



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 110th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 154

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JULY 30, 2008

No. 128

Senate

The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Honorable E. BENJAMIN NELSON, a Senator from the State of Nebraska.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Eternal Father, our help and our hope, we honor Your Name. Lord, often when we need You most, we find it difficult to come to You. Sometimes we do not come because we are impressed with our own strength and don't feel any need. Sometimes our failure and sin blocks the path to You. Either way, Lord, it is pride that deprives us of Your blessings and favor. Forgive us, Lord, for finding it difficult to understand and accept the unmerited favor of Your grace.

Today, as our lawmakers reach out their hands to accept Your grace, free them to do Your will. Help them to see You are a Friend who can keep them strong and turn their sorrow into singing. Lead and guide them so that Your Name will be honored. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable E. BENJAMIN NELSON, led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. BYRD).

The assistant legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,
Washington, DC, July 30, 2008.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby

appoint the Honorable E. BENJAMIN NELSON, a Senator from the State of Nebraska, to perform the duties of the Chair.

ROBERT C. BYRD,
President pro tempore.

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska thereupon assumed the chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, following leader remarks, there will be an hour for debate, with the time equally divided and controlled by the two leaders or their designees. I will control the final 10 minutes and the Republican leader will control the 10 minutes prior to my statement. Senator LEAHY will control 10 minutes of the majority time. At 11 a.m. the Senate will proceed to vote on cloture on the motion to proceed to the media shield bill, S. 2035. If cloture is not invoked, the Senate will proceed to vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the Senate tax extenders bill, S. 3335.

There are other matters we could turn to: the consumer product safety conference report, the higher education reauthorization conference report. They may be made available later in the week.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS TIMOTHY R. VIMOTO

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I rise because a soldier from my home State of Kentucky has fallen. On June 5, 2007, PFC Timothy R. Vimoto was tragically killed while on patrol in the Korengal Valley in Afghanistan. Private First Class Vimoto, who called the town of Fort Campbell, KY, his home, was 19 years old.

For bravery in service to his country, Private First Class Vimoto received several awards, medals, and decorations, including the Bronze Star Medal.

Private First Class Vimoto's Kentucky story may be more circuitous than most; yet I am proud to stand here and say we both hail from the Bluegrass State. Born in Hawaii, Tim's father is CSM Isaia T. Vimoto. Being from a military family, Tim followed his father to Army postings as a child.

This led Tim to Fort Campbell, KY, home to thousands of our brave soldiers and the 101st Airborne Division. Command Sergeant Major Vimoto was a senior advisor to the commander of the 101st. Tim attended Fort Campbell High School, where he made many friends and was part of the school's football team.

"Tim was known throughout the school as the kid with the biggest and best smile," says Shawn Berner, Tim's high school football coach. "He was always smiling and willing to help anyone in the school. . . . He was a very caring and generous person that touched a lot of people's lives in a positive manner."

"He's one of our babies," says Kesha Ladd, one of Tim's old teachers at Fort Campbell High. "When you teach on post, it's like you help raise these children when their parents are deployed."

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S7709

"Tim was liked by everyone," Shawn Berner adds.

After graduating high school in 2006, Tim chose to follow in his father's footsteps and enlist in the Army.

He was assigned to the 2nd Battalion, 503rd Infantry Regiment, 173rd Airborne Brigade Combat Team, based in Camp Ederle, Italy. In fact, he was at the same posting as his father at that time, and as Isaia Vimoto was the brigade's most senior enlisted soldier, Tim actually fell under his command.

Fellow soldiers remembered the influence Tim's father had on him and how it shaped him into the model soldier he became.

"He saw the transformation from being a son to being a soldier," says SGT Andy Short. And "no matter what Vimoto was doing, he had a smile on his face."

"Throughout his childhood, [Tim] watched his father train, deploy, re-deploy and develop into one of the strongest leaders in the Army," says another fellow soldier, CPT Matthew Heimerle.

Command Sergeant Major Vimoto himself, currently stationed in Italy, says his son was "a very talented young man with lots of potential."

Tim's family and fellow soldiers held a memorial service for him in Italy, and hundreds of friends who wanted to say goodbye packed the chapel. We are thinking today of all those who mourn his loss.

Our thoughts are with his parents, Isaia and Misimua Vimoto; his brothers, Isaia Jr. and Nephi; his sisters, Sabrina and Ariel; and many other loved ones.

Mr. President, the Vimoto family's loss of their beloved son and brother—while serving alongside the father who raised and inspired him, no less—cannot be measured. But neither can this U.S. Senate's immense pride and reverence for his service and his sacrifice.

