as the "CeeCee Ross Lyles Post Office Building," and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The text of the Senate bill is as follows:

S. 3241

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. CEECEE ROSS LYLES POST OFFICE BUILDING.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1717 Orange Avenue in Fort Pierce, Florida, shall be known and designated as the "CeeCee Ross Lyles Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "CeeCee Ross Lyles Post Office Building".

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MURPHY A. TANNEHILL POST OFFICE BUILDING

Ms. SUTTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform be discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R. 3511) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2150 East Hardtner Drive in Urania, Louisiana, as the "Murphy A. Tannehill Post Office Building," and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-woman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3511

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. MURPHY A. TANNEHILL POST OFFICE BUILDING.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2150 East Hardtner Drive in Urania, Louisiana, shall be known and designated as the "Murphy A. Tannehill Post Office Building".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the facility referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Murphy A. Tannehill Post Office Building".

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CELEBRATING THE 232ND ANNI-VERSARY OF THE SIGNING OF THE DECLARATION OF INDE-PENDENCE

Ms. SUTTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Com-

mittee on Oversight and Government Reform be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 386) to recognize and celebrate the "232nd Anniversary of the signing of the Declaration of Independence," and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 386

Whereas the text of the Declaration of Independence was adopted by the Second Continental Congress on July 4, 1776, and the engrossed copy of the Declaration of Independence was signed by most of the delegates in the Second Continental Congress on August 2, 1776;

Whereas August 2, 2008, marks the 232d anniversary of the signing of the engrossed copy of the Declaration of Independence:

Whereas the signing of the Declaration of Independence marked the formal dissolution of the ties of the thirteen American colonies with Great Britain, the beginning of their statehood and national identity, and the dawning of a new era of freedom in America and the world;

Whereas these Founding Fathers, relying on the direction from and protection of Divine Providence, pledged to each other their lives, their fortunes, and their Sacred honor in defense of this action;

Whereas the Declaration of Independence paved the way for the birth of the Republic; Whereas the Declaration of Independence

whereas the Declaration of Independence has become part of the national culture, and its principles are part of the consciousness of all Americans;

Whereas the fundamental genius of the United States is embodied in the Declaration's recognition that "all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness";

Whereas the principle that the rights of man are bestowed by the Creator and are unalienable was derived from Scripture, which antedates the existence from any government:

Whereas the Declaration of Independence affirms that governments are instituted to protect those rights; and

Whereas the Declaration acknowledged these self-evident Truths, which constituted one of the most revolutionary philosophical developments in history and began an unparalleled national experiment: Now, therefore, be it.

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the Congress recognizes and celebrates the 232d anniversary of the signing of the Declaration of Independence.

The concurrent resolution was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. SUTTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the measures just considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. WATT) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. Spratt, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. Woolsey, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. Lynch, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DEFAZIO, for 5 minutes, today. Mr. WATT, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. PERLMUTTER, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. KAPTUR, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. HOLT, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. Kanjorski, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. Sherman, for 5 minutes, today.

SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 1193. An act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to take into trust 2 parcels of Federal land for the benefit of certain Indian Pueblos in the State of New Mexico; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

S. 3341. An act to reauthorize and improve the Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorrain C. Miller, Clerk of the House reports that on September 17, 2008, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill.

H.R. 5938. To amend title 18, United States Code, to provide secret service protection to former Vice Presidents, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. SUTTON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 16 minutes a.m.), the House adjourned until today, Wednesday, September 24, 2008, at 10 a.m.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY MR. SKELTON, CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES, REGARDING THE AMENDMENT OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO S. 3001

The Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives offers an amendment to S. 3001, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, as received in the House on September 18, 2008. The amendment consists of an agreement between the managers of the bill in the

House of Representatives and the Senate on the reconciliation of H.R. 5658 and S. 3001, as passed by the respective chambers from which each bill originated. This agreement is memorialized in the offered amendment and described in the remainder of this Joint Explanatory Statement submitted on behalf of Mr. Skelton and Mr. Hunter for the House Committed on Armed Services and Mr. Levin and Mr. Warner for the Senate Committee on Armed Services.

Although not required by the Rules of the House of Representatives and the Senate, the Joint Explanatory Statement includes the disclosure of member earmarks and congressionally directed spending items as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate.

In this statement, the provisions of H.R. 5658, the House passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, are generally referred to as "the House bill." The provisions of S. 3001, the Senate passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, are generally referred to as "the Senate bill." The final form of the agreements reached during an informal conference between the managers of the bill in the House and the Senate are referred to as "the agreement."

The House amendment strikes the text of the S. 3001 and inserts the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009, as amended by the agreement between the managers of H.R. 5658 and S. 3001, as passed by the respective chambers from which each bill originated.

This Joint Explanatory Statement fulfills the authority granted to the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services by H. Res. 1476 to file explanatory material for the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009. Mr. Skelton, the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services, does not intend to file any additional material pursuant to H. Res. 1476 beyond this Joint Explanatory Statement.

Submitted by Mr. Skelton, Chairman of the House Committee on Armed Services (for himself, Mr. Hunter, ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services, Mr. Levin, Chairman of the Senate Committee on Armed Services and Mr. Warner, Acting Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services).

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 In Thousands of Dollars

BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION

	Authorization Request	Agreement Authorization	FY 2009 Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
DIVISION A					
Title I PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,009,835	4,848,835	5,009,835	-161,000	4,848,835
Missile Procurement, Army	2,211,460	2,207,460	2,211,460	4,000	2,207,460
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	3,687,077	3,516,398	3,687,077	-170,679	3,516,398
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2,275,791	2,280,791	2,275,791	5,000	2,280,791
Other Procurement, Army	11,367,926	11,143,076	11,367,926	-224,850	11,143,076
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	496,300	200,000	496,300	-296,300	200,000
	14,716,774	14,557,874	14,716,774	-158,900	14,557,874
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,575,482	3,553,282	3,575,482	-22,200	3,553,282
Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy	12,732,918	14,057,022	12,732,918	1,324,104	14,057,022
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps	1,122,712	1,110,012	1,122,712	-12,700	1,110,012
Other Procurement, Navy	5,482,856	5,463,565	5,482,856	-19,291	5,463,565
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,512,765	1,486,189	1,512,765	-26,576	1,486,189
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	12,676,496	12,826,858	12,676,496	150,362	12,826,858
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	894,478	911,278	894,478		894,478
Missile Procurement, Air Force	5,536,728	5,496,219	5,536,728	16,800	5,553,528
Other Procurement, Air Force	16,128,396	16,087,887	16,128,396	-40,509	16,087,887
Procurement, Defense-Wide	3,164,228	3,382,628	3,164,228	218,400	3,382,628
National Guard and Reserve Equipment		800,000		800,000	800,000
Rapid Acquisition Fund	102,045		102,045	-102,045	
Defense Production Act Purchases			36,365		36,365
Total PROCUREMENT	102,694,267	103,929,374	102,730,632	1,275,616	104,006,248
Title II RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	z				
RDT&E, Army	10,524,085	10,943,840	10,524,085	419,755	10,943,840
RDT&E, Navy	19,337,238	19,345,603	19,337,238	8,365	19,345,603
RDT&E, Air Force	28,066,617	26,289,508	28,066,617	-1,777,109	26,289,508
RDT&E, Defense-Wide	21,499,229	20,942,729	21,499,229	-556,500	20,942,729
Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	188,772	188,772	188,772		188,772
Total RESEARCH, DEV, TEST & EVALUATION	79,615,941	77,710,452	79,615,941	-1,905,489	77,710,452

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 In Thousands of Dollars

	Authorization Request	Agreement <u>Authorization</u>	BUDGE1 FY 2009 Request	BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION 7 2009 Agreement 3 4 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	APLICATION Agreement
Title III OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					i i
Operation and Maintenance, Army	31,243,092	31,251,702	31,243,092	8,610	31,251,702
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	34,922,398	34,850,310	34,922,398	-72,088	34,850,310
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,597,254	5,604,254	5,597,254	2,000	5,604,254
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	35,902,487	35,454,487	35,902,487	-448,000	35,454,487
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	26,091,864	25,948,864	26,091,864	-143,000	25,948,864
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,642,341	2,642,341	2,642,341		2,642,341
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,311,085	1,311,085	1,311,085		1,311,085
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	213,131	213,131	213,131		213,131
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,142,892	3,150,692	3,142,892	7,800	3,150,692
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	5,875,546	5,893,546	5,875,546	18,000	5,893,546
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,879,576	5,882,326	5,879,576	2,750	5,882,326
US Court of Appeals, Armed Forces	13,254	13,254	13,254		13,254
Environmental Restoration, Army	447,776	447,776	447,776		447,776
Environmental Restoration, Navy	290,819	290,819	290,819		290,819
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	496,277	496,277	496,277		496,277
Environmental Restoration, Defense-wide	13,175	13,175	13,175		13,175
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	257,796	257,796	257,796		257,796
Cooperative Threat Reduction	414,135	434,135	414,135	20,000	434,135
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster & Civic Aid	83,273	83,273	83,273		83,273
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	9,101	9,101	9,101		9,101
Scorekeeping Adjustments: Disposal of DoD Real Property Lease of DoD Real Property DoD Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery/Other	45.4 8.47 972	154 248 344	16,993 3,517 761 761	800 800 91	16,993 3,517 761
	4 - 4, 100,000	ا حسر، محسر محسد	1-1,000,000	>=>(>>>	* - > i > > = i - > :

68,080,000

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 In Thousands of Dollars

	Acitatiochina	Ageograph	BUDGET	BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION	PLICATION
	Request	Authorization	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Title IV MILITARY PERSONNEL				1	
Military Personnel (direct appropriations) Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Accruals		114,440,743	114,896,340 10,350,593	455,597	114,440,743 10,350,593
Mandatory Spending: Current Law Total MILITARY PERSONNEL		114,440,743	3,901,000 129,147,933	455,597	3,901,000 128,692,336
Title XIV OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS					
Subtitle A Military Programs					
Defense Working Capital Funds	198,150	198,150	198,150		198,150
Defense Commissary Working Capital Fund	1,291,084	1,291,084	1,291,084		1,291,084
Defense Coalition Support Fund	22,000		22,000	-22,000	
National Defense Sealift Fund	1,962,253	1,608,572	1,962,253	-353,681	1,608,572
Defense Health Program	23,615,202	24,966,917	23,615,202	1,351,715	24,966,917
Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction	1,485,634	1,485,634	1,485,634		1,485,634
Drug Interdiction & Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,060,463	1,060,463	1,060,463		1,060,463
Office of the Inspector General	247,845	273,845	247,845	26,000	273,845
Inflation savings (Section 1407)					
Stockpile transaction fund transfer Subfitle C Civil Programs	-1,300,000	-1,300,000	-1,300,000		-1,300,000
Armed Forces Retirement Home (non-defense) Total OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	63,010 28,645,641	63,010 29,647,675	63,010 28,645,641	1,002,034	63,010 29,647,675

Title XV -- OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM AND OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM

	-1,920,000
	68,080,000
	70,000,000
TOTAL TITLE XV, OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM AND	OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 in Thousands of Dollars

	44. A	***************************************	BUDGE	BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION	IPLICATION
	Request	Authorization	Request	vs Request	Agreement
DIVISION B					
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					
Military Construction, Army	4,615,920	4,610,698	4,615,920	-5,222	4,610,698
Military Construction, Navy	3,096,399	3,290,169	3,096,399	193,770	3,290,169
Military Construction, Air Force	934,892	1,117,746	934,892	182,854	1,117,746
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	1,783,998	1,584,469	1,783,998	-199,529	1,584,469
Chemical Demilitarization Construction	134,278	144,278	134,278	10,000	144,278
NATO Security Investment Program	240,867	230,867	240,867	-10,000	230,867
Military Construction, Army National Guard	539,296	736,317	539,296	197,021	736,317
Military Construction, Army Reserve	281,687	282,607	281,687	920	282,607
Military Construction. Naval Reserve	57,045	57,045	57,045		57,045
Military Construction, Air National Guard	34,374	242,924	34,374	208,550	242,924
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	19,265	36,958	19,265	17,693	36,958
Total MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	11,738,021	12,334,078	11,738,021	296,057	12,334,078
FAMILY HOUSING			٠		
Family Housing Construction, Army	678,580	646,580	678,580	-32,000	646,580
Family Housing Operations, Army	716,110	716,110	716,110		716,110
Family Housing Construction, Navy & Marine Corps	382,778	380,123	382,778	-2,655	380,123
Family Housing Operations, Navy & Marine Corps	376,062	376,062	376,062		376,062
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	395,879	395,879	395,879		395,879
Family Housing Operations, Air Force	599,465	594,465	599,465	-5,000	594,465
Family Housing Operations, Defense-Wide	49,231	49,231	49,231		49,231
Homeowners Assistance Program	4,500	4,500	4,500		4,500
DoD Family Housing Improvement Fund	850	850	850		820
Total FAMILY HOUSING	3,203,455	3,163,800	3,203,455	-39,655	3,163,800
Base Realignment and Closure IV	393,377	458,377	393,377	65,000	458,377
Base Realignment and Closure 2005	9,065,386	9,065,386	9,065,386		9,065,386
Subtotal Base Closure	9,458,763	9,523,763	9,458,763	65,000	9,523,763

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 In Thousands of Dollars

	Authorization	Agreement	BUDGE1 FY 2009	BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION 7 2009 Agreement	IPLICATION
	Request	Authorization	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Prior Year Savings General Transfer Authority (non-add)		-83,170		-83,170	-83,170
Subtotal Non War-Related Funding	24,400,239	24,938,471	24,400,239	538,232	24,938,471
Title XXIX - War-Related Military Construction Military Construction, Army Military Construction, Navy Subtotal War-Related Military Construction TOTAL DIVISION B	24,400,239	450,000 50,000 500,000 25,438,471	24,400,239	450,000 50,000 500,000 1,038,232	450,000 50,000 500,000 25,438,471
Other Discretionary Programs Mandatory Programs			-645,000		-645,000
TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051)	460,140,350	573,432,049	588,700,919	-1,564,132	587,136,787
DIVISION C Energy Delivery and Reliability	7,622	7,622	7,622		7,622
National Nuclear Security Administration Weapons Activities	6,618,079	6,625,111	6,618,079	7,032	6,625,111
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,247,048	1,895,261	1,247,048	648,213	1,895,261
Navai Reactors Office of the Administrator	828,054 404.081	828,054 404,081	828,034 404,081		626,034 404,081
Total National Nuclear Security Adminstration	9,097,262	9,752,507	9,097,262	655,245	9,752,507
Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,297,256	5,297,256	5,297,256		5,297,256
Other Defense Activities	1,313,461	826,453	1,313,461	-487,008	826,453
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	247,371	222,371	247,371	-25,000	222,3/1
Total DOE/NNSA Discretionary Authorizations	15,962,972	16,106,209	15,962,972	143,237	16,106,209

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 In Thousands of Dollars

	Authorization	Agreement	BUDGET FY 2009	BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION 7 2009 Agreement	IPLICATION
	Request	Authorization	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Mandatory Programs: Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation			1,155,000		1,155,000
Total Department of Energy/NNSA	15,962,972	16,106,209	17,117,972	143,237	17,261,209
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Formerly Used Sites Remedial Action Program	25,499	25,499	25,499 130,000		25,499 130,000
DIVISION C/Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)	15,988,471	16,131,708	17,273,471	143,237	17,416,708
DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES Discretionary Programs Mandatory Programs DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES (054)			6,201,000 317,000 6,518,000		6,201,000 317,000 6,518,000
TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE FUNCTION (050)	476,128,821	589,563,757	612,492,390	-1,420,895	611,071,495
NON-DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS Armed Forces Retirement Home Total Non-Defense Authorizations	63,010 63,010	63,010 63,010	63,010 63,010		63,010 63,010
TOTAL AUTHORIZATIONS	476,191,831	589,626,767	612,555,400	-1,420,895	611,134,505
Memo: Base Budget National Defense Total Memo: War-Related Total (Titles XV, XVI and XXIX)	406,128,821 70,000,000	520,983,7 <i>5</i> 7 68,580,000	542,492,390 70,000,000	-895 -1,420,000	542,491,495 68,580,000
Total National Defense Authorizations	476,128,821	589,563,757	612,492,390	-1,420,895	611,071,495

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009

	(Dollars in Thousands)	usands)			
	Authorization	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
	Request	Authorization	Authorization	Change	Authorization
Title I - PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,009,835	4,912,735	4,957,435	-161,000	4,848,835
Missile Procurement, Army	2,211,460	2,201,460	2,211,460	4,000	2,207,460
Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	3,687,077	3,539,177	3,689,277	-170,679	3,516,398
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2,275,791	2,294,791	2,303,791	5,000	2,280,791
Other Procurement, Army	11,367,926	11,201,876	11,861,704	-224,850	11,143,076
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	496,300	0	0	-296,300	200,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	14,716,774	14,627,274	14,729,274	-158,900	14,557,874
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,575,482	3,575,482	3,605,482	-22,200	3,553,282
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps	1,122,712	1,122,712	1,131,712	-12,700	1,110,012
Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy	12,732,918	12,917,919	13,037,218	1,324,104	14,057,022
Other Procurement, Navy	5,482,856	5,461,926	5,516,506	-19,291	5,463,565
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,512,765	1,296,327	1,495,665	-26,576	1,486,189
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	12,676,496	12,618,665	13,235,286	150,362	12,826,858
Missile Procurement, Air Force	5,536,728	5,536,728	5,556,728	16,800	5,553,528
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	894,478	934,478	895,478	0	894,478
Other Procurement, Air Force	16,128,396	16,134,896	16,115,496	-40,509	16,087,887
Procurement, Defense-Wide	3,164,228	3,485,428	3,723,928	218,400	3,382,628
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	0	800,000	0	800,000	800,000
Rapid Acquisition Fund	102,045	90,000	102,045	-102,045	0
TOTAL PROCUREMENT	102,694,267	102,711,874	104,168,485	1,275,616	103,969,883

CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES

The term "congressional defense committees" is often used in this joint explanatory statement. It means the Defense Authorization and Appropriations Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

Procurement overview

The budget request for fiscal year 2009 included an authorization of \$102,694.3 million for procurement for the Department of De-

The House bill would authorize \$102,711.9 million.

The Senate bill would authorize \$104,168.5 million.

The agreement recommends an authorization of \$103,969.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the joint explanatory statement, all changes are made without prejudice.

BUDGET ITEMS

Shipbuilding

The budget request contained \$2.5 billion for the third DDG-1000 class destroyer, \$103.2 million for close out costs associated with discontinuing the LPD-17 amphibious ship production line, and no funding for the DDG-

51 class program which had ceased new production funding with the three ships bought in fiscal year 2005. Additionally, the budget request contained \$920.0 million for two Littoral Combat Ships (LCS) and \$348.3 million in the National Defense Sealift Fund (NDSF) designated for advance procurement for the first of a class of Maritime Preposition Force-Future (MPF(F)) aviation vessels.

The agreement would authorize full funding for the third DDG-1000 class destroyer without prejudice to any potential future Department of Defense decision to truncate the DDG-1000 class acquisition program in favor of a return to DDG-51 class destroyers.

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	itle Ment Army	Oth Reg	FY 2009 Request Cost	Auti Oty	House Authorized	Se Autt	Senate Authorized L Cost	Agre Ct.	Agreement Change IY Cost	Autr Autr Qty	Agreement Authorized
	-	• • •	264,160	7	264,160	~	264,160			~	264,160
INAISSANCE 28 le Adjustment ssive ramp up of		(-)	358,841	15	229,041 [-129,800]	20	283,841	-13	-129,800 -129,800J	15	229,041
ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) Program Decrease HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) 36 2	N	2	80,010	99	43,810 [-36,200] 224,518	99	80,010		-36,200 [-36,200]	99	43,810
8 8		28 5	925,852	99	985,252 [59,400] 137,175	88	925,852			8 8	925,852
16 , CY)	•	4	443,519	9 7	443,519	16	443,519			9 ,	443,519
DELICOPTER NEW TRAINING DRUG INTERDICTION MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	- =	7	2,381	-	2,381	-	2,381			-	2,381

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

nent	Cost	433,941	5,028	103,882	40,697	122,775	2,536	2,442	100	4,848,835
Agreement Authorized	Ott									4
Agreement Change	Cost									-161,000
Agre	O V									
Senate Authorized	Cost	433,941	5,277	103,882	43,137	128,268	[5,493] 2,652	[116]	111 [2]	4,957,435
SAUE	Oty									
House Authorized	Cost	433,941	5,028	106,382 [2,500]	40,697	122,775	2,536	2.442	109	4,912,735
Aut	Oţ.									
FY 2009 Request	Cost	433,941	5,028	103,882	40,697	122,775	2,536	2.442	109	5,009,835
진황	Qt									
	Program Title	026 ASE INFRARED CM OTHER SUPPORT	AIRBORNE COMMAND & CONTROL AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Avionic support equipment – Army	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT Compact Aircraft Support Cart - ARNG	030 AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS Aircrew integrated systems – Army	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	Air traffic control equipment- Army INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES Additional axionics & airhorne	instrumentation equipment – Army LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS Additional high frequency radio equipment – Army UFR	TOTAL - AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY
	Line	026	027	029	030	031	032	033	034	

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Rec	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Aut	<u>Senate</u> Authorized	Agreement Change	삠	Agreement Authorized	ment rized
Line	Program Title	Oth	Cost	Oth	Cost	Oth Oth	Cost	Qty Cost	. tsl	Oth	Cost
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY										
	OTHER MISSILES										
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM										
90	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	108	512,086	108	512,086	108	512,086			108	512,086
005	PATRIOT/MEADS CAP SYSTEM		31,049		31,049		31,049				31,049
003	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT		40,468		40,468		40,468				40,468
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM										
90	HELLFIRE	372	48,629	372	48,629	372	48,629			372	48,629
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE										
002		605	259,326	605	259,326	605	259,326			605	259,326
900	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,586	85,988	1,586	85,988	1,586	85,988			1,586	85,988
007											•
008	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	1,938	247,213 1,938	1,938	237,213	1,938	247,213	4	4,000	1,938	243,213
	Program Reduction				[-10,000]			4			
600	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE	4,014	25,300 4,014	4,014	25,300	4,014	25,300			4,014	25,300
010	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY	22	246,041	24	246,041	24	246,041			22	246,041
011	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS										
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES										
	MODIFICATIONS										
012	PATRIOT MODS		524,500		524,500		524,500				524,500

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		II. (22)	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Aut	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ð	Cost	Off	Cost	Ott	Cost	Oţ,	Cost	ð	Cost
013	JAVELIN MISSILE MODS										
014	ITAS/TOW MODS		137,109		137,109		137,109				137,109
015	MLRS MODS		1,872		1,872		1,872				1,872
016	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		16,408		16,408		16,408				16.408
017	HELLFIRE MODIFICATIONS										•
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
018	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		24,901		24,901		24,901				24.901
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND										! .
019	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		6,442		6,442		6,442				6.442
020	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M		10		10		10				10
021	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		4,118		4,118		4,118				4,118
	TOTAL - MISSILE PROCUREMENT.	نے									
	ARMY		2,211,460		2,201,460		2,211,460		4,000		2,207,460
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS & TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
90	ABRAMS TRNG DEV MOD										
005	BRADLEY PROGRAM	21	171,989	2	171,989	21	171,989			21	171,989
003	BRADLEY TRAINING DEVICES		4,386		4,386		4.386				4,386
004	ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES	S									•

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		FI 용	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	₹ S	Cost	Σ	Cost	Qt/	Cost	A)	Cost	Oth	Cost
002	STRYKER VEHICLE	119	1,174,947	119	1,019,147	119	1,174,947		-33,000	119	1,141,947
	Stryker Mobile Gun System				[-155,800]				[-33,000]		
900	FUTURE COMBAT SYSTEMS: (FCS)	စ	128,419	9	128,419	ၑ	128,419			9	128,419
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		26,164		26,164		26,164				26,164
008	FCS SPIN OUTS		161,879		161,879		161,879		-137,679		24,200
	Procurement of Spin Out Hardware										
	Delayed to Fiscal Year 2010								[-137,679]		
600	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		14,788		14,788		14,788	-	•		14,788
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED										
010	CARRIER, MOD										
011	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	9	33,426	9	33,426	9	33,426			9	33,426
012	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		311,925		311,925		311,925				311,925
013	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM		28,913		28,913		28,913				28,913
014	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE	33	132,701	39	132,701	39	132,701			39	132,701
015	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	=	34,713	7	34,713		34,713			7	34,713
016	ARMORED VEH LAUNCH BRIDGE										
017	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	7	40,464	7	40,464	Ξ	40,464			7	40,464
018	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		341,569		341,569		341,569				341,569
019	SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PGM: SEP										•
020	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	59	351,179	29	351,179	53	351,179			59	351,179
021	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TCV-										
022	WTCV)		7,136		7,136		7,136				7,136

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		IR	FY 2009 Request	Ho Auth	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agree	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ζ	Cost	Otv	Cost	Ğ	Cost	Otv	Cost	ζ	Cost
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT										
023	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM,	8	118,431	6	118,431	8	118,431			6	118,431
024	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN	5,900	61,334	5,900	61,334	5,900	61,334			5,900	61,334
025	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	6,167	99,881	6,167	99,881	6,167	99,881			6,167	99,881
026	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN (5.56MM)	5,150	22,134	5,150	22,134	5,150	22,134			5,150	22,134
027	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN	785	17,328	785	17,328	785	17,328			785	17,328
028	MORTAR SYSTEMS	165	15,500	165	15,500	165	15,500			165	15,500
029	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE		223		223		223				223
030	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER	9,342	31,756	9,342	31,756	9,342	31,756			9,342	31,756
031	XM110 SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER	208	7,407 508	508	7,407 508	508	7,407			508	7,407
032	M4 CARBINE	88,964	151,055 8	8,964	151,055 8	38,964	151,055			88,964	151,055
033	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY	7,135	6,097	7,135	6,097	7,135	6,097			7,135	9,097
034	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED										
035	FUTURE HANDGUN SYSTEM (FHS)	5,000		5,000	3,468	5,000	3,468			5,000	3,468
036	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	38	113,205	38	113,205	38	113,205			38	113,205
	MODIFICATION OF WEAPONS AND										
037	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN		7,654		7,654		7,654				7,654
038	M4 CARBINE MODS		16,796		16,796		16,796				16,796
039	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS										
040	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		7,088		7,088		7,088				7,088
041	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN		21,128		21,128		21,128				21,128
045	PHALANX MODS										

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Agreement Change Authorized	Cost Qty Cost	964	1,181	3,763		2.187		6,545	3,104	1,250				-170,679 3,516,398	107 130	
-	Oth	964	181	3,763		2,187		545	3,104	450	[2,200]			7.12	023	,
Senate Authorized	Y Cost		_	ີຕັ		2.	•	9,	ິຕິ	'n	[2,2	•		3,689,277	197 130	
House Authorized	Cost Qtv	964	1,181	9,663	[5,900]	4,187	[2,000]	6,545	3,104	1,250				3,539,177	197 130	20
FY 2009 Request Au	Cost Qtv	964	1,181	3,763		2,187		6,545	3,104	1,250				3,687,077	197 130	
디찖	Otv				_									. •		
	Program Title	M119 MODIFICATIONS	M16 RIFLE MODS	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN	Stryker Situation Awareness Soldier SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-	M1A1 Transmission Dynamometer	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT	Additional small arms - Army UFR	REF SMALL ARMS	CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT OF WTCV ARMY	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AMMUNITION SMALL/MEDIUM CALIBER CTG. 5.56MM. ALL TYPES	
	Line	043	044	045		046		047	048	049		020	051		00	

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		진황	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Se	Senate Authorized	Be	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	O.	Cost	Oţ,	Cost	Oth	Cost	Qtx	Cost	Qtv	Cost
003	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		6,276		6,276		6,276				6,276
004	I CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		183,813		183,813		183,813				183,813
002	5 CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES										•
900	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES		14,742		14,742		14,742				14,742
200	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		79,066		79,066		79,066				79,066
900	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES		293,322		293,322		293,322				293,322
	MORTAR AMMUNITION										
600	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		17,055		17,055		17,055				17,055
010	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		58,521		58,521		58,521				58,521
011			117,601		117,601		117,601				117,601
	TANK AMMUNITION										
012	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES		15,829		15,829		15,829				15,829
013	CTG, TANK, 120MM, ALL TYPES		151,015		151,015		151,015				151,015
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION										
014			2,741		2,741		2,741				2,741
015			42,153		42,153		42,153				42,153
016	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES		85,030		85,030		85,030				85,030
017	ď		34,220		49,220		34,220				34,220
	Excalibur XM982 Extended Range										
	Artillery Projectile				[15,000]						
018	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE		43,338		43,338		43,338				43,338

Title I - Procurement

		E S	Request	Anth II	Authorized	W th	Senate Authorized	BIS	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oţ.	Cost	Ot	Cost	Qţ	Cost	Oty	Cost	XJ	Cost
	ARTILLERY FUZES										
019	019 ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES		19,969		19,969		19,969				19,969
	MINES										
020	MINES, ALL TYPES		4,846		4,846		4,846				4,846
021	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL		2,606		2,606		2,606				2,606
022	ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE		52,000		52,000		52,000				52,000
	ROCKETS						•				•
023	SHOULDER LAUNCHED		28,020		28,020		28,020				28,020
024	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		142,521		142,521		142,521				142,521
	OTHER AMMUNITION						•				•
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL		28,886		28,886		28,886				28,886
026	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		71,608		71,608		78,608		5,000		76,608
	Program increase (Grenades all								[5,000]		
	types)						[7,000]		•		
027	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		89,357		89,357		89,357				89,357
028	CARTRIDGE, IMPULSE, BBU-35/B										•
029	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		20,027		20,027		20,027				20.027
030	SIMULATOR, HOSTILE FIRE, XM34										
031	SIMULATOR, TARGET HIT, XM35										
032											
033	ALL OTHER (AMMO)										

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집额	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agra	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ŎĘ Ā	Cost	Oth	Cost	Ŏ O	Cost	A)	Cost	Q V	Cost
	MISCELLANEOUS										
034	-		15,228		15,228		15,228				15,228
035	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL		21,193		21,193		21,193				21,193
036			2,806		2,806		2,806				2,806
037	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		966'9		966'9		966'9				966'9
038	AMMUNITION PECULIAR		10,598		14,598		10,598				10,598
	Outloading Module-McAlester Army				[4,000]						
039	FIRST DESTINATION		12,564		12,564		12,564				12,564
040	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES		100		100		100	_			100
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE										
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT										
94	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL		187,388		187,388		208,388				187,388
	Radford AAP upgrades						[20,000]				
	Bomb line modernization						[1,000]				
042	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL		5,085		5,085		5,085				5,085
043	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE		5,619		5,619		5,619	_			5,619
044	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS		144,327		144,327		144,327				144,327
045	ARMS INITIATIVE		3,014		3,014		3,014	_			3,014

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Autl	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	nent ige	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	A)	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Oty	Cost	λίο	Cost	Oth V	Cost
046	RE-ESTIMATE OF GUARANTEED RE-ESTIMATE OF GUAR LOAN										
	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		2,275,791		2,294,791		2,303,791		5,000		2,280,791
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY TACTICAL AND SUPPORT TACTICAL VEHICLES										
001			88,428		88,428		88,428				88,428
005			62,345		62,345		62,345				62,345
003	SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS		47,476		47,476		47,476				47,476
904	三		946,734		946,734		946,734	7	-113,200		833,534
	ECV variants, funded ahead of need							Ì	[-113,200]		
002	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH		944,687		944,687		1,025,387	. ~	-100,000		844,687
	Additional palletized loading systems						[80,700]				
	Production Backlog							1-1	[-100,000]		
900	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED		19,378		19,378		19,378	•			19,378
000	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL		923,348		923,348		966,448				923,348
	Additional heavy equipment transporter system (HETS) – Army						[43 100]				
800		202	195,385	202	195,385	202	195,385	•	-13,700	202	181,685

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		RE	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ð	Cost	Qţ Ā	Cost	Ot/	Cost	Q.	Cost	ŞŢ.	Cost
	Unjustified Cost Growth								[-13,700]		
600	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE		182,367		182,367		182,367				182,367
010			14,870		14,870		14,870				14,870
011	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL		213,341		213,341		213,341				213.341
012	HMMWV RECAPITALIZATION						•				-
013	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP		32,219		37,219		32,219		1,000		33,219
	Passive Fuel Tank Fire Suppr. Kits -										
	FMTVs				[5,000]				[1,000]		
014	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH)		511		511		511		•		511
015		က	218	က	218	က	218			က	218
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES										
016	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	က	595	က	595	ო	595			ო	595
017	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	∞	280	œ	280	ω	280			80	280
018	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER		3,380		3,380		3,380				3,380
	COMMUNICATIONS AND										
	COMM-JOINT COMMUNICATIONS										
019	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION										
020	JOINT COMBAT IDENTIFICATION		12,910		12,910		12,910				12,910
021	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES		287,605		242,593		245,605		42,000		245,605
	WIN-T Increment 2 Reduction				[-45,012]				[-42,000]		
	Area Common User System										
	modernization program reduction						[-42,000]				

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집义	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ZĮ O	Cost	Q t	Cost	Qtv	Cost	Q T	Cost	Off	Cost
022	022 JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		4,114		4,114		4,114				4.114
	COMM-SATELLITE				•						· ·
023	SECOMP-I										
024			88,286		88,286		88,286				88,286
025	SHF TERM		298		298		15,298				298
	Super high frequency terminals –						•				
	Army UFR						[15,000]				
026	SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE)		807		807		807				807
027	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING		92,311		97,311		108,811		2,500		94,811
	Defense Advanced GPS Receivers				[5,000]				[2,500]		•
	Additional defense advanced GPS				•						
	receivers – Army UFR						[16,500]				
028	SMART-T (SPACE)		85,286		85,286		85,286				85.286
029	SCAMP (SPACE)		993		993		993				993
030	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS		35,385		35,385		35,385				35,385
031	Σ		6,075		6,075		10,075				6,075
	Tactical satellite equipment upgrades COMM-C3 SYSTEM						[4,000]				
032	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL		33,513		33,513		28,791				33,513
	Net-enabled command capability						[-4,722]				
	COMM-COMBAT										
033	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION		36,077		36,077		36,077				36,077

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title Qtv COMM-LONG HAUL	FY 2009 Request A	House Authorized M Cost	Senate Authorized Qty Cost	Ö	Agreement Change Y Cost	Auth Qty	Agreement Authorized Cost
	9,167	9,167		9,167			9,167
	28,736	28,736		28.736			28,736
	278,999	273,999	Q	666			278,999
		[-5,000]					
	6,726	6,726	9	6,726			6,726
	231,336	231,336	234,336 [3,000]	336 00)	2,000 [2,000]		233,336
	33,317	33,317	33,317	317			33,317
		_		_			_
				_			_
	58,333	58,333	58,	58,333			58,333
	11,377	11,377	11,377	377			11,377
	114,085	114,085	114,085	385			114,085
	316,598	316,598	316,598	298			316,598
	30,023	30,023	30,023	023			30,023
	26,802	26,802	26,802	302			26,802

Title I - Procurement

		교회	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre Ch	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Op.	Cost	A T	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	₹	Cost	Offy	Cost
964	DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM										
065	5 TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM										
990	S DCGS-A (MIP)		177,973		177,973		177,973				177,973
190			10,409		10,409		10,409				10,409
068	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT)		2,423		2,423		2,423				2,423
690			37,632		37,632		37,632				37,632
070	SEQUOYAH FOREIGN LANGUAGE		6,358								
	TRANSLATION SYSTEM				6,358		6,358				6,358
071	I ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP)		27,731		27,731		27,731				27,731
	ELECT EQUIP-ELECTRONIC										•
072	2 LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR		46,397		46,397		46,397				46,397
073	3 WARLOCK	38		38		38	•			38	•
074	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURIT		993		993		25,993				993
	Triton III						[25,000]				
075	G MODERNIZATION (MIP)		1,297		1,297		1,297				1,297
	ELECT EQUIP-TACTICAL SURV.										•
076	SENTINEL MODS		33,142		33,142		33,142				33,142
077	NIGHT VISION DEVICES		465,592		465,592		494,392				465,592
	Additional night vision devices -										
	Army UFR						[28,800]				
078	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT	41	210,766	41	210,766	4	210,766			41	210,766

Title I - Procurement

		Z Š	Request	Î ți	House Authorized	ALE IN	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Ag Ag	Agreement Authorized
- dui	Organia Title		100	ا		8		1			
2		Š	1800	3	T SO S	Š	Cost	Š	Cost	A O	Cost
620	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN		416,866		416,866		469,366				416.866
	Additional driver vision enhancement						-				-
	systems – Army UFR						[52,500]				
080	RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEMS		3,440		3,440		3.440				3.440
081	1 COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY &				•						
082	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP		447		447		447				447
083	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MMS)				1,000				1.000		1,000
	Retrofit 30th HBCT Radios With				•						
	Embedded SAASM GPS Card				[1,000]				[1,000]		
084	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE		2,579		2,579		2,579				2,579
085	PROFILER	80	12,517	∞	5,017	80	12,517			æ	12,517
	Profiler Meteorological System				[-7,500]						•
980	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP		16,342		16,342		16.342				16.342
087	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE		231,651		231,651		231,651				231.651
088	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER		150,094		150,094		150,094				150.094
680	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC		2,269		2,269		2.269				2.269
060	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM		21,037		21,037		21,037				21,037
091	COUNTERFIRE RADARS		107,061		60,361		107,061				107,061
	Program Reduction				[-46,700]						•
092	INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS				•						
093	ENHANCED SENSOR &		1,987		1,987		1,987				1,987

Title 1 - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		교회	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Se	Senate Authorized	Agr	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Ą o	Cost	Oth	Cost	ζ Otγ	Cost	O _t	Cost	∆	Cost
	ELECT EQUIP-TACTICAL C2										
094	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS		196,245		147,245		196,245				196,245
	Program Reduction				[-49,000]						
095	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY		53,908		53,908		53,908				53,908
960	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT		36,829		36,829		36,829				36,829
160			7,489		7,489		7,489				7,489
860	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING &		57,674		57,674		57,674				57,674
660	KNIGHT FAMILY		100,709		100,709		100,709				100,709
100	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUF		2,101		2,101		8,001				2,101
	Life cycle software support – Army						[5,900]				
101	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION		83,530		83,530		89,430				83,530
	Additional automatic identification										
	equipment – Army UFR						[2,900]				
102	102 TC AIMS II		31,879		31,879		37,779				31,879
	Additional transportation										
	coordinator's automated information for										
	movement system equipment (TC						[5,900]				
103	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT		11,059		11,059		11,059				11,059
104			4,809		4,809		4,809				4,809
105			30,077		30,077		30,077				30,077
106	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM		123,009		123,009		123,009				123,009

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		티쳁	FY 2009 Request	Auf IA	House Authorized	Auth Se	Senate Authorized	Agre C)	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	δ	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Qt	Cost	λ	Cost	ð	Cost
107	107 SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS		67,960		62,960		68,260				096'29
	Logistics Post Production Software Additional logistics automation				[-2'000]						
	systems – Army UFR						[300]				
108	MOUNTED BATTLE COMMAND ON		25,869		25,869		25,869				25,869
	ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION										
109	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE		30,137		30,137		30,137				30,137
110			13,481		13,481		13,481				13,481
111	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING		105,343		109,343		105,343		2,000		107,343
	Interoperable Radios - TX ARNG				[1,000]				[1,000]		
	Joint incident scene communication				[3,000]						
	capability								[1,000]		
112	CSS COMMUNICATIONS		36,744		36,744		42,644		,		36,744
	Combat service support										
	communications equipment - Army						[5,900]				
113	RESERVE COMPONENT		42,462		42,462		42,462				42,462
	ELECT EQUIP-AUDIO VISUAL SYS										
114	AFRTS										
115	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)		6,677		6,677		6,677				6,677
116	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		12,613		12,613		12,613				12,613
	ELECT EQUIP-MODS TACTICAL										
117	WEAPONIZATION OF UNMANNED		15,124		15,124		15,124				15,124

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost		8,517	•	514			1,085		58.426	16.814		93,930	147,270	•	46,007	46,783		58,437	3,192	12,773	•	12,996
Auth	₹							35					19										
Agreement Change	Cost		2,000	[2,000]	•																		
B O	ð												_	_									
Senate Authorized	Cost		6,517	•	514			1,085	•	58,426	16,814	•	93,930	147,270		46,007	52,783	[6,000]	58,437	3,192	12,773		12,996
Aut	∑							35					19										
House Authorized	Cost		8,517	[2,000]	514			1,085		58,426	16,814		93,930	147,270		46,007	46,783		58,437	3,192	12,773		12,996
Aut IA	σţζ							35					19										
FY 2009 Request	Cost		6,517		514			1,085		58,426	16,814		93,930	147,270		46,007	46,783		58,437	3,192	12,773		12,996
R	Qt							35					19										
	Program Title	ELECT EQUIP-SUPPORT	ITEMS UNDER \$5M (SSE)	SAASM PPS GPS Upgrade	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	MASK, ACFT	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY:	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT			ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION)		GRND STANDOFF MINE	Fido explosives detector	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL		AERIAL DETECTION	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT	HEATERS AND ECU'S
	Line	·	118		119			120	121	122	123		124	125		126	127		128	129	130		131

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		도 종 교	FY 2009 Request	Aut H	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	Agr.	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ŎĘ.	Cost	A)	Cost	Qt	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	A)	Cost
132	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND		7,002		7,002		7,002				7,002
133	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT		9,898		868'6		9,898				9,898
134	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE										
135	LAND WARRIOR				20,000		102,000				
					[20,000]		[102,000]				
136	FORCE PROVIDER										
137	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT		70,847		73,047		76,247		2,200		73,047
	Multi-temp refrigerated container										
	system (MTRCS)				[2,200]				[2,200]		
	Additional field feeding systems										
	Army UFR						[5,400]				
138	PARACHUTE & AERIAL DEL SYS		63,420		63,420		63,420				63,420
139	MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS		17,803		17,803		17,803				17,803
140	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)		32,602		32,602		32,602				32,602
141	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CSS EQ)										
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT										
142	_		1,285		1,285		1,285				1,285
143	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS,		61,545		61,545		61,545				61,545
	WATER EQUIPMENT						•				
144	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS		51,164		51,164		52,364				51,164
	Additional water purification systems										
	– Army UFR						[1,200]				

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	62,336	57,994 1,329	37,698	6,555	31,525	27,988
A A	Q1						
Agreement Change	Cost						
Agr	Ş.	9 5	4.0	8 = 8	22026	E 2 =	- m =
Senate Authorized	Cost	66,636	57,994 1,329	38,698 [1,000]	[3,000] 1,000 [1,000] 7,555	(1,000)	29,988 [2,000]
	ð						
House Authorized	Cost	62,336	57,994 1,329	37,698	6,555	31,525	27,988
-, a	Q \						
FY 2009 Request	Cost	62,336	57,994 1,329	37,698	6,555	31,525	27,988
띠찌	M						
	Program Title	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL Additional combat medical support equipment – Army UFR		CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 Additional graders – Army UFR SKID STEER I DADER (SSI) FAMILY		Additional water distributors – Army UFR MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING Additional engineer mission module water distributors – Army UFR	
	Line	145	146	148	150	152	153

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	9,565	33,727				7,906	54,508			44,703			17,030			168,846	17,615		7,803
Auth	δį																₩.			
Agreement Change	Cost																			
A	₹ O				_			_			•			_						~
Senate Authorized	Cost	9,565	35,727	[2,000]	1,000	[1,000]	7,906	62,508		[8,000]	45,703		[1,000]	21,030	[4,000]		168,846	17,615		7,803
Se Auth	Q£																-			
House Authorized	Cost	9,565	33,727				7,906	54,508			44,703			17,030			168,846	17,615		7,803
Auth	A)																₹			
FY 2009 Request	Cost	9,565	33,727				7,906	54,508			44,703			17,030			168,846	17,615		7,803
Reg	λίο																-			
	Program Title	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	Additional tractors - Army UFR	CRANES	Additional cranes - Army UFR	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER	Additional high mobility engineer	excavators - Army UFR	CONST EQUIP ESP	Additional construction equipment -	Army UFR	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST	Additional equipment - Army UFR	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	HARBORMASTER COMMAND AND	CAUSEWAY SYSTEMS	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M
	Line	154	155		156		157	158			159			160			161	162	163	164

Title I - Procurement

Agreement Authorized	Cost	1	217,749		45,000	48,981		16,508	242,014											
Aut	Q¥.																			
Agreement Change	Cost								23,400		[4,500]		[4,650]	[2,000]		[1,500]			[2,000]	
Ag 5	Oth																			
Senate Authorized	Cost		262,349	[44,600]	45,000	48,981		16,508	251,514					[6,000]			[6,000]			[5,000]
Aut IS	Δ Ω																			
House Authorized	Cost	1	217,749		45,000	48,981		16,508	244,014		[4,000]		[4,650]			[4,500]			[2,000]	
A E	σţ																			
FY 2009 Request	Cost	1	217,749		45,000	48,981		16,508	218,614											
<u> </u>	ζţ																			
	Program Title	GENERATORS	GENERALORS AND ASSOCIALED Additional tactical electric generators	- Army UFR	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY	TRAINING EQUIPMENT	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	Call for Fire Trnr II - Jnt Forces and	Effects Trainer Sys (1)	Combat Skills Simulation Systems -	OH ARNG	Combat Arms Training System	Immersive Group Sim Virtual	Training System HI ARNG	Immersive group simulation	Virtual Interactive Combat	Environment - NJ ARNG	Joint fires and effects trainer
	Line	,	165		166	167		168	169											

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost							65,626								23,106		689'6	46,296
Aut	QtX																		
Agreement Change	Cost		[4,000]		[3,000]		[1,750]	4,950		[3,000]	•	[450]		[1,500]	•				
A	<u>Qtv</u>			_				ဖ								တ		O)	ထ
Senate Authorized	Cost		[8,000] [2,900]	[2,000]				60,676								23,106		689'6	46,296
Ani	Qt																		
House Authorized	Cost	[4,000]	[1,500]		[3,000]		[1,750]	67,126		[3,000]		[450]		[3,000]	i	23,106		689'6	46,296
Aut	Q																		
FY 2009 Request	Cost							60,676							23,106			689'6	46,296
티웹	M																		
	Program Title	Ft Bragg Range 74 Comb Arms Collective Tng Facility I	Laser collective combat training Urban training center instrumentation Virtual Convoy Operations Trainer - KY ARNG	Operator driving simulators	Combat Skills Marksmanship	Instrumentation for Urban Assault	Course - TN ARNG	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL	Future Soldier Training System - TX	ARNG	Mobile Digital Target System - Army	Infantry Set	Abrams Mobile Conduct of Fires	Trainer Upgrades	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS	FACTICAL TRAINER (AVCAT)	FEST MEASURE AND DIG	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST
	Line							170 C							171 A	_	-	172 C	173 II

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		FIN	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oty	Cost	X)	Cost	Q	Cost	Oth	Cost	Off	Cost
174			22,377		22,377		22,377				22,377
175	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER		20,190		20,190		20,190				20,190
176	立		104,774		110,774		104,774		3,000		107,774
177	Battlefield Anti-Intrusion System		4 103		[6,000]		A 123		[3,000]		4 123
178	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC		45,741		45,741		45,741				45,741
179	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		3,107		3,107		3,107				3,107
180	BUILDING, PRE-FAB,										
181	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER		24,201		24,201		24,201				24,201
182	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3		10,826		10,826		13,226				10,826
	Additional spares - Army UFR						[2,400]				
183	MA8975		2,624		2,624		2,624				2,624
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
	OPA2										
184	INITIAL SPARES - C&E		36,334		36,334		36,334				36,334
	OPA3										
185	INITIAL SPARES - OTHER SUPPORT										
666	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		2,636		2,636		2,636				2,636
	TOTAL - OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	•	11.367.926		11.201.876		11.861.704		-224.850		11.143.076

*
_
-
O
_
⊏
ure
Ξ
_
O
0
ニ
Δ.
_
۵
+
:-
_

(Dollars in Thousands)

nent ized	Cost									200,000			200,000
Agreement Authorized	Q												
Agreement Change	Cost			-196,300						-100,000			-296,300
퉑이	A					_						_	
Senate Authorized	Cost					[-196,300]						[-300'000]	
Ant	A)												
House Authorized	Cost				[-196,300]						[-300,000]		
Aut	V												
FY 2009 Request	Cost			196,300						300,000			496,300
진恕	Q												
	Program Title	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND NETWORK ATTACK		002 DEFEAT THE DEVICE	Transfer to Title XV	Transfer to Title XV and Title XVI	FORCE TRAINING	TRAIN THE FORCE	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE	OPERATIONS	Transfer to Title XV	Transfer to Title XV and Title XVI	TOTAL - JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND
	Line		001	005						004			-

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

House Authorized (Cost
1,559,800
_
1,828,188
[-45,000] [4,500]
1,602,084
2,133,401
1,035,004

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

ment rized	Cost	496,374	92,749	154,994		289,253		119,545	33,932		55,337			33,436	51,093		450,909	34,636	6,375
Agreement Authorized	Oth V	ო		7		44		7			ო								
Agreement Change	Cost																		
₽ O	Oty																		
Senate Authorized	Cost	330,874	92,749	154,994		289,253		119,545	33,932		55,337			33,436	51,093		450,909	34,636	6,375
Auth	S	2		7		4		7			ო								
House Authorized	Cost	496,374	92,749	154,994		289,253		119,545	33,932		55,337			33,436	51,093	•	450,909	34,636	6,375
Auth	Ş O	ო		7		4		7			ო								
FY 2009 Request	Cost	496,374	92,749	154,994		289,253		119,545	33,932		55,337			33,436	51,093		450,909	34,636	6,375
도 전	Qt/	ო		7		4		7			က								
	Program Title	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE Defer one aircraft to reflect radar development delays	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT	C-40A TRAINER AIRCRAFT	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK	JPATS	OTHER AIRCRAFT	KC-130J	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	F-5	VTUAV	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT	EA-6 SERIES	AV-8 SERIES	ADVERSARY	F-18 SERIES	H-46 SERIES	AH-1W SERIES
	Line	016	017	018	019	020		021	022	023	024	025		026	027	028	029	030	031

Title I - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

		십	FY 2009	푀	Honse	Se	Senate	Agre	Agreement	Agre	Agreement
		Rec	Rednest	Auth	orized	Anth	orized	ᅴ	ange	Ant	Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oţv O	Cost	ŎĘ Ş	Cost	Oth	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	δţ	Cost
032	H-53 SERIES		56,381		56,381		64,381		5,000		61,381
	Integrated mechanical diagnostics										
	health & usage management system						[8,000]		[2,000]		
033	SH-60 SERIES		72,493		72,493		72,493				72,493
034	H-1 SERIES		8,901		8,901		8,901				8,901
035	EP-3 SERIES		72,370		72,370		72,370				72,370
036	P-3 SERIES		297,896		297,896		457,896				297,896
	Critical P-3 life sustainment										
	improvements						[160,000]				
037	S-3 SERIES										
038	E-2 SERIES		11,489		11,489		11,489				11,489
039	TRAINER A/C SERIES		26,160		26,160		26,160				26,160
040	C-2A		22,120		22,120		22,120				22,120
4	C-130 SERIES		6,468		6,468		6,468				6,468
042	FEWSG		671		671		671				671
043	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES		17,952		17,952		17,952				17,952
044	E-6 SERIES		88,894		88,894		88,894				88,894
045	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		31,819		31,819		31,819				31,819
046	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAF		14,113		14,113		14,113				14,113
047	T-45 SERIES		999'29		67,666		999'29				67,666
048	POWER PLANT CHANGES		28,219		28,219		28,219				28,219
049	JPATS SERIES		8,892		8,892		8,892				8,892

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집	FY 2009 Request	Auth IA	House Authorized	S Anth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ζ O	Cost	Δ¥	Cost	Oţ,	Cost	Ott	Cost	X)	Cost
050	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS		7,190		7,190		7,190				7,190
051	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		66,425		72,425		76,425		6,000		72,425
	ALQ-214 Organic Depot AN/AAR-47 hostile fire				[6,000]				[2,000]		
	improvements						[10,000]		[4,000]		
052			148,940		148,940		148,940				148,940
053	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON		10,786		10,786		10,786				10,786
054			12,032		12,032		12,032				12,032
055	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY		41,473		41,473		41,473				41,473
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR										
056	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,229,135		1,229,135		1,229,135		-7,100		1,222,035
	JSF Procurement Ramp-Up								[-7,100]		
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
057	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		442,390		442,390		442,390				442,390
058	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		11,068		11,068		11,068				11,068
059	WAR CONSUMABLES		62,256		62,256		62,256				62,256
090	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		27,870		27,870		27,870				27,870
061	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		36,539		36,539		36,539				36,539
062	FIRST DESTINATION		1,756		1,756		1,756				1,756
963	CANCELLED ACCOUNT										

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		리	FY 2009	I	House	S)	Senate	Agre	Agreement	Agre	Agreement
		S)	Rednest	Ant	Authorized	Aut	Authorized	뒨	Change	Aut	Authorized
Line	Program Title	Q _L	Cost	ξ	Cost	₹ S	Cost	ζ	Cost	Qty	Cost
	TOTAL - AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		14,716,774		14,627,274		14,729,274		-158,900		14,557,874
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES										
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES										
001	TRIDENT II MODS	24	1,093,168	24	1,093,168	24	1.093.168			24	1 093 168
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND				•					ì	22 (22)
005			3,496		3,496		3,496				3.496
	OTHER MISSILES				•		•) } •
	STRATEGIC MISSILES										
003	TOMAHAWK	207	281,096	207	281,096	207	281.096			207	281 096
	TACTICAL MISSILES		•							; }	22
004	004 AMRAAM	147	146,830	147	146,830	147	146,830	-84	-45.600	63	101,230
	Smooth Production Delay						•		[-45,600]	:	
002	SIDEWINDER	205	57,497	205	57,497	205	57,497			205	57,497
900	NOSC	496	149,144	496	149,144	496	149,144			496	149 144
200	SLAM-ER		i		•	!					· · · ·
900	STANDARD MISSILE	70	227,958	2	227,958	2	227,958			70	227,958
600	RAM	80	74,287	06	74,287	8	74,287			06	74.287
010	HELLFIRE	1,068	95,358	1,068	95,358	1,068	95,358		·	1.068	95,358
011	AERIAL TARGETS		83,313		83,313		83,313				83,313

Title I - Procurement
(Dollars in Thousands)

		ĭĕ	FY 2009 Reguest	됩	House	Se	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement	Agre	Agreement
•					20710		20110	3	PRIIB		1011760
	Program Title	Q	Cost	₹ T	Cost	δţ	Cost	Q S	Cost	ğ	Cost
012	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		9,478		9.478		9.478				9.478
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES										<u>;</u>
013	ESSM	86	85,061	98	85,061	98	85,061			98	85,061
014	HARM MODS		42,735		42,735		42,735				42,735
015	STANDARD MISSILES MODS		77,360		77,360		77,360				77,360
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND										
016	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		3,266		3,266		33,266		30,000		33,266
	Accelerate facility restoration						[30,000]		[30,000]		
017	ш.		479,680		479,680		479,680		•		479,680
018			27,776		27,776		27,776				27,776
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										•
019	_		43,708		43,708		43,708				43.708
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED										•
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.										
020	SSTD										
021	ASW TARGETS		8,929		8,929		8,929				8.929
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND						•				•
022	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS	120	77,782	120	77,782	120	77,782		-6,600	120	71,182
	Support Funding Carryover								[-6,600]		
023	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS		61,545		61,545		61,545		1		61,545
024	QUICKSTRIKE MINE		3,506		3,506		3,506				3,506

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

sed red	Cost		36,002	9,872		3,442			13,619		167,967	21,082	60,061	2,786	45,168	8,618		20,532			53,360
Agreement Authorized																					
4141	A)																				
Agreement Change	Cost																				
A	V								_												_
Senate Authorized	Cost		36,002	9,872		3,442			13,619		167,967	21,082	60,061	2,786	45,168	8,618		20,532			53,360
Ant	Oty																				
<u>House</u> Authorized	Cost		36,002	9,872		3,442			13,619		167,967	21,082	60,061	2,786	45,168	8,618		20,532			53,360
Au	₹ T																				
FY 2009 Request	Cost		36,002	9,872		3,442			13,619		167,967	21,082	60,061	2,786	45,168	8,618		20,532			53,360
집욊	O T																				
	Program Title	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	FIRST DESTINATION	OTHER WEAPONS	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN	CIWS MODS	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	GUN MOUNT MODS	LCS MODULE WEAPONS	CRUISER MODERNIZATION	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION	OTHER	MARINE CORPS TACTIAL	CANCELLED ACCOUNT	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS
	Line		025	026		027			028		029	030	031	032	033	034		035	036		037

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		티웹	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Aut	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agre	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	δţ	Cost	Oth	Cost	Ot A	Cost	Ş S	Cost	Ş S	Cost
	TOTAL - WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		3,575,482		3,575,482		3,605,482		-22,200		3,553,282
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, PROC AMMO, NAVY NAVY AMMUNITION										
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS Product Improvement Program		168,437		168,437		168,437		-14,700 [-14,700]		153,737
005	片	169	908'6	169	908'6	169	908'6			169	9.306
003	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		32,250		32,250		32,250				32,250
004			18,916		18,916		18,916				18,916
002			39,419		39,419		39,419				39,419
900			46,644		46,644		46,644				46,644
200											
008			79,805		79,805		79,805				79,805
600			3,178		3,178		3,178				3,178
010	MK 258 MOD 1		296		296		196				296
011			20,959		20,959		20,959				20,959
012			15,780		15,780		15,780				15,780
013			35,111		35,111		35,111				35,111
014	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY		45,129		45,129		45,129				45,129
015	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		10,464		10,464		10,464				10,464

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Ţ	FY 2009 Request	Aut le	House Authorized	Anth Re	Senate Authorized	Agra Garage	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Ö	Cost	χį	Cost	O T	Cost	Otz	Cost	Qt _X	Cost
016	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5		3,207		3,207		3,207				3,207
	PROC AMMO, MC										
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION										
017	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		108,436		108,436		108,436				108,436
018	5.56 MM, ALL TYPES										
019	7.62 MM, ALL TYPES										
020	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		17,677		17,677		17,677				17,677
021	.50 CALIBER										
022	40 MM, ALL TYPES		60,433		60,433		60,433				60,433
023	60MM, ALL TYPES		44,846		44,846		44,846				44,846
024	81MM, ALL TYPES		54,879		54,879		54,879				54,879
025	120MM, ALL TYPES		87,500		87,500		87,500				87,500
026			2,300		2,300		2,300				2,300
027	9 MM ALL TYPES										
028	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		39,038		39,038		48,038		2,000		41,038
	Program increase (Grenades all										
	types)						[000'6]		[2,000]		
029	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		26,087		26,087		26,087				26,087
030	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		117,213		117,213		117,213				117,213
031	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING										
032	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL		18,974		18,974		18,974				18,974
033	FUZE, ALL TYPES		3,460		3,460		3,460				3,460

Title I - Procurement
(Dollars in Thousands)

		띠胐	FY 2009 Request	Ant IH	House Authorized	Ant IS	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agi	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oth	Cost	St.	Cost	Oth	Cost	Q\$	Cost	Q	Cost
034			2,158		2,158		2,158				2,158
035	S AMMO MODERNIZATION		5,644		5,644		5,644				5,644
036	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,495		4,495		4,495				4,495
	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT OF										
	AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE		1,122,712		1,122,712		1,131,712		-12,700		1,110,012
	SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION,										
	OTHER WARSHIPS										
90	U		2,712,251		2,712,251		2,712,251				2,712,251
005	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		1,214,188		1,214,188		1,214,188				1,214,188
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	-	2,107,040	_	2,107,040		2,107,040			-	2,107,040
904	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		1,316,548		2,038,548		1,395,548		300,000		1,616,548
	VA Advance Procurement				[722,000]				[300,000]		
	Additional funds to smooth ramp up										
	to 2 boats per year						[79,000]				
002	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS	~	606,561	_	606,561	4	606,561			~	606,561
900	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		21,389		21,389		21,389				21,389
007	0)										•
900	SSBNERO	-	221,823	-	221,823	_	221,823			~	221,823
600	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		39,363		39,363		39,363				39,363

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F	FY 2009 Request	Anth	House Authorized	Aut	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qt/	Cost	δ	Cost	Oty V	Cost	Oth	Cost	Qt v	Cost
010	۵	~	2,502,803			_	2,502,803			•	2,502,803
011	Program Reduction ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) Surface Shin Advanced		50,980		[-2,502,803] 400,000		50,980				50,980
013	Procurement				[349,020]				349 020		349 020
	Advanced Procurement	c	000	c	000	c	707		[349,020]	c	
2	Program Reduction	Ŋ	950,000	7	[-80,000]	4	000, 16.			1	950,000
	Fully fund two ships to cost cap in FY 09, reflecting government furnished										
	material (GFM) from cancelled ships						[-123,000]				
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS										
014	LPD-17		103,216	-	1,800,000				-103,216		
	LPD-17				[1,696,784]						
2,5	Transfer to SCN 15						[-103,216]		[-103,216]		600 000
2							[103,216]		[103,216]		
	Advance procurement for additional						•				
	ship in FY 10						[170,000]				
	Advance procurement for two										
	additional ships								[496,784]		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	X Cost	178,300	1 174,782		429,587	36,317	6 110,918	165,152	14,057,022	7,973 9,405 38,800
Agreement Change	Qfy Cost Qty	178,300	[348,300] [-170,000]						1,324,104	
Senate Authorized	Cost	178,300	[348,300] [-170,000] 174,782		429,587	36,317	110,918	165,152	13,037,218	7,973 9,405 38,800
House Authorized Au	Cost Qtv		174,782		429,587	36,317	110,918 6	165,152	12,917,919	7,973 9,405 38,800
	Cost Qtv		174,782 1		429,587	36,317	10,918 6	65,152	12,732,918	7,973 9,405 38,800
FY 2009 Request	70 70 70		1 17		42	က	9	_	12,73	, and the second
	Program Title	LHA REPLACEMENT Transfer from National Defense		SPECIAL PURPOSE OCEANOGRAPHIC SHIPS	OUTFITTING	SERVICE CRAFT	LCAC SLEP	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING	TOTAL - SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT LM-2500 GAS TURBINE ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE OTHER PROPULSION EQUIPMENT
	Line	016	017	018	020	021	022	023		001 002 003

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	47,549	69,078	165,496		8,293	6,345	27,923	22,686	199,904	41,132	9,953	5,733	232,370	174	11,991
Aut	Oth															
Agreement Change	Cost															
A	A)	O)	œ	ထ		ဗ	5	ဗ	9	4	2	ဗ	ဗ	0	4	<u>.</u>
Senate Authorized	Cost	47,549	69,078	190,496	[25,000]	8,293	6,345	27,923	22,68	199,904	41,132	9,953	5,733	232,370	174	11,991
Aut	Oth															
House Authorized	Cost	47,549	69,078	165,496		8,293	6,345	27,923	22,686	199,904	41,132	9,953	5,733	232,370	174	11,991
Aut H	M															
FY 2009 Request	Cost	47,549	69,078	165,496		8,293	6,345	27,923	22,686	199,904	41,132	9,953	5,733	232,370	174	11,991
집욊	δţ															
	Program Title	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT	PERISCOPES SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	DDG MOD	Planning, engineering & procurement for service life extension alterations	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	COMMAND AND CONTROL	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT	DSSP EQUIPMENT	CG MODERNIZATION		
	Line	004	900	200		900	600	010	011	012	013	014	015	016	017	018

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	146,656				6,598	15,212			236,693		6,549		17,839		689'9		51,631		70,694
Aut	ZĮ.																			
Agreement Change	Cost	10,500	[3,000]	[2,500]	[5.000]															
불미	ŎĘ.											_		_						
Senate Authorized	Cost	136,156				6,598	15,212			236,693		6,549		17,839		5,689		51,631		70,694
Aut	Ott																			
House Authorized	Cost	148,156	[3,000]	[4,000]	[5,000]	6,598	15,212			236,693		6,549		17,839		5,689		51,631		70,694
Aut IH	Ş S																			
FY 2009 Request	Cost	136,156				6,598	15,212			236,693		6,549		17,839		5,689		51,631		70,694
다 왕	O T																			
	Program Title	019 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	JP-5 Electric Valves Canned Lube Pumps (CLP) LSD-	41/49 Class Amphibious Ships	Program	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT	REACTOR POWER UNITS	REACTOR COMPONENTS	OCEAN ENGINEERING	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	SMALL BOATS	STANDARD BOATS	TRAINING EQUIPMENT	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING	PRODUCTION FACILITIES AND	OPERATING FORCES IPE	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS
	Line	019				020	021		022	023		024		025		026		027		028

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		ZI ŽI	FY 2009 Request	Auth IA	House Authorized	Auth Auth	Senate Authorized	BB	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oţ.	Cost	Otv	Cost	Qtx	Cost	Qty	Cost	Otr Otr	Cost
029	LCS MODULES		131,241		81,241		131,241		-25,091		106,150
	LCS Mission Modules				[-20,000]				[-25,091]		
	LOGISTIC SUPPORT										
030	LSD MIDLIFE		90,675		92,345		90,675				90,675
	Boat Davit System Improvement				[1,670]						
	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
031	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
	COMMUNICATIONS AND										
	SHIP RADARS										
032	RADAR SUPPORT	7	10,503	7	10,503	7	10,503			7	10,503
	SHIP SONARS										
033	SPQ-9B RADAR		9,281		14,681		9,281		5,400		14,681
	Radar Improvements				[5,400]				[5,400]		
034	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT	က	117,685	က	117,685	က	117,685		-12,900	က	104,785
	Combat System Upgrade Kit								[-12,900]		
035	SSN ACOUSTICS		284,153		284,153		284,153				284,153
036	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT	ო	15,578	က	15,578	က	15,578			က	15,578
037	SONAR SWITCHES AND		13,858		13,858		13,858				13,858
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT										
038	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE		20,857		20,857		20,857				20,857
039	SSTD		10,058		10,058		10,058				10,058
940	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		44,997		44,997		44,997				44,997

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집휪	FY 2009 Request	Aut IA	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Bel	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qt V	Cost	Ot V	Cost	A)	Cost	O T	Cost	A)	Cost
041	SURTASS		26,675		26,675		26,675				26,675
042			25,188		25,188		25,188				25,188
043	ELECTRONIC WARFARE AN/SLQ-32		29,280		29,280		29,280				29,280
044	INFORMATION WARFARE SYSTEMS										
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT										
045	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT		83,408		83,408		83,408				83,408
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE										
046	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		103,597		103,597		103,597				103,597
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC										
047											
	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT		34,561								
048					34,561		34,561				34,561
049	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT		25,923		25,923		25,923				25,923
020	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND		31,283		31,283		31,283				31,283
051	ATDLS		14,206		14,206		14,206				14,206
052	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM		49,024		49,024		49,024				49,024
053	SHALLOW WATER MCM		7,355		7,355		7,355				7,355
054	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS		10,893		10,893		10,893				10,893
055	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV		4,178		4,178		4,178				4,178
056	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT		4,106		4,106		4,106				4,106

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		교환	FY 2009 Request	A th	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	<u>Qt</u>	Cost	ζį (Cost	ζ	Cost	Otr T	Cost	O T	Cost
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT										
057	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT		29,791		29,791		29,791				29,791
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC										
058	MATCALS		17,409		17,409		17,409				17,409
029	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC		7,944		7,944		7,944				7,944
090	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING		18,787		18,787		18,787				18,787
061	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM		29,066		29,066		29,066				29,066
062	AIR STATION SUPPORT		8,238		8,238		8,238				8,238
063	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM		10,799		10,799		10,799				10,799
964	FACSFAC										
990	ID SYSTEMS		34,560		34,560		34,560				34,560
990	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING		9,512		9,512		9,512				9,512
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC										
290	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND		9,031		9,031		9,031				9,031
068	TADIX-B		5,309		5,309		5,309				5,309
690	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT		6,209		6,209		6,209				6,209
070	COMMON IMAGERY GROUND		67,133		67,133		67,133				67,133
071	RADIAC		9,840		9,840		9,840				9,840
072	GPETE		5,521		5,521		5,521				5,521
073	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST		4,558		4,558		4,558				4,558
074	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION		8,384		8,384		8,384				8,384
075	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		48,930		48,930		48,930				48,930

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		전 종	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre Ch	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	XX O	Cost	₹	Cost	A)	Cost	ŎĮ.	Cost	A)	Cost
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS										
076	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL		6		6		6				6
077	PORTABLE RADIOS		14,352		14,352		14,352				14,352
078	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS		333,335		333,335		333,335				333,335
079	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER		35,647		35,647		35,647				35,647
080	SUBMARINE BROADCAST		3,139		3,139		3,139				3,139
081	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION		76,761		76,761		76,761				76,761
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS										
082			122,003		122,003		122,003				122,003
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS										
083	JCS COMMUNICATIONS		2,376		2,376		2,376				2,376
084			1,293		1,293		1,293				1,293
085	NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		8,563		8,563		8,563				8,563
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT										
086			101,153		101,153		101,153				101,153
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT										
087	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS		16,716		16,716		16,716				16,716
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT										
088	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT		16,846		16,846		16,846				16,846
	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT										
680	OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION										

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	Request Qty Cost	ğ	Authorized v Cost	Auth	Authorized	원 장	Change	Auth Oty	Authorized Cost
AVIATION SUPPORT FOLIPMENT				j				1	
	Š	(0		44.0				110 600
SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		112,603	112,603		112,603				112,603
WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT	Ø	64,396	64,396		64,396				64,396
EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	~	8,308	8,308		8,308				8,308
AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	7	12,761	12,761		12,761				12,761
AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY	4	46,362	46,362		46,362				46,362
ETEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	7	24,742	24,742		24,742				24,742
OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC	-	1,603	1,603		1,603				1,603
AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	-	17,673	25,673		17,673		2,000		19,673
Multi-Climate Protection System			[8,000]				[2,000]		
AIRBORNE MINE	ਲੇ	39,363	39,363		39,363				39,363
LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD	ਲੱ	35,117	35,117		35,117				35,117
OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT	~	13,335	13,335		13,335				13,335
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT									
NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM		1,695	1,695		1,695				1,695
JN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT		8,244	8,244		8,244				8,244
SHIP MISSILE SYSTEM EQUIPMENT HARPOON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT									
NATO SEASPARROW	7	12,270	12,270		12,270				12,270

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Rec	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Se	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qtv	Cost	Oth Oth	Cost	Qt⁄	Cost	Q¥	Cost	QtA	Cost
105	105 RAM GMLS		23,492		23,492		23,492		-7,200		16,292
40	Launcher System Phasing		46 697		46.687		46 697		[-7,200]		46.607
107			85,424		85,424		85.424				85,424
108			61,976		61,976		61,976				61,976
109	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS		5,644		5,644		5,644				5,644
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
110	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS		118,814		118,814		118,814				118,814
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
111	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	•	98,010		98,010		98,010				98,010
112	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT		5,374		5,374		5,374				5,374
113	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT		4,622		4,622		4,622				4,622
114	ASW RANGE SUPPORT		9,199		9,199		9,199				9,199
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT										
115	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL		46,546		46,546		46,546				46,546
116	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		3,535		3,535		3,535				3,535
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE										
117	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM		38,077		38,077		38,077				38,077
118			9,822		9,822		9,822				9,822
119	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE		33,583		33,583		37,383		3,500		37,083
	Standardize metrics assessment of										
	readiness & training						[3,800]		[3,500]		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qt	Cost	Q V	Cost	A)	Cost	δţ	Cost	λ	Cost
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT										
120			1,966		1,966		1,966				1,966
121	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		829		829		829				829
122	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE		12,167		12,167		12,167				12,167
123			16,289		16,289		16,289				16,289
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES		29,686		29,686		29,686				29,686
125	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		14,041		14,041		14,041				14,041
126	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		5,418		5,418		5,418				5,418
127	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		22,379		22,379		22,379	_			22,379
128			1,116		1,116		1,116				1,116
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
129	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		14,971		14,971		14,971				14,971
130	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT		9,247		9,247		9,247				9,247
131	FIRST DESTINATION		6,216		6,216		6,216				6,216
132	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY		74,103		74,103		74,103				74,103
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND										٠
	TRAINING DEVICES										
133			16,764		16,764		16,764				16,764
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
134	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		43,175		45,175		48,025		4,500		47,675
	Man overboard indicators (MOBI)						[4,850]		[3,500]		
	High performance computing				[2,000]				[1,000]		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

+-l +	#1	2,018	6,493	1,646		13,116	3,510	24,244	144,863	35,600								251,765	18,314		5,463,565
Agreement Authorized	Cost	.,	v	•		7	¥	5	147	સં								251	31		5,463
Agr	Oth																				
Agreement Change	Cost																				-19,291
Agr	OF V																				
Senate Authorized	Cost	2,018	6,493	1,646		13,116	13,510	24,244	144,863	35,600								251,765	18,314		5,516,506
Aut	Q																				
House Authorized	Cost	2,018	6,493	1,646	-	13,116	13,510	24,244	144,863	35,600		_						251,765	18,314		5,461,926
Aut	A																				
FY 2009 Request	Cost	2,018	6,493	1,646		13,116	13,510	24,244	144,863	35,600		_						251,765	18,314		5,482,856
된 종	Oth																				
	Program Title	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	SPECIAL PROGRAM	PRODUCTIVITY PROGRAMS	JUDGMENT FUND	OTHER	CANCELLED ACCOUNT	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	TOTAL - OTHER PROCUREMENT	NAVY
	Line	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145		146		147		148	666		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Rec	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Se	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qt V	Cost	Ot	Cost	Oth	Cost	ð	Cost	ζį O	Cost
	DECCHEMENT MARINE CORDS										
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT										
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES										
90	AAV7A1 PIP		5,441		5,441		5,441				5,441
005											
003			64,526		64,526		64,526				64,526
900	M1A1 FIREPOWER		14,706		14,706		14,706				14,706
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS										
900	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT		22,102		22,102		22,102				22,102
900			2,500		2,500		2,500				2,500
200	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY		109,460		109,460		109,460				109,460
800	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		24,220		24,220		24,220				24,220
	WEAPONS										
600	MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM										
	OTHER SUPPORT										
010	MODIFICATION KITS		11,165		11,165		11,165				11,165
011	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT		24,223		24,223		24,223				24,223
	GUIDED MISSILES AND										
	GUIDED MISSILES										
012	ত		12,569		12,569		12,569		-7,076		5,493
	Program Delays								[-7,076]		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Qtv Cost			4,925			14,883			35,188		34,665			9,661	52,978			32,516		2,654	40 929
Agreement Change	Cost															-25,000	[-25,000]					
B O	OF			5			9			8		5				80			9		4	œ
Senate Authorized	Cost			4,925			14,883			35,188		34,665			9,661	77,978			32,516		2,654	49 838
W N	Qt V																					
House Authorized	Cost			4,925			7,883	[-2,000]		35,188		34,665			9,661	77,978			32,516		2,654	49.838
Au .	Ŏ Į																					
FY 2009 Request	Cost			4,925			14,883			35,188		34,665			9,661	77,978			32,516		2,654	49,838
디찗	ð													•								
	Program Title	JAVELIN	OTHER SUPPORT	MODIFICATION KITS	COMMUNICATIONS AND	COMMAND AND CONTROL	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	Unit Operations Center Reduction	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	MODIFICATION KITS	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM &	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	CAC2S Program Restructure	RADAR AND EQUIPMENT (NON-	RADAR SYSTEMS	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT
	Line	013		014			015			016		017	018		019	020			021		022	023

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost		24,868		106,499	15,816	95,845	•	40,639	15,527			1,201	13,691	•	2.666		944	-	324,578			27,619
Agre	A)															_							
Agreement Change	Cost																						
A O	ð				_				_														
Senate Authorized	Cost		24,868		106,499	15,816	95,845		40,639	15,527	·		1,201	13,691		2,666	•	944		299,578		[-25,000]	27,619
Aut	δţ															7							
House Authorized	Cost		24,868		106,499	15,816	47,945	[-47,900]	40,639	15,527			1,201	13,691		2,666		944		146,540	[-178,038]		27,619
Auth	ĕ															7							
FY 2009 Request	Cost		24,868		106,499	15,816	95,845		40,639	15,527			1,201	13,691		2,666		944		324,578			27,619
Rec	Oth															7							
	Program Title	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	RADIO SYSTEMS	Radio Systems Reduction	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE	SUPPORT VEHICLES	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	TACTICAL VEHICLES	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	MOTOR TRANSPORT	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	LIGHTWEIGHT PRIME MOVER	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP	Transfer to Title XV	Program reduction & realignment	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS
	Line		024		025	026	027		028	029			030	031		032	033	034	035	036			037

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		占	FY 2009	到	House	δ	Senate	Agr	Agreement	Agr	Agreement
		Rec	Request	Auth	Authorized	Auth	Authorized	히	Change	Aut	Authorized
Line	Program Title	Otv.	Cost	V	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Oth	Cost	Qţ.	Cost
038	038 TRAILERS		8,424		8,424		8,424				8,424
	OTHER SUPPORT										
039	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,046		4,046		4,046				4,046
	ENGINEER AND OTHER										
040	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP		5,131		8,131		5,131				5,131
	GATEWAY System				[3,000]						
40	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		9,571		9,571		9,571				9,571
042	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS		19,067		20,567		19,067		1,500		20,567
	Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage				[1,500]				[1,500]		
043	043 POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		16,501		16,501		16,501				16,501
044	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT		13,239		13,239		13,239				13,239
045	EOD SYSTEMS		28,568		28,568		28,568				28,568
	MATERIALS HANDLING										
046	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		12,589		12,589		12,589				12,589
047	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER		11,813		11,813		11,813				11,813
948	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		30,758		30,758		30,758				30,758
049	FIRST DESTINATION		5,867		5,867		5,867				5,867

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		٢	FY 2009	I)	House	ØΙ	Senate	Agre	Agreement	Agr	Agreement
		駋	Rednest	Ant	Authorized	Ant	Authorized	ᆡ	Change	Aut	Authorized
Line	Program Title	A O	Cost	Ş S	Cost	ζ	Cost	Oty	Cost	Oţ.	Cost
	GENERAL PROPERTY										
020	050 FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		6,623		18,623		14,523		4,000		10,623
	Combat casualty care equipment				•		•		•		
	upgrades				[4,000]		[7,900]		[4,000]		
	Chemical Biological Incident								•		
	Response Force equipment				[8,000]						
051	TRAINING DEVICES		57,476		57,476		57,476				57,476
052	CONTAINER FAMILY		4,050		4,050		4,050				4,050
053	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION		22,461		22,461		22,461				22.461
054	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY		7,987		7,987		7.987				7.987
055	BRIDGE BOATS				•		•				
056	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN		2,907		2,907		2,907				2.907
	OTHER SUPPORT				,		•				
057	MODIFICATION KITS										
058	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		8,114		8,114		8,114				8.114
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										•
059	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		14,460		14,460		14,460				14,460
	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT, MARINE										
	CORPS		1,512,765		1,296,327		1,495,665		-26,576		1,486,189

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

<u>a</u>]	Program Title	Re Re	Request Cost	Auth Otv	House Authorized (Cost	Auth Se Otv	Senate Authorized Cost	Agre Oth	Agreement Change V Cost	Auth Otv	Agreement Authorized Y Cost
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE COMBAT AIRCRAFT	AIR										
TACTICAL FORCES F-35		∞	1,673,787	80	1,673,787	ω	1,673,787	7	-169,489	7	1,504,298
Procurement Ramp - Aircraft ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) JSF Competitive Engine	5		136,904		167,904 [31,000]		171,904		35,000		171,904
F136 engine advance procurement F-22A	ment	20	3,054,197	50	3,054,197	70	[35,000] 3,054,197		[35,000]	20	2,907,197
Reduction for Last Lot Cost ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	(497,000		[-147,000] 523,000		523,000
Advance procurement of life of down costs Program Increase	e S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S						[497,000]		[523,000]		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		짇휈	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth Se	Senate Authorized	A	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
	Program Title	Ö	Cost	Oth	Cost	Q X	Cost	Oth	Cost	ζĮ	Cost
H	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
0	OPERATIONAL TRAINERS										
012 JF	JPATS		33,196		33,196		27,654		-5,542		27,654
	USAF-requested correction of										
⋾	JPATS (T-6) spares adjustment						[-5,542]		[-5,542]		
0	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
I	HELICOPTERS										
013 C	CSAR-X ADVANCE PROCUREMENT		15,000						-15,000		
	Program Delay				[-15,000]		[-15,000]		[-15,000]		
014	V22 OSPREY	9	409,027	9	409,027	ဖ	409,027			9	409,027
	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		14,266		14,266		14,266				14,266
-2	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT										
016 C	2-29A FLIGHT INSPECTION ACFT	-	31,877	-	31,877	_	31,877			_	31,877
O	C-40			~	88,000				88,000	_	88,000
	Additional Aircraft				[88,000]				[88,000]		
O	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C		2,435		2,435		2,435				2,435
U	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
019 T	TARGET DRONES		70,576		70,576		70,576	.			70,576
0	GLOBAL HAWK	5	658,319		658,319	လ	689,319	_		S.	658,319
	UAV NAS radar						[31,000]	_			
	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		53,832		53,832		53,832	~ !			53,832

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Reg	FY 2009 Request	Autho	House Authorized	Auth Se	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agre	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	γ	Cost	Qtv	Cost	ŎŢ.	Cost	QĮ.	Cost	Ott.	Cost
022	022 MQ-1	38	378,703	88	378,703	38	409,703			88	378,703
	UAV NAS radar						[31,000]				
023		6	161,439	6	161,439	თ	161,439			თ	161,439
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT										
024			330 303		200,000		240 003		000		000
1)		760,000		790,097		240,032		000,10-		780,687
	I ransfer from RDAF-61				[18,500]						
	B-2 radar - transfer from PE 64204F										
	(RDAF 61)						[18,500]		[18,500]		
	Transfer to APAF-24a				[-49,800]				[49,800]		
024a	024a B-2 Advance Procurement				49,800				49,800		49,800
	Transfer from APAF 24				[49,800]				[49,800]		
025	025 B-1B		71,774		71,774		71,774		-31,900		39,874
	CMUP Program Delay								[-31,900]		
026	B-52		41,699		41,699		99,299				41,699
	SAASM						[18,100]				
	CONNECT						[22,800]				
	AWI						[16,700]				
027	027 F-117						•				
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT										
028	028 A-10		144,077		144,077		144,077				144,077
029	F-15		12,326		12,326		12,326				12,326

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Rec	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qty	Cost	Oth	Cost	A O	Cost	ð	Cost	Š	Cost
030	F-16		273,694		273,694		273,694				273,694
031	F-22A		327,046		327,046		327,046				327,046
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT										
032			485,484		425,484		485,484		-56,400		429,084
	Excess to Requirements				[-60,000]				[-56,400]		
033	4		97,600		70,929		97,600				97,600
	Excess to Requirements				[-26,671]						
034	034 C-17A		331,535		340,301		340,335		8,766		340,301
	Transfer from APAF-5				[8,766]		[8,800]		[8,766]		
035	C-21		11,001		11,001		752		-10,249		752
	USAF-requested transfer to APAF 40						[-10,249]		[-10,249]		
036	C-32A		11,373		11,373		11,373				11,373
037	C-37A		2,407		2,407		2,407				2,407
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT										
038	GLIDER MODS		121		121		121				121
039			21,122		21,122		20,755		-367		20,755
	USAF-requested correction of										
	JPATS (T-6) spares adjustment						[-367]		[-367]		
040	040 T-1		25		25		10,274		10,249		10,274
	USAF-requested transfer from APAF										
	35						[10,249]		[10,249]		
041	T-38		59,934		59,934		59,934				59,934

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		진쬢	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agra Para	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ζ γ	Cost	Qt	Cost	<u>Qt</u>	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Qt	Cost
045	T-43		2,269		2,269		2,269				2,269
	OTHER AIRCRAFT										
043	_		1,899		1,899		1,899				1,899
044			468		468		468				468
045			1,535		1,535		1,535				1,535
046			60,875		60,875		60,875				60,875
047			9,911		9,911		9,911				9,911
048			422,814		427,814		415,014		4,500		427,314
	Podded Reconnaissance, Scathe										
	View				[2,000]				[200]		
	Accelerate LAIRCM upgrades for C-										
	130 aircraft						[15,000]		[4,000]		
	Accelerate LAIRCM upgrades for										
	AFSOC C-130 aircraft						[2,200]				
	Reduce C-130 AMP overhead										
	growth						[-25,000]				
049	C130J MODS		59,350		59,350		34,350		-25,000		34,350
	USAF-requested transfer to APAF 6						[-25,000]		[-25,000]		
020	Ö		134,188		134,188		134,188				134,188
051	COMPASS CALL MODS		24,605		24,605		24,605				24,605
052	DARP		106,989		106,989		106,989				106,989
053	E-3		86,468		86,468		86,468				86,468

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		FY	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Sel	Senate Authorized	Agre Ch	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Qt	Cost	ζ ί	Cost	Ot/	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Oty	Cost
054	E4		28,098		28,098		28,098				28,098
055			30,657		30,657		30,657				30,657
056	H-1		13,200		13,200		13,200				13,200
057			17,250		17,250		17,250				17,250
058			103,939		103,939		103,939				103,939
059	OTHER AIRCRAFT		151,932		154,932		151,932		3,000		154,932
	ANG RC-26B Modernization				[3,000]				[3,000]		
090	MQ-1 MODS		148,532		148,532		148,532		,		148,532
061	MQ-9 MODS		24,590		24,590		24,590				24,590
062	CV-22 MODS		22,621		22,621		22,621				22,621
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR										
063	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		257,001		257,001		257,001				257,001
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT										
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIP										
064	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT		104,861		104,861		104,861				104,861
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT										
065	B-1										
990	B-2A										
290	B-2A		36,783		36,783		36,783				36,783
068	B-52										
690	C-5		18,210		18,210		18,210				18,210

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F S	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	BE	Agreement Change	Agre	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oţ7	Cost	Q V	Cost	Q t	Cost	<u>γ</u>	Cost	<u>Otv</u>	Cost
070	070 C-21		19,025		19,025				-19,025		
	USAF-requested transfer to APAF 75				•		[-19,025]		[-19,025]		
071	C-130										
072			5,382		5,382		5,382				5,382
073			20,189		20,189		20,189				20,189
074			13,623		13,623		13,623				13,623
075			8,841		8,841				-8,841		
	USAF-requested correction of										
	JPATS (T-6) spares adjustment						[-8,841]		[-8,841]		
9/0	OTHER AIRCRAFT		48,593		48,593		48,593		,		48,593
7.70	T-1						19,025		19,025		19,025
	USAF-requested transfer from APAF										
	75						[19,025]		[19,025]		
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS								•		
078			24,380		24,380		24,380				24,380
	WAR CONSUMABLES										
079	WAR CONSUMABLES		80,622		80,622		80,622				80,622
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES										
080	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		521,357		521,357		549,257		20,000		541,357
081	Litening targeting pod upgrades DEPOT MODERNIZATION						[27,900]		[20,000]		
82	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS										_

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

			FY 2009 Request	Ant H	House Authorized	Aut	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ζį	Cost	δtγ	Cost	Oth	Cost	Ŏ Ţ	Cost	Oth	Cost
83	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		_		_		d				_
84	OTHER SPECIAL PROGRAMS CLASSIFIED PGMS		_		_		_				
085											
900			000		24 000		000				900
8			21,028		21,020		21,020				21,020
666	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		10,913		10,913		10,913				10,913
	TOTAL - AIRCRAFT										
	PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		12,676,496		12,618,665		13,235,286		150,362		12,826,858
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION,										
	AIR FORCE										
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR										
	ROCKETS										
90	ROCKETS		29,436		29,436		29,436				29,436
	CARTRIDGES										
005	CARTRIDGES		150,815		150,815		151,815				150,815
	Improved stores ejection cartridges						[1,000]				
	BOMBS										
003	PRACTICE BOMBS		24,590		24,590		24,590				24,590

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	149,695	105,719		38,190	3,246	4,618	1,235	2,477		304,159	72,133			8,165	894,478
F F	A O		3,647													
Agreement Change	Cost															
A P	O T	ري د	o		0	တ	80	ທ	2		6	က			S.	∞
Senate Authorized	Cost	149,695	105,719		38,19	3,24	4,61	1,235	2,47		304,159	72,133			8,165	895,478
W W	ð		3,647													
House Authorized	Cost	149,695	145,719 [40,000]	•	38,190	3,246	4,618	1,235	2,477		304,159	72,133			8,165	934,478
Aut	ð		5,026													
FY 2009 Request	Cost	149,695	105,719		38,190	3,246	4,618	1,235	2,477		304,159	72,133			8,165	894,478
집쾺	δţ		3,647													
	Program Title	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS SENSOR FUZED WEAPON	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION JDAM Kits	WIND CORRECTED MUNITIONS FLARE, IR MJU-7B	CAD/PAD	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	MODIFICATIONS	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	FUZES	FLARES	FUZES	WEAPONS	SMALL ARMS	SMALL ARMS	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE
	Line	900	900	002	800	600	010	011	012		013	014			015	

