I think that the vice chairman of the Rules Committee Mr. DIAZ-BALART put it very well when he said that anyone who casts a vote against this rule is saying no to the issue of reform. No, I don't want to proceed with bringing about the kinds of institutional changes that will play a role in enhancing the level of integrity to which the American people can hold this great deliberative body.

We hear everyone talking about reform. Voices for reform are out there, and they are very prevalent in the media, here on the House floor, day after day after day. But in just a few minutes we are going to have the opportunity to transform those voices for reform into votes for reform. This is our opportunity.

Mr. Speaker, with that I urge an "aye" vote on this rule so that we can move ahead with this very, very important reform effort.

Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to join my colleagues in making a point that seems to be lost on the leadership of this House: this is not simply a "lobbyist problem" we are facing. Ensuring that lawmakers comply with existing ethics rules and enhancing lobbyist disclosure requirements are important goals . . . and even on this measure, . . . the so-called "Lobbying Accountability and Transparency Act" falls embarrassingly short.

What started as a limited but seemingly earnest attempt at reform has been progressively hollowed out over the past several weeks inyou guessed it-closed-door meetings with lobbyists. The result is not surprising. Reporting requirements for lobbyist-hosted fundraisers? Gone. No more bargain rates on corporate jets? Gone. A study to examine lobbyist employment contracts? Gone.

But again, this is not simply a lobbyist problem. House Democrats have tried in earnest to offer a plan for reform that takes a hard look in the mirror and examines what Congress must do to clean up its own house.

My colleagues DAVE OBEY, BARNEY FRANK, TOM ALLEN and I have introduced a fourteenpoint plan that would address not only individual abuses, but also the abuses of the legislative process. Our proposal would end the practice of keeping votes held open long enough to twist recalcitrant arms into compliance. It would prevent legislation from being slipped into conference reports without conference approval. It would require House-Senate conferences to actually meet and vote. And it would give Members of Congress at least a full day to examine the contents of any legislation we are voting on.

We have testified before the Rules Committee in favor of this comprehensive approach. During Rules Committee markup of this bill and again during the hearing on the rule last night, numerous amendments were offered and defeated-mostly on party-line votes-that would have implemented these reforms. The Democratic Substitute, which was also denied a fair hearing last night, recognized the need to take a comprehensive approach to lobbying and ethics reform. At each step in the process, our attempts at genuine, bipartisan reform were turned away.

So what did we get instead? It's no surprise: a bill that could serve as a case study in everything that is broken in our legislative proc-

ess-of everything we should be "reforming." We get a so-called "Lobbying Accountability and Transparency Act" that offers neither accountability nor real transparency. We get a minority party-and many Members of the majority-completely shut out of the process once again, their amendments denied, their advice and concerns unheeded. We get a restrictive rule that makes in order just nine out of the 74 amendments offered-and only one sponsored by a Democrat without a Republican cosponsor—and allows for only one hour of debate on what should be one of the most significant bills we consider all year.

This leadership had a real chance to enact real reform, not for the sake of an aggrieved minority . . . not for the sake of election-vear politics . . . but for the sake of our institution, for its integrity and its capacity to govern. Instead, they seem to think they can convince the American people that they're cleaning up our House, when all they're doing is sweeping our problems under the rug.

Well Mr. Speaker, the American people will not be so easily fooled. And I assure you that those of us in this body who want real, comprehensive reform will not rest until we have successfully enacted such a measure. But this is not such a measure. I urge my colleagues to oppose this legislation.

Mr. HULSHOF. Mr. Speaker, it is with regret that I rise today in opposition to the rule before us.

The ethics process in this body is broken. In all candor, there is plenty of blame to go around as to why we find ourselves in this situation. We undermine the public's faith in this great institution when we let petty politics erode the very processes meant to preserve the public's trust in Congress.

I have met with the Majority Leader on this issue, and I sincerely believe that he has a genuine desire to have an effective, functioning Ethics process in the House. I thank him for his willingness to listen, and I hope we can perhaps address this issue in the future.

