Sherman

Slaughter

Smith (WA)

Strickland

Skelton

Solis

Spratt

Stark

Stupak

Tanner

Tauscher

Tiernev

Towns Udall (CO)

Udall (NM)

Van Hollen

Velázquez

Visclosky

Wasserman

Schultz

Waters

Watson

Waxman

Weiner

Wexler

Woolsey

Wu

Wynn

Watt

Taylor (MS)

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Peterson (MN)

Peterson (PA)

Hayworth

Hefley

Brown (SC)

Brown-Waite,

Tiernev Walsh Weiner Wasserman Towns Wexler Udall (CO) Schultz Woolsev Udall (NM) Waters Wu Van Hollen Watson Wynn Velázquez Watt Visclosky Waxman

NOT VOTING-7

DeLay Kennedy (RI) Wilson (SC) Evans Mollohan Flake Snyder

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are reminded there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

\Box 1531

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION TO REDUCE TIME FOR ELECTRONIC VOTING DUR-ING CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5441, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SE-CURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT. 2007

Mr. BOEHNER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during consideration of H.R. 5441 pursuant to House Resolution 836, the Chair may reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for electronic voting under clause 6 of rule XVIII and clause 9 of rule XX.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, 5-minute voting will continne

There was no objection.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5441, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY PRIATIONS ACT, 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the vote on ordering the previous question on House Resolution 836 on which the year and nays are ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 195, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 210]

YEAS-217

Aderholt Bass Boehner Akin Beauprez Bonilla Alexander Biggert Bonner Bachus Bilirakis Bono Bishop (UT) Boozman Baker Barrett (SC) Blackburn Boustany Bradley (NH) Brady (TX) Bartlett (MD) Blunt Boehlert Barton (TX)

Ginny Hensarling Petri Burgess Herger Pickering Burton (IN) Hobson Pitts Calvert Hoekstra Platts Camp (MI) Hostettler Poe Pombo Campbell (CA) Hulshof Cannon Hunter Porter Price (GA) Cantor Hyde Inglis (SC) Capito Pryce (OH) Carter Issa Putnam Castle Istook Ramstad Chabot Jenkins Regula Chocola Jindal Rehberg Johnson (CT) Coble Reichert Cole (OK) Johnson (IL) Renzi Conaway Johnson, Sam Reynolds Rogers (AL) Crenshaw Jones (NC) Cubin Keller Rogers (MI) Culberson Kelly Rohrabacher Kennedy (MN) Ros-Lehtinen Davis (KY) Davis, Jo Ann King (IA) Royce Ryan (WI) Davis, Tom King (NY) Rvun (KS) Deal (GA) Kingston Dent Kline Schmidt Diaz-Balart, L. Schwarz (MI) Knollenberg Diaz-Balart, M. Sensenbrenner Kolbe Kuhl (NY) Sessions Doolittle Drake LaHood Shadegg Latham Shaw Dreier Duncan LaTourette Shays Sherwood Ehlers Leach Lewis (CA) Emerson Shimkus English (PA) Lewis (KY) Shuster Everett Linder Simmons LoBiondo Smith (NJ) Feeney Ferguson Lucas Smith (TX) Fitzpatrick (PA) Lungren, Daniel Sodrel Foley Souder Forbes Mack Stearns Sullivan Fortenberry Manzullo Fossella Marchant Sweeney McCaul (TX) Foxx Tancredo Franks (AZ) Taylor (NC) McCotter Frelinghuysen McCrery Terry Gallegly McHenry Thomas Garrett (NJ) McHugh Thornberry Gerlach McMorris Tiahrt Gibbons Miller (MI) Tiberi Gilchrest Miller, Gary Turner Moran (KS) Gillmor Upton Walden (OR) Gingrey Murphy Musgrave Gohmert Walsh Goode Myrick Wamp Goodlatte Neugebauer Weldon (FL) Granger Ney Weldon (PA) Northup Weller Graves Green (WI) Westmoreland Norwood Gutknecht Nunes Whitfield Wicker Hall Nussle Wilson (NM) Harris Osborne Hart Otter Wolf Hastings (WA) Young (AK) Paul Haves Pearce Young (FL)

