB	WB—CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FAC	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Def-Wide	NEW MEXICO	CANNON AFB	SOF FUEL CELL HANGAR (MC-130)	41,269	41,269	41,269	41,269
Def-Wide	NEW MEXICO	CANNON AFB	SOF AMU ADDITION (CV-22)	11,595	11,595	11,595	11,595
Def-Wide	NEW MEXICO	CANNON AFB	SOF AC-130 LOAD OUT APRON PH1			6,000	6,000
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22A CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS MAINT (TFI)	5,500	5,500		5,500
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	FIRE-CRASH RESCUE STATION		10,400	10,400	10,400
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	UAS FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX			37,500	37,500
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	ADD TO SPACE ROT&E OPNS CNTR	5,800	5,800	5,800	5,800
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	KIRTLAND AFB	MC-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	KIRTLAND AFB	HC-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	KIRTLAND AFB	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000
ARMG	NEW MEXICO	SANTA FE	ALL WX MARKSMANSHIP FACILITY		8,200	8,200	8,200
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	WATER SYSTEM EXPANSION	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	BARRACKS	57,000	57,000	57,000	57,000
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE		5,700	5,700	5,700
AF Reserve	NEW YORK	NIAGARA FALLS AFB	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,600	13,600	13,600	13,600
USAR	NEW YORK	ROCHESTER	TFI-REAPER LRE BEDDOWN		2,700	2,700	2,700
Air Guard	NEW YORK	WHEELER SACK AAF	SOF ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY EXPANSION	11,791	11,791	11,791	11,791
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX	52,390	52,390	52,390	52,390
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	34,160	34,160	34,160	34,160
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	UTILITY EXPANSION—COURTHOUSE BAY	56,280	56,280	56,280	56,280
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	SOI-EAST FACILITIES—CAMP GEIGER	56,940	56,940	56,940	56,940
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	FIELD TRAINING FAC.—DEVIL DOG - SOI	37,170	37,170	37,170	37,170
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	ROAD NETWORK—WALLACE CREEK	15,130	15,130	15,130	15,130
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	MP WORKING DOG KENNEL - RELOCATION	8,370	8,370	8,370	8,370

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)								
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	CONSOLIDATED INFO TECH/TELECOM COMPLEX	46,120	46,120	46,120		46,120
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	NEW BASE ENTRY POINT AND ROAD (PHASE 1)	79,150	79,150	79,150		79,150
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	43,480	43,480	43,480		43,480
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	44,390	44,390		44,390
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	44,390	44,390		44,390
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	42,110	42,110	42,110		42,110
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE FACILITY	18,580	18,580	18,580		18,580
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	39,760	39,760	39,760		39,760
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	4TH INFANTRY BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	55,150	55,150	55,150		55,150
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CHERRY POINT MCAS	ORDNANCE MAGAZINES	12,360	12,360	12,360		12,360
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CHERRY POINT MCAS	EMS/FIRE VEHICLE FACILITY	10,600	10,600	10,600		10,600
ARMG	NORTH CAROLINA	EAST FLAT ROCK	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT		2,516		2,516	2,516
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,500	19,500	19,500		19,500
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SIMULATIONS CENTER	50,000	50,000	50,000		50,000
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	17,500	17,500	17,500		17,500
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,300	3,300	3,300		3,300
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS COMPLEX	16,500	16,500	16,500		16,500
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE			2,500	3,450	3,450
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN		4,350	4,350		4,350
ARMG	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	TUAS SUPPORT FACILITY		6,038		6,038	6,038
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	ALBRITTON JHS ADDITION	3,439	3,439	3,439		3,439
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SPECIAL OPS PREP & CONDITIONING COURSE	24,600	24,600	24,600		24,600
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF BATTALION & COMPANY HQ	15,500	15,500	15,500		15,500
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT ADDITION	13,756	13,756	13,756		13,756
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	1,125	1,125	1,125		1,125
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF BATTALION HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF OPERATIONS ADDITION NORTH	27,513	27,513	27,513		27,513
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF TUAV HANGAR	2,948	2,948	2,948		2,948
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	3,046		3,046
Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINIC	26,386	26,386	26,386		26,386

Def-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	HEALTH CLINIC	31,272	31,272	31,272	31,272	31,272
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	APRON EXPANSION (PHASE 2)	35,600	35,600	35,600	35,600	35,600
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	YAMT-204 MAINTENANCE HANGAR—PHASE 3	28,210	28,210	28,210	28,210	28,210
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	PARALLEL TAXIWAY	17,870	17,870	17,870	17,870	17,870
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PAD ADDITION	5,490	5,490	5,490	5,490	5,490
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	GYMNASIUM/OUTDOOR POOL	19,920	19,920	19,920	19,920	19,920
Air Force	NORTH CAROLINA	POPE AFB	POPE AFB AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER		9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
Air Force	NORTH CAROLINA	SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB	RADAR APPROACH CONTROL COMPLEX, PH1		6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	SUNNY POINT MOT	TOWERS	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	SUNNY POINT MOT	LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Air Force	NORTH DAKOTA	GRAND FORKS AFB	CONSOLIDATED SECURITY FORCES FACILITY		12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Air Force	NORTH DAKOTA	MINOT AFB	MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE FACILITY	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Air Force	NORTH DAKOTA	MINOT AFB	MISSILE PROCEDURES TRNG OPERATIONS	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
USAR	OHIO	CINCINNATI	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Air Guard	OHIO	MANFIELD LAHM AIR-PORT	TFT—RED HORSE SQUADRON BEDDOWN	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400
Air Force	OHIO	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	INFO TECH COMPLEX PH 1	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000
Air Force	OHIO	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER RESEARCH LAB	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Air Force	OHIO	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	REPLACE WEST RAMP, PHASE II	10,600	10,600	10,600	10,600	10,600
Def-Wide	OKLAHOMA	ALTUS AFB	REPAIR TAXIWAYS	20,300	20,300	20,300	20,300	20,300
Army	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	REPLACE UPLOAD FACILITY	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Army	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
Army	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	BARRACKS	65,000	65,000	65,000	65,000	65,000
Def-Wide	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
Army	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	DENTAL CLINIC	10,554	10,554	10,554	10,554	10,554
Army	OKLAHOMA	MCALESTER	HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
Army	OKLAHOMA	MCALESTER	GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING	11,200	11,200	11,200	11,200	11,200
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	BUILDING 3001 HANGER DOOR	13,037	13,037	13,037	13,037	13,037
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	VANCE, AFB	CONTROL TOWER		10,700	10,700	10,700	10,700
Air Guard	OKLAHOMA	WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT	TFT—AIR SUPT OPERS SQDN (ASOS) BEDDN	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
ARNG	OREGON	CLATSOP COUNTY, WARRENTON	CAMP RILEA INFRASTRUCTURE (WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM)		3,369	3,369	3,369	3,369
ARNG	OREGON	POLK COUNTY	READINESS CENTER	12,100	12,100	12,100	12,100	12,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)								
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
USAR	PENNSYLVANIA	ASHLEY	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,800	9,800	9,800		9,800
FH Con DW	PENNSYLVANIA	DEF DISTRO DEPOT	DEF DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND	2,859	2,859	2,859		2,859
USAR	PENNSYLVANIA	HARRISBURG	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	7,600	7,600	7,600		7,600
USAR	PENNSYLVANIA	NEWTON SQUARE	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
AF Reserve	PENNSYLVANIA	PITTSBURGH AFR BASE	VISTING QUARTERS PHASE 1			12,400	12,400	
USAR	PENNSYLVANIA	UNIONTOWN	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,800	11,800	11,800		11,800
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	NEWPORT	OFFICER TRAINING COMMAND QUARTERS	45,803	45,803	45,803		45,803
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	NEWPORT	RENOVATE OF SENIOR ENLISTED ACADEMY			10,550	10,550	
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	NEWPORT	RENOVATE PERRY HALL		8,530		8,530	
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	BEAUFORT	WIDEBOY AIRCRAFT FUEL LANE	1,280	1,280	1,280		
Milcon, Naval Res	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON	RESERVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,240	4,240	4,240		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON NWS	STAGING AREA	4,100	4,100	4,100		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON NWS	RAILROAD TRACKS	12,000	12,000	12,000		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON NWS	PIER AND LOADING/UNLOADING RAMPS	5,700	5,700	5,700		
ARNG	SOUTH CAROLINA	EASTOVER	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALT	26,000	26,000	26,000		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	ADVANCED SKILLS TRAINEE BARRACKS	32,000	32,000	32,000		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,600	3,600	3,600		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	66,000	66,000	66,000		
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	INFILTRATION COURSE	1,900	1,900	1,900		
ARNG	SOUTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	40,000	40,000	40,000		
Air_Guard	SOUTH CAROLINA	MCENTIRE JNCB	JOINT FORCE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING			1,300	1,300	
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	PARRIS ISLAND	ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AND IMPROVEMENTS	6,972	6,972			
Air Force	SOUTH CAROLINA	SHAW AFB	ADD/ALTER USAFCEHT HQ		21,183	6,972	21,183	
ARNG	SOUTH DAKOTA	CAMP RAPID	JOINT FORCE HQ READINESS CENTER SUPPLEMENT			7,890	7,890	
ARNG	SOUTH DAKOTA	CAMP RAPID	TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION AND ALTERATION			1,950	1,950	
Air Force	SOUTH DAKOTA	ELLSWORTH AFB	ADD/ALTER DEPLOYMENT CENTER			14,500	14,500	
Air_Guard	SOUTH DAKOTA	JOE FOSS FIELD	ADD AND ALTER MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE COM- PLEX			1,300	1,300	
Air_Guard	SOUTH DAKOTA	JOE FOSS FIELD	ABOVE GROUND MULTI-CUBICLE MAGAZINE STOR- AGE			1,300	1,300	

Air Guard	TENNESSEE	164 AIRLIFT WING, MEM	164TH AIRLIFT WING ANG ENG MAINT TRNG FAC			
ARNG	TEXAS	AUSTIN	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	16,500	9,800	9,800
ARNG	TEXAS	AUSTIN	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP, JOINT	5,700	16,500	16,500
USAR	TEXAS	AUSTIN	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/AMSA	20,000	5,700	5,700
USAR	TEXAS	BRYAN	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	20,000	20,000	20,000
Navy	TEXAS	CORPUS CHRISTI	OPERATIONAL FACILITIES FOR T-6	19,764	12,200	12,200
Air Force	TEXAS	DYESS AFB	C-130J ALTER HANGAR	4,500	19,764	19,764
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	16,000	4,500	4,500
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BRIGADE STAGING AREA COMPLEX	14,800	16,000	16,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	45,000	14,800	14,800
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	FIRE AND MILITARY POLICE STATIONS	16,500	45,000	45,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE	10,800	16,500	16,500
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	20,000	10,800	10,800
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	4,250	20,000	20,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	4,750	4,250	4,250
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,900	4,750	4,750
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	17,000	6,900	6,900
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,400	17,000	17,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	7,000	2,400	2,400
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	SIMULATION CENTER	23,000	7,000	7,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & COMPANY OPS FAC	31,000	23,000	23,000
Def-Wide	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	30,295	31,000	31,000
Def-Wide	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INC1	86,975	30,295	30,295
USAR	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,500	86,975	86,975
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	23,000	9,500	9,500
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,400	23,000	23,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,700	2,400	2,400
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	FAMILY LIFE CENTER	8,500	6,700	6,700
Def-Wide	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	ALTER FUEL PUMP HOUSE AND FILL STAND	3,000	10,800	10,800
Army	TEXAS	FORT SAM HOUSTON	ACCESS CONTROL POINT AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	10,800	3,000	3,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT SAM HOUSTON	GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	9,000	10,800	10,800
Milcon, Naval Res	TEXAS	FORT WORTH NAS/IRB	REPLACE JOINT BASE COMMS	6,170	9,000	9,000
Air Force	TEXAS	GOODFELLOW AFB	JOINT INTEL TECH TRNG FAC, PH 1 (TFI)	18,400	6,170	6,170
Air Force	TEXAS	GOODFELLOW AFB	STUDENT DORMITORY (100 RM)	14,000	18,400	18,400
Air Force	TEXAS	GOODFELLOW AFB	CONSOLIDATED LEARNING CENTER	12,000	14,000	14,000
USAR	TEXAS	HOUSTON	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	24,000	12,000	12,000
						24,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized
Air Guard	TEXAS	KELLY FIELD ANNEX	ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINT SHOPS		7,900	
Navy	TEXAS	KINGSVILLE NAS	SOLAR PANEL ARRAY		4,470	7,900
AF Reserve	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	C-5 GROUND TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE ADDITION	1,500	1,500	4,470
Air Force	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	EVASION, CONDUCT AFTER CAPTURE TRNG	4,879	4,879	1,500
Air Force	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	RECRUIT DORMITORY 2, PHASE 2	77,000	77,000	4,879
Air Force	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FAC	32,000	32,000	77,000
Def-Wide	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	29,318	29,318	32,000
Def-Wide	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	AMBULATORY CARE CENTER, PHASE 1	72,610	72,610	29,318
USAR	TEXAS	ROBSTOWN	TACTICAL EQUIP MAINT FACILITY		10,200	72,610
Milcon, Naval Res	TEXAS	SAN ANTONIO	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	2,210	2,210	10,200
USAR	TEXAS	SAN ANTONIO	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	20,000	20,000	2,210
Air Force	TEXAS	SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE	ENJPT OPERATIONS COMPLEX, PHASE 1		11,600	20,000
Def-Wide	UTAH	CAMP WILLIAMS	IC CNCI CENTER, Inc 1	800,000	500,000	13,450
Army	UTAH	DUGWAY PROVING GROUND	WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	25,000	25,000	20,000
AF Reserve	UTAH	HILL AFB	RESERVE SQUAD OPS/AMU FACILITY	3,200	3,200	600,000
Air Force	UTAH	HILL AFB	F-22A RADAR CROSS SECTION TESTING FAC	21,053	21,053	25,000
Air Force	UTAH	HILL AFB	PCC APRON NORTHWEST END TAXIWAY		5,100	3,200
Air Guard	VERMONT	BURLINGTON IAP	FIRE CRASH AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION AND ALTERATION		6,000	21,053
ARNG	VERMONT	ETHAN ALLEN FIRING RANGE	BOO ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS		1,996	5,100
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	DAHLGREN	AEGIS BMD FACILITY EXPANSION	24,500	24,500	6,000
Navy	VIRGINIA	DAHLGREN	ELECTROMAGNETIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FACILITY		3,660	1,996
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	DAM NECK	SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY INC III	15,967	15,967	24,500
Navy	VIRGINIA	DAM NECK	SOF CAFETERIA		6,100	3,660
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT A.P. HILL	AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	4,900	4,900	15,967
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT A.P. HILL	FIELD TRAINING AREA	9,000	9,000	14,170
						4,900
						9,000

Army	VIRGINIA	FORT A.P. HILL	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT BELVOIR	FLIGHT CONTROL TOWER	8,400	8,400	8,400	8,400
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT BELVOIR	ROAD AND ACCESS CONTROL POINT	9,500	9,500	9,500	9,500
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT BELVOIR	ROAD AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	20,000	20,000	20,000	-20,000
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT LEE	DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
ARMG	VIRGINIA	FORT PICKETT	REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PH2	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
Army	VIRGINIA	FT. EUSTIS	UPGRADE MARSHALLING AREA	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900
Air Force	VIRGINIA	LANGLEY AFB	WEST & LASALLE GATES FORCE PROTECTION/ACCESS	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	LITTLE CREEK	SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY OPERATION FACILITY	18,669	18,669	18,669	18,669
Navy	VIRGINIA	LITTLE CREEK	NAVAL CONSTRUCTION DIVISION OPERATIONS FAC	13,095	13,095	13,095	13,095
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	E-2D TRAINER FACILITY	11,737	11,737	11,737	11,737
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	FACILITY UPGRADES FOR E-2D PROGRAM	6,402	6,402	6,402	6,402
Milcon, Naval Res	VIRGINIA	OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION	C-40 HANGAR	30,400	30,400	30,400	30,400
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	PENTAGON	PENTAGON ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	19,272	19,272	19,272	19,272
Def-Wide	VIRGINIA	PENTAGON	SECONDARY UNINTERRUPTIBLE POWER RAVEN ROCK	8,400	8,400	8,400	8,400
Navy	VIRGINIA	PORTSMOUTH	SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT, Inc 1	226,969	176,969	126,969	126,969
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	STUDENT QUARTERS—TBS (PHASE 4)	32,060	32,060	32,060	32,060
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	BATTALION TRAINING FACILITY—MSGBN	10,340	10,340	10,340	10,340
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	MC INFORMATION OPERATIONS CENTER—MCIOC	29,620	29,620	29,620	29,620
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	AIRCRAFT TRAINER	3,170	3,170	3,170	3,170
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	DINING FACILITY - TBS	14,780	14,780	14,780	14,780
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	SOUTH MAINSIDE ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	15,270	15,270	15,270	15,270
Navy	WASHINGTON	BANGOR	LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION/STRG CNPLX (INC 6)	87,292	87,292	87,292	87,292
Navy	WASHINGTON	BREMERTON	ENCLOVE FENCING/ PARKING, SILVERDALE WA	67,419	67,419	67,419	67,419
Navy	WASHINGTON	BREMERTON	CYN MAINTENANCE PIER REPLACEMENT (INC 2)	69,064	69,064	69,064	69,064
Navy	WASHINGTON	EVERETT NS	SMALL CRAFT LAUNCH	3,810	3,810	3,810	3,810
Air Force	WASHINGTON	FAIRCHILD AFB	SERE FORCE SUPPORT COMPLEX, PHASE I	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
Air Force	WASHINGTON	FAIRCHILD AFB	TFI REFUEL VEH MAINT FACILITY	4,150	4,150	4,150	4,150
Def-Wide	WASHINGTON	FAIRCHILD AFB	REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	ANIMAL BUILDING	3,050	3,050	3,050	3,050
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	BRIGADE COMPLEX, INC 4	102,000	102,000	102,000	102,000
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	FT LEWIS-MCCHORD AFB JOINT ACCESS	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)								
Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Def-Wide	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	SOF SUPPORT COMPANY FACILITY	14,500	14,500	14,500		14,500
Def-Wide	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	15,636	15,636	15,636		15,636
Navy	WASHINGTON	INDIAN ISLAND NM	ORD STORAGE PADS W/2 COVERS		13,130		13,130	13,130
Navy	WASHINGTON	SPOKANE	JNT PERS RECOVERY AGENCY SPECIALIZED SERE TRA	12,707	12,707	12,707		12,707
Air Guard	WEST VIRGINIA	MARTINSBURG	C-5 TAXWAY UPGRADES			19,500	19,500	19,500
Navy	WEST VIRGINIA	NAVY, SUGAR GROVE	EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER			9,560	10,990	10,990
ARNG	WEST VIRGINIA	ST. ALBANS ARMORY	READINESS CENTER ADDITIONS			2,000	2,000	2,000
USAR	WISCONSIN	FORT MCCOY	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
USAR	WISCONSIN	FORT MCCOY	RANGE UTILITY UPGRADE			3,850	3,850	3,850
Air Guard	WISCONSIN	GENERAL MITCHELL IAP	UPGRADE CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Air Guard	WYOMING	CHEYENNE AIRPORT	SQUADRON OPERATIONS			1,500	1,500	1,500
Air Force	WYOMING	F. E. WARREN AFB	ADAL MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	9,100	9,100	9,100		9,100
Air Force	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	RECISSION PL 110-417 UAS MAINT COMPLEX				-22,000	-22,000
Air Force	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	RECISSION PL 110-417 UAS OPS COMPLEX	7,479,498	7,129,498	7,479,498	-15,500	-15,500
BRAC 05	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 2005	396,768	536,768	396,768	-24,000	7,455,498
BRAC IV	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE IV		-500,000		100,000	496,768
Army	ZU	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM STATIONING		450,000		-166,000	-166,000
Army	ZU	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	TRAINEE TROOP HOUSING			22,000	350,000	350,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	PASSENGER TERMINAL	22,000	22,000	22,000		22,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	FUEL SYSTEM PH 6	12,000	12,000	12,000		12,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	FUEL SYSTEM PH 7	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	COALITION OPERATION CENTER	49,000	49,000	49,000		49,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	APS COMPOUND	38,000		38,000	-38,000	
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,600	2,600	2,600		2,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BARRACKS	18,500	18,500			18,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	38,000			-38,000	
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	PERIMETER FENCE AND GUARD TOWERS	7,000			-7,000	
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT PHASE 2	41,526	41,526	41,526		41,526
Navy	BAHRAIN	SW ASIA	REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (SHAPE)	38,124	38,124	38,124		38,124
Def-Wide	BELGIUM	BRUSSELS						

Army	BELGIUM	MONS	NATO SOF OPERATIONAL SUPPORT	20,000	20,000	20,000
Def-Wide	BELGIUM	BRUSSELS	NATO HEADQUARTERS	41,400	41,400	41,400
Air Force	COLOMBIA	PALANQUERO AB	PALANQUERO AB DEVELOPMENT	46,000	46,000	46,000
Def-Wide	CZECH REPUBLIC	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	RECESSION PL 110-417 EMCR SITE		-108,560	-108,560
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	INTERIOR PAVED ROADS PHASE A	7,275	7,275	7,275
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	AMMO SUPPLY POINT	21,689	21,689	21,689
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	SECURITY FENCING I	8,109	8,109	8,109
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	FIRE STATION	4,772	4,772	4,772
Army	GERMANY	ANSBACH	BARRACKS	17,500	17,500	17,500
Army	GERMANY	ANSBACH	BARRACKS	14,200	14,200	14,200
FH Con Army	GERMANY	BAUMHOLDER	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRU(138 UNITS)	18,000	18,000	18,000
Def-Wide	GERMANY	BOEBLINGEN	NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL		50,000	50,000
Def-Wide	GERMANY	KAISERLAUTERN AB	KAISERLAUTERN COMPLEX-PHASE 1	19,380	19,380	19,380
Def-Wide	GERMANY	KAISERLAUTERN AB	KAISERLAUTERN HS REPLACE SCHOOL	74,165	74,165	74,165
Army	GERMANY	KLEBER KASERNE	BARRACKS	20,000	20,000	20,000
Army	GERMANY	LANDSTUHL	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WIT) COMPLEX	25,000	25,000	25,000
Air Force	GERMANY	RAMSTEIN AB	CONSTRUCT AGE MAINT COMPLEX	11,500	11,500	11,500
Air Force	GERMANY	RAMSTEIN AB	CONTINGENCY RESPONSE GROUP COMMAND	23,200	23,200	23,200
Air Force	GERMANY	SPANGDAHELM AB	FITNESS CTR	23,500	23,500	23,500
Def-Wide	GERMANY	WEISBADEN	WIESBADEN HS NEW CAFETERIA AND KITCHEN	5,379	5,379	5,379
FH Con Army	GERMANY	WEISBADEN	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONST INC 2	10,000	10,000	10,000
FH Con Army	GERMANY	WEISBADEN	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONST INC 2	11,000	11,000	11,000
FH Con Army	GERMANY	WEISBADEN	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONST INC 2	11,000	11,000	11,000
Def-Wide	GREECE	SOUDA BAY	FUEL STORAGE TANKS & PIPELINE RPL	24,000	24,000	24,000
Def-Wide	GUAM	AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION	REPLACE GAS CYLANDER STORAGE FACILITY	4,900	4,900	4,900
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	POSTAL SERVICE CENTER	3,500	3,500	3,500
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	STRIKE FOL ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	33,750	33,750	33,750
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	NW FIELD ATP PERIMETER FENCE AND ROAD	4,752	4,752	4,752
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	COMMANDO WARRIOR OPERATIONS FAC	4,200	4,200	4,200
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	NW FIELD COMBAT SPT VEHICLE MAINT FAC	15,500	15,500	15,500
ARNG	GUAM	BARRIGADA	READINESS CENTER	30,000	30,000	30,000
Def-Wide	GUAM	GUAM	HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR I	259,156	259,156	259,156
FH Con Navy	GUAM	GUAM	REPLACE GUAM N. TIPALAO PH III	20,730	20,730	20,730
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	CONSOLIDATED SLC TRAINING & CSS-15 HQ FAC	45,309	45,309	45,309

