

We expect to consider H.R. 2470, legislation dealing with contractors who commit crimes overseas; H.R. 928, the Improving Government Accountability Act; and a bill to provide tax relief for mortgage debt forgiveness in the event of foreclosures.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank you for that information. It does look like to me that the schedule for next week is incredibly light for 3 days of work. Last week, when Mr. HOYER and I were talking about the problems of bringing the SCHIP bill to the floor without a conference, without any real opportunity for those of us on this side to see the bill, he said last week one of the reasons for that was the Senate was not able to go to conference. And I'm hoping on the four bills that the Senate has already passed, and we could go to conference on, that we see some action on those bills.

I think, particularly, the bill where the new benefits for military families and veterans that could be available as early as next Tuesday, October 1, aren't going to be available because we're not naming conferees. And I wonder if my friend has any sense of when we might be able to have one of those bills, or any appropriation bill, on the House floor now that the fiscal year is essentially, this is the last legislative working day in the fiscal year.

Four bills have been ready, one of them, the military quality of life and veterans bill, for some time now, with no apparent interest in going to conference and getting that bill done. And I know we notified the majority before that I'd be asking that question, and so I'm wondering if you have any sense of when any or all of those bills might actually be scheduled, particularly looking at the incredibly light workweek scheduled for next week.

And I yield to my friend.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Thank you very much. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

The gentleman will note that we did a lot of incredibly good work this week, passing the SCHIP bill, the Children's Health Insurance bill, passing the flood insurance bill off the floor this afternoon, passing the CR just yesterday. So there has been an incredible amount of good work done this week. And as far as the bills that you referenced, we will be planning to conference with the Senate as soon as they signify that they are ready to do that, and will be working diligently with them to bring those bills to the floor when the conference is complete and ready.

Mr. BLUNT. If I could reclaim my time here, I'd just point out that the Senate actually has requested not only a conference, but named conferees on all four of those bills. And I'd yield to you for anything you want to say about that. I mean, they're ready to go to conference, and I'm just asking why we're not so we can get some of this work done. And I'd yield.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. I'd be happy to answer the gentleman's ques-

tion. We are reviewing all of those bills and want to make sure that, obviously, the House is on equal footing with the Senate. And when we are ready to go to conference, we will certainly join them and make sure those bills are brought to the floor in as timely a fashion as possible.

Mr. BLUNT. Well, before we go to one other topic, I'd just say that for bills where we could have started, particularly for military families, the quality of life issues there and for veterans, I think it's a shame that we're not starting those on Tuesday, when they could have started.

The other thing that just happened, the President just sent the Peru Free Trade Agreement to the House. The Ways and Means Committee held its markup on the Peru Free Trade Agreement this week, and I've read, at least, that there's an intention, before we go to that trade agreement, to go to a trade adjustment bill that has not yet been written. That trade adjustment bill, when it has passed in the past, has passed with trade promotion authority. With no new trade promotion authority, there's less reason than there might have otherwise been for new trade adjustment authority. And more importantly, it seems, we might run the risk here of slowing the Peru agreement, the clock of which just started, if we wait for a bill that's not yet been written.

And I guess my two questions would be, do we plan to do trade adjustment assistance with TPA? And does the gentlelady have any sense of why it's necessary to do that before we do a trade agreement that we've already held the markup on and the President just sent down?

And I'd yield.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Thank you. As far as the gentleman's reference to the military quality of life bill at the beginning of your remarks, I will remind the gentleman that we did pass, in the military health care and veterans bill, the largest single increase in health care in the 77-year history of the Veterans Administration. So we are certainly doing everything we can to expand access to health care and improve the quality of life of our military veterans.

Referring to the gentleman's question about the trade adjustment act and Peru, I'll remind the gentleman that the Ways and Means Committee did conduct a markup this very week. We are fully engaged in working on the Peru trade agreement and will be working on the trade adjustment act simultaneously to the free trade agreement with Peru.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentlelady.

Mr. Speaker, I'd just say that, one, as we have started that clock, I think it's very important that we keep on schedule, particularly since this will be really the first bill that the majority has done under the TPA standards, and we want to work closely with the majority on that.

And I'd also point out that it's obvious we have not done everything we could have done for military families and veterans, or we'd have a bill that goes into effect next Tuesday instead of some time later this year.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Florida?