Having previously served on the Ethics Committee, I firmly believe that the ethics process can work. For the sake of this institution-it must work. And as we begin consideration of the Leadership's ethics and lobby reform package, I will say there are some provisions in the base bill before us that should ultimately be adopted-earmark reform, denying Congressional pensions to convicted felons, enhanced disclosure and improved ethics education are common-sense proposals that I would hope that we can all support.

That being said, I cannot support this rule. Ethics reform is incomplete absent changes to improve the enforcement of House rules. My colleague JOEL HEFLEY and I have put forward legislation to strengthen the ability of the Ethics Committee to dispense with ethics matters by expediting the review of these issues and insulating committee members and non-partisan staff from the political pressures that can pollute the ethics process. We do this by giving the Chair and Ranking Member on the committee subpoena power earlier in the investigative process and prohibiting the arbitrary dismissal of Members and technical staff. We also require ethics education for Members and staff, and we dramatically improve disclosure associated with gifts and travel. All of these common-sense reforms would greatly improve the ethics process in the House.

We sought to offer our legislation as an amendment to the bill we are to consider

today. This proposal was not made in order under the rule. Thus, we are faced with the prospect of passing an incomplete ethics reform package that lacks enhanced enforcement

I think this is a mistake, and for this reason, I must reluctantly oppose this rule.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HAYES). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and navs were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Ms. Wanda Evans, one of his secretaries.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on two questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order.

Motion to instruct on H.R. 4297;

Adoption of House Resolution 783.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The second will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 4297, TAX RELIEF EXTENSION RECONCILIATION ACT OF 2005

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Kuhl of New York). The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to instruct on H.R. 4297 offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDermott) on which the year and nays are ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the mo-

The Clerk redesignated the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 190, nays 232, not voting 10, as follows:

> [Roll No. 109] YEAS-190

Berkley Abercrombie Ackerman Berman Allen Andrews

Baca

Baird

Raldwin

Becerra

Berry Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Boswell Boucher Boyd

Brady (PA) Brown (OH) Brown, Corrine Butterfield Capps Capuano Cardin Cardoza

Osborne

Granger

April 27, 20	006
Carnahan	Jones (OH)
Carson	Kanjorski
Case	Kaptur
Chandler	Kennedy (RI)
Clay	Kildee
Cleaver	Kilpatrick (MI)
Clyburn	Kind
Conyers	Kucinich
Cooper	Langevin
Costa	Lantos
Costello	Larsen (WA)
Crowley	Larson (CT)
Cummings	Leach
Davis (AL)	Lee
Davis (CA)	Levin
Davis (FL)	Lewis (GA)
Davis (IL)	Lipinski
DeFazio	Lofgren, Zoe
DeGette	Lowey
Delahunt	Lynch
DeLauro	Maloney
Dicks	Markey
Dingell	Matheson
Doggett	Matsui
Doyle	McCarthy
Edwards	McCollum (MN)
Emanuel	McDermott
Engel	McGovern
Eshoo	McIntyre
Etheridge	McKinney
Farr	McNulty
Filner	Meehan
Ford	Meek (FL)
Frank (MA)	Meeks (NY)
Gonzalez	Michaud
Gordon	Miller (NC)
Green, Al	Miller, George
Green, Gene	Mollohan
Grijalva	Moore (KS)
Gutierrez	Moore (WI)
Harman	Moran (VA)
Herseth	Murtha
Higgins	Nadler
Hinchey Hinojosa	Napolitano
Holden	Neal (MA) Oberstar
Holt	Oberstar
Honda	Olver
Hooley	Owens
Hoyer	Pallone
Inslee	Pascrell
Israel	Pastor
Jackson (IL)	Payne
Jackson-Lee	Pelosi
(TX)	Pomeroy
Johnson, E. B.	Price (NC)
7 (27G)	T 1100 (110)