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	MILITARY WORKING DOG RELOCATION, APR A HAR- BOR	27,070	27,070	10,000	-13,070	14,000
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	48,860	48,860	48,860		48,860
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	AAFB NORTH RAMP UTILITIES INCR 1	21,500	21,500			21,500
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	AAFB NORTH RAMP PARKING INCR 1	88,797	88,797			88,797
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP. INCR 1	167,033	127,033	83,517	-40,000	127,033
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	TORPEDO EXERCISE SUPPORT BUILDING	15,627	15,627	15,627		15,627
Def-Wide	GUAM	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	UNSPECIFIED VARIOUS LOCATIONS		350,000			
Def-Wide	GUANTANAMO	GUANTANAMO BAY	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	12,500	12,500	12,500		12,500
Air Force	ITALY	SIGONELLA	GLOBAL HAWK AIRCRAFT MAINT AND OPS COMPLEX	31,300	31,300			31,300
Army	ITALY	VICENZA	BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SPT FAC, INCR 3	23,500	23,500	23,500		23,500
Army	ITALY	VICENZA	BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 3	22,500	22,500	22,500		22,500
Army	JAPAN	OKINAWA	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
Army	JAPAN	SAGAMIHARA	TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
Army	KOREA	CAMP HUMPHREYS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,000	19,000	19,000		19,000
Army	KOREA	CAMP HUMPHREYS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	18,000	18,000	18,000		18,000
Army	KOREA	CAMP HUMPHREYS	FIRE STATIONS	13,200	13,200	13,200		13,200
Def-Wide	KOREA	K-16 AIRFIELD	CONVERT WAREHOUSES	5,050	5,050	5,050		5,050
Def-Wide	KOREA	OSAN AB	REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	28,000	28,000	28,000		28,000
FH Con Navy	KOREA	PUSAN	CONSTR CHINHAEE WELCOME CTR/WAREHOUSE	4,376	4,376	4,376		4,376
Army	KUWAIT	CAMP ARIFJAN	APS WAREHOUSES	82,000	82,000	82,000		82,000
Air Force	OMAN	AL MUSANNAH AB	WAR RESERVE MATERIAL COMPOUND	47,000	47,000		-47,000	
Air Force	OMAN	AL MUSANNAH AB	AIRLIFT RAMP AND FUEL FACILITIES	69,000	69,000		-69,000	
Def-Wide	POLAND	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	RECISSION PL 110-417 EUROPEAN INTERCEPTOR SITE				-42,600	
USAR	PUERTO RICO	CAGUAS	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	12,400	12,400	12,400		12,400
Air Force	QATAR	AL UDEID, QATAR	BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX PH II	60,000	60,000	60,000		60,000
Navy	SPAIN	ROTA	RECEPTION AIRFIELD FACILITIES	26,278	26,278	26,278		26,278
Air Force	TURKEY	INCIRLIK AB	CONSTRUCT CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY CTR	9,200	9,200	9,200		9,200
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	MENWITH HILL STATION	MHS PSC CONSTRUCTION	37,588	37,588	37,588		37,588

Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	RAF MILDENHALL	CONNECT FUEL TANK DISTRIBUTION PIPE LN	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	ROYAL AIR FORCE ALCONBURY	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	14,227	14,227	14,227	14,227
Def-Wide	UNITED KINGDOM	ROYAL AIR FORCE LALASKAENHEATH	LIBERTY IS—GYMNASIUM	4,509	4,509	4,509	4,509
ARMG	VIRGIN ISLANDS	ST. CROIX	REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PHI	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Air Force	ZC	CLASSIFIED LOCATION	CLASSIFIED PLANNING & DESIGN	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
NSIP	ZU	NATO SECURITY INVEST PRGM	NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	276,314	234,914	276,314	197,414
AF Reserve	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,976	4,669	1,976	3,869
AF Reserve	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	800	3,300	800	800
Air Force	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,000	23,000	18,000	20,000
Air Force	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN	79,363	90,407	79,363	100,562
Air_Guard	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,000	24,005	9,000	17,005
Air_Guard	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN	10,061	12,021	10,061	13,021
Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION FY 10	23,000	33,000	23,000	25,000
Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN FY 10	153,029	162,872	153,029	175,519
Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOST NATION SUPPORT FY 10	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
ARMG	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	10,300	29,078	10,300	29,682
ARMG	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	23,981	40,488	23,981	47,429
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION—DODEA	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—DODEA	8,855	8,855	8,855	8,855
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION—DLA	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION—MDA	3,717	3,717	3,717	3,717
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—MDA	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—NSA	10,534	10,534	10,534	10,534
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION—SOCOM	6,022	6,022	6,022	6,022
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—SOCOM	4,425	4,425	4,425	4,425
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	JEP EXERCISE RELATED CONSTRUCTION—TJS	7,861	7,861	7,861	7,861
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION—TMA	4,525	4,525	4,525	4,525
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—TMA	72,974	72,974	72,974	72,974
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	90,000	90,000	123,013	123,013
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION—UNDD	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION—UNDD	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—UNDD	35,579	19,079	35,579	19,079

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/ Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Agreement
Def-Wide	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN—WHS	3,575	3,575	3,575		3,575
FH Con AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	61,737	61,737	61,737		61,737
FH Con AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CLASSIFIED PROJECT	50	50	50		50
FH Con AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,314	4,314	4,314		4,314
FH Con Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (2428 UNITS)	219,300	219,300	219,300		219,300
FH Con Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING P&D	3,936	3,936	3,936		3,936
FH Con Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	IMPROVEMENTS	118,692	118,692	118,692		118,692
FH Con Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	DESIGN	2,771	2,771	2,771		2,771
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	81,686	81,686	81,686		81,686
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	1,557	1,557	1,557		1,557
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	51,334	51,334	51,334		51,334
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	20,183	20,183	20,183		20,183
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	39,182	39,182	39,182		39,182
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,543	1,543	1,543		1,543
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING ACCOUNT	548	548	548		548
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	102,858	102,858	102,858		102,858
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT	1,911	1,911	1,911		1,911
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE (RPMA & RPMC)	148,318	148,318	148,318		148,318
FH Ops AF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION	53,816	53,816	53,816		53,816
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	81,650	81,650	81,650		81,650
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS	87,263	87,263	87,263		87,263
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,177	1,177	1,177		1,177
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	205,685	205,685	205,685		205,685
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	115,854	115,854	115,854		115,854
FH Ops Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	31,789	31,789	31,789		31,789
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	4,426	4,426	4,426		4,426
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	33,579	33,579	33,579		33,579
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	274	274	274		274
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	19	19	19		19
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	29	29	29		29

FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	309	309	309	309
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	366	366	366	366
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	RECISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110-5)				
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS	35	35	35	35
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	10,108	10,108	10,108	10,108
FH Ops DW	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	69	69	69	69
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	53,956	53,956	53,956	53,956
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,624	14,624	14,624	14,624
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,278	60,278	60,278	60,278
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	457	457	457	457
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	16,462	16,462	16,462	16,462
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	101,432	101,432	101,432	101,432
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	94,184	94,184	94,184	94,184
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147
FH Ops Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
FHIF	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	23,225	373,225	276,775	300,000
HOAP	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,371	2,371	580	2,951
Milcon, Naval Res	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,483	12,483	12,483	12,483
Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	166,896	166,896	12,756	179,652
Navy	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
USAR	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	22,262	22,262	454	22,716
USAR	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			55,000	55,000
AF Reserve	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			30,000	30,000
Air Guard	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			30,000	30,000
ARNG	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			55,000	55,000
Milcon, Naval Res	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			30,000	30,000
USAR	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PROGRAMMATIC PLUS UP			933,820	23,879,856
			TOTAL FY2010 AUTHORIZATIONS	22,946,036	22,918,593	228,929	23,174,965
			Prior Year Savings		-112,500	-175,800	-175,800
			General Reduction		-85,300	-529,091	-529,091
			GRAND TOTAL	22,946,036	2,806,093	228,929	23,174,965

2005 Base Realignment and Closure round FY 2010 project listing (sec. 4502)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4502) for 2005

Base Realignment and Closure round FY 2010 project listing.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	Commission Recommendation	Location	State	Project Title	Project Authorization	House Authorization	Senate Authorization	Conference Authorization of Appropriation
Army	11	Anniston (Pelham Range)	AL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
Army	11	Birmingham	AL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Army	11	Mobile	AL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	20,430	20,430	20,430	20,430
Defense Wide	134	Redstone Arsenal	AL	Von Braun Complex	0	27,800	27,800	27,800
Army	11	Tuscaloosa	AL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Army	13	Camden	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,800	9,800	9,800	9,800
Army	13	El Dorado	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Army	13	Hot Springs	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,600	14,600	14,600	14,600
Army	13	Pine Bluff	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Army	12	Marana	AZ	Armed Forces Reserve Center	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000
Navy	57	Barstow	CA	Industrial Machine Shop Facility	14,131	14,130	14,130	14,130
Navy	184	China Lake	CA	Shipboard Shock Test Facility	3,160	3,160	3,160	3,160
Navy	184	China Lake	CA	Weapons Dynamics RDT&E Center	5,970	5,970	5,970	5,970
Army	15	Middletown	CT	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Incr 2	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000
Navy	149	Washington	DC	Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation (INCR II of II).	71,929	71,929	71,929	71,929
Navy	149	Washington	DC	Renovate 3rd Floor Building 176, Washington Navy Yard.	750	750	750	750
Army	4	Eglin AFB	FL	Special Forces Complex, Incr 2	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	BRAC F-35 Live Ordnance Load Area (LOLA) ..	6,624	6,624	6,624	6,624
Air Force	4B, 125	Eglin AFB	FL	CE Facility	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	F-35 (USF) Duke Field Control Tower	2,280	2,280	2,280	2,280
Air Force	4B, 125	Eglin AFB	FL	Fitness Facility	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	STOVL Simulated Carrier Practice Landing Deck.	27,690	27,690	27,690	27,690
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	School Age Facility	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	Security Forces Facility	890	890	890	890
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	Taxiway Extension	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB	FL	Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility	900	900	900	900
Army	9	Benning	GA	AAFES Troop Store	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
Army	17	Benning	GA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Army	2	Benning	GA	Equipment Concentration Site	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000
Army	9	Benning	GA	General Instruction Complex 2, Incr 2	58,000	58,000	58,000	58,000
Army	9	Benning	GA	Maneuver Ctr HQ & CDI Bldg Expansion	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000
Army	9	Benning	GA	Medical Facility, Incr 2	77,000	77,000	77,000	77,000
Army	21	Cedar Rapids	IA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000
Army	21	Iowa AAP	IA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000
Army	21	Muscatine	IA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
Army	2	Rock Island	IL	Army Headquarters Building Renovation	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Army	43	Campbell	KY	Armed Forces Reserve Center	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
Army	2	Campbell	KY	Headquarters Building, Group	14,800	14,800	14,800	14,800
Army	55	Knox	KY	Armed Forces Reserve Center	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
Army	5	Aberdeen PG	MD	C4ISR, Phase 2, Incr 2	156,000	156,000	156,000	156,000
Defense Wide	169	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	MD	Medical Center Addition—Increment 3	108,850	108,850	108,850	108,850
Defense Wide	169	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	MD	Traffic Mitigation Increment 1	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400
Defense Wide	169	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	MD	Site Utility Infrastructure Upgrade for NICoE ..	0	6,500	6,500	6,500
Army	174	Detrick	MD	Joint Bio-Med RDA Management Center	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
Army	169	Forest Glenn	MD	Museum	12,200	12,200	12,200	12,200
Defense Wide	140	Fort Meade	MD	Construct DISA Building	131,662	131,662	131,662	131,662
Army	141	Fort Meade	MD	Defense Media Activity, Incr 2	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
Navy	65	Brunswick	ME	Marine Corps Reserve Center	12,960	12,960	12,960	12,960
Army	176	Detroit Arsenal	MI	Administrative Office Buildings, Incr 2	0	21,384	21,384	21,384
Army	176	Detroit Arsenal	MI	Weapons Systems Support and Training	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
Army	26	Ft. Custer (Augusta)	MI	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500	18,500	18,500	18,500
Air Force	95	Selfridge ANGB	MI	A10 Arm/Disarm Apron	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
Air Force	95	Selfridge ANGB	MI	Repair Munitions Admin Building 891	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
Air Force	95	Selfridge ANGB	MI	Upgrade Munitions Maintenance Shop	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
Air Force	95	Selfridge ANGB	MI	Upgrade Munitions Missile Maintenance Bays	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
Army	28	Kirksville	MO	Armed Forces Reserve Center	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600
Army	29	Great Falls	MT	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
Army	3	Bragg	NC	Band Training Facility	4,200	4,200	4,200	4,200
Army	3	Bragg	NC	Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Incr 3 ..	124,000	124,000	124,000	124,000
Army	35	Wilmington	NC	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Army	36	Fargo	ND	Armed Forces Reserve Center	11,200	11,200	11,200	11,200
Army	30	Columbus	NE	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,300	9,300	9,300	9,300
Army	30	McCook	NE	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,900	7,900	7,900	7,900
Army	32	Camden	NJ	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Army	5	West Point	NY	US Military Academy Prep School, Incr 2	0	98,000	98,000	98,000
Army	37	Columbus	OH	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Incr 2	0	30,218	30,218	30,218
Navy	73	Akron	OH	Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,840	13,840	13,840	13,840
Army	126	Sill	OK	Joint Fires & Effects Simulator Building	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Air Force	92	Will Rogers World APT AGS	OK	Relocate Global Air Traffic Operation Program Office.	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
Army	40	Allentown	PA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Army	150	Tobyhanna	PA	Electronics Maintenance Shop, Depot Level	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
Air Force	68	Willow Grove ARS, NAS Willow Grove JRB	PA	Establish Enclave	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Army	42	Bristol	RI	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500

2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND FY 2010 PROJECT LISTING
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	Commission Recom- mendation	Location	State	Project Title	Project Authorization	House Authorization	Senate Authorization	Conference Authorization of Appropriation
Navy	181	Charleston	SC	SPAWAR Data Center	9,670	9,670	9,670	9,670
Navy	138	Goose Creek	SC	Consolidated Brig Addition	9,790	9,790	9,790	9,790
Army	3	Shaw AFB	SC	Headquarters Building, Third US Army, Incr 2	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
Army	43	Chattanooga	TN	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900
Army	10	Bliss	TX	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Incr 3	110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000
Army	10	Bliss	TX	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Incr 3	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000
Army	10	Bliss	TX	Hospital Add/Alt, WBAMC	24,000	24,000	24,000	0
Army	10	Bliss	TX	Hospital Replacement	89,000	89,000	89,000	89,000
Army	10	Bliss	TX	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 2	104,000	104,000	104,000	104,000
Army	44	Brownsville	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Army	44	Huntsville	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000
Army	44	Kingsville	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Air Force	146	Lackland AFB	TX	Joint Base San Antonio Headquarters Facility	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
Army	44	Lufkin	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Air Force	128	Randolph AFB	TX	Renovate Building 38	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
Army	44	Red River	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,200	14,200	14,200	14,200
Defense Wide	172	Fort Sam Houston	TX	San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Incr 3.	0	163,750	163,750	163,750
Army	148	Sam Houston	TX	Add/Alt Building 2270	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Army	148	Sam Houston	TX	Housing, Enlisted Permanent Party	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800
Army	148	Sam Houston	TX	IMCOM Campus Area Infrastructure	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
Army	148	Sam Houston	TX	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM	48,000	48,000	48,000	48,000
Army	132	Belvoir	VA	Infrastructure Support, Incr 3	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Army	168	Belvoir	VA	Infrastructure Support, Incr 3	39,400	39,400	39,400	39,400
Army	169	Belvoir	VA	NARMC HQ Building	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Defense Wide	168	Fort Belvoir	VA	NGA Headquarters Facility	0	168,749	168,749	168,749
Defense Wide	169	Fort Belvoir	VA	Hospital Replacement—Increment 4	140,750	140,750	140,750	140,750
Defense Wide	169	Fort Belvoir	VA	Dental Clinic	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600
Defense Wide	133	Fort Belvoir	VA	Office Complex Increment 3	0	360,533	360,533	360,533
Army	8	Eustis	VA	Bldg 705 Renv (AAA & 902d MI)	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
Army	8	Eustis	VA	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM Eastern Region	5,700	5,700	5,700	5,700
Army	8	Eustis	VA	Headquarters Building, TRADOC, Incr 2	34,300	34,300	34,300	34,300
Army	8	Eustis	VA	Joint Task Force—Civil Support	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
Army	3	Eustis	VA	Renovation for ACA and NETCOM	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
Army	121	Lee	VA	AAFES Troop Store	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
Army	133	Lee	VA	Administrative Building (DCMA)	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Army	121	Lee	VA	Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Incr 4	0	30,000	30,000	30,000
Army	121	Lee	VA	Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Incr 3	137,000	137,000	137,000	137,000
Army	121	Lee	VA	Combat Service Support School, Ph 3, Incr 2	145,000	145,000	145,000	145,000
Army	121	Lee	VA	Consolidated Troop Med/Dntl Clinic	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Army	122	Lee	VA	HQs, Transportation Management Detachment	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
Army	121	Lee	VA	USMC Training Facilities	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Navy	149	Arlington	VA	Crystal Park 5 to Arlington Service Center	33,660	33,660	33,660	33,660
Navy	138	Chesapeake	VA	Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR II of II).	0	47,560	47,560	47,560
Navy	181	Norfolk	VA	Building 1558 Renovations for SPAWAR	2,510	2,510	2,510	2,510
Army	47	Elkins	WV	Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
Army	47	Fairmont	WV	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Army	47	Spencer-Ripley	WV	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,540	19,540	19,540	19,540
Army	PM	Various	WW	Planning and Design	26,100	26,100	26,100	26,100
Army		Various	Various	Environmental	147,693	147,693	147,693	147,693
Navy		Various	Various	Environmental	16,529	16,529	16,529	16,529
Air Force		Various	Various	Environmental	19,454	19,454	19,454	19,454
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Environmental	0	0	0	0
Army		Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	1,169,334	1,169,334	1,169,334	1,169,334
Navy		Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	322,495	322,495	322,495	322,495
Air Force		Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	288,459	288,459	288,459	288,459
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	836,715	836,715	836,715	836,715
Army		Various	Various	MilPers PCS	0	0	0	0
Navy		Various	Various	MilPers PCS	6,504	6,504	6,504	6,504
Air Force		Various	Various	MilPers PCS	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970
Defense Wide		Various	Various	MilPers PCS	0	0	0	0
Army		Various	Various	Other	311,138	311,138	311,138	311,138
Navy		Various	Various	Other	20,115	20,115	20,115	20,115
Air Force		Various	Various	Other	23,443	23,443	23,443	23,443
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Other	412,320	412,320	412,320	412,320
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Other		-350,000		
				Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Army		4,081,037	4,081,037	4,057,037
				Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Navy		591,572	591,572	591,572
				Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Air Force		418,260	418,260	418,260
				Subtotal BRAC 2005 FY 2010, Defense Wide ..		2,038,629	2,388,629	2,388,629
				Total BRAC 2005 FY 2010 All Categories	5,934,740	7,129,498	7,479,498	7,455,498
Army		Various	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Army		133,723	98,723	138,723
Navy		Various	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Navy		228,000	168,000	228,000
Air Force		Various	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Air Force ..		172,364	127,364	127,364
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Base Realignment and Closure IV, Defense Wide.		2,681	2,681	2,681
				Total BRAC IV for FY 2010		536,768	396,768	496,768

Military construction for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4503)

