

Shuster  
Simpson  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Stearns  
Sullivan

Tancredo  
Taylor  
Thornberry  
Tiahrt  
Tiberi  
Turner  
Upton

Walberg  
Wamp  
Westmoreland  
Wilson (SC)  
Wolf  
Young (FL)

Davis, Jo Ann  
Doolittle  
Ellison  
Emerson  
Engel  
Fortenberry  
Goode  
Hastert  
Hayes  
Hinchey  
Hinojosa  
Johnson, Sam  
Kaptur

Klein (FL)  
Kline (MN)  
Kucinich  
LaHood  
Langevin  
Mack  
Marchant  
McCaul (TX)  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
Mollohan  
Oberstar  
Paul

Pence  
Radanovich  
Rangel  
Renzi  
Ruppersberger  
Saxton  
Skelton  
Souder  
Waxman  
Weldon (FL)  
Whitfield  
Wicker  
Young (AK)

winners and losers and eliminates some very promising technology for alternatives fuels.

For that reason and many other reasons, I oppose this bill. I oppose the underlying bill with its tax provisions and urge all Members to think twice about this. We have to level with the American people about the energy situation and manage our strategic dependence and not deal with fantasy.

NAYS—246

Ackerman  
Allen  
Altmire  
Andrews  
Arcuri  
Baca  
Baldwin  
Barrow  
Bean  
Becerra  
Berkley  
Berman  
Berry  
Bishop (GA)  
Bishop (NY)  
Blumenauer  
Boozman  
Boren  
Boswell  
Boucher  
Boyd (FL)  
Boyd (KS)  
Brady (PA)  
Braley (IA)  
Brown (SC)  
Brown, Corrine  
Butterfield  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cardoza  
Carnahan  
Carney  
Castle  
Castor  
Chandler  
Cleaver  
Clyburn  
Cohen  
Cole (OK)  
Conaway  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costello  
Courtney  
Cramer  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Davis (AL)  
Davis (CA)  
Davis (IL)  
Davis, Lincoln  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLaHunt  
DeLauro  
Dent  
Dicks  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Donnelly  
Doyle  
Edwards  
Ellsworth  
Emanuel  
Eshoo  
Etheridge  
Fallin  
Farr  
Fattah  
Ferguson  
Filner  
Forbes  
Fossella  
Frank (MA)  
Gallegly  
Gerlach  
Giffords  
Gillibrand  
Gillmor  
Gonzalez  
Gordon  
Granger  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene

Grijalva  
Gutierrez  
Hall (NY)  
Hall (TX)  
Hare  
Harman  
Hastings (FL)  
Hereth Sandlin  
Higgins  
Hill  
Hirono  
Hodes  
Hoekstra  
Holden  
Hoit  
Honda  
Hooley  
Hoyer  
Hunter  
Insee  
Israel  
Jackson (IL)  
Jackson-Lee  
(TX)  
Jefferson  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson (IL)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jones (NC)  
Jones (OH)  
Kagen  
Kanjorski  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilpatrick  
Kind  
Kingston  
Kirk  
Kuhl (NY)  
Lampson  
Lantos  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lee  
Levin  
Lewis (GA)  
Lewis (KY)  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Loeb sack  
Lofgren, Zoe  
Lowey  
Lungren, Daniel  
E.  
Lynch  
Mahoney (FL)  
Maloney (NY)  
Markey  
Marshall  
Matheson  
Matsui  
McCarthy (NY)  
McCollum (MN)  
McCotter  
McDermott  
McGovern  
McHugh  
McIntyre  
McNerney  
McNulty  
Meek (FL)  
Meeke (NY)  
Melancon  
Michaud  
Miller (NC)  
Miller, George  
Mitchell  
Moore (KS)  
Moore (WI)  
Moran (KS)  
Moran (VA)  
Murphy (CT)  
Murphy, Patrick  
Murtha

Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal (MA)  
Obey  
Olver  
Ortiz  
Pallone  
Pascarell  
Pastor  
Payne  
Perlmutter  
Peterson (MN)  
Platts  
Poe  
Pomeroy  
Price (NC)  
Rahall  
Ramstad  
Reyes  
Rodriguez  
Rohrabacher  
Ross  
Rothman  
Roybal-Allard  
Rush  
Ryan (OH)  
Salazar  
Sanchez, Linda  
T.  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schwartz  
Scott (GA)  
Scott (VA)  
Serrano  
Sestak  
Shays  
Shea-Porter  
Sherman  
Shuler  
Lee  
Sires  
Slaughter  
Smith (WA)  
Snyder  
Solis  
Space  
Spratt  
Stark  
Stupak  
Sutton  
Tanner  
Tauscher  
Terry  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Tierney  
Towns  
Udall (CO)  
Udall (NM)  
Van Hollen  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Walden (OR)  
Walsh (NY)  
Walz (MN)  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters  
Watson  
Watt  
Weiner  
Welch (VT)  
Weller  
Wexler  
Wilson (NM)  
Wilson (OH)  
Woolsey  
Wu  
Wynn  
Yarmuth

□ 1016

Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida, Mrs. CAPPS, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. RUSH and Ms. DELAURO changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska and Mr. TURNER changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3221, NEW DIRECTION FOR ENERGY INDEPENDENCE, NATIONAL SECURITY, AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, AND FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2776, RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENERGY CONSERVATION TAX ACT OF 2007

Mr. WELCH of Vermont. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. BOUSTANY).

Mr. BOUSTANY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the rule and the underlying bill with its tax provisions. I think it's time that we level with the American public about this and be truthful about what we are trying to accomplish here. This bill has some good things in it, but it's not really addressing what we really need to be focused on right now. That is, the strategic management of our dependence over fossil fuels for the next 10 to 15 years as we transition.

We need to manage our strategic dependence on fossil fuels, a strategic dependence that we are going to have for the next 10, 15, maybe 20 years as these new technologies develop. Now, what does this bill do? It taxes U.S. companies working on production of oil, and making these companies less productive and less competitive, therefore, shifting more oil and gas activity into the hands of national oil companies that are not our friends. This will not bring down the price of oil and gas and not alleviate our energy concerns.

Secondly, it abrogates leases, very important leases in the Gulf of Mexico, with the stroke of a pen. Now, that's not the American way. That's not something that we would be proud of in this country, not something the American people would be proud of. It's certainly something that Hugo Chavez would be proud of.

Finally, I will say this bill is not technology neutral. It seeks to pick

Mr. WELCH of Vermont. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GINGREY).

Mr. GINGREY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I attempted to offer an amendment to H.R. 3221, the New Direction for Energy Independence, National Security, and Consumer Protection Act, to prevent possible waste and maintain appropriate management of our government expenses. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires the Secretary of Energy to contract with the National Academy of Public Administration to conduct a study for assessing management practices for research, development and demonstration programs at the Department.

My amendment would simply prohibit funds in the bill to the Advanced Research Projects Agency, or ARPA-E, within the Department of Energy, until the study has been completed and it makes a recommendation that we do establish ARPA-E.

However, the Rules Committee would not accommodate my amendment and refused to make it in order. Without this amendment, we are shooting in the dark. We are authorizing \$300 million for fiscal year 2008 that may not be necessary. This is not a good way to manage the people's tax money. If the majority is going to gamble like this, we might as well put the whole Federal Treasury on green double zero and just hope for the best.

I have another concern, and that's about a new portfolio standard. The renewable portfolio standard in this bill calls for 20 percent renewable by the year 2020, and it will not include any nuclear.

Well, the Southern Company, in my district, provides about 12 to 15 percent of their power by nuclear, but, yet, that cannot be included as a renewable. So we are projecting a wind farm off the coast of Georgia bigger than Cape Wind in Massachusetts, and that would only produce 1 percent toward this renewable standard. It's almost an impossible standard to meet, if you do not let us include nuclear as a renewable source, which, surely, it is. Basically, the compliance penalty for not meeting this standard, for the Southern Company in my district, would be \$745 million.

