

Giffords
 Gilchrest
 Gillibrand
 Gillmor
 Gingrey
 Gohmert
 Gonzalez
 Goode
 Goodlatte
 Gordon
 Granger
 Green, Al
 Green, Gene
 Grijalva
 Gutierrez
 Hall (NY)
 Hall (TX)
 Hare
 Harman
 Hastings (FL)
 Hastings (WA)
 Hayes
 Heller
 Herger
 Herseht Sandlin
 Higgins
 Hill
 Hinchey
 Hinojosa
 Hirono
 Hobson
 Hodes
 Hoekstra
 Holden
 Holt
 Honda
 Hooley
 Hoyer
 Hulshof
 Inglis (SC)
 Insole
 Israel
 Issa
 Jackson (IL)
 Jackson-Lee (TX)
 Jefferson
 Johnson (GA)
 Johnson (IL)
 Jones (NC)
 Jones (OH)
 Jordan
 Kagen
 Kanjorski
 Kaptur
 Keller
 Kennedy
 Kildee
 Kilpatrick
 Kind
 King (NY)
 Kirk
 Klein (FL)
 Kline (MN)
 Knollenberg
 Kucinich
 Kuhl (NY)
 LaHood
 Langevin
 Lantos
 Larsen (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Latham
 LaTourette
 Lee
 Levin
 Lewis (CA)
 Lewis (GA)
 Lewis (KY)
 Linder
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Loebach
 Lofgren, Zoe
 Lowey
 Lucas
 Lungren, Daniel E.

Lynch
 Mahoney (FL)
 Mahoney (NY)
 Manzullo
 Marchant
 Markey
 Marshall
 Matheson
 Matsui
 McCarthy (CA)
 McCarthy (NY)
 McCaul (TX)
 McCollum (MN)
 McCotter
 McCrery
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 McNeerney
 McNulty
 Meehan
 Meek (FL)
 Meeks (NY)
 Melancon
 Mica
 Michaud
 Miller (MI)
 Miller (NC)
 Miller, Gary
 Miller, George
 Mitchell
 Mollohan
 Moore (KS)
 Moore (WI)
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy (CT)
 Murphy, Patrick
 Murphy, Tim
 Murtha
 Musgrave
 Myrick
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Neugebauer
 Nunes
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Oliver
 Pallone
 Pascrell
 Pastor
 Payne
 Perlmutter
 Peterson (MN)
 Peterson (PA)
 Petri
 Pickering
 Pitts
 Platts
 Poe
 Pomeroy
 Porter
 Price (GA)
 Price (NC)
 Pryce (OH)
 Putnam
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Rangel
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Reichert
 Renzi
 Reyes
 Reynolds
 Rodriguez
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Roskam
 Ross
 Roybal-Allard
 Ruppersberger

NOES—23

Akin
 Barrett (SC)
 Burton (IN)
 Campbell (CA)
 Cantor
 Carter
 Coble
 Duncan

English (PA)
 Flake
 Foxx
 Franks (AZ)
 Hensarling
 Johnson, Sam
 King (IA)
 Kingston

Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Ryan (WI)
 Salazar
 Sánchez, Linda T.
 Sanchez, Loretta
 Sarbanes
 Saxton
 Schakowsky
 Schiff
 Schmidt
 Schwartz
 Scott (GA)
 Scott (VA)
 Serrano
 Sessions
 Sestak
 Shays
 Shea-Porter
 Sherman
 Shimkus
 Shuler
 Shuster
 Simpson
 Sires
 Skelton
 Slaughter
 Smith (NE)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (TX)
 Smith (WA)
 Snyder
 Solis
 Souder
 Space
 Spratt
 Stark
 Stearns
 Stupak
 Sullivan
 Sutton
 Tauscher
 Taylor
 Terry
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Thornberry
 Tiahrt
 Tiberi
 Tierney
 Towns
 Turner
 Udall (CO)
 Udall (NM)
 Upton
 Van Hollen
 Velázquez
 Vislosky
 Walberg
 Walden (OR)
 Walsh (NY)
 Walz (MN)
 Wamp
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters
 Watson
 Watt
 Waxman
 Weiner
 Welch (VT)
 Weldon (FL)
 Weller
 Westmoreland
 Waxler
 Whitfield
 Wicker
 Wilson (NM)
 Wilson (OH)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wolf
 Woolsey
 Wu
 Wynn
 Yarmuth
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—24

Brady (PA)
 Butterfield
 Costa
 Cubin
 Davis, Jo Ann
 Engel
 Fattah
 Graves
 Hastert
 Hunter
 Jindal
 Johnson, E. B.
 Lampson
 McHenry
 McHugh
 McMorris
 Rodgers
 Miller (FL)

Ortiz
 Paul
 Pearce
 Radanovich
 Rothman
 Tancredo
 Tanner

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1513

Mr. KING of Iowa changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I missed rollcall vote No. 301 on May 3, 2007. It was a vote on H.R. 1868, the Technology Innovation and Manufacturing Stimulation Act.