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4504) for mili-

tary construction for overseas contingency operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment authorizing specific projects, programs, or activities and associated dollar amounts subject to appropriations.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Service	Country	Location	Project	Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Army	AFGHANISTAN	AIRBORNE	DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200		2,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	AIRBORNE	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	ALTIMUR	DINING FACILITY	2,150	2,150	2,150		2,150
Army	AFGHANISTAN	ALTIMUR	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	ASADABAD	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	5,500	5,500		5,500
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	CARGO TERMINAL	13,800	13,800	13,800		13,800
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.	8,900	8,900	8,900		8,900
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	6,400	6,400		6,400
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	TROOP HOUSING PHASE 3	22,000	0	0	-22,000	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PH 2	21,000	21,000	21,000		21,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	APS COMPOUND	0	38,000	0	38,000	38,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BARRACKS	0	0	18,500	0	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	PERIMETER FENCE AND GUARD TOWERS	0	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	0	38,000	38,000	38,000	38,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	ACCESS ROADS	21,000	21,000	21,000		21,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	MEDLOG WAREHOUSE	3,350	3,350	3,350		3,350
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BLESSING	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BOSTICK	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	5,500	5,500		5,500
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	4,900		4,900
Army	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	CONTINGENCY HOUSING PHASE 1	8,600	0	0	-8,600	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	CONTINGENCY HOUSING PHASE 2	6,900	0	0	-6,900	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	5,800	5,800	5,800		5,800
Army	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	6,900	6,900	6,900		6,900
Army	AFGHANISTAN	DWYER	DINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600	2,200		6,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	FRONTENAC	DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200		2,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	FRONTENAC	CONTINGENCY HOUSING	3,800	0	0	-3,800	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	GARDEZ	TACTICAL RUNWAY	28,000	28,000	28,000		28,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	GARDEZ	DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200		2,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	GARDEZ	CONTINGENCY HOUSING	8,400	0	0	-8,400	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	GARDEZ	FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	GHAZNI	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	5,500	5,500		5,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JALALABAD	DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	4,350		4,350
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JALALABAD	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	35,000	35,000		35,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JALALABAD	CONTINGENCY HOUSING	6,900	0	0	-6,900	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JALALABAD	PERIMETER FENCING	2,050	2,050	2,050		2,050
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JOYCE	DINING FACILITY	2,100	2,100	2,100		2,100
Army	AFGHANISTAN	JOYCE	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KABUL	USFOR-A HEADQUARTERS & HOUSING	98,000	98,000	98,000		98,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KABUL	CAMP PHOENIX WEST EXPANSION	39,000	39,000	39,000		39,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	SECURE RSOI FACILITY	9,700	9,700	9,700		9,700
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	TACTICAL AIRLIFT APRON	29,000	29,000	29,000		29,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	REFUELER APRON/RELOCATE HCP	66,000	66,000	66,000		66,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	CAS APRON EXPANSION	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	ISR APRON EXPANSION	40,000	40,000	40,000		40,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.	10,500	10,500	10,500		10,500
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	6,400	6,400		6,400
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	CARGO HELICOPTER APRON	32,000	32,000	32,000		32,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD	16,000	16,000	16,000		16,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	TROOP HOUSING PHASE 2	4,250	0	0	-4,250	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	TANKER TRUCK OFFLOAD FACILITY	23,000	23,000	23,000		23,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	SOUTHPARK ROADS	11,000	11,000	11,000		11,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	WAREHOUSE	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	KANDAHAR	THEATER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	55,000	55,000	55,000		55,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	MAYWAND	DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	6,600		2,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	MAYWAND	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	METHAR-LAM	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	4,150	4,150	4,150		4,150
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SALERNO	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	5,500	5,500		5,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SALERNO	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	2,600	2,600		2,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SALERNO	FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	12,800	12,800	12,800		12,800
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SALERNO	DINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300	4,300		4,300
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SALERNO	RUNWAY UPGRADE	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	4,900		4,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)								
Service	Country	Location	Project	Request	House Author- ized	Senate Author- ized	Conference Change	Conference Au- thorized
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	4,350		4,350
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	4,600	4,600	4,600		4,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	8,100	8,100	8,100		8,100
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,650	2,650	2,650		2,650
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHANK	TROUP HOUSING PHASE 2	8,600	0	0	–8,600	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHARANA	ROTARY WING PARKING	32,000	32,000	32,000		32,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHARANA	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	14,000	14,000	14,000		14,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHARANA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	12,200	12,200	12,200		12,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	SHARANA	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	2,600	2,600		2,600
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TARIN KOWT	CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	4,900		4,900
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TARIN KOWT	FUEL SYSTEM PHASE 2	11,800	11,800	11,800		11,800
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TARIN KOWT	WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	6,800	6,800	6,800		6,800
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TARIN KOWT	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	35,000	35,000		35,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TARIN KOWT	DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	2,200		2,200
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APRON EXPANSION	32,000	32,000	32,000		32,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	CAS APRON EXPANSION	40,000	40,000	40,000		40,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	ISR APRON	41,000	41,000	41,000		41,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	SECURE RSOI FACILITY	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	CARGO HANDLING AREA	18,000	18,000	18,000		18,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS	8,900	8,900	8,900		8,900
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,300	6,300	6,300		6,300
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	BASIC LOAD AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA	7,500	7,500	7,500		7,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	DINING FACILITY	8,900	8,900	8,900		8,900
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	ENTRY CONTROL POINT AND ACCESS ROADS	14,200	14,200	14,200		14,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	FUEL SYSTEM, PH 2	14,200	14,200	14,200		14,200
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	ROADS	4,300	4,300	4,300		4,300
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	TROOP HOUSING PHASE 3	3,250	0	0	–3,250	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	TROOP HOUSING PHASE 4	3,800	0	0	–3,800	0
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	LEVEL 3 MEDICAL FACILITY	16,500	16,500	16,500		16,500
Army	AFGHANISTAN	TOMBSTONE/BASTION	WATER SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ..	6,200	6,200	6,200		6,200
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	WOLVERINE	CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	4,900		4,900
Army	AFGHANISTAN	WOLVERINE	DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	4,350		4,350
Army	AFGHANISTAN	WOLVERINE	FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	5,800	5,800	5,800		5,800
Army	AFGHANISTAN	WOLVERINE	WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	6,900	6,900	6,900		6,900
Army	BELGIUM	MONS	NATO SOF OPERATIONAL SUPPORT			20,000		
Air Force	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	35,000	29,000	35,000	–6,000	29,000
Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,000	20,100	20,000	100	20,100
Army	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	76,284	76,284	75,884		76,284
NSA	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CLASSIFIED PROJECT	6,000	0	0	–6,000	0
NSA	ZU	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	600	0	0	–600	0
Grand Total Military Construction				1,404,984	1,398,984	1,404,984	–6,000	1,398,984

TITLE XLVI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS	partment of Energy national security pro-grams.	tivités and associated dollar amounts sub-ject to appropriations.
Department of Energy national security pro-grams (sec. 4601)	The House bill contained no similar provi-sion.	
The Senate amendment contained an au-thorization funding table (sec. 4601) for De-	The House recedes with an amendment au-thorizing specific projects, programs, or ac-	

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)						
Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized	
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability						
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability						
Infrastructure security & energy restoration	6,188	6,188	0	0		6,188
Weapons Activities						
Directed stockpile work						
Life extension programs						
W76 Life extension program	209,196	209,196	209,196			209,196
Total, Life extension programs	209,196	209,196	209,196	0		209,196
Stockpile systems						
B61 Stockpile systems	124,456	124,456	124,456			124,456
W76 Stockpile systems	65,497	65,497	65,497			65,497
W78 Stockpile systems	50,741	50,741	50,741			50,741
W80 Stockpile systems	19,064	19,064	19,064			19,064

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
B83 Stockpile systems	35,682	35,682	35,682		35,682
W87 Stockpile systems	51,817	51,817	51,817		51,817
W88 Stockpile systems	43,043	43,043	43,043		43,043
Total, Stockpile systems	390,300	390,300	390,300	0	390,300
Weapons dismantlement and disposition					
Operation and maintenance	84,100	84,100	99,100	10,000	94,100
Total, Weapons dismantlement and disposition	84,100	84,100	99,100	10,000	94,100
Stockpile services					
Production support	301,484	301,484	301,484		301,484
Research and development support	37,071	37,071	37,071		37,071
R&D certification and safety	143,076	153,076	173,076	10,000	153,076
Dynamic plutonium experiment—NTS		[10,000]		[10,000]	
Management, technology, and production	200,223	200,223	200,223		200,223
Plutonium infrastructure sustainment	149,201	149,201	149,201		149,201
Total, Stockpile services	831,055	841,055	861,055	10,000	841,055
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,514,651	1,524,651	1,559,651	20,000	1,534,651
Campaigns:					
Science campaign					
Advanced certification	19,400	29,400	24,400		19,400
Program increase		[6,000]			
Dual Validation		[4,000]			
Primary assessment technologies	80,181	80,181	80,181		80,181
Dynamic materials properties	86,617	86,617	86,617		86,617
Academic alliances	30,251	30,251	30,251		30,251
Advanced radiography	22,328	22,328	22,328		22,328
Secondary assessment technologies	77,913	77,913	77,913		77,913
Total, Science campaign	316,690	326,690	321,690	0	316,690
Engineering campaign					
Enhanced surety	42,000	47,000	47,000	5,000	47,000
Program increase		[5,000]		[5,000]	
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	18,000	18,000	18,000		18,000
Nuclear survivability	21,000	21,000	21,000		21,000
Enhanced surveillance	69,000	69,000	79,000		69,000
Total, Engineering campaign	150,000	155,000	165,000	5,000	155,000
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign					
Ignition	106,734	111,734	106,734		106,734
National Ignition Campaign program increase		[5,000]			
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	72,252	77,252	72,252	1,000	73,252
National Ignition Campaign program increase		[5,000]		[1,000]	
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,000	15,000	5,000		5,000
Z Machine operations, Sandia National Laboratory		[10,000]			
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	4,000	4,000	4,000		4,000
Facility operations and target production	248,929	260,929	255,429	17,700	266,629
Omega operations			[6,500]	[6,500]	
National Ignition Campaign program increase		[12,000]		[11,200]	
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	436,915	468,915	443,415	18,700	455,615
Advanced simulation and computing campaign					
Operation and maintenance	556,125	586,125	565,125		556,125
Program increase		[30,000]			
Total, Advanced simulation and computing campaign	556,125	586,125	565,125	0	556,125
Readiness Campaign					
Stockpile readiness	5,746	5,746	5,746		5,746
High explosives and weapon operations	4,608	4,608	4,608		4,608
Nonnuclear readiness	12,701	12,701	12,701		12,701
Tritium readiness	68,246	68,246	48,246		68,246
Advanced design and production technologies	8,699	8,699	8,699		8,699
Total, Readiness campaign	100,000	100,000	80,000	0	100,000
Total, Campaigns	1,559,730	1,636,730	1,575,230	23,700	1,583,430

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)					
Operation of facilities	1,342,303		1,342,303	18,000	1,360,303
Kansas City Plant		169,056			
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		86,670			
Los Alamos National Laboratory		311,776			
Nevada Test Site		79,583			
Panex Plant		146,602			
Pantex Plant program increase		[15,000]		[8,000]	
Sandia National Laboratories		114,133			
Sandia National Laboratories program increase		[10,000]			
Savannah River Site		128,580			
Y-12 National Security Complex		225,774			
Y-12 National Security Complex program increase		[15,000]		[10,000]	
Institutional Site Support		120,129			
Total, Operation of facilities	1,342,303	1,382,303	1,342,303	18,000	1,360,303
Program readiness	73,021	73,021	73,021		73,021
Material recycle and recovery	69,542	69,542	69,542		69,542
Containers	23,392	23,392	23,392		23,392
Storage	24,708	24,708	24,708		24,708
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)	1,532,966	1,572,966	1,532,966	18,000	1,550,966
Construction:					
10-D-501 Nuclear facilities risk reduction Y-12 National Security Complex, Oakridge, TN	12,500	12,500	12,500		12,500
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	30,321	30,321	30,321		30,321
09-D-007, LANSCE—Refurbishment, Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM	0	15,000	30,000	24,000	24,000
Program increase in support of RTBF		[15,000]		[24,000]	
09-D-404 Test capabilities revitalization II, Sandia National Laboratories, Albu- querque, NM	0	5,000		5,000	5,000
Program increase in support of RTBF		[5,000]		[5,000]	
08-D-801 High pressure fire loop (HPFL), Pantex, TX	31,910	31,910	31,910		31,910
08-D-804 TA-55 Reinvestment project, Los Alamos National Laboratory	0	5,000			
Program increase in support of RTBF		[5,000]			
08-D-802 High Explosive Pressing Facility, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	0	-20,000			
Prior year savings		[-20,000]			
06-D-140 Project engineering design (PED), various locations	70,678	70,678	70,678		70,678
06-D-402 NTS replace fire stations 1 & 2 Nevada Test Site, NV	1,473	1,473	1,473		1,473
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	55,000	55,000	35,000		55,000
04-D-128 TA-18 Criticality experiments facility (CEF), Los Alamos National Lab- oratory, Nevada Test Site, NV	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
Total, Construction	203,382	208,382	213,382	29,000	232,382
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,736,348	1,781,348	1,746,348	47,000	1,783,348
Secure transportation asset					
Operation and equipment	138,772	138,772	138,772		138,772
Program direction	96,143	96,143	96,143		96,143
Total, Secure transportation asset	234,915	234,915	234,915	0	234,915
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response	221,936	221,936	227,624	0	221,936
National technical forensics			[5,688]		
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program					
Operation and maintenance	144,959	144,959	144,959		144,959
Construction					
07-D-253 TA 1 heating systems modernization (HSM) Sandia National Labora- tory	9,963	9,963	9,963		9,963
Total, Construction	9,963	9,963	9,963	0	9,963
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	154,922	154,922	154,922	0	154,922
Site stewardship					
Environmental projects and operations	41,288	41,288	41,288		41,288
Nuclear materials integration	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
Stewardship planning	29,086	29,086	29,086		29,086
Total, Site stewardship	90,374	90,374	90,374	0	90,374

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Safeguards and security					
Defense nuclear security					
Operation and maintenance	700,044	700,044	700,044		700,044
Construction:					
10-D-701 Security improvements project Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	49,000	49,000	49,000		49,000
Total, Construction	49,000	49,000	49,000	0	49,000
Total, Defense nuclear security	749,044	749,044	749,044	0	749,044
Cyber security	122,511	122,511	122,511		122,511
Total, Safeguards and security	871,555	871,555	871,555	0	871,555
Support to intelligence			30,000		
Use of prior year balances				-42,000	-42,000
Total, Weapons Activities	6,384,431	6,516,431	6,490,619	48,700	6,433,131
 Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation					
Nonproliferation and verification research and development					
Operation and maintenance	297,300	297,300	347,300	40,000	337,300
Nonproliferation and international security	207,202	207,202	193,202	-20,000	187,202
Nuclear noncompliance verification			[-12,000]		
Global initiatives for proliferation prevention			[-2,000]		
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	552,300	731,400	552,300	39,750	592,050
Program Increase		[179,100]			
MPC&A				[39,750]	
Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	24,507	24,507	24,507		24,507
Fissile materials disposition					
U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition					
Operation and maintenance					
U.S. plutonium disposition	90,896	90,896	90,896		90,896
U.S. uranium disposition	34,691	34,691	32,691		34,691
Supporting activities	1,075	1,075	1,075		1,075
Total, Operation and maintenance	126,662	126,662	124,662	0	126,662
Construction:					
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River Site, SC	504,238	504,238	504,238		504,238
99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building, Savannah River, SC	70,000	70,000	70,000		70,000
Total, Construction	574,238	574,238	574,238	0	574,238
Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition	700,900	700,900	698,900	0	700,900
Russian surplus materials disposition	1,000	1,000	7,000		1,000
Total, Fissile materials disposition	701,900	701,900	705,900	0	701,900
Global threat reduction initiative	353,500	577,000	313,500	-20,000	333,500
Gap nuclear material			[-40,000]		
Program Increase		[223,500]			
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,539,309	2,136,709	39,750	2,176,459
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,136,709	2,539,309	2,136,709	39,750	2,176,459
 Naval Reactors					
Naval reactors development					
Operation and maintenance					
Operation and maintenance	935,533	935,533	935,533		935,533
Total, Operation and maintenance	935,533	935,533	935,533	0	935,533
Construction:					
10-D-903, KAPL Security upgrades, Schnectady, NY	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
10-D-904, NRF infrastructure upgrades, ID	700	700	700		700
09-D-190, PED, Infrastructure upgrades, KAPL, Schnectady, NY	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000
09-D-902, NRF Production Support Complex, ID	6,400	6,400	6,400		6,400

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
08-D-190 NRF Project engineering and design Expended Core Facility M-290 receiving/discharge station, ID	9,500	9,500	9,500		9,500
07-D-190 Materials research and technology complex, BAPL, Pittsburgh, PA	11,700	11,700	11,700		11,700
Total, Construction	30,800	30,800	30,800	0	30,800
Total, Naval reactors development	966,333	966,333	966,333	0	966,333
Program direction	36,800	36,800	36,800		36,800
Total, Naval Reactors	1,003,133	1,003,133	1,003,133	0	1,003,133
Office Of The Administrator					
Office of the administrator	431,074	431,074	431,074		431,074
Use of prior year balances	-10,320	-10,320	-10,320		-10,320
Total, Office Of The Administrator	420,754	420,754	420,754	0	420,754
Total, National Nuclear Security Administration	9,945,027	10,479,627	10,051,215	88,450	10,033,477
Defense Environmental Cleanup					
Closure sites:					
Closure sites administration	8,225	8,225	8,225		8,225
Miamisburg	33,243	33,243	33,243		33,243
Total, Closure sites	41,468	41,468	41,468	0	41,468
Hanford site:					
2012 accelerated completions					
Nuclear facility D&D river corridor closure project	327,955	327,955	327,955		327,955
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition PFP	118,087	118,087	118,087		118,087
SNF stabilization and disposition	55,325	55,325	55,325		55,325
Total, 2012 accelerated completions	501,367	501,367	501,367	0	501,367
2035 accelerated completions					
Nuclear facility D&D—remainder of Hanford	70,250	70,250	70,250		70,250
Richland community and regulatory support	21,940	21,940	21,940		21,940
Soil and water remediation—groundwater vadose zone	176,766	176,766	176,766		176,766
Solid waste stabilization and disposition 200 area	132,757	132,757	132,757		132,757
Total, 2035 accelerated completions	401,713	401,713	401,713	0	401,713
Total, Hanford site	903,080	903,080	903,080	0	903,080
Idaho National Laboratory:					
SNF stabilization and disposition—2012	14,768	14,768	14,768		14,768
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	137,000	137,000	137,000		137,000
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	95,800	95,800	95,800		95,800
Construction					
06-D-401 Sodium bearing waste treatment project, Idaho	83,700	83,700	83,700		83,700
Soil and water remediation—2012	71,000	71,000	71,000		71,000
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,900	3,900	3,900		3,900
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	406,168	406,168	406,168	0	406,168
NNSA sites					
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	910	910	910		910
NNSA Service Center/SPRU	17,938	17,938	17,938		17,938
Nevada	65,674	65,674	65,674		65,674
California site support	238	238	238		238
Sandia National Laboratories	2,864	2,864	2,864		2,864
Los Alamos National Laboratory	189,000	189,000	189,000		189,000
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	276,624	276,624	276,624	0	276,624
Oak Ridge Reservation:					
Building 3019	38,900	38,900	38,900		38,900
Nuclear facility D & D ORNL	38,900	38,900	38,900		38,900
Nuclear facility D & D Y-12	34,000	34,000	34,000		34,000
Nuclear facility D & D, E. Tennessee technology park	100	100	100		100
OR reservation community and regulatory support	6,253	6,253	6,253		6,253
Solid waste stabilization and disposition—2012	35,615	35,615	35,615		35,615
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	153,768	153,768	153,768	0	153,768

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Office of River Protection:					
Waste treatment and immobilization plant					
Construction:					
01-D-416 Waste treatment and immobilization plant					
01-D-16A Low activity waste facility	100,000	100,000	100,000		100,000
01-D-16B Analytical laboratory	55,000	55,000	55,000		55,000
01-D-16C Balance of facilities	50,000	50,000	50,000		50,000
01-D-16D High level waste facility	160,000	160,000	160,000		160,000
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	325,000	325,000	325,000		325,000
Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant	690,000	690,000	690,000	0	690,000
Tank farm activities					
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	408,000	408,000	408,000		408,000
Total, Office of River protection	1,098,000	1,098,000	1,098,000	0	1,098,000
Savannah River sites:					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	385,310	385,310	385,310		385,310
Construction:					
08-D-414 Project engineering and design Plutonium Vitrification Facility, VL	6,315	6,315	6,315		6,315
Total, Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	391,625	391,625	391,625	0	391,625
2035 accelerated completions					
SR community and regulatory support	18,300	18,300	18,300		18,300
Spent nuclear fuel stabilization and disposition	38,768	38,768	38,768		38,768
Total, 2035 accelerated completions	57,068	57,068	57,068	0	57,068
Tank farm activities					
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	527,138	527,138	527,138		527,138
Construction:					
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	234,118	234,118	234,118		234,118
Total, Tank farm activities	761,256	761,256	761,256	0	761,256
Total, Savannah River site	1,209,949	1,209,949	1,209,949	0	1,209,949
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant					
Waste isolation pilot plant	144,902	144,902	144,902		144,902
Central characterization project	13,730	13,730	13,730		13,730
Transportation	33,851	33,851	33,851		33,851
Community and regulatory support	27,854	27,854	27,854		27,854
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	220,337	220,337	220,337	0	220,337
Program direction	355,000	355,000	355,000		355,000
Program support	34,000	34,000	34,000		34,000
Safeguards and Security:					
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,644	4,644	4,644		4,644
Oak Ridge Reservation	32,400	32,400	32,400		32,400
West Valley	1,859	1,859	1,859		1,859
Paducah	8,190	8,190	8,190		8,190
Portsmouth	17,509	17,509	17,509		17,509
Richland/Hanford Site	82,771	82,771	82,771		82,771
Savannah River Site	132,064	132,064	132,064		132,064
Total, Safeguards and Security	279,437	279,437	279,437	0	279,437
Technology development	55,000	55,000	55,000		55,000
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	463,000	463,000		463,000
General reduction			-100,000		
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	5,495,831	5,495,831	5,395,831	0	5,495,831
UNDISTRIBUTED					
Realignment to support NNSA Weapons Activities	0	-102,540			
Transfer to Title II	0	-368,800			
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,495,831	5,024,491	5,395,831	0	5,495,831

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2010 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Other Defense Activities					
Health, safety and security					
Health, safety and security	337,757	357,757	337,757		337,757
Program increase		[20,000]			
Program direction	112,125	112,125	112,125		112,125
Total, Health, safety and security	449,882	469,882	449,882	0	449,882
Office of Legacy Management					
Legacy management	177,618	177,618	177,618		177,618
Program direction	12,184	12,184	12,184		12,184
Total, Office of Legacy Management	189,802	189,802	189,802	0	189,802
Nuclear energy					
Infrastructure					
Idaho facilities management					
INL infrastructure O&M	83,358	83,358	83,358		83,358
Total, Infrastructure	83,358	83,358	83,358	0	83,358
Total, Nuclear energy	83,358	83,358	83,358	0	83,358
Defense related administrative support	122,982	122,982	122,982		122,982
Office of hearings and appeals	6,444	6,444	6,444		6,444
Total, Other Defense Activities	852,468	872,468	852,468	0	852,468
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal					
Defense nuclear waste disposal	98,400	98,400	98,400		98,400
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,446,699	5,995,359	6,346,699	0	6,446,699
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	16,391,726	16,474,986	16,397,914	88,450	16,480,176
Total, Department of Energy	16,397,914	16,481,174	16,397,914	88,450	16,486,364

