

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2010 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2009 amount, the 2010 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2010 follow:

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009	\$92,523,165
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	34,913,709
House bill, fiscal year 2010	33,811,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010	34,271,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2010	33,978,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009	-58,545,165
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	-935,709
House bill, fiscal year 2010	+167,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010	-293,000

DAVID R. OBEY,
PETER J. VISCLOSKEY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MARION BERRY,
CHAKA FATTAH,
STEVE ISRAEL,
TIM RYAN,
JOHN W. OLVER,
LINCOLN DAVIS,
JOHN T. SALAZAR,
RODNEY P.

FRELINGHUYSEN,
ZACH WAMP,
MICHAEL K. SIMPSON,
RODNEY ALEXANDER,
Managers on the Part of the House.

BYRON L. DORGAN,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
PATTY MURRAY,
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,
JACK REED,
FRANK R. LAUTENBERG,
TOM HARKIN,
JON TESTER,
DANIEL K. INOUE,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
THAD COCHRAN,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
RICHARD C. SHELBY,
LAMAR ALEXANDER,
GEORGE V. VOINOVICH,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2997, AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010

Ms. DeLauro submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2997) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 111-279)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the

bill (H.R. 2997), making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND MARKETING

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary of Agriculture, \$5,285,000: Provided, That not to exceed \$11,000 of this amount shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, not otherwise provided for, as determined by the Secretary.

OFFICE OF TRIBAL RELATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Tribal Relations, \$1,000,000, to support communication and consultation activities with Federally Recognized Tribes, as well as other requirements established by law.

EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ECONOMIST

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Economist, \$13,032,000.

NATIONAL APPEALS DIVISION

For necessary expenses of the National Appeals Division, \$15,254,000.

OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Budget and Program Analysis, \$9,436,000.

OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY

For necessary expenses of the Office of Homeland Security, \$1,859,000.

OFFICE OF ADVOCACY AND OUTREACH

For necessary expenses of the Office of Advocacy and Outreach, \$1,700,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Information Officer, \$61,579,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, \$6,566,000: Provided, That no funds made available by this appropriation may be obligated for FAIR Act or Circular A-76 activities until the Secretary has submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives a report on the Department's contracting out policies, including agency budgets for contracting out.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, \$895,000.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Civil Rights, \$23,922,000.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration, \$806,000.

AGRICULTURE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES AND RENTAL PAYMENTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For payment of space rental and related costs pursuant to Public Law 92-313, including authorities pursuant to the 1984 delegation of authority from the Administrator of General Services to the Department of Agriculture under 40 U.S.C. 486, for programs and activities of the Department which are included in this Act, and for alterations and other actions needed for the Department and its agencies to consolidate unneeded space into configurations suitable for release to the Administrator of General Services, and for the operation, maintenance, improvement, and repair of Agriculture buildings and facilities, and for related costs, \$293,093,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$184,812,000 shall be available for payments to the General Services Administration for rent; of which \$13,500,000 for payment to the Department of Homeland Security for building security activities; and of which \$94,781,000 for buildings operations and maintenance expenses: Provided, That the Secretary shall use \$15,911,000 of these funds to cover shortfalls incurred in prior year rental payments: Provided further, That the Secretary is authorized to transfer funds from a Departmental agency to this account to recover the full cost of the space and security expenses of that agency that are funded by this account when the actual costs exceed the agency estimate which will be available for the activities and payments described herein.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of Agriculture, to comply with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.), \$5,125,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That appropriations and funds available herein to the Department for Hazardous Materials Management may be transferred to any agency of the Department for its use in meeting all requirements pursuant to the above Acts on Federal and non-Federal lands.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For Departmental Administration, \$41,319,000, to provide for necessary expenses for management support services to offices of the Department and for general administration, security, repairs and alterations, and other miscellaneous supplies and expenses not otherwise provided for and necessary for the practical and efficient work of the Department: Provided, That this appropriation shall be reimbursed from applicable appropriations in this Act for travel expenses incident to the holding of hearings as required by 5 U.S.C. 551-558: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated, \$13,000,000 is for stabilization and reconstruction activities to be carried out under the authority provided by title XIV of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3101 et seq.) and other applicable laws.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations to carry out the programs funded by this Act, including programs involving intergovernmental affairs and liaison within the executive branch, \$3,968,000: Provided, That these funds may be transferred to agencies of the Department of Agriculture funded by this Act to maintain personnel at the agency level: Provided further, That no funds made available by this appropriation may be obligated after 30 days from the

date of enactment of this Act, unless the Secretary has notified the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on the allocation of these funds by USDA agency: Provided further, That no other funds appropriated to the Department by this Act shall be available to the Department for support of activities of congressional relations.

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Communications, \$9,722,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, including employment pursuant to the Inspector General Act of 1978, \$88,725,000, including such sums as may be necessary for contracting and other arrangements with public agencies and private persons pursuant to section 6(a)(9) of the Inspector General Act of 1978, and including not to exceed \$125,000 for certain confidential operational expenses, including the payment of informants, to be expended under the direction of the Inspector General pursuant to Public Law 95-452 and section 1337 of Public Law 97-98.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the General Counsel, \$43,551,000.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RESEARCH, EDUCATION AND ECONOMICS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education and Economics, \$895,000.

ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

For necessary expenses of the Economic Research Service, \$82,478,000.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

For necessary expenses of the National Agricultural Statistics Service, \$161,830,000, of which up to \$37,908,000 shall be available until expended for the Census of Agriculture.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Agricultural Research Service and for acquisition of lands by donation, exchange, or purchase at a nominal cost not to exceed \$100, and for land exchanges where the lands exchanged shall be of equal value or shall be equalized by a payment of money to the grantor which shall not exceed 25 percent of the total value of the land or interests transferred out of Federal ownership, \$1,179,639,000, of which \$44,138,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act: Provided, That appropriations hereunder shall be available for the operation and maintenance of aircraft and the purchase of not to exceed one for replacement only: Provided further, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2250 for the construction, alteration, and repair of buildings and improvements, but unless otherwise provided, the cost of constructing any one building shall not exceed \$375,000, except for headhouses or greenhouses which shall each be limited to \$1,200,000, and except for 10 buildings to be constructed or improved at a cost not to exceed \$750,000 each, and the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building or \$375,000, whichever is greater: Provided further, That the limitations on alterations contained in this Act shall not apply to modernization or replacement of existing facilities at Beltsville, Maryland: Provided further, That appropriations hereunder shall be available for granting easements at the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center: Provided further, That the foregoing limitations shall not apply to replacement of buildings needed to carry out the Act of April 24, 1948 (21 U.S.C. 113a): Provided further, That funds may be re-

ceived from any State, other political subdivision, organization, or individual for the purpose of establishing or operating any research facility or research project of the Agricultural Research Service, as authorized by law.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For acquisition of land, construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities as necessary to carry out the agricultural research programs of the Department of Agriculture, where not otherwise provided, \$70,873,000, of which \$70,873,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act, to remain available until expended.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

For payments to agricultural experiment stations, for cooperative forestry and other research, for facilities, and for other expenses, \$788,243,000, of which \$120,054,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act, as follows: to carry out the provisions of the Hatch Act of 1887 (7 U.S.C. 361a-i), \$215,000,000; for grants for cooperative forestry research (16 U.S.C. 582a through a-7), \$29,000,000; for payments to eligible institutions (7 U.S.C. 3222), \$48,500,000, provided that each institution receives no less than \$1,000,000; for special grants (7 U.S.C. 450i(c)), \$89,029,000; for competitive grants on improved pest control (7 U.S.C. 450i(e)), \$16,185,000; for competitive grants (7 U.S.C. 450i(b)), \$262,482,000, to remain available until expended; for the support of animal health and disease programs (7 U.S.C. 3195), \$2,950,000; for supplemental and alternative crops and products (7 U.S.C. 3319d), \$835,000; for grants for research pursuant to the Critical Agricultural Materials Act (7 U.S.C. 178 et seq.), \$1,083,000, to remain available until expended; for the 1994 research grants program for 1994 institutions pursuant to section 536 of Public Law 103-382 (7 U.S.C. 301 note), \$1,805,000, to remain available until expended; for rangeland research grants (7 U.S.C. 3333), \$983,000; for higher education graduate fellowship grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(6)), \$3,859,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for a program pursuant to section 1415A of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3151a), \$4,800,000, to remain available until expended; for higher education challenge grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(1)), \$5,654,000; for a higher education multicultural scholars program (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(5)), \$1,241,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for an education grants program for Hispanic-serving Institutions (7 U.S.C. 3241), \$9,237,000; for competitive grants for the purpose of carrying out all provisions of 7 U.S.C. 3156 to individual eligible institutions or consortia of eligible institutions in Alaska and in Hawaii, with funds awarded equally to each of the States of Alaska and Hawaii, \$3,200,000; for a secondary agriculture education program and 2-year post-secondary education (7 U.S.C. 3152(j)), \$983,000; for aquaculture grants (7 U.S.C. 3222), \$3,928,000; for sustainable agriculture research and education (7 U.S.C. 5811), \$14,500,000; for a program of capacity building grants (7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(4)) to institutions eligible to receive funds under 7 U.S.C. 3221 and 3222, \$18,250,000, to remain available until expended (7 U.S.C. 2209b); for payments to the 1994 Institutions pursuant to section 534(a)(1) of Public Law 103-382, \$3,342,000; for resident instruction grants for insular areas under section 1491 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3363), \$900,000; for distance education grants for insular areas under section 1490 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching

Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3362), \$750,000; for a new era rural technology program pursuant to section 1473E of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3319e), \$875,000; for a competitive grants program for farm business management and benchmarking (7 U.S.C. 5925f), \$1,500,000; for a competitive grants program regarding biobased energy (7 U.S.C. 8114), \$2,250,000; and for necessary expenses of Research and Education Activities, \$45,122,000, of which \$2,704,000 for the Research, Education, and Economics Information System and \$2,136,000 for the Electronic Grants Information System, are to remain available until expended.

NATIVE AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS ENDOWMENT FUND

For the Native American Institutions Endowment Fund authorized by Public Law 103-382 (7 U.S.C. 301 note), \$11,880,000, to remain available until expended.

EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

For payments to States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, Micronesia, the Northern Marianas, and American Samoa, \$494,923,000, of which \$11,831,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act, as follows: payments for cooperative extension work under the Smith-Lever Act, to be distributed under sections 3(b) and 3(c) of said Act, and under section 208(c) of Public Law 93-471, for retirement and employees' compensation costs for extension agents, \$297,500,000; payments for extension work at the 1994 Institutions under the Smith-Lever Act (7 U.S.C. 343(b)(3)), \$4,321,000; payments for the nutrition and family education program for low-income areas under section 3(d) of the Act, \$68,070,000; payments for the pest management program under section 3(d) of the Act, \$9,938,000; payments for the farm safety program under section 3(d) of the Act, \$4,863,000; payments for New Technologies for Ag Extension under section 3(d) of the Act, \$1,750,000; payments to upgrade research, extension, and teaching facilities at institutions eligible to receive funds under 7 U.S.C. 3221 and 3222, \$19,770,000, to remain available until expended; payments for youth-at-risk programs under section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act, \$8,412,000; for youth farm safety education and certification extension grants, to be awarded competitively under section 3(d) of the Act, \$486,000; payments for carrying out the provisions of the Renewable Resources Extension Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 1671 et seq.), \$4,068,000; payments for the federally-recognized Tribes Extension Program under section 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act, \$3,045,000; payments for sustainable agriculture programs under section 3(d) of the Act, \$4,705,000; payments for rural health and safety education as authorized by section 502(i) of Public Law 92-419 (7 U.S.C. 2662(i)), \$1,738,000; payments for cooperative extension work by eligible institutions (7 U.S.C. 3221), \$42,677,000, provided that each institution receives no less than \$1,000,000; for grants to youth organizations pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 7630, \$1,784,000; payments to carry out the food animal residue avoidance database program as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 7642, \$1,000,000; payments to carry out section 1672(e)(49) of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5925), as amended, \$400,000; and for necessary expenses of Extension Activities, \$20,396,000.

INTEGRATED ACTIVITIES

For the integrated research, education, and extension grants programs, including necessary administrative expenses, \$60,022,000, as follows: for competitive grants programs authorized under section 406 of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7626), \$45,148,000, including \$12,649,000 for the water quality program, \$14,596,000 for

the food safety program, \$4,096,000 for the regional pest management centers program, \$4,388,000 for the Food Quality Protection Act risk mitigation program for major food crop systems, \$1,365,000 for the crops affected by Food Quality Protection Act implementation, \$3,054,000 for the methyl bromide transition program, and \$5,000,000 for the organic transition program; for a competitive international science and education grants program authorized under section 1459A of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 3292b), to remain available until expended, \$3,000,000; for grants programs authorized under section 2(c)(1)(B) of Public Law 89-106, as amended, \$732,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011, for the critical issues program; \$1,312,000 for the regional rural development centers program; and \$9,830,000 for the Food and Agriculture Defense Initiative authorized under section 1484 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977, to remain available until September 30, 2011.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR
MARKETING AND REGULATORY PROGRAMS

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs, \$895,000.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, including up to \$30,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4085), \$904,953,000, of which \$24,410,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressional Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act, of which \$2,058,000 shall be available for the control of outbreaks of insects, plant diseases, animal diseases and for control of pest animals and birds to the extent necessary to meet emergency conditions; of which \$23,390,000 shall be used for the cotton pests program for cost share purposes or for debt retirement for active eradication zones; of which \$5,300,000 shall be for a National Animal Identification program; of which \$60,243,000 shall be used to prevent and control avian influenza and shall remain available until expended; Provided, That funds provided for the contingency fund to meet emergency conditions, information technology infrastructure, fruit fly program, emerging plant pests, cotton pests program, grasshopper and mormon cricket program, the plum pox program, the National Veterinary Stockpile, the National Animal Identification System, up to \$1,500,000 in the scrapie program for indemnities, up to \$1,000,000 for wildlife services methods development, up to \$1,500,000 of the wildlife services operations program for aviation safety, and up to 25 percent of the screwworm program shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That no funds shall be used to formulate or administer a brucellosis eradication program for the current fiscal year that does not require minimum matching by the States of at least 40 percent: Provided further, That this appropriation shall be available for the operation and maintenance of aircraft and the purchase of not to exceed four, of which two shall be for replacement only: Provided further, That, in addition, in emergencies which threaten any segment of the agricultural production industry of this country, the Secretary may transfer from other appropriations or funds available to the agencies or corporations of the Department such sums as may be deemed necessary, to be available only in such emergencies for the arrest and eradication of contagious or infectious disease or pests of animals, poultry, or plants, and for expenses in accordance with sections 10411 and 10417 of the Animal Health Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 8310 and 8316) and sections 431 and 442

of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7751 and 7772), and any unexpended balances of funds transferred for such emergency purposes in the preceding fiscal year shall be merged with such transferred amounts: Provided further, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the repair and alteration of leased buildings and improvements, but unless otherwise provided the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

In fiscal year 2010, the agency is authorized to collect fees to cover the total costs of providing technical assistance, goods, or services requested by States, other political subdivisions, domestic and international organizations, foreign governments, or individuals, provided that such fees are structured such that any entity's liability for such fees is reasonably based on the technical assistance, goods, or services provided to the entity by the agency, and such fees shall be credited to this account, to remain available until expended, without further appropriation, for providing such assistance, goods, or services.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For plans, construction, repair, preventive maintenance, environmental support, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 2250, and acquisition of land as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 428a, \$4,712,000, to remain available until expended.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
MARKETING SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Agricultural Marketing Service, \$91,148,000: Provided, That this appropriation shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

Fees may be collected for the cost of standardization activities, as established by regulation pursuant to law (31 U.S.C. 9701).

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$64,583,000 (from fees collected) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for administrative expenses: Provided, That if crop size is understated and/or other uncontrollable events occur, the agency may exceed this limitation by up to 10 percent with notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

FUNDS FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS, INCOME,
AND SUPPLY (SECTION 32)

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Funds available under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c), shall be used only for commodity program expenses as authorized therein, and other related operating expenses, including \$10,000,000 for replacement of a system to support commodity purchases, except for: (1) transfers to the Department of Commerce as authorized by the Fish and Wildlife Act of August 8, 1956; (2) transfers otherwise provided in this Act; and (3) not more than \$20,056,000 for formulation and administration of marketing agreements and orders pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and the Agricultural Act of 1961.

PAYMENTS TO STATES AND POSSESSIONS

For payments to departments of agriculture, bureaus and departments of markets, and similar agencies for marketing activities under section 204(b) of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1623(b)), \$1,334,000.

GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS
ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, \$41,964,000: Provided, That this appropriation

shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

LIMITATION ON INSPECTION AND WEIGHING
SERVICES EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$42,463,000 (from fees collected) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for inspection and weighing services: Provided, That if grain export activities require additional supervision and oversight, or other uncontrollable factors occur, this limitation may be exceeded by up to 10 percent with notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD
SAFETY

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety, \$813,000.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

For necessary expenses to carry out services authorized by the Federal Meat Inspection Act, the Poultry Products Inspection Act, and the Egg Products Inspection Act, including not to exceed \$50,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to section 8 of the Act approved August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1766), \$1,018,520,000; and in addition, \$1,000,000 may be credited to this account from fees collected for the cost of laboratory accreditation as authorized by section 1327 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 138f): Provided, That funds provided for the Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure system shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That no fewer than 140 full-time equivalent positions shall be employed during fiscal year 2010 for purposes dedicated solely to inspections and enforcement related to the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act: Provided further, That of the amount available under this heading, \$3,000,000 shall be obligated to maintain the Humane Animal Tracking System as part of the Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure System: Provided further, That this appropriation shall be available pursuant to law (7 U.S.C. 2250) for the alteration and repair of buildings and improvements, but the cost of altering any one building during the fiscal year shall not exceed 10 percent of the current replacement value of the building.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FARM
AND FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services, \$895,000.

FARM SERVICE AGENCY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Farm Service Agency, \$1,253,777,000: Provided, That the Secretary is authorized to use the services, facilities, and authorities (but not the funds) of the Commodity Credit Corporation to make program payments for all programs administered by the Agency: Provided further, That other funds made available to the Agency for authorized activities may be advanced to and merged with this account: Provided further, That funds made available to county committees shall remain available until expended.

STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

For grants pursuant to section 502(b) of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, as amended (7 U.S.C. 5101-5106), \$4,369,000.

GRASSROOTS SOURCE WATER PROTECTION
PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out wellhead or groundwater protection activities under section 1240O of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839bb-2), \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DAIRY INDEMNITY PROGRAM
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses involved in making indemnity payments to dairy farmers and manufacturers of dairy products under a dairy indemnity program, such sums as may be necessary, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such program is carried out by the Secretary in the same manner as the dairy indemnity program described in the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106-387, 114 Stat. 1549A-12).

AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND
PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For gross obligations for the principal amount of direct and guaranteed farm ownership (7 U.S.C. 1922 et seq.) and operating (7 U.S.C. 1941 et seq.) loans, Indian tribe land acquisition loans (25 U.S.C. 488), boll weevil loans (7 U.S.C. 1989), direct and guaranteed conservation loans (7 U.S.C. 1924 et seq.), and Indian highly fractionated land loans (25 U.S.C. 488), to be available from funds in the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund, as follows: farm ownership loans, \$2,150,000,000, of which \$1,500,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans and \$650,000,000 shall be for direct loans; operating loans, \$2,670,000,000, of which \$1,500,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, \$170,000,000 shall be for subsidized guaranteed loans and \$1,000,000,000 shall be for direct loans; Indian tribe land acquisition loans, \$3,940,000; conservation loans, \$150,000,000, of which \$75,000,000 shall be for guaranteed loans and \$75,000,000 shall be for direct loans; Indian highly fractionated land loans, \$10,000,000; and for boll weevil eradication program loans, \$100,000,000: Provided, That the Secretary shall deem the pink bollworm to be a boll weevil for the purpose of boll weevil eradication program loans.

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: farm ownership loans, \$32,070,000, of which \$5,550,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, and \$26,520,000 shall be for direct loans; operating loans, \$106,402,000, of which \$35,100,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans, \$23,902,000 shall be for subsidized guaranteed loans, and \$47,400,000 shall be for direct loans; conservation loans, \$1,343,000, of which \$278,000 shall be for guaranteed loans, and \$1,065,000 shall be for direct loans; and Indian highly fractionated land loans, \$793,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$321,093,000, of which \$313,173,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses".

Funds appropriated by this Act to the Agricultural Credit Insurance Program Account for farm ownership, operating and conservation direct loans and guaranteed loans may be transferred among these programs: Provided, That the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress are notified at least 15 days in advance of any transfer.

RISK MANAGEMENT AGENCY

For necessary expenses of the Risk Management Agency, \$80,325,000: Provided, That the funds made available under section 522(e) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1522(e)) may be used for the Common Information Management System: Provided further, That not to exceed \$1,000 shall be available for official reception and representation expenses, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 1506(i).

CORPORATIONS

The following corporations and agencies are hereby authorized to make expenditures, within

the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to each such corporation or agency and in accord with law, and to make contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the budget for the current fiscal year for such corporation or agency, except as hereinafter provided.

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION FUND

For payments as authorized by section 516 of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1516), such sums as may be necessary, to remain available until expended.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION FUND
REIMBURSEMENT FOR NET REALIZED LOSSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the current fiscal year, such sums as may be necessary to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for net realized losses sustained, but not previously reimbursed, pursuant to section 2 of the Act of August 17, 1961 (15 U.S.C. 713a-11): Provided, That of the funds available to the Commodity Credit Corporation under section 11 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714i) for the conduct of its business with the Foreign Agricultural Service, up to \$5,000,000 may be transferred to and used by the Foreign Agricultural Service for information resource management activities of the Foreign Agricultural Service that are not related to Commodity Credit Corporation business.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT
(LIMITATION ON EXPENSES)

For the current fiscal year, the Commodity Credit Corporation shall not expend more than \$5,000,000 for site investigation and cleanup expenses, and operations and maintenance expenses to comply with the requirement of section 107(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9607(g)), and section 6001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6961).

TITLE II

CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment, \$895,000.

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses for carrying out the provisions of the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a-f), including preparation of conservation plans and establishment of measures to conserve soil and water (including farm irrigation and land drainage and such special measures for soil and water management as may be necessary to prevent floods and the siltation of reservoirs and to control agricultural related pollutants); operation of conservation plant materials centers; classification and mapping of soil; dissemination of information; acquisition of lands, water, and interests therein for use in the plant materials program by donation, exchange, or purchase at a nominal cost not to exceed \$100 pursuant to the Act of August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 428a); purchase and erection or alteration or improvement of permanent and temporary buildings; and operation and maintenance of aircraft, \$887,629,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011, of which \$37,382,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act: Provided, That appropriations hereunder shall be available pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2250 for construction and improvement of buildings and public improvements at plant materials centers, except that the cost of alterations and improvements to other buildings and other public improvements shall not exceed

\$250,000: Provided further, That the Secretary is authorized to transfer ownership of all land, buildings, and related improvements of the Natural Resources Conservation Service facilities located in Medicine Bow, Wyoming, to the Medicine Bow Conservation District: Provided further, That when buildings or other structures are erected on non-Federal land, that the right to use such land is obtained as provided in 7 U.S.C. 2250a.

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses to carry out preventive measures, including but not limited to research, engineering operations, methods of cultivation, the growing of vegetation, rehabilitation of existing works and changes in use of land, in accordance with the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1001-1005 and 1007-1009), the provisions of the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a-f), and in accordance with the provisions of laws relating to the activities of the Department, \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$22,111,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the table titled "Congressionally Designated Projects" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act: Provided, That not to exceed \$12,000,000 of this appropriation shall be available for technical assistance.

WATERSHED REHABILITATION PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out rehabilitation of structural measures, in accordance with section 14 of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1012), and in accordance with the provisions of laws relating to the activities of the Department, \$40,161,000, to remain available until expended.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses in planning and carrying out projects for resource conservation and development and for sound land use pursuant to the provisions of sections 31 and 32 of the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act (7 U.S.C. 1010-1011; 76 Stat. 607); the Act of April 27, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 590a-f); and subtitle H of title XV of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981 (16 U.S.C. 3451-3461), \$50,730,000: Provided, That not to exceed \$3,073,000 shall be available for national headquarters activities.

TITLE III

RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development, \$895,000.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for carrying out the administration and implementation of programs in the Rural Development mission area, including activities with institutions concerning the development and operation of agricultural cooperatives; and for cooperative agreements; \$201,987,000: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated under this section may be used for advertising and promotional activities that support the Rural Development mission area: Provided further, That not more than \$10,000 may be expended to provide modest nonmonetary awards to non-USDA employees: Provided further, That any balances available from prior years for the Rural Utilities Service, Rural Housing Service, and the Rural Business-Cooperative Service salaries and expenses accounts shall be transferred to and merged with this appropriation.

RURAL HOUSING SERVICE

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM
ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For gross obligations for the principal amount of direct and guaranteed loans as authorized by

title V of the Housing Act of 1949, to be available from funds in the rural housing insurance fund, as follows: \$13,121,488,000 for loans to section 502 borrowers, of which \$1,121,488,000 shall be for direct loans, and of which \$12,000,000,000 shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans; \$34,412,000 for section 504 housing repair loans; \$69,512,000 for section 515 rental housing; \$129,090,000 for section 538 guaranteed multi-family housing loans; \$5,045,000 for section 524 site loans; \$11,448,000 for credit sales of acquired property, of which up to \$1,448,000 may be for multi-family credit sales; and \$4,970,000 for section 523 self-help housing land development loans.

For the cost of direct and guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: section 502 loans, \$213,510,000, of which \$40,710,000 shall be for direct loans, and of which \$172,800,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for unsubsidized guaranteed loans; section 504 housing repair loans, \$4,422,000; repair, rehabilitation, and new construction of section 515 rental housing, \$18,935,000; section 538 multi-family housing guaranteed loans, \$1,485,000; and credit sales of acquired property, \$556,000: Provided, That of the total amount appropriated in this paragraph, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones: Provided further, That section 538 multi-family housing guaranteed loans funded pursuant to this paragraph shall not be subject to a guarantee fee and the interest on such loans may not be subsidized: Provided further, That any balances for a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of the section 515 multi-family rental housing properties as authorized by Public Law 109-97 and Public Law 110-5 shall be transferred to and merged with the "Rural Housing Service, Multi-family Housing Revitalization Program Account".