If present, I would have voted rollcall vote No. 301, "aye."

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 1867, NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2007, AND H.R. 1868, TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION AND MANUFACTURING STIMULATION ACT OF 2007

Mr. WU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections in the engrossment of H.R. 1867 and H.R. 1868, including corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering and cross-referencing, and the insertion of appropriate headings.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

□ 1515

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BLUNT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the majority leader, for the purpose of inquiring about next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank my friend for yielding, and respond to him that on Monday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour business and 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules, and we expect to appoint conferees on the fiscal year 2008 budget resolution.

Again, Monday night, we intend to have a motion to go to conference and appoint conferees, so that Members know it will be in addition to suspension bills.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 10:30 a.m. for morning hour business and noon for legislative business. We will consider additional bills under suspension of the rules. A complete list of those bills will be distributed by the end of business tomorrow.

On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. We expect to consider the fiscal year 2008 intelligence authorization bill; the fiscal year 2008 Homeland Security Department authorization bill; H.R. 1873, a bill regarding small business contracting; H.R. 1294, the Thomasina E. Jordan Indian Tribes of Virginia Recognition Act; and a bill to reauthorize the COPS program.

We are still determining which rules and bills will be considered on which days.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentleman for that. I am wondering based on the discussion we had and the meeting we had yesterday, does the gentleman have any sense when we may expect to see some action on the war supplemental?

Mr. HOYER. If the gentleman would yield.

Mr. BLUNT. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. As you know, Speaker PELOSI and Leader REID in our meeting at the White House indicated that it was their intent and their objective to have to the President's desk before the Memorial Day break another bill to fund our troops, and for such other purposes as the bill may include.

In that context, I am hopeful that we will move a bill through this House no later than the 15th or 16th of May. In other words, not next week but the week after. If we can do it next week, we would maybe do it; but it is our intention to move it before the middle of the second week.

Mr. BLUNT. Right. And I think to meet the objective, which I think is an objective we should do our best to meet, of moving that bill before the Memorial Day break and sending it to the President's desk, we almost have to have a bill through the House by the time the gentleman has mentioned.

Mr. HOYER. If the gentleman would yield.

Mr. BLUNT. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. I think we agree on that, and that is certainly our objective.

Mr. BLUNT. I hope we can do that. I believe the quicker we can get House action, the better off we will be.

On the budget resolution, I would ask my friend, I understand there is a technical reason that budget resolution may have to come before the House again, and maybe the Rules Committee is even meeting on that right now. Would you explain that to me?

Mr. HOYER. If the gentleman would yield, I am not sure I am accurate because when you say come before the House again, what we will do is take

the Senate bill from the desk, substitute the House language, ask for a conference, move to go to conference, and then you will have in order your motion to instruct conferees. To that extent, the bill will come before us, but only to that extent. In other words, the budget that was passed by the House, we will take the Senate bill from the floor, substitute the House language.

The reason we need a rule, frankly, is we asked unanimous consent to do that procedure, a unanimous consent which we had given to you in 2003 and 2005. For whatever reasons, it was not your personal determination, but it was the determination of your side not to give unanimous consent for that purpose. Therefore, in order to effect that objective, we need to pass a rule to allow us to do that which is what we will do Monday night.

Mr. BLUNT. I would say to the gentleman, there may be a technicality that neither of us understand; I am sure I don't. But I thought there was a technical problem with the budget passed that made it a different situation than the budgets we had passed in the past, and that the clearest way to take care of that procedural mistake was actually to deal with the bill on the floor.

Mr. HOYER. If the gentleman would yield.

Mr. BLUNT. I would.

Mr. HOYER. That is not my understanding. Now the gentleman may have more information than I have, but if that is the fact, I don't have that information. At this point in time, I was not aware of any such problem.

The only problem I was aware of, as I informed the gentleman, we can either do this by asking for unanimous consent to effect the process of taking the Senate bill, substituting the House bill, and then requesting the conference and appointing conferees by unanimous consent. Or, failing to get unanimous consent, we have to do that by rule. We did not get unanimous consent. The Rules Committee met today. We will consider that rule and the bill itself on Monday late afternoon, early evening.