Our Nation honors him as a soldier and a patriot. And we thank the Vimoto family for giving their country such a hero.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. DURBIN). Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION ACT OF 2007—MOTION TO PROCEED

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to S. 2035, which the clerk will report by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to the bill (S. 2035) to maintain the free flow of information to the public by providing conditions for the federally compelled disclosure of information by certain persons connected with the news media.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the hour prior to the cloture vote will be equally divided and controlled by the two leaders or their designees, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each, with the final 20 minutes under the control of the two leaders, with the majority leader controlling the final 10 minutes prior to the vote, and with 10 minutes of the majority time under the control of Senator LEAHY of Vermont.

The Senator from New York.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I rise to speak in support of S. 2035, the Free Flow of Information Act.

This legislation is truly a product of bipartisan effort during this Congress. Senator SPECTER and I have worked closely together to craft a careful bill that protects both the freedom of the press and the safety of our citizens.

In a free and democratic country, we should be able to do both, and this bill does.

Other Senators—including Senators LUGAR, DODD, and GRAHAM—have been instrumental in moving the bill to this point, and I wanted to thank our chair, Senator LEAHY, for being not only a sponsor of the bill but somebody who helped bring it to the floor.

S. 2035—a product of lengthy compromise and negotiation—is ripe for passage. In fact, it is long overdue.

There is now overwhelming support for a Federal law that gives a qualified—I repeat, qualified—privilege to allow journalists to honor promises of confidentiality to their sources unless a judge finds that compelling disclosure better serves the public interest.

How widespread is support for this legislation?

The presumptive Democratic Presidential nominee, BARACK OBAMA, supports this bill. The presumptive Republican nominee, JOHN McCAIN, supports this bill. Forty-two State attorneys general—both Democratic and Republican—support this bill. The Senate Judiciary Committee, as evidenced by a vote of 15 to 4, supports this bill. The House of Representatives, as evidenced by a vote of 398 to 21, supports a similar bill. And, of course, over 100 newspaper editors support this bill.

Conservative voices, such as former Solicitor General Ted Olson and the editorial page of the Washington Times, support this bill, as well as the Washington Post. So it does have broad support.

Given some of the ill-founded handwringing by the current administration over this bill, it is worth listening to what former Justice officials such as Mr. Olson say. Here is what Ted Olson recently wrote:

A free society depends on access to information and on a free and robust press willing to dig out the truth. This requires some ability to deal from time to time with sources who require the capacity to speak freely but anonymously. . . . [The Free Flow of Information Act] is well balanced and long overdue, and it should be enacted.

That is Ted Olson, so it is surprising the administration is opposed to the

bill. There is similar support from both liberal and conservative sides.

Here is how the conservative Washington Times put it:

A sound shield law guards not "the media" but something much more vital—the public's right to know. . . . A measured law would not shield sources who perpetrate demonstrable and articulable harm to the country's national security interests. But it would rightly shield most others. Such a bill awaits Senate action now. It should be passed.

That is from an editorial of July 25, 2008.

Unfortunately, given the broad and bipartisan support of this legislation, a minority of critics have taken to attacks that are overwrought and overstated.

Every criticism is either wrong or is effectively addressed in the substitute bill, which I spoke about last night on the floor and is in the RECORD as of last night, so my colleagues can see it. Senator SPECTER and Senator LUGAR and I have worked to meet every one of these objections.

Fundamentally, critics have suggested the bill would represent a radical change in the law. Nothing is further from the truth. It even tracks this Justice Department's own guidelines. All we are saying is that given recent events and Government actions, a judge should be the final arbiter when it comes to subpoenas to journalists for sensitive information. It is not an absolute law. It doesn't say "never." It doesn't say "always." Some on the press side wanted "always." Some on the administration side wanted "never." It is a careful, balancing test. Moreover, a majority of Federal circuits now recognize some privileges for journalists in, of course, 49 States, plus the District of Columbia recognizes those protections.

However, because of some of the recent comments about the bill, Senator SPECTER and I have undertaken to address a series of other concerns, and should we move to proceed, the substitute measure will be on the floor. I outlined last night on the floor the changes that I think meet the concerns of the critics in two places in particular: one, making sure classified information does not get out and is protected, and, two, the definition of who is a journalist so we make sure that those who just casually criticize or whatever do not get the protection, as would professional journalists.

So the text of the substitute is here, and I hope my colleagues—I hope we will move to this. I know we have disputes on other issues, but this is the Senate working: broad, bipartisan, carefully thought out legislation that can move forward with an overwhelming vote. I hope we will move forward today.

On the other bill coming before us, the extenders bill, just one point before I yield the floor.

If you care about reducing gasoline prices, the bill on the floor today, with tax incentives for alternative energy,