

the goals of H.R. 2237 and to vote for it, because those of us who worked so hard on the Lee amendment prior to today support this and want to see a strong vote for it.

□ 1045

CONGRESS SUPPORTS EDUCATION IN TEACHER APPRECIATION WEEK

(Mr. ETHERIDGE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Mr. Speaker, as a former school chief serving in Congress, I rise today to commemorate National Teacher Appreciation Week.

All across America this week, our Nation's school children, parents, PTAs and others are gathering to show their appreciation to the professional educators who work every day to make their futures brighter. Teacher Appreciation Week is a great opportunity to stop and pay tribute to the profession that shapes the world of tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important to note that this new Democratic Congress is doing its part to support education in our communities. Democrats rejected President Bush's misguided educational budget cuts. Earlier this year, the House passed a balanced budget resolution that provides billions of dollars more for the non-neglected priorities like Head Start, secondary education, the Individuals With Disability Act and important initiatives.

In addition, my colleagues and I have crafted bipartisan legislation to provide critical investment in our school construction and modernization across this country. The Rangel-Ramstad-Etheridge America Better Classrooms Act will provide \$25 billion in interest-free bonds for local schools. This bill will make a difference in our communities, our children and our teachers.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in this piece of legislation on National Teacher Appreciation Week.

CELEBRATING THE LIFE AND MEMORY OF KATIE M. SOENKSEN

(Mr. BRALEY of Iowa asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BRALEY of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life and the memory of PFC Katie Soenksen, who graduated from Davenport North High School in 2005 and died in an explosion on May 2 in West Baghdad, Iraq, while conducting a security mission in Operation Iraqi Freedom.

Katie was a 19-year-old woman from Davenport, Iowa, who was a member of the 410th Military Police Company from Fort Hood, Texas. She left behind a loving family, including her parents, Ron and Mary Ann Soenksen, a brother, Matthew, from Davenport, and a sister, Sarah, from Blue Springs, Missouri.

Katie's friends and family remember her as a fun-loving, energetic young

woman who loved bowling, playing softball and spending time with her friends.

Mr. Speaker, as we come to the floor every day and decide important public policy issues that affect the lives of people like Katie Soenksen, I hope we all remember that this is something we are all in together, and the lives of future generations of Americans are affected by the policies that we set on this floor.

CONGRATULATING THE CITY OF CHICAGO FOR BEING CHOSEN TO REPRESENT THE UNITED STATES TO HOST THE 2016 OLYMPIC AND PARALYMPIC GAMES

Mr. SIRES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Affairs be discharged from further consideration of the Senate concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 28) congratulating the City of Chicago for being chosen to represent the United States in the international competition to host 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games, and encouraging the International Olympic Committee to select Chicago as the site of the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate concurrent resolution, as follows:

S. CON. RES. 28

Whereas the City of Chicago has been selected by the United States Olympic Committee to represent the United States in its bid to host the 2016 Summer Olympic and Paralympic Games;

Whereas by 2016, 20 years will have passed since the Summer Olympics were held in a city in the United States;

Whereas Chicago is a world-class city with remarkable diversity, culture, history, and people;

Whereas the citizens of Chicago take great pride in all aspects of their city and have a deep love for sports;

Whereas Chicago already holds a place in the international community as a city of immigrants from around the world, who are eager to be ambassadors to visiting Olympic athletes;

Whereas the Olympic and Paralympic Games will be played in the heart of Chicago so that athletes and visitors can appreciate the beauty of the downtown parks and lakefront;

Whereas Chicago is one of the transportation hubs of the world and can provide accessible transportation to international visitors through extensive rail, transit, and motorways infrastructure, combined with the world-class O'Hare and Midway International Airports;

Whereas the motto of the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games in Chicago would be "Stir the Soul," and the games would inspire citizens around the world, both young and old;

Whereas a Midwestern city has not hosted the Olympic Games since the 1904 games in St. Louis, Missouri, and the opportunity to

host the Olympics would be an achievement not only for Chicago and for the State of Illinois, but also for the entire Midwest;

Whereas hosting the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games would provide substantial local, regional, and national economic benefits;

Whereas Mayor Richard M. Daley, Patrick Ryan, and members of the Chicago 2016 Committee have campaigned tirelessly to secure Chicago's bid to host the Olympic and Paralympic Games;

Whereas through the campaign to be selected by the United States Olympic Committee, Chicago's citizens, officials, workers, community groups, and businesses have demonstrated their ability to come together to exemplify the true spirit of the Olympic Games and the City of Chicago; and

Whereas the Olympic and Paralympic Games represent the best of the human spirit and there is no better fit for hosting this event than one of the world's truly great cities: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—

(1) congratulates the City of Chicago on securing the bid to represent the United States in the international competition to host the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games; and

(2) encourages the International Olympic Committee to select Chicago as the site of the 2016 Olympic and Paralympic Games.

The Senate concurrent resolution was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SMALL BUSINESS FAIRNESS IN CONTRACTING ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 383 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1873.

□ 1050

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1873) to reauthorize the programs and activities of the Small Business Administration relating to procurement, and for other purposes, with Mr. CROWLEY (Acting Chairman) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday, May 9, 2007, amendment No. 8 printed in House Report 110-137 by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) had been disposed of.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIRMAN

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 4, as modified, by Mr. SESTAK of Pennsylvania.

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. SHULER of North Carolina.

Amendment No. 3 by Ms. BEAN of Illinois.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 4, AS MODIFIED, OFFERED BY MR. SESTAK

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SESTAK), as modified, on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment, as modified, is as follows:

Amendment No. 4, as modified, offered by Mr. SESTAK:

Strike section 101 and insert the following:
SEC. 101. DEFINITIONS OF BUNDLING OF CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS AND RELATED TERMS.

Section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632) is amended by amending subsection (o) to read as follows:

“(o) DEFINITIONS OF BUNDLING OF CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS AND RELATED TERMS.—For purposes of this Act:

“(1) BUNDLED CONTRACT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘bundled contract’ means a contract or order that is entered into to meet procurement requirements that are consolidated in a bundling of contract requirements, without regard to its designation by the procuring agency or whether a study of the effects of the solicitation on civilian or military personnel has been made.

“(B) EXCEPTIONS.—The term does not include—

“(i) a contract or order with an aggregate dollar value below the dollar threshold specified in paragraph (4); or

“(ii) a contract or order that is entered into to meet procurement requirements, all of which are exempted requirements under paragraph (5).

