PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2857, GENERATIONS IN-VIGORATING VOLUNTEERISM AND EDUCATION ACT

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1015 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as fol-

H RES 1015

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2857) to reauthorize and reform the national service laws. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and Labor. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Education and Labor now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived except those arising under clause 10 of rule XXI. Notwithstanding clause 11 of rule XVIII, no amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment. and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against such amendments are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 2. During consideration in the House of H.R. 2857 pursuant to this resolution, notwithstanding the operation of the previous question, the Chair may postpone further consideration of the bill to such time as may be designated by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. TAUSCHER). The gentlewoman from California is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART). All time yielded during consideration of this rule is for debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on House Resolution 1015.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

House Resolution 1015 provides for consideration of House Resolution 2857, the Generations Invigorating Volunteerism and Education, or GIVE, Act under a structured rule. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate controlled by the Committee on Education and Labor. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill except clauses 9 and 10 of rule XXI. The rule makes in order the Education and Labor Committee-reported substitute as an original bill for the purpose of amendment.

The rule makes in order 11 amendments, which are listed in the Rules Committee report accompanying the resolution. Each amendment is debatable for 10 minutes. The rule also provides one motion to recommit, with or without instructions.

Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of a vital piece of legislation, legislation that directly affects all of our communities and the lives of millions Americans: legislation that strengthens our communities, helps educate our future generations, teaches our youth to prepare for and respond to unthinkable tragedies, and fosters the growth of respect and compassion throughout our entire society.

The Corporation for National Community Service estimates that in 2006 the national service participants provided the Nation with nearly \$4 billion worth of service projects. The GIVE Act reauthorizes our country's investment in community service and vol-

As co-chair of the National Service Caucus, it is a pleasure to call attention to the tremendous work of those involved at every level and in every program of the corporation.

Madam Speaker, service and volunteerism are the bedrock of our emergency preparedness and national security. In times of strife, the American people have always shown a spirit of service and ingenuity. Investing in service and volunteer programs prepares us to handle any crisis. We must focus on building our national capacity, and harnessing the enterprising spirit of the American people is a good way to do so. In the wake of a catastrophe, a first responder is likely to be a civilian. A neighbor is likely to be the first one to provide assistance. By building up our service and volunteer programs, we are taking proactive steps to bolster our national security and capability to weather a disaster now and in the years to come.

We saw firsthand the importance of having trained volunteers in the wake of the 2005 hurricanes. These forever changed thousands of lives and communities in the gulf coast. We watched as men and women mourned their loved ones and remembered the lives they once had. We also witnessed an outpouring of support and compassion from individuals who were touched by this immense tragedy.

Following the devastation in the gulf coast, more than 92,000 national service volunteers contributed over 3.5 million hours of service to the recovery effort. They repaired neighborhoods. They rebuilt lives. Our national service participants have also applied their expertise towards training local community volunteers, further expanding the network of effective workers to 260,000

people.

The assistance from volunteers following the devastating storms represents only one example of the many accomplishments that our service volunteers achieve every single day. Through programs such as AmeriCorps State and National, Volunteers in Service to America, and National Civilian Community Corps, AmeriCorps volunteers address critical needs in our communities.

When I am home in Sacramento, I am reminded by my constituents of the great work done by AmeriCorps NCCC volunteers. AmeriCorps NCCC members are disaster trained and available for immediate deployment in the event of a natural disaster anywhere within the United States, as they were to the gulf coast. In fact, NCCC teams have responded to every national disaster since the program was established.

Over \$26 million worth of hurricane recovery resources have come from AmeriCorps NCCC alone, which is more than we spent on the entire program nationwide. This is quite a return on our investment.

The GIVE Act will strengthen the emergency preparedness and response training of our country's NCCC participants. The changes will also help the program continue to grow. Recently, the corporation added two new campuses, one in Iowa and one in Mississippi.

The GIVE Act recognizes the work of every volunteer in this country. It seeks to reach out to more people to greatly expand the number of volunteers across this country. Our bill will expand AmeriCorps membership from 75,000 to 100,000 by 2012. The bill seeks to tap the growing pool of baby boomers reaching retirement that wish to continue serving their country by lowering the age of participation in Senior Corps to 55 from 60 years old. Equally important is that the bill harnesses the energy of future generations in addition to the baby boomers.

