

EPA No.	New No.	Action	Decision time (months), PRIA II:			Registration Service Fee (\$)
			FY #1	FY #2	FY #3	
A55	80	New end-use product; uses other than FIFRA §2(mm); non-FQPA product .....	6	6	6	4,200
A56	81	New manufacturing-use product; registered active ingredient; selective data citation .....	12	12	12	15,750
A57	82	Label amendment requiring data submission (2) .....	4	4	4	3,150
New	83	Cancer reassessment; applicant-initiated .....	18	18	18	78,750
New	84	Refined ecological risk and/or endangered species assessment; applicant-initiated .....	18	18	12	75,000
New	85	New product; identical or substantially similar in composition and use to a registered product; registered active ingredient; unregistered source of active ingredient; cite-all data citation except for product chemistry; product chemistry data submitted .....	4	4	4	4,200

TABLE 9.—BIOPESTICIDE &amp; POLLUTION PREVENTION DIVISION—MICROBIAL &amp; BIOCHEMICAL PESTICIDES; NEW PRODUCTIONS &amp; AMENDMENTS

B58	86	New active ingredient; food use; establish tolerance <sup>1</sup> .....	18	18	18	42,000
B59	87	New active ingredient; food use; establish tolerance exemption <sup>1</sup> .....	16	16	16	26,250
B60	88	New active ingredient; non-food use <sup>1</sup> .....	12	12	12	15,750
B61	89	Food use; Experimental Use Permit application; establish temporary tolerance exemption .....	9	9	9	10,500
B62	90	Non-food use; Experimental Use Permit application .....	6	6	6	5,250
new	91	Extend or amend Experimental Use Permit .....	6	6	6	4,200
B63	92	First food use; establish tolerance exemption .....	12	12	12	10,500
new	93	Amend established tolerance exemption .....	9	9	9	10,500
B64	94	First food use; establish tolerance <sup>(1)</sup> .....	18	18	18	15,750
new	95	Amend established tolerance (e.g., decrease or increase) .....	12	12	12	10,500
B65	96	New use; non-food .....	6	6	6	5,250
B66	97	New product; identical or substantially similar in composition and use to a registered product; no data review or only product chemistry data; cite-all data citation, or selective data citation where applicant owns all required data, or applicant submits specific authorization letter from data owner. Category also includes 100% re-package of registered end-use or manufacturing-use product that requires no data submission nor data matrix. ....	3	3	3	1,050
B67	98	New product; registered source of active ingredient; all Tier I data for product chemistry, toxicology, non-target organisms, and product performance must be addressed with product specific data or with request for data waivers supported by scientific rationales. ....	6	6	6	4,200
new	99	New product; food use; unregistered source of active ingredient; requires amendment of established tolerance or tolerance exemption; all Tier I data requirements for product chemistry, toxicology, nontarget organisms, and product performance must be addressed with product-specific data or with request for data waivers supported by scientific rationales. ....	16	16	16	10,500
new	100	New product; non-food use or food use having established tolerance or tolerance exemption; unregistered source of active ingredient; no data compensation issues; all Tier I data requirements for product chemistry, toxicology, non-target organisms, and product performance must be addressed with product-specific data or with request for data waivers supported by scientific rationales. ....	12	12	12	7,500
B68	101	Label amendment requiring data submission <sup>(2)</sup> .....	4	4	4	4,200
new	102	Label amendment; unregistered source of active ingredient; supporting data require scientific review .....	6	6	6	5,000
new	103	Protocol review; applicant-initiated; excludes time for HSRB review (pre application) .....	3	3	3	2,000

TABLE 10.—BIOPESTICIDE &amp; POLLUTION PREVENTION DIVISION—STRAIGHT CHAIN LEPIDOPTERAN PHEROMONES (SCLPs)