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F R	FY 2009 Request	Auth Ho	House Authorized	Se Auth	Senate Authorized	Agu	Agreement Change	Agre	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	ð Ā	Cost	O T	Cost	O D	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	Offy	Cost
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE										
	BALLISTIC MISSILES MISSILE REPLACEMENT										
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ- OTHER MISSILES		26,658		26,658		26,658				26,658
	TACTICAL										
005	JASSM	260	240,295	260	240,295	115	160,295		-20,000	260	220,295
	Reflect delays in program						[-80,000]				
	Maintain Production Ramp								[-20,000]		
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	275	77,223		77,223	275	77,223			275	77,223
00		281	294,746		294,746		294,746	-104	-63,200	177	231,546
	Smooth Production Ramp								[-63,200]		
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	642	63,585	642	63,585	642	63,585			642	63,585
900	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	2,612	133,209	2,612	133,209	2,612	133,209			2,612	133,209
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES										
007	INDUSTR'L PREPAREDNS/POL		2,408		2,408		2,408				2,408
	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE										
	CLASS IV										
800	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		42		42		42				42
600	AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15										
010	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-										

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost	296,354	256	10,150		26 923	•		16,564	100,000		22,492		17,407	108,043	2,400	1,250	99,788			1,205,278	5,756
Agre	Q _L																				4	
Agreement Change	Cost									100,000	[100,000]											
A OI	Qt																					
Senate Authorized	Cost	296,354	256	10,150		26 923			16,564	100,000	[100,000]	22,492		17,407	108,043	2,400	1,250	99,788			1,205,278	5,756
Aut	Oţ.																				4	
House Authorized	Cost	296,354	256	10,150		26 923			16,564			22,492		17,407	108,043	2,400	1,250	99,788			1,205,278	5,756
Aut	ζ(Z																				4	
FY 2009 Request	Cost	296,354	256	10,150		26 923			16,564			22,492		17,407	108,043	2,400	1,250	99,788			1,205,278	5,756
짇췙	¥3																				4	
	Program Title	MM III MODIFICATIONS	AGM-65D MAVERICK	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	OTHER SUPPORT	SPACE PROGRAMS	ADVANCED EHF	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	Advance procurement of AEHF 4	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT	DEFENSE SUPPORT	TITAN SPACE BOOSTERS(SPACE)	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH	MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLE(SPACE)
	Line	011	012	013		014			015	016		017	018	019	020	021	022	023	024	025	026	027

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F R	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	S	Cost	Oth	Cost	<u>A</u>	Cost	M	Cost	Q.	Cost
028 029		2	1,664,043 54,000	7	1,664,043 54,000	7	1,664,043 54,000			7	1,664,043 54,000
030	SPECIAL PROGRAMS CANCELLED ACCOUNTS DEFENSE SPACE RECONN		158 Q66		2 2 2 2 3 3 5		158 ORG				158 QER
32	,										
033			212,515		212,515		212,515				212,515
¥ 4	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM										
666 686	_		1 796,377		1 796,377		1 796,377				1 796,377
	TOTAL - MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		5,536,728		5,536,728		5,556,728		16,800		5,553,528
5	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES										
00 20	` `		17,662		17,662		17,662				17,662
003	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		23,002		23,002		23,002				23,002

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		집휈	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Auth	Senate Authorized	Agra Cl	Agreement Change	Aut	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	O T	Cost	Ş S	Cost	Qt/	Cost	δţ	Cost	Q¥	Cost
004	HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP)										
900	CAP VEHICLES		883		889		889	_			889
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES										
900	HMMWV, ARMORED										
200	SECURITY AND TACTICAL		30,597		30,597		30,597				30,597
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT										
900	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE		27,020		27,020		27,020				27,020
	MATERIALS HANDLING										
600	HALVERSEN LOADER										
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT										
010	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND		23,051		23,051		23,051				23,051
011	ITEMS LESS THAN		39,984		39,984		39,984				39,984
	CANCELLED ACCOUNT										
012	CANCELLED ACCOUNT										
	ELECTRONICS AND										
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT										
013	COMSEC EQUIPMENT		137,914		137,914		137,914				137,914
014	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)		1,557		1,557		1,557				1,557
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS										
015	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING		2,671		2,671		2,671				2,671

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F	FY 2009 Request	Aut	House Authorized	Aut	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oth	Cost	Ot V	Cost	Qty	Cost	ğ	Cost	Qtx	Cost
016	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT Facile Vision one meter SAR		15,441		19,441		18,941		6,000		21,441
	Force protection surveillance system ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS				[4,000]		[000,6]		[2,500]		
017	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING		9,832		9,832		9,832				9,832
018	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		47,224		47,224		47,224				47,224
019	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS		68,502		68,502		68,502				68,502
020	WEATHER OBSERVATION		29,377		29,377		29,377				29,377
021	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND		53,739		53,739		53,739				53,739
022	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		13,662		13,662		13,662				13,662
023	DRUG INTERDICTION SPT		950		950		950				950
	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS										
024	GENERAL INFORMATION		100,052		107,552		101,952		5,000		105,052
	IMPACT				[2,000]				[2,000]		
	SELDI				[2,000]				[1,000]		
	NextGen OTHR risk reduction						[1,900]				
	ANG combat communications on the				[3,500]				[2,000]		
025	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL		16,148		16,148		16,148				16,148
026	MOBILITY COMMAND AND		10,475		10,475		10,475				10,475
027	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY		57,728		57,728		57,728				57,728
028	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		55,315		55,315		66,015		5,000		60,315
	Unmanned threat emitter (UMTE)						[10,700]		[5,000]		

Title I - Procurement

e Agreement Authorized	Cost Qty Cost	-	7,754	-5,000 50,783	[-5.000]	22,525		35,050			337,190	42,677		80,405	25,526	27,626	65,383	101,983	106,323	23 121	171,07
Agreement ed Change	Cost Qtv Co	τ	7,754		3	22,525		6,050	[-59,000]		337,190	42,677		80,405	25,526	27,626	65,383	01,983	106,323	23 121	- 1 - 1
Senate Authorized	Q		7,754		000]	22,525		35,050	2		337,190 3	42,677		80,405	25,526		65,383	101,983	106,323	23 121	
House Authorized	Qty Cost	←	7,754 7		<u>7.</u>			35													
FY 2009 Request	Qtv Cost		1'1	55,783		A 22,525					337,190	42,677		80,405	25,526	27,626	K 65,383	E 101,983	106,323	23.121	
	Program Title	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	GCSS-AF FOS	Expeditionary Combat Support System Unjustified growth	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-	WPN SYS	AOC	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS	BASE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE	USCENTCOM	DISA PROGRAMS	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	MILSATCOM SPACE	SPACE MODS SPACE	
	Line	029	030	031		032	033				034	035		920	037	038	039	040	4	042	

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

FY 2009 Request

Title I - Procurement

		Re	FY 2009 Request	Auth	House Authorized	Aut	<u>Senate</u> Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Auth	Agreement Authorized
	Program Title	Oţ.	Cost	Oth	Cost	≱	Cost	ð	Cost	λ	Cost
	058 MOBILITY EQUIPMENT		26,459		26,459		26,459				26,459
059	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		14,237		14,237		14,237				14,237
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS										
	PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES		_		_						
	DARP RC135		22,924		22,924		22,924				22,924
	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS		251,805		251,805		251,805				251,805
	SELECTED ACTIVITIES				_						_
064	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM		410,370		410,370		410,370				410,370
990	DEFENSE SPACE		15,805		15,805		15,805				15,805
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS										
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		25,616		25,616		25,616				25,616
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	•	13,041,085		13,041,085		13,041,085				13,041,085
	TOTAL - OTHER PROCUREMENT,	•	16,128,396		16,134,896		16,115,496		-40,509		16,087,887
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT										

TOTAL - MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROT VEH FUND

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Fl X	FY 2009 Request	A를 H	House Authorized	Auth Se	Senate Authorized	Agre	Agreement Change	Agre Auth	Agreement Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oth Oth	Cost	A)	Cost	Qt	Cost	Ot A	Cost	ASI	Cost
	PROCUREMENT DEFENSE, WIDE										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT										
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD/WHS										
001	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		105,946		108,946		105,946				105,946
	Domestic Production of										
	Polycrystalline Laser Gain Materials				[3,000]						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA										
001a	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						257,000				
	THAAD long lead (transfer from						[65,000]				
	THAAD long lead						[75,000]				
	THAAD radar long lead						[40,000]				
	SM-3 long lead						[20,000]				
	SM-3 long lead (transfer from RDDW						[57,000]				
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA										
7	INTEL SUPPORT TO INFORMATION (
က	CYBER SECURITY CCP		_		_		-				
4	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE		_		_		-				_
2	CONSOLIDATED CRYPTOLOGIC PRO		_								
900	PROGRAM (ISSP)		4,505		4,505		4,505				4,505
7	DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISS/		_								[]

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

티밌	Cost			26,649			54,934		10,973	2,788	15,062	121,296	36,765	90,328	1,894		7,952	19,100		_			_
Agreement Authorized	ଧ			``					•		•	¥	••	.				•					
বাবা	Oth																						
Agreement Change	Cost																						
ABIOI	Ot O			.			v t		~	m	~	0	10	m	Ψŕ		~ !	0			,		_
Senate Authorized	Cost			26,649			54,934		10,973	2,78	15,062	121,296	36,765	90,328	1,894		7,952	19,100			•••••		
Aut	Oth																						
House Authorized	Cost			26,649			54,934		10,973	2,788	15,062	121,296	36,765	90,328	1,894		7,952	19,100			_		
Au	Q 1																						
FY 2009 Request	Cost			26,649			54,934		10,973	2,788	15,062	121,296	36,765	90,328	1,894		7,952	19,100					
티웹	Q I																						
	Program Title	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	WHS MOTOR VEHICLES	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA	INTERDICTION SUPPORT	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT	TELEPORT PROGRAM	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE	SR. LEADERSHIP CMD CONT	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA	DIA SUPPORT TO CENTCOM	COMMUNICATIONS	OPERATIONS	INTEL ACTIVITIES
	Line		900	600		010	011	012	013	014	015	016	017	018	019	020	021	22		23	24	25	26

¥
ā
č
⊆
0
=
3
O
0
_
Ω.
_
Φ
I
=

Agreement Authorized	Qty Cost							•	8,789				1,523		25,897		19,214						F R21
Agreement Change	X Cost																						
	Cost Qty							i i	8,789				1,523		25,897		19,214						5.621
Senate Authorized	δţ		_	. —		,		•	စ္တ		0]	•	ខ្ល		76		4						Σ
House Authorized	Cost	_	•	•		است		ı	13,789		[5,000]	i	1,523		25,897		19,214						5.621
	Cost Qty						. —		8,789				1,523		25,897		19,214						5.621
FY 2009 Request	A)																						
	Program Title	MANAGEMENT HQ	OPS	INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	REVIEW ACTIVITIES	DEFENSE HUMINT INTELLIGENCE	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	Combat rations surge production	capacity requirements	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL -	DEFENSE GEOSPATIAL -	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION	VEHICLES	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT
	Line	27	28	59	8	33	32		033				034		035		036		37	38		039	040

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost			1,498		2,149		436		689		11,158			51,950		63,667	172,963		39,172
Agre	X)											က								9
Agreement Change	Cost																	74,800	[74,800]	
A O	ð			~		•		~		•		~			_			~		0 1
Senate Authorized	Cost			1,498		2,149		436		689		11,158			51,950		63,667	98,163		39,172
Aut	Ş[က								ထ
House Authorized	Cost			1,498		2,149		436		689		11,158			51,950		63,667	98,163		39,172
Aut	A)											ო								9
FY 2009 Request	Cost			1,498		2,149		436		689		11,158			51,950		63,667	98,163		39,172
F	M											ო								9
	Program Title	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS	_	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT	A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	AVIATION PROGRAMS	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND	MC-130H AIR REFUELING SYSTEM	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION	SIRFC Radar Warning Receivers	NON-STANDARD AVIATION
	Line		041	042		043		044		045		046			047	048	048	020		051

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

ant Agreement Authorized	Cost Qty Cost	-25,000 11,286	[-25,000] 7,659	6 162,971	-13,700 33,318	[-13,700] 1,347	5,760	580.79	5,540	67,220 54,122
Agreement Change	Oth Oth	'		-	,		0 -	- m	. 0	0 8 =
Senate Authorized	Cost	36,286	7,659	6 162,971	47,018	1,347	5,760	67.083	5,540	67,220 67,422 [13,300]
V I	Ø¥.	-	•		~			- ~		0.01.5
House Authorized	Cost	36,286	7,659	162,971	47,018	1,347	5,760	67.083	11,540 [6,000]	67,220 74,922 [20,800]
Aut	¥¥			9						
FY 2009 Request	Cost	36,286	7,659	162,971	47,018	1,347	5,760	67.083	5,540	67,220 54,122
집	Oth			9						age
	Program Title	052 SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION Funding Provided in the FY 2008	Supplemental SOF U-28 MC-130H COMBAT TALON II	CV-22 SOF MOD	AC-130U GUNSHIP ACQUISITION C-130 MODIFICATIONS	30mm Gun Termination AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	SHIFBUILDING ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY MK8 MOD1 SEAL DELIVERY	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION Remote Activated Munitions	OTHER PROCUREMENT COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS SOCOM Intelligence Equipment Package ISR mission equipment package
	Line	052	053	055	057	058	020	96	062	063

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		Ŧ	FY 2009	当	House	Se	Senate	Agre	Agreement	Agre	Agreement
		Rec	Request	Auth	orized	Auth	orized	히	Change	Aut	Authorized
Line	Program Title	Oth	Cost	Oţ.	Cost	A)	Cost	Q	Cost	ð	Cost
065	5 SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		15,689		21,689		20,089		7,500		23,189
	Mk47 Advanced Lightweight										
	Grenade Launcher				[6,000]				[4,500]		
	MK 17 rifle						[4,400]		[3,000]		
99			_				_				[]
190	7 MARITIME EQUIPMENT		1,265		1,265		1,265				1,265
990			12,484		12,484		12,484				12,484
690	B SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		18,795		22,395		18,795		3,600		22,395
	Special Operations Craft - Riverine				[3,600]				[3,600]		
070	ß		3,272		3,272		3,272				3,272
71	SPECIAL PROGRAM		_		_						_
270	2 TACTICAL VEHICLES		3,702		3,702		3,702				3,702
073			34,151		34,151		34,151				34,151
074	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS		21,593		21,593		21,593				21,593
075	5 MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT		11,722		11,722		11,722				11,722
076	S UNMANNED VEHICLES		27,194		27,194		27,194				27,194
77	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM GDIP		_		_		_				_
078	3 SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS		55,248		55,248		55,248				55,248
079	9 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO		15,862		15,862		15,862				15,862
080	SOF OPERATIONAL		25,892		25,892		25,892				25,892

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

		F W	FY 2009 Request	Anth He	House Authorized	Auth Se	Senate Authorized	Agr	Agreement Change	Agr	Agreement Authorized	
Line	Program Title	Offy	Cost	Ottv	Cost	λ ί δ	Cost	Ofty	Cost	Oth O	Cost	
081	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND		15,455		16,655		15,455		1,200		16,655	
	SOCOM Personal Equipment											
	Advanced Requirements				[1,200]				[1,200]			
082	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION,		30,201		45,601		35,201		3,000		33,201	
	SOCOM Visual Augmentation								[3,000]			
	Systems Hand Held Imagers				[15,400]							
	Hand-held imager / long range						[5,000]					
083	Ø		33,966		33,966		33,966		-10,000		23,966	
	Multiband Inter/Intra Team Radio								[-12,000]			
	Multi-Band Multi-Mission Radio								[2,000]			
084	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT		13,450		13,450		13,450	_			13,450	
085	DRUG INTERDICTION											
980	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		15,331		15,331		15,331				15,331	
087	SOF OPERATIONAL		315,443		324,643		320,443		2,000		317,443	
	SOCOM High Performance Sniper Scope	obe			[9,200]							
	M53 joint chemical biological						[5,000]		[2,000]			
088	088 PSYOP EQUIPMENT		64,778		64,778		64,778				64,778	
	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE											
	CBDP											
680	INSTALLATION FORCE		88,565		88,565		88,565				88,565	
060	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION		80,211		80,211		80,211				80,211	
9	DECONTAMINATION		22,299		22,299		22,299	_			22,299	

Title I - Procurement

_
(sands
Thou
lars ir
<u>o</u>

Agreement Authorized	Cost	38,702	37,784	209,610					20,000				115,000				671,379		3,382,628
Agr	ŞŢ.																		
Agreement Change	Cost			10,000	[5,000]		[2,000]		50,000	[50,000]			115,000	[65,000]	[20,000]				218,400
B O	ð Ø	2	4	0	_				0	~			0	~		~	ဌာ		œ
Senate Authorized	Cost	38,702	37,784	217,610	[10,000]		[8,000]		77,000	[57,000]		[20,000]	180,000	[65,000]	[75,000]	[40,000]	671,379		3,723,928
A S	ĕ																		
House Authorized	Cost	38,702	37,784	199,610					111,000	[56,000]	[20,000]	[35,000]	140,000	[65,000]	[75,000]		671,379		3,485,428
Aut	δ																		
FY 2009 Request	Cost	38,702	37,784	199,610													671,379		3,164,228
ଆ କ୍ଷା	Ş																		
	Program Title	JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	Joint chemical agent detector	Joint bio standoff detection system	(SDSDS)	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE	STANDARD MISSILE-3	Transfer from PE 63892C	Facility upgrades	Long-lead procurement	TERMINAL HIGH ALTITUDE AREA	Transfer from PE 63881C	Long-lead procurement	THAAD radar	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	TOTAL - PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE	WIDE
	Line	092	093	094					95				96				666		

Title I - Procurement (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	Cost		
	ð	45 5]	;
Agreement Change	Otv Cost	-102,045 [-102,045]	
Agr	OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST OST	ဟ	
Senate Authorized	Cost	102,045	7000
VI D	OF OF	_	
House Authorized	Cost	50,000 [-102,045] [50,000]	
_, &) Oğ		
FY 2009 Request	Cost	102,045	4000
진왕	A)		
	Program Title	RAPID ACQUISITION FUND 001 JOINT RAPID ACQUISITION CELL Transfer to Title XV Program Increase Program decrease	TOTAL BABIS ACCIDENTAL
	Line	001	

800,000

800,000

800,000

TOTAL - NATIONAL GUARD &

ᆂ
\subseteq
Ø
č
\subseteq
Ø
<u>. </u>
3
\ddot{o}
Ā
~
$\overline{}$
D
_
_
0
Ŧ
-
 -

However, the agreement would authorize \$349.0 million for surface ship advance procurement which would permit the Navy to acquire major spares for DDG-51 destroyers or buy advance procurement should the Secretary of Defense determine that there is a validated requirement to produce more of these ships.

The agreement also would authorize \$600.0 million in advance procurement to extend the LPD-17 amphibious acquisition program to a total of 11 ships. The Marine Corps considers the tenth and eleventh ships of this class to be vital to the future expeditionary force.

The agreement would authorize the budget request of \$920.0 million for two LCS vessels. Elsewhere in the agreement, we recommend a provision that would delay implementation of the cost cap for the LCS program until fiscal year 2010. We note that the Navy has taken delivery of the first ship of this class and anticipates taking delivery of the second by the end of the calendar year. While these are significant milestones, we remain concerned that the Navy has not taken sufficient actions to control costs for follow-on vessels. Moreover, in repeated testimony before the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, we have been told that a primary benefit of utilizing mid-tier shipyards is that such vards can easily balance commercial and government workload to ensure that the Navy does not have to pay overhead costs to maintain capability during periods of limited government funding. Nevertheless, the Navy has requested, for the second year in a row, an adjustment to the cost cap in order to preserve industrial capability because the Navy is unable to purchase a ship at or below its budgetary estimate and lacks a coherent acquisition strategy for the program. We strongly encourage the Navy to take steps to procure follow-on vessels with required warfighting capability, while prioritizing the aggressive management of cost and the most efficient utilization of the industrial base. Likewise, we direct the Secretary to develop and submit to the Congress a long-term acquisition strategy for LCS vessels with the submission of the fiscal year 2010 budget re-

Finally, consistent with a change in the definition of the vessels appropriately funded within the NDSF, the agreement would transfer \$348.3 million from the NDSF to the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (SCN) account to buy MPF(F) vessels which are noncombatant versions of assault echelon vessels of the Navy's amphibious force.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

 $\label{ligence} Intelligence, \ surveillance, \ and \ reconnaissance \\ task \ force$

The Senate report (110-335) accompanying S. 3001 included recommendations for authorization of funding and direction for multiple programs and activities to immediately strengthen intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities for deployed forces. Since the publication of that report, the Secretary of Defense established an ISR Task Force to recommend immediate actions to field additional capabilities. The Secretary of Defense subsequently submitted a prior-approval reprogramming request to the Congress of \$1.2 billion for fiscal year 2008 (which Congress approved), and indicated that another \$1.4 billion or more would be requested for fiscal year 2009.

We applaud the Secretary's decisive action. However, based on past experience with countering improvised explosive devices and fielding large numbers of Mine-Resistant Ambush Protected armored vehicles, we are concerned that the Department of Defense will encounter difficulties in executing the

approved initiatives as rapidly as needed unless the Department establishes a high-level organization and process to manage, oversee, and report regularly to the Secretary on the initiative. In addition, we expect that additional requirements for immediate ISR support will arise, suggesting the need for sustaining an organization and process for evaluating theater needs and potential solutions.

Therefore, we urge the Secretary of Defense to create and sustain an organization, led by a senior official, to manage the implementation of the ISR initiatives and to evaluate and make recommendations to the Secretary on new ISR requirements as they arise. We request that the Secretary submit a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees within 30 days of the date of enactment on the Secretary's plans for managing the multi-billion dollar ISR enhancement effort.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Authorization of appropriations (secs. 101–105)

The House bill contained provisions (secs. 101-106) that would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2009 funding levels for procurement for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Defense-wide activities, and National Guard and reserve equipment.

The Senate bill contained provisions (secs. 101-104) that would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2009 funding levels for procurement for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Defense-wide activities.

The agreement includes the House provisions with technical amendments.

SUBTITLE B—ARMY PROGRAMS

Separate procurement line items for Future Combat Systems program (sec. 111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 111) that would require, beginning with the fiscal year 2010 President's budget request, separate procurement lines for five classes of equipment planned for procurement under the Future Combat Systems (FCS) program. These classes would be FCS manned ground vehicles, FCS unmanned ground vehicles, FCS unmanned aerial vehicles, FCS unattended ground systems, and other FCS elements

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the use of dedicated procurement line items for the FCS program beginning with the Fiscal Year 2011 President's Budget Request submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

Clarification of status of Future Combat Systems program lead system integrator (sec. 112)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 112) that would prohibit the Army from awarding a contract for low-rate initial production or full-rate production for major elements of the Future Combat Systems (FCS) program to entities serving in the role of a lead systems integrator for the FCS program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to clarify the prime contractor for the Future Combat Systems program is a lead system integrator under such section and will remain so until 45 days after the Secretary of the Army certifies in writing that such contractor is no longer serving as

a lead system integrator. The specific functions performed by the prime contractor for the FCS program under the current FCS program contract, including major roles in system and subsystem integration, requirements definition, program scope adjustments, and program management, constitute "acquisition functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions" for the purposes of this provision. The provision would also clarify the definition of "new contract" to include certain FCS contract modifications.

Restriction on obligation of funds for Army tactical radio pending report (sec. 113)

The House bill contained a provision (sec.113) that would restrict obligation of 25 percent of the total funds authorized for Army tactical radio systems pending a report on Army tactical radio system fielding plans from the Assistant Secretary for Defense for Networks and Information Integration.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

The agreement specifies that the restriction on obligation of funds in paragraph (b) of the provision refers only to Army radios procured through the following Other Procurement, Army budget lines: "SINCGARS family," and "Radio, Improved HF (COTS) family."

Restriction on obligation of procurement funds for Armed Reconnaissance Helicopter program pending certification (sec. 114)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 114) that would limit the obligation of funds for the Armed Reconnaissance Helicopter (ARH) for fiscal year 2009 to not more than 20 percent of the authorized amounts until 30 days after the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD-ATL) certifies to the congressional defense committees that the ARH has satisfactorily completed a Limited User Test and has been approved by the USD-ATL to enter production.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add requirements for the ARH program to achieve certification as required by section 2433 of title 10, United States Code, and restructuring of its acquisition strategy.

Stryker Mobile Gun System (sec. 115)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec.111) that would require the Secretary of Defense, through the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E), to ensure that the Stryker Mobile Gun System (MGS) is subject to testing to confirm the efficacy of any actions taken to mitigate operational deficiencies identified in Initial Operational Test and Evaluation and Live Fire Test and Evaluation The provision would also require the Secretary of the Army to provide quarterly updates to the congressional defense committees on the status of the corrective measures and expand section 117(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to future fiscal years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that updates the provision based on developments relating to the program since Senate committee action.

We remain concerned by the Army's deployment of low-rate initial production Stryker MGS vehicles to Iraq given the performance and survivability issues noted during testing and urge the Army to take the

actions necessary to ensure Stryker MGS vehicles meet all requirements before deploying any additional Stryker MGS vehicles to theater

SUBTITLE C-NAVY PROGRAMS

Refueling and complex overhaul of the U.S.S. Theodore Roosevelt (sec. 121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 121) that would provide a one-time exemption to the normal full funding policy to allow for contracting of a 3 year incrementally-funded aircraft carrier refueling complex overhaul (RCOH) from the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (SCN) account. This language would provide the Navy with the authority to commence the refueling overhaul in fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 132).

The agreement includes a provision incorporating this one-time exemption.

Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) program (sec. 122)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 123) that would amend section 124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), as amended by section 125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), to permit the Secretary of the Navy to make adjustments in the cost cap for the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) to reflect: (1) economic inflation, up to a total of \$10.0 million per ship; and (2) cost increases or decreases for insertion of new technology, owing to lower life cycle costs or increased capability required to meet emerging threats.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would amend section 125 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 to delay implementation of the existing cost cap until fiscal year 2010.

Report on F/A-18 procurement costs, comparing multiyear to annual (sec. 123)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 124) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on F/A-18 procurement, comparing multiyear procurements costs to those of annual procurements. The provision would also authorize the Secretary of the Navy to obligate up to \$100.0 million from within F/A-18 procurement funds to pay for cost reduction initiatives, either for single year procurement or multiyear procurement.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a requirement that the Secretary of Defense submit a report comparing F/A-18 annual and multiyear procurement alternatives, and that he submit appropriate certifications required by section 2306b of title 10, United States Code if he finds that a multiyear procurement is the preferable alternative.

Authority for advanced procurement and construction of components for the Virginiaclass submarine program (sec. 124)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would modify the multiyear authority provided in section 121 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

SUBTITLE D—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

Maintenance of retired KC-135E aircraft (sec. 131)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to maintain a minimum of 46 KC-

135E tanker aircraft in Type-1000 storage, rather than all of those KC-135E tanker aircraft that have been or would be retired under section 135(b) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that requires the Secretary of the Air Force maintain at least 74 aircraft in Type-1000 storage.

Repeal of multi-year contract authority for procurement of tanker aircraft (sec. 132)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 133) that would repeal section 135 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) that, among other things, authorized multi-year procurement of up to 80 aircraft as part of a tanker leasing pilot program to acquire 100 tanker aircraft, with 20 coming from a long-term lease.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Report on processes used for requirements development for KC-(X) tanker aircraft (sec. 133)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to report on: (1) an evaluation of the process for deriving KC-(X) requirements; (2) the justification for using the KC-135R as the comparative baseline for the KC-(X) competition; and (3) and evaluation of potential aerial refueling platforms derived from commercial aircraft in the range of maximum gross take-off weights from 750,000 to 1.000.000 pounds.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision amended to require the Secretary of Defense to report on:

(1) an examination of the requirements for aerial refueling, including an explanation for the use of the KC-135R as the baseline for the last KC-(X) solicitation; and

(2) a summary of commercial derivative or commercial, off-the-shelf aircraft available for use as potential aerial refueling platforms using aerial refueling capabilities within the capability bands identified within the KC-(X) Analysis of Alternatives.

The agreement also includes a requirement that the Secretary of Defense reassess the requirements for aerial refueling that have been most recently validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, and submit the complete results of that reassessment to the congressional defense committees.

F-22A fighter aircraft (sec. 134)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 151) that would authorize \$497.0 million for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (APAF) for either (1) advance procurement for F-22A aircraft in fiscal year 2010; or (2) winding down the production line for F-22A aircraft. The next President of the United States would have to decide which alternative would be in the best interests of the Nation and submit a certification of that decision to the congressional defense committees before any of these funds could be spent.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement authorizes \$523.0 million in APAF for advance procurement for the F-22A aircraft. The agreement also includes a provision that would prohibit obligating more than \$140.0 million of those funds until the next President of the United States: (1) decides whether continuing F-22 production or terminating production would be in the best interests of the Nation; and (2) submits

a certification of that decision before March 1, 2009, to the congressional defense committees

SUBTITLE E-JOINT AND MULTISERVICE MATTERS

Annual long-term plan for the procurement of aircraft for the Navy and the Air Force (sec. 141)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 171) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual long-term plan for procurement of aircraft for the Departments of the Navy and Air Force. The provision would require that the plan project procurement, inventories, retirements, and losses for the following 30-year period.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Report on body armor acquisition strategy (sec. 142)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 141) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an executive agent for individual body armor and associated components and establish a procurement budget line item for body armor and personnel protection enhancements. This provision would also require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to report to the congressional defense committees by March 15, 2009, detailing an assessment of the body armor industrial base and a strategic plan for its sustainment, and to specify the objective technical capabilities intended for the body armor system.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 120 days after enactment, that includes an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of establishing a dedicated procurement line item for body armor; an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of designating one executive agent for the acquisition of body armor for the military departments; and an assessment of all current initiatives implemented by the Secretary of Defense and the military departments that provide improvements to the body armor acquisition process.

Small arms acquisition strategy and requirements review (sec. 143)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 142) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States Government Accountability Office to audit the Department of Defense small arms requirements generation process and report to the congressional defense committees by October 1, 2009, on any statutory, regulatory, or procedural barriers that may affect the ability of the military services to rapidly field small arms. This section would also require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to provide a report to the congressional defense committees that details small arms inventory, research and development programs, joint acquisition strategy, an analysis of any ongoing small arms capability gap assessments.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 112) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report on the Army's small arms Capabilities Based Assessment. The provision would also recommend that in the event the Army requires a new individual weapon, that the acquisition of such weapons should result from a full and open competition. The provision would also require that the Secretary of Defense submit a report on the feasibility and

advisability of conducting a full and open competition for carbine-type rifles.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the Department's assessments of small arms requirements, modernization plans, and the United States small arms industrial base. The amendment would further direct that in the event that the Secretary of the Army determines that there is a gap in small arms capabilities and that a new individual weapon is required, the Secretary shall procure the new individual weapon using a full and open competition.

Requirement for common ground stations and payloads for manned and unmanned aerial vehicle systems (sec. 144)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 143) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a policy for acquisition of intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance payloads and ground stations for manned and unmanned aerial vehicle systems that would have as its objective obtaining commonality throughout the Department.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The amendment contains the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Report on future jet carrier training requirements of the Navy (sec. 145)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 144) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act on future jet carrier training requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Rapid acquisition fund

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 106) that would authorize funds for a rapid acquisition fund.

The Senate bill did not contain a similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Applicability of previous teaming agreements for Virginia-class submarine program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 122) that would amend the multiyear contracting authority contained in section 121 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to require that the Secretary of the Navy certify that the contract to be awarded under such authority comports with the Team Agreement between the two submarine building yards, dated February 16, 1997, which was submitted to the Congress on March 31, 1997.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Limitation on retiring C-5 aircraft

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would repeal section 132 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136) relating to a prohibition on retiring C-5A aircraft until certain testing had been completed. Instead, the provision would prohibit retiring C-5A aircraft until 45 days after the Secretary of the Air Force had submitted a certification that retiring aircraft would not increase operational risk, and a cost analysis assess-

ing different approaches for meeting strategic airlift requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

BUDGET ITEMS

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation overview

The budget request included \$79.7 billion in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation for the Department of Defense.

The House bill would authorize \$79.8 billion.

The Senate bill would authorize \$79.8 billion.

The agreement would authorize \$77.8 billion.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Network science, technology and experimentation center

The budget request included \$10.0 million in PE 61104A for the establishment of a network science and technology research center. This is an example of the Army's continued commitment to investments in basic research, especially in the face of severe budget constraints due to the current operations and reset of the force. In particular, it is widely expected that new investments in network science can lead to significant enhancements in operational capabilities.

The fact that the current Army plan for this effort calls for the majority of funding to go to the establishment of a single research center is a cause for concern. The National

Title II -- RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION

₹I	Authorization Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	10,524,085	10,685,695	10,855,210	419,755	10,943,840
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	19,337,238	19,764,738	19,442,192	8,365	19,345,603
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	28,066,617	28,238,349	28,322,477	-1,777,109	26,289,508
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-wide	21,499,229	20,822,879	20,924,729	-556,500	20,942,729
Operational Test & Evaluation	188,772	188,772	188,772	0	188,772
TOTAL, RDT&E	79,615,941	79,700,433	79,733,380	-1,905,489	77,710,452

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized		19,832	186,939								83,680								105,622	396,073
Agreement Change			086'6	[2,000]	[1,500]	[1,000]		[2,000]	[480]	[1,500]	6,700	[2,000]	[2,000]		[1,500]	[1,200]				16,680
Senate Authorized		19,832	184,459	[3,000]	[1,500]	[1,500]					86,180	[2.000]	[2,000]	[1,500]	[2,500]	[1,200]			105,622	396,093
House Authorized		19,832	183,439				[2,500]	[2,000]	[480]	[1,500]	79,480						[1,000]	[1,500]	105,622	388,373
FY2009 Request		19,832	176,959								76,980								105,622	379,393
Line Program Title	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	BASIC RESEARCH 1 IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	2 DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	Advanced energy storage research Drug resistant infections research	Military operating environments research	Organic semiconductor modeling and simulation	Functionally integrated reactive surface technologies	Nanostructured materials for photovoltaic applications	Solid state shelter lighting system	Nanocrystal source disptay	3 UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	Low temperature vehicle performance research	Nanocomposite materials research	Nanocomposite wireless power systems research	Nanoscale biosensor research	Urban simulation and training research	Science, tech, engineering, mathematics at Coppin State	Program increase	4 UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, ARMY
Program Element		0601101A	0601102A								0601103A								0601104A	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	<u>Line Program Title</u> APPLIED RESEARCH	EY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0602105A	ß	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY Cold spray technology development	26,985	31,685	36,660 [2,000]	6,975	33,960
		Composite materials applied research and technology			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Improvised explosive device blast simulations			[475]	[475]	
		Magnetic nanosensor development			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Nanosensor manufacturing research			[1,500]	[1,000]	
		Aircraft skin repair-cold spray		[3,000]			
		Ultrasonic consolidation for armor applications		[1,700]	[1,700]	[1,500]	
0602120A	ဖ	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	46,147	56,477	48,147	6,500	52,647
		Hydrogen battery research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Dismounted soldier millimeter wave BTID RF tag		[2,000]			
		Command, control, communications and computer module		[1.500]		[1,500]	
		Electromagnetic geolocation		[2.830]			
		Semi-autonomous or unattended psychop and recon tool		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Cyber forensics and tracking capability tool		[1,000]			
0602122A	7	TRACTOR HIP	18,192	18,192	18,192		18,192
0602211A	œ	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	42,013	43,213	44,513	1,200	43,213
		Slowed-rotor technologies			[2,500]		
		Intensive quenching for advanced weapons systems		[1,200]		[1,200]	
0602270A	တ	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	16,611	23,611	16,611	2,500	19,111
		Silver Fox and Manta UAS		[4,000]		[2,500]	
		Knowledge integration & management center of excellence		[3,000]			
0602303A	9	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	48,174	50,674	48,174		48,174
		Micro safe and arm device for DOD rocket systems		[2,500]			
0602307A	#	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	19,664	19,664	19,664		19,664

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 20,048	79.234	74,550	2,295	7,531 34,076
Agreement Change 3,000	24,000 [1,000] [2,000] [2,000] [3,000] [4,000] [1,500] [1,000] [1,500]	3,000 [1,000] [2,000]		3,500 [1,500] [2,000]
Senate Authorized 20,048	73,234 [1,500] [4,500] [2,000] [2,000] [2,000] [4,000]	71,550	2,295	7,531 34,076 [3,500]
House Authorized 17,048	89,234 [8,000] [300] [4,800] [5,000] [6,000] [1,400] [1,400] [6,300]	76,550 [1,500] [3,500]	2,295	7,531 33,576 [3,000]
<u>FY2009</u> <u>Request</u> 17,048	55,234	71,550	2,295	7,531
Line Program Title 12 ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION Photonics research for sniper detection	3 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY Advanced vehicle technology and fuel development Condition based maintenance simulation tools Ground vehicle reliability modeling Hybrid electric vehicle batteries for FCS Lightweight electric drive technologies Military fuels research program DOD hydrogen PEM fuel cell medium Nano-based, rust corrosion-UV protection system Fire resistant fuels Dual stage variable energy absorber (DSVEA) Glass ceramic armor technology for vehicle survivability Rapid up-armor synthesis and crashworthiness design Torque-vectoring rollover prevention technology Nanofluids for advanced mobility Tactical metal fabrication		15 CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	6 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM 17 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY UGV weaponization Compact automated mechanical clearance platform
Line 12	<u></u>	4	15	16
Program Element 0602308A	0602601A	0602618A	0602622A	0602623A 0602624A

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

*	n
÷	ź
2	2
Ľ,	-
O	ď
ù	ń
-	Ť
7	≺
	2
_	_
۲	-
•	-
.=	=
_	_
·	
ž	÷
Š	ğ
ll pre	ğ
ollore	֭֭֭֭֡֟֝֟֝֟֝֟֝֟֝֟֓֓֓֟֟֝֟֓֓֓֟֟֓֓֓֓֟֟֓֓֓֓֟֟֓֟֓֟֟
Sollare	֓֟֝֟֓֟֝֟֓֟֝֟֓֟֝֟֓֟֝֟֝֟֓֟֓֟֝֟֓֓֟֟֓֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟֓֟

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0602705A	18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	45,278	45,278	47,278	2,000	47,278
		Soldier portable power pack technologies			[2,000]	[2,000]	
0602709A	19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	25,647	36,297	25,647	1,500	27,147
		Power efficient microdisplay development		[4,650]			
		Multi-threat detection initiative (MTDI)		[3,000]			
		Miniaturized sensors for small and tactical UAV		[3,000]		[1,500]	
0602712A	20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	21,815	26,815	24,815	8,000	29,815
		Standoff IED detection technologies			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Hawaii undersea chem military munitions assessment plan		[5,000]		[2,000]	
0602716A	21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	17,348	42,348	17,348	25,000	42,348
		LWI training based collaborative initiative		[25,000]		[25,000]	
0602720A	22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	16,064	22,314	16,064	1,000	17,064
		Range scrap disposal		[1.250]		[1,000]	
		Integrated enviro control sys and cryogenic agent removal		[2:000]			
0602782A	23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	24,014	24,014	26,014	2,000	26,014
		Portable compass/positioning/timing device development			[2,000]	[2.000]	
0602783A	24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	5,495	5,495	5,495		5,495
0602784A	25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	52,066	52,066	990'99	3,500	55,566
		Geosciences and atmospheric research			[2,500]	[2,000]	
		Nanocomposite panels for blast and ballistic protection			[1,500]	[1,500]	
0602785A	56	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	16,412	16,412	16,412		16,412
0602786A	27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	21,948	27,048	24,948	6,100	28,048
		Ballistic materials for force protection			[1,500]	[1,000]	
		Mobile feeding unit development			[11,500]		
		Advanced thermal processing of packaged combat rations		[2,100]		[2,100]	
		Wearable personal area network technology		[3,000]		[3,000]	

Ш
∞ಶ
-
α
7
-
=
=

		,				
Program		FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line Program little	Kednest	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0602787A	28 MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	75,395	105,895	110,895	17,700	93,095
	Bioengineering research			[2,500]		
	Biomechanics research			[1,000]	[1,000]	
	Blast wave effect modeling			[2,000]		
	Dengue fever treatment research			[2,000]		
	Dried blood technology clinical research			[2,000]	[2,000]	
	Extremity war injuries research program			[5,000]	[2,000]	
	Genetics research for soldier survivability			[2.000]	[2,000]	
	PTSD research			[2,500]		
	Traumatic brain injury research			[3,500]		
	Bio-engineering for enhancement of soldier survivability		[3,000]			
	Adv functional nanomaterials for biological processes		[2,500]	[2,000]	[2,000]	
	Depression and mood disorders research		[1,000]			
	Pharmacologic agents to reduce hearing loss		[1,600]			
	Measuring and protecting against traumatic brain injury		[3,000]			
	Minimizing health effects of air toxics		[1,200]		[1,200]	
	Neuropsychiatric and post traumatic stress treatment		[1,200]			
	Orthopedic implant design and manufacturing		[2,000]			
	Plasma technology laboratory		[1,000]		[1,000]	
	Post traumatic stress disorder attention modification		[1,500]			
	Military photomedicine program		[6,000]	[8,000]	[3,500]	
	Program increase		[1,500]			
	SHRTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH ARMY	723.502	873.732	811,677	117.475	840 977
		100001				

Ш
≪
—
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
_
Φ
7

Agreement Authorized	56,393	124,043	
Agreement Change	9,600 (3,500] [4,600]	65,000 [3,000] [2,000] [2,000] [3,000] [3,000] [3,000] [3,000] [6,000] [5,500] [1,000] [1,000]	
Senate Authorized	50,293	105,043 [5,000] [2,000] [2,000] [3,000] [4,000] [4,000] [2,000] [5,000]	
House Authorized	53,593 [4,800]	(5,000) [5,000] [6,000] [7,000] [7,000] [7,000]	[1,000] [6,000] [3,200]
FY2009 Request	46,793	59,043	
<u>Line Program Title</u> ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Biosensor controller systems development Ballistic precision aerial delivery system Novel flame retardant polon fabrics	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Advanced fibrin dressings Battlefield tracheal intubation technologies Battlefield tracheal intubation technologies Bioelectrics research Combat wound initiative Electronic vital signs monitoring Gulf War veterans' illnesses research Joint medical logistics modernization program Lower limb prosthetics research Joint medical logistics modernization program Regenerative medicine research Online military medical training program Regenerative medicine research Center for traumatic injury to the visual sys and brain Controlled release of anti-inflammatory and tissue repair Corneal wound repair Cardiac surgery robotic computerized telemanipulation Chronic tinnitus treatment program Clinical technology integration for military health Composite tissue allotransplantation research Emergency department preparedness project Mannetic Prain stimulation for traumatic brain injury rehab	Magnetic Drain suitidiation for tradinate Drain tripuy renability Med. Logistics AIT modernization init: Navy hospital ships Mild traumatic brain injury and triage using smart sensors
Line	29	08	
Program Element	0603001A	0603002 A	

Ш
ŏ
F
2
÷
_
•
=======================================
=======================================

Agreement Authorized		75.77	
Agreement Change [2,000] [3,000]	[5,000]	[3,000] [3,000] 18,500 [1,500]	[1,000] [3,000] [4,000] [4,000] [1,500]
Senate Authorized		64,277 [1,500] [3,500]	[2,000]
House Authorized [2.000] [3.000] [3.000]	[2,500] [5,200] [5,000] [2,500] [2,500]	[2,100] [3,000] [2,000] [5,500] [2,800] 87,177	[5,000] [10,000] [2,000] [5,000] [4,800]
FY2009 Request		57,277	
Line Program Title Infectious and inflammatory disease center Institute of surgical and interventional simulation Locomotor translational for traumatic brain injury National Trauma Institute	lerastack pilot for army telemedicine Ultra-high resolution display USF College of Public Health Digital pen Personal status monitor (Nightengale) PTSD telemedicine support	Shock trauma center operating room equipment Telepharmacy remote medicine device unit Smisson cartledge infuser Ultra high-speed MEMS electromagnetic cell sorter Program increase 31 AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Helicopter vulnerability reduction technologies Tactical integration systems development	UAV payload delivery systems Polymer matrix technology for rotorcraft drive systems Mission execution technology implementation Non-hazardous infrared anti-reflective coatings for sensors Universal control - full authority digital engine control Universal UAV-resupply BURRO Advanced drive system laser-peening technologies
Program Element		0603003A	

Ш
Ø

œ
=
ø
:=

Agreement Authorized 78,597	179,492
<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u> 4,900 [2,000] [2,900]	71,500 [2,500] [2,000] [3,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000]
Senate Authorized 73,697	208,242 [10,000] [3,500] [5,000] [5,000] [7,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000] [1,000]
House Authorized 83,597 [5,000] [2,900]	[6,000] [4,000]
FY2009 Request 73.697	107,992
Line Program Title 32 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Lens-less micro seeker sys for small steerable projectiles Precision molding mantech for large IR aspheric optics Chemical mechanical self-destruct fuze	33 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY Advanced battery development program Advanced digital hydraulic hybrid drive systems Advanced lithium vehicle battery systems Advanced tactical wheeled vehicle electric drive systems Advanced tactical wheeled vehicle electric drive systems Advanced thermal and oil management controls research Composite armor cab development Development of logistical fuel processors Diverse threat sensor development Fastening & joining research Ground vehicle integration technologies Hybrid electric blast protected vehicle technologies Hybrid engine development program Hydraulic hybrid vehicle systems Next generation non-tactical vehicle propulsion technologies Nickel metal hydride battery development Power and energy research equipment upgrades Power management control and integration systems Solid hydrogen storage systems development Unmanned ground vehicle initiative Vehicle prognostics technologies Fire shield Long range power source for unmanned ground vehicles
32 Tip	မ
Program Element 0603004A	0603005 A

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized			9 183	6,853	55,961	14,562	21,381	11,575	11,564	7,116
Agreement Change [3,200]	[4,500] [4,000] [3,000]	[2,000] [4,000] [3,000]	•		5,000 [4,000]	7	7,500	[2,500]	1,000	[1,000]
Senate Authorized	[4,000] [4,250]		9.00	6,853	50,961	14,562	24,381 [2,000]	[3,500] 11,575	11,064	[500]
House Authorized [3,200]	[5,000] [4,250] [5,000]	[2,500] [4,000] [5,000]	[2,000]	6,853	58,961 [7,000]	14,562	29,581 [4,200]	[6,500] 11,575	12,564	[2,000] 7,116
FY2009 Request			დ 1 83	6,853	50,961	14,562	18.881 19.881	11,575	10,564	7,116
Line Program Title Comm. viable Si/C power semiconductors using superlattice Li - iron phosphate battery system for Army hybrid HMMVVV	Antiballistic windshield armor Dynamometer facility upgrade program Heavy duty hybrid electric vehicle	Advanced drivetrains for enhanced mobility and safety Tac. wheeled vehicle condition based maintenance tech Composites for light weight, low cost transportation sys	User evaluation of LASSO vehicles 34 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	35 MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	36 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Applied communications and information networking Maritime CAISR system		38 NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS Modeling architectures for battle command experimentation Experiential tech for urban warfare and disaster response	Joint fires & effects training system 39 TRACTOR ROSE	40 IED DEFEAT TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 41 EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY	Deactivation of military explosives research Unserviceable ammunition demil - Tooele Army Depot 42 MILITARY HIV RESEARCH
<u>Program</u> <u>Element</u>			0603006A	0603007A	0603008A	0603009A	0603015A	0603020A	0603100A 0603103A	0603105A

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

			•				
Program		Cliff was a C	FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
0603125A	43	43 COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,064	15,064	13,064		13,064
		Army field test of solar power		[2,000]			
0603238A	44	GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE/AIR DEFENSE/PRECISION					
		STRIKE TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION					
0603270A	45	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	23,996	25,496	25,996		23,996
		Laser development for light aircraft missile defense			[2,000]		
		UWB-RWM for IED countermeasures		[1,500]			
0603313A	46	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	63,998	63,998	64,998	1,000	64,998
		Hypersonic interceptor studies			[1,000]	[1,000]	
0603322A	47	TRACTOR CAGE	12,372	12,372	12,372		12,372
0603606A	48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED	30 797	30 797	30 797		30 797
		TECHNOLOGY	, ,				
0603607A	49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	8,809	10,309	608'8		8,809
		Integrated fire control system for small arms		[1,500]			
0603710A	50	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	39,916	56,016	43,416	8,000	47,916
		Short range electro-optic sensors			[3,500]	[2,000]	
		Hyperspectral sensor for improved force portection		[5,400]		[2,000]	
		Video enhancement for night vision and low-light video		[2,000]			
		Personal miniature thermal viewer		[4,200]		[2,000]	
		Brownout situational awareness sensor		[4,500]		[2,000]	
0603728A	51	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15 510	11 11 12	n 4		7. 0.40
		DEMONSTRATIONS	610,01	810,01	610,01		10,019

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

			1				
Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603734A	52	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	7,654	15,254	10,654	5,800	13,454
		Direct methanol fuel cell development Final cell technologies for continuity of operations			[2,000]	[1,000]	
		Enhanced holographic imager		[2,800]		[2,800]	
		Distributed power from wastewater		[2,800]			
		Synthetic automotive virtual environments		[2,000]		[2,000]	
0603772A	53	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	48,236	54,736	48,236	5,000	53,236
		Foliage penetrating radar (FORESTER)		[4,000]		[4,000]	
		Software life cycle affordability management		[2,500]		[1,000]	
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	738,858	978,258	911,108	197,800	936,658
		SECTION OF THE SECTIO					
0603024A	54	INIQUE ITEM IDENTIFICATION (UID)	649	649	2.649		649
		Unique item ID data management research			[2,000]		
0603305A	52	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION(NON SPACE)	14,005	24,505	29,505	21,000	35,005
		Advanced electronics integration			[4,000]	[4,000]	
		Advanced environmental control systems			[2'000]	[2,000]	
		Advanced fuel cell research			[3,500]	[3,000]	
		Radiation hardening initiative			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Compact pulsed power for defense applications		[4,000]		[3'000]	
		Composite structure design		[2,500]		[2,000]	
		Deployable space and electronic warfare analysis tool		[4,000]		[1,000]	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title Fry2009 House Senate ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION 19,886 19,886 22,986 High-altitude integration testbed 116,410 117,410 121,410 ARM AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING 116,410 117,410 121,410 IAMD architecture analysis program 29,234 29,234 29,234 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV 3,840 3,840 3,840 LANDMINE WARFARE AND DERARIER - ADV DEV 3,840 3,840 3,840 DEV TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION / FCS 45,866 45,866 45,866 ADVANCED TANK ARWAMBANT SYSTEM (ATAS) 108,012 118,212 112,512 112,512 Stryker active protection system 30,716 45,866 45,866 45,866 ADVANCED TANK ARWAMMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) 18,210 17,275 12,275 Stryker active protection system (APS) radar 30,716 45,500 12,200 Stryker active protection system Adevelopment 30,716 12,275 12,275 Stryker family of whicles research and de	Agreement Agreement Change Authorized 3.000 22.986	•			29,234	3,840	45,866	3,500 111,512	[1,500]	[2,000]		2,000 32,716	[2,000]	12,275	2,588	2,500 7,855	[2,000]				[200]	
FY2009 Request Auth Integration testbed Integr	Senate Authorized	[3,000]	[2,000]		29,234	3,840	45,866	112,512	[4,500]			30,716		12,275	2,588	5,355						
E DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION Integration testbed ILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING ILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING Seture analysis program sfense systems research GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) ARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV CURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV EDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION / FCS ANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) TO e protection system (APS) radar TY of vehicles research and development PPORT AND SURVIVABILITY Shergy analysis and diagnostic sys (HEADS) ECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV I SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT ITAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY Energy Testing Center Stion and response system Immental, energy, and transp. management and impact zone pilot program ital management information sys at Travis AFB	House Authorized	117,410	[1 000]		29,234	3,840	45,866	118,212		[4,500]	[5,700]	32,716	[2,000]	12,275	2,588	20,655		[5,500]	[200]	[2,500]	[200]	
Program Title ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION High-altitude integration testbed AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING IAMD architecture analysis program Center for defense systems research JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION / FCS ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) Stryker active protection system (APS) radar Stryker family of vehicles research and development SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVINABILITY Headborne energy analysis and diagnostic sys (HEADS) TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT Renewable Energy Testing Center Mobile detection and response system Lead environmental, energy, and transp. management Green range and impact zone pilot program Environmental management information sys at Travis AFB	FY2009 Request	116,410			29,234	3,840	45,866	108,012				30,716		12,275	2,588	5,355						
Line 55 57 57 56 66 65 65 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66	Program Title ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	High-altitude integration testbed AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	IAMD architecture analysis program Center for defense systems research	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION / FCS	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	Stryker active protection system	Stryker common active protection system (APS) radar	Stryker family of vehicles research and development	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	Headborne energy analysis and diagnostic sys (HEADS)	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	Renewable Energy Testing Center	Mobile detection and response system	Lead environmental, energy, and transp, management	Green range and impact zone pilot program	Environmental management information sys at Travis AFB	
	Program Element 0603308A	0603327A		0603460A	0603619A	0603627A	0603639A	0603653A				0603747A		0603766A	0603774A	0603779A						

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program			FY2009	Honse	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line	Line Program Title	Rednest	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0603782A	29	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL	414,357	381,257	414,357	-33,100	381,257
		WIN-T increment 3 reduction		[-33,100]		[-33,100]	
0603790A	68	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,041	5,041	5,041		5,041
0603801A	69	AVIATION - ADV DEV	7,455	7,455	7,455		7,455
0603804A	2	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	44,141	44,141	44,141		44,141
0603805A	7	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS	17,788	17,788	17,788		17,788
0603807A	72	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	26,308	27,808	26,308	1,000	27,308
		Leishmania skin test		[1,500]		[1,000]	
0603827A	73	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	36,558	16,558	36,558		36,558
		Ground soldier systems		[-20,000]			
0603850A	74	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	11,238	11,238	11,238		11,238
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYDES ABMY	951,822	939,222	981,822	-100	951,722
		ANII ANII ANII ANII ANII ANII ANII ANII					
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
0603808A	75	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM					
0604201A	76	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	71,562	71,562	74,562		71,562
		Vibration management enhancement research			[3,000]		
0604220A	77	ARMED RECONNAISSANCE HELOCOPTER (ARH)	135,652	135,652	135,652		135,652
0604270A	78	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT (MIP)	32,325	37,325	32,325	2,000	37,325
		Hostile fire indicator for rotorcraft		[2,000]		[2,000]	
0604321A	79	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	16,465	16,465	16,465		16,465
0604328A	80	TRACTOR CAGE	16,807	16,807	16,807		16,807
0604329A	81	COMMON MISSILE					

Authorized 42,414	1,949	5,603	2,901		14,214				200,099		89,841	774,257		1,413,945		49,379	0]	104,918	 	12,967	539,145	74,900	6	44,508	2,499
Agreement Change																15,000	[15,000]	8,000	[8,000]			10,000	[10,000]		
Senate Authorized 45,414 [3,000]	1,949	5,603	2,901		14,214	5,000	[2,000]		202,099	[2,000]	89,841	774,257		1,413,945		34,379		96,918		12,967	539,145	64,900		44,508	2,499
House Authorized 42,414	1,949	5,603	5,901	[3,000]	14,214				200,099		89,841	673,257	[-101,000]	1,281,945	[-132,000]	49,379	[15,000]	104,918	[8,000]	12,967	539,145	74,900	[10,000]	44,508	2,499
EY2009 Request 42,414	1,949	5,603	2,901		14,214				200,099		89,841	774,257		1,413,945		34,379		96,918		12,967	539,145	64,900		44,508	2,499
<u>Line</u> <u>Program Title</u> 82 INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS Next generation helmet			85 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	Multi-purpose ISO container transporters	86 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	87 LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	HMMWV modernization research	88 ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-SDD	89 NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	NLOS-LS anti-tamper research	90 NON-LINE OF SIGHT CANNON	91 FCS MANNED GRD VEHICLES & COMMON GRD VEHICLE	Program reduction	92 FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	Program reduction	93 FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	Program increase	94 FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	Program increase	95 FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	96 FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	97 MODULAR BRIGADE ENHANCEMENT	Program increase	98 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS	99 COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT
••																									
Program Element 0604601A	0604604A	0604609A	0604622A		0604633A	0604642A		0604645A	0604646A		0604647A	0604660A		0604661A		0604662A		0604663A		0604664A	0604665A	0604666A		0604710A	0604713A

Title II - RDT&E
(Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 38,424	22,415	23,582	16,095	29,468	929	52,140		37,718	9,795		35,971		126,475		_	78,197		10,909	67,535		60,308	47,845	15,790
Agreement Change 3,000 [3,000]											1,000	[1,000]											
Senate Authorized 41,424 [6,000]	22,415	23,582	16,095	29,468	676	55,140	[3,000]	37,718	9,795	!	34,971		126,475			78,197		10,909	67,535		806,308	47,845	15,790
House Authorized 35,424	22,415	23,582	16,095	29,468	929	52,140		37,718	9,795	,	37,971	[3,000]	64,275	[-62,200]	_	63,197	[-15,000]	10,909	67,535		60,308	47,845	15,790
FY2009 Request 35,424	22,415	23,582	16,095	29,468	919	52,140		37,718	9,795	34.971) ;		126,475		_	78,197		10,909	67,535		60,308	47,845	15,790
<u>Line Program Title</u> 100 NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD Urban training development	101 AIR DEFENSE CZ AND INTELLIGENCE - (C-RAM) 102 CONSTRUCTIVE SIMILI ATION SYSTEMS DEVEL OPMENT	AUTOMATIC TEST EQ	104 DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)	105 COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	106 JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	107 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD	Extended range sniper rifle research	108 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT	109 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	110 MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE	EQUIPMENT - SDD	Rotary valve pressure swing absorption oxygen generator	111 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER (FCS)	Landmine warfare barrier - SDD reduction	112 CLASSIFIED PROGRAM	113 ARTILLERY MUNITIONS / XM 982	Realign to Excalibur projectile procurement	114 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	115 ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	116 RADAR DEVELOPMENT	117 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS)	-	119 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR
Program Element 0604715A	0604741A 0604742A	0604746A	0604760A	0604780A	0604783A	0604802A		0604804A	0604805A	0604807A			0604808A		0604812A	0604814A		0604817A	0604818A	0604820A	0604822A	0604823A	0604827A

Title II - RDT&E
(Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	Program Title	Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
£ 10000	04		42,300	[5,000]	44,300		42,300
0604869A	121	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP)	431,270	431,270	431,270		431,270
0604870A	122	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK	6,260	6,260	6,260		6,260
0605013A	123	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT Health informatics initiative	73,740	76,740	73,740	2,500	76,240
0605450A	124	124 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	118,517	118,517	118,517	•	118,517
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, ARMY	4,981,024	4,722,824	5,003,024	44,500	5,025,524
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT					
0603808A	125	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM	_				
0604256A	126	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	21,416	21,416	21,416		21,416
0604258A	127	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,498	13,498	16,498		13,498
		Fixed-wing aerial target development			[3,000]		
0604759A	128	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	64,618	64,618	64,618		64,618
0604812A	129						
0605103A	130	RAND ARROYO CENTER	16,339	19,339	16,339	3,000	19,339
		Program increase		[3,000]		[3,000]	
0605301A	131	131 ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	174,601	174,601	174,601		174,601
0605326A	132	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	28,271	40,271	28,271	1,000	29,271
		Warfighting study of future technologies and tactics - Aviation		[3,000]			
		Gunfire detection system for unmanned aerial vehicles		[000'6]		[1,000]	
0605502A	133	133 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH		5,000			
		Electro-magnetic flak impulse system		[2,000]			

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 342,079	79,124		41,066	7,835		5,054	8,289	17,028	3,530	72,942	63,382	5,325	73,748	42,905	20,857	5,125
Agreement Change	4,500	[3,000]		5,000	[5,000]											
Senate Authorized 342,079	77,624	[3,000]	41,066	17,835	[15,000]	5,054	8,289	17,028	3,530	72,942	63,382	5,325	73,748	42,905	20,857	5,125
House Authorized 342,079	77,624	[3 000]	41,066	2,835		5,054	8,289	17,028	3,530	72,942	63,382	5,325	73,748	42,905	20,857	5,125
FY2009 Request 342,079	74,624		41,066	2,835		5,054	8,289	17,028	3,530	72,942	63,382	5,325	73,748	42,905	20,857	5,125
<u>Line</u> <u>Program Title</u> 134 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	135 ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	LIDAR and modeling improvements MOTS all sky imager (MASI)	136 SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	HELSTF	138 AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS		SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	143 ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)	145 PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	146 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	147 MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	148 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT
Line 1	135		136	137		138	139	140		142	143 /	144	145	146	147	148
Program Element 0605601A	0605602A		0605604A	0605605A		0605606A	0605702A	0605706A	0605709A	0605712A	0605716A	0605718A	0605801A	0605803A	0605805A	0605857A

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

	Authorized 15,665	1,126,697	59,749	356,434 16,860	144,114	37 151	452,787	332	9,534	37,871
	<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u>	13,500		1,000	[1,000] 3,000	[3'000]				
	Senate Authorized 15,665	1,134,197	59,749	356,434 15,860	141,114	37 151	452,787	332	9,534	37,871
	House Authorized 15,665	1,136,197	59,749	356,434 17,360	[1,500] 146,914	[1,000] [4,800] 37,151	452,787	332	9,534	37,871
(children)	<u>Request</u> 15,665	1,113,197	59,749	356,434 15,860	141,114	27 151	452,787	332	9,534	37,871
	<u>Line</u> <u>Program Title</u> 149 MANAGEMENT HQ - R&D 150 FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, ARMY	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 151 MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 152 WEAPONS CAPABILITY MODIFICATIONS UAV	153 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE 154 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	Fire support technology improvement program 155 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	Condition based maintenance for military vehicles Ground combat systems electronic enhancements	AIRCRAFT MODIFICAT	158 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	159 DIGITIZATION 160 FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FRCR2)	161 TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 162 MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
	<u>Program</u> <u>Element</u> 0605898A 0909999A		0603778A 0603820A	0102419A 0203726A	0203735A	02037404	0203744A	0203752A	0203758A 0203759A	0203764A 0203801A

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 5,227	19,601	1,957	2,936 []	38,090	106,327 12,922 15,203 50,976	66,204
<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u> 3,700 [3,700]						8,500 [1,000] [2,000] [3,000] [2,500]
Senate Authorized 11,527 [10,000]	19,601	1,957	2,936 []	38,090 64,934 [-30,000] [-10,000]	106,327 12,922 15,203 50,976	57,704
House Authorized 16,527 [10,000]	19,601	726,7 76,000,8]	2,936 []	38,090 98,934 [-6,000]	66,327 11,922 [-1,000] 15,203 50,976	[] 74,204 [4,000] [4,000] [5,000] [3,500] 1,023
<u>Request</u> 1,527	19,601	1,957	2,936	38,090 104,93 4	106,327 12,922 15,203 50,976	57,704
Line Program Title 163 OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS Javelin modernization Close combat missile modernization (Javelin)	164 TF	166	167 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) 168 SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM 169 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	170	172 SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) 173 WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM Global Command and Control System Army 174 JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2) 175 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	176
Program Element 0203802A	0203808A	0208053A	0208058A 0301359A 0303028A	0303140A 0303141A	0303142A 0303150A 0303158A 0305204A	0305206A 0305208A 0702239A

Title II - RDT&E

(SE
and
ŝ
؏
_
S
<u>a</u>
<u>a</u>
_

Agreement Change Agreement Authorized 13,700 82,784 [2,000] [2,200] [2,000] [2,000] [2,000] [2,500] [3,000] [3,000]	29,900 1,662,354	3,835	419,755 10,943,840
Senate Agree	1,613,454	3,835	10,855,210 41
House Suthorized Authorized Au 82.084 [4,000] [2,000] [2,000] [3,000]	1,643,254	3,835	10,685,695
FY2009 Request 69,084	1,632,454	3,835	10,524,085
Line Program Title 179 END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES Castings research for weapons systems Manufacturing metrology research Nanotechnology manufacturing research Near-net shaped body armor plate development Vehicle common armor manufacturing process (VCAMP) Composite rotorcraft airframe development Helicopter vulnerability reduction 180 NATO JOINT STARS	SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, ARMY	999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	Total, RDT&E Army
<u>Element</u> 0708045A			

	Agreement Authorized		106,207		17,298	413,771				537,276	88,413			
	Agreement Change		2,500	[1,500]		6,500	[2,000]	[1,500]	[3.000]	9,000	8,500	[3,000]	[2,000]	[1,500] [2,000]
	Senate Authorized		106,207	[1,500] [1,000]	17,298	413,771	[1,500] [2,000]	[1,500]	fone:	537,276	87,413	[3,500]	[2,500]	
	House Authorized		110,707		[7,000] 17,298	410,271			[3,000]	538,276	83,813			[1,500] [2,400]
housands)	FY2009 Request		103,707		17,298	407,271				528,276	79,913			
(Dollars in Thousands)	Line Program Title	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	BASIC RESEARCH 1 UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	Automated technology for landmine detection Radiation hardened electronic systems	Ship model testing facility 2 IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	3 DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	Energetics research Manufacturing engineering educational outreach program	Quantum studies research	Energetics S&T workforce	SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, NAVY	APPLIED RESEARCH 4 POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	Free electron laser development High brightness electron source development	UAV fuel cell technologies	High energy conventional energetics Marine mammal hearing and echolocation research
	Program Element		0601103N		0601152N	0601153N					0602114N			

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Authorized Authorized 145,310	40,480	2,500	81,554	95,362	57,330	47,278
Agreement Change 14,000 [3,000] [3,500] [2,000]	4,000	2,500	4,500 [2,000] [2,500]	1,500 [1,500]	2,500 [1,500] [1,000]	
Senate	40,980		77,054	95,362 [1,500]	56,330 [1,500]	47,278
House Authorized 146,510 [3,200] [5,000]	[4,000] 40,480 [4,000]	3,000	81,554 [2,000] [2,500]	95,862 [2,000]	55,830 [1,000]	49,278 [2,000] 6,084
FY2009 Request 131,310	36,480		77,054	93,862	54,830	47,278
이 도	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY Warfighter rapid awareness processing technology	Ž (Test environment for All-weather sense and	WARFIGHTER SUSTAII Acoustic research det Remote fuel assessm		1 OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH Autonomous undersea vehicle applications center 2 JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH
5 5	ဖ	~ (x	တ	10	17 2
Program Element 0602123N	0602131M	0602234N	0602235N	0602236 N	0602271N	0602435N 0602651M

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 58,658 47,869	670,838	68,560
Agreement Change	37,500	8.200 [3,000] [1,200] [1,000]
Senate Authorized 58,658 47,869	668,838	66,360 [3,500] [2,500]
House Authorized 58,658 47,869	668,938	79,060 [3,000] [2,200] [6,000] [5,000] [2,500]
FY2009 Request 58,658 47,869	633,338	096.09
Line Program Title 13 UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH 14 MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, NAVY	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 15 POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Mobile target tracking technologies Watercraft APS Hyperspectral targeting sensor Countermine LIDAR UAV-based system (CLUBS) Free space optical communications interrogator Electromagnetic railgun program High speed anti-radiation demonstrator
<u>Frogram</u> <u>Element</u> 0602747N 0602782N		0603114N

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Authorized 71,399		43,378	113,520	104,287	11,020
Agreement Change 16,300 [2,000] [5,000]	[2,000]	[4,300] -61,200 [-61,200]	1,000	3,500 [2,000] [1,500]	
Senate Authorized 76,599 [2,000] [3,500] [5,000] [9,000] [2,000]		43,378	112,520	102,787	11,020
<u>Authorized</u> 84,399	[10,000] [3,000] [2,000] [2,000] [3,000]	[4,300] [4,000] 43,378 [-61,200]	126,320 [6,800] [3,000] [4,000] 37,058	[8,500] [1,500] [500]	11,020
<u>FY2009</u> <u>Request</u> 55,099		104,578	112,520	100,787	11,020
Line FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 16 FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Combined mishap reduction system Integrated vehicle health monitoring system Navy/USMC fuel cell non-tactical vehicle initiative Single generator operations lithium ion battery Wide band dap semiconductor materials	Sea fighter High strength flame resistant LCP reinforced netting Superconducting DC homopolar motor Multi-fuel combustor for shipboard fuel cell systems Power node switching and control center Repair cell/engineering education outreach program	Integrated ship and motion control technology Pure hydrogen supply from logistic fuels COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY High integrity global positioning systems (HIGPS)	 WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Helo ruggedized avionics displays System for intelligent task assignment & readiness Deployed ASW sustainment training RF SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 		DEVELOPMENT
Program Element L 0603123N		0603235N	0603236N 0603271N		
리 희 8		90	90 90	90 90	3

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

		(Dollats III 11100salius)	usanus)				
Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603729N	22	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	12,129	12,129	12,129		12,129
0603747N	23	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	81,490	83,990	81,490		81,490
		Navy - use of UNOLS fleet		[2,500]			
0603758N	24	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	70,216	70,216	70,216		70,216
0603782N	52	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	33,426	33,426	33,426		33,426
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, NAVY	678,683	692,283	646,983	-32,200	646,483
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES					
0603207N	26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	66,133	66,133	66,133		66,133
0603216N	27	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	5,917	12,117	5,917	2,500	8,417
		Trigger and alert sonobuoy system		[2,500]		[2,500]	
		Port and harbor security enhancement - AUV platforms		[3,700]			
0603237N	28	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	5,905	5,905	5,905		5,905
0603254N	29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	28,799	42,999	28,799	6,200	34,999
		Marine mammal awareness, alert and response systems		[6,000]		[3.000]	
		Tactical E-field buoy development		[7,000]		[2,000]	
		Holographic optical filters for LIDAR		[1,200]		[1,200]	
0603261N	30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	4,298	4,298	4,298		4,298
0603382N	34	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	4,367	4,367	4,367		4,367
0603502N	32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE	110 164	110 164	110 164		110 164
		COUNTERMEASURES	6.6	* 01.61	13, 104		to: '60
0603506N	33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	49,171	49,171	49,171		49,171

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603512N	34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT Improved corrosion protection for EMALS	120,511	123,511 [3.000]	120,511	3,000	123,511
0603513N	35	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	4,003	14,503	17,103	10,100	14,103
		DDG-51 permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion			[7.600]	[6,600]	
		High temperature superconducting (HTS) propulsion motor			[2,500]		
		Power conversion - high density power generation pckgs		[1,500]			
		Data acquisition reporting and trending system (DARTS)		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Diagnostic/prognostic pump system		[2,000]			
		High temp superconductor propulsion motor; DDG & CG(X)		[2,000]			
		IR LED free space optics communications advancement		[2,000]		[200]	
0603525N	38	PILOT FISH	86,017	86,017	86,017		86,017
0603527N	37	RETRACT LARCH	93,078	93,078	93,078		93,078
0603536N	38	RETRACT JUNIPER	159,175	159,175	159,175		159,175
0603542N	39	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,094	1,094	1,094		1,094
0603553N	4	SURFACE ASW	29,574	33,474	29,574	200	30,074
		Connectory expansion for rapid ID of tech sources		[1,300]		[200]	
		Sonobuoy flight vehicle		[2,600]			
0603559N	41						
0603561N	42	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	141,720	170,220	156,720	4,000	145,720
		Continue SSBN(X) design & study activities			[15,000]		
		SSGN/Virginia payload tube development		[15,000]			
		HBCU applied research incubator		[3,500]			
		Sea based strategic deterrent (UMLS)		[10,000]		[4,000]	
0603562N	43	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	10,212	10,212	10,212		10,212
0603563N	44	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	31,111	32,111	31,111	1,000	32,111
		Analytics for shipboard monitoring systems (ASMS)		[1,000]		[1,000]	
0603564N	45	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	14,627	24,627	14,627	5,000	19,627
		Naval ship hydrodynamic test facilities, NSWC, Carderock		[10,000]		[2,000]	

Program			FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line	Program Title	Request	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0603570N	46	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	158,270	158,270	158,270		158,270
0603573N	47	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS					
0603576N	48	CHALK EAGLE	352,858	352,858	352,858		352,858
0603581N	49	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	371,008	371,008	371,008		371,008
0603582N	20	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	54,401	54,401	54,401		54,401
N609E090	51	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	8,124	8,124	8,124		8,124
0603611M	52	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES / EFV	316,052	275,852	316,052	-35,000	281,052
		Marine Corps assault vehicle reduction		[-40,200]		[-32,000]	
0603612M	53	USMC MINE COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS - ADV DEV					
0603635M	24	USMC GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM / JLTV	59,049	66,049	59,049	2,000	61,049
		Center for geospatial intelligence and investigation		[1,000]			
		Urban operations laboratory		[6,000]		[2,000]	
0603654N	55	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	115,086	115,086	115,086		115,086
0603658N	26	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	38,316	38,316	38,316		38,316
0603713N	24	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,737	7,737	7,737		7,737
0603721N	28	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	19,632	19,632	19,632		19,632
0603724N	29	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	5,611	5,611	5,611		5,611
0603725N	9	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	4,086	20,286	980'9	12,000	16,086
		Critical components for ocean alternate energy options		[2,500]		[2,500]	
		Wave energy powerbuoy generating system		[3,500]		[2,000]	
		Swimmer detection sonar network - PNS		[5,500]		[4,000]	
		Hydrokinetic power generator		[2,000]	[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Regenerative fuel cell back-up power		[2,700]		[1,500]	
0603734N	61	CHALK CORAL	117,543	117,543	117,543		117,543
0603739N	62	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	2,846	3,846	6,846	2,000	4,846
		Highly integrated optical interconnect for military avionics			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		In transit visibility system		[1,000]			
0603746N	63	RETRACT MAPLE	138,091	138,091	138,091		138,091

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 60,444 139,139	11,001 75,995	60,678	10,129	24,028				46,902	636'66	41,807			2,200		65,244				47,518	3,441,900
Agreement Change				-16,000		[14,000]	[-30,000]						2,200	[2,200]	2,000	[2,000]				1,500
Senate Authorized 60,444 139,139	11,001 75,995	829'09	10,129	10,028	[-30,000]			46,902	99,929	41,807			10,700	[10,700]	63.244				47,518	3,455,200
House Authorized 60.444 139,139	11,001 75,995	60,678	10,129	16,228		[15,000]	[-38.800]	46,902	99,929	41,807	1,500	[1,500]			67,744	[4,500]			47,518	3,483,900
EY2009 Request 60,444 139,139	11,001 75,995	60,678	10,129	40,028				46,902	626'66	41,807					63,244				47,518	3,440,400
est.	66 SHIP SELF DEFENSE 67 LINK EVERGREEN	-		70 LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	Reflect cancellation of ERGM development	Affordable weapons system	Extended range guided munition program delay	71 NONLETHAL WEAPONS	72 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	73 SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP) SYSTEM ENGINEER (SE)	74 COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS	CRISSTL ball	75 DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	Develop directed energy weapons for asymmetric threats	76 TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED	Compact ultra-fast laser system development	77 HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM	78 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	79 SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, NAVY
Program Element 0603748N	0603755N 0603764N	0603787N	0603790N	0603795N				0603851M	0603860N	0603879N	0603889N		0603925N		0604272N		0604327N	0604450N	0604707N	

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
0604212N	80	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	58,210	58,210	58,210		58,210
0604214N	81	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	29,924	29,924	29,924		29,924
0604215N	82	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	71,920	73,920	71,920	2,000	73,920
		Navy METCAL		[2,000]		[2,000]	
0604216N	83	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	70,329	70,329	70,329		70,329
0604218N	84	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	5,750	5,750	5,750		5,750
0604221N	85	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,589	3,589	3,589		3,589
0604230N	86	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	8,611	13,611	8,611		8,611
		Wireless imaging and sensor - AT force protection initiative		[2,000]			
0604231N	87	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	128,742	127,742	128,742	-1,000	127,742
		Global Command and Control System Maritime		[-1,000]		[-1,000]	
0604234N	88	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	484,159	484,159	484,159		484,159
0604245N	83	H-1 UPGRADES	3,795	3,795	3,795		3,795
0604261N	06	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	45,790	45,790	45,790		45,790
0604262N	91	V-22A	68,763	68,763	68,763		68,763
0604264N	95	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,192	16,192	16,192		16,192
0604269N	93	EA-18	128,906	128,906	128,906		128,906
0604270N	94	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	106,932	106,932	106,932		106,932

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
1A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT rement !}	1,047,835	1,047,835	1,047,835	-212,835 [-212,835]	835,000
NT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	834,650	834,650	834,650		834,650
21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING	678,936	678,936	591,736		678,936
educe CG(X) R&D to reflect delay in program decisions			[-87,200]		
RFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	188,500	191,500	188,500	3,000	191,500
DDG-51 class permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion		[3,000]		[3,000]	
PD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	985	985	985		985
MALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	19,574	19,574	19,574		19,574
TANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	234,653	234,653	234,653		234,653
AIRBORNE MCM	39,882	39,882	39,882		39,882
JAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL - COUNTER AIR YSTEMS ENGINEERING	10,533	10,533	10,533		10,533
DVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	153,558	158,558	119,958	2,000	158,558
Reduce CG(X) R&D to reflect delay in program decisions			[-33,600]		
National RF R&D and tech transfer center		[2,000]		[2,000]	
SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	143,453	143,453	147,553		143,453
Improved towed array handler			[4,100]		
AIR CONTROL	8,191	8,191	8,191		8,191
SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	42,843	42,843	42,843		42,843
COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	14,792	14,792	14,792		14,792
IEW DESIGN SSN	167,357	169,357	172,657	2,000	169,357
Submarine electronic chart updates			[5,300]		
Large scale demonstration item for VA-Class bow dome		[2,000]		[2,000]	
SN-21 DEVELOPMENTS					
UBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	58,592	58,592	28'285		58,592
109 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	THE SECOND THE PROPERTY OF THE SECOND SECOND	UTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT AL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY) SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING X) R&D to reflect delay in program decisions MBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING SS Permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion S SYSTEMS INTEGRATION RATED FIRE CONTROL - COUNTER AIR GINEERING BOVE WATER SENSORS X) R&D and tech transfer center TRIDENT MODERNIZATION Wed array handler AVIATION SYSTEMS ORMATION CENTER CONVERSION SSN SISSN COPMENTS TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	Colored Colo	FY2009 House Authorized Authorized Authorized Authorized Light Authorized Authorized Light Authorized Authorized Light Authorized Light	FY2009 House Request Authorized Authorized Authorized Authorized Authorized Authorized Authorized Color Authorized Authorized Color Auth

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element 0604567N	Line 112	Program Title SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E Transfer LHA (R) contract design from NDSF Automated fiber optic manufacturing initiative MPF(F) research and development	<u>FY2009</u> <u>Request</u> 72,932	<u>House</u> Authorized 146,132 [4,500]	Senate Authorized 78,332 [5,400]	Agreement Change 8,900 [5,400] [3,500]	Agreement Authorized 81,832
0604601N 0604603N	113	2 5	2,008	2,008	2,008		2,008
0604610N 0604654N	115	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	50,732 10,858	50,732 10,858	50,732 10,858		50,732
0604703N	117		5,263	5,263	5,263		5,263
0604755N	119	SHIP SELF DEFENSE	35,999	35,999	35,999		35,999
0604756N	120	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) Next neneration Phalany	36,238	36,238	46,938	10,700	46,938
0604757N	121	ऊ	57,574	57,574	66,574 66,574 [9,000]	2,000	59,574
0604761N	122		13,750	13,750	13,750	•	13,750
0604771N	123	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT Composite tissue transplantation research	7,833	14,833	10,333 [2,500]	4,000 [2,000]	11,833
		Reducing operational stress U.S. Navy pandemic influenza vaccine program		[5,000] [2,000]		[2,000]	
0604777N 0604784N	124	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM Augmentation for force protection at NAWCAD	49,007	49,007 2,000 [2,000]	49,007		49,007
0604800N	126	9	1,532,748	1,795,248 [247,500] [15,000]	1,747,748 [215,000]	247,500 [247,500]	1,780,248
0605013M 0605013N	127	ZZ	30,238 72,497	30,238	30,238 72,497		30,238 72,497

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	570,484 24,407	62,324	1,132,026	16,678	8,753,317		24,959	80,337	46,891				8,084	49,745			713	51,568	3,597	69,913		195,017
Agreement Change					71,265				4,500	[3,000]	[1,500]											
Senate Authorized	570,484 24,407	62,324	1,132,026	16,678	8,813,252		24,959	80,337	42,391				8,084	49,745			713	51,568	3,597	69,913		195,017
House Authorized	570,484 24,407	62,324	1,132,026	16,678	9,042,752		24,959	80,337	48,891	[3,000]	[1,500]	[2,000]	8,084	49,745			713	51,568	3,597	69,913		195,017
FY2009 Reguest	570,484 24,407	62,324	1,132,026	16,678	8,682,052		24,959	80,337	42,391				8,084	49,745			713	51,568	3,597	69,913		195,017
Line Program Title N 129 MULTINATIONAL INFORMATION SHARING (MNIS)	130	132		IN 134 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, NAVY	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	IN 135 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	IN 136 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	IN 137 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	Air combat enviro test and evaluation facility upgrades	Improved interoperability to support NAVAIR and GWOT	SURETRAK re-architecture and sensor augmentation	IN 138 STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	IN 139 CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	IN 140 FLEET TACTICAL DEVELOPMENT	IN 141 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	IN 142 TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	IN 143 MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	IN 144 STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	N 145 RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	IN 146 RDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION	IN 147 RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT
Program Element 0605172N	0605212N 0605430N	0605450N	0605500N	0304785N			0604256N	0604258N	0604759N				0605152N	0605154N	0605155N	0605502N	0605804N	0605853N	0605856N	0605861N	0605862N	0605863N

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 356,254	12,195	25,358	1,998	5,148	959,172			68,214	275,823	74,120				34,131	7,384	47,495	34,469	71,232
Agreement Change					4,500					000'9-	[-23,300]	[13,300]	[4,000]					
Senate Authorized 346,254 [-10,000]	12,195	25,358	1,998	5,148	944,672	-		68,214	275,823	60,774	[-23,346]		[4,000]	34,131	7,384	47,495	34,469	71,232
House Authorized 356,254	12,195	25,358	1,998	5,148	961,172		_	68,214	275,823	74,520	[-23,300]	[13,300]	[4,400]	34,131	7,384	47,495	34,469	71,232
FY2009 Request 356,254	12,195	25,358	1,998	5,148	954,672		_	68,214	275,823	80,120				34,131	7,384	47,495	34,469	71,232
am Line Program Title 64N 148 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT Unjustified request	149 OI 150 N.	67N 151 LINK CRIMSON	153	154 8	SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, NAVY	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	60N 156 ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS	27N 157 HARPOON MODIFICATIONS	02N 158 UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT	21N 159 STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	Reliable replacement warhead (RRW)	Arming, fuzing, and firing systems	Advanced LINAC facility	24N 160 SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	26N 161 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	02N 162 NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	61N 163 RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	36N 164 F/A-18 SQUADRONS
Program Element 0605864N	0605865N 0605866N	0605867N	0305885N	0804758N 0909999N			N099E090	0604227N	0604402N	0101221N				0101224N	0101226N	0101402N	0203761N	0204136N

Agreement Authorized 54.096	26,696	15,212		20,565	2,325	28,017	1,441	24,276	31,427		4,247	21,720	16,879		127,106					3,625	71,576	273,696
Agreement Change		1,000	[1,000]										1,000	[1,000]	4,200		[1,000]	[2,000]	[1,200]			
Senate Authorized 54.096	29,696	14,212		20,565	2,325	28,017	1,441	24,276	31,427		4,247	21,720	15,879		126,906	[3,000]	[1,000]			3,625	71,576	273,696
House Authorized 54,096	26,696	17,212	[3,000]	20,565	2,325	28,017	1,441	24,276	34,427	[3,000]	4,247	21,720	16,879	[1,000]	126,106			[2.000]	[1,200]	3,625	71,576	273,696
FY2009 Request 54.096	26,696	14,212		20,565	2,325	28,017	1,441	24,276	31,427		4,247	21,720	15,879		122,906	est systems				3,625	71,576	273,696
<u>Line Program Title</u> 165 E-2 SQUADRONS		167 TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)			169 AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	170 CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	171 CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	172 ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	173 HARM IMPROVEMENT	Advanced anti-radiation guided missile derivative	174 TACTICAL DATA LINKS	175 SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	176 MK-48 ADCAP	MK-48 post launch comm system	177 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	Radio frequency synthetic subsystems for military automated test systems	Rapid repair structural adhesives	Ultraviolet-cure structural repair adhesives	Helo laminate widescreen development	178 NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	179 OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	180 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS
Program Element 0204152N	0204163N	0204229N		0204311N	0204413N	0204571N	0204574N	0204575N	0205601N		0205604N	0205620N	0205632N		0205633N					0205658N	0205675N	0206313M

Title II - RDT&E
(Dollars in Thousands)
FY2009

Program	:	•	FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	E	Program Ittle	Kednest	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0206623M	181	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	136,080	145,080	136,080	-16,400	119,680
		Program Delay				[-19,400]	
		Marine corps shotgun modernization program		[3,000]		[3,000]	
		Enhanced military vehicle maintenance		[4,000]			
		Dev and test program for EMI hardened test shelter		[2,000]			
0206624M	182	Ž	9,646	9,646	9,646		9,646
0207161N	183	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	6,679	6,679	6'9'9		6/9'9
0207163N	184	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	8,556	8,556	8,556		8,556
0208058N	185	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	11,960	11,960	11,960		11,960
0301303N	186	MARITIME INTELLIGENCE	 ,	[]	_		_
0301323N	187	COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	_	_	_		_
0301327N	188	TECHNICAL RECONNAISANCE AND SURVEILLANCE	[]		_		_
0301372N	189	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE - GDIP	_	[]			
0303109N	190	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	652,463	658,463	652,463	1,000	653,463
		Joint-integrated sys tech for advanced digital networking		[000'9]		[1,000]	
0303140N	191	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	27,037	27,037	27,037		27,037
0303158M	192	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	2,000	2,000	2,000		2,000
0303158N	193	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	4,148	4,148	4,148		4,148
0305149N	194	COBRA JUDY	101,114	101,114	101,114		101,114
0305160N	195		8.208	8.208	8.208		8.208
		(METOC)	1	<u> </u>	1		
0305192N	196	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	4,614	4,614	4,614		4,614
0305204N	197	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	45,717	45,717	45,717		45,717
0305205N	198	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	480,098	418,098	431,898	-62,000	418,098
		BAMS program		[-62,000]	[-48,200]	[-62,000]	
0305206N	199	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	55,719	55,719	55,719		55,719

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

	Agreement Authorized	44,540	74,604	8,007	15,130		1,877	56,681				3,027,232	1,309,385	19,345,603
	Agreement Change				-6,000	[000'9-]						-83,200		8,365
	Senate Authorized	44,540	74,604	8,007	21,130		1,877	58,381	[11,700]	15,000	[15,000]	3,066,586	1,309,385	19,442,192
	House Authorized	44,540	74,604	8,007	21,130		1,877	56,681				3,068,032	1,309,385	19,764,738
nousands)	FY2009 Request	44,540	74,604	8,007	21,130		1,877	56,681				3,110,432	1,309,385	19,337,238
(Dollars in Thousands)	Line Program Title		202 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS)	203 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	204 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	F-18 Service Life Assessment Program delay	205 AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	206 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	Digital direct manufacturing technologies	207 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	National Shipbuilding Research Program	SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, NAVY	999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	Total, RDT&E Navy
	Program Element L		0307207N 2	0308601N 2	0702207N 2		0702239N 2	0708011N 2		0708730N 2			3,	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized		309,926	136,049					13,425	_				459,400	
Agreement Change			10,100	[2,500]	[2,000]	[1,600]	[2,000]		[4,000]	[4,000]			10,100	
Senate Authorized		309,926	134.049	[2,500] [2,500]	[2,000]	[1,600]		13,425					457,400	
House Authorized		309,926	127,949				[2,000]	13,425	_	[4,000]	_	[]	451,300	5,100 [2,000] [3,100]
FY2009 Request	RCE	309,926	125,949					13,425	[]		,,	[]	449,300	
Line Program Title	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	BASIC RESEARCH 1 DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	2 UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES Advanced design technologies for hyperconics received	Diamond substrates for microelectronics research	Information security research	Military decision cycle time research	Aerodynamic wind tunnel upgrade initiative	3 HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	4 CLASSIFIED PROGRAM	Remote suspect identification	5 SPECIAL PROGRAM	6 COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	APPLIED RESEARCH 7 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT Mobile diabetes management Basic science research
Program		0601102F	0601103F					0601108F	0301555F		0301556F	0305172F		0602015F