Engaging our youth early on is vitally important to the safety of our communities and the lives of our children. The Summer of Service program

will ensure that students making the transition from middle to high school have an opportunity to participate in service programs. By increasing the education award, the bill allows young service participants to apply the skills that they learn in volunteerism to a successful education. The benefits of service will continue to accrue to volunteers even after they complete their service.

Madam Speaker, as a result of the great work of the AmeriCorps members, extraordinary things are happening all over America. The corporation supports such important nonprofit organizations as Habitat for Humanity, City Year, and Red Cross.

National service participants have built homes, healed wounds, and taught elementary school kids. These volunteers are part of the backbone of our country. With very little funding, service participants leverage millions of dollars and perform crucial work in classrooms, national parks, and areas of our Nation hit by disaster.

As a result, I hope my colleagues will support the rule and the underlying legislation. The spirit of service that is so important to all of our communities is one that should be encouraged and supported.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. I would like to thank my friend, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MATSUI), for the time and I yield myself such time as I may consume

In a world often plagued by selfishness, those who commit themselves to the service of others through volunteerism really serve as a beacon of compassion and hope for all.

□ 1030

Community service is one of the most gratifying, rewarding, fulfilling ways people can give back to their communities. Community service has always been a vital pillar of our society. Volunteers all over the Nation dedicate millions of hours to their contemporaries, all in the hope of making people's lives better. Through their selfless work and tireless effort, volunteers help improve the lives of millions of Americans.

In 1993, Congress, with my support, passed legislation creating AmeriCorps and the Corporation for National and Community Service to administer and coordinate Federal community service programs. Since then, almost 500,000 Americans have served with thousands of nonprofit organizations, public agencies, and faith-based organizations nationwide.

These citizens tutor and mentor children, they coordinate after-school programs, they build homes for the needy, they conduct neighborhood patrols, restore the environment, respond to disasters, build nonprofit capacity, recruit and manage volunteers. They do, oftentimes, exemplary work.

The underlying legislation, Madam Speaker, H.R. 2857, the Generations Invigorating Volunteerism and Education Act, known as the GIVE Act, will reauthorize the national service programs administered by the Cooperation for National Community Service. This reauthorization will help increase the number of volunteers in AmeriCorps to over 100,000 by 2012. It will also create service opportunities for middle school and high school students through the Summer of Service program.

The legislation emphasizes the critical role of service in meeting the national priorities of emergency and disaster preparedness. I do believe it will help improve program integrity.

I am pleased that the committee, the Committee on Education and Labor, worked in a bipartisan manner to reauthorize this program and to include provisions that will make these programs more effective and efficient, responding to State and local needs, and performance-oriented. It goes to show, Madam Speaker, that when we are willing to work together and negotiate, we can bring forth good pieces of legislation with bipartisan support.

Now, I know the majority is trumpeting this rule with which we bring this underlying legislation to the floor because it will allow Members to debate all the amendments to the Rules Committee. But I remind my colleagues, Madam Speaker, the majority does this only when the underlying legislation is noncontroversial, even though the majority promised to be the most open Congress in history. If the majority is so proud of this rule, it should allow open rules on controversial bills as well.

In closing, Madam Speaker, I urge Americans everywhere, regardless of whether they take part in a AmeriCorps, to volunteer and give back to their communities. The rewards are extraordinary to both the volunteer and to the community. As Winston Churchill said, "We make a living by what we do, but we make a life by what we give."

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. MATSUI. I would like to inquire of the gentleman from Florida if he has any remaining speakers.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. We have no other speakers. I am ready to make my final remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida is recognized.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Although the reauthorization of AmeriCorps certainly is an important matter and one that deserves our attention, I must express my disappointment that the majority decided to take up this legislation before we finish our work on bipartisan legislation to protect Americans from international terrorism.

On February 14, the majority decided to leave Washington to take a Presi-

dent's Day recess and allowed the Protect America Act to expire 2 days later, rendering U.S. intelligence officials unable to begin new terrorist surveillance without cumbersome bureaucratic hurdles. Because of the inaction of the majority, the United States is more vulnerable to terrorist attack.

