

On page 7, line 2, increase the amount by \$363,000,000.
 On page 7, line 4, increase the amount by \$363,000,000.
 On page 7, line 6, increase the amount by \$363,000,000.
 On page 25, line 24, increase the amount by \$363,000,000.
 On page 25, line 25, increase the amount by \$340,000,000.
 On page 26, line 4, increase the amount by \$14,000,000.
 On page 26, line 8, increase the amount by \$9,000,000.
 On page 53, line 1, increase the amount by \$137,000,000.
 On page 53, line 2, increase the amount by \$128,000,000.
 On page 55, line 13, strike \$274,000,000 and insert \$500,000,000.

AMENDMENT NO. 3171

(Purpose: To provide \$184 million over five years for the Mine Safety and Health Administration to hire additional mine safety inspectors)

On page 4, line 13, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 4, line 15, increase the amount by \$38,000,000.
 On page 4, line 17, increase the amount by \$41,000,000.
 On page 4, line 19, increase the amount by \$43,000,000.
 On page 4, line 21, increase the amount by \$46,000,000.
 On page 5, line 4, increase the amount by \$33,000,000.
 On page 5, line 6, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 5, line 8, increase the amount by \$40,000,000.
 On page 5, line 10, increase the amount by \$42,000,000.
 On page 5, line 12, increase the amount by \$45,000,000.
 On page 5, line 19, decrease the amount by \$33,000,000.
 On page 5, line 21, decrease the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 5, line 23, decrease the amount by \$40,000,000.
 On page 5, line 25, decrease the amount by \$42,000,000.
 On page 6, line 2, decrease the amount by \$45,000,000.
 On page 6, line 8, increase the amount by \$33,000,000.
 On page 6, line 10, increase the amount by \$70,000,000.
 On page 6, line 12, increase the amount by \$110,000,000.
 On page 6, line 14, increase the amount by \$152,000,000.
 On page 6, line 16, increase the amount by \$197,000,000.
 On page 6, line 22, increase the amount by \$33,000,000.
 On page 6, line 24, increase the amount by \$70,000,000.
 On page 7, line 2, increase the amount by \$110,000,000.
 On page 7, line 4, increase the amount by \$152,000,000.
 On page 7, line 6, increase the amount by \$197,000,000.
 On page 19, line 24, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.
 On page 19, line 25, increase the amount by \$32,000,000.
 On page 20, line 3, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.
 On page 20, line 4, increase the amount by \$35,000,000.
 On page 20, line 7, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 20, line 8, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.

On page 20, line 11, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 20, line 12, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.
 On page 20, line 15, increase the amount by \$38,000,000.
 On page 20, line 16, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.
 On page 26, line 24, increase the amount by \$1,000,000.
 On page 26, line 25, increase the amount by \$1,000,000.
 On page 27, line 3, increase the amount by \$2,000,000.
 On page 27, line 4, increase the amount by \$2,000,000.
 On page 27, line 7, increase the amount by \$4,000,000.
 On page 27, line 8, increase the amount by \$4,000,000.
 On page 27, line 11, increase the amount by \$6,000,000.
 On page 27, line 12, increase the amount by \$6,000,000.
 On page 27, line 15, increase the amount by \$8,000,000.
 On page 27, line 16, increase the amount by \$8,000,000.
 On page 53, line 1, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.
 On page 53, line 2, increase the amount by \$32,000,000.
 On page 53, line 4, increase the amount by \$36,000,000.
 On page 53, line 7, increase the amount by \$37,000,000.

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, we are very close to being able to move toward final passage, but we still have a number of amendments to dispose of. If we can just have the patience of the body for a few more minutes, we can dispose of these final amendments and move toward final passage.

First of all, I think it is important to thank colleagues—dozens of colleagues—who have given their amendments in the last hour—we appreciate it very much—and others who were able to work with us to get their amendments agreed to as the chairman has just reviewed.

The next amendment is Senator ENSIGN. We ask colleagues to give the Senator from Nevada their attention.

AMENDMENT NO. 3166

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I send an amendment to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Nevada [Mr. ENSIGN] proposes an amendment numbered 3166.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

(Purpose: To deny funds in FY2007 for the United Nations Human Rights Council, which the United States just voted against because countries found complicit in sustained human rights abuses are eligible for Council membership. Savings redirected to border security)

On page 10, line 20, decrease the amount by \$4,000,000.