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$468,593,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For rental assistance agreements entered into or renewed pursuant to the authority under section 521(a)(2) or agreements entered into in lieu of debt forgiveness or payments for eligible households as authorized by section 502(c)(5)(D) of the Housing Act of 1949, \$980,000,000; and, in addition, such sums as may be necessary, as authorized by section 521(c) of the Act, to liquidate debt incurred prior to fiscal year 1992 to carry out the rental assistance program under section 521(a)(2) of the Act: Provided, That of this amount, up to \$5,958,000 shall be available for debt forgiveness or payments for eligible households as authorized by section 502(c)(5)(D) of the Act, and not to exceed \$50,000 per project for advances to nonprofit organizations or public agencies to cover direct costs (other than purchase price) incurred in purchasing projects pursuant to section 502(c)(5)(C) of the Act: Provided further, That of this amount not less than \$2,030,000 is available for newly constructed units financed by section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949, and not less than \$3,400,000 is for newly constructed units financed under sections 514 and 516 of the Housing Act of 1949: Provided further, That rental assistance agreements entered into or renewed during the current fiscal year shall be funded for a one-year period: Provided further, That any unexpended balances remaining at the end of such one-year agreements may be transferred and used for the purposes of any debt reduction; maintenance, re-

pair, or rehabilitation of any existing projects; preservation; and rental assistance activities authorized under title V of the Act: Provided further, That rental assistance provided under agreements entered into prior to fiscal year 2010 for a farm labor multi-family housing project financed under section 514 or 516 of the Act may not be recaptured for use in another project until such assistance has remained unused for a period of 12 consecutive months, if such project has a waiting list of tenants seeking such assistance or the project has rental assistance eligible tenants who are not receiving such assistance: Provided further, That such recaptured rental assistance shall, to the extent practicable, be applied to another farm labor multi-family housing project financed under section 514 or 516 of the Act.

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the rural housing voucher program as authorized under section 542 of the Housing Act of 1949, but notwithstanding subsection (b) of such section, for the cost to conduct a housing demonstration program to provide revolving loans for the preservation of low-income multi-family housing projects, and for additional costs to conduct a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of multi-family rental housing properties described in this paragraph, \$43,191,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$16,400,000 shall be available for rural housing vouchers to any low-income household (including those not receiving rental assistance) residing in a property financed with a section 515 loan which has been prepaid after September 30, 2005: Provided further, That the amount of such voucher shall be the difference between comparable market rent for the section 515 unit and the tenant paid rent for such unit: Provided further, That funds made available for such vouchers shall be subject to the availability of annual appropriations: Provided further, That the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, administer such vouchers with current regulations and administrative guidance applicable to section 8 housing vouchers administered by the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development: Provided further, That if the Secretary determines that the amount made available for vouchers in this or any other Act is not needed for vouchers, the Secretary may use such funds for the demonstration programs for the preservation and revitalization of multi-family rental housing properties described in this paragraph: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$1,791,000 shall be available for the cost of loans to private nonprofit organizations, or such nonprofit organizations' affiliate loan funds and State and local housing finance agencies, to carry out a housing demonstration program to provide revolving loans for the preservation of low-income multi-family housing projects: Provided further, That loans under such demonstration program shall have an interest rate of not more than 1 percent direct loan to the recipient: Provided further, That the Secretary may defer the interest and principal payment to the Rural Housing Service for up to 3 years and the term of such loans shall not exceed 30 years: Provided further, That of the funds made available under this heading, \$25,000,000 shall be available for a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of the sections 514, 515, and 516 multi-family rental housing properties to restructure existing USDA multi-family housing loans, as the Secretary deems appropriate, expressly for the purposes of ensuring the project has sufficient resources to preserve the project for the purpose of providing safe and affordable housing for low-income residents and farm laborers including reducing or eliminating interest; deferring loan payments, subordinating, reducing or reamortizing loan debt; and other fi-

nancial assistance including advances, payments and incentives (including the ability of owners to obtain reasonable returns on investment) required by the Secretary: Provided further, That the Secretary shall as part of the preservation and revitalization agreement obtain a restrictive use agreement consistent with the terms of the restructuring: Provided further, That if the Secretary determines that additional funds for vouchers described in this paragraph are needed, funds for the preservation and revitalization demonstration program may be used for such vouchers: Provided further, That if Congress enacts legislation to permanently authorize a multi-family rental housing loan restructuring program similar to the demonstration program described herein, the Secretary may use funds made available for the demonstration program under this heading to carry out such legislation with the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: Provided further, That in addition to any other available funds, the Secretary may expend not more than \$1,000,000 total, from the program funds made available under this heading, for administrative expenses for activities funded under this heading.

MUTUAL AND SELF-HELP HOUSING GRANTS

For grants and contracts pursuant to section 523(b)(1)(A) of the Housing Act of 1949 (42 U.S.C. 1490c), \$41,864,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Mutual and Self-Help Housing Grants allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones.

RURAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANTS (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For grants and contracts for very low-income housing repair, supervisory and technical assistance, compensation for construction defects, and rural housing preservation made by the Rural Housing Service, as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1474, 1479(c), 1490e, and 1490m, \$45,500,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$4,000,000 shall be for grants authorized by section 14204 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008: Provided, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Housing Assistance Grants allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones: Provided further, That any balances to carry out a housing demonstration program to provide revolving loans for the preservation of low-income multi-family housing projects as authorized in Public Law 108-447 and Public Law 109-97 shall be transferred to and merged with the "Rural Housing Service, Multi-family Housing Revitalization Program Account".

FARM LABOR PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans, grants, and contracts, as authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1484 and 1486, \$19,746,000, to remain available until expended, for direct farm labor housing loans and domestic farm labor housing grants and contracts.

RURAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees, and grants for rural community facilities programs as authorized by section 306 and described in section 381E(d)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$54,993,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$6,256,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be available for a

Rural Community Development Initiative: Provided further, That such funds shall be used solely to develop the capacity and ability of private, nonprofit community-based housing and community development organizations, low-income rural communities, and Federally Recognized Native American Tribes to undertake projects to improve housing, community facilities, community and economic development projects in rural areas: Provided further, That such funds shall be made available to qualified private, nonprofit and public intermediary organizations proposing to carry out a program of financial and technical assistance: Provided further, That such intermediary organizations shall provide matching funds from other sources, including Federal funds for related activities, in an amount not less than funds provided: Provided further, That \$13,902,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be to provide grants for facilities in rural communities with extreme unemployment and severe economic depression (Public Law 106-387), with up to 5 percent for administration and capacity building in the State rural development offices: Provided further, That \$3,972,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be available for community facilities grants to tribal colleges, as authorized by section 306(a)(19) of such Act: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Community Facilities Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural community programs described in section 381E(d)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: Provided further, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to the funds made available under this heading: Provided further, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account for programs authorized by section 306 and described in section 381E(d)(1) of such Act be transferred and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

**RURAL BUSINESS—COOPERATIVE SERVICE
RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)**

For the cost of loan guarantees and grants, for the rural business development programs authorized by sections 306 and 310B and described in sections 310B(f) and 381E(d)(3) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$97,116,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$500,000 shall be made available for a grant to a qualified national organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic development and \$2,979,000 shall be for grants to the Delta Regional Authority (7 U.S.C. 2009aa et seq.) for any Rural Community Advancement Program purpose as described in section 381E(d) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, of which not more than 5 percent may be used for administrative expenses: Provided further, That \$4,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for business grants to benefit Federally Recognized Native American Tribes, including \$250,000 for a grant to a qualified national organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic development: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Business Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership

Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural business and cooperative development programs described in section 381E(d)(3) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: Provided further, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to funds made available under this heading: Provided further, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account for programs authorized by sections 306 and 310B and described in sections 310B(f) and 381E(d)(3) of such Act be transferred and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

**RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND PROGRAM
ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)**

For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by the Rural Development Loan Fund (42 U.S.C. 9812(a)), \$33,536,000.

For the cost of direct loans, \$8,464,000, as authorized by the Rural Development Loan Fund (42 U.S.C. 9812(a)), of which \$1,035,000 shall be available through June 30, 2010, for Federally Recognized Native American Tribes and of which \$2,070,000 shall be available through June 30, 2010, for Mississippi Delta Region counties (as determined in accordance with Public Law 100-460): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That of the total amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones.

In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan programs, \$4,941,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

**RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM
ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)**

For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized under section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act, for the purpose of promoting rural economic development and job creation projects, \$33,077,000.

Of the funds derived from interest on the cushion of credit payments, as authorized by section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, \$44,463,000 shall not be obligated and \$44,463,000 are rescinded.

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

For rural cooperative development grants authorized under section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932), \$34,854,000, of which \$300,000 shall be for a cooperative research agreement with a qualified academic institution to conduct research on the national economic impact of all types of cooperatives; and of which \$2,800,000 shall be for cooperative agreements for the appropriate technology transfer for rural areas program: Provided, That not to exceed \$3,463,000 shall be for cooperatives or associations of cooperatives whose primary focus is to provide assistance to small, socially disadvantaged producers and whose governing board and/or membership is comprised of at least 75 percent socially disadvantaged members; and of which \$20,367,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for value-added agricultural product market development grants, as authorized by section 231 of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note).

**RURAL MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENT PROGRAM
ACCOUNT**

For the cost of loans and grants, \$5,000,000 as authorized by section 379E of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1981 et seq.): Provided, That such costs of loans, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

For the cost of a program of loan guarantees and grants, under the same terms and conditions as authorized by section 9007 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 8107), \$39,340,000: Provided, That the cost of loan guarantees, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

**RURAL WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL PROGRAM
ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)**

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees, and grants for the rural water, waste water, waste disposal, and solid waste management programs authorized by sections 306, 306A, 306C, 306D, 306E, and 310B and described in sections 306C(a)(2), 306D, 306E, and 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$568,730,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$497,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in section 306(a)(2)(B) of such Act, and of which not to exceed \$993,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in section 306E of such Act: Provided, That \$70,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for loans and grants including water and waste disposal systems grants authorized by 306C(a)(2)(B) and 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, Federally-recognized Native American Tribes authorized by 306C(a)(1), and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (of the State of Hawaii): Provided further, That not less than \$65,000,000 of the unobligated balances available for grants authorized by 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act shall be obligated within 90 days of the enactment of this Act: Provided further, That not to exceed \$19,500,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for technical assistance grants for rural water and waste systems pursuant to section 306(a)(14) of such Act, unless the Secretary makes a determination of extreme need, of which \$6,000,000 shall be made available for a grant to a qualified non-profit multi-state regional technical assistance organization, with experience in working with small communities on water and waste water problems, the principal purpose of such grant shall be to assist rural communities with populations of 3,300 or less, in improving the planning, financing, development, operation, and management of water and waste water systems, and of which not less than \$800,000 shall be for a qualified national Native American organization to provide technical assistance for rural water systems for tribal communities: Provided further, That not to exceed \$15,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for contracting with qualified national organizations for a circuit rider program to provide technical assistance for rural water systems: Provided further, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2009, shall be available through June 30, 2010, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural utilities programs described in section 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: Provided further, That \$17,500,000 of the amount appropriated

under this heading shall be transferred to, and merged with, the Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Cost Grants Account to provide grants authorized under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a): Provided further, That any prior balances for high cost energy grants authorized by section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) shall be transferred to and merged with the Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Costs Grants Account: Provided further, That sections 381E–H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to the funds made available under this heading: Provided further, That any prior balances in the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account programs authorized by sections 306, 306A, 306C, 306D, 306E, and 310B and described in sections 306C(a)(2), 306D, 306E, and 381E(d)(2) of such Act be transferred to and merged with this account and any other prior balances from the Rural Development, Rural Community Advancement Program account that the Secretary determines is appropriate to transfer.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The principal amount of direct and guaranteed loans as authorized by sections 305 and 306 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 935 and 936) shall be made as follows: 5 percent rural electrification loans, \$100,000,000; loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural electric, \$6,500,000,000; guaranteed underwriting loans pursuant to section 313A, \$500,000,000; 5 percent rural telecommunications loans, \$145,000,000; cost of money rural telecommunications loans, \$250,000,000; and for loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural telecommunications loans, \$295,000,000: Provided, That, notwithstanding section 6106(b) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, a guaranteed underwriting loan may not be issued until the amendments to the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 contained in section 6106(a) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 are administratively implemented.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, \$39,959,000, which shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND
BROADBAND PROGRAM

For the principal amount of broadband telecommunication loans, \$400,000,000.

For grants for telemedicine and distance learning services in rural areas, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa et seq., \$37,755,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$3,000,000 shall be made available for grants authorized by 379G of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: Provided further, That \$4,500,000 shall be made available to those non-commercial educational television broadcast stations that serve rural areas and are qualified for Community Service Grants by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting under section 396(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, including associated translators and repeaters, regardless of the location of their main transmitter, studio-to-transmitter links, and equipment to allow local control over digital content and programming through the use of high-definition broadcast, multi-casting and datacasting technologies.

For the cost of broadband loans, as authorized by section 601 of the Rural Electrification Act, \$28,960,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the cost of direct loans shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

In addition, \$17,976,000, to remain available until expended, for a grant program to finance broadband transmission in rural areas eligible for Distance Learning and Telemedicine Program benefits authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa.

TITLE IV

DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD,
NUTRITION AND CONSUMER SERVICES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services, \$813,000.

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE
CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

In lieu of the amounts made available in section 14222(b) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, for necessary expenses to carry out the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.), except section 21, and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.), except sections 17 and 21; \$16,855,829,000, to remain available through September 30, 2011, of which \$1,000,000 may be used to carry out the school community garden pilot program established under section 18(g)(3) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769(g)(3)), and of which \$9,865,930,000 is hereby appropriated, \$6,747,877,000 shall be derived by transfer from funds available under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c) and \$242,022,000 shall be derived by transfer from unobligated and unavailable balances from fiscal year 2009 under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c): Provided, That of the total amount available, \$5,000,000 shall be available to be awarded as competitive grants to implement section 4405 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–246), and may be awarded notwithstanding the limitations imposed by sections 4405(b)(1)(A) and 4405(c)(1)(A).

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR
WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

For necessary expenses to carry out the special supplemental nutrition program as authorized by section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786), \$7,252,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2011: Provided, That notwithstanding section 17(g)(5) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(g)(5)), not more than \$15,000,000 of funds provided in this Act may be used for the purpose of evaluating program performance in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children: Provided further, That notwithstanding section 17(h)(10)(A) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(h)(10)(A)), of the amounts made available under this heading, not less than \$14,000,000 shall be used for infrastructure, not less than \$60,000,000 shall be used for management information systems, and not less than \$80,000,000 shall be used for breastfeeding peer counselors and other related activities: Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this account shall be available for the purchase of infant formula except in accordance with the cost containment and competitive bidding requirements specified in section 17 of such Act: Provided further, That none of the funds provided shall be available for activities that are not fully reimbursed by other Federal Government departments or agencies unless authorized by section 17 of such Act.

SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), \$58,278,181,000, of which \$3,000,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 2011, shall be placed in reserve for use only in such amounts and at such times as may become necessary to carry out program operations: Provided, That funds provided herein shall be expended in accordance with section 16 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008: Provided further, That this appropriation shall be subject to any work registration or workfare requirements as may be required by law: Provided further,

That funds made available for Employment and Training under this heading shall remain available until expended, notwithstanding section 16(h)(1) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008: Provided further, That funds made available under this heading may be used to enter into contracts and employ staff to conduct studies, evaluations, or to conduct activities related to program integrity provided that such activities are authorized by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008.

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out disaster assistance and the Commodity Supplemental Food Program as authorized by section 4(a) of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 (7 U.S.C. 612c note); the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983; special assistance for the nuclear affected islands, as authorized by section 103(f)(2) of the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003 (Public Law 108–188); and the Farmers' Market Nutrition Program, as authorized by section 17(m) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, \$247,979,000, to remain available through September 30, 2011, of which \$6,000,000 shall be for emergency food program infrastructure grants authorized by section 209 of the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983: Provided, That of the amount provided, \$5,000,000 is to begin service in 7 additional States that have plans approved by the Department for the commodity supplemental food program: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for commodities donated to the program: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, effective with funds made available in fiscal year 2010 to support the Seniors Farmers' Market Nutrition Program, as authorized by section 4402 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, such funds shall remain available through September 30, 2011: Provided further, That of the funds made available under section 27(a) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2036(a)), the Secretary may use up to 10 percent for costs associated with the distribution of commodities.

NUTRITION PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

For necessary administrative expenses of the Food and Nutrition Service for carrying out any domestic nutrition assistance program, \$147,801,000.

TITLE V

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED
PROGRAMS

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Foreign Agricultural Service, including not to exceed \$158,000 for representation allowances and for expenses pursuant to section 8 of the Act approved August 3, 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1766), \$180,367,000: Provided, That the Service may utilize advances of funds, or reimburse this appropriation for expenditures made on behalf of Federal agencies, public and private organizations and institutions under agreements executed pursuant to the agricultural food production assistance programs (7 U.S.C. 1737) and the foreign assistance programs of the United States Agency for International Development: Provided further, That funds made available for middle-income country training programs and up to \$2,000,000 of the Foreign Agricultural Service appropriation solely for the purpose of offsetting fluctuations in international currency exchange rates, subject to documentation by the Foreign Agricultural Service, shall remain available until expended.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE I DIRECT CREDIT AND
FOOD FOR PROGRESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the credit program of title I, Food for Peace Act

(Public Law 83-480) and the Food for Progress Act of 1985, \$2,812,000, to be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses": Provided, That funds made available for the cost of agreements under title I of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954 and for title I ocean freight differential may be used interchangeably between the two accounts with prior notice to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE II GRANTS

For expenses during the current fiscal year, not otherwise recoverable, and unrecovered prior years' costs, including interest thereon, under the Food for Peace Act (Public Law 83-480), for commodities supplied in connection with dispositions abroad under title II of such Act, \$1,690,000,000, to remain available until expended.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION EXPORT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For administrative expenses to carry out the Commodity Credit Corporation's export guarantee program, GSM 102 and GSM 103, \$6,820,000; to cover common overhead expenses as permitted by section 11 of the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act and in conformity with the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, of which \$6,465,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Foreign Agricultural Service, Salaries and Expenses", and of which \$355,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses".

MC GOVERN-DOLE INTERNATIONAL FOOD FOR EDUCATION AND CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM GRANTS

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of section 3107 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 17360-1), \$209,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of this amount, the Secretary shall use \$10,000,000 to conduct pilot projects to field test new and improved micronutrient fortified food products designed to meet energy and nutrient needs of program participants: Provided further, That the Commodity Credit Corporation is authorized to provide the services, facilities, and authorities for the purpose of implementing such section, subject to reimbursement from amounts provided herein.

TITLE VI

RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Food and Drug Administration, including hire and purchase of passenger motor vehicles; for payment of space rental and related costs pursuant to Public Law 92-313 for programs and activities of the Food and Drug Administration which are included in this Act; for rental of special purpose space in the District of Columbia or elsewhere; for miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activities, authorized and approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate, not to exceed \$25,000; and notwithstanding section 521 of Public Law 107-188; \$3,237,218,000, of which \$5,509,000 shall be for the purposes, and in the amounts, specified in the eighth paragraph under "Food and Drug Administration, Salaries and Expenses" in the statement of managers to accompany this Act: Provided, That of the amount provided under this heading, \$578,162,000 shall be derived from prescription drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379h shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended, and shall not include any fees pursuant to 21 U.S.C.

379h(a)(2) and (a)(3) assessed for fiscal year 2011 but collected in fiscal year 2010; \$57,014,000 shall be derived from medical device user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379j, and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended; \$17,280,000 shall be derived from animal drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379j, and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended; \$5,106,000 shall be derived from animal generic drug user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 379f, and shall be credited to this account and shall remain available until expended; and \$235,000,000 shall be derived from tobacco product user fees authorized by 21 U.S.C. 387s and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: Provided further, That fees derived from prescription drug, medical device, animal drug, animal generic drug, and tobacco product assessments for fiscal year 2010 received during fiscal year 2010, including any such fees assessed prior to fiscal year 2010 but credited for fiscal year 2010, shall be subject to the fiscal year 2010 limitations: Provided further, That in addition and notwithstanding any other provision under this heading, amounts collected for prescription drug user fees that exceed the fiscal year 2010 limitation are appropriated and shall be credited to this account and remain available until expended: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be used to develop, establish, or operate any program of user fees authorized by 31 U.S.C. 9701: Provided further, That of the total amount appropriated: (1) \$782,915,000 shall be for the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition and related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (2) \$880,104,000 shall be for the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs, of which no less than \$51,545,000 shall be available for the Office of Generic Drugs; (3) \$305,249,000 shall be for the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (4) \$155,540,000 shall be for the Center for Veterinary Medicine and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (5) \$349,262,000 shall be for the Center for Devices and Radiological Health and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (6) \$58,745,000 shall be for the National Center for Toxicological Research; (7) \$216,523,000 shall be for the Center for Tobacco Products and for related field activities in the Office of Regulatory Affairs; (8) not to exceed \$117,225,000 shall be for Rent and Related activities, of which \$41,496,000 is for White Oak Consolidation, other than the amounts paid to the General Services Administration for rent; (9) not to exceed \$171,526,000 shall be for payments to the General Services Administration for rent; and (10) \$200,129,000 shall be for other activities, including the Office of the Commissioner; the Office of Foods; the Office of the Chief Scientist; the Office of Policy, Planning and Budget; the Office of International Programs; the Office of Administration; and central services for these offices: Provided further, That none of the funds made available under this heading shall be used to transfer funds under section 770(n) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 379dd): Provided further, That not to exceed \$25,000 of this amount shall be for official reception and representation expenses, not otherwise provided for, as determined by the Commissioner: Provided further, That funds may be transferred from one specified activity to another with the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

For plans, construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed

equipment or facilities of or used by the Food and Drug Administration, where not otherwise provided, \$12,433,000, to remain available until expended.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1 et seq.), including the purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, and the rental of space (to include multiple year leases) in the District of Columbia and elsewhere, \$168,800,000, including not to exceed \$3,000 for official reception and representation expenses, and not to exceed \$25,000 for the expenses for consultations and meetings hosted by the Commission with foreign governmental and other regulatory officials: Provided, That \$8,200,000 of the total amount appropriated under this heading shall not be available for obligation until the Commodity Futures Trading Commission submits an expenditure plan for fiscal year 2010 to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Not to exceed \$54,500,000 (from assessments collected from farm credit institutions, including the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation) shall be obligated during the current fiscal year for administrative expenses as authorized under 12 U.S.C. 2249: Provided, That this limitation shall not apply to expenses associated with receiverships.

TITLE VII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS AND TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 701. Within the unit limit of cost fixed by law, appropriations and authorizations made for the Department of Agriculture for the current fiscal year under this Act shall be available for the purchase, in addition to those specifically provided for, of not to exceed 204 passenger motor vehicles, of which 170 shall be for replacement only, and for the hire of such vehicles.

SEC. 702. Section 10101 of division B of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, (Public Law 110-329) is amended in subsection (b) by inserting at the end the following: "In carrying out this section, the Secretary may transfer funds into existing or new accounts as determined by the Secretary."

SEC. 703. The Secretary of Agriculture may transfer unobligated balances of discretionary funds appropriated by this Act or other available unobligated discretionary balances of the Department of Agriculture to the Working Capital Fund for the acquisition of plant and capital equipment necessary for the delivery of financial, administrative, and information technology services of primary benefit to the agencies of the Department of Agriculture: Provided, That none of the funds made available by this Act or any other Act shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund without the prior approval of the agency administrator: Provided further, That none of the funds transferred to the Working Capital Fund pursuant to this section shall be available for obligation without the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act or made available to the Department's Working Capital Fund shall be available for obligation or expenditure to make any changes to the Department's National Finance Center without prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress as required by section 712 of this Act: Provided further, That of annual income amounts in the Working Capital Fund of the Department of Agriculture allocated for the National Finance Center, the

Secretary may reserve not more than 4 percent for the replacement or acquisition of capital equipment, including equipment for the improvement and implementation of a financial management plan, information technology, and other systems of the National Finance Center or to pay any unforeseen, extraordinary cost of the National Finance Center: Provided further, That none of the amounts reserved shall be available for obligation unless the Secretary submits notification of the obligation to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate: Provided further, That the limitation on the obligation of funds pending notification to Congressional Committees shall not apply to any obligation that, as determined by the Secretary, is necessary to respond to a declared state of emergency that significantly impacts the operations of the National Finance Center; or to evacuate employees of the National Finance Center to a safe haven to continue operations of the National Finance Center.

SEC. 704. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 705. No funds appropriated by this Act may be used to pay negotiated indirect cost rates on cooperative agreements or similar arrangements between the United States Department of Agriculture and nonprofit institutions in excess of 10 percent of the total direct cost of the agreement when the purpose of such cooperative arrangements is to carry out programs of mutual interest between the two parties. This does not preclude appropriate payment of indirect costs on grants and contracts with such institutions when such indirect costs are computed on a similar basis for all agencies for which appropriations are provided in this Act.

SEC. 706. Appropriations to the Department of Agriculture for the cost of direct and guaranteed loans made available in the current fiscal year shall remain available until expended to disburse obligations made in the current fiscal year for the following accounts: the Rural Development Loan Fund program account, the Rural Electrification and Telecommunication Loans program account, and the Rural Housing Insurance Fund program account.

SEC. 707. Of the funds made available by this Act, not more than \$1,800,000 shall be used to cover necessary expenses of activities related to all advisory committees, panels, commissions, and task forces of the Department of Agriculture, except for panels used to comply with negotiated rule makings and panels used to evaluate competitively awarded grants.

SEC. 708. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to carry out section 410 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 679a) or section 30 of the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 471).

SEC. 709. No employee of the Department of Agriculture may be detailed or assigned from an agency or office funded by this Act or any other Act to any other agency or office of the Department for more than 30 days unless the individual's employing agency or office is fully reimbursed by the receiving agency or office for the salary and expenses of the employee for the period of assignment.