This didn't have to happen, Madam Speaker. Earlier last month, the Senate passed, by a bipartisan vote, really an extraordinary vote of 68-29, a bill updating the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, FISA, a bill that the chairman, Democratic chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee said, and I quote, "is the right way to go in terms of the security of the Nation."

We could have easily considered that legislation, but the majority in the House instead decided to head home. The House should vote on the Senate measure, and the House should vote on the Senate measure now.

Madam Speaker, we must always try to stay one step ahead of those who wish to harm Americans. Now is not the time to in any way tie the hands of our intelligence community. The modernization of the foreign intelligence surveillance is a critical national security priority.

I am pleased that several of mv colleagues on the other side of the aisle also agree. On January 28, 21 members of the Blue Dog Coalition, Democrats, sent a letter to the distinguished Speaker in support of the Senate Rockefeller-Bond FISA legislation. The letter states, and I quote, "The Rockefeller-Bond FISA legislation contains satisfactory language addressing all these issues, and we fully support that measure, should it reach the House floor without substantial change. We believe these components will ensure a strong national security apparatus that can thwart terrorism across the globe and save American lives here in our country."

Today, I will give all Members of the House an opportunity to vote on the bipartisan long-term modernization of FISA. I call on all my colleagues, including the members of the Blue Dog Coalition that signed the letter to the distinguished Speaker, to join with me in defeating the previous question so that we can immediately move to concur in the Senate amendment and send the bill to the President to be signed into law.

I remind my colleagues that defeating the previous question will not prohibit consideration of the underlying legislation being brought to the floor today, the GIVE Act, but would merely require that we first take a vote on FISA modernization.

Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have the text of the amendment and extraneous material inserted into the RECORD prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the previous question and in favor of a bipartisan long-term solution that helps protect American lives from international terrorism.

With that, Madam Speaker, I yield

back the balance of my time.

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, first, I would like to say that the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act continues to give the intelligence community the tools it needs to monitor terrorists. The government always has the option of tapping targets immediately and returning to the FISA Court within 72 hours to obtain an order.

My constituents and those of other Members of Congress view the protection of civil liberties as one of their top priorities. The American people want us to do our representational duty to uphold the Constitution and deliberate on this issue. We are working hard to ensure that our national security needs are met as our constitutional rights are protected.

Now we are working to support national service in our country, which helps our communities respond to disasters and also encourages our youth to engage in civic participation. Last year, I had the pleasure of meeting with Tatiana, a strong and determined young woman from Sacramento, my hometown, who received an award for CorpsMember of the Year. When Tatiana was just 15, her mother was incarcerated, and later, she was expelled from high school. Meeting with her and hearing her story of how she used the local Conservation Corps to turn her life around was truly inspiring.

This reauthorization takes programs and infrastructure that have touched so many lives, such as Tatiana, and builds off its foundation to greatly increase the quality and quantity and improve national service. National service is a proven return on our investment. With this bill, we will broaden those involved in service, and in doing so, foster the values of civic engagement and duty that can change a life and also draw upon the lessons of guidance and wisdom of our seniors that only a lifetime of experience can provide.

This bipartisan legislation makes excellent improvements to an already successful Federal agency. It improves access and support for organizations and grant applicants, and most importantly, reassures our valued volunteers that Congress supports them and their work. I urge a "yes" vote on the previous question and on the rule.

The material previously referred to by Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 1015 OFFERED BY MR. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART OF FLORIDA

At the end of the resolution, add the fol-

lowing:
SEC. 3. "That upon adoption of this resolution, before consideration of any order of business other than one motion that the House adjourn, the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 to establish a procedure for authorizing

certain acquisitions of foreign intelligence, and for other purposes, with Senate amendment thereto, shall be considered to have been taken from the Speaker's table. A motion that the House concur in the Senate amendment shall be considered as pending in the House without intervention of any point of order. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to final adoption without intervening motion."

(The information contained herein was provided by Democratic Minority on multiple occasions throughout the 109th Congress.)