Rangel Reves Ross Rothman Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Saho Salazar Sánchez, Linda т Sanchez Loretta Sanders Schakowsky Schiff Schwartz (PA) Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Serrano Sherman Skelton Slaughter Smith (WA) Snyder Solis Spratt Stark Strickland Stupak Tanner Tauscher Taylor (MS) Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Towns

Udall (CO)

Udall (NM)

Van Hollen

Velázquez

Visclosky

Wasserman

Schultz

Waters

Watson

Waxman

Weiner

Wexler

Woolsey

Wu

Wynn

Wilson (NM)

Watt

Upton

Rahall

Aderholt Castle Akin Chabot Alexander Chocola Coble Cole (OK) Bachus Baker Barrett (SC) Conaway Barrow Cramer Bartlett (MD) Crenshaw Barton (TX) Cubin Bass Cuellar Bean Culberson Beauprez Davis (KY) Biggert Davis (TN) Bilirakis Davis, Jo Ann Davis, Tom Bishop (UT) Blackburn Deal (GA) DeLav Blunt Boehlert Dent Diaz-Balart, L Boehner Bonilla Diaz-Balart, M. Bonner Doolittle Bono Drake Boozman Dreier Boren Duncan Boustany Ehlers Bradley (NH) Emerson Brady (TX) English (PA) Brown (SC) Everett Brown-Waite. Feeney Ginny Ferguson Fitzpatrick (PA) Burgess Burton (IN) Flake Foley Buyer Calvert Forbes Camp (MI) Fortenberry Campbell (CA) Fossella Cannon Foxx Franks (AZ) Cantor Capito Frelinghuysen Carter Gallegly

Jones (NC)

NAYS-232 Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Gibbons Gillmor Gingrev Gohmert Goode Goodlatte Granger Graves Green (WI) Gutknecht Hall Harris Hart Hastings (WA) Haves Hayworth Hefley Hensarling Herger Hobson Hoekstra Hostettler Hulshof Hunter Hyde Inglis (SC) Issa Istook Jenkins Jindal Johnson (CT) Johnson (IL) Johnson, Sam Keller Kelly Kennedy (MN) King (IA) King (NY)

Sensenbrenner Northup Kingston Kirk Norwood Sessions Kline Nunes Shadegg Knollenberg Nussle Shaw Shays Osborne Kolbe Kuhl (NY) Otter Sherwood LaHood Oxlev Shimkus Latham Pearce Shuster LaTourette Pence Simmons Peterson (MN) Lewis (CA) Simpson Smith (NJ) Lewis (KY) Peterson (PA) Linder Petri Smith (TX) Pickering LoBiondo Sodrel Lucas Souder Lungren, Daniel Platts Stearns Sullivan E. Poe Mack Pombo Sweeney Manzullo Porter Tancredo Marchant Price (GA) Taylor (NC) Marshall Pryce (OH) Terry McCaul (TX) Putnam Thomas McCotter Radanovich Thornberry McCrery Ramstad Tiahrt McHenry Regula. Tiberi McHugh Rehberg Turner McKeon Reichert Walden (OR) McMorris Renzi Walsh Melancon Reynolds Wamp Mica Rogers (AL) Weldon (FL) Miller (FL) Rogers (KY) Weldon (PA) Miller (MI) Rogers (MI) Weller Miller, Gary Rohrabacher Westmoreland Moran (KS) Whitfield Rovce Ryan (WI) Murphy Wicker Wilson (SC) Musgrave Ryun (KS) Wolf Myrick Saxton Young (AK) Neugebauer Schmidt Ney Schwarz (MI) Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-

Blumenauer Hastings (FL) Ortiz Evans Fattah Jefferson Paul Millender-Ros-Lehtinen Gilchrest McDonald

\Box 1727

Messrs. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida, ROGERS of Alabama, OXLEY, INGLIS of South Carolina, LINDER, Ms. HART, Messrs. SIMMONS, CAN-NON, SOUDER, LAHOOD, and FOLEY changed their vote from "yea" "nay."