SEC. 710. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Agriculture or the Food and Drug Administration shall be used to transmit or otherwise make available to any non-Department of Agriculture or non-Department of Health and Human Services employee questions or responses to questions that are a result of information requested for the appropriations hearing process.

SEC. 711. None of the funds made available to the Department of Agriculture by this Act may be used to acquire new information technology systems or significant upgrades, as determined by the Office of the Chief Information Officer, without the approval of the Chief Information

Officer and the concurrence of the Executive Information Technology Investment Review Board: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be transferred to the Office of the Chief Information Officer without the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress: Provided further, That none of the funds available to the Department of Agriculture for information technology shall be obligated for projects over \$25,000 prior to receipt of written approval by the Chief Information Officer.

SEC. 712. (a) None of the funds provided by this Act, or provided by previous Appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in the current fiscal year, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming of funds which—

(1) creates new programs;

(2) eliminates a program, project, or activity;

(3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted;

(4) relocates an office or employees;

(5) reorganizes offices, programs, or activities;

or

(6) contracts out or privatizes any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees; unless the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(b) None of the funds provided by this Act, or provided by previous Appropriations Acts to the agencies funded by this Act that remain available for obligation or expenditure in the current fiscal year, or provided from any accounts in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees available to the agencies funded by this Act, shall be available for obligation or expenditure for activities, programs, or projects through a reprogramming of funds in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, that: (1) augments existing programs, projects, or activities; (2) reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress; or (3) results from any general savings from a reduction in personnel which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress; unless the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance of such reprogramming of funds.

(c) The Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, or the Chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress before implementing a program or activity not carried out during the previous fiscal year unless the program or activity is funded by this Act or specifically funded by any other Act.

SEC. 713. None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act shall be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel who prepare or submit appropriations language as part of the President's Budget submission to the Congress of the United States for programs under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Subcommittees on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies that assumes revenues or reflects a reduction from the previous year due to user fees proposals that have not been enacted into law prior to the submission of the Budget unless such Budget submission identifies which additional spending reductions should occur in the event the user fees proposals are not enacted prior to the date of the convening of a committee of conference for the fiscal year 2011 appropriations Act.

SEC. 714. None of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to close or relocate a Rural Development office unless or until the Secretary of Agriculture determines the cost effectiveness and/or enhancement of program delivery: Provided, That not later than 120 days before the date of the proposed closure or relocation, the Secretary notifies the Committees on Appropriation of the House and Senate, and the members of Congress from the State in which the office is located of the proposed closure or relocation and provides a report that describes the justifications for such closures and relocations.

SEC. 715. None of the funds made available to the Food and Drug Administration by this Act shall be used to close or relocate, or to plan to close or relocate, the Food and Drug Administration Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis in St. Louis, Missouri, outside the city or county limits of St. Louis, Missouri.

SEC. 716. There is hereby appropriated \$499,000 for any authorized Rural Development program purpose, in communities suffering from extreme outmigration and situated in areas that were designated as part of an Empowerment Zone pursuant to section 111 of the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 (as contained in appendix G of Public Law 106-554).

SEC. 717. None of the funds made available in fiscal year 2010 or preceding fiscal years for programs authorized under the Food for Peace Act (7 U.S.C. 1691 et seq.) in excess of \$20,000,000 shall be used to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for the release of eligible commodities under section 302(f)(2)(A) of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust Act (7 U.S.C. 1736f-1): Provided, That any such funds made available to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation shall only be used pursuant to section 302(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust Act.

SEC. 718. There is hereby appropriated \$3,497,000, to remain available until expended, for a grant to the National Center for Natural Products Research for construction or renovation to carry out the research objectives of the natural products research grant issued by the Food and Drug Administration.

SEC. 719. Funds made available under section 1240I and section 1241(a) of the Food Security Act of 1985 and section 524(b) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1524(b)) in the current fiscal year shall remain available until expended to disburse obligations made in the current fiscal year.

SEC. 720. Unless otherwise authorized by existing law, none of the funds provided in this Act, may be used by an executive branch agency to produce any prepackaged news story intended for broadcast or distribution in the United States unless the story includes a clear notification within the text or audio of the prepackaged news story that the prepackaged news story was prepared or funded by that executive branch agency.

SEC. 721. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act shall be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to carry out the following:

(1) An Environmental Quality Incentives Program as authorized by sections 1241-240H of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended (16 U.S.C. 3839aa-3839aa(8)), in excess of \$1,180,000,000.

(2) a program authorized by section 14(h)(1) of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (16 U.S.C. 1012(h)(1)); and

(3) a program under subsection (b)(2)(A)(ii) of section 1422 of Public Law 110-246 in excess of \$1,123,000,000: Provided, That none of the funds made available in this Act or any other Act shall be used for salaries and expenses to carry out section 19(i)(1)(C) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act as amended by section 4304 of Public Law 110-246 in excess of \$25,000,000, including the transfer of funds under subsection (c) of section 1422 of Public

Law 110-246, until October 1, 2010: Provided further, That \$76,000,000 made available on October 1, 2010, to carry out section 19(i)(1)(C) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act as amended by section 4304 of Public Law 110-246 shall be excluded from the limitation described in subsection (b)(2)(A)(iii) of section 14222 of Public Law 110-246: Provided further, That all unobligated balances under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935, available as of September 30, 2009, are hereby rescinded.

SEC. 722. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any former RUS borrower that has repaid or prepaid an insured, direct or guaranteed loan under the Rural Electrification Act, or any not-for-profit utility that is eligible to receive an insured or direct loan under such Act, shall be eligible for assistance under section 313(b)(2)(B) of such Act in the same manner as a borrower under such Act.

SEC. 723. There is hereby appropriated \$2,600,000, to remain available until expended, for the construction, interim operations, and necessary demolition needs for establishment of an agricultural pest facility in the State of Hawaii.

SEC. 724. There is hereby appropriated \$4,000,000 to the Secretary of Agriculture to award grant(s) to develop and field test new food products designed to improve the nutritional delivery of humanitarian food assistance provided through the McGovern-Dole (section 3107 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 1736o-1)) and the Food for Peace title II (7 U.S.C. 1691 et seq.) programs: Provided, That the Secretary shall use the authorities provided under the Research, Education, and Economics mission area of the Department in awarding such grant(s), with priority given to proposals that demonstrate partnering with and in-kind support from the private sector.

SEC. 725. None of the funds made available to the Department of Agriculture in this Act may be used to implement the risk-based inspection program in the 30 prototype locations announced on February 22, 2007, by the Under Secretary for Food Safety, or at any other locations, until the USDA Office of Inspector General has provided its findings to the Food Safety and Inspection Service and the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate on the data used in support of the development and design of the risk-based inspection program and FSIS has addressed and resolved issues identified by OIG.

SEC. 726. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and until receipt of the decennial census for the year 2010, the Secretary of Agriculture—

(1) shall consider—

(A) the unincorporated area of Los Osos, California, the city of Imperial, California, and the Harrisville Fire District, Rhode Island, to be rural areas for the purposes of eligibility for Rural Utilities Service water and waste disposal loans and grants;

(B) the incorporated community of Thermalito in Butte County, California, (including individuals and entities with projects within the community) eligible for loans and grants funded under the housing programs of the Rural Housing Service;

(C) the city of Lumberton, North Carolina, and the city of Sanford, North Carolina (including individuals and entities with projects within the city) eligible for loans and grants funded through the Rural Community Facilities Program Account; and

(D) the city of Nogales, Arizona (including individuals and entities with projects within the city) eligible for loans and grants funded through the housing programs of the Rural Housing Service; and

(2) may fund Rural Community Facility Program projects of the Rural Housing Service and Water and Waste Disposal Program projects of the Rural Utilities Service for communities and municipal districts and areas in Connecticut,

Massachusetts, and Rhode Island that filed applications for such projects with the appropriate Rural Development field office of the Department of Agriculture prior to August 1, 2009, and that such projects were determined by the field office to be eligible for funding.

SEC. 727. There is hereby appropriated \$3,000,000 for section 4404 of Public Law 107-171.

SEC. 728. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, there is hereby appropriated:

(1) \$3,000,000 of which \$2,000,000 shall be for a grant to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection, and \$1,000,000 shall be for a grant to the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Foods, and Markets, as authorized by section 6402 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 1621 note);

(2) \$350,000 for a grant to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection;

(3) \$1,000,000 shall be for development of a prototype for a national carbon inventory and accounting system for forestry and agriculture, to be awarded under full and open competition;

(4) \$1,000,000 for the International Food Protection Training Institute; and

(5) \$200,000 for the Center for Foodborne Illness Research and Prevention.

SEC. 729. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Natural Resources Conservation Service shall provide financial and technical assistance through the Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations program to carry out—

(1) the Alameda Creek Watershed Project in Alameda County, California;

(2) the Hurricane Katrina-Related Watershed Restoration project in Jackson County, Mississippi;

(3) the Pidcock-Mill Creeks Watershed project in Bucks County, Pennsylvania;

(4) the Farmington River Restoration project in Litchfield County, Connecticut;

(5) the Lake Oseawana Management and Restoration project in Putnam County, New York;

(6) the Richland Creek Reservoir in Paulding County, Georgia;

(7) the Pocasset River Floodplain Management Project in the State of Rhode Island;

(8) the East Locust Creek Watershed Plan Revision in Missouri, including up to 100 percent of the engineering assistance and 75 percent cost share for construction cost of site RWI;

(9) the Little Otter Creek Watershed project in Missouri. The sponsoring local organization may obtain land rights by perpetual easements;

(10) the DuPage County Watershed project in the State of Illinois;

(11) the Dumloup Creek Watershed Project in Fayette and Raleigh Counties, West Virginia;

(12) the Dry Creek Watershed project in the State of California; and

(13) the Upper Clark Fork Watershed project in the State of Montana.

SEC. 730. Section 17(r)(5) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766(r)(5)) is amended—

(1) by inserting “the District of Columbia and” after the first instance of “institutions located in”;

(2) by striking “ten” and inserting “thirteen”;

(3) by striking “eight” and inserting “eleven”;

(4) by inserting “Connecticut, Nevada, Wisconsin,” after the first instance of “States shall be”.

SEC. 731. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purposes of a grant under section 412 of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998, none of the funds in this or any other Act may be used to prohibit the provision of in-kind support from non-Federal sources under section 412(e)(3) in the form of unrecovered indirect costs not otherwise charged against the grant, consistent with the indirect rate of cost approved for a recipient.

SEC. 732. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, unobligated balances remaining

available at the end of the fiscal year from appropriations made available for salaries and expenses in this Act for the Farm Service Agency and the Rural Development mission area, shall remain available through September 30, 2011, for information technology expenses.

SEC. 733. The Secretary of Agriculture may authorize a State agency to use funds provided in this Act to exceed the maximum amount of liquid infant formula specified in 7 C.F.R. 246.10 when issuing liquid infant formula to participants.

SEC. 734. (a) CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS.—Section 9(b) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(14) COMBAT PAY.—

“(A) DEFINITION OF COMBAT PAY.—In this paragraph, the term ‘combat pay’ means any additional payment under chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, or otherwise designated by the Secretary to be appropriate for exclusion under this paragraph, that is received by or from a member of the United States Armed Forces deployed to a designated combat zone, if the additional pay—

“(i) is the result of deployment to or service in a combat zone; and

“(ii) was not received immediately prior to serving in a combat zone.

“(B) EXCLUSION.—Combat pay shall not be considered to be income for the purpose of determining the eligibility for free or reduced price meals of a child who is a member of the household of a member of the United States Armed Forces.”.

(b) SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN.—Section 17(d)(2) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(d)(2)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D); and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) COMBAT PAY.—For the purpose of determining income eligibility under this section, a State agency shall exclude from income any additional payment under chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, or otherwise designated by the Secretary to be appropriate for exclusion under this subparagraph, that is received by or from a member of the United States Armed Forces deployed to a designated combat zone, if the additional pay—

“(i) is the result of deployment to or service in a combat zone; and

“(ii) was not received immediately prior to serving in a combat zone.”.

SEC. 735. There is hereby appropriated \$1,000,000 for the grant program for the purpose of obtaining and adding to an anhydrous ammonia fertilizer nurse tank a substance to reduce the amount of methamphetamine that can be produced from any anhydrous ammonia removed from the nurse tank as authorized by section 14203 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (21 U.S.C. 864a).

SEC. 736. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used for first-class travel by the employees of agencies funded by this Act in contravention of sections 301-10.122 through 301-10.124 of title 41, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 737. Agencies with jurisdiction for carrying out international food assistance programs under the jurisdiction of this Act, including title II of the Food for Peace Act and the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education Program, shall—

(1) provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate no later than May 1, 2010, the following:

(A) estimates on cost-savings and programmatic efficiencies that would result from increased use of pre-positioning of food aid commodities and processes to ensure such cargoes are appropriately maintained to prevent spoilage;

(B) estimates on cost-savings and programmatic efficiencies that would result from the use of longer-term commodity procurement contracts, the proportional distribution of commodity purchases throughout the fiscal year, longer-term shipping contracts, contracts which include shared-risk principles, and adoptions of other commercially acceptable contracting practices;

(C) estimates on costs of domestic procurement of commodities, domestic inland transportation of food aid commodities, domestic storage (including loading and unloading), foreign storage (including loading and unloading), foreign inland transportation, and ocean freight (including ocean freight as adjusted by the ocean freight differential reimbursement provided by the Secretary of Transportation), and costs relating to allocation and distribution of commodities in recipient countries;

(D) information on the frequency of delays in transporting food aid commodities, the cause or purpose of any delays (including how those delays are tracked, monitored and resolved), missed schedules by carriers and non-carriers (and resulting program costs due to such delays, including impacts to program beneficiaries);

(E) information on the methodologies to improve interagency coordination between host governments, the World Food Program, and non-governmental organization to develop more consistent estimates of food aid needs and the number of intended recipients to appropriately inform the purchases of commodities and in order to appropriately plan for commodity procurement for food aid programs;

(2) provide the matter described under subsection (1) of this section in the form of a consensus report under the signatures of the Secretaries of Agriculture, State, and Transportation; and

(3) estimates and cost savings analysis for this section shall be derived from periods representative of normal program operations.

SEC. 738. There is hereby appropriated \$250,000, to remain available until expended, for a grant to the Kansas Farm Bureau Foundation for work-force development initiatives to address out-migration in rural areas.

SEC. 739. There is hereby appropriated \$800,000 to the Farm Service Agency to carry out a pilot program to demonstrate the use of new technologies that increase the rate of growth of re-forested hardwood trees on private non-industrial forests lands, enrolling lands on the coast of the Gulf of Mexico that were damaged by Hurricane Katrina in 2005.

SEC. 740. (a) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall establish within the Food and Drug Administration a review group which shall recommend to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs appropriate preclinical, trial design, and regulatory paradigms and optimal solutions for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of rare diseases: Provided, That the Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall appoint individuals employed by the Food and Drug Administration to serve on the review group: Provided further, That members of the review group shall have specific expertise relating to the development of articles for use in the prevention, diagnosis, or treatment of rare diseases, including specific expertise in developing or carrying out clinical trials.

(b) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall establish within the Food and Drug Administration a review group which shall recommend to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs appropriate preclinical, trial design, and regulatory paradigms and optimal solutions for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of neglected diseases of the developing world: Provided, That the Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall appoint individuals employed by the Food and Drug Administration to serve on the review group: Provided further, That members of the review group shall have specific expertise relating to the development of articles for use in the prevention, diagnosis, or treatment of neglected

diseases of the developing world, including specific expertise in developing or carrying out clinical trials: Provided further, That for the purposes of this section the term "neglected disease of the developing world" means a tropical disease, as defined in section 524(a)(3) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360n(a)(3)).

(c) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs shall—

(1) submit, not later than 1 year after the date of the establishment of review groups under subsections (a) and (b), a report to Congress that describes both the findings and recommendations made by the review groups under subsections (a) and (b);

(2) issue, not later than 180 days after submission of the report to Congress under paragraph (1), guidance based on such recommendations for articles for use in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of rare diseases and for such uses in neglected diseases of the developing world; and

(3) develop, not later than 180 days after submission of the report to Congress under paragraph (1), internal review standards based on such recommendations for articles for use in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of rare diseases and for such uses in neglected diseases of the developing world.

SEC. 741. There is hereby appropriated \$2,600,000 to carry out section 1621 of Public Law 110-246 and \$3,000,000 to carry out section 1613 of Public Law 110-246.

SEC. 742. Of the unobligated balances provided pursuant to section 16(h)(1)(A) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, \$11,000,000 is hereby rescinded.

SEC. 743. (a) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to promulgate or implement a poultry products inspection rule allowing processed poultry or processed poultry products to be imported into the United States from the People's Republic of China unless the Secretary of Agriculture formally notifies Congress that the Department will—

(1) not provide any preferential consideration to any application by the People's Republic of China for authorization to export poultry or poultry products to the United States;

(2) conduct audits of inspection systems and on-site reviews of slaughter and processing facilities, laboratories and other control operations before any Chinese facilities are certified as eligible to ship poultry or poultry products to the United States and, in subsequent years, to conduct such audits and reviews at least once annually or more frequently as the Secretary determines necessary;

(3) implement a significantly increased level of port of entry re-inspection;

(4) establish and conduct a formal and expeditious information sharing program with other countries importing processed poultry or processed poultry products from China that have conducted audits and plant inspections;

(5) report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 120 days of the date of enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter for an indefinite period, with respect to the promulgation or implementation of any poultry products inspection rule authorizing the People's Republic of China to export poultry or poultry products to the United States, including—

(A) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary, including new audits and on-site reviews, to implement any poultry products inspection rule authorizing the People's Republic of China to export processed poultry or processed poultry products to the United States;

(B) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary, including new audits and on-site reviews, to determine whether the poultry inspection system of the People's Republic of China achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards;

(C) actions taken or to be taken by the Secretary to determine whether the administration and enforcement of the poultry and poultry products inspection system of the People's Republic of China ensures that it achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards;

(D) the level of port of entry re-inspections to be conducted on processed poultry and processed poultry products offered for importation into the United States from the People's Republic of China; and

(E) a work plan incorporating any understandings or agreements between FSIS and relevant authorities of the People's Republic of China with respect to carrying out the Secretary's assessment of the equivalency of the poultry products inspection system of the People's Republic of China;

(6) make publicly available, no later than 30 days from the date they are finalized, the reports of any new audits and on-site reviews conducted by the Secretary, and, in addition, when such audit or review is being conducted to determine whether the People's Republic of China's poultry inspection system achieves a level of sanitary protection equivalent to that achieved under United States standards, to make the final report of such audit or review publicly available no later than 30 days prior to the publication of any notice of proposed rulemaking for such determination; and

(7) make publicly available a list of facilities in the People's Republic of China certified to export poultry or poultry products to the United States and to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations if the number of facilities certified by the People's Republic of China exceeds ten.

(b) None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to promulgate any proposed or final rule allowing the importation into the United States of poultry slaughtered or poultry products produced from poultry slaughtered in the People's Republic of China unless such rule is promulgated in accordance with the procedures for significant rules specified in Executive Order 12866.

(c) This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with United States obligations under its international trade agreements.

SEC. 744. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to pay the salaries or expenses of personnel to—

(1) inspect horses under section 3 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 603);

(2) inspect horses under section 903 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (7 U.S.C. 1901 note; Public Law 104-127); or

(3) implement or enforce section 352.19 of title 9, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 745. (a) Section 531(g)(7)(F) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act (7 U.S.C. 1531(g)(7)(F)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "(including multiyear assistance)" after "assistance"; and

(2) in clause (i), by inserting "or multiyear production losses" after "a production loss".

(b) Section 901(g)(7)(F) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2497(g)(7)(F)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "(including multiyear assistance)" after "assistance"; and

(2) in clause (i), by inserting "or multiyear production losses" after "a production loss".

SEC. 746. (a) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ASSISTANCE DURING PANDEMIC EMERGENCY.—During fiscal year 2010, in any case in which a school is closed for at least 5 consecutive days during a pandemic emergency designation, each household containing at least 1 member who is an eligible child attending the school shall be eligible to receive assistance pursuant to a State agency plan approved under subsection (b).

(b) ASSISTANCE.—To carry out this section, the Secretary of Agriculture may approve State

agency plans for temporary emergency standards of eligibility and levels of benefits under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) for households with eligible children. Plans approved by the Secretary may provide for supplemental allotments to households receiving benefits under such Act, and issuances to households not already receiving benefits. Such level of benefits shall be determined by the Secretary in an amount not less than the value of meals at the free rate over the course of 5 school days for each eligible child in the household.

(c) **MINIMUM CLOSURE REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Agriculture shall not provide assistance under this section in the case of a school that is closed for less than 5 consecutive days.

(d) **USE OF EBT SYSTEM.**—A State agency may provide assistance under this section through the EBT card system established under section 7 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2016).

(e) **RELEASE OF INFORMATION.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Agriculture may authorize State educational agencies and school food authorities administering a school lunch program under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.) to release to appropriate officials administering the supplemental nutrition assistance program such information as may be necessary to carry out this section.

(f) **WAIVERS.**—To facilitate implementation of this section, the Secretary of Agriculture may approve waivers of the limits on certification periods otherwise applicable under section 3(f) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2012(f)), reporting requirements otherwise applicable under section 5(f) of such Act (7 U.S.C. 2014(f)), and other administrative requirements otherwise applicable to State agencies under such Act.

(g) **FUNDING.**—The Secretary of Agriculture shall use funds made available under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) to fund, with the exception of the commodities described in subsection (h), benefits provided under this section.

(h) **AVAILABILITY OF COMMODITIES.**—During fiscal year 2010, the Secretary of Agriculture may utilize funds appropriated under section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c) to purchase commodities for emergency distribution in any area of the United States during a pandemic emergency designation.

(i) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “eligible child” means a child (as defined in section 12(d) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1760(d)) who, if not for the closure of the school attended by the child during a pandemic emergency designation and due to concerns about an influenza pandemic, would receive free or reduced price school meals under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.) at the school.

(2) The term “pandemic emergency designation” means the declaration—

(A) of a public health emergency, based on pandemic influenza, by the Secretary of Health and Human Services under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247d); or

(B) of a domestic emergency, based on pandemic influenza, by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

(3) The term “school” has the meaning given the term in section 12(d) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1760(d)).

SEC. 747. Specific projects contained in the report of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives accompanying this Act (H. Rept. 111–181) that are considered congressional earmarks for purposes of clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, when intended to be awarded to a for-profit entity, shall be awarded under a full and open competition.

SEC. 748. (a) There is hereby appropriated \$350,000,000 of which \$60,000,000 is provided for purchases of cheese and other dairy products under 7 U.S.C. 2036(a) and \$290,000,000 is provided to the Secretary of Agriculture to assist dairy producers under section 10104 of Public Law 107–171.

(b)(1) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Agriculture may promulgate such regulations as are necessary to implement this section.

(2) **PROCEDURE.**—The promulgation of the implementing regulations and the administration of this section shall be made without regard to—

(A) the notice and comment provisions of section 553 of title 5, United States Code;

(B) the Statement of Policy of the Secretary of Agriculture effective July 24, 1971 (36 Fed. Reg. 13804), relating to notices of proposed rulemaking and public participation in rulemaking; and

(C) chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code (commonly known as the “Paperwork Reduction Act”).

(3) **CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF AGENCY RULEMAKING.**—In carrying out this section, the Secretary of Agriculture shall use the authority provided under section 808 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 749. (a) Section 6(e)(1)(B) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1755(e)(1)(B)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(b) Section 9(f)(5) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(f)(5)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(c)(1) Section 9(h)(3) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(h)(3)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(2) Section 9(h)(4) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(h)(4)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(d) Section 18(h)(5) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769(h)(5)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(e) Section 21(g)(1)(A)(ii) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769b–1(g)(1)(A)(ii)) is amended by striking “and October 1, 2008” and inserting “October 1, 2008, and October 1, 2009”.

(f) Section 26(d) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769g(d)) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2010”.

(g)(1) From the funds made available under paragraph (5), the Secretary shall carry out demonstration projects to develop and test methods of providing access to food for children in urban and rural areas during the summer months when schools are not in regular session to—

(A) reduce or eliminate the food insecurity and hunger of children; and

(B) improve the nutritional status of children.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term “children” means low-income children, as specified by the Secretary.

(3)(A) From the funds made available under paragraph (5), the Secretary shall provide for an independent evaluation of the demonstration projects carried out under this subsection, which shall use rigorous methodologies, including—

(i) random assignment of children or schools, where practicable; or

(ii) if random assignment of children or schools is not practicable, quasi-experimental or other methods that are capable of producing scientifically valid information regarding which projects are effective in achieving the purposes described in paragraph (1).

(B)(i) Not later than December 31, 2010, and each December 31 thereafter until the completion of the last evaluation conducted under subparagraph (A) the Secretary shall submit to the

Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate a report that includes—

(I) the status of each demonstration project carried out under this subsection; and

(II) the results of the evaluations conducted under subparagraph (A) for the previous fiscal year.

(ii) Not later than 120 days after the completion of the last evaluation conducted under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate a report that includes recommendations on how to improve children’s access to food during the summer months when school is not in regular session.

(4) The Secretary may use funds made available under paragraph (5) to pay—

(A) participant benefits;

(B) the added administrative expenses incurred by participating organizations as a result of participating in a project under this subsection;

(C) costs associated with outreach to potential participants and potential sponsoring organizations; and

(D) costs associated with soliciting, administering, monitoring, and evaluating each demonstration project carried out under this subsection.

(5)(A) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this subsection \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(B) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this subsection the funds transferred under subparagraph (A), without further appropriation.

(h)(1)(A) From the funds made available under subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall provide assistance through grants to State agencies administering the National School Lunch Program under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.) with the lowest rates of children certified for free meals pursuant to paragraphs (4) and (5) of section 9(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(b)(4); 1758(b)(5)) for the purpose of improving such rates.

(B) Under the terms and conditions established by the Secretary, a State receiving grant funds under this subsection may use such funds to pay costs related to improving the rate of direct certification in such State, including the costs related to—

(i) making technology improvements;

(ii) providing technical assistance to local educational agencies;

(iii) implementing a new or revised direct certification system in such State and in the local educational agencies of such State; and

(iv) using multiple public means tested benefits programs for the purpose of direct certification.