On page 10, line 21, decrease the amount by \$4,000,000.

On page 24, line 24, increase the amount by \$4,000,000.

On page 24, line 25, increase the amount by \$4,000,000.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, just very briefly, this amendment has to do with funding for the United Nations Human Rights Council which I believe is worse than the discredited United Nations Commission on Human Rights.

Members will not be selected primarily on the basis of their commitment to human rights, even countries under Security Council sanctions for human rights violations or terrorism.

The United States has been a member of the United States Commission on Human Rights since 1947, with one exception. That will no longer be the case. Due to a rotating membership, the United States will be ineligible for the Human Rights Council membership every 6 years. So our country, which has been at the forefront of promoting human rights, would periodically lose its seat but still be required to cover 22 percent of the United Nations Human Rights Council cost.

I am proud of the United States and how we have stood firm and opposed creation of this fatally flawed council. We need to make sure we are not funding this council, and that is exactly what our amendment does. It takes away the funding from the Human Rights Council and puts it toward border security.

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, this amendment reduces the international account by \$4 million. That is what it does. We have no assurance that it will actually take money from the Human Rights Council, although that is the intention of the Senator. The fact is, it reduces the international account by \$4 million and increases the 750 account by a like amount.

I yield the remainder of my time to the Senator from Maryland.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. President, I urge my colleagues to vote against this amendment. The United States did not get all the changes it wanted made with respect to the Human Rights Council, but very significant changes were made. And under Secretary Burns, they have indicated that the administration intends to work with those changes to try to improve that situation. We have a real problem with respect to that Human Rights Council. But changes are being made. They are being made in the right direction.

I very much oppose this amendment. I hope my colleagues will vote against it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time has expired. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second, and the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 50, nays 50, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 73 Leg.]

YEAS—50

Alexander	DeWine	McConnell
Allard	Dole	Murkowski
Allen	Domenici	Nelson (FL)
Bennett	Ensign	Nelson (NE)
Bond	Enzi	Roberts
Brownback	Frist	Santorum
Bunning	Graham	Sessions
Burns	Grassley	Shelby
Burr	Gregg	Smith
Chambliss	Hatch	Stevens
Coburn	Hutchison	Sununu
Coleman	Inhofe	Talent
Collins	Isakson	Thomas
Cornyn	Kyl	Thune
Craig	Lott	Vitter
Crapo	Martinez	Warner
DeMint	McCain	

NAYS—50

Akaka	Feingold	Menendez
Baucus	Feinstein	Mikulski
Bayh	Hagel	Murray
Biden	Harkin	Obama
Bingaman	Inouye	Pryor
Boxer	Jeffords	Reed
Byrd	Johnson	Reid
Cantwell	Kennedy	Rockefeller
Carper	Kerry	Salazar
Chafee	Kohl	Sarbanes
Clinton	Landrieu	Schumer
Cochran	Lautenberg	Snowe
Conrad	Leahy	Specter
Dayton	Levin	Stabenow
Dodd	Lieberman	Voinovich
Dorgan	Lincoln	Wyden
Durbin	Lugar	

The amendment (No. 3166) was rejected.

Mr. SARBANES. I move to reconsider the vote.

Mrs. MURRAY. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

AMENDMENTS NOS. 3152 AND 3172, EN BLOC

Mr. GREGG. I ask unanimous consent the following amendments be considered, agreed to en bloc, and the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table: One amendment by Senators LOTT, TALENT, REED, LIEBERMAN, DURBIN, BAUCUS, and WARNER, an amendment dealing with the military, dealing with defense accounts, and an amendment by Senator SCHUMER dealing with courthouses.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendments were agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To provide additional new budget authority and outlay authority for fiscal year 2007 for National Defense (050) in the amount of \$3,700,000,000, the amount requested for defense for fiscal year 2007 in the budget of the President for fiscal year 2006, in order to fund principal unfunded priorities of the military departments and fund an authorized end strength of active duty members of the Army of 512,400, and an authorized end strength of active duty members of the Marine Corps of 179,000, for fiscal year 2007)

On page 48, line 5, decrease the amount by \$3,700,000,000.