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Democratic majority agenda and a vote to allow the opposition, at least for the moment, to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's Precedents of the

House of Representatives, (VI, 308-311) describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition' in order to offer an amendment. On March 15. 1909. a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to vield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

Because the vote today may look bad for the Democratic majority they will say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the definition of the previous question used in the Floor Procedures Manual published by the Rules Committee in the 109th Congress, (page 56). Here's how the Rules Committee described the rule using information from Congressional Quarterly's "American Congressional Dictionary": "If the previous question is defeated, control of debate shifts to the leading opposition member (usually the minority Floor Manager) who then manages an hour of debate and may offer a germane amend-

ment to the pending business."

Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment

or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Democratic majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time of any electronic vote on the question of adoption.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 193, answered "present" 1, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 103]

YEAS-217

Delahunt Abercrombie Kind Ackerman DeLauro Klein (FL) Allen Dicks Kucinich Dingell Langevin Andrews Doggett Larsen (WA) Arcuri Dovle Larson (CT) Edwards Baca Lee Baird Ellison Levin Baldwin Ellsworth Lewis (GA) Emanuel Lipinski Berkley Engel Loebsack Berman Eshoo Lofgren, Zoe Berry Etheridge Lowey Bishon (GA) Farr Lvnch Mahoney (FL) Filner Bishop (NY) Frank (MA) Blumenauer Maloney (NY) Boren Giffords Markey Boswell Gillibrand Marshall Gordon Green, Al Boucher Matsui McCarthy (NY) Boyd (FL) Green, Gene McCollum (MN) Boyda (KS) Brady (PA) Grijalva McDermott Bralev (IA) Gutierrez McGovern Brown, Corrine Hall (NY) McIntyre Butterfield McNerney Hare Harman Capps McNultv Capuano Hastings (FL) Meek (FL) Cardoza Herseth Sandlin Meeks (NY) Carnahan Higgins Melancon Carney Hill Michaud Castor Hinchev Miller (NC) Chandler Miller, George Hinoiosa Hirono Mitchell Clav Hodes Mollohan Moore (KS) Cleaver Holden Clyburn Moore (WI) Cohen Honda. Moran (VA) Conyers Hooley Murphy (CT) Murphy, Patrick Hoyer Costa Inslee Murtha. Nadler Costello Israel Courtney Jackson (IL) Napolitano Cramer Jackson-Lee Neal (MA) Crowlev Oberstar (TX) Cuellar Jefferson Obey Johnson (GA) Cummings Olver Davis (AL) Jones (OH) Ortiz Davis (CA) Kagen Pallone Davis (IL) Kanjorski Pascrell Davis, Lincoln Kaptur Pastor DeFazio Kildee Payne

Kilpatrick

Perlmutter

DeGette

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Peterson (MN) Pomeroy Price (NC) Rahall Reyes Richardson Rodriguez Ross Rothman Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rvan (OH) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA)

Serrano Towns Tsongas Shea-Porter Udall (CO) Sherman Skelton Slaughter Smith (WA) Waters Watson Watt Waxman Stupak Weiner Tauscher Wexler Thompson (CA)

Udall (NM) Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Welch (VT) Wilson (OH)