(C)(i) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this paragraph \$22,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(ii) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this paragraph the funds transferred under clause (i), without further appropriation.

(2)(A) From the funds made available under subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall provide technical assistance to assist States receiving grants under paragraph (1), and other States, as appropriate, in improving the rates of direct certification.

(B)(i) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this paragraph \$3,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(ii) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this paragraph the funds transferred under clause (i), without further appropriation.

(i)(1) From the funds made available under paragraph (4), in carrying out the special supplemental nutrition program for women, infants, and children established by section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786 et seq.) (in this subsection referred to as the “program”) during fiscal year 2010, the Secretary shall provide performance bonus payments to State agencies that demonstrate—

(A) the highest proportion of breastfed infants, as compared to other State agencies participating in the program; or

(B) the greatest improvement in proportion of breastfed infants, as compared to other State agencies participating in the program.

(2) In providing performance bonus payments to State agencies under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider a State agency’s proportion of participating fully breastfed infants.

(3) A State agency that receives a performance bonus under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall treat the funds as program income; and

(B) may transfer the funds to local agencies for use in carrying out the program.

(4)(A) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000.

(B) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this subsection the funds transferred under subparagraph (A), without further appropriation.

(j)(1) From the funds made available under paragraph (5), the Secretary shall make payments to State educational agencies to award grants to eligible school food authorities for the purchase of equipment for schools under the jurisdiction of such authorities.

(2)(A) Payments under paragraph (1) shall be allocated to State educational agencies in a manner proportional with each agency’s administrative expense allocation under section 7(a)(2) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1776(a)(2)).

(B) If a State educational agency does not accept or use the amounts made available under its allocation in accordance with this subsection, the Secretary shall reallocate such amounts to other State educational agencies, as the Secretary determines necessary.

(3)(A) Not later than 180 days after receiving an allocation under this subsection, a State educational agency shall award grants, on a competitive basis, to eligible school food authorities.

(B) For the purposes of this subsection, the term “eligible school food authority” means a school food authority—

(i) participating in the National School Lunch Program established under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Program (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.); and

(ii) that did not receive a grant for equipment assistance under the grant program carried out pursuant to the heading “Food and Nutrition Service Child Nutrition Programs” in title I of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5).

(C) To qualify to receive a grant under this subsection, an eligible school food authority shall—

(i) submit an application to a State educational agency at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the State educational agency may require; or

(ii) have submitted an application to receive equipment assistance under the grant program carried out pursuant to the heading “Food and Nutrition Service Child Nutrition Programs” in title I of division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–5).

(D) In awarding grants to eligible school food authorities, a State shall give priority to each eligible school food authority whose application

demonstrates that in providing equipment assistance to schools with funds received under this subsection, it will give priority to schools where not less than 50 percent of the enrolled students are eligible for free or reduced price meals under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.).

(E) Under the terms and conditions established by the Secretary, an eligible school food authority receiving a grant under this subsection shall use such funds to purchase equipment for schools under the jurisdiction of the school food authority—

(i) to improve the quality of school meals, consistent with the goals of the most recent Dietary Guidelines for Americans published under section 301 of the National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5341);

(ii) to improve the safety of food served in the school meal programs established under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.) and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.);

(iii) to improve the overall energy efficiency of school foodservice operations; or

(iv) for other purposes as established by the Secretary.

(4) A State educational agency receiving an allocation under this subsection may not use more than 5 percent of such allocation for administrative costs associated with awarding grants to eligible school food authorities in accordance with this subsection.

(5)(A) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this subsection \$25,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(B) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this subsection the funds transferred under subparagraph (A), without further appropriation.

(k)(1) The purpose of this subsection is to provide grants, on a competitive basis, to State agencies administering the child and adult care food program established under section 17 of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766) (referred to in this section as “the program”) for the purpose of improving the health and nutrition of children in child care settings.

(2) From the funds made available under paragraph (8), the Secretary shall award grants, on a competitive basis, to State agencies administering the program for the purpose of promoting health and nutrition improvement in child care settings.

(3) In awarding grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to State agencies administering projects under the program that carry out each of the authorized uses of funds described in paragraph (7).

(4) A State receiving a grant under this subsection shall use not less than 50 percent of such grant funds to award subgrants to institutions for the purpose of conducting the activities described in paragraph (6).

(5) For the purposes of this subsection, the term “institution” has the meaning given such term in section 17(a)(2) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766(a)(2)).

(6) To be eligible to receive funds under this subsection, a State agency shall submit an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Secretary may require, which shall include—

(A) a plan to improve the quality of food provided in—

(i) child care centers; and

(ii) family or group day care homes; and

(B) a description of—

(i) the procedures by which the State agency will use the grant received under this subsection to award subgrants to institutions; and

(ii) the criteria that the State agency will use in awarding such subgrants.

(7) In addition to such other activities as the Secretary determines to be appropriate, State agencies and institutions may use funds provided under this subsection for activities that—

(A) promote nutrition and physical activity in child care settings and that reflect the recommendations of—

(i) the most recent version of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans published under section 301 of the National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 5341); and

(ii) the most recent scientific knowledge;

(B) provide technical assistance and training to sponsors and providers of child care centers and family or group day care homes in implementing State or local initiatives designed to improve the health and nutrition of children;

(C) perform outreach campaigns on the State or local level that are designed to increase access to the program in underserved areas and populations; and

(D) make innovative use of technology to provide training and education to promote the nutrition, physical activity, and health of children.

(8)(A) On October 1, 2009, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the Secretary of the Treasury shall transfer to the Secretary to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(B) The Secretary shall be entitled to receive, shall accept, and shall use to carry out this subsection the funds transferred under subparagraph (A), without further appropriation.

(I) For purposes of this section, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

This Act may be cited as the “Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010”.

And the Senate agree to the same.

DAVID R. OBEY,
ROSA L. DELAURO,
SAM FARR,
ALLEN BOYD,
SANFORD D. BISHOP,
LINCOLN DAVIS,
MARCY KAPTUR,
MAURICE HINCHHEY,
JESSE L. JACKSON, JR.,
JO ANN EMERSON,
RODNEY ALEXANDER,

Managers on the Part of the House.

HERB KOHL,
TOM HARKINS
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,
RICHARD DURBIN,
TIM JOHNSON,
BENJAMIN NELSON,
JACK REED,
MARK PRYOR,
ARLEN SPECTER,
DANIEL K. INOUEY,
SAM BROWNBACK,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
THAD COCHRAN,
KIT BOND,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
SUSAN COLLINS,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2997), making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010 and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The statement of the managers remains silent on provisions that were in both the House and Senate bills that remain unchanged by this conference agreement, except as noted in this statement of the managers.

The conferees agree that executive branch wishes cannot substitute for Congress' own statements as to the best evidence of congressional intentions—that is, the official reports of the Congress. The conferees further point out that funds in this Act must be used for the purposes for which appropriated, as required by section 1301 of title 31 of the United States Code, which provides: "Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law."

The House and Senate report language that is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

In cases in which the House or the Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Hereafter in this statement, "the Committees" refers to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

TITLE I

AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND MARKETING

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The conference agreement provides \$5,285,000 for the Office of the Secretary as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees direct the Department to provide within 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act all reports and studies requested in this statement to the Committees in both an electronic and hard copy format, unless another date is specified for a particular report.

The conferees are concerned by the lack of timely information on the unprecedented demand for the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund programs and the loan programs running out of funding half way through the fiscal year. The conferees direct the Department to provide quarterly reports to the Committees on the status of obligations and funds availability for the loan and grant programs provided in this bill. The conferees further direct that if an estimate of loan activity for any program funded in Titles I and III of this Act indicates that a limitation on authority to make commitments for a fiscal year will be reached before the end of that fiscal year, or in any event whenever 75 per centum of the authority to make commitments has been utilized, the Secretary shall promptly so notify the Committees.

The conference agreement includes a number of items to enhance the ability of USDA, other federal agencies, and non-governmental partners to provide humanitarian food assistance throughout the world. Both the McGovern-Dole Food for Education and the Food for Peace Title II programs receive substantial increases from the fiscal year 2009 levels. Also, the conference agreement includes \$13,000,000, as requested, for stabilization and reconstruction assistance in Iraq and Afghanistan. In addition, the conference agreement includes provisions relating to the development of products for improved nutrition and field deployment of food assistance, better use of nutrient-fortified foods as a way to improve nutrition and reduce short- and long-term health risks

(especially of children), and to direct the primary federal departments responsible for humanitarian food assistance to work jointly to effect greater efficiencies in the execution of these programs.

The conference agreement provides the Farm Service Agency and Rural Development with two year availability of Salaries and Expenses funding for information technology (IT) spending. The Secretary is directed to provide to the Committees a report on: the efficacy of this provision in fiscal year 2009 on improving the administration and effectiveness of IT spending; the amounts carried over from fiscal year 2009; and the intended uses of these carryover funds.

OFFICE OF TRIBAL RELATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 for the Office of Tribal Relations as proposed by the House and the Senate.

EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ECONOMIST

The conference agreement provides \$13,032,000 for the Office of the Chief Economist as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$12,982,000 as proposed by the House.

NATIONAL APPEALS DIVISION

The conference agreement provides \$15,254,000 for the National Appeals Division, instead of \$15,289,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,219,000 as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM ANALYSIS

The conference agreement provides \$9,436,000 for the Office of Budget and Program Analysis as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$1,859,000 for the Office of Homeland Security as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$2,494,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement provides \$750,000 for a protective security detail and \$115,000 for national intelligence analysis.

OFFICE OF ADVOCACY AND OUTREACH

The conference agreement provides \$1,700,000 for the Office of Advocacy and Outreach, instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

The conference agreement provides \$61,579,000 for the Office of the Chief Information Officer, instead of \$48,541,000 as proposed by the House and \$63,579,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides increases of \$17,000,000 for security assessments, \$14,500,000 for security tool deployment, and \$12,300,000 for the Agriculture and Security Operations Center.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

The conference agreement provides \$6,566,000 for the Office of the Chief Financial Officer as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$6,466,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees continue to be concerned about assessments, known as Greenbook charges, that the Department charges its agencies for government and department-wide activities, both with the level of spending and the lack of transparency in funding these activities. The conferees understand that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) is completing an audit of these activities, and expect that USDA will comply with and implement in a timely manner all recommendations of the audit. The Department is directed to provide a report to the Committees on the steps being taken to implement the GAO recommendations and to increase transparency into the funding and de-

cision-making mechanisms associated with the Greenbook process. In addition, the Department's report should highlight steps being taken to evaluate the performance of on-going Greenbook activities to determine the benefit to the Department of continuing such activities. Finally, the report should include a discussion of returning assessments to levels consistent with fiscal years 2001 through 2003.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$888,000 as proposed by the House.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

The conference agreement provides \$23,922,000 for the Office of Civil Rights as proposed by the House, instead of \$23,422,000 as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$806,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$700,000 as proposed by the House.

AGRICULTURE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES AND RENTAL PAYMENTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$293,093,000 for Agriculture Buildings and Facilities and Rental Payments, instead of \$326,482,000 as proposed by the House and \$274,482,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$184,812,000 for rental payments, \$13,500,000 for Department of Homeland Security building security, and \$94,781,000 for building operations and maintenance.

The conference agreement includes funds, at the level requested, for the proposed lease consolidation. However, given the need to have accurate estimates for costs and benefits, the conferees direct USDA to conduct an internal review, through the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and including the affected agencies, and submit a new cost benefit analysis, using updated cost and benefit estimates, to the Committees prior to the obligation of any of the funds for this purpose.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$5,125,000 for Hazardous Materials Management as proposed by the House and the Senate.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$41,319,000 for Departmental Administration as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$37,319,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$13,000,000, as requested, for stabilization and reconstruction activities in Iraq and Afghanistan. The conferees believe that this effort will assist in the stabilization and reconstruction of agricultural production in these countries and that similar efforts merit consideration for regions where chronic and acute hunger has stifled economic and social stability and development and where substantial resources have been directed in the form of emergency assistance.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$3,968,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Congressional Relations as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$9,722,000 for the Office of Communications as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$88,725,000 for the Office of Inspector General, instead of \$89,281,000 as proposed by the House and \$88,025,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides increases, as requested, for pay costs, \$1,759,000; support for investigations of food safety, \$500,000; and audits and investigations of civil rights issues, \$500,000. The conference agreement also provides \$200,000 for work relating to the National Organic Program (NOP). Specifically, the funding is provided to examine the processes that the NOP uses to protect the integrity of the program.

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

The conference agreement provides \$43,551,000 for the Office of the General Counsel as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$43,601,000 as proposed by the House.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RESEARCH, EDUCATION AND ECONOMICS

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Research, Education and Economics as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$620,000 as proposed by the House.

ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

The conference agreement provides \$82,478,000 for the Economic Research Service, instead of \$82,528,000 as proposed by the House and \$82,078,000 as proposed by the Senate. This includes \$1,178,000 in employee pay costs and \$1,800,000 for research on the economics of environmental service markets and policies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Funding for the Organic Production and Market Data Initiative is maintained at not less than \$500,000.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

The conference agreement provides \$161,830,000 for the National Agricultural Statistics Service as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement provides increases of \$5,750,000 for restoration of the Agricultural Chemical Use Program; \$1,600,000 to provide a data series on bio-energy production and utilization; and \$250,000 to complete the analysis and summary of, and to continue data collection related to, the Organic Production Survey.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$1,179,639,000 for the Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Salaries and Expenses, instead of \$1,157,568,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,181,632,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not accept the budget proposals to decrease funds for property management or to transfer the Office of Pest Management Policy to the Office of the Chief Economist, and provides \$1,700,000 for the Office of Pest Management Policy in ARS.

The conference agreement provides the following increases, which are a part of the budget request: \$5,937,000 for increased research on human nutrition; \$1,052,000 to strengthen grain disease research to protect the world grain supply; \$246,000 to index and mine the U.S. seed collections for energy genes; and \$2,374,000 for environmental stewardship research.

The conference agreement provides the following increases: \$300,000 for research regarding critical invasive weed problems in the Northwestern United States; \$1,500,000 for re-

search related to colony collapse disorder; \$300,000 to coordinate research on shellfish and shellfish disease in the Northeast; \$1,000,000 to speed efforts to develop Ug99-resistant wheat varieties; \$1,000,000 for research regarding Asian Citrus Psyllid/Citrus Greening Disease; \$3,400,000 for animal disease research; and \$600,000 to address regional groundwater problems in the Lower Mississippi River Basin.

The conference agreement provides an increase of \$1,250,000 for cranberry research. Specifically, these funds should be used for additional research into cranberry genetic improvement, cranberry insect pest management, and environmental engineering research to develop new technologies and practices that growers will be able to implement to protect and enhance water resources.

The conference agreement provides \$543,000 for the bioenergy feedstock research program at the Mandan, North Dakota, ARS facility.

The conferees direct ARS to provide the Committees with a brief summary of research gaps on potential disease transmission arising from interactions between wild and domestic sheep on the range. When preparing this summary, the conferees encourage the Department to work with scientists at the Animal Disease Research Unit, co-located at the University of Idaho and Washington State University, the U.S. Sheep Experiment Station in DuBois, Idaho, and their collaborators.

The conferees direct ARS to provide the Committees with a report, including staffing and funding requirements, on the feasibility of establishing a warm season grasses research program at the Southern Plains Research Station in Woodward, Oklahoma.

The conferees direct ARS to continue research at their Grand Forks facility to address obesity and diet-related health issues in rural and Native American communities.

The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:

<i>Agricultural Research Service</i>	
<i>Salaries and Expenses</i>	
<i>Congressionally Designated Projects</i>	
	<i>Amount</i>
Animal Vaccines, Greenport, NY	\$1,518,000
Arthropod-Borne Animal Diseases Research Laboratory, Manhattan, KS	1,500,000
Aquaculture Fisheries Center, ARS, Pine Bluff, AR	519,000
Aquaculture Initiatives, Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Stuggart, AR	1,597,000
Biomass Crop Production, Brookings, SD	1,250,000
Biomedical Materials in Plants (Biotech Foundation), Beltsville, MD	1,700,000
Bioremediation Research, Beltsville, MD	111,000
Biotechnology Research and Development Center, Washington, DC	3,500,000
Catfish Genome, Auburn, AL	819,000
Center for Agroforestry, Booneville, AR	660,000
Cereal Disease, St. Paul, MN	290,000
Computer Vision Engineer, Kearneysville, WV	400,000
Crop Production and Food Processing, Peoria, IL ...	786,000
Dairy Forage Research Center, Marshfield, WI ...	2,500,000
Dale Bumpers Small Farms Research Center, Booneville, AR	1,805,000

Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued

	<i>Amount</i>
Diet Nutrition and Obesity Research, New Orleans, LA	623,000
Endophyte Research, Booneville, AR	994,000
Forage Crop Stress Tolerance and Virus Disease Management, Prosser, WA	200,000
Formosan Subterranean Termites Research, New Orleans, LA	3,490,000
Foundry Sand By-Products Utilization, Beltsville, MD	638,000
Human Nutrition Research, Boston, MA	350,000
Human Nutrition Research, Houston, TX	300,000
Human Nutrition Research, Kannapolis, NC ...	1,000,000
Improved Crop Production Practices, Auburn, AL ...	1,293,000
Livestock-Crop Rotation Management, Kutztown, PA	349,000
Lyme Disease, 4 Poster Project, Washington, DC	700,000
Medicinal and Bioactive Crops, Washington, DC ...	111,000
Mosquito Trapping Research/West Nile Virus, Gainesville, FL	1,454,000
National Bio and Agro Defense Facility, Manhattan, KS	1,500,000
National Center for Agricultural Law, Beltsville, MD	654,000
National Corn to Ethanol Research Pilot Plant, Washington, DC	360,000
New England Plant, Soil, and Water Research Laboratory, Orono, ME	2,249,000
Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, Mandan, ND	543,000
Northwest Center for Small Fruits, Corvallis, OR	275,000
Pacific Basin Agricultural Research Center Staffing, Hilo, HI	700,000
Phytoestrogen Research, New Orleans, LA	1,750,000
Potato Diseases, Beltsville, MD	61,000
Poultry Diseases, Beltsville, MD	408,000
Seismic & Acoustic Technologies in Soils Sedimentation Laboratory, Oxford, MS	332,000
Sorghum Research, Little Rock, AR	135,000
Soybean Genomics, St. Paul, MN	200,000
Subtropical Beef Germplasm, Brooksville, FL	1,033,000
Termite Species in Hawaii, New Orleans, LA	200,000
Tropical Aquaculture Feeds (Oceanic Institute), Hilo, HI	1,438,000
Water Management Research Laboratory, Brawley, CA	340,000
Water Use Reduction, Dawson, GA	1,200,000
Wild Rice, St. Paul, MN ...	303,000
Total	44,138,000

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The conference agreement provides \$70,873,000 for Agricultural Research Service,

Buildings and Facilities, instead of \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$47,027,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:

<i>Agricultural Research Service Buildings and Facilities Congressionally Designated Projects</i>	
	<i>Amount</i>
Agricultural Research Center, Logan, UT	\$4,527,000
Agricultural Research Facility, Beltsville, MD	3,000,000
Animal Bioscience Facility, Bozeman, MT	3,654,000
Appalachian Fruit Laboratory, Kearneysville, WV	2,000,000
ARS Biotechnology Lab, Lorman, MS	1,500,000
ARS Forage-Animal Production Research Facility, Lexington, KY	2,000,000
ARS Research and Development Center, Auburn, AL	3,500,000
ARS Waste Management Research Facility, Bowling Green, KY	2,000,000
Center for Advanced Viticulture and Tree Crop Research, Davis, CA	3,000,000
Center for Grape Genetics, Geneva, NY	3,654,000
Center of Excellence for Vaccine Research, Storrs, CT	3,654,000
Dairy Forage Agricultural Research Center, Prairie du Sac, WI	4,000,000
Jamie Whitten Delta States Research Center, Stoneville, MS	4,000,000
National Plant and Genetics Security Center, Columbia, MO	3,500,000
Pacific Basin Agricultural Research Center, Hilo, HI	5,000,000
Systems Biology Research Facility, Lincoln, NE	3,760,000
U.S. Agricultural Research Station, Salinas, CA	3,654,000
U.S. ARS Laboratory, Canal Point, FL	3,422,000
U.S. ARS Laboratory, Pullman, WA	3,740,000
U.S. ARS Sugarcane Research Laboratory, Houma, LA	3,654,000
University of Toledo Greenhouse and Hydroponic Research Complex, Toledo, OH	3,654,000
Total	70,873,000

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$788,243,000 for Research and Education Activities, instead of \$711,523,000 as proposed by the House and \$757,821,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides funding for research on Global Change and UV Monitoring as requested in the budget, to be spent as the agency determines appropriate.

The conference agreement provides \$1,339,000 for the Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute, of which \$340,000 shall be used to conduct an analysis of rangeland, cattle, and hay with the University of Nevada-Reno.

The conference agreement provides \$1,436,000 for a competitive potato breeding research program to be administered in the same manner and for the same purposes as in fiscal year 2009.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

<i>National Institute of Food and Agriculture Research and Education Activities</i>	
	<i>Amount</i>
Hatch Act	\$215,000,000

Research and Education Activities—Continued

	<i>Amount</i>
McIntire-Stennis Cooperative Forestry	29,000,000
Evans-Allen Program (1890 Colleges and Tuskegee University)	48,500,000
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative	262,482,000
Special Research Grants ...	89,029,000
Improved Pest Control:	
Expert IPM Decision Support System	156,000
Integrated Pest Management	2,415,000
Minor Crop Pest Management (IR-4)	12,180,000
Pest Management Alternatives	1,434,000
Total, Improved Pest Control	16,185,000
Total, Special Research Grants	105,214,000
Animal Health and Disease Research (Sec. 1433)	2,950,000
1994 Institutions Research Program	1,805,000
Rangeland Research	983,000
Graduate Fellowship Grants	3,859,000
Institution Challenge Grants	5,654,000
Multicultural Scholars Program	1,241,000
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants ..	9,237,000
Secondary/2-year Post-secondary	983,000
Capacity Building Grants (1890 Institutions)	18,250,000
Payments to the 1994 Institutions (Tribal Colleges) ..	3,342,000
Alaska Native-serving and Native Hawaiian-Serving Education Grants	3,200,000
Resident Instruction Grants for Insular Areas ..	900,000
Distance Education Grants for Insular Areas	750,000
Sun Grant Program	2,250,000
New Era Rural Technology Program	875,000
Veterinary Medical Services Act	4,800,000
Subtotal	721,275,000
Federal Administration:	
Data Information System (REEIS)	2,704,000
Electronic Grants Administration System ..	2,136,000
Office of Extramural Programs (Grants)	440,000
Peer Panels	397,000
Other Federal Administration	33,869,000
Pay Costs	5,576,000
Total, Federal Administration	45,122,000
Other:	
Supplemental and Alternative Crops	835,000
Aquaculture Centers (Sec. 1475)	3,928,000
Critical Agricultural Materials Act	1,083,000
Sustainable Agriculture Farm Business Management and Benchmarking Program	1,500,000
Total, Other	21,846,000
Total, Research and Education Activities	\$788,243,000

The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:

*National Institute of Food and Agriculture
Research and Education Activities
Congressionally Designated Projects*

	<i>Amount</i>
Special Research Grants:	
Advanced Genetic Technologies, KY	\$650,000
Advancing Biofuel Production, TX	300,000
Aegilops Cylindrica, WA	245,000
Agricultural Diversification, HI	153,000
Agricultural Entrepreneurial Alternatives, PA	248,000
Agricultural Marketing, IL	176,000
Agriculture Energy Innovation Center, GA	1,000,000
Agriculture Science, OH	450,000
Agroecology/Chesapeake Bay Agro-ecology, MD	439,000
Air Quality, KS, TX	1,090,000
Animal Science Food Safety Consortium, AR, IA, KS	1,000,000
Apple Fire Blight, MI, NY	346,000
Aquaculture, CA, FL, TX	416,000
Aquaculture, ID, WA ..	529,000
Aquaculture, LA	150,000
Aquaculture, MS	361,000
Aquaculture, NC	227,000
Aquaculture Product and Marketing Development, WV	550,000
Armillaria Root Rot, MI	104,000
Asparagus Production Technologies, WA	173,000
Avian Bioscience, DE ..	150,000
Babcock Institute, WI ..	416,000
Barley for Rural Development, ID, MT	547,000
Beef Improvement Research, MO, TX	693,000
Bioactive Foods Research for Health and Food Safety, MA	525,000
Biodesign and Processing Research Center, VA	868,000
Bioenergy Production and Carbon Sequestration, TN	1,000,000
Biomass-based Energy Research, MS, OK	839,000
Biotechnology, NC	199,000
Bovine Tuberculosis, MI, MN	346,000
Brucellosis Vaccine, MT	305,000
Cataloging Genes Associated with Drought and Disease Resistance, NM	176,000
Center for One Medicine, IL	500,000
Center for Rural Studies, VT	350,000
Childhood Obesity and Nutrition, VT	250,000
Citrus Canker/ Greening, FL	1,217,000
Competitiveness of Agricultural Products, WA	469,000
Computational Agriculture, NY	131,000
Cool Season Legume Research, ID, ND, WA	350,000

Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued		Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued		Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued	
	Amount		Amount		Amount
Cotton Insect Management and Fiber Quality, GA	346,000	Integrated Economic and Technical Analysis of Sustainable Biomass Energy Systems, IN	188,000	Protein Production for Research to Combat Viruses and Microbes, CT	500,000
Cranberry/Blueberry Disease and Breeding, NJ	550,000	Integrated Production Systems, OK	177,000	Protein Utilization, IA	600,000
Cranberry/Blueberry, MA	160,000	International Arid Lands Consortium, AZ	401,000	Rangeland Ecosystems Dynamics, ID	300,000
Crop Integration and Production, SD	400,000	Invasive Plant Management, MT	270,000	Regional Barley Gene Mapping Project, OR	471,000
Crop Pathogens, NC	225,000	Joint US-China Biotechnology Research and Extension, UT	210,000	Regionalized Implications of Farm Programs, MO, TX	595,000
Dairy and Meat Goat Research, TX	200,000	Leopold Center Hypoxia Project, IA	105,000	Renewable Energy and Products, ND	1,000,000
Dairy Farm Profitability, PA	372,000	Livestock and Dairy Policy, NY, TX	693,000	Rice Agronomy, MO	174,000
Delta Revitalization Project, MS	176,000	Maple Research, VT	165,000	Ruminant Nutrition Consortium, MT, ND, SD, WY	563,000
Designing Foods for Health, TX	1,385,000	Meadow Foam, OR	180,000	Rural Policies Institute, IA, MO, NE	889,000
Detection and Food Safety, AL	1,748,000	Michigan Bio-technology Consortium	384,000	Rural Renewable Energy Research and Education Center, WI	500,000
Drought Mitigation, NE	600,000	Midwest Center for Bioenergy Grasses, IN	188,000	Russian Wheat Aphid, CO	250,000
Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX	1,160,000	Midwest Poultry Consortium, IA	471,000	Seed Technology, SD	350,000
Emerald Ash Borer, OH	550,000	Milk Safety, PA	821,000	Small Fruit Research, ID, OR, WA	307,000
Environmental Research, NY	258,000	Minor Use Animal Drugs	429,000	Soil-borne Disease Prevention in Irrigated Agriculture, NM	187,000
Environmental Risk Factors/Cancer, NY	150,000	Molluscan Shellfish, OR	253,000	Southern Great Plains Dairy Consortium, NM	350,000
Environmentally Safe Products, VT	250,000	Multi-commodity Research, OR	244,000	Southwest Consortium for Plant Genetics and Water Resources, NM	271,000
Expanded Wheat Pasture, OK	223,000	National Beef Cattle Genetic Evaluation Consortium, CO, GA, NY	655,000	Soybean Cyst Nematode, MO	556,000
Floriculture, HI	300,000	National Center for Soybean Technology, MO	690,000	Soybean Research, IL	745,000
Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute, IA, MO, NV, WI	1,339,000	Nematode Resistance Genetic Engineering, NM	209,000	Specialty Crops, AR	175,000
Food and Fuel Initiative, IA	298,000	Nevada Arid Rangelands Initiative, NV	500,000	Specialty Crops, IN	235,000
Food Marketing Policy Center, CT	401,000	New Century Farm, IA	350,000	STEEP IV—Water Quality in Northwest Sustainable Agriculture and Natural Resources, PA	142,000
Food Safety Research Consortium, NY	693,000	New Crop Opportunities, KY	525,000	Sustainable Agriculture, CA	357,000
Food Safety, ME, OK	382,000	New Satellite and Computer-based Technology for Agriculture, MS	654,000	Sustainable Agriculture, MI	266,000
Food Safety, TX	69,000	Oil Resources from Desert Plants, NM	176,000	Sustainable Beef Supply, MT	682,000
Food Security, WA	276,000	Organic Cropping, OR	149,000	Sustainable Engineered Materials from Renewable Sources, VA	485,000
Forages for Advancing Livestock Production, KY	473,000	Organic Cropping, WA	264,000	Sustainable Production and Processing Research for Lowbush Specialty Crops, ME	200,000
Forestry Research, AR	319,000	Organic Waste Utilization, NM	69,000	Swine and Other Animal Waste Management, NC	349,000
Fresh Produce Food Safety, CA	750,000	Peach Tree Short Life Research	195,000	Technology for Irrigated Vegetable Production, SC	500,000
Genomics for Southern Crop Stress and Disease, MS	797,000	Perennial Wheat, WA	98,000	Texas Obesity Research Project	500,000
Geographic Information System	1,248,000	Phytophthora Research, GA	178,000	Tick Borne Disease Prevention, RI	280,000
Grain Sorghum, KS, TX	1,000,000	Phytophthora Research, MI	346,000	Tillage, Silviculture, Waste Management, LA	200,000
Grass Seed Cropping for Sustainable Agriculture, ID, OR, WA	313,000	Phytosensors for Crop Security and Precision Agriculture, TN	1,000,000	Tri-state Joint Peanut Research, AL	413,000
High Performance Computing, UT	263,000	Pierce's Disease, CA	2,000,000	Tropical and Sub-tropical Research/T-Star	6,677,000
Human Nutrition, LA	526,000	Policy Analyses for National Secure and Sustainable Food, Fiber, Forestry and Energy Program, TX	200,000	Tropical Aquaculture, FL	300,000
Human Nutrition, NY	377,000	Potato Cyst Nematode, ID	349,000		
Hydroponic Production, OH	124,000	Precision Agriculture, AL	419,000		
Improved Dairy Management Practices, PA	243,000	Precision Agriculture, KY	671,000		
Improved Fruit Practices, MI	147,000	Preharvest Food Safety, KS	500,000		
Increasing Shelf Life of Agricultural Commodities, ID	603,000	Preservation and Processing Research, OK	174,000		
Infectious Disease Research, CO	650,000				
Initiative to Improve Blueberry Production and Efficiency, GA	209,000				
Inland Marine Aquaculture, VA	400,000				
Institute for Food Science and Engineering, AR	775,000				

Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued

Congressionally Designated Projects—Continued

Extension Activities—Continued

Amount	Amount	Amount			
Virtual Plant Database Enhancement Project, MO	588,000	High Value Horticultural Crops, VA ...	502,000	New Technologies for Ag Extension—	1,750,000
Virus-free Wine Grape Cultivars, WA	260,000	International Center for Food Technology Development to Expand Markets, IN	750,000	Pest Management—	9,938,000
Viticulture Consortium, CA, NY, PA	1,454,000	Kansas Biobased Polymer Initiative	750,000	Sustainable Agriculture—	4,705,000
Water Conservation, KS	500,000	Mariculture, NC	220,000	Children, Youth, and Families at Risk—	8,412,000
Water Use Efficiency and Water Quality Enhancements, GA ...	346,000	Medicinal and Bioactive Crop Research, TX	300,000	Youth Farm Safety Education and Certification—	486,000
Wetland Plants, LA	200,000	Midwest Agribusiness Trade and Information Center, IA	187,000	Total Section 3(d) Programs—	101,269,000
Wheat Genetic Research, KS	1,000,000	Mississippi Valley State University, Curriculum Development	1,002,000	1890 Colleges and Tuskegee—	42,677,000
Wildlife/Livestock Disease Research Partnership, WY	300,000	Monitoring Agricultural Sewage Sludge Application, OH	500,000	1890 Facilities Grants (Sec. 1447)—	19,770,000
Wood Utilization, AK, ID, LA, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, OR, TN, WV	4,841,000	NE Center for Invasive Plants, CT, VT, ME ..	295,000	Renewable Resources Extension Act (RREA)—	4,068,000
Wool Research, MT, TX, WY	206,000	Nutrition Research, NY	188,000	Rural Health and Safety Education—	1,738,000
World Food and Health Initiative, IL	461,000	Nutrition and Diet Research, CA	925,000	Extension Services at the 1994 Institutions—	4,321,000
Subtotal	\$86,185,000	Pasteurization of Shell Eggs, MI	935,000	Food Animal Residue Avoidance Database—	1,000,000
Other Federal Administration:		PM-10 Study, WA	268,000	Grants to Youth Serving Institutions—	1,784,000
Ag-based Industrial Lubricants, IA	405,000	Polymer Research, KS	2,000,000	Women and Minorities in SIEM Fields—	400,000
Agriculture Development in the American Pacific	400,000	Rural Agriculture Small Business Development Program ..	500,000	Subtotal—	474,527,000
Agriculture Waste Utilization, WV	500,000	Rural Systems MS	215,000	Federal Administration and Special Grants:	
Animal Health Research and Diagnostics, KY	300,000	Shrimp Aquaculture AZ, HI, LA, MA, MS, SC, TX	2,908,000	Ag in the Classroom—	553,000
Animal Waste Management, OK	274,000	Sustainable Agricultural Freshwater Conservation, TX	1,434,000	General Administration including Pay Costs— ..	8,012,000
Applied Agriculture and Environmental Research, CA	693,000	University of Wisconsin—Stevens Point Institute for Sustainable Technologies	1,400,000	Other Federal Administration and Special Grants—	11,831,000
Aquaculture, OH	623,000	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, MI	150,000	Total, Federal Administration—	20,396,000
Aquaculture Research and Education Center, PA	300,000	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, OH	500,000	Total, Extension Activities—	\$494,923,000
Best Practices in Agriculture Waste Management, CA	300,000	Vitis Gene Discovery, MO	422,000		
Biotechnology Research, MS	480,000	Water Pollutants, WV	500,000	The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:	
Cellulosic Biomass, SC	469,000	Subtotal	\$33,869,000	National Institute of Food and Agriculture Extension Activities	
Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, IA	412,000	Total	\$120,054,000	Congressionally Designated Projects	
Center for Food Industry Excellence, TX ...	946,000			Amount	
Center for Innovative Food Technology, OH	793,000	NATIVE AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS ENDOWMENT FUND		Other Federal Administration and Special Grants—	
Center for North American Studies, TX	693,000	The conference agreement provides \$11,880,000 for the Native American Institutions Endowment Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.		Childhood Farm Safety (IA)—	\$75,000
Center for Renewable Transportation Fuel, MI	500,000	EXTENSION ACTIVITIES		Conservation Technology Transfer (WI)—	376,000
Centers for Dairy and Beef Excellence, PA	340,000	The conference agreement provides \$494,923,000 for Extension Activities, instead of \$485,466,000 as proposed by the House and \$491,292,000 as proposed by the Senate.		Dairy Education (IA)— ...	175,000
Clemson University Veterinary Institute, SC	1,000,000	The following table reflects the conference agreement:		Diabetes Detection and Prevention (WA,PA)—	1,033,000
Climate Forecasting, FL	2,494,000	National Institute of Food and Agriculture Extension Activities		E-commerce (MS)—	231,000
Cotton Research, TX ...	1,730,000	Amount		Efficient Irrigation (NM, TX)—	1,610,000
Council for Agriculture Science and Technology, IA	110,000	Smith-Lever Sections 3(b) and 3(c)—	\$297,500,000	Extension Specialist (MS)—	98,000
Dietary Intervention, OH	866,000	Smith-Lever Section 3(d):		Food Production Education (VT)—	120,000
Ethnobotanicals, MD ...	550,000	Farm Safety—	4,863,000	Health Education Leadership (KY)—	590,000
Farmland Preservation, OH	160,000	Food and Nutrition Education (EFNEP)—	68,070,000	Income Enhancement Demonstration (OH)—	864,000
Florida Biomass to Biofuels Conversion Program, FL	300,000	Indian Reservation Agents/Federally-recognized Tribes Extension—	3,045,000	Institute for Sustainable Agriculture (WI)—	400,000
Greenhouse Nurseries, OH	1,380,000			Invasive Phragmites Control and Outreach (MI)—	155,000
				Iowa Vitality Center— ...	250,000
				Maine Cattle Health Assurance Program—	700,000
				National Center for Farm Safety (IA)—	170,000
				Nutrition Enhancement (WI)—	950,000
				Ohio-Israel Agriculture Initiative—	700,000

Congessionally Designated Projects—Continued

Pilot Technology Transfer (OK, MS).....	209,000
Pilot Technology Transfer (WI).....	174,000
Potato Integrated Pest Management (ME).....	450,000
Potato Pest Management (WI).....	277,000
Range Improvement (NM).....	223,000
University of Wisconsin-Extension Northern Aquaculture Demonstration Facility.....	450,000
Urban Horticulture (WI).....	376,000
Urban Horticulture and Marketing (IL).....	175,000
Veterinary Technology Satellite Program (KS).....	1,000,000
Total.....	\$11,831,000

INTEGRATED ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$60,022,000 for Integrated Activities as proposed by the House, instead of \$56,864,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

National Institute of Food and Agriculture Integrated Activities

	<i>Amount</i>
Section 406 Legislative Authority:	
Water Quality.....	\$12,649,000
Food Safety.....	14,596,000
Regional Pest Management Centers.....	4,096,000
Crops at Risk from FQPA Implementation.....	1,365,000
FQPA Risk Mitigation Program for Major Food Crop Systems.....	4,388,000
Methyl Bromide Transition Program.....	3,054,000
Organic Transition Program.....	5,000,000
Total, Section 406.....	45,148,000
International Science and Education Grants Program.....	3,000,000
Critical Issues Program.....	732,000
Regional Rural Development Centers Program.....	1,312,000
Homeland Security, Food and Agriculture Defense Initiative.....	9,830,000
Total, Integrated Activities.....	\$60,022,000

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR MARKETING AND REGULATORY PROGRAMS

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for

Marketing and Regulatory Programs as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$753,000 as proposed by the House.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$904,953,000 for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS). Salaries and Expenses, instead of \$881,019,000 as proposed by the House and \$909,394,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE	
(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)	
Program	Amount
Pest and Disease Exclusion:	
Agricultural quarantine inspection	\$29,000
Cattle ticks	13,157
Foreign animal diseases/FMD	4,004
Fruit fly exclusion and detection	62,920
Import-export inspection	13,298
Overseas technical and trade operations	16,172
Screwworm	27,714
Tropical bont tick	429
Total, Pest and Disease Exclusion	166,694
Plant and Animal Health Monitoring:	
Animal health monitoring & surveillance	121,667
Animal and plant health regulatory enforcement ..	13,983
Avian influenza	60,243
Emergency management systems	15,794
National veterinary stockpile	3,757
Pest detection	28,113
Select Agents	5,176
Total, Plant & Animal Health Monitoring	248,733
Pest and Disease Management:	
Aquaculture	6,560
Biological control	10,467
Brucellosis	9,707
Chronic wasting disease	16,875
Contingency fund	2,058
Cotton Pests	23,390
Emerging plant pests	158,769
Golden nematode	831
Grasshopper and Mormon cricket	5,578
Gypsy moth	5,420
Imported fire ant	1,902
John's disease	6,876
Noxious weeds	1,990
Plum pox	2,206
Pseudorabies	2,510
Scrapie	17,906
Tuberculosis	16,764
Wildlife services operations	77,780
Witchweed	1,517
Total, Pest and Disease Management	369,106
Animal Care:	
Animal welfare	21,979
Horse protection	500
Total, Animal Care	22,479
Scientific and Technical Services:	
Biotechnology regulatory services	13,050
Environmental Compliance	2,715
Plant methods development labs	9,949
Veterinary biologics	17,325
Veterinary diagnostics	26,073
Wildlife services methods development	18,630
Total, Scientific and Technical Services	87,742
Information technology infrastructure	4,474
Physical security	5,725
Total, Management initiatives	10,199
Total, Salaries and Expenses	904,953

The conference agreement includes \$5,300,000 for the National Animal Identification System (NAIS). After receiving \$142,000,000 in funding since fiscal year 2004, APHIS has registered about 37 percent of all premises, far below what should have been achieved given this substantial investment. The conferees are concerned that this lack of progress will prohibit APHIS from implementing an effective national system that would provide needed animal health and livestock market benefits. The conferees are aware that USDA recently concluded a national listening tour to develop a more comprehensive understanding of how to design and deliver a successful animal identification system. Now that the listening tour has concluded, the conferees expect APHIS to provide the Committees with a complete and detailed strategic plan for the program, including tangible outcomes, measurable goals, specific milestones, and necessary resources for the entire program. Given the \$5,300,000 investment in this conference agreement and the \$142,000,000 that Congress has already provided for NAIS, the conferees expect APHIS to make demonstrable progress in effectively implementing the animal identification system. If significant progress is not made, the conferees will consider eliminating funding for the program.

The conference agreement provides \$5,188,000 for viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS). The conferees strongly encourage APHIS to prioritize VHS resources to regions where the agency has confirmed cases.

The conference agreement includes \$350,000 for the Trichinae certification program.

The conference agreement includes \$26,073,000 for veterinary diagnostics, which includes an increase of \$1,657,000.

The conference agreement includes \$158,769,000 for Emerging Plant Pests. Included in this amount is \$44,656,000 for citrus health; \$33,021,000 for Asian long-horned beetle; \$22,983,000 for glassy-winged sharpshooter; \$5,347,000 for sudden oak death; \$2,151,000 for Karnal bunt; \$37,205,000 for emerald ash borer, including an increase of \$2,500,000 for operations and improved eradication methods; \$8,327,000 for potato cyst nematode; \$1,008,000 for light brown apple moth; \$1,500,000 for sirex woodwasp; \$2,102,000 for miscellaneous pests; and \$469,000 for varroa mite suppression.

The conference agreement includes \$16,764,000 for bovine tuberculosis, which includes \$2,000,000 for indemnity and depopulation. Bovine tuberculosis funds not necessary to meet indemnity and depopulation needs may be used for management and control of bovine tuberculosis.

The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE—CONGRESSIONALLY DESIGNATED PROJECTS

Project	Program	Amount
Agriculture Compliance Laboratory Equipment, Delaware	Veterinary diagnostics	69,000
Animal management and control, Mississippi	Wildlife services operations	496,000
Beaver Management in North Carolina	Wildlife services operations	208,000
Berryman Institute, Jack Berryman Institute Utah and Mississippi Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station	Wildlife services methods development	1,500,000
Bio-safety and antibiotic resistance, University of Vermont	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	240,000
Bio-Safety Institute for Genetically Modified Agriculture Products	Biotechnology regulatory services	259,000
Blackbird management, North and South Dakota	Wildlife services operations	265,000
Blackbird Management, Louisiana	Wildlife services operations	94,000
Bovine tuberculosis eradication, Michigan	Tuberculosis	248,000
California county pest detection augmentation program, California Department of Food and Agriculture	Pest detection	619,000
California county pest detection import inspection program, California Department of Food and Agriculture	Pest detection	738,000
Chronic Wasting Disease Surveillance, Wisconsin	Chronic wasting disease	1,024,000
Cogongrass control, Mississippi Department of Agriculture	Noxious weeds	208,000
Cooperative Livestock Protection Program Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture	Wildlife services operations	223,000
Cormorant control, Vermont and Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department	Wildlife services operations	103,000
Cormorant Control, Michigan	Wildlife services operations	139,000
Cormorant control, Mississippi	Wildlife services operations	223,000
Crop and Aquaculture Losses in Southeast Missouri	Wildlife services operations	207,000
Database of North Carolina's Agricultural Industry for Rapid Response	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	208,000
Disease prevention, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries	Veterinary diagnostics	69,000

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE—CONGRESSIONALLY DESIGNATED PROJECTS—Continued

Project	Program	Amount
Greater Yellowstone Interagency Brucellosis Committee, MT, ID, WY	Brucellosis	650,000
Hawaii interline activities	Agricultural quarantine inspection	3,000,000
Hawaii wildlife services activities	Wildlife services operations	2,230,000
Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, University of Tennessee	Biological control	500,000
Integrated predation management activities, West Virginia	Wildlife services operations	280,000
Invasive aquatic species, Lake Champlain Fish and Wildlife Management Cooperative, Vermont	Aquaculture	94,000
John's Disease activities, Wisconsin	John's disease	939,000
Mormon crickets, Nevada	Grasshopper	1,000,000
National Agriculture Biosecurity Center, Kansas	Veterinary diagnostics	500,000
National Biodiversity Conservation Strategy, Kiski Basin, Pennsylvania	Noxious weeds	200,000
National farm animal identification and records, Holstein Association, New York and Vermont	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	343,000
National Wildlife Research Station, Texas A&M	Wildlife services methods development	290,000
New Jersey Gypsy Moth Pest Management	Gypsy moth	500,000
New Mexico Rapid Syndrome Validation Program, New Mexico State University	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	404,000
Nez Perce Bio-Control Center, Idaho	Noxious weeds	176,000
Noxious weed management, Nevada Department of Agriculture	Noxious weeds	235,000
Remote Diagnostic and Wildlife Disease Surveillance, ND	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	700,000
Technology to Combat Asian Long-Horned Beetles in New York Forests	Emerging plant pests	500,000
Tri-State Predator Control Program, Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming	Wildlife services operations	926,000
Varroa mite suppression, Hawaii	Emerging plant pests	469,000
Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia Monitoring and Management, Wisconsin	Aquaculture	588,000
Wildlife Services South Dakota, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks	Wildlife services operations	519,000
Wisconsin Livestock Identification Consortium	Animal health monitoring and surveillance	1,500,000
Wolf Predation Management in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Michigan	Wildlife services operations	727,000
TOTAL		24,410,000

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The conference agreement provides \$4,712,000 for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Buildings and Facilities as proposed by the House and the Senate.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

MARKETING SERVICES

The conference agreement provides \$91,148,000 for Agricultural Marketing Service, Marketing Services, instead of \$90,848,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$6,967,000 for the National Organic Program, including an increase of \$300,000 to enhance accreditation and oversight capabilities.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes a limitation of \$64,583,000 for Limitation on Administrative Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FUNDS FOR STRENGTHENING MARKETS, INCOME, AND SUPPLY (SECTION 32)

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$20,056,000 for Funds for Strengthening Markets, Income, and Supply as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for Web-Based Supply Chain Management (WBSCM). The conferees understand that with previous funds, the WBSCM system has been established and is currently operational. The conferees note that \$10,000,000 in additional funding is now available for other Section 32 activities.

PAYMENTS TO STATES AND POSSESSIONS

The conference agreement provides \$1,334,000 for Payments to States and Possessions as proposed by the House and the Senate.

GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$41,964,000 for the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House, instead of \$41,564,000 as proposed by the Senate.

LIMITATION ON INSPECTION AND WEIGHING SERVICES EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes a limitation of \$42,463,000 for Limitation on Inspection and Weighing Services Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD SAFETY

The conference agreement provides \$813,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$622,000 as proposed by the House.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

The conference agreement provides \$1,018,520,000 for the Food Safety and Inspection Service as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

FUNDING BY ACTIVITY

Food Safety & Inspection:	
Federal	\$903,067,000
State	\$65,654,000
International	\$19,445,000
CODEX	\$3,884,000
Public Health Data Communication Infrastructure	\$26,470,000
Total	\$1,018,520,000

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FARM AND FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICES

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$662,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees are aware of the impacts to agriculture caused by exceptional drought conditions currently faced by certain states. The conferees expect the Secretary to use all available authorities to provide relief to agricultural producers who have incurred drought related losses.

The conferees direct the Foreign Agricultural Service to provide the Committees with a report on the status of the agency's reorganization. The report should include a discussion of any anticipated modification to existing office structures.

The conferees recognize that the domestic cut flower industry has worked to remain competitive by using the latest in horticultural science and experimenting with and growing new varieties of flowers. Despite this, the domestic cut flower industry has experienced a significant decline in its share of the U.S. cut flower market. The conferees urge the Secretary to use available resources to support domestic flower growers in their efforts to develop an efficient and environmentally friendly transportation, storage, and distribution system to better compete with foreign producers.

FARM SERVICE AGENCY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$1,253,777,000 for the Farm Service Agency (FSA), Salaries and Expenses, instead of \$1,248,777,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,603,777,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Given the complexity and scale of FSA's information technology (IT) improvement

initiative, the conferees seek to ensure that FSA successfully and cost-effectively delivers the modernized systems relevant to the Department's submission under Section 300 of OMB Circular A-11. Moreover, the conferees recognize that achieving FSA's IT modernization goals depends on coordination and integration with other IT initiatives across the Department that are beyond FSA's control.

Accordingly, the conferees direct the Department to submit to the Committees a description of how the Department will coordinate and oversee the interdependent planning and implementation of FSA's IT modernization initiative with all other related Department IT modernization initiatives.

Furthermore, the conferees direct the Department to submit to the Committees an expenditure plan for all past-and current-year funds allocated for FSA IT systems modernization and stabilization activities since fiscal year 2008 that describes:

1. The FSA IT projects funded;
2. The expected performance capabilities and mission benefits of each of these projects;
3. The estimated and completed project cost, schedule, and system operation milestones with target dates;
4. The estimated and actual costs associated with attaining these milestones;
5. A comparison of the project cost, schedule, and milestones to those provided in fiscal year 2008; and
6. The processes, tools, contracts, and human capital in place or planned to accomplish effective management and oversight of the projects.

After the initial expenditure plan, the Department is to provide reports by April 1, 2010, and by August 1, 2010, that provide updates on the cost, schedule, and system operation milestones. To the extent milestones are missed, the report is to provide a summary of the reasons why and plans for corrective actions.

The conferees recommend that funds be allocated to implement the National Agriculture Imagery Program, with images collected nationally on an annual basis, in order to provide the maximum benefit for USDA programs and other users of these images. The conferees encourage the Secretary to utilize all appropriate imagery sources to meet programmatic requirements.

STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$4,369,000 for State Mediation Grants as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$4,000,000 as proposed by the House.

GRASSROOTS SOURCE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for the Grassroots Source Water Protection Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

DAIRY INDEMNITY PROGRAM (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for the Dairy Indemnity Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides a total subsidy of \$140,608,000 for activities under the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account, instead of \$104,122,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$5,083,940,000, instead of \$4,151,397,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,149,457,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$321,093,000 for Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$325,574,000 as proposed by the House.

The following table reflects the amounts provided in the conference agreement:

Farm Ownership Loans:	
Direct	(\$650,000,000)
Subsidy	26,520,000
Guaranteed	(1,500,000,000)
Subsidy	5,550,000
Farm Operating Loans:	
Direct	(\$1,000,000,000)
Subsidy	47,400,000
Unsubsidized Guaranteed	(1,500,000,000)
Subsidy	35,100,000
Subsidized Guaranteed ...	(170,000,000)
Subsidy	23,902,000
Indian Tribe Land Acquisition	
	(3,940,000)
Conservation:	
Direct	(75,000,000)
Subsidy	1,065,000
Guaranteed	(75,000,000)
Subsidy	278,000
Indian Highly Fractionated	
Land	(10,000,000)
Subsidy	793,000
Boll Weevil Eradication	
	(100,000,000)
ACIF Expenses:	
Salaries and Expenses	\$313,173,000
Administrative Expenses	7,920,000

RISK MANAGEMENT AGENCY

The conference agreement provides \$80,325,000 for the Risk Management Agency as proposed by the House, instead of \$79,425,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CORPORATIONS

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION FUND
The conference agreement provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for the Federal Crop Insurance Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION FUND REIMBURSEMENT FOR NET REALIZED LOSSES (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides an appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for Reimbursement for Net Realized Losses of the Commodity Credit Corporation as proposed by the House and the Senate.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT (LIMITATION ON EXPENSES)

The conference agreement provides a limitation of \$5,000,000 for Hazardous Waste Management as proposed by the House and the Senate.