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act military construction

The Senate amendment contained an authorization funding table (sec. 4503) for military construction using amounts appropriated by title X of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*DIVISION E—MATTHEW SHEPARD AND
JAMES BYRD, JR. HATE CRIMES PREVEN-
TION ACT*

TITLE XLVII

Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act (secs. 4701–4713)

The Senate amendment contained a Division (secs. 4701–4714) that would provide support for criminal investigations and prosecutions of hate crimes by State, local, and tribal law enforcement officials; add a new section to title 18, United States Code, prohibiting certain hate crime acts; establish certain limitations and guidelines for the pros-

ecution of hate crimes; and add a new section to title 18, United States Code, prohibiting attacks on United States service members on account of their service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the First Amendment protections in the provision; eliminate language authorizing the death penalty for certain hate crimes; direct the U.S. Sentencing Commission to produce a report on mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under Federal law; and make other technical and clarifying amendments.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
TITLE 1					
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY					
36	Air warrior ensemble generation III	3,000	Warner	Aerial Machine and Tool Company	Vesta, VA
36	Air warrior ensemble generation III	3,000	Webb	Aerial Machine and Tool Company	Vesta, VA
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY					
8	M722 60mm white phosphorous smoke mortar rounds	2,000	Lincoln	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
8	M722 60mm white phosphorous smoke mortar rounds	2,000	Pryor	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
36	Bomb line modernization	2,000	Inhofe	McMaster Defense Support Association	McMaster, OK
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY					
135	FIDO explosive detector	3,000	Inhofe	ICx Nomadics	Stillwater and Norman, OK
154	Combat casualty care equipment upgrade program	3,000	Graham	North American Rescue	Greer, SC
177	Immersive group simulation virtual training system for the Hawaii ARNG	2,500	Alaska	Atlantis Cyberspace	Honolulu, HI
177	Joint fires & effects trainer systems (JFETS)	5,000	Inhofe	Stanley Associates	Fort Sill, OK
177	Operator driving simulator	350	Levin	FAAC, Inc	Ann Arbor, MI
177	Operator driving simulator	350	Stabenow	FAAC Inc	Ann Arbor, MI
177	Operator driving simulator	350	Corker	TN National Guard	Nashville, TN
177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)	4,000	Chambliss	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fort Benning Infantry School
177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)	4,000	Wicker	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc	Hattiesburg, MS
177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)	4,000	Warner	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fairfax, VA
177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE)	4,000	Webb	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fairfax, VA
177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE) training system - VA ARNG	2,000	Warner	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fairfax, VA

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

177	Virtual interactive combat environment (VICE) training system - VA ARNG	2,000	Webb	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fairfax, VA

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

4	F/A-18E/F additional aircraft	512,280	NMR	n/a	n/a

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

16	Accelerate facility restoration program	10,000	Byrd	NavSea	ABL, Rocket Center, WV

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

7	Smart valves for fire suppression	3,100	Collins	Portland Valve	S. Portland, ME
7	Smart valves for fire suppression	3,100	Snowe	Portland Valve, Inc	South Portland, ME
34	TB-33 thinline towed array	4,000	Dodd	L-3 Chesapeake Sciences Corporation	Stonington, CT
34	TB-33 thinline towed array	4,000	Leiberman	L-3 Chesapeake Sciences	Stonington, CT
34	TB-33 thinline towed array	4,000	Reed	L-3 Chesapeake Sciences Corporation	Ashaway, RI

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

64	Listening ATP upgrade kits	24,000	Nelson, Bill	Northrop Grumman	Arlington, VA
64	Listening ATP upgrade kits	24,000	Landrieu	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Bossier City, LA
64	Listening ATP upgrade kits	24,000	Thune	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Rolling Meadows, IL

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

24	Joint threat emitter (JTE)	1,000	Crapo	Mountain Home AFB	Mountain Home, ID
24	Joint threat emitter (JTE)	1,000	Riech	Mountain Home AFB	Mountain Home, ID
24	Joint threat emitter (JTE)	1,000	Levin	Michigan Air National Guard	Lansing, MI
24	Unmanned modular threat emitter (UMTE)	3,000	Reid	96th Range Wing	Nellis AFB

MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTIVE VEHICLE FUND

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
	Additional MRAP/ATVs to meet new requirement	600,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE					
36	Additional SM-3 Block 1A missiles	23,200	NMR	n/a	n/a
63	MC-130W multi-mission modifications	85,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
71	Advanced lightweight grenade launcher	5,000	Collins	General Dynamics/ATP	Saco, ME
71	Advanced lightweight grenade launcher	5,000	Snowe	General Dynamics - SACO	Saco, ME
85	Special operations visual augmentation systems	5,000	Kennedy	FLIR Systems Inc.	North Billerica, MA
85	Special operations visual augmentation systems	5,000	Kerry	FLIR Systems, Inc	North Billerica, MA
86	Special operations forces multi-band inter/intra team radio	10,000	NMR	n/a	n/a

TITLE 2

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

2	Ballistic materials research	3,500	Collins	Tex Tech Industries	N. Monmouth, ME
3	Nanocomposite materials research	2,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
3	Nanocomposite materials research	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
3	Open source intelligence research	1,000	Dodd	University of New Haven	West Haven, CT
3	Open source intelligence research	1,000	Brown	Advanced Technical Intelligence Center for Human Capital Development	Beavercreek, Ohio
3	Open source intelligence research	1,000	Bennett	Attensity, INC	Salt Lake City, UT
3	Open source intelligence research	1,000	Hatch	Attensity Corporation	Salt Lake City, UT
4	Immersive simulation research	1,200	McConnell	University of Kentucky	Lexington, KY
4	Materials processing research	1,500	Sessions	University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB)	Birmingham, AL

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
5	Advanced renewable jet fuels	3,000	Thune	SDSU	Brookings, SD
5	Applied composite materials research	3,000	Carper	University of Delaware	Newark, DE
5	Applied composite materials research	3,000	Kaufman	University of Delaware	Newark, DE
5	High strength fibers for ballistic armor applications	2,000	Graham	AGY Holding Corp	Aiken, SC
5	Moldable fabric armor	2,000	Graham	Miliken & Company	Spartanburg, SC
5	Nanomanufacturing of multifunctional sensors	3,000	Kennedy	UMass Lowell's Center for High-rate Nanomanufacturing	Lowell, MA
5	Nanomanufacturing of multifunctional sensors	3,000	Kerry	University of Massachusetts - Lowell	Lowell, MA
5	Smart materials and structures	1,000	Thune	SDSMA&T	Rapid City, SD
6	Nanoelectronic memory, sensor and energy devices	2,500	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
12	Cognitive modeling and simulation research	2,000	Chambliss	Columbus State University	CSU in cooperation with Fort Benning
12	Cognitive modeling and simulation research	2,000	Isakson	Columbus State University	Columbus, GA
13	Advanced composite materials research	3,500	Levin	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
13	Advanced composite materials research	3,500	Stabenow	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
13	Composite vehicle shelters	2,000	Bejich	Alkan Shelter, LLC	Fairbanks, AK
13	Tactical metal fabrication program	1,000	Kennedy	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Billerica, MA
13	Tactical metal fabrication program	1,000	Kerry	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Billerica, MA
13	Tactical metal fabrication program	1,000	Inhofe	IMTEC	Ardmore, OK
13	Tribology research	2,000	Levin	Oakland University	Rochester, MI
13	Tribology research	2,000	Stabenow	Oakland University	Rochester, MI
13	Vehicle systems engineering and integration activities	10,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
14	Lethality research	2,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
14	Reactive armor research	3,000	Dodd	Ensign Bickford Aerospace and Defense	Simsbury, CT
14	Reactive armor research	3,000	Lieberman	Ensign Bickford Aerospace and Defense	Simsbury, CT
14	Reactive armor research	3,000	McConnell	Ensign-Bickford Industries	Graham, KY
17	Acoustic gun detection systems	2,000	Kennedy	BBN Technologies	Cambridge, MA
17	Acoustic gun detection systems	2,000	Kerry	BBN Technologies	Cambridge, MA
17	Acoustic research	3,000	Wicker	University of Mississippi - National Center for Physical Acoustics	University, MS
17	UGV weaponization	2,500	Collins	Howe and Howe Technologies, Inc.	N. Berwick, ME
17	UGV weaponization	2,500	Snowe	Howe and Howe Technologies	N. Berwick, ME
18	Hybrid portable power program	3,200	Kennedy	Protonex Technology Corporation	Southborough, MA
18	Hybrid portable power program	3,200	Kerry	Protonex Technology, LLC	Southborough, MA
18	Hybrid portable power program	3,200	Wicker	Ultralife	West Point, MS
25	Cellulose nanocomposite panels for ballistic protection	2,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
25	Cellulose nanocomposite panels for ballistic protection	2,000	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
25	Geosciences atmospheric research	3,000	Bennet	Colorado State University	Fort Collins, CO
25	Geosciences atmospheric research	3,000	Udall, Mark	Colorado State University	Fort Collins, CO
27	Thermal resistant fiber research	2,500	Carper	INVISTA S.a.r.l.	Seaford, DE
27	Thermal resistant fiber research	2,500	Kaufman	INVISTA S.a.r.l.	Seaford, DE
27	Thermal resistant fiber research	2,500	Graham	Greenwood Mills	Greenwood, SC
28	Advanced bio-engineering for enhancement of soldier survivability	3,000	Chambliss	Georgia Tech	Atlanta, GA

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
28	Advanced functional nanomaterials for biological processes	2,500	Lincoln	University of Arkansas Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
28	Advanced functional nanomaterials for biological processes	2,500	Pryor	University of Arkansas Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
28	Biomechanics research	3,500	Warner	Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University	Blacksburg, VA
28	Biomechanics research	3,500	Webb	Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University	Blacksburg, VA
28	Blast wave modeling	3,000	Wicker	Diversified Technology	Ridgeland, MS
28	Blast wave modeling	3,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
28	Hemorrhage research	3,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE
28	Malaria vaccine development	2,500	Schumer	SUNY Upstate	Syracuse, NY
28	Malaria vaccine development	2,500	Cantwell	Seattle Biomedical Research Institute (SBR)	Seattle, WA
28	Neurotrauma research	3,500	Lugar	Purdue University	West Lafayette, IN
28	Neurotrauma research	3,500	Gillibrand	University of Rochester	Rochester, NY
28	Secondary trauma research	2,500	Udall, Mark	University of Colorado, Colorado Springs	Colorado Springs, CO
30	Biosensor controller systems development	2,000	Reid	Eye-Corn Corporation	Reno, NV
30	Body temperature conditioner systems	2,500	Reid	University of NV School of Medicine	Las Vegas, NV
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Feinstein		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Dodd		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Alaska		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Burns		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Kennedy	ALS Therapy Development Institute	Cambridge, MA

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Kerry	ALS Therapy Development Institute	Cambridge, MA
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Snowe		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Bond		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Teaser		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Brown		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Sanders	US Army Medical Research and Material Command	Fort Detrick, MD
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Murray		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Kohn		
30	Gulf War illness research	12,000	Rockefeller		
30	Integrated medical technology program	7,500	Kennedy	Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovative Technology	Boston, MA
30	Integrated medical technology program	7,500	Kerry	Center for Integration of Medicine and Innovative Technology	Boston, MA
30	Lower limb prosthetics research	2,000	Kennedy	Foster-Miller, Inc. and Liberating Technologies	Waltham and Holliston, MA
30	Lower limb prosthetics research	2,000	Kerry	Foster-Miller, Inc.	Waltham, MA
30	Regenerative medical research	4,000	Collins	Mount Desert Island Biological Laboratory	Salisbury Cove, ME
30	Regenerative medical research	4,000	Snowe	Mount Desert Island Biological Laboratory	Salisbury Cove
30	Regenerative medical research	4,000	Hagan	Institute for Regenerative Medicine at Wake Forest University	Winston-Salem, NC
31	Advanced affordable turbine engine	5,000	Dodd	Pratt & Whitney	Middletown, CT

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
31	Advanced affordable turbine engine	5,000	Lieberman	United Technologies	Hartford, CT
31	Universal control FADEC	9,000	Dodd	Goodrich Pump and Engine Control Systems	West Hartford, CT
31	Universal control FADEC	9,000	Lieberman	Goodrich Engine Controls	West Hartford, CT
32	Lethality research	6,500	NMR	n/a	n/a
33	Advanced APU development	2,000	Marinez	Florida Institute of Technology	Rockledge, FL
33	Advanced APU development	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Advent Power Systems, Inc.	Coconut Creek, FL
33	Advanced APU development	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Mainstream Engineering Corporation	Rockledge, FL
33	Advanced battery development program	10,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
33	Advanced lithium ion battery systems	3,000	Levin	A123 Systems, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
33	Advanced lithium ion battery systems	3,000	Stabenow	A123 Systems	Ann Arbor, MI
33	Advanced suspension systems for heavy vehicles	2,700	Reid	Advanced Materials and Devices, Inc.	Reno, NV
33	Advanced thermal management systems	3,000	Levin	Engineered Machined Products, Inc.	Escanaba, MI
33	Advanced thermal management systems	3,000	Stabenow	Engineered Machined Products Inc.	Escanaba, MI
33	Alternative energy research	20,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
33	Hybrid engine development program	4,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
33	Hybrid truck development	4,000	Boxer	National Automotive Center	Pasadena, CA
33	Hybrid truck development	4,000	Cardin	Volvo Powertrain of North America	Hagerstown, MD
33	Hydraulic hybrid vehicles (HHV) for the tactical wheeled fleet	3,500	Lugar	Navistar, Inc. Truck Group	Fort Wayne, IN
33	Hydraulic hybrid vehicles (HHV) for the tactical wheeled fleet	3,500	Levin	Boech Rexroth Corporation	Rochester Hills, MI

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
33	Hydraulic hybrid vehicles (HIV) for the tactical wheeled fleet	3,500	Stabenow	Bosch Rexroth Corporation	Rochester Hills, MI
33	Smart plug-in hybrid electric vehicle program	4,100	Levin	NextEnergy	Detroit, MI
33	Smart plug-in hybrid electric vehicle program	4,100	Stabenow	NextEnergy	Detroit, MI
33	Threat cue research	2,000	Levin	Michigan Tech University	Houghton, MI
33	Threat cue research	2,000	Stabenow	Michigan Technological University	Houghton, MI
33	Unmanned ground vehicle initiative	12,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
33	Unmanned robotic system utilizing hydrocarbon fueled solid oxide fuel cell	3,000	Levin	Adaptive Materials	Ann Arbor, MI
33	Unmanned robotic system utilizing hydrocarbon fueled solid oxide fuel cell	3,000	Stabenow	Adaptive Materials, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
33	Vehicle prognostics technologies	3,100	Wicker	HBM-nCode Products	Starkeville, MS
36	Combat medic training systems	2,000	Marinaz	University of Central Florida	Orlando, FL
36	Combat medic training systems	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Chi Systems, Inc.	Orlando, FL
36	Joint fires & effects trainer system enhancements	2,500	Inhofe	Creative Technologies, Inc.	Los Angeles, CA
43	Laser systems for light aircraft missile defense	1,000	Levin	Omni Sciences, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
43	Laser systems for light aircraft missile defense	1,000	Stabenow	Omni Sciences, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
44	Discriminatory imaging research	2,500	McConnell	University of Kentucky	Lexington, KY
48	Bradley third generation FLIR	5,000	Nelson, Bill	DRS Technologies Optonics	Palm Bay, FL
50	Pearl Harbor tunnel	500	Beahm	Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, US Army Corps of Engineers	Fox, AK
50	Photovoltaic technology development	2,000	Levin	United Solar Ovonic	Auburn Hills, MI
53	Adaptive robotic technology	3,500	Sessions	Calhoun Community College	Decatur, AL

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

53	Advanced electronics integration	3,000	Thune	Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Rosebud, SD
53	Advanced environmental controls	2,000	Reid	Rocky Research	Boulder City, NV
78	Lightweight caliber .50 machine gun	4,000	Collins	General Dynamics/ATP	Saco, ME
78	Lightweight caliber .50 machine gun	4,000	Snowe	General Dynamics - SACO	Saco, ME
81	Heavy tactical vehicle development	2,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
102	Common guidance control module	7,500	Inhofe	ATK	Plymouth, MN
114	Accelerate Paladin integration management	58,216	NMR	n/a	n/a
128	Common regional operational systems	3,000	Engelmann	White Sands Missile Range	White Sands Missile Range, NM
128	Common regional operational systems	3,000	Udall, Tom	White Sands Missile Range	White Sands Missile Range, NM
128	Data fusion systems	2,500	Bennett	ITT Advanced Engineering and Sciences	Dugway, UT
128	Data fusion systems	2,500	Hatch	Dugway Proving Ground, Utah; Utah State University's Space Dynamics Laboratory; and ITT	Logan, UT
128	Dugway field test improvements	4,500	Bennett	ITT Advanced Engineering and Sciences	Dugway, UT
128	Dugway field test improvements	4,500	Hatch	Dugway Proving Ground, Utah State University's Space Dynamics Laboratory, ITT	Logan, UT
130	Program increase	6,000	Engelmann	Northrop Grumman	White Sands Missile Range, NM
130	Program increase	6,000	Udall, Tom	Northrop Grumman	White Sands Missile Range, NM
140	3D woven preform technology for Army munitions	2,000	Reed	Textile Engineering & Manufacturing	Woonsocket, RI
172	Smart machine platform initiative	2,000	Brown	TechSolve	Cincinnati, OH
172	Smart machine platform initiative	2,000	Voinovich	TechSolve, Inc	Cincinnati, OH

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY					
172	Weapon systems repair technologies	1,500	Thune	H.F. Webster Inc.	Rapid City, SD
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY					
4	Energetics research	3,000	Cardin	Indian Head Division / Naval Surface Warfare Center	Indian Head, MD
4	Energetics research	3,000	Bingaman	Applied Research Associates	Albuquerque, NM
4	Energetics research	3,000	Udall, Tom	Applied Research Associates	Albuquerque, NM
5	Alternative energy research	20,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
5	Design optimization of composite high-speed boats using advanced composite and manufacturing and non-destructive evaluation	2,000	Collins	Hodgdon Defense Composites	Portland, ME
5	Design optimization of composite high-speed boats using advanced composite and manufacturing and non-destructive evaluation	2,000	Snowe	Hodgdon Defense Composites	E. Boothbay, ME
5	Energy systems integration research	4,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
5	Energy systems integration research	4,000	Nelson, Bill	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
5	Port security technologies	2,000	Voinovich	Battelle Memorial Institute	Columbus, OH
5	Port security technologies	2,000	Reed	Naval Undersea Warfare Center and Battelle	Newport, RI
9	Anti-reverse engineering technologies	1,000	Lincoln	Space Photonics	Fayetteville, AR
9	Anti-reverse engineering technologies	1,000	Pryor	Space Photonics	Fayetteville, AR
9	Managing and extending DOD asset lifecycles (MEDAL)	2,000	Akaka	Referentia Systems	Honolulu, HI
11	Advanced unmanned underwater vehicle research	1,000	Landrieu	C&C Technologies Inc	Lafayette, LA
11	Advanced unmanned underwater vehicle research	1,000	Cardin	Phoenix International Holdings Inc.	Largo, MD
11	Advanced unmanned underwater vehicle research	1,000	Reed	Alton Science and Technology	Middletown, RI

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY					
11	Laser underwater imaging and communications research	2,000	Marlitz	Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute at Florida Atlantic University	Fort Pierce, FL
11	Laser underwater imaging and communications research	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Florida Atlantic University (Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution)	Fort Pierce, FL
14	Electromagnetic signature assessment system	2,000	Crapo	University of Idaho	Moscow, ID
14	Electromagnetic signature assessment system	2,000	Risch	University of Idaho	Moscow, ID
15	Detection, tracking, and identification for ISRTTE of mobile asymmetric targets	2,500	Alaska	Pulco Scientific	Honolulu, HI
16	Advanced coatings for aviation components	2,000	Levin	Focus: HOPE	Detroit, MI
16	Advanced coatings for aviation components	2,000	Stabenow	Focus: Hope	Detroit, MI
16	Single Generator Operations Lithium Ion Battery	5,000	Lugar	Altair Nanotechnologies	Anderson, IN
16	Single Generator Operations Lithium Ion Battery	5,000	Reid	Altair Nanotechnologies Inc.	Reno, NV
20	Acoustic combat sensors	5,000	Inhofe	GWACS Defense, Inc.	Tulsa, OK
26	Semi-submersible for UUV sensor developments	1,400	Vitter	C&C Technologies	Lafayette, LA
29	Sonobuoy wave energy module	1,000	Landrieu	QinetiQ North America	Sidell, LA
29	Sonobuoy wave energy module	1,000	Vitter	QinetiQ-North America, Technology Solutions Group	Sidell, LA
35	DDG-51 hybrid propulsion system	8,100	Kennedy	DRS Power Technology, Inc.	Fitchburg, MA
35	DDG-51 hybrid propulsion system	8,100	Wicker	General Atomics EMS Tupelo Facility	Shannon, MS
58	Molten carbonate fuel cell demonstrator	3,000	Dodd	FuelCell Energy	Torrington, CT
58	Molten carbonate fuel cell demonstrator	3,000	Lieberman	Fuel Cell Energy	Danbury, CT
58	Solar heat reflective film development	4,750	Sessions	3M Company	Decatur, AL
61	Highly integrated optical interconnects for advanced air vehicles	1,000	Levin	Calumet Electronics Corporation	Calumet, MI