NAYS-195

Allen

Baca

Baird

Barrow

Becerra

Berry

Boren

Boyd

 $_{\rm Capps}$

Cardin

Carson

Case

Bean

Abercrombie Clay Ford Ackerman Frank (MA) Cleaver Clyburn Gonzalez Andrews Conyers Gordon Cooper Green, Al Green, Gene Costa Costello Baldwin Grijalva Cramer Gutierrez Crowlev Harman Hastings (FL) Cuellar Berkley Cummings Herseth Davis (AL) Higgins Berman Davis (CA) Hinchey Bishop (GA) Davis (FL) Hinoiosa Bishop (NY) Davis (IL) Holden Blumenauer Davis (TN) Holt DeFazio Honda. Boswell DeGette Hooley Boucher Delahunt Hoyer DeLauro Inslee Brady (PA) Dicks Israel Brown (OH) Dingell Jackson (IL) Brown, Corrine Jackson-Lee Doggett Butterfield Doyle (TX) Edwards Jefferson Johnson, E. B. Capuano Emanuel Engel Kanjorski Cardoza Eshoo Kaptur Kildee Carnahan Etheridge Farr Kilpatrick (MI) Fattah Kind Chandler Kucinich Filner

Langevin Nadler Lantos Napolitano Larsen (WA) Neal (MA) Larson (CT) Oberstar Obey Lee Levin Olver Lewis (GA) Ortiz Lipinski Owens Lofgren, Zoe Pallone Lowey Pascrel1 Lynch Pastor Malonev Pavne Pelosi Markey Marshall Pomeroy Matheson Price (NC) Matsui Rahall McCarthy Rangel McCollum (MN) Reves McDermott Ross McGovern Rothman Roybal-Allard McIntyre McKinney Ruppersberger McNulty Rush Rvan (OH) Meehan Meek (FL) Sabo Melancon Salazar Michaud Sánchez, Linda Millender-Т. McDonald Sanchez, Loretta Miller (NC) Sanders Miller, George Schakowsky Moore (KS) Schiff Schwartz (PA) Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Scott (GA) Murtha Scott (VA) Buyer McKeon DeLay Evans Mica Flake Jones (OH) Mollohan Kennedy (RI) Oxley

NOT VOTING-

Radanovich Meeks (NY) Rogers (KY) Saxton Miller (FL) Simpson Snyder Wilson (SC)

□ 1540

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBER APPOINTMENT OF TO BOARD OF VISITORS TO UNITED STATES COAST GUARD ACADEMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to 14 U.S.C. 194(a) and the order of the House of December 18, 2005, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Member of the House to the Board of Visitors to the United States Coast Guard Academy:

Mr. TAYLOR, Mississippi.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 4963

Mr. HYDE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be withdrawn as a cosponsor of the bill,

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend

their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 5441, and that I may include tabular material on the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SE-CURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 836 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 5441.

\Box 1545

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 5441) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2007, and for other purposes, with Mr. GILLMOR in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

The gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS) and the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. SABO) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume

Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to be here to present the fiscal year 2007 Homeland Security Appropriations Bill. The bill provides just over \$32 billion in discretionary funds for the upcoming fiscal year, that is \$1.8 billion above the current year, providing ample resources to fund the Department's operations in 2007.

After 3 years, the Department of Homeland Security has made enormous progress, but much work remains. The past year has been challenging. We have seen military-like incursions at the border, learned of potential vulnerabilities within port security and witnessed a massive failure in our Nation's preparedness and response during Hurricane Katrina. It has not been an easy year.

I have watched the Department tackle these challenges, and have been forthcoming in both my criticisms and praise, and they deserve both. Now, in its fourth year of existence, DHS is still struggling to merge its 22 legacy agencies.

Basic business systems are not yet established. And there is a constant shuffling of responsibilities and positions. From one day to the next, it is hard to determine who is in charge of what effort. On top of the mundane job of simply managing a large bureaucracy of over 180,000 employees, the De-

partment is often focused on managing the crisis of the day. Part of this is necessary. Katrina's aftermath certainly required the attention of DHS leadership.