Yarmuth

Thompson (MS) NAYS-193

Sestak

Shuler

Snyder

Space

Spratt

Stark

Sutton

Tanner

Taylor

Tierney

Sires

Aderholt Foxx Musgrave Franks (AZ) Akin Myrick Alexander Frelinghuysen Neugebauer Bachmann Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Nunes Bachus Paul Barrett (SC) Gerlach Pearce Pence Gilchrest Barrow Bartlett (MD) Peterson (PA) Gingrev Barton (TX) Gohmert Petri Bean Goode Pickering Goodlatte Biggert Pitts Bilbray Granger Platts Bilirakis Graves Porter Bishop (UT) Hall (TX) Price (GA) Pryce (OH) Blackburn Hastings (WA) Hayes Blunt Putnam Boehner Heller Radanovich Hensarling Ramstad Bonner Bono Mack Herger Regula Boozman Hobson Rehberg Hoekstra Reichert Boustany Brady (TX) Hulshof Rogers (AL) Broun (GA) Rogers (KY) Hunter Brown (SC) Rogers (MI) Inglis (SC) Buchanan Issa. Rohrabacher Burgess Johnson, Sam Ros-Lehtinen Burton (IN) Jones (NC) Roskam Buver Jordan Royce Calvert Ryan (WI) King (IA) Camp (MI) King (NY) Sali Campbell (CA) Saxton Kingston Schmidt Cannon Kirk Kline (MN) Cantor Sensenbrenner Capito Knollenberg Sessions Carter Kuhl (NY) Shadegg LaHood Lamborn Shays Shimkus Castle Chabot Lampson Coble Shuster Cole (OK) Latham Simpson LaTourette Smith (NE) Conaway Crenshaw Smith (NJ) Latta Culberson Lewis (CA) Smith (TX) Davis (KY) Lewis (KY) Souder Davis, David Linder Stearns Davis, Tom LoBiondo Sullivan Deal (GA) Tancredo Lucas Dent Lungren, Daniel Terry Diaz-Balart, L. Thornberry E. Diaz-Balart, M. Mack Tiahrt Donnelly Manzullo Tiberi Doolittle Marchant Turner Drake Matheson Upton Dreier McCarthy (CA) Walberg Walden (OR) McCaul (TX) Duncan McCotter Walsh (NY) Ehlers Emerson McHenry Wamp English (PA) McHugh Weldon (FL) McKeon Weller Westmoreland Fallin McMorris Rodgers Whitfield (KY) Feeney Ferguson Wilson (NM) Miller (FL) Flake Wilson (SC) Miller (MI) Wittman (VA) Forbes Fortenberry Miller, Gary Young (FL) Fossella. Moran (KS)

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Johnson (IL)

NOT VOTING-17

Rangel

Engel

Brown-Waite, Johnson, E. B. Ginny Keller Cubin Kennedy McCrery Fattah Murphy, Tim Gonzalez

Renzi Reynolds Rush Woolsev

Wvnn Young (AK)

□ 1106

Messrs. CARTER and PICKERING their vote from "yea" changed "nay."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 222, noes 190, not voting 16. as follows:

[Roll No. 104]

AYES-222 Abercrombie Eshoo McIntyre Ackerman Etheridge McNerney Allen McNulty Farr Altmire Filner Meek (FL) Andrews Frank (MA) Meeks (NY) Giffords Melancon Arcuri Baca Gillibrand Michaud Gordon Green, Al Miller (NC) Baird Baldwin Miller, George Barrow Green, Gene Mitchell Bean Grijalya. Mollohan Moore (KS) Becerra Gutierrez Berkley Hall (NY) Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Berman Hare Harman Murphy (CT) Berry Bishop (GA) Hastings (FL) Murphy, Patrick Bishop (NY) Herseth Sandlin Murtha Blumenauer Higgins Boren Hill Napolitano Boswell Hinchey Neal (MA) Oberstar Boucher Hinojosa Boyd (FL) Hirono Obey Boyda (KS) Olver Hodes Brady (PA) Holden Braley (IA) Holt Pallone Brown, Corrine Honda Pascrell Butterfield Hooley Pastor Capps Hover Pavne Perlmutter Inslee Capuano Peterson (MN) Cardoza Israel Jackson (IL) Carnahan Pomerov Carney Jackson-Lee Price (NC) Castor (TX) Rahall Chandler Jefferson Reves Richardson Clarke Johnson (GA) Clay Jones (OH) Rodriguez Cleaver Kagen Ross Kanjorski Rothman Clyburn Cohen Kaptur Roybal-Allard Convers Kildee Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) Cooper Kilpatrick Costa Kind Salazar Costello Klein (FL) Sánchez, Linda Courtney Kucinich Sanchez, Loretta Cramer Lampson Langevin Sarbanes Crowley Cuellar Larsen (WA) Schakowsky Cummings Larson (CT) Schiff Davis (AL) Schwartz Lee Davis (CA) Levin Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Lewis (GA) Davis (IL) Davis, Lincoln Lipinski Serrano Sestak DeFazio Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Shea-Porter DeGette Delahunt Lowey Sherman DeLauro Lynch Shuler Mahoney (FL) Dicks Sires Dingell Skelton Maloney (NY) Doggett Markey Slaughter Marshall Smith (WA) Donnelly Doyle Matheson Snyder Edwards Matsui Solis McCarthy (NY) Ellison Space Ellsworth McCollum (MN) Spratt Emanuel McDermott Stark