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY					
61	Highly integrated optical interconnects for advanced air vehicles	1,000	Stabenow	Calumet Electronics Corporation	Calumet, MI
61	RFID technology exploitation	1,000	McConnell	University of Louisville	Louisville, KY
105	Mobile maritime sensor technology development	15,000	Kennedy	Raytheon, Integrated Defense Systems	Tewksbury, MA
110	Common command & control system module	6,000	Dodd	Electric Boat	Groton, CT
110	Common command & control system module	6,000	Lieberman	General Dynamics	Groton, CT
110	Common command & control system module	6,000	Reed	Electric Boat	Groton, CT and Quonset Point, RI
110	Mod-in-place coating for development of U.S. submarine fleet	2,000	Wicker	Seaman Composites, Inc	Gulfport, MS
112	Artificial intelligence-based combat system kernel	4,000	Reed	Rite Solutions	Middletown, RI
112	Submarine environment for evaluation & development	3,000	Reed	Advanced Solutions for Tomorrow	Newport, RI
112	Weapon acquisition & firing system	3,000	Reed	Naval Undersea Warfare Center and MIKEI	Newport and Middletown, RI
113	Automated fiber optic manufacturing initiative for Navy ships	2,500	Kennedy	ksaria	Lawrence, MA and Virginia Beach, VA
113	Automated fiber optic manufacturing initiative for Navy ships	2,500	Kerry	Ksaria	Lawrence, MA
113	Automated fiber optic manufacturing initiative for Navy ships	2,500	Warner	Kilco Fiber Optics	Virginia Beach, VA
113	Automated fiber optic manufacturing initiative for Navy ships	2,500	Webb	Kilco Fiber Optics	Virginia Beach, VA
120	AUSV	3,000	Alaska	Harbor Wing Technologies	Pearl Harbor, Hawaii
121	Phalanx Next Generation	12,000	McConnell	Raytheon Missile Systems	Louisville, KY
121	Phalanx Next Generation	12,000	Bennett	Colmek Systems Engineering	Salt Lake City, UT
121	Phalanx Next Generation	12,000	Hatch	Coda Octopus Colmek Systems Engineering; Raytheon	Salt Lake City, UT
124	Composite tissue transplantation research	2,000	Chambliss	Emory	Emory
124	Custom body implant development	2,000	Levin	University of Michigan- Dearborn	Dearborn, MI

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

124	Custom body implant development	2,000	Stabenow	University of Michigan-Dearborn	Dearborn, MI
124	Multivalent dengue vaccine program	1,600	Graham	GenPhar, Inc.	Mount Pleasant, SC
124	Orthopedic surgery instrumentation	3,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE
129	Information systems research	4,000	Landrieu	New Orleans IT Companies, UNO/ Business Council	Orleans
129	Information systems research	4,000	Vitter	SSC Atlantic New Orleans Office (Formerly SSCATC New Orleans)	New Orleans, LA
129	Integrated network-centric technology systems	2,600	Landrieu	SPAWAR Systems Center, Atlantic, New Orleans office	Statewide
129	Integrated network-centric technology systems	2,600	Vitter	SPAWAR Systems Center, Atlantic, New Orleans Office	New Orleans, LA
139	Aviation enterprise interoperability upgrades	5,000	Cardin	Patuxent River Naval Air Station	Lexington Park, MD
160	Advanced LINAC facility	1,170	Lugar	Indiana University	Crane, IN
182	Expandable rigid wall composite shelters	1,000	Begich	Alkan Shelter, LLC	Fairbanks, AK
182	Marine personnel carrier support system	3,000	Reed	Group 81, Inc.	Newport, RI
183	High performance capabilities for military vehicles	1,000	Hagen	Institute for Defense and Business	Chapel Hill, NC
216	Integrated manufacturing enterprise	5,000	Landrieu	Louisiana Center for Manufacturing Sciences (LCMS)	Shreveport, LA
216	Integrated manufacturing enterprises	5,000	Vitter	Louisiana Center for Manufacturing Sciences	Shreveport, LA
216	Life extension of weapon system structures research	2,500	Thune	SDS&T	Rapid City, SD
217	National Shipbuilding Research Program	4,000	Sessions	National Shipbuilding Research Program	Mobile, AL
217	National Shipbuilding Research Program	4,000	Wicker	VT Haller Marine	Pascagoula, MS

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

*NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
1	Coal transformation research	1,000	Lugar	Purdue University	West Lafayette, IN
2	Cybersecurity for control networks research	1,700	Landrieu	Louisiana Tech University	Ruston, LA
2	Cybersecurity for control networks research	1,700	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Omaha	Omaha, NE
2	Cybersecurity for control networks research	1,700	Gillibrand	SUNY Institute of Technology	Utica, NY
2	End-user software safeguard research	2,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
2	Informatics research	1,000	Schumer	SUNY Buffalo	Buffalo, NY
2	Information security research	1,500	Inhofe	University of Tulsa	Tulsa, OK
7	Advanced aerospace heat exchangers	3,000	Voinovich	Ohio Aerospace Institute	Cleveland, OH
7	Energy and automation technologies	2,000	Bingaman	Univ. N.M.	Albuquerque, N.M.
7	Energy and automation technologies	2,000	Udall, Tom	University of New Mexico	Albuquerque, NM
7	Energy and automation technologies	2,000	Cortez	Competitive Program	n/a
7	Energy efficiency, recovery, and generation systems	1,000	Thune	SDSMA&T	Rapid City, SD
7	Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components	2,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
7	Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components	2,000	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
7	Mid-infrared laser source research	1,000	Sessions	Photonics, Inc.	Birmingham, AL
8	Unmanned aerial system collaboration technologies	2,500	Voinovich	University of Dayton Research Institute	Dayton, OH
10	Advanced lithium battery scale-up and manufacturing	2,000	Chambliss	Excelsatron Solid State	Decatur, GA
10	Hybrid bearing development	1,000	Dodd	Timken Company	Manchester, CT
10	Hybrid bearing development	1,000	Lieberman	Timken Company	Manchester, CT
10	Hybrid bearing development	1,000	Hagan	Timken Company	Randeman, NC
10	Hybrid bearing development	1,000	Shaheen	The Timken Company	Lebanon, NH

NIMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
10	Integrated electrical starter/generator systems	2,000	Brown	GE Aviation Systems, Electrical Power	Vandalia, OH
10	Integrated electrical starter/generator systems	2,000	Voinovich	GE Aviation Systems, Electrical Power	Vandalia, OH
10	Lithium ion technologies for aviation batteries	1,500	Chambliss	Safli America Inc	Valdosta, GA
10	Lithium ion technologies for aviation batteries	1,500	Isakson	Safli America	Valdosta, GA
10	Thermally efficient engine pumping system	2,000	Dodd	Goodrich Pump and Engine Control Systems	West Hartford, CT
10	Thermally efficient engine pumping system	2,000	Lieberman	Goodrich Engine Controls	West Hartford, CT
12	Reconfigurable electronics research	1,000	Crapo	Boise State University	Boise, ID
12	Reconfigurable electronics research	1,000	Riech	Boise State University	Boise, ID
12	Seismic research program	5,000	Kennedy	Weston Geophysical Corp.	Hanscom AFB
12	Seismic research program	5,000	Kerry	Weston Geophysical Corp	Lexington, MA
17	Advanced deformable mirrors for high energy laser weapons	2,000	Bingaman	MZA Associates	Albuquerque, NM
17	Advanced deformable mirrors for high energy laser weapons	2,000	Udall, Tom	MZA Associates	Albuquerque, NM
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Dodd	Competitive	n/a
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Lieberman	Competitive	n/a
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Reid	TIMET Global	Toronto, OH
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Brown	Competitive	n/a
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Wyden	Competitive	n/a
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Reed	Competitive	n/a
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Bennett	Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium	Dayton, OH
18	Metals Affordability Initiative	10,000	Kohn	Competitive	n/a

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
18	Sewage-derived biofuels program	4,800	Wicker	General Atomics	Starkville, MS
20	Reconfigurable secure computing technologies	2,000	Warner	Curfiss-Wright Controls Embedded Computing	Leesburg, VA
20	Reconfigurable secure computing technologies	2,000	Webb	Curfiss-Wright Controls Embedded Computing	Leesburg, VA
22	Alternative energy research	20,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
22	Silicon carbide power electronics research	3,000	Lincoln	Arkansas Power Electronics International, Inc.	Fayetteville, AR
22	Silicon carbide power electronics research	3,000	Pryor	Arkansas Power Electronics International, Inc.	Fayetteville, AR
22	Silicon carbide power electronics research	3,000	Wicker	SemiSouth Laboratories, Inc.	Starkville, MS
30	Next generation casting initiative	3,250	Levin	Alcoa Howmet	Whitehall, MI
30	Next generation casting initiative	3,250	Stabenow	Alcoa Howmet	Whitehall, MI
30	Next generation casting initiative	3,250	Reid	PCC Structural Inc.	Carson City, NV
31	Optical interconnects research	2,500	Reid	OptiComp Corporation	Zephyr Cove, NV
40	Space situational awareness	5,000	Kennedy	Raytheon, Integrated Defense Systems	Tewksbury, MA
40	Space situational awareness	5,000	Kerry	Raytheon Company	Tewksbury, MA
61	Next generation MILSATCOM technology development	50,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
101	Holloman high speed test track	5,000	Bingaman	General Atomics	White Sands Missile Range, NM
101	Holloman high speed test track	5,000	Udall, Tom	General Atomics	Holloman AFB, NM
105	Program increase	19,300	NMR	n/a	n/a
208	Sense and avoid	4,000	Reid	Sierra Nevada Corp	Sparks, NV
210	Karnac	6,000	NMR	n/a	n/a

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
238	Biometric signature and passive physiological monitoring	5,000	Reid	Noninvasive Medical Technologies, Inc.	Las Vegas, NV
999	Classified programs	172,500	NMR	n/a	n/a
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE					
6	In-vitro models for bio-defense vaccines	1,900	Martinez	University Central Florida	Orlando, FL
6	In-vitro models for bio-defense vaccines	1,900	Nelson, Bill	University Of Central Florida	Orlando, FL
14	Chemical and biological infrared detector	1,900	Collins	Orono Spectral Solutions	Old Town, ME
20	Blast mitigation and protection	1,500	Warner	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
20	Blast mitigation and protection	1,500	Webb	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
25	Reconnaissance and data exploitation systems	3,500	Akaka	NovaSol	Honolulu, HI
39	High performance defense manufacturing technology	10,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
40	Robotics training systems	2,000	Graham	Florence Darlington Technical College	Florence, SC
40	Robotics training systems	2,000	Warner	Hampton Roads Military and Federal Facilities Alliance	Norfolk, VA
40	Robotics training systems	2,000	Webb	Hampton Roads Military and Federal Facilities Alliance	Norfolk, VA
41	Biofuels program	2,000	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir, VA
41	Biomass conversion research	1,600	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
41	Biomass conversion research	1,600	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
41	Fuel cell manufacturing research	1,000	Cardin	Ballard Power Systems	College Park, MD
41	Fuel cell manufacturing research	1,000	Reid	Schaeffler Technologies	Reno, NV
41	Fuel cell manufacturing research	1,000	Graham	South Carolina Research Authority	Charleston, SC
41	Vehicle fuel cell and hydrogen logistics program	8,000	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir, VA

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE					
49	Computational design of novel materials	3,000	Wicker	Jackson State University	Jackson, MS
59	Special warfare domain awareness	1,500	Collins	Technology Systems Inc. (TSI)	Brunswick, ME
59	Special warfare domain awareness	1,500	Showe	Technology Systems Inc	Brunswick, ME
65	Lithium ion battery safety research	1,600	Lugar	Indiana University	Indianapolis, IN
75	GBI vendor base sustainment	20,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
77	Real-time non-specific viral agent detector	1,600	Collins	Sensor Research & Development, Inc.	Orono, ME
97	Short-range ballistic missile defense	25,000	Nelson, Bill	Honeywell	Various
97	Short-range ballistic missile defense	25,000	Wicker	ATK	Luka, MS
100	Corrosion control research	3,500	Wicker	The University of Southern Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS
100	Corrosion control research	3,500	Brown	The University of Akron	Akron, OH
129	Advanced SAM hardware simulator development	4,000	Chambliss	GTRI	Atlanta and Smyrna, GA
129	Advanced SAM hardware simulator development	4,000	Isakson	Georgia Institute of Technology, Georgia Tech Research Institute	Atlanta, GA
147	Anti-lamper software systems	1,900	Collins	ANGEL Secure Networks, Inc.	Orono, ME
147	Anti-lamper software systems	1,900	Showe	Angel Technologies	Orono, ME
233	Technology applications for security enhancement	3,000	Inhofe	Oklahoma State University	Stillwater, OK
238	Industrial base innovation fund	30,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
247	Advanced, long endurance unattended ground sensor	4,900	Wicker	Mississippi State University	Starkville, MS

TITLE 3

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

250	Rule of law increase	500	Graham	University of South Carolina	Columbia, SC

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY					
60	Aviation depot maintenance increases	70,027	NMR	n/a	n/a
250	Gun depot overhauls	12,000	McConnell	BAE Systems	Louisville, KY
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS					
10	Family of shelters and tents	2,000	Warner	Base-X, Inc.	Rockbridge, Pulaski, Salem, Verona, VA
10	Family of shelters and tents	2,000	Webb	Base-X, Inc.	Rockbridge, Pulaski, Salem, Verona, VA
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE					
60	Junior ROTC	15,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Boxer	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Bennet	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Udall, Mark	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Dodd	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Martinez	Department of Defense	Multiple, nationwide
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Nelson, Bill	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Alaska	Military installations nationwide	Various
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Landrieu	The Nature Conservancy (TNC), Louisiana Field Office (LFO)	Nationwide
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Cardin	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Burr	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Hagan	n/a	n/a
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Wicks	Land Legacy	Tulsa, OK
270	Readiness and environmental protection initiative	20,000	Cantwell	n/a	n/a

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient Agency	Suggested Location of Performance
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE					
999	Impact aid	35,000	Burke	Department of Defense Education Agency	Arlington, VA
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Alaska	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Robertis	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Conrad	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Menendez	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Schumer	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Inhofe	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Reed	n/a	n/a
999	Impact aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	Whitehouse	n/a	n/a

TITLE 4

MILITARY PERSONNEL

Amy additional recruitment incentives	5,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
Community support for families with special needs	50,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
Family supplemental subsistence allowance	500	NMR	n/a	n/a
Mental health assessments	3,000	Kennedy	n/a	n/a
Pay raise	351,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
PDMPA	59,000	Grassley	n/a	n/a
PDMPA	59,000	Harkin	n/a	n/a
PDMPA	59,000	Robertis	n/a	n/a
PDMPA	59,000	Klobuchar	n/a	n/a

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
	PDMRA	59,000	Ladtenberg	n/a	n/a
	PDMRA	59,000	Menendez	n/a	n/a
	PDMRA	59,000	Wyden	n/a	n/a
	PDMRA	59,000	Murray	n/a	n/a
	Psychology officers	200	NMR	n/a	n/a
	Reimbursement for exceptional travel for medical benefits	10,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
	Substance abuse study	1,500	NMR	n/a	n/a
TITLE 13					
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION					
10	Program increase	20,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
TITLE 14					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
	Extend dental coverage to dependent survivors	2,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
	Transitional dental care	11,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
	TRICARE continuation pending MEDICARE eligibility	4,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
	TRICARE coverage for gray-area retirees	10,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE					
	International support—US CENTCOM CN training—Mf-17 procurement	32,000	NMR	n/a	n/a
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					

*NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
10	Second year growth plan	15,656	NMR	n/a	n/a
TITLE 21					
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY					
	Combat pistol range	4,900	Bejich		Fort Richardson
	Gate 7 access control point	3,550	Sessions		Redstone Arsenal
	Elevated water storage tank	1,200	Nelson, Bill		Camp Rudder, Eglin AFB
	Estes Road access control point	6,100	Brownback		Fort Riley
	Estes Road access control point	6,100	Roberts		Fort Riley
	Installation chapel center	14,400	Bunning		Fort Campbell
	Installation chapel center	14,400	McConnell		Fort Campbell
	Analytical chemistry wing - advanced chemistry laboratory	15,500	Cardin		Aberdeen Proving Ground
	Analytical chemistry wing - advanced chemistry laboratory	15,500	Mikulski		Aberdeen Proving Ground
	Automated sniper field fire range	3,450	NMR		Fort Bragg, NC
	Upgrade marshalling area	8,900	Warner		Fort Eustis
	Upgrade marshalling area	8,900	Webb		Fort Eustis
TITLE 22					
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY					
	Production services support facility	25,070	Akaka		NAVSTA Pearl Harbor
	Production services support facility	25,070	Inouye		NAVSTA Pearl Harbor
	Strategic weapons systems engineering facility	13,710	Lugar		Naval Support Activity Crane

*NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY					
	Gate #2 security improvements	7,090	Collins		Portsmouth Naval Shipyard
	Gate #2 security improvements	7,090	Snowe		Portsmouth Naval Shipyard
	Warrior physical training facility	10,670	Ensign		NAS Fallon
	Warrior physical training facility	10,670	Reid		NAS Fallon
	Renovation of senior enlisted academy	10,550	Reed		NAVSTA Newport
	Electromagnetic research & engineering facility	3,660	Warner		NSCW Dahlgren, VA
	Electromagnetic research & engineering facility	3,660	Webb		NSCW Dahlgren, VA
	Emergency services center	10,990	Byrd		Naval Security Group Activity, Sugar Grove

TITLE 23

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Arctic utilities - phase 11	9,900	Murkowski	Eielson AFB
Taxiway lighting	3,450	Murkowski	Eielson AFB
Security forces operations facility	10,400	Lincoln	Little Rock AFB
Security forces operations facility	10,400	Pryor	Little Rock AFB
Chapel center	7,500	Carper	Dover AFB
Chapel center	7,500	Kaufman	Dover AFB
Combat weapons training facility	8,400	Nelson, Bill	Patrick AFB
Rescue operations/maintenance headquarters facility	10,000	Chambliss	Moody AFB
Rescue operations/maintenance headquarters facility	10,000	Isakson	Moody AFB
Aeronautical evacuation facility	7,400	Durbin	Scott AFB

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE					
	Phase five ramp replacement - aircraft apron pavement	12,800	Landrieu		Bartlesdale AFB
	Phase five ramp replacement - aircraft apron pavement	12,800	Vitter		Bartlesdale AFB
	Air traffic control tower	9,000	NMR		Pope AFB
	Consolidated security forces facility	12,000	Conrad		Grand Forks AFB
	Consolidated security forces facility	12,000	Dorgan		Grand Forks AFB
	STRATCOM gate	10,400	Nelson, Ben		Offutt AFB
	Replace west ramp, phase two	10,600	Brown		Wright-Patterson AFB
	Replace west ramp, phase two	10,600	Voinovich		Wright-Patterson AFB
	Control tower	10,700	Inhofe		Vance AFB
	Addition/allocation to deployment center	14,500	Johnson		Ellsworth AFB
	Addition/allocation to deployment center	14,500	Thune		Ellsworth AFB
	Consolidated learning center	12,000	Comyn		Goodfellow AFB
	Consolidated learning center	12,000	Hutchison		Goodfellow AFB
	ENJPT operations complex, phase one	13,450	Comyn		Sheppard AFB
	ENJPT operations complex, phase one	13,450	Hutchison		Sheppard AFB
	SERE force support complex, phase one	11,000	Cantwell		Fairchild AFB
	SERE force support complex, phase one	11,000	Murray		Fairchild AFB

TITLE 24

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Missile and Space Intelligence Center Explosive Ordnance Exploitation Complex	12,000	Shelby	Redstone Arsenal

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE					
	5th Special Forces Group language sustainment training facility	6,800	Alexander		Fort Campbell, KY
	5th Special Forces Group language sustainment training facility	6,800	Corker		Fort Campbell, KY
	SOF AC-130 load out apron PH1	6,000	Udall, Tom		Cannon AFB
	New elementary school	50,000	NMR		Böblingen, Germany
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION					
	Blue Grass Army Depot chemical demilitarization project	5,000	McConnell		Blue Grass Army Depot
TITLE 26					
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
	144th Squadron operations facility	9,800	Boxer		Fresno-Yosemite Intl ANG
	144th Squadron operations facility	9,800	Feinstein		Fresno-Yosemite Intl ANG
	Aviation readiness center	8,967	Chambliss		Hunter Army Airfield
	Aviation readiness center	8,967	Isakson		Hunter Army Airfield
	US Property and Fiscal Office	4,000	NMR		Johnston, VA
	Readiness center expansion	5,600	Durbin		Milan Readiness Center
	Taxiway alterations, ARNG aviation support facility	2,227	Brownback		Army National Guard Aviation Support Facility, Salina, KS
	Taxiway alterations, ARNG aviation support facility	2,227	Roberts		Salina Aviation Facility, Kansas
	Monticello National Guard readiness center	14,350	Cochran		Monticello
	Monticello National Guard readiness center	14,350	Wicker		Monticello
	National Guard renewable energy sustainable projects	2,000	Feld		NW National Guard
	Camp Riley infrastructure (water supply system)	3,369	Merkley		Clatsop County, Warrenton

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
	Camp Riley infrastructure (water supply system)	3,369	Wyden		Clatsop County, Warrenton
	Joint force headquarters readiness center supplement	7,890	Johnson		Camp Rapid
	Joint force headquarters readiness center supplement	7,890	Thune		Camp Rapid
	Troop medical clinic addition/alteration	1,950	Thune		Camp Rapid
	BOQ additions and improvements	1,996	Leahy		Ethan Allen Firing Range
	BOQ additions and improvements	1,996	Sanders		Ethan Allen Firing Range
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVES					
	Range utility upgrade	3,850	Kohn		Fort McCoy
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
	Add/alter weapons release	4,500	Bennet		Buckley ANG Base
	Add/alter weapons release	4,500	Udall, Mark		Buckley ANG Base
	CNAF beddown upgrade facilities	9,000	Dodd		Bradley National Airport
	CNAF beddown upgrade facilities	9,000	Lieberman		Bradley National Airport
	Des Moines alteration to security forces facility	4,600	Grassley		Des Moines
	Des Moines alteration to security forces facility	4,600	Harkin		Des Moines
	Composite operations and training facility	12,800	Kennedy		Otis ANG Base
	Composite operations and training facility	12,800	Kerry		Otis ANG Base
	A-10 squad operations facility	7,100	Levin		Selridge ANG Base
	A-10 squad operations facility	7,100	Stabenow		Selridge ANG Base
	CNAF beddown facilities	14,000	Levin		Battle Creek ANG Base
	CNAF beddown facilities	14,000	Stabenow		Battle Creek ANG Base

