Renzi Sessions Thompson (MS) Reyes Shaw Tiahrt Rogers (AL) Shays Tiberi Rogers (KY) Sherwood Turner Udall (NM) Rogers (MI) Shimkus Ros-Lehtinen Shuster Upton Visclosky Ross Simmons Rothman Walden (OR) Simpson Rovbal-Allard Skelton Walsh Smith (NJ) Royce Wamp Ryan (WI) Smith (TX) Waters Rvun (KS) Snyder Weiner Weldon (FL) Sabo Sodrel Salazar Weldon (PA) Souder Sanders Spratt Weller Sullivan Westmoreland Saxton Schmidt Sweeney Wicker Wilson (NM) Schwarz (MI) Tancredo Taylor (NC) Scott (GA) Wilson (SC) Scott (VA) Wolf Young (FL) Serrano Thomas

#### NAYS-128

Pelosi

Grijalya

Ackerman Gutierrez Allen Petri Andrews Hastings (FL) Poe Baird Hefley Rahall Hensarling Baker Rohrabacher Baldwin Holden Ruppersberger Becerra. Holt Rush Honda Berman Ryan (OH) Hooley Berry Sánchez, Linda Bilirakis Hover Т. Bishop (NY) Jackson (IL) Sanchez, Loretta Blackburn Jones (NC) Schakowsky Blumenauer Kanjorski Schiff Brown (OH) Kilpatrick (MI) Schwartz (PA) Capps Kind Sensenbrenner Kucinich Cardin Carson Langevin Shadegg Case Lantos Sherman Chandler Smith (WA) Lee Levin Clay Lewis (GA) Cleaver Stark Convers Lipinski Stearns Lofgren, Zoe Cooper Tanner Costello Lvnch Tauscher Markey Taylor (MS) Cummings Davis (CA) Matheson Thompson (CA) Davis (IL) Matsui Thornberry McCollum (MN) Davis, Tom Tierney DeFazio McGovern Towns DeGette McKinney Udall (CO) Delahunt McNulty Van Hollen DeLauro Meehan Velázquez Dingell Meeks (NY) Wasserman Doggett Michaud Schultz Miller (NC) Doyle Watson Engel Miller, George Watt Eshoo Moore (WI) Waxman Etheridge Nadler Wexler Napolitano Everett Flake Obey Whitfield Ford Owens Woolsey Frank (MA) Pallone Wynn Franks (AZ) Paul Green (WI) Payne Young (AK)

#### NOT VOTING-11

Bishop (GA) Larson (CT) Slaughter Evans Leach Strickland Johnson (CT) Musgrave Stupak Reynolds Kennedy (RI)

# □ 2216

Ms. WATSON changed her vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. LARSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I would like to submit this statement for the RECORD and regret that I could not be present today, Thursday, May 18, 2006 to vote on rollcall vote Nos. 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, and 172 due to a family medical emergency.

Had I been present, I would have voted:

"No" on rollcall vote No. 160 on calling the previous question on H. Res. 818-the rule providing for consideration of H.R. 5386-Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2007:

"No" on rollcall vote No. 161 on passage of H. Res. 818-the rule providing for consideration of H.R. 5386-Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2007;

Yea" on rollcall vote No. 162 on suspending the rules and agreeing to H. Res. 795—Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Dahab and Northern Sinai, Egypt, on April 24 and 26, 2006;

Yea" on rollcall vote No. 163 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to fully reopen the Statue of Liberty up to the level of her crown, which has been closed since 9/11;

"No" on rollcall vote No. 164 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to strike all remaining moratoria in the bill on oil and gas drilling on the Outer Continental Shelf;

Yea" on rollcall vote No. 165 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to prohibit the EPA from raising the threshold which requires reports to be issued on toxic chemicals and substances in the Toxics Release Inventory;

'No" on rollcall vote No. 166 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to cut \$30 million from the National Endowment for the Arts in order to provide an increase in funds for the Forest Service's wildfire management account;

"Yea" on rollcall vote No. 167 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to prohibit the awarding of new leases to oil companies in taxpayerowned waters-unless those companies agree to pay royalties for the oil and natural gas they are extracting from such areas;

"Yea" on rollcall vote No. 168 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to prohibit funds in the bill to plan, design, study, or construct roads in Tongass National Forest in Alaska for the purpose of harvesting timber;