“(2) BUNDLING OF CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘bundling of contract requirements’ means the use of any bundling methodology to satisfy 2 or more procurement requirements for goods or services previously supplied or performed under separate smaller contracts or orders, or to satisfy 2 or more procurement requirements for construction services of a type historically performed under separate smaller contracts or orders, that is likely to be unsuitable for award to a small business concern due to—

“(i) the diversity, size, or specialized nature of the elements of the performance specified;

“(ii) the aggregate dollar value of the anticipated award;

“(iii) the geographical dispersion of the contract or order performance sites; or

“(iv) any combination of the factors described in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii).

“(B) INCLUSION OF NEW FEATURES OR FUNCTIONS.—A combination of contract requirements that would meet the definition of a bundling of contract requirements but for the addition of a procurement requirement with at least one new good or service shall be considered to be a bundling of contract requirements unless the new features or functions substantially transform the goods or services and will provide measurably substantial benefits to the government in terms of quality, performance, or price.

“(C) EXCEPTIONS.—The term does not include—

“(i) the use of a bundling methodology for an anticipated award with an aggregate dollar value below the dollar threshold specified in paragraph (5); or

“(ii) the use of a bundling methodology to meet procurement requirements, all of which are exempted requirements under paragraph (6).

“(3) BUNDLING METHODOLOGY.—The term ‘bundling methodology’ means—

“(A) a solicitation to obtain offers for a single contract or order, or a multiple award contract or order; or

“(B) a solicitation of offers for the issuance of a task or a delivery order under an existing single or multiple award contract or order.

“(4) SEPARATE SMALLER CONTRACT.—The term ‘separate smaller contract’, with respect to bundling of contract requirements, means a contract or order that has been performed by 1 or more small business concerns or was suitable for award to 1 or more small business concerns.

“(5) DOLLAR THRESHOLD.—The term ‘dollar threshold’ means \$65,000,000, if solely for construction services.

“(6) EXEMPTED REQUIREMENTS.—The term ‘exempted requirement’ means a procurement requirement solely for items that are not commercial items (as the term ‘commercial item’ is defined in section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)).

“(7) PROCUREMENT REQUIREMENT.—The term ‘procurement requirement’ means a determination by an agency that a specified good or service is needed to satisfy the mission of the agency.”.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 423, noes 0, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 319]

AYES—423

Abercrombie	Brown-Waite,	Davis (KY)	Gallegly	Lungren, Daniel	Rothman
Ackerman	Ginny	Davis, David	Garrett (NJ)	E.	Royalbal-Allard
Aderholt	Buchanan	Davis, Jo Ann	Gerlach	Lynch	Royce
Akin	Burgess	Davis, Lincoln	Giffords	Mack	Ruppersberger
Alexander	Burton (IN)	Davis, Tom	Gilchrest	Mahoney (FL)	Rush
Allen	Buyer	Deal (GA)	Gillibrand	Maloney (NY)	Ryan (OH)
Altmire	Calvert	DeFazio	Gillmor	Manzullo	Ryan (WI)
Andrews	Camp (MI)	DeGette	Gohmert	Marchant	Salazar
Arcuri	Campbell (CA)	Delahunt	Gonzalez	Markey	Sali
Baca	Cannon	DeLauro	Goode	Marshall	Sánchez, Linda
Bachmann	Cantor	Dent	Matheson	T.	
Bachus	Capito	Diaz-Balart, L.	Matsui	Sanchez, Loretta	
Baird	Capps	Diaz-Balart, M.	McCarthy (CA)	Sarbanes	
Baker	Capuano	Dicks	McCarthy (NY)	Saxton	
Baldwin	Cardoza	Dingell	McCaul (TX)	Schakowsky	
Barrett (SC)	Carnahan	Donnet	McCollum (MN)	Schiff	
Barrow	Carney	Donnelly	McCotter	Schmidt	
Bartlett (MD)	Carson	Doolittle	McCrery	Schwartz	
Barton (TX)	Carter	Doyle	McDermott	Scott (GA)	
Bean	Castle	Drake	McGovern	Scott (VA)	
Becerra	Castor	Dreier	McHenry	Sensenbrenner	
Berkley	Chabot	Duncan	McHugh	Serrano	
Berman	Chandler	Edwards	McIntyre	Sessions	
Berry	Christensen	Ehlers	McKeon	Sestak	
Biggert	Clarke	Ellison	McNerney	Shadegg	
Billray	Clay	Ellsworth	McNulty	Shays	
Bilirakis	Cleaver	Emanuel	Meehan	Shea-Porter	
Bishop (GA)	Clyburn	Emerson	Mica	Sherman	
Bishop (NY)	Coble	English (PA)	Michaud	Shimkus	
Blackburn	Cohen	Eshoo	Miller (FL)	Shuler	
Blumenauer	Cole (OK)	Etheridge	Miller (MI)	Shuster	
Blunt	Conaway	Everett	Miller (NC)	Sires	
Boehner	Conyers	Faleomavaega	Miller, Gary	Skelton	
Bonner	Cooper	Farr	Miller, George	Slaughter	
Bono	Costa	Feeney	Mitchell	Smith (NE)	
Boozman	Costello	Ferguson	Mollohan	Smith (NJ)	
Bordallo	Courtney	Filner	Moore (KS)	Smith (TX)	
Boren	Cramer	Flake	Moore (WI)	Smith (WA)	
Boswell	Crenshaw	Forbes	Moran (KS)	Snyder	
Boucher	Crowley	Fortenberry	Moran (VA)	Solis	
Boustany	Cubin	Fortuño	Murphy (CT)	Space	
Boyd (FL)	Cuellar	Fossella	Murphy, Patrick	Spratt	
Boydia (KS)	Culberson	Fox	Murphy, Tim	Stark	
Brady (TX)	Cummings	Frank (MA)	Murtha	Stearns	
Braley (IA)	Davis (AL)	Frank (AZ)	Musgrave	Stupak	
Brown (SC)	Davis (CA)	Frelinghuysen	Myrick	Sullivan	
Brown, Corrine	Davis (IL)		Nadler	Sutton	
			Napolitano	Tancred	
			Neal (MA)	Tanner	
			Neugebauer	Tauscher	
			Norton	Taylor	
			Nunes	Terry	
			Oberstar	Thompson (CA)	
			Obey	Thompson (MS)	
			Olver	Thornberry	
			Ortiz	Tiahrt	
			Pallone	Tiberi	
			Pascrell	Tierney	
			Pastor	Towns	
			Paul	Turner	
			Payne	Udall (CO)	
			Pearce	Udall (NM)	
			Pence	Upton	
			Perlmutter	Van Hollen	
			Peterson (MN)	Velázquez	
			Peterson (PA)	Visclosky	
			Pickering	Walberg	
			Pitts	Petri	
			Platts	Walden (OR)	
			Poe	Walsh (NY)	
			Pomeroy	Walz (MN)	
			Porter	Wamp	
			Price (GA)	Wasserman	
			Price (NC)	Schultz	
			Pryce (OH)	Watt	
			Putnam	Waxman	
			Radanovich	Weiner	
			Rahall	Welch (VT)	
			Ramstad	Weldon (FL)	
			Rangel	Weller	
			Regula	Westmoreland	
			Rehberg	Wexler	
			Reichert	Whitfield	
			Renzi	Wicker	
			Reyes	Wilson (NM)	
			Reynolds	Wilson (OH)	
			Rodriguez	Wilson (SC)	
			Rogers (KY)	Wolf	
			Rogers (MI)	Woolsey	
			Rohrabacher	Wu	
			Ros-Lehtinen	Wynn	
			Roskam	Yarmuth	
			Ross	Young (AK)	
				Young (FL)	