This legislation is nothing more than a backhanded attempt to ease our Nation into a carbon trade scheme, and it victimizes Georgia by making us a donor State.

NOT VOTING—50

Abercrombie  
Bachmann  
Bono  
Carson

Clarke  
Crenshaw  
Clay  
Cubin  
Culberson  
Cummings

Costa

I ask my colleagues to vote down this rule and vote against the underlying legislation.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. MCCRERY).

Mr. MCCRERY. Mr. Speaker, as the ranking member of the Ways and Means committee, I have tried my best to work with Chairman RANGEL to engender an atmosphere in our committee of comity, an atmosphere that engenders respect for one another's views, and to engender an atmosphere in which we can fully explore and debate and discuss issues.

We have very talented members on both sides of the aisle on the Ways and Means Committee, and we are not afraid to discuss issues and to debate differences that we have in those issues. It's a great committee.

I gave a letter to the Rules Committee citing 24 instances just since the year 2000 where on tax bills when we were in the majority we gave the minority the chance to offer a substitute, an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

Tax bills are always closed to amendments. We don't just allow willy-nilly amendments to tax bills, for good reason. But we almost always offer the minority an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

I am not pleased that the Rules Committee, and I suppose with the consent of the chairman, did not offer us an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

Vote against this rule.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SHIMKUS).

(Mr. SHIMKUS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHIMKUS. Mr. Speaker, this rule is a joke. It does nothing for supply. No renewable fuel standard, no alternative fuel standard, 7 minutes for debate by the minority side on energy policy in this country. Are you crazy?

Coal. Take it down then, take it down, if you want. This is coal, our largest resource in this country, to help decrease our reliance on imported crude oil. What will it do for the economy? Coal-to-liquid, 1,000 jobs, 2,500 construction jobs, 15 million tons of coal, up to 500 coal-mining jobs. You all say no.

What's it do for our national security, coal, to coal-to-liquid refinery, to pipelines to fuel our Air Force? Our Air Force is demanding liquified gasoline moved into jet fuel to decrease our reliance on important crude oil, and you guys won't bring up an energy bill? Shame on you.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. BILBRAY).

Mr. BILBRAY. Mr. Speaker, as a former member of the Air Resources

Board in the State of California and working on air pollution issues for decades, I was looking at the text that said this bill was going to move America forward.

This text is not moving America forward; it's moving us back to a 1970's agenda. This agenda is the same agenda we had in the environmental community in the 1970s. In the 1970s, we were doing the best we could then. But this is the best America can expect from this Congress, is 30-year-old ideas that have been proven false, and the example, this bill is going to pick winners and losers in the industry.

It is going to mandate not only the inclusion of poisons in our gasoline in places like California where in 1992 we warned you about MTBE, we warned you that ethanol was going to cause problems, but this place was bought off by special interest groups that claimed to be environmentally sensitive and forced MTBE into the fuel of America.

Later, when you realized we were right, you said, sorry. Just last, a few months ago, Harvard came out with another study about ethanol. All we are asking is, don't mandate that this poison is put in the fuel.

If you can't believe CARB, then why are the States around the north using our standards at CARB to clean up the environment? Look before you leap, but this technology that we are talking about doesn't even include zero mission generators like high pressure gas reactors, doesn't include.

The only way we are going to beat greenhouse gases is to go nuclear, but you don't have the political guts to look our friends in the eye and say we have got to move beyond the 1970s. We have got to move forward. We have got to be willing to do what is right for the environment.

If that tells Archer Daniels Midland or the extreme wackos who are always going to be against nuclear that, sorry, guys, the environment comes first, if you don't have the guts to do that, don't claim this is a green proposal. 1970 cars are polluting and wasteful. This bill is polluting and wasteful.

