

deal with do not justify it. This project epitomizes the need for reform and modernization of the Corps and for Congress to exercise its oversight role.

In the year 2000, Corps economist Donald Sweeney filed for whistleblower protection after Corps leaders fired him when he claimed that Corps officials had ordered him to underestimate how much grain would be shipped to alternatives on the river. Two generals and a colonel ultimately lost their jobs after the Army Inspector General concluded that the Corps had indeed "cooked the books". Yet we have the project before us here today, an example still of the continuing problems of the Corps planning system where non-structural alternatives such as congestion fees, scheduling and switch boats are ignored. This project demonstrates the need for independent review of huge Corps projects. If outside independent review had been applied in the beginning, we would have saved millions of dollars and decades on studies and we would not be arguing about it today.

Make no mistake, every Member of Congress has a stake in this argument, because if we pass this project, it will take up 10 to 15 percent of the entire Corps construction project for years to come. It will delay or eliminate funding for many worthwhile projects around the country when we currently have a \$58 billion backlog of unfinished Corps projects and less than \$2 billion a year to construct them.

Each Member of Congress should ask, Is there a demand for this project? Is it worth the money? Are there cheaper alternatives?

That demand issue is particularly important because this is a project to reduce river congestion on the upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers. But according to the Corps' own data, barge traffic has declined 23 percent from 1992 to 2003. Last year it dropped 19 percent. Lock delays have significantly declined as well in recent years.

The cost justification according to three National Academy of Sciences studies over the last 4 years and the Office of Management and Budget have questioned the methodology used in this project. In 2001, an NAS panel concluded the Corps had relied on overly optimistic barge forecasts for traffic. In December 2003, a second NAS panel reviewed the revised study and renewed their objections. Yet another NAS report came out in 2004 and concluded that, and I quote, the Corps has made substantial progress on the study in the past 3 years but the study contains serious flaws, serious enough to limit its credibility and value within the policymaking process.

There are, in fact, cheaper alternatives. The National Academy of Science concluded in its 2004 report that nonstructural approaches for managing waterway traffic appear not to have been considered at all. Why should we go forward with a project on this scale until we have examined all

the inexpensive, small scale congestion management measures that could be just as effective and make a much greater difference much quicker?

Last but not least, it should be pointed out that we have been pouring money into the area for years. Over the last 15 years, the Corps has rehabilitated many of the locks they now plan to replace. They have spent over \$900 million extending the productive lives of the existing locks and dams.

People ought to take a very close look at this before it comes to the floor. As I mentioned, every Member has a stake in it. When you compare this to our overall water construction projects, it is actually five or six times larger than the "Big Dig" road project in Boston compared to our highway system.

I plan to offer amendments with the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE) to make sure that if we go forward, that we do so with the proper assessment. We should not have political decisions take the place of economic analysis. We have to make sure we are funding legitimate projects, not politicizing the Corps.

NATIONAL HOMEOWNERSHIP MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 4, 2005, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. HARRIS) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Ms. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of National Homeownership Month. I am a strong advocate of homeownership, not only because it is a key component of the American dream but also because it is vital to America's economic security. Statistics show that higher levels of homeownership translate into safer and stronger communities, communities in which people feel more rooted and engaged, in which they feel stronger stakes in their local schools, civic organizations, businesses as well as their churches and synagogues. Additionally, children who are raised in families that own their own homes have shown greater academic success as well as greater levels of self-esteem.

Two years ago, we passed the American Dream Downpayment Act which I introduced to help more American families enter the market for quality, affordable housing. This was an important step on the way toward making homeownership available to everyone, but it was only a first step. We still have much more work to do.

I am proud to have been a cosponsor of the resolution we passed yesterday in honor of National Homeownership Month and I look forward to working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to bring the American dream of homeownership to more families across this country.

COMMEMORATING THE ANNIVERSARY OF IRAQ'S SOVEREIGNTY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 4, 2005, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1 minute.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to congratulate the Iraqi people on the anniversary of the establishment of Iraqi sovereignty. A year ago today, Iraq took the first crucial step toward establishing a democracy and rejoining the international community as a free nation. In January, as all of us know, the Iraqi people took another step forward. In defiance of an insurgency threatening to "make the streets run with blood," 8.5 million Iraqis cast their ballots.

Now, the political and administrative duties of government are run almost entirely by Iraqis. With the help of U.S. and coalition troops, Iraq's security forces now number approximately 170,000. The people of Iraq deal a crushing blow to the insurgency each and every day through the spirit and courage that they demonstrate. The American people stand firmly beside the people of Iraq in their efforts to establish full democratic rule for themselves.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 10 a.m. today.

Accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 23 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess until 10 a.m.

□ 1000

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order at 10 a.m.

PRAYER

The Reverend Dr. Ruffin Snow, Senior Pastor, Tri-City Baptist Church, Conover, North Carolina, offered the following prayer:

Heavenly Father, we bow humbly before You, for You have told us in Your word that You "resist the proud and give grace to the humble." Without You we can do nothing of good consequence. We embrace our place in history and our responsibility. With the psalmist we recognize that promotion comes from God: "He puts down one and sets up another."

We thank You, Lord, for this Nation. We beg forgiveness for our sins. May we become the Nation You intend.