But I do not think the Department should lose sight of its long range goals and diverse legacy missions, to deal exclusively with the latest crisis. Nor, do I think that we as a Congress can afford to be so caught up in today's crisis that we fail to provide balance, stability and aggressive oversight within the Department's operations.

The President's budget put a strong emphasis on two areas, borders and immigration security, and nuclear detection. These are certainly homeland security priorities which I support. But increases in these areas came at the expense of everything else, resulting in reduced funding for first responders, port security and legacy agencies such as the Secret Service.

The bill before you shifts some of these resources and provides a balance among all of the Homeland Security priorities. It gives the Department the tools, assets and direction it needs to prepare our Nation for both terrorist attacks and natural disasters.

Since September 11, we have provided \$217.6 billion for homeland security, including \$116.9 billion for the Department itself. This does not include emergency appropriations for Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma.

For the past 3 years, we have provided funds to get the Department up and running. But this year marks a turning point for the Department. It is 3 years old. It is already up and running. We now expect results. No longer will we tolerate excuses and delays due to reorganizations, personnel shortages and poor financial management. Those days are over. We need to have confidence that this money is making a difference and that as a Nation we are safer and better prepared.

The bill includes a number of initiatives designed to compel the Department to develop strategies and milestones for performance. To eliminate any ambiguity of Congressional intent, the bill fences funds until certain actions are performed. In fact, a total of \$1.3 billion is withheld until we have strategic plans, expenditure plans, and better financial data throughout the Department.

The bill also balances funding across all programs, not just a select few. But there are some caveats. We give money to the Department, but we also require results. For port security, cargo security and container security, we include \$4.185 billion, a significant sum of money, but not without strings.

There are stringent performance requirements, such as doubling the amount of cargo inspected, 100 percent screening of all cargo and the establishment of minimum security standards for all cargo containers.

It also requires that DHS double the amount of cargo screened for radiation. These requirements are in line with the

recently considered SAFE Port Act, which overwhelming passed this House on May 4.

For border security and immigration enforcement, the bill is also generous. We provide \$19.6 billion, including almost \$4 billion for the Secure Border Initiative. Again, these funds do not come without strings. Strategic and expenditure plans must be submitted for this effort. Unless the Department can show us exactly what we are buying, we will not fund it. Since 1995, spending on border security has quadrupled from \$5.1 billion to over \$17.9 billion.

And the number of Border Patrol agents has more than doubled from 5,000 to 12,319. However, during this same period, the number of illegal immigrants has jumped from 5 million to an estimated 12 million people. The policy of more money and no results is no longer in effect.

We will not fund programs with false expectations. The American taxpayer deserves more. We learned many lessons, Mr. Chairman, from Hurricane Katrina. The Department has taken a number of steps to prepare for the start of the 2006 Hurricane season on June 1, including improvements to communications, logistics management, victim registration and debris removal.

However, much work remains. And we provide \$493 million to build FEMA's operational capabilities, including 200 new staff to improve incident and logistics management, evacuations and debris removal.

The bill includes \$3.2 billion for our first responders. This is in addition to the \$5.1 billion that is still in the pipeline waiting to be spent, moneys from previous years. Here, too, we require results. And we put pressure on DHS to measure progress in preparing our first responders.

Since September 11, we have given the first responders, we have provided \$37.4 billion. The question is, are they better trained? Are they better prepared? Are they better equipped? We do not know the answer to that, but we should. The bill includes a provision requiring DHS to develop a preparedness strategy and to measure the performance of first responders.

The bill provides \$6.4 billion for the Transportation Security Administration and the air marshals, including \$497 million for explosive detection systems, and \$55 million for air cargo security. It also continues to cap the number of screeners at 45,000, ensuring that TSA will not rely exclusively on people to secure aviation but rather use smart technologies to screen for explosives and other contraband.

We must get out of the cycle of simply giving more money for people when technology in many cases provides a better answer. The bill includes \$500 million for the domestic nuclear detection office. Much work has been done in this area over the past year, and the office has made significant progress in the areas of detection technologies and