NOT VOTING—14

Bishop (UT) Johnson (IL) Rogers (AL)
 Brady (PA) Jones (OH) Souder
 Butterfield Larson (CT) Watson
 Engel McMorris
 Fattah Rodgers
 Gingrey Meek (FL)

□ 1116

Ms. WOOLSEY and Mr. McKEON changed their vote from “no” to “aye.” So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. JOHNSON of Illinois. I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. SHULER

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. SHULER) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Mr. SHULER:

After section 201 insert the following (and redesignate succeeding sections accordingly):

SEC. 202. INCLUDE OVERSEAS CONTRACTS IN SMALL BUSINESS GOAL.

Section 15(g) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(g)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) The procurement goals required by this subsection apply to all procurement contracts, without regard to whether the contract is for work within or outside the United States.”.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 398, noes 29, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 320]

AYES—398

Abercrombie	Boehner	Castle
Ackerman	Bonner	Castor
Aderholt	Bono	Chabot
Akin	Boozman	Chandler
Alexander	Bordallo	Christensen
Allen	Boren	Clarke
Altmire	Boswell	Clay
Andrews	Boucher	Cleaver
Arcuri	Boustany	Clyburn
Baca	Boyd (FL)	Coble
Bachmann	Boyd (KS)	Cohen
Bachus	Brady (TX)	Cole (OK)
Baird	Braley (IA)	Conyers
Baker	Brown (SC)	Cooper
Baldwin	Brown, Corrine	Costa
Barrett (SC)	Buchanan	Costello
Barrow	Burton (IN)	Courtney
Bartlett (MD)	Butterfield	Cramer
Barton (TX)	Buyer	Crenshaw
Bean	Calvert	Crowley
Becerra	Camp (MI)	Cubin
Berkley	Campbell (CA)	Cuellar
Berman	Capito	Culberson
Berry	Capps	Cummings
Bilirakis	Capuano	Davis (AL)
Bishop (GA)	Cardoza	Davis (CA)
Bishop (NY)	Carnahan	Davis (IL)
Bishop (UT)	Carney	Davis (KY)
Blackburn	Carson	Davis, David
Blumenauer	Carter	Davis, Jo Ann

Davis, Lincoln	Kaptur	Pitts	Weller	Wilson (OH)	Wynn
DeFazio	Keller	Platts	Wexler	Wilson (SC)	Yarmuth
DeGette	Kennedy	Pomeroy	Whitfield	Wolf	Young (AK)
Delahunt	Kildee	Porter	Wicker	Woolsey	Young (FL)
DeLauro	Kilpatrick	Price (NC)	Wilson (NM)	Wu	
Dent	Kind	Pryce (OH)			
Diaz-Balart, L.	King (IA)	Putnam		NOES—29	
Diaz-Balart, M.	King (NY)	Radanovich	Biggert	Doolittle	Petri
Dicks	Kingston	Rahall	Bilbray	Dreier	Poe
Dingell	Kirk	Ramstad	Blunt	Flake	Price (GA)
Doggett	Klein (FL)	Rangel	Brown-Waite,	Foxx	Royce
Donnelly	Kline (MN)	Regula	Ginny	Gingrey	Sali
Doyle	Knollenberg	Rehberg	Burgess	Hensarling	Sensenbrenner
Drake	Kucinich	Reichert	Cannon	Lamborn	Shadegg
Duncan	Kuhl (NY)	Renzi	Cantor	Lungren, Daniel	Stearns
Edwards	LaHood	Reyes	Conaway	E.	Westmoreland
Ehlers	Lampson	Reynolds	Davis, Tom	McHenry	
Ellison	Langevin	Rodriguez	Deal (GA)	Myrick	
Ellsworth	Lantos	Rogers (KY)			
Emanuel	Larsen (WA)	Rogers (MI)			
Emerson	Latham	Rohrabacher	Brady (PA)	Larson (CT)	Rogers (AL)
English (PA)	LaTourette	Ros-Lehtinen	Engel	McMorris	Souder
Eshoo	Lee	Roskam	Fattah	Rodgers	Watson
Etheridge	Levin	Ross	Jones (NC)	Meek (FL)	
Everett	Lewis (CA)	Rothman			
Faleomavaega	Lewis (GA)	Roybal-Allard			
Fallin	Lewis (KY)	Ruppersberger			
Farr	Linder	Rush			
Feeney	Lipinski	Ryan (OH)			
Ferguson	LoBiondo	Ryan (WI)			
Filner	Loeb sack	Salazar			
Forbes	Lofgren, Zoe	Sánchez, Linda			
Fortenberry	Lowe y	T.			
Fortuño	Lucas	Sanchez, Loretta			
Fossella	Lynch	Sarbanes			
Frank (MA)	Mack	Saxton			
Franks (AZ)	Mahoney (FL)	Schakowsky			
Frelinghuysen	Maloney (NY)	Schiff			
Galegg y	Manzullo	Schmidt			
Garrett (NJ)	Marchant	Schwartz			
Gerlach	Markey	Scott (GA)			
Giffords	Marshall	Scott (VA)			
Gilchrest	Matheson	Serrano			
Gillibrand	Matsui	Sessions			
Gillmor	McCarthy (CA)	Sestak			
Gohmert	McCarthy (NY)	Shays			
Gonzalez	McCauley (TX)	Shea-Porter			
Goode	McCollum (MN)	Sherman			
Goodlatte	McCotter	Shimkus			
Gordon	McCrery	Shuler			
Granger	McDermott	Shuster			
Graves	McGovern	Simpson			
Green, Al	McHugh	Sires			
Green, Gene	McIntyre	Skelton			
Grijalva	McKeon	Slaughter			
Gutierrez	McNerney	Smith (NE)			
Hall (NY)	McNulty	Smith (NJ)			
Hall (TX)	Meehan	Smith (TX)			
Hare	Meeks (NY)	Smith (WA)			
Harman	Melancon	Snyder			
Hastert	Mica	Solis			
Hastings (FL)	Michaud	Space			
Hastings (WA)	Miller (FL)	Spratt			
Hayes	Miller (MI)	Stark			
Heller	Miller (NC)	Stupak			
Herger	Miller, Gary	Sullivan			
Herseth Sandlin	Miller, George	Sutton			
Higgins	Mitchell	Tancredo			
Hill	Mollohan	Tanner			
Hinche y	Moore (KS)	Tauscher			
Hinojosa	Moore (WI)	Taylor			
Hirono	Moran (KS)	Terry			
Hoobson	Moran (VA)	Thompson (CA)			
Hodes	Murphy (CT)	Thompson (MS)			
Hoekstra	Murphy, Patrick	Thornberry			
Holden	Murphy, Tim	Tiaht			
Holt	Murtha	Tiberi			
Honda	Musgrave	Tierney			
Hooley	Nadler	Turner			
Hoyer	Napolitano	Udall (CO)			
Hulshof	Neal (MA)	Udall (NM)			
Hunter	Neugebauer	Upton			
Norton	Ngilis (SC)	Van Hollen			
Insee	Nunes	Velázquez			
Israel	Oberstar	Visclosky			
Issa	Obey	Walberg			
Jackson (IL)	Oliver	Walden (OR)			
Jackson-Lee	Ortiz	Walsh (NY)			
(TX)	Pallone	Walz (MN)			
Jefferson	Pascrell	Wamp			
Jindal	Pastor	Wasserman			
Johnson (GA)	Paul	Schultz			
Johnson (IL)	Payne	Waters			
Johnson, E. B.	Pearce	Watt			
Johnson, Sam	Pence	Waxman			
Jones (OH)	Perlmutter	Weiner			
Jordan	Peterson (MN)	Welch (VT)			
Kagen	Peterson (PA)	Weldon (FL)			
Kanjorski	Pickering				