Mr. BLUNT. I would also ask the gentleman, and then we go to conference on the budget after taking what will be a separate vote on the budget?

Mr. HOYER. Yes.

Mr. BLUNT. And all of that would happen on Monday?

Mr. HOYER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentleman for clarifying that for me.

On one other topic that may be coming up soon, the whole question of lobbying reform, I have heard that may also be coming up in the near future. Do you have a sense when a lobbying reform bill might be scheduled for the floor?

Mr. HOYER. It will not be this coming week. That is being worked on. We want to make sure that it is a bill which accurately reflects reform and is

workable. That is what we are trying to achieve.

Mr. BLUNT. Is it the gentleman's view that bill will go through a committee process or will it be coming directly to the floor?

Mr. HOYER. It is my view it will go through a committee process. The Judiciary Committee is considering it. Mr. CONYERS' committee is considering it.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentleman for that.

My only other topic, Members, of course get very sentimental about their mothers near Mother's Day, and their wives near Mother's Day. Next Friday, I am hoping we will have an effort to ensure that Members are home for that weekend, and they are, too. We intend to vote Friday. Does the gentleman have a sense yet what the actual Friday schedule might look like in terms of a time away from here on Friday?

Mr. HOYER. If the gentleman would yield, if we have the full cooperation of all those people who have mothers or had mothers, we can accomplish that objective.

Having said that, as you know, I announced we have Friday scheduled as a day for us to do our business. Now if we were extraordinarily fortunate and got our business done by Thursday, or frankly could conclude it late Thursday night, perhaps we would be able to do that. But I do not anticipate that. I know as many Members on my side of the aisle, I want to assure the gentleman, have talked to me, as I am sure Members on your side of the aisle have talked to you about that, and if we can accommodate them, we will. But you heard the schedule. It is a pretty full schedule with a lot of substantive legislation. We have the intelligence authorization and other bills. It is my expectation that we will be in on Friday. But it is also my intent to make every effort to make Friday as short a day as we possibly can. As you know, our objective is no later than 2 p.m.; but if we could do earlier, 12:30, before 1, to accommodate Members and their flights, we certainly would like to do that. I would certainly welcome your help in accomplishing that objective.

Mr. BLUNT. That would be good for our Members to get that done.

One other thing that I would like to bring up, and I know how difficult it is to schedule the floor. Believe me, I know the concerns and criticisms that come from that.

When we were visiting a week ago, I expressed a specific request that as soon as we had an idea when the votes were going to be on Tuesday, we would have more general knowledge of that. At that time, my good friend thought we would vote early afternoon on Tuesday. As it turned out, we didn't actually start the session until noon on Tuesday.

Mr. HOYER. Right.

Mr. BLUNT. That information to our Members a little earlier would have

prevented travel on Monday for people that could have easily gotten here by the time of the Tuesday vote. It is still early in this Congress. I am really not saying that in a way that is critical at all, but at the time, we did ask for whatever knowledge the majority had as soon as possible so we wouldn't run into exactly the situation we did, people getting here thinking there could be votes at 12, only to find out we didn't start any of the work of the House until 12. Whatever it takes to work more closely on that, I am more than happy to try to do so we can get information out. But we can't get it out unless we have it.

I was disappointed we didn't get a little more notice on the time we were going to start work on Tuesday, which would have made it clear we would not be having votes at the time we started.

Mr. HOYER. Let me say, I agree with the gentleman. I was not pleased myself that we did not give more notice to Members. As you pointed out, we had votes very late in the day.

I take full responsibility because I think we may have been able to get, certainly early Tuesday at the latest, information to Members. We probably should have done that.

As you know, the issue was the veto, when it was going to go down there and when it was going to come back. That was not decided until late.

But I think the gentleman's criticism is a constructive criticism, and I take responsibility. We should have done that, in my opinion. I was not pleased, frankly, with myself or with the notice our office gave because we do want to give Members as accurate information as we possibly can. And, frankly, we want to give them as timely information as we can so they can accomplish what you have said, make their schedules comport with what we are actually doing. To the extent that did not happen this time, I will try to prevent it from happening a second time.

Mr. BLUNT. Well, I thank my friend for the spirit of your response. If there is any way we can help you in getting that information to Members more quickly, please call on us to do that.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, MAY 7, 2007

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Monday next for morning hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SARBANES). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland? There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.