B69	104	New active ingredient; food or non-food use <sup>(1)</sup> .....	6	6	6	2,100
B70	105	Experimental Use Permit application; new active ingredient or new use .....	6	6	6	1,050
new	106	Extend or amend Experimental Use Permit .....	3	3	3	1,050
B71	107	New product; identical or substantially similar in composition and use to a registered product; no data review or only product chemistry data; cite-all data citation, or selective data citation where applicant owns all required data, or applicant submits specific authorization letter from data owner. Category also includes 100% re-package of registered end-use or manufacturing-use product that requires no data submission nor data matrix. ....	3	3	3	1,050
B72	108	New product; registered source of active ingredient; all Tier I data for product chemistry, toxicology, non-target organisms, and product performance must be addressed with product specific data or with request for data waivers supported by scientific rationales. ....	4	4	4	1,050
new	109	New product; unregistered source of active ingredient .....	6	6	6	2,200
new	110	New use and/or amendment to tolerance or tolerance exemption .....	6	6	6	2,200
B73	111	Label amendment requiring data submission <sup>(2)</sup> .....	4	4	4	1,050

TABLE 11.—BIOPESTICIDE &amp; POLLUTION PREVENTION DIVISION—PLANT INCORPORATED PROTECTANTS (PIPs)

B74	112	Experimental Use Permit application; registered active ingredient; non-food/feed or crop destruct basis; no SAP review required <sup>(3)</sup> .....	6	6	6	78,750
B75	113	Experimental Use Permit application; registered active ingredient; establish temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption; no SAP review required <sup>(3)</sup> .....	9	9	9	105,000
B76	114	Experimental Use Permit application; new active ingredient; non-food/feed or crop destruct basis; SAP review required; credit \$78,750 toward new active ingredient application that follows. ....	12	12	12	131,250
new	115	Experimental Use Permit application; new active ingredient; non-food/feed or crop destruct; no SAP review required; credit \$78,750 toward new active ingredient application that follows. ....	7	7	7	78,750
B77	116	Experimental Use Permit application; new active ingredient; establish temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption; SAP review required; credit \$105,000 toward new active ingredient application that follows. ....	15	15	15	157,500
new	117	Experimental Use Permit application; new active ingredient; establish temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption; no SAP review required; credit \$105,000 toward new active ingredient application that follows. ....	10	10	10	105,000
new	118	Amend or extend Experimental Use Permit; minor changes to experimental design; established temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption is unaffected ...	3	3	3	10,500
new	119	Amend or extend existing Experimental Use Permit; minor changes to experimental design; extend established temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption	5	5	5	26,250
B86	120	Amend Experimental Use Permit; first food use or major revision of experimental design .....	6	6	6	10,500
B78	121	New active ingredient; non-food/feed; no SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	12	12	12	131,250
B79	122	New active ingredient; Non-food/feed; SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	18	18	18	183,750
B80	123	New active ingredient; establish permanent tolerance or tolerance exemption based on temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption; no SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	12	12	12	210,000
B81	124	New active ingredient; establish permanent tolerance or tolerance exemption based on temporary tolerance or tolerance exemption; SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	18	18	18	262,500
B82	125	New active ingredient; establish tolerance or tolerance exemption; no SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	15	15	15	262,500
B84	126	New active ingredient; establish tolerance or tolerance exemption; SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	21	21	21	315,000
B83	127	New active ingredient; Experimental Use Permit application submitted simultaneously; establish tolerance or tolerance exemption; no SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	15	15	15	315,000
B85	128	New active ingredient; Experimental Use Permit requested simultaneously; establish tolerance or tolerance exemption; SAP review required <sup>(4)</sup> .....	21	21	21	367,500
new	129	New active ingredient; different genetic event of a previously approved active ingredient; same crop; no tolerance action required; no SAP review required	9	9	9	105,000
new	130	New active ingredient; different genetic event of a previously approved active ingredient; same crop; no tolerance action required; SAP review required .....	9	9	9	157,500
B87	131	New use <sup>(5)</sup> .....	9	9	9	31,500
B88	132	New product; no SAP review required <sup>(5)</sup> .....	9	9	9	26,250
new	133	New product; SAP review required <sup>(5)</sup> .....	15	15	15	278,250
B89	134	Amendment; seed production to commercial registration; no SAP review required .....	9	9	9	52,500
new	135	Amendment; seed production to commercial registration; SAP review required .....	15	15	15	105,000
B90	136	Amendment (except #B89); No SAP review required; (e.g., new IRM requirements that are applicant initiated; or amending a conditional registration to extend the registration expiration date with additional data submitted) (2). ....	6	6	6	10,500
new	137	Amendment (except #B89); SAP review required (2) .....	12	12	12	63,000
new	138	PIP Protocol review .....	3	3	3	5,250
new	139	Inert ingredient tolerance exemption; e.g., a marker such as NPT II; reviewed in BPPD .....	6	6	6	52,500
new	140	Import tolerance or tolerance exemption; processed commodities/food only .....	9	9	9	105,000

<sup>1</sup> All uses (food and/or non-food) included in any original application or petition for a new active ingredient or a first food use that otherwise satisfy the conditions for the category are covered by the base fee for that application.