Wilson (OH)	Wynn	
Wilson (SC)	Yarmuth	
Wolf	Young (AK)	
Woolsey	Young (FL)	
Wu		
	NOES—29	
Biggert	Doolittle	Petri
Bilbray	Dreier	Poe
Blunt	Flake	Price (GA)
Brown-Waite,	Foxx	Royce
Ginny	Gingrey	Sali
Burgess	Hensarling	Sensenbrenner
Cannon	Lamborn	Shadegg
Cantor	Lungren, Daniel	Stearns
Conaway	E.	Westmoreland
Davis, Tom	McHenry	
Deal (GA)	Myrick	

NOT VOTING—10

Brady (PA)	Larson (CT)	Rogers (AL)
Engel	McMorris	Souder
Fattah	Rodgers	Watson
Jones (NC)	Meek (FL)	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIRMAN

The Acting CHAIRMAN (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes left in the vote.

□ 1126

Mr. GINGREY changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MS. BEAN

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. BEAN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Ms. BEAN:

Section 201(a), strike “25 percent” and insert “30 percent”.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 371, noes 55, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 321]

AYES—371

Abercrombie	Bono	Carson
Ackerman	Boozman	Castle
Aderholt	Bordallo	Castor
Alexander	Boren	Chabot
Allen	Boswell	Chandler
Altmire	Boucher	Christensen
Andrews	Boustany	Clarke
Arcuri	Boyd (FL)	Clay
Baca	Boyd (KS)	Cleaver
Baird	Braley (IA)	Clyburn
Baker	Brown (SC)	Coble
Baldwin	Brown, Corrine	Cohen
Barrow	Brown-Waite,	Cole (OK)
Bartlett (MD)	Ginny	Conyers
Bean	Buchanan	Cooper
Becerra	Burton (IN)	Costa
Berkley	Butterfield	Costello
Berman	Buyer	Courtney
Berry	Calvert	Cramer
Bilirakis	Camp (MI)	Crenshaw
Bishop (GA)	Capito	Crowley
Bishop (NY)	Capps	Cubin
Bishop (UT)	Capuano	Cuellar
Blackburn	Cardoza	Cummings
Blumenauer	Carnahan	Davis (AL)
Bonner	Carney	Davis (CA)

Davis (IL) Kaptur
 Davis, David Keller
 Davis, Jo Ann Kennedy
 Davis, Lincoln Kildee
 Deal (GA) Kilpatrick
 DeFazio Kind
 DeGette King (IA)
 Delahunt King (NY)
 DeLauro Kingston
 Dent Kirk
 Diaz-Balart, L. Klein (FL)
 Diaz-Balart, M. Kline (MN)
 Dicks Knollenberg
 Dingell Kucinich
 Doggett Kuhl (NY)
 Donnelly LaHood
 Doyle Lampson
 Drake Langevin
 Duncan Lantos
 Edwards Larsen (WA)
 Ehlers Latham
 Ellison LaTourette
 Ellsworth Lee
 Emanuel Levin
 Emerson Lewis (GA)
 English (PA) Lewis (KY)
 Eshoo Sarbanes
 Etheridge Lipinski
 Everett LoBiondo
 Faleomavaega Loebsock
 Fallin Lofgren, Zoe
 Farr Lowey
 Ferguson Lucas
 Filner Lynch
 Forbes Mahoney (FL)
 Fortenberry Maloney (NY)
 Fortuño Manzullo
 Frank (MA) Markey
 Frelinghuysen Marshall
 Gallegly Matheson
 Garrett (NJ) Matsui
 Gerlach McCarthy (CA)
 Giffords McCarthy (NY)
 Gilchrest McCaul (TX)
 Gillibrand McCollum (MN)
 Gillmor McCotter
 Gingrey McCrery
 Gohmert McDermott
 Gonzalez McGovern
 Goode McHugh
 Goodlatte McIntyre
 Gordon McKeon
 Granger McNerney
 Graves McNulty
 Green, Al Meehan
 Green, Gene Meeks (NY)
 Grijalva Melancon
 Gutierrez Mica
 Hall (NY) Michaud
 Hare Miller (MI)
 Harman Miller (NC)
 Hastert Miller, Gary
 Hastings (FL) Miller, George
 Hastings (WA) Mitchell
 Hayes Mollohan
 Heller Moore (KS)
 Herseth Sandlin Moore (WI)
 Higgins Moran (KS)
 Hill Moran (VA)
 Hinchey Murphy (CT)
 Hinojosa Murphy, Patrick
 Hirono Murphy, Tim
 Hobson Murtha
 Hodes Musgrave
 Holden Nadler
 Holt Napolitano
 Honda Neal (MA)
 Hooley Norton
 Hoyer Oberstar
 Hulshof Obey
 Hunter Oliver
 Inglis (SC) Ortiz
 Inslee Pallone
 Israel Pascrell
 Issa Pastor
 Jackson (IL) Paul
 Jackson-Lee (TX) Payne
 Pearce
 Jefferson Perlmutter
 Jindal Peterson (MN)
 Johnson (GA) Peterson (PA)
 Johnson (IL) Pickering
 Johnson, E. B. Pitts
 Johnson, Sam Platts
 Jones (NC) Pomeroy
 Jones (OH) Porter
 Jordan Price (GA)
 Kagen Price (NC)
 Kanjorski Pryce (OH)