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
	Replace troop quarters	8,900	Levin		Alpena Combat Readiness Training Center
	Replace troop quarters	8,900	Stabenow		Alpena Combat Readiness Training Center
	Minnesota STARBASE facility alteration	1,900	Klobuchar		Minneapolis/St. Paul IAP 133rd AW Base
	Replace fire/crash rescue station, phase two	9,300	NMR		Rosecrans Memorial Airport, MO
	Upgrade weapons storage area	10,600	Teel		Malstrom AFB
	Replace squadron operations facilities	10,000	Gregg		Pease ANG Base
	Replace squadron operations facilities	10,000	Shaheen		Pease ANG Base
	Base civil engineering complex	9,700	Lautenberg		108th Air Refueling Wing, McGuire AFB
	Base civil engineering complex	9,700	Menendez		108th Air Refueling Wing, McGuire AFB
	NV Air National Guard fire station replacement	10,800	Ensign		Reno, NV
	NV Air National Guard fire station replacement	10,800	Reid		Reno, NV
	Joint force headquarters building	1,300	Graham		Air National Guard
	Above ground multi-cubicle magazine storage	1,300	Thune		Joe Foss Field
	Addition/alteration to munitions maintenance complex	1,300	Thune		Joe Foss Field
	ANG engineer maintenance and training facility	9,800	Alexander		164th Airlift Wing, Memphis
	ANG engineer maintenance and training facility	9,800	Cortez		164th Airlift Wing, Memphis
	Fire crash and rescue station addition/alteration	6,000	Leahy		Burlington International Airport
	Fire crash and rescue station addition/alteration	6,000	Sanders		Burlington International Airport
	Upgrade corrosion control hanger	5,000	Kohl		General Mitchell International Airport

NMR indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Line	Description	Amount (in thousands)	Member	Suggested Recipient	Suggested Location of Performance
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
	C-5 taxiway upgrades	19,500	Byrd		Shepherd Airbase, Martinsburg
	Squadron operations	1,500	Barrasso		Cheyenne Airport/WY National Guard
	Squadron operations	1,500	Enzi		Cheyenne Airport/WY National Guard
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVES					
	Indoor small arms range	5,700	Gillibrand		Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY
	Indoor small arms range	5,700	Schumer		Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY
	Visiting quarters phase one	12,400	Casey		Pittsburgh Air Reserve Base
	Visiting quarters phase one	12,400	Specter		Pittsburgh Air Reserve Base

TITLE 31

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS; WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

Engineering campaign; enhanced surety	5,000	NMR	n/a		n/a
Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign; facility operations and target production; Omega operations	6,500	NMR	University of Rochester		Rochester, NY
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation; MPC&A	39,750	NMR	n/a		n/a
Readiness in technical base and facilities; construction; OS-D-007, LANSCE refurbishment	24,000	NMR	Los Alamos National Laboratory		Los Alamos, NM
Weapons dismantlement and disposition; operation and maintenance	10,000	NMR	n/a		n/a

'NMR' indicates no member request

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	APA		20	Air filtrations systems	\$1,000	Akin (MO)	Aerospace Filtration Systems, Inc.	St. Charles, MO
I	APA		22	Common Avionics Architecture System (CAAS-PV) CH-47F	\$2,000	McHugh (NY)	Rockwell Collins (HQ Cedar Rapids, IA)	Binghamton, NY
I	APA		22	Vibration Management Enhancement Program (VMEP)	\$3,000	Wilson (SC)	South Carolina Army National Guard	Columbia, SC
I	APA		26	UH-60 Rewiring Program - Army National Guard	\$5,000	Granger (TX)	InterConnect Wiring L.L.P.	Fort Worth, TX
I	WTCV		44	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) at Rock Island Arsenal	\$3,000	Braley (IA)	Rock Island Arsenal	Rock Island, IL
I	WTCV		44	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) at Rock Island Arsenal	\$3,000	Hare (IL)	Rock Island Arsenal	Rock Island, IL
I	PAA		7	40MM Tactical Air Types - Mortar Rounds	\$3,000	Tahner (TN)	Milan AAP	Milan, TN
I	PAA		8	M722 60mm White Phosphorus Smoke Mortar	\$2,000	Ross (AR)	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
I	PAA		36	Bomb Line Modernization	\$2,000	Boren (OK)	McAlester Army Ammunition Plant	McAlester, OK
I	OPA		135	FIDO Explosive Detector	\$3,000	Fallin (OK)	Nomadics	Stillwater, OK
I	OPA		154	Life Support for Trauma and Transport (LSTAT)	\$1,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Integrated Medical Systems	Signal Hill, CA
I	OPA		154	Life Support for Trauma and Transport (LSTAT)	\$1,000	Reyes (TX)	Integrated Medical Systems	Signal Hill, CA
I	OPA		177	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training System for the Hawaii Army National Guard	\$2,500	Abercrombie (HI)	Atlantis Cyberspace	Honolulu, HI
I	OPA		177	Mobile Firing Range for TXARNG	\$1,500	Conaway (TX)	Texas Army National Guard	Austin, TX
I	OPA		177	Mobile Firing Range for TXARNG	\$1,500	Granger (TX)	Texas National Guard	Fort Worth, TX
I	OPA		177	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment (V.I.C.E.) training system for the Virginia National Guard	\$2,000	Connolly (VA)	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc.	Fairfax County, VA
I	APN		52	Crane Integrated Defensive Countermeasures (IDECM) Depot Capability	\$2,000	Ellsworth (IN)	ITT Electronic Systems and NSWC, Crane	Crane, IN

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	OPN		64	Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) Shelter Upgrade Program	\$3,000	Salazar (CO)	Weatherport/Alaska Structures	Delta, CO
I	OPN		97	Multi-Climate Protection System (MCPs)	\$5,000	Hodes (NH)	Polartec, LLC	Hudson, NH
I	OPN		97	Multi-Climate Protection System (MCPs)	\$5,000	Rogers (MI)	Peckham	Lansing, MI
I	OPN		97	Multi-Climate Protection System (MCPs)	\$5,000	Shea-Porter (NH)	Polartec, LLC	Hudson, NH
I	OPN		97	Multi-Climate Protection System (MCPs)	\$5,000	Tsongas (MA)	Polartec, LLC	Lawrence, MA
I	PMC		44	USMC Tactical Fuel Systems (Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage Units)	\$3,100	Taylor (MS)	Avon Engineered Fabrications, Inc	Pikayune, MS
I	APAF		17	C-40C Aircraft, Scott AFB	\$105,250	Costello (IL)	932nd Airlift Wing	Scott AFB, IL
I	APAF		52	Scathe View Hyper-Spectral Imagery Upgrade	\$4,500	Barkley (NV)	Nevada Military Department	Carson City, NV
I	APAF		52	Scathe View Hyper-Spectral Imagery Upgrade	\$4,500	Titus (NV)	Nevada Military Department/Nevada National Guard	Carson City, NV
I	APAF		52	Senior Scout COMINT (Communications Intelligence) Capability Upgrade	\$3,750	Andrews (NJ)	L-3 Communications - East	Camden, NJ
I	APAF		52	Senior Scout COMINT (Communications Intelligence) Capability Upgrade	\$3,750	LoBiondo (NJ)	L-3 Communications Systems	Camden, NJ
I	OPAF		12	Eagle Vision III, 147th Combat Communications Squadron, San Diego, California	\$4,000	Bilbray (CA)	147th Combat Communications Squadron, California Air National Guard, San Diego, California	San Diego, CA
I	OPAF		12	Eagle Vision III, 147th Combat Communications Squadron, San Diego, California	\$4,000	Davis (CA)	147th Combat Communications Squadron, California Air National Guard, San Diego, California	San Diego, CA
I	OPAF		12	Eagle Vision Upgrade	\$1,500	Wilson (SC)	South Carolina Air National Guard	Eastover, SC
I	OPAF		54	Aircrew Body Armor and Load Carriage Vest System	\$3,000	Akin (MO)	Eagle Industries	Fenton, MO
I	PDW		63	Intelligence Broadcast Receiver (IBR) for AFSOC MC-130	\$1,000	Miller (FL)	DRS Technologies	Fort Walton Beach, FL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	PDW		71	Special Operations Forces Combat Assault Rifle (SCAR)	\$2,500	Wilson (SC)	FN Manufacturing, LLC	Columbia, SC
I	PDW		74	Special Operations Craft-Riverine	\$10,000	Taylor (MS)	United States Marine, Inc	Gulfport, MS
II	RDA	0601103A	3	Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-infected Battle Wounds	\$1,000	Cummings (MD)	Soluble Systems, LLC	Newport News, VA
II	RDA	0601103A	3	Smart Wound Dressing for MRSA-infected Battle Wounds	\$1,000	Scott (VA)	Eastern Virginia Medical School	Norfolk, VA
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Dual Stage Variable Energy Absorber	\$3,000	Murphy (PA)	ARCCA, Inc.	Penns Park, PA
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Nanomanufacturing of Multifunctional Sensors	\$3,000	Tsongas (MA)	University of Massachusetts Lowell	Lowell, MA
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Next Generation High Strength Glass Fibers for Ballistic Armor Applications	\$2,000	Wilson (SC)	AGY Holding Corporation	Aiken, SC
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Ultra Lightweight Metallic Armor	\$1,000	Costello (IL)	Magnesium Elektron North America	Madison, IL
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Adler (NJ)	SeaBox	East Riveron, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Andrews (NJ)	SeaBox, Inc.	East Riveron, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Lance (NJ)	SeaBox, Inc.	No. Brunswick, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Markey (MA)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Waltham, Woburn, Billerica, Beverly, Lowell, MA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Tsongas (MA)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Billerica, MA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$1,000	Turner (OH)	BuyCASTINGS.com, Inc.	Miamisburg, OH
II	RDA	0602618A	14	Beneficial Infrastructure for Rotorcraft Risk Reduction Demonstrations (BIRRRD) Program	\$1,000	Sestak (PA)	Dragonfly Pictures, Inc.	Essington, PA
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Defense Support for Civil Authorities (DSCA) for Key Resource Protection - South Central, PA	\$1,000	Shuster (PA)	L. Robert Kimball & Associates	Ebensburg, PA
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Highly Integrated Production for Expediting RESET	\$2,500	Rogers (AL)	Anniston Army Depot	Anniston, AL
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Highly Integrated Production for Expediting RESET	\$2,500	Wilson (SC)	South Carolina Research Authority	Columbia, SC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Hybrid Projectile Program	\$3,000	Courtney (CT)	University of Hartford	West Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Hybrid Projectile Program	\$3,000	Larson (CT)	University of Hartford	West Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Specialized Compact Automated Mechanical Clearance Platform (SCAMP)	\$4,000	Murphy (PA)	Humanistic Robotics, Inc.	Bristol, PA
II	RDA	0602705A	18	Novel Zinc Air Power Sources for Military	\$2,500	Rogers (AL)	Electric Fuel Battery Corporation (Arotech Subsidiary)	Auburn, AL
II	RDA	0602716A	21	LWI Training-based Collaborative Research	\$15,000	Skelton (MO)	Leonard Wood Institute	Fort Leonard Wood, MO
II	RDA	0602720A	22	Cluster Bomb Unit/ Combined Effects Munitions (CBU/CEM) Demil System	\$1,000	Brady (PA)	Day & Zimmermann	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDA	0602720A	22	Renewable Energy Testing Center	\$1,000	Matsui (CA)	Renewable Energy Institute International	Sacramento, CA
II	RDA	0602720A	22	SUNY Cobleskill Blowaste-to-Bioenergy Center	\$2,500	Murphy (NY)	State University of New York	Cobleskill, NY
II	RDA	0602720A	22	SUNY Cobleskill Blowaste-to-Bioenergy Center	\$2,500	Tonko (NY)	State University of New York College of Agriculture and Technology at Cobleskill	Cobleskill, NY
II	RDA	0602784A	25	Cellulose Nanocomposite Panels for Ballistic Protection	\$2,000	Michaud (ME)	University of Maine	Orono, ME
II	RDA	0602784A	25	Cellulose Nanocomposite Panels for Ballistic Protection	\$2,000	Pingree (ME)	University of Maine	Orono, ME
II	RDA	0602784A	25	Geosciences/Atmospheric Research (CG/AR)	\$3,000	Markey (CO)	Colorado State University	Fort Collins, CO
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Bio-engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability	\$3,000	Gingrey (GA)	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Bio-engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability	\$3,000	Johnson (GA)	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Functional Nanomaterials for Biological Processes	\$2,500	Snyder (AR)	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Human Organ and Tissue Preservation Technology	\$2,000	Wilson (SC)	Lifeblood Medical	Columbia, SC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars In Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Improving Soldier Recovery from Catastrophic Bone Injuries	\$4,000	Murphy (CT)	University of Connecticut Health Center	Farmington, CT
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Optical Neural Techniques for Combat and Post-Trauma Healthcare	\$4,000	Smith (WA)	Lockheed Martin Aculight	Bothell, WA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Self Powered Prosthetic Limb Technology	\$2,000	Thompson (PA)	KCF Technologies	State College, PA
II	RDA	0603001A	29	High Pressure Pasteurization & Pressure Assisted Thermal Sterilization Project	\$4,300	Ellsworth (IN)	AmeriQual Group, LLC and Natick Soldier Research, Development and Engineering Center	Evansville, IN
II	RDA	0603001A	29	Next Generation Precision Airdrop System (Next-Gen PADS)	\$2,500	Larson (CT)	Capewell Components Company, LLC	South Windsor, CT
II	RDA	0603001A	29	Onyx System Precision Guided Airdrop Equipment	\$1,500	McMahon (NY)	Atair Aerospace	Brooklyn, NY
II	RDA	0603001A	29	Onyx System Precision Guided Airdrop Equipment	\$1,500	Towns (NY)	Atair Aerospace	Brooklyn, NY
II	RDA	0603001A	29	Onyx System Precision Guided Airdrop Equipment	\$1,500	Velazquez (NY)	Atair Aerospace	Brooklyn, NY
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Carbide Derived Carbon for Treatment of Combat Related Sepsis	\$1,000	Sestak (PA)	Y-Carbon Inc.	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Clinical Technology Integration for Military Health	\$2,000	Markey (MA)	DocBox, Inc.	Waltham, MA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Institute for Simulation and Interprofessional Studies	\$5,800	Smith (WA)	University of Washington	Seattle, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Malaria Vaccine Development: Protecting our Troops	\$5,000	Smith (WA)	Seattle Biomedical Research Initiative	Seattle, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Multi-dose Closed-loop pH Monitoring System for Platelets	\$1,000	Smith (WA)	Blood Cell Storage, Inc.	Seattle, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Northern Illinois Proton Treatment and Research Center	\$2,000	Foster (IL)	Northern Illinois University	DeKalb, IL
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Regenerative Medicine to Address Acute Hearing Loss	\$3,000	Smith (WA)	FATE Therapeutics	Seattle, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Wounded Servicemember Bioelectronics Research	\$1,500	Nye (VA)	Old Dominion University (ODU)	Norfolk, VA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Advanced Affordable Turbine Engine (AATE) Program	\$5,000	DeLauro (CT)	Pratt & Whitney	East Hartford/Middletown, CT

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PEL OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Advanced Affordable Turbine Engine (AATE) Program	\$5,000	Larson (CT)	Pratt & Whitney	East Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Drive System Composite Structural Component Risk Reduction Program	\$3,000	Brady (PA)	V System Composites, Inc.	Chester, PA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Robust Composite Structural Core for Army Helicopters	\$2,000	Shea-Porter (NH)	Albany Engineered Composites	Rochester, NH
II	RDA	0603003A	31	UH-60 Transmission/Gearbox Galvanic Corrosion Reduction	\$1,500	Kissell (NC)	United Protective Technologies	Locust, NC
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Universal Control Program - FADEC	\$9,000	Larson (CT)	Goodrich Pump & Engine Controls	West Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Advanced Composites for Light Weight, Low Cost Transportation Systems using a 3+ Ring Extruder	\$3,000	Stupak (MI)	Century/3 Plus, LLC	Traverse City, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Advanced Lithium Ion Phosphate Battery System for Army Combat Hybrid HMMWV and Other Army Vehicle P	\$3,000	Dingell (MI)	A123Systems, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Fire Shield	\$2,000	Dreier (CA)	Chang Industry, Inc	La Verne, CA
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Hybrid Electric (Heavy Truck) Vehicle	\$2,000	Bartlett (MD)	Volvo Powertrain of North America	Hagerstown, MD
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle (HHV) for the Tactical Wheeled Fleet	\$3,500	Peters (MI)	Bosch Rexroth Corporation	Rochester Hills, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Protective 3-D Armor Structure to Safeguard Military Vehicles and Troops	\$2,000	Levin (MI)	Lawrence Technological University	Southfield, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Unmanned Robotic System Utilizing a Hydrocarbon Fueled Solid Oxide Fuel Cell System	\$3,000	Dingell (MI)	Adaptive Materials, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDA	0603006A	34	Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)	\$3,800	Andrews (NJ)	Drexel University	Camden, NJ
II	RDA	0603006A	34	Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)	\$3,800	LoBiondo (NJ)	Drexel University	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDA	0603015A	38	HapMed Combat Medic Trainer	\$1,000	Hunter (CA)	CHI Systems	Poway, CA
II	RDA	0603015A	38	HapMed Combat Medic Trainer	\$1,000	Schwartz (PA)	CHI Systems, Inc.	Fort Washington, PA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603015A	38	Joint Fires and Effects Trainer System Enhancements	\$2,500	Fallin (OK)	Creative Technologies Inc.	Fort Sill, OK
II	RDA	0603103A	40	Propellant Conversion to Fertilizer Program for Tooele Army Depot	\$2,000	Bishop (UT)	Tooele Army Depot, Utah	Tooele, UT
II	RDA	0603270A	43	Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare & Signals Intelligence System	\$2,500	Larsen (WA)	Agilent Technologies	Everett, WA
II	RDA	0603270A	43	Advanced Ground Electronic Warfare & Signals Intelligence System	\$2,500	Smith (WA)	Agilent Technologies	Everett, WA
II	RDA	0603313A	44	Scenario Generation for Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AAMD) Evaluation (SGIE)	\$800	Reyes (TX)	Integrated Defense Applications and QNA SEG and Integration Innovation, Incorporated (I3)	El Paso, Union Grove, AL and Huntsville, AL, TX
II	RDA	0603710A	48	Brownout Situational Awareness Sensor	\$3,000	Hunter (CA)	Trex Enterprises	San Diego, CA
II	RDA	0603710A	48	Brownout Situational Awareness Sensor	\$3,000	Olver (MA)	Trex Enterprises	West Hatfield, MA
II	RDA	0603710A	48	Buster/Blacklight UAV Development	\$1,000	Ortiz (TX)	Mission Technologies	San Antonio, TX
II	RDA	0603710A	48	Buster/Blacklight UAV Development	\$1,000	Rodriguez (TX)	Mission Technologies, Inc.	San Antonio, TX
II	RDA	0603710A	48	High Resolution Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer (PMTV)	\$1,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Irvine Sensors	Costa Mesa, CA
II	RDA	0603710A	48	Hyper-IFP (Hyper spectral Sensor for Improved Force Protection)	\$2,000	Akin (MO)	Clean Earth Technologies, LLC	St. Louis, MO
II	RDA	0603734A	50	Demonstration of Thin Film Solar Modules as a Renewable Energy Source - Fort Bliss	\$1,000	Reyes (TX)	Florida Solar Energy	Boca Raton, TX
II	RDA	0603734A	50	Field Deployable Hologram Production System	\$4,800	Conaway (TX)	Zebra Imaging, Inc.	Austin, TX
II	RDA	0603734A	50	Field Deployable Hologram Production System	\$4,800	Granger (TX)	Zebra Imaging, Inc.	Austin, TX
II	RDA	0603734A	50	Nanotechnology for Potable Water and Waste Treatment	\$2,000	Murphy (PA)	PPG Industries	Monroeville, PA
II	RDA	0603734A	50	PacCom Renewable Energy Security Systems	\$3,000	Abercrombie (HI)	Pacific BioDiesel	Honolulu, HI