McGovern

Stupak

Sutton Tanner Tauscher Taylor Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Towns Tsongas

Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz (MN) Wasserman Schultz Waters

Watson Watt Waxman Weiner Welch (VT) Wexler Wilson (OH) Yarmuth

NOES-190

Aderholt Frelinghuysen Myrick Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Akin Neugebauer Alexander Nunes Bachmann Gerlach Paul Bachus Gilchrest Pearce Barrett (SC) Pence Gingrev Bartlett (MD) Gohmert Peterson (PA) Barton (TX) Goode Petri Goodlatte Pickering Biggert Bilbray Granger Pitts Bilirakis Graves Platts Hall (TX) Bishop (UT) Porter Blackburn Hastings (WA) Price (GA) Blunt Haves Pryce (OH) Boehner Heller Putnam Bonner Hensarling Radanovich Bono Mack Herger Ramstad Hobson Regula Boozman Hoekstra Boustany Rehberg Brady (TX) Hulshof Reichert Broun (GA) Rogers (AL) Hunter Inglis (SC) Brown (SC) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Buchanan Issa. Johnson (IL) Burgess Rohrabacher Burton (IN) Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Ros-Lehtinen Buyer Roskam Calvert Jordan Royce Ryan (WI) Camp (MI) King (IA) King (NY) Campbell (CA) Sali Kingston Saxton Cantor Kirk Schmidt Capito Kline (MN) Sensenbrenner Carter Knollenberg Sessions Castle Kuhl (NY) Shadegg Chabot Shays LaHood Coble Cole (OK) Lamborn Shimkus Latham Shuster Conaway LaTourette Simpson Crenshaw Latta Smith (NE) Lewis (CA) Culberson Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Lewis (KY) Davis (KY) Davis, David Linder Souder LoBiondo Davis, Tom Stearns Deal (GA) Sullivan Lucas Dent Lungren, Daniel Tancredo Diaz-Balart, L. E. Terry Diaz-Balart, M. Mack Thornberry Doolittle Manzullo Tiahrt Marchant Tiberi Drake McCarthy (CA) Turner Dreier Duncan McCaul (TX) Upton Ehlers McCotter Walberg Emerson McHenry Walden (OR) English (PA) McHugh Walsh (NY) Everett McKeon Wamp Fallin McMorris Weldon (FL) Feenev Rodgers Weller Westmoreland Mica Ferguson Miller (FL) Flake Whitfield (KY) Forbes Miller (MI) Wilson (NM) Fortenberry Miller, Gary Wilson (SC) Fossella Moran (KS) Wittman (VA) Foxx Murphy, Tim Wolf Franks (AZ) Young (FL) Musgrave

NOT VOTING-16

Brown-Waite. Keller Revnolds Ginny Kennedy Rush Cubin McCrery Woolsey Fattah Poe Wynn Gonzalez Rangel Young (AK) Johnson, E. B. Renzi

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during

the vote). Members are advised that there is 1 minute remaining in this vote.

□ 1113

Mr. MARCHANT changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 2857, into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

GENERATIONS INVIGORATING VOL-UNTEERISM AND EDUCATION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1015 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 2857.

□ 1114

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 2857) to reauthorize and reform the national service laws, with Mrs. TAUSCHER in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

The gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. McKeon) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER).

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Madam Chairman, I rise in strong support of H.R. 2857, the Generations Invigorating Volunteerism and Education Act, the first reauthorization of national and community service laws and programs since 1993. I am pleased that today this House is taking up this important measure that will take volunteerism and service into the 21st century.

It was in March of 1961 that President John F. Kennedy first challenged a generations of Americans to ask "not what your country can do for you; ask what you can do for your country." By establishing the Peace Corps and the Volunteers in Service to America programs, he inspired millions of Americans to make a difference here at home and around the globe. He created a powerful legacy of hope and service that connects us as Americans.