Putnam
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Rangel
 Regula
 Barton (TX)
 Biggert
 Bilbray
 Blunt
 Boehner
 Brady (TX)
 Burgess
 Campbell (CA)
 Cannon
 Cantor
 Carter
 Conaway
 Culberson
 Davis (KY)
 Davis, Tom
 Doolittle
 Akin
 Dreier
 Feeney
 Flake
 Barrett (SC)
 Fossella
 Foxx
 Franks (AZ)
 Hall (TX)
 Hensarling
 Herger
 Hoekstra
 Lamborn
 Lungren, Daniel
 E.
 Mack
 Marchant
 McHenry
 Miller (FL)
 Myrick
 Neugebauer

NOES—55

NOT VOTING—11
 Bachus
 Brady (PA)
 Engel
 Fattah
 Larson (CT)
 Lewis (CA)
 McMorris
 Rodgers
 Meek (FL)
 Rogers (AL)
 Souder
 Watson

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIRMAN
 The Acting CHAIRMAN (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1134

Mr. GINGREY changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was agreed to.
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Ms. BORDALLO. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 1873, the Small Business Fairness in Contracting Act. I commend my good friend from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) the Chairwoman of the Committee on Small Business for her leadership on this important legislation, and our colleague from Iowa (Mr. BRALEY), the bill’s sponsor, for his work in crafting this legislation.

This legislation would amend the Small Business Act to, among other things, revise and add to Small Business Administration (SBA) requirements concerning contract bundling; increase the government-wide goal for participation by small businesses in federal procurement and service contracts; include overseas contracts in such goal; and require certain small businesses to annually recertify compliance with maximum small business size standards for eligibility for SBA-awarded contracts and subcontracts. These changes will make needed improvements to the contracting activities of federal departments and agencies with respect to America’s small businesses.

This legislation also contains provisions that would direct the SBA Administrator to develop and maintain a database to assist small businesses in marketing to large corporations that have not achieved their small business goals; contact registered small businesses regarding the likelihood of federal contracting opportunities; prescribe regulations governing SBA review of subcontracting plans; ensure that whenever a small business loses a protest over its size, a notification is placed adjacent to the listing for that business in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR); and ensure a biannual review of the CCR to purge businesses no longer considered small businesses. The SBA Administrator, as a result of this legislation, will be more able to advocate on behalf of and support America’s small businesses.

The federal marketplace today is worth upwards of \$380 billion. That is, the federal government is the world’s largest buyer of goods and services. Unfortunately, year after year,

many federal agencies fall short of meeting mandated small business contracting goals. As a result, the numbers of and overall dollar amounts for contracts awarded to small businesses by departments and agencies of the federal government are not keeping pace with the overall growth of the federal marketplace. This legislation would go far toward addressing this disturbing trend.

Small businesses constitute nearly ninety percent of the businesses in my district. Supporting them is a top priority for me. Small businesses are the engine of America’s economy, representing roughly ninety-nine percent of all employers, creating half of our gross domestic product, and creating up to eighty percent of the new jobs today.

Of particular importance to me, my constituents, and Guam’s business community is Department of Defense small business contracting performance. The Department of Defense controls approximately seventy percent of the federal government’s contracting dollars. But it controls the vast majority of the federal marketplace in my district, Guam. The amount of contracts issued by the Department of Defense for work on Guam will increase significantly in the years ahead as a result of the planned increase in the military presence on the island.

Ensuring that small businesses are prepared to and can successfully compete for contracts awarded by the Department of Defense is of particular importance to me. This is why I was very concerned last year when the Committee on Small Business issued its Scorecard VII Report. This report detailed federal government performance toward meeting small business contracting goals and found that approximately \$8 billion of Department of Defense contracting money reported as going to small businesses was, in fact, provided to large businesses. I was further concerned to learn from that report the Department of Defense from 2004 to 2005 has reduced its small business contract actions by sixty-five percent, despite the department having experienced a thirteen percent increase in total volume of contracts during the same period of time. I am particularly encouraged by the provisions contained in this bill that provide small businesses a better opportunity to compete for government contracts by making it harder for government agencies to bundle contracts into billion dollar super-contracts. This will put small businesses on a more level playing field with large corporations.

Nothing in this bill would change the fact that America’s small business owners and operators must remain the hardworking innovators that they are and that they always have been in order for them to remain competitive and successful in the modern economy. This is particularly the case on Guam. Guam’s small businesses will have to compete with bigger and better resourced companies that have significant capacity and that want to do business on Guam in support of the planned military build-up. The pace of business on Guam will increase significantly. Guam’s small firms need to prepare today to be ready to succeed in a more competitive environment. To do this, I continue to encourage Guam’s small business owners and operators to, among other things, identify what their business does well today; what it can do better tomorrow; and what it can do better than others over the course of the military build-up on Guam.

But this much is true: America's small businesses deserve fairer treatment in the federal marketplace than they currently receive. This is why I support H.R. 1873. It is a bipartisan measure that represents a vital step toward leveling the playing field for America's twenty-six million small businesses. In doing so, H.R. 1873 will help improve America's economy. Moreover, this legislation is critical toward improving the accountability in government contracting to America's small businesses. I urge my colleagues support for this bill.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. McNULTY) having assumed the chair, Mr. CROWLEY, Acting Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1873) to reauthorize the programs and activities of the Small Business Administration relating to procurement, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 383, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment to the amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. ENGLISH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania. I am in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. English of Pennsylvania moves to recommit the bill, H.R. 1873, to the Committee on Small Business, with instructions to report back the same forthwith with the following amendment:

In section 201, add at the end the following:
(c) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED BUSINESSES.—For purposes of section 15(g) of that Act, the Administrator shall consider to be economically disadvantaged any small business concern that can demonstrate it is adversely affected by expiring tax incentives, and other modifications to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which could result in small business tax increases, including but not limited to the 2006 expiration of the in-

creased exemption amount under the alternative minimum tax for taxpayers other than corporations, the 2010 expiration of section 179 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (regarding the ability of small businesses to deduct business expenses), the 2011 expiration of related capital gains, dividends, and death taxes, and the 2011 increase in all marginal income tax rates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, the motion to commit is very simple and self-explanatory, but at the same time, it is also very timely. It comes at a time when there are legitimate and growing concerns about the pending change in tax policy in America and how it might affect the most dynamic sector of the American economy, and that is, after all, small business.