□ 1030

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I will be asking Members to defeat the previous question so that I may amend the rule to provide for the adoption of H. Res. 622, a resolution introduced by Mr. BLUNT to correct the injustice done to all Members of this House on August 2, 2007.

As my colleagues know, the majority engaged in a manipulation of the vote on the motion to recommit the Agriculture appropriations bill to reverse the outcome.

If we defeat the previous question, the resolution will direct the Clerk to retrieve the Agriculture appropriations bill from the Senate, add in the amendments that should have been included in the bill, and return the bill to the Senate.

While we took the important step yesterday to establish a select committee to investigate the reasons why this injustice occurred, the Agriculture appropriations bill will continue through the legislative process well before the select committee's final report is complete; meaning that we must act now to correct this injustice.

I ask my colleagues to support me in defeating the previous question and righting this wrong.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the amendment be printed just prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. I yield 1 minute to the distinguished ranking member of the Rules Committee.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding.

Democrats and Republicans alike have decried what took place late last Thursday night. This previous question which we are about to vote upon would allow the Democrats to erase the most unsightly of blemishes on the already tarnished record that we witnessed governing this institution. It would allow us as a body to heal, and to give democracy an opportunity to once again flourish in this hallowed institution. Mr. Speaker, this previous question vote will in fact give us as a body the opportunity to heal. I urge my colleagues to join with Mr. DIAZ-BALART in voting "no" on the previous question so we can rectify this wrong.

I thank my friend for yielding.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge all of our colleagues in a responsible manner, realizing the historic importance of what has transpired this week, to defeat the previous question, allow this wrong to be righted, to defeat the previous question and defeat also this unfair rule.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WELCH of Vermont. Mr. Speaker, there are two points I would like to make, one about the rule and one about the process.

I served 13 years in the Vermont State Senate, a small body, 30 members, sometimes in the minority, sometimes in the majority; and we had fierce fights about issues of enormous public concern, tax policy, environmental policy. In all of the time that I served in that State Senate, winning fights, but losing as many as I won, I never, ever saw the other side leave on an appropriations vote. Never. When you lose, you get up and you fight another day. That is what we learned. That is what all of us have learned.

There is a use of process here that has an effect of avoiding discussing substantive issues that are really of vital concern to the people of this country and the people that we represent. None of us can certify that the position we take at any given moment

is guaranteed to be the right one. We have to debate that, we have to listen to the other side. But we don't stop listening when it comes to our final decision, the ultimate responsibility that we have been given by the people who have elected us to stand in this well and to vote "yes" or "no" and to accept accountability for the "yes" or "no" vote that we take.

I do not understand, Mr. Speaker, walking out on a vote, most importantly, one involving appropriations for rural America. I don't understand the excessive use of motions to adjourn when it has no purpose, no purpose whatsoever other than to bring down the respect that the American people should have in this institution. I don't understand that. Maybe in time I will.

This bill brought forth by this rule is going to allow America to have a new debate on energy. It is that simple. The old approach on energy has been oil and fossil fuels. It has had a place and will have a place, to be sure. But the question is, are we going to continue with the public policy of this country, with subsidies as we have for mature industries like oil and nuclear, which in the 2005 legislation received \$15 billion in taxpayer subsidies. That is real money from real Americans. Yet, as that happens, global warming expands, the price of gasoline increases. It is a dead-end policy, Mr. Speaker.

And the question that is going to be raised in this legislation for the decision of each and every one of us is whether we are going to turn a page on the old energy policy to a new one that is going to allow efficiency to matter. Using less, not more, saves money. The efficiency provisions in this bill will save tax ratepayers \$300 billion. Whether we are going to use the power of tax incentives, a tax incentive budget to unleash the entrepreneurial skills, the engineering skills of people across this country in local communities, to harness wind, solar, renewable sources of energy, whether we are going to unleash the opportunity to create 3 million new jobs.