Almost 50 years later, we should be very proud that volunteering in America is at a 30-year high. Through AmeriCorps and other service programs, new generations of Americans have become inspired to build stronger, more vibrant communities, help chil-

dren succeed in schools, and rebuild cities in times of disaster

In fact, in 2006, more than 61 million Americans gave back to their communities through service. That same year, volunteers in my home State of California contributed more than 858 million hours of service to our State economy, a value of more than \$17 billion. In the 15 years since AmeriCorps was enacted into law, more than 500,000 Americans of all ages and backgrounds have participated in this program.

Our current and future generations of volunteers deserve our renewed support for their programs, just as President Kennedy first provided decades ago. The GIVE Act, this legislation, recognizes this growing service movement that is taking place across the Nation. It builds upon the successful work being done in communities across by members of AmeriCorps, VISTA, Senior Corps and Learn and Serve America.

AmeriCorps has become a successful model for public-private partnerships. Last year, the AmeriCorps program leveraged more than \$200 million in matching funds, mobilized more than 1.4 million volunteers, and worked with 2,000 small and large faith-based and community-based organizations across the country.

The GIVE Act would strengthen the AmeriCorps service model by putting us on the path to increasing the number of AmeriCorps members from 75,000 to 100,000 by 2012 with a focus of engaging low-income, disadvantaged, and atrisk youth.

With soaring tuition prices making it more difficult for many students to get a college degree, the GIVE Act would help AmeriCorps members pay for college by increasing the scholarship that they earn in exchange for their service from \$4,725 to \$5,255 by 2012.

This bill would also introduce young people to community service by creating a new Summer of Service initiative that will offer middle school and high school students the opportunity to spend the summer working to improve their communities while earning a \$500 education award that can be used for college or for college preparation.

Alumni of these programs remain valuable resources to our communities. More than 72 percent of AmeriCorps members continue to volunteer in their communities after their term of service ends. After Hurricane Katrina devastated gulf coast communities, AmeriCorps alumni played a key role in relief, recovery, and rebuilding efforts.

To help tap into these resources in times of emergency, this bill would expand the number of volunteers ready to respond by creating an Alumni Reserve Corps of service alumni with previous experience serving during disasters.

Volunteering also provides critical opportunities for older Americans to make a difference in their communities. Each year, nearly half a million older Americans participate in the

Senior Corps programs, mentoring children of prisoners, providing independent living services to seniors, assisting victims of natural disasters, and mobilizing other volunteers.

The GIVE Act would expand the purpose of Senior Corps programs by adding an emphasis on recruiting retired STEM, health care, law enforcement and military professionals to help with education, after-school, public safety and technology needs.

In addition, it would phase in the competition for the Retired and Senior Volunteer Programs, an important part of the Senior Corps by 2013, allowing new grantees and volunteers to join the service movement and encouraging innovation and evolution among high-performing programs. I also want to thank the RSVP program for working with us to ensure a responsible transition.

I want to thank Members on both sides of the aisle, in particular Representatives McCarthy, McKeon and Platts, for their leadership, as well as the Service Caucus for their support.

I also want to thank our committee staff for their hard work on this bill, including Alex Ceja, Denise Forte, Stephanie Moore, Deborah Koolbeck with Mrs. McCARTHY, Brad Thomas with Mr. McKeon, and Becky Wolfkiel with Mr. PLATTS.

Let me also thank Voices of Services and its member organizations, who were invaluable in helping us develop this legislation.

It is clear that service has played an important role in this country's history and will continue to help us meet the challenges and needs of our communities. It is clear that the interest in volunteering reaches across all generations: our young people, retiring baby boomers, and older Americans. The GIVE Act reflects their commitment, and our commitment, to building a stronger country through service. I urge my colleagues to join us in this effort by supporting this legislation.

Madam Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McKEON. Madam Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I rise in support of the GIVE Act.

I would like to begin by thanking Chairman MILLER, as well as the Chair and the ranking member of the subcommittee, Mrs. McCarthy of New York and Mr. Platts from Pennsylvania, for their efforts to develop a solid, bipartisan bill that will strengthen our national service programs. I would also like to recognize Brad Thomas from my staff for his hard work on this bill.

Like many of my colleagues, particularly on this side of the aisle, I historically have had concerns about AmeriCorps and the other programs within the Corporation for National and Community Service. Particularly during the 1990s, Federal management of these programs was at best dismal.