Salazar

Sarbanes

Saxton

Schiff

Schmidt

Schwartz

Serrano

Sessions

Shadegg

Sherman

Shimkus

Shuler

Shuster

Simpson

Skelton

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Snyder

Souder

Space

Spratt

Stearns

Stupak

Sullivan

Sutton

Tanner

Taylor

Terry

Tiahrt

Tiberi

Tierney

Towns

Turner

Upton

Udall (CO)

Udall (NM)

Van Hollen

Velázquez

Visclosky

Walberg Walden (OR)

Walsh (NY)

Walz (MN)

Welch (VT)

Weldon (FL)

Wilson (NM)

Wilson (OH)

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Yarmuth

Westmoreland

Wamp

Weiner

Weller

Whitfield

Wicker

Wolf

Wu

Tauscher

Thompson (CA)

Thornberry

Sires

Shea-Porter

Sestak

Shays

Scott (GA)

Sensenbrenner

Sali

McMorris

Rodgers

Hinchey

Hirono

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I missed rollcall Nos. 633 and 634 since I was at Walter Reed Army Hospital visiting injured troops. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PRICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, on roll-call Nos. 633 and 634 I was unavoidably detain and not able to vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on 633 and "no" on 634.

### MOTION TO GO TO CONFERENCE ON H.R. 1, IMPROVING AMER-ICA'S SECURITY ACT OF 2007

 $\begin{array}{c} {\tt MOTION~TO~INSTRUCT~OFFERED~BY~MRS.} \\ {\tt BLACKBURN} \end{array}$ 

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to instruct on H.R. 1 offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the motion.

The Clerk redesignated the motion.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

Ackerman

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 354, nays 66, not voting 11, as follows:

# [Roll No. 635]

# YEAS—354 Campbell (CA)

Ellison

Aderholt Cannon Ellsworth Cantor Emanuel Akin Allen Capito Altmire Cardoza Engel English (PA) Carnahan Andrews Arcuri Carney Baca. Carter Etheridge Bachmann Castle Everett Bachus Castor Fallin Baird Chabot Fattah Chandler Baker Feeney Barrett (SC) Cleaver Ferguson Barrow Coble Flake Bartlett (MD) Cohen Forbes Barton (TX) Cole (OK) Fortenberry Bean Conaway Fossella Becerra Cooper Foxx Costa Costello Franks (AZ) Berkley Frelinghuvsen Berry Biggert Courtney Gallegly Bilbray Cramer Garrett (NJ) Crenshaw Bilirakis Gerlach Bishop (GA) Crowley Giffords Bishop (NY) Cuellar Gilchrest Bishop (UT) Culberson Gillibrand Blackburn Cummings Gillmor Blunt Davis (AL) Gingrey Boehner Davis (KY) Gohmert Bonner Davis, David Gonzalez Bono Davis, Lincoln Goode Goodlatte Boozman Davis, Tom Deal (GA) Gordon Boren Boswell 8 | DeFazio Granger Boucher DeLauro Graves Boustany Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Grijalva Boyd (FL) Hall (NY) Diaz-Balart, M. Hall (TX) Boyda (KS) Brady (TX) Dicks Hare Dingell Harman Bralev (IA) Brown (SC) Doggett Hastert Brown-Waite, Ginny Donnelly Doolittle Hastings (WA) Hayes Buchanan Dovle Heller Burgess Burton (IN) Drake Dreier Hensarling Herger Duncan Herseth Sandlin Buver Calvert Edwards Higgins Camp (MI) Ehlers

Hobson McNernev Hodes McNulty Hoekstra Meek (FL) Holden Meeks (NY) Holt Mica Hooley Michaud Hulshof Miller (FL) Hunter Miller (MI) Inglis (SC) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Israel Issa Mitchell Jindal Mollohan Johnson (GA) Moore (KS) Johnson, Sam Moran (KS) Jones (NC) Moran (VA) Jordan Murphy (CT) Kagen Murphy, Patrick Kanjorski Murphy, Tim Keller Musgrave Kennedy Myrick Nådler Kildee Napolitano Kilpatrick Neal (MA) Kind King (IA) Neugebauer King (NY) Nunes Oberstar Kirk Klein (FL) Obey Kline (MN)  ${\rm Ortiz}$ Knollenberg Pastor Kuhl (NY) Pearce Pence LaHood Lamborn Perlmutter Peterson (MN) Lampson Langevin Peterson (PA) Lantos Petri Larsen (WA) Pickering Larson (CT) Pitts Latham Platts Levin Poe Lewis (CA) Pomerov Lewis (KY) Porter Linder Price (GA) Lipinski Pryce (OH) LoBiondo Putnam Radanovich Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Rahall Lowey Ramstad Lucas Rangel Lungren, Daniel Regula E. Rehberg Reichert Lynch Mack Renzi Mahoney (FL) Reyes Maloney (NY) Reynolds Manzullo Rodriguez Rogers (AL) Marchant Marshall Rogers (KY) Matheson Rogers (MI) Matsui McCarthy (CA) Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen McCarthy (NY) Roskam McCaul (TX) Ross McCollum (MN) Rothman McCotter Roybal-Allard McCrery Royce McHenry Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) McHugh McIntyre Ryan (WI)

#### NAYS-66

Hastings (FL) Honda Hoyer Inslee Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX) Jefferson Johnson, E. B. Jones (OH) Kaptur Kingston LaTourette Lee Markey McDermott McGovern Melancon Miller, George Moore (WI) Murtha Olver Pallone