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Messrs. SPRATT, GUTIERREZ, and SERRANO changed their vote from "nay" to "yea.

So the motion to instruct was reiected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR. CONSIDERATION H.R. 4975. LOBBYING AC-OΨ COUNTABILITY AND TRANS-PARENCY ACT OF 2006

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Kuhl of New York). The pending business is the vote on adoption of House Resolution 783 on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 207, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 110] YEAS-216

Aderholt Bachus Bartlett (MD) Akin Baker Barton (TX) Barrett (SC) Alexander Beauprez

Biggert Bilirakis Bishop (UT) Blackburn Blunt Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Bonner Bono Boozman Boustany Brady (TX) Brown (SC) Brown-Waite, Ginny Burgess Burton (IN) Buver Calvert Camp (MI) Campbell (CA) Cantor Capito Carter Castle Chocola Coble Cole (OK) Conaway Crenshaw Cubin Culberson Davis (KY) Davis, Jo Ann Davis, Tom Deal (GA) DeLav Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Doolittle Drake Dreier Duncan Ehlers Emerson English (PA) Everett Feeney Ferguson Fitzpatrick (PA) Flake Foley Forbes Fortenberry Fossella Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Gibbons Gillmor Gingrey Gohmert Goode Goodlatte

Otter Graves Gutknecht Oxley Hall Pearce Harris Pence Hart Peterson (PA) Hastert. Petri Hastings (WA) Pickering Hayes Pitts Havworth Poe Hensarling Pombo Herger Porter Hobson Price (GA) Hoekstra Pryce (OH) Hostettler Putnam Hunter Radanovich Regula Inglis (SC) Rehberg Issa Reichert Istook Renzi Jenkins Reynolds Jindal Rogers (AL) Johnson (CT) Rogers (KY) Johnson (IL) Rogers (MI) Johnson, Sam Rohrabacher Keller Royce Kellv Ryan (WI) Kennedy (MN) Rvun (KS) King (IA) Saxton King (NY) Schmidt Kingston Schwarz (MI) Kirk Sessions Kline Shadegg Knollenberg Shaw Kuhl (NY) Sherwood LaHood Shimkus Latham Shuster LaTourette Simmons Leach Simpson Lewis (CA) Smith (NJ) Lewis (KY) Smith (TX) Linder Sodrel LoBiondo Souder Lucas Stearns Lungren, Daniel Sullivan Sweenev Mack Tancredo Manzullo Taylor (NC) Marchant Terry McCaul (TX) Thomas McCotter Thornberry McCrery Tiahrt McHenry McHugh Tiberi McKeon Turner Upton McMorris Mica Walden (OR) Miller (FI.) Walsh Wamp Miller (MI) Weldon (FL) Miller, Gary Weldon (PA) Moran (KS) Weller Murphy Musgrave Westmoreland Myrick Whitfield Wicker Neugebauer Wilson (NM) Ney Northur Wilson (SC) Wolf Norwood Nunes Nussle

NAYS-207

Cardin Abercrombie Ackerman Cardoza Allen Carnahan Andrews Carson Baca Case Baird Chabot Baldwin Chandler Barrow Clay Bass Cleaver Bean Clyburn Becerra Convers Berkley Cooper Costa Berman Berry Costello Bishop (GA) Cramer Bishop (NY) Crowley Boren Cuellar Boswell Cummings Boucher Davis (AL) Boyd Davis (CA) Bradley (NH) Davis (FL) Brady (PA) Davis (IL) Brown (OH) Davis (TN) Brown, Corrine DeFazio Butterfield DeGette Capps Delahunt Capuano DeLauro

Young (AK) Young (FL) Dicks Dingell Doggett Dovle Edwards Emanuel Engel Eshoo Etheridge Farr Filner Ford Frank (MA) Gonzalez Gordon Green (WI) Green, Al Green, Gene Grijalva Gutierrez Harman Hefley Herseth Higgins Hinchev Hinojosa

Holden