Let me say this: for all the good that is done by some of the energy policies that we have had, let's make the acknowledgment that there are many good things out there, every time a consumer in a local area, my town of Hartland, pays the light bill, most of the time that dollar that I pay gets sent hundreds, if not thousands, of miles away to a generator. And what we are trying to do in our State, what we are trying to do in many other States around the country is to have an energy policy that is going to allow consumers to spend their money locally so that the repair person that was mentioned that fixes the air conditioners, more of those people are going to have jobs. Every dollar that we keep in our local economy is strengthening our local economy.

Energy efficiency, renewable energy is about creating jobs, not just improving and cleaning out the environment.

It is about independence and strengthening of local communities, not just shipping local money to faraway corporations.

Mr. Speaker, if we needed any wake-up call about why we have to turn a page and move in a new direction, it is in this morning's newspaper report about Toyota. Let me just read one paragraph:

"Booming sales of fuel efficient cars helped lift Toyota to its biggest quarterly profit ever, and kept the maker of Prius Hybrid on pace to pass General Motors as the world's number one automaker."

That is not good news for us. What is good news for us is that we accept the challenge that is there, not run from it.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on this rule and support this underlying legislation.

The material previously referred to by Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 615 OFFERED BY MR. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART OF FLORIDA

At the end of the resolution insert the following new Sections:

SEC. 5. Upon adoption of this resolution, House Resolution 622 is hereby adopted.

Mr. WELCH of Vermont. I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 220, nays 186, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 825]

YEAS—220

Abercrombie	Cardoza	Donnelly
Ackerman	Carlahan	Doyle
Allen	Carney	Edwards
Altmire	Castor	Ellsworth
Andrews	Chandler	Emanuel
Arcuri	Cleaver	Engel
Baca	Clyburn	Eshoo
Baird	Cohen	Etheridge
Baldwin	Conyers	Farr
Barrow	Cooper	Fattah
Bean	Costa	Filmer
Becerra	Costello	Frank (MA)
Berkley	Courtney	Giffords
Berman	Cramer	Gillibrand
Berry	Crowley	Gonzalez
Bishop (GA)	Cuellar	Gordon
Bishop (NY)	Cummings	Green, Al
Blumenauer	Davis (AL)	Green, Gene
Boren	Davis (CA)	Grijalva
Boswell	Davis (IL)	Gutierrez
Boucher	Davis, Lincoln	Hall (NY)
Boyd (FL)	DeFazio	Hare
Brady (PA)	DeGette	Harman
Braley (IA)	Delahunt	Hastings (FL)
Brown, Corrine	DeLauro	Hersteth Sandlin
Butterfield	Dicks	Higgins
Capps	Dingell	Hill
Capuano	Doggett	Hinchev

Hirono	McNerney	Schwartz
Hodes	McNulty	Scott (GA)
Holden	Meek (FL)	Scott (VA)
Holt	Meeks (NY)	Serrano
Honda	Melancon	Sestak
Hooley	Michaud	Shea-Porter
Hoyer	Miller (NC)	Sherman
Inslee	Miller, George	Shuler
Israel	Mitchell	Sires
Jackson (IL)	Mollohan	Slaughter
Jackson-Lee (TX)	Moore (KS)	Smith (WA)
Jefferson	Moore (WI)	Snyder
Johnson (GA)	Moran (VA)	Solis
Johnson, E. B.	Murphy (CT)	Space
Jones (OH)	Murphy, Patrick	Spratt
Kagen	Murtha	Stark
Kanjorski	Nadler	Stupak
Kaptur	Napolitano	Sutton
Kennedy	Neal (MA)	Tanner
Kildee	Obey	Tauscher
Kilpatrick	Olver	Taylor
Kind	Ortiz	Thompson (CA)
Lampson	Pallone	Thompson (MS)
Langevin	Pascarell	Tierney
Lantos	Pastor	Towns
Larsen (WA)	Payne	Perlmutter
Larson (CT)	Larsen (WA)	Udall (CO)
Lee	Larson (CT)	Udall (NM)
Levin	Lee	Van Hollen
Lewis (GA)	Levin	Velázquez
Lipinski	Lewis (GA)	Visclosky
Loeback	Lipinski	Walz (MN)
Lofgren, Zoe	Loeback	Wasserman
Lowe	Lofgren, Zoe	Schultz
Lynch	Lowe	Rodriguez
Mahoney (FL)	Lynch	Ross
Maloney (NY)	Mahoney (FL)	Rothman
Markey	Maloney (NY)	Roybal-Allard
Marshall	Markey	Ruppersberger
Matheson	Marshall	Rush
Matsui	Matheson	Ryan (OH)
McCarthy (NY)	Matsui	Salazar
McCollum (MN)	McCarthy (NY)	Sánchez, Linda
McDermott	McCollum (MN)	T. Sanchez, Loretta
McGovern	McDermott	Sarbanes
McIntyre	McGovern	Schakowsky
	McIntyre	Schiff