"Yea" on rollcall vote No. 169 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to prohibit funds in the Act from being used by the administrator of the EPA to implement or enforce the Joint Memorandum published in the Federal Register on January 15, 2003 (68 Fed. Reg. 1995);

"Yea" on rollcall vote No. 170 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to prohibit use of funds in the bill to conduct activities in violation of the moratorium on drilling in the Outer Continental Shelf:

"No" on rollcall vote No. 171 on an amendment to H.R. 5386 to cut all funds in the bill by one percent across-the-board, and:

"No" on rollcall vote No. 172 on passage of H.R. 5386—Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2007.

CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO BURMA-MESSAGE FROMTHE  ${\rm THE}$ PRESIDENT UNITED  $^{
m OF}$ STATES (H. DOC. NO. 109-110)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides

for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the Federal Register and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. I have sent the enclosed notice to the Federal Register for publication, which states that the Burma emergency is to continue beyond May 20, 2006, for publication. The most recent notice continuing this emergency was published in the Federal Register on May 18, 2005 (70 FR 28771)

The crisis between the United States and Burma arising from the actions and policies of the Government of Burma, including its policies of committing large-scale repression of the democratic opposition in Burma, that led to the declaration of a national emergency on May 20, 1997, has not been resolved. These actions and policies are hostile to U.S. interests and pose a continuing unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. For this reason. I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency with respect to Burma and maintain in force the sanctions against Burma to respond to this threat.

> GEORGE W. BUSH. THE WHITE HOUSE, May 18, 2006.

CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL **EMERGENCY** PROTECTING THEDEVELOPMENT FUND FOR IRAQ AND CERTAIN OTHER PROPERTY IN WHICH IRAQ HAS AN INTER-EST-MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF UNITED THE STATES (H. DOC. NO. 109-112)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the Federal Register and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, I have sent the enclosed notice to the Federal Register for publication. This notice states that the national emergency declared in Executive Order 13303 of May 22, 2003, as expanded in scope by Executive Order 13315 of August 28, 2003, and modified in Executive Order 13364 of November 29, 2004, is to continue in effect beyond May 22, 2006. The most recent notice continuing this emergency was published in the Federal Register on May 20, 2005 (70 FR 29435).

The threats of attachment or other judicial process against (i) the Development Fund for Iraq, (ii) Iraqi petroleum and petroleum products, and interests therein, and proceeds, obligations, or any financial instruments of any nature whatsoever arising from or related to the sale or marketing thereof, or (iii) any accounts, assets, investments, or any other property of any kind owned by, belonging to, or held by, on behalf of, or otherwise for the Central Bank of Iraq create obstacles to the orderly reconstruction of Iraq, the restoration and maintenance of peace and security in the country, and the development of political, administrative, and economic institutions in Iraq. Accordingly, these obstacles continue to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. For these reasons, I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency protecting the Development Fund for Iraq, certain other property in which Iraq has an interest, and the Central Bank of Iraq, and to maintain in force the sanctions to respond to this threat.

GEORGE W. BUSH. THE WHITE HOUSE, May 18, 2006.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HON. STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, Member of Congress:

14TH DISTRICT, OHIO, CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES, Washington, DC, May 15, 2006.

Hon. J. Dennis Hastert,

Speaker, House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a civil subpoena for documents and testimony issued by the Cuyahoga County, Ohio Court of Common Pleas.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII of the Rules of the House.

Sincerely,

STEVEN C. LATOURETTE.

# GULF COAST RENEWAL CAMPAIGN

(Ms. WATSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WATSON. Mr. Speaker, in the months following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, FEMA sent notices to survivors indicating that they would receive up to 1 year of assistance. FEMA has recently announced that 55,000 families of Katrina survivors currently receiving housing emergency shelter assistance will have their housing assistance terminated or reduced significantly as of this May 31.

Survivors are supposed to apply for assistance under section 408 and, if the application is accepted, they can reasonably expect further assistance up to 18 months from the date of the hurri-

cane. Some reports indicate, however, that the rate of rejection has been as high as 80 percent or four out of five household applicants. Also, section 408 individualized rental assistance required reapplication every 3 months.