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603772A	51	Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance, Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar (FORESTEN)	\$2,000	Maffei (NY)	Syracuse Research Corporation	North Syracuse, NY
II	RDA	0603772A	51	Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance, Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar (FORESTEN)	\$2,000	McHugh (NY)	Syracuse Research Corporation	North Syracuse, NY
II	RDA	0603772A	51	Optimizing Natural Language Processing of Open Source Intelligence (OSINT)	\$1,500	Bishop (UT)	Army / Attensity, Inc. / partnered with University of Buffalo	Salt Lake City, UT
II	RDA	0603305A	53	Biological Air Filtering System Technology (BAFST)	\$3,000	Berry (AR)	Arkansas State University	Jonesboro, AR
II	RDA	0603305A	53	Compact Pulsed Power Initiative	\$4,000	Conaway (TX)	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
II	RDA	0603305A	53	Compact Pulsed Power Initiative	\$4,000	Neugebauer (TX)	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
II	RDA	0603327A	55	Center for Defense Systems Research (CDSR)	\$1,000	Reyes (TX)	University of Texas at El Paso	El Paso, TX
II	RDA	0603779A	64	Cadmium Emissions Reduction - Letterkenny Army Depot	\$1,000	Shuster (PA)	Mountain Research, LLC	Altoona, PA
II	RDA	0603779A	64	Vanadium Technology Program	\$3,000	Wilson (SC)	Advanced Technology Institute	North Charleston, SC
II	RDA	0603827A	71	Acid Alkaline Direct Methanol Fuel Cell	\$2,000	McIntyre (NC)	Fayetteville Works Plant	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDA	0604804A	103	Autonomous Sustainment Cargo Container (ASCC), "Sea Truck"	\$1,500	Bartlett (MD)	AEPLUG Inc.	Germantown, MD
II	RDA	0604807A	105	Plasma Sterilizer	\$800	Ellison (MN)	Phygen, Inc	Minneapolis, MN
II	RDA	0604807A	105	Plasma Sterilizer	\$800	McCollum (MN)	Phygen-Steriluent	Minneapolis, MN
II	RDA	0604854A	114	Paladin Integrated Management, M109A6	\$9,000	Platts (PA)	BAE Systems	Arlington, VA
II	RDA	0604854A	114	Paladin Integrated Management, M109A6	\$9,000	Rogers (AL)	BAE Systems	Arlington, VA
II	RDA	0605602A	128	MOTS All Sky Imager	\$1,200	Reyes (TX)	Aerospace Missions Corporation and Trex Enterprises	El Paso and San Diego, CA, TX
II	RDA	0203726A	147	AFATDS Voice Recognition and Cross Platform Speech Interface System	\$2,500	Shuster (PA)	Szanca Solutions, Inc.	Bedford, PA
II	RDA	0203802A	155	Javelin Warhead Improvement Plan	\$5,000	Bright (AL)	Lockheed Martin Corporation	Troy, AL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0305208A	168	Adaptive-Defense HIPPIE (High-speed Internet Protocol Packet Inspection Engine) on a Chip	\$1,300	Akin (MO)	TechGuard Security, LLC	Chesterfield, MO
II	RDA	0305208A	168	Joint STARS Surveillance and Control Data Link (SCDL) Technology Refresh	\$1,000	Davis (CA)	Cubic Defense Applications	San Diego, CA
II	RDN	0601153N	3	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology (N31) Initiative	\$2,500	Blumenauer (OR)	Portland State University (with Subcontracting to Oregon State University and University of Oregon)	Portland, OR
II	RDN	0601153N	3	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology (N31) Initiative	\$2,500	DeFazio (OR)	University of Oregon	Eugene, OR
II	RDN	0601153N	3	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology (N31) Initiative	\$2,500	Schrader (OR)	ONAMI	Corvallis, OR
II	RDN	0601153N	3	ONAMI Nanoelectronics, Nanometrology and Nanobiotechnology (N31) Initiative	\$2,500	Walden (OR)	University of Oregon; Oregon State University; Portland State University; ONAMI	Eugene; Corvallis; Portland; Corvallis, OR
II	RDN	0602114N	4	Multifunctional Materials, Their Applications and Devices	\$2,000	Kilroy (OH)	The Ohio State University	Columbus, OH
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Design Optimization of Composite High-Speed Boats using Advanced Composite Manufacturing and Non-Des	\$2,000	Pingree (ME)	Hodgdon Defense Composites	Portland, ME
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Force Protection — Non Traditional Weaving Application for Aramid (Ballistic) Fibers and Fabrics	\$2,500	LoBlundo (NJ)	Absecon Mills Inc.	Cologne, NJ
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Lithium Ion Storage Advancement for Aircraft Applications	\$2,500	Blunt (MO)	EaglePicher Technologies LLC	Joplin, MO
II	RDN	0602236N	9	Managing and Extending DoD Asset Lifecycles (MEDAL)	\$2,000	Abercrombie (HI)	Referentia Systems	Honolulu, HI
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Countermeasure Lidar UAV-based System (CLUBS)	\$2,000	Taylor (MS)	Optech International Inc.	Kilm, MS
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Detection, Tracking, and Identification for ISRTE of Mobile and Asymmetric Targets	\$2,500	Abercrombie (HI)	Pukoa Scientific	Honolulu, HI
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator	\$2,000	Higgins (NY)	Moog Inc.	East Aurora, NY
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Quiet Drive Advanced Rotary Actuator	\$2,000	Sestak (PA)	Moog Inc.	East Aurora, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Tactical High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile Demonstration	\$1,900	Connolly (VA)	Aerojet-General Corporation	Gainesville, VA
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Tactical High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile Demonstration	\$1,900	McKeon (CA)	Naval Air Warfare Center, China Lake	China Lake, CA
II	RDN	0603123N	16	High-Temperature Radar Dome Materials	\$2,000	Giffords (AZ)	University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Pure Hydrogen Supply from Logistic Fuels	\$3,000	Murphy (PA)	Power and Energy, Inc.	Ivyland, PA
II	RDN	0603640M	20	Acoustic Combat Sensors	\$5,000	Boren (OK)	GWACS Defense, Inc.	Tulsa, OK
II	RDN	0603729N	22	Navy Special Warfare Performance and Injury Prevention Program for SBT 22 at Stennis Space Center	\$2,500	Taylor (MS)	University of Pittsburgh School of Health and Rehabilitation	Pittsburgh, PA
II	RDN	0603216N	27	Lighter-Than-Air Stratospheric UAV for Persistent Communications Relay and Surveillance	\$3,000	Lamborn (CO)	U.S. Northern Command	Colorado Springs, CO
II	RDN	0603254N	29	Marine Mammal Detection System	\$3,000	Smith (NJ)	Integrated Systems Solutions, Inc. (ISSI)	Lakehurst, NJ
II	RDN	0603506N	33	Continuous Active Sonar (CAS) for Torpedo DCL Systems	\$4,500	Courtney (CT)	Alion Science and Technology	Mystic, CT
II	RDN	0603513N	35	Advanced Steam Turbine	\$4,000	Massa (NY)	Dresser-Rand	Wellsville, Painted Post, Olean, NY
II	RDN	0603513N	35	Advanced Steam Turbine	\$4,000	Olver (MA)	DRS	Fitchburg, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	35	Advanced Steam Turbine	\$4,000	Tsongas (MA)	DRS Power Technology, Inc.	Fitchburg and Hudson, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	35	Next Generation Shipboard Integrated Power: Fuel Efficiency and Advanced Capability Enhancer	\$5,000	Bartlett (MD)	Northrop Grumman	Los Angeles, CA
II	RDN	0603561N	41	Submarine Fatigue Vector Sensor Towed Array	\$2,000	Kratovil (MD)	L3 Chesapeake Sciences Corporation	Millersville, MD
II	RDN	0603564N	44	Support for Naval Ship Hydrodynamics Test Facilities	\$4,000	Van Hollen (MD)	Caterpillar Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center	West Bethesda, MD
II	RDN	0603573N	46	High Density Power Conversion and Distribution Equipment	\$1,500	Boren (OK)	Westwood Corporation, L-3	Tulsa, OK
II	RDN	0603573N	46	High Density Power Conversion and Distribution Equipment	\$1,500	Sullivan (OK)	L-3 Westwood Corporation	Tulsa, OK

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars In Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0603573N	46	Hybrid Propulsion / Power Generation for Increased Fuel Efficiency for Surface Combatants	\$2,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	L-3 Power Paragon, Inc.	Anaheim, CA
II	RDN	0603573N	46	Hybrid Propulsion / Power Generation for Increased Fuel Efficiency for Surface Combatants	\$2,000	Miller (CA)	NAVSEA Electric Ship Office	Washington, DC
II	RDN	0603725N	59	Photovoltaic Rooftop Systems - Navy	\$1,500	Peters (MI)	United Solar Ovonic	Auburn Hills, MI
II	RDN	0603725N	59	Regenerative Fuel Cell Back-up Power	\$1,700	Larson (CT)	Infinity Fuel Cell and Hydrogen, Inc.	Windsor, CT
II	RDN	0603725N	59	Wave Energy PowerBuoy Generating System	\$2,400	Abercrombie (HI)	Ocean Power Technologies	Honolulu, HI
II	RDN	0603725N	59	Wave Energy PowerBuoy Generating System	\$2,400	Hirono (HI)	Ocean Power Technologies	Honolulu, HI
II	RDN	0603925N	74	Joint Technology Insertion & Accelerated System Integration Capability for Electronic Warfare	\$2,000	Ellsworth (IN)	Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) and NSWC, Crane	Crane, IN
II	RDN	0604215N	82	Measurement Standards Research and Development	\$2,000	Calvert (CA)	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Corona Division, Corona, CA 92878-5000	Corona, CA
II	RDN	0604216N	83	USN MH-60S 'Close the Lethality Gap' M250 Pylon Qualification	\$4,700	Massa (NY)	Navy Strike and Air Warfare Center	Fallon, NV
II	RDN	0604307N	99	Advanced Capability Build 12 and ACB 14	\$2,000	Adler (NJ)	Lockheed Martin MS2	Moorestown, NJ
II	RDN	0604558N	110	Common Command and Control System Module (CCCS)	\$6,000	Courtney (CT)	General Dynamics Electric Boat	Groton, CT
II	RDN	0604558N	110	Common Command and Control System Module (CCCS)	\$6,000	Langevin (RI)	General Dynamics Electric Boat	North Kingstown, RI
II	RDN	0604558N	110	Mold-In-Place (MIP) Coating Development for the U.S. Submarine Fleet	\$2,000	Taylor (MS)	Seeman Composites, Inc	Gulfport, MS
II	RDN	0604567N	113	Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative for Navy Ships	\$2,500	Nye (VA)	CAPT Brian Antonio, PEO Aircraft Carriers PMS 378, Washington Navy Yard	Washington, DC
II	RDN	0604567N	113	Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative for Navy Ships	\$2,500	Tsongas (MA)	LSaria	Lawrence, MA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FISCAL PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0604771N	124	U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program: Enhancement of Influenza Vaccine Efficacy	\$2,000	McHugh (NY)	Trudeau Institute (Saranac Lake, NY) and Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) (San Diego, CA)	Saranac Lake, NY
II	RDN	0604771N	124	U.S. Navy Vaccine Program	\$3,000	Alexander (LA)	The Breast Foundation	Baton Rouge, LA
II	RDN	0604771N	124	U.S. Navy Vaccine Program	\$3,000	Jones (NC)	OncBioMune, LLC	Baton Rouge, LA
II	RDN	0605013N	129	Maintenance Planning and Assessment Technology (MPAT) Insertion	\$1,500	Brady (PA)	Progeny Systems	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDN	0605804N	143	Center for Commercialization of Advanced Technology	\$2,500	Davis (CA)	San Diego State University Research Foundation	San Diego, CA
II	RDN	0101221N	160	Advanced LINAC Facility	\$1,170	Hill (IN)	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
II	RDN	0101402N	163	E-68 Strategic Communications Upgrade Block 1A (VLF-TX & HPTS)	\$3,000	Fallin (OK)	Rockwell Collins through the US Navy	Tinker AFB, OK
II	RDN	0101402N	163	E-68 Strategic Communications Upgrade Block 1A (VLF-TX & HPTS)	\$3,000	Loebbeck (IA)	Rockwell Collins	Cedar Rapids, IA
II	RDN	0708011N	216	Laser Optimization Remote Lighting Systems	\$2,500	Larson (CT)	RSL Fiber Systems, LLC	East Hartford, CT
II	RDAF	0602201F	8	UAV Sensor and Maintenance Development Center	\$4,900	Bishop (UT)	Utah State University Space Dynamics Lab / Ogden ALC	Logan, UT
II	RDAF	0602201F	8	Unmanned Sense, Track, and Avoid Radar (USTAR)	\$2,000	Lamborn (CO)	N/A	Colorado Springs, CO
II	RDAF	0602203F	10	Advanced Lithium Battery Scale-up and Manufacturing	\$2,000	Johnson (GA)	Excilatron Solid State, LLC	Atlanta, GA
II	RDAF	0602203F	10	Advanced Vehicle Propulsion Center (AVPC)	\$3,000	McKeon (CA)	Advatech Pacific, Inc.	Redlands, CA
II	RDAF	0602203F	10	Integrated Electrical Starter	\$2,000	Turner (OH)	GE Aviation Systems, Electrical Power	Vandalia, OH
II	RDAF	0602203F	10	Multi-Mode Propulsion Phase IIA: High Performance Green Propellant	\$2,000	Kratovil (MD)	Alliant Techsystems Inc. (ATI)	Baltimore, MD
II	RDAF	0602204F	11	Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets	\$1,800	Snyder (AR)	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0602204F	11	Net-Centric Sensor Grids	\$3,000	Hill (IN)	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
II	RDAF	0602601F	12	Advanced Modular Avionics for ORS Use	\$3,100	Heinrich (NM)	Goodrich ISR Systems Albuquerque	Albuquerque, NM
II	RDAF	0602890F	17	Advanced Deformable Mirrors for High Energy Laser Weapons	\$2,000	Heinrich (NM)	MZA Associates Corporation and Active Optical Systems	Albuquerque, NM
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Arcont (NY)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	DeFazio (OR)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	DeLauro (CT)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Holden (PA)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Kaptur (OH)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Kissell (NC)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium Program, CT
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Latta (OH)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$10,000	Ryan (OH)	Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL)	Multiple Locations, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	18	Rapid Automated Processing of Advanced Low Observables	\$1,500	Turner (OH)	ATK Aerospace Structures	Dayton, OH
II	RDAF	0603211F	21	Long-Loiter, Load Bearing Antenna Platform for Pervasive Airborne Intelligence	\$5,000	Blunt (MO)	QinetiQ North America	Springfield, MO
II	RDAF	0603401F	25	Small Responsive Spacecraft at Low-Cost (SRSL)	\$3,000	Bishop (UT)	Utah State University Space Dynamics Laboratory / Air Force Research Laboratory	Logan, UT
II	RDAF	0603680F	30	Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospace Applications	\$2,000	Turner (OH)	NanoSpense, LLC	Kettering, OH
II	RDAF	0603788F	31	Cyber Attack and Security Environment	\$4,000	Arcont (NY)	Air Force Research Laboratory/RI	Rome, NY
II	RDAF	0603788F	31	Cyber Attack and Security Environment	\$4,000	McHugh (NY)	Clarkson University and ITT	Clarkson (Potsdam) and ITT (Rome), NY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars In Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0604796F	56	Advanced Propulsion Non-Tactical Vehicle (APNTV)	\$2,000	Massa (NY)	Steven McTier, Project Manager (Robbins AFB)	Honeoye Falls, NY
II	RDAF	0604240F	66	B-2 Advanced Tactical Data Link	\$12,000	McKeon (CA)	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Los Angeles, CA
II	RDAF	0604617F	79	Backpack Medical Oxygen System (BMOS)	\$1,000	Akin (MO)	Essex Cryogenics	St. Louis, MO
II	RDAF	0604706F	80	ACES 5 Ejection Seat	\$2,400	Lamborn (CO)	Aircrew Performance Systems Acquisition Program, SAF/AQPC, Combat Support and Joint Counterair Div.	Washington, DC
II	RDAF	0604706F	80	ACES 5 Ejection Seat	\$2,400	Pastor (AZ)	Goodrich Corporation	Phoenix, AZ
II	RDAF	0604706F	80	ACES 5 Ejection Seat	\$2,400	Tauscher (CA)	Goodrich Corporation	Phoenix, AZ
II	RDAF	0604740F	82	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	\$4,000	Andrews (NJ)	Accenture National Security Services	Camden, NJ
II	RDAF	0604740F	82	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	\$4,000	LoBiondo (NJ)	Accenture	Camden, NJ
II	RDAF	0604740F	82	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	\$4,000	Sestak (PA)	Accenture National Security Services	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDAF	0604759F	101	Eglin AFB Range Operations Control Center (ROCC)	\$2,500	Miller (FL)	Cubic Corporation	Arlington, VA
II	RDAF	0207134F	132	Corrosion Detection and Visualization Program	\$1,000	Smith (WA)	Quest Integrated, Inc.	Kent, WA
II	RDAF	0301310F	165	Open Source Research Centers	\$1,000	Turner (OH)	Radiance Technologies	Fairborn, OH
II	RDAF	0304348F	181	Advanced Technical Intelligence Center	\$6,500	Turner (OH)	Advanced Technical Intelligence Center for Human Capital Development (ATIC)	Beavercreek, OH
II	RDAF	0305159F	193	Carbon Nanotube Enhanced Power Sources for Space	\$2,000	Markey (MA)	Northeastern University	Boston, MA
II	RDAF	0305206F	203	Multiple UAS Cooperative Concentrated Observation and Engagement Against a Common Ground Objective	\$2,000	Bartlett (MD)	Proxy Aviation Systems	Germantown, MD
II	RDAF	0305207F	204	Rivet Joint Services Oriented Architecture (SOA)	\$2,500	Hall (TX)	L-3 Communications Integrated Systems	Greenville, TX
II	RDAF	0708011F	231	Wire Integrity Technology	\$2,000	Marshall (GA)	WR-ALC	Warner Robins, GA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PIOR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	ATTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0708611F	233	ALC Logistics Integration Environment	\$2,000	Shuster (PA)	IS2 Technologies, Inc.	Altoona, PA
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Ackerman (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Arcuri (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Bishop (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Clarke (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Israel (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Maloney (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	McCarthy (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Rangel (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Tonko (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Synchrotron Beamline and Experimental Station	\$4,000	Towns (NY)	New York Structural Biology Center	New York, NY
II	RDDW	0602384BP	14	Chemical and Biological Resistant Clothing	\$2,000	Sestak (PA)	Artema, Inc.	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	25	Affordable Robust Mid-Sized (ARMS) Unmanned Ground Vehicle	\$2,000	Tsongas (MA)	Black-I Robotics, Inc.	Tyngsborough, MA
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	25	Combating Terrorism: Threat and Risk Assessment	\$2,500	Brady (PA)	Foreign Policy Research Institute	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	25	Integrated Rugged Checkpoint Container	\$2,500	Taylor (MS)	Rapiscan Systems, Inc.	Ocean Springs, MS
II	RDDW	0603384BP	32	Total Perimeter Surveillance	\$2,000	Schauer (MI)	Dexter Research Center, Inc.	Dexter, MI
II	RDDW	0603648D8Z	34	Distributed Network Switching and Security	\$2,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Raptor Networks Technology, Inc.	Santa Ana, CA
II	RDDW	0603648D8Z	34	High Accuracy Network Determination System - Intelligent Optical Networks (HANDS-IONS)	\$2,000	Abercrombie (HI)	Oceanit	Honolulu, HI
II	RDDW	0603712S	41	Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies Initiative	\$2,000	Loebback (IA)	The University of Iowa	Iowa City, IA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDDW	06037205	44	End to End Semi Fab Alpha Tool	\$2,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Digibeam Corporation	San Juan Capistrano, CA
II	RDDW	06037205	44	Feature Size Yield Enhancement at DMEA's Semiconductors Foundry	\$2,500	Matsui (CA)	Defense Microelectronics Activity	McClellan, CA
II	RDDW	060382808Z	60	Tidewater Full Scale Exercise	\$2,700	Forbes (VA)	N/A	various, VA
II	RDDW	060394208Z	63	National Radio Frequency RD&T Transfer Center	\$3,000	Buyer (IN)	Technology Service Corporation	Bloomington, IN
II	RDDW	060394208Z	63	National Radio Frequency RD&T Transfer Center	\$3,000	Ellsworth (IN)	Technology Service Corporation and NSWC Crane	Crane, IN
II	RDDW	116040288	65	Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center	\$3,500	Etheridge (NC)	Partnership for Defense Innovation	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDDW	116040288	65	Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center	\$3,500	Kissell (NC)	Partnership for Defense Innovation	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDDW	116040288	65	Partnership for Defense Innovation Wi-Fi Laboratory Testing and Assessment Center	\$3,500	McIntyre (NC)	Partnership for Defense Innovation	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDDW	060370908Z	71	Autonomous Machine Vision for Mapping and Investigation of Remote Sites	\$2,000	Davis (CA)	Vision Robotics Corp	San Diego, CA
II	RDDW	0603898C	89	Independent Advisory Group to Review Ballistic Missile Defense Training Needs	\$500	Lamborn (CO)	Missile Defense Agency	Colorado Springs, CO
II	RDDW	0603913C	97	Short-Range Ballistic Missile Defense	\$25,000	Blunt (MO)	LaBarge	Joplin, MO
II	RDDW	060494008Z	129	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development	\$4,000	Johnson (GA)	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	060494008Z	129	Gulf Range Mobile Instrumentation Capability	\$3,000	Miller (FL)	Prologic	Manassas, VA
II	RDDW	060494008Z	129	Joint Gulf Range Complex Test and Training	\$3,000	Miller (FL)	Boeing	Fort Walton Beach, FL
II	RDDW	0301301L	181	Advanced Scientific Missile Intelligence Preparation of the Battlespace (IPB)	\$2,500	Griffith (AL)	SPARTA Inc.	Huntsville, AL
II	RDDW	0301301L	181	Portable Device for Latent Fingerprint Identification	\$1,800	Smith (WA)	Sagem Morpho	Tacoma, WA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PL OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDDW	07080115	238	Northwest Manufacturing Initiative	\$1,200	Blumenauer (OR)	Manufacturing 21 Coalition	Portland, OR
II	RDDW	07080115	238	Northwest Manufacturing Initiative	\$1,200	DeFazio (OR)	Manufacturing 21 Coalition	Portland, OR
II	RDDW	07080115	238	Northwest Manufacturing Initiative	\$1,200	Walden (OR)	Manufacturing 21 Coalition	Portland, OR
II	RDDW	116040588	247	Advanced, Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor	\$4,900	Harper (MS)	Mississippi State University	Starkville, MS
II	RDDW	116040588	247	Advanced, Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor	\$4,900	Taylor (MS)	Mississippi State University, Camgian Microsystems Corp.	Starkville, MS
II	RDDW	116040588	247	Biometric Optical Surveillance System (BOSS)	\$2,000	Guthrie (KY)	EWA, Inc.	Bowling Green, KY
II	RDDW	116040588	247	Counterproliferation Analysis and Planning System (CAPS)	\$5,000	McNerney (CA)	Captain Jeff Trumbell	MacDill Air Force Base, FL
II	RDDW	116040588	247	Counterproliferation Analysis and Planning System (CAPS)	\$5,000	Tauscher (CA)	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	Livermore, CA
II	RDDW	116047988	260	Miniature Day Night Sight for Crew Served Weapons	\$1,500	Sestak (PA)	Peak Beam Systems Inc.	Edgmont, PA
II	RDDW	116048388	263	Transformer Technology for Combat Submersibles (TTCs)	\$4,000	Bishop (NY)	STIDD Systems Inc	Greenport, NY
II	RDDW	116048388	263	Transformer Technology for Combat Submersibles (TTCs)	\$4,000	Ros-Lehtinen (FL)	STIDD Systems Inc	Marathon, FL
III	OMA	ba01-2020a	90	Texas Defense Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative	\$3,000	Ortiz (TX)	US - Mexico Cultural and Educational Foundation	Irving, TX
III	OMA	ba01-2020a	100	Fort Bliss Data Center	\$1,700	Reyes (TX)	Fort Bliss	El Paso, TX
III	OMA	ba04-2020a	380	M24 Sniper Weapons System Upgrade	\$1,000	Arcuri (NY)	US Army Military Center - Small Arms Division - Combat Developments	Fort Benning, GA
III	OMN	ba02-1804n	320	Navy Ship Disposal - Carrier Demonstration Program	\$3,000	Ortiz (TX)	United States Navy	Washington, DC
III	OMN	ba03-1804n	430	Navy Sea Cadet Corps	\$651	Bishop (NY)	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
III	OMN	ba03-1804n	430	Navy Sea Cadet Corps	\$651	Calvert (CA)	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
III	OMN	ba03-1804n	430	Navy Sea Cadet Corps	\$651	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Navy Recruiting Command	Millington, TN