NAYS—186

Aderholt	Duncan	Lewis (KY)
Akin	Ehlers	Linder
Alexander	Emerson	LoBiondo
Bachus	English (PA)	Lucas
Baker	Everett	Lungren, Daniel
Barrett (SC)	Fallin	E.
Bartlett (MD)	Feeney	Mack
Barton (TX)	Ferguson	Manzullo
Biggart	Flake	Marchant
Bilbray	Forbes	McCarthy (CA)
Bilirakis	Fortenberry	McCaul (TX)
Bishop (UT)	Fossella	McCotter
Blackburn	Foxo	McCreery
Blunt	Franks (AZ)	McHenry
Boehner	Frelinghuysen	McHugh
Bonner	Gallely	McKeon
Bono	Garrett (NJ)	McMorris
Boozman	Gerlach	Rodgers
Boustany	Gilchrest	Mica
Brady (TX)	Gillmor	Miller (FL)
Brown (GA)	Gingrey	Miller (MI)
Brown (SC)	Gohmert	Miller, Gary
Brown-Waite,	Goode	Moran (KS)
Ginny	Goodlatte	Murphy, Tim
Buchanan	Granger	Musgrave
Burgess	Graves	Myrick
Burton (IN)	Hall (TX)	Neugebauer
Buyer	Hastings (WA)	Nunes
Calvert	Heller	Pearce
Camp (MI)	Hensarling	Pence
Campbell (CA)	Hergert	Peterson (PA)
Cannon	Hobson	Petri
Cantor	Hoekstra	Pickering
Capito	Hulshof	Pitts
Carter	Hunter	Platts
Castle	Issa	Poe
Chabot	Johnson (IL)	Porter
Cole (OK)	Jones (NC)	Price (GA)
Conaway	Jordan	Pryce (OH)
Culberson	Keller	Putnam
Davis (KY)	King (IA)	Ramstad
Davis, David	King (NY)	Regula
Davis, Tom	Kingston	Rehberg
Deal (GA)	Kirk	Reichert
Dent	Knollenberg	Renzi
Diaz-Balart, L.	Kuhl (NY)	Reynolds
Diaz-Balart, M.	Lamborn	Rogers (AL)
Doolittle	Latham	Rogers (KY)
Drake	LaTourrette	Rogers (MI)
Dreier	Lewis (CA)	Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (NE)	Walberg	Levin	Olver	Smith (WA)	Wamp	Whitfield	Wolf
Roskam	Smith (NJ)	Walden (OR)	Lewis (GA)	Ortiz	Snyder	Weldon (FL)	Wicker	Young (AK)
Royce	Smith (TX)	Walsh (NY)	Lipinski	Pallone	Solis	Weller	Wilson (NM)	Young (FL)
Ryan (WI)	Souder	Wamp	Loebsock	Pascrell	Space	Westmoreland	Wilson (SC)	
Sali	Stearns	Weller	Loefgren, Zoe	Pastor	Spratt			
Schmidt	Sullivan	Westmoreland	Lowe	Payne	Stark			
Sensenbrenner	Tancredo	Whitfield	Lynch	Perlmutter	Stupak	Bachmann	Everett	Kucinich
Sessions	Terry	Wicker	Mahoney (FL)	Peterson (MN)	Sutton	Carson	Feeney	LaHood
Shadegg	Thornberry	Wilson (NM)	Maloney (NY)	Pomeroy	Tanner	Clarke	Hastert	Marshall
Shays	Tiahrt	Wilson (SC)	Markey	Price (NC)	Tauscher	Clay	Hayes	Oberstar
Shimkus	Tiberi	Wolf	Matsui	Rahall	Taylor	Coble	Jefferson	Paul
Shuster	Turner	Young (AK)	McCarthy (NY)	Rangel	Thompson (CA)	Crenshaw	Jindal	Radanovich
Simpson	Upton	Young (FL)	McCollum (MN)	Reyes	Thompson (MS)	Cubin	Johnson, Sam	Saxton