We pray for all those in authority including the Members of this Congress, their staffs, and families in their pressurized lives. Please give our troops and their families strength, protection, and encouragement. Bring confusion to the forces of evil and terrorism.

Lord, we love You. You are the way, the truth, and the life. Help us lead others to know You and Your peace. We proclaim to all that Jesus is Lord, in whose name we pray. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. SHAW led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Monahan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed bills of the following titles in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

S. 260. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to provide technical and financial assistance to private landowners to restore, enhance, and manage private land to improve fish and wildlife habitats through the Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program.

S. 1316. An act to authorize the Small Business Administration to provide emergency relief to shellfish growers affected by toxic red tide losses.

WELCOMING DR. RUFFIN SNOW

(Mr. MCHENRY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MCHENRY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to recognize a great American from my district who is here this morning. Dr. Ruffin Snow, who is the pastor of Tri-City Baptist Church in Conover, North Carolina, gave the morning prayer here on the House floor this morning.

Dr. Snow is one of the strongest men of faith that I have come to know in western North Carolina. For 7 years he has headed the Tri-City Baptist Church and has worked in ministry since 1963. He has both a Master's of Divinity and a Doctorate of Ministry. I have been to Pastor Snow's church. It truly embodies what he strives for his flock to be, and that is an Acts 1:8 church, telling people about Jesus in Jerusalem, Judea, Samaria, and the uttermost parts of the world, essentially taking the message of Jesus to the world, not just to the community, but to the whole world.

As part of that tradition, he is here today sharing his words with the Speaker and the Members of Congress.

Over 3,000 people come to worship every week at Tri-City Baptist, and the Holy Spirit is doing wonders there in that body of believers. I thank Pastor Snow and his family for being here today to be a part of this and for serving as our guest chaplain.

A FISCAL FIRST STEP

(Mr. DELAY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, this week the House will complete its constitutionally mandated initial round of work on the annual appropriations bills that fund our national government.

This spring and summer, the House's restructured Committee on Appropriations and its staff have worked under an accelerated schedule and within an extremely disciplined fiscal budget environment, and they have produced bills that are worthy of the needs and values of the American people.

When the final two of the House's 11 spending bills are passed this week, Foreign Operations and the Transportation, Treasury and Housing and Urban Development bills, the House will have put us on track to hold domestic discretionary spending next year below this year's level.

If we hold to these levels, the Federal Government will realize a real cut in domestic discretionary spending in 2006 for the first time since the Reagan administration. By the end of this week, we will have targeted more than 100 low-priority government programs for termination, more than even President Bush proposed in his austere budget.

These difficult, but necessary, reductions will tighten the Federal Government's belt to the tune of \$4.6 billion. In these bills, the House has met our Nation's pressing needs here at home and around the world, but at the same time has held every program accountable to the American people. Passage of these bills puts us on a path to deficit reduction, just as Republicans promised at the beginning of this Congress.

As we showed in the 1990s, the best, and indeed the only, way to cut the deficit is to hold down government spending while creating an environment for greater economic growth through tax relief. That is exactly what is happening now.

With Federal revenues up and spending checked and even reduced, the Congressional Budget Office has lowered its projected deficit for the year. We are finally on that glide-path to balance. The gentleman from California (Mr. LEWIS) deserves an enormous amount of credit for this achievement, and I would be remiss if I did not also thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member, for his work, especially given this year's accelerated schedule and restructured committee.

The House has taken the first step toward reaffirming fiscal accountability again in 2006; but with the process only half over, you can bet, Mr. Speaker, it will not be our last.

SAVE SOCIAL SECURITY FIRST

(Mr. EMANUEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, our Republican colleagues have unveiled a new proposal to privatize the Social Security surplus. Maybe I am a little confused, but was President Bush not just in West Virginia saying the Social Security trust fund and its surplus did not exist? In West Virginia the President said, There is no Social Security trust fund, just IOUs stacked in an old filing cabinet.

In Washington, D.C., this discovery of the surplus counts as progress. I welcome the Republicans' discovery of the Social Security surplus. The problem is in the last 5 years they have spent \$630 billion of the Social Security surplus on everything under the sun but Social Security.

Privatization of Social Security is the poison pill to progress. Our first priority should be to save Social Security first. That is the position of the American people, and that is the position of the Democratic Party. Before we create private accounts or do anything else to fundamentally alter Social Security, we must guarantee its future. The goal is to strengthen Social Security for the future, something privatization fails to do. The American people have rejected the President's privatization proposal of Social Security, and they will undoubtedly reject this unbaked new idea.

SUPREME COURT COURTS CONFUSION

(Mr. POE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE. Madam Speaker, yesterday in the Supreme Court it was the Ten Commandments versus the Ten Commandments. The score: one for the Ten Commandments and one against the Ten Commandments.

The Supreme Court ruled in a Kentucky courthouse they have to take down those Ten Commandments. The Supreme Court ruled the same day, yesterday, that the Ten Commandments on the Texas capitol grounds, they can leave them up. This was a 5-4 decision, and the Supreme Court has created confusion to legal minds throughout the country and to citizens of this Republic.

Stephen Breyer voted one way in one case, and the other way in the other. He is the swing vote. So what do we do in the future when we want to address the Ten Commandments and where they should be placed? Do we call him