Act permanent and provide immunity to telecommunications firms that assisted our government and performed their patriotic duty after 9/11. The time for indecision and second-guessing is over. The time to get this important legislation passed into law is today.

House Democrats should pass the Senate bill and get it to the President, again, today.

THE NEED FOR A PERMANENT FISA BILL

(Mr. KLINE of Minnesota asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Mr. Speaker, al Qaeda and their terrorist allies are America's number one enemy. We all know that. They are constantly updating the way they communicate and dodge our intelligence networks. We should be doing nothing short of providing our intelligence officials with every tool necessary to always stay a step ahead of these radical extremists.

Admiral Mike McConnell, the Director of National Intelligence, when asked about the Protect America Act, said this, "We must be able to continue effectively obtaining the information gained through this law if we are to stay ahead of terrorists who are determined to attack the United States."

House Republicans have led the way in delivering 21st century intelligence collection to protect our citizens. The law now gives enforcement the tools and flexibility needed to quickly respond to terrorist threats because House Republicans acted to close a dangerous loophole in an outdated intelligence law. But the law is threatened today by the House Democrat majority who are more interested in getting it for partisan reasons than to provide this country and our allies abroad the protection necessary as we continue to fight terrorism.

A short-term extension is not enough. We need a permanent fix now.

APPOINTMENT OF HON. STENY H. HOYER AND HON. CHRIS VAN HOLLEN TO ACT AS SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE TO SIGN ENROLLED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS THROUGH FEBRUARY 25, 2008

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

Washington, DC, February 14, 2008.

I hereby appoint the Honorable STENY H. HOYER and the Honorable CHRIS VAN HOLLEN to act as Speaker pro tempore to sign enrolled bills and joint resolutions through February 25, 2008.

NANCY PELOSI,

Brady (TX)

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the appointment is approved.

There was no objection.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 18 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1105

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mrs. TAUSCHER) at 11 o'clock and 5 minutes a.m.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to adjourn.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 2, nays 390, not voting 36. as follows:

[Roll No. 58]

YEAS-2

 $Barton\;(TX)\qquad \quad Johnson\;(IL)$

NAYS-390

Braley (IA) Abercrombie Cubin Aderholt Broun (GA) Cuellar Akin Brown (SC) Culberson Alexander Brown-Waite, Cummings Ginny Davis (AL) Allen Buchanan Altmire Davis (CA) Andrews Burgess Davis (KY) Burton (IN) Arcuri Davis, David Baca Butterfield Davis, Lincoln Bachmann Buyer Davis, Tom Bachus Calvert Deal (GA) Camp (MI) DeFazio Baird Campbell (CA) Baldwin DeGette Barrett (SC) Cannon Delahunt Barrow Cantor DeLauro Bartlett (MD) Capito Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Capps Capuano Bean Diaz-Balart, M. Becerra Berman Carnahan Dicks Berry Carney Doggett Biggert Carter Donnelly Bilbray Castle Doolittle Bilirakis Castor Drake Bishop (GA) Chabot Dreier Chandler Bishop (NY) Duncan Bishop (UT) Clarke Edwards Clay Ehlers Blackburn Blumenauer Cleaver Ellison Clyburn Ellsworth Blunt Boehner Coble Emanuel Cohen Cole (OK) Emerson Bonner English (PA) Bono Mack Boozman Conaway Eshoo Boren Conyers Etheridge Boswell Cooper Everett Boucher Costa Fallin Boustany Costello Farr Fattah Boyd (FL) Courtney Boyda (KS) Cramer Feeney Brady (PA) Crenshaw Ferguson

Crowley

Filner

Forbes Fortenberry Fossella Foxx Frank (MA) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Gerlach Giffords Gilchrest Gillibrand Gingrey Gohmert Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Gordon Graves Green, Al Grijalya. Gutierrez Hall (NY) Hall (TX) Hare Harman Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Hayes Heller Hensarling Herger Herseth Sandlin Higgins Hill Hinchev Hinojosa Hirono Hobson Hodes Hoekstra Holden Holt Hooley Hoyer Hulshof Hunter Inglis (SC) Inslee Israel Issa Jackson (IL) Jefferson Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Jordan Kagen Kanjorski Kaptur Keller Kennedy Kildee Kilpatrick Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kirk Klein (FL) Kline (MN) Knollenberg Kucinich Kuhl (NY) LaHood Lamborn Lampson Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Latta Lee Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA)

Linder Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Lungren, Daniel E. Lynch Mack Maloney (NY) Manzullo Marchant Marshall Matheson Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul (TX) McCollum (MN) McCotter McCrerv McDermott McGovern McHenry McHugh McIntyre McKeon McMorris Rodgers McNerney McNulty Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Melancon Mica. Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Miller, George Mitchell Mollohan Moore (WI) Moran (KS) Murphy (CT) Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim Murtha Musgrave Myrick Nadler Napolitano Neal (MA) Neugebauer Nunes Oberstar Obev Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor Paul Payne Pearce Perlmutter Peterson (MN) Petri Pickering Platts Poe Pomeroy Porter Price (GA) Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Putnam Radanovich Rahall Ramstad Regula Rehberg Reichert Reyes Reynolds Richardson

Lewis (KY)

Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothman Rovbal-Allard Royce Rush Ryan (WI) Salazar Sali Sánchez, Linda T. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Saxton Schakowsky Schiff Schmidt Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Shadegg Shea-Porter Sherman Shuler Shuster Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Snyder Souder Space Spratt Stark Stearns Stupak Sullivan Sutton Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Taylor Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Tsongas Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walberg Walden (OR) Walsh (NY) Walz (MN) Wamp Wasserman Schultz Waters Watt Waxman Weiner Welch (VT) Weldon (FL) Weller Westmoreland Wexler Whitfield (KY) Wilson (OH) Wilson (SC) Wolf Woolsey Wu Yarmuth Young (AK)

NOT VOTING-36

Rodriguez

Rogers (AL)

Ackerman Berkley Brown, Corrine Cardoza Davis (IL) Dingell Doyle Engel Garrett (NJ) Honda Jackson-Lee (TX)

Jones (OH) Lowey Lucas Mahoney (FL) Markey Moore (KS)

Young (FL)

Moran (VA) Pence Peterson (PA) Rangel Renzi Rohrabacher Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) Sestak Shays Shimkus Simpson Solis Tierney Towns Watson Wilson (NM) Wittman (VA) Wynn

□ 1157

Messrs. RAHALL, MILLER of Florida, OBERSTAR, and FRANK of Massachusetts changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. SESTAK. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 58, I was with my six-year-old daughter, Alex, at the hospital. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

Ms. SOLIS. Madam Speaker, during rollcall vote No. 58 on the motion to adjourn, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 5270. An act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the funding and expenditure authority of the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that pursuant to section 5 of title I of division H of Public Law 110–161, the Chair, on behalf of the Vice President, appoints the following Senator as Chairman of the U.S.-Japan Interparliamentary Group conference for the One Hundred Tenth Congress:

The Senator from Alaska (Mr. STE-VENS).

PROVIDING FOR ADOPTION OF H. RES. 979, RECOMMENDING THAT MIERS AND JOSHUA HARRIET FOUND IN BOLTEN BECON-OF CONGRESS. TEMPT AND ADOPTION OF H. RES. 980, AU-THORIZING COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY TO INITIATE OR IN-JUDICIAL TERVENE IN PRO-CEEDINGS TO ENFORCE CERTAIN SUBPOENAS

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 982 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That House Resolution 979 and House Resolution 980 are hereby adopted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from New York is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART). All time yielded during consideration of the rule is for debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. SLAUGHTER. I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from New York?

There was no objection.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, H. Res. 982 provides that upon its adoption, House Resolution 979 and House Resolution 980 are hereby adopted.

House Resolution 979 recommends that the House of Representatives find Harriet Miers and Joshua Bolten, the White House Chief of Staff, in contempt of Congress for refusal to comply with subpoenas duly issued by the Judiciary Committee.

\Box 1200

House Resolution 980 authorizes the Judiciary Committee to initiate or to intervene in any judicial proceedings to enforce certain subpoenas.

Madam Speaker, I've had so many requests for time that I will cut my own time short. I simply want to give some reasons why it's important that we're here today.

In my 21 years in the House, I have known that there were Members who came to Congress simply hoping that throughout their career they will always land on the safe square; not wanting to take a vote that might challenge them in any way, not wanting to take a vote that might require explanation. Fortunately, this is the safe square today.

What we are doing here today is protecting the Constitution of the United States of America, which all of us are pleased, when we come here, to raise our hand and swear so to do. It is critically important that we protect the powers of the Congress of the United States for future generations. It would be dreadful if a future President, having looked back over the recent events, used it as a precedent.

We have a strong case on the merits, is the first point I want to make. The administration's assertions of executive privilege are weak, excessively broad, and unprecedented. We win the executive privilege argument both on legal grounds and our compelling need for requested information.

Aside from prevailing on the merits of the executive privilege dispute, enforcing our subpoenas is part and parcel of our current ability to perform effective oversight. If we accept the White House stonewalling in this instance, the House, in the future, will not be able to conduct its oversight. And every future President can view Congress, not as a coequal branch of this government, but as subordinate to the executive.

The enforcement of the subpoenas in this investigation seeks to strengthen, rather than weaken, the House's prerogatives by demonstrating that we are serious about citizens resisting the issuance of validly authorized congressional subpoenas. If we countenance a process where subpoenas can be readily ignored, where a witness, under a duly authorized subpoena, doesn't even bother to appear, where privilege can be asserted on the thinnest of reeds and the broadest possible manner, then we have already lost, and we may be in much more danger than even we believe.

There's ample precedent supporting the House's prerogative to initiate a civil action. If we pursue this course of action and it proves to be legally incorrect, then we here in Congress, where the laws are passed, can take necessary steps to correct that procedure. If we do not pursue this course of action at all, we, again, have already lost.

There are some who believe that the court will say that indeed we have no rights here. If that is the case, if that even should be a possibility, then I think we have to say that if the Justice Department has become that politicized and that weak, then we are in worse shape in this democracy than we know.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, I would like to thank the gentlelady from New York (Ms. Slaughter) for the time, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I was in the funeral of our distinguished friend and colleague, Congressman Lantos, someone whom I admired very, very much and who was a personal friend. I was standing by the ranking member of the Rules Committee.

At the time during the funeral, the House was in recess subject to the call of the Chair under the understanding that we would not come back into session until after the funeral. And I was most disturbed and hurt and pained when, even though the funeral was still proceeding and distinguished guests were speaking, the bells rang that the House was going back into session and I had to leave.

Because of my obligation today, I have the assignment, as a member of the Rules Committee, to be here during this rule. I had to leave the funeral to be here today. It's most unfortunate, and I'm very, very sorry that the day has begun in that ultimately unfortunate fashion.

Madam Speaker, today the majority proposes that the House consider a rule that, according to the Parliamentarian, is unprecedented in the history of this institution. It will prevent any and all debate on two contempt motions against former White House Counsel Harriet Miers and White House Chief of Staff Josh Bolten.

A contempt resolution is a privileged matter because it directly concerns the constitutional rights and privileges of