## NOT VOTING—26

Bachmann	Ellison	Kucinich
Boyd (KS)	Hastert	LaHood
Carson	Hayes	Oberstar
Clarke	Hinojosa	Paul
Clay	Inglis (SC)	Radanovich
Coble	Jindal	Saxton
Crenshaw	Johnson, Sam	Skelton
Cubin	Klein (FL)	Weldon (FL)
Davis, Jo Ann	Kline (MN)	

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised that 2 minutes are remaining in this vote.

□ 1058

Ms. GRANGER changed her vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. DEFAZIO changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the previous question was ordered.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 215, nays 191, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 826]

## YEAS—215

Abercrombie	Cooper	Gutierrez
Ackerman	Costa	Hall (NY)
Allen	Costello	Hare
Altmire	Courtney	Harman
Andrews	Cramer	Hastings (FL)
Arcuri	Crowley	Herseth Sandlin
Baca	Cuellar	Higgins
Baird	Cummings	Hill
Baldwin	Davis (AL)	Hinche
Barrow	Davis (CA)	Hinojosa
Bean	Davis (IL)	Hirono
Becerra	Davis, Lincoln	Hodes
Berkley	DeFazio	Holden
Berman	DeGette	Holt
Berry	Delahunt	Honda
Bishop (GA)	DeLauro	Hooley
Bishop (NY)	Dicks	Hoyer
Blumenauer	Dingell	Inslee
Boswell	Doggett	Israel
Boucher	Donnelly	Jackson (IL)
Boyd (FL)	Doyle	Jackson-Lee
Boyd (KS)	Edwards	(TX)
Brady (PA)	Ellsworth	Johnson (GA)
Braley (IA)	Emanuel	Johnson, E. B.
Brown, Corrine	Eshoo	Jones (OH)
Butterfield	Etheridge	Kagen
Capps	Farr	Kanjorski
Capuano	Fattah	Kaptur
Cardoza	Filner	Kennedy
Carnahan	Frank (MA)	Kildee
Carney	Giffords	Kilpatrick
Castor	Gillibrand	Kind
Chandler	Gonzalez	Langevin
Cleaver	Gordon	Lantos
Clyburn	Green, Al	Larsen (WA)
Cohen	Green, Gene	Larson (CT)
Conyers	Grijalva	Lee

