

Hawaiians. On July 12, 2005, The New York Times published an editorial piece that captures the essence of what we have been trying to do for the people of Hawaii.

Our bill, S. 147, the Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2005, provides a process for Native Hawaiians to reorganize their governing entity for the purposes of a federally recognized government-to-government relationship with the United States. Following recognition, the bill provides for a negotiations process between the governing entity and the State and Federal governments to determine how the Native Hawaiian governing entity will exercise its governmental authority. The negotiations process is intended to represent all interested parties through the State, Federal and native governments; and provides the structure that has been missing since 1893 for Hawaii's people to address the longstanding issue resulting from the overthrow of the Kingdom of Hawaii. This bill provides the people of Hawaii with an opportunity for reconciliation and healing so that we can move forward as a State.

Opponents of the legislation have characterized its effect as divisive. The purpose of my bill, however, is to bring unity in the State by providing an inclusive process for all of us, Native Hawaiian and non-Native Hawaiian, to finally address the consequences of our painful history. Lawrence Downes, The New York Times editorial writer who authored the article, captured this in his piece. I ask unanimous consent that the article entitled, "In Hawaii, A Chance to Heal, Long Delayed," be printed in today's RECORD in its entirety.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the New York Times, July 12, 2005]
IN HAWAII, A CHANCE TO HEAL, LONG DELAYED
(By Lawrence Downes)

Less than a month after 9/11, with terrorism fears threatening to put jet travel and thus the Hawaiian economy into a death spiral, tourism officials there announced an emergency marketing campaign to promote the State as a place of rest, solace and healing. Anyone who has ever stepped off a plane in Honolulu, trading the brittle staleness of the aircraft cabin for the liquid Hawaiian breeze, warm and heavy with the scent of flowers, knows exactly what they meant.

The selling of Hawaii as a land of gracious welcome works so well because it happens to be true. But for the members of one group, that has always evoked a bitter taste: native Hawaiians, the descendants of Polynesian voyagers who settled the islands in antiquity and lived there in isolation until the late 1700's. Ever since Captain Cook, the native Hawaiian story has been a litany of loss: loss of land and of a way of life, of population through sickness and disease, and of self-determination when United States marines toppled the monarchy in 1893.

Over decades, the islands emerged as a vibrant multiracial society and the proud 50th State. Hawaiian culture—language and art, religion and music—has undergone a profound rebirth since the 1970's. But underneath this modern history remains a deep

sense of dispossession among native Hawaiians, who make up about 20 percent of the population.

Into the void has stepped Senator Daniel Akaka, the first native Hawaiian in Congress, who is the lead sponsor of a bill to extend federal recognition to native Hawaiians, giving them the rights of self-government as indigenous people that only American Indians and native Alaskans now enjoy. The Akaka bill has the support of Hawaii's Congressional delegation, the State Legislature and even its Republican governor, Linda Lingle. It will go before the Senate for a vote as soon as next week.

The bill would allow native Hawaiians—defined, in part, as anyone with indigenous ancestors living in the islands before the kingdom fell—to elect a governing body that would negotiate with the Federal Government over land and other natural resources and assets. There is a lot of money and property at stake, including nearly two million acres of "ceded lands," once owned by the monarchy; hundreds of thousands of acres set aside long ago for Hawaiian homesteaders; and hundreds of millions of dollars in entitlement programs.

Much of what is now the responsibility of two State agencies, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands, would become the purview of the new government.

There are many jurisdictional and procedural details to work out, but Mr. Akaka and others insist that the bill precludes radical outcomes.

There would be no cash reparations, no new entitlements, no land grabs and especially no Indian-style casinos, which are a hot topic in Hawaii, one of only two states that outlaw all gambling.

The bill's critics include those who see it as a race-based scheme to balkanize a racial paradise. On the other flank, radical Hawaiian groups say the bill undercuts their real dream: to take the 50th star off the flag and to create a government that does its negotiating with the State Department, not Interior.

Mr. Akaka argues, convincingly, that beyond the bill's practical benefits in streamlining the management of assets and the flow of money, it is a crucial step in a long, slow process of reconciliation. As he sees it, Hawaii's cultural renaissance has exposed the unhealed wound in the native psyche. He has witnessed it in young people, more radical than their elders, as they adopt a tone of uncharacteristic hostility and resentment in sovereignty marches. He has noted a wariness that is at odds with the conciliatory mood struck in 1993, when President Bill Clinton signed a resolution apologizing for the kingdom's overthrow.

Mr. Akaka says his bill offers vital encouragement to a group that makes up a disproportionate share of the islands' poor, sick, homeless and imprisoned, while steering a moderate course between extremes of agitation and apathy.

The spirit of aloha, of gentle welcome, is the direct legacy of native culture and an incalculable gift the Hawaiian people have made to everyone who has ever traveled there—wobbly-legged sailors and missionaries, dogged immigrants and sun-scorched tourists. The Akaka bill, with its first steps at long-deferred Hawaiian self-determination, seems like an obvious thing to give in return, an overdue measure of simple gratitude.

MASSACRE AT SREBRENICA

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the recently passed

S. Res. 134, a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the massacre at Srebrenica in July 1995, the largest single mass execution in Europe since World War II.

It has been 10 years since the war in the Balkans has dominated international headlines. The September 11, 2001 attacks in the United States and the resulting war on terror have taken center stage and rightly dominated our foreign policy. But the 40,000 Bosnians living in the St. Louis area saw the ugly face of terrorism in Srebrenica in July 1995, when approximately 8,000 Muslim men and boys were massacred, and hundreds of women and children were tortured and raped in an area that was supposedly under the protection of the United States. Tens of thousands were evicted from their homes and forced to flee their homeland.

As a direct result of the war in Bosnia-Herzegovina, more than 40,000 Bosnian immigrants now live in the St. Louis area. In fact, it is a privilege for the City of St. Louis to be the home of more Bosnians than anywhere in the world outside Bosnia. Our Bosnian immigrants are productive, peaceful citizens who are making vital contributions to the revitalization of the city and adding ethnic diversity that enriches our community. But as they rebuild their lives, they still bear the emotional scars as victims of genocide and the evils of ethnic cleansing.

It is a solemn 10 year anniversary the world will commemorate in July. As we remember the victims of Srebrenica with this resolution, we also reiterate our support for efforts to identify victims of this massacre through DNA matching and allow families a sense of closure that comes with the opportunity to appropriately commemorate and bury their loved ones. The victims of this genocide also deserve our efforts to put international pressure on those responsible for this terrible tragedy, including Serbian political leader, Radovan Karadzic and General Ratko Mladic, and bring them to justice.

As we join with our new Bosnian immigrants to commemorate the Srebrenica massacre, it is my hope that we will commit ourselves once again to oppose the evil of ethnic cleansing and genocide.

HEARING HEALTH

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, today I want to address this body in order to help raise awareness about an important health problem in our society. Hearing loss impacts the lives of 28 million men, women, and children in the United States. As baby boomers reach retirement age, that number will rapidly climb and nearly double by 2030.

The combined effects of noise, aging, disease, and heredity have made hearing impairments a reality for many Americans. Children with hearing loss may lack speech and language development skills. Seniors may find it difficult to talk with friends, listen to the

television, or hear an alarm. For all Americans, recognizing and treating hearing loss can be the difference between dependence and independence.

Across the country, awareness campaigns have identified hearing loss as a major public-health issue. Last month, Newsweek had a cover story discussing the impact of hearing loss on young Americans. Experts estimate that 21 million people could benefit from a hearing aid, but do not use them or have access to them. I will ask unanimous consent to insert this important news article in the RECORD, so that all of our colleagues can read and learn more about this issue. In addition to educating themselves, I also ask that Members educate their loved ones and constituents about this important issue.

To ensure that Medicare beneficiaries receive direct access to services, I have introduced the Hearing Health Accessibility Act of 2005, S.277 in February of this year. I would like to take this moment to thank all of my colleagues that have cosponsored and supported this legislation. I urge other Senators to consider cosponsoring my bipartisan bill which will become increasingly important as baby boomers enter retirement.

I ask unanimous consent the editorial to which I referred be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From Newsweek, June 6, 2005]

A LITTLE BIT LOUDER, PLEASE

(By David Noonan)

Kathy Peck has some great memories of her days playing bass and singing with The Contractions, an all-female punk band. The San Francisco group developed a loyal following as it played hundreds of shows, and released two singles and an album between 1979 and 1985. Their music was fun, fast and loud. Too loud, as it turned out. After The Contractions opened for Duran Duran in front of thousands of screaming teenyboppers at the Oakland Coliseum in 1984, Peck's ears were ringing for days. Then her hearing gradually deteriorated. "It got to the point where I couldn't hear conversations," says Peck, now in her 50s. "People's lips would move and there was no sound. I was totally freaked out."

Peck the punk rocker lived out one of her generation's musical fantasies two decades ago; Peck the hearing-impaired has been living out one of its fears ever since.

Over the years she has battled her problem, a combination of noise-induced hearing loss and a congenital condition (diagnosed after the traumatic concert), with a variety of strategies and interventions, including sign language, lip reading, double hearing aids and, eventually, surgery on the tiny bones in her middle ears. Today Peck, who used to cry with frustration at movies because she couldn't hear the dialogue, still has ringing in her ears (tinnitus) and mild hearing loss, but gets by, without help.

Aging rockers aren't the only ones struggling with diminished hearing these days. More than 28 million Americans currently have some degree of hearing loss, from mild to severe, and the number is expected to soar in the coming years—reaching an astounding 78 million by 2030. While that looming surge

is mostly a baby-boomer phenomenon, the threat of hearing loss—and the need for prevention—isn't limited to a single age group. We are all caught in the constant roar of the 21st century. It's the rare kid today who doesn't have wires snaking out of her ears as she rocks through the day to her own personal soundtrack. Televisions are bigger and louder than ever, and so are movie theaters. One study estimates that as many as 5.2 million children in the United States between 6 and 19 have some hearing damage from amplified music and other sources. If they don't take steps to protect their hearing, the iPod Generation faces the same fate as the Woodstock Generation. Or worse.

Thanks to their years of living loudly, many boomers are ahead of schedule when it comes to hearing loss, showing symptoms in their late 40s and 50s. (In the past, patients usually weren't diagnosed until their 60s or later.) "We're seeing hearing loss from noise develop at an earlier age than we used to," says Dr. Jennifer Derebery, immediate past president of the American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery. "It's a huge problem." The good news: though hearing loss can't be reversed, reducing exposure to excessive noise, like quitting cigarettes, can improve your health and quality of life, no matter your age.

Of course, noise isn't the only culprit. "Even if you spent your life in the library, you wouldn't hear as well when you're 70 as you do when you're 20," says Dr. Robert Dobie, professor of otolaryngology (ear, nose and throat) at the University of California, Davis. But who spent their lives in the library? Not Kathy Peck and her fans; not the folks riding jackhammers on road crews, and not the firefighters and cops dashing to the rescue with their sirens screaming. Even pediatricians have been known to develop hearing problems after years spent around crying babies. When you combine the excessive noise they have experienced at work, home and play with the natural effects of aging, boomers end up on the receiving end of what Dr. Peter Rabinowitz at the Yale School of Medicine calls a "double whammy that makes people much more symptomatic."

But progress is being made on many fronts. Awareness and prevention efforts—community-based, state and nationwide programs—are gaining support around the country as hearing loss is increasingly recognized as a public-health issue. Advances in digital technology have dramatically improved hearing aids; they are smaller than ever, with far better sound quality. And clinical trials are now underway on permanent, implantable hearing aids for the middle ear which will offer sound that is superior even to the best external aids. On the biological front, scientists are busy trying to unlock the genetics of hearing to find a way to regenerate the sensitive hair cells, essential for hearing, that line the cochlea, the spiral, seashell-like structure located in the inner ear. And way out on the horizon of the cutting edge, researchers have created an experimental brain-implant system that bypasses the ear altogether and sends sound from an external receiver to the part of the brainstem that processes sound.

The product of extraordinary, even beautiful, anatomy, hearing is a natural wonder and exactly the sort of gift we tend to take for granted. "Unfortunately, a lot of people do not value their hearing," says Dr. William Slattery, director of clinical studies at the House Ear Institute in Los Angeles. Hearing may also be too good for its own good. Human ears were originally meant to pick up the faintest sounds of predators stalking our long-ago ancestors—the snap of twigs in the forest, the rustle of grass on the savanna. The crash and racket of modern life, both

urban (motorcycles, subway trains, car alarms) and rural (chain saws, snowmobiles, shotguns), assault and insult these gorgeous instruments.

Most common types of hearing loss occur at the higher frequencies and are caused by damage to hair cells. Slattery describes the cochlea as "a piano, with 15,000 keys rather than 88." Different parts of the cochlea process different frequencies of sound, so when you have hearing loss at a certain frequency, it's as if that part of the keyboard is not functioning. Various levels of noise affect hair cells in various ways. If a rocket-propelled grenade goes off right next to you, you can experience "acoustic trauma" that kills hair cells and causes the instant loss of a great deal of hearing. (Hearing loss is the third most commonly diagnosed service-related ailment, according to the Department of Veterans Affairs.) Hanging out directly in front of the speakers at a Green Day concert could result in a less serious "temporary threshold shift," in which the hair cells are stressed but not permanently damaged. Such stress is often accompanied by ringing in the ears that can last for hours or even days. (Derebery notes that repeated threshold shifts can lead to permanent hearing loss.) And then there's what might be called noisy-world syndrome. While an individual's noise exposure may not reach the official danger zone, the worry is that the chronic din of daily life could lead to deterioration over time. "There's not a lot of data about it," says Rabinowitz, "but our concern is that there is less and less time for the ears to rest, and so the hair cells are going to be prematurely exhausted."

Protecting your hearing starts with understanding how noise works. The classic "formula" for assessing the risk of hearing loss is the intensity of the noise, measured in decibels (the danger starts at 85 decibels, roughly the sound of a lawn mower), multiplied by duration, the time of exposure. In other words, the louder the noise, the less time you should be exposed to it. Prolonged exposure to any noise above 85 decibels can cause gradual hearing loss. According to what experts call the "five-decibel rule," for each five-decibel increase, the permissible exposure time is cut in half. So one hour at 110 decibels is equivalent to eight hours at 95 decibels. And sound levels above 116 decibels (snowmobiles are about 120, rock concerts about 140) are unsafe for any period of time.

For millions of Americans, excessive noise in the workplace is a daily threat. Angelo Iasillo, 45, has worked in road construction since 1989, operating jackhammers and a "road grinder" to tear up Chicago's streets. He first noticed a problem with his hearing when he was in his early 30s and found himself asking more and more people to repeat themselves. He also demonstrated another classic symptom. "I was always putting the TV up louder," he recalls. Worried, he went to the doctor and was told, at 32, that he had the hearing of an 80-year-old. Today, Iasillo wears a hearing aid, uses a vibrating alarm clock that he keeps under his pillow and has his doorbell rigged to a lamp—it blinks when someone rings.

While the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has made great headway against noise-induced hearing loss in the past 20 years, compliance with federal regulations can be a problem in some occupations. Earplugs would certainly help protect road workers like Iasillo, but to be safe at busy work sites they also need to hear what is happening around them. And some professions are louder than we think. Truck-drivers, for example, have a high incidence of hearing loss in their left ears from traffic noise, says Hinrich Staecker, professor of otolaryngology at the University of Maryland School of Medicine.

The National Institutes of Health runs a campaign against noise-induced hearing loss, called "Wise Ears," that emphasizes basic steps like wearing earplugs when operating power tools and moderating the volume on personal listening devices. The ubiquitous music players, which send sound directly down the ear canal, are a potential problem for millions of Americans, young and old. In a recent informal study at the House Ear Institute, researchers found that the new generation of digital audio players, with their exceptional clarity, allow listeners to turn up the volume without the signal distortion that occurs with traditional analog audio. Without distortion, which serves as kind of natural volume governor, listeners may be exposed to unsafe sound levels without realizing it. In preliminary observations, the music at the eardrum topped 115 decibels. Exposure to noise that loud for more than 28 seconds per day, over time, can cause permanent damage.

Kathy Peck, who learned the hard way about the dangers of loud music, has dedicated herself to helping other musicians avoid her fate. Along with Dr. Flash Gordon, the physician from the Haight Ashbury Free Clinic who helped with her hearing loss 20 years ago, Peck cofounded Hearing Education and Awareness for Rockers (HEAR). Since its inception in 1988 (with seed money from the Who's Pete Townshend, whose hearing was also trashed by loud music), the group has helped thousands of young rockers, distributing free earplugs at clubs, concerts and music festivals, and providing free screenings by audiologists.

For more than 6 million Americans, hearing aids are the best available solution for everything from mild to profound hearing loss. Today's digital devices, like the analog instruments that preceded them, amplify sound and transmit it down the ear canal to the eardrum. But the similarities end there. Thanks to digital technologies, modern aids offer better sound quality (above). Top-of-the-line models feature "directional" or "high definition" hearing. These devices use two microphones and an algorithm to enhance sound coming from the front (the person you are talking to), while tuning down sound coming from behind (the rest of the noisy party).

Despite such encouraging technical advances, there are about 21 million people in the United States who could benefit from hearing aids, but don't use them. Many simply can't afford them. Their costs range from a few hundred dollars for a basic analog device to \$3,500 for high-end instruments, and are rarely covered by insurance. Another reason some folks eschew aids is discomfort—they simply don't like the feeling of walking around with a plugged ear canal. And even with digital technology, people can still have difficulty separating speech they want to hear from the background noise, a common hearing-aid problem. Yet another obstacle to wider use is stigma—many people associate hearing aids with aging, Slattery says, and would just as soon cup a hand behind their ear. "They're afraid to look old, but they don't mind looking dumb."

A new generation of implantable and semi-implantable hearing aids, currently being developed and tested, could solve many of these problems. Unlike conventional aids, the new devices transmit sound vibrations directly to the bones in the middle ear, bypassing the eardrum and improving speech perception. "You can amplify the higher frequencies without feedback problems," says Slattery, "and that gives a richness to the sound. It's the high frequencies that help you localize sound and hear better in noisy situations." Other pluses: no clogged ear canal and no visible sign of infirmity. But

until insurance companies start paying for hearing aids (they are under increasing pressure to do so), the \$15,000-to-\$20,000 devices—intended for those with moderate to severe hearing loss—will remain out of reach for most.

A more permanent solution to hearing loss—regenerating damaged cochlear hair cells—is the shared goal of a scattered band of researchers around the country. Unlike birds and other lower vertebrates, which can regenerate hair cells, humans and other mammals get one set, and that's it. If scientists can discover a way to grow new hair cells in humans, exciting new treatments could be devised. Already, researchers at the University of Michigan have used gene therapy to grow new hair cells in guinea pigs. At the House Ear Institute, Andrew Groves and Neil Segil are studying the embryonic development of hair cells in genetically engineered mice. If they can unravel the process, figure out how it starts and why it stops in mammals, they may eventually be able to reactivate the cells and have them make new hair cells. In a related experiment, they have managed to coax some embryonic cochlear cells in mice to restart and become hair cells. "This is new stuff," says Segil, with the calm that often masks excitement in scientific circles.

"If you are going to have a hearing loss, this is the best time to do it," says Char Sivertson, who began to lose her hearing without discernible cause when she was a teenager. Sivertson is downright enthusiastic about things like closed captioning. "It's incredible; now I'm not left out of TV," she says, and ticks off other high-tech advances, such as digital hearing aids and phones that can be "tuned" to improve the clarity of the caller's voice.

But Sivertson, an activist member of the Association of Late-Deafened Adults (ALDA), a support group, wasn't always so gung-ho. "I was in denial for years and years," she says. "I tried to pass for hearing, which was ridiculous." Sivertson was using hearing aids by the age of 24, but it was another 20 years before she fully accepted her fate. And there were some dark days in between. Every few years, her hearing would suddenly get worse. After one such drop, "I was very depressed," says Sivertson, now 57. "I wasn't exactly suicidal, but I was thinking, 'I'm not sure life is going to be very meaningful for me from this point on.'"

Sivertson faced a myriad problems while raising her two sons, Dak and Matt. When there was a school matter or some other issue to discuss, her sons tended to bypass her and go to their dad, Larry, who has normal hearing. "Kids don't want to repeat themselves and stuff like that," says Larry Sivertson. "It's up to the hearing spouse to make sure that the person with hearing loss is involved." Char Sivertson found peace of mind through her association with ALDA. Joining such a group, she says, "is the No. 1 thing you can do for yourself" if you develop hearing loss later in life.

And here's something you can do before you reach that point—learn to appreciate what you already have. Says Yale's Rabinowitz: "If you are watching your diet, if you are exercising, then protecting your hearing should be part of your lifestyle." Sounds good to us.

GRANTS UNDER THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Mr. President, on Sunday afternoon, Hurricane Dennis made landfall on Florida's Gulf Coast, causing billions of dollars in

damage, taking four lives and bringing back terrible memories of last summer's four hurricanes. Some people in north Florida were still recovering from Hurricane Ivan when Dennis struck.

I was down in Pensacola on Monday and saw the damage wrought by Dennis. People are still without power in the summer heat. Food, clean water and ice are absolutely vital right now. Many coastal areas, like the small village of St. Mark's, were deluged by water from the ten foot storm surge. Mitigation helps us to better prepare for future storms, lessens their impact and saves lives.

Last summer, when the Internal Revenue Service ruled that FEMA mitigation grants must be reported as taxable income, I worked to advance a bill ensuring they were exempt from Federal taxes. This bill was signed into law by the President on April 15. Each year, hundreds of Floridians use mitigation grants to protect their lives and property from future natural disasters. Now they know for sure that accepting a mitigation grant to flood proof their home won't result in higher taxes.

Yet even with this relief, another IRS ruling is causing problems with the flood insurance program. That's because according to the IRS, a National Flood Insurance Program, NFIP, grant must be included as income. This could make some recipients ineligible for crucial Federal assistance programs like Food Stamps, aid to dependent children and Medicaid. No one should have to choose between making their home safe from flooding and food or medicine. No other kind of emergency assistance granted by FEMA counts toward income and neither should flood mitigation grants.

I'm pleased to sign onto legislation introduced by my colleague from Florida which would prevent Federal agencies administering means-tested benefits from counting NFIP grants as income. I hope the Senate will consider this legislation quickly and provide peace of mind to Floridians and other Americans living in disaster prone areas of the country.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

CONGRATULATING MS. SHANNON MURPHY

• Mr. BUNNING. Mr. President, today I rise to congratulate Ms. Shannon Murphy of Louisville, KY. Ms. Murphy recently completed the 2004-2005 United States Holocaust Memorial Museum's Teacher Fellowship Program.

The Museum Teacher Fellowship Program develops a national corps of skilled secondary school educators who will serve as leaders in Holocaust education in their schools, their communities, and their professional organizations. In August of 2004, Ms. Murphy participated in a summer institute at the Museum designed to immerse