There was no objection.

REMARKS MADE BY RUSH LIMBAUGH

(Mr. PALLONE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, yesterday House Republicans offered a motion to recommit condemning MoveOn.org for its advertisement stating that General Petraeus had "betrayed us."

I'm wondering if they'll show similar outrage over statements made yesterday by conservative radio talk show host Rush Limbaugh. Yesterday, Limbaugh called servicemembers who support a withdrawal from Iraq "phony soldiers."

Is Limbaugh serious? Is a soldier who is honorably serving our Nation in Iraq any less a soldier if he questions what appears to be a never-ending war?

Last month, seven soldiers from the U.S. Army 82nd Airborne Division wrote an op-ed in the New York Times questioning our continued war efforts, but also stating: "We need not talk about our morale. As committed soldiers we will see this mission through."

Now, since publication of that op-ed, two of the soldiers have died. As this op-ed shows, soldiers may question the war, but that does not mean that they're any less committed to their mission.

And now I wonder if Republicans who showed so much outrage towards MoveOn yesterday will hold Rush Limbaugh to the same standard. And I wouldn't hold your breath.

□ 1830

HONORING EMILY KEYES

(Mr. LAMBORN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in remembrance of Emily Keyes and the tragic event that touched the community of Bailey, Colorado 1 year ago today.

That morning Emily and six of her classmates were taken hostage at gunpoint by a deranged man as they sat in

class at Platte Canyon High School. After several horrific hours, the gunman ended Emily's young and promising life. This act robbed the Keyes family of their precious daughter and the Bailey community of its tranquil security.

Emily was beloved by all who knew her. They described her as "sweet," "beautiful," and "polite." A member of the volleyball, speech, and debate teams, this active, bright, and industrial girl exemplified the Bailey community.

She also possessed a beautiful soul, as was demonstrated by one of her final acts. In a moment fraught with terror, Emily chose to express love. This brave woman sent a text message to her father that read simply "I love U guys."

Following her death, Emily's family asked for "random acts of kindness" because, they said, "there is no way to make sense of this and it is what Emily would have wanted."

This is the legacy for which Emily Keyes shall be remembered. And this is the memory that I rise to honor today.

HONORING JUDGE RICHARD SHEPPARD ARNOLD (1936-2004)

(Mr. BOOZMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to a person who has been described as "perhaps the best judge never to serve on the Supreme Court." I wish today to honor and remember Judge Richard Arnold as we prepare to name the Federal building in Little Rock after one who has given so much to his country.

A Texarkana native, Judge Arnold attended Exeter, Yale, and Harvard, and clerked for Justice William Brennan before returning to Arkansas to set up practice in Texarkana.

President Carter named Judge Arnold, a Democrat, to the district court in 1978 and, in just over a year, named him to the Eighth Circuit. He rose to chief judge and served on the Eighth Circuit with his brother Morris, a Republican.

Judge Arnold's life represents one of commitment to the rule of law and of service to one's country. I am proud to see the Federal building in Little Rock named after him, and I am proud to speak of him here in the well of the House.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

SEPTEMBER 25, 2007.
Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAME SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

JOHN T. DOOLITTLE,
U.S. Representative.

COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF MEMBER OF THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Alisha Perkins, Scheduler/Office Manager, Office of the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 26, 2007.
Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: this is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consulting with counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

ALISHA PERKINS,
Scheduler/Office Manager.

COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF MEMBER OF THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Dan Blankenburg, Deputy Chief of Staff, Office of the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

SEPTEMBER 25, 2007.
Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

DAN BLANKENBURG,
Deputy Chief of Staff.

COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF MEMBER OF THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Evan Goitein, Legisla-

tive Director, Office of the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 25, 2007.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

EVAN GOITEIN,
Legislative Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF MEMBER OF THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Ron Rogers, Chief of Staff, Office of the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 25, 2007.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

RON ROGERS,
Chief of Staff.

COMMUNICATION FROM STAFF MEMBER OF THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Gordon Hinkle, Field Representative, Office of the Honorable JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, Member of Congress:

SEPTEMBER 25, 2007.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a grand jury subpoena for documents issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

GORDON HINKLE,
Field Representative.