Abercrombie Baldwin

Blumenauer

Brady (PA)

Butterfield

Berman

Capps

Capuano

Carson

Clarke

Clyburn

Conyers Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

DeGette

Farr

Filner

Delahunt

Frank (MA)

Green, Gene

Green, Al

Gutierrez

Clay

Pascrell Paul Price (NC) Rush Sánchez, Linda Т. Sanchez, Loretta Schakowsky Scott (VA) Slaughter Smith (WA) Solis Stark Thompson (MS) Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Watt Waxman Wexler Woolsey Wynn

#### NOT VOTING-11

Alexander Hinojosa McKeon Brown, Corrine Johnson (IL) Payne Cubin Kucinich Tancredo Davis, Jo Ann Lewis (GA)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during
the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

# □ 1256

Mr. CUMMINGS changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to instruct was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. CARSON. Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday, July 17, 2007, I was unable to vote on rollcall Nos. 634 and 635. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on both.

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. JOHNSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, unfortunately this morning I was unable to cast my votes on H.R. 980, H. Res. 547, and the Motion to Instruct Conferees on H.R. 1 and wish the RECORD to reflect my intentions had I been able to vote.

Had I been present for rollcall No. 633 on suspending the rules and passing H.R. 980, the Public Safety Employer-Employee Cooperation Act, I would have voted "yea."

Had I been present for rollcall No. 634 on passing H. Res. 547, the rule providing for consideration of the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations for FY 2008, I would have voted "nay."

Had I been present for rollcall No. 635 on the Motion to Instruct Conferees to H.R. 1, the Implementing the 9/11 Commission Recommendations Act, I would have voted "yea."

# ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Conferees on H.R. 1 will be named later.

PROVIDING FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2641, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 2641 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 481, notwithstanding clause 11 of rule XVIII, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except:

Pro forma amendments offered at any point in the reading by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate;

An amendment by Mr. ROTHMAN limiting funds for the Green Maintenance Building in North Bergen, New Jersey; An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting Army Corps of Engineers construction funding for the South Central Pennsylvania project:

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Coastal Wind Ohio project;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Green Maintenance Building in North Bergen, New Jersey;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the National Center for Manufacturing Science in Michigan;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the University of North Alabama Green Campus Initiative;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Center for Instrumented Critical Infrastructure in Pennsylvania;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Alliance for NanoHealth in Texas;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Notre Dame Innovation Park in Indiana;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the ACE Program at Maricopa Community Colleges in Arizona:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for the South Carolina HBCU Science and Technology initiative in South Carolina;

An amendment by Mr. Hensarling limiting funds for the Environmental Science Center, University of Dubuque, Iowa:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for the Emmanuel College Center for Science Partnership in Massachusetts:

An amendment by Mr. Hensarling limiting funds for Roosevelt University Biology Laboratory Equipment in Illinois:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for Nanosys, Inc.;

An amendment by Mr. CAMPBELL of California limiting funds for projects requested by Members of Congress and disclosed pursuant to the rules of the House, which shall be debatable for 30 minutes; and

An amendment or amendments by Mr. VISCLOSKY regarding funding levels

Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member named in this request or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment, except that the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations and its Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development each may offer one pro forma amendment for the purpose of debate; and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

Except as otherwise specified, each amendment shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent. An amendment shall be considered to fit the description stated in this request if it addresses in whole or in part the object described.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Ms. Wanda Evans, one of his secretaries.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material during further consideration of H.R. 2641.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOP-MENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 481 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2641.

#### □ 1300

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2641) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, and for other purposes, with Mr. TIERNEY (Acting Chairman) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday, June 20, 2007, the amendment by the gentleman from New York (Mr. HINCHEY) had been disposed of and the bill had been read through page 40, line 18

No further amendment to the bill may be offered except those specified in the previous order of the House of today, which is at the desk.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ROTHMAN

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. ROTHMAN:

At the end of the bill, before the short title, insert the following new section:

SEC. 503. None of the runds made available in this Act may be used for the Green Maintenance Building in North Bergen, New Jersey.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ROTH-

MAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I would ask unanimous consent to claim the 5 minutes in opposition to the gentleman's amendment, even though I am not opposed to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I will not take my full 5 minutes. This amendment strikes an earmark for a project requested by myself and Congressman SIRES who together represent the town of North Bergen, New Jersey.

The funds allocated in this bill would have gone towards the construction of a new public works building in North Bergen, New Jersey, that would have repaired and maintained all of the vehicles for that municipality, as well as all of the fire trucks for the surrounding five towns of Weehawken, Guttenberg, West New York and Union Citv.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROTHMAN. I yield to the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, we would be happy to accept the gentleman's amendment.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

 $\mbox{Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I}$  yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ROTHMAN).

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. VISCLOSKY asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I want to set the stage for what is occurring on the House floor at this point in time because it is my privilege to submit to the House for final passage H.R. 2641, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2008, with its supplemental report.

On July 10, the Appropriations Committee approved the supplemental report unanimously by voice vote. As I have said before, this is a good bill and it merits the support of the entire House.

I would emphasize that the money spent in this bill, whether enumerated originally by the administration or by the Congress, are investments, investments in our national security, investments in our energy security, and investments in the health and safety of our citizens. They are investments to