We know that literally 80 percent of the small businesses, 80 percent of the jobs that are created in the economy in America are created in small business, as has been defined under statute. We are anticipating that as this body moves forward and has passed a budget from the majority that implies the largest tax increase in American history, implies the phaseout of tax policies that have grown the economy since 2001, implies that in order to deal with the pending challenge of the AMT, that there is going to be a massive increase in personal rates, particularly at the high end, that affects subchapter S small businesses.

We think that it is very important now to require the SBA administrator, under this motion, to consider small businesses as economically disadvantaged if they demonstrate an adverse impact due to the expiration of this tax relief. After all, who in this body could possibly be against accounting for and recognizing through an SBA designation the impact on such a vital portion of our economy before haphazardly increasing taxes through what we anticipate is going to be the father of all tax increases. This is, I think, a huge challenge for us, and it is a challenge which we should adjust this program to acknowledge.

I am concerned that if we see a change in our tax policies on this scale, that it is going to have a huge impact on small businesses in districts like mine. For example, the potential change in tax policy could dramatically downsize the section 179 small business expensing provision. This allows small businesses to immediately expense critical capital investment, allowing them to remain competitive in the global economy, allowing them to put money back into their hands, back into their production line, back into the hands of small business, an immediate write-off that demonstrably creates jobs right here in America.

Without the help of section 179, many of our small businesses are at an enormous competitive disadvantage. Section 179 was once described to me by an economist as one of the most

progrowth features currently in the Tax Code. The notion that we would allow it to lapse to its previous level is particularly troubling and I think requires us to prepare the SBA for the impact that these tax policy changes could have.

All this motion to recommit is seeking to do is to give small businesses a tool to enhance their success in the marketplace, despite the potential for being hammered by a Brobdingnagian set of tax increases required by the budget that we are going to be facing.

By offering this motion to recommit, we feel that the underlying bill ought to also lay before Congress a way to determine whether or not the tax increases that will be proposed by this budget will move small business backwards and also provide for a tool, but in a very small way, to counteract that. Every Member of this body should join me in supporting this motion to recommit if they care about the future ability of small businesses in their districts to grow, to survive, to compete and add new jobs.

I urge the adoption of this motion.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. I would just like to say to the gentleman from Pennsylvania that I am a little confused, because if he cares so much about extending section 179, where was he last week when we voted to override the veto of the President where section 179 was part of it?

Further, the gentleman from Pennsylvania seems to me that he is going to vote against his own motion.

□ 1145

Let me just say that this bill is not about taxes. What I can tell you that I am glad to say, that this new Congress is committed to meeting the needs of the entrepreneurs. This Congress just sent a bill to the President cutting taxes for small businesses. While the President passed a bill for tax breaks for large companies, the President just vetoed the one that helped small businesses, like section 179; not only extending section 179, but expanding section 179. Republicans passed \$2 trillion in tax cuts, yet small business priorities were never taken care of.

This motion is not about small businesses and taxes. Entrepreneurs have seen the record on that issue from Republicans. It is about ensuring small businesses are not shut out of the Federal contracts. I ask a "no" vote on this motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of the passage of the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 209, noes 216, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 322]

AYES—209

Aderholt	Gallegly	Myrick
Akin	Garrett (NJ)	Neugebauer
Alexander	Gerlach	Nunes
Bachmann	Giffords	Paul
Baker	Gilchrest	Pearce
Barrett (SC)	Gillmor	Pence
Bartlett (MD)	Gingrey	Peterson (PA)
Barton (TX)	Gohmert	Petri
Biggert	Goode	Pickering
Bilbray	Goodlatte	Pitts
Bilirakis	Granger	Platts
Bishop (UT)	Graves	Poe
Blackburn	Hall (TX)	Porter
Blunt	Hastert	Price (GA)
Boehner	Hastings (WA)	Pryce (OH)
Bonner	Hayes	Putnam
Bono	Heller	Radanovich
Boozman	Hensarling	Ramstad
Boustany	Herger	Regula
Brady (TX)	Hill	Rehberg
Brown (SC)	Hobson	Reichert
Brown-Waite,	Hoekstra	Renzi
Ginny	Hulshof	Reynolds
Buchanan	Hunter	Rogers (AL)
Burgess	Inglis (SC)	Rogers (KY)
Burton (IN)	Issa	Rogers (MI)
Buyer	Jindal	Rohrabacher
Calvert	Johnson (IL)	Ros-Lehtinen
Camp (MI)	Johnson, Sam	Roskam
Campbell (CA)	Jones (NC)	Royce
Cannon	Jordan	Ryan (WI)
Cantor	Keller	Sali
Capito	King (IA)	Saxton
Carney	King (NY)	Schmidt
Carter	Kingston	Sensenbrenner
Castle	Kirk	Sessions
Chabot	Klein (FL)	Shadegg
Coble	Kline (MN)	Shays
Cole (OK)	Knollenberg	Shimkus
Conaway	Kuhl (NY)	Shuster
Crenshaw	LaHood	Simpson
Cubin	Lamborn	Smith (NE)
Cuellar	Lampson	Smith (NJ)
Culberson	Latham	Smith (TX)
Davis (KY)	LaTourette	Space
Davis, David	Lewis (CA)	Stearns
Davis, Jo Ann	Lewis (KY)	Sullivan
Davis, Tom	Linder	Tancredo
Deal (GA)	LoBiondo	Taylor
Dent	Lucas	Terry
Diaz-Balart, L.	Lungren, Daniel	Thornberry
Diaz-Balart, M.	E.	Tiahrt
Donnelly	Mack	Tiberi
Doolittle	Manzullo	Turner
Drake	Marchant	Upton
Dreier	McCarthy (CA)	Walberg
Duncan	McCaul (TX)	Walden (OR)
Ehlers	McCotter	Walsh (NY)
Emerson	McCreery	Wamp
English (PA)	McHenry	Weldon (FL)
Everett	McHugh	Weller
Fallin	McIntyre	Westmoreland
Feeney	McKeon	Whitfield
Ferguson	Mica	Wicker
Flake	Miller (FL)	Wilson (NM)
Forbes	Miller (MI)	Wilson (SC)
Fortenberry	Miller, Gary	Wolf
Fossella	Mitchell	Young (AK)
Fox	Moran (KS)	Young (FL)
Franks (AZ)	Murphy, Tim	
Frelinghuysen	Musgrave	