On page 53, line 1, increase the amount by \$3,700,000,000.

On page 53, line 2, increase the amount by \$3,700,000,000.

(Purpose: To add \$308 million to function 800 for GSA fully offset by function 920)

On page 25, line 24, increase the amount by \$308,000,000.

On page 25, line 25, increase the amount by \$9,000,000.

On page 26, line 4, increase the amount by \$31,000,000.

On page 26, line 8, increase the amount by \$65,000,000.

On page 26, line 12, increase the amount by \$95,000,000.

On page 26, line 16, increase the amount by \$77,000,000.

On page 27, line 23, decrease the amount by \$308,000,000.

On page 27, line 24, decrease the amount by \$9,000,000.

On page 28, line 2, decrease the amount by \$31,000,000.

On page 28, line 5, decrease the amount by \$65,000,000.

On page 28, line 8, decrease the amount by \$95,000,000.

On page 28, line 11, decrease the amount by \$77,000,000.

MENTAL HEALTH PARITY ASSUMPTION

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I want to begin by complimenting my friend from New Hampshire and the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee on a job well done. He has taken a difficult situation and produced the budget resolution before us today. Congratulations.

I would like to raise the issue of mental health parity as the Senate debates the fiscal year 2007 Senate budget resolution.

It is my understanding the resolution before us assumes the revenue impact of enacting a mental health parity law at a cost of \$1.5 billion over 5 years. I want to make sure that it is indeed the case that the overall revenue number is such that it assumes Congress will pass a mental health parity bill.

Mr. GREGG. I understand the interest of the distinguished senior Senator from New Mexico regarding mental health parity legislation and I would concur with my colleague's assessment. S. Con. Res. 83 does assume the revenue impact of enacting a mental health parity bill.

Mr. DOMENICI. I thank the distinguished chairman for his consideration and explanation of this important matter.

BORDER PATROL CHALLENGES

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, as the chairman may know, I recently took a trip with Senator COLEMAN to the U.S.-

Mexican border to look at the challenges facing our Border Patrol agents as they work to secure the border against illegal immigration. One of our stops was at Fort Huachuca, AZ, where we saw, in operation, the lone UAV Predator B that the Customs and Border Patrol has in service. I was tremendously impressed with this technology and saw its usefulness in assisting our CBP agents in locating and interdicting illegal immigrants as they crossed the border. In fact, Mr. Chairman, while at Fort Huachuca, CBP caught 13 illegal immigrants using the Predator B right before our eyes.

In our discussions with the CBP officials at Fort Huachuca, we learned that with a squadron of UAVs the CBP could provide 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week, coverage on the Mexican border. These MQ-9 UAVs would have satellite command, control, and communications which would allow them to be operated anywhere in the world from anywhere in the world, as well as an updated sensor suite to assist in finding illegals coming across the border. It is my belief that this body should make a significant investment in unmanned aerial vehicles.

We also learned that there is an issue surrounding critical spares for the lone UAV in operation. I understand the CBP is scheduled to receive a second Predator B this year; however, we need to fund the critical spares CBP needs to keep these UAVs up and flying.

Through conversations that I and my staff have had with FAA, I understand they are working out the issues surrounding the flying of UAVs within U.S. airspace. I would like to take this opportunity to encourage the FAA to continue to work with other Government agencies as well as the private sector to mitigate the problems surrounding the use of UAVs in U.S. airspace.

Mr. Chairman, the chairman has been a leader in this body on so many issues but in particular on homeland security issues. I look forward to working with you in this effort and on this issue.

Mr. GREGG. I thank my good friend and colleague from Georgia for highlighting this issue. Protecting U.S. borders is a basic Federal function; it is national security. I also believe these unmanned aerial vehicles can enhance our capabilities, as they have for our military as demonstrated in Afghanistan and Iraq. I am in the position of being both the chairman of the Budget Committee and manager of this budget resolution, and also the chairman of the Appropriations Subcommittee that oversees the Department of Homeland Security both our counterterrorism and border security programs.

The distinguished Senator from Georgia is known as a real leader in this area, and we appreciate his counsel. I might note that this budget resolution proposes increases of some \$4 billion for border security focused on improving infrastructure and giving our men and women on the front lines