<sup>2</sup> EPA-initiated amendments shall not be charged fees. Fast-track amendments handled by the Antimicrobials Division are to be completed within the FIFRA stated timelines listed in Section 3(h) and are not subject to PRIA fees. Label amendments submitted by notification under PR Notices, such as PR Notice 95-2 and PR Notice 98-10, continue under PR Notice timelines and are not subject to PRIA fees.

<sup>3</sup> Example: Transfer existing PIP trait by traditional breeding, such as from field corn to sweet corn.

<sup>4</sup> May be either a registration for seed increase or a full commercial registration. If a seed increase registration is granted first, full commercial registration is obtained using B89 or New 134.

<sup>5</sup> Example: Stacking PIP traits within a crop using traditional breeding techniques.

## ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

### HONORING THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE MARIN HUMANE SOCIETY

• Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I ask my colleagues to join me today in honoring the 100th anniversary of a won-

derful organization in my home State of California, the Marin Humane Society.

The Marin County Humane Society was founded on December 14, 1907, by Ethel H. Tompkins and a group of concerned citizens who wanted to find a solution to the plight of lost and abused animals. From its first animal

shelter in the San Rafael stables in 1912, the organization has expanded its facilities to a four-building complex on a 7-acre campus. Today, the Marin Humane Society, which shortened its name in 1980, serves the community with 95 staff members and 800 volunteers.

Through the dedicated work of the Marin Humane Society, 8,000 animals each year find refuge, rehabilitation, and loving homes. This has included efforts to rescue animals lost and injured in disasters, such as the Oakland firestorm of 1991.

It is particularly noteworthy that in 2005, the organization brought over 2,500 Hurricane Katrina animal victims to bay area shelters and out of harm's way through its rescue effort, "Orphans of the Storm." In partnership with commercial airlines, these pet airlifts were a first for the Nation and protected the lives of thousands of animals. Funded solely from private benefactors and coordinated by the Marin Humane Society, nine flights of lost animals arrived in the bay area in the 2 months following the disaster. Additional flights carried animals to southern California, Oregon, and Washington, where other animal shelters and rescue groups agreed to offer refuge.

The Marin Humane Society's admirable milestones continued in 2006, when it adopted its 250,000th animal to a loving home.

When in 1997 the Marin Humane Society staff felt they had made significant progress on controlling the pet overpopulation problem in Marin County, they decided to expand their services to neighboring counties through their Pet Partnership program. Volunteers brought thousands of dogs and cats from congested shelters in other communities to Marin to give them a second chance.

I am so pleased to acknowledge the Marin Humane Society's long and distinguished record of community service. Over the past century, the organization has educated children and adults on the importance of humane treatment of animals; provided comprehensive veterinary care and rehabilitation for neglected and abused animals; provided pet adoption services and dog training programs; and advocated for animal welfare policy on the local, State and Federal level.

I commend the Marin Humane Society staff and volunteers for their compassion and commitment to protecting and caring for our society's lost, neglected, and abused animals. They do a tremendous service to the greater community and are deserving of the highest recognition for their large hearts and generous ways. Please join me in celebrating the 100th Anniversary of the Marin Humane Society.●

#### HONORING DR. W. RON DeHAVEN

● Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, today I would like to take a moment to honor Dr. W. Ron DeHaven, Administrator of the Department of Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, APHIS, and to congratulate him on his retirement from public service. Dr. DeHaven has served the agency for 28 years during which he has contributed greatly to the agency's mission of pro-

moting and protecting U.S. agriculture.

Dr. DeHaven began his APHIS career working in a field office for the veterinary services program in 1979. He later joined the agency's animal care program, rising to the top position in 1996. From 2001 to 2002 he served as the APHIS acting associate administrator, and in 2002, became head of the agency's veterinary services program.