NOES—216

Abercrombie	Altmire	Baca
Ackerman	Andrews	Baird
Allen	Arcuri	Baldwin

Barrow	Higgins	Ortiz
Bean	Hinchee	Pallone
Becerra	Hinojosa	Pascarell
Berkley	Hirono	Pastor
Berman	Hodes	Payne
Berry	Holden	Pelosi
Bishop (GA)	Holt	Perlmutter
Bishop (NY)	Honda	Peterson (MN)
Blumenauer	Hooley	Pomeroy
Boren	Hoyer	Price (NC)
Boswell	Inslee	Rahall
Boucher	Israel	Rangel
Boyd (FL)	Jackson (IL)	Reyes
Boyd (KS)	Jackson-Lee	Rodriguez
Braley (IA)	(TX)	Ross
Brown, Corrine	Jefferson	Rothman
Butterfield	Johnson (GA)	Roybal-Allard
Capps	Johnson, E. B.	Ruppersberger
Capuano	Jones (OH)	Rush
Cardoza	Kagen	Ryan (OH)
Carnahan	Kanjorski	Salazar
Carson	Kaptur	Sanchez, Linda
Castor	Kennedy	T.
Chandler	Kildee	Sanchez, Loretta
Clarke	Kilpatrick	Sarbanes
Clay	Kind	Schakowsky
Cleaver	Kucinich	Schiff
Clyburn	Langevin	Schwartz
Cohen	Lantos	Scott (GA)
Conyers	Larsen (WA)	Scott (VA)
Cooper	Lee	Serrano
Costa	Levin	Sestak
Costello	Lewis (GA)	Shea-Porter
Courtney	Lipinski	Sherman
Cramer	Loebsack	Shuler
Crowley	Lofgren, Zoe	Solis
Cummings	Lowey	Spratt
Davis (AL)	Lynch	Stark
Davis (CA)	Mahoney (FL)	Stupak
Davis (IL)	Maloney (NY)	Sutton
Davis, Lincoln	Markey	Tanner
DeFazio	Marshall	Tauscher
DeGette	Matheson	Thompson (CA)
Delahunt	Matsui	Thompson (MS)
DeLauro	McCarthy (NY)	Tierney
Dicks	McCollum (MN)	Towns
Dingell	McDermott	Udall (CO)
Doggett	McGovern	Udall (NM)
Doyle	McNerney	Van Hollen
Edwards	McNulty	Velázquez
Ellison	Meehan	Visclosky
Ellsworth	Meeke (FL)	Walz (MN)
Emanuel	Meeks (NY)	Walsh (NY)
Eshoo	Melancon	Wasserman
Etheridge	Michaud	Schultz
Farr	Miller (NC)	Waters
Filner	Miller, George	Watt
Frank (MA)	Mollohan	Waxman
Gillibrand	Moore (KS)	Weiner
Gonzalez	Moore (WI)	Welch (VT)
Gordon	Moran (VA)	Wexler
Green, Al	Murphy (CT)	Wilson (OH)
Green, Gene	Murphy, Patrick	Woolsey
Grijalva	Murtha	Wu
Gutierrez	Nader	Wynn
Hall (NY)	Napolitano	Yarmuth
Hare	Neal (MA)	
Harman	Oberstar	
Hastings (FL)	Obey	
Herseth Sandlin	Oliver	

NOT VOTING—8

Bachus	Larson (CT)	Watson
Brady (PA)	McMorris	
Engel	Rodgers	
Fattah	Souder	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised that there are 2 minutes remaining to vote.

□ 1205

Messrs. SALAZAR, SKELTON, COHEN and ALTMIRE changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 409, noes 13, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 323]

AYES—409

Abercrombie	Cuellar	Hirono
Ackerman	Culberson	Hobson
Aderholt	Cummings	Hodes
Akin	Davis (AL)	Hoekstra
Alexander	Davis (CA)	Holden
Allen	Davis (IL)	Holt
Altmire	Davis (KY)	Honda
Andrews	Davis, David	Hooley
Arcuri	Davis, Jo Ann	Hoyer
Baca	Davis, Lincoln	Hulshof
Bachmann	Davis, Tom	Hunter
Baird	Deal (GA)	Inglis (SC)
Baker	DeFazio	Insee
Baldwin	DeGette	Israel
Barrett (SC)	Delahunt	Issa
Barrow	DeLauro	Jackson (IL)
Bartlett (MD)	Dent	Jackson-Lee
Barton (TX)	Diaz-Balart, L.	(TX)
Bean	Diaz-Balart, M.	Jefferson
Becerra	Dicks	Jindal
Berkley	Dingell	Johnson (GA)
Bishop (NY)	Doggett	Johnson (IL)
Bishop (UT)	Donnelly	Johnson, E. B.
Blackburn	Doolittle	Johnson, Sam
Blumenauer	Doyle	Jones (NC)
Blunt	Drake	Jones (OH)
Boehner	Dreier	Jordan
Bonner	Duncan	Kagen
Bono	Edwards	Kanjorski
Boozman	Ehlers	Kaptur
Boren	Ellison	Keller
Boswell	Ellsworth	Kennedy
Boucher	Emanuel	Kildee
Boustany	Emerson	Kilpatrick
Boyd (FL)	English (PA)	Kind
Boyd (KS)	Eshoo	King (IA)
Boyda (KS)	Etheridge	King (NY)
Brady (TX)	Everett	Kingston
Braley (IA)	Fallin	Kirk
Brown (SC)	Farr	Klein (FL)
Brown-Waite,	Feeney	Kline (MN)
Ginny	Ferguson	Knollenberg
Buchanan	Filner	Kucinich
Burgess	Forbes	Kuhl (NY)
Burton (IN)	Fortenberry	LaHood
Butterfield	Fossella	Lampson
Buyer	Fox	Langevin
Calvert	Frank (MA)	Lantos
Camp (MI)	Franks (AZ)	Larsen (WA)
Campbell (CA)	Frelinghuysen	Latham
Cannon	Gallegly	LaTourette
Cantor	Garrett (NJ)	Lee
Capito	Gerlach	Levin
Capps	Giffords	Lewis (CA)
Capuano	Gilchrest	Lewis (GA)
Carnahan	Gillibrand	Lewis (KY)
Carney	Gillmor	Linder
Carson	Gingrey	Lipinski
Carter	Gohmert	LoBiondo
Castle	Gonzalez	Loebsack
Castor	Goode	Lofgren, Zoe
Chabot	Goodlatte	Lowe
Chandler	Gordon	Lucas
Chavez	Granger	Lynch
Clarke	Graves	Mack
Clay	Green, Al	Mahoney (FL)
Cleaver	Green, Gene	Maloney (NY)
Clyburn	Grijalva	Manzullo
Coble	Gutierrez	Marchant
Cohen	Hall (NY)	Markey
Cole (OK)	Hall (TX)	Marshall
Conaway	Hare	Matheson
Conyers	Harman	Matsui
Cooper	Hastert	McCarthy (CA)
Cooper	Hastings (FL)	McCarthy (NY)
Costa	Hastings (WA)	McCaul (TX)
Costello	Hayes	McCotter
Courtney	Heller	McCreery
Cramer	Herger	McDermott
Crenshaw	Herseth Sandlin	McGovern
Cubin	Higgins	McHugh
	Hill	McIntyre
	Hinchee	McKeon
	Hinojosa	McNerney