Program Element 1	Line 8	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
į		Fire and blast resistant materials research		200	[2,000]	[2.000]	
		Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components			[1,500]	[1,500]	
		Thermal protection systems for hypersonics			[2,500]		
		Advanced carbon fiber research and test initiative		[3,000]	[2,500]	[3,000]	
		Advanced casting and coating technologies		[3,500]		[3,500]	
		FEL capabilities for aerospace microfabrication		[1,400]		[1,400]	
		Next generation manufacturing processes		[1,500]		[1,500]	
		Ceramic matrix composite turbine blade demonstration		[5,000]		[4,000]	
		ONAMI safer nanomaterials and nanomanufacturing		[1,000]		[1,000]	
0602201F	თ	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	122,870	124,370	124,370	•	122,870
		Optical connector research			[1,500]		
		Single-mode optical connectors for advanced air vehicles		[1,500]			
0602202F	10	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	82,091	86,591	86,591	4,500	86,591
		Joint theater air-ground simulation system			[2,500]	[2,500]	
		Satellite control system training technology			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Bay area language tech innovation center (BALTIC)		[4,500]			
0602203F	-	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	218,049	235,449	225,049	9,950	227,999
		Hybrid bearing development			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		X-51B scramjet research			[5,000]		
		Advanced vehicle propulsion center		[3,000]		[1,500]	
		National test facility for aerospace fuels and propulsion		[1,700]		[1,700]	
		Wavelength agile spectral harmonic oxygen sensor		[2,400]		[1,000]	
		Cell-level battery controller		[2,800]			
		High temperature, high energy-density capacitors		[1,000]			
		Integrated electrical starter/generator		[3.500]		[2.000]	
		Hydrocarbon boost technology demonstrator		[3,000]		[1,750]	

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0602204F	12	AEROSPACE SENSORS	109,048	114,048	113,548	6,000	115,048
		Wideband electronic sensing technologies			[3,000]	[3,000]	
		Information quality tools - persistent surveillance data sets		[2,000]	[11,500]	[2,000]	
		Net-centríc sensor grids		[3,000]		[1,000]	
0602601F	13	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	117,519	120,519	130,519	4,500	122,019
		Seismic research program			[13,000]	[2,500]	
		Radiation hardened non-volatile memory technology		[3.000]		[2.000]	
0602602F	4	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	55,963	55,963	55,963		55,963
0602605F	15	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	62,871	62,871	62,871		62,871
0602702F	16	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS	109,492	110,492	111,992	2,000	111,492
		Cyber attack mitigation technologies			[2,500]	[2,000]	
		Cyber boot camp		[1,000]			
0602890F	17	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	49,449	49,449	49,449		49,449
		SUBTOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH, AIR FORCE	1,044,495	1,097,395	1,085,995	44,850	1,089,345
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT					
0603112F	18	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	41,926	57,926	46,926	2,000	46,926
		Metals affordability initiative		[14,000]	[5,000]	[5,000]	
		Improved inspection reliability for optimized inspection		[2,000]			
0603203F	19	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	56,916	62,916	58,916	4,000	60,916
		Reconfigurable secure computing technologies			[2,000]	[1,500]	
		Moving target strike		[6,000]		[2,500]	
0603211F	20	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	44,918	44,918	44,918		44,918

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603216F	21	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	170,856	176,356	179,856	6,500	177,356
		Assured aerospace fuels research			[3,000]	[2,000]	
		HiSTED supersonic/hypersonic cruise missile engine			[6,000]		
		VAATE for supersonic cruise missiles		[5,500]		[4,500]	
0603231F	22	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY	26,630	26,630	26,630		26,630
0603270F	23	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	21,056	24,056	21,056	1,500	22,556
		COTS analysis tools for navigational warfare		[3.000]		[11,500]	
0603311F	24	à		•		•	
0603401F	25	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	80,958	83,958	82,958	5,000	85,958
		Thin film amorphous solar arrays			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Hybrid sounding rocket propulsion		[2,000]		[1.000]	
		Technology testing platform	•	[2,000]			
		Small low cost reconnaissance spacecraft components		[5,000]		[2,000]	
0603444F	56	Ì	4,838	12,838	4,838		4,838
		High accuracy network determination system (HANDS)		[8,000]			
0603601F	27	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	11,813	11,813	14,813	3,000	14,813
		Integrated targeting devices			[3,000]	[3,000]	
0603605F	28	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	44,507	44,507	44,507		44,507
0603680F	29	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	39,729	43,729	39,729	2,000	41,729
		Prepreg thickness variability reduction program		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		Wire integrity technology program		[2,000]			
0603789F	30	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	30,103	30,103	32,103	2,000	32,103
		Optical interconnects research.			[2,000]	[2,000]	

Title II - RDT&E

1 2	Thomas
j	7
_	
=	2
-	Ć

Agreement Authorized	4,013		607,263		4,988	477		2 975	2,3		304,360		388,041	237,749	76,845		29,400	4,334	627
Agreement Change			29,000																
Senate Authorized	9,013	[5,000]	606,263		4,988	477		307 335	700	[304,360]	0	[-304,360]	388,041	237,749	81,845	[2,000]	29,400	4,334	627
House Authorized	4,013		629,763		4,988	477		2 975	2,2,3		304,360		388,041	237,749	76,845		29,400	4,334	627
FY2009 Request	4,013		578,263		4,988	477		2 975	2.2		304,360		388,041	237,749	76,845		29,400	4,334	627
Line Program Title	31 SPECIAL PROGRAMS 32 HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	HEL directed energy weapons	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES	33 INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	34 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	35 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III	36 GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III - OPERATIONAL	CONTROL SEGMENT	GPS III-OCX	37 GPS OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT - BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY	GPS III-OCX backwards compatibility	38 ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	39 POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	40 SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	Space situational awareness	41 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	42 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	43 INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D
Program Element	0603801F 0603924F				0603260F	0603287F	0603421F	0603423F			0603427F		0603430F	0603432F	0603438F		0603742F	0603790F	0603791F

2,384,136

-56,000

2,805,136

2,457,336

2,440,136

SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, AIR FORCE

- RDT&E	Thousands)
Title II.	(Dollars in

Authorized 786,974 21,105	12,422	7,479	9,889
<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u> -56,000 [-56,000]			
Senate Authorized 1,192,974 [350,000] 21,105 65,629	12,422	7,479	9,889 120,032 [10,000] 289,469
House Authorized 842,974 21,105	[5,000] 12,422 2.877	7,479 30.664 [2,200]	9,889 120,032 [10,000] 289,469
EY2009 Request 842,974 21,105	12,422	7,479	9,889
Line Program Title 44 TRANSFORMATIONAL SATCOM (TSAT) Program reduction TSAT 45 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE 46 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSUE			53 AUTOMATED AIR-TO-AIR REFUELING 54 COMMON AERO VEHICLE (CAV) 55 OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE ORS Day/night ISR payload for ORS 56 NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL 5.0 NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING SYSTEM (NDOESS)
Element L 0603845F , 0603850F ,			0604850F 0604857F 0604857F 0305178F

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate	Agreement	Authorized
0604740F	77	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS					
	:		177	7,177	177	2,000	2,177
		Distributed mission interoperability toolkit		[000,7]		[2,000]	
0604750F	78	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,488	1,488	1,488		1,488
0604762F	79	COMMON LOW OBSERVABLES VERIFICATION SYSTEM (CLOVERS)	/ERS)				
0604800F	80	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	1,524,016	1,786,516	1,774,016	247,500	1,771,516
		Alternative engine development				[247,500]	
		Fund competitive propulsion system			[215,000]		
		Technology development for F135 engine			[35,000]		
		Joint Strike Fighter competitive engine		[247,500]			
		F135 technology insertion		[15,000]			
0604853F	81	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM	22.44.0		07.4		4
		(SPACE)	53,719	33,719	33,719		33,719
0605011F	85	RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT	13,828	13,828	13,828		13,828
0605221F	83	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT	831,759	831,759	893,419	-831,759	0
		Transfer to tanker replacement transfer fund				[-831,759]	
		USAF-requested transfer from APAF 10			[61,660]		
0605277F	84	CSAR-X RDT&E	305,062	265,062	265,062	40,000	265,062
		Reflect delays in acquisition program			[-40,000]		
		Program delay		[-40,000]		[-40,000]	
0605278F	85	HC/MC-130 RECAP	11,692	11,692	11,692		11,692
0207434F	86	LINK-16 SUPPORT AND SUSTAINMENT	186,213	190,213	186,213	1,500	187,713
		Flexible access secure transfer		[4,000]		[1,500]	
0207450F	87	E-10 SQUADRONS	42,215	42,215	42,215		42,215
0207451F	88	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP)	606'99	606'99	606'99		606'99
0207701F	83	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	135,152	135,152	135,152		135,152
0401138F	8	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)	26,777	26,777	26,777	-10,000	16,777
		Unexecutable request				[-10,000]	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element Li 0401318F 9 0401845F 9	Line Program Title 91 CV-22 92 AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	EY2009 Request 18,562 1,992	House Authorized 18,562 1,992	Senate Authorized 18,562 1,992	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized 18,562 1,992
	SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION AIR FORCE	1, 4,953,574	5,120,774	5,216,734	-675,059	4,278,515
0604256F 9	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 93 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	34,568	34,568	34,568		34,568
0604759F 9	94 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	61,818	72,018	65,818	8,500	70,318
	High speed test track			[4,000]	[4,000]	
	FPS-16 radar modernization upgrade		[6,000]		[3,500]	
	Eglin AFB range operations control center upgrade		[4,200]		[1,000]	
0605101F 9	95 RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	28,676	31,676	28,676	3,000	31,676
	Program increase		[3,000]		[3,000]	
0605502F 9	96 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH					
0605712F 9	97 INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	29,537	29,537	29,537		29,537
0605807F 9	98 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	787,737	787,737	787,737		787,737
0605860F 9	99 ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	14,895	14,895	14,895		14,895
0605864F 10	100 SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	48,072	48,072	48,072		48,072
0605976F 10	101 FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	46,234	46,234	46,234		46,234

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

		FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0605978F	102 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	28,898	29,898	28,898	1,000	29,898
			[1,000]		[1,000]	
1001004F	103 GENERAL SRILL I KAINING 104 INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,910	3,910	3,910		3,910
	SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, AIR FORCE	1,084,345	1,098,545	1,088,345	12,500	1,096,845
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT					
0604263F	105 COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM	3,868	0	3,868		3,868
	Unjustmed program		[-3,8b8]	1		1
		20,987	20,987	20,987		20,987
0003/36F	10/ ANALTSIS SUFFORT GROUP	38 651	38.651	48 151		38.651
				[005'6]		
0101120F	109 ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE					
0101122F	110 AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	396	396	396		396
0101313F	111 STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	17,553	17,553	17,553		17,553
0101314F	112 NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM	5,299	5,299	5,299		5,299
0101815F	113 ADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS					_
	ISSO			[-10,000]		
0102326F	114 REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	23,858	23,858	23,858		23,858
0102823F	115 STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	15	15	15		15
0203761F	116 WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND	20,807	20,807	20,807		20,807
0205219F	117 MQ-9 UAV	43,557	43,557	43,557		43,557

Program		FY2009	Honse	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line Program Title	Request	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0207131F	118 A-10 SQUADRONS					
0207133F	119 F-16 SQUADRONS	123,979	123,979	123,979		123,979
0207134F	120 F-15E SQUADRONS	184,213	184,213	184,213		184,213
0207136F	121 MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	5,585	5,585	5,585		5,585
0207138F	122 F-22A SQUADRONS	700,305	700,305	700,305		700,305
0207141F	123 F-117A SQUADRONS					
0207161F	124 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	5,748	5,748	5,748		5,748
0207163F	125 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE	54,239	54,239	54,239		54,239
	(AMRAAM)	<u> </u>				
0207170F	126 JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	3,192	3,192	3,192		3,192
0207247F	127 AF TENCAP	11,578	11,578	11,578		11,578
0207248F	128 SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM					
0207253F	129 COMPASS CALL	4,670	4,670	4,670		4,670
0207268F	130 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	150,956	150,956	150,956		150,956
0207277F	131 CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM		4,000			
	Enhanced guardian angel kit		[4,000]			
0207325F	132 JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	13,035	13,035	13,035		13,035
0207410F	133 AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	118,834	118,834	78,434		118,834
	Delay AOC, increment 10.2			[-40,400]		
0207412F	134 MODULAR CONTROL SYSTEM	60,590	065'09	065'09		60,590
0207417F	135 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	126,300	126,300	126,300		126,300
0207418F	136 TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	1,530	1,530	1,530		1,530
0207423F	137 ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	29,782	29,782	29,782		29,782
0207424F	138 EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM	794,036	794,036	794,036		794,036
0207433F	139 ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY	10 437	10 437	10.437		10 437
L054 / 070		19,43	Ot.	54.0		7.6

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	62,788	11,702	1,727	32,151	81,641			21,645		28,981	3,870	7,137	97,560	12,220	1,077,970					_	_	4,069		70,995
Agreement Change					-16,000	[-36,000]	[20,000]																	
Senate Authorized	62,788	11,702	1,727	32,151	195,641		[98,000]	21,645		28,981	3,870	7,137	97,560	12,220	1,077,970		_					4,069		70,995
House Authorized	62,788	11,702	1,727	32,151	97,641			21,645		28,981	3,870	7,137	97,560	12,220	1,077,970		_	[3.000]			_	4,069		70,995
FY2009 Request	62,788	11,702	1,727	32,151	97,641			21,645		28,981	3,870	7,137	97,560	12,220	1,077,970	_	[]			_		4,069		70,995
Line Program Title		142 BOMBER TACTICAL DATA LINK	143 C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	144 COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	145 JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JISTARS)	Funded in FY08 supplemental	Improve maturity of MP-RTIP sensor suite for larger aircraft	146 SEEK EAGLE	147 ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION	148 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	149 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	150 DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	151 MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	152 INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	153 SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	154 NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER	155 COBRA BALL	Open source research centers	156 MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION	157 FOREST GREEN	158 GDIP COLLECTION MANAGEMENT	159 E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	160 AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS (AIRCOM)	161 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)
Program Element	0207445F	0207446F	0207448F	0207449F	0207581F			0207590F	0207591F	0207601F	0207605F	0207697F	0208006F	0208021F	0208161F	0301310F	0301314F		0301315F	0301324F	0301386F	0302015F	0303112F	0303131F

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Line Program Title House 162 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM 187,933 192,933 Cybercraft [3,000] Dark screen [2,000]
164 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM 3,218 Global Command and Control System Air Force
JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2) 3,234
MILSATCOM TERMINALS 337,098
SPECIAL ACTIVITIES
AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE 173,631
SELECTED ACTIVITIES
ADVANCED GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE (AGI)
COMMUNICATION, NAVIGATION, SURVEILLANCE
CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE
SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) 16,758
WEATHER SERVICE 47,347
Integrate all terrain, weather & risk assessment information into one display
175 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING
AERIAL TARGETS 34,777
SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM
FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES
APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION
DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

			•				
Program Element	Line Pro	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0305159F	182 DEI (SP	DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES (SPACE)	_			[-1,052,000]	
	, uL	FINDER			[25,000]		
	ů.	Phased Array			[27,000]		
	ı	Program reduction			[-20'000]		
	u.	Program reduction		[-200,000]	[-406,000]	[-1,052,000]	
0305160F	183 DEI	DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM (SPACE)					
0305164F	184 NA	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER	127,513	127,513	127,513		127,513
0305165F	185 NA CO	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS)	91,277	91,277	91,277		91,277
0305172F	186 CO	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	_				
0305173F	187 SP/	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	1,985	1,985	1,985		1,985
0305174F	188 SP,	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	3,003	3,003	3,003		3,003
0305182F	189 SP,	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	12,376	12,376	12,376		12,376
0305193F	190 INTE (OI)	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	1,237	1,237	1,237		1,237
0305206F	191 AIR	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	149,752	159,752	139,752	44,500	105,252
	20	Multiple UAS cooperative concentrated observation and engagement against a common ground objective		[10,000]		[5,500]	
	ш	Blackswift testbed			[-10,000]	[-20,000]	
0305207F	192 MA	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	12,819	16,319	12,819	2,500	15,319
	uL.	RIVET JOINT ISR network integration		[3,500]		[2,500]	
0305208F	193 DIS	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	107,834	107,834	107,834		107,834
0305219F	194 MQ	MQ-1 PREDATOR UAV	24,773	24,773	34,773	6,000	30,773
	٦	UAV sense and avoid			[10,000]	[6,000]	
0305220F	195 GL	GLOBAL HAWK UAV	284,292	284,292	284,292		284,292
0305221F	196 NE	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	8,807	8,807	8,807		8,807
0305265F	197 GP	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	420,342	420,342	420,342		420,342

Program		FY2009	Honse	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line Program Title	Request	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0305887F	198 ELECTRONIC COMBAT INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT	5,438	5,438	5,438		5,438
0305906F	199 NCMC - TW/AA SYSTEM					
0305913F	200 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	41,292	41,292	41,292		41,292
0305924F	201 NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE OFFICE	10,797	10,797	10,797		10,797
0305940F	202 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	16,166	16,166	. 16,166		16,166
0307141F	203 INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOPMENT	15,726	15,726	15,726		15,726
0308699F	204 SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	3,152	3,152	3,152		3,152
0401115F	205 C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	172,560	179,260	172,560	1,500	174,060
	Link 16 program for AFSOC MC-130Hs		[5,200]			
	Electromagnetic in-flight propeller balancing		[1,500]		[1,500]	
0401119F	206 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	125,063	125,063	125,063		125,063
0401130F	207 C-17 AIRCRAFT	236,047	188,047	188,047		236,047
	Reduce growth in performance improvement program			[-48,000]		
	Excess product investment requirements		[-48,000]			
0401132F	208 C-130J PROGRAM	52,354	27,354	52,354	-25,000	27,354
	International block upgrade		[-25,000]		[-25,000]	
0401134F	209 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	32,100	32,100	32,100		32,100
	LAIRCM for AFSOC AC/MC-130 aircraft					
0401218F	210 KC-135 AIRCRAFT	7,133	7,133	7,133		7,133
0401219F	211 KC-10 AIRCRAFT					
0401221F	212 KC-135 TANKER REPLACEMENT					
0401314F	213 OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT					
0401839F	214 AIR MOBILITY TACTICAL DATA LINK					

Title II - RDT&E

~
光
\simeq
ā
ίň
Š
0
ے,
-
~
. ==
S
<u></u>
70
ᅙ
ń
=

Program Element	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0408011F	215 SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL Joint precision AirDrop systems - mission planner RFI	5,728	12,228	5,728	2,000	7,728
	Tactical environmental clothing		[2,000]		[2,000]	
0702207F	216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,531	1,531	1,531		1,531
0702806F	217 ACQUISITION AND COMMAND SUPPORT	34,428	34,428	34,428		34,428
0708011F	218 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		3,000			
	Integrated structures for affordable transport aircraft		[3,000]			
0708012F	219 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES					
0708610F	220 LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	189,679	179,679	139,679	-10,000	179,679
	Expeditionary combat support system unjustified growth		[-10,000]	[-50,000]	[-10,000]	
0708611F	221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,145	17,045	8,145	4,000	12,145
	Clean cities national outreach prgm for power tech office		[1,900]			
	Eielson Air Force Base alternative energy source program		[4,000]		[3,000]	
	Expeditionary 200 kW + alternative power generator		[3,000]		[1,000]	
0804757F	222 JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	3,214	3,214	3,214		3,214
0808716F	223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	116	116	116		116
0901202F	224 JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	5,768	5,768	5,768		5,768
0901212F	225 SERVICE-WIDE SUPPORT	3,016	3,016	3,016		3,016
0901218F	226 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	8,123	8,123	8,123		8,123

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Agreement Change Authorized 18,625	-15,000 16,782	[-15,000]	-94,500 7,117,315	-1,048,000 9,256.689 0	-1,777,109 26,289,508
Senate Ag Authorized G	16,782	[-15,000]	7,171,915	9,890,689	28,322,4771
House Authorized 18,625	31,782		7,171,547	10,111,689 [100,000]	28,238,349
FY2009 Request 18,625	31,782		7,211,815	10,304,689	28,066,617
<u>Line Program Title</u> 227 PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	228 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	DEAMS	SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, AIR FORCE	999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS 999X Classified requirement	Total, RDT&E Air Force
Program Element 0901220F	0901538F				

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	-WIDE				
OGOTOOBB	-	BASIC RESEARCH	18 000	18 000	18 000		18 000
0601101E	- ~	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	195,657	201,657	195,657		195,657
		Combined injury consortium		[5,000]			
		DARPA computer future		[1,000]			
0601111D8Z	ო	GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY COSPONSORSHIP OF UNIVERSITY RESEARCH		2,000			
		Integrated cryo-cooled high power density systems		[2,000]			
0601114D8Z	4	DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH	2,833	12,833	10,833	10,000	12,833
		Program increase		[10,000]	[8,000]	[10,000]	
0601120D8Z	S	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	68,972	68,972	68,972		68,972
0601384BP	9	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	53,191	58,191	57,191	2,500	55,691
		In-vitro models for biodefense vaccines			[1,000]	[1,000]	
		Superstructural particle evaluation			[3,000]	[1,500]	
		Chemical/biological defense basíc research initiative		[2'000]			
		SUBTOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH, DEFENSE-WIDE	338,653	361,653	350,653	12,500	351,153

			(S)				
Program			FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Ę.	Line Program Title	Rednest	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
		APPLIED KEVEAKOR					
0602000D8Z	32 7	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	15,254	15,254	15,254		15,254
0602227D8Z	3Z 8	MEDICAL FREE ELECTRON LASER					
0602228D8Z	3Z 9	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES	15,156	22,156	15,156	7,000	22,156
		(HBCU) SCIENCE					•
		John H. Hopps defense research scholars program		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		HBCU and minority serving institutions		[2,000]		[2'000]	
0602234D8Z 10	32 10	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	31,340	31,340	34,140		31,340
		Next generation OTHR risk reduction			[2,800]		
0602303E	7	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	254,009	254,009	254,009		254,009
0602304E	12	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	145,262	145,262	145,262		145,262
0602383E	13	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	66,291	51,291	66,291	-15,000	51,291
		DARPA poor execution		[-15,000]		[-15,000]	
0602384BP	2 14	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	203,731	218,731	222,231	7.000	210,731
		Agent fate response tool			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Chem-Bio IR detector			[3,000]	[1,500]	
		Multivalent Marburg/Ebola vaccine			[4,500]	[3,500]	
		Rapid response chem-bio countermeasures			[4,000]		
		Therapeutics against botulism			[2'000]		
		Chemical/biological defense applied research initiative		[15,000]			
0602670D8Z 15	3Z 15	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH	7,685	6,685	7,685		7,685
		Center for automated language and cultural analysis		[2,000]			
0602702E	16	1	371,481	341,481	366,981	-30,000	341,481
		Laser guided bullet			[-4,500]		
		DARPA poor execution		[-30,000]		[-30,000]	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

			,				
Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0602715E	17	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	285,264	275,264	285,264	-10,000	275,264
		DARPA poor execution		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
0602716BR	18	WMD DEFEAT TECHNOLOGY					
0602716E	9	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	211,457	196,457	213,957	-13,200	198,257
		Three dimensional integrated circuit research			[2,500]	[1,800]	
		DARPA poor execution		[-15,000]		[-15,000]	
0602717BR	20	WMD DEFENSE TECHNOLOGIES					
0602718BR	21	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	211,078	221,078	217,078	2,000	213,078
		Blast mitigation and protection			[2,000]		
		National incident management system			[4,000]	[2,000]	
		Post-detonation nuclear forensics		[10,000]			
0303153K	22	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER		•			
1160401BB	23	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	23,104	31,454	25,104	2,000	25,104
		FOPEN radar technologies			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		Craft tech demos to quantify and mitigate operator injury		[4,850]			
		Expendable airdrop delivery system		[3,500]			
1160407BB	24	SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,459	2,459	3,959		2,459
		Portable TBI diagnosis systems			[1,500]		
		SIRTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH DEFENSE, WIDE					
		_	1,843,571	1,815,921	1,872,371	-50,200	1,793,371
		A DVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVEL OBMENT					
0603000D8Z	25		15,970	15,970	15,970		15,970
0603121D8Z	56	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	32,832	32,832	32,832		32,832

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 84,470								213,325		118,718		23,727	107,857		237,009			
Agreement Change 4,500				[1,500]	[1.000]	[2,000]		2,000	[2,000]						-50,000	[-40,000]		[-10,000]
Senate Authorized 81,970	[2,000]							211,325		118,718		23,727	104,857	[-3,000]	237,009	[-40,000]	[-10,000]	
House Authorized 106,970	[1,500]	[5,000]	[4,000]	[4,000]	[1,500]	[4,000]	[3,000]	213,325	[2,000]	113,718	[-2,000]	23,727	107,857		277,009			[-10,000]
FY2009 Request 79,970								211,325		118,718		23,727	107,857		287,009			
Program Element Line Program Title 0603122D8Z 27 COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	TBI threshold research Affordable robust mid-sized unmanned ground vehicle	Foliage penetration capability	Airborne CT/CN threat protections system	Bio ops policy and public emergency response	EDIT tech for counter-tunnel ops and cache detections	Full scale impact and blast loading laboratory testing	Vehicle design for survivability under blast loading	0603160BR 28 COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES - PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT	Portable radionuclide detection and identification systems	0603175C 29 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	Program decrease	0603225D8Z 30 JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	0603286E 31 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	A160	0603287E 32 SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	Blackswift testbed	SISI	DARPA poor execution

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

			•				
Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603384BP	33	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	337,927	307,927	345,127	48,000	289,927
		Engineered biological detectors Improved CBR filters			[2,700]	[2,000]	
		Raman chemical ID system Chemical/biological defense (TMTI)		[-50 000]	[2,500]	1-50 0001	
0603618D8Z 34	34	Chem/bio defense advanced tech development initiative JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9.320	[20,000] [19,320	9.320		9.320
0603648D8Z 35	35	Advanced energy storage technology initiative JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	206.337	[10,000]	229,137	2.500	208,837
		C2 Gap Filler JCTD	-		[22,800]	•	
		Distributed network switching		[2,900]		[2,500]	
0603662D8Z	36	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	39,923	39,923	39,923		39,923
0603665D8Z	37	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	10,579	10,579	10,579		10,579
0603670D8Z	38	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	9,381	13,381	9,381		9,381
		Modeling advanced development		[4,000]			
0603680D8Z	39	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	11,981	11,981	21,981	8,000	19,981
		High performance defense manufacturing technology program			[10,000]	[8,000]	
0603711D8Z 40		JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS	8,449	9,749	8,449	200	8,949
		Connectory expansion for rapid ID of tech sources		[1,300]		[200]	

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Line		FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
GENERIC LOGISTICS DEMONSTRATIONS	OGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY ATIONS	19,375	21,375	38,375	25,000	44,375
Advanced Biofuels re	Advanced energy storage technology initiative Biofuels research program			[4,000]	[10,000] [2,000]	
Emerging o	Emerging critical interconnection technology program		[2,000]	[2,000]	[2,000]	
Vehicle fu	Webicle fuel cell & hydrogen fogistics program			[10,000]	[8,000]	
DEPLOYMENT TECHNOLOGY	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	30,000	30,000	30,000		30,000
STRATEG	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	69,038	860'69	69,038		850'69
MICROELE SUPPORT	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT		16,000	3,000	7,500	7,500
Superlat	Superlattice nanotechnology research			[3,000]	[2,000]	
3-D elec	3-D electronics and power		[3,000]		[3,000]	
Feature	Feature size yield enhancement at DMEA ARMS foundry		[5,000]		[2,500]	
End to e	End to end semi fab alpha tool		[2,000]			
W TNIOL	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	11,098	11,098	11,098		11,098
ADVANC	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	201,146	191,146	201,146	-10,000	191,146
DARPA	DARPA poor execution		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
SYNTHET CHANGE	SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR (SAR) COHERENT CHANGE DETECTION (CDD)	7,984	7,984	7,984		7,984
ADVANCE	ADVANCED CONCEPT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS					
HIGH PERF PROGRAM	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	208,079	208,079	208,079		208,079
COMMAN DARPA	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS DARPA poor execution	338,964	328,964 [-10,000]	338,964	-10,000 [-10,000]	328,964
LAND WAF	LAND WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	0	000	0		700
CLASSIFIE	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	196,697	196,697	196,697		196,697

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603766E	53	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	156,733	156,733	156,733		156,733
0603767E	54	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	226,470	226,470	226,470		226,470
0603768E	22	GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	110,572	110,572	110,572		110,572
0603769SE	26	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,538	13,538	13,538		13,538
0603781D8Z	57	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	31,244	31,244	31,244		31,244
0603805S	58	DUAL USE TECHNOLOGY					
0603826D8Z	59	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	113,924	115,924	115,924	2,000	115,924
		Special warfare domain awareness			[2,000]	[2,000]	
		REDTIE		[2,000]			
0603828D8Z 60	9	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	114,947	114,947	116,447		114,947
		WMD exercises			[1,500]		
0603832D8Z 61	61	JOINT WARGAMING SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	38,147	38,947	38,147	800	38,947
		Modeling and simulation standards development		[800]		[800]	
0603941D8Z	62	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	94,672	94,672	94,672		94,672
0603942D8Z	63	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,170	2,170	2,170		2,170
1160402BB	4	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	28,930	51,930	28,930		28,930
		Partnership for defense innovation Wi-Fi laboratory testing		[3,000]			
		SOCOM rapid exploitation of innovative technologies		[20,000]			
1160472BB	65	SOF INFORMATION AND BROADCAST SYSTEMS	10,990	10,990	10,990		10,990
		SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	3,536,023	3,562,023	3,550,523	-65,200	3,470,823

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

				•				
	Program		Decrees Title	FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
			ADVANCED COMBONENT DEVEL OBMENT & DDOTOTYDES	rednesi	Parione	701101170		
	0603161D8Z	99	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P	38,758	38,758	38,758		38,758
_	0603228D8Z	29	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT					
_	0603527D8Z	68	RETRACT LARCH	22,945	22,945	22,945		22,945
-	2806075090	69	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	11,847	11,847	11,847		11,847
-	0603714D8Z	70	ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM			20,000	20,000	20,000
			ASAP			[20,000]	[20,000]	
_	0603851D8Z	71	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	31,600	31,600	31,600		31,600
	0603881C	72	B S	1,019,073	964,073	1,012,073	-7,000	1,012,073
			THAAD long lead (Transfer to new MDA procurement line)			[-62,000]		
			Upper-tier follow-on to Arrow			[30,000]	[30,000]	
			Transfer to Procurement, Defense-wide Line 96		[-65,000]		[-65,000]	
			Short range missile defense		[10,000]	[28,000]	[28,000]	
-	0603882C	73	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	2,076,662	1,894,662	2,076,662	-96,500	1,980,162
			European site reduction		[-182,000]		[-96,500]	
	0603883C	74	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE BOOST DEFENSE SEGMENT	421,229	378,629	375,429	-29,500	391,729
			Program decrease		[-42,600]	[-45,800]	[-29,500]	
	0603884BP	75	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	51,291	51,291	55,291		51,291
			Real-time viral agent detectors			[4.000]		

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0603884C	76	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	1,076,983	978,083	1,017,183	-65,800	1,011,183
		European AN/TPY-2 radar				[-38'800]	
		Mobile sensor network concept			[5,000]	[4,000]	
		Premature funds			[-64,800]	[-10,000]	
		Site activation and security		[-20'000]			
		European midcourse radar		[-48,900]		[-21,000]	
0603886C	77	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM INTERCEPTOR	386,817	286,817	341,817	-45,000	341,817
		Premature funds			[-45,000]	[45,000]	
		Kinetic energy interceptor (KEI)		[-100,000]		•	
0603888C	78	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	665,445	690,445	665,445	20,000	685,445
		Target development		[25,000]		[20,000]	
0603890C	79	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS CORE	432,262	412,262	402,262	-30,000	402,262
		BMD systems core			[-30,000]		
		Program decrease		[-20,000]		[-30,000]	
0603891C	80	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	288,315	138,315	188,315	-125,000	163,315
		MDA special programs			[-100,000]		
		Program decrease		[-150,000]		[-125,000]	
0603892C	8	AEGIS BMD	1,157,783	1,121,783	1,180,783	20,000	1,177,783
		Aegis BMD/SM-3 enhancements			[80,000]	[20,000]	
		SM-3 long lead (Transfer to MDA procurement line 1A)			[-57,000]		
		Transfer to Procurement, Defense-wide Line 95		[-56,000]		[-20'000]	
		Ballistic missile defense signal processors		[20,000]		[20,000]	
06038930	82	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	242,441	217,441	192,441	-35,000	207,441
		Premature funds			[-20'000]	[-35,000]	
		Program decrease		[-25,000]			

Title II - RDT&E
(Dollars in Thousands)

901	ing Drogram Title	FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
83 MUL	MULTIPLE KILL VEHICLE	354,455	254,455	304,455	-50,000	304,455
ய	Excess funds		٠	[-20,000]		
Δ.	Program decrease		[-100,000]		[-20'000]	
84 BAL	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	29,771	19,771	19,771	-10,000	19,771
S	Space test bed			[-10,000]	[-10,000]	
M M	BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS	289,277	289,277	289,277		289,277
86 B/	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE HERCULES	55,955	55,955	55,955		55,955
മ്ത	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	69,982	69,982	69,982		69,982
88 A	MISSILE DEFENȘE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC)	96,404	96,404	96,404		96,404
89 B/	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED MULTI ECHELON TRAINING SYSTEM (DMETS)	TRAINING SY	STEM (DMETS)			
90 R	REGARDING TRENCH	2,978	2,978	2,978		2,978
တ	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)					
91X M	Missile Defense Agency undistributed reduction		-22,000	-268,700		
92 H	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	14,373	14,373	14,373		14,373
O	COALITION WARFARE	14,030	14,030	14,030		14,030
94	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM Asset lifecycle program	5,102	9,102	11,602	5,500	10,602
	Corrosion control, prevention and prediction research			[3,000]	[3,000]	
	Managing and extending DOD asset lifecycles		[4,000]		[2,500]	,
95 JC	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	14,962	14,962	14,962		14,962

				,				
a. Wi	Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0	0604670D8Z	96	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING	5,991	7,991	8,491		5,991
			COMPOEX			[2,500]		
			Program increase	6	[2,000]	6		0
0 (0604787D8Z		JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC)	19,643	19,643	19,643		19,643
0	0604828D8Z	80	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY LEAM	16,906	16,906	16,906		16,906
Ō	0605017D8Z	66	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	24,765	24,765	24,765		24,765
0	0303191D8Z 100	9	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	3,524	3,524	3,524		3,524
0	604400D8Z	100X	0604400D8Z 100X UAS AIRSPACE INTEGRATION UAV modeling and simulation			15,000 [15,000]		
			SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,941,569	8,131,069	8,346,269	-428,300	8,513,269
			SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION					
0	0604051D8Z	101		30,363	30,363	30,363		30,363
0	0604161D8Z	102	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD	4,355	4,355	4,355		4,355
0	0604165D8Z 103	103	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	117,572	124,572	147,572	3,000	120,572
			Biconic vehicle manufacture			[-15,000]		
			Hypersonic boost glide vehicle			[45,000]		
			Army advanced hypersonic weapon		[2000]		[3,000]	
0	0604384BP	104	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	299,373	299,373	299,373		299,373
0	0604709D8Z 105	105	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	5,725	5,725	5,725		5,725

Program			FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line	Line Program Title	Request	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
0604764K	106	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	13,770	13,770	13,770		13,770
0604771D8Z 107	107	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	20,600	20,600	20,600		20,600
0605000BR	108	108 WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	15,946	15,946	15,946		15,946
0605013BL	109	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,611	11,611	11,611		11,611
0605018BTA	110	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES	37,400	37,400	37,400		37,400
0605020BTA	111	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY R&D ACTIVITIES	148,958	148,958	148,958		148,958
0605021SE	112	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	400	400	400		400
0605140D8Z	113	TRUSTED FOUNDRY	42,360	42,360	42,360		42,360
0605648D8Z	114	DEFENSE ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE (DAE) PILOT PROGRAM	5,883	5,883	5,883		5.883
0303129K	115	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM					
0303141K	116	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	18,604	18,604	18,604		18,604
0303158K	117	117 JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	147,339	147,339	57,339	-77,100	70,239
		NECC			[000'06-]	[-77,100]	
		SUBTOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	920,259	927,259	860,259	-74,100	846,159
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT					
0603757D8Z 118	118	TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (T2) Indiana complex operations partnership	38,729	40,729	38,729	2,000	40,729
0604774D8Z 119	119	DEFENSE READINESS	11,385	11,385	11,385		11,385
0604875D8Z	120	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	14,310	14,310	14,310		14,310

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Element	Line	Line Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0604940D8Z 121	121	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT	133,852	151,852	142,352	11,000	144,852
		SAM hardware simulators		[6,000]	[2'000]	[5,000]	
		Range network enterprise technologies			[3,500]	[3,500]	
		Joint gulf range complex upgrade		[8,000]		[1,500]	
		Gulf range mobile instrumentation capability (GR-MIC)		[4,000]		[1,000]	
0604943D8Z	122	122 THERMAL VICAR	9,658	859'6	9,658		9,658
0605100D8Z	123	0605100D8Z 123 JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	8,834	8,834	8,834		8,834
0605104D8Z	124	0605104D8Z 124 TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	34,520	36,020	34,520	1,500	36,020
		Center for technology and national security policy		[1,500]		[1,500]	
0605110D8Z	125	0605110D8Z 125 USD(A&T)CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,007	4,007	4,007		4,007
0605117D8Z	126	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	62,816	67,816	62,816		62,816
		Foreign material acquisition training devices		[2,000]			
0605126J	127	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	55,282	55,282	55,282		55,282
0605128D8Z	128	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)					
0605130D8Z	129	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	34,910	34,910	34,910		34,910
0605161D8Z	130	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,475	4,475	4,475		4,475
0605170D8Z	131	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	14,723	14,723	14,723		14,723
060520008Z	132	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	4,379	4,379	4,379		4,379
0605384BP	133	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	100,082	100,082	100,082		100,082
0605502BR	434	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH					
0605502C	135	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH - MIDA SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
0605502E	137	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					
0605502S	138	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH					

			(a)				
Program Element	Line	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
0605790D8Z	139	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTRATION	2,165	2,165	5,165		2,165
		Anti-tamper software systems			[3,000]		
0605798D8Z	140	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	11,040	11,040	11,040		11,040
06057988	141	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS					
0605799D8Z	142	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	20,701	32,101	5,701	1,100	21,801
		Program reduction			[-15,000]		
		Science and technology for strategic communications		[8,000]			
		Defense command integration center		[3,400]		[1,100]	
0605801KA	143	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	52,696	52,696	52,696		52,696
0605803SE	144	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND	į				
		EVALUATION	25,435	25,435	25,435		25,435
0605804D8Z 145	145	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	20,396	24,396	20,396	4,000	24,396
		Renewable fuel systems for defense applications		[4,000]		[4,000]	
0605897E	146	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION	28,000	28,000	28,000		28,000
0605898E	147	MANAGEMENT HQ - R&D	52,700	52,700	52,700		52,700
0606100D8Z	148	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	5,878	5,878	5,878		5,878
0301555G	149	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM	_		_		-
0301556G	150	SPECIAL PROGRAM	_	_			_
0303166D8Z	151	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	30,039	30'038	30,039		30,039
0303169D8Z 152	152	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	5,254	12,254	5,254		5,254
		Information technology clearinghouse		[000'4]			
0305103E	153	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	50,000	20,000	25,000		20,000
		Program reduction			[-25,000]		
0305193D8Z	154	0305193D8Z 154 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	17,625	17,625	17,625		17,625

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	_	831	19,734	81,453		599	976,108		11,533	2,496	10,274	49,371	8,030	1,728	76,226	_		19,073				_	_
Agreement Change				-5,000	[-2,000]		14,600																
Senate Authorized		831	19,734	86,453		599	933,008		11,533	2,496	10,274	49,371	8,030	1,728	76,226	_		19,073	_			_	
House Authorized	_	831	19,734	81,453	[-2,000]	599	1,005,408		11,533	2,496	10,274	49,371	8,030	1,728	76,226	_	[7,000]	19,073		[1,800]			
FY2009 Request	_	831	19,734	86,453		599	961,508		11,533	2,496	10,274	49,371	8,030	1,728	76,226	_		19,073			-	_	_
	155 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	Z 156 WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT	157 PENTAGON RESERVATION	158 MANAGEMENT HQ - MDA	Management support	V 159 IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	SUBTOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, DEFENSE-WIDE	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	160 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS)	161 PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE (PFP) INFORMATION	162 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT)	Z 163 JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	164 JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	165 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	166 C4I INTEROPERABILITY	167 CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	IED industrial exploitation (IDEX) program	168 JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	169 GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	Portable device for latent fingerprint identification	170 HI	171 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE - CCP	172 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE - GDIP
Program Element	0305193G	0305400D8Z	0901585C	0901598C		0901598D8V 159			0604130V	0605127T	0607384BP	0607828D82	0204571J	0208043J	0208045K	0301011G		0301144K	0301301L		03011318BB	0301371G	0301372L

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Agreement Change Authorized []	1 J 615 16,054	8,508	15,577 49,578 13,459	1,000 395,074 [1,000]	2,181	36,374	19,319 429 2,060
Chi							
Senate Authorized [] []	1 1 615 16,054	8,508	15,577 49,578 13,459	395,074 [1,000]	2,181	34,374 [-2,000]	19,319 429 2,060
Authorized []	1 J 615 16,054	8,508 9,685	15,577 49,578 13,459	394,074	2,181	36,374	19,319 429 2,060
<u>FY2009</u> <u>Request</u> [] []	615 615 16,054	8,508	15,577 49,578	394,074	2,181	36,374	19,319 429 2,060
⊸ 1 ' ' ' '	177	156K 179 LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS - DCS 11K 180 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	S5G 181 PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) S6G 182 KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) S0G 183 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECTIRITY PROGRAM	184 INFORMATION SYSTEM Software assurance ed 185 INFORMATION SYSTEM	186 187 188	189	33K 190 JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER 70K 191 NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) 10K 192 TELEPORT PROGRAM
Program Element 0301555BB 0301555BB 0301555BB	03015566Z 0302016K 0302019K	0303126K 0303131K	0303135G 0303136G 0303140D87	0303140G 0303140K	0303148K 0303149J 0303149K	0303150K	0303153K 0303170K 0303610K

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 21,225	_		1,000	_	12,800	12,700	_	2,947		8,237	-	-	_	-	12,716		_	-	3,165			3,227		13,679	[]
Agreement Change 5,000 [3,000] [2,000]																									
Senate Authorized 16,225		_	1,000	_	12,800	12,700		2,947	_	8,237	,	-	-	-	12,716	_	,,		3,165	_		3,227	_	13,679	_
House Authorized 27,225 [8,000]		-	1,000	_	12,800	12,700	,q	2,947	_	8,237	-	-	-	-	12,716	_	_		3,165			3,227		13,679	
FY2009 Reguest 16,225	-	_	1,000	_	12,800	12,700	_	2,947	_	8,237	-	-	, (m.	- -	12,716	_		[]	3,165			3,227	_	13,679	
Line Program Title 193 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES Unmanned aerial systems test facility upgrade Expeditionary persistent power	194 NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	195 DEFENSE GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	196 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	197 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	198 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	199 CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	100 FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	201 DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	102 DEFENSE HUMAN INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) ACTIVITIES	:03 POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	104 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS	(OI)	205 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS	(0)	:06 NET CENTRICITY	07 DRAGON U-2	208 AIRBORNE RECONNAISANCE SYSTEMS	(09 MANNED RECONNAISANCE SYSTEMS	10 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	211 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	112 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	213 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	214 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS		16 REAL-TIME ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT (RT10)
•		•		197	198		200	201	202	Z 203	204		205		Z 206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216
Program Element 0304210BB	0304345BQ	0305102BQ	0305103D8Z	0305103G	0305103K	0305125D8Z	0305127BZ	0305146BZ	0305183L	0305186D8Z	0305193G		0305193L		0305199D8Z	0305202G	0305206G	0305207G	0305208BB	0305208BQ	0305208G	0305208K	0305208L	0305219BB	0305229G

Program			FY2009	Honse	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Element	Line	Program Title	Request	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
03058661	217	DIA SUPPORT TO SOUTHCOM INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES		-	proof		[]
0305880L	218	COMBATANT COMMAND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS	_				_
0305883L	219		,				
			•	•	•		•
0305884L	220				_		_
0305885G	221	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES					[]
		Final e-Curfew			[25,000]		
0305889G	222	COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT	_		_		_
0307141G	223	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION		,, 			
0307207G	224		_	prompt beauti			
0708011S	225	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	20,480	20,480	50,480	24,000	44,480
		Industrial base innovation fund			[30,000]	[24,000]	
0708012S	226	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,846	2,846	2,846		2,846
0902298J	227	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	3,401	3,401	3,401		3,401
1001018D8Z	2 228	NATO JOINT STARS	27,756	27,756	27,756		27,756
1130435BB	229						
1160279BB	230	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH/SMALL BUS TECH TRANSFER PILOT PROG	TRANSFER P	ILOT PROG			
1160403BB	231		43,977	73,977	43,977		43,977
		DEVELOPMEN I		, 000			
		carrising life prototype test ped		[pnn'ne]			
1160404BB	232	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,263	17,263	13,263		13,263
		Covert communications for special operations forces		[4,000]			
1160405BB	233	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,125	43,325	39,125	4,200	43,325
		Advanced long endurance unattended ground sensors		[4,200]		[4,200]	
1160408BB		234 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS Meteorological and oceanographic collection sensors	48,137	52,037 [3,900]	48,137		48,137

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

Change Authorized		060'L		4,052	1,527	4,659	2,759	3,190	3 495	3,822	3,142	5,206	15,554
Senate Authorized		7,090	4 4	4,052	1,527	4,659	2,759	3,190	3.495	3,822	3,142	5,206	15,554
House Authorized		7,090		4,052	1,527	4,659	2,759	3,190	3 495	3,822	3,142	5,206	15,554
FY2009 Request		7,090	4,052		1,527	4,659	2,759	3,190	3,495	3,822	3,142	5,206	15,554
Line Program Title 235 SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV-22 DEVELOPMENT	236	237	238 MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS (MTPS)		239 UNMANNED VEHICLES (UV)	240 MC130J SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION		242 SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	243 SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS	244 SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION	245 SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS		247 SOF PSYOP
Program Element	1160425BB	1160426BB	1160427BB		1160428BB	1160429BB	1160477BB	1160478BB	1160479BB	1160482BB	1160483BB	1160484BB	1160488BB

Title II - RDT&E (Dollars in Thousands)

	Authorized 14,686 8,729	1,186,327	3,805,519	20,942,729		53,196 11,572	124,004	188,772	77,710,452
	Agreement Change	34,200	0	-556,500				0	-1,905,489
	Senate Authorized 14,686 8,729	1,181,127	3,830,519	20,924,729		53,196	124,004	188,772	79,733,380
	House Authorized 14.686 8,729	1,205,227	3,814,319	20,822,879		53,196	124,004	188,772	79,700,433
l nousands)	Evaluest 14,686 8.729	1,152,127	3,805,519	21,499,229		53,196	124,004	188,772	79,615,941
(Dollars in Thousands)	<u>Line</u> <u>Program Title</u> 248 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES 249 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	SUBTOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	Total, RDT&E Defense-Wide	OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	Total, Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	TOTAL RDT&E
	Line 248 249		666	,	_	+ N	m	•	•
	Program Element 1160489BB 1160490BB					0605118OTE 0605131OTE	0605814OTE		

Research Council's 2007 report entitled "Strategy for an Army Center for Network Science, Technology, and Experimentation" concluded that, "based on Army needs, the NSTEC [Network Science, Technology, and Experimentation Center] should be a hybrid operation consisting of two or three centralized facilities having interconnectivity to a variety of distributed supporting elements." The current Army proposed plan and budget is not consistent with this recommended hybrid approach.

The Army is directed to ensure that the network science and technology research center be established so as to leverage the benefits of a distributed and networked research community. Clearly, some funding should be retained to support in-house research efforts, but the majority of funding should continue to go to a networked group of investigators selected on the basis of technical merit of proposed research.

The Army is further directed to ensure that none of the \$10.0 million authorized in PE 61104A for the establishment of a network science and technology research center shall be available for the purpose of infrastructure and facilities development. The fact that basic research funding is a precious and scarce resource places a great emphasis on ensuring its use for designated research purposes. Such funds should not be utilized for infrastructure and facilities development, save for the most exceptional circumstances.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Executive helicopter program (VH-71A)

The budget request included \$1.047.8 million in PE 64273N for continued development of the executive helicopter, VH-71A. The VH-71A program is intended to provide the replacement helicopter for transportation of the President and Vice President of the United States, heads of state, and other dignitaries. The House report (H. Rept. 110-652) observed that the reported cost increases in the program were sufficient to exceed the 25 percent unit cost increase that would invoke the certification requirements levied by section 2433 (e)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, commonly referred to as a "Nunn-McCurdy Breach." The House report directed the Secretary of Defense to submit an analysis of potential advantages and disadvantages of conducting a re-competition of the program when the Secretary submits that certification.

The Senate report (S. Rept. 110–335) directed the Secretary of the Navy to submit a VH-71A report to the congressional defense committees outlining VH-71A program:

- (1) performance requirements;
- (2) revised cost estimates;
- (3) causes for cost growth;
- (4) detailed breakout of cost growth related to under-estimated requirements; and
- (5) actions being implemented to reduce and control development and production costs.

The Senate report would also prohibit the Secretary from obligating fiscal year 2009 funds for VH-71A Executive Helicopter Development (PE 64273N) for Increment Two efforts until: (1) the Defense Department completes VH-71A unit cost reporting requirements as prescribed by section 2433 of title 10, United States Code; and (2) the Secretary of the Navy submits the VH-71A report described above to the congressional defense committees.

Additionally, the Senate report directed the Secretary to identify alternatives for extending the service life of Increment One aircraft and increasing their utility in the effort to provide greater return on this investment.

The agreement directs the Secretary to submit the reports requested in both the House and Senate reports, removes the prohibition on obligating any fiscal year 2009 funding, and directs the Secretary not to restructure the existing VH-71 contract until the completion of the Nunn-McCurdy certification process.

Missile defense force structure and Joint Capabilities Mix studies

We note that the Joint Integrated Air and Missile Defense Organization, a component of the Joint Staff, has conducted a number of studies over several years concerning the operational requirements of combatant commanders for upper tier ballistic missile defense. These Joint Capabilities Mix (JCM) studies have consistently concluded that U.S. combatant commanders need about twice as many Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) and Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) interceptors as the number planned by the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), just to meet their minimum inventory requirements to defend against existing levels of short- and medium- range ballistic missiles

We are deeply disappointed that the Department of Defense has not planned or budgeted for even this minimum requirement, and believe that achieving at least this minimum inventory should be the highest priority for MDA. We expect the Department of Defense to budget accordingly starting with the budget submission for fiscal year 2010.

We are concerned that there is no adequate requirements process in place for MDA to determine the force structure and inventory levels to meet the needs of the combatant commanders. This is a fundamental shortcoming of the Department of Defense's approach to missile defense, and one that needs to be resolved. We expect the Department of Defense to establish an adequate requirements process for missile defense force structure and inventory that ensures that the operational requirements of the regional combatant commanders are fully taken into account in MDA's budget and planning process.

Missile defense tests and targets

We are discouraged to note that the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) Test and Targets program has had another disappointing year. MDA failed to conduct a single intercept flight test of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) system during fiscal year 2008, and canceled a planned and budgeted GMD flight test, designated FTG-04. Instead, it conducted a sensor flight test, FTX-03. Over the last several years, MDA has not managed to conduct an average of even one GMD intercept flight test per year, despite the fact that Congress has authorized and appropriated over \$200.0 million per year to conduct two flight tests each year.

In addition, a test of the GMD system was aborted in May 2007 when the target failed to reach the necessary altitude, and a flight test of the Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system was aborted on September 17, 2008, when the target missile failed shortly after launch.

We are disappointed to note that MDA chose to cancel FTG-04 without first consulting with any of the key stakeholders, including the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD/AT&L), the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E), or the Commander of United States Strategic Command.

We direct MDA to consult with these organizations, or their designees, prior to any future decision to cancel a flight test, and to report in writing to the congressional defense committees within 1 week of a decision to cancel such a test, indicating the fol-

lowing information: (1) the reasons for the cancellation; (2) the implications and risks for the testing and development program that will result from cancelling the test; (3) a plan describing how the original objectives of the flight test will still be met, notwithstanding the cancellation; (4) the views and recommendations of the organizations consulted; and (5) any modifications in the allocation of budget and testing resources as a result of the cancellation of the flight test. Upper tier follow-on to Arrow

The United States is engaged in a cooperative program with Israel to provide an uppertier follow-on to the Arrow Weapon System for Israel. There are two systems being pursued under this cooperative program: a landbased version of the existing Standard Missile 3 (SM-3) using an AN/TPY-2 forwardbased X-band radar, and the development of a new Arrow-3 interceptor. The SM-3 interceptor and the AN/TPY-2 radar, which is derived from the radar for the Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system, have already been developed and demonstrated. The Arrow-3 has not yet been developed, and its design has changed several times

After a number of changes to Israeli requirements and the planned performance of the Arrow-3 missile, the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) has chosen to pursue development of the Arrow-3 as the primary approach to developing an upper tier missile defense capability for Israel. However, this would be a technically challenging undertaking, involving a number of critical and complex technologies that Israel has never produced previously. Consequently, it is not certain that Israel can succeed in the development of all the Arrow-3 technologies in time to meet Israel's required fielding schedule.

We are concerned that MDA has chosen a technically risky path forward, and is not providing sufficient risk mitigation through the land-based SM-3 option. MDA has indicated it intends to pursue an Arrow-3 development strategy based on knowledge points, with the stated intention of being able to curtail or stop the program if it does not meet its knowledge points on schedule.

However, MDA has not demonstrated an ability to reduce funding for other programs that have not met their knowledge points on schedule. This suggests that an Arrow-3 development program could continue to be the primary path even if it does not meet its knowledge points or its schedule, thus precluding the option of having the proven SM-3 and AN/TPY-2 technology serve as a suitable upper tier option on schedule.

Therefore, we direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to review MDA's proposed approach and submit to the congressional defense committees, no later than April 15, 2009, a report providing a plan for risk mitigation for the U.S.-Israel upper tier program of cooperation that provides a cost-effective path to providing an upper tier missile defense capability for Israel.

Wide area airborne surveillance

The Senate report (110-335) accompanying S. 3001 urged the Department of Defense to rapidly define and acquire next generation wide area airborne surveillance (WAAS) capabilities to augment existing and planned full motion video (FMV) platform deployments, and possibly to help limit the number of additional orbits of FMV aircraft needed in the future.

We are encouraged that the Defense Department at senior levels is focused on the WAAS requirement and the range of potential solutions. However, a number of concerns persist.

While significant funds have been allocated for development of the WAAS collection system, very little money is available for the processing, exploitation, and dissemination of the vast amount of imagery that the WAAS system will produce. This imbalance will prevent effective fielding of a WAAS capability in the timeframe required.

There is confusion as to whether the WAAS system is intended to complement or replace existing and planned deployments of narrow-field-of-view FMV assets. This confusion creates concern and opposition from deployed forces. If it is possible for a WAAS system to substitute for FMV assets, the required performance level (in terms of resolution, frame rate, look angles, and the like) cannot be fielded at least for a period of years. While it is important for the Department to evaluate now what levels of performance might be achievable in subsequent increments of a WAAS program, the nearterm focus must be on fielding capabilities that complement existing assets and operate with them in a systems-of-systems network.

In this regard, we are concerned that insufficient attention and resources are being devoted to rapidly fielding a command and control capability that will permit, on a large-scale, integrated operations involving WAAS platforms, FMV assets, and signals intelligence systems across services, national agencies, and various echelons of command. We support the recent initiative by the Air Force WAAS program office to utilize the Network-Centric Collaborative Targeting system, now reaching Initial Operational Capability, as the basis for this important command and control capability.

We direct that, within 90 days of the date on which there is a down select by the WAAS prime integrator, the Deputy Secretary of Defense submit a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees that characterizes WAAS collection and exploitation requirements, the program increments and funding to meet the requirements, the operational concept for WAAS increments, and the means by which WAAS, FMV systems, and other sensors will be operated together to support the find, fix, and finish targeting process.

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 201) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2009 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 201).

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2009 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test and evaluation.

Authorization for defense science and technology (sec. 202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 202) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2009 for the use of the Department of Defense for science and technology activities.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 202).

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2009 for the use of the Department of Defense for science and technology activi-

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Additional determinations to be made as part of Future Combat Systems milestone review (sec. 211)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would amend section 214 of the

John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for 2007 (Public Law 109-364) by adding additional determinations to be made by the Secretary of Defense during the Future Combat Systems (FCS) program review.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We intend that the additional determinations required during the FCS program review should be applied with respect to threshold FCS system of system technologies, not surrogates.

Analysis of Future Combat Systems communications network and software (sec. 212)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would require the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Networks and Information Integration (ASD NII), to conduct an independent study and report to the congressional defense committees by July 1, 2009, on possible vulnerabilities of the Future Combat Systems (FCS) communications network. The purpose of this study is to inform the review of the FCS program mandated by section 214 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for 2007 (Public Law 109-364).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide the Department with additional time to complete the analysis and require the ASD NII to assess, in coordination with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, the synchronization of the funding, schedule, and technology maturity of the Warfighter Information Network-Tactical and Joint Tactical Radio System in programs in relation to the FCS program.

Future Combat Systems manned ground vehicle selected acquisition reports (sec. 213)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees selected acquisition reports as defined in section 2432(c) of title 10, United States Code, on each of the eight Future Combat Systems (FCS) manned ground vehicle variants. The reports are required by February 15 of each year from 2009 to 2015.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would include any additional manned ground vehicle variants designated in FCS Acquisition Reports after the date of enactment.

Separate procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation line items and program elements for Sky Warrior unmanned aerial systems (sec. 214)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Department of Defense program and budget exhibits for the Army's Sky Warrior unmanned aerial system break out that program into separate program elements and line items.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Restriction on obligation of funds for the Warfighter Information Network-Tactical program (sec. 215)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would restrict obligation of 80 percent of research and development funds authorized for appropriation for the Warfighter Information Network-Tactical, Increment 3 program until 15 days after receipt by the congressional defense committees of certification from the Under Secretary of Defense

for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics that the program has an approved acquisition program baseline, a new independent cost estimate, and the Director, Defense Research and Engineering has completed a technology readiness assessment.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that reduces the amount of funding restricted pending the certification from 80 percent to 50 percent and makes other clarifications.

Limitation on source of funds for certain Joint Cargo Aircraft expenditures (sec. 216)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would require the Secretary of the Army to fund certain expenditures for the Joint Cargo Aircraft (JCA) through procurement or research, development, test and evaluation accounts, rather than from other appropriations accounts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement included the House provision with an amendment that would modify the provision to place the same requirement on the Secretary of the Air Force.

The report (H. Rept. 110–652) accompanying the House bill noted that, at the time of the report, the Air Force was reporting a unit cost for JCA of \$60.7 million, and was reporting a unit cost for C-130J aircraft of \$56.7 million. After further discussion with the Air Force acquisition officials and clarification of terminology, we believe that a fairer, apples-to-apples comparison of such costs would raise the comparable average procurement unit cost for a C-130J to \$84.2 million, in terms of fiscal year 2007 dollars.

Requirement for plan on overhead nonimaging infrared systems (sec. 217)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a comprehensive plan to conduct and support research, development, and demonstration of technologies that could evolve into the next generation of overhead nonimaging systems. The plan would also include an explanation of how such systems would be tested, including any flight or on-orbit testing as well as how and when the technologies would transition to an acquisition program. In addition, the provision would prohibit appropriation of more than 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the third generation infrared surveillance program until the plan is submitted to the congressional defense committees

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to conduct the plan. In addition the amendment would add a description of the research, development and demonstration activities, as an additional element to the plan.

Advanced energy storage technology and manufacturing (sec. 218)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would require the Department of Defense to develop a technology and manufacturing roadmap for battery technologies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would expand the scope of the roadmapping exercise to include all relevant energy storage technologies, such as, but not limited to, batteries, fuel cells and capacitors. The provision would further add a requirement for the Department to provide a detailed report of the investment levels in energy storage technologies.

The roadmap development should be primarily lead by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Industrial Policy, and Service Acquisition Executives, so that the roadmap accurately reflects technology development, industrial base, program requirements, and cost drivers. It is further expected that Service acquisition program offices with significant energy storage technology requirements, the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel, the Defense Logistics Agency, and appropriate organizations within the Department of Energy will all participate in the roadmap development. The roadmap should not focus solely on science and technology activities and existing investments.

It is expected that a thorough understanding of resource allocation and current investment in advanced energy storage technologies will help clarify the investment shortfalls and capability gaps that should be emphasized in the technology and manufacturing roadmap.

Mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions (sec. 219)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to permit laboratory directors to utilize up to 3 percent of laboratory funds for research and development, technology transition, and workforce development activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would terminate the authority after 4 years.

The utilization of this authority and its impact on the mission performance and technical capabilities of the laboratories will be closely monitored by the congressional defense committees. All efforts to supply the needed authorities and resources to the defense laboratories to enable them to effectively and efficiently perform their designated missions are supported and encouraged.

Requirements for certain airborne intelligence collection systems (sec. 220)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would require that each airborne collection system within the Department of Defense that is connected to the Distributed Common Ground/Surface System to have the ability to operate with the Network-Centric Collaborative Targeting System, unless the Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council waives the requirement on a case-by-case basis.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Limitation on obligation of funds for enhanced AN/TPQ-36 Radar System pending submission of report (sec. 221)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 236) that would limit the amount of funds provided to the program until the Secretary of the Army provides the congressional defense committees with a plan to transition the Counter-Rockets, Artillery, and Mortars (C-RAM) program to a program of record.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE C—MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS Annual Director of Operational Test and Evaluation characterization of operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability of the Ballistic Missile Defense System (sec. 231)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require the annual report of

the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation on the testing of the Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS) to include a characterization of the operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability of the BMDS and its elements that have been fielded or tested before the end of the preceding fiscal year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Independent study of boost-phase missile defense (sec. 232)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would require an independent study of boost-phase missile defense programs, specifically the Airborne Laser and the Kinetic Energy Interceptor. The study would assess a variety of relevant factors and compare the results to non-boost-phase missile defense systems. The provision would require the independent study to be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 235).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would combine elements of the Senate provision with the House provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for procurement, construction, and deployment of missile defenses in Europe (sec. 233)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2009 and future funds for procurement, site activation, construction, preparation of equipment for, or deployment of a long-range missile defense system until the following conditions have been met: (1) the Governments of Poland and the Czech Republic have each signed and ratified the necessary agreements allowing for such deployment: and (2) 45 days have elapsed after Congress receives the independent assessment required in section 226 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181). The provision would also limit the availability of funds for the acquisition and deployment of operational interceptor missiles for the planned European deployment until the Secretary of Defense certifies, after receiving the views of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, that the interceptor has demonstrated, through successful, operationally realistic flight testing, a high probability of working in an operationally effective manner and the ability to accomplish its mission.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 232), with the differences being that it would: (1) limit the effect of the general limitation to the availability of fiscal year 2009 funds; (2) clarify that each host nation would need to give final approval to any missile defense agreements concerning the deployment of missile defense components on its territory; and (3) authorize the initial long lead procurement funds for the proposed European interceptors, since the long-lead components are 100 percent common to the three-stage variant of the interceptor.

The agreement includes a combined provision that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2009 and future funds for procurement, site activation, construction, preparation of equipment for, or deployment of a long-range missile defense system until the following conditions have been met: (1) in the case of the proposed midcourse radar element, the host nation has signed and ratified the agreements needed to allow for the deployment of such radar in the host nation; (2) in the case of the proposed long-range missile defense interceptor element, the condition in paragraph (1) has been met, and the host nation has signed and ratified the

agreements needed to allow for the deployment of such interceptor element in the host nation; and (3) 45 days have elapsed after Congress has received the report required by section 226(c)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181). The provision would also limit the availability of fiscal year 2009 funding for acquisition (other than initial long-lead funding) or deployment of the proposed interceptor until the Secretary provides the certification required in both bills.

Review of the ballistic missile defense policy and strategy of the United States (sec. 234)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the ballistic missile defense policy and strategy of the United States. The provision would require the Secretary to submit a report to Congress not later than January 31, 2010, setting forth the results of the review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add several elements to be required in the review.

Airborne Laser System (sec. 235)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that would require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) to assess and report on the operational effectiveness, suitability, and survivability of the Airborne Laser (ABL) System. The provision would also limit the availability of funds for procurement of a second or subsequent ABL aircraft until the Secretary of Defense, after receiving the DOT&E assessment, submits a certification that the ABL system has demonstrated a high probability of being operationally effective, suitable, survivable, and affordable.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would, among other things, prohibit the use of funds to acquire a second ABL aircraft until 60 days after Congress receives an independent study on boost-phase missile defense programs required by the provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would incorporate the House funding prohibition into the Senate provision.

Activation and deployment of AN/TPY-2 forward-based X-band radar (sec. 236)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 237) that would authorize the use of up to \$89.0 million in funds for defense-wide research, development, test, and evaluation for the activation and deployment of an AN/TPY-2 X-band radar to a classified location. It would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on such deployment before the funds would be available for the deployment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

SUBTITLE D—REPORTS

Biennial reports on joint and service concept development and experimentation. (sec. 241)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 252) that would reduce the reporting requirement on Department of Defense concept development and experimentation activities and include reporting on related activities of the military services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify the reporting requirements.

The joint and service level for concept development and experimentation activities can support efforts at innovation and transformation in doctrine, training, materiel acquisition and other areas of Departmental

activity. As the executive agent for joint warfighting experimentation, the Commander of United States Joint Forces Command has a unique ability and responsibility to use the results of the Department's various concept development and experimentation activities to advocate for the transformation of investment strategies, defense acquisition policies, requirements generation, doctrine development, and force structure to enhance joint warfighting capabilities

Report on the participation of the historically black colleges and universities and minority serving institutions in research and educational programs and activities of the Department of Defense (sec. 242)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 232) that would require an assessment of the Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU/MI) and Minority Serving Institutions program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes a provision that would require an independent assessment of the participation of HBCU/MI, Hispanic Serving Institutions, Tribal Colleges and Universities, and other minority postsecondary institutions in Department of Defense research, training, and educational activities.

At a time when the Department and the nation are facing a shortage of personnel in growing areas of science and engineering, this community of educational institutions and the population they serve can make a valuable contribution to the missions of the Department of Defense.

Report on Department of Defense response to findings and recommendations of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Directed Energy Weapons (sec. 243)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 255) that would require the Department of Defense to report on responses to the findings and recommendations of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Directed Energy Weapons.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Modification of systems subject to survivability testing oversight by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (sec. 251)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would clarify the responsibilities of the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation with respect to oversight and reporting on survivability testing for personnel protective equipment and other defense systems.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 251).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would allow the Secretary of Defense to authorize the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to perform statutorily mandated monitoring and reporting on a broader range of defense systems. The amendment makes additional clarifying amendments to sections 2366 and 139 of title 10, United States Code. This provision will enable the Secretary and Director to have appropriate levels of oversight of systems that require survivability and lethality testing, including certain items of personnel protective equipment and non lethal weapons. It is expected that the acquisition and testing community should continue to work together to develop and adequately test systems to validate their operational effectiveness, suitability and survivability, but still deliver new capabilities in a rapid and efficient fashion.

Technology-neutral information technology guidelines and standards to support fully interoperable electronic personal health information for the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 252)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that would amend section 1635 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to add additional reporting requirements to the annual report required by that section. The provision would also require the Director of the Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Interagency Program Office to report within 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act on the development of information technology infrastructure guidelines and standards for use by the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to enable fully interoperable electronic personal health information.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would eliminate the requirement for reporting to the appropriate congressional committees on the specific guidelines and standards developed or adopted under this provision.

The Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs should, to the extent practicable, pursue guidelines and standards that are consistent with other similar ongoing federal efforts and with relevant guidance and directives for the development of information technology (IT) systems in the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs.

Within the Department of Defense, the medical IT community should work closely with Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration and the Business Transformation Agency, both of which have valuable experience in evaluating and selecting standards for large-scale enterprise systems.

Assessment of technology transition programs and repeal of reporting requirement (sec. 253)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require the Department of Defense to assess the feasibility of consolidating various technology transition accounts into a unified effort. The House provision would also repeal the requirement for the Technology Transition Initiative (TTI).

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 253) which would repeal the recurring reporting requirement relating to the TTI program

The agreement includes a provision which requires the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD (AT&L)) to assess the feasibility of consolidating technology transition accounts into one account to be managed at the Department-level. The agreement also requires the USD (AT&L) to submit a report to Congress on the aforementioned assessment and include recommendations concerning the streamlining and improvement of technology transition activities throughout the Department. Finally, the agreement repeals the Department's annual TTI reporting requirement.

Efficient technology transition is a mainstay of the Department's ability to deliver military advantage to the operational force. Continued employment of the current regime of initiatives and programs may not be the most effective approach to transitioning promising technologies to the force. The USD (AT&L) is expected to characterize the limitations of the existing transition activities and provide recommendations to improve the process of transitioning tech-

nologies. The overall goals of the various Department of Defense technology transition programs are laudable, but there is a need to better understand the Department's requirements for additional authorities or for the repeal of unnecessary authorities that could improve technology transition.

Trusted defense systems (sec. 254)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 235) that would require the Department of Defense to perform an assessment, develop a strategy, issue interim policy guidance, and provide a report to Congress related to assuring trust in the supply chain for certain defense systems.

The Senate bill contained a related provision (sec. 256) that required an assessment of the capabilities of the Department to assess trust in semiconductor technologies.

The agreement includes a provision that requires the Secretary of Defense to assess the trust in, and vulnerabilities within the supply chain of key electronic components of major defense acquisition programs; to assess methods for verifying trust of DoD semiconductors; to produce a strategy for managing supply chain risk and trust verification for semiconductors; to establish policies necessary to support these activities; and to report to Congress on the assessment and policies.

There is growing concern that major manufacturing for many mission-critical semi-conductors have moved offshore, primarily to Asia. The inherent risks associated with procuring electronic components that have been designed, fabricated, tested, or packaged in unsecure facilities abroad demand a well planned and structured response.

Therefore, the Secretary is instructed to coordinate the various current efforts designed to study and protect mission-critical electronic components and create an integrated strategy for managing supply chain risk and ensuring trust of semiconductors used in applications across all services. Only by engaging in consultation with industry, academia and other agencies will the Department be able to fashion the cross-cutting strategy needed to address a challenge that confronts the most complicated and important acquisition programs and threatens every part of the operational force.

Capabilities-based assessment to outline a joint approach for future development of vertical lift aircraft and rotorcraft (sec. 255)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 237) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to develop an assessment of a joint approach to developing future vertical lift aircraft and rotorcraft, and to submit a report on that assessment.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision, with the understanding that the current program for modernizing the Marine Corps' heavy lift rotorcraft fleet shall not be subsumed within any joint program office that might emerge from this assessment.

Executive agent for printed circuit board technology (sec. 256)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 254) that would require the establishment of an executive agent to oversee Department of Defense activities related to printed circuit board technologies.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that requires the Secretary of Defense to designate an executive agent for printed circuit board and interconnect technologies. The provision specifies roles and responsibilities that the

Secretary of Defense will charge to the executive agent.

The Secretary may include further duties, especially as they may pertain to ensuring that the Department maintains and grows its capability for establishing trust in interconnect technologies. While it is critical that the executive agent designated by the Secretary develop a well-planned roadmap which details current and future technologies needed and the logistics network necessary to provide them, the executive agent should also draw together the specific timeline that must be realized and funding that must be obtained to successfully implement the roadmap going forward.

Finally, the provision directs the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the executive agent is properly resourced to implement the task and is supported throughout the military departments.

Review of conventional prompt global strike technology applications and concepts (sec. 257)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 238) that would limit the use of funds for conventional prompt global strike (PGS) in fiscal year 2009 to only those activities expressly delineated in the expenditure plan for fiscal years 2008 and 2009, which was required by section 243 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) or activities otherwise expressly authorized by Congress. The expenditure plan was transmitted to the congressional defense committees on March 24, 2008. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on PGS concepts with the fiscal year 2010 budget request.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1054) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to conduct a review of the prompt global strike technologies that will be demonstrated beginning in fiscal year 2010. The report would set forth the cost of the demonstration, identify any legal, treaty, or policy related issues that might be associated with the concept demonstrated or the demonstration itself, and whether and to what extent there is a possibility that the concept or the demonstration itself could be confused with a nuclear weapons system. In addition, the report would set forth a description of the types of targets against which the concept demonstrated might be used. The report would be submitted to the congressional defense committees no later than 30 days after the date on which the budget is submitted.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would limit the use of funds for conventional PGS in fiscal year 2009. The amendment would also direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that describes the technologies planned to be developed during fiscal year 2009 and

how those technologies relate to PGS options and concepts. The due date for this report would be April 1, 2009.

In addition, the amendment would modify the review and assessment to be conducted by the Secretary of Defense in consultation with the Secretary of State, to include recommendations that would mitigate such risk in the assessment as to whether a PGS concept could be misconstrued as a nuclear weapon or delivery system. The amendment would further modify the assessment to include an assessment of the intelligence need ed to support the use of any PGS concept. A report on this review and assessment would be due no later than September 1, 2009.

We note that the Department of Defense has expressed interesting in examining biconic technology as part of an alternative re-entry system/warhead engineering and delivery vehicle options/development but included no plan to manufacture a biconic vehicle in the expenditure plan for fiscal years 2008 and 2009. As a result no funds are available in fiscal year 2009 to manufacture such a vehicle.

We note that the Department is approaching a PGS concept definition milestone in the fiscal year 2010 timeframe. We encourage the Department to weigh carefully all aspects of PGS concept alternatives, including the technical, cost, operational, and policy considerations associated with each option.

We note that the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences has recently completed a report titled "U.S. Prompt Global Strike: Issues for 2008 and Beyond." This report was prepared at the request of Congress. The Department should review this and other PGS related reports as part of the process to determine which PGS concept alternatives to pursue.

We direct the Secretary to submit a copy of the report to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of the Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Assured funding for certain information security and information assurance programs of the Department of Defense

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec.214) that would provide a source of funding for a new technology development activity for information security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Study on space-based interceptor element of ballistic missile defense system

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 236) that would require an independent entity to conduct an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of developing a spacebased interceptor element to the ballistic missile defense system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the Senate provision.

Visiting National Institutes of Health senior neuroscience fellowship program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 239) that would establish a visiting National Institutes of Health neuroscience fellowship within the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision

Neuroscience can play an important role in improving capabilities in combat casualty care, addressing traumatic brain injuries and post traumatic stress disorders, decision making, and human-machine interfaces, among other areas. The Department of Defense is directed to continue to support research in this area, including expanding collaboration with the National Institutes of Health on research, personnel exchanges, technology transition, and other activities.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Operation and maintenance funding (sec. 301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301) that would authorize fiscal year 2009 funding levels for all operation and maintenance accounts.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 301).

The agreement includes this provision.

SUBTITLE B—ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISIONS

Authorization for Department of Defense participation in conservation banking programs (sec. 311)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would authorize the Department of Defense to participate in conservation mitigation bank programs.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2811).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with Moses Lake Wellfield Superfund Site, Moses Lake, Washington (sec. 312)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 312) that would authorize the Department of Defense to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with Moses Lake Wellfield Superfund Site, Moses Lake, Washington.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 312).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Expand cooperative agreement authority for management of natural resources to include off-installation mitigation (sec. 313)

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

	FY2009	House	Senate	Agreement	Agreement
Program Title	Reguest	Authorized	Authorized	Change	Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army	31,243,092	31,788,395	31,282,460	8,610	31,251,702
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	34,922,398	34,870,098	34,811,598	-72,088	34,850,310
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,597,254	5,680,054	5,607,354	7,000	5,604,254
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	35,902,487	35,060,427	35,244,587	-448,000	35,454,487
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	26,091,864	25,806,657	25,926,564	-143,000	25,948,864
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,642,341	2,689,941	2,642,641		2,642,341
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,311,085	1,311,085	1,311,085		1,311,085
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	213,131	213,131	213,131		213,131
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,142,892	3,202,892	3,142,892	7,800	3,150,692
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	5,875,546	5,900,346	5,909,846	18,000	5,893,546
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,879,576	5,929,576	5,883,926	2,750	5,882,326
US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, Defense	13,254	13,254	13,254		13,254
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster And Civic Aid	83,273	83,273	83,273		83,273
Cooperative Threat Reduction	414,135	445,135	434,135	20,000	434,135
Environmental Restoration, Army	447,776	447,776	447,776		447,776
Environmental Restoration, Navy	290,819	290,819	290,819		290,819
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	496,277	496,277	496,277		496,277
Environmental Restoration, Defense	13,175	13,175	13,175		13,175
Environmental Restoration Formerly Used Sites	257,796	257,796	257,796		257,796
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	9,101	9,101	9,101		9,101
TOTAL TITLE III BY APPROPRIATION	154,847,272	154,509,208	154,021,690	-598,928	154,248,344

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized		1,259,183		963,864 1,244,612		00 1,016,851 00]	1,821,481	00 626,053
Agreement Change			2,000 [2,000]			3,000		2,000
Senate Authorized		1,259,183	606,827	963,864		1,016,851 [3,000]	1,821,481	626,053 [2,000]
House Authorized		1,259,183	608,827 [2,000]	963,864 1,246,612	[1,000]	1,013,851	1,835,781 [-10,000] [24,300]	627,553
FY2009 Request		1,259,183 107,517	606,827	963,864		1,013,851	1,821,481	624,053
<u>Line</u> <u>Number Program Title</u> Operation and Maintenance, Army	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES	LAND FORCES 010 MANEUVER UNITS 020 MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	030 ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE Army force generation synchronization tool	040 THEATER LEVEL ASSETS 050 LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	Cognitive Air Defense Trainer M-Gator	060 AVIATION ASSETS Unmanned aircraft systems concept development	LAND FORCES READINESS 070 FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT Army NETCOM unjustified growth Army Asymmetric Warfare Office-IFD Defeat Division-EOD	080 LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS Shipping containers CASEVAC Medical Equipment Set (MES) conversion kits (Ground & Air)

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

18,914,579	11,000	18,937,947	17,948,339	18,903,579	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	
282,990		282,990	282,990	282,990	0 COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	170
						160
2/4,654		274,654	274,654	274,654	0 ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES 0 COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	140 150
262,556		262,556	262,556	262,556		130
			[10,000]			
					Training support centers-fabricate training aids and devices	
			[000'6]		Integrated training area management	
301,149		[7,768] 301,149	320,149	301,149	Barracks repairs MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	120
2,093,829		[21,600] 2,101,597	2,093,829	2,093,829	Life cycle logistics contracting 0 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATI	110
7,309,710		7,331,310	7,309,710	7,309,710	<u>LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT</u> 0 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	100
	[4,000]		[5,400] [257,743]		M24 Sniper weapons system upgrade Depot maintenance increase	
Authorized 741,303	Change 4,000	Authorized 737,303	1,000,446	Request 737,303	Number Program Title 090 LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	060 080
Agreement	Agreement	Senate	Honse	FY2009	91	Line

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Line Number Program Title BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
180 190 200	MOBILITY OPERATIONS STRATEGIC MOBILITY ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS Army Manufacturing Technical Assistance Production Program (MTAPP)	204,559	204,559 122,273 2,500	204,559 122,273	2,000	204,559 122,273 2,000
	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	326,832	329,332	326,832		328,832
210 220 230 240	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION RECRUIT TRAINING ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	121,985 90,999 66,512 441,264	121,985 90,999 66,512 441,264	121,985 90,999 66,512 441,264		121,985 90,999 66,512 441,264
250	BASIC SKILL/ ADVANCE TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING Critical skill training TRADOC-TFNC Military Training Support Allotment (MTSA) additional school travel FLIGHT TRAINING	825,237	892,237 [48,000] [19,000] 781,761	825,237		825,237

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Line Number	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	130,208	132,208	130,208	2,000	132,208
280	Leadership for leaders at Fort Leavermorth TRAINING SUPPORT	852,545	[2,000] 857,155	852,545	[2,000] 610	853,155
	United States Army Sergeants Major Academy lecture center audio visual equipment replacement		[610]		[610]	
	Operational/Technical training validation test bed		[4,000]		4	
	RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING					
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	645,968	645,968	645,968		645,968
300	EXAMINING	148,274	148,274	148,274		148,274
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	244,844	245,194	244,844		244,844
	Arabic strategic language program North Georgia					
	College and SU		[320]			
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	223,957	223,957	223,957		223,957
330	JUNIOR ROTC	149,329	149,329	149,329		149,329
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,722,883	5,126,175	5,049,715	2,610	4,725,493
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	VITIES			,	
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	876,020	876,020	876,020		876,020

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number Program Title	SHOOL	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION Redistribution of Equipment		552,629	602,629	602,629 [50,000]		552,629
Army directed redistribution of equipment to fill unit shortfalls CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	shortfalls	630,145	50,000 687,145	630,145		630,145
Support missile stockpile reliability inspections and parts obsolescence issues	arts		[57,000]			
LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES Condition based maintenance information management	ţ	510,326	515,326 [5,000]	510,326	3,000	513,326
S AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	:	450,394	510,394	475,394	•	450,394
Ammunition inspections and warehousing Ammunition readiness and management			[000'09]	[25,000]		
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT						
ADMINISTRATION		768,681	758,681	768,681	-10,000	758,681
Information Technology Agency Unjustified Growth		164 006	[-10,000]	1 154 005	[-10,000]	1 154 085
SERVICEVUDE COMMUNICATIONS Army Knowledge Online helpdesk		1, 134,083	1,136,983 [2,900]	1, 134,063		1,104,000
Army NETCOM unjustified growth			[-5,000]			
FOIL BILLS DAIG CETTER COOL MANPOWER MANAGEMENT		276.925	[5,000] 276.925	276.925		276,925
OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT		195,129	195,129	195,129		195,129
OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT		1,152,968	1,152,968	1,152,968		1,152,968
ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES		233,680	233,680	233,680		233,680
REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT		58,345	58,345	58,345		58,345

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Line	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title	FY2009 Reguest	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
460	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	408,788	408,788 21,683	408,788 21,683		408,788 21,683
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITII	7,289,798	7,454,698	7,364,798	-7,000	7,282,798
998 998	UNDISTRIBUTED Computing services Logistics Modernization Program			-50,000		
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	31,243,092	31,788,395	31,282,460	8,610	31,251,702
	Operation and Maintenance, Navy					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010 020 030 040 050	AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS FLEET AIR TRAINING AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	3,873,884 969,661 53,272 120,305 494,832	3,873,884 969,661 53,272 120,305 494,832	3,873,884 969,661 53,272 120,305 494,832		3,873,884 969,661 53,272 120,305 494,832

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

nent Agreement age Authorized 1,127,774	142,618	3,536,837	689,893	4,139,996			633,376	85,349	160,175	397,763	315,803	783,689	186,860	3,256	152,167	261 105
Agreement																
Senate Authorized 1,190,774	[63,000] 142,618	3,539,837	[3,000] 689,893	4,139,996			633,376	85,349	160,175	397,763	315,803	783,689	186,860	3,256	152,167	261,105
House Authorized 1,190,774	[63,000] 142,618	3,536,837	689,893	4,139,996	[120,000]		633,376	85,349	160,175	397,763	315,803	783,689	186,860	3,256	152,167	261,105
FY2009 Request 1,127,774	142,618	3,536,837	689,893	4,139,996			633,376	85,349	160,175	397,763	315,803	783,689	186,860	3,256	152,167	261,105
<u>Line</u> Number Program Title 060 AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	Airframe depot maintenance AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	SHIP OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	Damage control management SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS STIPPORT		COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	WARFARE TACTICS	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT
Line Numbe	070	080	060	5 5			120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
3 1 O	WEAPONS SUPPORT CRUISE MISSILE	131,692	131,692	131,692		131,692
ij.	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,046,422	1,046,422	1,046,422		1,046,422
	N-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	64,298	64,298	64,298		64,298
>	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	478,103	483,103	487,103	000'6	487,103
	MK 45 gun depot overhauls			[9,000]	[000'6]	
	Mark 75 maintenance facility support and upgrade		[2,000]			
	NULKA support		[2,000]			
0	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	321,921	321,921	321,921		321,921
ш	BASE SUPPORT					
ш	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	893,448	883,448	893,448	-10,000	883,448
	Base-level information infrastructure (OCONUS) unjustified		[-10,000]		[-10,000]	
တ	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,734,146	1,734,146	1,734,146		1,734,146
8	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,158,483	4,158,483	4,158,483		4,158,483
-	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	28,124,539	28,302,539	28,199,539	-1,000	28,123,539
ш	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	394,729	394,729	394,729		394,729
A1 4	ACTIV<u>ATIONS/INACTIVATIONS</u> AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,276	7,276	7,276		7,276

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Agreement Agreement Change Authorized 110,268	27,650 2,419 25,473	567,815		142,175	11,136	116,985	540,855	518,077	170,486	155,533	. 272,498
Senate Authorized 110,268	27,650 2,419 25,473	567,815		142,175	11,136	116,985	540,855	518,077	170,486	155,533	272,498
House Authorized 110,268	27,650 2,419 25,473	567,815		142,175	11,136	116,985	540,855	518,077	170,486	155,533	272,498
FY2009 Request 110,268	27,650 2,419 25,473	567,815		142,175	11,136	116,985	540,855	518,077	170,486	155,533	272,498
<u>Line</u> Number Program Title 320 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS PELEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM INDUSTRIAL READINESS COAST GUARD SUPPORT	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	D RECRUIT TRAINING) RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	D FLIGHT TRAINING	D PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	3 TRAINING SUPPORT	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING
Line Numbe 320	330 340 350			360	370	380	390	400	410	420	430

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

<u>Line</u> Number	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
440	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION Naval Sea Cadet training	163,077	163,377 [300]	163,077	300	163,377
450	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	95,959	95,959	95,959	•	95,959
460	JUNIOR ROTC	50,494	50,494	50,494		50,494
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	2,237,275	2,237,575	2,237,275	300	2,237,575
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	IVITIES				
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
470	ADMINISTRATION	735,822	730,222	735,822	-5,588	730,234
	Unjustified Growth for Secretary of the Navy Organizational					
	Restructuring		[-3,200]		[-3,188]	
	Navy Enterprise Office		[-2,400]		[-2,400]	
480	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	4,213	4,213	4,213		4,213
490	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	109,968	109,968	109,968		109,968
200	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	163,568	163,568	163,568		163,568
510	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	278,085	278,085	278,085		278,085
520	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	381,511	381,511	381,511		381,511
530	MEDICAL ACTIVITIES					

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
540	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	257,008	257,008	257,008		257,008
550	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS					
260	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	240,991	240,991	240,991		240,991
220	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	595,050	595,050	595,050		595,050
580	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	60,723	60,723	60,723		60,723
280	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	17,378	17,378	17,378		17,378
009	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	79,615	79,615	79,615		79,615
620	INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	504,649	504,649	504,649		504,649
089	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	6,570	6,570	6,570		6,570
690	CANCELLED ACCOUNTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS JUDGMENT FUND					
666	OTHER PROGRAMS OTHER PROGRAMS	557,618	557,618	557,618		557,618
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITII	3,992,769	3,987,169	3,992,769	-5,588	3,987,181

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized	-65,800	34,850,310	766,814	611,660
Agreement Change	[-65,800]	-72,088	7,000 [2,000]	[2,000] [3,000]
Senate Authorized -70,000	-50,000 -65,800	34,811,598	762,31 4 [2,500]	619,260 [7,600]
House Authorized	-115,000	34,870,098	808,714	[44,900] [4,000] 633,360 [7,600] [14,100]
FY2009 Request		34,922,398	759,814	611,660
히	998 Computing services 998 Overstatement of civilian pay 998 Unobligated balances estimate 998 Overstatement of civilian pay	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES 010 OPERATIONAL FORCES Marine Corps shelters Clothing and Flame Resistant Organizational Gear (FROG)	_ ~ -

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number 030	Line Number Program Title 030 DEPOT MAINTENANCE BV206 Maintenance	FY2009 Request 86,422	House Authorized 88,422 [2,000]	Senate Authorized 86,422	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized 86,422
040 050	USMC PREPOSITIONING MARITIME PREPOSITIONING NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	73,725 5,217	73,725 5,217	73,725 5,217		73,725 5,217
090	BASE SUPPORT SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	803,530 1,940,671	803,530 1,940,671	803,530 1,940,671		803,530 1,940,671
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	4,281,039	4,353,639	4,291,139	7,000	4,288,039
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
080	ACCESSION TRAINING RECRUIT TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	15,361	15,361 411	15,361		15,361 411
100 110 120 130	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING FLIGHT TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT	56,106 361 22,882 312,339	56,106 361 22,882 312,339	56,106 361 22,882 312,339		56,106 361 22,882 312,339

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Agreement Authorized	238,900 64,080	17,740	55,737	939,447		282,043	30,662	45,545			3 160	5	15,349
Agreement Change													
Senate Authorized	238,900	17,740	55,737	939,447		282,043	30,662	45,545			3 169	2	15,349
House Authorized	238,900	17,740	55,737	939,447		282.043	30,662	49,445	[1,000]	[2,900]	9.469	[6,300]	15,349
FY2009 Request	238,900 64,080	17,740	55,737	939,447	TIVITIES	282,043	30,662	45,545			3 160	5	15,349
70	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	·	BASE SUPPORT SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT SPECIAL SUPPORT		ADMINISTRATION	Heroes and Healthy Families	Total Force Structure Management System (TFSMS)	BASE SUPPORT		BASE OPERATING SUPPORT
Line Number	140	160	170	2		190	200	210			220	7	230