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PR OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
III	OMN	ba03-1804n	430	Navy Sea Cadet Corps	\$651	Ortiz (TX)	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
III	OMMC	ba01-1106n	10	Flame Resistant Organizational Gear	\$1,500	Cummings (MD)	180s, LLC	Baltimore, MD
III	OMMC	ba01-1106n	10	Flame Resistant Organizational Gear	\$1,500	Kissell (NC)	Longworth Industries	Candor, NC
III	OMMC	ba01-1106n	10	Ultra Lightweight Camouflage Net System	\$9,500	Etheridge (NC)	Saab	Lillington, NC
III	OMAF	ba01-3400f	30	Air Education and Training Command Range Improvements	\$1,500	Giffords (AZ)	Barry M. Goldwater Range - Luke Air Force Base	Luke Air Force Base, AZ
III	OMAF	ba01-3400f	70	Wage Modification for US Azores Portuguese National Employees	\$240	Frank (MA)	N/A	N/A, nonUSA
III	OMAF	ba02-3400f	160	Warner Robbins Air Logistics Center Strategic Airlift Aircraft Availability Improvements	\$2,000	Marshall (GA)	WR-ALC	Warner Robins, GA
III	OMDW	ba01-0100d	20	Special Operations Forces Modular Glove System	\$1,500	Kratovil (MD)	W.L. Gore and Associates	Elkton, MD
III	OMDW	ba04-0100d	210	SOAR Recruiting Initiative	\$3,400	Braley (IA)	University of Northern Iowa	Cedar Falls, IA
III	OMDW	ba04-0100d	210	SOAR Recruiting Initiative	\$3,400	Wilson (SC)	Celebrate Freedom Foundation	Columbia, SC
III	OMDW	ba04-0100d	260	Redevelopment of Naval Station Ingleside	\$1,000	Ortiz (TX)	Ingleside Local Redevelopment Authority	Ingleside, TX
III	OMDW	ba04-0100d	270	Critical Language Training	\$2,000	Davis (CA)	San Diego State University Research Foundation	San Diego, CA
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	60	Joint Command Vehicle and Supporting C3 Systems	\$2,250	Shea-Porter (NH)	New Hampshire National Guard	Concord, NH
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	Butterfield (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	Etheridge (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	Kissell (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	McIntyre (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC
III	OMNG	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	Miller (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
III	OMING	ba01-2065a	100	North Carolina National Guard Family Assistance Centers	\$1,600	Watt (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Raleigh, NC
III	OMING	ba01-2065a	100	Our Military Kids	\$1,000	Kilroy (OH)	Our Military Kids, Inc.	McLean, VA
III	OMING	ba01-2065a	100	Our Military Kids	\$1,000	Ortiz (TX)	Our Military Kids, Inc.	McLean, VA
III	OMING	ba01-2065a	100	Our Military Kids	\$1,000	Taylor (MS)	Our Military Kids, Inc.	McLean, VA
III	OMING	ba01-2065a	110	Camp Ethan Allen Training Site Road Equipment	\$300	Welch (VT)	Vermont Army National Guard	Jericho, VT
VII	DHP			Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	\$430	McHugh (NY)	Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	Watertown, NY
VII	DHP			Tacoma Trauma Trust	\$2,500	Smith (WA)	Madigan Army Medical Center, MultiCare Health System, and Franciscan Health System	Tacoma, WA
VII	DHP			USUHS Immersive, Wide Area Virtual Environment (WAVE) Simulation for Medical Readiness Training	\$3,000	Van Hollen (MD)	The Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS)	Bethesda, MD
XV	OCO - DICA			Mt-17 Aircraft Modifications	\$16,000	Reyes (TX)	Army Threat Simulation Management Office	Redstone Arsenal, AL
XXIII	MCA			All Wx Marksmanship Facility	\$8,200	McHugh (NY)	Fort Drum	Watertown, NY
XXIII	MCA			Analytical Chem Wing - Advanced Chem Lab	\$15,500	Kratovil (MD)	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Aberdeen, MD
XXIII	MCA			ATL Auditorium & Trng Cntr Expand	\$7,400	Bartlett (MD)	Fort Detrick	Fort Detrick, MD
XXIII	MCA			Ballistic Eval Facility PH2	\$10,200	Frelinghuysen (NJ)	Picatinny Arsenal	Picatinny, NJ
XXIII	MCA			Defense Access Roads	\$5,000	Forbes (VA)	Fort Lee	Fort Lee, VA
XXIII	MCA			Family Life Center	\$10,800	Carter (TX)	Fort Hood	Fort Hood, TX
XXIII	MCA			Fire Station, Two Company	\$6,700	Giffords (AZ)	Fort Huachuca	Fort Huachuca, AZ
XXIII	MCA			Ft Lewis-McChord AFB Joint Access	\$9,000	Smith (WA)	Fort Lewis	Fort Lewis, WA
XXIII	MCA			Gate 7 Access Control Point	\$3,550	Griffith (AL)	Redstone Arsenal	Huntsville, AL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXIII	MCA			Health Clinic	\$7,800	Skelton (MO)	Fort Leonard Wood	Fort Leonard Wood, MO
XXIII	MCA			Industrial Area Elec System Upgrade	\$3,300	Rogers (AL)	Anniston Army Depot	Anniston Army Depot, AL
XXIII	MCA			Installation Chapel Center	\$14,400	Tanner (TN)	Fort Campbell	Fort Campbell, KY
XXIII	MCA			Installation Chapel Center	\$14,400	Wamp (TN)	Fort Campbell	Fort Campbell, KY
XXIII	MCA			Installation Chapel Center	\$14,400	Whitfield (KY)	Fort Campbell	Fort Campbell, KY
XXIII	MCA			Intersection, Rockenbach Rd & Cooper Ave	\$2,350	Kratovil (MD)	Fort Meade	Ft. Meade, MD
XXIII	MCA			Intersection, Rockenbach Rd & Cooper Ave	\$2,350	Sarbanes (MD)	Fort Meade	Fort Meade, MD
XXIII	MCA			Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$6,400	Fleming (LA)	Fort Polk	Leesville, LA
XXIII	MCN			Alter/Add Marine Mammal Surgical Center	\$2,330	Davis (CA)	Point Loma Annex	San Diego, CA
XXIII	MCN			Electromagnetic Research and Engineering Facility	\$3,660	Wittman (VA)	Dahlgren	Dahlgren, VA
XXIII	MCN			Fitness Ctr	\$26,360	Crenshaw (FL)	Mayport	Jacksonville, FL
XXIII	MCN			Gate 2 Security Improvements	\$7,090	Hodes (NH)	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Kittery, ME
XXIII	MCN			Gate 2 Security Improvements	\$7,090	Pingree (ME)	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Kittery, ME
XXIII	MCN			Gate 2 Security Improvements	\$7,090	Shea-Porter (NH)	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Kittery, ME
XXIII	MCN			Marine Meteorology Center	\$10,240	Farr (CA)	Monterey NSA	Monterey, CA
XXIII	MCN			MK-48 Torpedo Magazine	\$6,570	Courtney (CT)	New London Naval Submarine Base	Groton, CT
XXIII	MCN			Mountain Warfare Training, Commissary	\$6,830	McKeon (CA)	Bridgeport	Bridgeport, CA
XXIII	MCN			Ord Storage Pads w/2 Covers	\$13,130	Dicks (WA)	Indian Island Naval Magazine	Port Townsend, WA
XXIII	MCN			ROTE Support Facility, PH2	\$6,520	Van Hollen (MD)	Candlerock NSWC Det	West Bethesda, MD
XXIII	MCN			Renovate Perry Hall	\$8,530	Kennedy (RI)	Newport	Newport, RI
XXIII	MCN			Small Craft Launch	\$3,810	Larsen (WA)	Everett Naval Station	Everett, WA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXIII	MCN			SOF Cafeteria	\$14,170	Nye (VA)	Dam Neck	Dam Neck, VA
XXIII	MCN			Solar Panel Array	\$4,470	Ortiz (TX)	Kingsville Naval Air Station	Delray Beach, FL
XXIII	MCN			Special Commo Rqts Eng Facility	\$11,043	Hoyer (MD)	Patuxent River Naval Air Station	Patuxent River, MD
XXIII	MCN			Strategic Weapons Systems Engineering Facility	\$13,710	Ellsworth (IN)	Naval Support Activity Crane	Crane, IN
XXIII	MCN			Wpns Maint Hardstand Fac	\$4,870	Bishop (GA)	Albany Marine Corps Logistics Base	Albany, GA
XXIII	MCAF			Add to Space RDT&E Opns Cntr	\$5,800	Heinrich (NM)	Kirtland Air Force Base	Albuquerque, NM
XXIII	MCAF			Add/Alter USAF CENT HQ	\$21,183	Spratt (SC)	Shaw Air Force Base	Shaw Air Force Base, SC
XXIII	MCAF			Aeromedical Evac Facility	\$7,400	Costello (IL)	Scott Air Force Base	Scott Air Force Base, IL
XXIII	MCAF			Arctic Utilidors - Phase 11	\$9,900	Young (AK)	Eielson Air Force Base	Eielson Air Force Base, AK
XXIII	MCAF			Consolidated Commo Facility	\$21,000	Young (FL)	MacDill Air Force Base	MacDill Air Force Base, FL
XXIII	MCAF			Consolidated Parking Area, PH 1	\$8,000	Loretta Sanchez (CA)	Los Angeles Air Force Base	El Segundo, CA
XXIII	MCAF			East Gate Realignment	\$7,200	Lamborn (CO)	Peterson Air Force Base	Peterson Air Force Base, CO
XXIII	MCAF			EOD Opns Complex	\$7,400	Skelton (MO)	Whiteman Air Force Base	Whiteman Air Force Base, MO
XXIII	MCAF			Fire-Crash Rescue Station	\$10,400	Teague (NM)	Holloman Air Force Base	Holloman Air Force Base, NM
XXIII	MCAF			Flight Test Opns Fac (413 FLTS)	\$9,400	Miller (FL)	Hurlburt Field	Hurlburt Air Force Base, FL
XXIII	MCAF			Ground Control Tower	\$4,000	Abercrombie (HI)	Hickam Air Force Base	Hickam Air Force Base, HI
XXIII	MCAF			Hot Cargo Pad/Taxiway	\$6,200	Marshall (GA)	Warner Robins Air Force Base	Warner Robins, GA
XXIII	MCAF			Land Acquisition North & South Bdry	\$5,500	Skelton (MO)	Whiteman Air Force Base	Whiteman Air Force Base, MO

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXIII	MCAF			PCC Apron Northwest End Taxiway A	\$5,100	Bishop (UT)	Hill Air Force Base	Clearfield, VA
XXIII	MCAF			Postal Service Center	\$3,500	Bordallo (GU)	Andersen Air Force Base	Vigo, GU
XXIII	MCAF			Radar Approach Control Complex, PH1	\$6,900	Jones (NC)	Seymour Johnson Air Force Base	Goldsboro, NC
XXIII	MCAF			Replace West Ramp, Phase II	\$10,500	Turner (OH)	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Dayton, OH
XXIII	MCAF			Security Forces Operations Facility	\$10,400	Snyder (AR)	Little Rock Air Force Base	Jacksonville, AR
XXIII	MCAF			T-9 Noise Suppressor	\$5,200	Fallin (OK)	Tinker Air Force Base	Tinker Air Force Base, OK
XXIII	MCAF			Taxiway Lighting	\$3,450	Young (AK)	Elison Air Force Base	Elison Air Force Base, AK
XXIII	MCAF			Taxiway M Bypass Road	\$6,000	Tauscher (CA)	Travis Air Force Base	Travis Air Force Base, CA
XXIII	MCAF			TFI Refuel Veh Maint Facility	\$4,150	McMorris Rodgers (WA)	Fairchild Air Force Base	Spokane, WA
XXIII	MCAF			Warfighter & Family Sup Cntr	\$7,900	Adler (NJ)	McGuire Air Force Base	McGuire Air Force Base, NJ
XXVII	MCANG			Add/Alter Aircraft Maint Shops	\$7,900	Gonzalez (TX)	Kelly Field Annex	San Antonio, TX
XXVII	MCANG			Add/Alter Aircraft Maint Shops	\$7,900	Rodriguez (TX)	Kelly Field Annex	San Antonio, TX
XXVII	MCANG			CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$9,000	Courtney (CT)	Bradley National Airport	Windsor Locks, CT
XXVII	MCANG			CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$9,000	DeLauro (CT)	Bradley National Airport	Windsor Locks, CT
XXVII	MCANG			CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$9,000	Himes (CT)	Bradley National Airport	Windsor Locks, CT
XXVII	MCANG			CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$9,000	Larson (CT)	Bradley National Airport	Windsor Locks, CT
XXVII	MCANG			CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$9,000	Murphy (CT)	Bradley National Airport	Windsor Locks, CT
XXVII	MCANG			F-15 Aircraft Ready Shelters	\$8,100	Oliver (MA)	Barnes Air National Guard Base	Westfield, MA
XXVII	MCANG			Joint Force Headquarters Building	\$1,300	Wilson (SC)	McEntire Joint National Guard Base	Eastover, SC
XXVII	MCANG			Minnesota Starbase Facility Alteration	\$1,900	Elison (MN)	Minn/St. Paul IAP 133rd AW Base	Minneapolis, MN

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	FE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVII	MCANG			Relocate Base Entrance	\$6,500	Taylor (MS)	Gulfport - Biloxi Regional Airport	Gulfport, MS
XXVII	MCANG			Replace Squadron Operations Facilities	\$10,000	Shea-Porter (NH)	Pease Air National Guard Base	Portsmouth, NH
XXVII	MCANG			Security Improv-Relocate Base Entrance	\$3,000	Hare (IL)	Lincoln Capital Airport	Springfield, IL
XXVII	MCANG			Security Improv-Relocate Base Entrance	\$3,000	Shinkus (IL)	Lincoln Capital Airport	Springfield, IL
XXVII	MCANG			TFI - Reaper LRE Beddown	\$2,700	Maffei (NY)	Wheeler Sack AAF	Fort Drum Military Reservation, NY
XXVII	MCANG			TFI - Upgrade DCGS	\$8,700	Tiaht (KS)	McConnell Air Force Base	Wichita, KS
XXVII	MCAR			Army Reserve Center	\$12,200	Edwards (TX)	Bryan	Bryan, TX
XXVII	MCAR			Tactical Equip Maint Facility	\$10,200	Ortiz (TX)	Robstown	Robstown, TX
XXVII	MCNR			Replace Joint Base Comms	\$6,170	Granger (TX)	Fort Worth NAS/IRB	Fort Worth, TX
XXVII	MCARNG			Add and Alter Munitions Maintenance Complex	\$1,300	Herseth (SD)	Joe Foss Field	Sioux Falls, SD
XXVII	MCARNG			Aviation Readiness Center	\$8,967	Kingston (GA)	Hunter Army Airfield	Savannah, GA
XXVII	MCARNG			Aviation Readiness Center	\$8,967	Marshall (GA)	Hunter Army Airfield	Savannah, GA
XXVII	MCARNG			BOQ Additions and Improvements	\$1,996	Welch (VT)	Ethan Allen Firing Range	Jericho, VT
XXVII	MCARNG			Camp Rilea Infrastructure (Water Supply System)	\$3,369	Wu (OR)	Clatsop County, Warrenton	Camp Rilea, OR
XXVII	MCARNG			Org Maint Shop (ADRS)	\$7,732	Schauer (MI)	Fort Custer	Augusta, MI
XXVII	MCARNG			Readiness Center	\$12,100	Schrader (OR)	Polk County	Dallas, OR
XXVII	MCARNG			Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$2,516	Shuler (NC)	East Flatrock	East Flat Rock, NC
XXVII	MCARNG			TUAS Support Facility	\$6,038	Kissell (NC)	Fort Bragg	Raleigh, NC
XXVII	MCARNG			TUAS Support Facility	\$6,038	McIntyre (NC)	Fort Bragg	Raleigh, NC
XXVII	MCARNG			Upgrade Corrosion Control Hangar	\$5,000	Moore (WI)	General Mitchell International Airport	Milwaukee, WI
XXVII	MCAFR			Indoor Small Arms Range	\$5,700	Lee (NY)	Niagara Falls Air Reserve Base	Niagara Falls, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVII	MCAFR			Indoor Small Arms Range	\$5,700	Slaughter (NY)	Niagara Falls Air Reserve Base	Niagara Falls, NY
XXVII	MCAFR			Small Arms Firing Range	\$9,800	Calvert (CA)	March Air Reserve Base	Riverside, CA
XXXI	NNSA			National Ignition Campaign	\$12,200	Tauscher (CA)	Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC	Livermore, CA
XXXI	NNSA			Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities - Operations of Facilities	\$10,000	Wamp (TN)	B&W Y-12 National Security Complex	Oak Ridge, TN

Congresswoman Ellen O. Tauscher resigned from the U.S. House of Representatives on June 26, 2009. Congressman John M. McHugh resigned from the U.S. House of Representatives on September 21, 2009.

From the Committee on Armed Services, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

IKE SKELTON,
JOHN M. SPRATT, Jr.,
SOLOMON P. ORTIZ,
NEIL ABERCROMBIE,
SILVESTRE REYES,
VIC SNYDER,
ADAM SMITH,
LORETTA SANCHEZ,
ROBERT A. BRADY,
ROBERT E. ANDREWS,
SUSAN A. DAVIS,
JAMES R. LANGEVIN,
RICK LARSEN,
JIM COOPER,
JIM MARSHALL,
MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO,

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of that committee under clause 11 of rule X:

ALCEE L. HASTINGS,
ADAM B. SCHIFF,

From the Committee on Education and Labor, for consideration of secs. 243, 551-553, 585, 2833, and 2834 of the House bill and secs. 531-534 and 3136 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

LYNN C. WOOLSEY,
JASON ALTMIRE,
JUDY BIGGERT,

From the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for consideration of secs. 247, 315, and 601 of the House bill and secs. 311, 601, 2835, and 3118 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HENRY A. WAXMAN,
EDWARD J. MARKEY,

From the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for consideration of secs. 812, 907, 912, 1011, 1013, 1046, 1201, 1211, 1213-1215, 1226, 1230A, 1231, 1236, 1239, 1240, Title XIII, secs. 1513, 1516, 1517, and 2903 of the House bill and secs. 1021, 1023, 1201-1203, 1205-1208, 1211-1214, Subtitle D of Title XII, Title XIII, and sec. 1517 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HOWARD L. BERMAN,
GARY L. ACKERMAN,
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN,

From the Committee on Homeland Security, for consideration of sec. 1101 of the House bill, and modifications committed to conference:

BENNIE G. THOMPSON,
DINA TITUS,
GUS M. BILIRAKIS,

From the Committee on House Administration, for consideration of Subtitle H of Title V of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

MICHAEL E. CAPUANO,
CHARLES A. GONZALEZ,
DANIEL E. LUNGREN,

From the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of secs. 583, 584, 1021, and 1604 of the House bill and secs. 821, 911, 1031, 1033, 1056, 1086, and Division E of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JERROLD NADLER,
ZOE LOFGREN,

From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 1091 and 2308 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NICK J. RAHALL II,

From the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, for consideration of secs. 321, 322, 326-329, 335, 537, 666, 814, 815, 834, 1101-

1107, 1110-1113, and Title II of Division D of the House bill and secs. 323, 323A-323C, 814, 822, 824, 901, 911, 1056, 1086, 1101-1105, and 1162 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

EDOLPHUS TOWNS,
STEPHEN F. LYNCH,

From the Committee on Science and Technology, for consideration of secs. 248, 819, 836, and 911 of the House bill and secs. 801, 814, 833, 834, 912, and Division F of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

BART GORDON,
DAVID WU,

From the Committee on Small Business, for consideration of sec. 830 of the House bill and secs. 833, 834, 838, 1090 and Division F of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NYDIA M. VELÁZQUEZ,
GLENN C. NYE,

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of secs. 315, 601, and 2811 of the House bill and secs. 311, 601, 933, 2835, 3301, 6002, 6007, 6008, 6012, and 6013 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS,
LAURA RICHARDSON,

From the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for consideration of secs. 525, 583, 584, and sec. 121 of Division D of the House bill and secs. 573-575, 617, 711, Subtitle E of Title X, secs. 1084, and 1085 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

CIRO D. RODRIGUEZ,

Managers on the Part of the House.

CARL LEVIN,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
JOSEPH I. LIEBERMAN,
JACK REED,
DANIEL K. AKAKA,
BILL NELSON,
BEN NELSON,
EVAN BAYH,
JIM WEBB,
CLAIRE McCASKILL,
MARK UDALL,
KAY R. HAGAN,
MARK BEGICH,
ROLAND W. BURRIS,
JOHN MCCAIN,
SUSAN M. COLLINS,
PAUL G. KIRK, Jr.,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

PROVIDING FOR CONCURRENCE BY HOUSE WITH AMENDMENT IN SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1035, MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2009

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 806) providing for the concurrence by the House in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1035, with an amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 806

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution the bill (H.R. 1035) entitled "An Act to amend the Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental and Native American Public Policy Act of 1992 to honor the legacy of Stewart L. Udall, and for other purposes.", with the

Senate amendment thereto, shall be considered to have been taken from the Speaker's table to the end that the Senate amendment thereto be, and the same is hereby, agreed to with the following amendment:

At the end of the Senate amendment, add the following:

At the end of the bill, add the following new section:

SEC. 11. TRAVEL PROMOTION ACT OF 2009.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Travel Promotion Act of 2009".

(b) THE CORPORATION FOR TRAVEL PROMOTION.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Corporation for Travel Promotion is established as a nonprofit corporation. The Corporation shall not be an agency or establishment of the United States Government. The Corporation shall be subject to the provisions of the District of Columbia Nonprofit Corporation Act (D.C. Code, section 29-1001 et seq.), to the extent that such provisions are consistent with this subsection, and shall have the powers conferred upon a nonprofit corporation by that Act to carry out its purposes and activities.

(2) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Corporation shall have a board of directors of 11 members with knowledge of international travel promotion and marketing, broadly representing various regions of the United States, who are United States citizens. Members of the board shall be appointed by the Secretary of Commerce (after consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Secretary of State), as follows:

(i) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the hotel accommodations sector;

(ii) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the restaurant sector;

(iii) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the small business or retail sector or in associations representing that sector;

(iv) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the travel distribution services sector;

(v) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the attractions or recreations sector;

(vi) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience as officials of a city convention and visitors' bureau;

(vii) 2 shall have appropriate expertise and experience as officials of a State tourism office;

(viii) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in the passenger air sector;

(ix) 1 shall have appropriate expertise and experience in immigration law and policy, including visa requirements and United States entry procedures; and

(x) 1 shall have appropriate expertise in the intercity passenger railroad business.

(B) INCORPORATION.—The members of the initial board of directors shall serve as incorporators and shall take whatever actions are necessary to establish the Corporation under the District of Columbia Nonprofit Corporation Act (D.C. Code, section 29-301.01 et seq.).

(C) TERM OF OFFICE.—The term of office of each member of the board appointed by the Secretary shall be 3 years, except that, of the members first appointed—

(i) 3 shall be appointed for terms of 1 year;

(ii) 4 shall be appointed for terms of 2 years; and

(iii) 4 shall be appointed for terms of 3 years.

(D) REMOVAL FOR CAUSE.—The Secretary of Commerce may remove any member of the board for good cause.

(E) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the board shall not affect its power, but shall be filled