McNulty	Rahall	Space
Meehan	Ramstad	Spratt
Meek (FL)	Rangel	Stark
Meeks (NY)	Regula	Stearns
Melancon	Rehberg	Stupak
Mica	Reichert	Sullivan
Michaud	Renzi	Sutton
Miller (FL)	Reyes	Tancred
Miller (MI)	Reynolds	Tanner
Miller (NC)	Rodriguez	Tauscher
Miller, Gary	Rogers (AL)	Taylor
Mitchell	Rogers (KY)	Terry
Mollohan	Roskam	Thompson (CA)
Moore (KS)	Rohrabacher	Thompson (MS)
Moore (WI)	Ros-Lehtinen	Thornberry
Moran (KS)	Roskam	Tiahrt
Moran (VA)	Ross	Tiberi
Murphy (CT)	Rothman	Tierney
Murphy, Patrick	Roybal-Allard	Towns
Murphy, Tim	Ruppersberger	Turner
Murtha	Rush	Udall (CO)
Musgrave	Ryan (OH)	Udall (NM)
Myrick	Ryan (WI)	Upton
Nadler	Salazar	Van Hollen
Napolitano	Sánchez, Linda	Velázquez
Neal (MA)	T.	Visclosky
Neugebauer	Sanchez, Loretta	Walberg
Nunes	Sarbanes	Walden (OR)
Oberstar	Saxton	Walsh (NY)
Obey	Schakowsky	Walsh (MN)
Olver	Schiff	Wamp
Ortiz	Schmidt	Wasserman
Pallone	Schwartz	Schultz
Pascrell	Scott (GA)	Waters
Pastor	Scott (VA)	Watt
Paul	Serrano	Waxman
Payne	Sessions	Weiner
Pearce	Sestak	Welch (VT)
Pence	Shays	Weldon (FL)
Perlmutter	Shea-Porter	Weller
Peterson (MN)	Sherman	Westmoreland
Peterson (PA)	Shimkus	Wexler
Petri	Shuler	Wicker
Pickering	Shuster	Wilson (NM)
Pitts	Simpson	Wilson (OH)
Platts	Sires	Wilson (SC)
Poe	Skelton	Wolf
Pomeroy	Slaughter	Woolsey
Porter	Smith (NE)	Wu
Price (GA)	Smith (NJ)	Wynn
Price (NC)	Smith (TX)	Yarmuth
Pryce (OH)	Smith (WA)	Young (AK)
Putnam	Snyder	Young (FL)
Radanovich	Solis	

NOES—13

Campbell (CA)	Lungren, Daniel	Royce
Flake	E.	Sali
Hensarling	McCollum (MN)	Sensenbrenner
Lamborn	McHenry	Shadegg
	Miller, George	Whitfield

NOT VOTING—10

Bachus	Engel	McMorris
Brady (PA)	Fattah	Rodgers
Brown, Corrine	Larson (CT)	Souder
Cardoza		Watson

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised that there are 2 minutes remaining to vote.

□ 1215

Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. CARDOZA. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 323, I inserted by vote card but was not recorded. My intention was to vote "yes." Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2237, PROVIDING FOR REDEPLOYMENT OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES AND DEFENSE CONTRACTORS FROM IRAQ; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2206, U.S. TROOP READINESS, VETERANS' CARE, KATRINA RECOVERY, AND IRAQ ACCOUNTABILITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2207, AGRICULTURAL DISTASTER ASSISTANCE AND WESTERN STATES EMERGENCY UNFINISHED BUSINESS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 387 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 387

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2237) to provide for the redeployment of United States Armed Forces and defense contractors from Iraq. All points of order against the bill and against its consideration are waived. The bill shall be considered as read. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 2. Upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2206) making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. The amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations; and (2) one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 3. Upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2207) making supplemental appropriations for agricultural and other emergency assistance for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. The amendment printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations; and (2) one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 4. (a) In the engrossment of H.R. 2206, the Clerk shall—

(1) await the disposition of H.R. 2237 and H.R. 2207;

(2) add the respective texts of H.R. 2237 and H.R. 2207, as passed by the House, as new matter at the end of H.R. 2206;

(3) conform the title of H.R. 2206 to reflect the addition of H.R. 2237 and H.R. 2207, as passed by the House, to the engrossment;

(4) assign appropriate designations to provisions within the engrossment; and

(5) conform cross-references and provisions for short titles within the engrossment.

(b) Upon the addition of H.R. 2237 and H.R. 2207, as passed by the House, to the engrossment of H.R. 2206, H.R. 2237 and H.R. 2207 shall be laid on the table.

SEC. 5. During consideration of H.R. 2237, H.R. 2206, or H.R. 2207 pursuant to this resolution, notwithstanding the operation of the previous question, the Chair may postpone further consideration of any such bill to such time as may be designated by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER) is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER). All time yielded during consideration of the rule is for debate only.

I yield myself such time as I may consume and ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on House Resolution 387.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 387 provides for consideration of three bills, including the supplemental appropriations for the Iraq war.

It is striking to realize that for 4 years the war in Iraq has been funded by supplemental appropriations measures. From the beginning the White House has refused to plan ahead. Instead it has counted on Congress to accept its demands and pass one supplemental bill and then another time and time again, with no end in sight and no accountability required in return.

The American people have rejected a House that blindly accepts the administration's predictions about Iraq, all the while ceding its role in deciding matters of war and peace, the most solemn responsibility given to the Congress.

My fellow Democrats and I promised a new way forward. And so the first funding bill that we delivered to the President reconciled our party's conscience with the brutal realities the war presented to us, realities that we, unlike some in the administration, are willing to acknowledge.

We sought then, as we do now, to end this war but to do so responsibly, without adding to the suffering the Iraqi people and our soldiers have already experienced.

Our first bill provided the President with all of the funding he requested but attached conditions to it. We asked for the President to stand before the Nation and justify the war. We asked him to show how it was meeting the objectives that he himself had set out: the