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Line	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITII	FY2009 Request 376,768	House Authorized 386,968	Senate Authorized 376,768	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized 376,768
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,597,254	5,680,054	5,607,354	7,000	5,604,254
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
	AIR OPERATIONS					
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES B-52 fiving hours	4,158,181	4,160,181	4,206,081 [47,900]	48,900 [47,900]	4,207,081
	MBU-20A/P oxygen masks with lights		[2,000]		[1,000]	
020	PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	290,744	290,744	290,744		290,744
	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	680,109	650,109	680,109		680,109
	Contract Logistics Support		[-20,000]			
	Network defense		[-10,000]			
040	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,545,613	1,545,613	1,545,613		1,545,613
020	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,711,951	1,709,951	1,711,951		1,711,951
	Other costs		[-2,000]			

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Authorized 2,378,194 0 2,378,194 0]	1,142,947 0 2,436,047]]	-	215,775
Agreement Change -373,000 [-422,000] [-2,000] [48,000] [3,000]	2,000	2,800 [2,800]	
Senate Authorized 2,303,194 [-497,000] [-2,000] [48,000] [3,000]	1,142,947 2,436,147 [2,100]	1,170,675 [2,800] 277,681 674,169	215,775
House Authorized 2,302,194	[-2,000] [-447,000] 1,142,947 2,429,047 [-5,000]	1,160,875 [-7,000] 277,681 705,169 [-3,000] [34,000]	215,775
EY2009 Request 2,751,194	1,142,947 2,434,047	1,167,875	215,775
칭	b-2 depot maintenance F-15 maintenance support 0 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC 0 BASE SUPPORT Land mobile radios Base communications		0 MANAGEMEN / OPERATIONAL HQ
Numbe 060	070	090 100 120	130

BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Agreement Authorized 546,822	340,385	33,390 228,617 91,067	326,784 191,764 675,821	626,787 195,714	19,988,137
Agreement Ag					-319,300 19
Senate Authorized 536,822 [-10,000]	340,385	33,390 228,617 91,067	326,784 191,764 675,821	626,787 195,714	19,902,237
House Authorized 526,822 [-20,000]	338,385 [-2,000]	33,390 228,617 91,067	324,784 [-2,000] 191,764 675,821	626,787 195,714	19,823,437
FY2009 Request 546,822	340,385	33,390 228,617 91,067	326,784 191,764 675,821	626,787 195,714	20,307,437
Line Number Program Title 140 TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES Threat finance Other contracts			OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS Management professional services FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC BASE SUPPORT	COCOM COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES
Line Numbe	150	160 170 180	190 200 210	220	

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Agreement Authorized	3,228,790	91,392	181,713	378,429	242,505	622,960	5,054,684			88,547	16,557	108,730	79,052	95,807
Agreement Change														
Senate Authorized	3,228,790	91,392	181,713 308.895	378,429	242,505	622,960	5,054,684			88,547	16,557	108,730	79,052	95,807
House Authorized	3,208,790	89,392 8-392 8-3001	181,713	378,429	242,505	622,960	5,032,684			88,547	16,557	108,730	79,052	95,807
FY2009 Request	3,228,790	91,392	181,713	378,429	242,505	622,960	5,054,684			88,547	16,557	108,730	79,052	95,807
7	MOBILITY OPERATIONS AIRLIFT OPERATIONS Other contracts	₹	Žã		FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIO) BASE SUPPORT	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	ACCESSION TRAINING) OFFICER ACQUISITION) RECRUIT TRAINING) RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIO	
Line	240	250	260	280	290	300				310	320	330	340	350

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

<u>Line</u> Number		FY2009 Reguest	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
360	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	420,590	423,590	420,590	2,000	422,590
	Engineering training and knowledge preservation system		[3,000]		[2,000]	
370	FLIGHT TRAINING	948,787	948,787	948,787		948,787
380	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	178,749	178,749	178,749		178,749
390	TRAINING SUPPORT	114,435	114,435	114,435		114,435
400	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	14,711	14,711	14,711		14,711
410	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC	223,960	223,960	223,960		223,960
420	BASE SUPPORT	648,618	648,618	648,618		648,618
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
430	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	196,140	196,140	196,140		196,140
440	EXAMINING	5,242	5,242	5,242		5,242
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	206,608	206,608	206,608		206,608
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	161,089	161,089	161,089		161,089
470	JUNIOR ROTC	74,558	74,558	74,558		74,558
	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,582,180	3,585,180	3,582,180	2,000	3,584,180
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	VITIES				
480	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	017 704	NOT 710	018 704	4	010 704
P	Advanced ultrasonic inspections	100		[1,000]	[1,000]	10.00
490	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	666,546	666,546	666,546		666,546

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC BASE SUPPORT	Request 295,355 68,869 313,182 1,192,616	Authorized 295,355 68,869 313,182 1,192,616	Authorized 295,355 68,869 313,182 1,192,616	Change	Authorized 295,355 68,869 313,182 1,192,616
⊘ ₹ Ø	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	226,665 576,493	226,665 576,493	226,665 576,493		226,665 576,493
560 Pl 570 Al 580 O	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS Wage modification for employees in Azores ARMS CONTROL OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	231,919 38,669 851,904	232,159 [240] 38,669 851,904	231,919 38,669 851,904		231,919 38,669 851,904
600 C 610 F, 620 B,	CIVIL AIR PATROL Secure site at Ely NV radar site (Edwards AFB) FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC BASE SUPPORT	24,445 51,377 358,164	25,145 [700] 51,377 358,164	24,445 51,377 358,164		24,445 51,377 358,164
640 ISI ISI	SECURITY PROGRAMS SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	35,113	35,113	35,113		35,113

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Agreement Authorized	-131,700	35,454,487		406,141 3,652,060	4,058,201
Agreement Change	[-131,700]	-448,000			
Senate Authorized	-72,000 -50,000 -131,700	35,244,587		406,141 3,652,060	4,058,201
House Authorized	-120,000	35,060,427		406,141	4,058,201
FY2009 Request		35,902,487		406,141 3,652,060	4,058,201
뉣	8 Unobligated Balances 8 Computing services 8 Overstatement of civilian pay 8 Unobligated balances estimate 8 Overstatement of civilian pay	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES	DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:
Numbe	866 866 866 866			010	

BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

<u>Line</u> Number		FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
030	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	120,726	120,726	120,726		120,726
040	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	79,960	79,960	79,960		79,960
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:	200,686	200,686	200,686		200,686
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
050	DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE					
090	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	107,987	113,987	113,187	5,000	112,987
	Starbase		[1,000]	[5,200]		
	National Guard Youth Challenge		[2,000]		[5,000]	
060	DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	156,652	156,652	156,652		156,652
100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	418,006	418,006	418,006		418,006
110	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE					
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,227,626	1,205,626	1,182,626	-25,000	1,202,626
	SORTS		[-22,000]	[-20,000]		
	NECC			[-25,000]	[-25,000]	
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	31,905	31,905	31,905		31,905
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	345,838	350,838	345,838	5,000	350,838
	Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities		[5,000]		[5,000]	
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	213,808	213,808	213,808		213,808

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

ge Authorized	33,468 355,331	2,007,089	1,066,462	000 680,024 000]	452,531	50,654	15,000 1,724,174	100] 519,508	11,683,154
Agreement Change				-200,000 [-150,000] [-50,000]	3		15,0	[15,000]	
Senate Authorized 30,431	33,468	2,007,089	1,066,462	780,02 4 [-100,000]	452,531	50,654	1,745,374 [16,200]	[20,000] 519,508	11,683,154
House Authorized 16,731	33,468	2,007,089	cation) 1,077,005	580,024 [-200,000] [-100,000]	472,531	110,654 [50,000]	1,750,174	[21,000] 519,508	11,683,154
EY2009 Request 16,731	33,468	2,007,089	Dependents Edu 1,066,462	880,024	452,531	50,654	1,709,174	519,508	11,683,154
Program Title DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE Expand research in North Korea	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY DOD HIMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	FAMILY ADVOCACY PROGRAM (includes transfer from Defense Dependents Education) DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY 1,066,462 1,066,462	Ğ	DEFENSE SECURITY SER Industrial security program	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT DOD impact aid	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE Defense Readiness Reporting System Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight	3	OTHER PROGRAMS OTHER PROGRAMS
Line Number 170	180	200	220	230	240	260	270	280	666

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line	<u>Line</u> Number <u>Program Title</u>	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	21,832,977	21,653,520	21,743,077	-200,000	9,949,823
900	UNDISTRIBUTED Impact aid			30.000	[50.000]	50.000
866	Impact aid for children with disabilites			2,000	[2,000]	5,000
998 998	Special assistance to local eduction agencies Unobligated Balances			10,000 -70,400		
866	Computing services			-50,000		
866	Unobligated balances estimate		-124,000			
866	Interdisciplinary crítical language and area studies		3,500		[2,000]	2,000
866	Family support programs		15,000			
866	Emergency and extraordinary expenses		-250			
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	26,091,864	25,806,657	25,926,564	-143,000	25,948,864
	Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010 020 030 040	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	1,567 16,419 484,460 173,583	1,567 16,419 484,460 173,583	1,567 16,419 484,460 173,583		1,567 16,419 484,460 173,583

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number	Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
020		508,322	513,122 [4,800]	508,322		508,322
090	AVIATION ASSETS	61,030	61,030	61,030		61,030
	LAND FORCES READINESS					
020	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	254,901	266,901	254,901		254,901
	Increase in full time Reservists		[12,000]			
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	87,541	87,541	92,341		87,541
	Mobile corrosion protection			[4,800]		
060	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	108,191	108,191	108,191		108,191
9	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT		0.00	2.00		0.00
2	_	248,086	548,080	548,086		548,080
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATI	255,912	255,912	255,912		255,912
120	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	13,531	13,531	13,531		13,531
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,513,543	2,530,343	2,518,343		2,513,543
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	IIVITIES				
130	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION	70,806	70,806	70,806		70,806
140	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	6,189	6,189	6,189		6,189
150	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,491	8,491	8,491		8,491
160	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	43,312	43,312	43,312		43,312

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

<u>Line</u> Number	<u>Line</u> Number <u>Program Title</u>	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITI	128,798	128,798	128,798		128,798
866 866 868	UNDISTRIBUTED Military technician cost avoidance First term dental readiness Demobilization dental treatment		22,300 8,500	4,500		
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,642,341	2,689,941	2,642,641		2,642,341
	Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010	AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	604,501	604,501	604,501		604,501
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	16,083	16,083	16,083		16,083
030	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	3,156	3,156	3,156		3,156
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	144,515	144,515	144,515		144,515
020	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	427	427	427		427
060 070 080	SHIP OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	55,920 595 62,629	55,920 595 62,629	55,920 595 62,629		55,920 595 62,629

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number	<u>Line</u> Number Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
090	COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	14,834	14,834 122,567	14,834 122,567		14,834 122,567
110	<u>WEAPONS SUPPORT</u> WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,385	5,385	5,385		5,385
120 130 140	BASE SUPPORT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	92,327 61,680 111,296	92,327 61,680 111,296	92,327 61,680 111,296		92,327 61,680 111,296
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	1,295,915	1,295,915	1,295,915		1,295,915
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	VITIES				
150 160 170 180	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS COMBATAVEAPONS SYSTEMS OTHER SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT	3,341 9,002 2,396 431	3,341 9,002 2,396 431	3,341 9,002 2,396 431		3,341 9,002 2,396 431

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Number Program Title CANCELLED ACCOUNTS 200 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUST TOTAL BADA: ADMINISTRAT	S ADJUSTMENTS STRATION & SFRVICEWIDE ACTIVITIE	Request Request 15 170	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Authorized Authorized
ation and Ma	Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,311,085	1,311,085	1,311,085		1,311,085
Operation and Maintenance, M	nce, Marine Corps Reserve					
ACTIVITY 01:	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATING FORCES	낇	54,848	54,848	54,848		54,848
DEPOT MAINTENANCE		11,640	11,640	11,640		11,640
TRAINING SUPPORT		29,296	29,296	29,296		29,296
BASE SUPPORT SUSTAINMENT, RESTO	BASE SUPPORT SUSTAINMENT. RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	16.174	16.174	16.174		16.174
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	ORT	68,065	68,065	68,065		68,065
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING F	TING FORCES	180,023	180,023	180,023		180,023

BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number 050	Program Title BASE SUPPORT	EY2009 Request 277,350	House Authorized 277,350	Senate Authorized 277,350	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized 277,350
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	3,016,602	3,076,602	3,016,602	7,800	3,024,402
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	IVITIES				
090	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	71.059	71,059	71,059		71,059
020	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	25,392	25,392	25,392		25,392
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	22,513	22,513	22,513		22,513
060	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,625	6,625	6,625		6,625
100	AUDIOVISUAL	701	701	701		701
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITII	126,290	126,290	126,290		126,290
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,142,892	3,202,892	3,142,892	7,800	3,150,692
	Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010	MANEUVER UNITS	905,866	905,866	917,366	5,000	910,866
	Aliciair numiony protection Expandable light air mobility shelters			[005;9]	[2'000]	

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line Number 020 030 040 050	Program Title MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE THEATER LEVEL ASSETS LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	FY2009 Request 159,765 553,548 267,183 41,141 852,986	House 159,765 553,548 267,183 41,141 852,986	Senate Authorized 159,765 553,548 267,183 41,141 852,986	<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u>	Authorized 159,765 553,548 267,183 41,141 852,986
070	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT Extended Cold Weather Clothing System Rapid data management system Weapons skills trainer	316,359	335,359	330,359 [1,000] [9,500]	9,000 [1,000] [5,000] [3,000]	325,359
080	Increase in full time National Guard LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS Emergency satellite communications Mobile corrosion protection Mobile Corrosion Prevention and Abatement Program	120,210	[19,000] 125,010 [4,800]	129,010 [4,000] [4,800]	3,000	123,210
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	367,551	367,551	367,551		367,551
110 120 130	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	500,933 504,977 87,089	500,933 504,977 87,089	500,933 504,977 87,089		500,933 504,977 87,089
	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,434,187	5,457,987	5,468,487	17,000	5,451,187

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Line	Line Number Program Title Reg Reg	EY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	<u>Agreement</u> <u>Change</u>	Agreement Authorized
140 150		124,769 50,668	124,769 50,668	124,769 50,668		124,769
160 170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING Delaware Valley Continuing Education Initiative	7,679 258,243	7,679 259,243 [1,000]	7,679 258,243	1,000	7,679 259,243
	UNDISTRIBUTED					
	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITI	441,359	442,359	441,359		442,359
	Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	5,875,546	5,900,346	5,909,846	18,000	5,893,546
	Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard					
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
010	AIR OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS Controlled humidity protection	3,580,927	3,580,927	3,585,277	2,750 [2,000]	3,583,677
020	Orypio-iniguist intelligence officer minding MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	670,554	670,554	[750] 670,554	[nc/]	670,554

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

Agreement Agreement Change Authorized 691,199	300,101 594,733	2,750 5,840,264		31,234	10,828	42,062	2,750 5,882,326
Senate Ag Authorized 691,199	300,101 594,733	5,841,864		31,234	10,828	42,062	5,883,926
House Authorized 741,199	(300,101 594,733	5,887,514		31,234	10,828	42,062	5,929,576
FY2009 Request 691,199	300,101 594,733	5,837,514	IVITIES	31,234	10,828	42,062	5,879,576
Program Title DEPOT MAINTENANCE	Depot Provided Equipment Maintenance 40 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIC 50 BASE SUPPORT	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	70 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITI	Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard
Numbe 030	040			090	070		

Title III - Operation and Maintenance (Dollars in Thousands)

Line Number	Line Number Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
010	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENS	13,254	13,254	13,254		13,254
010	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	83,273	83,273	83,273		83,273
010	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	414,135	445,135	434,135	[20,000]	434,135
020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	447,776	447,776	447,776		447,776
030	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	290,819	290,819	290,819		290,819
940	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	496,277	496,277	496,277		496,277
020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	13,175	13,175	13,175		13,175
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	257,796	257,796	257,796		257,796
070	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	9,101	9,101	9,101		9,101
080	IRAQ FREEDOM FUND					
060	SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS					
100	EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND					
110	EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND, DEFENSE					
	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	2,025,606	2,056,606	2,045,606	20,000	2,045,606
						•
	TOTAL TITLE III OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	154,847,272	154,509,208	154,021,690	-598,928	154,248,344

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would expand the authority of the Department of Defense to enter cooperative agreements for the management of natural resources to cover off-installation mitigation efforts.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 311).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Expedited use of appropriate technology related to unexploded ordnance detection (sec. 314)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would require research on, deployment of, and training with unexploded ordnance (UXO) detection instrument technologies.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the expedited operational use of appropriate UXO detection technologies developed inside or outside the Department of Defense and further require the Secretary of Defense to report on activities related to the development and deployment of UXO detection technologies.

Closed loop re-refining of used motor vehicle lubricating oil (sec. 315)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would require a report on Department of Defense policies concerning the sale and disposal of used motor vehicle lubricating oil.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, rather than the Comptroller General to submit the report; extend the deadline for the report to one year; delete the requirement to implement closed loop policies; and make certain clarifying changes.

Comprehensive program for the eradication of the brown tree snake population from military facilities in Guam (sec. 316)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would direct the Department of Defense to establish a comprehensive program to control and, to the extent practicable, eradicate the brown tree snake (Boiga irregularis) population from military facilities in Guam and prevent their spread to other areas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We are concerned about the adverse effects of the brown tree snake on native species on Guam and the ecological and economic risks posed by its inadvertent introduction to other areas in the Pacific region and the United States. We recommend that the Department of Defense place appropriate emphasis on brown tree snake interdiction and population reduction for the dual purposes of preventing inadvertent introduction outside of Guam and supporting programs to protect and restore native species on Guam. We further recommend that the Department execute this program in cooperation with the Government of Guam and consistent with its Integrated Natural Resources Management Plans.

SUBTITLE C—WORKPLACE AND DEPOT ISSUES

Comprehensive analysis and development of single government-wide definition of inherently governmental function and criteria for critical functions (sec. 321)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would require the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with ap-

propriate representatives of federal agencies, to conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing definitions of inherently governmental functions and other functions that should be performed by government employees, and to clarify those definitions as determined appropriate.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Study on future depot capability (sec. 322)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives an independent, quantitative assessment of the organic capability that will be required to provide depot-level maintenance in the post-reset environment. The provision would require that the Comptroller General review the report and provide findings within 90 days of submission.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the independent assessment to review budget displays and the current visibility of reported depot workload to Congress.

We were made aware by a recent reprogramming action, FY 08-37 PA, that certain depot maintenance is not being reported in the military departments' depot budget lines. In addition, the military departments' inflexibility in budgeting between depot and operations budget lines may cause them to make less than optimal budgeting and maintenance workload decisions. The additional study requirement on the adequacy of maintenance workload visibility in reports and budget displays to Congress addresses these concerns.

Government Accountability Office review of high-performing organizations (sec. 323)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 324) that would restrict the authority of the Department of Defense to establish high-performing organizations through business process reengineering.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring the Government Accountability Office to review the Department's use of high-performing organizations.

Consolidation of Air Force and Air National Guard aircraft maintenance (sec. 324)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 326) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from consolidating Air National Guard with active-duty Air Force maintenance activities and facilities without first consulting with, and obtaining the consent of, the National Guard Bureau. It would require the Secretary of the Air Force to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the assumptions and criteria used to evaluate the feasibility of consolidation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit reports to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives prior to the consolidation of any active and Air National Guard aircraft repair facilities and personnel. Additionally, the agreement would require that an assessment by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau accompany the Secretary of the Air Force's reports and that the Secretary of Defense certify that any consolidation is in the national

interest and would not adversely affect Air National Guard missions.

Report on Air Force civilian personnel consolidation plan (sec. 325)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 327) that would prohibit the consolidation of certain civilian personnel functions.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report on the Air Force civilian personnel consolidation plan. In implementing the plan, we urge the Secretary to ensure that the Air Force retains the capability to manage appropriate personnel management and advisory functions at the large civilian centers where operations missions are complex and may be dependent upon the productivity of locally-managed civilian personnel numbering in the thousands. These large civilian centers include Hill Air Force Base, Utah; Warner Robins Air Force Base, Georgia; Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma; and Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. These large civilian centers are critical to the national security.

Report on reduction in number of firefighters on Air Force bases (sec. 326)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 328) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to Congress on the effects of a reduction in the number of firefighters on Air Force bases.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Minimum capital investment for certain depots (sec. 327)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would amend section 332 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to require the Department of Defense to report the separate levels of capital investment for Navy and Marine Corps depots. The provision would also add the following Army arsenals to the list of covered depots: Watervliet Arsenal, New York; Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois; and Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

SUBTITLE D—ENERGY SECURITY

Annual report on operational energy management and implementation of operational energy strategy (sec. 331)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual operational energy management report to the congressional defense committees on operational energy consumption and initiatives.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Consideration of fuel logistics support requirements in planning, requirements development, and acquisition processes (sec. 332)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 332) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a methodology for including the fully-burdened cost of fuel and energy efficiency in planning, capability requirements development, and acquisition processes. This section would require the Secretary, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to prepare an implementation plan and, within three years of enactment, certify to the Congress that the Department has complied with this section's requirements.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to develop and report on an implementation plan for the incorporation of energy efficiency requirements into key performance parameters for fuel consuming systems

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require a progress report after two years of implementation and that the Secretary of Defense notify Congress on the Department's compliance with the requirements of this section.

Study on solar and wind energy for use for expeditionary forces (sec. 333)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 333) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report, not later than March 1, 2009, on the feasibility of using solar energy to provide electricity at forward operating locations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add requirements to also study wind energy, the potential for experimenting with solar and wind energy during training, and change the due date of the report to not later than 120 days after enactment of the bill.

Study on alternative and synthetic fuels (sec. 334)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on alternatives to reduce the life cycle emissions of coal-to-liquid fuels.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to study alternatives to reduce the life-cycle emissions of alternative and synthetic fuels (including coal-to-liquid fuels).

Mitigation of power outage risks for Department of Defense facilities and activities (sec. 335)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive energy technical and operational risk assessment for mission critical Department installations, facilities, and activities; to develop integrated prioritized plans to eliminate or mitigate risks; and to establish goals to mitigate or eliminate the greatest and most urgent risks. The provision would also require that the Secretary provide the defense committees an annual report on the Department's integrated prioritized plans and progress on efforts to mitigate or eliminate energy risks.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add consideration of cost effectiveness to the development of risk mitigation plans.

SUBTITLE E—REPORTS

Comptroller General report on readiness of armed forces (sec. 341)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the readiness of the regular and reserve components of the armed forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Report on plan to enhance combat skills of Navy and Air Force personnel (sec. 342)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would require the Secretary of De-

fense to submit a report on the plans of the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Air Force to improve the combat skills of the members of the Navy and the Air Force, respectively.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Comptroller General report on the use of the Army Reserve and National Guard as an operational reserve (sec. 343)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 343) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the use of Army Reserve and National Guard forces as an operational reserve.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Comptroller General report on link between preparation and use of Army reserve component forces to support ongoing operations (sec. 344)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 344) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to analyze and report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the preparation and operational use of the Army's reserve component forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Comptroller General report on adequacy of funding, staffing, and organization of Department of Defense military munitions response program (sec. 345)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 345) that would require the Comptroller General to report to Congress on the adequacy of the funding, staffing, and organization of the military munitions response program of the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Extension of enterprise transition plan reporting requirement (sec. 351)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would extend through 2013 the requirement in section 2222(i) of title 10, United States Code, for the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the congressional defense committees on the progress of the Department of Defense in transforming and improving its business systems.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Demilitarization of loaned, given, or exchanged documents, historical artifacts, and condemned or obsolete combat materiel (sec. 352)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would require the demilitarization of military equipment donated to museums and other entities under section 2572 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to ensure that the Secretary concerned has flexibility to determine the level of demilitarization required.

Repeal of requirement that Secretary of Air Force provide training and support to other military departments for A-10 aircraft (sec. 353)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 353) that would repeal outdated language regarding fleet support and depot maintenance for A-10 aircraft.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Display of annual budget requirements for air sovereignty alert mission (sec. 354)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 354) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit budget justification material that displays funds requested for all programs and activities of the air sovereignty alert mission of the Air Force.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Revision of certain Air Force regulations required (sec. 355)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 356) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to bring the Air Freight Transportation Regulation Number 5, issued by the Air Mobility Command, into full compliance with Department of Defense transportation regulations requiring commercial best practices.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Transfer of C-12 aircraft to California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (sec. 356)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 357) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, all right, title, and interest of the Federal Government in three C-12 aircraft that the Secretary has determined are surplus to need.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We understand from the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force that: (1) these three C-12 aircraft have no residual value to the Army; (2) they are not sufficiently capable that they would represent any value to the Air Force in meeting its emerging needs for manned reconnaissance aircraft; and (3) the Army would otherwise dispose of these aircraft as scrap.

Limitation on treatment of retired B-52 aircraft for Air Combat Command Headquarters (sec. 357)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 360) that would prohibit the Commander of Air Combat Command from obligating more than 80 per cent of the operation and maintenance funds available for Air Combat Command Management Headquarters, Sub-Activity Group 012E until the Secretary of Defense certifies that the future years defense program includes funding for 76 B-52 aircraft.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prohibit the Commander of Air Combat Command from utilizing more than four of the 18 retired B-52 aircraft, which were retired pursuant to section 131 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) as maintenance ground training aircraft.

Increase of domestic breeding of military working dogs used by the Department of Defense (sec. 358)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 361) that would establish require the Secretary of Defense to work toward the goal of procuring all military working dogs from domestic breeders.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to identify Department of Defense requirements for military working dogs and take steps to ensure that these requirements are met, including encouraging increased domestic breeding.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

 $\label{thm:competition} \textit{Time limitation on duration of public-private} \\ \textit{competitions}$

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would place a time limit on the duration of public-private competitions for any function performed by Department of Defense employees.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Authority to consider depot-level maintenance and repair using contractor furnished equipment or leased facilities as core logistics

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would authorize the military departments to count workload performed by government employees using contractor furnished equipment, or in facilities leased to the government, as sustaining a core logistics capability under section 2464 of title 10, United States Code, if that work is being performed pursuant to a public-private partnership as defined by section 2474 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Temporary suspension of studies and public-private competitions regarding conversion of functions of the Department of Defense performed by civilian employees to contractor performance

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 325) that would place a 3-year moratorium on public-private competitions within the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Over the last decade, the number of contractor employees performing services for the Department of Defense (DOD) has nearly doubled, while the number of DOD civilian employees has remained essentially unchanged. Shortages of qualified civilian personnel in key functional areas have raised questions about the Department's ability to manage its operations. At the same time, the Department's aggressive effort to privatize functions currently performed by civilian employees has led to turbulence and low morale in some segments of the Department's workforce. In addition, we are concerned that the savings generated from such competitions may not justify the turmoil generated at a time when the military services are stressed by ongoing operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, transformation initiatives,

and actions being taken to implement the 2005 base closure and realignment. These problems may be further exacerbated when the process of conducting public-private competitions drags out over a period of years, while the threat of job loss continues to hang over the heads of impacted employees. We believe that these factors require a serious reconsideration of the Department's strategy regarding public-private competitions of functions performed by the Department's civilian employees.

Exception to alternative fuel procurement requirement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 335) that would amend section 526 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–140) to specify that federal agencies are not prohibited from entering into contracts to purchase generally-available fuel that is not an alternative or synthetic fuel or predominantly produced from a nonconventional petroleum source in certain circumstances.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision. Section 526 was not intended to preclude the Department of Defense from purchasing the fuel that it needs for the national defense from the generally-available fuel supply. We expect the Department to continue making such purchases.

Study of consideration of greenhouse gas emissions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 336) that would require the Secretary of Defense to study processes and methods for the consideration of greenhouse gas emissions in the acquisition process and develop a timeline for the implementation of such processes and methods.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Increased authority to accept financial and other incentives related to energy savings and new authority related to energy systems

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to accept financial and other incentives in connection with the construction of an energy system using solar energy or other renewable forms of energy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Recovery of improperly disposed of Department of Defense property

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 343) that would enable the Department of Defense to recover military or Department of Defense property that has been disposed of in violation of applicable statutes and regulatory requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Report on options for providing ship repair capabilities to support ships operating near Guam

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 346) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report on the best option or options for providing voyage repair capabilities to support United States Navy ships operating at or near Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Sense of Congress that air sovereignty alert mission should receive sufficient funding and resources

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 355) that would express the sense of Congress that air sovereignty alert mission should receive sufficient funding and resources.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Availability of funds for Irregular Warfare Support program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 358) that would require as much as \$75.0 million to be made available for the Irregular Warfare Support (IWS) program from funds made available for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) in fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include this provision. However, the agreement does include funding for the IWS program in title XV.

Sense of Congress regarding procurement and use of munitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 359) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the procurement of military munitions.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

SUBTITLE A—ACTIVE FORCES

End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would authorize the following end strengths for active-duty personnel of the armed forces as of September 30, 2009: Army, 532,400; Navy, 326,323; Marine Corps, 194,000; and Air Force, 317,050. The House provision included increases of 7,000 and 5,000 for the Army and Marine Corps, respectively, to support those services' growth in ground forces.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 401) that would authorize active-duty end strengths of 325,300 for the Navy and 316,771 for the Air Force, and identical end strengths for the Army and Marine Corps.

The agreement includes the House provision.

The Secretary of Defense has stated that he will support the Air Force's request to maintain its active-duty end strength well above the 316,000 level previously approved in connection with its recapitalization planning. While excessive and poorly managed manpower cuts aimed primarily at saving money must be avoided, the Department of Defense must demonstrate in the next budget cycle how it intends to balance these competing, readiness-related goals. We do not oppose the Air Force's efforts to maintain a higher end strength, and expect the Department and the Air Force to work with the Congress in finding appropriate funding sources in 2009.

End strength levels for the active forces for fiscal year 2009 are set forth in the following table:

	FY 2008 -	FY 2	009	Change	from
Service	authorized	Request	Recommendation	FY 2009 request	FY 2008 authorized
Army	525,400 329,098 189,000 329,563	532,400 325,300 194,000 316,600	532,400 326,323 194,000 317,050	0 1,023 0 450	7,000 - 2,775 5,000 - 12,513
DOD Total	1,373,061	1,368,300	1,369,773	1,473	- 3,288

Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels (sec. 402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would establish the following minimum end strengths for active-duty personnel as of September 30, 2009: Army,

 $532,\!400;$ Navy, $326,\!323;$ Marine Corps, $194,\!000;$ and Air Force $317,\!050.$

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would estab-

lish a minimum end strength of 325,300 active-duty personnel for the Navy.

Minimum end strength levels for active forces are set forth in the following table:

Spring	FY 2008	FY 2009	Change from
SELVICE	authorized	Recommendation	FY 2008
Army Navy Marine Corps Air Force	525,400 328,400 189,000 328,600	532,400 325,300 194,000 317,050	7,000 - 3,100 5,000 - 11,550
DOD Total	1,371,400	1,368,750	-2,650

SUBTITLE B—RESERVE FORCES

End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize the following end strengths for Selected Reserve personnel, including the end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves as of September 30, 2009: the Army National Guard

of the United States, 352,600; the Army Reserve, 205,000; the Navy Reserve, 66,700; the Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 106,700; the Air Force Reserve, 67,400; and the Coast Guard Reserve, 10,000.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 411) that would authorize an end

strength of 106,756 for the Air National Guard of the United States and identical end strengths for the other services.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

End strength levels for the Selected Reserve for fiscal year 2009 are set forth in the following table:

	FY 2008 -	FY 2	009	Change f	rom
Service	authorized -	Request	Conference rec- ommendation	FY 2009 request	FY 2008 authorized
Army National Guard Army Reserve Navy Reserve Marine Corps Reserve Air National Guard Air Force Reserve	351,300 205,000 67,800 39,600 106,700 67,500	352,600 205,000 66,700 39,600 106,700 67,400	352,600 205,000 66,700 39,600 106,756 67,400	0 0 0 0 0 56 0	$1,300 \\ 0 \\ -1,100 \\ 0 \\ 56 \\ -100$
DOD Total	837,900 10,000	838,000 10,000	838,056 10,000	0	156 0

End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves (sec. 412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize the following end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserve components as of September 30, 2009: the Army National Guard of the United States, 32,060; the Army Reserve, 17,070; the Navy Reserve, 11,099; the Marine

Corps Reserve, 2,261; the Air National Guard of the United States, 14,337; and the Air Force Reserve, 2,733.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 412) that would authorize end strengths of 29,950 for the Army National Guard of the United States; 16,170 for the Army Reserve; 14,360 for the Air National Guard of the United States; and identical end strengths for the other components.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize end strengths of 16,170 for the Army Reserve and 14,360 for the Air National Guard of the United States.

End strength levels for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves are set forth in the following table:

	FY 2008 -	FY 2	2009	Change	from
Service	authorized	Request	Recommendation	FY 2009 request	FY 2008 authorized
Army National Guard Army Reserve Navy Reserve Marine Corps Reserve Air National Guard Air Force Reserve	29,204 15,870 11,579 2,261 13,936 2,721	29,950 16,170 11,099 2,261 14,337 2,733	32,060 16,170 11,099 2,261 14,360 2,733	2110 0 0 0 23 0	2,856 300 - 480 0 424 12
DOD Total	75,571	76,550	78,683	2133	3,112

End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2009: the Army Reserve, 8,395; the Army National Guard of the

United States, 27,210; the Air Force Reserve, 10,003; and the Air National Guard of the United States, 22,452.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 413) that would authorize an end strength of 22,459 for the Air National Guard

of the United States, and identical end strengths for the other components.

The agreement includes the House provision.

End strength levels for military technicians (dual status) are set forth in the following table:

	FY 2008 -	FY 2	009	Change f	rom
Service	authorized —	Request	Recommendation	FY 2009 request	FY 2008 authorized
Army Reserve Army National Guard Air Force Reserve Air National Guard	8,249 26,502 9,909 22,553	8,395 27,210 10,003 22,452	8,395 27,210 10,003 22,452	0 0 0 0	146 708 94 — 101

	FY 2008 -	FY 2	009	Change 1	rom
Service	authorized	Request	Recommendation	FY 2009 request	FY 2008 authorized
DOD Total	67,213	68,060	68,060	0	847

Fiscal year 2009 limitation on number of nondual status technicians (sec. 414)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 414) that would establish the maximum end strengths for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force for non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2009.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 414).

The agreement includes this provision.

Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 415) that would authorize the maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2009 to provide operational support.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 415).

The agreement includes this provision.

Additional waiver authority of limitation on number of reserve component members authorized to be on active duty (sec. 416)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 416) that would amend section 123a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the President to waive the limitations on the number of reserve component personnel who can be on active duty for operational support to provide assistance in responding to a major disaster or emergency.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE C—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Military personnel (sec. 421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would authorize appropriations for military personnel.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 421).

The agreement includes this provision.

The following are the changes from the budget request for the military personnel accounts:

[Changes in millions of dollars]

	-
Increase in military pay raise	324.0
Restore military to civilian con-	26.8
versions-Navy	
Restore military to civilian con-	38.8
versions-Air Force	
Restore military to civilian con-	35.5
versions-Undistributed	
Increase Air National Guard end	3.3
strength	
Increase Army National Guard	85.0
full-time support positions	
Senior Leadership Diversity Com-	0.3
mission	
Permanent prohibition on charg-	1.0
ing meals at MTFs	
Increase in maximum temporary	37.0
lodging expenses	
Second family housing allowance	2.0
for dual military couples	
Nurse candidate accession bonus	2.0
and monthly stipend	
New bonuses for psychologists	13.0
and other mental health offi-	
cers	
Additional weight allowance for	13.0
spouses	
Continuation of bonuses for cer-	1.0
tain service members	
Reduction of unobligated mili-	-1,038.2

Total -455.5LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

tary personnel balances

Increased end strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Army National Guard and Army Reserve and military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 416) that would authorize additional Active Guard and Reserve (AGR) end strength for the Army National Guard and Army Reserve, and additional end strength for Army National Guard military technicians (dual status).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY SUBTITLE A—OFFICER PERSONNEL POLICY GENERALLY

Mandatory separation requirements for regular warrant officers for length of service (sec. 501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend section 1305 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that regular warrant officers of the Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard who have at least 30 years of total active service that could be credited to the officer shall be retired 60 days after the date the warrant officer completes that service. For regular Army warrant officers, the provision would specify that only years of active service as a warrant officer should be used in calculating 30 years of active service for mandatory retirement.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 509).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Requirements for issuance of posthumous commissions and warrants (sec. 502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 502) that would amend sections 1521 and 1522 of title 10, United States Code, to replace the condition for a posthumous commission or

warrant that the death be in the line of duty with a requirement for a certification by the secretary concerned that, at the time of death, the member was qualified for appointment to the next higher grade.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 510).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Authorized number of general officers on active duty in the Army and Marine Corps, limited exclusion for joint duty requirements, and increase in number of officers serving in grades above major general and rear admiral (sec. 503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 504) that would amend sections 525 and 526 of title 10, United States Code, to allow an increase of one general officer in the rank of lieutenant general for the Marine Corps.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would increase from 16.3 percent to 16.4 percent the percentage of general and flag officers in a military service that may be appointed above the grade of major general or rear admiral, and exclude from the limitations of section 525 of title 10, United States Code, those reserve general or flag officers on active duty under orders specifying a period of active duty of not longer than three years.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 526 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the authorized number of Army general officers on active duty from 302 to 307. with the increased authorization reserved for Army general officers who serve in acquisition positions and increase the number of Marine Corps general officers on active duty from 80 to 81. The provision would increase from 12 to 65 the number of general and flag officer joint duty assignment positions that the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff can exclude from the limitations on general and flag officers on active duty, five of which are reserved for general or flag officers who serve in an acquisition position, including one assignment in the Defense Contract Management Agency. The provision would also amend section 525 of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 16.3 percent to 16.4 percent the percentage of general and flag officers in a military service that may be appointed above the grade of major general or rear admiral.

Modification of authority on Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps (sec. 504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would amend section 5046 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps serve in the grade of major general.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 507) that would also exclude an officer serving in this grade and position from the limitation on the authorized number of officers serving in grades above brigadier general in the Marine Corps.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Eligibility of reserve officers to serve on boards of inquiry for separation of regular officers for substandard performance and other reasons (sec. 505)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 506) that would amend section 1187 of title 10,

United States Code, to authorize reserve officers to serve as members of boards of inquiry convened to consider whether regular officers should be retained on active duty. This implements a recommendation of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves regarding elimination of policies which unnecessarily distinguish reserve component personnel from their active duty counterparts and thereby impede full integration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Delayed authority to alter distribution requirements for commissioned officers on active duty in general officer and flag officer grades and limitations on authorized strengths of general and flag officers (sec. 506)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 502) that would amend section 526 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to designate up to 324 general and flag officer positions as joint duty assignments that would be excluded from the limitation on the number of general and flag officers in each service and would specify the minimum number of officers required to serve in these positions for each service. The provision would realign the number of general and flag officers authorized to serve on active duty in the Army from 302 to 222 officers; in the Navy from 216 to 159 officers; in the Air Force from 279 to 206 officers; and in the Marine Corps from 80 to 59 officers. The provision would also repeal section 721 of title 10, United States Code, which limits the number of general and flag officers authorized to serve in positions outside their own service. The provision would also establish goals for the number of general and flag officers in the Department of Defense (DOD) and the military services who serve in acquisition positions and who have significant contracting experience.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision with an amendment that would delay implementation until 1 year after the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a report on the proposed implementation of the provision. The amendment would also amend sections 525 and 526 of title 10, United States Code, to specify the distribution and authorized strength limits of commissioned officers on active duty in general officer and flag officer grades. Finally, the amendment would remove the goals for the number of general and flag officers who serve in acquisition positions as this is addressed elsewhere in this bill.

SUBTITLE B—RESERVE COMPONENT MANAGEMENT

Extension to other reserve components of Army authority for deferral of mandatory separation of military technicians (dual status) until age 60 (sec. 511)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would amend section 10216(f) of title 10, United States Code, to extend to the Secretary of the Air Force the same authority previously granted to the Secretary of the Army to delay mandatory separation of dual status military technicians for years of service or other policy consideration until age 60.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 532).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification of authorized strengths for certain Army National Guard, Marine Corps Reserve, and Air National Guard officers and Army National Guard enlisted personnel serving on full-time reserve component duty (sec. 512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would amend section 12011 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the limit on the number of Marine Corps lieutenant colonels authorized to serve on full-time reserve component duty at the end of any fiscal year.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 417).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would also increase the limit on Army and Air National Guard officers and Army National Guard enlisted personnel serving on full-time reserve component duty.

Clarification of authority to consider for a vacancy promotion National Guard officers ordered to active duty in support of a contingency operation (sec. 513)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would amend section 14317 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the promotion of reserve component officers, including National Guard officers, who are recommended for promotion to fill a position vacancy under section 14315 of title 10, United States Code, and who are ordered to active duty in support of a contingency operation.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 534).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Increase in mandatory retirement age for certain Reserve officers (sec. 514)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would amend sections 12647 and 14702 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the mandatory retirement age from age 60 to age 62 for commissioned officers assigned to the Selective Service System, as National Guard property and fiscal officers, and Army National Guard officers assigned to a headquarters or headquarters detachment of a State.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 533).

The agreement includes the House provision

Age limit for retention of certain Reserve officers on active-status list as exception to removal for years of commissioned service (sec. 515)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 515) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force to retain Reserve officers in the grade of lieutenant general beyond mandatory retirement for years of service until the officer becomes 66 years of age.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Authority to retain Reserve chaplains and officers in medical and related specialties until age 68 (sec. 516)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 516) that would amend section 14703(b) of title 10, United States Code, and section 324(a) of title 32, United States Code, to authorize reserve component chaplains and medical officers to be retained in an active status until the date on which the officer becomes 68 years of age.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 535).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Modification of authorities on dual duty status of National Guard officers (sec. 517)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 536) that would amend section 325 of title 32,

United States Code, to authorize all National Guard officers, not just those in command of National Guard units, to retain their state status while serving on active duty when authorized by the President and with the consent of the Governor or the commanding general of the District of Columbia National Guard as applicable. The provision would also allow the consent or authorization to be given in advance for the purpose of establishing the succession of command of a unit.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Study and report regarding Marine Corps personnel policies regarding assignments in Individual Ready Reserve (sec. 518)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 517) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a study on the policies, procedures, and impact on personnel of the Marine Corps Reserve relating to transfers between the Selected Reserve and Individual Ready Reserve.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Report on collection of information on civilian skills of members of the reserve components of the armed forces (sec. 519)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 538) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report by March 1, 2009, on the feasibility, uses, and cost effectiveness of collecting information about skills, qualifications, and professional certifications possessed by members of the reserve components.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

sion.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

SUBTITLE C—JOINT QUALIFIED OFFICERS AND REQUIREMENTS

Joint duty requirements for promotion to general or flag officer (sec. 521)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend section 619a of title 10, United States Code, to provide that with certain exceptions, an officer must be designated as a joint qualified officer, rather than a joint specialty officer, in accordance with section 661 of title 10, United States Code, before being eligible for promotion to general or flag officer.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 503).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Technical, conforming, and clerical changes to joint specialty terminology (sec. 522)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would amend the terminology used in sections 661, 663, 665, and 667 of title 10, United States Code, to correspond with changes made in section 516 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), replacing references to "joint specialty officer" with "joint qualified officer."

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 505).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Promotion policy objectives for joint qualified officers (sec. 523)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would amend section 662 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that officers in the grade of major or lieutenant commander and above who are designated as joint qualified officers are expected, as a group, to be promoted to the next higher

grade at a rate not less than the rate for all officers of the same armed force in the same grade and competitive category.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would retain the current requirement that officers who are serving or have served on the joint staff are expected, as a group, to be promoted to the next higher grade at a rate not less than the rate for officers of the same armed force in the same grade and competitive category who are serving or have served on the head-quarters staff of their armed force.

Length of joint duty assignments (sec. 524)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would amend section 664 of title 10, United States Code, to align prescribed joint duty assignment lengths with the joint qualification system implemented pursuant to section 516 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), to take into account multiple joint experiences in satisfying joint duty assignment requirements.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 504).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Designation of general and flag officer positions on Joint Staff as positions to be held only by reserve component officers (sec. 525)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would amend section 526 of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to exempt up to three reserve general and flag officers from counting against the general and flag officer limitations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Modification of limitations on authorized strengths of reserve general and flag officers in active status serving in joint duty assignments (sec. 526)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend section 12004 of title 10, United States Code, to exclude from the limitations on the numbers of reserve general and flag officers in an active status those reserve general and flag officers serving in joint duty assignments. The number of reserve general and flag officers excluded could not exceed 20 percent of the number of authorized flag and general officers authorized for the service concerned.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to also exclude from the limitations on the numbers of reserve general and flag officers in an active status Marine Corps reserve officers serving in joint duty assignments.

Reports on joint education courses available through the Department of Defense (sec. 527)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 526) that would amend section 10506(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, to require that service of an officer as the adjutant general of the National Guard of a State be treated as joint duty experience for assignment or promotion to any position designated by law as open to a National Guard general officer. The provision would require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to issue a report to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and Congress recommending which duty of officers of the National Guard in the Joint Force Headquarters of the National Guard of the States should qualify as joint duty or joint duty experience. The provision would require that the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff submit three annual reports to Congress on the joint educational courses available through the Department. The provision would also require Commander, United States Northern Command, Commander, United States Pacific Command, and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, with the approval of the Secretary of Defense, to jointly enter into a memorandum of understanding regarding operational relationships and individual roles and responsibilities during responses to domestic emergencies.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement requires the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to report to Congress on the joint education courses available through the Department in 3 successive years starting in 2009.

The Department is requested to expedite review of the findings of the final report to Congress and the Secretary of Defense of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves, dated January 31, 2008, as required elsewhere in this bill.

SUBTITLE D—GENERAL SERVICE AUTHORITIES Increase in maximum period of reenlistment of regular members of the armed forces (sec. 531)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend section 505(d) of title 10, United States Code, and section 308(a) of title 37, United States Code, to increase from 6 to 8 years the maximum period of reenlistment of regular members of the armed forces.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 521).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Paternity leave for members of the armed forces (sec. 532)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 583) that would amend section 701 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize up to 21 days of leave for a male service member whose spouse gives birth to a child. The leave would be in addition to any other leave to which the service member is entitled.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would mandate 10 days of paternity leave for service members, and would authorize the secretaries concerned to implement the benefit by service regulation.

Pilot programs on career flexibility to enhance retention of members of the armed forces (sec. 533)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to conduct pilot programs to evaluate the need for more flexibility in career patterns of a limited number of active-duty officers and enlisted members. Under the pilot programs, selected service members would leave active duty for a period of up to 3 years, and then return to active duty in the same grade and years of service that they held at the time they were inactivated. Time spent while inactivated would not count toward retirement eligibility, computation of retired pay, or years of service.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 585) that would provide that the authority to conduct pilot programs would commence January 1, 2009, and end December 31, 2014. The provision would also require the secretaries of the military departments to submit interim reports in 2010 and 2012. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a final report no later than March

1, 2015, evaluating all the pilot programs conducted under this authority.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would limit participation in the pilot programs to 20 enlisted personnel and 20 officers per year per service. The amendment would also establish that the pilot program authority would commence January 1, 2009, and that no member of the armed forces could be released from active duty under a pilot program after December 31, 2012. Finally, the amendment would require interim reports from the service secretaries to the congressional defense committees no later than June 1, 2011, and June 1, 2013, and a final report from the Secretary of Defense no later than March 1, 2016.

SUBTITLE E-EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Authorized strength of military service academies and repeal of prohibition on phased increase in midshipmen and cadet strength limit at Naval Academy and Air Force Academy (sec. 540)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would amend sections 6954 and 9342 of title 10, United States Code, to extend the authority of the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Air Force to increase the size of the Brigade of Midshipmen and the Cadet Wing at the United States Naval Academy and Air Force Academy respectively by up to 100 cadets per year to a maximum of 4,400 cadets.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 551) that would provide that the authorized strength of the Brigade of Midshipmen at the United States Naval Academy is 4,400 midshipmen, or such lower number as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would provide that the maximum authorized strengths of the Military Academy, the Naval Academy, and the Air Force Academy are each 4,400 cadets or midshipmen.

Promotion of foreign and cultural exchange activities at military service academies (sec. 541)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 542) that would amend chapters 403, 603, and 903 of title 10, United States Code, to allow the military service academies to support foreign and cultural exchange programs for up to two weeks a year to foster the development of foreign language skills, cross cultural interactions and understanding, and cultural immersion of cadets and midshipmen.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 555).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Increased authority to enroll defense industry employees in defense product development program (sec. 542)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 544) that would amend section 7049 of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 25 to 125 the number of defense industry employees who could receive instruction at the Naval Postgraduate School.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Expanded authority for institutions of professional military education to award degrees (sec. 543)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 549) that would authorize the President of the National Defense Intelligence College, the President of the National Defense University, the Commandant of the United States Army Command and General Staff

College, the Commandant of the United States Army War College, the President of the Naval Postgraduate School, the President of the Naval War College, the President of the Marine Corps University, and the Commander of the Air University, for both the Air Force Institute of Technology and for the Air University, to confer appropriate degrees upon graduates who meet degree requirements. A degree would not be conferred under this authority unless the Secretary of Education has recommended approval of the degree and the college or university is accredited by the appropriate civilian academic accrediting agency or organization.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Tuition for attendance of federal employees at the United States Air Force Institute of Technology (sec. 544)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would amend section 9314(c) of title 10, United States Code, to require the United States Air Force Institute of Technology to charge tuition for instruction of civilians from the military departments, other components of the Department of Defense, and other federal agencies, and to use these funds to defray the costs of such instruction.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Increase in number of permanent professors at the United States Air Force Academy (sec. 545)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 508) that would amend section 9331 of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 21 to 25 the number of permanent professors at the Air Force Academy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would increase from 21 to 23 the number of permanent professors at the Air Force Academy.

Requirement of completion of service under honorable conditions for purposes of entitlement to educational assistance for reserve component members supporting contingency operations (sec. 546)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 545) that would amend section 16164 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that only service members who separate under honorable conditions are eligible to use the educational benefits under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code, for a period of 10 years after separation.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 554).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make this provision effective on the date of enactment of this Act and apply to persons who separate after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) who, as of the date of enactment of this Act, have not used any of their entitlement under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code. Consistent education loan repayment authority

nsistent education loan repayment authority for health professionals in regular components and Selected Reserve (sec. 547)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would equate the maximum limits for loan repayment programs for health professionals in the reserve components under section 16302 of title 10, United States Code, with the maximum limits for loan repayment programs for active-duty health professionals under section 2173 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Increase in number of units of Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (sec. 548)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 547) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the secretaries of the military departments, to develop and implement a plan to establish and support 4,000 Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps units by fiscal year 2020. The section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that would provide information on how the services will achieve this goal, as well as other pertinent information, by March 31, 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to develop and implement a plan to establish and support 3,700 Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps units by fiscal year 2020.

Correction of erroneous Army College Fund benefit amounts (sec. 549)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 548) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army, through the Army Board for Correction of Military Records, to consider, from January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009, a request for the correction of military records relating to the amount of the Army College Fund benefit to which an applicant may be entitled under an Army incentive program contract. The provision would clarify that the Secretary may pay such amounts as the Secretary considers necessary without regard to any limits on the total combined amounts established for the Army College Fund and the Montgomery G.I. Bill.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would allow consideration of such requests through December 31, 2009.

Enhancing education partnerships to improve accessibility and flexibility for members of the Armed Forces (sec. 550)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550) that would authorize service secretaries to enter into partnership agreements with educational institutions in the United States for the purpose of developing plans to improve accessibility and flexibility of college courses available to service members; improving the application process for the armed forces tuition assistance programs and raising awareness regarding educational opportunities available to service members; developing curriculum, distance education programs, and career counseling designed to meet the professional, financial, academic. and social needs of service members; and assessing how resources may be applied more effectively to meet the educational needs of service members.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE F—DEFENSE DEPENDENTS'
EDUCATION

Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the armed forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 551)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would authorize \$50.0 million for continuation of the Department of Defense (DOD) assistance program to local agencies that are impacted by enrollment of depend-

ent children of military members and DOD civilian employees. This provision would also authorize \$15.0 million for assistance to local educational agencies with significant changes in enrollment of military and civilian school-aged dependent children due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 561) that would authorize \$30.0 million and \$10.0 million for each assistance program, respectively.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would authorize \$35.0 million and \$15.0 million for each program, respectively.

Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 552)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would authorize \$5.0 million for impact aid payments for children with disabilities for continuation of the Department of Defense's assistance to local educational agencies that benefit dependents with severe disabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Transition of military dependent students among local educational agencies (sec. 553)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would require the Secretary of Defense to work with the Secretary of Education in any efforts to ease the transition of military dependent students between Department of Defense schools, schools of local educational agencies, and other schools. The provision would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use funds of the Department of Defense Education Activity for this purpose.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make the authority temporary, expiring on September 30, 2013. The amendment would also allow distance learning and training programs for military students and teachers.

Calculation of payments for eligible federally connected children under Department of Education's impact aid program (sec. 554)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would amend section 8003(c)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(c)) to allow calculation of impact aid payments for certain local educational agencies to be based on student population data from the current school year rather than the previous school year's population.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement included the House provision with an amendment that would amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to change the requisite number of federally connected children that attend area schools daily in order for a school district to receive impact aid from 6,500 to 5,000 students in fiscal year 2009.

SUBTITLE G-MILITARY JUSTICE

Effective period of military protective orders (sec. 561)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would amend chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, to extend a standing military protective order by a military commander until the allegation prompting the protective order is resolved by investigation, courts martial, or other command determined adjudication, or the military commander issues a new order.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide that a military protective order issued by a military commander shall remain in effect until the military commander terminates the order or issues a new order.

Mandatory notification of issuance of military protective order to civilian law enforcement (sec. 562)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would amend chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, to require the commander of a military installation to notify appropriate civilian authorities in the event a military protective order is issued against a member of the armed forces when any individual involved in the order does not reside on a military installation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Implementation of information database on sexual assault incidents in the armed forces (sec. 563)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would require the Secretary of Defense to implement a centralized, case-level database for the collection and maintenance of information regarding sexual assaults involving members of the armed forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the status of the Defense Incident-Based Reporting System and an explanation of how this system will relate to the sexual assault database.

SUBTITLE H—DECORATIONS, AWARDS, AND HONORARY PROMOTIONS

Replacement of military decorations (sec. 571)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would amend chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary concerned to replace, on a one-time basis and without charge, a military decoration upon the request of the recipient of the military decoration or the next of kin of a deceased recipient.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Richard L. Etchberger for acts of valor during the Vietnam War (sec. 572)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Richard L. Etchberger, who served in the United States Air Force during the Vietnam War. This section would also waive the statutory time limitation under section 8744 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

SUBTITLE I—MILITARY FAMILIES

Presentation of burial flag to the surviving spouse and children of deceased members of the armed forces (sec. 581)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would amend section 1482 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the presentation of a burial flag to the surviving spouse of a deceased service member when the surviving spouse is not otherwise entitled to a flag as the person designated to direct the disposition of the remains.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 641) that would also authorize the

presentation of a burial flag to each child of a deceased service member.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the presentation of a burial flag to each child of a deceased service member.

Education and training opportunities for military spouses (sec. 582)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish programs to assist the spouse of a service member serving on active duty in receiving education and training required for a degree, credential, or professional licensure in order to expand employment and career opportunities for spouses. The provision would also authorize tuition assistance to pursue such education and training.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would authorize the Secretary to establish programs to provide or make available to spouses of service members on active duty education, training, and financial assistance to facilitate the pursuit of a portable career.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that these programs may be used to enable a spouse to pursue a portable career, and would clarify the definition of a portable career.

Sense of the Congress regarding honor guard details for funerals of veterans (sec. 583)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 583) that would express the sense of Congress that the secretaries of the military departments should, to the maximum extent practicable, provide honor guard details for the funerals of veterans.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision

SUBTITLE J—OTHER MATTERS

Prohibition on interference in independent legal advice by the Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (sec. 591)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 586) that would amend section 156(d) of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit any officer or employee of the Department of Defense from interfering with the ability of the legal counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to give independent legal advice to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and to the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Interest payments on certain claims arising from correction of military records (sec. 592)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 592) that would require the service secretaries to pay interest on claims arising from the correction of a military record that involves setting aside a conviction by courtmartial. The provision would apply to any sentence of a court-martial set aside by a corrections board after October 1, 2007.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense and the service secretaries to provide relief to a member or former member of the armed forces who, in the determination of the Secretary concerned, had suffered imprisonment pursuant to a court-martial conviction as a result of an injustice or error on the part of the Department of Defense or any of its employees acting in their official capacity. The relief provided would include the payment of monies, including interest, from funds available for emergency and extraordinary expenses under section 127 of title 10, United States Code.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would specify that the interest paid be at a rate determined by the Secretary concerned, unless the Secretary concerned determines that the payment of interest is inappropriate under the circumstances.

Extension of limitation on reductions of personnel of agencies responsible for review and correction of military records (sec. 593)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 593) that would amend section 1559(a) of title 10, United States Code, to change the termination date for the limitation on reductions of personnel of the service boards of correction of military records from October 1, 2008, to December 31, 2010.

The Senate bill contained no such provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

The boards for correction of military records perform a vital function in ensuring timely review and disposition of applications for relief under chapter 79 of title 10. United States Code. The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives no later than July 1, 2009. regarding the operation of the services' boards of correction. The report should discuss the compliance by each service with the statutory timeliness standards for disposition of applications before corrections boards since 2001 and an assessment whether the limitation on personnel reductions in section 1559(a) continue to be necessary to ensure compliance with processing time requirements.

Modification of matching fund requirements under National Guard Youth Challenge Program (sec. 594)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 537) that would amend section 509(d) of title 32. United States Code, to clarify that the limitation on assistance provided by the Department of Defense to a State National Guard Youth Challenge Program may not be construed as a limitation on the amount of assistance that may be provided by other sources, nor should contributions from other sources be included in calculating the Department's share of the costs of operating State programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Military salute for the flag during the national anthem by members of the armed forces not in uniform and by veterans (sec. 595)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would amend section 301 of title 36, United States Code, to authorize veterans and members of the armed forces not in uniform to render a military salute in the same manner as members of the armed forces in uniform during the playing of the national anthem.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Military Leadership Diversity Commission (sec. 596)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 595) that would establish the Senior Military Leadership Diversity Commission to study the diversity within the senior leadership of the armed forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would establish the Military Leadership Diversity Commission to conduct a comprehensive evaluation and assessment of policies that provide

for opportunities for the promotion and advancement of minority members of the armed forces, including minority members who are senior officers.

Demonstration project on service of retired nurse corps officers as faculty at civilian nursing schools (sec. 597)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 941) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Department of Defense School of Nursing, and would authorize the Secretary to conduct a demonstration project to enable retired military nurses to serve as faculty at civilian nursing schools.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project to encourage retired military nurses to serve as faculty at civilian nursing schools.

Report on planning for participation and hosting of the Department of Defense in international sports activities, competitions, and events (sec. 598)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 584) that would amend section 717 of title 10, United States Code, to include the Military World Games as an international sports competition in which members of the armed forces may be authorized to participate. The provision would increase the maximum amounts from \$3.0 million to \$6.0 million that the Secretary of Defense may apportion among the military departments, and from \$100,000 to \$200,000 for the Coast Guard and Department of Homeland Security, that may be spent during each successive 4 year period beginning on October 1, 2008, for participation in certain international sports competitions. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than October 1. 2009, a report setting forth a comprehensive plan for participation in and planning for hosting of international sports activities, competitions, and events.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the report only.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Extension of authority to reduce minimum length of active service required for voluntary retirement as an officer

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 503) that would extend the period during which the Secretary of Defense may authorize the secretaries of the military departments to lower the years of active service as a commissioned officer required for retirement as an officer from a minimum of 10 years to a minimum of 8 years.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

Compensation for civilian President of Naval Postgraduate School

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 543) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to compensate the civilian President of the Naval Post Graduate School as the Secretary prescribes, except that basic pay could not exceed the rate of compensation authorized for Level I of the Executive Schedule.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement does not include the provision.

Advancement of Brigadier General Charles E. Yeager, United States Air Force (Retired), on the retired list

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would entitle Brigadier General Yeager to hold the rank of major general on the retired list of the Air Force.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

Brigadier General Yeager is an extraordinary American hero. During World War II he distinguished himself in aerial combat over France and Germany by shooting down 13 enemy aircraft including five on one mission. As an Air force test pilot he played a pivotal role in the advancement of aviation. Brigadier General Yeager made history on October 14, 1947, when he became the first man to fly faster than the speed of sound and on December 12, 1953, he also became the first man to fly faster than twice the speed of sound. During the Vietnam War he flew 127 missions over South Vietnam as the Commander of the 405th Fighter Wing. He is one of only four individuals to have received the Special Medal of Honor, the non-combat equivalent of the Medal of Honor.

For these and many other achievements in a remarkable life of leadership, commitment, and achievement in service to the Air Force and the United States, we urge the President to reconsider Brigadier General Yeager's advancement on the retired list and immediately nominate him for promotion to the grade of Major General.

Advancement of Rear Admiral Wayne E. Meyer, United States Navy (Retired), on the retired

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 564) that would authorize and request the President to appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, Rear Admiral Wayne E. Meyer to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list of the Navy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

The Department of Defense is encouraged to review the military records of Rear Admiral Meyer and to consider whether he should be appointed to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list of the Navy. If the Department concludes that he should be so appointed, the Administration should request legislation authorizing the appointment, with the advice and consent of the Senate.

Award of Vietnam Service Medal to veterans who participated in Mayaguez rescue operation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 565) that would authorize the secretary of a military department to award the Vietnam Service Medal to eligible veterans in lieu of any Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal awarded for participation in the Mayaguez rescue operation of May 12 through May 15, 1975.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 566) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Army Combat Action Badge to individuals who, while a member of the Army, participated in combat between December 7, 1941, and September 18, 2001.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Inclusion of Reserves in providing federal aid for State governments, enforcing federal authority, and responding to major public emergencies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 591) that would amend sections 331, 332, and 333 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the President's authority to use the armed forces, including units and members of the Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Air Force Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, and Coast Guard Reserve, for the purposes delineated in those sections.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

This provision was requested by the Department of Defense to give the President the maximum flexibility in employing the armed forces, including the unique capabilities in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard Reserves when necessary to respond to major disasters or emergencies. Many State governors have expressed concern about unity of effort of State and federal forces in delivering emergency services to citizens of their states and are concerned that they lack sufficient authority to direct the efforts of federal forces, including personnel and units of the armed forces.

We agree that this proposal has significant merit. The Department of Defense should engage with the community of governors to work out an understanding of unity of effort during domestic terrorist events and public emergencies. This key underlying issue must be addressed to allow this and other promising proposals to be enacted.

Authority to order Reserve units to active duty to provide assistance in response to a major disaster or emergency

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 594) that would amend section 12304(b) of title 10, United States Code, to provide that when the President determines that it is necessary to assist in responding to a major disaster or emergency as defined in the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122), the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when not operating as a service for the Navy, may be authorized to order any unit or member of the Army Reserve. Air Force Reserve. Navy Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, or Coast Guard Reserve to active duty for not more than 365 days.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

This provision was requested by the Department of Defense to give the President the maximum flexibility in employing the armed forces, including the unique capabilities in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard Reserves when necessary to respond to major disasters or emergencies. Many State governors have expressed concern about unity of effort of State and federal forces in delivering emergency services to citizens of their states and are concerned that they lack sufficient authority to direct the efforts of federal forces, including personnel and units of the armed forces.

We agree that this proposal has significant merit. The Department of Defense should engage with the community of governors to work out an understanding of unity of effort during domestic terrorist events and public emergencies. This key underlying issue must be addressed to allow this and other promising proposals to be enacted.

Limitation on simultaneous deployment to combat zones of dual-military couples who have minor dependents

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 596) that would authorize a member of the

armed forces with minor dependents to request a deferment of deployment to an area for which imminent danger pay is authorized if the member has a spouse who is a member of the armed forces deployed to such an area. The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

sion.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Additional funds to carry out funeral honor functions at funerals for veterans

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 597) that would provide an additional \$3.0 million for compliance with the funeral honors requirements of section 1491 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the armed forces deployed in support of a contingency operation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4510) that would amend Title II of the Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) (SCRA) to provide that a court may not modify or amend any previous judgment or order, or issue a new order, that would change the custody arrangements for a child of a servicemember deployed in support of a contingency operation unless there is clear and convincing evidence that it is in the best interest of the child. The provision would also provide that a court may not consider the absence of a service member by reason of deployment, or possibility of deployment, in determining the best interest of the child

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

It is the sense of Congress that, when addressing the best interests of minor children in child custody cases, judges should, whenever possible, allow service members to return from deployment before issuing a permanent order regarding child custody arrangements.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

SUBTITLE A-PAY AND ALLOWANCES

Fiscal year 2009 increase in military basic pay (sec. 601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would authorize a pay raise for the members of the uniformed services of 3.9 percent effective on January 1, 2009. This across-the-board pay raise is 0.5 percent above the budget request.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 601).

The agreement includes this provision.

Permanent extension of prohibition on charges for meals received at military treatment facilities by members receiving continuous care (sec. 602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would amend section 402 of title 37, United States Code, to make permanent the prohibition on charges for meals received at military treatment facilities by certain service members receiving continuous care.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 616).

The agreement includes the Senate provision

Increase in maximum authorized payment or reimbursement amount for temporary lodging expenses (sec. 603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 604) that would increase the maximum authorized payment or reimbursement rate for

temporary lodging expenses from \$180 per day to \$290 per day.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Availability of second family separation allowance for married couples with dependents (sec. 604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 605) that would require the service secretaries to pay one member of a married couple, both of whom are members of the uniformed services who are residing together with dependents prior to a qualifying deployment, a full family separation allowance under section 427 of title 37, United States Code, and to pay the other member one-half of such allowance.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the service secretaries to pay each member of a married couple who qualify under this provision a full family separation allowance under section 427 of title 37, United States Code.

Extension of authority for income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service (sec. 605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 607) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay income replacement for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that included an identical extension.

The agreement includes the House provision

SUBTITLE B—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

Extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 611) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus; the Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus; special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high priority units; the ready reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service; the ready reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service; and the Selected Reserve enlistment bonus for persons with prior service; and the selected Reserve enlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 611).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 612) that would extend until December 31, 2009, the authority to pay the nurse officer candidate accession bonus; the repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve; the accession bonus for registered nurses: incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists: special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties; the accession bonus for dental officers; the accession bonus for pharmacy officers; the accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties; and the accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 612).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 613) that would extend for 1 year the author-

ity to pay the special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending their period of active duty; the nuclear career accession bonus; and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 613).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus; assignment incentive pay; the reenlistment bonus for active members; the enlistment bonus; the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills; the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage; the accession bonus for officer candidates; and the retention bonus for members with critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the foregoing bonuses and special pays and income replacement for reserve members experiencing extended and frequent mobilizations.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authorities relating to payment of referral bonuses (sec. 615)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the health professions referral bonus and the Army referral bonus under sections 1030 and 3252 of title 10, United States Code, respectively.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 615).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Increase in maximum bonus and stipend amounts authorized under nurse officer candidate accession program and Health Professions Stipend Program (sec. 616)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would increase the bonus that may be paid to nurse officer candidates under section 2130a of title 10, United States Code, from \$10,000 to \$20,000, and the monthly stipend that may be paid to such candidates from \$1,000 to \$1,250. The provision would also increase the maximum initial installment of the bonus from \$5,000 to \$10,000.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would equate the authority for the stipend paid to baccalaureate students in nursing or other health professions under the Health Professions Stipend Program for health care professionals in reserve components with the amount of the stipend paid to participants in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program under section 2121(d) of title 10. United States Code.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that includes the provisions of section 553 of the Senate bill, and equates the maximum monthly stipend that may be paid to nurse officer candidates to the stipend paid under section 2121(d) of title 10, United States Code.

Maximum length of nuclear officer incentive pay agreements for service (sec. 617)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would amend section 312 of title 37, United States Code, to require only that a qualifying agreement to remain on active duty be for a period of not less than 3 years with the objective of providing more flexibility in administering the nuclear officer continuation pay.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 618).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Technical changes regarding consolidation of special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities of the uniformed services (sec. 618)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 618) that would make technical changes to facilitate the utility of provisions included in the initiative to reform special and incentive pays adopted in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Use of new skill incentive pay and proficiency bonus authorities to encourage training in critical foreign languages and foreign cultural studies and authorization of incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency (sec. 619)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 619) that would amend section 353 of title 37, United States Code, to authorize a skill proficiency bonus of up to \$12,000 annually to a member enrolled in an officer training program and certain Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program participants who agree to participate in educational programs aimed at acquiring proficiency in critical foreign languages or expertise in critical for-eign cultural studies. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program through December 31, 2013, that would pay a skill proficiency bonus to members of reserve components who similarly participate in designated foreign language or cultural studies programs.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 619) that would create a new section 316a of title 37, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay incentive pay of up to \$3,000 per year to an individual pursuing foreign language proficiency while enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps or the Marine Corps Platoon Leaders Class

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay incentive pay to an individual pursuing foreign language proficiency in a critical foreign language while enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps or the Marine Corps Platoon Leaders Class.

Accession and retention bonuses for the recruitment and retention of officers in certain health professions (sec. 620)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 620) that would designate qualified psychologists, registered nurses, and other mental health professionals as determined by the service secretaries, as critically short wartime specialties.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would add a new section 301f to title 37, United States Code, to authorize a multiyear retention bonus for uniformed psychologists in the maximum amount of \$25,000 per year for up to 4 years. The provision would also add a new section 302m to title 37, United States Code, to authorize an accession bonus for uniformed psychologists of up to \$400,000 for an active-duty commitment of at least 4 years.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add the multiyear retention bonus and accession bonus for uniformed psychologists.

SUBTITLE C—TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCES

Special weight allowance for transportation of professional books and equipment for spouses (sec. 621)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would authorize an additional

weight allowance of 200 pounds for shipping materials associated with the employment or community support activities of the service member's spouse.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would authorize the service secretaries to permit an additional weight allowance of up to 500 pounds for professional books and equipment belonging to spouses of service members changing their permanent duty station. The provision would take effect October 1, 2009.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make the provision effective upon date of enactment of the Act.

Shipment of family pets during evacuation of personnel (sec. 622)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would authorize transportation, including payment of shipping and quarantine costs, of two household pets in cases of evacuation from a permanent station located in a foreign area.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 631).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would subject the allowances for transportation of family pets to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense that may specify limitations on the types, size, and number of pets for which transportation may be provided or reimbursement paid.

SUBTITLE D—RETIRED PAY AND SURVIVOR BENEFITS

Extension to survivors of certain members who die on active duty of special survivor indemnity allowance for persons affected by required Survivor Benefit Plan annuity offset for Dependency and Indemnity Compensation (sec. 631)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 643) that would extend the special survivor indemnity allowance enacted in section 644 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to survivors of certain service members who die on active duty.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Correction of unintended reduction in Survivor Benefit Plan annuities due to phased elimination of two-tier annuity computation and supplemental annuity (sec. 632)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 646) that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine if the phased elimination of the two-tier annuity computation system, formerly a part of the Survivor Benefit Plan, and related supplemental survivor annuities, resulted in some Survivor Benefit Plan annuitants receiving a smaller annuity than they would have received if the two-tier computation system had not been eliminated, and to take such actions as necessary to adjust the annuity amounts to eliminate the reduction.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE E—COMMISSARY AND NON-APPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITY BEN-EFITS AND OPERATIONS

Use of commissary stores surcharges derived from temporary commissary initiatives for reserve components and retired members (sec. 641)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 651) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use the proceeds derived from surcharges imposed in connection with sales of commissary merchandise to members of re-

serve components, retired members, and others eligible for commissary benefits through use of temporary and mobile equipment to offset the cost of such initiatives.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision.

Enhanced enforcement of prohibition on sale or rental of sexually explicit material on military installations (sec. 642)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 654) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Resale Activities Review Board to make recommendations to the Secretary regarding whether material sold or rented, or proposed for sale or rental, on military installations is barred from sale or rental due to its sexually explicit nature.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Continuation of entitlement to bonuses and similar benefits for members of the uniformed services who die, are separated or retired for disability, or meet other criteria (sec. 651)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 662) that would require the service secretaries to pay the unpaid portions of bonuses to, and prohibit the service secretaries from recouping unearned portions of paid bonuses from, service members or their estates in the case of members who die, other than as a result of their own misconduct, or who are retired or separated under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also authorize the service secretaries to waive recoupment of unearned bonuses and to pay the unpaid amounts of contracted bonuses in any circumstance where failing to do so would be against equity and good conscience or contrary to the best interests of the United States.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the prohibition against requiring repayment of the unearned portion of any bonus, and the requirement to pay out the remainder of any bonus not yet paid, applies to service members who die while on active duty, or service members who retire or separate due to a disability that is determined to be combat-related as defined in section 1413a(e) of title 10. United States Code.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Equitable treatment of senior enlisted members in computation of basic allowance for housing

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would amend section 403 of title 37, United States Code, to provide that the determination of what constitutes adequate housing for members in the pay grade of E-8 with dependents shall be equivalent to the standard in effect for members in the pay grade of E-9 with dependents.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Stabilization of pay and allowances for senior enlisted members and warrant officers appointed as officers and officers reappointed in a lower grade

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 606) that would authorize a member of the armed forces who accepts an appointment or reappointment as an officer, without a break in service, to retain the pay and allowances to which the member was entitled in the previous grade if it is more than the pay and allowances to which the member is entitled in

the grade to which he is appointed or reappointed.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Guaranteed pay increase for members of the armed forces of one-half of one percentage point higher than employment cost index

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 608) that would mandate that pay raises for all service members during fiscal years 2010 through 2013 be one-half of 1 percent higher than the annual rise in the Employment Cost Index.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Increased weight allowance for transportation of baggage and household effects for certain enlisted members

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would authorize an additional weight allowance for noncommissioned officers in the grades E-5 through E-9 for shipping household goods during permanent changes in station.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Travel and transportation allowances for members of the reserve components of the armed forces on leave for suspension of training

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would add a new section 411k to title 37, United States Code, to authorize travel and transportation allowances for service members on active duty for more than 30 days to travel from a temporary duty station to their permanent duty station and back again during times when training is suspended at the temporary duty station for a period of 5 days or more.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We urge the services to be mindful of training suspensions and minimal staffing periods when devising training schedules for the reserve components. Suspension of training activities for mobilized Reserve and National Guard units must be carefully managed to avoid wasted time and unnecessary absence from home duty stations, particularly during the holiday season.

Equity in computation of disability retired pay for reserve component members wounded in action

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 641) that would change the method of calculating retired pay for reserve component members who have been awarded the Purple Heart by crediting the members with a year of active-duty service for each year the members received at least 50 reserve retirement points.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

Effect of termination of subsequent marriage on payment of Survivor Benefit Plan annuity to surviving spouse or former spouse who previously transferred annuity to dependent children

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would authorize surviving spouses or former spouses, who had previously transferred their Survivor Benefit Plan annuity to a child or children, to reclaim their eligibility for the annuity after the termination of a subsequent marriage if the child or children were no longer eligible for the annuity.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Repeal of requirement of reduction of SBP survivor annuities by Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would eliminate the offset of Survivor Benefit Plan annuities by the amount of Dependency and Indemnity Compensation received from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Election to receive retired pay for non-regular service upon retirement for service in an active reserve status performed after attaining eligibility for regular retirement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 644) that would allow officers with at least 20 years of active-duty service to retire and join the Selected Reserve and, after serving at least 2 years in the Selected Reserve, to receive retired pay in a higher grade if they are promoted after their active-duty retirement.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Recomputation of retired pay and adjustment of retired grade of Reserve retirees to reflect service after retirement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 645) that would amend section 10145 of title 10, United States Code, to require recomputation of retired pay and adjustment of retired grade of non-regular retirees recalled to an active status in the Selected Reserve who complete not less than 2 years of service in that status.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Presumption of death for participants in Survivor Benefit Plan in missing status

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 647) that would prohibit a determination of presumed death by the Secretary of State for retired service members who are Survivor Benefit Plan annuitants, and who have been kidnapped in Iraq or Afghanistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

While current law explicitly entitles an active-duty service member to continued pay and allowances upon a determination that the member is missing, retired pay is stopped when a retired service member is determined to be missing, regardless of whether the member is still in a direct employment relationship with the Federal Government. Under the Survivor Benefit Plan. commencement of payment of the survivor benefit annuity may begin upon such a determination. We are sensitive to the fact that the annuity is typically but a portion of full retired pay. The Department of Defense must balance the need to avoid erroneous payments to survivors with the need to protect the interests of survivors in situations where death cannot or should not be presumed. We urge the Department to study whether retired pay should be stopped in the case of retired service members who are still in a direct employment relationship with the Federal Government, and to recommend statutory changes to the Congress, as necessary.

Eligibility for disability retired pay and separation pay of certain former cadets and midshipmen with prior enlisted service

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 648) that would extend retroactively the eli-

gibility for disability retired pay and separation pay to cadets and midshipmen with prior enlisted service whose physical disabilities were incurred after January 1, 2000.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Separation pay, transitional health care, and transitional commissary and exchange benefits for members of the armed forces separated under surviving son or daughter policy

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 651) that would entitle service members who are separated under the Department of Defense surviving son or daughter policy to separation pay, transitional health care, and transitional commissary and exchange benefits

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision. The benefits of this section were included in the Hubbard Act (Public Law 110–317) enacted August 29, 2008.

Requirements for private operation of commissary store functions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 652) that would amend section 2485(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, to extend the moratorium on studies to compare the cost effectiveness of commissary operations employing federal civilian employees and private sector employees from December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2013.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Before initiating a cost comparison study of a commissary store under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76, we recommend that the Secretary of Defense confirm that the government workforce in question has transitioned to the Defense Commissary Agency's Workforce of the Future and ensure that the private sector competitor has demonstrated experience in grocery store operations.

Additional exception to limitation on use of appropriated funds for Department of Defense golf courses

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 653) that would amend section 2491a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the use of appropriated funds to purchase and maintain golf carts designed to accommodate persons with disabilities and the use of such golf carts on military golf courses.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Use of appropriated funds to pay post allowance or overseas cost of living allowances to non-appropriated fund instrumentality employees serving overseas

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 656) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay post or cost of living allowances to nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees who are United States citizens and employed full-time at an overseas location utilizing appropriated funds. Appropriated funds may be used to pay such allowances only if they were due to a nonappropriated fund instrumentality employee or former employee since December 1, 2001, but have not been previously paid.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

We are aware that there has been some confusion about the requirement for nonappropriated fund entities to pay post allowances at overseas locations when the employee is hired locally. We are also aware that the Department of Defense is reconsidering the current policy that requires post allowances to be paid to nonappropriated fund employees who are locally hired. Given the confusion over the specifics of the policy, we believe that nonappropriated fund entities should be protected from the burden of making additional unexpected retroactive and current payments until the Department's reassessment of the policy is completed. We strongly urge the Secretary of Defense to identify and allocate appropriated funding to pay post allowances to locally hired nonappropriated fund employees at overseas locations and ensure that no nonappropriated fund entity incurs additional cost resulting from confusion over the Department's policy on these matters.

Study regarding sale of alcoholic wine and beer in commissary stores in addition to exchange stores

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 657) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to evaluate the propriety, patron convenience, and financial utility of including alcoholic wine and beer for sale in, at, or by commissary stores, and to report the findings of this study to Congress. This provision would also authorize the Secretary to conduct a pilot program involving the sale of alcoholic wine and beer in commissary stores.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Bonus to encourage Army personnel and other persons to refer persons for enlistment in the Army

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 661) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to train, directly or through a contractor, members of the general public to refer recruit candidates for enlistment. The provision would also provide the Secretary greater flexibility on the timing of referral bonus payments and the use of a contractor to manage the payment of such bonuses.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Providing injured members of the armed forces information concerning benefits

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 663) that would amend section 1651 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to add additional requirements to the handbook required by that section.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Postal benefits program for members of the armed forces serving in Iraq or Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 664) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the United States Postal Service, to provide a postal benefits program to service members serving in Iraq or Afghanistan, or who are hospitalized in a Department of Defense facility as a result of service in Iraq or Afghanistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE AND WOUNDED WARRIOR PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—IMPROVEMENTS TO HEALTH BENEFITS

One-year extension of prohibition on increases in certain health care costs for members of the uniformed services (sec. 701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would extend until September 30, 2009, the statutory prohibition on increases in any premium, deductible, and copayment under TRICARE; the maximum charge for inpatient care under TRICARE Standard; and the enrollment fee for TRICARE Prime.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Temporary prohibition on increase in copayments under retail pharmacy system of pharmacy benefits program (sec. 702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would limit the cost sharing requirements for drugs provided through the TRICARE retail pharmacy program to amounts not more than \$3 for generic drugs, \$9 for formulary drugs, and \$22 for non-formulary drugs during fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Chiropractic health care for members on active duty (sec. 703)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 704) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide chiropractic services for members of the uniformed services who are entitled to care under section 1074(a) of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also authorize the Secretary to conduct demonstration projects to provide chiropractic services to deployed members of the uniformed services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than September 30, 2009, to provide chiropractic services to active duty service members at 11 additional Military Treatment Facilities that do not currently provide chiropractic services, the selection of which would be decided by the Department of Defense.

Calculation of monthly premiums for coverage under TRICARE Reserve Select after 2008 (sec. 704)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would require the Secretary of Defense to recalculate the monthly premium for TRICARE Standard coverage. The premium could not cost more than 28 percent of the total average monthly amount for coverage based on actual cost data for the preceding fiscal year. If the amount calculated based on actual cost data is more than the amount in effect for the month of March 2006, then the Secretary would be required to offer coverage at the March 2006 rate.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would require the Secretary of Defense to calculate calendar year 2009 monthly premiums for TRICARE Standard coverage based on the actual cost of coverage during calendar years 2006 and 2007. The provision would require the premiums for subsequent years to be calculated using the actual cost of providing benefits during the preceding calendar years.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would stipulate that calendar year 2009 monthly premium calculations must be limited to no more than 28 percent of the total average

monthly amount for that coverage, as determined by the cost of providing benefits during calendar years 2006 and 2007, but may not exceed the amount in effect for the month of March 2007. For subsequent years, the premium calculation must be based on the actual cost of providing benefits during the preceding calendar years.

Program for health care delivery at military installations projected to grow (sec. 705)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 706) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to establish a program to build cooperative health care arrangements and agreements between military installations projected to grow and local and regional civilian health care systems. The provision would also require the Secretary to implement such a program at each installation participating in the pilot program conducted pursuant to section 721 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375). The Secretary would be required to submit an annual report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives describing the results of the program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to develop a plan, and that would delete the requirement that the program be implemented at each installation participating in the pilot program under section 721 of Public Law 108–375.

We are encouraged by the Department of Defense's assessment of the pilot programs for health care delivery established by Public Law 108-375 in its July 2007 interim report on the status of these programs. At the two sites selected to test the program, Fort Drum, New York, and Yuma, Arizona, the Department found that "substantive partnerships, significant cooperative health care arrangements, and agreements" have been established between each installation and its local civilian medical community. We urge the Department of Defense to take the best practices learned from these respective programs and use them as a model for future initiatives established under this section.

Guidelines for combined medical facilities of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 706)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 707) that would require the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs to complete a written agreement including, at a minimum, plans for patient priority categories, budgeting, staffing, construction, and physical plant management before a facility could be designated a combined federal medical facility of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add governance, training, contingency planning, quality assurance, and information technology to the list of minimum requirements for the written agreement.

SUBTITLE B—PREVENTIVE CARE

Waiver of copayments for preventive services for certain TRICARE beneficiaries (sec. 711)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would require the Secretary of Defense to waive all TRICARE copayments for preventive services for all beneficiaries who would otherwise pay copayments, and to ensure that a beneficiary pays nothing for preventive services during a year even if the beneficiary has not paid the amount necessary to cover their annual deductible. The

provision would also authorize the Secretary to refund the copayment amounts paid by certain Medicare-eligible beneficiaries for preventive services obtained during fiscal year 2009. Covered preventive services would include colorectal screening, breast screening, cervical screening, prostate screening, annual physical exams, and vaccinations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand the list of covered preventive services to include any other services as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

We believe that the Department of Defense should also consult with and consider the recommendations of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, as well as consider including screenings for other preventable health care conditions such as osteoporosis. Military health risk management demonstration project (sec. 712)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project evaluating the efficacy of providing monetary and non-monetary incentives to assist enrolled beneficiaries to improve and encourage healthy behaviors. The demonstration project must include a wellness assessment with physiological and biometric measures such as blood pressure, glucose level, lipids, and nicotine use. Non-Medicare eligible retired TRICARE Prime beneficiaries and their dependents who reside in the demonstration project service area would be enrolled in the demonstration project.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would offer, rather than require, eligible beneficiaries to enroll in the demonstration project, and would add weight to the list of measures to be included in the wellness assessment.

Smoking cessation program under TRICARE (sec. 713)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a smoking cessation program under TRICARE. The program would be made available to all non-Medicare eligible beneficiaries covered under TRICARE. The program would include, at a minimum: pharmaceuticals used for smoking cessation through the mail-order pharmacy program at no cost to the beneficiary if appropriate; access to a 24 hour, 7 days a week toll-free quit line; and access to tobacco cessation materials.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add counseling as a required program element, and provide for involvement of the military chain of command.

We urge the Department of Defense to look at the work of other nationally recognized programs and consider implementing any appropriate best practices into its program.

Preventive health allowance (sec. 714)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 714) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project to evaluate the efficacy of providing an annual preventive health services allowance to eligible service members, in order to increase the use of preventive health services by those service members and their dependents.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add to the list of preventive health services weight and body mass screening.

Additional authority for studies and demonstration projects relating to delivery of health and medical care (sec. 715)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct additional studies and demonstrations relating to the delivery of health and medical care, which may include:

- (1) projects to provide awards and incentives to TRICARE covered service members and beneficiaries who obtain certain health promotion and disease prevention health care services;
- (2) projects to provide awards and incentives to individual health care professionals to encourage improved quality and effectiveness of health care services;
- (3) projects to improve the medical and dental readiness of the reserve components; and
- (4) projects to improve the continuity of health care services for family members of mobilized members of the reserve components, including payment of a stipend for continuation of employer-provided health coverage.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that health promotion and disease prevention health care services received by members and covered beneficiaries are required to be obtained under the TRICARE program. The amendment would also clarify that personnel incentives available to individual health care professionals should be made available to civilian personnel as well as members of the armed forces.

SUBTITLE C-WOUNDED WARRIOR MATTERS

Center of excellence in prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of hearing loss and auditory system injuries (sec. 721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish within the Department of Defense a center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of hearing loss and auditory system injury. The Secretary would be required to collaborate with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, institutions of higher education, and other appropriate public and private entities to carry out the work of the center.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision

We note that tinnitus, characterized by ringing in the ears, can be a severely disabling condition that impacts military personnel, particularly those exposed to blasts. The genesis and nature of this condition requires further study, including whether it disproportionately affects military personnel, and its correlation to other combat related neurological conditions.

Clarification to center of excellence relating to military eye injuries (sec. 722)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 722) that would remove the phrase "in combat" from section 1623(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to match sections 1621 and 1623 of the same Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We direct that not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of implementation of the Vision Center of Excellence. The report shall include, at a minimum, a description of the mission of the center, the resources and funds available for the center in fiscal years 2009 through 2013, and the planned programs and priorities of the center.

Center of excellence in the mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of traumatic extremity injuries and amputations (sec. 723)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 724) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a competitive, peer-reviewed research program to conduct peer-reviewed medical research designed to develop scientific information aimed at saving injured extremities, avoiding amputations, and preserving and restoring the function of injured extremities. The Secretary would also be required to submit a report on the plans for establishment, management, and operation of this research program.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1066).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add conducting research on saving injured extremities, avoiding amputations, and preserving and restoring the function of injured extremities to the responsibilities of the center.

Additional responsibilities for the Wounded Warrior Resource Center (sec. 724)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would amend section 1616(a) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181)) to require the Secretary of Defense to provide referrals for legal assistance where appropriate to wounded warriors, their families, and primary caregivers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that the intent of this provision is not to create a new entitlement for legal assistance, but to provide a referral service to connect wounded service members and their families with their local judge advocate office or other appropriate entity.

Sense of Congress on research on traumatic brain injury (sec. 725)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would amend section 1621(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct pilot programs to promote or assess the efficacy of treatment approaches for all forms of traumatic brain injury, to include mild traumatic brain injury.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to express the sense of Congress that the requirement under section 1621(c)(7) to conduct basic science and translational research on traumatic brain injury includes pilot programs designed to test the efficacy of clinical approaches, including the use of pharmacological agents. The amendment would express support for continued joint research with the National Institutes of Health in this area.

Extension of Senior Oversight Committee with respect to wounded warrior matters (sec. 726)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1067) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly take actions to continue the operations of the Senior Oversight Committee established to address concerns related to the treatment of wounded, ill, and injured members of the armed forces and veterans until September 30, 2011.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the Senate provision with an amendment to require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to continue the operations of the Senior Oversight Committee until December 31, 2009, and to report by August 31, 2009, on the future operations of the Senior Oversight Committee.

Modification of utilization of veterans' presumption of sound condition in establishing eligibility of members of the armed forces for retirement for disability (sec. 727)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would amend sections 1201 and 1203 of title 10, United States Code, to adopt the same presumption of sound condition used by the Department of Veterans Affairs in accordance with section 1111 of title 38, United States Code, that a disability is incurred while on active duty if the disability was not noted at the time of a member's entrance on active duty unless clear and unmistakable evidence demonstrates that the disability existed before the member's entrance on active duty and was not aggravated by active military service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

We believe that the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs must make consistent determinations as to whether a disability existed before the member's entrance or was aggravated by active military service.

SUBTITLE D-OTHER MATTERS

Report on providing the Extended Care Health Option program to dependents of military retirees (sec. 731)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 732) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on including autistic dependents of military retirees in the Extended Care Health Option (ECHO) program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand the scope of the report to cover all dependents of retirees who participate in the ECHO program, and clarify that the report should discuss including such dependents for a limited transitional period following retirement.

Increase in cap on extended benefits under Extended Health Care Option (ECHO) (sec. 732)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 733) that would ensure that autistic children of members of the armed forces enrolled in the Extended Health Care Option (ECHO) program would be eligible for a minimum of \$5,000 per month of autistic therapy services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would replace the monthly cap on services under the ECHO program with an annual cap of \$36,000.

We are concerned that the needs of military dependent children with autism are not being fully met due to the lack of availability of providers nationwide to provide therapeutic and other support services to children with autism. We expect the demonstration project initiated under TRICARE to go forward, incorporating to the maximum extent practicable, improvements identified by military family members. The demonstration project must complement other essential services to military dependent children with autism, including those authorized in section 587 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008

(Public Law 110–181). Those services include assignment of case managers, development of individualized services plans, and establishment of autism support centers.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, and semi-annually thereafter, on the status of implementation of the TRICARE demonstration project, including the numbers of autistic children served, the type and frequency of services provided, the number of available providers by region, and whether reimbursement levels are sufficient to retain qualified providers in the TRICARE networks.

Not later than February 1, 2009, the Secretary shall report to the congressional defense committees on the feasibility of establishing one or more autism support centers, as authorized by Public Law 110-181. The report shall describe the capabilities of such centers to serve military dependent children with autism of all services, the potential efficacy of such centers to meet the needs of military families with children with a diagnosis of autism. as well as the potential for training additional qualified providers of services to children with autism. We believe that each center should utilize medical, educational, and developmental therapies that have been successfully used to treat children with autism.

Department of Defense task force on the prevention of suicides by members of the armed forces (sec. 733)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 735) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to identify the mental health risks associated with the performance of military duties.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a comprehensive policy designed to prevent suicide by members of the armed forces.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a task force to examine matters relating to prevention of suicide by members of the armed forces.

Transitional health care for certain members of the armed forces who agree to serve in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve (sec. 734)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 737) that would provide transitional health care benefits to members who separate from active duty and who agree to become a member of the Selected Reserve.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would delete the language related to funding, which is reflected in the tables.

Enhancement of medical and dental readiness of members of the armed forces (sec. 735)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 362) that would authorize \$22.3 million to be appropriated for first term dental readiness, and \$8.5 million to be appropriated for demobilization dental treatment.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would require the secretary of each military department to provide to members of the Selected Reserve who are assigned to units scheduled for deployment within 75 days after mobilization annual medical screenings, a full physical examination for members who are over the age of 40 every 2 years, and annual dental screenings and dental care required to ensure that a member meets the dental standards required for deployment, all at no cost to the member. The provision would also authorize the secre-

taries concerned to provide the same services to other members of the Selected Reserve and to a member of the Individual Ready Reserve with a deployment responsibility, if those services are necessary to ensure medical and dental readiness. In addition, the provision would clarify that reserve components may use available operation and maintenance funds to achieve these goals

The Senate provision would also authorize the Secretary of Defense to waive, in whole or in part, during a time of national emergency, the requirement for members of the Selected Reserve enrolled in the TRICARE dental insurance program to pay copayments for restorative care necessary to meet dental readiness standards, in order to facilitate readiness of a unit or individual scheduled for deployment. Finally, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the policies and procedures to ensure medical and dental readiness of service members.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that waivers of charges determined necessary by the Secretary to ensure the readiness of a unit or individual for deployment should be subject to regulation and limited to dental services required for readiness.

We remind the Department of Defense that readiness includes not only pre-deployment medical and dental work necessary to make the member deployable, but also post-deployment medical and dental care to bring the member back up to readiness standards after they return from a long absence, where such medical and dental care may not have been available.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Prohibition on conversion of military medical
and dental positions to civilian medical and

dental positions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would prohibit the military departments from converting any military medical or dental position to a civilian medical or dental position on or after October 1, 2008. This provision would also require that any military medical or dental position that has been converted to a civilian medical or dental position from October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2008, be restored to a military medical or dental position if the position is not filled by a civilian by September 30, 2008.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would repeal subsection (a) of section 721 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), which prohibits the military departments from converting any military medical or dental position through September 30, 2012. The provision would also restore subsections (a) and (b) of section 742 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364). which requires certification by the secretary of a military department that any planned conversion will not increase the cost or decrease the quality of care or access to military health care, and requires a review by the Comptroller General of these certifications. The provision would keep the requirement set forth in subsection (b) of section 721 of Public Law 110-181 requiring the military departments to restore any positions converted between October 1, 2004, and September 30, 2008, that have not yet been filled by a civilian back to military positions.

The agreement does not include these provisions.

Reserve component behavioral health care provider locator and appointment assistance demonstration project

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 708) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project to

assess the feasibility and efficacy of providing a behavioral health care provider locator and appointment assistance service to members of the reserve components, and to submit reports on this demonstration project.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Travel for anesthesia services for childbirth for dependents of members assigned to very remote locations outside the continental United States

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay travel expenses for a dependent of a service member assigned to a very remote location outside the continental United States who requires or elects anesthesia services for childbirth to a location in the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement did not include the Senate provision.

National Casualty Care Research Center

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 723) that would require the Secretary of Defense to designate a National Casualty Care Research Center at the Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, for the purpose of establishing additional linkages between military and civilian casualty research.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Review of policies and processes related to the delivery of mail to wounded members of the armed forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 725) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the policies and processes related to the delivery of letters, packages, messages, and other communications that are intended as measures of support and are addressed generally to wounded and injured members of the armed forces in military medical treatment facilities and other locations where members of the armed forces are treated and rehabilitated.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Post-deployment mental health screening demonstration project

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 726) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration project to assess the feasibility and efficacy of providing a face to face post-deployment mental health screening between a service member and a mental health provider.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on stipend for members of reserve components for health care for certain dependents

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 731) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the extent to which the Secretary has exercised the authority provided in section 704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on implementation of recommendations contained in report on health effects of exposure to depleted uranium

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 734) that would direct the Secretary of De-

fense to submit a report to Congress describing the measures underway to implement the report required by section 716 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Implementation of recommendations of Department of Defense Mental Health Task Force

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 736) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the implementation by the Department of Defense of the recommendations made by the Department of Defense Task Force on Mental Health.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

Inclusion of service members in inpatient status in wounded warrior policies and protections

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would amend section 1602(7) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181)) to include inpatient service members in the definition of a "recovering service member" for purposes of policies and protections for wounded warriors

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Clarification of certain information sharing between the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs for wounded warrior purposes

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would amend section 1614(b)(11) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181)) to require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to implement a process for transferring medical records of a recovering service member from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs when the transfer is authorized by regulations implementing the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

SUBTITLE A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Assessment of urgent operational needs fulfillment (sec. 801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would require the Secretary of Defense to commission an independent study and report on the effectiveness of the processes used by the Department of Defense to identify, prioritize, and meet urgent operational needs.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would require the expedited review and validation of urgent operational needs documents.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the independent study and report to make specific recommendations as to how to ensure the expedited review and validation of urgent operational needs documents.

Implementation of statutory requirements regarding the national technology and industrial base (sec. 802)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would authorize the Secretary of

Defense to provide for the application of a domestic industrial base evaluation factor during source selection for a major defense acquisition program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring the Secretary of Defense to issue guidance regarding the implementation of certain existing statutory requirements regarding the national technology and industrial base. We understand that the Department of Defense currently meets the requirement of section 2440, United States Code, by conducting industrial capabilities assessments.

Commercial software reuse preference (sec. 803)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 806) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that contracting officials reuse commercial computer software, whenever practicable, instead of developing new software.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring the Secretary to ensure that contracting officials reuse commercial or off-the-shelf software, whenever practicable, instead of developing new software.

Internal controls for procurements on behalf of the Department of Defense by certain nondefense agencies (sec. 804)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would modify requirements adopted in previous years for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (DOD) to conduct joint reviews with the inspectors general of non-defense agencies to determine whether procurements conducted by the non-defense agencies on behalf of DOD have been conducted in compliance with defense procurement requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment streamlining the provision.

SUBTITLE B—PROVISIONS RELATING TO MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

Inclusion of major subprograms to major defense acquisition programs under acquisition reporting requirements (sec. 811)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would address the inclusion of certain information on major subprograms in reports issued in accordance with the requirements of chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Inclusion of certain major information technology investments in acquisition oversight authorities for major automated information system programs (sec. 812)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would extend reporting requirements for major automated information system programs to cover certain other major automated information technology investments

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Transfer of sections of title 10 relating to milestone A and milestone B for clarity (sec. 813)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 843) that would reverse sections of title 10 relating to milestone A and milestone B.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment making technical changes to the requirement for milestone A certifications.

Configuration Steering Boards for cost control under major defense acquisition programs (sec. 814)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 846) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on certain major defense acquisition programs and to establish Configuration Steering Boards (CSBs) for such programs.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require the Secretary to establish CSBs for all major defense acquisition programs.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment modifying the membership of CSBs and adding a new restriction on certain changes to programs receiving Milestone B approval during fiscal year 2008.

Preservation of tooling for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 815)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require the preservation of all unique tooling associated with the production of hardware for a major defense acquisition program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would streamline the requirement and the waiver authority, to clarify that the Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement to preserve unique production tooling, or any category of unique production tooling, if he determines that such a waiver is in the best interest of the Department of Defense and so notifies Congress. We note that the Secretary may delegate this authority, as he may delegate any authority provided to him by statute

SUBTITLE C—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

Definition of system for defense acquisition challenge program (sec. 821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would clarify the meaning of the term "system" for the purpose of the defense acquisition challenge program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Technical data rights (sec. 822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 814) that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue policy guidance on technical data rights in non-Federal Acquisition Regulation agreements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment providing the Secretary greater flexibility in the drafting of the new guidance.

Revision to the application of cost accounting standards (sec. 823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 815) that would make the cost accounting standards apply to certain federal contracts performed outside the United States.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring the Cost Accounting Standards Board to study the issue and determine whether the application of the standards to contracts or subcontracts outside the United States would benefit the Federal Government.

Modification and extension of pilot program for transition to follow-on contracts under authority to carry out certain prototype projects (sec. 824)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would extend for 4 years the authority for the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program under section 847 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), and modify such authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment extending the authority for 2 years. We direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on the extent to which such authority has been used and, if it has not been used, whether modifications are needed to achieve the purpose of the provision.

Clarification of status of Government rights in designs of Department of Defense vessels, boats, craft, and components thereof (sec. 825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 841) that would clarify government rights in the designs of Department of Defense vessels, boats, and craft, and components of such vessels, boats, and craft.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1011).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to address designs of all Department of Defense vessels, boats, craft, and components, regardless whether they are developed at public or private expense.

SUBTITLE D—PROVISIONS RELATING TO ACQUISITION WORKFORCE AND INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS

Development of guidance on personal services contracts (sec. 831)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop guidance and safeguards for the use of personal services contracts by the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment cross-referencing the definition of personal services contracts in section 2330(a) of title 10, United States Code.

Sense of Congress on the performance by private security contractors of certain functions in an area of combat operations (sec. 832)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would require the Secretary of Defense to modify existing regulations to ensure that private security contractors are not authorized to perform inherently governmental functions in an area of combat operations.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 841) that would also specify certain functions that constitute inherently governmental functions when performed in highly hazardous public areas.

The agreement includes a provision that expresses the Sense of Congress with regard to the performance of certain functions by private security contractors in an area of combat operations.

Acquisition workforce expedited hiring authority (sec. 833)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would authorize the Department of Defense to use direct hiring authority for acquisition positions designated by the Secretary of Defense as shortage positions.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 851).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Career path and other requirements for military personnel in the acquisition field (sec. 834)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would require the Department of Defense to establish policies and issue guidance to ensure the proper development, assignment, and employment of military personnel in the acquisition field.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 502(e)) that would establish minimum numbers of billets for general officers and flag officers serving in acquisition positions and in contracting positions in the military departments.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that a sufficient number of acquisition and contracting billets are reserved for general and flag officers with appropriate qualifications to ensure the optimum management of the acquisition functions of the Department of Defense. Elsewhere in the bill, we would increase the authorized number of Army general officers serving in acquisition positions by five, and authorize the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to exclude from limitations on flag and general officers an additional five positions, one of whom must be assigned to the Defense Contract Management Agency.

SUBTITLE E—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTOR MATTERS

Ethics safeguards related to conflicts of interest (sec. 841)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would require the Department of Defense to develop a standard policy aimed at preventing personal conflicts of interest by employees of Department of Defense contractors and implement that policy through a standard contract clause.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 4404) that would require the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to establish uniform, government-wide policies for the prevention of personal and organizational conflicts of interest by contractors and their employees and to implement those policies through a standard clause or a set of standard clauses.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 832) that would require the Department of Defense to develop a contract clause addressing financial conflicts of interest of certain contractor employees.

The agreement includes a provision that would combine the requirements of the three provisions into a single, government-wide provision. The provision would require the development of a government-wide policy and a standard clause or set of clauses regarding personal conflicts of interest by contractor employees performing acquisition functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions. The provision would also require the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to consider any additional revisions to the Federal Acquisition Regulation that may be necessary to address personal or organizational conflicts of interest by contractors or their employees.

We note that the Department of Defense Panel on Contracting Integrity is also reviewing these issues and expect the Panel to continue that review.

Information for Department of Defense contractor employees on their whistleblower rights (sec. 842)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 833) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe in regulations a policy for informing Department of Defense (DOD) contractor employees of whistleblower rights and protections.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment requiring the Secretary to ensure that DOD contractor employees are informed of their whistleblower rights and protections.

Requirement for Department of Defense to adopt an acquisition strategy for Defense Base Act insurance (sec. 843)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 850) that would require the Secretary of Defense to adopt an acquisition strategy for insurance required by the Defense Base Act (section 1651 of title 42, United States Code) which minimizes the cost of such insurance to the Department and its contractors.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Report on use of off-shore subsidiaries by defense contractors (sec. 844)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 852) that would prohibit contractors from using foreign shell companies to employ United States persons to perform Department of Defense contracts.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would ensure that an offeror for a Department of Defense contract cannot receive a competitive advantage by reducing costs through the use of overseas subsidiaries to avoid U.S. taxes.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring a report by the Comptroller General on the use of foreign shell companies by Department of Defense contractors. We note that the tax issue has already been addressed in section 302 of Public Law 110–245.

Defense industrial security (sec. 845)

The House bill contained a series of provisions (sec. 831-833) that would codify certain requirements regarding facility clearances; foreign ownership, control and influence; and congressional oversight of these requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would make the Secretary of Defense responsible for the protection of classified information disclosed to contractors of the Department of Defense and require certain reports on the manner in which the Secretary carries out this responsibility.

SUBTITLE F—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN

Clarification and modification of authorities relating to the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 851)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 843) that would ensure that federal retirees serving as members or staff of the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan may be paid for their work without forfeiting retired pay.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Comprehensive audit of spare parts purchases and depot overhaul and maintenance of equipment for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 852)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 844) that would require the audit agencies of the military departments to conduct thorough audits of spare parts purchases and depot overhaul and maintenance of equipment for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify

that this provision may not be construed to require the duplication of audit work that has already been performed by Department of Defense audit agencies.

Additional matters required to be reported by contractors performing security functions in areas of combat operations (sec. 853)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 847) that would amend section 862 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to expand the categories of incidents that must be reported by private security contractors operating in areas of combat operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment reemphasizing the requirement for contractors of all federal agencies, pursuant to section 862 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), to comply with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, other applicable laws and regulations, and orders and directives issued by commanders on the battlefield.

Additional contractor requirements and responsibilities relating to alleged crimes by or against contractor personnel in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 854)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 849) that would require the Secretary of Defense to require the reporting of crimes against contractor personnel in Iraq and Afghanistan and to ensure that the victims of such crimes receive appropriate assistance.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 842). The Senate provision would amend section 861 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to ensure that reporting and victim assistance requirements would apply to contractors of all federal agencies.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment combining the reporting and assistance requirements of the two provisions.

Suspension of statutes of limitations when Congress authorizes the use of military force (sec. 855)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1083) that would amend the wartime suspension of the statute of limitations in section 3287 of title 18, United States Code, to apply in cases where Congress has enacted a specific authorization for the use of the armed forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Subtitle G—Governmentwide Acquisition Improvements

Short title (sec. 861)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4001) that would provide a short title for Division D of the House bill.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would provide a short title for the government-wide acquisition subtitle of Title VIII. Limitation on length of certain noncompetitive contracts (sec. 862)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4102) that would limit the length of contracts entered on a noncompetitive basis because of urgent and compelling circumstances.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit such contracts to a period of no longer than one year and apply the limitation to contracts in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold.

Requirements for purchase of property and services pursuant to multiple award contracts (sec. 863)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4103) that would: (1) extend on a government-wide basis certain requirements already applicable to the Department of Defense for purchases under multiple award contracts; and (2) establish public notice requirements for sole source purchase under such contracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Regulations on the use of cost-reimbursement contracts (sec. 864)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4201) that would require the issuance of government-wide regulations to minimize the inappropriate use of cost-reimbursement contracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would streamline reporting requirements and simplify the provision.

Preventing the abuse of interagency contracts (sec. 865)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4202) that would require the Office of Management and Budget to establish guidelines for the use of interagency acquisitions.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Limitations on tiering of subcontractors (sec. 866)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4204) that would extend on a government-wide basis certain regulatory requirements already applicable to the Department of Defense with respect to excessive pass-through charges on contracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Linking of award and incentive fees to acquisition outcomes (sec. 867)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4205) that would extend on a government-wide basis certain requirements already applicable to the Department of Defense regarding the linking of award and incentive fees to acquisition outcomes.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment clarifying that the Department of Defense will continue to be subject to guidance on award and incentive fees issued pursuant to section 814 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364). Minimizing abuse of commercial services item authority (sec. 868)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4206) that would extend on a government-wide basis certain regulatory requirements already applicable to the Department of Defense with respect to: (1) the purchase of commercial services; and (2) the use of time and materials contracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment deleting the requirement with respect to time and materials contracts.

Acquisition workforce development strategic plan (sec. 869)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4301) that would establish an acquisition

workforce development fund for civilian agencies, similar to the fund already in place for the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment requiring the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to develop a strategic plan for funding improvements to support the development of the civilian acquisition workforce.

Contingency contracting corps (sec. 870)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4302) that would establish a government-wide contingency contracting corps.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would establish a contingency contracting corps within the Department of Defense (DOD).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would ensure that members of the Armed Forces or DOD civilian employees who are members of the government-wide contingency contracting corps may not be deployed without the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary's designee. The provision would also preserve the independent authority of the Secretary of Defense to deploy members of the Armed Forces or DOD civilian employees, including members of the governmentwide contingency contracting corps, in support of a contingency operation, as defined in section 101(o)(13) of title 10, United States Code

Access of Government Accountability Office to contractor employees (sec. 871)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4403) that would ensure that the Government Accountability Office has access to contractor employees for the purpose of conducting interviews.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Database for federal agency contract and grant officers and suspension and debarment officials (sec. 872)

The House bill contained a series of provisions (sec. 4502–4504) that would require the establishment of a government-wide database of information regarding integrity and performance of persons awarded federal contracts and grants.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 831), which would require the establishment of a database of information regarding the integrity and performance of persons awarded Department of Defense contracts.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the establishment of a government-wide database and making certain clarifying changes.

Role of interagency committee on debarment and suspension (sec. 873)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4505) that would establish requirements for the Interagency Committee on Debarment and Suspension.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Improvements to the Federal Procurement Data Sustem (sec. 874)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4509) that would require the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to direct appropriate revisions to the Federal Procurement Data System to facilitate the collection of complete, timely, and reliable data on interagency contracting actions and on other transactions.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 4508) that would require the Administrator of General Services to make recommendations to Congress on steps needed to create a centralized, comprehensive federal contracting and federal grant database.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions

The agreement includes the House provisions with an amendment that would combine them into a single section.

SUBTITLE H-OTHER MATTERS

Expansion of authority to retain fees from licensing of intellectual property (sec. 881)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 842) that would clarify the authority for the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security to retain fees from licensing of intellectual property.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 852) applicable only to the Department of Defense.

The agreement includes the House provision. $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

Report on market research (sec. 882)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 845) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on market research conducted by the Department of Defense (DOD) to identify commercial or nondevelopmental items that could meet DOD needs.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment streamlining the reporting requirement.

Report relating to munitions (sec. 883)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 848) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees justifying any decision to procure certain categories of ammunition from non-domestic sources and providing a plan to develop a domestic producer as the source for such ammunition by 2012.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment deleting the requirement that the report include a plan to develop a domestic producer for the covered categories of ammunition.

Motor carrier fuel surcharges (sec. 884)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 851) that would require that motor carriers: (1) pass on the amount of all fuel surcharges to the person who bears the cost of such fuel; and (2) make the amount of any fuel-related adjustment publicly available on the internet.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that: (1) the Secretary of Defense take appropriate steps to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, fuel-related adjustments are passed through to the person who bears the cost of the fuel; (2) the steps taken by the Secretary include the use of contract clauses in contracts providing for fuel-related adjustments; and (3) the Secretary publicly disclose any decision by the Department of Defense to pay fuel-related adjustments under such contracts (or a category of such contracts).

Procurement by State and local governments of equipment for homeland security and emergency response activities through the Department of Defense (sec. 885)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would amend section 381 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the State and local governments to purchase special-

ized homeland security and emergency response equipment through the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Review of impact of covered subsidies on acquisition of KC-45 aircraft (sec. 886)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to review a ruling by the World Trade Organization (WTO) regarding subsidies to a manufacturer of large commercial aircraft, including a notice and comment process, and take certain actions on the basis of such review.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the subsidies issue upon a ruling by the WTO, in consultation with certain other officials and experts without notice and public comment or the requirement to take any action on the basis of the review.

Report on the implementation of earned value management at the Department of Defense (sec. 887)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 844) that would require the Secretary of Defense to study and report to Congress on the implementation of earned value management systems by the Department of Defense and its contractors.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment extending the deadline for the completion of the study and report.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

 $Authorization\ of\ appropriations$

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4507) that would authorize funds for the Administrator of General Services to establish a database of information regarding integrity and performance of federal contractors.

The Senate bill contained as similar provi

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Authorization of independent agencies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4506) that would address the participation of independent agencies in the suspension and debarment system.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Comprehensive proposal analysis required during source selection

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 807) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for the consideration of costs borne by a foreign government in the evaluation of a proposal for a major defense acquisition program, a significant portion of which will be performed outside the United States.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

 $Disclosure\ of\ CEO\ salaries$

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4501) that would require certain contractors to disclose the names and total compensation of their five most highly compensated officers.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision. This issue has already been addressed in section 6202 of the Fiscal Year 2008 Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 110-252)

Limitation on performance of product support integrator functions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would prohibit contractors from performing product support integrator functions.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Mandatory fraud reporting

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4402) that would require contractors to report violations of Federal criminal law or overpayments in connection with the award of performance of contracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision. This issue has already been addressed in section 6102 of the Fiscal Year 2008 Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 110–252).

Minimizing sole-source contracts

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4101) that would require federal agencies to develop and implement plans to minimize, to the maximum extent practicable, the use of contracts entered into using procedures other than competitive procedures.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Multiyear procurement authority for the Department of Defense for the purchase of alternative and synthetic fuels

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter multiyear contracts for the purchase of alternative and synthetic fuels.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Prohibition on procurement from beneficiaries of foreign subsidies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 804) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense for entering a contract for the procurement of goods or services from any foreign person whom the United States has alleged, in proceedings under the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, to have received a prohibited subsidy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

sion.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Prohibitions on the use of lead systems integrators

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4203) that would prohibit the award of new contracts for lead systems integrator functions in the acquisition of major systems.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Protection of contractor employees from reprisal for disclosure of certain information

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4401) that would provide enhanced protections for contractor employees of non-defense agencies who blow the whistle on waste, fraud and abuse in government contracting.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Repeal of requirements relating to the military system essential item breakout list

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 853) that would repeal section 813 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Requirement to buy military decorations, ribbons, badges, medals, insignia, and other uniform accoutrements produced in the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 655) that would require military exchange stores and other nonappropriated fund entities of the Department of Defense (DOD) to purchase military decorations, ribbons, badges, medals, insignia, and other uniform accoutrements produced in the United States, subject to certain exceptions.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We understand that contracts entered by DOD nonappropriated fund entities for the purchase of military decorations, awards and insignia include clauses requiring that such decorations, awards and insignia be manufactured, assembled and produced in the United States unless there are no U.S. manufacturing sources available. We believe that the Secretary of Defense should take steps, including periodic audits, to ensure contractor compliance with the terms and conditions of these contracts, including terms and conditions relating to product quality, conformance with technical specifications, and point of origin.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Plan required for personnel management of special operation forces (sec. 901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would require the commander of the special operations command to submit a plan to the congressional defense committees on the personnel management of special operations forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment clarifying that the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command shall submit the required report to the Secretary of Defense, who shall provide that report to the congressional defense committees together with any additional comments the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff consider appropriate.

Director of Operational Energy Plans and Programs (sec. 902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would establish a Director for Operational Energy Plans and Programs within the Office of the Secretary of Defense and senior operational energy officials within each of the military services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Corrosion control and prevention executives for the military departments (sec. 903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 905) that would require the Assistant Secretary of each military department with responsibility for acquisition, technology, and

logistics to designate an employee of the military department to act as the senior official to coordinate department-level Corrosion Prevention and Control Program activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make the annual report provided by the corrosion control and prevention executives of the military departments due to the Secretary of Defense by December 31 each year. We understand that the first report submitted by the corrosion control and prevention executives would be December 2009.

Participation of Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense on Defense Business System Management Committee (sec. 904)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would clarify the role of the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 902).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of status of Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs (sec. 905)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would amend section 142 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense is equivalent to an assistant secretary of defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Requirement for the Secretary of Defense to prepare a strategic plan to enhance the role of the National Guard and Reserves (sec. 906)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for enhancing the roles of the National Guard and reserves, and submit a report on that plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by April 1, 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1053).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

General Counsel to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (sec. 907)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would provide for a General Counsel to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense who would report only to the Inspector General.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Business transformation initiatives for the military departments (sec. 908)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would require the Secretary of each military department, acting through the Chief Management Officer of such department, to carry out a business transformation initiative. The provision would also require each military department to establish an Office of Business Transformation to assist the Chief Management Officer in carrying out the initiative.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment extending the timelines for the initiative and clarifying the responsibilities of the new Office of Business Transformation.

SUBTITLE B—SPACE ACTIVITIES Extension of authority for pilot program for pro-

vision of space surveillance network services to entities outside United States Government (sec. 911)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would extend the authority to continue the pilot program to provide network surveillance assistance to entities outside the U.S. Government.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Investment and acquisition strategy for commercial satellite capabilities (sec. 912)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 912) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment to determine a recommended investment and acquisition strategy for a broad range of commercial satellite capabilities. The report on the strategy would be due February 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the due date of the report to February 1, 2010. The assessment would address fiscal years after 2010.

Space posture review (sec. 913)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Director of National Intelligence, to conduct a comprehensive review of the space posture of the United States. The review would cover a 10 year period beginning February 1, 2009. The Secretary would be required to submit the report no later than December 1, 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add industrial base policy to the list of policies for which the provision requires an assessment of interrelationships.

SUBTITLE C-CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

Responsibilities for Chemical Demilitarization Citizens' Advisory Commissions in Colorado and Kentucky (sec. 921)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would require the Secretary of the Army to transfer responsibility for the Chemical Demilitarization Citizens' Advisory Commissions in Colorado and Kentucky to the Program Manager for Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1431).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Cost-benefit analysis of future treatment of hydrolysate at Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado (sec. 922)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 922) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from transporting hydrolysate from the Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado, to an off-site location for treatment, storage, or disposal during fiscal year 2009, and would require a report containing a cost-benefit analysis between on-site and off-site methods of disposing of such hydrolysate.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a cost-benefit analysis of future options for treatment and disposal of hydrolysate at the Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado, and to submit a report to Congress, together with the budget request for fiscal year 2010, containing the results of that

analysis. The provision would also require the Secretary to provide notification to Congress 60 days prior to commencing any transport of hydrolysate from the Pueblo Chemical Depot to an off-site location during fiscal year 2009, if he decides to conduct such transport after the report is submitted.

SUBTITLE D-INTELLIGENCE-RELATED MATTERS

Technical changes following the re-designation of National Imagery and Mapping Agency as National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (sec. 931)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would make certain technical changes in the United States Code and other laws to reflect the changing of the name of the National Imagery and Mapping Agency National Geospatial-Intelligence the to Agency.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Technical amendments to title 10, United States Code, arising from enactment of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (sec. 932)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 932) that would make certain technical changes in title 10. United States Code, to reflect enactment of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-458).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision.

Technical amendments relating to the Associate Director of the CIA for Military Affairs (sec. 9.3.3)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 933) that would change section 528(c) in title 10, United States Code, to reflect changing of the title of the position within the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) from the Associate Director of the CIA for Military Support, to the Associate Director of the CIA for Military Affairs.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Enhancement of authorities relating to Department of Defense regional centers for security studies (sec. 941)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 942) that would amend section 184(f) of title 10, United States Code, to allow funds authorized under that section to be available for programs that begin in that fiscal year but end in the following one, starting with fiscal year 2009. The provision would also establish a pilot program providing temporary authority for the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to waive reimbursement of certain costs of activities of Regional Centers in connection with the participation of personnel of nongovernmental or international organizations in those activities. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to report on the extent of nongovernmental and international organization participation in regional center programs.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1212).

The agreement includes the Senate provi-

Restriction on obligation of funds for United States Southern Command development assistance activities (sec. 942)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 944) that would require that the Secretary of Defense, within 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the development assistance activities carried out by the United States Southern Command (SOUTHCOM) and containing a certification that such activities: will not negatively impact the readiness SOUTHCOM; do not divert resources from funded unfunded requirements or SOUTHCOM; are not already, or will not be, undertaken by other federal departments or agencies; and are designed, planned, and conducted derivative activities as SOUTHCOM's warfighting responsibilities under title 10 of the United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would increase the time permitted for the Secretary of Defense to deliver the required report to the Congressional defense committees to 120

Authorization of non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities (sec. 943)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 945) that would codify authority for Joint Forces Command to act as the Executive Agent for the non-conventional assisted recovery (NAR) capabilities and authorize the Department to develop a personnel recovery program for isolated personnel representing all parts of the U.S. Government.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1207) that would authorize the commander of a combatant command, with the concurrence of the relevant chief of mission. to expend funds in fiscal years 2009 and 2010 to establish, develop, and maintain NAR capabilities in a foreign country if the commander determines that expenditure of such funds for that purpose is necessary.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize the commander of a combatant command, with the concurrence of the relevant chiefs of mission, to expend funds in fiscal years 2009 through 2011 to establish. develop, and maintain NAR capabilities and would require a notification to the congressional defense committees of the use of this authority within 72 hours of a combatant commander exercising this authority.

Report on homeland defense and civil support issues (sec. 944)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 946) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on progress made to address concerns raised by the Government Accountability Office (GAO) related to U.S. Northern Command, and would require Northern Command to perform a number of functions related to State and federal domestic response planning, capabilities, and co-

The Senate bill contained a related provision (sec. 905) that would express the sense of the Congress concerning the importance of establishing and assigning to U.S. Northern Command forces for the mission of managing the consequences of an incident in the United States homeland involving a chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear device, or high-yield explosives (CBRNE). The provision would also require reports on progress toward achieving that goal, including progress in addressing concerns raised by GAO related to U.S. Northern Command.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on progress made to address the concerns raised by GAO related to U.S. Northern Command, including improved coordination with other agencies, and on plans and progress to establish CBRNE consequence management response forces.

Report on National Guard resource requirements (sec. 945)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 947) that would require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to submit to the Secretary of Defense, not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, a report on the effectiveness of the implementation of the various provisions in title XVIII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) and assessing the adequacy of Department of Defense funding for the resource requirements of the National Guard. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit the report, with any explanatory comments the Secretary considers necessary, to Congress not later than 30 days after the Secretary receives the report.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to submit to the Secretary of Defense a report on the extent to which the various provisions in title XVIII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) have been effective in giving the Chief of the National Guard Bureau the proper set of authorities and resources to perform the responsibilities and duties of the

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Revisions in functions and activities of Special Operations Command

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would revise the statutory authority governing special operations activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement does not include the provision.

Requirement to designate officials for irregular warfare

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would require the Secretary of Defense to designate an Assistant Secretary of Defense to be responsible for overall management and coordination of irregular warfare activities. The provision would also require the establishment of a single executive agent for such activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

We note that the Secretary of Defense has authorized a review of the management and execution of both current and future irregular warfare activities throughout the Department of Defense. We understand that the Secretary will soon approve a Department Directive pertaining to irregular warfare activities. We applaud the review effort, await the completion of the approval process, and direct the Secretary to provide the results of that review to the congressional defense committees no later than 30 days after ap-

Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 908) that would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps and would redesignate the position of the Secretary of the Navy as the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provi-

Support to committee to review

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 909) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide certain information to the House Committee on Armed Services within 15 days after receiving a request

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provi-

Requirement for certain officers of the armed forces on active duty in certain intelligence positions

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would require that the principal deputy to the senior service intelligence officer be a commissioned officer of the armed forces on active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Transfer of management of Intelligence Systems

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 922) that would transfer management of the Intelligence Systems Support Office and other projects and activities currently conducted by the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence to other components of the Department of Defense

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision.

Program on advanced sensor applications

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 923) that would transfer management oversight of the Advanced Sensor Applications Program (ASAP) to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and mandate other aspects of the management of the program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The Deputy Secretary of Defense sent a letter to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, dated July 22, 2008, outlining certain changes in the Department's position on the ASAP effort. The conferees agree that the revised funding and management approach described in the Deputy Secretary's letter should help ensure that the Department abides by congressional intent without the need for immediate legislative intervention.

The conferees agree to authorize \$20.0 million for the ASAP program for fiscal year 2009

Additional direction on the ASAP program is contained in the classified annex to this report.

Findings and sense of Congress regarding the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 943) that would express the sense of Congress that the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation is one of the most effective mechanisms that the United States has to build relationships with future leaders throughout the Western Hemisphere, influence the human rights records and democracy trajectory of countries in the Western Hemisphere, and mitigate the growing influence of non-hemispheric powers.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

General Transfer authority (sec. 1001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would allow the Secretary of Deto make transfers between any fense amounts of authorizations for fiscal year 2009 in division A of this Act. This section would limit the total amount of transferred under this authority to \$4.0 billion. This section would also require prompt notification to Congress of each transfer made.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1001) that would provide \$5.0 billion in transfer authority.

The agreement provides for transfer authority of \$4.2 billion.

One-time shift of military retirement payments (sec. 1002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1004) that would shift a portion of the military retirement payments disbursed in September 2013 to October 2013. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to transfer \$40.0 million from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement contains this provision.

Management of purchase cards (sec. 1003) The House bill contained a provision (sec.

1005) that would require new safeguards and internal controls for the use of purchase cards by the Department of Defense

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on the steps that the Department of Defense has taken or plans to take to implement the recommendations of a Government Accountability Office report on actions needed to strengthen internal controls for the use of purchase cards (GAO report 08-333).

Codification of recurring authority on United States contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization common-funded budgets (sec. 1004)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would authorize the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) common-funded budgets for fiscal year 2008, including the use of unexpended balances.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that provides permanent authority for amounts contributed by the Secretary of Defense in any fiscal year for the NATO common-funded budgets to exceed the maximum amount that would otherwise be applicable under the fiscal year 1998 baseline limitation set out in the Senate resolution of ratification of the Protocols to the North Atlantic Treaty of 1949 on the Accession of Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic. The amendment also requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually to Congress regarding U.S. contributions to the NATO common-funded budgets.

Incorporation of funding decisions into law (sec. 1005)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1431) that would state that Executive Order No. 13457 shall not apply to this Act.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would incorporate the funding tables into the Act.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that would incorporate the authorized amounts in funding tables into the Act. For the purposes of this provision, a funding table means a list of specific programs, projects and activities, and the dollar amounts and adjustments to budget activities corresponding to such programs, projects, and activities, but does not include a table included in the joint explanatory statement in compliance with Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate or Rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

The Government Printing Office (GPO) has informed us that incorporating the funding tables into bill language would add 3 full days to the time required to prepare a bill for floor consideration, even if the GPO does not have other high priority work to accomplish at the time. This delay is in addition to the day and a half it would require for the committee staff to prepare the funding tables in a form that could be processed by GPO, and to ensure the accuracy of GPO's work. With only 3 days left for the House and the Senate to consider the bill before the scheduled end of this year's session of Congress, we have determined that incorporating the funding tables into bill language was not an option that was available to us.

Subtitle B—Policy Relating to Vessels and Shipyards

Conveyance, Navy drydock, Aransas Pass, Texas (sec. 1011)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey the floating drydock AFDL-23, located at Aransas Pass, Texas, to Gulf Copper Ship Repair, the company currently leasing the drydock from the Navy. The Secretary would be allowed to place such terms and conditions on the transfer as he feels appropriate, and the company would be required to compensate the Federal Government for the fair market value of the drydock, as determined by the Secretary.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Report on repair of naval vessels in foreign shipyards (sec. 1012)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would amend section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report at least 30 days before conducting repair work on any vessel in a shipyard outside the United States or Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to provide a report, with the submission of the President's budget, regarding any ship repair work conducted on any vessel in a shipyard outside the United States or Guam during the previous year.

Report on plan for disposal of certain vessels stricken from the Naval Vessel Register (sec. 1013)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1015) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report within 30 days to the congressional defense committees on the contribution of scrapping vessels larger than 50,000 tons displacement to the domestic market for steel and other metals.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would allow the Secretary 180 days to complete the report.

Reimbursement of expenses for certain Navy mess operations (sec. 1014)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to fund from agency operating accounts the cost of meals on United States naval and naval auxiliary vessels for non-military personnel. For the purposes of this provision, this includes nongovernmental organization and host and partner nation participants in civil-military operations and foreign national patients treated during the conduct of civil-military operations, as well as their escorts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would place an annual limit of \$1.0 million on such costs and would require an annual report on the Department's use of this authority.

Policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the United States Navy (sec. 1015)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would amend the section 1012(c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-181) to add all amphibious ships larger than 15,000 dead weight ton light ship displacement to the definition of major surface combatants in that section. Section 1012 stated that it is the policy of the United States to construct major surface combatants of the strike forces of the United States with integrated nuclear power systems.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE C—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Extension of reporting requirement regarding Department of Defense expenditures to support foreign counter-drug activities (sec. 1021)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would extend, by 1 year, the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to submit a report detailing the expenditure of funds by the Department during fiscal year 2008 in direct and indirect support of the counterdrug activities of foreign governments.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1022)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would extend the authority provided in section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), which expires at the end of fiscal year 2008, through fiscal year 2009. The current authority provides that a joint task force of the Department of Defense, which is providing support to law enforcement agencies conducting counterdrug activities, may also provide, subject to all applicable laws and regulations, these law enforcement agencies with support for their counterterrorism activities.

The Senate bill contained a nearly identical provision (sec.1021).

The agreement includes the provision.

The agreement directs the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Counternarcotics, Counterproliferation and Global Threats to provide an annual briefing about the use of this authority to the congressional defense committees.

Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia and continuation of numerical limitation on assignment of United States personnel (sec. 1023)

The House bill contained a provision (sec.1023) that would extend the authority provided in section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375) to use counterdrug funds to support the Government of Colombia's unified campaign against narcotics cultivation and trafficking, and against terrorist organizations involved in such drug trafficking activities through fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a nearly identical provision (sec.1022).

The agreement includes the provision.

Expansion and extension of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1024)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would extend by 1 fiscal year the duration of authority for assistance under section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 1998 (Public Law 105-85), as amended by section 1021 of the NDAA for FY 2004 (Public Law 108-136), section 1022 of the John Warner NDAA for FY 2007 (Public Law 109-364), and section 1022 of the NDAA for FY 2008 (Public Law 110-181): would expand the list of countries that could qualify for assistance under section 1033 to include three West African countries: and would increase the funding limitation under section 1033 from \$60.0 million to \$65.0 million for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would extend by one fiscal year the duration of this authority; would expand the list of countries that could qualify for assistance under section 1033 to include: El Salvador, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, and Senegal; and would increase the funding limitation under section 1033 from \$60.0 million to \$75.0 million for fiscal year 2009.

Comprehensive Department of Defense strategy for counter-narcotics efforts for United States Africa Command (sec. 1025)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1025) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to prepare a counterdrug plan for all eligible governments under section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1998 (Public Law 105-85) for fiscal year 2009 and updates thereafter, as well as a region-wide, counterdrug plan for Africa, with a special emphasis on West Africa and the Maghreb.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand the scope of this reporting requirement to include the remainder of the African continent.

Comprehensive Department of Defense strategy for counter-narcotics efforts in South and Central Asian regions (sec. 1026)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1026) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that outlines the Department's role, missions, objectives, and budget in support of the overall U.S. Government counternarcotics strategy and activities in the south and central Asian regions and other geographically proximate countries.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment which would provide the Department with additional time to complete the report, as well as a technical change.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

Enhancement of the capacity of the United States Government to conduct complex operations (sec. 1031)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a Center for Complex Operations. The center would facilitate the activities of a consortium composed of education and training institutions from across

the U.S. Government in order to increase unity of effort in complex operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement contains the Senate provision with an amendment clarifying that the Secretary of Defense will seek the concurrence of the Secretary of State to the extent that the activities of the Center involve foreign government or militaries, international organizations or international nongovernmental organizations.

Crediting of admiralty claim receipts for damage to property funded from a Department of Defense working capital fund (sec. 1032)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would provide that payments received by the United States in settlement of an admiralty claim for damage or loss to property that is operated and maintained using monies from a Department of Defense working capital fund account would be credited to the working capital fund which was used to operate and maintain the damaged or lost property.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision

Minimum annual purchase requirement for charter air transportation services from carriers participating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (sec. 1033)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to guarantee higher minimum levels of business than are currently authorized by law to United States air carriers participating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision, amended to provide authority to the Secretary to guarantee minimum levels of business to CRAF participants operating passenger travel contracts only.

Semi-annual reports on status of Navy Next Generation Enterprise Networks (NGEN) program (sec. 1034)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would modify the termination date of the base contract for the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment establish a semi-annual reporting requirement on the Navy's transition between the NMCI and NGEN programs.

The NGEN program represents one of the largest, farthest reaching, and complex acquisition programs and technology deployments for the Navy and Marine Corps in the next decade. We note with concern the lack of planning and oversight that the Department of Defense has dedicated to the requirements generation, acquisition strategy development, outreach to industry, contracting mechanisms, realistic testing, and transition planning for the NGEN program. The lack of transparency and dialogue with industry about the acquisition strategy for NGEN and the planned transition of assets and intellectual property currently associated with the NMCI program is a continuing

The Secretary of Defense is directed to keep the congressional defense committees well informed about the development of acquisition and transition strategies for NGEN. It is expected that the semi-annual reporting requirement in this provision will provide an efficient mechanism to support that dialogue.

Sense of Congress on nuclear weapons management (sec. 1035)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1038) that would find that the unauthorized transfer of nuclear weapons from Minot Air Force Base, North Dakota, to Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana, in August 2007: the unauthorized transfer of classified intercontinental ballistic missile parts, discovered in March 2008; and a lack of training and staffing for nuclear matters, demonstrate a lack of attention by the Department of Defense (DOD) to nuclear issues in general. In addition, the provision would set forth the sense of Congress that safety and security of nuclear weapons and related equipment should be a high priority for the United States; that the President should take steps to nominate an individual to fill the position of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs; and that the Secretary of Defense should establish a senior position in the DOD Office of Policy at an assistant secretarial or deputy under secretarial level with responsibility for nuclear policy issues.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would add an additional finding to the provision. The amendment would set forth the sense of the Congress that maintaining the safety and security of nuclear weapons would be more easily achieved if greater attention were paid to the nuclear matters in the Offices of the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. The amendment would also set forth the sense of the Congress that the Secretary of Defense should clarify lines of responsibility and accountability for nuclear weapons matters.

Sense of Congress on joint Department of Defense-Federal Aviation Administration executive committee on conflict and dispute resolution (sec. 1036)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1039) that would express the sense of the Congress that the Secretary of Defense should seek an agreement with the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to establish a Joint Executive Committee to serve as the focal point for dispute resolution and policy development, and as a mechanism for identifying solutions to a range of mutual issues.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision

Sense of Congress on sale of new outsize cargo, strategic airlift aircraft for civilian use (sec. 1037)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1040) that would encourage the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation, to: (1) review the benefits and feasibility of pursuing new production of a commercial C-17 variant to determine whether such capability would be in the national interest; and (2) if he determines it to be in the national interest, take appropriate action with the Federal Aviation Administration to achieve type certification of such aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

SUBTITLE E—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Report on corrosion control and prevention (sec. 1041)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would require the Department of

Defense, through the Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight, to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by February 1, 2009, regarding the potential for improvements in corrosion control and prevention in weapons systems by planning for corrosion control and prevention earlier in the system requirements and acquisition processes.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would change the due date of the report to not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of the Act.

Study on using Modular Airborne Fire Fighting Systems (MAFFS) in a Federal response to wildfires (sec. 1042)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a study on how to utilize the Department's Modular Airborne Fire Fighting Systems (MAFFFS) in all contingencies where there is a Federal response to wildfires, and how to decrease costs of using MAFFS when supporting National Interagency Fire Center fire fighting operations. The provision would require that the Secretary submit that report to the congressional defense committees within six months of the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Study on rotorcraft survivability (sec. 1043)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to conduct a study on rotorcraft survivability.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Report on nuclear weapons (sec. 1044)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Energy, and the Director of National Intelligence, to conduct a review of nonstrategic nuclear weapons world wide, and submit a report to Congress setting forth the results of the review. The report would be due 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the scope of the review. The review would cover nuclear weapons world wide and include a description of each country's nuclear weapons arsenal and an assessment of the various risks associated with nuclear weapons deemed to be attractive to terrorists. states, and other non-state actors. For those weapons that are deemed to be attractive to terrorists and state and non-state actors, the review would also include recommendations on mechanisms and procedures to improve the security of such weapons, monitor and track such weapons, and identify options to transparently and verifiably dismantle and dispose of such weapons. The President shall submit a report that would be due 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act. The report shall be unclassified but may have a classified annex.

Report on compliance by Department of Defense with Guam tax and licensing laws (sec. 1045)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on steps the Department is taking to ensure that defense

contractors performing work on Guam comply with local tax and licensing requirements

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment simplifying the reporting requirement.

Report on detention operations in Iraq (sec. 1046)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a detailed report to the congressional defense committees on detention operations at theater internment facilities and reintegration centers in Iraq. The Senate provision would require that the report contain information on changes in detention policies and procedures intended to incorporate counterinsurgency doctrine, and a description of policies and programs to prepare detainees for reintegration upon their release.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment clarifying the elements to be included as part of the report. We note that the description of how counterinsurgency doctrine has been incorporated at theater internment facilities in Iraq should include the changes, if any, to procedures for reviewing the detention status of individuals under detention at such facilities.

Review of bandwidth capacity requirements of the Department of Defense and the intelligence community (sec. 1047)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1055) that would direct the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to conduct a joint review of the current and future bandwidth capacity requirements of the Department of Defense and the intelligence community over the next 10 years. The review would also include a discussion of any mitigation concepts, including operational or technical options that might be used to address bandwidth capacity shortfalls. Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Director would be required to submit a report setting forth the results of the review to the congressional defense committees and the intelligence committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives. The Secretary and the Director should include and fully address in the review all means by which bandwidth is provided, including ground, aerial, and satellite options.

The provision would also direct the Secretary and the Director to establish a formal process, for each major defense acquisition or major system acquisition program, to ensure during the Milestone B or key decision point B phase of the acquisition process, that the bandwidth requirements of each such system can be met.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would expand the assessment of bandwidth capacities and capabilities to include airborne relays and expand the elements of the assessment to include technologies that could increase data transport.

Review of findings and recommendations applicable to the Department of Defense regarding electromagnetic pulse attack (sec. 1048)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would extend the duration of the Commission to Assess the Threat to the United States from Electromagnetic Pulse Attack (EMP Commission) by 4 years to 2012, expand its scope and membership, and authorize additional funds.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report in each odd numbered year until 2015 that sets forth the results of a review of the findings and recommendations of the EMP Commission that are applicable to the Department of Defense (DOD).

We note that an electromagnetic attack on the United States could have a devastating impact on the ability of the DOD and the military services to carry out their missions. The EMP Commission, over the course of 7 years has made extensive findings and recommendations for the Department of Defense and other Executive Branch agencies.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Additional information under annual submissions of information regarding information technology capital assets (sec. 1051)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would Clarify reporting requirements relating to budget submissions for information technology capital assets.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 331).

The agreement includes a provision that clarifies and reduces the burden on the Department of Defense in terms of reporting on budget requests related to information technology capital assets. The Department should continue to work to ensure that public information on the information technology budget is informative and provides visibility into the use of public funds for these important activities.

Submission to Congress of revision to regulation on enemy prisoners of war, retained personnel, civilian internees, and other detainees (sec. 1052)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would prohibit implementation of any successor regulation to Army Regulation 190–8, Enemy Prisoners of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees, and Other Detainees (dated October 1, 1997) until 60 days after the Secretary of Defense submits that successor regulation to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment. $\,$

Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Inlet, New Jersey (sec. 1053)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1067) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to pay the full cost of removing munitions from the beach at Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Inlet, New Jersey.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the language regarding reimbursement for any non-federal expenses incurred.

Standing advisory panel on improving coordination among the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the United States Agency for International Development on matters of national security (sec. 1054)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would require the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) to jointly establish an advisory panel to review the roles and responsibilities of the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the USAID on matters of national security and make recommendations to improve collaboration and coordination.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment allowing the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Administrator of the USAID to jointly establish an advisory panel to advise on ways to improve coordination among the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and USAID on matters relating to national security, including reviewing their respective roles and responsibilities.

Reports on strategic communication and public diplomacy activities of the Federal Government (sec. 1055)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1074) that would require the President to submit to Congress a report on a comprehensive interagency strategy for public diplomacy and strategic communication efforts for the Federal Government.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment. We note that numerous studies from independent commissions, the Government Accountability Office, and the Defense Science Board have indicated a lack of clearly articulated strategic goals for the Federal Government's efforts at strategic communication and public diplomacy. Taken as a whole, these studies point to deficiencies in the U.S. approach to this mission that have not been adequately addressed by previous strategies, or by any other official government initiative. For example, these studies indicate that the Federal Government's approach to strategic communication and public diplomacy has not been effective enough at garnering greater participation from the private sector, academic institutions or other non-governmental organizations. We commend the establishment of the Global Strategic Engagement Center at the Department of State, but note that its role within a whole-of-government approach to strategic communication and public diplomacy still needs to be further clarified.

Prohibitions relating to propaganda (sec. 1056)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1075) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds for propaganda purposes not specifically authorized by law.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment. We intend the term "publicity or propaganda", as used in the provision, to have the meaning given to such term in decisions of the Government Accountability Office on this subject.

Sense of Congress on interrogation of detainees by contractor personnel (sec. 1057)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1077) that would require the Secretary of Defense to revise applicable regulations, not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, to prohibit the use of contractor personnel to interrogate detainees.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1036).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment expressing the Sense of Congress that the interrogation of detainees is an inherently governmental function and that within one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense should develop the resources needed to ensure that all such interrogations can be conducted by government personnel rather than contractor employees.

Sense of Congress with respect to videotaping or otherwise electronically recording strategic intelligence interrogations of persons in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense (sec. 1058)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1078) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that all strategic intelligence interrogations of individuals in Department of Defense (DOD) custody or under detention in a DOD facility are videotaped or otherwise electronically recorded.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would express the Sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should take the necessary actions to ensure that all strategic interrogations of individuals in DOD custody or under detention in a DOD facility are videotaped or otherwise electronically recorded.

Modification of deadlines for standards required for entry to military installations in the United States (sec. 1059)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would amend section 1069 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to extend deadlines for the establishment and implementation of standards for entry to military installations in the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment modifying the deadlines.

Extension of certain dates for Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States (sec. 1060)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would extend the due date for the final report of the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States from December 1, 2008 to March 1, 2009, and the sunset date for the Commission from June 1, 2009, to September 30, 2009. The provision would also direct the Commission to submit an interim report no later than December 1, 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that that would extend the due date of the final report to April 1, 2009.

The Commission should be prepared to brief Congress on the results of the interim report when it becomes available.

Technical and clarifying amendments (sec. 1061)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would make technical and clarifying amendments.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment adding additional technical and clarifying language.

Notification of Committees on Armed Services with respect to certain nonproliferation and proliferation activities (sec. 1062)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1037) that would direct the Departments of Defense, Energy, State, and Commerce, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to keep the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives fully and currently informed with respect to their activities to prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and the Director of National Intelligence to keep the committees currently informed with respect to the current activities of foreign nations that are of significance from the proliferation standpoint.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Assessment of security measures at consolidated center for North American Aerospace Defense Command and United States Northern Command (sec. 1073)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from relocating any mission from Cheyenne Mountain Air Force Station until 30 days after the Secretary submits a report to the congressional defense committees with information concerning any such relocation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment of the adequacy of security measures for the consolidated command center for North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) and United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), and to report on the results of the assessment by no later than March 1, 2009. The provision would also require the Secretary to ensure that redundant facilities and equipment, along with appropriate manning, are maintained at Chevenne Mountain Air Force Station until the Secretary of Defense certifies that measures have been instituted the consolidated bring NORAD/ USNORTHCOM command center into full compliance with Protection Level One requirements.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED Strategic Communication Management Board

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Strategic Communication Management Board to provide interdepartmental and interagency coordination for Department of Defense strategic communication efforts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain the provision.

Studies to analyze alternative models for acquisition and funding of interconnected cyberspace systems

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would require the Secretary of Defense to contract for an independent assessment on a variety of issues related to the development, acquisition, and operational use of technologies supporting network centric operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

This provision contains aspects worthy of future consideration by the Department of Defense, as well as Congress, as they relate to acquisition of information technology systems. For example, the development of a taxonomy for understanding the key components of systems supporting network centric operations is long overdue. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) contained a provision (sec. 887) that required the Defense Science Board (DSB) to conduct a study of policies and procedures for the acquisition of information technology. This DSB study will address the majority of issues raised by the House provision, and thus it would be premature to commission another study until those results have been reported back to Congress.

Study on national defense implications of section 1083

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would require the Department of

Defense to study the national defense implications of section 1083 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Study on methods to verifiably reduce the likelihood of accidental nuclear launch

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to carry out a study to evaluate procedural and physical options to introduce time delays into the nuclear weapons launch procedures of the United States, Russia, and China.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Duncan Hunter

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Duncan Hunter.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision. The material from the provision is incorporated into section 1, the short title of the bill.

Sense of Congress in honor of the Honorable Jim Saxton, a Member of the House of Representatives

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Jim Saxton.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Terry Everett, a Member of the House of Representatives

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1053) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Terry Everett.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

sion

sion.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Jo Ann Davis, a Member of the House of Representatives

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would express the sense of Congress honoring the Honorable Jo Ann Davis.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement does not include the provision.

Authorization of appropriations for payments to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would authorize payments for salary increases based on wage survey data for fiscal years 2006 and 2007 to Portuguese nationals employed by the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

State defense force improvement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1066) that would amend section 109 of title 32, United States Code, to recognize state defense forces as an integral military component of the United States, and would authorize the Secretary of Defense to coordinate, assist, train, and transfer excess equipment

to a state defense force provided the Secretary determines certain conditions are met.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Sense of Congress regarding the roles and missions of the Department of Defense and other national security institutions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1068) that would express the sense of Congress regarding coordination between the Department of Defense and other national security organizations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Sense of Congress relating to 2008 supplemental appropriations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1069) that would state the sense of Congress regarding readiness shortfalls.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision

Sense of Congress regarding defense requirements of the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1070) that would state the sense of Congress regarding the funding of national defense requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Nonapplicability of the Federal Advisory Committee Act to the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would exempt the Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States from the applicability of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463) or 5 U.S.C. App.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Study and report on the use of power management software

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1073) that would require a report on the use of power management software.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

The strides that the Department of Defense has made in improving energy efficiency across the entire organization are encouraging. The Department is working to increase the fuel efficiency and alternative fuel options of its vast fleet of vehicles, but also developing options for improving the energy efficiency of its extensive information technology (IT) enterprise. IT systems, including all of the desktop computing, servers, routers, and associated equipment consume significant quantities of energy, and any gains of efficiency will likely translate to significant cost savings. The adoption of innovative business practices will also help contribute to the reduction of the energy consumption for these resources. The Department should continue to undertake further measures to reduce energy consumption in its information technology enterprise, and keep industry and academia aware of opportunities for them to support efforts in this area.

Public disclosure of names of students and instructors at Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1079) that would require the Secretary of Defense to release to the public, upon request, the names, ranks, countries of origin, and other information of students and instructors of the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

Authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would extend for an additional year the authority of a head of a federal agency to waive the limitations on the amount of premium pay that may be given to a civilian employee who performs certain work in an overseas location that falls under the responsibility of the United States Central Command, or in support of a military operation or responding to an emergency declared by the President. The total compensation would be limited to \$212,100 for the calendar year.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would authorize the head of an executive agency to waive limitations on the aggregate of basic and premium pay, and on allowances, differentials, bonuses, awards, and similar cash payments payable during calendar year 2009 to an employee who performs work in an overseas location under the area of responsibility of the Commander, United States Central Command in support of a contingency operation or an operation in response to a declared emergency. The total amount payable may not exceed the total annual compensation payable to the Vice President under section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would account for the possibility of certain employees no longer working under the area of responsibility of the United States Central Command due to the changes that would accompany the stand up of the United States Africa Command. The amendment would also address the manner in which premium pay caps and aggregate compensation limits are handled.

Temporary discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone (sec. 1102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would provide temporary discretionary authority to federal agencies to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities comparable to those provided to members of the foreign service to an agency's civilian employees on official duty in a combat zone. This authority would expire in 2011.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Election of insurance coverage by federal civilian employees deployed in support of a contingency operation (sec. 1103)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would authorize federal civilian employees deployed in support of a contingency operation and Department of Defense employees designated as emergency essential to elect to receive automatic life insurance coverage upon notification of deployment or

designation. The provision would also authorize such civilian employees to elect optional life insurance or additional optional life insurance within 60 days after the employee's date of notification of deployment or designation.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments (sec. 1104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would extend until the end of fiscal year 2014 the authority of the Secretary of Defense or the secretaries of the military departments to pay an employee the total amount of severance pay in one lump sum.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1107).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority of Department of Defense (sec. 1105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would extend, from 2010 to 2014, existing authorities under section 3502(f)(5) of title 5, United States Code, to allow an employee who is not affected by a reduction in force (RIF) to volunteer to be separated to protect another employee from being involuntarily separated by RIF procedures.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would make permanent the authority under section 3502(f) of title 5, United States Code.

The agreement includes the House provision

Enhancement of authorities relating to additional positions under the National Security Personnel System (sec. 1106)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would clarify the authority of the Department of Defense (DOD) to utilize streamlined hiring practices under the National Security Personnel System (NSPS).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) substantially revised the Department's authority under the NSPS legislation, with the objective of restoring the collective bargaining rights of DOD employees. Unfortunately, proposed regulations, issued May 22, 2008, to implement that legislation would restrict such rights by unilaterally removing negotiable issues from the scope of collective bargaining. In particular, the law provided that while unions would not be able to negotiate over the "rate of pay," they would be permitted to bargain over "procedures and appropriate arrangements," as defined in chapter 71 of title 5, United States Code regarding pay. However, the proposed regulations define "rate of pay" so broadly that it would be impossible to negotiate over any procedures or appropriate arrangements. We intend to carefully scrutinize the final regulation when published. Should it fail to comport with the intent of Congress to restore the collective bargaining rights of DOD employees, we shall revisit the issue in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

Expedited hiring authority for health care professionals (sec. 1107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would amend section 1599c of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to designate any category of medical or health care professional position within the Department of Defense as a shortage category position, and would authorize the Secretary to recruit and appoint

highly qualified individuals directly to those designated positions. This bill would also extend health care hiring authorities under this section until September 30, 2012.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1104).

The agreement includes the House provi-

Direct hire authority at personnel demonstration laboratories for certain candidates (sec. 1108)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1109) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make a limited number of appointments to positions at specified defense laboratories using expedited hiring procedures.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that clarifies that the authority is intended for the purposes of hiring a limited number of qualified candidates with advanced degrees into scientific and engineering positions in specified defense laboratories. There are clear difficulties facing defense laboratories in recruiting and retaining a highly qualified, technical workforce to support their designated national security missions. It is expected that this authority, if aggressively implemented, will assist in addressing these challenges.

Status reports relating to laboratory personnel demonstration projects (sec. 1109)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1110) that would require status reports on the utilization of personnel authorities granted to the Department of Defense related to the laboratory personnel demonstration program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that clarifies that the report should include information on any defense laboratories that are seeking to be newly designated as a demonstration laboratory and the status of those applications. The amendment also clarifies that the designation of a "demonstration laboratory" is distinct from the designation of a "science and technology reinvention laboratory."

Technical amendment relating to definition of professional accounting position for purposes of certification and credentialing standards (sec. 1110)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would amend section 1599d(e) of title 10, United States Code, to update the definition of a "professional accounting position."

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1109).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Exceptions and adjustments to limitations on personnel and reports on such exceptions and adjustments (sec. 1111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to adjust statutory ceilings on management headquarters personnel.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would repeal the ceilings.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would establish certain exceptions to the ceilings and authorize the Secretary of Defense to adjust the ceilings.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Department of Defense strategic human capital plans

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would codify the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to submit an an-

nual strategic human capital plan and consolidate the requirements of section 1122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), section 1102 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364), and section 851 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Conditional increase in authorized number of Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service personnel

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would increase the authorized number of Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service personnel, provided that certain conditions are met.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Requirement relating to furloughs during the time of a contingency operation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify that he has no other legal measures available to avoid issuing furlough notices to civilian employees of the Department of Defense on the basis of a lack of funds during contingency operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We expect that execution of a furlough of civilian employees during a contingency operation will be a measure of last resort only, after all other alternative actions are taken or considered, in order to avoid disruption of civilian workforce operations.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Extension of authority to build the capacity of the Pakistan Frontier Corps (sec. 1201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would extend through fiscal year 2010 the authority under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) for the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide up to \$75.0 million in assistance to enhance the ability of the Pakistan Frontier Corps to conduct counterterrorism operations along the border between Pakistan and Afghanistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to extend this authority through fiscal year 2009 and limit the authorized funding level for such assistance to \$25.0 million. We note that the Department of State intends to seek Foreign Military Financing funding for the Pakistan Frontier Corps program in fiscal year 2009 and encourage the transition of funding for this program from the Department of Defense to the Department of State.

Availability across fiscal years of funds for military-to-military contacts and comparable activities (sec. 1202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would amend section 168(e) of title 10, United States Code, to allow funds authorized under that section in a fiscal year to be used for programs that begin in that fiscal year but end in the following one, starting with fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1211).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Availability across fiscal years of funds to pay incremental expenses for participation of developing counties in combined exercises (sec. 1203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would amend section 2010 of title 10, United States Code, to allow funds authorized under that section in any fiscal year to be available for programs that begin in that fiscal year but end in the following one, beginning in fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Extension of temporary authority to use acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment for personnel protection and survivability (sec. 1204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would extend the authority granted by section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), as amended by section 1252 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), through September 30, 2010. This provision would also add two elements to the existing reporting requirement regarding types and disposition of equipment lent to foreign nations but not returned to the United States.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1206) that would extend the section 1202 authority through September 30, 2013.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to extend the section 1202 authority through September 30, 2011

Authority for distribution to certain foreign personnel of education and training materials and information technology to enhance military interoperability with the armed forces (sec. 1205)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would amend section 1207 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to extend the authority through fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would make permanent the authority provided under section 1207 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require that the annual report on the use of this authority, which is provided to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, also be provided to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces (sec. 1206)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1206) that would extend through fiscal year 2010 the authority provided in section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163). The provision would also permit the use of funds provided in a fiscal year for programs to build the capacity of foreign military forces that began in that fiscal year but continued into the next fiscal year.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would extend and modify the authority under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year

2006 (Public Law 109–163). The provision would expand the types of security forces eligible to be trained and equipped under this authority and increase the annual limitation on authorized funding from \$300.0 million to \$400.0 million. The provision would also extend the section 1206 authority through September 30, 2011.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would expand the types of security forces eligible to receive assistance under this section to include maritime security forces for the purpose of building capacity conduct to counterterrorism operations. The amendment would also increase the authorized funding level to \$350.0 million per fiscal year. The amendment would allow funds available in a fiscal year to be used for programs that begin in that fiscal year but end in the next fiscal year. The amendment would extend the section 1206 authority through September 30, 2011.

The train and equip authority under section 1206 was initiated as a pilot program and is generally intended to address emerging needs for building the capacity of foreign military forces, particularly those of developing or other countries that otherwise would be unable to build this capacity on their own. This authority is not intended to duplicate or substitute for other foreign assistance authorities, nor is it intended to sustain train and equip programs over multiple years. We continue to view this authority as provisional and intend to continue to carefully monitor its implementation.

Moreover, as clearly articulated in the conference report accompanying the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), we continue to believe strongly that foreign assistance programs are more appropriately funded through the foreign assistance accounts, as administered by the Department of State, and expect future budget requests to include sufficient funding for foreign military assistance in those accounts.

It is essential that the Department choose projects that strictly meet the criteria stipulated by the authority—projects that will contribute directly to building the capacity of a foreign country's national military forces to conduct counterterrorist operations or participate in or support military and stability operations in which the United States armed forces are participants. When this authority is used for counterterrorism purposes, nations that face a known terrorist threat, and that do not have the resources to build their military capacity without U.S. assistance, should be given the highest priority.

Extension of authority and increased funding for security and stabilization assistance (sec. 1207)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would extend the authority provided under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), as amended by section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), through September 30, 2010.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1205) that would extend the section 1207 authority through September 30, 2011, and increase the authorized annual funding level from \$100.0 million to \$200.0 million.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the 1207 authority through September 30, 2009. The amendment provides that up to \$50.0 million in assistance under section 1207 may be provided to the Republic of Georgia, without that assistance counting against the authorized annual funding limit of \$100.0 mil-

lion. The amendment also clarifies that Section 1207 authority is not to be used to provide budgetary support to a foreign country.

The Congress established this authority as a temporary measure to allow the Department of Defense to support Department of State efforts to address security and stabilization missions. Budget proposals for the Department of State should provide adequate resources to accomplish those missions without relying on this temporary transfer authority, and we urge the administration to request sufficient funding for the Department of State in future budget submissions.

Extension and expansion of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism (sec. 1208)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1208) that would make permanent the authority provided in section 1208 of the Ronald Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375) for the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to foreign forces, irregular forces, groups or individuals supporting or facilitating military operations by U.S. special operations forces to combat terrorism. The provision would also increase the amount that may be expended during any fiscal year from \$25.0 million to \$35.0 million.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1203) that would extend the section 1208 authority through 2011 and also increase the authorized annual funding level to \$35.0 million.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment extending this authority through 2013.

Increase in amount available for costs of education and training of foreign military forces under Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program (sec. 1209)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1209) that would amend section 2249(c) of title 10, United States Code, to increase the authorized annual funding level for the Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program from \$25.0 million to \$35.0 million

The Senate bill (sec. 1201) contained a similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN

Limitation on availability of funds for certain purposes relating to Iraq (sec. 1211)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized by this Act or any other act to establish any military bases in Iraq for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in that country or to establish U.S. control over Iraqi oil resources.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2913) applicable to the use of funds authorized by this Act only.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Report on status of forces agreements between the United States and Iraq (sec. 1212)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would require the President to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs within 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act on any status of forces agreement between the United States and the Republic of Irao.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Strategy for United States-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams in Iraq (sec. 1213)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would require the President to establish a strategy for U.S.-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams (PRTs) in Iraq to ensure those PRTs are supporting the operational and strategic goals of coalition forces in Iraq.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the strategy established by the President should also ensure that PRTs are developing the capacity of Iraqi government and other civil institutions to assume increasing responsibility for the formulation, implementation, and oversight of reconstruction and development activities.

Commanders' Emergency Response Program (sec. 1214)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would amend section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), as amended by section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), to modify the authorized level of funding for the activities of the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP). The provision would authorize \$1.7 billion for the activities of this program in fiscal year 2008, and \$1.5 billion in fiscal year 2009. The provision would also impose a new limitation on the amounts that could be obligated and expended through the CERP in the Republic of Iraq during fiscal year 2009 of twice the amount obligated during calendar year 2008 by the Government of Iraq through the Government of Iraq CERP (I-CERP).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), as amended by section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), to authorize \$1.7 billion for the activities of this program in fiscal year 2008, and \$1.5 billion in fiscal year 2009. The provision would also impose a limitation of \$2.0 million on the amount of U.S. CERP funds that could be contributed to any individual humanitarian and reconstruction project in Iraq. The provision would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive this limitation if he determines that a waiver is required to meet urgent humanitarian relief and reconstruction requirements that will immediately assist the Iraqi people. The provision would also require the Secretary, or the Deputy Secretary of Defense, to certify that any project that is funded with U.S. CERP funds at a level of \$1.0 million or more addresses urgent humanitarian relief and reconstruction requirements that will immediately assist the Iraqi people. The amendment would also require certain elements be included in the quarterly CERP reports to the congressional defense committees. The amendment also includes a sense of the Congress that the Government of Iraq should assume increasing responsibility for funding and carrying out projects currently funded by the United States through CERP, and should assume all costs associated with the Sons of Iraq as expeditiously as possible.

Performance monitoring system for United States-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams in Afghanistan (sec. 1215)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1215) that would require the President to develop and implement a system for monitoring the performance of U.S.-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams (PRTs) in Afghanistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the PRT-specific work plans, required as part of the performance monitoring system, will include plans for developing the capacity of the Afghan government and other civil institutions to assume increasing responsibility for the formulation, implementation, and oversight of reconstruction and development activities.

Report on command and control structure for military forces operating in Afghanistan (sec. 1216)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the command and control structure for military forces operating in Afghanistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on any efforts to modify the chain of command structure for military forces in Afghanistan to better coordinate and de-conflict military operations and achieve unity of command whenever possible in Afghanistan. We welcome the decision to dual-hat the position of Commander, International Security Assistance Force, and Commander, U.S. Forces Afghanistan.

The report required by this section, or the report required by section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), should identify which offices in the U.S. and NATO ISAF military headquarters are responsible for coordinating counternarcotics operations. That report should also include an assessment of the coordination between U.S. and NATO ISAF military forces, and the Government of Afghanistan to coordinate and de-conflict operations relating to or in support of the counternarcotics activities of the national and provincial governments of Afghanistan and of other Departments and agencies of the United States and other member countries of NATO ISAF.

Reports on enhancing security and stability in the region along the border of Afghanistan and Pakistan (sec. 1217)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would add the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives as recipients of the notifications, required under section 1232(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), relating to Department of Defense Coalition Support Funds for Pakistan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide copies of the notifications required under section 1232(b) to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House. The amendment further specifies additional information to be provided in the notification required under section 1232(b) or within 180 days if not submitted as part of the notification.

The amendment to the House provision also requires the Secretary of Defense to provide semi-annually the report required by section 1232(a) on enhancing security and stability in the region along the border of Afghanistan and Pakistan.

In addition, the amendment to the House provision requires the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the efforts of the Department of Defense to address the findings and implement the recommendations of the report by the Government Accountability Office entitled "Combating Terrorism: Increased Oversight and Accountability Needed Over Pakistan Reimbursement Claims for Coalition Support Funds." The report's recommendations include: that the Department of Defense consistently implement strong guidance concerning reimbursements to the Pakistan Government; that the Department of Defense define and formalize the roles and responsibilities of the Department of Defense's Office of Defense Representative to Pakistan concerning such reimbursements; that the Department of Defense clarify guidance for the Department of Defense Comptroller in connection with such reimbursements; and that the Department of Defense work with the Government of Pakistan to develop procedures to allow the Office of the Defense Representative to Pakistan or other U.S. representatives to conduct greater oversight of such reimbursements.

Study and report on Police Transition Teams to train, assist, and advise units of the Iraqi Police Service (sec. 1218)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1218) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report within 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act regarding the staffing and funding of Police Training Teams in Irac.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

Payment of personnel expenses for multilateral cooperation programs (sec. 1231)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would amend section 1051(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize payment by the Secretary of Defense of the travel, subsistence, and personal expenses of defense personnel of developing countries in connection with the attendance of such personnel at multilateral conferences, seminars, or similar meetings when in the national security interests of the United States.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1213).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Participation of the Department of Defense in multinational military centers of excellence (sec. 1232)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would extend through fiscal year 2009 the authority granted by section 1205 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), as amended by section 1204 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), for Department of Defense personnel to participate in North Atlantic Treaty Organization multinational military centers of excellence.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would make permanent the authority granted by section 1205 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Review of security risks of participation by defense contractors in certain space activities of the People's Republic of China (sec. 1233)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from obligating or expending any fiscal year 2009 or other fiscal year funds available to the Department of Defense (DOD) for classified work under contracts with a company if that company or affiliate is engaged with the People's Republic of China in the development of "ITAR-free" satellites. The restriction could be waived following a certification by the Secretary of Defense in consultation with the Secretary of State. The requirement to implement the restriction would not take effect until 60 days after enactment or if the Secretary of Defense submits a report to the congressional defense committees with a determination that implementation of the requirement does not promote the national interest.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review to determine whether there are any security risks associated with the participation by covered contractors in certain space activities of the People's Republic of China. The provision would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees setting forth the conclusions of the review by March 1, 2009.

One of the elements of the review to be conducted is whether there have been any incidents with respect to which a determination has been made that an improper disclosure of covered information occurred during the 5 year period preceding the date of enactment. We would urge, in the event that the Secretary determines that such an event has occurred after the date of enactment and before the due date of the report, that any such incident should be included to the extent practicable.

Given the nature of the subject matter in the report we expect it to be classified, but to the extent practicable an unclassified summary should be submitted.

Report on Iran's capability to produce nuclear weapons (sec. 1234)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would direct the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) to submit to Congress, 180 days after the enactment of this Act and annually thereafter, an update of the National Intelligence Estimate entitled "Tran: Nuclear Intentions and Capabilities" dated November 2007. The issues to be addressed in the report include, the status of Iran's uranium enrichment program; an estimate of the amount of weapons grade material held by Iran; a description of weaponization and delivery system activities; and an assessment made by US allies of Iran's nuclear weapons capabilities.

The provision would direct the DNI to notify Congress within 15 days if there were significant changes in the Iranian program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the DNI to submit an annual report on Iran's capacity to produce nuclear weapons. The first report is due not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The report may be submitted in classified form. The amendment would also modify the issues to address the uranium enrichment program and to address plutonium production capabilities. In addition, the President would be required to notify Congress if Iran resumes its nuclear weapons program.

The report required by this provision shall be prepared following standard procedures used to produce reports by the national intelligence community and should include dissenting opinions from other intelligence community elements.

Employment for resettled Iraqis (sec. 1235)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would create a joint Department of Defense/Department of State program for the purpose of hiring Iraqis, who supported the United States' efforts in Iraq and have resettled in the U.S., as interpreters, translators, and cultural awareness instructors for agencies of the Federal Government.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Extension and modification of updates on report on claims relating to the bombing of the Labelle Discotheque (sec. 1236)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would extend and modify a reporting requirement on the status of negotiations between the Government of Libya and United States claimants in connection with the bombing of the Labelle Discotheque in Berlin, Germany, that occurred in April 1986. The reporting requirement is an extension of section 1225 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) and section 1261 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the next report to be submitted not later than 90 days following the enactment of this Act, and that subsequent reports be submitted every 180 days thereafter. The amendment would further terminate the reporting requirement upon submission by the Secretary of State to Congress of the certification described in the Libya Claims Resolution Act (Public Law 110-301).

We note the recent enactment of the Libya Claims Resolution Act and are hopeful the cases of the American victims of Libyansponsored acts of terrorism can be resolved in short order. We will continue to monitor the implementation of the Libya Claims Resolution Act.

Report on utilization of certain global partnership authorities (sec. 1237)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report not later than December 31, 2010, on the implementation of certain Building Global Partnership authorities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification and repeal of requirement to submit certain annual reports to Congress regarding allied contributions to the common defense (sec. 1238)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would repeal certain requirements for the Secretary of Defense to report annually on allied contributions to the common defense and on costsharing by North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) allies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would repeal the reporting requirement regarding NATO cost sharing and modify the other reports to require the Secretary of Defense to provide information on allied annual defense spending and contributions to military and stability operations in which United States Armed Forces participate.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Declaration of policy relating to status of forces agreements between the United States and Iraq

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would declare that it is U.S. policy to ensure that any agreement between the United States and Iraq on the status of U.S. forces requires burdensharing by the Government of Iraq in support of U.S. Armed Forces stationed in Iraq.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision. We note that the agreement includes a provision (sec. 1508) calling for the United States to initiate negotiations with Iraq on a cost-sharing agreement relating to the costs of combined operations of Iraqi Security Forces and Multi-National Force-Iraq.

Limitation on certain status of forces agreements between the United States and Iraq

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220) that would prohibit any agreement between the United States and Iraq that obligates the United States to respond to internal or external threats against Iraq from being in force with respect to the United States unless the agreement is approved by Congress, either in the form of a treaty or by an Act of Congress enacted after the date of this Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision. It is well established that any agreement that obligates the United States to come to the defense of another country against internal or external threats must be approved by Congress. There is no need to restate the current state of existing law in this Act.

Report on long-term costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would require the President to submit to Congress a report estimating the long-term direct and indirect costs of Operations Iraqi Freedom and Enduring Freedom under three different scenarios.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Waiver of certain sanctions against North Korea

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would provide the President with limited authority to waive, with respect to North Korea, the application of sanctions under section 102(b) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2799aa-1(b)). The President would be required to notify Congress 15 days in advance of exercising such waiver authority. In addition, the provision would require the President to submit an annual report to Congress listing all the waivers granted during the preceding year and describe in detail the progress being made by North Korea in implementing the commitments included in the Joint Statement of September 19, 2005, to abandon all nuclear weapons, existing nuclear programs, and all other programs associated with the elimination of the ability of North Korea to develop, deploy, transfer, or maintain weapons of mass destruction or their delivery systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision. A similar provision was included in the Supplemental Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–252).

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds (sec. 1301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1301) that would define the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs, define the funds authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of the bill, and authorize CTR funds to be available for obligation for 3 years.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1301)

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Funding allocations (sec. 1302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1302) that would authorize \$445.1 million for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision that would authorize \$434.1 million (sec. 1302).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize \$434.1 million for the CTR program, an increase of \$20.0 million above the budget request. In addition, the agreement would reduce the notification period for obligations and expenditures to 15 days from 30 days. The Agreement would also authorize a specific amount for each of the CTR program elements. The agreement authorizes an increase of \$10.0 million for new initiatives including activities in states outside of the former Soviet Union, \$1.0 million for additional expenses associated with the Russian chemical weapons destruction activities, and an increase of \$9.0 million for weapons of mass destruction proliferation prevention in the former Soviet Union.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS
Working capital funds (sec. 1401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1401) that would authorize appropriations for the working capital funds of the Department of Defense, including the Defense Com-

missary Agency.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1401).

The agreement includes this provision.

National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1402) that would authorize appropriations for the National Defense Sealift Fund.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1402).

The agreement includes this provision.

Defense Health Program (sec. 1403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1403) that would authorize fiscal year 2009 funds for the Defense Health Program and other programs.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1403).

The agreement includes this provision.

Chemical agents and munitions destruction, Defense (sec. 1404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1404) that would authorize appropriations for chemical agents and munitions destruction.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1404).

The agreement includes this provision.

Drug Interdiction and Counterdrug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1405) that would authorize fiscal year 2009 funds for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities and other programs.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities (sec. 1405)

The agreement includes the provision.

TITLE XIV - OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS Defense Working Capital Funds	00 00 01 01	108	400		000
Defense Commissary Agency	1,291,084	1,291,084	1,291,084		1,291,084
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND					
National Defense Sealift Fund	1,962,253	1,401,553	1,608,553	-353,681	1,608,572
MPF (F) LHA(K) advance procurement - transfer to SCN 16 MPF (F)				[-348,281]	F-348 2811
MPF(F) LHA(R) R&D - transfer to RDN 112 [PE 0604567N]				[-5,400]	[-5,400]
DEFENSE COALITION SUPPORT FUND					
Defense Coalition Support Fund	22,000	22,000		-22,000	
NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND	000	000	000		
ADDITIONED TO DETEND TO UNITED TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE	-1,300,000	-1,300,000	-1,300,000		-1,300,000
Inflation Savings (section 1407)			-1,048,000		

TITLE XIV - OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title MILITARY PROGRAMS	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Authorized
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM O&M DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM O&M [Memo: Funds derived by transfer from National Defense Stockpile] Restore military to civilian conversions (transfer to Milpers) Madigan Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization Temporary Prohibition on TRICARE Pharamcy Copayments [sec 702] One-year extension of Prohibition on TRICARE Health Fees [sec. 701] Increase Full Time Support Authorization Army NG Preventive Health Care for TRICARE for Life Military Health Risk Management Smoking Cessation TRICARE for Life Program Smoking Cessation TRICARE for Life Program Center of Excellence on Auditory Injuries Center of Excellence on Auditory Injuries Preventive Health Allowance Wide Angel Virtual Environment for USUHS Transitional Health Care	24,301,359 [1,300,000]	24,281,029	24,301,359	165,715 [-101,085] [2,000] [75,000] [47,000] [47,000] [57,000] [50,000] [20,000] [20,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000] [5,000]	24,467,074 [1,300,000]

TITLE XIV - OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

Senate Agreement Agreement Change Authorized 1,184,000	38 196,938 2,000 195,938 [2,000]	303,905 303,905	72 24,802,202 1,351,715 24,966,917	1,152,668 268,881	85 64,085 64,085
House Authorized	198,738	288,405	24,768,172	1,152,668 268,881	64,085
<u>FY2009</u> <u>Reguest</u> -1,184,000	193,938	303,905	23,615,202	1,152,668 268,881	64,085
<u>Program Title</u> DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM R&D PULSEII Virtual Clinical Learning Lab US Army Medical Research and Material Command Copper Antimicrobial Research Program TBI and PTSD research initiative (PE 63115HP)	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM PROCUREMENT Reduction in ALHTA Block 3	Total Defense Health Program	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RDT&E Blue Grass Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC

TITLE XIV - OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Agreement Change	Agreement Authorized
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	1,060,463	1,060,463	1,060,463		1,060,463
International Support Southwestern Border Fence				-2,000	
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	1,060,463	1,060,463	1,060,463		1,060,463
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	246,445	270,445	270,445	24,000	270,445
PROCUREMENT	1,400	3,400	3,400	2,000	3,400
Total Office of the Inspection General	247,845	273,845	273,845	26,000	273,845
CIVIL PROGRAMS (non-defense, function 600)					
Armed Forces Retirement Home	63,010	63,010	63,010		63,010
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	28,645,641	29,263,911	28,434,941	1,002,034	29,293,994

Defense Inspector General (sec. 1406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1406) that would authorize appropriations for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1406).

The agreement includes this provision.

National Defense Sealift Fund amendments (sec. 1407)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1014) that would change the definition of what vessels would be funded within the National Defense Sealift Fund (NDSF) account, and would strike subsection (J) of section 2218 of title 10, United States Code, which allows the Secretary of Defense to transfer resources within the NDSF if he determines that the action serves the national defense interest.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1432) that would change the definition of what vessels would be funded in the NDSF.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile Authorized uses of National Defense Stockpile funds (sec. 1411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would authorize \$41.2 million from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund for the operation and maintenance of the National Defense Stockpile for fiscal year 2009. This section would also permit the use of additional funds for extraordinary or emergency conditions 45 days after Congress receives notification.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Revisions to previously authorized disposals from the National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1412) that would authorize revisions on limitations in National Defense Stockpile (NDS) by amending section 3303(a) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261), as amended most recently by section 1412(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to increase the Department's disposal authority from \$1.1 billion to \$1.5 billion.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would increase the Department's disposal authority from \$1.1 billion to \$1.4 billion.

We note that in June 2008 the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness determined the need to suspend, or limit, the authorized sales of selected materials in the NDS inventory pending a review of critical and strategic materials. This provision is not intended to supersede this determination, but to provide additional authority if the Department's review of materials justifies continued sales.

SUBTITLE C—ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421) that would authorize \$63.0 million to be appropriated for fiscal year 2009 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1421).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM AND OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of additional appropriations for operations in Afghanistan and Iraq for fiscal year 2009 (sec. 1501)

The House bill contained a series of provisions (sections 1501–1507 and 1509–1515) that would authorize \$70.0 billion in fiscal year 2009 appropriations for military operations in Afghanistan and Iraq.

The Senate bill contained a series of provisions (sections 1501–1512) that would authorize \$19.9 billion in fiscal year 2009 funding for military operations in Afghanistan.

The Senate bill also contained a series of provisions (sections 1601–1613) that would authorize \$49.6 billion in fiscal year 2009 funding for military operations in Iraq.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize \$66.0 billion in fiscal year 2009 appropriations for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan in accordance with the funding provided in Chapter 2 of title IX of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (Public Law 110-252).

The agreement would also authorize \$2.1 billion for an additional six C-17 aircraft.

Requirement for separate display of budgets for Afghanistan and Iraq (sec. 1502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would require the Secretary of Defense, for any annual or supplemental budget request of the Department of Defense, to clearly and separately set forth any funding requested for any U.S. operations in Afghanistan.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would require a similar separate budget display for operations in Iraq.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1516) that would require a separate budget display for funding of operations in Afghanistan.

The agreement combines the House and Senate provisions into a single provision that would require separate budget displays for operations in Afghanistan and operations in Irac.

Joint improvised explosive device defeat fund (sec. 1503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1507) that would authorize funding for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (JIEDDF). This section would require that of the funds appropriated to the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, \$50.0 million shall be made available for the rapid fielding of additional Aerial Reconnaissance Multi-Sensor platforms for tactical operations in Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom.

The Senate bill contained two similar provisions (sec. 1505 and sec. 1605) both of which would authorize funding for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

The agreement includes a provision authorizing funding for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

We urge the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) to direct \$5.0 million each to ongoing Marine Corps and Army efforts to develop specialized improvised explosive device (IED) dog teams. The Marine Corps program has already deployed IED dog teams embedded with combat forces successfully to Iraq and intends to expand the program. JIEDDO has been funding the development of specialized IED dog team capabilities in the Army (\$2.75 million in fiscal years 2008 and 2009), and the Army is now fielding its first embedded IED dog team with a Brigade Combat team (BCT). However, the Marine Corps needs ad-

ditional funds to sustain its research and development program, and the Army will be able to field this counter-IED capability to only one BCT in fiscal year 2009 unless JIEDDO provides additional funds or the Army re-prioritizes its military working dog budget. We direct the director of JIEDDO, the Deputy Chief of Staff of the Army for Intelligence, and the Army Provost Marshal General review this situation and notify the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives of their decision within 30 days of the enactment of this Act.

Further, we also urge JIEDDO to continue funding at a level of not less than \$65.0 million the ongoing efforts of the Irregular Warfare Support office under the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations/Low Intensity Conflict.

Science & technology investment strategy to defeat or counter improvised explosive devices (sec. 1504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1508) that would limit the amount of funds that the Joint Improvised Explosive Devise Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) may obligate for science and technology (S&T) efforts until it delivers to Congress a report describing its S&T strategy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Director of JIEDDO, jointly with the Director for Defense Research and Engineering, to develop and deliver to the congressional defense committees an annual report outlining the Department of Defense's (DOD) investment strategy for S&T to defeat and counter improvised explosive devices (IEDs).

According to DOD Directive 2000.19E, JIEDDO's mission is to "focus (lead, advocate, coordinate) all DOD actions in support of the Combatant Commanders' and their respective Joint Task Forces' efforts to defeat Improvised Explosive Devices as weapons of strategic influence." Even more specifically. it requires the Director of JIEDDO to "integrate all IED Defeat solutions throughout the Department of Defense, seeking Interagency assistance, as necessary, and identifying innovative near-term solutions" While JIEDDO has actively invested in S&T efforts to support its mission, it has neglected its responsibility to lead, advocate and coordinate the Department's total S&T investment in this area. If JIEDDO does not serve in this coordination role, then the likelihood for duplicative and redundant investment with Service and Defense Agencies investments increases dramatically.

Limitation on Iraq Security Forces Fund (sec. 1505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1512) that would authorize fiscal year 2009 appropriations for the Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF).

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1613).

The agreement includes a provision that would subject funds authorized in this title for the ISFF to the terms and conditions of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181). The funding authorization for this program is contained in section 1501 of this Act. Additional restrictions on the use of the ISFF for infrastructure projects are contained elsewhere in this Act.

Limitations on Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1513) that would authorize fiscal year 2009 appropriations for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF).

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1512).

The agreement includes a provision that would subject funds authorized in this title for the ASFF to the terms and conditions of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181). The funding authorization for the ASFF is contained in section 1501 of this Act.

Special transfer authority (sec. 1507)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1516) that would authorize the transfer of up to \$4.0 billion of war-related funding authorizations in this title among the accounts in this title.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1514) that would authorize transfers of up to \$3.0 billion.

The agreement includes the House provision.

This special transfer authority is in addition to the general transfer authority contained in section 1001 of this Act, but the same reprogramming procedures applicable to transfers under section 1001 would also apply to transfers under this section.

Prohibition on use of United States funds for certain facilities projects in Iraq and contributions by Iraq to combined operations and other activities in Iraq (sec. 1508)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1512) that would prohibit the use of certain funds for the acquisition, conversion, rehabilitation, or installation of facilities for the Iraqi Security Forces.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1616) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized by this Act to pay for any largescale infrastructure project commenced after the date of enactment of this Act. The provision would also require the United States Government to begin negotiating an agreement with the Government of Iraq to share the costs of combined operations between the Government of Iraq and Multi-National Force Iraq. The provision would further require that the United States Government act to ensure that Iraqi funds are used to pay the costs of training, equipping, and sustaining the Iraqi Security Forces and the costs associated with the Sons of Iraq.

The agreement includes a provision that would prohibit the use of certain funds for the acquisition, conversion, rehabilitation, or installation of facilities for the use of the Government of Iraq, political subdivisions of Iraq, or agencies, departments or forces of the Government of Iraq or its subdivisions. The provision would also require the United States Government to begin negotiating an agreement with the Government of Iraq to share the costs of combined operations between the Government of Iraq and Multi-National Force-Iraq. The provision would further require that the United States Government act to ensure that Iraqi funds are used to pay the costs of training, equipping, and sustaining the Iraqi Security Forces.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED
Limitation on use of funds

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1515) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to Congress on the allocation of funding for operations in Afghanistan at the line-item level 15 days prior to the obligation of such funds.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Treatment as additional authorizations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1517) that would provide that the authoriza-

tions in this title are in addition to any other authorizations in this Act.

The Senate bill contained identical provisions relating to funding for military operations in Afghanistan (sec. 1513) and Iraq (sec. 1614).

The agreement does not include this provision

Limitation on use of funds

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1615) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to Congress on the allocation of funding for operations in Iraq at the line-item level 15 days prior to the obligation of such funds.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XVI—RECONSTRUCTION AND STABILIZATION CIVILIAN MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Short title (sec. 1601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1601) that would provide that the title may be referred to as the "Reconstruction and Stabilization Civilian Management Act of 2008."

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Findings (sec. 1602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1602) that would contain congressional findings regarding efforts to improve U.S. capacity to prepare, plan for, and conduct stabilization and reconstruction operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Definitions (sec. 1603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1603) that would provide definitions for use in the Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Authority to provide assistance for reconstruction and stabilization crises (sec. 1604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1604) that would amend chapter 1 of part III of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (Public Law 87-195) to provide authority for the President to furnish stabilization or reconstruction assistance to a country or region that is at risk of, in, or is in transition from, conflict or civil strife if the President determines it is in the national security interests of the United States for U.S. civilian agencies or non-federal employees to do so. The provision also provided that funds available for stabilization and reconstruction assistance under this section would be funds made available under any other provision of law and under other provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act and transferred or reprogrammed for the purposes of this section, subject to the procedures applicable to a notification under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would allow funds available for stabilization and reconstruction assistance under this section to be limited to funds made available under other provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act and

transferred or reprogrammed for the purposes of this section, subject to the procedures applicable to a notification under section 634A of the Foreign Assistance Act.

Reconstruction and stabilization (sec. 1605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1605) that would amend the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (Public Law 84-85) to add a new section establishing within the Department of State the Office of the Coordinator for Reconstruction and Stabilization, and authorize the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development and the heads of other appropriate U.S. Government agencies, to establish and maintain a Response Readiness Corps to provide assistance in support of reconstruction and stabilization operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Authorities related to personnel (sec. 1606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1606) that would authorize the Secretary of State, or the head of any U.S. agency with respect to personnel of that agency, to extend certain death gratuity, training, and travel expense benefits or privileges, that are provided to members of the Foreign Service under the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-465), to any individual assigned, detailed, or deployed to carry out reconstruction and stabilization activities under the Reconstruction and Stabilization Civilian Management Act of 2008.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Reconstruction and Stabilization Strategy (sec. 1607)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1607) that would require the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development, to develop an interagency strategy for responding to stabilization and reconstruction operations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Annual reports to Congress (sec. 1608)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1608) that would require the Secretary of State to report annually to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives on the implementation of the Reconstruction and Stabilization Civilian Management Act of 2008.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Short title (sec. 2001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2001) that would designate division B of this Act as the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2001).

S
좄
Ĕ
₫
Ŋ
œ
웃
Ε
3
-
õ
₣
$\mathbf{\tilde{c}}$
2
든
တ္
Ó
Ö
≿
Ä
Ε
Z
8
ō
2
Ĺ

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Army	ALABAMA	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT	POWERTRAIN TRANSMISSION REPAIR FACILITY	27,000		27,000
Army	ALABAMA	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT	SMALL ARMS REPAIR SHOP-DEPOT LEVEL	18,000		18,000
Army	ALABAMA	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT	Lake Yard Interchange		1,400	1,400
Army Guard	ALABAMA	FORT MCCLELLAN	MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	3,000		3,000
Army	ALABAMA	FORT RUCKER	Chapel Center		6,800	6,800
Air Force	ALABAMA	MAXWELL AFB	AIR & SPACE BASIC COURSE COMBAT ARMS TNG FAC	15,556		15,556
Army	ALABAMA	Redstone Arsenal	System Software Engineering Annex Phase III		16,500	16,500
Army Guard	ALASKA	Bethel Armory	Bethel Readiness Center		16,000	16,000
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	C-17 RESTORE ROAD	2,000		2,000
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIP SHOP	7,200		7,200
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 CORROSION CTRULO MX/COMPOSITE REPAIR FAC	22,400		22,400
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	16,400		16,400
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22A 7 BAY AIRCRAFT SHELTER	20,400		20,400
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22A 8 BAY AIRCRAFT SHELTER	22,200		22,200
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22A FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT	6,600		6,600
Air Force	ALASKA	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22A SQUADRON OPS/AMU 6 BAY HANGAR	41,100		41,100
Army	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	15,000		15,000
Army	ALASKA	Fort Richardson	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range		3,100	3,100
Defense-Wide	ALASKA	FORT RICHARDSON	DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	6,300		6,300
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	BARRACKS COMPLEX	63,000		63,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	ORGANIZATIONAL, VEHICLE PARKING	14,000		14,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	Pedestrian Access Bridge			0
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	21,000		21,000
Army	ALASKA	FORT WAINWRIGHT	TRAINING AIDS SUPPORT CENTER	12,400		12,400
Army Guard	ARIZONA	CAMP NAVAJO	READINESS CENTER	13,000		13,000
Air Force	ARIZONA	Davis Monthan AFB	Fire/Crash Rescue Station		15,000	15,000
Army Guard	ARIZONA	FLORENCE	READINESS CENTER	13,800		13,800
Army	ARIZONA	FORT HUACHUCA	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	11,200		11,200
Army	ARIZONA	FORT HUACHUCA	Air Traffic Control Radar Operations Building		2,000	2,000
Army Guard	ARIZONA	PAPAGO MILITARY RES	READINESS CENTER	24,000		24,000
Army	ARIZONA	YUMA	RAW SEWAGE LAGOON AND OXIDATION POND	3,800		3,800
Navy	ARIZONA	YUMA	APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY (MAWTS)	19,490		19,490
Army Guard	ARKANSAS	CABOT	Readiness Center		10,868	10,868
Air Guard	ARKANSAS	Little Rock AFB	Engine inspection and Maintenance Facility		4,000	4,000
Navy	CALIFORNIA	BARSTOW MCLB	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	7,830		7,830
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - (41 AREA)	32,430		32,430
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - 33 AREA	30,300		30,300
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - 43 AREA	15,150		15,150

FY2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

To a second seco	9			Budget		
Account 11the	state/country	Installation	Project litte	Kednest	vs Rednest	Agreement
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - 62 AREA	25,920		25,920
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - AREA 13	33,320		33,320
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - AREA 14	32,350		32,350
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - CHAPPO (22 AREA)	48,640		48,640
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - DEL MAR (21 AREA)	33,190		33,190
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - DEL MAR (21 AREA)	33,440		33,440
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - HORNO (13 AREA)	33,790		33,790
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - HORNO (53 AREA)	40,660		40,660
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - LAS PULGAS AREA	34,340		34,340
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - PICO (24 AREA)	32,870		32,870
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - PICO (24 AREA)	32,260		32,260
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - SAN MATEO AREA	34,500		34,500
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS -DEL MAR (21 AREA)	34,120		34,120
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA	32,550		32,550
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS-MARGARITA (33 AREA)	31,170		31,170
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ - ARMORY, TRAINING FAC, SOI (52 AREA)	54,730		54,730
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	CONSOLIDATED COMMELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE	10,050		10,050
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	52,520		52,520
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	INDOOR FITNESS CENTER	12,230		12,230
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	INFANTRY TRAINING CENTER	11,500		11,500
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	OPERATIONS ACCESS POINTS, RED BEACH	11,970		11,970
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	REGIMENTAL MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE 3)	33,620		33,620
Navy	CALIFORNIA	CAMP PENDLETON	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING BATTLE COURSE	22,250		22,250
Defense-Wide	CALIFORNIA	CORONADO	SOF COMBAT CREW TRAINING FACILITY	9,800		008'6
Defense-Wide	CALIFORNIA	DEF DISTRIB DEPOT-TRACY	REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	41,000		41,000
Defense-Wide	CALIFORNIA	DEF DISTRIB DEPOT-TRACY	REPLACE TRUCK ENTRANCE/CONTROL FACILITY	9,300		6,300
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	EDWARDS AFB	F-35 RAMP & SECURITY UPGRADE	3,100		3,100
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	EDWARDS AFB	Runway Repair		000'9	9'000
Navy	CALIFORNIA	EL CENTRO	COMBINED CHILD CARE AND YOUTH CENTER	8,900		8,900
Army Reserve	CALIFORNIA	FORT HUNTER LIGGETT	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,950		3,950
Army	CALIFORNIA	FORT IRWIN	BARRACKS COMPLEX	17,500		17,500
Army	CALIFORNIA	FORT IRWIN	MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PHASE 3	22,100		22,100
Naval Reserve	CALIFORNIA	LEMOORE	MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	15,420		15,420
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	COMBAT TRAINING TANK COMPLEX	10,820		10,820
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	EMERGENCY RESPONSE STATION	6,530		6,530
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	IN-LINE FUELING STATION MODIFICATION	22,930		22,930
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	MILITARY WORKING DOG OPERATIONS CENTER	4,800		4,800
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MIRAMAR	MV-22 WASH RACK	3,690		3,690

FY2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Account Title	State(Country	Installation	Project Tifle	Budget	Agreement	Agreement
	(
Navy	CALIFORNIA	MONTEREY	Education Facility		066'6	066'6
Navy	CALIFORNIA	NORTH ISLAND	BERTHING LIMA CONVERSION	38,992		38,992
Navy	CALIFORNIA	NORTH ISLAND	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	14,270		14,270
Navy	CALIFORNIA	NORTH ISLAND	Pool Replacement		6,890	6,890
Army	CALIFORNIA	PRESIDIO MONTEREY	GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING	15,000		15,000
Navy	CALIFORNIA	SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	34,020		34,020
Navy	CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO	RECRUIT RECONDITIONING FACILITY	16,790		16,790
Navy	CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO	RECRUIT SUPPORT BARRACKS	34,430		34,430
Army	CALIFORNIA	SIERRA ARMY DEPOT	WATER TREATMENT PLANT	12,400		12,400
Air Force	CALIFORNIA	Travis AFB	Large Crash Rescue Station		12,100	12,100
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,470		36,470
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,280		36,280
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE	51,800		51,800
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	COMBINED ARMS MOUT (PHASE 2)	21,000		21,000
Navy	CALIFORNIA	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Lifelong Learning Center Phase 1		9,760	9,760
Air Guard	COLORADO	Buckley AFB	Alert Crew Headquarters		4,200	4,200
Defense-Wide	COLORADO	BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE	SATELLITE PHARMACY	3,000		3,000
Army Guard	COLORADO	DENVER	READINESS CENTER	000'6		000'6
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BARRACKS & DINING	154,000	-60,000	94,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BATTALION COMPLEX	45,000		45,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	46,000		46,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	93,000		93,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	INFRASTRUCTURE, BCT	000'69		000'69
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	22,000	6,000	28,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	15,000		15,000
Army	COLORADO	FORT CARSON	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	84,000		84,000
Army Guard	COLORADO	GRAND JUNCTION	READINESS CENTER	000'6		000'6
Air Farce	COLORADO	Peterson AFB	Land Acquisition - 23 Acres		4,900	4,900
Chemical Demit	COLORADO	PUEBLO DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE 10	65,060		65,060
Air Force	COLORADO	U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY	UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY, PHASE 5	18,000		18,000
Air Guard	CONNECTICUT	BRADLEY IAP	TFI Upgrade Engine Shop		7,200	7,200
Army Guard	CONNECTICUT	CAMP RELL	REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	28,000		28,000
Army Guard	CONNECTICUT	EAST HAVEN	KD RANGE ADD/ALT	13,800		13,800
Navy	CONNECTICUT	GROTON	PIER 31 REPLACEMENT	46,060		46,060
Navy	CONNECTICUT	SUB Base New London	Indoor Small Arms Range		11,000	11,000
Air Force	DELAWARE	DOVER AFB	ADAL PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	19,000		19,000
Defense-Wide	DELAWARE	DOVER AFB	ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,373		3,373
Army Guard	DELAWARE	NEW CASTLE	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALT	28,000		28,000

FY2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Air Guard	DELAWARE	New Castle County Airport	Replace C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Shops		11,600	11,600
Air Guard	DELAWARE	NEW CASTLE COUNTY APT	TFI - INFO OPS SQUADRON (IOS) FACILITY	3,200		3,200
Naval Reserve	DELAWARE	WILMINGTON	NOSC PORTION, ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	11,530		11,530
Navy	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM RESEARCH LAB	24,220		24,220
Army Guard	FLORIDA	CAMP BLANDING	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	12,400		12,400
Army Guard	FLORIDA	CAMP BLANDING	Regional Training Institute Phase 4		20,907	20,907
Air Force	FLORIDA	Cape Canaveral Air Station	Satellite Operations Support Facility		8,000	8,000
Air Force	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	F-35 STUDENT DORMITORY (144 ROOM)	19,000		19,000
Defense-Wide	FLORIDA	EGLIN AFB	SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	40,000		40,000
Defense-Wide	FLORIDA	HURLBURT FIELD	SOF SPECIAL TACTICS GROUP FACILITY	8,900		8,900
Defense-Wide	FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	34,000		34,000
Navy	FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	12,890		12,890
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	SOCCENT HOOTRS & COMMANDANT FACILITY	21,000		21,000
Air Force	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	Combat Training Facility		2,000	2,000
Defense-Wide	FLORIDA	MACDILL AFB	SOF ADD/ALTER 501B (HQ SOCOM)	10,500		10,500
Navy	FLORIDA	MAYPORT	ALPHA WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	14,900		14,900
Navy	FLORIDA	MAYPORT	Arcraft Refueling		3,380	3,380
Army	FLORIDA	MIAMI DORAL	SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS, INCREMENT II	81,600		81,600
Navy	FLORIDA	TAMPA	JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	29,000		29,000
Air Force	FLORIDA	TYNDALL AFB	325 ACS Operations Training Complex		11,600	11,600
Navy	GEORGIA	ALBANY MCLB	BEQ REPLACEMENT	15,320		15,320
Defense-Wide	GEORGIA	AUGUSTA	REGIONAL SECURITY OPERATIONS CENTER INCR IV	100,220		100,220
Air Force Reserve	GEORGIA	Dobbins Air Reserve Base	Construct New Control Tower		6,450	6,450
Army Guard	GEORGIA	DOBBINS ARB	READINESS CENTER	45,000		45,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	AUTOMATED ANTI-ARMOR RANGE	8,800		8,800
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 1	2,400		2,400
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 2	2,400		2,400
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 3	2,350		2,350
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 4	2,500		2,500
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 5	2,500		2,500
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	17,500		17,500
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	FIRE AND MOVEMENT RANGE	2,450		2,450
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	MAINTENANCE SHOP	42,000		42,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 1	4,900		4,900
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 2	4,900		4,900
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 3	4,500		4,500
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	RANGE ACCESS ROAD	9,100		9,100
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	RECEPTION STATION PHASE 2	39,000		39,000

	ı
4	7
č	ī
- 2	-
۲	
•	Į
ī	١
C	Ÿ
Č	7
-	ř
Ε	•
•	
4	1
4	c
•	
ť	-
5	
ç	-
-	2
0	٧
ţ	
۲	2
Lord	2
t	2
Lord	֓֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜
TOMOG	
TOMOVA	
TOMOVINE	
TOMOGNA	
TOMOGNA	
TABA CONT	
TOMOS VOATI	
TOMOS VOATI HE	
TOMOS VOATI	
TOMOS VOATI HE	
TOMOS VOATI HEE	
TOTAL VOATI HEE GOOD	
TOMOS VOATI HEE	

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
Army	GEORGIA	FORT RENKING	STATIONADY TANK DANGE	000		9
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	10,800		10,800
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRACKED VEHICLE DRIVERS COURSE	16,000		16,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRAINEE COMPLEX	32,000		32,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE - OSUT AREA	16,000		16,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE-NORTHERN AREA	13,800		13,800
Army	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	27,000		27,000
Defense-Wide	GEORGIA	FORT BENNING	CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	3,900		3,900
Army	GEORGIA	FORT GORDON	AIT Complex I, Phase I			0
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	BARRACKS & DINING	121,000	-80,000	41,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	BRIGADE COMPLEX	30,000		30,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	36,000		36,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	20,000		20,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	75,000		75,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	INFRASTRUCTURE	29,000		29,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	28,000	9'000	22,000
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	SHOOT HOUSE	2,300		2,300
Army	GEORGIA	FORT STEWART	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	67,000		67,000
Defense-Wide	GEORGIA	HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,500		3,500
Navy	GEORGIA	KINGS BAY NSB	Addition to Limited Area Reaction Force Facility		6,130	6,130
Naval Reserve	GEORGIA	MARIETTA	MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	7,560		7,560
Air Force	GEORGIA	ROBINS AFB	AIRCRAFT HANGAR	24,100		24,100
Air Force	GEORGIA	ROBINS AFB	Avionics Facility		5,250	5,250
Air Guard	GEORGIA	SAVANNAH CRTC	Troop Training Quarters		7,500	7,500
Navy	HAWAII	BARKING SANDS	ADVANCED RADAR DETECTION LABORATORY	28,900		28,900
Army Reserve	HAWAII	FORT SHAFTER	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	19,199		19,199
Air Force	HAWAII	Hickam AFB	Upgrade Electrical Distribution, Phase VI			0
Navy	HAWAII	KANEOHE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	28,200		28,200
Defense-Wide	HAWAII	PEARL HARBOR	REPLACE FUEL PIPELINE	27,700		27,700
Navy	HAWAII	PEARL HARBOR	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	29,300		29,300
Navy	HAWAII	PEARL HARBOR	FITNESS CENTER	45,000		45,000
Navy	HAWAII	PEARL HARBOR	JOINT FORCES DEPLOYMENT STAGING AREA FISC	5,990		5,990
Navy	HAWAII	PEARL HARBOR	SUB DRIVE-IN MAGNETIC SILENCING FAC (INCREMENT II)	41,088		41,088
Агту	HAWAII	POHALUKA TRAINING AREA	Saddle Road, Phase V		30,000	30,000
Army	HAWAII	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BARRACKS	42,000		42,000
Army	HAWAII	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BATTALION COMPLEX	000'69		000'69
Army	HAWAII	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BATTALION COMPLEX	27,000		27,000
Army	HAWAII	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BRIGADE COMPLEX	65,000		65,000

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement	Agreement
Armv	HAWAII	SCHOFIELD BARBACKS	INEBASTRICTIBE EXPANSION	000 97		76,000
Army	HAWAII	WAHIAWA	WIDERAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER	40,000		40,000
Army Reserve	DAHO	HAYDENIAKE	AR CENTER/OMS/INHEATED STORAGE	082.0		082.6
Army Guard	DAHO	ORCHARD TRAINIG AREA	INFERE SHOOT HOUSE	1,850		1,850
Naw	ICLINOIS	GREAT LAKES	RTC SPECIAL PROGRAMS BARRACKS	62.940		62.940
Defense-Wide	ILLINOIS	SCOTT AFB	USTRANSCOM JOINT INTEL OPERATIONS CENTER	13,977		13,977
Army Guard	ILLINOIS	Urbana Armory	Readiness Center		16,186	16,186
Army	INDIANA	Crane Army Ammo Activity	Ready Service Magazine Complex		8,300	8,300
Army Guard	INDIANA	CAMP ATTERBURY	MULTI PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	5,800		5,800
Air Guard	INDIANA	FORT WAYNE INTL AIRPORT	Aircraft Shelter/Fuel Stands		5,600	5,600
Army Guard	INDIANA	LAWRENCE	READINESS CENTER	21,000		21,000
Army Guard	INDIANA	MUSCATATUCK	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility Phase 1		6,000	6,000
Army Guard	IOWA	CAMP DODGE	MOUT Site Addition/Alteration		1,500	1,500
Army Guard	IOWA	DAVENPORT	Readmess Center Addition/Alteration		1,550	1,550
Air Guard	IOWA	Fort Dodge	Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training		5,600	2,600
Army Guard	IOWA	MOUNT PLEASANT	Mount Pleasant Air Force Readiness Center Additions/Alterations		1,500	1,500
Army Reserve	KANSAS	DODGE CITY	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	8,100		8,100
Army	KANSAS	FORT LEAVENWORTH	Chapel Complex Phase 2		4,200	4,200
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000		38,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	BRIGADE COMPLEX	79,000		79,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	COMMISSARY	23,000		23,000
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	RAIL SIDING	15,000		15,000
Defense-Wide	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	52,000	-52,000	0
Army	KANSAS	FORT RILEY	Fire Station		3,000	3,000
Air Force	KANSAS	MCCONNELL AFB	MXG Consolidation and Forward Logistics Center Phase 2		6,800	6,800
Air Guard	KANSAS	Smoky Hill ANG Range	Smoky Hill Range Support Facility		7,100	7,100
Chemical Demil	KENTUCKY	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PH IX	57,218	•	67,218
Chemical Demil	KENTUCKY	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	BLUE GRASS DEPOT DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	12,000		12,000
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	BATTALION COMPLEX	37,000		37,000
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	8,600		8,600
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	15,513		15,513
Army	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	47,000		47,000
Army	KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	School Age Services Center		10,000	10,000
Defense-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	24,000		24,000
Defense-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	21,400		21,400
Defense-Wide	KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	SOF TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	15,000		15,000
Army Guard	KENTUCKY	LONDON	Aviation Operations Facility Phase 3		7,191	7,191
Air Force	LOUISIANA	Barksdale AFB	Security Forces Complex		14,600	14,600

				Budget	Agreement	
Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Army	LOUISIANA	FORT POLK	UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	29,000		29,000
Army Guard	MAINE	BANGOR	REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PHASE 1	20,000		20,000
Navy	MAINE	PORTSMOUTH	Consolidated Global Submarine Component Phase 1		9,980	086'6
Navy	MAINE	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Support Facility		20,660	20,660
Defense-Wide	MARYLAND	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND	USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INCREMENT!	23,750		23,750
Air Force	MARYLAND	ANDREWS AFB	ADMIN FACILITY ADDITION	28,000		28,000
Air Force	MARYLAND	ANDREWS AFB	NCR RELOCATION - ADMIN FACILITY	49,648		49,648
Army Reserve	MARYLAND	BALTIMORE	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11,600		11,600
Navy	MARYLAND	CADEROCK NSWC	RDT&E Support Facility Phase 1		086'9	6,980
Army Guard	MARYLAND	EDGEWOOD	AASF ADD/ALT	28,000		28,000
Defense-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT DETRICK	USAMRIID STAGE I, INCREMENT III	209,000		209,000
Defense-Wide	MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	SOUTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT PHASE 1	31,000		31,000
Navy	MARYLAND	INDIAN HEAD	SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADES	13,930		13,930
Air Guard	MARYLAND	MARTIN STATE AIRPORT	Fire Station		7,900	2,900
Navy	MARYLAND	NSWC, Indian Head	Energetics Systems and Technology Lab Complex		12,050	12,050
Army Guard	MARYLAND	SALISBURY	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	9,800		008'6
Navy	MARYLAND	SUITLAND	NATIONAL MARITIME INTEL CENTER (INCREMENT III)	12,439		12,439
Army Reserve	MASSACHUSETTS	FORT DEVENS	SHOOTHOUSE	1,900		1,900
Army Guard	MASSACHUSETTS	METHUEN	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (ADRS)	21,000		21,000
Air Guard	MASSACHUSETTS	Otis ANG Base	Digital Ground Station Phase 1		14,300	14,300
Army Guard	MICHIGAN	Camp Grayling	Barracks Replacement, Phase I		16,943	16,943
Army Guard	MICHIGAN	Camp Grayling	Infantry Squad Battle Course		2,000	2,000
Army Guard	MICHIGAN	CAMP GRAYLING	Live Fire Shoot House		2,000	2,000
Army Guard	MICHIGAN	Camp Grayling	Urban Assault Course		2,000	2,000
Army	MICHIGAN	Detroit Arsenal	Access Control Point		6,100	6,100
Army Reserve	MICHIGAN	SAGINAW	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,500		11,500
Army Guard	MINNESOTA	ARDEN HILLS	READINESS CENTER	15,000		15,000
Air Guard	MINNESOTA	DULUTH	Replace Fuel Cell Hangar		4,500	4,500
Air Guard	MINNESOTA	MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL	Aircraft Deicing Apron		1,500	1,500
Air Force	MISSISSIPPI	COLUMBUS AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	8,100		8,100
Navy	MISSISSIPPI	GULFPORT	25 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION REGIMENT HQ FACILITY	6,900		9'900
Navy	MISSISSIPPI	Gulfport	Battalion Maintenance Facility & Equip Yard		5,870	5,870
Air Guard	MISSISSIPPI	Gulfport-Biloxi IAP	Relocate Munitions Storage Complex		3,400	3,400
Air Force	MISSISSIPPI	Keesler AFB	Indoor Firing Range		6,600	009'9
Navy	MISSISSIPPI	NAS Mendian	Fitness Center		6,340	6,340
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	18,500		18,500
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,350		2,350
Army	MISSOURI	Fort Leonard Wood	Mine Detection Training Facility and K-9 Kennel		10,800	10,800

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
Army	MISSOURI	Fort Leonard Wood	Wastewater Treatment Plant		7,400	7,400
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Chapel Complex Increment II		3,500	3,500
Army	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Vehicle Maintenance Shop			0
Defense-Wide	MISSOURI	FORT LEONARD WOOD	PRIMARY CARE CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	22,000		22,000
Army Reserve	MISSOURI	WELDON SPRINGS	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11,700		11,700
Air Force	MISSOURI	WHITEMAN AFB	Security Forces Animal Clinic		4,200	4,200
Air Force	MONTANA	Malmstrom AFB	Upgrade Weapons Storage Area, Phase 1		10,000	10,000
Air Force	NEBRASKA	Offutt AFB	38th Recon Squadron Facility			0
Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS 432 WING HQ MISSION SUPT FACILITY	7,000		7,000
Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS DINING HALL	000'6		9,000
Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS FLIGHT SIM & ACADEMICS FACILITY	9,800		9,800
Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS MAIN GATE/SEWER TRANSFER FAC/INFRASTRUCT	6,500		6,500
Air Force	NEVADA	CREECH AFB	UAS OPS FACILITY	16,200		16,200
Army Guard	NEVADA	Elko	Readiness Center		11,375	11,375
Army Reserve	NEVADA	LAS VEGAS	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	33,900		33,900
Air Force	NEVADA	NELLIS AFB	F-16 AGGRESSOR HANGAR/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	30,800		30,800
Air Force	NEVADA	NELLIS AFB	F-16 AGRESSOR SQUADRON OPS/INFRASTRUCTURE	17,500		17,500
Air Force	NEVADA	NELLIS AFB	F-35 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	5,000		5,000
Air Force	NEVADA	Nellis AFB	Airfield Fire Rescue Station, Nellis		9,800	9,800
Air Guard	NEW JERSEY	ATLANTIC CITY INTL AIRPORT	Operations and Training Facility		8,400	8,400
Army Reserve	NEW JERSEY	FORT DIX	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,825		3,825
Navy	NEW JERSEY	LAKEHURST	ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR TEST SITE	15,440		15,440
Air Force	NEW JERSEY	McGUIRE AFB	Security Forces Operations Facility Phase 1		7,200	7,200
Navy	NEW JERSEY	NWS Earle	Main Gate Security Improvements		8,160	8,160
Army	NEW JERSEY	PICATINNY ARSENAL	Ballistic Evaluation Facility Phase 1		9,900	006'6
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	Cannon AFB	CV-22 Flight Simulator Facility			0
Defense-Wide	NEW MEXICO	CANNON AFB	SOF MAINTENANCE HANGAR	18,100		18,100
Defense-Wide	NEW MEXICO	Cannon AFB	CV-22 Flight Simulator Facility		8,300	8,300
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22 ALTER HANGAR FOR LO/CRF	14,500		14,500
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22A ADAL A/C MAINT UNIT	1,050		1,050
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22A ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC	3,150		3,150
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22A ADAL JET ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,150		2,150
Air Force	NEW MEXICO	HOLLOMAN AFB	F-22A AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIP FAC	4,600		4,600
Defense-Wide	NEW MEXICO	KIRTLAND AFB	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	14,400		14,400
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS	29,000		29,000
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS	24,000		24,000
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	Fire Station		6,900	6,900
Army	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	37,000		37,000

AUTHORIZATIONS	
CONSTRUCTION A	
FY2009 MILITARY	

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
Army Guard	NEW YORK	FORT DRUM	MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIP SITE PH3	11,000		11,000
Air Guard	NEW YORK	Gabreski Airport, Westhampton	Pararescue Facility, 106th Rescue Wing, Phase 2		7,500	7,500
Air Guard	NEW YORK	HANCOCK FIELD	TFI - REAPER IOC/FOC BEDDOWN	5,000		5,000
Air Guard	NEW YORK	HANCOCK FIELD	Upgrade ASOS Facilities		5,400	5,400
Army Reserve	NEW YORK	KINGSTON	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,494		13,494
Air Force Reserve	NEW YORK	NIAGARA FALLS ARS	Dining Facility		000'6	000'6
Army Guard	NEW YORK	QUEENSBURY	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,900		5,900
Army Reserve	NEW YORK	SHOREHAM	ADD/ALT ARMY RESERVE CENTER	15,031		15,031
Army Reserve	NEW YORK	STATEN ISLAND	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	18,550		18,550
Army	NEW YORK	U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY	SCIENCE FACILITY, PHASE 1	67,000		67,000
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	Hospital Renovation & MRI Addition			0
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - CAMP JOHNSON	38,230		38,230
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - CAMP JOHNSON	23,760		23,760
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - FRENCH CREEK	33,960		33,960
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - HADNOT POINT	39,890		39,890
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - HADNOT POINT	39,320		39,320
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS- COURTHOUSE BAY	35,890		35,890
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS- HADNOT POINT	42,950		42,950
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	13,960		13,960
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	CONSOLIDATED MESSHALL-HADNOT POINT (200 AREA)	25,000		25,000
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE - SR1	18,250		18,250
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	MESSHALL - HADNOT POINT (400 AREA)	21,660		21,660
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CAMP LEJEUNE	MOD K-RANGES (PHASE 2)	20,220		20,220
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CHERRY POINT MCAS	2ND MAW COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY	30,480		30,480
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CHERRY POINT MCAS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	30,100		30,100
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	CHERRY POINT MCAS	ENGINEERING PRODUCT SUPPORT FACILITY	16,840		16,840
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	ACCESS ROADS PHASE 1	13,200		13,200
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	20,500		20,500
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	UTILITY UPGRADE (CAMP MACKALL)	3,200		3,200
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	Chapel		11,600	11,600
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	Defense Access Road Funding		8,600	8,600
Army	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	Mass Casualty Facility		1,300	1,300
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	28,170		28,170
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	NEW INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL (IRWIN)	27,945		27,945
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	22,356		22,356
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF EXPAND TRAINING COMPOUND	14,200		14,200
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	14,600		14,600
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF SECURITY/FORCE PROTECTION	4.150		4,150

				Budget	Agreement	
Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Rednest	vs Request	Agreement
Defense-Wide	NORTH CAROLINA	FORT BRAGG	SOF TRAINING FACILITY	5,300		6,300
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON ADDITION	6,830		6,830
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,740		36,740
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - MCAS	25,620		25,620
Navy	NORTH CAROLINA	NEW RIVER	ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	17,090		17,090
Army Reserve	NORTH CAROLINA	RALEIGH	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	25,581		25,581
Air Force	NORTH CAROLINA	Seymour Johnson AFB	Consolidated Support Center		12,200	12,200
Air Force	NORTH DAKOTA	Grand Forks AFB	Fire Station		13,000	13,000
Army Guard	OHO	CAMP PERRY	Barracks		2,000	2,000
Army Guard	OHIO	RAVENNA	Barracks		2,000	2,000
Air Guard	OHO	SPRINGFIELD ANGB	Combat Communications Training Complex		12,800	12,800
Air Force	OHIO	WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB	Security Forces Operations Building		14,000	14,000
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	Altus AFB	Consol Digital Airport Surveill Radar (DASR)/RAPCON Facil		10,200	10,200
Defense-Wide	OKLAHOMA	ALTUS AFB	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE DIKES	2,850		2,850
Army	OKLAHOMA	FORT SILL	TRAINING COMPLEX UPGRADE	63,000		63,000
Army	OKLAHOMA	MCALESTER AAP	AP3 Connecting Rail		5,800	5,800
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	48,600		48,600
Air Force	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	Realign Street at Tinker Gate		5,400	5,400
Air Force Reserve	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	AFR SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE HANGAR	006'6		006'6
Defense-Wide	OKLAHOMA	TINKER AFB	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	65,000		65,000
Army	PENNSYLVANIA	CARLISLE BARRACKS	MUSEUM SUPPORT FACILITY	13,400	_	13,400
Army Guard	PENNSYLVANIA	HONESDALE	Readiness Center Addition/Alteration		6,117	6,117
Army	PENNSYLVANIA	LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT	Upgrade Munition Igloos Phase 2		7,500	7,500
Army Reserve	PENNSYLVANIA	LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	14,914		14,914
Defense-Wide	PENNSYLVANIA	PHILADELPHIA	CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN SPACE	1,200		1,200
Navy	PENNSYLVANIA	PHILADELPHIA	FULL SCALE ELECTRIC DRIVE TEST FACILITY	22,020	_	22,020
Army	PENNSYLVANIA	TOBYHANNA ARMY DEPOT	ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	15,000	_	15,000
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	NEWPORT	FITNESS FACILITY	29,900	_	29,900
Navy	RHODE ISLAND	NEWPORT	Unmanned ASW Support Facility		006'6	006'6
Army Guard	RHODE ISLAND	North Kingstown	Army Aviation Support Facility (addition to FY2008)		5,000	5,000
Air Guard	RHODE ISLAND	Quonset State Airport	Construct Air Traffic Control Tower		7,700	7,700
Army Guard	SOUTH CAROLINA	ANDERSON	READINESS CENTER	12,000	_	12,000
Army Guard	SOUTH CAROLINA	BEAUFORT	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	3,400		3,400
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	BEAUFORT	EOD/ORDNANCE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,940	_	5,940
Air Force	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON AFB	C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION	4,500	_	4,500
Army Guard	SOUTH CAROLINA	EASTOVER	JOINT FORCES HEADQUARTERS	28,000		28,000
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	TRAINING COMPLEX UPGRADE	30,000	_	30,000
Army	SOUTH CAROLINA	FORT JACKSON	Student Barracks			

3
õ
Ē
3
Ħ
Ä
Ĭ
3
-
ō
F
ನ
ಷ
E
တ္ဘ
õ
0
-
8
E
Ī
Œ
8
ğ
```
u.

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request	Agreement
Army Guard	SOUTH CAROLINA	HEMINGWAY	Field Maintenance Shop Phase 1		4,600	4,600
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	PARRIS ISLAND	THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2)	36,400		36,400
Navy	SOUTH CAROLINA	PARRIS ISLAND	THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BN COMPLEX (PHASE 3)	28,350		28,350
Air Force	SOUTH CAROLINA	Shaw AFB	Physical Fitness Center	-	006'6	006'6
Army Guard	SOUTH DAKOTA	Camp Rapid	Barracks/Dining/Admin and Parking Complex Phase I		14,463	14,463
Air Force	SOUTH DAKOTA	Ellsworth AFB	Base Entry and Perimeter Gates		11,000	11,000
Air Guard	SOUTH DAKOTA	JOE FOSS FIELD	Aircraft Ready Shelters/AMU		4,500	4,500
Army Guard	SOUTH DAKOTA	RAPID CITY	ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	29.000	-	29,000
Army Reserve	TENNESSEE	CHATTANOOGA	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	10,600		10,600
Air Guard	TENNESSEE	Knoxville	Replace Squadron Operations		8,000	8,000
Army Guard	TENNESSEE	TULLAHOMA	Readiness Center		10,372	10,372
Army	TEXAS	CAMP BULLIS	Live Fire Shoot House		4,200	4,200
Army	TEXAS	CORPUS CHRISTI	DYNAMIC COMPONENT REBUILD FACILITY	39,000		39,000
Navy	TEXAS	CORPUS CHRISTI	Parking Apron Recapitalization		3,500	3,500
Air Force	TEXAS	DYESS AFB	Multipurpose C-130 Maintenance Hangar		21,000	21,000
Air Guard	TEXAS	ELLINGTON FIELD	ASOS Facility		7,600	2,600
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BARRACKS & DINING	148,000		148,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BARRACKS & DINING	148,000		148,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BATTALION COMPLEX	34,000		34,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	44,000		44,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	44,000		44,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	CHAPEL	000'6		000'6
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES, BCT	90,000		90,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES, BCT 1	90,000		000'06
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	42,000		42,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	INFRASTRUCTURE, IBCT1	98,000		98,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	INFRASTRUCTURE, IBCT2	100,000		100,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	12,600		12,600
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	10,200		10,200
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	81,000		81,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	81,000		81,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT BLISS	Medical Parking Facility Phase 1		12,500	12,500
Air Force	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	TACP JOINT AIR GROUND CTR	10,800		10,800
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	Chapel w/ Religious Education Center		17,500	17,500
Army	TEXAS	FORT HOOD	UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	32,000		32,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT SAM HOUSTON	TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX	96,000		96,000
Army	TEXAS	FORT SAM HOUSTON	AIT Barracks			0
Defense-Wide	TEXAS	FORT SAM HOUSTON	MEDICAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY	13,000		13,000

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
Air Guard	TEXAS	FORT WORTH NAS JRB	Security Forces Training Facility		5,000	5,000
Navy	TEXAS	KINGSVILLE	Fitness Center		11,580	11,580
Air Force	TEXAS	LACKLAND AFB	BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY	75,515		75,515
Army	TEXAS	RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT	MANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CTR, PHASE 1	6,900		6,900
Army Reserve	TEXAS	SINTON	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,700		9,700
Army Guard	UTAH	CAMP WILLIAMS	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	17,500		17,500
Air Force	UTAH	HILL AFB	F-22A HEAVY MAINT FAC & COMPOSITE BACK SHOP	36,000		36,000
Air Force	UTAH	Hill AFB	Three-bay Fire Station		5,400	5,400
Defense-Wide	CTAH	HILL AFB	HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	20,400		20,400
Air Guard	VERMONT	BURLINGTON IAP	SECURITY FORCES AND COMM FACILITY	6,600		9,600
Army Guard	VERMONT	Ethan Allen Firing Range Jericho	Readiness Center		10,200	10,200
Army Guard	VIRGINIA	ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON HALL READINESS CENTER PHASE 2	15,500		15,500
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	CRANEY ISLAND	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	39,900		39,900
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	DAM NECK	SOF OPERATIONAL FACILITY INCREMENT II	31,000		31,000
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT BELVOIR	EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER	7,200		7,200
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT EUSTIS	UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	14,400		14,400
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT EUSTIS	Training Support Center, Phase 1		13,600	13,600
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT EUSTIS	Vehicle Paint Facility		3,900	3,900
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT LEE	DINING FACILITY	10,600		10,600
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT LEE	TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX	90,000		000'06
Army	VIRGINIA	FORT MYER	BARRACKS	14,000		14,000
Army Guard	VIRGINIA	FORT PICKETT	MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	2,950		2,950
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	FORT STORY	SOF SMALL ARMS RANGE	11,600		11,600
Naval Reserve	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	EODMU 10 OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,170		8,170
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	10,500		10,500
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	NORFOLK HARBOR CHANNEL DREDGING	42,830		42,830
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	Fire Station		096'6	096'6
Navy	VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	Industrial Access Improvements		066'6	066'6
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	PENTAGON	PENTAGON ATHLETIC CENTER PHASE 2	6,967		6,967
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	PENTAGON	PFPA HAZMAT FACILITY	16,401		16,401
Defense-Wide	VIRGINIA	PENTAGON	RAVEN ROCK WEST POWER PLANT	15,572		15,572
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR, TYPE II	27,750		27,750
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (GREEN SIDE)	36,280		36,280
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	Manne Corps Officer Candidate School HQ Facility		5,980	5,980
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	INFRASTRUCTURE - RUSSELL ROAD (PHASE 1)	7,450		7,450
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION - TBS	6,350		6,350
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	INSTRUCTION FACILITY TBS (PHASE I)	25,200		25,200
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	MESS HALL - OCS	13,750		13,750

S
폿
$\mathbf{g}$
5
N
7
ō
Ĭ
=
7
-
ō
Ĕ
Ü
3
E
S
Ž
Ö
O
⋩
TAR
Ε
3
Ð
8
ন
~

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request vs Re	vs Request Ag	Agreement
Navy	VIRGINIA	QUANTICO	STUDENT QUARTERS - TBS (PHASE 3)	27,530		27,530
Naval Reserve	VIRGINIA	WILLIAMSBURG	ORDNANCE HANDLING CARGO OPS TRAINING SUPPORT	12,320		12,320
Navy	WASHINGTON	BANGOR	LIMITED AREA PROD & STORAGE COMPLEX (INCR V)	50,700		50,700
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	BATTALION COMPLEX	54,000		54,000
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	BATTALION COMPLEX	47,000		47,000
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	BRIGADE COMPLEX	30,000		30,000
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCREMENT III	102,000		102,000
Army	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	27,000		27,000
Army Guard	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	AVIATION READINESS CENTER	32,000		32,000
Defense-Wide	WASHINGTON	FORT LEWIS	SOF RANGER BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000		38,000
Navy	WASHINGTON	KITSAP NB	Saltwater Cooling and Fire Protection Improvements		5,110	5,110
Air Force	WASHINGTON	MCCHORD AFB	C-17 ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR	5,500		5,500
Air Guard	WASHINGTON	McChord AFB	262 Information Warfare Aggressor SQ (IWAS) Facility		8,600	8,600
Army Reserve	WASHINGTON	SEATTLE	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	37,500		37,500
Navy	WASHINGTON	WHIDBEY ISLAND	Firefighting Facility		6,160	6,160
Navy	WASHINGTON	WHIDBEY ISLAND	HANGAR 5 RECAPITALIZATION (INCREMENT II)	34,000		34,000
Army Guard	WEST VIRGINIA	CAMP DAWSON	Access Control Point		2,000	2,000
Army Guard	WEST VIRGINIA	CAMP DAWSON	Multi-Purpose Building Phase 2		5,000	5,000
Army Guard	WEST VIRGINIA	CAMP DAWSON	Shoot House		2,000	2,000
Air Guard	WEST VIRGINIA	Yeager Airport, Charleston	Fuel System/Corrosion Control Hangar	. •	27,000	27,000
Army Reserve	WISCONSIN	FORT MCCOY	AUTO QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	4,000		4,000
Air Guard	WISCONSIN	Truax Field	Communications and Audio Visual Training Facility		6,300	6,300
Air Guard	WYOMING	CHEYENNE MAP	TFI - C-130 SQDN OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,000		7,000
Air Force	WYOMING	F E. WARREN AFB	RENOVATE HISTORIC DORMITORY	8,600		8,600
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 8	26,000		26,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 5	22.000		22,000
Army	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	SOF HO COMPLEX	19,000		19,000
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	27,400		27,400
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	CARGO HANDLING AREA EXPANSION	8,800		8,800
Air Force	AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM AIR BASE	REFUELER RAMP	21,000		21,000
Navy	CUBA	GUANTANAMO BAY NS	CONSOLIDATED FITNESS COMPLEX	20,600		20,600
Defense-Wide	CZECH REPUBLIC	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	BMDS-EUROPEAN MIDCOURSE RADAR SITE	108,560		108,560
Navy	DIEGO GARCIA	DIEGO GARCIA	WHARF UPGRADE AND WAREHOUSE	35,060		35,060
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,830		12,830
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	15,250		15,250
Navy	DJIBOUTI	CAMP LEMONIER	TELECOM FACILITY	3,330		3,330
Army	GERMANY	KATTERBACH	AIRCRAFT/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	19,000		19,000

u	١
=	
4	
C	
2	
۰	
٠,	,
	١
N	
z	
а	
^	١
⋍	4
3	
_	
-	į
ď	í
	۰
7	,
=	ī
c.	ì
۳	
•	
t'	3
=	ì
ā	
ē	
ē	
<u> </u>	
ニューショ	
ENCHOL	
	֡
このトゲスつじょう	
このトゲハつご 入のなし	
EGEVACO AGAT	
TARY CONSTR	
EGEVACO AGVELES	
EGITANCO VOATI IIM	
TOLUNCU ANDLI HE OF	
EGENTON AND THE STATE OF	

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
Army	GERMANY	WIESBADEN MIL CMTY	COMMAND & BATTLE CENTER	119,000	-59,500	59,500
Defense-Wide	GERMANY	GERMERSHEIM	LOGISTICS DISTRIBUTION CENTER EUROPE	48,000		48,000
Defense-Wide	GREECE	SOUDA BAY	FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE REPL	27,761	-19,761	8,000
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, MAIN BASE	62,360		62,360
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	KILO WHARF EXTENSION (INCREMENT II)	50,912		50,912
Navy	GUAM	GUAM	WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM & UPGRADE	26,070		26,070
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	COMBAT COMM MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,200		5,200
Air Force	GUAM	ANDERSEN AFB	ISR/STF Realign Arc Light Blvd		5,400	5,400
Defense-Wide	GUAM	GUAM	CENTRAL UTILITY PLANT	30,000		30,000
Army	ITALY	VICENZA	BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR II	15,000	0	15,000
Army	ITALY	VICENZA	BRIGADE COMPLEX-OPERATIONS SPT FAC, INCR II	15,000		15,000
Army	JAPAN	CAMP ZAMA	SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY	2,350		2,350
Army	JAPAN	SAGAMIHARA	BATTLE COMMAND TRAINING CENTER	17,500		17,500
Army	KOREA	CAMP HUMPHREYS	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	20,000		20,000
Air Force	KYRGYZSTAN	MANAS AIR BASE	HOT CARGO PAD	900'9		6,000
Defense-Wide	POLAND	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	BMDS-EUROPEAN INTERCEPTOR SITE	132,600	000'06-	42,600
Air Force	QATAR	AL UDEID	CAS PARKING APRON	59,638	-59,638	0
Defense-Wide	QATAR	AL UDEID	SOF TRAINING RANGE	9,200		9,200
Air Force	UNITED KINGDOM	RAF LAKENHEATH	LARGE VEHICLE INSPECTION STATION	7,400		7,400
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SPECIAL EVALUATION PROJECT	891		891
Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	DATA CENTER	28,000	7,000	35,000
Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	JOINT OPERATIONS & SUPPORT COMPLEX, PHASE 1	17,800		17,800
Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	P-8A INTEGRATED TRAINING CENTER	48,220		48,220
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	COMMON BATTLEFIELD AIRMAN TRAINING COMPLEX	15,000	-15,000	0
Aur Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT MAINT COMPLEX	22,000		22,000
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT OPS COMPLEX	15,500		15,500
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	ARMY-NAVY/TRANSPORT RADAR SURVEILLANCE-2 #3	25,500		0
NSIP	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	NATO	NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	240,867	-10,000	230,867
NSIP	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	NATO Headquarters			
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOST NATION SUPPORT	24,000		24,000
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,000		23,000
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	176,807	','	154,685
Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	239,128	7,400	246,528
Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	13,670		13,670
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	70,494	12,942	83,436
Naval Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1		7.000
Air Tolog	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,000		000,61

ž
0
5
Ŋ
2
2
Ė
3
4
ń
Ĕ
Š
₽
E
ş
õ
Ö
⋩
₹
=
=
MILITARY
ĕ
8
≿

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request Agreement	Agreement
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	-5,000	5,000
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	80,000	10,000	000'06
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (TMA)	3,990		3,990
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (NSA)	20,221	-2,500	17,721
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (MDA)	14,889		14,889
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (SOCOM)	16,833	-2,300	14,533
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (OSD)	43,768	-17,768	26,000
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (DODEA)	1,830		1,830
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (SOCOM)	7,697		7,697
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (MDA)	3,457		3,457
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (OSD)	3,000	-3,000	0
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (DODEA)	382		382
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (JCS)	9,228		9,228
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (TMA)	58,252		58,252
Defense-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (DLA)	4,099		4,099
Army Guard	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	48,796	10,029	58,825
Army Guard	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,800	7,220	19,020
Air Guard	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,200	5,000	12,200
Air Guard	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,374	10,350	15,724
Army Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	13,963	920	14,883
Army Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,100		3,100
Naval Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,045		2,045
Air Force Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,922	2,243	6,165
Air Force Reserve	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,443		5,443
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Stratcom Replacement Facility Design		10.000	10,000

•	-
v	e.
-	
•	
7	-
E	2
-	
-	_
М	-
٠.	
٠,	1
	7
г	v
•	-
n	•
v	ь
è	
τ	2
2	2
,	
۳	•
١.	
•	_
-	٠
-	
•	٠
•	٠,
-	٠
a	
,	•
L	
=	=
Ľ	_
г	_
•	٠
L	2
-	5
_	
Ξ	
п	r
ь.	
۳.	_
c	п
•	-
7	×
4	
•	ø
ē	4
L	
•	_
٠.	
,	
ø	ź
9	Ś
9	ĺ
9	ί
٥ ۲	
5	
0 4 F1 11 0 0 0 0 0 0	

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Request	vs Request	Agreement
FamHouse Con Navv	CUBA	GUANTANAMO BAY NS	REPLACE BARGO HOUSING (48)	22,385	-950	21,435
FamHouse Con Navy	CUBA	<b>GUANTANAMO BAY NS</b>	REPLACE GRANADILLO CIRCLE HOUSING (38)	16,547	-701	15,846
FamHouse Con Navy	CUBA	GUANTANAMO BAY NS	REPLACE GRANADILLO POINT HOUSING (60)	23,666	-1,00 <u>,</u> 1-	22,662
FamHouse Con Army	GERMANY	WEISBADEN	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION	32,000		32,000
FamHouse Con Army	GERMANY	WIESBADEN AB	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION	20,000	-10,000	10,000
FamHouse Con Army	GERMANY	WIESBADEN AB	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION	43,000	-11,000	32,000
FamHouse Con Army	GERMANY	WIESBADEN AB	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION	38,000	-11,000	27,000
FamHouse Con Army	KOREA	CAMP HUMPHREYS	FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION	125,000		125,000
FamHouse Con AF	UNITED KINGDOM	RAF LAKENHEATH	REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING (182 UNITS)	71,828		71,828
FamHouse Con Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (1608 UNITS)	420,001		420,001
FamHouse Con Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING PLANNING AND DESIGN	579		629
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	113,017		113,017
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS	124,780		124,780
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,241		1,241
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	192,849		192,849
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	252,189		252,189
FamHouse Ops Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	32,034		32,034
FamHouse Con Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	318,011		318,011
FamHouse Con Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,169		2,169
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	44,068		44,068
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	15,036		15,036
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,063		60,063
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	655		655
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	13,347		13,347
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	130,261		130,261
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	87,074		87,074
FamHouse Ops Navy	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	25,558		25,558
FamHouse Con AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	316,343		316,343
FamHouse Con AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING PLANNING AND DESIGN	7,708		7,708
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	100,997		100,997
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	1,559		1,559
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	56,837	-5,000	51,837
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	20,166		20,166
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	42,189		42,189
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,999		1,999
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING ACCOUNT	565		565
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING ACCOUNT	93,681		93,681

v,	ı
~	•
-	٠
С	)
-	٠
-	•
-	۲
-	١
<u>D</u>	
₹	ì
- 4	•
С	Į
-	•
7	
-	•
=	١
	è
-	۰
-	,
- 2	•
C	ı
-	í
-	•
	b
_	•
=	ı
$\alpha$	¢
	•
<u> </u>	•
V,	P
2	•
~	i
Ų	,
C	ŀ
_	
>	•
œ	,
	1
9	
-	
-	•
-	
=	
- 2	į
_	•
σ	þ
Č	١
ē	i
~	i
	•
~	•

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Agreement vs Request	Agreement
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT	2,142		2,142
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE (RMPA & RMPC) DEBT ACCOUNT	225,770		0//627
FamHouse Ops AF	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION	53,559		53,559
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS	35		35
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING (NSA)	10,407		10,407
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	20		70
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	4,359		4,359
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING (DIA)	33,066		33,066
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	346		346
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	88		88
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	8		33
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	382		382
Fam House Ops DW	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	495		495
İ	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	220		250
HOAP	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	4,500		4,500
BRAC IV	WORLDWIDE LINSPEC	ARMY VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV ARMY	72.855	15,000	87,855
BRAC IV	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	NAVY VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, NAVY	178,700	50,000	228,700
BRAC IV	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	AIR FORCE VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, AIR FORCE	139,155		139,155
BRAC IV	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	DEF-WIDE VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, DEFENSE-WIDE	2,667		2,667
BD 4C OS	CHOST HOUSE	SIJCIGON XWGV	BASE DEALIGNMENT & CLOSLIDE 2005 ADMV	4 486 178		4 486 178
BRAC 05	WORLDWIDE LINSPEC	NAVY VARIOUS	RASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSCINE 2005, DAMP	871 492		871.492
BRAC 05	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	AIR FORCE VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE 2005 AIR FORCE	1.072.925		1.072.925
BRAC 05	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	DEF-WIDE VARIOUS	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE 2005, DEFENSE-WIDE	2,634,791		2,634,791
			TOTAL FY2009 AUTHORIZATIONS	24,400,239	621,402	25,021,641
Army Guard	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-161)		-1,400	-1,400
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-161)		-20,821	-20,821
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-5)		-34,720	-34,720
Army	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-161)		-16,600	-16,600
Air Force	WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-5) Rescission (Public Law 109-114)			

AUTHORIZATIONS	
CONSTRUCTION	
FY2009 MILITARY	

Account Title	State/Country	Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	Budget Agreement Request vs Request Agri	Agn
Defense-Wide Fam House Ops DW	Defense-Wide WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Rescission (Public Law 110-324) Recission (Public Law 110-5)		-3,589 -6040	
			Prior Year Savings	•	0 -83,170	_

GRAND TOTAL

sion.

The agreement includes this provision.

Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2002) that would establish the expiration date for authorizations in this Act for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program, as October 1, 2011, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2012, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2002).

The agreement includes this provision.

Effective date (sec. 2003)

The Senate bill contained a provision that would provide that titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, XXVI, XXVII, and XXIX of this Act take effect on October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later. The House bill contained no similar provi-

sion. The agreement includes the Senate provi-

### TITLE XXI—ARMY

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2101) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Army for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2101).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2102) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2009. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2102).

The agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2103) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2009 to improve existing Army family housing units.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2103).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2104) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Army for fiscal year 2009. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2009 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Army.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2104).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 projects (sec. 2105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would cancel the authorization for several fiscal year 2008 military construction projects.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2007 projects (sec. 2106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2106) that would modify the authorization for several fiscal year 2007 military construction projects.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2107) that would extend the authorization for certain Army fiscal year 2006 military construction projects until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2105).

The agreement includes the House provi-

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2005 project (sec. 2108)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2108) that would extend the authorization for an Army fiscal year 2005 military construction project at Schofield Barracks, Hawaii. until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2106).

The agreement includes the House provision.

### TITLE XXII—NAVY

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2201) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2201).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2202) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2009. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2202).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2203) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2009 to improve existing Navy and Marine Corps family housing units.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2203).

The agreement includes this provision. Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2204) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2009. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2009 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2005 project (sec. 2205)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would increase the authorization for a Strategic Weapons Facility Pacific project at Bangor, Washington.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2205).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2007 projects (sec. 2206)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2206) that would increase the authorization for projects at the Naval Support Activity, Suitland, Maryland, and at Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Washington.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2206).

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Report on impacts of surface ship homeporting alternatives

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2207) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from issuing a record of decision for the proposed homeporting of additional ships at Naval Station Mayport until at least 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits a report on the socio-economic impact and economic justification of the preferred alternatives identified in the final environmental impact statement.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

### TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2301) that would authorize Air Force military construction projects for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2301).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2302) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2009. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2302).

The agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2303) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2009 to improve existing Air Force family housing units.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2303).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2304)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2304) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Air Force for fiscal year 2009. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2009 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Air Force.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2304).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2305)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would extend the authorizations for certain Air Force fiscal year 2006 military construction projects until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2305).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2005 projects (sec. 2306)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2306) that would extend the authorizations for certain Air Force fiscal year 2005 military construction projects until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2306).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

### TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES SUBTITLE A—DEFENSE AGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS

Authorized defense agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2401) that would authorize military construction projects for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2401).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Energy conservation projects (sec. 2402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2402).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authorization of appropriations, defense agencies (sec. 2403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would authorize appropriations for the military construction projects of the defense agencies for fiscal year 2009. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2009 military construction projects authorized for the defense agencies.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2403).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2007 projects (sec. 2404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would amend section 2401 of the

Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (division B of Public Law 109–364) to increase the construction authorization for a project at Fort Detrick, Maryland by \$133.0 million.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2404)

The agreement includes the House provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2005 projects (sec. 2405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2405) that would terminate the military construction authorization provided in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (division B of Public Law 108—375) for a project at the Defense Fuel Supply Point at Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2006 project (sec. 2406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2406) that would extend the authorization for a fiscal year 2006 military construction project for the Defense Logistics Agency until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2405).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

Authorized chemical demilitarization program construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2411) that would authorize military construction projects for the chemical demilitarization program for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2411).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis in this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide (sec. 2412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2412) that would authorize specific appropriations for each line item contained in the budget request for fiscal year 2009 for the chemical demilitarization construction. This section would also provide overall limit on the amount the chemical demilitarization office may spend on military construction projects.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2412).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment making a technical correction.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 1997 project (sec. 2413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2413) that would modify the authorization for a chemical demilitarization construction project at Pueblo Army Depot, Colorado.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2413).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2000 project (sec. 2414)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2414) that would modify the authorization for a chemical demilitarization construction project at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2414).

The agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2501) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this title and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2501).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize appropriations for the United States' contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2502).

The agreement includes this provision.

### TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army National Guard for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2601).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army Reserve for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2602).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2603) that would authorize military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2603).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2604) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air National Guard for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2604).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2605) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2605).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table included in the joint explanatory

statement provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2606) that would authorize appropriations for reserve component military construction projects for fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2606).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project (sec. 2607)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2609) that would amend section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181) to increase the authorization for a project for the Army National Guard at North Kingstown, Rhode Island, by \$5.0 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2608)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2607) that would extend the authorizations for certain Guard and reserve fiscal year 2006 military construction projects until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2607).

The agreement includes that provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2005 project (sec. 2609)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2608) that would extend the authorization for an Army National Guard fiscal year 2005 military construction project in California until October 1, 2009, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2010, whichever is later.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2608).

The agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XXVII—BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES
SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

Commission Agency Recommendation		Location	State	Project Title	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
80,110		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Aircraft Support Equip Shop	3,000	3,000
80,110	_	Elmendorf AFB	¥	Add to Aerial Port	1,900	1,900
80,110		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU	8,200	8,200
80	_	Elmendorf AFB	Α¥	Add to Combat Arms Maint. and Training Simulator	1,000	1,000
80	_	Elmendorf AFB	¥	Alter Bldg 8515 for Supply and Security Forces	5,600	5,600
Air Force 80	_	Elmendorf AFB	¥	Base Engineer Complex	200	200
ã		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Facility	22,000	22,000
Air Force 80	_	Elmendorf AFB	¥	Medical Training Facility	5,400	5,400
		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Operations and Training Facility	8,900	8,900
Air Force 80		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Training Fire Station	2,500	2,500
80		Elmendorf AFB	¥	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	1,500	1,500
189		Redstone Arsenal	Ą	Rotary Wing Center	46,000	46,000
148		Redstone Arsenal	Ą	AMC & USASAC Headquarters, Increment II	0	000'86
134		Redstone Arsenal	Ą	Von Braun Complex Phase 3 Increment II	0	127,000
13	-	Jonesboro	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	23,000	23,000
13		NW Arkansas (Fayetteville)	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,000	25,000
137B	_	MCAS Miramar	ర	HRSC Consolidation	20,940	20,940
138		MCAS Miramar	ర	Construct & Alter Regional Confinement Facility	31,950	31,950
184		NAWS China Lake	ర	Weapons and Armament Facility #1	32,870	32,870
184		NAWS China Lake	ర	Renovate Facilities, Ordnance Area	9,270	9,270
184		NAWS China Lake	ర	Lab Renovation, Building 5	25,520	25,520
184		NAWS China Lake	ర	Ordnance Storage Facilities	12,110	12,110
91		Buckley AFB	8	BRAC AFR Training Facility	7,200	7,200
143B		Buckley AFB	8	BRAC ARPC Administrative	25,000	25,000
15	`	AFRC Middletown	ç	Armed Forces Reserve Center	000'89	98,000
15	_	Newtown Armory	C	Armed Forces Reserve Center	99'99	66,800
85	_	Bradley IAP AGS	5 C	Upgrade A-10 Engine CIRF	1,100	1,100
149		Washington	2	Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation	14,963	14,963
149		Washington	ရ	Navy Systems Management Activity Warehouse	7,610	7,610

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

DE         Armed Forces Reserve Center         26,000         22           FL         Special Forces Complex         14,000         144           FL         BRAC F-35 Custruction Hail Road         1,550         1,550           FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006         1,1050           FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         1,050         1,1050           FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1,1050           FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1,400         1,1050           FL         JSF IFT Dinuig Facility         0         2,200         2,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200         1,200 <t< th=""><th>Commission Recommendation Location 169 Dover AFB</th><th></th><th>State DE</th><th><u>Project Title</u> Joint Medical Examiner Facility</th><th>Project Authorization 52,000</th><th>Authorization of Appropriation 52,000</th></t<>	Commission Recommendation Location 169 Dover AFB		State DE	<u>Project Title</u> Joint Medical Examiner Facility	Project Authorization 52,000	Authorization of Appropriation 52,000
Special Forces Complex         148,000         148,000           BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road         810         1,550           BRAC F-35 Duke Field Barriers         1,550         1,550           F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006         1,050           F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1,050           F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1,050           F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades         5,000         2           JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0,25         8,900           JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         8,900           BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters         39,600         8           Heaquarters Bidg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         7,700         7,700           Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         3,050         7,700           Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         4,000         8,000           CIDC Field Operations Bidg         1,000         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           BRAC Community Activity Center         2,600         1,800         8,000           BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         4,000         8,000	16	Dover Arb AFRC Newark	出 出	Joint Medical Examinist radiiny Armed Forces Reserve Center	26,000	26,000
Eglin AFB         FL         BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road         1,550           Eglin AFB         FL         BRAC F-35 Outer Field Barriers         1,550           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Blilly unfrastructure Upgrades         5,000         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF IFT Dining Pacility         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         1,000         3           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         1,000         3           NAS Pensacola         GA         Medical Facility, Increment II         1,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Welicle Maintenance Instruction Complex 2, Increment II         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         1,000         6           Fort Benning         GA	4	Eglin AFB	귙	Special Forces Complex	148,000	148,000
Eglin AFB         FL         BRAC F-35 Duke Field Barriers         1,550           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Removate Maintenance Dock B1348         3,810           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Removate Maintenance Dock B1344         1,050           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Removate Maintenance Dock B1344         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Removate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Willip Infrastructure Upgrades         5,000         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF IFT Dining Facility         1         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Wunition Maintenance Infrastructure Upgrades         5,000         3           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Wunition Maintenance Infrastructure Support Increment II         8,900         3           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex Z, Increment II         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         4,000           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add	125	Eglin AFB	ፈ	BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road	810	810
Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B131B         3,810           Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Renovate Warnhouse B1404         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         F-56 (JSF) Penovate Warnhouse B1404         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         F-56 (JSF) Utility Intrastructure Upgrades         5,000         1           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marrine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marrine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marrine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Marrine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         0         2           Fort Benning         GA         Headical Facility, Increment II         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	125	Eglin AFB	귙	BRAC F-35 Duke Field Barriers	1,550	1,550
Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344         2,006           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         F-36 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050         1           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         5,000         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         8,900         8           Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, increment I         17,00         8         900         8           Fort Benning         GA         Venice Maintenance instruction Facility         77,00         3         77,00         9           Fort Benning         GA         CIDC Field Operations Bldg         77,00         7,700         7           Fort Benning         G	125	Eglin AFB	귙	F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1318	3,810	3,810
Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404         1,050           Eglin AFB         FL         -55 (JSF) Utility infrastructure Upgrades         1,0400         1           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF IFT Diming Facility         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marnitron Maintenance         39,600         3           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Marnitron Maintenance         39,600         3           Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         7,700         7           Fort Benning         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Att         1,000         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Att         1,000         7           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center <t< td=""><td>125</td><td>Eglin AFB</td><td>모</td><td>F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344</td><td>2,006</td><td>2,006</td></t<>	125	Eglin AFB	모	F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344	2,006	2,006
Eglin AFB         FL         F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades         10,400         1           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF IFT Dining Facility         5,000         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         39,600         3           NAS Pensacola         FL         BRAC-CSO Bacchelor Quarters         39,600         3           Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bidg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bidg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         CIDC Field Operations Bidg         77,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         10,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,000	125	Eglin AFB	占	F-35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404	1,050	1,050
Eglin AFB         FL         JSF IFT Dining Facility         5,000           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Incement II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           Indian         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         3           Indian         BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters         3,960         3           Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Armed Forese Reserve Center, Add/Att         12,000         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forese Reserve Center, Add/Att         1,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Cransient Lodging Facility         1,000         1,000 <td< td=""><td>125</td><td>Eglin AFB</td><td>급</td><td>F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades</td><td>10,400</td><td>10,400</td></td<>	125	Eglin AFB	급	F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades	10,400	10,400
Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)         0         2           Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         39,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         30,600         40,000         30,600         40,000         30,600         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000	125	Eglin AFB	긥	JSF IFT Dining Facility	5,000	2,000
Eglin AFB         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         8,900           NAS Pensacola         FL         JSF Munition Maintenance         39,600         39,600           NAS Pensacola         FL         BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         7,700           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         77,000           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         4,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarte	125	Eglin AFB	귙	JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)	0	21,800
NAS Pensacola         FL         BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters         39,600         3           Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bildg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         77,000         3,050           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         3,050         6           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,550         2,500           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         1,800         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Smulator Trai	125	Eglin AFB	긥	JSF Munition Maintenance	8,900	8,900
Fort Benning         GA         Medical Facility, Increment I         157,000         8           Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700         3           Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         CIDC Field Operations Bldg         3,050         7           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         1,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         1,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         2,500           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,500           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         2,500           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800 </td <td>128</td> <td>NAS Pensacola</td> <td>1</td> <td>BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters</td> <td>39,600</td> <td>39,600</td>	128	NAS Pensacola	1	BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters	39,600	39,600
Fort Benning         GA         Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs         7,700           Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         0         7           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550         2,600           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000         2,600           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         11,800	6	Fort Benning	ď	Medical Facility, Increment I	157,000	80,000
Fort Benning         GA         General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I         77,000         3           Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         CIDC Field Operations Bidg         3,050         7           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         2,500         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,500         2,600           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	თ	Fort Benning	<b>₽</b>	Heaquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs	7,700	7,700
Fort Benning         GA         Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility         63,000         6           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Chilid Development Center         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,600         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550         2,600           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000         3,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         11,800	Ø	Fort Benning	<b>₽</b>	General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I	77,000	39,000
Fort Benning         GA         CIDC Field Operations Bidg         3,050           Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         1,000         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         4,000         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Chilid Development Center         4,400         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,500         2,500           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         11,800	თ	Fort Benning	<b>∀</b>	Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility	63,000	63,000
Fort Benning         GA         Infrastructure Support, Increment II         0         7           Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	7	Fort Benning	<b>∀</b>	CIDC Field Operations Bldg	3,050	3,050
Fort Gillem         GA         Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt         12,000         1           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800	თ	Fort Benning	G G	Infrastructure Support, Increment II	0	74,000
Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic         1,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	7	Fort Gillem	Ą	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt	12,000	12,000
Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Child Development Center         4,000           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	79,103	Moody AFB	Ø Ø	BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic	1,000	1,000
Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Community Activity Center         4,400           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	79,103	Moody AFB	<b>₽</b>	BRAC Child Development Center	4,000	4,000
Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Transient Lodging Facility         1,800           Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	79,103	Moody AFB	<b>₽</b>	BRAC Community Activity Center	4,400	4,400
Moody AFB         GA         BRAC Visiting Quarters         2,600           Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	79,103	Moody AFB	e G	BRAC Transient Lodging Facility	1,800	1,800
Moody AFB         GA         LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm         2,550           Hickam AFB         HI         Flight Simulator Training Facility         5,000           Sioux Gateway APT         IA         KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway         3,000           Carbondale         IL         Armed Forces Reserve Center         11,800         1	79,103	Moody AFB	<b>∀</b>	BRAC Visiting Quarters	2,600	2,600
Hickam AFB       HI       Flight Smulator Training Facility       5,000         Sioux Gateway APT       IA       KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway       3,000         Carbondale       IL       Armed Forces Reserve Center       11,800       1	79,103	Moody AFB	<b>∀</b>	LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm	2,550	2,550
Sioux Gateway APT IA KC-135 Test Apron and Taxway 3,000 Carbondale IL Armed Forces Reserve Center 11,800	401	Hickam AFB	Ī	Flight Simulator Training Facility	5,000	5,000
Carbondale IL Armed Forces Reserve Center 11,800	116	Sioux Gateway APT	₹	KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway	3,000	3,000
	19	Carbondale	⊒	Armed Forces Reserve Center	11,800	11,800

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

	Commission				Droject	Authorization of
Agency	Recommendation		State	Project Title	tion	Appropriation
Air Force	8	Capital APT AGS	=	F-16 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation	1,600	1,600
Air Force	8	Capital APT AGS	⊒	Upgrade F-16 Engine CIRF	6,200	6,200
Army	20	Greenwood (Indianapolis)	Z	Armed Forces Reserve Center	39,000	39,000
Air Force	112	McConnell AFB	S X	Munitions Delivery Road	1,450	1,450
Air Force	112	McConnell AFB	ΧS	STAMP Relocation	4,900	4,900
Air Force	112	McConnell AFB	X X	STRAPP Relocation	1,800	1,800
Army	143	Fort Knox	≿	Human Resources Command Complex, Increment III	0	55,400
Army	თ	Fort Knox	⋩	Army Reserve Center, Phase 2	28,000	28,000
Army	23	Shreveport	<b>≤</b>	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,500	16,500
Air Force	119	New Orleans ARS	≤	Establish F-15 CIRF	5,100	5,100
Air Force	119	New Orleans ARS	\$	F-15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation	1,500	1,500
Air Force	94	Barnes MPT AGS	¥	EOD Facility	1,750	1,750
Army	169	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ω	Medical Research Lab, Chem Bio Defense	27,000	27,000
Army	174	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Q	Non-Medical Chem Bio Fac	27,000	27,000
Army	136	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ø	Headquarters Bidg, Army Test and Eval Cmd	43,000	43,000
Army	ις	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ø	C4ISR, Phase 2, Increment I	251,000	000'66
Army	ß	Aberdeen Proving Ground	æ	C4ISR, Phase 1, Increment III	0	142,000
Army	187	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ø	Army Research Lab Vehicle Technology	35,000	35,000
Army	169	Bethesda	Ø	Community Support Facilities	11,200	11,200
Army	130	Fort Meade	Ø	MILDEP Adjudication Activities	51,000	51,000
Army	141	Fort Meade	õ	Defense Media Activity, Increment I	61,000	44,000
Air Force	129	Andrews AFB	Q	BRAC Construct Administrative Facility	53,000	53,000
Air Force	129	Andrews AFB	Q	BRAC Construct POV Lane, Pearl Harbor Gate	1,350	1,350
DISA	140	Fort Meade	Q	Construct DISA Building	130,128	130,128
TMA	169	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	Q	Medical Center Addition - Increment II	200,500	201,350
Navy	65	Inspector-instructor Bath	M	Facility Renovation Project	540	540
Navy	65	Portsmouth	ME	Special Purpose BN Ops Facility	2,900	2,900
Army	176	Detroit Arsenal	₹	Administrative Office Buildings, Increment I	99,000	26,000
Army	176	Detroit Arsenal	Ξ	Weapons Maintenance and Operations Fac	6,400	6,400

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

Location State Selfridge ANGB MI
9 9
MS
Ş
핃
王
₹
3
3
3
2
NJ Aviation Supply Dept & AIMD Ops Facility
2
NJ NAVY VR Fleet Logistics Ops Facility (INCR II of II)
NJ Aviation Support Facility Hangar Renovation
NJ C-130 Flight Simulator Facility
3
≩
` ×
NY US Military Academy Prep School, Increment
OH Armed Forces Reserve Center
OH Armed Forces Reserve Center
OH Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory (AFRL/SN)
OH Pipeline Dormitory
OH USAFSAM (Increment II)
OK Armed Forces Reserve Center
OK Armed Forces Reserve Center
š

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

	Commission	,			Project	Authorization of
Agency	Recommendation Location	Location	State	Project Title	Authorization	Appropriation
Army	10	Fort Sill	š	ADA Brigade Complex, Increment II	0	47,000
Army	39	Camp Wythcombe	유	Armed Forces Reserve Center	45,500	45,500
Army	40	AFRC Lewisburg	Α	Armed Forces Reserve Center	24,000	24,000
Army	40	AFRC Williamsport	Ą	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500	18,500
Army	04	AFRC Willow Grove	Α	Armed Forces Reserve Center	30,000	30,000
Navy	1378	Philadelphia	ΡA	Renovate Building 9	20,570	20,570
Navy	27	Tobyhanna Army Depot	Α	Radar Maintenance Facility	2,450	2,450
Navy	73	NMCRC Pittsburgh	ΡΑ	NMCRC Moundsville to NMCRC Pittsburgh, PA	4,920	4,920
Army	41	AFRC Fort Allen	<del>Д</del>	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,500	19,500
Army	41	AFRC Fort Buchanan	R K	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000	28,000
Army	41	Ceiba	ጸ	Armed Forces Reserve Center	36,000	36,000
Army	41	Mayaguez	ጜ	Armed Forces Reserve Center	37,000	37,000
Navy	181	NS Newport	፼	Maritime Subsurface Sensor Operations Facility	15,320	15,320
Army	ო	Shaw AFB	သွ	Headquarters Building, Third US Army	102,000	102,000
Air Force	3A	Shaw AFB	ပ္တ	Dormitory Renovation for HQ 3rd Army	2,350	2,350
Army	43	Kingsport	Z	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,300	19,300
Air Force	85	McGhee Tyson APT AGS	Z	Expand Parking Apron & Hydrant Sys	5,200	5,200
Army	44	AFRC Amarillo	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	24,000	24,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	¥	Division Headquarters Building	25,000	25,000
Army	5	Fort Bliss	¥	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Increment III	0	103,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	¥	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Increment II	0	145,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	¥	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 1	79,000	79,000
Army	5	Fort Bliss	¥	Community Infrastructure	32,000	32,000
Army	44	AFRC Dyess AFB	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	40,000	40,000
Army	44	AFRC Lewisville	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000	22,000
Army	44	AFRC Round Rock	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	41,000	41,000
Army	4	AFRC San Marcos	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	29,000	29,000
Army	4	AFRC Tyler	۲	Armed Forces Reserve Center	29,000	29,000
Air Force	113	NAS-JRB Fort Worth	¥	BRAC AFR Add Avionics Shop	1,050	1,050

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND
Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Commission				Project	Authorization of
Agency	Recommendation	Location	State	Project Title	ion	Appropriation
Air Force	113	NAS-JRB Fort Worth	ĭ	BRAC AFR ECM Shop	1,150	1,150
Air Force	111	Ellington Field	ĭ	Relocate 272 EIS HQ	2,650	2,650
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston	ĭ	Medical Field Training Complex	18,000	18,000
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston	¥	METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment II)	0	96,400
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston	¥	METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment II)	0	41,200
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston	¥	METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment ii	0	33,700
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston	ĭ	METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment I)	48,000	48,000
Air Force	170	Fort Sam Houston	ĭ	Tri-Service Research Facility	79,500	79,500
Air Force	170	Randolph AFB	ĭ	AF Audit Agency Refocation	1,336	1,336
TMA	172	Fort Sam Houston	ĭ	San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Incr II	162,700	294,074
TMA	172	Lackland AFB	¥	WHMC Rennovation of Ambulatory Care Center	51,000	51,000
Air Force	113	Hill AFB	5	Renovate LANTIRN CIRF Bldgs 584 & 578	2,500	2,500
Army	129	Arlington Hall	\$	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Att	80,100	80,100
Army	£0	Fort Belvoir	*	Network Operations Center	8,300	8,300
Army	132	Fort Belvoir	Υ,	Infrastructure Support, Increment II	0	23,000
Army	168	Fort Belvoir	Α>	Infrastructure Support, Increment II	0	48,000
Army	169	Fort Belvoir	Υ/	Infrastructure Support, Increment II	0	20,000
Army	168	Fort Belvoir	<b>∀</b>	Defense Access Roads, EPG	36,000	36,000
Army	æ	Fort Eustis	Α>	Headquarters Building, TRADOC	113,000	113,000
Army	122	Fort Lee	*	USAF Transportation Management School	16,500	16,500
Army	121	Fort Lee	*	Warrior Training Facilities	12,000	12,000
Army	121	Fort Lee	Υ,	Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Increment III	0	6,348
Army	133	Fort Lee	*	Administrative Building (DCMA)	23,000	23,000
Army	121	Fort Lee	*	Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Increment II	0	143,000
Army	123	Fort Lee	<b>∀</b>	JCOE for Culinary Training	17,000	17,000
NGA	168	Fort Belvoir	*	NGA Headquarters Facility	324,900	743,868
TMA	169	Fort Belvoir	8	Hospital Replacement - Increment III	249,000	197,750
WHS	133	Fort Belvoir	*	Office Complex	0	274,330
Navy	131	MCB Quantico	*	Collocate MILDEP Invest Agencies (INCR II of II)	0	213,109

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

	Commission				Project	Authorization of
Agency	Recommendation	Location	State	Project Title	ion	Appropriation
Navy	138	Chesapeake	\$	Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR I of II)	33,000	33,000
Navy	138	MCB Quantico	\$	Pre-trial Detainee Facility	5,570	5,570
Navy	œ	NS Norfolk	*	Renovate V47 for Combat Craft Facility	7,140	7,140
ΔIQ	167	Rivanna Sta (Charlottesville)	<b>∀</b>	Joint Use Intelligence Analysis Facility - Phase 2	3,000	21,000
Army	45	AFRC White River Junction	5	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000	28,000
Army	46	AFRC Everett	WA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000	28,000
Air Force	116	Fairchild AFB	WA	Relocate Combat Communications	12,800	12,800
Army	48	Madison	×	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,375	25,375
Army	73	Madison	¥	Armed Forces Reserve Center	009'9	6,600
Air Force	26	Gen Mitchell IAP AGS	₹	Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet	1,150	1,150
Air Force		Various	Worldwide	Planning and Design	4,173	4,173
Army	ŧ	Various	Worldwide	Planning and Design	12,000	12,000
Army	•	Various	Worldwide	Planning and Design (GDPR)	3,700	3,700
				Total Military Construction and P&D	5,141,743	7,224,795
Army	•	Various	Various	Environmental	54,831	54,831
Navy		Various	Varions	Environmental	8,627	8,627
Air Force		Various	Varions	Environmental	11,486	11,486
Defense Wide	,	Various	Varions	Environmental	0	0
				Total Environmental	74,944	74,944
Army	1	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	481,561	481,561
Navy	•	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	254,336	254,336
Air Force	•	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	253,272	253,272
Defense Wide	•	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	340,152	340,152
				Total Operation and Maintenance	1,329,321	1,329,321
Army	•	Various	Various	MilPers PCS	0	0
Navy	•	Various	Varions	Milbers PCS	9,785	9,785

Appropriation Authorization of

Project Authorization

157,991 12,099 63,226 186,439 **419,755** 

157,991 12,099 63,226 186,439 **419,755** 

9,065,386

6,982,334

TOTAL FY 2009 FUNDING FOR BRAC 2005

Total Other

TITLE XXVII - 2005 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ROUND Fiscal Year 2009 Project Listing (Dollars in Thousands)

			1					
	Project Title							
		MilPers PCS	Milbers PCS	Total MilPers PCS	Other	Other	Other	Other
	State	Varions	Varions		Various	Varions	Varions	Varions
	Location	Various	Various		Various	Various	Various	Various
Commission	Recommendation	•	•		,	ı		,
	Agency	Air Force	Defense Wide		Army	Navy	Air Force	Defense Wide

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 1990 (sec. 2701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2009 for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decisions of the 1988, 1991, 1993, and 1995 base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2701).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 2005 (sec. 2702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2702) that would authorize military construction projects for fiscal year 2009 that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.

The Senate bill included a similar provision (sec. 2702).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

The table included in the joint explanatory statement lists the specific projects authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 2005 (sec. 2703)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2703) that would authorize appropriations for military construction projects for fiscal year 2009 that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the amount authorized for BRAC military construction projects.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2703).

The agreement includes this provision.

The State list contained in this joint explanatory statement is the binding list of the specific amounts authorized at each location.

Subtitle B—Amendments to Base Closure and Related Laws

Modification of annual base closure and realignment reporting requirements (sec. 2711)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2712) that would modify the annual reporting requirements associated with the 2005 round of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510).

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2704)

The agreement includes the House provision.

Technical corrections regarding authorized cost and scope of work variations for military construction and military family housing projects related to base closures and realignments (sec. 2712)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2713) that would make technical corrections to the Base Closure and Realignment Act notification requirements for cost and scope of work variations.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2705)

The agreement includes the House provision

### SUBTITLE C-OTHER MATTERS

Independent design review of National Naval Medical Center and military hospital at Fort Belvoir (sec. 2721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2721) that would direct the Department of Defense to cease construction of the replacement facilities until the Secretary of De-

fense certifies the following items have been completed: a 90 percent construction design; an independent cost estimate to complete the realignment of the Walter Reed Army Medical Center; and a milestone schedule to complete the proposed realignment.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would state congressional findings and would require an independent design review of the new medical facilities, a new cost estimate, and a schedule for the transition of operations to the new facilities. The agreement is not intended to cease construction of replacement facilities related to the closure of Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

Report on use of BRAC properties as sites for refineries or nuclear power plants (sec. 2722)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2722) that would require a study evaluating the feasibility of using military installations selected for closure under the base closure and realignment process as locations for the construction of petroleum or natural gas refineries or nuclear power plants.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Repeal of commission approach for development of recommendations in any future round of base closures and realignments

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2711) that would, with respect to any future base closure round, repeal the independent commission that is provided for under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the provision

### TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING CHANGES

Incorporation of principles of sustainable design in documents submitted as part of proposed military construction projects (sec. 2801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would require the Department of Defense to incorporate sustainable design concepts and life cycle analysis into a review of options that would be submitted with the annual budget documents.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Revision of maximum lease amount applicable to certain domestic Army family housing leases to reflect previously made annual adjustments in amount (sec. 2802)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would increase the maximum lease amount available to Army family housing leases from \$18,620 per unit to \$35,000 per unit.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Use of military family housing constructed under build and lease authority to house members without dependents (sec. 2803)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would allow service members without dependents to be assigned to quarters leased pursuant to section 2835 of title 10, United States Code. This authority would also allow the conversion of the family hous-

ing units, previously provided by the buildto-lease authority, to military unaccompanied housing.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Leasing of military family housing to Secretary of Defense (sec. 2804)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to lease military family housing in the National Capital Region.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2804).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Improved oversight and accountability for military housing privatization initiative projects (sec. 2805)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2807) that would modify the existing privatization authorities and provide better visibility over, and management of privatization projects. Specifically, it would: require the Department of Defense to partner with the family housing developer; require 100 percent performance and payment bonds; require competition for conveyance actions; repeal the authority to assign service members to privatized family housing; and require additional reporting associated with general and flag officer quarters.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 2808) that would require a report on best business practices for the execution of hous-

ing privatization initiatives.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would require enhanced oversight of, and reporting on, housing privatization projects. The provision would require greater interaction among the government and private entities involved in these projects, establish minimum bonding levels of 50 percent, specify procedures to be used in the case of significant schedule or performance deficiencies, ensure that the Department of Defense maintains a database of entities that achieve unsatisfactory performance ratings on such projects, and require the Department to identify and establish regulations to implement best practices for monitoring the progress and performance of housing privatization projects.

The agreement includes elements of the House and the Senate provisions.

Authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects inside the United States Central Command and United States Africa Command areas of responsibility (sec. 2806)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would extend the current use of operations and maintenance funds to meet urgent military construction requirements outside the United States for 1 year, through fiscal year 2009.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2802) that would exempt projects in Afghanistan from the restriction against the use of this authority at installations where the Department of Defense anticipates having a long term presence. The Senate bill would also modify the quarterly reporting requirement on the use of this authority.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would provide an additional of \$300.0 million of authority for fiscal year 2009 that would be available only for urgent operational requirements in Afghanistan. The agreement would also restrict the use of this authority to the Central Command and Africa Command areas of responsibility.

Cost-benefit analysis of dissolution of Patrick Family Housing LLC (sec. 2807)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would require the Secretary of the

Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees a cost-benefit analysis regarding the dissolution of the Patrick Family Housing LLC created in connection with the privatization of military family housing at Patrick Air Force Base, Florida, and would prohibit the Secretary from dissolving that entity until this analysis has been submitted.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that requires the cost-benefit analysis be submitted within 30 days of the date of enactment of this Act, but would not restrict the dissolution of the LLC.

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Clarification of congressional reporting requirements for certain real property transactions (sec. 2811)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would clarify reporting requirements associated with civil works and other real estate transactions.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2812).

The agreement contains the Senate provision.

Authority to lease non-excess property of military departments and Defense Agencies (sec. 2812)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would modify the Department of Defense's leasing authority and restrict certain uses of that authority. Specifically, the Department would be limited to leases of less than 50 years, and would be limited on the use of proceeds derived from leases. Also, the secretaries concerned would be required to determine that property is not excess and would be required to provide expanded notifications to the congressional defense committees during the course of the lease review process. The House bill would also prohibit the acceptance of in-kind consideration for morale, welfare and recreation activities. Finally, the secretary would be required to submit a report 30 days before the secretary enters into a lease that describes the agreement reached with the local municipality on taxation issues and further describes the proposed lessee payment.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that removes the restrictions on leases over 50 years and on receipt of in-kind consideration related to morale, welfare and recreation activities and makes other technical changes.

Modification of utility system conveyance authority (sec. 2813)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would modify the existing utility privatization authorities and provide the secretary concerned the discretion to convey additional, discrete utility elements without competition to an existing utility privatization interest, if certain criteria are met.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment to the criteria for conveyances of additional elements of a utility system

Defense access roads (sec. 2814)

The House bill included a provision (sec. 2815) that would require a needs assessment of the improvements needed in cases where the Secretary of Defense determines that a Department of Defense action has caused a significant transportation impact. The House bill would also require the Secretary

of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that details the significant transportation impacts resulting from actions of the Department of Defense since January 1, 2005.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

The current Defense Access Road (DAR) eligibility criteria contained in the Federalaid Policy Guide of the Federal Highway Administration do not consider the full range of transportation impacts or requirements. We are aware that the criteria currently do not account for safety and security concerns for local roads, even though certain DAR projects have been carried out in the past 5 years in order to correct significant deficiencies threatening the safety of military personnel. The Department of Defense is strongly encouraged to consider incorporating the standards put forth by the Transportation Research Board, which serves as an independent adviser to the President, Congress, and federal agencies on scientific and technical questions, in the Highway Capacity Manual. This manual contains state-of-the-art techniques for estimating road capacity and determining levels of service for transportation facilities and modes. These techniques have been adopted by the Federal Highway Administration as a basis for assessing road requirements based on current congestion and saturation levels for traffic flows on public roads.

Report on application of force protection and anti-terrorism standards to gates and entry points on military installations (sec. 2815)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than February 1, 2009, a report on the implementation of Department of Defense anti-terrorism/force protection (AT/FP) standards for main gates or entry points of military installations.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision

We recognize the importance of AT/FP measures for Department of Defense installations and facilities. We are concerned that adequate funding has not been requested to construct permanent facilities and infrastructure, including fences, physical antiterrorism barriers, large vehicle inspection stations, and reinforced, blast-protected facilities for guards since updated AT/FP standards were adopted by the Department of Defense in 2003. Timely funding of these requirements is necessary to protect the safety and welfare of service members and their families. We expect the Department of Defense to include, in conjunction with this report, funding in the fiscal year 2010 budget and future-vears defense program to ensure that main gates and entry points at military installations comply with AT/FP standards.

SUBTITLE C—PROVISIONS RELATED TO GUAM REALIGNMENT

Sense of Congress regarding military housing and utilities related to Guam realignment (sec. 2821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2822) that would express the sense of Congress that the Special Purpose Entities proposed to support military family members in Guam should closely follow the model and standards associated with the privatized family housing initiative authorized by subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code. Furthermore, it would express

the sense of Congress that the military and civilian utility systems on Guam should be integrated to maximize effectiveness of the overall system.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would modify the sense of Congress to state that any integration of the utility infrastructure should be subject to appropriate cost-sharing and quality standards.

Federal assistance to Guam (sec. 2822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2823) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Interagency Group on Insular Affairs, should enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Government of Guam to identify civilian infrastructure associated with the capabilities expansion on Guam.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 2824) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report on the status of interagency coordination related to the realignment of military forces in Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The agreement modifies and combines the House provisions.

Eligibility of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands for military base reuse studies and community planning assistance (sec. 2823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2827) that would authorize the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to be eligible to receive military base reuse studies and community planning assistance.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Support for realignment of military installations and relocation of military personnel on Guam (sec. 2824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would establish an account for the purposes of centralizing management and oversight of funding related to the realignment of military installations on Guam and the relocation of military personnel to Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with amendments to the procedures under which the fund would operate. The agreement also states the sense of Congress regarding the participation of United States firms in projects related to this relocation.

SUBTITLE D—ENERGY SECURITY

Certification of enhanced use leases for energyrelated projects (sec. 2831)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would require that, if a proposed enhanced use lease involves a project related to energy production, and the term of the lease exceeds 20 years, the secretary of a military department may not enter into the lease until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the lease is consistent with the Department of Defense energy performance goals and the plan required by section 2911 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Annual report on Department of Defense installations energy management (sec. 2832)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on efforts taken to meet the

new energy goals set forth in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-140) and on efforts to meet certification requirements for sustainable green-building standards for construction and major renovations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the report should describe Department of Defense efforts to meet the requirements in section 433 of Public Law 110–140.

SUBTITLE E—LAND CONVEYANCES

Land conveyance, former Naval Air Station, Alameda, California (sec. 2841)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2851) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to convey the Former Naval Air Station, Alameda, California, to the Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority, except those lands designated as public benefit conveyances and certain other surplus lands.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would permit rather than require the conveyance of the property and would make other technical changes regarding the form of the consideration for the property to be conveyed.

Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, decommissioned Naval Security Group Activity, Skaggs Island, California (sec. 2842)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2860) that would direct the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior to negotiate a memorandum of agreement that stipulates the conditions upon which the decommissioned Naval Security Group Activity, Skaggs Island, Sonoma, California would be transferred from the administrative jurisdiction of the Department of the Navy to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service for inclusion in the National Wildlife Refuge System.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Transfer of proceeds from property conveyance, Marine Corps Logistics Base, Albany, Georaia (sec. 2843)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to transfer the proceeds from the sale of the Boyett Village Housing Complex at the Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany, Georgia, into the Family Housing Improvement Fund for carrying out military family housing privatization activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision, but does not direct the Department of Defense to comply with the use of proceeds suggested in the Senate report.

Land conveyance, Sergeant First Class M.L. Downs Army Reserve Center, Springfield, Ohio (sec. 2844)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2855) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey the Sergeant First Class M.L. Downs Army Reserve Center, Springfield, Ohio to the City of Springfield, Ohio for use for municipal government services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Land conveyance, John Sevier Range, Knox county, Tennessee (sec. 2845)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2856) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey 124 acres known as the

John Sevier Range in Knox County, Tennessee, to the State of Tennessee for use as a public firing range and for associated recreational activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Land conveyance, Army property, Camp Williams, Utah (sec. 2846)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2858) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey 608 acres and 308 acres, respectively, to the State of Utah for military use by the Utah National Guard at Camp Williams, Utah.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add a condition to the conveyance and make other technical changes.

Extension of Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail through Fort Belvoir, Virginia (sec. 2847)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2859) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to enter into a revocable-at-will easement with the Secretary of the Interior to provide land along the perimeter of Fort Belvoir, Virginia, to be used to extend the Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

SUBTITLE F-OTHER MATTERS

Revised deadline for transfer of Arlington Naval Annex to Arlington National Cemetery (sec. 2851)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2871) that would extend the current deadline for the transfer of approximately 36 acres of land at the Arlington Naval Annex to the Secretary of the Army for incorporation into Arlington National Cemetery from January 1, 2011, to no later than January 1, 2012.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Acceptance and use of gifts for construction of additional building at National Museum of the United States Air Force, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (sec. 2852)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2873) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to accept a gift from the Air Force Museum Foundation that would allow construction of the fourth building for the National Museum of the United States Air Force at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Obio

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would require all funds used for design, construction, and contract management of the fourth building to come from gifts, or the proceeds of the investment of those gifts.

Lease involving pier on Ford Island, Pearl Harbor Naval Base, Hawaii (sec. 2853)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2875) that would direct the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a 2 year lease with the USS Missouri Memorial Association to use a pier on Ford Island. Hawaii.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would require as a condition of the lease that the Navy be allowed the use of the ex-USS Missouri, and of the property leased to the Association, at no cost.

Use of runway at NASJRB Willow Grove, Pennsylvania (sec. 2854)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would limit commercial use of the airfield at NASJRB Willow Grove, Pennsylvania.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Naming of health facility, Fort Rucker, Alabama (sec. 2855)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2876) that would designate a health facility at Fort Rucker, Alabama, as the "Lyster Army/VA Health Clinic."

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Increase in threshold for unspecified minor military construction projects

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would amend section 2805(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, by raising the cost ceiling of a construction project authorized by this section from \$2.0 million to \$3.0 million. This provision would also eliminate the separate threshold for projects intended solely to correct deficiencies that are lifethreatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the Senate provision.

Repeal of reporting requirement in connection with installation vulnerability assessments

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would repeal a reporting requirement regarding installation vulnerability assessments.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Modification of land management restrictions applicable to Utah national defense lands

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would sunset the restrictions contained in section 2815 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) on October 1, 2013, and would also clarify the definition of Utah national defense lands in that Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision

Permanent authority to purchase municipal services for military installations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would extend the authority of the Secretary of the Army to purchase local government services for Department of Defense installations from the neighboring local governments to the other military departments. This provision would also make this authority permanent and would restrict the services that could be purchased to refuse collection and disposal.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Protecting private property rights during Department of Defense land acquisitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2816) that would direct officials of the Department of Defense to make every effort to acquire real property by negotiation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Energy and environmental design initiatives in Guam military construction and installations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2825) that would require that facilities constructed to support the military expansion at Guam have energy efficiencies and energy conservation measures incorporated into the overall design process. Specifically, this section would require that military construction projects on Guam incorporate Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design to achieve not less than the U.S. Green Building Council silver standard for new construction.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Department of Defense Inspector General report regarding Guam realignment

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2826) that would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to submit a report to Congress within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act on the efforts of the Inspector General to address potential waste and fraud associated with the realignment of military forces in Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Prevailing wage applicable to Guam

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2828) that would make the requirements of subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code, applicable to military construction of any facilities on Guam.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Expansion of authority of the military departments to develop energy on military lands

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would enable the Secretary of Defense to enter long-term contracts for renewable energy from resources developed on military lands.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

Land conveyance, Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point, Norwalk, California

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2852) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey 10 acres at the Nor-

walk Defense Fuel Supply Point to the City of Norwalk, California, for recreational purposes.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Land conveyance, former Naval Station, Treasure Island, California

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2853) that would direct the Secretary of the Navy to convey the former Naval Station Treasure Island, California, to the Treasure Island redevelopment authority.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Condition on lease involving Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2854) that would require the Secretary of the Navy and Ford Island Properties/ Hunt Development to enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Hawaii Community Development Authority to ensure that the development plan for real property to be conveyed at Barbers Point, Hawaii, conforms to Hawaii Community Development Authority land use controls.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Land conveyance, Bureau of Land Management land, Camp Williams, Utah

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2857) that would direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey 431 acres to the State of Utah for military use by the Utah National Guard at Camp Williams. Utah.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Decontamination and use of former bombardment area on island of Culebra

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2872) that would amend the Military Construction Authorization Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–166) to remove restrictions on environmental remediation of the former bombardment area on the island of Culebra, Puerto Rico.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Establishment of memorial to American Rangers at Fort Belvoir, Virginia

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2874) that would authorize the Secretary of

the Army to permit the American Ranger Memorial Association, Inc., to establish and maintain a memorial at a suitable location at Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

TITLE XXIX—WAR-RELATED AND EMERGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

SUBTITLE A-FISCAL YEAR 2008 PROJECTS

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2901) that would authorize war-related military construction projects for the Army.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2901).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis in this provision. These authorizations are in addition to the projects and amounts authorized in title XXIX of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110–181).

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2902) that would authorize war-related military construction projects for the Navy.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2902).

The agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis in this provision. These authorizations are in addition to the projects and amounts authorized in title XXIX of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110–181).

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2903) that would authorize war-related military construction projects of the Air Force.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2903).

The agreement includes the Senate provision

The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis in this provision. These authorizations are in addition to the projects and amounts authorized in title XXIX of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110–181).

TITLE XXIX
SUBTITLE A - FY2008 AUTHORIZATIONS

State / Country S	Service	Installation	Project Title	Previous FY2008 Authorized	Conference	Conference
)	)				S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Day Louis
			Projects Inside the United States			
⋖	ARMY	FORT WAINWRIGHT	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	17,000	17,000
K	ARMY	FORT IRWIN	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	11,800	11,800
Z		SAN DIEGO	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	12,299	12,299
⋖	RCE	BEALE AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	17,600	17,600
⋖		FORT CARSON	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	8,400	8,400
⋖	RCE	EGLIN AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	11,000	11,000
K	ARMY	FORT GORDON	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	7,800	7,800
⋖	ARMY	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	12,500	12,500
⋖		FORT CAMPBELL	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	6,900	006'6
⋖	ARMY	FORT KNOX	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	7,400	7,400
⋖	AIR FORCE	CANNON AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	8,000	8,000
4	ARMY	FORT BRAGG	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	8,500	8,500
Z	NAVY	CAMP LEJEUNE	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	16,000	16,000
⋖	ARMY	FORT SILL	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	000'6	000'6
¥	ARMY	FORT BLISS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	5,700	5,700
×	ARMY	FORT BLISS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	5,900	5,900
₹	ARMY	FORT BLISS	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	5,700	5,700
₹	ARMY	FORT HOOD	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	7,200	7,200
₹	ARMY	FORT SAM HOUSTON	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	2,000	7,000
₹	ARMY	FORT LEE	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	7,400	7,400
₹A		UNSPECIFIED WORLDWID	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE PLANNING AND DESIGN - CDCs	0	000'9	9'000
<b>WORLDWIDE UNSPF NAVY</b>	ΑVΥ	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWID	E PLANNING AND DESIGN - CDCs	0	1,052	1,052
PEA	<b>NORLDWIDE UNSPEAIR FORCE</b>	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWID	JNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE PLANNING AND DESIGN - CDCs	0	1,427	1,427

502,893

502,893

**United States Total** 

TITLE XXIX
SUBTITLE A - FY2008 AUTHORIZATIONS

ence Conference ge Authorized	204,578 204,578		7,210 7,210		11,250 11,250	780 780	6,570 6,570	11,980 11,980	8,070 8,070	3,000 3,000	65,380 65,380	43,200 43,200	32,000 32,000	57,900 57,900	47,000 47,000	52,835 52,835	232,935 232,935
ous 308 Conference rized Change	0 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0 5	0	0	0 23
Previous FY2008 Authorized	ıters					TIONS				Q							
Project Title	Subtotal Child Development Centers	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSE ADDITIONS	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	JIEDDO BATTLE COURSES	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE PLANNING AND DESIGN - JIEDDO	Subtotal JIEDDO Battle Courses	Recruit Barracks	AIT Complex I, Phase I	Hospital Addition/Alteration	AIT Barracks	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE Planning and Design-TMA	Subtotal Various
Installation		CAMP PENDLETON	CHINA LAKE	POINT MUGU	TWENTYNINE PALMS	EGLIN AFB	GULFPORT	CAMP LEJEUNE	YORKTOWN	UNSPECIFIED WORLDW		SAN DIEGO MCRD	FORT GORDON	CAMP LEJEUNE	FORT SAM HOUSTON	UNSPECIFIED WORLDW	
Service		NAVY	NAV≺	NAVY	NAVY					ISPENAVY		NAVY		IA TMA	ARMY	SPE TIMA	
State / Country		CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA	FLORIDA	MISSISSIM	NORTH CAROLINA	VIRGINIA	WORLDWIDE UNSPENAVY		CALIFORNIA	GEORGIA	NORTH CAROLINA	TEXAS	WORLDWIDE UNSPETMA	

588,193

482,543

105,650

Grand Total FY2008 Authorization Changes

0

-105,650

105,650

Subtotal Repeal of Previous Authorizations

TITLE XXIX SUBTITLE A - FY2008 AUTHORIZATIONS

State / Country Se	Service	Installation	Project Title	Frevious FY2008 Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
			Projects Outside the United States			
A A	ARMY ARMY	CAMP ADDER CAMP RAMADI	CORPS SUPPORT CENTER PHASE 3 INCINERATOR	00	13,200 6,200	13,200 6,200
ΑF	3MY	FALLUJAH	INCINERATORS	0	5,500	5,500
Ā	AIR FORCE	AL UDEID	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT PARKING APRON	Ö	60,400	60,400
			Overseas Total	0	85,300	85,300
			Subtotal New FY 2008 Authorizations	0	588,193	588,193
			Repeal of Previous Authorizations			
Ą	3MY	CAMP ADDER	ENTRY CONTROL POINT	4,850	4,850	0
Ā	ARMY	CAMP ANACONDA	URBAN BYPASS ROAD	43,000	-43,000	0
₹	₹MY	CAMP SPEICHER	WASTE WATER TREATMENT & COLLECTION:	008'6	-9,800	0
Ą	₹MY	CAMP VICTORY	ENTRY CONTROL POINT	5,000	-5,000	0
Ą	₹MY	TIKRIT	URBAN BYPASS ROAD	43,000	-43,000	0

Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2904)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2904) that would authorize war-related military construction projects for the defense agencies.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that reduces the amounts authorized.

Termination of authority to carry out fiscal year 2008 Army projects (sec. 2905)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2905) that would repeal the project authorizations for military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2008 for which no funds were appropriated.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2904).

The agreement includes this provision.

SUBTITLE B-FISCAL YEAR 2009 PROJECTS

Authorized Army Construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2911)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2911) that would authorize \$450.0 million for military construction projects for the Army for fiscal year 2009. The Senate provision would provide additional funding for warrior transition unit facilities, primarily barracks. The funding would be available 14 days after the Secretary of Defense submits a report to Congress with a description and justification of the specific projects to be funded

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provi-

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2912)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2912) that would authorize \$50.0 million in military construction projects for the Navy for fiscal year 2009. The Senate provision would provide additional funding for warrior transition unit facilities, primarily barracks. The funding would be available 14 days after the Secretary of Defense submits a report to Congress with a description and justification of the specific projects to be funded.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

Overview

Title XXXI authorizes appropriations for atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2009, including: the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment; research and development; nuclear weapons activities; nuclear nonproliferation activities; naval nuclear propulsion; environmental cleanup; operating expenses; and other expenses necessary to carry out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Public Law 95-91). This title authorizes appropriations in five categories: (1) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA); (2) defense environmental cleanup; (3) other defense activities; (4) defense nuclear waste disposal; and (5) energy security and assur-

The budget request for atomic energy defense activities at the Department of Energy included \$16.0 billion for atomic energy defense activities, a 5.6 percent increase above the fiscal year 2008 appropriated level. Of the total amount requested:

- (1) \$9.1 billion is for NNSA, of which
- (a) \$6.6 billion is for weapons activities,
- (b) \$1.2 billion is for defense nuclear non-proliferation activities,
- (c) \$828.1 million is for naval reactors, and (d) \$404.1 million is for the Office of the Ad-
- (d) \$404.1 million is for the Office of the Administrator;
- (2) \$5.3 billion is for defense environmental cleanup;
- (3) \$1.3 billion is for other defense activities; and
- (4) \$247.4 million is for defense nuclear waste disposal.

The budget request also included \$7.6 million for energy security and assurance within energy supply.

We agree to authorize \$16.1 billion for atomic energy defense activities, an increase of \$143.2 million above the budget request.

Of this amount, we authorize:

- (1) \$9.8 billion for NNSA, of which
- (a) \$6.6 billion would be for weapons activities, a decrease of \$7.0 million below the budget request.
- (b) \$1.9 billion would be for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$648.2 million above the budget request,
- (c) \$828.1 million would be for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request, and
- (d) \$404.0 million would be for the Office of the Administrator, the amount of the budget request:
- (2) \$5.3 billion would be for defense environmental cleanup activities, the amount of the budget request:
- (3) \$826.5 million would be for other defense activities, a decrease of \$487.0 million below the amount of the budget request; and
- (4) \$222.4 million would be for defense nuclear waste disposal, a reduction of \$25.0 million below the amount of the budget request.

We agree to authorize \$7.6 million for energy security and assurance, the amount of the budget request.

The following table summarizes the budget request and the authorizations:

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$9.3 billion for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) for fiscal year 2009, an increase of \$204.7 million above the budget request.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$9.6 billion for the activities of the NNSA for fiscal year 2009, an increase of \$544.6 million above the budget request.

The agreement includes a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize \$9.8 billion, an increase of \$655.2 million above the budget request.

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Conference Authorized		7,622		6,625,111	1,895,261	828,054	404,081	9,752,507		5,297,256	826,453	222,371	6,346,080	16,098,587	16,106,209		7,622
Conference Change				7,032	648,213			655,245			-487,008	-25,000	-512,008	143,237	143,237		
Senate Authorized				6,610,701	1,799,056	828,054	404,081	9,641,892		5,297,256	826,453	197,371	6,321,080	15,962,972	15,962,972		
House Authorized		7,622		6,646,739	1,443,248	828,054	409,081	9,327,122		5,317,256	1,321,461	247,371	6,886,088	16,213,210	16,220,832		7,622
FY2009 Request		7,622		6,618,079	1,247,048	828,054	404,081	9,097,262		5,297,256	1,313,461	247,371	6,858,088	15,955,350	15,962,972		7,622
Program	Discretionary Summary By Appropriation	Appropriation Summary: Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability	Atomic Energy Defense Activities National Nuclear Security Administration:	Weapons activities	Defense nuclear nonproliferation	Naval reactors	Office of the administrator	Total, National Nuclear Security Administration	Environmental and Other Defense Activities:	Defense Environmental Cleanup	Other Defense Activities	Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	Total, Discretionary Funding	Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability Operations and analysis	Infrastructure security & energy restoration

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

2,189       2,189       2,189       2,189         209,196       191,196       209,196         211,385       211,385       193,385       211,385         211,385       211,385       193,385       211,385         211,385       211,385       193,385       211,385         211,385       1,645       1,645       1,645         21,645       1,645       1,645       1,645         22,034       43,349       43,349       43,349         32,034       32,034       32,034       32,034         25,759       25,759       25,759       25,759         37,189       37,189       37,189       37,189         49,854       49,854       49,854       49,854         49,854       338,682       338,682       338,682
209,196 191,196 211,385 193,385 80,434 80,434 1,645 1,645 68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
80,434 80,434 1,645 1,645 68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
80,434 80,434 1,645 1,645 68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
80,434 80,434 1,645 1,645 68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
1,645 1,645 68,418 68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
68,418 68,418 43,349 43,349 32,034 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
43,349       43,349         32,034       32,034         25,759       25,759         37,189       37,189         49,854       49,854         338,682       338,682
32,034 32,034 25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
25,759 25,759 37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
37,189 37,189 49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
49,854 49,854 338,682 338,682
338,682 338,682

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

(Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Weapons dismantlement and disposition Operations and maintenance Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility OPC	116,822	109,322 -7,500	128,822	5,000	121,822
Construction: 99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, SRS Total, Weapons dismantlement and disposition	66,890 183,712	66,890 <b>168,712</b>	66,890 <b>195,71</b> 2	5,000	66,890 <b>188,712</b>
Stockpile services Production support Research and development support R&D certification and safety	302,126 36,231 193.375	302,126 32,691 198,375	302,126 36,231 193,375		302,126 36,231 193,375
Facility free hydro-dynamic test capabilities  Management, technology, and production  Pit manufacturing	201,375	5,000 201,375 110,269	201,375	-20,000	201,375
Fit manufacturing capability Total, Stockpile services Total, Directed stockpile work	931,936 1,675,715	888,396 1,607,175	911,936 1,649,715	-20,000	911,936 11,650,715
Campaigns: Science campaign Advanced certification Primary assessment technologies	20,000	30,000	20,000		20,000

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference	Conference
Dynamic plutonium experiments	23,734	23,734	23,734		23,734
Dynamic materials properties	85,805	85,805	85,805		85,805
Advanced radiography	29,418	29,418	29,418		29,418
Secondary assessment technologies	79,292	79,292	79,292		79,292
Test readiness	10,408	10,408	10,408	-5,000	5,408
Total, Science campaign	323,070	333,070	323,070	-5,000	318,070
Engineering campaign					
Enhanced surety	35,641	40,641	35,641	2,000	40,641
Weapons Systems Engineering Assessment Technology	17,105	17,105	17,105		17,105
Nuclear survivability	21,753	21,753	21,753		21,753
Enhanced surveillance	68,243	71,243	68,243	3,000	71,243
Total, Engineering campaign	142,742	150,742	142,742	8,000	150,742
inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign					
Ignition	103,644	103,644	103,644		103,644
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	68,248	68,248	68,248		68,248
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	8,920	8,920	8,920		8,920
Joint Program in High Energy Density Laboratory Plasmas	3,147	3,147	3,147		3,147
Facility operations and target production	180,384	194,984	180,384	14,600	194,984
Fully fund NIC baseline plan		4,600			
NIC risk mitigation		10,000			
Inertial fusion technology					

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Program	FY2009 Reguest	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference
Naval Research Laboratory NIF assembly and installation Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	56,899 421,242	56,899 <b>450,442</b>	56,899 <b>421,242</b>	14,600	56,899 <b>435,842</b>
Advanced simulation and computing campaign Operations and maintenance	561,742	561,742	561,742		561,742
Readiness Campaign Stockpile readiness	28,731	28,731	28,731		28,731
High explosives and weapon operations Nonnuclear readiness	8,927 40,165	8,927 40,165	8,927 40,165		8,927 40,165
Tritium readiness Operation and Maintenance	82,265	72,265	54,665	-10,000	72,265
Advanced design and production technologies	22,949	22,949	22,949	000	22,949
Total, Campaigns	1631,833	1,569,033	1,604,233	7,600	1/3,03/
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF) Operations of facilities					
Kansas City Plant	122,389	122,389	122,389	-19,000	103,389
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	85,160	95,160	85,160	10,000	95,160
De-Inventory SNM from LLNL Los Alamos National Laboratory	298,112	10,000 298,112	298,112		298,112

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Senate Conference Conference	33 92,203 92,203 51 104,361 10,000 114,361	127,827	14 108,114 108,114 108,114 NG 216 DA	5)	00	37 57,837 57,837	7 1,212,907 1,000 1,213,907		72,509 72,509	23,398	16 29,846 29,846	1,412,501 1,000 1,413,501			3,200 3,200	2,000
House Authorized	92,203	127,827	108,114	5,000	2,000	57,837	1,256,907	73,8	72,509	23,398	29,846	1,456,501			3,200	2,000
FY2009 Request	92,203	127,827	108,114			57,837	1,212,907	73,841	72,509	23,398	29,846	1,412,501			3,200	2,000
Program	Nevada Test Site Pantex	Sandia National Laboratory	Savarnah River Site Y-12 National Security Complex	Maintenance of facilities	Storage/HEUMF	Institutional site support	Total, Operations of facilities	Program readiness	Material recycle and recovery	Containers	Storage	Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)	Construction:	09-D-404, Test capabilities revitalization II,	Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM	08-D-801 High pressure fire loop (HPFL), Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX

05-D-402 Beryllium capability (BEC) project

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Senate Conference Conference Authorized Change Authorized	5,015 5,015	00 50,200 100,200	53 10,353 10,353 2 258,022 308,022	3 1,670,523 1,000 1,721,523	131,651	221,072 221,072 221,072	16 221,936 221,936 00	60 163,772 99,550
House Authorized	5,015	100,200	10,353 <b>308,022</b>	1,764,523	131,651	89,421 221,072	<b>226,936</b> 5,000	99,550
FY2009 Request	5,015	100,200	10,353 308,022	1,720,523	131,651	221,072	221,936	09'66
<u>Program</u>	Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	04-D-128 TA-18 Criticality experiments facility (CEF), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM; Nevada Test Site, Las Vegas, NV Total, Construction	Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	Secure transportation asset Operations and equipment	Program direction Total, Secure transportation asset	Nuclear weapons incident response National technical nuclear forensics	Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program Operations and maintenance

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference
Construction: 08-D-601 Mercury highway Nevada Test Site, Las Vegas, NV	11,700	11,700	11,700		11,700
08-D-602 Portable water system upgrades Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN	27,666	27,666	27,666		27,666
07-D-253 TA 1 heating systems modernization (HSM) Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM	15,755	15,755	15,755		15,755
06-D-601 Electrical distribution system upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	4,000	4,000	4,000		4,000
06-D-603 Steam plant life extension project (SLEP), Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN Total, Construction	10,878 <b>69,999</b>	10,878 <b>69,999</b>	10,878 <b>69,999</b>		10,878
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	169,549	169,549	233,771		169,549
Environmental projects and operations Long term stewardship	40,587	40,587	40,587		40,587
Transformation disposition	77,391	77,391	77,391		77,391

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference	Conference Authorized
Safeguards and Security Defense nuclear security Operations and maintenance Physical Security Systems - Y-12, Oak Ridge, TN	690,217	<b>693,217</b> 3,000	730,217	23,432	713,649
Construction: 08-D-701 Nuclear materials S&S upgrade project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	46,000	46,000	46,000		46,000
05-D-170 Project engineering and design, various locations Total, Construction	1,111	1,111	1,111		1,111
Total, Defense nuclear security	737,328	743,328	777,328	23,432	760,760
Cyber security Total, Safeguards and Security	122,511 859,839	122,511 865,839	122,511 899,839	23,432	122,511 <b>883,271</b>
Subtotal, Weapons Activities Adjustments	6,618,445	6,647,105	6,619,067	7,032	6,625,477
Use of prior year balances Rescission of prior year balances	-366	-366	-8,366		-366

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

	Conference Authorized	-366 6,625,111	286,944	13,147 13,147	300,091	134,672	451,694	141,299
	Conference	7,032	25,000		25,000	-5,795	22,000	
	Senate Authorized	-8,366 6,610,701	286,944	13,147	300,091	120,467 -15,000 -5,000	479,694	141,299
	House Authorized	-366 6,646,739	261,944	13,147	275,091	128,567 -6,900 -5,000	479,694	141,299
(Dollars in Thousands)	FY2009 Request	-366 6,618,079	261,944	13,147	275,091	140,467	429,694	141,299
	Program	Total, Adjustments Total, Weapons Activities	Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Nonproliferation and verification R&D Operations and maintenance	Construction: 07-SC-05 Physical Science Facility Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richiand, WA Total, Construction	Total, Nonproliferation & verification R&D	Nonproliferation and international security Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Global Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention	International nuclear materials protection and cooperation North Korea denuclearization	Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference
Fissile materials disposition U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition Operations and maintenance	40,774	40,774	59,974	19,200	59,974
Construction 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC			467,808	467,808	467,808
Total, U.S Surplus Fissile Materials Disposition			527,782	487,008	527,782
Russian surplus materials disposition	1,000	1,000	11,000		1,000
Total, Fissile materials disposition	41,774	41,774	538,782	487,008	528,782
Global threat reduction initiative	219,641	389,641	219,641	120,000	339,641
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Use of prior year balances (NN) Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,247,966 -918 1,247,048	1,444,166 -918 1,443,248	1,799,974 -918 1,799,056	648,213 —- 648,213	1,896,179 -918 1,895,261
Naval Reactors  Naval reactors development  Operation and maintenance	771,600	771,600	771,600		771,600

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Conference	1,000	8,300	300	12,400	793,600	34,454	828,054	404,081 <b>404,081</b>
Conference Change								
Senate Authorized	1,000	8,300	300	12,400 <b>22,000</b>	793,600	34,454	828,054	404,081 <b>404,08</b> 1
House Authorized	1,000	8,300	300	12,400	793,600	34,454	828,054	409,081
FY2009 Request	1,000	8,300	300	12,400 <b>22,000</b>	793,600	34,454	828,054	404,081 <b>404,081</b>
Program	Construction: 09-D-190, PED, Infrastructure upgrades, KAPL	09-D-902, Production Support Complex, NRF, Idaho Falls, ID	08-D-190 Project engineering and design Expended Core Facility M-290 recovering discharge station, Naval Reactor Facility, Idaho Falls, ID	07-D-190 Materials research technology complex (MRTC) Total, Construction	Total, Naval reactors development	Program direction	Total, Naval Reactors	Office of the Administrator Office of the administrator Total, Office of the Administrator

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Closure sites:	6	6	6		6
Ciosara suos administration	13,209	13,208	15,209	13 500	13,209
Miamisburg	30,574	40,574	30,574		30,574
Total, Closure sites	45,883	55,883	59,383	13,500	59,383
Hanford Site:					
Program increase for high risk cleanup activities		10,000			
2012 completion projects					
Nuclear facility D&D river corridor closure project	165,248	165,248	245,825		
NM stabilization and disposition PFP	113,483	113,483	122,455		
SNF stabilization and disposition	122,171	122,171	122,171		
Total, 2012 completion projects	400,902	400,902	490,451	89,549	490,451
2035 completion projects					
Nuclear facility D&D - remainder of Hanford	85,653	85,653	85,653		
Richland community and regulatory support	19,620	19,620	19,620		
Soil and water remediation - groundwater vadose zone	169,682	169,682	169,682		
Solid waste stabilization and disposition 200 area	175,930	175,930	220,930		
Total, 2035 completion projects	450,885	450,885	495,885	45,000	495,885
Total, Hanford Site	851,787	861,787	986,336	134,549	986,336

Idaho National Laboratory:

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

	Conference Authorized										472,124		21,943	75,674	3,000		229,568	330,185				
	Conference										40,000		5,000	10,000	3,000		67,101	85,101				
	Senate Authorized	2,030	27,334	178,767	46,025		86,700	102,268	32,133	3,867	479,124		34,199	75,674	3,000	5,000	229,568	347,441		58,000	65,160	52,392
	House Authorized	2,030	20,334	178,767	46,025		86,700	70,268	24,133	3,867	432,124		16,943	65,674			162,467	245,084		58,000	58,160	32,392
(Dollars in Thousands)	FY2009 Request	2,030	20,334	178,767	46,025		86,700	70,268	24,133	3,867	432,124		16,943	65,674			162,467	245,084		58,000	58,160	32,392
(Dollars i	Program	Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	SNF stabilization and disposition - 2012	Solid waste stabilization and disposition	Radioactive Ilquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	Construction	06-D-401 Sodium bearing waste treatment project, Idaho	Soil and water remediation - 2012	Nuclear facility D & D	Idaho community and regulatory support	Total, Idaho National Laboratory	NNSA sites	NNSA Service Center/SPRU	Nevada	Sandra National Laboratories	Pantex	Los Alamos National Laboratory	Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	Oak Ridge Reservation:	Building 3019	Nuclear facility D & D ORNL	Nuclear facility D & D Y-12

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

<u>Program</u> Nuclear facility D & D East Tennessee Technology Park

Savannah River Site:

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

	Conference Conference Change Authorized	2,032			58,536 <b>557,187</b>	578,218	127,524 127,524 705,742 58,536 1,264,961	
	Senate Authorized	2,032 <b>2,032</b>	12,500	27,608 53,559 83,121	48,552 <b>572,651</b>	578,218	127,524 127,524 705,742 1,280,425	
	House Authorized	2,032	12,500	24,108 53,559 67,121	2,052 <b>498,651</b>	578,218	127,524 127,524 705,742 1,206,425	
(Dollars in Thousands)	FY2009 Request	2,032 2,032	12,500 339,311	24,108 53,559 67,121	2,052 2,052 498,651	578,218	127,524 127,524 705,742 1,206,425	
	Program	2012 completion projects 04-D-414 Container surveillance capability in 105K Total, 2012 completion projects	2035 completion projects SR community and regulatory support Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	Spent nuclear fuel stabilization and disposition Solid waste stabilization and disposition Soil and water remediation	Nuclear facility D & D  Total, 2035 completion projects	Tank farm activities Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition Construction:	05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River Total, Construction Total, Tank farm activities Total, Savannah River Site	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference
Central characterization project	29,069	29,069	37,763		
ransportation	28,170	28,170			
Community and regulatory support	27,860	27,860			
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	211,524	211,524	230,218	18,694	230,218
Program direction	308,765	308,765	318,765		308,765
Program support	33,930	33,930	42,430		33,930
Safeguards and Security:					
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	5,124	5,124	5,124		5,124
Oak Ridge Reservation	27,020	27,020	27,020		27,020
West Valley	1,400	1,400	1,400		1,400
Paducah	8,196	8,196	8,196		8,196
Richland/Hanford Site	75,265	75,265	83,435	8,170	83,435
Savannah River Site	134,336	134,336	134,336		134,336
Total, Safeguards and Security	251,341	251,341	259,511	8,170	259,511
Technology development	32,389	32,389	32,389		32,389
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	463,000	463,000		463,000
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	5,298,365	5,318,365	5,799,578	495,933	5,794,298
Use of prior year balances	-1,109	-1,109	-502,322	-495,933	-497,042
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,297,256	5,317,256	5,297,256		5,297,256

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (Dollars in Thousands)

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Other Defense Activities Health, Safety and Security Health, safety, and security Program direction Total, Health, Safety and Security	347,271 99,597 446,868	355,271 99,597 <b>454,868</b>	347,271 99,597 446,868		347,271 99,597 446,868
Office of Legacy Management Legacy management Program direction Total, Office of Legacy Management	174,397 11,584 <b>185,981</b>	174,397 11,584 <b>185,981</b>	174,397 11,584 <b>185,98</b> 1		174,397 11,584 185,981
Nuclear Energy Infrastructure Idaho sitewide safeguards and security Total, Infrastructure	78,811 78,811	78,811	78,811 <b>78,811</b>		78,811 <b>78,81</b> 1
Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility Operations and maintenance Construction and other project costs 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC Total, Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility	19,200 467,808 <b>487,008</b>	19,200 467,808 487,008		-19,200 -467,808 -487,008	

Title XXXI -- DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Program	FY2009 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference	Conference Authorized
Totat, Nuclear Energy	565,819	565,819	78,811	487,008	78,811
Defense related administrative support	108,190	108,190	108,190		108,190
Office of hearings and appeals	6,603	6,603	6,603		6,603
Subtotal, Other Defense Activities	1,313,461	1,321,461	826,453	-487,008	826,453
Congressionally directed projects					
Total, Other Defense Activities	1,313,461	1,321,461	826,453	-487,008	826,453
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposat	247,371	247,371	197,371	-25,000	222,371
Total, Department of Energy	15,962,972	16,220,832	15,962,972	143,237	16,106,209

Within NNSA, the provision would authorize \$6.6 billion for weapons activities, a decrease of \$7.0 million; \$1.9 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$648.2 million; \$828.1 million for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request, and \$404.1 million for the Office of the Administrator, the amount of the request.

The budget request included \$6.6 billion for weapons activities. The provision would authorize \$6.6 billion, a decrease of \$7.0 million below the budget request. The budget request included \$10.0 million for the Reliable Replacement Warhead, no funds are provided for this item. The provision would authorize an increase of \$5.0 million above the budget request for weapons dismantlement and disposition and a decrease of \$20.0 million below the budget request for pit manufacturing. Within campaigns, the provision would authorize a reduction of \$5.0 million below the budget request for test readiness, an increase of \$5.0 million above the budget request for enhanced surety, an increase of \$3.0 million above the budget request for enhanced surveillance, an increase of \$14.6 million above the budget request for inertial confinement fusion, and a decrease of \$10.0 million below the budget request for tritium readiness. Within readiness in technical base and facilities, the provision would authorize an increase of \$1.0 million above the budget request, which includes an increase of \$10.0 million above the budget request for the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, an increase of \$10.0 million above the budget request for the Pantex Plant, and a decrease of \$19.0 million for the Kansas City Plant. We note that the \$19.0 million reduction for the Kansas City Plant is without prejudice. The provision provides an additional \$23.4 million above the budget request for defense nuclear security.

The budget request included \$1.2 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation. The provision would authorize \$1.9 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of \$648.2 million, including \$487.0 million transferred from nuclear energy for the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility. The provision would include an increase of \$25.0 million above the budget request for the Nonproliferation and Verification Research and including Development program technologies to support improved nuclear material forensic and attribution capabilities and seismic research. The provision would authorize a reduction of \$5.8 million for the Nonproliferation and International Security (NIS) program, of which \$5.0 million shall be applied to the Global Initiatives for Proliferation (GIPP) Prevention and the balance shall be applied across the NIS as a reduction for Global Nuclear Energy Partnership activities including GIPP. The provision would authorize an increase of \$22.0 million above the budget request for the International Nuclear Materials and Cooperation program to secure nuclear weapons and materials outside the United weapons States. Funding for the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, \$467.8 million, and \$19.2 million for operations and maintenance for the U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition, has been moved from nuclear energy back to the NNSA to reflect that this is a nonproliferation program. The NNSA is the responsible entity within the Department of Energy to manage nonproliferation programs. The provision would authorize an increase of \$120.0 million above the budget request for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative for highly enriched uranium reactor conversion, to secure domestic research and test reactors, to secure and remove U.S. origin high risk radiological sources, to secure and remove international high risk radiological sources, and to dispose of U.S. origin highly enriched uranium located outside the United States.

The budget request included \$828.1 million for naval reactors. The provision would authorize the amount of the budget request.

The budget request included \$404.1 million for the Office of the Administrator. The provision would authorize the amount of the budget request.

Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize \$5.3 billion for environmental defense cleanup activities, the amount of the budget request.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize \$5.3 billion, an increase of \$20 million above the budget request.

The agreement includes the Senate provision that would authorize \$5.3 billion, the amount of the budget request.

The agreement would authorize a number of projects not included in the budget request. The Department of Energy (DOE) has identified these projects as unfunded and not included in the budget request but necessary to meet environmental cleanup commitments and requirements. Due to the uncertainty of the appropriations process for fiscal year 2009, the agreement would authorize these additional projects but offset the amount of the cost of the additional projects through the use of prior year balances. This will allow the DOE discretion and flexibility in implementing these additional projects should additional appropriated funds be available. In the event that additional funds are not available, the DOE would retain the flexibility to implement these projects with prior year balances, or through reprogramming actions should the decision be made to do so. We view implementation of the additional projects as being within the discretion of the Secretary.

Funding for these projects is authorized by site and by account. The increases for the sites and accounts are as follows: Fernald, \$13.5 million; 2012 completion projects at the Hanford Site, \$89.5 million; 2035 completion projects at the Hanford Site, \$45.0 million; Idaho National Laboratory, \$40.0 million; National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) sites \$85.101, which includes \$5.0 million for NNSA/SPRU, \$10.0 million for Nevada, \$3.0 million for Sandia National Laboratory, and \$67.1 million for Los Alamos National Laboratory; Oak Ridge reservation. \$25.0 million: Office of River Protection, \$53.0 million; 2035 completion projects at the Savannah River Site, \$58.5 million: Waste Isolation Pilot Project, \$18.7 million; and safeguards and security at the Hanford Site, \$8.2 million.

Other defense activities (sec. 3103)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize \$826.5 million for other defense activities, a decrease of \$487.0 million

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize \$1.3 billion for other defense activities, an increase of \$8.0 million.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

The amount authorized is a decrease of \$487.0 million from the amount of the budget request. We recommend: \$446.9 million for health, safety, and security, the amount of the budget request; \$186.0 million for legacy management, the amount of the request; \$6.6 million for the Office of Hearings and Appeals; and \$78.8 million for nuclear energy, a decrease of \$487.0 million from the budget request. We recommend that the \$487.0 million included in the budget request for other defense activities for the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility be transferred to the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Defense nuclear waste disposal (sec. 3104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize \$247.4 million for defense nuclear waste disposal, the amount of the budget request.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize \$197.4 million for defense nuclear waste disposal, a reduction of \$50.0 million below the budget request.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize \$222.4 million for defense nuclear waste disposal, a reduction of \$25.0 million below the budget request.

Energy security and assurance (sec. 3105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3105) that would authorize \$7.6 million for energy security and assurance at the Department of Energy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Modification of functions of Administrator for Nuclear Security to include elimination of surplus fissile materials usable for nuclear weapons (sec. 3111)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend section 2402(b)(1) of title 50, United States Code, by adding a new paragraph assigning responsibility for elimination of surplus fissile materials usable for nuclear weapons to the Administrator for Nuclear Security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Limitation on funding for project 04–D–125 Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Replacement facility project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (sec. 3112)

The House bill provided full funding for project 04-D-125, the chemistry and metallurgy research replacement (CMRR) facility project at the Department of Energy Los Alamos National Laboratory.

The Senate bill provided \$50.2 million for CMRR, a reduction of \$50.0 million from the budget request.

The agreement includes a provision that would authorize \$100.2 million for the CMRR, the amount of the budget request, but would prohibit the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) from obligating more than \$50.2 million of the CMRR funding until 15 days after the Administrator and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board have each certified to the congressional defense committees that the issues associated with the design of the safety class systems at the CMRR and seismic related design issues have been resolved.

Nonproliferation and national security scholarship and fellowship program (sec. 3113)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would direct the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to establish a nonproliferation scholarship program. The scholarship program would be available to both undergraduate and graduate students in disciplines to be determined by the NNSA administrator. A student would be required to work as a Federal Government employee or as a laboratory employee for 1 year for each year that the student received support under the program.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3113(a)) that would establish a fellowship program for graduate students in nuclear chemistry.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would direct the NNSA Administrator to establish a scholarship program for nonproliferation and national security programs at the Department of Energy. The provision would authorize \$3.0 million from funds available to the Administrator to be used for the scholarship program in fiscal year 2009.

There is concern that experts in certain technical areas critical to nonproliferation and national security programs, such as radio-chemistry, are increasingly difficult for the NNSA and the Department of Energy laboratories to attract and retain.

Enhancing nuclear forensics capabilities (sec. 3114)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would establish a fellowship program for graduate students in nuclear chemistry and direct the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to prepare and carry out a research and development plan to improve the speed and accuracy of nuclear forensics radiation measurement equipment. In addition, the provision would direct the Secretary of Energy to prepare a research and development plan to support technical forensics and attribution capabilities, including an international database on nuclear material to allow prompt attribution of material or weapons.

The provision would also amend the report on nuclear forensics capabilities required to be submitted by section 3129(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to include a requirement to identify any treaty, legislative, or regulatory actions needed to establish the international database. The provision would also direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy and Homeland Security, to submit a report with respect to a nuclear forensics advisory panel.

The provision would also require a series of reports including, a report on the costs of the fellowship program; a research and development plan with the costs to implement the plan; a report on the research and development plan for technical capabilities to enhance forensics and attribution; and a report on the involvement of senior Executive Branch leadership in nuclear terrorism preparedness exercises.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would establish a nonproliferation scholarship and fellowship program.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Energy to establish, prepare and implement a research and development plan to improve nuclear forensics capabilities in the Department of Energy (DOE) and at the DOE national laboratories. The Secretary of Energy should ensure that the House Committee on Science and Technology receives a copy of the report.

In addition, the amendment would amend the report on nuclear forensics capabilities required to be submitted by section 3129(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to include a requirement to identify any treaty, legislative, or regulatory actions needed to establish the international database.

The amendment would also direct the President to submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees on the involvement of senior level Executive Branch leadership in nuclear terrorism exercises including nuclear forensics analysis.

Elsewhere in the agreement there is a separate provision that would establish a scholarship and fellowship program for non-proliferation and national security.

Utilization of contributions to International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation program and Russian plutonium Disposition program (sec. 3115)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would establish the authority of the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to enter into agreements with any person, including a foreign government or entity, which the Secretary of Energy considers appropriate, to accept funds to assist with the disposition of excess Russian plutonium as part of the Russian Plutonium Disposition program. Contributed funds would be maintained in a separate account in the Treasury, and would be returned to the donor if not used in 5 years. The provision would also require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report on the receipt and use of funds. The authority provided in the provision would terminate on December 31, 2013.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify existing authority that allows the Department of Energy (DOE) to accept contributions for other nonproliferation programs to allow DOE to accept contributions for the Russian Plutonium Disposition Program and for the International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation Program. The DOE authority to accept contributions for these nonproliferation programs would expire on December 31, 2015.

Review of and reports on Global Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program (sec. 3116)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would direct the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to conduct a review of the Global Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (GIPP) program and submit a report on the review to the congressional defense committees no later than February 1. 2009.

The report would include a description of the goals for the GIPP program and the criteria for partnership projects together with recommendations regarding the future of projects in Russia and the other countries of the former Soviet Union as well as plans for projects in countries other than the former Soviet Union. In addition, the report would include a plan for completing all projects in the countries of the former Soviet Union by 2012.

The provision would also restrict funds associated with the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP).

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would change the due date of the report to October 1, 2009, and that would require a plan and criteria for completing all partnership projects under the program, not just in the countries of the former Soviet Union. In addition, recognizing that each country has different needs and presents different opportunities for partnerships, the agreement does not include a deadline by which projects have to be completed.

The GNEP funding restriction is addressed in a separate provision.

We appreciate the GIPP program information recently provided by the NNSA and the NNSA efforts to continue to strengthen the management, implementation and oversight of the program. This additional attention and oversight by the NNSA will ensure that the GIPP program achieves its intended nonproliferation objectives, and will address the concerns the Government Accountability Office has raised about the GIPP program. We also are encouraged that NNSA is reducing

unobligated and uncosted balances in GIPP program funding and will re-baseline the program by December 2008 as part of its Strategic Plan.

Limitation on availability of funds for Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (sec. 3117)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3115(c)) that would prohibit funds authorized to be appropriated for defense nuclear non-proliferation and available for use in the Global Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program from being used to support the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP).

The House bill contained no similar provision. House Report 110-652 did not support using any defense nuclear nonproliferation funds for GNEP.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would allow no more than \$3.0 million of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 3101(a)(2) for defense nuclear nonproliferation to be used for projects specifically designed for the GNEP. None of the \$3.0 million amount shall be obligated until 30 days after the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) submits to Congress a report describing in detail the amount proposed to be used for GNEP and the specific activities that would be funded.

The agreement specifies that the \$3.0 million is available to be used for nonproliferation risk assessments relating to the GNEP and related work on export control reviews.

## SUBTITLE C-REPORTS

Extension of deadline for Comptroller General report on Department of Energy protective force management (sec. 3121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would extend the due date for the Comptroller General to complete a report on the management of the Department of Energy protective forces to March 1, 2009.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provi-

Report on compliance with Design Basis Threat issued by the Department of Energy in 2005 (sec. 3122)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would direct the Secretary of Energy to submit a report on the progress made by the Department of Energy (DOE) to achieve compliance with the requirements of the 2005 design basis threat (DBT) for each DOE site with Category I nuclear materials. The DBT establishes the physical security requirements for each DOE site. This report would be a follow-on report to the 2006 DBT report, which laid out a plan for each site to either be compliant by 2008 or obtain a waiver. The provision would also direct the Secretary to conduct an assessment of the 2005 DBT and to identify any necessary modifications, updates, or revisions to the 2005 DBT. The committee is concerned that several sites may not be in compliance with the 2005 DBT by the end of 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The agreement contains the Senate provision

Modification of submittal of reports on inadvertent releases of restricted data (sec. 3123)

The Senate bill (sec. 3113) contained a provision that would amend section 2672 of title 50, United States Code, to make the annual report on inadvertent releases of restricted data due every other year rather than annually. The provision would further amend section 2672 to change the frequency of the report that the Secretary of Energy submits to Congress to identify the plans of various federal agencies to prevent the inadvertent release of restricted data. The provision would

modify the frequency of the Department of Energy review of the agencies' plans from periodic, which has been treated by the Secretary as an annual requirement, to once every 2 years.

The House bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement contains the provision.

## TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Authorization (sec. 3201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize \$25.5 million for the activities of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, the amount of the budget request.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize \$29.0 million for the activities of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, an increase of \$3.5 million above the amount of the budget request.

The agreement includes the House provision.

## TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize \$19.1 million for the operation and maintenance of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

## TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2009 (sec. 3501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) for the authorization of appropriations for the Maritime Administration of the Department of Transportation for fiscal year 2009

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with clarifying amendments for capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy and maintenance and repair of school ships of the various State Maritime Academies

Limitation on export of vessels owned by the Government of the United States for the purpose of dismantling, recycling, or scrapping. (sec. 3502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3502) that would place limits on the export of vessels owned by the United States government for the purpose of scrapping or recycling in foreign shipyards.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Student incentive payment agreements. (sec. 3503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3503) that would raise the maximum yearly incentive payment for students at the various state maritime academies from \$4,000 to \$8.000 per year.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Riding gang member requirements (sec. 3504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3504) that would amend section 1018 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364) to require that riding gang members of vessels engaged in the carriage of cargo for the Department of Defense possess a merchant mariners' document issued under chapter 73 of title 46, United States Code, or a transportation security card issued under section 70105 of such title. In addition, the provision clarifies those personnel onboard such vessels at the direction of the Secretary of Defense shall not be classified as riding gang members under section 8106 of title 46, United States Code.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Maintenance and repair reimbursement program for the maritime security fleet (sec. 3505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3505) that would direct the Administrator of the Maritime Administration to seek to enter into agreements for the maintenance and repair pilot program as authorized by section 5301 of title 46 United States Code, as amended by section 3503 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision

Temporary program authorizing contracts with adjunct professors at the United States Merchant Marine Academy (sec. 3506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3506) that would grant temporary authority to the Administrator of the Maritime Administration to enter into contracts with Adjunct Professors at the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provi-

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that grants temporary authority to the Administrator to transition employees of the Academy's Non-Appropriated Funded Instrumentalities (NAFIs) to the General Schedule. In addition, the amendment would grant authority to the Administrator to accept and use conditional or unconditional gifts of money or property for the benefit of the Academy.

Actions to address sexual harassment and violence at the Untied States Merchant Marine Academy (sec. 3507)

The agreement includes a provision that would direct the Secretary of Transportation to direct the Superintendent of the United States Merchant Marine Academy to prescribe a policy on sexual harasment and sexual violence applicable to the cadets and other personnel of the Academy.

Assistance for small shipyards and maritime communities (sec. 3508)

The agreement includes a provision that would repeal section 3506 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) and add a new section in chapter 541 of title 46, United States Code, for assistance to small shipyards and maritime communities clarifying the program's intent to provide assistance to projects that would be effective in fostering efficiencies and enhancing employee technical skills.

Marine war risk insurance (sec. 3509)

The agreement includes a provision that would extend the authorities granted in section 53912 of title 46, United States Code, to December 31, 2015.

MARAD consultation on Jones Act Waivers (sec. 3510)

The agreement includes a provision that would require that the head of any agency responsible for the administration of the navigation or vessel-inspection laws to obtain a determination from the Administrator of the Maritime Administration, acting in the capacity as Director, National Shipping Authority, that sufficient United States flag capacity does not exist to meet national defense requirements prior to any waiver of those laws.

Transportation in American vessels of government personnel and certain cargoes (sec. 3511)

The agreement includes a provision to amend section 55305 of title 46, United States Code, top clarify the requirements of that section with respect to the transportation of government personnel and cargo in American vessels.

Port of Guam improvement enterprise program (sec. 3512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2829) that would create a Port of Guam Improvement Enterprise Program to provide for the planning, design, and construction of projects for the Port of Guam to improve facilities, relieve port congestion, and provide greater access to port facilities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAI	<b>NDING RUL</b>	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER SUGGESTE (thousands) CI = committee RECIPIENT initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
TITLE: 1						
Procurement of ammunition, Army	t of amm	inition, Army				
26		Grenades, all types	2,000	Lincoln	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
26		Grenades, all types	2,000	Pryor	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
Other procurement, Army	rement, A	rmy				
54		Information technology upgrades	2,000	Levin	Detroit Arsenal	Warren, Mi
169		Combat Arms Training System	2,000	Chambliss	Meggitt Training Systems	Suwanee, GA
169		Combat Arms Training System	2,000	Isakson	GA Army National Guard, Meggitt Training Systems	Suwanee, GA
169		Laser collective combat training	4,000	Reed	MPRI/L-3	Middletown, Ri
Aircraft procurement, Navy	urement,	Navy				
32		integrated mechanical diagnostics health & usage management system (IMDS)	2,000	Thune	Goodrich Corporation	Vergennes, VT
51		AN/AAR-47 hostile fire improvements	4,000	Nelson, Bill	ATK Mission Systems, Integrated Systems Division	Clearwater, FL
51		AN/AAR-47 hostile fire improvements	4,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
Weapons procurement, Navy	ocuremer	t, Navy				
16		Accelerate facility restoration program	30,000	Byrd	NAVSEA	ABL, Rocket Ctr, WV
Procurement 28	t of amm	Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2,000	lincolo	Pine Bliff Arsenal	Pine Bluff AR
28		Grenades, all types	2,000	Pryor	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
Other procurement, Navy	rement, N	avy				
119		Standardize metrics assessment of readiness & training	3,500	Reed	MIKEL, Inc.	Middletown, RI
134		Man overboard indicators (MOBI)	3,500	Akaka	Briar Tek	Alexandria, VA

LINE	Ħ	COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE  CHANGE   THE STAN	THE STANDING RULI	ES OF THE SENATE SUGGESTED	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF	
			(tnousands)	initiative	RECIPIENT	PERFORMANCE
Other proc	Other procurement, Navy	avy				
134		Man overboard indicators (MOBI)	3,500	Bayh	BriarTek, Inc	Indianapolis, IN
134		Man overboard indicators (MOBI)	3,500	Warner	BriarTek, Inc	Arlington, VA
134		Man overboard indicators (MOBI)	3,500	Webb	BriarTek, Inc	Arlington, VA
Aircraft pr	Aircraft procurement, Air Force	Air Force				
2		F136 engine advance procurement	35,000	Bayh	Rolls-Royce	Cincinnati, OH
2		F136 engine advance procurement	35,000	McConnell	General Electric	Evendale, Ohio and Lynn, MA
7		F136 engine advance procurement	35,000	Kennedy	General Electric and Rolls Royce Naval Marine	Lynn and Walpole, MA
48		Accelerate LAIRCM upgrades for C-130 aircraft	4,000	Martinez	L-3 Crestview Aerospace	Crestview, FL
48		Accelerate LAIRCM upgrades for C-130 aircraft	4,000	Chambliss	Georgia National Guard	Savannah, GA
48		Accelerate LAIRCM upgrades for C-130 aircraft.	4,000	Isakson	GA Air National Guard; Northrop Grumman Corporation	Savannah, GA
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Lincoln	USAF Targeting Pod Programs Office	<b>WPAFB,</b> ОН
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Pryor	USAF Targeting Pod Programs Office	<b>WPAFB</b> , ОН
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Lieberman	Rafael USA	Rolling Meadows, IL
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Nelson, Bill	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Homestead, FL
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Craig	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Arlington, VA
80		Litening targeting pod upgrades	20,000	Thune	Northrop Grumman	Rolling Meadows, IL
Missile pro	Missile procurement, Air Force	Air Force				

(DRI)

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	OF THE STA!	ADING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI ≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Missile	Missile procurement, Air Force	Air Force				
16		Advance procurement of AEHF 4	100,000	D	N/A	N/A
Other p	Other procurement, Air Force	Air Force				
16		Eagle Vision one meter SAR upgrades	3,500	Akaka	Hawaii Air National Guard	State of HI
28		Unmanned threat emitter (UMTE) modernization	5,000	Reid	98 Range Wing	Nellis AFB, NV
78		Unmanned threat emitter (UMTE) modernization	2,000	Schumer	DRS-Electronic Warfare and Network	Buffalo, NY
Procure	Procurement, Defense-wide	e-wide				
99		MK 17 rifle	3,000	Graham	F.	Columbia, SC
87		M53 joint chemical biological protective mask	2,000	Levin	Avon Protection Systems	Cadillac, MI
94		Joint bio standoff detection system (JBSDS)	2,000	Sessions	Science & Engineering Services, Inc.	Huntsville, AL
94		Joint chemical agent detector (JCAD)	2,000	Lincoln	Smith's Detection	Edgewood, MD
94		Joint chemical agent detector (JCAD)	2,000	Pryor	Smith's Detection	Edgewood, MD
94		Joint chemical agent detector (JCAD)	2,000	Bayh	Smiths Detection	Edgewood, MD
TITLE: 2	2					
Researc	th, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
7	0601102A	Advanced energy storage research	2,000	Levin	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
2	0601102A	Advanced energy storage research	2,000	Stabenow	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
2	0601102A	Drug resistant infections research	1,500	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska Medial Center	Omaha, NE
7	0601102A	Military operating environments research	1,500	Ensign	Defense Research Institute	Las Vegas, NV
7	0601102A	Military operating environments research	1,500	Reid	Desert Research Institute	Reno, NV

	CHANCE ASSESSED CLICKTER	27074174	LOISTHO	CECCHICAN
ENATE	LES OF THE SI	NDING RU	<b>COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE</b>	COMPLIA

		COURTEINING WITH NOTE ALIV OF THE STANDING NOTES OF THE SCHAPE				
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) Cl≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
2	0601102A	Organic semiconductor modeling and simulation	1,000	Cornyn	University of Texas at Tyler	Tyler, TX
æ	0601103A	Low temperature vehicle performance research	2,000	Levin	Wayne State University	Detroit, MI
m	0601103A	Nanocomposite materials research	2,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, fL
m	0601103A	Nanocomposite materials research	2,000	Nelson, Bill	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
m	0601103A	Nanoscale biosensor research	1,500	Lincoln	University of Arkansas at Fayetteville	Fayetteville, AR
ന	0601103A	Nanoscale biosensor research	1,500	Pryor	University of Arkansas at Fayetteville	Fayetteville, AR
m	0601103A	Urban simulation and training research	1,200	McConnell	University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Lexington, KY and Fort Knox, KY
5	0602105A	Composite materials applied research and technology	2,000	Carper	University of Delaware	Newark, DE
νı	0602105A	Improvised explosive device blast simulations	475	Thune	South Dakota School of Mines and Technology	Rapid City, SD
ъ	0602105A	Magnetic nanosensor development	2,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
ហ	0602105A	Nanosensor manufacturing research	1,000	Kennedy	University of Massachusetts Lowell	Lowell, MA
S	0602105A	Nanosensor manufacturing research	1,000	Kerry	University of Massachusetts Lowell	Lowell, MA
ĸ	0602105A	Ultrasonic consolidation for armor applications	1,500	Levin	Solidica Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
S.	0602105A	Ultrasonic consolidation for armor applications	1,500	Stabenow	Solidica, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
9	0602120A	Hydrogen battery research	2,000	Graham	Gecko Energy Technologies	Columbia, SC

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) Cl≈committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Researc	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
12	0602308A	Photonics research for sniper detection	3,000	Kennedy	Boston University Photonics Boston, MA Center	Boston, MA
12	0602308A	Photonics research for sniper detection	3,000	Kerry	Boston University Photonics Boston, MA Center	Boston, MA
13	0602601A	Advanced vehicle technology and fuel development	1,000	Levin	Central Michigan University	Mt. Pleasant, Mi
13	0602601A	Condition based maintenance simulation tools	3,000	Isakson	Ricardo US	Georgia Tech and other various universities
13	0602601A	Condition based maintenance simulation tools	3,000	Levin	Ricardo Inc.	Van Buren Township, Mi
13	0602601A	Condition based maintenance simulation tools	3,000	Stabenow	Ricardo US	Belleville, MI
13	0602601A	DOD hydrogen PEM fuel cell medium	3,000	Lieberman	UTC Power	South Windsor, CT
13	0602601A	Ground vehicle reliability modeling	1,000	Levin	Western Michigan University	Kalamazoo, Ml
13	0602601A	Hybrid electric vehicle batteries for FCS	2,000	Bayh	EnerDel, Inc	Indianapolis, IN
13	0602601A	Hybrid electric vehicle batteries for FCS	2,000	Lugar	EnerDel	Indianapolis, IN
13	0602601A	Military fuels research program	2,000	McConnell	University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Lexington, KY
17	0602624A	UGV weaponization	1,500	Collins	Howe and Howe Technologies, Inc.	North Berwick, ME
17	0602624A	UGV weaponization	1,500	Snowe	Howe and Howe Technologies	North Berwick, ME
18	0602705A	Soldier portable power pack technologies	2,000	Dodd	Ensign Bickford	Simsbury, CT
18	0602705A	Soldier portable power pack technologies	2,000	Lieberman	Ensign Bickford Aerospace and Defense	Simsbury, CT

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STA	NDING RUI	<b>.ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	<b>A</b>	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) Cl = committee initiative	SUGGESTED PRECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Resear	ch, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
18	0602705A	Soldier portable power pack technologies	2,000	Dole	INI Power Systems	Morrisville, NC
70	0602712A	Standoff IED detection technologies	3,000	Lincoln	Arkansas State University	Jonesboro, AR
20	0602712A	Standoff IED detection technologies	3,000	Pryor	Arkansas State University	Jonesboro, AR
20	0602712A	Standoff IED detection technologies	3,000	Akaka	University of Hawaii, Arkansas State University; Florida A&M	Honolulu, HI; Jonesboro, AR; Tallahassee, FL
23	0602782A	Portable compass/positioning/timing device development	2,000	Collins	CrossRate Technologies, LLC	Standish, ME
23	0602782A	Portable compass/positioning/timing device development	2,000	Snowe	Cross Rate Technologies	Standish, ME
25	0602784A	Geosciences and atmospheric research	2,000	Allard	Colorado State University	Fort Collins, CO
25	0602784A	Geosciences and atmospheric research	2,000	Salazar	Colorado State University	Fort Collins, CO
25	0602784A	Nanocomposite panels for blast and ballistic protection	1,500	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
25	0602784A	Nanocomposite panels for blast and ballistic protection	1,500	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
27	0602786A	Ballistic materials for force protection	1,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
27	0602786A	Ballistic materials for force protection	1,000	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
28	0602787A	Advanced functional nanomaterials for biological processes	2,000	Lincoln	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
28	0602787A	Advanced functional nanomaterials for biological processes	2,000	Pryor	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
78	0602787A	Biomechanics research	1,000	Warner	Virginia Tech, Wake Forest	Blacksburg, VA
28	0602787A	Biomechanics research	1,000	Webb	Virginia Tech, Wake Forest	Blacksburg, VA
28	0602787A	Dried blood technology clinical research	2,000	Cardin	Core Dynamics, Inc.	Ft. Detrick, MD
28	0602787A	Dried blood technology clinical research	2,000	Inhofe	Core Dynamics	Rockville, MD

	SUGGESTED LOC	PERFORMANCE
NDING RULES OF THE SENATE	MEMBER SUGGESTED	(thousands) CI = committee RECIPIENT
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	CHANGE	(thousands)
CO	DESCRIPTION	
	<b>R</b>	
	LINE	

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	<b>IDING RUL</b>	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
28	0602787A	Extremity war Injuries research program	2,000	Cornyn	Medical Research and Materiel Command, US Army Institute of Surgical Research, Ft. Sam Houston	San Antonio, TX
28	0602787A	Genetics research for soldier survivability	2,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE
28	0602787A	Military photomedicine program	3,500	Kennedy	Administered by Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Competitive
28	0602787A	Military photomedicine program	3,500	Dole	Military Photomedicine Program (Fort Detrick, MD)	Peer reviewed competitive process to university research centers.
29	0603001A	Biosensor controller systems development	3,500	Reid	Eye-Com Corporation	Reno, NV
30	0603002A	Advanced fibrin dressings	3,000	Cardin	STB Lifesaving Technologies	Rockville, MD
30	0603002A	Advanced fibrin dressings	3,000	Dole	STB Lifesaving Technologies	Rockville, MD
30	0603002A	Battlefield tracheal intubation technologies	2,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska Medial Center UNMC	Omaha, NE
30	0603002A	Bioelectrics research	2,000	Warner	Old Dominion University	Norfolk, VA
30	0603002A	Bioelectrics research	2,000	Webb	Old Dominion University	Norfolk, VA
30	0603002A	Combat wound initiative	2,000	Reed	TRT	Walter Reed, Washington DC
30	0603002A	Electronic vital signs monitoring	3,000	Reed	Northeast Knitting	Pawtucket, RI
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Boxer	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Feinstein	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Dodd	Competitive	N/A

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	OF THE STAI	NDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	<b>4</b>	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research	h, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Akaka	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Durbin	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Bayh	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Kerry	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Snowe	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Bond	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Baucus	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Tester	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Lautenberg	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Schumer	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Brown	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Sanders	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Gulf War veterans' illnesses research	10,000	Rockefeller	Competitive	N/A
30	0603002A	Lower limb prosthetics research	2,000	Kennedy	Foster-Miller	Waltham, MA
30	0603002A	Lower limb prosthetics research	2,000	Kerry	Foster-Miller, Inc	Waltham, MA
30	0603002A	Online military medical training program	3,000	McConnell	Accella Learning and the University of Louisville	Louisville, KY
30	0603002A	Regenerative medicine research	3,000	Dole	Wake Forrest University Institute for Regenerative Medicine	Winston-Salem, NC
31	0603003A	Helicopter vulnerability reduction technologies	1,500	Dodd	UTC Research Center	East Hartford, CT
31	0603003A	Helicopter vulnerability reduction technologies	1,500	Lieberman	UTC Research Center	East Hartford, CT

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research 31	i, developmen 0603003A	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army 31 0603003A Tactical integration systems development	2,000	Sessions	People Tec	Huntsville. AL
31	0603003A	UAV payload delivery systems	1,000	Sessions	Dynetics Inc.	Huntsville, AL
33	0603005A	Advanced digital hydraulic hybrid drive systems	2,500	Levin	Eaton	Southfield, MI
33	0603005A	Advanced digital hydraulic hybrid drive systems	2,500	Stabenow	Eaton Corporation	Southfield, MI
33	0603005A	Advanced digital hydraulic hybrid drive systems	2,500	Coleman	Eaton Corporation	Eden Prairie, MN
33	0603005A	Advanced lithium vehicle battery systems	2,000	Levin	T/J Technologies	Ann Arbor, Mi
33	0603005A	Advanced lithium vehicle battery systems	2,000	Stabenow	T/J Technologies, Advanced Research & Government Solutions Division, A123Systems, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
33	0603005A	Advanced tactical wheeled vehicle electric drive systems	3,000	Levin	Advanced Defense Vehicle Systems	Shelby Township, MI
33	0603005A	Advanced thermal and oil management controls research	3,000	Levin	Engineered Machined Products	Escanaba, MI
33	0603005A	Antiballistic windshield armor	4,500	Bayh	DefenseCS	Mishawaka, IN
33	0603005A	Antiballistic windshield armor	4,500	Lugar	Defense CS, Inc.	Mishawaka, IN
33	0603005A	Antiballistic windshield armor	4,500	Graham	Defense CS	Mishawaka, IN
33	0603005A	Development of logistical fuel processors	2,800	Sessions	Auburn University	Auburn, AL
33	0603005A	Diverse threat sensor development	1,000	Levin	Michigan Technological University	Houghton, MI
33	0603005A	Diverse threat sensor development	1,000	Stabenow	Michigan Technological University	Houghton, MI
33	0603005A	Dynamometer facility upgrade	4,000	Levin	AVL North America	Plymouth, MI

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAI	NDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER Cl = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Researc	h, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
33	0603005A	Dynamometer facility upgrade	4,000	Stabenow	AVL North America	Plymouth, MI
33	0603005A	Ground vehicle integration technologies	3,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
33	0603005A	Hostile fire detection systems	2,000	Cornyn	Mustang Technology	Allen, TX
33	0603005A	Hybrid electric blast protected vehicle technologies	1,500	Graham	Force Protection	Ladson, SC
33	0603005A	Hydraulic hybrid vehicle systems	1,000	Levin	Bosch Rexroth	Rochester Hills, MI
33	0603005A	Hydraulic hybrid vehicle systems	1,000	Stabenow	Bosch Rexroth Corporation	Rochester Hills, MI
33	0603005A	Next generation non-tactical vehicle propulsion technologies	2,000	Cardin	General Motors	Michigan
33	0603005A	Next generation non-tactical vehicle propulsion technologies	2,000	Levin	General Motors	Warren, Mi
33	0603005A	Next generation non-tactical vehicle propulsion technologies	2,000	Stabenow	General Motors	Detroit, MI
33	0603005A	Next generation non-tactical vehicle propulsion technologies	2,000	Schumer	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
33	0603005A	Power and energy research equipment upgrades	6,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
33	0603005A	Power management control and integration systems	1,000	Levin	Global Embedded Technologies	Farmington Hills, MI
33	0603005A	Power management control and integration systems	1,000	Stabenow	Global Embedded Technologies, Inc.	Farmington Hills, MI
33	0603005A	Solid hydrogen storage systems development	1,000	Levin	Energy Conversion Devices	Auburn Hills, MI

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	#	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army				
33	0603005A	Unmanned ground vehicle initiative	12,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, Mi
38	0603015A	Joint fires and effects training system	2,500	Inhofe	Institute for Creative Technologies	Marina Del Ray, CA
46	0603313A	Hypersonic interceptor studies	1,000	Brownback	Iron Tree Research	Lansing, KS
20	0603710A	Short range electro-optic sensors	2,000	Nelson, Bill	DRS Technologies, Inc.	Melbourne, Fl.
25	0603734A	Direct methanol fuel cell development	1,000	Martinez	University of North Florida	Jacksonville, FL
25	0603734A	Direct methanol fuel cell development	1,000	Nelson, Bill	University of North Florda	Jacksonville, FL
55	0603305A	Advanced electronics integration	4,000	Thune	Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Mission, SD
55	0603305A	Advanced environmental control systems	2,000	Reid	Rocky Research	Boulder City, NV
55	0603305A	Advanced fuel cell research	3,000	Cornyn	Lamar University	Beaumont, TX
55	0603305A	Radiation hardening initiative	3,000	Sessions	Analytical Services, Inc.	Huntsville, AL
99	0603308A	High-altitude integration testbed	3,000	Sessions	COLSA Corporation	Huntsville, AL
29	0603653A	Stryker active protection system	1,500	Cornyn	Raytheon Network Centric Systems	McKinney, TX
100	0604715A	Urban training development	3,000	Nelson, Bill	National Center For Simulation	Camp Blanding, FL
135	0605602A	LIDAR and modeling improvements	3,000	Hatch	Dugway Proving Ground, Utah; Utah State University's Space Dynamics Laboratory; and ITT, UT	Dugway Proving Ground, UT; Utah State University's Space Oynamics Laboratory; and ITT, UT
137	0605605A	HELSTF	2,000	Bingaman	Northrop Grumman	White Sands NM

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAP	<b>JDING RUL</b>	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	<b>X</b>	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER SUGGESTER CI = committee RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Researc	ch, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army		IIIIII	A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
137	0605605A	HELSTF	5,000	Domenici	Northrop Grumman	White Sands Missile Range, NM
179	0708045A	Castings research for weapons systems	2,000	Lincoln	Benet Labs at Watervliet Arsenal	Watervliet, NY
179	0708045A	Castings research for weapons systems	2,000	Pryor	Benet Labs at Watervliet Arsenal	Watervliet, NY
179	0708045A	Castings research for weapons systems	2,000	Brownback	Atchison Steel Castings	Atchison, KS
179	0708045A	Manufacturing metrology research	2,200	Reed	Independent Quality Labs	Rockville, RI
179	0708045A	Nanotechnology manufacturing research	2,000	Chambliss	GA NanoFAB	Swainsboro, GA
179	0708045A	Near-net shaped body armor plate development	2,000	Lincoln	CoorsTek	Benton, AR
179	0708045A	Near-net shaped body armor plate development	2,000	Pryor	CoorsTek	Benton, AR
Resear	ch, developme	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy				
г	0601103N	Automated technology for landmine detection	1,500	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Omaha	Omaha, NE
н	0601103N	Radiation hardened electronic systems	1,000	Bayh	University of Notre Dame	South Bend, IN
Т	0601103N	Radiation hardened electronic systems	1,000	Lugar	University of Notre Dame	Notre Dame, IN
m	0601153N	Manufacturing engineering educational outreach program	2,000	Levin	Focus:HOPE	Detroit, Mi
æ	0601153N	Quantum studies research	1,500	Warner	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
m	0601153N	Quantum studies research	1,500	Webb	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
4	0602114N	Free electron laser development	3,000	Warner	Jefferson Science Associates / Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility	Newport News, VA

	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF	PERFORMANCE	
<b>JLES OF THE SENATE</b>	SUGGESTED	thousands) Cl = committee RECIPIENT	
NDING RU	MEMBER	CI = committe	initiative
<b><i>OMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE</i></b>	CHANGE	(thousands)	
Ŏ	DESCRIPTION		
	PE		

LINE

				Initiative		
Researc	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy				
4	0602114N	Free electron laser development	3,000	Webb	Jefferson Science Associates / Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility	Newport News, VA
4	0602114N	UAV fuel cell technologies	2,000	Schumer	NanoDynamics Energy, Inc	Buffalo, NY
S.	0602123N	Composite Sea Lion craft project	2,000	Wicker	Seemann Composites, Inc.	Gulfport, MS
s	0602123N	Energy systems integration research	3,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
Ŋ	0602123N	Energy systems integration research	3,000	Nelson, Bill	Florida State University	Tallahassee, FL
'n	0602123N	Novel coating technologies for military equipment	3,500	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
Ŋ	0602123N	Port security technologies	3,500	Voinovich	Battelle	Columbus, OH
ĸ	0602123N	Port security technologies	3,500	Reed	Battelle	Newport, RI
55	0602123N	SOF combatant signature and weight performance research	2,000	Collins	Maine Marine Manufacturing	Portland, ME
s	0602123N	SOF combatant signature and weight performance research	2,000	Snowe	Maine Marine Manufacturing	East Boothbay, ME
9	0602131M	Warfighter rapid awareness processing technology	4,000	Akaka	Archinoetics	Hanolulu, Hl
7	0602123N	Infrared materials laboratories	2,500	Inhofe	Ardmore Development Authority	Ardmore, OK
6	0602236N	Acoustic Research Detachment test support upgrades	1,500	Craig	BAE Systems, Naval Surface Warfare Center	Bayview, ID
6	0602236N	Acoustic Research Detachment test support upgrades	1,500	Сгаро	BAE Systems, Naval Surface Warfare Center	Bayview, ID
10	0602271N	RF power technologies	1,500	Dole	RF Micro Devices	Charlotte, NC

181	ű	COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUI	ES OF THE SENATE	
,	F	DESCRIPTION	(thousands)	MEMBER CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
	, developmen	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy	000	Alada	- 3; e ; - 3; ; - 4	11.
	NITTENAN	ואוסטווב רמוצבר וו מרצוווצ נברוונוסוסצובי	2,000	AKaka	Pukoa Scientific	Honolulu, HI
	0603123N	Navy / USMC fuel cell non-tactical vehicle initiative	2,000	Cardin	General Motors	Detroit, MI
	0603123N	Navy / USMC fuel cell non-tactical vehicle initiative	2,000	Levin	General Motors	Warren, Mi
	0603123N	Navy / USMC fuel cell non-tactical vehicle initiative	2,000	Stabenow	General Motors	Detroit, MI
	0603123N	Navy / USMC fuel cell non-tactical vehicle initiative	2,000	Schumer	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
	0603123N	Single generator operations lithium ion battery	2,000	Lugar	Altairnano Inc.	Anderson, IN
	0603123N	Single generator operations lithium ion battery	2,000	Reid	Altairnano, Inc.	Reno, NV
	0603640M	Acoustic combat sensors	2,000	Inhofe	GWACS Defense, Inc.	Claremore, OK
	0603513N	DDG-51 permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion	6,600	Dodd	DRS Technologies	Danbury, CT
	0603513N	DDG-51 permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion	009'9	Lieberman	DRS Power Systems	Danbury, CT
	0603513N	DDG-51 permanent magnet hybrid electric propulsion	6,600	Kennedy	DRS Power Technology, Inc	Fitchburg, MA
	0603725N	Hydrokinetic power generator	2,000	Levin	Vortex Hydro	Ann Arbor, Mi
	0603725N	Hydrokinetic power generator	2,000	Stabenow	Vortex Hydro Energy, LLC	Ann Arbor, Mi
	0603739N	Highly integrated optical interconnect for military avionics	2,000	Levin	Calumet Electronics	Calumet, Mi
	0603739N	Highly integrated optical interconnect for military avionics	2,000	Stabenow	Calumet Electronics Corporation	Calumet, MI
	0603925N	Develop directed energy weapons for asymmetric threats	2,200	Warner	Navy	Dahlgren, VA
	0603925N	Develop directed energy weapons for asymmetric threats	2,200	Webb	Navy	Dahlgren, VA
	0604756N	Next generation Phalanx	10,700	McConnell	Raytheon	Louisville, KY
	0604756N	Next generation Phalanx	10,700	Hatch	Colemek Systems Engineering, Utah and Raytheon, KY	Colemek Systems Engineering, UT and Raytheon, KY

		COMPLIANCE WITH BILLE XI IV OF THE STANDING BLILES OF THE SENATE	THE STAI	E BUILD	FS OF THE SENATE	
TINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Rese	arch, developm	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy				
121	1 0604757N	NULKA improvements	2,000	Kennedy	Lockheed Martain Sippican, Inc.	Marion, MA
123	0604771N	Composite tissue transplantation research	2,000	Chambliss	Emory University and Naval Medical Research Center	Decatur, GA
126	604800N	JSF competitive engine	247,500	Bayh	Rolls-Royce	Cincinnati, OH
126	0604800N	JSF competitive engine	247,500	McConnell	General Electric	Evendale, Ohio and Lynn, MA
126	0604800N	JSF competitive engine	247,500	Kennedy	General Electric and Rolls Royce Naval Marine	Lynn and Walpole, MA
159	0101221N	Advanced LINAC facility	4,000	Bayh	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
159	0101221N	Advanced LINAC facility	4,000	Lugar	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
177	, 0205633N	Rapid repair structural adhesives	1,000	Reed	Epoxies Etc, Inc.	Cranston, RI
Resea	ırch, developm	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force				
2	0601103F	Advanced design technologies for hypersonic research	2,000	Coleman	Univ of MN	Univ of MN
2	0601103F	Diamond substrates for microelectronics research	2,500	Reed	Group 4 Labs	CA, RI
2	0601103F	Information security research	2,000	Inhofe	University of Tulsa	Tulsa, OK
7	0601103F	Military decision cycle time research	1,600	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Omaha	Omaha, NE
∞	0602102F	Advanced carbon fiber research and test initiative	3,000	Graham	Cytec Engineered Materials	Piedmont, SC
∞	0602102F	Fire and blast resistant materials research	2,000	Kennedy	Triton Systems, Inc.	Chelmsford, MA and Milwaukee, WI
80	0602102F	Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components	1,500	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
00	0602102F	Health monitoring sensors for aerospace components	1,500	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
CINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Researc	th, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force				
10	0602202F	Joint theater air-ground simulation system	2,500	Martinez	Dynamic Animation Systems, Inc	Orlando, FL
10	0602202F	Satellite control system training technology	2,000	Warner	Star Technologies Corporation	Great Falls, VA; Seattle, WA
10	0602202F	Satellite control system training technology	2,000	Webb	Star Technologies Corporation	Great Falls, VA; Seattle, WA
11	0602203F	Hybrid bearing development	2,000	Dodd	Purdy Transmissions	Manchester, CT
11	0602203F	Hybrid bearing development	2,000	Lieberman	Timken Company	Manchester, CT
11	0602203F	Hybrid bearing development	2,000	Dole	The Timken Company	Canton, OH
11	0602203F	Hybrid bearing development	2,000	Voinovich	The Timken Company	Canton, OH
12	0602204F	Information quality tools - persistent surveillance data sets	2,000	Lincoln	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
12	0602204F	Information quality tools - persistent surveillance data sets	2,000	Pryor	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
12	0602204F	Wideband electronic sensing technologies	3,000	Reed	Applied Radar	North Kingstown, RI
13	0602601F	Seismic research program	2,500	Kennedy	Weston Geophysical Corp.	Hanscom Air Force Base
13	0602601F	Seismic research program	2,500	Kerry	Weston Geophysical Corp.	Lexington, MA
16	0602702F	Cyber attack mitigation technologies	2,000	Schumer	CACI Technologies	Rome, NY
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Salazar	Metals Affordability Initiative	Multi-state
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Dodd	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Lieberman	Competitive	N/A

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAN	DING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands) ^C	MEMBER Cl = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Research	h, developmer	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force				
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Levin	Metals Affordability Initiative	Multiple
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Dole	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Bingaman	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	5,000	Reid	Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium	Huron, OH
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Brown	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Voinovich	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Wyden	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Casey	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Reed	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Kohl	Competitive	N/A
18	0603112F	Metals affordability initiative	2,000	Rockefeller	Competitive	N/A
19	0603203F	Reconfigurable secure computing technologies	1,500	Warner	Curtiss-Wright Controls Embedded Computing	Leesburg, VA
19	0603203F	Reconfigurable secure computing technologies	1,500	Webb	Curtiss-Wright Controls Embedded Computing	Leesburg, VA
21	0603216F	Assured aerospace fuels research	2,000	Voinovich	University of Dayton Research Institute	Dayton, OH
25	0603401F	Thin film amorphous solar arrays	2,000	Levin	Energy Conversion Devices	Auburn Hills, MI
27	0603601F	Integrated targeting devices	3,000	Nelson, Bill	Argon ST Imaging Systems	Orlando, FL
30	0603789F	Optical interconnects research	2,000	Reid	OptiComp Corporation	Zephyr Cove, NV
69	0604441F	SBIRS ops and training	30,000	ס	N/A	N/A

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAI	<b>NDING RUL</b>	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Resear	ch, developmen	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force				
94	0604759F	High speed test track	4,000	Bingaman	General Atomics	San Diego CA
94	0604759F	High speed test track	4,000	Domenici	General Atomics	Holloman AFB, NM
145	0207581F	Improve maturity of MP-RTIP sensor suite for larger aircraft	20,000	Lieberman	Northrop Grumman Norden Systems	Norwalk, CT
145	0207581F	Improve maturity of MP-RTIP sensor suite for larger aircraft	20,000	Martinez	Northrop Grumman Integrated Systems	Melbourne, FL
145	0207581F	Improve maturity of MP-RTIP sensor suite for larger aircraft	20,000	Chambliss	Northrop Grumman Corp	Robins AFB, GA
194	0305219F	UAV sense and avoid	9'000	Reid	Sierra Nevada Corporation	Sparks, NV
Researc	ch, developmen	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide				
4	0601114082	0601114D82 DEPSCoR program increase	10,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
4	0601114D8Z	DEPSCoR program increase	10,000	Nelson, Ben	University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
4	0601114D8Z	DEPSCoR program increase	10,000	Inhofe	DEPSCoR	Norman, OK; Stillwater, OK; Tulsa, OK
4	0601114082	DEPSCoR program increase	10,000	Reed	DEPSCOR	RI Companies and University
9	0601384BP	In-vitro models for biodefense vaccines	1,000	Nelson, Bill	University of Central Florida	Orlando, FL
9	0601384BP	Superstructural particle evaluation	1,500	Dole	East Carolina University	Greenville, NC
14	0602384BP	Agent fate response tool	2,000	Levin	Kettering University	Flint, MI
14	0602384BP	Agent fate response tool	2,000	Stabenow	Kettering University	Flint, MI
14	0602384BP	Chem-bio IR detector	1,500	Collins	Orono Spectral Solutions, Inc.	Orono, ME
14	0602384BP	Multivalent Marburg/Ebola vaccine	3,500	Graham	GenPhar	Mount Pleasant, SC
19	0602716E	Three dimensional integrated circuit research	1,800	Craig	Boise State University	Boise, ID

			COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAN	IDING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
	LINE	34	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
æ	esearch	1, development	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide				
	19	0602716E	Three dimensional integrated circuit research	1,800	Crapo	Boise State University	Boise, ID
	19	0602716E	Three dimensional integrated circuit research	1,800	Dole	RTI International	Research Triangle, NC
	21	0602718BR	National incident management system	2,000	Warner	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
	21	0602718BR	National incident management system	2,000	Webb	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
	23	116040188	FOPEN radar technologies	2,000	Akaka	Novasol	Honolulu, HI
	33	0603384BP	Improved CBR filters	2,000	Warner	New World Associates	Fredericksburg, VA
	33	0603384BP	Improved CBR filters	2,000	Webb	New World Associates	Fredericksburg, VA
	33	0603680D8Z	High performance defense manufacturing technology program	8,000	ō	N/A	N/A
	41	06037125	Biofuels research program	2,000	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvior, VA
	41	06037125	Emerging critical interconnection technology program	2,000	Вауһ	IPC Association Connecting Electronics Industries / SAIC / NAVSEA Crane	Crane, IN
	41	06037125	Emerging critical interconnection technology program	2,000	Lugar	IPC Association Connecting Electronics Industries/ SAIC/ NAVSEA	Crane, IN
	41	06037125	Mobile microgrid research	3,000	Levin	NextEnergy	Detroit, MI
	41	06037125	Mobile microgrid research	3,000	Stabenow	NextEnergy Center	Detroit, MI
	41	06037125	Vehicle fuel cell & hydrogen logistics program	8,000	ō	N/A	N/A
	4	06037205	Superlattice nanotechnology research	2,000	Dole	University of North Carolina Charlotte -Northrop Grumman	Charlotte, NC; Baltimore, MD
	29	0603826D8Z	Special warfare domain awareness	2,000	Collins	Technology Systems Inc	Wiscasset, ME

	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF	PERFORMANCE
OMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	CHANGE MEMBER SUGGESTED	(thousands) C. Commisser RECIPIENT initiative
COMPLIAN	DESCRIPTION	
	F	
	LINE	

															, GA			
		Brunswick, ME	N/A	AZ/MA	luka, MS	Alabama	Tewksbury, MA	East Camden, AR	East Camden, AR	Hattiesburg, MS	Huntsville, At	Savannah, GA	Savannah, GA	Atlanta, GA	Georgia Tech and Smyrna, GA	Detroit, MI	Detroit, MI	N/A
		rechnology systems, inc	N/A	ATK	ATK	Boeing	Raytheon Integrated Defense Systems	Raytheon Company	Raytheon Company	The University of Southern Mississippi	Space and Missile Defence Command	Prologic, GA National Guard	GA Air National Guard; ProLogic Inc.	Georgia Institute of Technology	Georgia Institute of Technology	University of Detroit Mercy	University of Detroit Mercy	N/A
The case	,	Snowe	ō	Wicker	Wicker	Graham	Kennedy	Lincoln	Pryor	Wicker	Sessions	Chambliss	Isakson	Chambliss	Isakson	Levin	Stabenow	ซ
	000	7,000	20,000	28,000	30,000	30,000	4,000	20,000	20,000	3,000	3,000	3,500	3,500	2,000	2,000	1,000	1,000	24,000
	Research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide	DOUGGEDDOZ SPECIAL WALTALE UOMIAMI AWATENESS	ASAP	Short-range ballistic missile defense	Upper-tier follow-on to Arrow	Upper-tier follow-on to Arrow	Mobile sensor network concept	Aegis BMD/SM-3 enhancements	Aegis BMD/SM-3 enhancements	Corrosion control, prevention and prediction research	Army advanced hypersonic weapon	Range network enterprise technologies	Range network enterprise technologies	0604940D8Z SAM hardware simulators	0604940D8Z SAM hardware simulators	Software assurance	Software assurance	Industrial base innovation fund
	th, developmen	797979797	0603714D8Z	0603881C	0603881C	0603881C	0603884C	06038920	06038920	0604016D8Z	0604165082	0604940D8Z	0604940D8Z	0604940D8Z	0604940D8Z	0303140G	0303140G	07080115
	Researc	ņ	20	72	7.7	72	9/	81	81	94	103	121	121	121	121	184	184	225

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	OF THE STAL	NDING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	<b>P</b> E	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER SUGGESTE (thousands) Cl≈committee RECIPIENT initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
TITLE: 3						
Operation and maintenance, Army	ind mainte	nance, Army				
. 09		Unmanned aircraft systems concept development	3,000	Sessions	Navigator Development Group, Inc.	Enterprise, AL
08		Shipping containers	2,000	Graham	Charleston Marine Containers	Charleston, SC
Operation and maintenance, Navy	ind mainte	nance, Navy				
250		MK 45 gun depot overhauls	000'6	McConnell	BAE Systems	Louisville, KY
Operation a	ind mainte	Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps				
10		Marine Corps shelters	2,000	Warner	Base-X Inc.	Fairfield, VA
10		Marine Corps shelters	2,000	Webb	Base-X Inc.	Fairfield, VA
Operation a	ind mainte	Operation and maintenance, Air Force				
10		B-52 flying hours	47,900	Landrieu	US Air Force	N/A
10		B-52 flying hours	47,900	Vitter	US Air Force	N/A
10		B-52 flying hours	47,900	Conrad	US Air Force	N/A
10		B-52 flying hours	47,900	Dorgan	US Air Force	N/A
9		B-52 depot maintenance	48,000	Landrieu	US Air Force	N/A
9		B-52 depot maintenance	48,000	Vitter	US Air Force	N/A
09		B-52 depot maintenance	48,000	Conrad	US Air Force	N/A
09		B-52 depot maintenance	48,000	Dorgan	US Air Force	N/A
09		Engine trailer life extension	3,000	Reid	Phoenix Aerospace	Moundhouse, NV
80		Land mobile radios	2,000	Reid	98 Range Wing	Nellis AFB, NV
8		National Security Space Institute	2,800	Allard	Air Force Space Command	CO Springs, CO

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XI IV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAL	NDING RUI	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	P.	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER Cl = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Operation 480	and maint	Operation and maintenance, Air Force 480 Advanced ultrasonic inspections	1,000	Inhofe	Veracity Technology Solutions, LLC	Midwest City, OK
Operation 270	ı and maint	Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide 270 Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Boxer	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Allard	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Salazar	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Martinez	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Nelson, Bill	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Isakson	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Akaka	Military Installations Nationwide	Military Installations Nationwide
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Inouye	N/A	Army Garrisson HI
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Cardin	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Bond	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Cochran	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Landrieu	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Burr	N/A	N/A
270		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)	15,000	Dole	Office of the Secretary of Defense (Range and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI))	Funds to be expended throughout NC.
Operation	and maint	Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard	1	,		:
10		Expandable light air mobility shelters	2,000	Levin	AAR Mobility Systems	Cadillac, MI

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	NDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Operation a	and maint	Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard				
10		Expandable light air mobility shelters	2,000	Stabenow	AAR Mobility Systems	Cadillac, MI
70		Extended cold weather clothing system	1,000	Carper	Army National Guard	Elkton, MD
20		Extended cold weather clothing system	1,000	Reed	Kenyon and Duro Industries	Kenyon, RI and Fall River, MA
02		Rapid data management system	5,000	Collins	Global Relief Technologies	Portsmouth, NH
70		Weapons skills trainer	3,000	Nelson, Bill	Cubic Simulation Systems Division	St. Augustine, FL
80		Emergency Satellite Communications	3,000	Cornyn	Texas Army National Guard	N/A
Operation	and maint	Operation and maintenance, Air National Guard				
10		Controlled humidity protection	2,000	Graham	SC Army National Guard	Columbia, SC
10		Crypto-linguist/Intel Officer Initiative	750	Hagel	Nebraska ANG	Offutt AFB, NE
10		Crypto-linguist/Intel Officer Initiative	750	Nelson, Ben	Nebraska Nat'i Guard	Omaha, NE
TITLE: 4						!
National Gu	uard perso	National Guard personnel, Air Force				
		Crypto-linguist/Intel Officer Initiative	3,300	Hagel	Nebraska ANG	Offutt AFB, NE
		Crypto-linguist/Intel Officer Initiative	3,300	Nelson, Ben	Nebraska Nat'l Guard	Omaha, NE
TITLE: 5						
<b>Operation</b> a	and maint	Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide				
866		Impact Aid	20,000	٥	N/A	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	5,000	Boxer	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Salazar	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Akaka	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	5,000	Durbin	Defense Department	N/A

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAP	IDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE (	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER Cl ≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Operation an	nd maintena	Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide				
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Roberts	Defense Department	N/A
866	_	Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Mikulski	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Conrad	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	5,000	Lautenberg	Defense Department	N/A
866	***	Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Menendez	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Clinton	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Reed	Defense Department	N/A
866		Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Whitehouse	Defense Department	N/A
866	-	Impact Aid for children with disabilities	2,000	Webb	Defense Department	N/A
TITLE: 13						
peration and	nd maintena	Operation and maintenance, miscellaneous appropriations		i		
JO 71	•	Cooperative Infeat Reduction	70,000	5	N/A	N/A
filtary const	Military construction. Army	> F				
•	-	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	3,100	Stevens	Fort Richardson, AK	
	v	System Software Engineering Annex Phase III	16,500	Sessions	Redstone Arsenal, AL	
	S	System Software Engineering Annex Phase III	16,500	Shelby	Redstone Arsenal, AL	
	S	Saddle Road, Phase 5A	30,000	Akaka	Pohakuloa Training Area, HI	
	S	Saddle Road, Phase 5A, 5B	30,000	Inouye	Pohakulo Training Area, HI	
	Œ	Ready Service Magazine Complex	8,300	Bayh	Crane Army Ammo Activity,	

Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAN	IDING RUL	<b>ES OF THE SENATE</b>	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI ≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military construction, Army	truction	, Army				
		Ready Service Magazine Complex	8,300	Lugar	Crane Army Ammo Activity, IN	
		School Age Services Center	10,000	McConnell	Fort Campbell, KY	
		Access Control Point	6,100	Levin	Detroit Arsenal, MI	
		Access Control Point	6,100	Stabenow	Detroit Arsenal, MI	
		Mine Detection Training Facility and K-9 Kennel	10,800	Bond	Fort Leonard Wood, MO	
		Upgrade Munition Igloos Phase 2	7,500	Casey	Letterkenny Army Depot, PA	
		Upgrade Munition Igloos Phase 2	7,500	Specter	Letterkenny Army Depot, PA	
		School Age Services Center	10,000	Alexander	Fort Campbell, KY	
		School Age Services Center	10,000	Corker	Fort Campbell, KY	
		Training Support Center, Phase 1	13,600	Warner	Fort Eustis, VA	
		Training Support Center, Phase 1	13,600	Webb	Fort Eustis, VA	
TITLE: 22						
Military construction, Navy	truction,	Navy				
		Indoor Small Arms Range	11,000	Dodd	Naval Submarine Base New London, CT	
		Indoor Small Arms Range	11,000	Lieberman	Naval Submarine Base New London, CT	
		Energetics Systems and Technology Lab Complex	12,050	Cardin	NWSC, Indian Head, MD	
		Energetics Systems and Technology Lab Complex	12,050	Mikulski	NSWC, Indian Head, MD	
		Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Support Facility	20,660	Collins	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard,	

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAI	VDING RUI	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI ≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military construction, Navy	nstruction	, Navy				
		Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Support Facility	20,660	Gregg	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME	
		Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Support Facility	20,660	Snowe	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME	
		Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Support Facility	20,660	Sununu	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME	
		Battalion Maintenance Facility & Equip Yard	5,870	Wicker	CBC Gulfport, MS	
		Fitness Center	6,340	Cochran	Naval Air Station Meridian, M.S	
		Fitness Center	6,340	Wicker	Naval Air Station Meridian, MS	
		Main Gate Security Improvements	8,160	Lautenberg	Earle Naval Weapons Station, NJ	
		Main Gate Security Improvements	8,160	Menendez	Earle Naval Weapons Station, NJ	
		Marine Corps Officer Candidate School HQ Facility	5,980	Warner	Marine Corps Base, Quantico, VA	
		Marine Corps Officer Candidate School HQ Facility	5,980	Webb	Marine Corps Base, Quantico, VA	
TITLE: 23						
Military construction, Air Force	nstruction	, Air Force				
		Fire/Crash Rescue Station	15,000	Κχ	Davis Monthan AFB, AZ	
		Large Crash Rescue Station	12,100	Boxer	Travis AFB, CA	
		Large Crash Rescue Station	12,100	Feinstein	Travis AFB, CA	

	COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAN	IDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
construction, Air Force	Air Force				
	Land Acquisition - 23 Acres	4,900	Allard	Peterson AFB, CO	
	Land Acquisition - 23 Acres	4,900	Salazar	Peterson AFB, CO	
	Satellite Operations Support Facility	8,000	Martinez	Cape Canaveral Air Station, FL	
	Satellite Operations Support Facility	8,000	Nelson, Bill	Cape Canaveral Air Station, FL	
	Logistics Readiness Center (P&D only)	1,800	Craig	Mountain Home AFB, ID	
	Logistics Readiness Center (P&D only)	1,800	Crapo	Mountain Home AFB, ID	
	Security Forces Complex	14,600	Landrieu	Barksdale AFB, LA	
	Security Forces Complex	14,600	Vitter	Barksdale AFB, LA	
	Indoor Firing Range	6,600	Wicker	Keesler AFB, MS	
	Upgrade Weapons Storage Area, Phase 1	10,000	Baucus	Malmstrom AFB, MT	
	Upgrade Weapons Storage Area, Phase 1	10,000	Tester	Malmstrom AFB, MT	
	Consolidated Support Center	12,200	Burr	Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	
	Consolidated Support Center	12,200	Dole	Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	
	Fire Station	13,000	Conrad	Grand Forks AFB, ND	
	Fire Station	13,000	Dorgan	Grand Forks AFB, ND	
	38th Recon Squadron Facility	11,800	Nelson, Ben	Offutt AFB, NE	
	Airfield Fire Rescue Station, Nellis	008'6	Ensign	Nellis AFB, NV	
	Airfield Fire Rescue Station, Nellis	008'6	Reid	Nellis AFB, NV	
	Consol Digital Airport Surveill Radar (DASR)/RAPCON	10,200	Inhofe	Altus AFB, OK	

		COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STAP	IDING RUI	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER SUGGESTE (thousands) Cl ≈ committee RECIPIENT initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military construction, Air Force	struction	, Air Force				of every contract and the second desiration of the second
		Physical Fitness Center	006'6	Graham	Shaw AFB, SC	
		Base Entry and Perimeter Gates	11,000	Johnson	Ellsworth AFB, SD	
		Multipurpose C-130 Maintenance Hangar	21,000	Cornyn	Dyess AFB, TX	
		Multipurpose C-130 Maintenance Hangar	21,000	Hutchison	Dyess AFB, TX	
		Three-bay Fire Station	5,400	Bennett	Hill AFB, UT	
		Three-bay Fire Station	5,400	Hatch	Hill AFB, UT	
		Missile Service Complex (P&D only)	810	Barrasso	F.E. Warren AFB, WY	
		Missile Service Complex (P&D only)	810	Enzi	F.E. Warren AFB, WY	
TITLE: 24						
Military con:	struction	Military construction, Defense-wide				
		Ammo Demilitarization Facility Phase IX	10,000	McConnell	Blue Grass Army Depot, KY	
		CV-22 Flight Simulator Facility	8,300	Bingaman	Cannon AFB, NM	
		CV-22 Flight Simulator Facility	8,300	Domenici	Cannon AFB, NM	
TITLE: 26						
Military con:	struction	Military construction, Army National Guard				
		Bethel Readiness Center	16,000	Murkowski	Bethel Armory, AK	
		Bethel Readiness Center	16,000	Stevens	Bethel Armory, AK	
		Infantry Platoon Battle Course (P&D only)	204	Lincoln	Fort Chaffee MTC, AR	
		Infantry Platoon Battle Course (P&D only)	204	Pryor	Fort Chaffee MTC, AR	
		Readiness Center	16,186	Ourbin	Urbana Armory, 1L	
		Barracks Replacement, Phase I	16,943	Levin	Camp Grayling, MI	

	COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	F THE STA	NDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER (thousands) CI = committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military construction	Military construction, Army National Guard				
	Barracks Replacement, Phase I	16,943	Stabenow	Camp Grayling, MI	
	Infantry Squad Battle Course	2,000	Levin	Camp Grayling, MI	
	Infantry Squad Battle Course	2,000	Stabenow	Camp Grayling, MI	
	Field Maintenance Shop (P&D only)	2,058	Ensign	Las Vegas, NV	
	Field Maintenance Shop (P&D only)	2,058	Reid	Las Vegas, NV	
	Readiness Center	11,375	Ensign	Elko, NV	
	Readiness Center	11,375	Reid	Elko, NV	
	Readiness Center (P&D only)	289	Smith	The Dalles Armory, OR	
	Readiness Center {P&D only}	682	Wyden	The Dalles Armory, OR	
	Army Aviation Support Facility (addtion to FY08)	2,000	Reed	N. Kingstown, RI	
	Barracks/Dining/Admin and Parking Complex Phase I	14,463	Johnson	Camp Rapid, SD	
	Barracks/Dining/Admin and Parking Complex Phase I	14,463	Thune	Camp Rapid, SD	
	Readiness Center	10,200	Leahy	Ethan Allen Range, Jericho, VT	
	Readiness Center	10,200	Sanders	Ethan Allen Range, Jericho, VT	
Military construction, Air National Guard	Air National Guard				
	Engine Inspection and Maintenance Facility	4,000	Lincoln	Little Rock AFB, AR	
	Engine Inspection and Maintenance Facility	4,000	Pryor	Little Rock AFB, AR	
	Alert Crew Headquarters	4,200	Allard	Buckley AFB, CO	
	Alert Crew Headquarters	4,200	Salazar	Buckley AFB, CO	

	COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE	THE STAN	IDING RUL	ES OF THE SENATE	
LINE PE	DESCRIPTION		CHANGE (thousands)	MEMBER Cl ≈ committee initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military construction, Air National	on, Air National Guard					
	Replace C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Shops	iance Shops	11,600	Biden	New Castle County Airport, DE	
	Replace C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Shops	iance Shops	11,600	Carper	New Castle County Airport, DE	
	Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training	nunications Training	2,600	Grassley	Fort Dodge, IA	
	Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training	nunications Training	2,600	Harkin	Fort Dadge, IA	
	Smoky Hill Range Support Facility	t <b>y</b>	7,100	Brownback	Smoky Hill ANG Range, KS	
	Digital Ground Station		14,300	Kerry	Otis ANG Base, MA	
	Fuel Cell Hangar		4,500	Coleman	Duluth 148th FW Base, MN	
	Fuel Cell Hangar		4,500	Klobuchar	Duluth 148th FW Base, MN	
	Relocate Munitions Storage Complex	тріех	3,400	Wicker	Gulfport-Biloxi IAP, MS	
	Pararescue Facility, 106th Rescue Wing, Phase 2	ue Wing, Phase 2	7,500	Clinton	Gabreski Airport, Westhampton, NY	
	Pararescue Facility, 106th Rescue Wing, Phase 2	ue Wing, Phase 2	7,500	Schumer	Gabreski Airport, Westhampton, NY	
	Combat Communications Training Complex (P&D only)	ing Complex (P&D only)	1,100	Brown	Springfield-Beckley ANG Base, OH	
	Combat Communications Training Complex (P&D only)	ing Complex (P&D only)	1,100	Voinovich	Springfield-Beckley ANG Base, OH	
	Construct Air Traffic Control Tower	wer	7,700	Reed	Quonset State Airport, RI	
	Replace Squadron Operations	-	8,000	Alexander	Knoxville, TN	
	Replace Squadron Operations		8,000	Corker	Knoxville, TN	
	262 Info Warfare Aggressor Squa	arfare Aggressor Squadron (IWAS) Facility	8,600	Cantwell	McChord AFB, WA	

		COMPLEMENT WORL ALIV OF THE STAINDING NOTES OF THE SHAVE	֝֟֝֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֡֓֓֡֓֓֓֡֓֓֡֡֓֡֓			
LINE	PE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE (thousands)	CHANGE MEMBER SUGGESTE (thousands) CI = committee RECIPIENT initiative	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
Military co	instruction	Military construction, Air National Guard 262 Info Warfare Aggressor Squadron (IWAS) Facility	8,600	Murray	McChord AFB, WA	
		Communications and Audio Visual Training Facility	6,300	Kahi	Truax Field, WI	
		C-5 Upgrade Taxiways (P&D only)	850	Byrd	EWVRA Sheperd Field, WV	
		Fuel System/Corrosiion Control Hangar	27,000	Byrd	Yeager Airport, Charleston, WV	
Military co	instruction,	Military construction, Air Force Reserve				
		Construct New Control Tower	6,450	Chambliss	Dobbins ARB, GA	
		Construct New Control Tower	6,450	Isakson	Dobbins ARB, GA	
		Digital Ground Station	14,300	Kennedy	Otis ANG Base, MA	
		Joint Services Lodging Facility Phase 2 (P&D only)	900	Brown	Youngstown ARS, OH	
		Joint Services Lodging Facility – Phase 2 (P&D only)	900	Voinovich	Youngstown ARS, OH	
TITLE: 31	_					
Departme	nt of Energ	Department of Energy National Security Programs NNSA nonproliferation research and development	25,000	ס		
		NNSA Security	12,900	5	N/A	N/A
		NNSA Security	10,500	Cornyn	Pantex	Amarillo, TX

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Alexander	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Bilirakis	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Brady (PA)	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Chabot	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	DeLauro	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Ehlers	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	English	Army National Guard	
	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Gillibrand	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Gingrey	Army National Guard	
	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Granger	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Kuh	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Marshall	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	McCarthy (NY)	Army National Guard	
_	APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Ortiz	United Technologies Corporation - Sikorsky Aircraft	Corpus Christi, TX
-	APA		020	11H-60 A to 1 Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Richardson	Army National Guard	
	( o v		020	H. Sn A to Librarias	\$5,000.0	Roners (A)	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
	APA APA		020	UH-60 A to L Upgrades	\$5,000.0	Rohrabacher	Army National Guard	
_	OPA		013	Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles (FMTV.	\$1,000.0	Mitchell	Firetrace Aerospace	Scottsdale, AZ
				TIMPRO)		ı	0	
_	OPA		027	Defense Advanced GPS Receiver	\$2,500.0	Braley	Rockwell Collins	Cedar Rapids, IA
-	OPA A		027	Defense Advanced GPS Receiver	\$2,500.0	Loebsack	Rockwell Collins	Cedar Rapids, IA
_	OPA		083	Retroft 30th HBCT radios with Embedded SAASM GPS card	\$1,000.0	McIntyre	North Carolina National Guard	Rafeigh, NC
-	OPA		11	Interoperable Radios for Texas National Guard Disaster Response	\$1,000.0	Conaway	Texas Army National Guard	Austin, TX
_	OPA		111	Joint Incident Scene Communication Capability	\$1,000.0	Conaway	Texas Army National Guard	Austin, TX
_	OPA		118	SAASM PPS GPS Upgrade	\$2,000.0	Souder	Ш	Fort Wayne, IN
	OPA		137	Multi-Temperature Refrigerated Container System (MTRCS)	\$2,200.0	Davis (KY)	DRS	Florence, KY
_	OPA		169	Call For Fire Trainer II / Joint Fires and Effects	\$4,500.0	Cole	Stanley Associates	Lawton, OK
_	OPA		169	Combat Skills Marksmanship Trainer (CSMT)	\$3,000.0	Gingrey	Meggitt Training Systems	Suwanee, GA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
-	OPA		169	Combat Skills Simulation Systems, Ohio Army National Guard	\$4,650.0	Space	Ohio Army National Guard	Columbus, OH
-	OPA		169	Immersive Group Simulation Virtual Training System (IGS-VTS) for the Hawaii Army National	\$1,500.0	Abercrombie	Atlantis Cyberspace, Inc.	Honolulu, Hl
~~	OPA		169	Instrumentation for Urban Assault Course - Tennessee National Guard	\$1,750.0	Tanner	Tennessee National Guard	Nashville, TN
_	OPA		169	Virtual Interactive Combat Environment (VICE) - New Jersey National Guard	\$2,000.0	Saxton	Dynamic Animation Systems	Fairfax, VA
-	OPA		170	Deployable/Mobile/Digital Target System-Armor- Infantry Set	\$450.0	Tanner	Tennessee National Guard	Nashville, TN
	OPA		170	M1 Abrams Mobile Conduct of Fire Trainers Upgrades - Tennessee National Guard.	\$1,500.0	Tanner	Tennessee National Guard	Nashville, TN
-	OPA		170	Texas Army National Guard Future Solider Trainer Program	\$3,000.0	Lampson	Texas Army National Guard	Austin, TX
_	OPA		176	Battlefield Anti-Intrusion System (BAIS)	\$3,000.0	Andrews	L-3 Communications	Camden, NJ
	OPA		176	Battlefield Anti-Intrusion System (BAIS)	\$3,000.0	LoBiondo	L-3 Communications	Camden, NJ
	OPA		176	Battlefield Anti-Intrusion System (BAIS)	\$3,000.0	Saxton	L-3 Communications	Camden, NJ
-	SCN		004	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Courtney	General Dynamics - Electric Boat	Groton, CT
-	SCN		004	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Ellsworth	Babcock & Wilcox Company	Mt. Vernon, IN
	SCN		004	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Forbes	The Navy	Various
_	SCN		900	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Hunter	Navy	Connecticut and Virginia
-	SCN		00	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Langevin	General Dynamics - Electric Boat	Groton, CT
	SCN		900	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Pascrell	General Dynamics - Electric Boat	Gratan, CT
	SCN		004	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement/Advance Construction	\$300,000.0	Rothman	General Dynamics - Electric Boat	Groton, CT
_	SCN		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Butterfield	Northrop Grumman	Arlington, VA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
_	SCN		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Drake	Northrop Grumman Corporation Ship Systems Avondale Operations	New Orleans, LA
			!	1	4	1	7717	
_	SCN		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Forbes	NA	Various locations
_	SCN		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Jefferson	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Arlington, VA
	SCN		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Scott (VA)	Northrop Grumman	Newport News, VA
-	NO CO		015	LPD-17	\$600,000.0	Taylor	Northrop Grumman	Avondale, LA
_	APN		051	Crane NSWC (DECM Depot Capability	\$2,000.0	Ellsworth	ITT Corporation	Crane, IN
_	OPN		019	Canned Lube Pumps (CLP) LSD-41/49 Class Amphibious Ships	\$2,500.0	Hayes	IMO Pump	Monroe, NC
_	NAO		019	CVN Propeller Replacement Program	\$5,000.0	Taylor	Rolls-Royce Naval Marine, Inc	Pascagoula, MS
	OPN		019	Jet Fuel (JP-5) Electric Valve Operators	\$3,000.0	Bishop (NY)	Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corp	East Farmingdale, NY
_	NdO		019	Jet Fuel (JP-5) Electric Valve Operators	\$3,000.0	King (NY)	Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corp	East Farmingdale, NY
_	OPN		019	Jet Fuel (JP-5) Electric Valve Operators	\$3,000.0	McCarthy (NY)	Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corp	East Farmingdale, NY
	NdO		033	AN/SPQ-9B Surface Ship Radar	\$5,400.0	Ackerman	NGC Electronic Systems	Melville, NY
	OPN		033	AN/SPQ-98 Surface Ship Radar	\$5,400.0	Bishop (NY)	Sperry Marine Ship Defense Systems	Melville, NY
	OPN		033	AN/SPQ-9B Surface Ship Radar	\$5,400.0	McCarthy (NY)	NGC Electronic Systems	Melville, NY
	NdO		260	Multi Climate Protection System (MCPS)	\$2,000.0	Hodes	Polartec, LLC	Hndson, NH
	OPN		260	Multi Climate Protection System (MCPS)	\$2,000.0	Rogers (MI)	Peckham Industries	Lansing, Mi
	OPN		260	Multi Climate Protection System (MCPS)	\$2,000.0	Shea-Porter	Polartec, LLC	Hndson, NH
	OPN		760	Multi Climate Protection System (MCPS)	\$2,000.0	Tsongas	Polartec, LLC	Lawrence, MA
	OPN		160	Multi Climate Protection System (MCPS)	\$2,000.0	Walberg	Peckham Industries	Lansing, MI
_	OPN		134	High Performance Computing Capability	\$1,000.0	Hunter	IBM & Delphi Research	San Diego, CA
_	PMC		042	USMC Tactical Fuel Systems (Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage Units)	\$1,500.0	Taylor	Avan Engineered Fabrications, Inc.	Picayune, MS
-	PMC		020	Combat Casualty Care Equipment Upgrade Program	\$4,000.0	Barrett	North American Rescue Products, Inc.	Greenville, SC
_	OM9		020	Combat Casualty Care Equipment Upgrade	\$4,000.0	Spratt	North American Rescue Products,	Greenville, SC
			1	Frogram				;
_	APAF		017	C-40 Aircraft	\$88,000.0	Costello	Scott Air Force Base	Belleville, IL
	APAF		017	C-40 Aircraft	\$88,000.0	Shimkus	Scott Air Force Base	Belleville, IL
****	APAF		048	Podded Reconnaissance System, Scathe View, C-130H	\$500.0	Berkley	Nevada Military Department	Carson City, NV

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
-	APAF		048	Podded Reconnaissance System, Scathe View, C-130H	\$500.0	Granger	ATK Integrated Systems	Fort Worth, TX
_	APAF		048	Podded Reconnaissance System, Scathe View,	\$500.0	Porter	ATK Integrated Systems	Fort Worth, TX
_	APAF		059	RC-26B ANG Modernization	\$3,000.0	Granger	ATK Integrated Systems	Fort Worth, TX
	APAF APAF		059 059	RC-26B ANG Modernization RC-26B ANG Modernization	\$3,000.0	Lampson Rogers (AL)	The National Guard Bureau Alabama Air National Guard	Montgomery, AL
-	OPAF		016	Force Protection Surveillance System	\$2,500.0	Sanchez, Loretta	Rockwell Collins	Anaheim Hills, CA
_	OPAF		024	ANG-Combat Communications on the Move	\$2,000.0	Hunter	147 Combat Communications Squadron	San Diego, CA
<del></del>	OPAF		024	IMPACT (Information Modernization for Processing with Advanced Coating Technologies)	\$2,000.0	Marshall	Warner Robins AFB	Warner Robins, GA
_	OPAF		024	Science, Engineering and Laboratory Data Integration (SELDI)	\$1,000.0	Bishop (UT)	Ogden Air Logistics Center / ES3, Inc.	Hill AFB, UT
_	PDW		90	Mk 47 Advanced Lightweight Grenade Launcher	\$4,500.0	Allen	General Dynamics Armament and Technical Products	Saco, ME
	PDW		690	Special Operations Craft - Riverine	\$3,600.0	Taylor	United States Marine, Inc.	Gulfport, MS
name.	PDW		082	Special Operations Visual Augmentation Systems Hand Held Imager/Long Range	\$3,000.0	Tsongas	FLIR Systems, Inc.	North Billerica, MA
_	PDW		083	Multi-Band Multi-Mission Radio	\$2,000.0	Castor	Raytheon Company	Largo, FŁ
_	PDW		083	Multi-Band Multi-Mission Radio	\$2,000.0	Souder	Raytheon	Fort Wayne, IN
==	RDA	0601102A	2	Nanocrystal Source Display	\$1,500.0	Markey	QD Vision	Watertown, MA
=	RDA	0601102A	7	Nanostructured Materials For Photovoltaic Applications	\$2,000,0	McHugh	Clarkson University	Potsdam, NY
=	RDA	0601102A	2	Solid State Shelter Lighting System	\$480.0	Ī	Techshot, Inc.	Greenville, IN
=	RDA	0602105A	'n	Ultrasonic Consolidation for Armor Applications	\$1,500.0	Dingell	Solidica, Inc.	Ann Arbor, Mi
=	RDA	0602120A	ø	Command and Confrol, Communications and Computers (C4) module	\$1,500.0	Young (AK)	Gerald Meyers, Alkan Shelter, LLC	Fairbanks, AK
=	RDA	0602120A	ω	Semi-Autonomous or Unattended Psychological Operations and Reconnaissance Tool (SUPORT)	\$3,000.0	Spratt	Defense Technologies, Inc.	Gastonia, NC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDA	0602211A	60	Intensive Quenching for Advanced Weapon Systems	\$1,200.0	Sutton	IQ Technologies, Inc.	Akran, OH
=	RDA	0602270A	σ	Silver Fox and Manta UAS	\$2,500.0	Giffords	Advanced Ceramics Research	Tucson, AZ
=	RDA	0602601A	13	DoD Hydrogen PEM Fuel Cell Medium/Heavy Duty Vehicle Demonstration Program	\$3,000.0	Larson	UTC Power	South Windsor, CT
=	RDA	0602105A	13	Dual Stage Vanable Energy Absorber	\$3,000.0	Murphy, Patrick	ARRCA, Incorporated	Penns Park, PA
=	RDA	0602601A	. 13	Fire Resistant Fuels	\$4,000.0	Rodriguez	Southwest Reasearch Institute	San Antonio, TX
=	RDA	0602601A	13	Nanofluids for Advanced Military Mobility	\$1,000.0	Davis (KY)	Ashland Inc.	Covington, KY
=	RDA	0602601A	13	Rapid Up-Armor Synthesis and Crashworthiness Design for Improved Soldier Survivability	\$1,500.0	Donnelly	The University of Notre Dame	Notre Dame, IN
=	RDA	0602601A	55	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$2,500.0	Markey	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Waltham, Woburn, Beverly, Billerica, Lowell, MA
=	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$2,500.0	Tierney	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Waitham, Wobum, Beverly, Billenca, Lowell,
=	i	4	Ş	The Charles of the section of the se	0 000	20000	The man of the contract T	MA CONTRACTION
= =	ž č	0602601A	<u>ა</u> ნ	Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab)	\$2,500.0	Turner	BuyCastings.com	Dayton, ON
=	RDA	0602618A	14	Beneficial Infrastructure for Rotorcraft Risk Reduction Demonstrations (BIRRRD)	\$1,000.0	Sestak	Dragonfly Pictures, Inc	Essington, PA
=	RDA	0602618A	14	Globally Accessible Manufacturing and Mantenance Activity (GAMMA)	\$2,000.0	Knollenberg	POM Group Inc.	Auburn Hills, MI
=	RDA	0602624A	17	Specialized Compact Automated Mechanical Clearance Platform	\$2,000.0	Murphy, Patrick	Humanistic Robotics, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA
=	RDA	0602709A	19	Miniaturized Sensors for Small and Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (MINISENS)	\$1,500.0	Reyes	Aerospace Missions Corporation	El Paso, TX
==	RDA	0602712A	70	Hawaii Undersea Chemical Military Muntions Assessment Plan	\$5,000.0	Abercrombie	University of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI
=	RDA	0602716A	21	LWI Training-based Collaborative Research	\$25,000.0	Skelton	Leonard Wood Institute	Fort Leonard Wood, MO
=	RDA	0602720A	22	Range Scrap Disposal, Hawthorne Army Depot	\$1,000.0	Brady (PA)	Hawthorne Army Depot	Hawthorne, NV
=	RDA	0602720A	22	Range Scrap Disposal, Hawthome Army Depot	\$1,000.0	Sestak	Hawthorne Army Depot	Hawthorne, NV
=	RDA	0602786A	27	Advanced Thermal Processing of Packaged Combat Rations	\$2,100.0	Gingrey	Printpack, Inc.	Atlanta, GA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

ппе	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDA	0602786A	27	Wearable Personal Area Network Technology	\$3,000.0	Spratt	Advanced Mission Systems, Inc.	Fort Mill, SC
=	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Functional Nanomaterials for Biolonical Processes	\$2,000.0	Snyder	University of Arkansas Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
=	RDA	0602787A	28	Military Photomedicine Program	\$3,500.0	Sanchez, Loretta	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Arlington, VA
=	RDA	0602787A	28	Minimizing Health Effects of Air Toxics on Military Personnel	\$1,200.0	Yarmuth	University of Lousiville Research Foundation	Louisville, KY
=	RDA	0602787A	28	Plasma Technology Laboratory	\$1,000.0	Ortiz	Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi, TX
=	RDA	0603001A	58	Ballistic Precision Aerial Delivery System (BPADS)	\$4,600.0	Larson	Pioneer Aerospace Corporation	South Windsor, CT
=	RDA	0603001A	58	Ballistic Precision Aerial Delivery System (BPADS)	\$4,600.0	Taylor	Pioneer Aerospace Corporation	Columbia, MS
=	RDA	0603001A	29	Novel Flame Retardant Nylon Fabrics	\$1,500.0	Spratt	Milliken & Company	Spartanburg, SC
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Center for Robotic Computerized Telemanipulation	\$2,000.0	Brady (PA)	Thomas Jefferson University Hospital	Philadelphia, PA
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Chronic Tinnitus Treatment Program	\$1,000.0	Dent	Neuromonics, Inc.	Bethlehem, PA
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Composite Tissue Allotransplantation Research and Clinical Program	\$2,000.0	Yarmuth	National Foundation to Support Cell Transplant Research	Louisville, KY
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Controlled Release of Anti-Inflammatory and Tissue Repair	\$6,000.0	Blunt	Crosslink	Springfield, MO
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Corneal Wound Repair	\$5,500.0	Blunt	St. John's Medical Research	Springfield, MO
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Institute of Surgical and Interventional Simulation	\$3,000.0	Smith (WA)	University of Washington	Seattle, WA
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Personal Status Monitor (Nightengale)	\$2,500.0	McHugh	Welch Allyn	Skaneateles Falls, NY
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Ultra High-Speed MEMS Electromagnetic Cell Sorter (UHSMECS)	\$3,000.0	Capps	Innovative Micro Technology	Santa Barbara, CA
=	RDA	0603002A	30	Ultra-High Resolution Display for Army Medicine (UHRDARM)	\$3,000.0	Hall (NY)	eMagin Corporation	Hopewell Junction, NY
=	RDA	0603003A	31	Drive System Composite Structural Component Risk Reduction Program	\$3,000.0	Brady (PA)	V System Composites, Inc	Chester, PA
=	RDA	0603003A	31	Mission Execution Technology Implementation	\$4,000.0	Akin	Westar Aerospace & Defense Group, Inc.	St. Charles, MO
=	RDA	0603003A	31	Mission Execution Technology Implementation	\$4,000.0	Hulshof	Aeromechanics Division	Redstone Arsenal, AL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

(Dollars in Thousands)

- 6	PE OR PROJECT	LAE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
0603003A		31	Non-Hazardous Infrared Anti-Reflective Coatings for Army Aircraft Sensors	\$1,500.0	Hayes	United Protective Technologies (UPT)	Charlotte, NC
0603003A		31	UAV-Resupply BURRO	\$1,500.0	Larson	Kaman Aerospace Corporation	Bloomfield, CT
0603003A		31	Universal Control-Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC)	\$4,000.0	Larson	Goodrich Engine Control Systems	West Harfford, CT
0603004A		32	Lens-Less Micro Seeker System for Small Steerable Projectiles	\$2,000.0	Dreier	Tanner Research, Inc.	Monrovia, CA
0603004A		32	Precision Molding Manufacturing Technology for InfraRed Aspheric Optics	\$2,900.0	Andrews	Edmund Optics, Inc	Barrington, NY
0603004A		32	Precision Molding Manufacturing Technology for InfraRed Aspheric Optics	\$2,900.0	Dent	Edmund Optics, Inc	Barrington, NY
0603004A		32	Precision Molding Manufacturing Technology for InfraRed Asphenc Optics	\$2,900.0	Saxton	Edmund Optics, Inc	Barrington, NY
0603005A		33	Advanced Composites for Light Weight, Low Cost Transportation Systems using 3+ Extruder	\$3,000.0	Stupak	Century/3 Plus, LLC	Traverse City, MI
0603005A		33	Advanced Drivetrains for Enhanced Mobility and Safety	\$2,000.0	Upton	Eaton Corporation	Marshall, MI
0603005A		33	Advanced Drivetrains for Enhanced Mobility and Safety	\$2,000.0	Walberg	Eaton Automotive -Torque Confrol Products Division	Marshall, Mi
0603005A		33	Antiballistic Windshield Armor	\$4,500.0	Donnelly	Defense, CS	Mishawaka, IN
0603005A		33	Commercially Viable SI/C Power Semiconductors Using Superlattice Technology	\$3,200.0	Gillibrand	C9 Corporation	Wilton, NY
0603005A 0603005A 0603005A		83 83	Dynamometer Facility Upgrade Program Dynamometer Facility Upgrade Program Fire Shield	\$4,000.0 \$4,000.0 \$4,000.0	Dingelf Levin Dreier	AVL North America, Inc. AVL North America, Inc. Chang Industry	Ann Arbor, MI Plymouth, MI La Verne, CA
0603005A		33	Hybrid Electric (heavy truck) Vehicle	\$3,000.0	Bartlett	Volvo Powertrain of North America	Hagerstown, MD
0603008A		36	Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)	\$4,000.0	Andrews	U.S. Army CERDEC	Camden, NJ
0603008A		36	Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN)	\$4,000.0	LoBiondo	U.S. Army CERDEC	Camden, NJ
0603008A		36	Mantime C4ISR System	\$1,000.0	Shuster	Mission Critical Solutions, LLC	Alum Bank, PA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDA	0603015A	38	Joint Fires & Effects Training System (JFETS)	\$2,500.0	Cole	Institute for Creative Technologies	Marina Del Ray, CA
==	RDA	0603103A	4	Unserviceable Ammunition Demilitarization	\$1,000.0	Bishop (UT)	Tooele Army Depot, Battelle Memorial Institute	Tooele, UT
= =	RDA RDA	0603710A 0603710A	50	Brownout Situational Awareness Sensor Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer	\$2,000.0	Hunter	TREX Enterprises Corporation Elscott Manufacturing	San Diego, CA Ellsworth, ME
=	RDA	0603710A	20	Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer	\$2,000.0	Sanchez, Loretta	2	Costa Mesa, CA
-	RDA	0603734A	52	Enhanced Holographic Imager Enhanced Holographic Imager	\$2,800.0	Conaway	Zebra Imaging, Inc. Zebra Imagina Inc.	Austin, TX
: =	RDA	0603734A	52	S.A.V.E.: Synthetic Automotive Virtual Environments	\$2,000.0	Hodes	Vehicle Control Training, LLC	Dalton, NH
=	ROA	0603772A	53	Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance, Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar (FORESTER)	\$4,000.0	McHugh	Syracuse Research Corporation	North Syracuse, NY
=	RDA	0603772A	53	Foliage Penetrating, Reconnaissance. Surveillance, Tracking, and Engagement Radar	\$4,000.0	Walsh	Syracuse Research Corporation	North Syracuse, NY
=	RDA	0603747A	53	Software Lifecycle Affordability Management Phase II (SLAM II)	\$1,000.0	Saxton	PRICE Systems, LLC	Mount Laurel, NJ
=	RDA	0603305A	55	Compact Puised Power for Defense Applications	\$3,000.0	Conaway	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
==	RDA	0603305A	55	Compact Pulsed Power for Defense Applications	\$3,000.0	Neugebauer	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
	RDA	0603305A	55	Composite Structure Design	\$2,000.0	Johnson (GA)	Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Anniston, AL
=	RDA	0603305A	55	Deployable Space and Electronic Warfare Analysis Tool	\$1,000.0	Lamborn	Analytical Graphics, Inc.	Colorado Springs, CO
=	RDA	0603653A	62	Stryker Common Active Protection System Radar	\$2,000.0	Hall (TX)	Raytheon Network Centric Systems	McKinney, TX
=	RDA	0603653A	62	Stryker Common Active Protection System Radar	\$2,000.0	Reyes	Raytheon Network Centric Systems	McKinney, TX
=	RDA	0604601A	63	Headborne Energy Analysis and Diagnostic System (HEADS)	\$2,000.0	Mitchell	BAE Systems	Tucson, AZ

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDA	0603779A	99	Demonstration Project at Travis AFB Environmental Mangament Information System	\$500.0	Tauscher	Travis Air Force Base	Fairfield, CA
	RDA	0603779A	99	Renewable Energy Testing Center	\$2,000.0	Matsui	Renewable Energy institute International	Sacramento, CA
=	RDA	0603807A	72	Leishmania Skin Test	\$1,000.0	Hunter	Allermed Laboratories, Inc.	San Diego, CA
=	RDA	0604270A	78	Hostile Fire Indicator	\$5,000.0	Shea-Porter	BAE Systems E&IS	Nashua, NH
=	RDA	0604807A	110	Rotary Valve Pressure Swing Absorption Oxvoen Generator (RVPSAOG)	\$1,000.0	Davis (CA)	SeQual Technologies, Inc	San Diego, CA
=	RDA	0605013A	123	Health Informatics Initiative	\$2,500.0	Castor	USF College of Public Health	Tampa, FL
=	RDA	0605326A	132	Gunfire Detection System for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	\$1,000.0	Everett	Radiance Technologies / Army Aviation Warfighting Center	Fort Rucker, AL
named grown	RDA	0605602A	135	MOTS All Sky Imager (MASI)	\$1,500.0	Reyes	Trex Enterprises	San Diego, CA
	RDA	0203726A	154	Fire Support Technology Improvement Program	\$1,000.0	Shuster	Szanca Solutions, Inc.	Bedford, PA
=	RDA	0203735A	155	Ground Combat Systems Electronic Enhancements	\$3,000.0	McKeon	Curtiss Wright	Santa Clanta, CA
=	RDA	0203802A	163	Close Combat Missile Modernization (Javelin)	\$3,700.0	Everett	Lockheed Martin	Huntsville/Redstone Arsenal/Troy, AL
=	RDA	0305208A	177	Asymmetric Threat Response and Analysis Program (ATRAP)	\$3,000.0	Giffords	University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
=	RDA	0305208A	177	Blast Damage Assessment Risk Analysis and Mitigation Application - Enhancements (BRAMA-F)	\$1,000.0	Young (AK)	ISR Battle Command Battle Lab	Ft. Huachuca, AZ
=	RDA	0305208A	177	Constant Look Operational Support Environment (CLOSE)	\$2,000.0	Young (AK)	ISR Battle Command Battle Lab	Ft. Huachuca, AZ
=	RDA	0305208A	177	Heuristic Internet Protocol Packet Inspection Engine (HIPPIE)	\$2,500.0	Akin	TechGuard Securities	Chesterfield, MO
	RDA	0708045A	179	Helicopter Vulerability Reduction	\$3,000.0	Shays	United Technologies Research Center	East Hartford, CT
=	RDA	0708045A	179	Helicopter Vulnerability Reduction	\$3,000.0	Courtney	United Technologies Research Center	East Hartford, CT
=	RDA	0708045A	179	Near-net shaped body armor plate development	\$2,000.0	Snyder	Army PEO Soldier	

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

ППСЕ	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDA	0708045A	179	Vehicle Common Armor Manufacturing Process	\$2.500.0	Saxton	SMH International, LLC	Mount Laurel, NJ
_	RDN	0601153N	ო	(VCAMP) Energetics S&T Workforce	\$3,000.0	Hoyer	Naval Surface Warfare Center	Indian Head, MD
=	RON	0602114N	4	High Energy Conventional Energetics (Phase 2)	\$1,500.0	Hoyer	Naval Surface Warfare Center	Indian Head, MD
	RON	0602114N	4	Marine Mammal Hearing and Echolocation Research	\$2,000.0	Abercrombie	Marine Mammal Research Program, University of Hawaii	Kaılua, HI
=	RDN	0602123N	S	Composite Sea Lion Craft Project	\$2,000.0	Taylor	Seemann Composites	Gulfport, MS
=	RON	0602131M	9	Warfighter Rapid Awareness Processing Technology (WRAPT)	\$4,000.0	Abercrombie	Archinoetics, LLC	Honolulu, HI
=	RDN	0602234N	7	Infrared Materials Laboratories	\$2,500.0	Cole	Amethyst Research, Inc.	Ardmore, OK
=	N N N	0602235N	œ	All Weather Sense and Avoid for UAVs	\$2,500.0	Hoyer	Naval Air Station	Patuxent River, MD
_	RDN	0602235N	80	SOF Test Environment for Advanced Team Collaboration Missions	\$2,000.0	Hoyer	Naval Air Station	Patuxent River, MD
==	RDN	0602271N	5	Center for Hetero-Functional Materials	\$1,000.0	Conaway	Angelo State University	San Angelo, TX
_	RDN	0603114N	15	Countermine Lidar UAV-based System (CLUBS)	\$1,200.0	Taylor	Optech International Inc.	Kiin, MS
=	RON	0603114N	15	High Speed Anti-Radiation Demonstrator	\$1,000.0	McKeon	Aerojet	Sacramento and China Lake, CA
_	RDN	0603114N	5	Real-Time Hyperspectral Targeting Sensor	\$3,000.0	Hunter	Surface Optics Corporation	San Diego, CA
	RDN	0603123N	16	High Strength Flame Resistant LCP Reinforced Netting	\$2,000.0	Larsen	Diamond Nets, Inc.	Everson, WA
_	RDN	0603123N	16	Integrated Ship and Motion Control Technology	\$4,300.0	Courtney	Mystic Innovations Group, Inc.	Mystic, CT
_	RON	0603123N	16	Integrated Ship and Motion Control Technology	\$4,300.0	Gillibrand	Advanced Energy Conversion, LLC	Malta, NY
_	RON	0603123N	16	Mobile Manufacturing and Repair Cell/Engineering Education Outreach Program	\$1,000.0	Knollenberg	Focus: HOPE	Detroit, Mi
_	NO N	0603123N	16	Mobile Manufacturing and Repair Cell/Engineering Education Outreach Program	\$1,000.0	Levin	Focus. HOPE	Detroit, MI
=	RON	0603123N	91	Navy Multi-Fuel Combustor for Shipboard Fuel Cell Systems	\$2,000.0	Lampson	Office of Naval Research	Missoun City, TX

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
= =	RON	0603236N 0603640M	18	System for Intelligent Task Assignment & Readiness (SITAR) Ballistic Helmet Development	\$1,000.0	Hunter King (NY)	SYS Technologies American Defense Systems, Inc.	San Diego, CA Hicksville, NY
=	NON	0603216N	27	In Buoy Processor for Trigger and Alert Sonobuoy System	\$2,500.0	Abercrombie	Guide Star Engineering, LLC	Kapolei, Hl
==	RON	0603254N	58	Holographic Optical Filters for LIDAR	\$1,200.0	Murphy, Patrick	RL Associates, Inc.	Langhorne, PA
	RDN	0603254N	29	Holographic Optical Filters for LIDAR	\$1,200.0	Sestak	RL Associates, Inc.	Chester, PA
=	RDN	0603254N	58	Marine Mammal Awareness, Alert and Response Systems (MMAARS)	\$3,000.0	Abercrombie	BAE Systems	Honolulu, Hi
	RDN	0603254N	59	Tactical E-Field Buoy Development	\$2,000.0	Hunter	Information Systems Laboratories	San Diego, CA
=	RDN	0603512N	34	Improved Corrosion Protection for CVN- Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System for CVN-	\$3,000.0	LaBiondo	NAVAIR	Lakehurst, NJ
-	RDN	0603512N	34	21 Improved Corrosion Pratection for Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System for CVN- 21	\$3,000.0	Sestak	McGee industries	Astan, PA
=	RDN	0603512N	34	Improved Corrosion Protection for Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System for CVN-	\$3,000.0	Smith (NJ)	McGee Industries Inc	Aston, PA
-	RDN	0603513N	35	Data Acquisition Reporting and Trending System (DARTS)	83,000.0	Brady (PA)	Progeny Systems Corporation	Manassas, VA
	NO.	0603513N	35	IR LED Free Space Optics Communications Advancement	\$500.0	Hunter	Torrey Pines Logic	San Diego, CA
=	RON	0603561N	42	Advanced Submarine System Development (ULMS)	\$4,000.0	Forbes	Navy	Various
	RDN	0603561N	42	Sea Based Strategic Deterrent (SBSD)/ Undersea Launched Missile Study (ULMS)	\$4,000.0	Courtney	General Dynamics Electric Boat	Graton, CT
=	RON	0603561N	42	Sea Based Strategic Deterrent (SBSD)/ Indexee a junched Missile Study (ULMS)	\$4,000.0	Langevin	General Dynamics - Electric Boat	Groton, CT

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDN	0603561N	42	Sea Based Strategic Deterrent (SBSD)/ Undersea Launched Missile Study (ULMS)	\$4,000.0	Scott (VA)	Northrop Grumman	Newport News, VA
=	RDN	0603561N	42	Sea Based Strategic Deterrent (SBSD)/ Undersea Launched Missile Study (ULMS)	\$4,000.0	Wittman	Northrop Grumman	Newport News, VA
=	RDN	0603563N	44	Analytics for Shipboard Monitoring Systems (ASMS)	\$1,000.0	Drake	Oceana Sensor Technologies, Inc.	Virginia Beach, VA
=	RDN	0603564N	45	Naval Ship Hydrodynamic Test Facilities, NSWC, Carderock	\$5,000.0	Van Hollen	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock	Bethesda, MD
=	RON	0603635M	54	Urban Operations Laboratory	\$2,000.0	Boyda	Kansas State University & M2 Technologies	Manhattan, KS
=	A N N	0603725N	9	Hydrokinetic Power Generator	\$2,000.0	Dingell	Vortex Hydro Energy, LLC	Ann Arbor, MI
=	RDN	0603725N	9	Regenerative Fuel Cell Back-up Power	\$1,500.0	Larson	Infinity Fel Cells and Hydrogen, Inc.	Windsor, CT
=	RDN	0603725N	9	Swimmer Detection Sonar Network for the Portsmouth Navai Shipyard	\$4.000.0	Hodes	Scientific Solutions, Inc.	Nashua, NH
=	RON	0603725N	90	Swimmer Detection Sonar Network for the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	\$4,000.0	Shea-Porter	Scientific Solutions, Inc.	Nashua, NH
=	RDN	0603725N	90	Testing of Critical Components for Ocean Allernate Energy Options	\$2,500.0	Abercrombie	Naval Facilities Engineering Services Center (NFESC)	Port Hueneme, CA
=	NO.	0603725N	60	Wave Energy PowerBuoy Generating System	\$2,000.0	Abercrombie	Ocean Power Technologies	Honolulu, HI
=	RDN	0603795N	20	Affordable Weapon System	\$14,000.0	Hunter	N/A	San Diego, CA, Los Angeles, CA, Tucson, AZ,
=	RDN	0603795N	70	Affordable Weapons System	\$14,000.0	Gallegiy	MBDA	St. Louis, MO Westlake Village, CA
=	RDN	0604272N	76	Compact Ultra-fast Laser System Development	\$2,000.0	Ellsworth	Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology and SAIC	Crane, IN
=	RDN	0604215N	82	U.S. Navy METCAL RDT&E	\$2,000.0	Calvert	NSWC, Corona	Corona, CA
=	RDN	0604307N	86	DDG 51 Class Permanent Magnet Hybrid Electric Propulsion	\$3,000.0	Bartlett	DRS Power Technology, Inc.	Fitchburg, MA
=	RDN	0604307N	86	DDG 51 Class Permanent Magnet Hybrid Electric Propulsion	\$3,000.0	Murphy (CT)	DRS Power and Control Technologies	Danbury, CT
=	NON N	0604307N	98	DDG 51 Class Permanent Magnet Hybrid Electric Propulsion	\$3,000.0	Tsongas	DRS Power Technology, Inc.	Fitchburg, MA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDN	0604501N	104	National Radio Frequency (RF) R&D and Technology Transfer Center	\$5,000.0	Ellsworth	Technology Service Corporation	Crane, IN
=	RDN	0604501N	104	National Radio Frequency R&D and Technology Transfer Center	\$5,000.0	Buyer	Technology Service Corporation	Bloomington, IN
=	RON	0604558N	109	Large-Scale Demonstration Item for VA-Class Bow Dome	\$2,000.0	Taylor	Seeman Composites, Inc	Gulfport, MS
= =	RON NON	0604567N 0604567N	112	Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative Automated Fiber Optic Manufacturing Initiative	\$3,500.0 \$3,500.0	Drake Scott (VA)	KITCO Fiber Optics KITCO Fiber Optics	Virginia Beach, VA Virginia Beach, VA
=	RDN	0604771N	123	U.S. Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Program	\$2,000.0	McHugh	Trudeau Institute	Saranac Lake, NY
- Charles	RON	0604759N	137	Air Combat Environment Test & Evaluation Facility (ACETEF) Upgrades	\$3,000.0	Hoyer	NAS Patuxent River	Patuxent River, MD
_	NON N	0604759N	137	Improved Interoperability RDT&E to Support NAVAIR and GWOT Missions	\$1,500.0	Hoyer	NAS Patuxent River	Patuxent River, MD
=	RDN	0101221N	159	Advanced LINAC Facility	\$4,000.0	Ī	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
	RDN	0204229N	167	ImageNav— Low-Cost Image-Based Navigation and Precision Targeting	\$1,000.0	Markey	Scientific Systems Company, Inc.	Woburn, MA
***	RON	0205632N	176	MK 48 Torpedo Post-Launch Communication System	\$1,000.0	Arcuri	Naval Sea Systems Command	Washington Navy Yard, DC
****	RDN	0205633N	177	Reduction of Weapon System Downtime Rapid Repair Structural Adhesives	\$2,000.0	Langevin	Epoxies, Etc., Inc.	Cranston, RI
=	RDN	0205633N	177	Sacrificial Film Laminates for Navy Helicopter Windscreens	\$1,200.0	Spratt	United Protective Technologies	Charlotte, NC
=	RDN	0206623M	181	Marine Corps Shotgun Modernization Program	\$3,000.0	Hoyer	USMC	Quantico, VA
==	RDN	0303109N	190	Joint- Integrated Systems Technology for Advanced Digital Networking (JIST-NET)	\$1,000.0	Hunter	San Diego DEFCOMM	El Cajon, CA
=	RDAF	0601103F	7	Aerodynamic Wind Tunnel Upgrade Initiative	\$2,000.0	Giffords	University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
=	RDAF	0301555F	7	Remote Suspect Identification	\$4,000.0	Alexander	Air Force Cyberspace Command (P)/Louisiana Tech University	Bossier City, LA
****	RDAF	0301555F	4	Remote Suspect Identification	\$4,000.0	McCrery	Air Force Cyberspace Command (P)/Louisiana Tech University	Bossier City, LA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDAF	0602102F	æ	Advanced Carbon Fiber Research and Test Initiative	\$3,000.0	Inglis	Cytec Industries Inc.	Predmont, SC
=	RDAF	0602102F	œ	Advanced Carbon Fiber Research and Test Initiative	\$3,000.0	Spratt	Cytec Industries Inc.	Rock Hill, SC
=	RDAF	0602102F	80	Advanced Casting and Coating Technologies	\$3,500.0	Sutton	PPG Industries	Barberton, OH
=	RDAF	0602102F	80	Ceramic Matrix Composite Turbine Blade Demonstration	\$4,000.0	Shays	UTC Research Center	East Hartford, CT
=	RDAF	0602102F	80	FEL Capabilities for Aerospace Microfabrication	\$1,400.0	Wittman	Air Force	Newport News, VA
=	RDAF	0602102F	œ	Next Generation Manufacturing Processes	\$1,500.0	Smith (TX)	University of Texas at Austin	Austin, TX
=	RDAF	0602102F	œ	ONAM! Safer Nanomaterials and Nanomanufacturing	\$1,000.0	DeFazio	University of Oregon	Eugene, OR
=	RDAF	0602102F	80	ONAMI Safer Nanomaterials and Nanomanufacturing	\$1,000.0	Walden	Portland State University, University of Oregon, Oregon State University	Portland, Eugene, Corvallis, OR
-	RDAF	0602203F	11	Advanced Vehicle Propulsion Center	\$1,500.0	McKeon	Advatech Pacific	Palmdale, CA
=	RDAF	0602203F	11	Hydrocarbon Boost Technology Demonstrator	\$1,750.0	Doolittle	Aerojet-General Corporation	Sacramento, CA
=	RDAF	0602203F	11	Hydrocarbon Boost Technology Demonstrator	\$1,750.0	McCarthy (CA)	Aerojet-General Corporation	Sacramento, CA
=	RDAF	0602203F	=	Hydrocarbon Boost Technology Demonstrator	\$1,750.0	McKeon	Aerojet-General Corporation	Sacramento and Edwards AFB, CA
	RDAF	0602203F	Ξ	Integrated Electrical Starter/Generator (IES/G)	\$2,000.0	Turner	GE Aviation, Electrical Power Systems	Vandalia, OH
=	RDAF	0602203F	=	National Test Facility for Aerospace Fuels and Propulsion	\$1,700.0	Buyer	Purdue University	West Lafayette, IN
=	RDAF	0602203F	=	WASH Oxygen Sensor	\$1,000.0	Dreier	Advanced Projects Research, Incorporated	La Verne, CA
=	RDAF	0602204F	12	Information Quality Tools for Persistent Surveillance Data Sets	\$2,000.0	Snyder	University of Arkansas Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
=	RDAF	0602204F	12	Net-Centric Sensor Grids	\$1,000.0	Ī	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
=	RDAF	0602601F	13	Radiation Hardened Non-Volatile Memory Technology	\$2,000.0	Lamborn	Aeroflex	Colorado Springs, CO
=	RDAF	0603112F	<del>1</del>	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$5,000.0	Cannon	Air Force Research Laboratory	Dayton, OH
=	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$5,000.0	DeFazio		Albany, OR
=	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$5,000.0	Hayes	Air Force Research Laboratory	Dayton, OH
=	RDAF	0603112F	18	Metals Affordability Initiative	\$5,000.0	Kaptur	Air Force Research Laboratory	Dayton, OH

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

		PE OR						INTENDED LOCATION
1116	ACCT	PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOON	MEMBER	IN ENDED RECIPIEN	OF PERFORMANCE
				Multiple UAS cooperative concentrated				
<b></b>	RDAF	0305206F	191	observation and engagement against a common	\$5,500.0	Sestak	Proxy Aviation Systems	Germantown, MD
=	RDAF	0305207F	192	RIVET JOINT ISR Network Integration	\$2,500.0	Hall (TX)	23	Greenville, TX
=	RDAF	0401115F	205	Electromagnetic In-Flight Propeller Balancing	\$1,500.0	English	LORD Corporation	Erie, PA
==	RDAF	0408011F	215	Next Generation Tactical Environmental Clothing for AFSOC	\$2,000.0	Rogers (AL)	THY Enterprises	Alexander City, AL
===	RDAF	0708611F	221	Eielson Air Force Base Alternative Energy Source Program	\$3,000.0	Young (AK)	Biomass Energy Systems, Inc.	Princeton, NJ
****	RDAF	0708611F	221	Expeditionary 200 kW+ Alternative Power Generator - Phase 1	\$1,000.0	Lamborn	Peterson Air Force Base	Coforado Springs, CO
-	RDDW	0602228D8Z	on	John H. Hopps Defense Research Scholars Program	\$2,000.0	Marshall	Morehouse College	Atlanta, GA
=	RDDW	0603122D8Z	27	BOPPER (Bioterrorism Operations Policy and Public Emergency Response)	\$1,500.0	Watt	Clean Earth Technologies	Winston-Salem, NC
=	RDDW	0603122D8Z	27	EDIT Technology for Counter-Tunnel Operations and Cache Detections	\$1,000.0	Udall (NM)	Stolar Research Corporation	Raton, NM
-	RDDW	0603122D8Z	27	Full Scale Impact and Blast Loading Laboratory Testing Program	\$2,000.0	Davis (CA)	University of California, San Diego	San Diego, CA
=	RDDW	0603160BR	28	Next Generation Intelligent Portable Radionuclide Detection and Identification Systems	\$2,000.0	English	eV Products, a division of II-VI, incorporated	Saxonburg, PA
*****	RDDW	0603648D8Z	35	Distributed Network Switching	\$2,500.0	Sanchez, Loretta	Sanchez, Loretta Raptor Networks Technology, Inc.	Santa Ana, CA
=	RDDW	0603711D8Z	40	Connectory Expansion for Rapid Identification of Technology Sources for DOD	\$500.0	Hunter	East County Economic Development Council	El Cajon, CA
=	RDDW	06037125	41	Emerging/Critical Interconnection Technology E/CIT Program	\$2,000.0	Ellsworth	NSWC Crane, IPC, and SAIC	Crane, IN
=	RDDW	0603720S	44	3-D Electronics and Power	\$3,000.0	Calvert	Center for Nanoscale Science and Engineering, University of California, Riverside	Riverside, CA
-	RDDW	0603720S	4	Feature Size Yield Enhancement at DMEA ARMS Foundry	\$2,500.0	Matsui	Defense Microelectronics Activity	McClellan, CA
=	RDDW	0603720S	44	Superlattice Nanotechnology	\$2,000.0	Hayes	University of North Carolina	Charlotte, NC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDDW	0603832D8Z	61	Modeling and Simulation Slandards Development	\$800.0	Forbes	Virginia Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation Center, Old Dominion University	Suffolk, VA
=	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short Range Ballistic Missile Defense (David's Sling)	\$28,000.0	Akin	LaBarge Corporation (subcontractor to Raytheon)	St. Louis, MO
=	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short Range Ballistic Missile Defense (David's Sling)	\$28,000.0	Blunt	LaBarge Corporation (subcontractor to Raytheon)	Japlin, MO
=	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short Range Ballistic Missile Defense (David's Sling)	\$28,000.0	Saxton	Rafael Advanced Defense Systems, Ltd	Bethesda, MD
=	RDDW	0603892C	81	Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense - Signal Processor	\$20,000.0	Andrews	Lockheed Martin	Moorestown, NJ
=	RDDW	0603892C	18	Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense - Signal Processor	\$20,000.0	Saxton	Lockheed Martin	Moorestown, NJ
=	RDDW	0603892C	81	SM-3 Deployment	\$50,000.0	Ross	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
=	RDDW	0604016D8Z	94	Managing and Extending DoD Asset Lifecycles (MEDAL)	\$2,500.0	Abercrombie	Referntial Systems Incorporated	Honolulu, HI
=	RDDW	0604165D8Z	103	Advanced Hypersonic Weapon Technology Demonstration	\$3,000.0	Everett	Westar Aerospace and Defense Group / Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Huntsville, AL
=	RDDW	0603757D8Z	118	Indiana Complex Operations Partnership	\$2,000.0	Ī	Indiana National Guard	Indianapolis, IN
=	RDDW	0604940D8Z	121	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development	\$5,000.0	Gingrey	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
=	RDDW	0604940D8Z	121	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development	\$5,000.0	Johnson (GA)	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
=	RDDW	0604940D8Z	121	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator Development	\$5,000.0	Marshall	Georgía Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
=	RDDW	0604940D8Z	121	Gulf Range Mobile Instrumentation Capability (GR-MIC)	\$1,000.0	Miller (FL)	ProLogic, Inc.	Manassas, VA
=	RDDW	0604940D8Z	121	Joint Gulf Range Complex Upgrade	\$1,500.0	Miller (FL)	The Boeing Company	Fort Walton Beach, FL
=	RDDW	0605799D8Z	142	Defense Command Integration Center	\$1,100.0	Boyda	Kansas National Guard	Topeka, KS
=	RDDW	0605799082	142	Defense Command Integration Center	\$1,100.0	Moore (KS)	Kansas National Guard Bureau, Forbes Field ANG Base	Topeka, KS
=	RDDW	0605804D8Z	145	Renewable Fuel Systems (RFS) for Defense Applications	\$4,000.0	Andrews	Stevens Institute of Technology	Hoboken, NJ

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	RDDW	0605804D8Z	145	Renewable Fuel Systems (RFS) for Defense Annlinations	\$4,000.0	Sires	Stevens institute of Technology	Hoboken, NJ
=	RDDW	030421088	193	Expeditionary Persistent Power	\$2,000.0	Shuster	Mission Critical Solutions, LLC	Alum Bank, PA
=	RDDW	0304210BB	193	Unmanned Aerial Systems Test Facility upgrade	\$3,000.0	Cole	Oklahoma State University Multispectral Laboratory	Ponca City, OK
=	RODW	1160405BB	233	Advanced, Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor Technologies	\$4,200.0	Pickering	U.S. Special Operations Command	Tampa, FL
≡	OMA	ba01-2020a	030	Army Force Generation Synchronization Tool	\$2,000.0	Dent	ProModel Corporation	Allentown, PA
Ħ	OMA	ba01-2020a	030	Army Force Generation Synchronization Tool	\$2,000.0	Dingell	ProModel Corporation	Ann Arbor, MI
=	OMA	ba01-2020a	060	M24 Sniper Weapons System Upgrade	\$4,000.0	Arcuri	U.S. Army Small Arms Division, Combat Developments	Fort Benning, GA
Ξ	OMA	ba04-2020a	200	Army Manufacturing Technical Assistance Production Program (MTAPP)	\$2,000.0	Markey	The AbasaGroup	Natick, MA
=	OMA	ba04-2020a	200	Army Manufacturing Technical Assistance Production Program (MTAPP)	\$2,000.0	Miller (MI)	Army MTAPP	Warren, MI
Ξ	OMA	ba03-2020a	270	Leadership for Leaders	\$2,000.0	Boyda	Kansas State University	Manhattan, KS
=	OMA	ba03-2020a	280	United States Army Sergeants Major Academy Lecture Center Audio-Visual Equipment	\$610.0	Reyes	US Army Sergeants Major Academy	Fort Bliss, TX
=	OMA	ba04-2020a	370	replacement Condition Based Maintenance Information	\$3,000.0	Sanchez, Loretta	Integrated Data Corporation	Culver City, CA
=	Z.W.	ba03-1804n	440	Mayal Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$3000	Bartlett	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Coros	Adington VA
=	NWO	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Bishop (NY)	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Boyda	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
Ξ	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Davis (CA)	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Hayes	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Adington, VA
=	N O O	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Larsen	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
₹	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Levin	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	LoBiondo	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Ortiz	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OWN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Renzi	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OWIN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadet Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Rothman	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA
=	OMN	ba03-1804n	440	Naval Sea Cadel Corps Operational Funding	\$300.0	Sanchez, Loretta	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	Arlington, VA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Clothing and Flame Resistant Organizational Gear (FROG)	\$2,000.0	Cummings	180s	Baitmore, MD
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Clothing and Flame Resistant Organizational Gear (FROG)	\$2,000.0	Hayes	Longwarth Industries	Candor, NC
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Cold Weather Layering System (CWLS)	\$3,000.0	Hodes	Polartec, LLC	Hudson, NH
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Cold Weather Layering System (CWLS)	\$3,000.0	Rogers (MI)	Peckham Industries	Lansing, MI
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Cold Weather Layering System (CWLS)	\$3,000.0	Shea-Porter	Polartec, LLC	Hudson, NH
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Cold Weather Layering System (CWLS)	\$3,000.0	Tsongas	Polartec, Inc.	Lawrence, MA
=	OMMC	ba01-1106n	010	Cold Weather Layering System (CWLS)	\$3,000.0	Walberg	Peckham Industries	Lansing, MI
Ξ	OMAF	ba01-3400f	010	MBU-20A/P Oxygen Masks with Lights	\$1,000.0	Dreier	Gentex Corporation	Rancho Cucamonga, CA
=	OMAF	ba03-3400f	360	Engineering Training and Knowledge Preservation System	\$2,000.0	Davis (KY)	Tier 1 Performance Solutions	Covington, KY
===	OMARNG	ba04-2065	170	Delaware Valley Continuing Education Initiative	\$1,000.0	Murphy, Patrick	Collegiate Consortium for Workforce and Economic	Philadelphia, PA
=	OMDW	undistributed-		Interdisciplinary Critical Language and Area	\$2,000.0	Davis (CA)	Development San Diego State University Person Foundation	San Diego, CA
×	DHP			Copper Antimicrobial Research Program	\$2,000.0	Arcuri	Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center	Fort Detrick, MD
≥ ×	OH0			Copper Antimicrobial Research Program	\$2,000.0	Costello	Olin Brass	East Alton, IL.
≥×	H			Copper Antimicrobial Research Program	\$2,000.0	Higgins	Luvata Buffalo	Buffalo, NY
≥×	DHP			Copper Antimicrobial Research Program	\$2,000.0	Loebsack	Advanced Technology Institute	North Charleston, SC
≥	DHP			Copper Antimicrobial Research Program	\$2,000.0	Murphy (CT)	The Miller Company	Meriden, CT
≥×	DHP			Madigan Army Medical Center Trauma Assistance Program	\$2,000.0	Smith (WA)	Madigan Army Medical Center, Tacoma General Hospital and St. Joseph's Hospital	Fort Lewis and Tacoma, WA
≥×	PHO			Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	\$800.0	McHugh	Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	Watertown, NY
≥×	H			PULSE!! Virtual Clinical Learning Lab	\$3,000.0	Ortiz	Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi, TX
≥×	ОНР			Wide Angle Virtual Environment for the Uniformed Services University	\$5,000.0	Van Hollen	Uniformed Services University	Bethesda, MD
⋛⋧	OOM		004	Southwest Border Fence F-22A Raptor	\$2,000.0 \$523,000.0	Hunter Allen	California National Guard Pratt & Whitney	San Diego, CA East Hartford, CT

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
*	APAF		004	F-22A Raptor	\$523,000.0	DeLauro	Pratt & Whitney	East Hartford / Middletown, CT
> <u>×</u>	APAF		004	E-22A Raptor	\$523,000.0	Oicks	Lockheed Martin Corporation	Bethesda, MD
<u></u>	APAF		90	F-22A Raptor	\$523,000.0	Gingrey	Lockheed Martin Corporation	Marietta, GA
<b>×</b>	APAF		004	F-22A Raptor	\$523,000.0	Granger	Pratt & Whintey	East Hartford, CT
<b>×</b>	APAF		004	F-22A Raptor	\$523,000.0	Marshall	Praft & Whintey	East Hartford, CT
<b>×</b>	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Akin	The Boeing Company	St. Louis, MO
>	APAF		902	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Allen	Pratt & Whitney	East Hartford, CT
×	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Bishop (UT)	The Boeing Company	Multiple Locations Nationwide
<b>×</b>	APAF		900	C-17A Globernaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Carnahan	The Boeing Company	Long Beach, CA
<b>×</b>	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	DeLauro	Pratt & Whitney	East Hartford / Middletown, CT
>	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Granger	The Boeing Company	Long Beach, CA
>	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Hulshof	The Boeing Company	St. Louis, MO
>	APAF		900	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000.0	Rohrabacher	The Boeing Company	Long Beach, CA
<u>×</u>	APAF		002	C-17A Globemaster III	\$2,076,000,0	Smith (WA)	The Boeing Company	Long Beach, CA
××	MCA	67471		Air Traffic Control Radar Operations Building Upgrade/Expansion	\$2,000.0	Giffords	Fort Huachuca	Sierra Vista, AZ
×	MCA	58346		AP3 Connecting Rail	\$5,800.0	Boren	McAlester Army Ammunition Plant	McAlester, OK
×	MCA	66725		Ballistic Evaluation Facility (Phase 1)	\$9,900.0	Frelinghuysen	Picatinney Arsenal	Picatinny, NJ
×	MCA	10903		Chapel	\$6,800.0	Everett	Fort Rucker	Fort Rucker, AL
×	MCA	61035		Chapel	\$11,600.0	Mcintyre	Fort Bragg	Ft. Bragg. NC
×	MCA	70157		Chapel Complex Construction, 2nd Phase	\$4,200.0	Boyda	Fort Leavenworth	Leavenworth, KS
×	MCA	63573		Defense Access Road	\$30,000.0	Abercrombie	Bradshaw Airfield/Pohakuloa Training Area Base Camp	Honoluíu, Hi
×	MCA	17322		Fire Station	\$3,000.0	Boyda	Fort Riley	Fort Riley, KS
×	MCA	57711		Fire Station Number 2	\$6,900.0	McHugh	Fort Drum	Ft. Drum, NY
××	MCA	61539		Fort Bragg Access Roads, Phase I (Bragg Boulevard/Murchison Road)	\$8,600.0	Hayes	Fort Bragg	Ft. Bragg, NC
××	MCA	61539		Fort Bragg Access Roads, Phase I (Bragg Boulevard/Murchison Road)	\$8,600.0	McIntyre	Fort Bragg	Ft. Bragg, NC
×	MCA	57894		Hatfield Gate Expansion	\$300.0	Moran (VA)	Fort Myer	Arlington, VA
×	MCA	66907		Lake Yard Interchange	\$1,400.0	Rogers (AL)	Anniston Army Depot	Anniston, AL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE	San Antonio, TX	El Paso, TX	Franklin County, PA	Newport News, VA	Newport News, VA	Fort Leonard Wood, MO	Ft. Bragg, NC	Ft. Leonard Wood, MO	Fort Hood, TX	Fort Hood, TX	Kings Bay, GA	Jacksonville, FL	Gulfport, MS	Indian Head, MD	Nortolk, VA	Kingsville, TX	Portsmouth, VA	Portsmouth, VA	Twentynine Palms, CA	Oak Harbor, WA	Monterey, CA	Quantico, VA	Corpus Christi, TX	Coronado, CA	Bethesda, MD	Bremerton, WA	Newport, RI
INTENDED RECIPIENT	Camp Bullis	Fort Bliss	Letterkenny Army Depot	Fort Eustis	Fort Eustis	Fort Leanard Wood	Fort Bragg	Fort Leonard Wood	Fort Hood	Fort Hood	Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay	Naval Station Mayport	CBC Gulfport	Indian Head	Naval Air Station Norfolk	Naval Air Station Kingsville	Norfolk Naval Shipyard	Norfolk Naval Shipyard	Marine Corps Base Twentynine Palms	Naval Air Station Whidbey	Naval Postgraduate School	Marine Corps Base Quantico	Naval Air Station Corpus Christi	Naval Air Station North Island	Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center	Naval Base Kitsap	Naval Station Newport
MEMBER	Rodriguez	Reyes	Shuster	Scott (VA)	Wittman	Skelton	Etheridge	Skelton	Carter	Edwards	Kingston	Crenshaw	Taylor	Hoyer	Orake	Ortiz	Forbes	Scott (VA)	Lewis (CA)	Larsen	Farr	Wittman	Ortiz	Davis (CA)	Van Hollen	Dicks	Kennedy
AMOUNT	\$4,200.0	\$12,500.0	\$7,500.0	\$3,900.0	\$3,900.0	\$7,400.0	\$1,300.0	\$3,500,0	\$17,500.0	\$17,500.0	\$6,130.0	\$3,380,0	\$5,870.0	\$12,050.0	\$9,960.0	\$11,580.0	89,990.0	\$9,990.0	\$9,760.0	\$6,160.0	\$9,990.0	\$5,980.0	\$3,500.0	\$6,890.0	\$6,980.0	\$5,110.0	\$9,900.0
DESCRIPTION	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	Medical Parking Garage	Upgrade Munition Igloos, Phase 2	Vehicle Paint Facility	Vehicle Paint Facility	Wastewater Treatment Plant	Womack Army Medical Center MASCAL/DECON Facility	Chapel Complex Increment II	Chapel with Religious Education Facility	Chapel with Religious Education Facility	Addition to Limited Area Reaction Force Facility	Aircraft Refueling	Battalion Maintenance Facility and Equipment Yard	Energetics systems and Technology Laboratory Complex Phase i (P-166)	Fire and Emergency Services Station	Fitness Center, Naval Air Station Kingsville	Industrial Access Improvements, Main Gate 15	Industrial Access Improvements, Main Gate 15	Library/Lifelong Learning Center	NAS Whidbey Firefighting Facility	NPS Graduate School of Business and Public Policy	OCS Headquarters Facility	Parking Apron Recapitalization	Pool Replacement at NAB	RDT&E Support Facility at NSWC Carderock Division, MILCON (P-188)	Saltwater Cooling And Fire Protection Improvements	Unmanned ASW Support Facility
LINE																											
PE OR PROJECT	67014	063618	63241	71530	71530	67852	08448-9	56336	25033	25033	P-602	190	P-215	P-166	701	P-279	P-581	P-581	P-0926A	P-206	P.197	P-570	448	P-753	P-188	P-402	082
ACCT	MCA	MCA	MCA	MCA	MCA	MCA	MCA	AC AC	MCA	MCA	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MON	MCN	MCN	MCN	MCN	MON	MON	MCN
TITLE	×	×	X	ž	×	×	×	×	×	×	ΞX	ПXX	=xx	XX	×	ТXX	×	×	=XX	ΪΧΧ	IIXX	××	≓ X	×	=XX	×	××

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XX XX	MCN MCN MCAF	P-268 282 UHHZ033013		Waterfront Support Facility Consolidated Global Sub Component, Phase 1 116th ACW Avionics Facility	\$20,660.0 \$9,980.0 \$5,250.0	Allen Shea-Porter Marshall	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard Portsmouth Naval Shipyard Warner Robins AFB	Kittery, ME Portsmouth, ME Warner Robins, GA
XXIII	MCAF	XLWU063004		Air Command and Operations Classroom Facility	\$11,600.0	Boyd	Tyndall Air Force Base	Panama City, FL
XXIII	MCAF	QJVF012002		Air Traffic Control Complex	\$1,704.0	Pomeroy	Minot Air Force Base	Minot, ND
XX	MCAF	MPLS993284A		Consolidated Security Forces Operations Center Phase 1	\$900.0	Gonzalez	Lackland Air Force Base 37 TRW	San Antonio, TX
IIIXX	MCAF	FSPM063509D		Edwards AFB Main Base Runway replacement	\$6,000,0	McCarthy (CA)	Edwards Air Force Base	Edwards, CA
=XX	MCAF	FSPM063509D		Edwards AFB Main Base Runway replacement	\$6,000.0	McKeon	Edwards Air Force Base	Edwards, CA
iix X	MCAF	TYMX053001		Fire and Rescue Station	\$972.0	Cuellar	Randolph AFB	San Antonio, TX
=XX	MCAF	AJJY059112		ISF/STF Realign Arc Light Boulevard	\$5,400.0	Bordallo	Andersen Air Force Base	Yigo, GU
IIXX	MCAF	NUEX013014		Luke AFB Runway Rehabilitation Construction	\$1,755.0	Pastor	Luke Air Force Base	Phoenix
IIXX	MCAF	NVZR063714		MacDill AFB Combat Training Facility	\$5,000.0	Castor	MacDill Air Force Base	Tampa, FL
XXIII	MCAF	PRQE 07- 5110P2		MXG Consolidation and Forward Logistic Center, Phase 2	\$6,800.0	Tiahrt	McConnell Air Force Base	Wichita, KS
ΞX	MCAF	WWYK033005		Realign Air Depot Street at Tinker Gate	\$5,400.0	Cole	Tinker Air Force Base	š
=XX	MCAF	FMKM059001		Replacement Fuel Cell Hangar Minnesota	\$4,500.0	Oberstar	148th Air Wing	Duluth, MN
=XX	MCAF	YWHG041005		Secunty Forces Animal Clinic/Kennel	\$4,200.0	Skelton	Whiteman Air Force Base	MO
≡XX	MCAF	ZHTV063202		Security Forces Operations Facility	\$14,000.0	Turner	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Dayton, OH
==XX	MCAF	VLSB043001R3		Shaw AFB Physical Fitness Center	0.006,6\$	Spratt	Shaw Air Force Base	Sumter, SC
IIXX	MCAF	MXDP993002P2		Student Officer Quarters PH-2	\$1,440.0	Rodriguez	Laughlin Air Force Base	Del Rio, TX
≡ ××	MCAF	SPBN079049		TFI- Digital Ground Station FOC Beddown	\$14,300.0	Delahunt	Otis Air National Guard Base	Bourne, MA
IIXX	MCAF	SPBN079049		TFI- Digital Ground Station FOC Beddown	\$14,300.0	Olver	Ofis Air National Guard Base	Bourne, MA
IIXX	MCAF	KRSM093002		Three-Bay Fire Station	\$5,400.0	Bishop (UT)	Hill Air Force Base	T
XXIV	MCDW	270259		Arden Hills Army Training Site (AHATS) Infrastructure Development Plan	\$1,005.0	McCollum	Minnesota National Guard	St. Paul, MN
λ××	MCDW	CZQZ063027		SOF CV-22 Simulator Facility	\$8,300.0	Udall (NM)	Cannon Air Force Base	Clovis, NM
XXIV	MCDW	PTFL073003P1		Unified Security Forces Operations Facility Phase 1	\$7,200.0	Saxton	McGuire Air Force Base	3
IXX	MCAR	69675		Army Reserve Center	\$920.0	Edwards	Army Reserve	Bryan, TX
×××	MCAR	180137		Muscatatuck Urban Training Center Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$6,000.0	Ξ	Indiana National Guard	Indianapolis, IN

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

птсе	АССТ	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
IXXX	MCAR	180137		Muscatatuck Urban Training Center Combined	\$6,000.0	Visclosky	Indiana National Guard	Indianapolis, IN
>xx	MCARNG	540205A		Access Control Point	\$2,000.0	Mollohan	Camp Dawson	Charleston, WV
×××	MCARNG	390257A		Barracks Housing	\$2,000.0	Kaptur	Camp Perry	Port Clinton, OH
XX	MCARNG	INSNO 39430		Barracks, INSNO 39430	\$2,000.0	Ryan (OH)	Ravenna Training and Logistics Site	Columbus, OH
XXV	MCARNG	050167		Cabot Readiness Center	\$10,868.0	Berry	Arkansas National Guard Cabot Readiness Center	North Little Rock, AR
XXX	MCARNG	420103		Combined Support Maintenance Shop, Coraopolis, PA	\$3,250.0	Murphy, Timothy	Pennsylvania National Guard	Ft. Indiantown Gap, PA
XX XX	MCARNG	420103		Combined Support Maintenance Shop, Coraopotis, PA	\$3,250.0	Murtha	Pennsylvania National Guard	Ft. Indiantown Gap, PA
<u>&gt;</u>	MCARNG MCARNG	190136 240017		Davenport Aviation Readiness Center Dundalk Readiness Center	\$1,550.0 \$579.0	Braley Ruppersberger	Camp Dodge Maryland National Guard	Davenport, IA Dundalk, MD
i XX	MCARNG	120191		Florida Regional Training Institute (RTI) Phase IV	\$20,907.0	Young (FL)	Camp Blanding Joint Training Center	Starke, FL
XXVI	MCARNG	420511A		Honesdale Readiness Center, Addition/Alteration	\$6,117,0	Carney	Honesdale Readiness Center	Honesdale, PA
XXVI	MCARNG	26010		Live Fire Shoot House and Urban Assault Course	\$4,000.0	Knollenberg	Camp Grayling	Lansing, MI
I/XX	MCARNG	26010		Live Fire Shoot House and Urban Assault Course	\$4,000.0	Stupak	Camp Grayling	Lansing, MI
×××	MCARNG	190134		Military Operations in Urbanized Terrain (MOUT) training site	\$1,500.0	Boswell	Camp Dodge	Johnston, IA
××	MCARNG	190146		Mount Pleasant Readiness Center	\$1,500.0	Loebsack	Mount Pleasant Readiness Center	Johnston, IA
<u>&gt;</u> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	MCARNG MCARNG MCARNG	540111A 500052 66839		Multi-Purpose Building Phase II Readmess Center Readiness Center Addition	\$5,000.0 \$10,200.0 \$1,085.0	Mollohan Welch Moran (VA)	Camp Dawson Ethan Allen Firing Range Fort Belvoir	Charleston, WV Jericho, VT Arlington, VA
?XX	MCARNG	N/A		Readiness Center Phase 3-London Joint Support Operations Center	\$7,191.0	Rogers (KY)	Kentucky National Guard	London, KY
≅ ≅ × × × ×	MCARNG MCARNG MCARNG	54006A 470043A 390257A		Shoot House Tullahoma Readiness Center Barracks Housing	\$2,000.0 \$10,372.0 \$2,000.0	Mollohan Davis, Lincoln Latta	Camp Dawson Tullahoma Readiness Center Camp Perry	Charleston, WV Tullahoma, TN Port Clinton, OH

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
√×××	MCARNG	450185 10263		Hemingway Field Maintenance Shop Phase I Readiness Center Phase (I	\$4.600.0	Clyburn Rogers (AL)	Army National Guard Fort McClellan Traming Center	Columbia, SC Anniston, AL
××	MCARNG	WKVB079038		Replace pararescue operations facility	\$7,500.0	Ackerman	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Westhampton, NY
XXX	MCARNG	WKVB079038		Replace pararescue operations facility	\$7,500.0	Bishop (NY)	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Westhampton, NY
XXX	MCARNG	WKVB079038		Replace pararescue operations facility	\$7,500.0	Israel	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Westhampton, NY
i XXX	MCARNG	WKVB079038		Replace pararescue operations facility	\$7,500.0	King (NY)	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Westhampton, NY
XXV	MCARNG	WKVB079038		Replace pararescue operations facility	\$7,500.0	McCarthy (NY)	Francis S. Gabreski Airport	Westhampton, NY
ίχχ	MCARNG	450368		Sumter Readiness Center	\$382.0	Clyburn	Army National Guard	Columbia, SC
×××	MCARNG	370084		Training Complex	\$1,376.0	Miller (NC)	North Carolina National Guard	Camp Butner, NC
Z X	MCARNG	470043A		Tullahoma Readiness Center Derice Earlity/Community Center	\$10,372.0	Wamp	Tullahoma Readiness Center Nianara Falls Air Reserve Station	Tullahoma, TN
	2	7000000			200	A Rango		
ΣXX	MCAFR	YTPM029002P1		Joint Service Lodging Facility	\$943.0	Olver	Westover Air Reserve Base	Chicopee, MA
××	MCANG	PQWY059045		262 Information Warfare Aggressor Squadron (IWAS) Facility	\$8,600.0	Smith (WA)	Washington Air National Guard	McChord AFB,WA
I XXX	MCANG	JLQN069160		C-130 Squadron Operations Facility	\$400.0	LaHood	Greater Peoria Regional Airport	Peoria, IL
⊼ XX	MCANG	WAAR009098		Combat Communications Training Complex	\$12,800.0	Hobson	Springfield Air National Guard Base	Springfield, OH
××	MCANG	QJKL079050		Construct Aircraft Deicing Pad and Install Underground Storage Tank	\$1,500.0	Ellison	Minneapolis St. Paul International Airport	Minneapolis, MN
I XXX	MCANG	ATQZ049049		Fort Wayne Aircraft Shelter/ Fuel Fill Project	\$5,600.0	Souder	Fort Wayne International Airport	Fort Wayne, IN
XX	MCANG	LUXC 07089		Relocate Aircraft Ready Shelters and AMU Upgrade	\$4,500.0	Herseth Sandlin	Joe Foss Field	Sioux Falls, SD
××	MCANG	TWLR039186		Replace Control Tower	\$7,700.0	Langevin	Quonset State Airport	North Kingstown, RI
XX	MCANG	NKAK049051		Replace Engine Shop	\$4,000.0	Snyder	Little Rock Air Force Base	Little Rock, AR
ZXX	MCANG	959554		Replace Fire Station	\$7,900.0	Bartlett	Martin State Airport	Baltimore, MD
XXX	MCANG	959554		Replace Fire Station	\$7,900.0	Ruppersberger	Martin State Airport	Baltimore, MD
ī××	MCANG	959554		Replace Fire Station	\$7,900.0	Sarbanes	Martin State Airport	Baltimore, MD
XXX	MCANG	DDPM009116		Security Forces Building, 136th Airlift Wing, Fort Worth	\$5,000.0	Granger	Texas Air National Guard	Fort Worth, TX
XX	MCANG	HAAW039012		TFI- Upgrade ASOS Facilities	\$5,400.0	Walsh	Hancock Field	Syracuse, NY
i^xx	MCANG	AQRC039059		Training and Operations Facility	\$8,400.0	LoBiondo	177th Fighter Wing	Egg Harbor Township, NJ
XXX	MCANG	HEMT039066		Vehicle Maintenance/Communications Training Center	\$5,600.0	Latham	Camp Dodge	Fort Dodge, IA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI (Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE OR PROJECT	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	INTENDED RECIPIENT	INTENDED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
××	MCANG	FWJH069154		Air Support Operations Squadron	\$7,600.0	Lampson	Ellington Field	Houston, TX
××	MCANG	CEKT069119		TFI - Upgrade Engine Shop	\$7,200.0	Courtney	Bradley Air National Guard Base	Windsor Locks, CT
λXX	MCANG	CEKT069119		TFI - Upgrade Engine Shop	\$7,200.0	Larson	Bradley Air National Guard Base	Windsor Locks, CT
×	MCANG	XDQU069146		Troop Training Quarters	\$7,500.0	Barrow	Georgia Air National Guard	Savannah, GA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Baird	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Blumenauer	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	DeFazio	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Dicks	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Hastings (WA)	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Hooley	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Inslee	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Larsen	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	McDermott	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Smith (WA)	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Walden	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-EM			Environmental Management at the Hanford Site	\$187,549.0	Wu	U.S. Department of Energy	Hanford Site, WA
XXX	DOE-NA			De-Inventory of Special Nuclear Material from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	\$10,000.0	Tauscher	Livermore National Laboratory	Livermore, CA
XXX	DOE-NA			National Ignition Campaign (NIC) – Facility Characters and Target Broduction	\$14,600.0	Tauscher	Livermore National Laboratory	Livermore, CA