TITLE II

CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$774,000 as proposed by the House.

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$887,629,000 for Conservation Operations, instead of \$874,397,000 as proposed by the House and \$949,577,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$9,930,000 for the Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative; \$10,965,000 for the Snow Survey and Water Supply Forecasting Program; \$11,088,000 for Plant Materials Centers; \$93,939,000 for the Soil Surveys Program; and \$755,975,000 for Conservation Technical Assistance.

The following is a list of Congressionally Designated Projects:

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE—CONSERVATION OPERATIONS CONGRESSIONALLY DESIGNATED PROJECTS—

Project	Amount
Accelerated Soil Mapping Survey, WY	\$200,000
Agricultural Development and Resource Conservation, Hawaii RC&D Councils, HI	1,400,000
Agricultural Wildlife Conservation Center, MS	939,000
Appropriate Wetland and Wet-Mexic Species, IA	134,000
Assistance to Improve Water Quality for Tarrant County, TX	336,000
Audubon conservation curriculum	333,000
Best Management Practices and Master Farmer Special Research Grant with LSU, LA	267,000
Cane Run Creek Watershed Remediation, KY	400,000
Carson City Waterfall Fire Restoration, Carson City, NV	375,000
CEMSA with Iowa Soybean Association, IA	288,000
Center for Invasive Species Eradication, Texas AgriLife Research, College Station, TX	1,000,000
Chenier Plain Sustainability Initiative, McNeese State University, LA	500,000
Chesapeake Bay Activities	3,998,000
Conservation Fuels Management and Restoration Wildlife Support Group, NV	269,000
Conservation Internships, Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association, WI	120,000
Conservation Planning, MA and WI	423,000
Conservation Technical Assistance in New Jersey, NJ	236,000
Conservation Technical Assistance, NRCS TN	1,000,000
Conservation Technology Transfer, University of Wisconsin, WI	516,000
Cooperative Agreement with Tufts University, CT	333,000
Deer Creek Watershed Conservation and Restoration, MD—Delta Conservation Demonstration, Washington County, MS	376,000
Delta Water Study, NRCS MS	235,000
Farm Viability Program, VT	300,000
Fountain Creek Watershed Project, CO	500,000
Genesee River Watershed, NY	500,000
Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission Cooperative Agreement, GA	2,423,000
Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative, WI	835,000
Great Lakes Basin Program for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control	404,000
Great Plain Riparian Initiative, National Wild Turkey Federation, NE	500,000
Green Institute, FL	267,000
Green River Water Quality and Biological Diversity Project, Western Kentucky Research Foundation, KY	100,000
Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education Watershed Project, Texas State University TX	300,000
Hawaii Plant Materials Center, HI	106,000
Hungry Canyons Alliance, IA	282,000
Illinois Conservation Initiative, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, IL	576,000
Kentucky Soil Erosion Control, KY	724,000
Long Island Sound Watershed, NY	133,000
Massaro Community Farm, CT	300,000
Maumee Watershed Hydrological and Flood Mitigation, OH—Mississippi Conservation Initiative, NRCS MS—	2,000,000
Mojave Water Agency Non-Native Plant Removal, CA	667,000
Municipal Water District of Orange County for Efficient Irrigation, CA	150,000
Nitrate Pollution Reduction, NRCS RI	155,000
Operation Oak Program	267,000
Pace University Land Use Law Center, White Plains, NY—	133,000
Pastureland Management/Rotational Grazing, NY	400,000
Phosphorus Loading in Lake Champlain, Poultney Conservation District, VT	179,000
Phosphorus Reduction Cooperative Agreement, Kansas Livestock Foundation, KS	1,000,000
Potomac River Tributary Strategy, NRCS WV	168,000
Quabbin to Cardigan Conservation Initiative, NH	282,000
Range Revegetation for Fort Hood, TX	333,000
Riparian Restoration along the Rio Grande, Pecos, and Canadian Rivers, New Mexico Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts, NM	200,000

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE—CONSERVATION OPERATIONS CONGRESSIONALLY DESIGNATED PROJECTS—Continued

Project	Amount
Risk Management Initiative, NRCS WV	673,000
Sand County Foundation, WI	892,000
Soil Phosphorus Studies, NRCS WV	202,000
Soil Surveys, NRCS RI	134,000
Technical Assistance Grants to Kentucky Soil Conservation Districts, Kentucky Division of Conservation, KY	545,000
Technical Assistance to Livestock/Poultry Producers, NC—	300,000
Town of Cary Swift Creek Stream Bank Restoration, NC—	199,000
UMASS-Amherst Ecological Conservation Initiative, MA—	140,000
Upper White River Basin Water Quality, MO	287,000
Utah Conservation Initiative, NRCS UT	2,500,000
Water Quality Protection Program for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary, CA	400,000
Watershed Agricultural Council, NY	480,000
Watershed Demonstration Project, IA	134,000
Watershed Planning Staff, NRCS Pacific Island Area—	500,000
Watershed Protection Plan for Hood County, TX—	67,000
Yankee Tank Dam, NRCS KS	1,000,000
Total	37,382,000

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$30,000,000 for Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations, instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$24,394,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NRCS is expected to make progress to continue and/or to provide financial/technical assistance for the next phase for the following Congressionally Designated Projects:

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE—WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS CONGRESSIONALLY DESIGNATED PROJECTS

Project	Amount
Alameda Creek Watershed Project, CA	1,337,000
Ashley Valley Flood Control, Uintah County, UT	300,000
Big Slough Watershed Project, AR	57,000
Departee Creek Watershed Project, AR	110,000
Dry Creek Watershed, City of Rocklin, CA	500,000
Dunloup Creek Watershed Project, NRCS WV	1,500,000
DuPage County Watershed, IL	1,000,000
Farmington River Restoration Project, Riverton, CT	500,000
Hurricane Katrina Related Watershed Restoration Project, MS	229,000
Lahaina Watershed, NRCS HI	1,000,000
Lake Oscawana Management and Restoration Plan, NY	400,000
Little Sioux Watershed Project, IA	1,146,000
Lost River, NRCS WV	4,000,000
Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Project, HI	1,800,000
Missouri Watershed Projects, NRCS MO	2,000,000
Pidcock-Mill Creeks Watershed Project, PA	573,000
Pocasset River Watershed, NRCS RI	2,000,000
Richland Creek Reservoir, Paulding County, GA	100,000
Soap Creek Watershed Project, IA	984,000
South Fork of the Licking River Watershed Project, OH	125,000
Upcountry Maui Watershed Project, HI	2,000,000
Upper Clark Fork Watershed, Watershed Restoration Coalition, MT	200,000
Wailuku-Alenaio Watershed Project, HI	250,000
Total	22,111,000

WATERSHED REHABILITATION PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$40,161,000 for the Watershed Rehabilitation Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides \$50,730,000 for Resource Conservation and Development as proposed by the House, instead of the Senate proposal which would have given the Secretary the option of providing up to \$50,730,000 for the program within the Conservation Operations account.

TITLE III

RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement provides \$895,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$660,000 as proposed by the House.

The Department is directed to work with other appropriate federal agencies to submit a report by September 30, 2010, to the Committees regarding tourism opportunities in

rural America. This report should identify existing federal programs that provide assistance to rural small businesses for purposes of market development and business opportunities in the area of tourism and to make recommendations for the improvement of such programs.

Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, the Department shall transmit a plan to the Committees to streamline the grant process for water and waste water disposal grants for rural Alaskan communities and Alaska Native villages. The plan shall include an explanation of how it will reduce the paperwork burden on rural Alaskan communities and Alaska Native villages and the time to process applications and take into account logistical issues that affect the construction season, including the timing and length of the construction season and the barge schedule. In developing the plan, the Department shall consult with the appropriate officials from the Environmental Protection Agency, the Indian Health Service, the State of Alaska, the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, and affected Indian tribes.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$201,987,000 for Rural Development Salaries and Expenses, instead of \$193,987,000 as proposed by the House and \$207,237,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the amount provided, the conference agreement provides that not less than \$3,500,000 will be for projects and additional staffing associated with maintaining the compliance, safety, and soundness of the portfolio of loans guaranteed through the Rural Development mission area programs.

RURAL HOUSING SERVICE

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM
ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides a total subsidy of \$238,908,000 for activities under the Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account, instead of \$158,589,000 as proposed by the House and \$242,720,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$13,375,965,000, instead of \$7,590,897,000 as proposed by the House and \$13,480,978,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for a transfer of \$468,593,000 to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The following table reflects the amounts in the conference agreement:

Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account:	
Loan authorizations:	
Single family direct (sec. 502)	(1,121,488,000)
Single family unsubsidized guaranteed	(12,000,000,000)
Rental housing (sec. 515)	(69,512,000)
Multi-family housing guaranteed (sec. 538)	(129,090,000)
Housing repair (sec. 504)	(34,412,000)
Credit sales of acquired property	(11,448,000)
Site loans (sec. 524)	(5,045,000)
Self-help housing land development	(4,970,000)
Total, Loan authorizations	<u>(13,375,965,000)</u>

Loan subsidies:	
Single family direct (sec. 502)	\$40,710,000
Single family unsubsidized guaranteed	172,800,000

Rental housing (sec. 515)	18,935,000
Multi-family housing guaranteed (sec. 538)	1,485,000
Housing repair (sec. 504)	4,422,000
Credit sales of acquired property	556,000
Total, Loan subsidies	<u>\$238,908,000</u>
RHIF administration expenses (transfer to RD) ...	<u>\$468,593,000</u>

RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
The conference agreement provides \$980,000,000 for the Rental Assistance Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides \$43,191,000 for the Multi-family Housing Revitalization Program Account, instead of \$31,756,000 as proposed by the House and \$39,651,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are concerned about the accelerating growth in the costs of the housing voucher pilot program. The Secretary is directed to provide a report to the Committees articulating options to continue voucher assistance in the future. This report would clarify if the Department intends for vouchers to be limited in duration or ongoing. The report should also provide cost estimates of the options, including FTE costs if the administration recommends not to end the pilot.

MUTUAL AND SELF-HELP HOUSING GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$41,864,000 for Mutual and Self-Help Housing Grants, instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$38,727,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANTS
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$45,500,000 for Rural Housing Assistance Grants as proposed by the House, instead of \$41,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FARM LABOR PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides \$19,746,000 for the Farm Labor Program Account, instead of \$22,523,000 as proposed by the House and \$16,968,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$27,319,000; \$9,873,000 for loan subsidies; and \$9,873,000 for grants.

RURAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$54,993,000 for the Rural Community Facilities Program Account as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$51,091,000 as proposed by the House.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

Community Facilities:	
Direct loans	(\$294,962,000)
Direct subsidy	3,864,000
Guaranteed loans	(206,417,000)
Guaranteed subsidy	6,626,000
Grants	20,373,000
Rural community development initiative	6,256,000
Economic impact initiative grants	13,902,000
Tribal college grants	3,972,000
Total, loan subsidies and grants	<u>\$54,993,000</u>

RURAL BUSINESS-COOPERATIVE SERVICE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$97,116,000 for the Rural Business Program

Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

Business and Industry:	
Guaranteed loans	(\$993,002,000)
Guaranteed subsidy	52,927,000
Rural business enterprise grants	38,727,000
Rural business opportunity grants	2,483,000
Delta regional authority ...	2,979,000
Total, loan subsidy and grants	<u>\$97,116,000</u>

RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides an estimated loan program level of \$33,536,000, with a subsidy of \$8,464,000, for the Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for a transfer of \$4,941,000 to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides an estimated loan program level of \$33,077,000 for the Rural Economic Development Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$34,854,000 for Rural Cooperative Development Grants, instead of \$30,636,000 as proposed by the House and \$38,854,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$7,924,000 for cooperative development grants; \$2,800,000 for a cooperative agreement for the Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas program; \$3,463,000 for cooperatives or associations of cooperatives whose primary focus is to provide assistance to small, socially disadvantaged producers; \$300,000 for a cooperative research agreement with a qualified academic institution; and \$20,367,000 for the value-added agricultural product market development grant program.

RURAL MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENT PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for the Rural Microenterprise Investment Program Account, instead of no funding as proposed by the House and \$22,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$11,710,000; \$2,500,000 for loan subsidies; and \$2,500,000 for grants.

The 2008 Farm Bill provided \$4,000,000 each in fiscal years 2009 and 2010 for this program. The proposed rule for this new program has not been published and the program does not expect to begin awarding funding until late spring/early summer of 2010. The conferees provide an additional \$5,000,000; therefore, the program will have a total of \$13,000,000 in funding available when the program begins. This will provide a program level of \$36,945,000.

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$39,340,000 for the Rural Energy for America

Program, instead of \$22,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$68,130,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$144,209,000; \$19,670,000 for loan subsidies; and \$19,670,000 for grants.

The 2008 Farm Bill provides \$60,000,000 in mandatory funding for this program in fiscal year 2010, which provides an additional program level of \$243,800,000. Therefore, the program will have a total program level of \$407,679,000 in fiscal year 2010.

BIOREFINERY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides no funding for the Biorefinery Assistance Program Account as proposed by the House, instead of \$17,339,000 as proposed by the Senate. The 2008 Farm Bill provides \$245,000,000 in mandatory funding for this program in fiscal year 2010, which provides a program level of \$690,725,000. The proposed rule for this new program has not been published and is not expected to be published until late 2010.

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

RURAL WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$568,730,000 for the Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$556,268,000 as proposed by the House.

Water and Waste:	
Direct loans	(\$1,022,163,000)
Direct subsidy	77,071,000
Guaranteed loans	(\$75,000,000)
Grants	469,228,000
Solid waste management grants	3,441,000
Water well system grants	993,000
Water and waste water revolving funds	497,000
High energy cost grants	17,500,000
 Total, loan subsidies and grants	 \$568,730,000

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$7,790,000,000 for activities under the Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$7,290,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides for a transfer of \$39,959,000 to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees understand the Department is publishing revised regulations that will ensure the diversification of applicants for the guaranteed underwriting loan program.

The following table reflects the amounts in the conference agreement:

Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account (RETLP):	
Loan authorizations:	
Electric:	
Direct, 5 percent	(\$100,000,000)
Direct, FFB	(6,500,000,000)
Guaranteed underwriting	(500,000,000)
Subtotal	(7,100,000,000)
Telecommunications:	
Direct, 5 percent	(145,000,000)
Direct, Treasury rate	(250,000,000)

Direct, FFB	(295,000,000)
Subtotal	(690,000,000)
 Total, loan authorizations	 (\$7,790,000,000)

RETLP administrative expenses (transfer to RD) ...	\$39,959,000
--	--------------

DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND BROADBAND PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides for an estimated loan program level of \$400,000,000 for broadband telecommunications as proposed by the House, instead of \$531,699,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$28,960,000 for broadband telecommunications loan subsidies as proposed by the House, instead of \$38,495,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$37,755,000 for distance learning and telemedicine grants as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$34,755,000 as proposed by the House, of which \$4,500,000 is for public broadcasting system grants.

The conference agreement includes \$17,976,000 for broadband telecommunications grants as proposed by the House, instead of \$13,406,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE IV

DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD, NUTRITION AND CONSUMER SERVICES

The conference agreement provides \$813,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$623,000 as proposed by the House.

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$16,855,829,000 for Child Nutrition Programs, instead of \$16,799,584,000 as proposed by the House and \$16,801,584,000 as proposed by the Senate. Included in the total is an appropriated amount of \$9,865,930,000 and a transfer from section 32 of \$6,989,899,000.

The conference agreement provides \$2,338,000 to allow the agency to increase efforts to work directly with state and local administrators to provide technical assistance to promote accuracy in payments, and to develop appropriate improvement strategies. Funding will support increased technical assistance to states in areas such as data analysis, policy interpretation and training development.

The conference agreement provides the following for Child Nutrition programs:

Child Nutrition Programs:	
School lunch program	\$9,967,068,000
School breakfast program	2,920,391,000
Child and adult care food program	2,640,923,000
Summer food service program	387,264,000
Special milk program	12,673,000
State administrative expenses	193,258,000
Commodity procurement	685,876,000
Team nutrition	15,016,000
Coordinated review	5,751,000
Food safety education	2,510,000
Computer support and processing	9,525,000
CACFP training and technical assistance	3,537,000
Studies and other activities	5,338,000
Hunger free community	5,000,000
Healthier U.S. school challenge	699,000

School community garden pilot	1,000,000
Total	\$16,855,829,000

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

The conference agreement provides \$7,252,000,000 for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC), instead of \$7,541,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,552,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes an increase of \$392,000,000 to support participation and food costs, including \$162,000,000 that was requested in the budget for program improvements. Both food costs and participation estimates have decreased significantly since the budget request was submitted in May. The conference agreement makes significant program improvements, including \$80,000,000 for breastfeeding support initiatives, \$60,000,000 for State management information systems, and \$14,000,000 for infrastructure improvements. The conference agreement also includes language that exempts military combat pay from WIC eligibility determination.

The conference agreement provides funding to increase the cash value of the fruit and vegetable vouchers for all women up to the Institute of Medicine recommendation. The conferees direct the Department to accomplish implementation by issuing an Interim Final Rule within sixty days of enactment, with a comment period not to exceed February 1, 2010. To facilitate implementation, the conferees direct the Department to immediately notify State WIC agencies of the impending increase in the cash value of the fruit and vegetable vouchers, streamline the implementation process for State WIC agencies, and provide necessary technical assistance to expedite full implementation of the increased cash value of fruit and vegetable vouchers within six months of enactment.

SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$58,278,181,000 for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, instead of \$61,351,846,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program:	
Benefits	\$49,623,933,000
State Administrative Cost	3,043,000,000
Employment & Training	380,902,000
Other Program Costs	94,036,000
Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR)	112,797,000
Associated Activities	10,000,000
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	12,148,000
Contingency Reserve	3,000,000,000
Nutrition Assistance for Puerto Rico	1,746,351,000
Nutrition Assistance for American Samoa	7,014,000
Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP)	248,000,000
Total	\$58,278,181,000

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$247,979,000 for the Commodity Assistance Program, instead of \$255,570,000 as proposed by the House and \$233,388,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$171,409,000 for the Commodity Supplemental

Food Program with the expectation that caseload in existing states will expand. Of this amount, \$5,000,000 is included to begin funding of new states with approved USDA plans.

The conference agreement provides \$49,500,000 for administrative funding for the Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP). In addition, the conference agreement grants the Secretary authority to transfer up to an additional 10 percent from TEFAP commodities for this purpose.

The conference agreement provides \$20,000,000 for the Farmers' Market Nutrition Program, \$6,000,000 for Emergency Food Program Infrastructure Grants, and \$1,070,000 for Pacific Island Assistance.

NUTRITION PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$147,801,000 for Nutrition Programs Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

TITLE V

FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$180,367,000 for the Foreign Agricultural Service, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$177,136,000 as proposed by the House.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE I DIRECT CREDIT AND FOOD FOR PROGRESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$2,812,000 for administrative expenses for the Food For Peace Title I Direct Credit and Food for Progress Program Account, to be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses", as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FOOD FOR PEACE TITLE II GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$1,690,000,000 for Food For Peace Title II Grants as proposed by the House and the Senate.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION EXPORT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$6,820,000 for the Commodity Credit Corporation Export Loans Program Account as proposed by the House and Senate.

McGOVERN-DOLE INTERNATIONAL FOOD FOR EDUCATION AND CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$209,500,000 for the McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program Grants, instead of \$199,500,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 to conduct pilot projects to develop, pilot, and field test new and improved micronutrient fortified products designed to meet the energy and nutrient needs of populations served by the McGovern-Dole Food for Education program. These funds may be provided to non-governmental organizations and international agencies to provide technical assistance to carry out improvements in the products distributed through the McGovern-Dole program. The conferees direct the Secretary to provide the Committees with an initial report detailing how the Department will implement this pilot project and semi-annual reports thereafter on the results of this pilot, including information on the products developed and/or improved and the projects selected.

TITLE VI
RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides total appropriations for the Food and Drug Administration, Salaries and Expenses, including Prescription Drug User Fee Act, Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act, Animal Drug User Fee Act, Animal Generic Drug User Fee, and Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act collections, of \$3,237,218,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Food and Drug Administration, instead of \$3,230,218,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement provides a direct appropriation of \$2,344,656,000, instead of \$2,337,656,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(In thousands of dollars)

Program	Budget authority
Foods	782,915
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition	236,418
Field Activities	546,497
Human Drugs	464,814
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research	336,588
Field Activities	128,226
Biologics	206,438
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	166,182
Field Activities	40,256
Animal Drugs & Feeds	135,475
Center for Veterinary Medicine	82,452
Field Activities	53,023
Device & Radiological Products	315,377
Center for Devices and Radiological Health	234,974
Field Activities	80,403
National Center for Toxicological Research	58,745
Other Activities	143,712
White Oak Consolidation	38,536
Other Rent & Rent-Related	52,622
GSA Rent	146,022
Total Salaries & Expenses	2,344,656

The conferees direct FDA to provide all reports and studies requested in this statement to the Committees in both an electronic and hard copy format within 90 days after the enactment of this Act, unless another date is specified for a particular report.

The conference agreement includes no less than \$92,966,000 for the generic drugs program, of which \$51,545,000 is for the Office of Generic Drugs, which is an increase of \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level.

The conference agreement includes an increase of \$2,000,000 for the cosmetics program, bringing total funding for cosmetics activities at FDA to \$10,200,000.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for Demonstration Grants for Improving Pediatric Device Availability, as authorized by the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007.

The conference agreement includes \$18,000,000 for the critical path initiative, including not less than \$6,000,000 for critical path partnerships. Of the \$6,000,000 provided for critical path partnerships, \$2,000,000 shall be used to support research partnerships for the treatment or rapid diagnosis of tropical diseases. The conferees are particularly concerned with improving treatments for tuberculosis (TB) and drug-resistant TB.

The conference agreement includes funding for the following items, as included in the budget: \$174,000 for the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Commission; \$2,077,000 for the National Center for Food Safety and Technology; \$1,608,000 for the National Center for Natural Products Research; and \$1,650,000 for

the New Mexico State University Agricultural Products Food Safety Laboratory. The conferees further expect FDA to continue all projects, activities and programs as included in the fiscal year 2010 budget request, unless otherwise specified.

The conferees direct that in future budget requests, all performance measures and outputs, such as number of staff hired and number of inspections performed, be measured according to budget authority requests. The conferees further direct FDA to provide any performance measures and outputs related to proposed and/or current law user fees separately and independent of one another, as well as independent from budget authority requests.

The conferees request the FDA report on adverse events and seizures associated with brand and generic anti-epileptic drugs. Specifically, the agency should examine the pharmacokinetic profiles of "A" rated anti-epileptic drugs from different manufacturers of the same therapeutic agent. The Committee directs the FDA to submit a report not later than September 30, 2010, detailing whether the agency believes that any changes to the current bioequivalence testing should be recommended.

The conferees direct FDA, working with appropriate Federal agencies, to conduct a study and prepare a report on the challenges associated with imported seafood. The report shall include, by product and country of origin, the number of physical inspections of seafood products offered for import in the previous fiscal year, and the percentage of inspected seafood products that do not meet applicable food safety laws and the reason the products do not meet such standards. The report shall also include an analysis of the imported seafood products that are most susceptible to violations of applicable food safety standards, the aquaculture and mariculture practices that are of greatest concern to FDA, and propose methods for improving policies and procedures to ensure the safety of imported seafood.

The conferees direct that the FDA provide to the Committees on Appropriations in the House and Senate; the Committees on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry; and Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions in the Senate; and the Committees on Agriculture, and Energy and Commerce in the House of Representatives any recommendations on the need to establish labeling standards for personal care products for which organic content claims are made, including whether FDA should have pre-market approval authority for personal care product labeling.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The conference agreement provides \$12,433,000 for Food and Drug Administration, Buildings and Facilities as proposed by the House and the Senate.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

The conference agreement provides \$168,800,000 for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), instead of \$160,600,000 as proposed by the House and \$177,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect the CFTC to focus increased funds solely on additional FTEs and the agency's central mission to regulate futures and options markets in the United States.

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes a limitation of \$54,500,000 on administrative expenses of the Farm Credit Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

TITLE VII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS AND TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Section 701.—The conference agreement includes language making funds available for the purchase, replacement, and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 702.—The conference agreement includes language regarding transfers of funds.

Section 703.—The conference agreement includes language allowing for unobligated balances to be transferred to the Working Capital Fund.

Section 704.—The conference agreement includes language limiting the funding provided in the bill to one year, unless otherwise specified.

Section 705.—The conference agreement includes language limiting indirect costs on cooperative agreements between the Department of Agriculture and nonprofit organizations to 10 percent.

Section 706.—The conference agreement includes language making appropriations to the Department of Agriculture for the cost of direct and guaranteed loans available until expended to disburse obligations for certain Rural Development programs.

Section 707.—The conference agreement includes language regarding advisory committees.

Section 708.—The conference agreement includes language prohibiting the use of funds to establish an inspection panel at the Department of Agriculture.

Section 709.—The conference agreement includes language regarding detailed employees.

Section 710.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the appropriations hearing process.

Section 711.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the transfer of funds to the Office of the Chief Information Officer and information technology funding obligations.

Section 712.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the reprogramming of funds.

Section 713.—The conference agreement includes language regarding user fee proposals.

Section 714.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the closure or relocation of Rural Development offices.

Section 715.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the closure or relocation of a Food and Drug Administration office.

Section 716.—The conference agreement includes language regarding outmigration.

Section 717.—The conference agreement includes language allowing for reimbursement of the Bill Emerson Humanitarian Trust.

Section 718.—The conference agreement provides funding for the National Center for Natural Products Research.

Section 719.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the availability of funds for certain conservation programs.

Section 720.—The conference agreement includes language regarding government-sponsored news stories.

Section 721.—The conference agreement includes language regarding mandatory programs.

Section 722.—The conference agreement includes language regarding eligibility for certain rural development programs.

Section 723.—The conference agreement provides funding for an agriculture pest facility in Hawaii.

Section 724.—The conference agreement includes language regarding humanitarian food assistance.

Section 725.—The conference agreement includes language regarding meat inspection.

Section 726.—The conference agreement includes language providing that certain locations shall be considered eligible for certain rural development programs.

Section 727.—The conference agreement provides funding for the Bill Emerson and Mickey Leland Hunger Fellowships.

Section 728.—The conference agreement provides funding for certain projects.

Section 729.—The conference agreement includes language authorizing certain watershed projects.

Section 730.—The conference agreement includes language amending the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act.

Section 731.—The conference agreement includes language modifying matching requirements for certain research grants.

Section 732.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the availability of funding for the Farm Service Agency and Rural Development.

Section 733.—The conference agreement includes language regarding infant formula.

Section 734.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the exemption of military combat pay from determining eligibility for certain nutrition programs.

Section 735.—The conference agreement includes language regarding a program authorized in Public Law 110-246.

Section 736.—The conference agreement includes language regarding first-class travel.

Section 737.—The conference agreement includes language regarding international food assistance.

Section 738.—The conference agreement provides funding to address out-migration in rural areas.

Section 739.—The conference agreement includes language establishing a forestry pilot program for lands affected by Hurricane Katrina.

Section 740.—The conference agreement includes language regarding rare and neglected diseases.

Section 741.—The conference agreement includes language regarding two programs authorized in Public Law 110-246.

Section 742.—The conference agreement includes language rescinding certain funds.

Section 743.—The conference agreement includes language relating to the export of poultry products to the United States.

Section 744.—The conference agreement includes language regarding the Federal Meat Inspection and other acts.

Section 745.—The conference agreement includes language regarding producer access to risk management products.

Section 746.—The conference agreement includes language relating to assistance during a pandemic emergency.

Section 747.—The conference agreement includes language relating to for-profit entities.

Section 748.—The conference agreement includes \$350,000,000 to provide assistance to dairy producers. Of this total, \$60,000,000 will be provided to purchase surplus cheese and other dairy products to be distributed through food banks and similar locations to help fight hunger. The balance of these funds is provided for use by the Secretary to supplement income to producers and ease financial risk due to historic low prices for milk. The conferees expect the Secretary to utilize these funds in a way that provides actual benefit to dairy producers in a timely manner.

Section 749.—The conference agreement includes language relating to child nutrition programs.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of congressional earmarks and congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the conference report or the accompanying joint statement of managers, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the conference report nor the joint statement of managers contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules. Pursuant to clause 9(b) of rule XXI the rules of the House of Representatives, neither the conference report nor the joint statement of managers contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits that were not (1) committed to the conference committee by either House or (2) in a report of a committee of either House on this bill or on a companion measure.

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
 [Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	Senate
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Global Change/UVB Radiation	\$1,408,000	The President	House
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Minor Use Animal Drugs	\$429,000	The President	Hinchee; Latham
Rural Development	Rural coop grants	Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas	\$2,800,000	The President	Baucus; Feinstein; Harkin; Johnson; Lincoln; Pryor; Specter; Tester—

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Agricultural Research Center, Logan, UT	\$4,527,000	Bennett	House
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Agricultural Research Facility, Beltsville, MD	\$3,000,000	Cardin; Mikulski	Hoyer
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Animal Bioscience Facility, Bozeman, MT	\$3,654,000	Baucus; Tester	Rehberg
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Appalachian Fruit Laboratory, Kearneysville, WV	\$2,000,000	Byrd	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	ARS Biotechnology Lab, Lorman, MS	\$1,500,000	Cochran	Thompson (MS)
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	ARS Forage-Animal Production Research Facility, Lexington, KY	\$2,000,000	McConnell	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	ARS Research and Development Center, Auburn, AL	\$3,500,000	Shelby	Rogers (AL)
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	ARS Waste Management Research Facility, Bowling Green, KY	\$2,000,000	McConnell	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Center for Advanced Viticulture and Tree Crop Research, Davis, CA	\$3,000,000		Thompson (CA)
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Center for Grape Genetics, Geneva, NY	\$3,654,000	Gillibrand	Arcuri; Hinchee
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Center of Excellence for Vaccine Research, Storrs, CT	\$3,654,000	Dodd; Lieberman	DeLauro
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Dairy Forage Agricultural Research Center, Prairie du Sac, WI	\$4,000,000	Kohl	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Jamie Whitten Delta States Research Center, Stoneville, MS	\$4,000,000	Cochran	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	National Plant and Genetics Security Center, Columbia, MO	\$3,500,000	Bond	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Pacific Basin Agricultural Research Center, Hilo, HI	\$5,000,000	Akaka; Inouye	Hirono
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	Systems Biology Research Facility, Lincoln, NE	\$3,760,000	Ben Nelson	Fortenberry

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. Agricultural Research Station, Salinas, CA	\$3,654,000	Farr	
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. ARS Laboratory, Canal Point, FL	\$3,422,000	Martinez; Bill Nelson	Boyd; Grayson; Hastings (FL); Melancon; Wasserman Schultz
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. ARS Laboratory, Pullman, WA	\$3,740,000	Cantwell; Murray	Hastings (WA); Inslee; Larsen (WA); McDermott; McMorris Rodgers; Smith (WA)
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	U.S. ARS Sugarcane Research Laboratory, Houma, LA	\$3,654,000	Landrieu; Vitter	Alexander; Melancon
Agricultural Research Service	Buildings and facilities	University of Toledo Greenhouse and Hydroponic Research Complex, Toledo, OH	\$3,654,000	Brown	Kaptur
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Animal Vaccines, Greenport, NY	\$1,518,000		DeLauro
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Arthropod-Borne Animal Diseases Research Laboratory, Manhattan, KS	\$1,500,000	Brownback	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Aquaculture Fisheries Center, ARS, Pine Bluff, AR	\$519,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Berry; Ross
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Aquaculture Initiatives, Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Staggart, AR	\$1,597,000	Martinez	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biomass Crop Production, Brookings, SD	\$1,250,000	Johnson; Thune	Herseth Sandlin
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biomedical Materials in Plants (Biotech Foundation), Beltsville, MD	\$1,700,000	Cardin; Mikulski	Hoyer
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Bioremediation Research, Beltsville, MD	\$111,000	Cardin	Blumenauer
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Biotechnology Research and Development Center, Washington, DC	\$3,500,000	Durbin	Schock
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Catfish Genome, Auburn, AL	\$819,000		Rogers (AL)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Center for Agroforestry, Booneville, AR	\$660,000	Bond	Emerson
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Cereal Disease, St. Paul, MN	\$290,000	Chambliss; Johnson; Kloubach; Murray	McMorris Rodgers
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Computer Vision Engineer, Kearneysville, WV	\$400,000	Byrd	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Crop Production and Food Processing, Peoria, IL	\$786,000		Schock
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Dairy Forage Research Center, Marshfield, WI	\$2,500,000	Kohl	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Dale Bumpers Small Farms Research Center, Booneville, AR	\$1,805,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Berry; Ross
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Diet Nutrition and Obesity Research, New Orleans, LA	\$623,000	Landrieu; Vitter	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Endophyte Research, Booneville, AR	\$994,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Blumenauer; Boozman; Ross; Schrader

Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Forage Crop Stress Tolerance and Virus Disease Management, Prosser, WA	\$200,000	Murray	Hastings
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Formosan Subterranean Termites Research, New Orleans, LA	\$3,490,000	Landrieu	Alexander
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Foundry Sand By-Products Utilization, Beltsville, MD	\$638,000	Cardin	Hoyer
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Human Nutrition Research, Boston, MA	\$350,000	Kennedy; Kerry	Capuano; Markey (MA)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Human Nutrition Research, Houston, TX	\$300,000	Hutchison	Bishop (GA)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Human Nutrition Research, Kannapolis, NC	\$1,000,000	Burr; Hagan	Kissell
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Improved Crop Production Practices, Auburn, AL	\$1,293,000	Sessions	Aderholt; Bachus; Rogers (AL)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Livestock-Crop Rotation Management, Kutztown, PA	\$349,000	Casey	Gerlach
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Lyme Disease, 4 Poster Project, Washington, DC	\$700,000		DeLauro
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Medicinal and Bioactive Crops, Washington, DC	\$111,000	Hutchison	Hoyer
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Mosquito Trapping Research/West Nile Virus, Gainesville, FL	\$1,454,000		DeLauro
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	National Bio and Agro Defense Facility, Manhattan, KS	\$1,500,000	Brownback	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	National Center for Agricultural Law, Beltsville, MD	\$654,000	Harkin; Lincoln; Pryor	Boozman
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	National Corn to Ethanol Research Pilot Plant, Washington, DC	\$360,000		Shimkus
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	New England Plant, Soil, and Water Research Laboratory, Orono, ME	\$2,249,000	Collins	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, Mandan, ND	\$543,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomero
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Northwest Center for Small Fruits, Corvallis, OR	\$275,000	Merkley; Murray; Wyden	Blumenauer; Hastings (WA); Larsen (WA); McDermott; Schrader; Simpson; Walden; Wu
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Pacific Basin Agricultural Research Center Staffing, Hilo, HI	\$700,000	Akaka; Inouye	Hirono
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Phytoestrogen Research, New Orleans, LA	\$1,750,000	Landrieu	Cao; Kaptur
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Potato Diseases, Beltsville, MD	\$61,000	Cardin	Hoyer; Larsen (WA)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Poultry Diseases, Beltsville, MD	\$408,000	Cardin; Mikulski	Hoyer
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Seismic and Acoustic Technologies in Soils Sedimentation Laboratory, Oxford, MS	\$332,000	Cochran	
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Sorghum Research, Little Rock, AR	\$135,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Snyder
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Soybean Genomics, St. Paul, MN	\$200,000	Klobuchar	Walz

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Subtropical Beef Germplasm, Brooksville, FL	\$1,033,000		Brown-Waite, Ginny
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Termites Species in Hawaii, New Orleans, LA	\$200,000		Abercrombie, Hirono
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Tropical Aquaculture Feeds (Oceanic Institute), Hilo, HI	\$1,438,000		Abercrombie, Hirono
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Water Management Research Laboratory, Brawley, CA	\$340,000		Filner
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Water Use Reduction, Dawson, GA	\$1,200,000		Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Kingston; Marshall; Scott (GA)
Agricultural Research Service	Salaries and expenses	Wild Rice, St. Paul, MN	\$303,000		Peterson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Childhood Farm Safety, IA	\$75,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Conservation Technology Transfer, WI	\$376,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Dairy Education, IA	\$175,000		Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Diabetes Detection and Prevention, WA, PA	\$1,033,000		Fattah; Smith (WA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	E-commerce, MS	\$231,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX	\$1,610,000		Edwards (TX); Reyes; Rodriguez; Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Extension specialist, MS	\$98,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Food Production Education, VT	\$120,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Health Education Leadership, KY	\$590,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Income Enhancement Demonstration, OH	\$864,000		Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, WI	\$400,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Invasive Phragmites Control and Outreach, MI	\$155,000		Dingell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Iowa Vitality Center	\$250,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Maine Cattle Health Assurance Program	\$700,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	National Center for Farm Safety, IA	\$170,000		Bralley
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Nutrition Enhancement, WI	\$950,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Ohio-Israel Agriculture Initiative	\$700,000		
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Pilot Technology Transfer, MS, OK	\$209,000		Boren; Cole; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Pilot Technology Transfer, WI	\$174,000		Obey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Potato Integrated Pest Management, ME	\$450,000		Michaud
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Potato Pest Management, WI	\$277,000		Obey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Range Improvement, NM	\$223,000		Teague

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	University of Wisconsin-Extension Northern Aquaculture Demonstration Facility	\$450,000		Obeys
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Urban Horticulture and Marketing, IL	\$175,000	Durbin	Davis (IL); Jackson (IL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Urban Horticulture, WI	\$376,000	Kohl	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Extension	Veterinary Technology Satellite Program, KS	\$1,000,000	Brownback	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Ag-Based Industrial Lubricants, IA	\$405,000	Grassley; Harkin	Bralley (IA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agriculture Development in the American Pacific	\$400,000	Akaka; Inouye	Bordallo; Hirono
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Agriculture Waste Utilization, WV	\$500,000	Byrd	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Animal Health Research and Diagnostics, KY	\$300,000	McConnell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Animal Waste Management, OK	\$274,000	Inhofe	Boren; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Applied Agriculture and Environmental Research, CA	\$693,000	Boxer; Feinstein	Capps; Cardoza; Costa; Farr; Napolitano; Schiff; Thompson (CA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Aquaculture Research and Education Center, PA	\$300,000	Specter	Brady (PA); Sestak
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Aquaculture, OH	\$623,000	Brown	Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Best Practices in Agriculture Waste Management, CA	\$300,000		Eshoo
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Biotechnology Research, MS	\$480,000	Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Cellulosic Biomass, SC	\$469,000		Clyburn
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, IA	\$412,000	Grassley; Harkin	Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Food Industry Excellence, TX	\$946,000	Cornyn	Conaway; Neugebauer
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Innovative Food Technology, OH	\$793,000		Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for North American Studies, TX	\$693,000	Bingaman	Edwards (TX)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Center for Renewable Transportation Fuel, MI	\$500,000	Levin; Stabenow	Kilpatrick (MI)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Centers for Dairy and Beef Excellence, PA	\$340,000	Specter	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Clemson University Veterinary Institute, SC	\$1,000,000	Graham	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Climate Forecasting, FL	\$2,494,000	Martinez; Bill Nelson	Boyd; Diaz-Balart; Lincoln; Diaz-Balart; Mario
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Cotton Research, TX	\$1,730,000	Cornyn; Hutchison	Conaway; Neugebauer
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Council for Agriculture Science and Technology, IA	\$110,000	Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Dietary Intervention, OH	\$866,000	Voinovich	Kaptur; Turner
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Ethnobotanicals, MD	\$550,000	Cardin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Farmland Preservation, OH	\$160,000	Brown	LaTourette

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Florida Biomass to Biofuels Conversion Program, FL	\$300,000	Martinez; Bill Nelson	Brown, Corrine; Klein (FL); Kosmas; Wexler
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Greenhouse Nurseries, OH	\$1,380,000	Brown	Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	High Value Horticultural Crops, VA	\$502,000	Warner; Webb	Perriello
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	International Center for Food Technology Development to Expand Markets, IN	\$750,000	Lugar	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Kansas Biobased Polymer Initiative	\$750,000	Brownback	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Mariculture, NC	\$220,000	Burr; Hagan	McIntyre
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Medicinal and Bioactive Crop Research, TX	\$300,000	Hutchison	Gohmert
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Midwest Agribusiness Trade and Information Center, IA	\$187,000	Grassley; Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Mississippi Valley State University, Curriculum Development	\$1,002,000	Cochran	Thompson (MS)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Monitoring Agricultural Sewage Sludge Application, OH	\$500,000		Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	NE Center for Invasive Plants, CT, ME, VT	\$295,000	Collins; Snowe	DeLauro; Michaud
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Nutrition and Diet Research, CA	\$925,000		Baca; Lewis (CA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Nutrition Research, NY	\$188,000		Serrano
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Pasteurization of Shell Eggs, MI	\$935,000	Levin; Stabenow	Dingell
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	PM-10 Study, WA	\$268,000	Murray	Dicks; McMorris Rodgers
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Polymer Research, KS	\$2,000,000	Brownback	Jenkins
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Rural Agriculture Small Business Development Program	\$500,000		Murphy; Tim
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Rural Systems, MS	\$215,000	Cochran; Wicker	Thompson (MS)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Shrimp Aquaculture, AZ, HI, LA, MA, MS, SC, TX	\$2,908,000	Cochran; Wicker	Abercrombie; Grijalva; Hirono; Ortiz; Pastor (AZ)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Sustainable Agricultural Freshwater Conservation, TX	\$1,434,000		Reyes; Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point Institute for Sustainable Technologies	\$1,400,000		Obey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, MI	\$150,000	Levin; Stabenow	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia, OH	\$500,000	Brown; Voinovich	Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Vitis Gene Discovery, MO	\$422,000		Emerson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	RE/FA	Water Pollutants, WV	\$500,000	Byrd	

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Advanced Genetic Technologies, KY	\$650,000	McConnell	Edwards (TX)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Advancing Biofuel Production, TX	\$300,000	Hutchison	Dicks; Hastings (WA); Insliee
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aeglops Cylindrica, WA	\$245,000	Cantwell; Murray	Hirono
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Diversification, HI	\$153,000	Akaka; Inouye	Holden; Shuster; Thompson (PA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Entrepreneurial Alternatives, PA	\$248,000	Specter	Jackson (IL); Johnson (IL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agricultural Marketing, IL	\$176,000		Kingston
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agriculture Energy Innovation Center, GA	\$1,000,000		Boccieri; Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agriculture Science, OH	\$450,000	Voinovich	Bartlett; Cummings; Kratochvil; Ruppertsberger; Sarbanes
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Agroecology/Chesapeake Bay Agro-ecology, MD	\$439,000		Edwards (TX)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Air Quality, KS, TX	\$1,090,000	Cornyn; Hutchison; Roberts	Berry; Boozman; Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Animal Science Food Safety Consortium, AR, IA, KS	\$1,000,000	Grassley; Harkin; Lincoln; Pryor; Roberts	Ehlers; Hinchey; Hoekstra; Rogers (MI); Upton
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Apple Fire Blight, MI, NY	\$346,000	Levin; Stabenow	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture Product and Marketing Development, WV	\$550,000	Byrd	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, CA, FL, TX	\$416,000	Martinez	Brown-Waite; Ginny; Davis (CA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, ID, WA	\$529,000	Crapo; Murray; Risch	Baird; Dicks; Simpson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, LA	\$150,000	Landrieu; Vitter	Alexander
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, MS	\$361,000	Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Aquaculture, NC	\$227,000		Butterfield; Price (NC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Armillaria Root Rot, MI	\$104,000	Levin; Stabenow	Rogers (MI)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Asparagus Production Technologies, WA	\$173,000		Hastings (WA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Avian bioscience, DE	\$150,000	Carmer; Kaufman	Castle
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Babcock Institute, WI	\$416,000		Baldwin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Barley for Rural Development, ID, MT	\$547,000	Baucus; Crapo; Risch; Tester	Rehberg; Simpson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Beef Improvement Research, MO, TX	\$693,000	Hutchison	Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bioactive Foods Research for Health and Food Safety, MA	\$525,000	Kennedy; Kerry	Olver
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biodesign and Processing Research Center, VA	\$868,000	Warner; Webb	Boucher
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bioenergy Production and Carbon Sequestration, TN	\$1,000,000	Alexander	Davis (TN); Duncan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biomass-based Energy Research, MS, OK	\$839,000	Cochran; Inhofe; Wicker	Boren; Harper; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Biotechnology, NC	\$199,000		Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Bovine Tuberculosis, MI, MN	\$346,000	Klobuchar; Levin; Stabenow	Rogers (MI); McCollum; Peterson; Stupak

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Brucellosis Vaccine, MT	\$305,000	Baucus; Tester	Rehberg
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cataloging Genes Associated with Drought and Disease Resistance, NM	\$176,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for One Medicine, IL	\$500,000	Burris; Durbin	Jackson (IL); Johnson (IL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Center for Rural Studies, VT	\$350,000	Leahy	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Childhood Obesity and Nutrition, VT	\$250,000	Leahy	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Citrus Canker/ Greening, FL	\$1,217,000	Martinez	Crenshaw; Diaz-Balart; Mario; Posey; Putnam
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Competitiveness of Agricultural Products, WA	\$469,000	Murray	Baird; Dicks; Hastings (WA); Larsen (WA); McDermott
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Computational Agriculture, NY	\$131,000		Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cool Season Legume Research, ID, ND, WA	\$350,000	Cantwell; Conrad; Crapo; Dorgan; Murray; Risch	Dicks; Hastings (WA); McMorris Rodgers; Pomeroy; Simpson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cotton Insect Management and Fiber Quality, GA	\$346,000	Chambliss; Isakson	Bishop (GA); Johnson (GA); Marshall; Scott (GA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cranberry/Blueberry Disease and Breeding, NJ	\$550,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Adler (NJ); Holt; LoBiondo; Pallone; Rothman (NJ)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Cranberry/Blueberry, MA	\$160,000	Kennedy; Kerry	Frank (MA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Crop Integration and Production, SD	\$400,000	Johnson; Thune	Hereth Sandlin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Crop Pathogens, NC	\$225,000	Burr; Hagan	Butterfield; Etheridge; Miller (NC); Price (NC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Dairy and Meat Goat Research, TX	\$200,000	Hutchison	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Dairy Farm Profitability, PA	\$372,000	Casey; Specter	Dahlkemper; Holden; Murtha; Shuster; Thompson (PA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Delta Revitalization Project, MS	\$176,000	Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Designing Foods for Health, TX	\$1,385,000	Hutchison	Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Detection and Food Safety, AL	\$1,748,000		Bright; Rogers (AL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Drought Mitigation, NE	\$600,000	Ben Nelson	Fontenberry
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Efficient Irrigation, NM, TX	\$1,160,000	Bingaman; Cornyn; Hutchison; Tom Udall	Edwards (TX); Heinrich; Ortiz; Reyes; Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Emerald Ash Borer, OH	\$550,000	Voinovich	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Environmental Research, NY	\$258,000		Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Environmental Risk Factors/Cancer, NY	\$150,000		Lowey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Environmentally Safe Products, VT	\$250,000	Leahy	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Expanded Wheat Pasture, OK	\$223,000	Inhofe	Boren; Cole; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Floriculture, HI	\$300,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute, IA, MO, NV, WI	\$1,339,000	Bond; Grassley; Harkin; Reid	Emerson; Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food and Fuel Initiative, IA	\$298,000	Grassley; Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Marketing Policy Center, CT	\$401,000		DeLauro
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Safety Research Consortium, NY	\$693,000		Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Safety, ME, OK	\$382,000	Inhofe	Boren; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Safety, TX	\$69,000		Edwards (TX)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Food Security, WA	\$276,000	Cantwell; Murray	McDermott
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Forages for Advancing Livestock Production, KY	\$473,000	McConnell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Forestry Research, AR	\$319,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Ross
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Fresh Produce Food Safety, CA	\$750,000	Boxer; Feinstein	Farr
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Genomics for Southern Crop Stress and Disease, MS	\$797,000	Cochran; Wicker	Harper
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Geographic Information System	\$1,248,000	Casey; Chambliss; Lincoln; Pryor	Boozman; Kanjorski
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Grain Sorghum, KS, TX	\$1,000,000	Brownback; Roberts	Edwards (TX); Jenkins; Moore (KS); Moran (KS); Neugebauer; Traht
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Grass Seed Cropping for Sustainable Agriculture, ID, OR, WA	\$313,000	Merkley; Murray; Wyden	Dicks; McMorris Rodgers; Schrader; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	High Performance Computing, UT	\$263,000	Bennett	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Human Nutrition, LA	\$526,000	Landrieu; Vitter	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Human Nutrition, NY	\$377,000		Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Hydroponic Production, OH	\$124,000		Kaptur
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Improved Dairy Management Practices, PA	\$243,000	Casey	Holden; Platts; Shuster; Thompson (PA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Improved Fruit Practices, MI	\$147,000	Levin; Stabenow	Ehlers; Rogers (MI)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Increasing Shelf Life of Agricultural Commodities, ID	\$603,000	Crapo; Risch	Simpson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Infectious Disease Research, CO	\$650,000	Bennet; Mark Udall	Markey (CO)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Initiative to Improve Blueberry Production and Efficiency, GA	\$209,000	Chambliss	Bishop (GA); Kingston; Marshall
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Inland Marine Aquaculture, VA	\$400,000	Warner; Webb	Boucher
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Institute for Food Science and Engineering, AR	\$775,000	Lincoln; Pryor	Boozman
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Integrated Economic and Technical Analysis of Sustainable Biomass Energy Systems, IN	\$188,000	Lugar	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Integrated Production Systems, OK	\$177,000	Inhofe	Boren; Cole; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	International Arid Lands Consortium, AZ	\$401,000	Bingaman; Johnson; Thune; Tom Udall	Grijalva; Herseth Sandlin; Ortiz; Pastor (AZ)

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Invasive Plant Management, MT	\$270,000	Baucus; Tester	Rehberg
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Joint US-China Biotechnology Research and Extension, UT	\$210,000	Bennett	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Leopold Center Hypoxia Project, IA	\$105,000	Harkin	Edwards (TX); Hinchey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Livestock and Dairy Policy, NY, TX	\$693,000	Gillibrand; Schumer	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Maple Research, VT	\$165,000	Leahy	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Meadow Foam, OR	\$180,000	Merkley; Wyden	Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Michigan Biotechnology Consortium	\$384,000		Rogers (MI)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Midwest Center for Bioenergy Grasses, IN	\$188,000	Lugar	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Midwest Poultry Consortium, IA	\$471,000	Grassley; Harkin; Klobuchar	Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Milk Safety, PA	\$821,000	Casey; Specter	Carney; Holden; Platts; Shuster; Thompson (PA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Molluscan Shellfish, OR	\$253,000	Merkley; Wyden	Schradler; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Multi-commodity Research, OR	\$244,000	Merkley; Wyden	Defazio; Schrader; Walden; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Beef Cattle Genetic Evaluation Consortium, CO, GA, NY	\$655,000	Bennet; Chambliss; Schumer	Hinchey; Markey (CO); Scott (GA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	National Center for Soybean Technology, MO	\$690,000	Bond	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Nematode Resistance Genetic Engineering, NM	\$209,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Nevada Arid Rangelands Initiative, NV	\$500,000	Reid	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	New Century Farm, IA	\$350,000	Grassley; Harkin	Boswell; Latham
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	New Crop Opportunities, KY	\$525,000	McConnell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	New Satellite and Computer-Based Technology for Agriculture, MS	\$654,000	Cochran; Wicker	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Oil Resources from Desert Plants, NM	\$176,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Organic Cropping, OR	\$149,000	Merkley; Wyden	Defazio; Schrader; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Organic Cropping, WA	\$264,000	Cantwell; Murray	Dicks; Hastings (WA); Larsen (WA); McDermott; Smith (WA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Organic waste utilization, NM	\$69,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Peach Tree Short Life Research	\$195,000	Graham	Brown (SC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Perennial Wheat, WA	\$98,000	Cantwell; Murray	Dicks; McMorris Rodgers
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Phytophthora Research, GA	\$178,000	Chambliss; Isakson	Bishop (GA); Kingston; Marshall
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Phytophthora Research, MI	\$346,000	Levin; Stabenow	Conyers; Dingell; Ehlers; Hoekstra; Rogers (MI); Upton

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Physosensors for Crop Security and Precision Agriculture, TN	\$1,000,000		Davis (TN); Duncan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Pierce's Disease, CA	\$2,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein	Calvert; Capps; Farr; Thompson (CA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Policy Analyses for National Secure and Sustainable Food, Fiber, Forestry and Energy Program, TX	\$200,000	Hutchison	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Potato Cyst Nematode, ID	\$349,000	Crapo; Risch	Simpson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Precision Agriculture, AL	\$419,000		Aderholt; Griffith
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Precision Agriculture, KY	\$671,000	McConnell	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Preharvest Food Safety, KS	\$500,000	Brownback; Roberts	Jenkins; Moore (KS); Moran (KS); Tiahrt
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Preservation and Processing Research, OK	\$174,000	Inhofe	Boren; Lucas
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Protein Production for Research to Combat Viruses and Microbes, CT	\$500,000		DeLauro; Murphy (CT)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Protein Utilization, IA	\$600,000	Grassley; Harkin	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rangeland Ecosystems Dynamics, ID	\$300,000	Crapo; Risch	
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Regional Barley Gene Mapping Project, OR	\$471,000	Cantwell; Kiobuchar; Merkley; Murray; Wyden	Dicks; Hastings (WA); McCollum; McDermott; McMorris Rodgers; Peterson; Schrader; Walden; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Regionalized Implications of Farm Programs, MO, TX	\$595,000		Edwards (TX); Emerson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Renewable Energy and Products, ND	\$1,000,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomeroy
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rice Agronomy, MO	\$174,000		Emerson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Ruminant Nutrition Consortium, MT, ND, SD, WY	\$563,000	Johnson; Thune	Herseth Sandlin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rural Policies Institute, IA, MO, NE	\$889,000	Harkin	Emerson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Rural Renewable Energy Research and Education Center, WI	\$500,000		Obey
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Russian Wheat Aphid, CO	\$250,000	Bennet; Mark Udall	Markey (CO)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Seed Technology, SD	\$350,000	Johnson; Thune	Herseth Sandlin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Small Fruit Research, ID, OR, WA	\$307,000	Cantwell; Crapo; Merkley; Murray; Risch; Wyden	Barid; Blumenauer; Dicks; Hastings (WA); Inslee; Larsen (WA); Schrader; Simpson; Walden; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soil-Borne Disease Prevention in Irrigated Agriculture, NM	\$187,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Southern Great Plains Dairy Consortium, NM	\$350,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Heinrich; Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Southwest Consortium for Plant Genetics and Water Resources, NM	\$271,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Grijalva; Pastor (AZ); Teague
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soybean Cyst Nematode, MO	\$556,000	Bond	Emerson
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Soybean Research, IL	\$745,000	Burrus; Durbin	Jackson (IL); Johnson (IL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Specialty Crops, AR	\$175,000	Lincoln; Pryor	

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Specialty Crops, IN	\$235,000		Ellsworth
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	STEEP IV—Water Quality in Northwest	\$444,000	Crapo; Merkley; Murray; Risch; Wyden	Dicks; Hastings (WA); McMorris Rodgers; Simpson; Walden; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Agriculture and Natural Resources, PA	\$142,000	Specter	Dent; Holden; Platts; Shuster; Thompson (PA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Agriculture, CA	\$357,000		Farr
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Agriculture, MI	\$266,000	Levin; Stabenow	Ehlers; Rogers (MI)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Beef Supply, MT	\$682,000	Baucus	Rehberg
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Engineered Materials from Renewable Sources, VA	\$485,000	Warner; Webb	Boucher
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Sustainable Production and Processing Research for Lowbush Specialty Crops, ME	\$200,000	Collins; Snowe	Michaud; Pingree (ME)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Swine and Other Animal Waste Management, NC	\$349,000	Hagan	Etheridge; Price (NC)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Technology for Irrigated Vegetable Production, SC	\$500,000		Spratt
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Texas Obesity Research Project	\$500,000		Johnson; Eddie Bernice
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tick Borne Disease Prevention, RI	\$280,000	Reed	Kennedy; Langevin
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tillage, Silviculture, Waste Management, LA	\$200,000	Landrieu	Alexander
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tri-state Joint Peanut Research, AL	\$413,000		Bright
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tropical and Subtropical Research/7-Star	\$6,677,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Bordallo; Hirono; Putnam; Young (FL)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Tropical Aquaculture, FL	\$300,000		Castor (FL); Putnam
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Virtual Plant Database Enhancement Project, MO	\$588,000	Bond	Camahan
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Virus-free Wine Grape Cultivars, WA	\$260,000	Cantwell; Murray	Dicks; Hastings (WA); Inslee; Larsen (WA); McDermott; McMorris Rodgers
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Viticulture Consortium, CA, NY, PA	\$1,454,000	Boxer	Farr; Hinchey; Thompson (CA)
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Water Conservation, KS	\$500,000	Brownback; Roberts	Jenkins; Moore (KS); Moran (KS); Tiahrt
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Water Use Efficiency and Water Quality Enhancements, GA	\$346,000	Chambliss; Isakson	Bishop (GA); Kingston; Marshall
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wetland Plants, LA	\$200,000	Landrieu	Alexander
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wheat Genetic Research, KS	\$1,000,000	Brownback; Roberts	Jenkins; Moore (KS); Moran (KS); Tiahrt
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wildlife/Livestock Disease Research Partnership, WY	\$300,000	Barrasso	

National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wood Utilization, AK, ID, LA, ME, MI, MN, MS, NC, OR, TN, WV	\$4,841,000	Burr; Byrd; Cochran; Collins; Crepo; Klobuchar; Landrieu; Levin; Risch; Snow; Stabenow; Wicker; Wyden	Butterfield; DeFazio; Harper; Michaud; Miller (NC); Oberstar; Price (NC); Rogers (MI); Schrader; Wu
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	Wool Research, MT, TX, WY	\$206,000		Conaway; Rodriguez
National Institute of Food and Agriculture	SRG	World Food and Health Initiative, IL	\$461,000	Burris; Durbin	Jackson (IL); Johnson (IL)
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Agriculture Compliance Laboratory Equipment, Delaware	\$69,000	Carpner; Kaufman	Castle
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Animal management and control, Mississippi	\$496,000	Cochran	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Beaver Management in North Carolina	\$208,000		Price (NC)
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Berryman Institute, Jack Beryman Institute Utah and Mississippi Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station	\$1,500,000	Bennett; Cochran; Wicker	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Bio-safety and antibiotic resistance, University of Vermont	\$240,000	Leahy	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Bio-Safety Institute for Genetically Modified Agriculture Products	\$259,000	Grassley; Harkin	Latham
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Blackbird management, North and South Dakota	\$265,000	Conrad; Dorgan; Johnson	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Blackbird Management, Louisiana	\$94,000	Landrieu	Alexander
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Bovine tuberculosis eradication, Michigan	\$248,000	Levin; Stabenow	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	California County Pest Detection Augmentation Program	\$619,000	Feinstein	Cardoza; Costa; Farr; Finer; Honda; Schiff
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	California County Pest Detection Import Inspection Program	\$738,000	Boxer; Feinstein	Cardoza; Costa; Farr; Finer; Honda; Schiff
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Chronic Wasting Disease Surveillance, Wisconsin	\$1,024,000		Kagen; Ohey
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cogongrass control, Mississippi Department of Agriculture	\$208,000	Cochran	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cooperative Livestock Protection Program Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture	\$223,000	Casey; Specter	Holden
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant control, Vermont and Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department	\$103,000	Leahy	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant Control, Michigan	\$139,000	Levin; Stabenow	Stupak
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Cormorant control, Mississippi	\$223,000	Cochran	Emerson
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Crop and Aquaculture Losses in Southeast Missouri	\$207,000		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Database of North Carolina's Agricultural Industry for Rapid Response	\$208,000	Burr	Etheridge; Kissell; McIntyre; Miller (NC); Price (NC)
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Disease prevention, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries	\$69,000	Landrieu	Melancon

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Greater Yellowstone Interagency Brucellosis Committee, MT, ID, WY	\$650,000	Barrasso; Baucus; Crapo; Enzi; Risch; Tester	Rehberg; Simpson
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hawaii interline activities	\$3,000,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hawaii wildlife services activities	\$2,230,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Bordallo; Hirono
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, University of Tennessee	\$500,000	Alexander	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Integrated predation management activities, West Virginia	\$280,000	Byrd	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Invasive aquatic species, Lake Champlain Fish and Wildlife Management Cooperative, Vermont	\$94,000	Leahy	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	John's Disease activities, Wisconsin	\$935,000		Kagen; Obey
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Mormon crickets, Nevada	\$1,000,000	Reid	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National Agriculture Biosecurity Center, Kansas	\$500,000	Brownback; Roberts	Moore (KS); Moran (KS); Tiahrt
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National Biodiversity Conservation Strategy, Kiskadee Basin, Pennsylvania	\$200,000		Murtha
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National farm animal identification and records, Holstein Association, New York and Vermont	\$343,000	Leahy	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	National Wildlife Research Station, Texas A&M	\$290,000	Hutchison	Ortiz
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	New Jersey Gypsy Moth Pest Management	\$500,000	Lautenberg; Menendez	Lobiondo; Pallone; Pascrell; Rothman (NJ); Sires; Smith (NJ)
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	New Mexico Rapid Syndrome Validation Program, New Mexico State University	\$404,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Heinrich; Teague
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Nez Perce Bio-Control Center, Idaho	\$176,000	Crapo; Risch	Simpson
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Noxious weed management, Nevada Department of Agriculture	\$235,000	Reid	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Remote Diagnostic and Wildlife Disease Surveillance, ND	\$700,000	Conrad; Dorgan	Pomero
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Technology to Combat Asian Long-Horned Beetles in New York Forests	\$500,000	Gillibrand; Schumer	Arcuri; Higgins; Maffei; McHugh; Tonko
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Tri-State Predator Control Program, Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming	\$926,000	Barrasso; Baucus; Crapo; Enzi; Risch; Tester	Simpson
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Varroa mite suppression, Hawaii	\$469,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia Monitoring and Management, Wisconsin	\$588,000		Kagen; Obey

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wildlife Services South Dakota, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks	Johnson	\$519,000		
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wisconsin Livestock Identification Consortium		\$1,500,000	Kagen; Obey	
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Salaries and expenses	Wolf Predation Management in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Michigan		\$727,000	Oberstar	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Accelerated Soil Mapping Survey, WY	Enzi	\$200,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Agricultural Development and Resource Conservation, Hawaii: RC&D Councils, HI	Akaka; Inouye	\$1,400,000	Hirono	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Agricultural Wildlife Conservation Center, MS	Cochran	\$939,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Appropriate Wetland and Wet-Mesic Species, IA	Grassley; Harkin	\$134,000	Braley	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Assistance to Improve Water Quality for Tarrant County, TX		\$336,000	Barton (TX); Granger	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Audubon conservation curriculum		\$333,000	Moran (VA)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Best Management Practices and Master Farmer Special Research Grant with LSU, LA	Landrieu	\$267,000	Alexander	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Cane Run Creek Watershed Remediation, KY		\$400,000	Chandler	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Carson City Waterfall Fire Restoration, Carson City, NV		\$375,000	Heller	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	CEMSA with Iowa Soybean Association, IA	Grassley; Harkin	\$288,000	Boswell; King (IA); Latham; Loebbeck	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Center for Invasive Species Eradication, Texas AgriLife Research, TX	Hutchison	\$1,000,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Chenier Plain Sustainability Initiative, McNeese State University, LA	Landrieu	\$500,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Chesapeake Bay Activities		\$3,998,000	Bartlett; Connolly (VA); Edwards (MD); Kratochvil; Moran (VA); Norton; Ruppel; Sarbanes; Scott (VA); Van Hollen	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Fuels Management and Restoration, Wildlife Support Group, NV	Reid	\$269,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Internships, Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association, WI	Kohl	\$120,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Planning, MA and WI	Kennedy; Kerry	\$423,000	Frank (MA)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technical Assistance in New Jersey, NJ	Lautenberg; Menendez	\$236,000	Holt; Rothman (NJ)	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technical Assistance, NRCS TN	Alexander	\$1,000,000		
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Conservation Technology Transfer, University of Wisconsin, WI	Kohl	\$516,000		

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Cooperative Agreement with Tufts University, CT	\$333,000	Dodd	Courtney; DeLauro
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Deer Creek Watershed Conservation and Restoration, MD	\$400,000	Cardin	Bartlett; Kratochvil; Ruppersberger
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Delta Conservation Demonstration, Washington County, MS	\$376,000	Cochran	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Delta Water Study, NRCS MS	\$235,000	Cochran	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Farm Viability Program, VT	\$300,000	Leahy	Weich
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Fountain Creek Watershed Project, CO	\$500,000		Salazar
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Genesee River Watershed, NY	\$500,000		Lee (NY)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission Cooperative Agreement, GA	\$2,423,000	Chambliss	Kingston; Marshall; Scott (GA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Grosvenor Center for Geographic Education Watershed Project, Texas State University, TX	\$300,000	Hutchison	Doggett
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative, WI	\$835,000	Kohl	Obey
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Great Lakes Basin Program for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control	\$404,000	Levin; Stabenow; Voinovich	Ehlers
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Great Plain Riparian Initiative, National Wild Turkey Federation, NE	\$500,000	Ben Nelson	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Green Institute, FL	\$267,000		Boyd
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Green River Water Quality and Biological Diversity Project, Western Kentucky Research Foundation, KY	\$100,000	McConnell	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Hawaii Plant Materials Center, HI	\$106,000		Abercrombie; Hirono
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Hungry Canyons Alliance, IA	\$282,000	Grassley; Harkin	King (IA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Illinois Conservation Initiative, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, IL	\$576,000	Durbin	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Kentucky Soil Erosion Control, KY	\$724,000	Bunning; McConnell	Rogers (KY)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Long Island Sound Watershed, NY	\$133,000		Lowey
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Massaro Community Farm, CT	\$300,000		DeLauro
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Maumee Watershed Hydrological and Flood Mitigation, OH	\$667,000		Kaptur
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Mississippi Conservation Initiative, NRCS MS	\$2,000,000	Cochran	

Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Mojave Water Agency Non-Native Plant Removal, CA	\$667,000		Lewis (CA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Municipal Water District of Orange County for Efficient Irrigation, CA	\$150,000	Boxer; Feinstein	Calvert; Miller, Gary; Rohrabacher; Sanchez, Loretta
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Nitrate Pollution Reduction, NRCS RI	\$155,000	Reed	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Operation Oak Program	\$267,000	Chambliss; Cochran; Graham	Berry; Bishop (GA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Pace University Land Use Law Center, White Plains, NY	\$133,000		Lowey
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Pastureland Management/Rotational Grazing, NY	\$400,000		Arcuri
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Phosphorus Loading in Lake Champlain, Poultney Conservation District, VT	\$179,000	Leahy	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Phosphorus Reduction Cooperative Agreement, Kansas Livestock Foundation, KS	\$1,000,000	Brownback	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Potomac River Tributary Strategy, NRCS WV	\$168,000	Byrd	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Quabbin to Cardigan Conservation Initiative, NH	\$282,000	Shaheen	Hodes
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Range Revegetation for Fort Hood, TX	\$333,000		Carter; Edwards (TX)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Riparian Restoration along the Rio Grande, Pecos, and Canadian Rivers, New Mexico Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts, NM	\$200,000	Bingaman	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Risk Management Initiative, NRCS WV	\$673,000	Byrd	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Sand County Foundation, WI	\$892,000		Baldwin
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Soil Phosphorus Studies, NRCS WV	\$202,000	Byrd	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Soil Surveys, NRCS RI	\$134,000	Reed	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Technical Assistance Grants to Kentucky Soil Conservation Districts, Kentucky Division of Conservation, KY	\$545,000	Bunning; McConnell	Rogers (KY)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Technical Assistance to Livestock/Poultry Producers, NC	\$300,000		Price (NC)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Town of Cary Swift Creek Stream Bank Restoration, NC	\$195,000	Hagan	Miller (NC)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	UMASS-Amherst Ecological Conservation Initiative, MA	\$140,000	Kennedy; Kerry	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Upper White River Basin Water Quality, MO	\$287,000		Blunt
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Utah Conservation Initiative, NRCS UT	\$2,500,000	Bennett	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Water Quality Protection Program for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary, CA	\$400,000		Farr
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Agricultural Council, NY	\$480,000		Hinchey
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Demonstration Project, IA	\$134,000	Grassley; Harkin	Boswell; King (IA); Latham; Loebbeck

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—Continued
 [Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Senate	House
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Planning Staff, NRCS Pacific Island Area	\$500,000	Akaka; Inouye	Hirono
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Watershed Protection Plan for Hood County, TX	\$67,000		Edwards (TX)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Conservation Operations	Yankee Tank Dam, NRCS KS	\$1,000,000	Brownback; Roberts	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Alameda Creek Watershed Project, CA	\$1,337,000		Stark
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Ashley Valley Flood Control, Uintah County, UT	\$300,000	Hatch	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Big Slough Watershed Project, AR	\$57,000		Berry
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Debarthee Creek Watershed Project, AR	\$110,000		Berry
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Dry Creek Watershed, City of Rocklin, CA	\$500,000	Feinstein	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Dunlop Creek Watershed Project, NRCS WV	\$1,500,000	Byrd	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	DuPage County Watershed, IL	\$1,000,000	Durbin	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Farmington River Restoration Project, Riverton, CT	\$500,000	Doddi; Lieberman	Larson (CT)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Hurricane Katrina Related Watershed Restoration Project, MS	\$229,000	Wicker	Taylor
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lahaina Watershed, NRCS HI	\$1,000,000	Akaka; Inouye	Hirono
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lake Oscawana Management and Restoration Plan, NY	\$400,000		Hall (NY)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Little Sioux Watershed Project, IA	\$1,146,000	Grassley; Harkin	King (IA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lost River, NRCS WV	\$4,000,000	Byrd	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Project, HI	\$1,800,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Missouri Watershed Projects, NRCS MO	\$2,000,000	Bond	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Pitcock-Mill Creeks Watershed Project, PA	\$573,000		Murphy; Patrick
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Pocasset River Watershed, NRCS RI	\$2,000,000	Reed	

Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Richland Creek Reservoir, Paulding County, GA	\$100,000		Gingrey (GA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Soap Creek Watershed Project, IA	\$984,000	Grassley; Harkin	Loeb sack
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	South Fork of the Licking River Watershed Project, OH	\$125,000	Brown	Space
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Upcountry Maui Watershed Project, HI	\$2,000,000	Akaka; Inouye	Hirono
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Upper Clark Fork Watershed, Watershed Restoration Coalition, MT	\$200,000	Tester	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Watershed/Flood Prevention Operations	Waialuku-Alenano Watershed Project, HI	\$250,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
Food and Drug Administration	Salaries and expenses	Dietary supplements research, National Center for Natural Products Research, Oxford, Mississippi	\$1,608,000	Cochran; Wicker	Childers
Food and Drug Administration	Salaries and expenses	ISSC vibrio vulnificus education	\$174,000	Vitter	Melancon
Food and Drug Administration	Salaries and expenses	National Center for Food Safety and Technology, IL	\$2,077,000	Durbin	Jackson (IL); Lipinski
Food and Drug Administration	Salaries and expenses	New Mexico State University, Agricultural Products Food Safety Laboratory	\$1,650,000	Bingaman; Tom Udall	Teague
General Provision		Agricultural pest facility, Hawaii	\$2,600,000	Akaka; Inouye	Abercrombie; Hirono
General Provision		Bill Emerson National Hunger Fellowship Program and the Mickey Leland International Hunger Fellowship Program	\$3,000,000		Emerson; Kaptur; McGovern
General Provision		Dairy Market Development, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection	\$2,000,000	Kohl	Obey
General Provision		Center for Foodborne Illness Research and Prevention	\$200,000		DeLauro
General Provision		International Food Protection Training Institute	\$1,000,000	Levin; Stabenow	Schauer
General Provision		Market Development, Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Foods, and Markets	\$1,000,000	Leahy	
General Provision		Phase II construction, National Center for Natural Products Research, Oxford, Mississippi	\$3,497,000	Cochran; Wicker	
General Provision		Prototype for a National Carbon Inventory and Accounting System	\$1,000,000		Lewis (GA)
General Provision		Specialty Markets, Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection	\$350,000	Kohl	Kagen
General Provision		Workforce development and out-migration, Kansas Farm Bureau Foundation	\$250,000	Brownback	

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2010 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2009 amount, the 2010 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2010 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009	\$120,966,466
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	123,919,720
House bill, fiscal year 2010	123,843,248
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010	124,520,248
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2010	121,230,291
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009	+263,825
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010	-2,689,429
House bill, fiscal year 2010	-2,612,957
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010	-3,289,957

DAVID R. OBEY,
ROSA L. DELAURO,
SAM FARR,
ALLEN BOYD,
SANFORD D. BISHOP,
LINCOLN DAVIS,
MARCY KAPTUR,
MAURICE HINCHEY,
JESSE L. JACKSON, Jr.,
JO ANN EMERSON,
RODNEY ALEXANDER,

Managers on the Part of the House.

HERB KOHL,
TOM HARKIN,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,
RICHARD DURBIN,
TIM JOHNSON,
BENJAMIN NELSON,
JACK REED,
MARK PRYOR,
ARLEN SPECTER,
DANIEL K. INOUE,
SAM BROWNBACK,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
THAD COCHRAN,
KIT BOND,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
SUSAN COLLINS,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

HEALTH CARE REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from New York (Mr. WEINER) is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. WEINER. Mr. Speaker, before I proceed with the subject I want to talk about, I just want to summarize the last hour.

Apparently ACORN is going to kidnap your children and drag them to Planned Parenthood where they're going to be forced to have national health care.

That sounds pretty frightening. But if you were having trouble following that, Mr. Speaker, so were the rest of us.

And for you, Mr. Speaker, and my colleagues and for anyone watching, if

you're looking for a 1-hour screed about the ghosts that lurk in the closets of our government, I can't help you.

But I would like to have a little bit of a conversation about the discussion that we're having around dining room tables and diners and church basements all throughout this country about the health care we provide Americans, how we pay for it, and what we should do to make it better. And to any of my Republican colleagues who are watching in their offices, who are watching off somewhere in the congressional campus, and this is kind of quiet at this hour, I am interested in having a real discussion and a real debate.

There are things that we disagree with. There are philosophical schisms that have emerged in this. But, frankly, a lot of the debate, unfortunately, has been too much like the last hour, which is just something bordering on nonsense.

But let me just start with the notion that we're really trying to solve with health care three problems, two of which are relatively easy to solve and one of which is very difficult to solve.

The first problem we're trying to solve is that there are a lot of people that don't have health care. Well, I shouldn't say that. There are a lot of people that don't have health insurance. They get health care. Everyone in this country, everyone who's got insurance, not insurance, documented, undocumented, old, young get health care. And what I mean by that is if someone right now outside the steps of this Capitol falls down with a stroke, we're going to come and there's going to be an ambulance that's going to rush to get them. They're going to take them to an emergency room. A doctor is going to do everything medically possible to revive them and to make them healthy. The only question is, How do we pay for that service?

If you have health insurance, you pay for it one way. If you have Medicare or Medicaid, you pay for it another way. If you pay for it out of your own pocket, you pay for it a third way. But if you have no health insurance at all, we the taxpayer by and large pay that bill. And it's a lot of money. It's a relatively small number of people who are uninsured, but the expenses that they have are very, very high because when you go into a hospital emergency room for care, that is usually pretty expensive care. And it might not come directly back to us in taxes, although a lot of it does.

In New York City, for example, about \$2 billion each and every year we pay for the uninsured that come into our emergency rooms. Some of it is paid for by everyone else that has health insurance paying higher premiums, but a lot of it is just passed along to the hospitals and doctors and saying, hey, you foot the bill. As a result, in my home county, there are three fewer hospitals than there were just a year ago. It's an unsustainable dynamic that the people who are not insured, frankly, if they

can afford to pay, well, in some cases they do, but in a lot of cases, they pass along the expense to us.

But that problem is pretty easy to solve. What do you do? You give them some money or you give them some tax benefit or you give them some tax credit and you say go out and go shopping for health insurance. Go buy some. We'll require you to do it. You go out and buy some.

It gets a little bit complicated in how much you provide the subsidy, and it does get complicated when you're trying to figure out will they be able to afford that health insurance plan. And that's where the public option discussion comes in, but I will get to that in a moment. But that problem is a relatively easy one to solve. As some of my colleagues have pointed out, it's only 10 percent of the American public. How hard can it be?

The second problem is also relatively easy to solve, but it's important: for all of us who have health insurance, making sure our health insurance company treats us relatively well. When we need care, do we get it? Do we get dropped because we have preexisting conditions? When they're deciding how to set a price for it, do they price it in an unfair way where effectively we're locked out of the market? That too is relatively easy to solve, and I think there is some agreement.

Look, no one should be able to drop someone for preexisting conditions, and now that we in the Democratic Party are in charge of this Chamber, we're going to pass something to fix that. Those things are relatively easy. In fact, since insurance companies are regulated in all 50 States, a lot of States have tried to do those things, some with more effect than others.

But the third problem, and it's the mother of all problems, is the overall cost to the system. The overall cost to those of us who have insurance, the overall cost to those of us who are taxpayers is getting so large that it's drowning everything else in the economy. And the question is, How do you solve that problem?

Now, what has been suggested by the President and the majority party in Congress through the various committees is essentially what you try to do is if you require everyone to get insurance, meaning insurance companies will have more people to cover, that hopefully what that will mean is they'll have more money coming in from lower-cost people, meaning people that don't have a lot of illnesses, and that the insurance companies will be magnanimous and they'll lower their prices. That's basically what the argument is. Maybe it's right. Maybe that's what will happen here.

Now, I believe, and what I would like to devote a little time to today, is I believe that we are using a bank shot when we should go directly at the problem. I say we are using a bank shot because we are basing all of this on private insurance companies to help us.