As the Nation's chief veterinarian, he played a leading role as the agency faced the first U.S. detection of bovine spongiform encephalopathy, BSE, in 2003. His handling of this situation—as well as other animal health emergencies—showcased his trademark straightforward leadership style and calm demeanor. These challenges prepared him well for the role of APHIS Administrator, which he assumed in 2004.

As Administrator, he has skillfully guided his agency and communicated with the public, Congress, and USDA's many stakeholders. He worked conscientiously to position APHIS to prevent and respond to such threats as highly pathogenic avian influenza, exotic Newcastle disease, sudden oak death, Asian longhorned beetle and citrus diseases.

Dr. DeHaven's dedication, work ethic, and personal commitment to excellence have served U.S. agriculture well and ensured a healthy and abundant food supply for U.S. consumers.●

#### TRIBUTE TO MICHAEL J. DONOGHUE

● Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I welcome this opportunity to extend my warmest congratulations to Michael J. Donoghue on his retirement from the Worcester Regional Retirement System. I commend him for his impressive service to the people of Worcester for the past 30 years, and I know he will be deeply missed by all those he helped and supported.

Mike's impressive career extends well beyond his time at Worcester Regional Retirement System. He served two terms on the Worcester City Council before being elected Worcester County treasurer in 1978, and his outstanding experience and knowledge of the issues made him a valuable member of many charitable organizations in our city.

Mike also has served on the board of directors of the Worcester Regional Chamber of Commerce and the Massachusetts Biomedical Initiatives, and he had an invaluable role over the years in establishing Worcester as a center for medical research.

All of us in our State owe Mike our gratitude for his skillful efforts on behalf of the less fortunate. Over the years, he has given his skills and impressive leadership to the board of directors for the Visiting Nurses Association Network Foundation, the Worcester Area Mental Health Association, the Worcester Area United Way, and Special Olympics of Massachusetts.

It has been an honor to call Mike a friend, and I am especially grateful for his decades of kindness to the Kennedy family. I have relied often on Mike over the years for his advice and wise counsel, and I commend him for his service and dedication. It is a special privilege to join his wife Maureen, their children and grandchildren in congratulating him for all he has achieved in his many years of outstanding service to our Commonwealth, and I wish him well in the years ahead.●

#### ANNIVERSARY OF THE TURKISH INVASION OF CYPRUS

● Mr. REED. Mr. President, today, on behalf of the Greek Cypriot population of Rhode Island and Greek Cypriots around the world, I recognize the 33rd anniversary of the Turkish invasion of Cyprus.

At 5:30 a.m. 33 years ago today, heavily armed Turkish troops landed on a narrow northern beachhead in Cyprus 5 days after Greek Cypriot nationalists ousted then-President Archbishop Makarios. The invasion and subsequent occupation was described by Turkey as a "peace operation" to protect the minority Turkish population living in Cyprus from being victimized in the aftermath of the coup.

However, during the next 2 months, over 200,000 Greek Cypriots fled south or were expelled by Turkish forces. The Turkish Cypriots took over 37 percent of the island and then called a ceasefire, leaving the Greek Cypriots, 82 percent of the population, with under two-thirds of Cyprus. In 1983, the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus declared itself a country. Currently, Turkey is the only nation that recognizes this self-declaration of statehood.

Despite international efforts over the last 30 years to reunify the island, Cyprus has remained divided with more than 40,000 Turkish troops occupying its northern third. The United Nations Security Council and General Assembly have worked to determine an equally agreeable solution, but talks between the Greek Cypriot south and the Turkish Cypriot north consistently end in a stalemate.

A survey completed in February 2007 by the United Nations Peacekeeping Force in Cyprus found that a majority of both Greek and Turkish Cypriot communities view the United Nations' presence on the island as a positive. Both see any withdrawal scenario involving the U.N. departing before restoration of normal conditions and a settlement being reached as a negative. We must applaud the continued efforts of the United Nations and the focus of Cypriot leaders to reunite a divided Cyprus and remain, ourselves, committed to ushering the settlement process forward. Cypriot, Mediterranean, and United States interests will benefit from a settlement that addresses all legitimate concerns of both sides and promotes the stability of a hostile region.