Miller, George	Mitchell	Mollohan	Moore (KS)	Moore (WI)	Moran (VA)	Murphy (CT)	Murphy, Patrick	Murtha	Nadler	Napolitano	Neal (MA)	Obey
McGovern	McIntyre	McNerney	McNulty	Meek (FL)	Meeks (NY)	Melancon	Michaud	Miller (NC)	Miller, George	Mitchell	Mollohan	Moore (KS)
McIntyre	McNerney	McNulty	Meek (FL)	Meeks (NY)	Melancon	Michaud	Miller (NC)	Miller, George	Mitchell	Mollohan	Moore (KS)	Moore (WI)
Moran (VA)	Murphy (CT)	Murphy, Patrick	Murtha	Nadler	Napolitano	Neal (MA)	Obey	Fossella	Fox	Franks (AZ)	Frelinghuysen	Gallely
Garrett (NJ)	Gerlach	Gilchrest	Gillmor	Gingrey	Gohmert	Goode	Goodlatte	Granger	Graves	Hall (TX)	Hastings (WA)	Heller
Hensarling	Herger	Hobson	Hoekstra	Hulshof	Hunter	Inglis (SC)	Issa	Johnson (IL)	Jones (NC)	Jordan	Keller	King (IA)
King (NY)	Kingston	Kirk	Knollenberg	Kuhl (NY)	Lamborn	Lampson	Latham	LaTourette	Lewis (CA)	Lewis (KY)	Linder	LoBiondo
Lucas	Lungren, Daniel	E.	Mack	Manzullo	Marchant	Matheson	McCarthy (CA)	McCaul (TX)	McCotter	McCrery	McHenry	McHugh
McKeon	McMorris	Rodgers	Mica	Miller (FL)	Miller (MI)	Miller, Gary	Moran (KS)	Murphy, Tim	Musgrave	Myrick	Neugebauer	Nunes
Pearce	Pence	Peterson (PA)	Petri	Pickering	Pitts	Platts	Poe	Porter	Price (GA)	Pryce (OH)	Putnam	Ramstad
Regula	Rehberg	Reichert	Renzi	Reynolds	Rogers (AL)	Rogers (KY)	Rogers (MI)	Rohrabacher	Ros-Lehtinen	Roskam	Royce	Rush
Ryan (WI)	Sali	Schmidt	Sensenbrenner	Sessions	Shadegg	Shays	Shimkus	Shuster	Simpson	Smith (NE)	Smith (NJ)	Smith (TX)
Souder	Stearns	Sullivan	Tancredo	Terry	Thornberry	Tiahrt	Tiberi	Turner	Upton	Walberg	Walden (OR)	Walsh (NY)

## NAYS—191

Wagner	Walters	Watson	Watt	Waxman	Weiner	Welch (VT)	Wexler	Wilson (OH)	Woolsey	Wu	Wynn	Yarmuth
Ross	Rothman	Roybal-Allard	Ruppersberger	Ryan (OH)	Salazar	Sánchez, Linda	T.	Sanchez, Loretta	Sarbanes	Schakowsky	Schiff	Schwartz
Scott (GA)	Scott (VA)	Serrano	Sestak	Shea-Porter	Sherman	Shuler	Sires	Slaughter	Wasserman	Schultz	Waters	Watson
Watt	Waxman	Weiner	Welch (VT)	Wexler	Wilson (OH)	Woolsey	Wu	Wynn	Yarmuth	Udall (CO)	Udall (NM)	Van Hollen
Velázquez	Visclosky	Walz (MN)	Wasserman	Schultz	Waters	Watson	Watt	Waxman	Weiner	Welch (VT)	Wexler	Wilson (OH)

## NOT VOTING—26

□ 1107

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Less than 2 minutes remain in the vote.

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ELLISON. Madam Speaker, on the morning of August 4, 2007, I was back in Minneapolis surveying the damage from the tragic collapse of the Interstate 35W bridge with President Bush and missed rollcall votes 824 to 826. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay” on rollcall No. 824; I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 825; and I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 826.

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
Washington, DC, August 4, 2007.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,  
Speaker, House of Representatives,  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U. S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on August 3, 2007, at 10:15 pm:

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 3311.

That the Senate passed S. 1927.

With best wishes, I am,  
Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER,  
Clerk of the House.

## NEW DIRECTION FOR ENERGY INDEPENDENCE, NATIONAL SECURITY, AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 615 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 3221.

□ 1109

## IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole