

alternative to the line item-veto legislation that the Supreme Court struck down in 1998.

Now, I am introducing an updated version of this bill that focuses directly on the President's suggestion that disaster response costs be offset with spending cuts.

The bill is called the Stimulating Leadership In Cutting Expenditures or, "SLICE" Act.

That name fits because the bill would promote Presidential leadership and Congressional accountability on proposals to reduce other spending in order to offset the costs of responding to the recent natural disasters.

Toward that end, it would authorize the President to identify specific items of Federal spending that he thinks should be cut and would require Congress to vote on each of those items.

The bill would apply not only to regular appropriations, but also to the transportation bill that was passed and signed into law earlier this year.

The bill would establish a two-phase process: the President would have until November 1st to tell Congress which, if any, of the spending in the transportation bill should be cancelled. And he would have until the end of this year to identify any items in fiscal year 2006 appropriations bills we want to eliminate.

In each case, if the President proposes a cut, Congress would have to vote on it—we could not ignore the proposal, as can be done under current law—and if a majority approved the cut, it would take effect.

Mr. Speaker, as our budget situation has grown worse, there has been a lot of talk about "earmarks," meaning funding allocations initially proposed by Members of Congress rather than by the Administration.

Some people are opposed to all earmarks. I am not one of them. I think Members of Congress know the needs of their communities, and that Congress as a whole can and should exercise its judgment on how tax dollars are to be spent. So, I have sought earmarks for various items that have benefited Colorado and I will continue to do so.

At the same time, I know—everyone knows—that sometimes a large bill includes some earmarked items that might not be approved if they were considered separately, because they would be seen as unnecessary, inappropriate, or excessive.

Dealing with that problem requires leadership and accountability. My bill would promote both.

Presidents are elected to lead, and only they represent the entire Nation. The bill recognizes this by giving the President the leadership role of identifying just which other spending he thinks should be cut in order to offset some of the amounts the Federal Government will be spending in response to recent natural disasters.

And, under the Constitution, it is the Congress that is primarily accountable to the American people for how their tax dollars will be spent. The bill respects and emphasizes that Congressional role by requiring a vote on each spending cut proposed by the President.

I do not know exactly which spending the President might propose to cut, so I do not know whether I would support some, all, or any of those proposals.

But I do know that we should stop wasting time in theoretical debates about whether we should make spending cuts and start debating specific proposals.

My bill is intended to get that debate started now.

For the benefit of our colleagues, here is an outline of the bill:

STIMULATING LEADERSHIP IN CUTTING EXPENDITURES (SLICE) ACT

The purpose of the bill is to facilitate Presidential leadership and Congressional accountability regarding reduction of other spending to offset the costs of responding to recent natural disasters.

The bill would amend the Budget Act to provide as follows:

The President could propose rescission of any budget authority provided in the recently passed transportation bill or an appropriations Act through special messages including draft bills to make those rescissions.

The President would have until November 1, 2005 to propose canceling spending items in the new Transportation Act and until January 1, 2006 to propose rescissions from FY 06 appropriations bills.

The House's majority leader or minority leader would be required to introduce a bill proposed by the President within two legislative days. If neither did so, any Member could then introduce the bill.

The relevant Committee would be required to report the bill within seven days after introduction. The report could be made with or without recommendation regarding its passage. If the Committee did not meet that deadline, it would be discharged and the bill would go to the House floor.

The House would debate and vote on each proposed rescission within 10 legislative days after the bill's introduction. Debate would be limited to no more than four hours and no amendment, motion to recommit, or motion to reconsider would be allowed.

If passed by the House, the bill would go promptly to the Senate, which would have no more than 10 more days to consider and vote on it. Debate in the Senate would be limited to 10 hours and no amendment or motion to recommit would be allowed.

□ 1145

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PUTNAM. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I applaud the gentleman's bipartisan effort to find ways to pay for these unanticipated expenses that we have come across, and I wish him the best in that effort. I think it is important that we all recognize on both sides of the aisle that offsets are going to be necessary and that we do have to reprioritize.

As the gentleman knows, the President submitted a list through the regular budget process of 150 programs to cut or eliminate earlier this year, and some of them received some attention and others received more attention than others. It is certainly a difficult proposition in this town to eliminate any program, but the President led early this year with that thought in mind and he had mixed success.

Again, recognizing the importance of your bipartisan effort and recognizing the facts that we are going to have to have these offsets, this bill, this rule that we are here to consider essentially keeps the government from shutting down while we have that debate. It appears that there is genuine broad support for the CR and for the rule, and I appreciate that.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MATSUI) for her work on the Rules Committee and what is essentially a broad commitment that we have to have this CR through November 18. Frankly, it is not for lack of effort on the House side. Both parties have a lot of reasons to be proud of the efforts of our appropriators and the entire House. We had a Herculean effort this summer to move these bills on schedule, move them out before July 4th, and because of Supreme Court nominations and everything else obviously the Senate has had other issues on their agenda, and we are in a holding pattern on the appropriations. Nobody wants to see the government shut down after Saturday, so it is important that we move this rule, move the underlying CR, and allow the regular order, the talent and skills that exist within this House, to work their magic as we deal with these unanticipated effects from two gulf storms, and we are not even finished with hurricane season yet.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOLEY). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

adoption of H. Res. 470, by the yeas and nays;

motion to suspend the rules on H. Res. 388, by the yeas and nays;

motion to suspend the rules on H. Con. Res. 245, by the yeas and nays.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3824, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY ACT OF 2005

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the vote on adoption of House Resolution 470 on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 252, nays 171, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 502]

YEAS—252

Abercrombie	Baca	Bartlett (MD)
Aderholt	Bachus	Barton (TX)
Akin	Baker	Bass
Alexander	Barrett (SC)	Beauprez

Berry Goodlatte  
 Biggart Granger  
 Bilirakis Graves  
 Bishop (GA) Green (WI)  
 Bishop (UT) Gutknecht  
 Blackburn Hall  
 Blunt Harris  
 Boehlert Hart  
 Boehner Hastings (WA)  
 Bonilla Hayes  
 Bonner Hayworth  
 Bono Hefley  
 Boozman Hensarling  
 Boren Herger  
 Boustany Herseth  
 Boyd Hobson  
 Bradley (NH) Hoekstra  
 Brady (TX) Hostettler  
 Brown (SC) Hulshof  
 Brown-Waite, Hunter  
     Ginny Hyde  
 Burgess Inglis (SC)  
 Burton (IN) Issa  
 Buyer Istook  
 Calvert Jenkins  
 Camp Jindal  
 Cannon Johnson (CT)  
 Cantor Johnson (IL)  
 Capito Johnson, Sam  
 Cardoza Jones (NC)  
 Carter Keller  
 Castle Kelly  
 Chabot Kennedy (MN)  
 Chocola King (IA)  
 Coble King (NY)  
 Cole (OK) Kingston  
 Conaway Kirk  
 Costa Kline  
 Cramer Knollenberg  
 Crenshaw Kolbe  
 Cubin Kuhl (NY)  
 Cuellar LaHood  
 Cunningham Latham  
 Davis (AL) LaTourette  
 Davis (KY) Leach  
 Davis, Jo Ann Lewis (CA)  
 Davis, Tom Lewis (KY)  
 Deal (GA) Linder  
 DeLay LoBiondo  
 Dent Lucas  
 Diaz-Balart, L. Lungren, Daniel  
 Diaz-Balart, M. E.  
 Dingell Mack  
 Doolittle Manzullo  
 Drake Marchant  
 Dreier Matheson  
 Duncan McCaul (TX)  
 Ehlers McCotter  
 Emerson McCreery  
 English (PA) McHenry  
 Everett McHugh  
 Feeney McKeon  
 Ferguson McMorris  
 Fitzpatrick (PA) Melancon  
 Flake Mica  
 Foley Miller (FL)  
 Forbes Miller (MI)  
 Fortenberry Miller, Gary  
 Fossella Moran (KS)  
 Foxx Murphy  
 Franks (AZ) Musgrave  
 Frelinghuysen Myrick  
 Gallegly Neugebauer  
 Garrett (NJ) Ney  
 Gibbons Northup  
 Gilchrest Norwood  
 Gillmor Nunes  
 Gingrey Nussle  
 Gohmert Ortiz  
 Gonzalez Osborne  
 Goode Otter

NAYS—171

Ackerman Capps  
 Allen Capuano  
 Baird Cardin  
 Baldwin Carnahan  
 Barrow Carson  
 Bean Case  
 Becerra Chandler  
 Berkley Clay  
 Berman Cleaver  
 Bishop (NY) Clyburn  
 Blumenauer Conyers  
 Boucher Cooper  
 Brady (PA) Costello  
 Brown (OH) Crowley  
 Brown, Corrine Cummings  
 Butterfield Davis (CA)

Oxley Fattah  
 Paul Filner  
 Pearce Ford  
 Pence Frank (MA)  
 Peterson (MN) Gordon  
 Peterson (PA) Green, Al  
 Petri Green, Gene  
 Pickering Grijalva  
 Pitts Hastings (FL)  
 Platts Higgins  
 Poe Hinchee  
 Pombo Hinojosa  
 Pomeroy Holden  
 Porter Holt  
 Price (GA) Honda  
 Pryce (OH) Hooley  
 Putnam Hoyer  
 Radanovich Inslee  
 Ramstad Israel  
 Regula Jackson (IL)  
 Rehberg Jackson-Lee  
 Reichert (TX)  
 Renzi Jefferson  
 Reynolds Johnson, E. B.  
 Rogers (AL) Jones (OH)  
 Rogers (KY) Kanjorski  
 Rogers (MI) Kaptur  
 Rohrabacher Kennedy (RI)  
 Ros-Lehtinen Kildee  
 Ross Kind  
 Royce Kilpatrick (MI)  
 Ryan (WI) Kucinich  
 Ryan (KS) Langevin  
 Salazar Lantos  
 Saxton Larsen (WA)  
 Schmidt Larson (CT)  
 Schwarz (MI) Levin  
 Scott (GA) Lewis (GA)  
 Sensenbrenner Lipinski  
 Sessions Lofgren, Zoe  
 Shadegg Lowey  
 Shaw Lynch  
 Shays Maloney

NOT VOTING—10  
 Andrews Gerlach  
 Boswell Gutierrez  
 Culberson Harman  
 Davis (FL) Lee

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOLEY) (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1212

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Messrs. VAN HOLLEN, BAIRD, FATTAH and MCINTYRE changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. CRAMER, BISHOP of Georgia, DAVIS of Alabama and PETERSON of Minnesota changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the resolution was agreed to.  
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:  
 Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, I regret that I missed rollcall vote No. 502 today. If I had been present, I would have voted “no.”

EXPRESSING SENSE OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REGARDING JULY 2005 MEASURES OF EXTREME REPRESSION ON PART OF CUBAN GOVERNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 388.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by

the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 388, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.  
 The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 393, nays 31, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 503]  
 YEAS—393

Abercrombie	Davis (AL)	Hostettler
Ackerman	Davis (CA)	Hoyer
Aderholt	Davis (KY)	Hulshof
Akin	Davis (TN)	Hunter
Alexander	Davis, Jo Ann	Hyde
Allen	Davis, Tom	Inglis (SC)
Andrews	Deal (GA)	Inslee
Baca	DeFazio	Israel
Bachus	DeGette	Issa
Baird	Delahunt	Istook
Baker	DeLauro	Jackson (IL)
Baldwin	DeLay	Jefferson
Barrett (SC)	Dent	Jenkins
Barrow	Diaz-Balart, L.	Jindal
Bartlett (MD)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Johnson (CT)
Barton (TX)	Dicks	Johnson (IL)
Bass	Dingell	Johnson, Sam
Bean	Doggett	Jones (NC)
Beauprez	Doolittle	Kanjorski
Becerra	Doyle	Keller
Berkley	Drake	Kelly
Berman	Dreier	Kennedy (MN)
Berry	Duncan	Kennedy (RI)
Biggart	Edwards	Kildee
Bilirakis	Ehlers	Kilpatrick (MI)
Bishop (GA)	Emanuel	Kind
Bishop (NY)	Emerson	King (IA)
Bishop (UT)	Engel	King (NY)
Blackburn	English (PA)	Kingston
Blumenauer	Eshoo	Kirk
Blunt	Etheridge	Kline
Boehlert	Evans	Knollenberg
Boehner	Everett	Kolbe
Bonilla	Fattah	Kuhl (NY)
Bonner	Feeney	LaHood
Bono	Ferguson	Langevin
Boozman	Filner	Lantos
Boren	Fitzpatrick (PA)	Larsen (WA)
Boucher	Flake	Larson (CT)
Boustany	Foley	Latham
Boyd	Forbes	LaTourette
Bradley (NH)	Ford	Leach
Brady (PA)	Fortenberry	Levin
Brady (TX)	Fossella	Lewis (CA)
Brown (OH)	Foxx	Lewis (KY)
Brown (SC)	Frank (MA)	Linder
Brown, Corrine	Franks (AZ)	Lipinski
Brown-Waite,	Frelinghuysen	LoBiondo
Gallegly	Lofgren, Zoe	
Burgess	Garrett (NJ)	Lowe
Burton (IN)	Gerlach	Lucas
Butterfield	Gibbons	Lungren, Daniel
Buyer	Gilchrest	E.
Calvert	Gillmor	Lynch
Camp	Gingrey	Mack
Cannon	Gohmert	Maloney
Cantor	Gonzalez	Manzullo
Capito	Goode	Marchant
Capps	Goodlatte	Markey
Capuano	Gordon	Marshall
Cardin	Granger	Matheson
Cardoza	Graves	Matsui
Carnahan	Green (WI)	McCarthy
Carter	Green, Al	McCaul (TX)
Case	Green, Gene	McCollum (MN)
Castle	Gutknecht	McCotter
Chabot	Hall	McCreery
Chandler	Harris	McGovern
Chocola	Hart	McHenry
Cleaver	Hastings (FL)	McHugh
Clyburn	Hastings (WA)	McIntyre
Coble	Hayes	McKeon
Cole (OK)	Hayworth	McMorris
Conaway	Hefley	McNulty
Cooper	Hensarling	Meehan
Costa	Herger	Meek (FL)
Costello	Herseth	Melancon
Cramer	Higgins	Menendez
Crenshaw	Hinojosa	Mica
Crowley	Hobson	Michaud
Cubin	Hoekstra	Millender-
Cuellar	Holden	McDonald
Cummings	Holt	Miller (FL)
Cunningham	Hooley	Miller (MI)