Now, how many landlords offer 3-month leases? It has been a sham and a shame, Mr. Speaker. FEMA and this country has to do better to its evacuees

Mr. Speaker, in the months following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, FEMA sent notices to survivors indicating that they would receive up to one year of assistance. FEMA has recently announced that 55,000 families of Katrina survivors currently receiving housing "emergency shelter" assistance under Section 403 (Stafford Act) will have their housing assistance terminated or reduced significantly as of May 31, 2006.

Survivors are supposed to apply for assistance under Section 408, and if the application is accepted they can reasonably expect further assistance up to 18 months from the date of the hurricane. Some reports indicate, however, that the rate of rejection has been as high as 80% or 4 out of 5 household applicants. Households who are rejected but are still in distress must rely on the good will of local and state governments, even though they may not be actual constituents in those jurisdictions. Section 408 individualized rental assistance required re-application every 3 months. How many landlords offer 3 month leases? According to FEMA numbers, the reapplication rate is only 30 per cent. These facts raise very serious concerns regarding low income, elderly, disabled and other vulnerable persons among the displaced will find themselves with inadequate assistance, and there are reports of widespread despair, anxiety and lack of faith in the government.

Under FEMA regulations, only one head of household may apply for assistance. But this rule discriminates against low income African-American families from New Orleans, among whom multiple families living in one dwelling unit is common. Additionally, FEMA is telling many survivors whose applications have been refused that the basis for refusal is because their home has been deemed habitable, even when their homes are located in neighborhoods where no public services are available and where widespread destruction and environmental contamination remain. These inaccurate assessments of eligibility should be investigated and re-evaluated.

Section 408 Individualized assistance does not cover utilities. However, section 2501 of the Supplemental Bill now in Conference Committee grants FEMA the authority to provide funds to a state or local entity to pay for utility costs associated with the thousands of leases currently in place. It is essential that the Conference Committee leave this language in place, because without it many Katrina survivor households will be in further jeopardy of being unable to retain current housing leases.

FEMA has failed to submit its plan for per-

FEMA has failed to submit its plan for permanent and transitional housing to Congress, which was due in January of 2006. Thus FEMA continues to operate without an overall plan, instituting more rolling deadlines and bureaucratic bungling which has brought additional hardship to survivors and their families.

FEMA has wasted hundreds of millions of dollars on mobile homes that have never been relocated to a place where survivors can use

them, and on trailers that cost as much as \$120,000 per unit after transport costs are paid, sometimes exceeding the cost of producing the unit. With FEMA set to receive \$9 billion or more from the Supplemental Bill now in Conference Committee, FEMA could use the housing envelope to simply purchase apartment buildings at a unit cost that would be less than trailers. This would allow for permanent, not temporary housing and would avert the jeopardy of returning residents being caught in trailers during hurricane season, which is only weeks away.

The President has the authority to institute alternative, comprehensive provisions for all Katrina survivors under the Stafford Act, and thus to ensure that no survivor is left homeless as a result of the disaster and subsequent evacuation.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FITZPATRICK of Pennsylvania). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2005, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

# THE TRUTH ABOUT OIL PRICES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, well, we heard some interesting debate on the floor today. There are those who allege, well, if only, if only we opened up our most sensitive coastal areas, areas that are critical, for instance, for our fisheries, that we could drill our way out of this crisis. We could drill our way out of high prices for oil and gas.

But as one gentleman from the Republican side pointed out, actually, that is far from the truth, because even if additional significant finds are made, they would be sold into a market which does not reflect the costs of the production of the oil or its origins. It is essentially a market controlled by OPEC, the cartel mostly based in the Middle East, that is violating international trade laws by colluding to restrict supply and drive up the price of crude oil. And the Bush administration, who are great fans of free trade, the World Trade Organization, and rules-based trade, refuses to file a complaint against OPEC. I guess they are scared of OPEC and their clout.

But the point is, even if these finds were made, for instance, today it costs about 28 bucks on average for a barrel of Texas crude. But guess what? It sells for \$70 a barrel.

Now, where does that other \$42 go, one wonders. Well, it goes, in good part, to speculators. It turns out that the trade in crude oil in the United States of America, only a quarter of that market is regulated and controlled by the government under the rules for commodities, Commodities