

the right to tamper with official congressional documents for their own political purposes?

How unbelievably arrogant is the leadership of this Congress . . . that they would force their own politicized interpretation of another member's work upon this body and upon the American people, in an official committee report?

The Majority's actions are not only an affront to all members of this house, but they are also an affront to the American people.

There is no question that we can debate and disagree over the impact a bill will have.

We can argue over how well it has been written or what language it should include to be more effective. But regardless of how that debate turns out, the caption on the top of that bill or amendment serves to instruct the American people as to what original intent of that legislation was.

It serves as an unbiased reading on what that amendment aims to accomplish.

To falsify and rewrite that description as a political attack, is not only unprecedented, it is fundamentally dishonest and it is an abuse of the power given to the Majority by the American people.

And I have no doubts Mr. Speaker, no doubts, that unless the Congressional Record is amended to reflect the true captions of these amendments, then we will surely see these erroneous captions again in the form of campaign attack mail pieces.

In fact, when we pressed last night in the Rules Committee to have the record amended to reflect the honest and accurate captions that belong on those amendments, we were defeated on a party line vote.

So now, these honorable and hardworking Members of Congress will be forever branded in the official record as having offered amendments which were designed to protect

sexual predators, when nothing, nothing could be further from the truth.

Mr. Speaker, I have often heard the Chairman of the Rules Committee as well as other Republicans talk about the loss of civility in this chamber.

But perhaps they will be the last to realize, that in order to regain some of that lost civility, they need look no further than their own abusive, unethical and arrogant administration of this House of Representatives.'

The following amendments were offered and voted down by recorded votes in the Judiciary Committee markup of H.R. 748—The Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act (CIANA):

The Judiciary Committee Republicans blatantly mischaracterized these amendments in their official committee report on the bill. This is in a public document containing the legislative history of this bill.

Description of amendment	Amendment description in House Report 109-51
(1) a Nadler amendment allows an adult who could be prosecuted under the bill to go to a Federal district court and seek a waiver to the state's parental notice laws if this remedy is not available in the state court (no 11-16).	Rollcall No. 1. Mr. Nadler offered an amendment that would have created an additional layer of Federal court review that could be used by sexual predators to escape conviction under the bill. By a rollcall vote of 11 yeas to 16 nays, the amendment was defeated.
(2) a Nadler amendment to exempt a grandparent or adult sibling from the criminal and civil provisions in the bill (no 12-19).	Rollcall No. 2. Mr. Nadler offered an amendment that would have exempted sexual predators from prosecution under the bill if they were grandparents or adult siblings of a minor. By a rollcall vote of 12 yeas to 19 nays, the amendment was defeated.
(3) a Scott amendment to exempt cab drivers, bus drivers and others in the business transportation profession from the criminal provisions in the bill (no 13-17).	Rollcall No. 3. Mr. Scott offered an amendment that would have exempted sexual predators from prosecution if they are taxicab drivers, bus drivers, or others in the business of professional transport. By a rollcall vote of 13 yeas to 17 nays, the amendment was defeated.
(4) a Scott amendment that would have limited criminal liability to the person committing the offense in the first degree (no 12-18).	Rollcall No. 4. Mr. Scott offered an amendment that would have exempted from prosecution under the bill those who aid and abet criminals who could be prosecuted under the bill. By a rollcall vote of 12 yeas to 18 nays, the amendment was defeated.
(5) a Jackson-Lee amendment to exempt clergy, godparents, aunts, uncles or first cousins from the penalties in the bill (no 13-20).	Rollcall No. 5. Ms. Jackson-Lee offered an amendment that would have exempted sexual predators from prosecution under the bill if they were clergy, godparents, aunts, uncles, or first cousins of a minor, and would require a study by the Government Accountability Office. By a rollcall vote of 13 yeas to 20 nays, the amendment was defeated.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Texas, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, for her courage in bringing this personal privilege before the House.

The very fact that this Member has been mistreated should cause all of us deep concern. It is wrong and unacceptable.

The fact that a report is being supplemented by the Chairman with significant and startling changes attests to the fact that the Majority knew that the original report was wrongly and inappropriately filed. But that does not resolve the matter—an apology is owed to Ms. JACKSON-LEE by the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee.

I know that the distinguished Chairman, for whom I have great respect, would like to call it a drafting dispute or return to a discussion on the merits of the bill.

In fact, I would think that the Chairman of the Judiciary would be sensitive to the treatment of committee reports and would share my view that committee reports should not be misused to hurt a Member, given that the distinguished Chairman was the cosponsor of a resolution in 1983 regarding the alteration of committee reports, a matter of seriousness that was ultimately investigated by the Ethics Committee.

This issue is about fundamental respect for our democracy, for the dignity of the House, and for the integrity of the proceedings of this body. It is about how we treat each other, and it is about trust and the betrayal of that trust.

The bounds of trust that we need to function in this Body are weakened even further by this sorry and disgusting chapter. What the leadership of the Committee on the Judiciary did is just another extension of the abuse of power of the Republican majority in both Chambers of the Congress of the United States.

What they are doing with the filibuster in the other body is to try to silence the Minority and

break the rules. They are using any means to justify their partisan agenda to the far right, even if it violates the rules, the Constitution, and fundamental decency and trust.

Here in the House, there is an attempt to disregard the rules that protect us all, corrupt the integrity of our proceedings, and demean not only the dignity of this House, but going so far as to demean individual Members.

There is an attempt to limit the voice of the Minority, reducing the opportunity for Members to speak on the floor, and offer substitutes and amendments.

Comity and trust between the Majority and the Minority are essential and must be encouraged. That is why the Republican Leadership has an obligation to come here right now on the floor and disavow this disgraceful behavior.

There is no need for this kind of misbehavior and abuse by the Majority. We should follow the rules of this House and treat each other with the proper respect.

To preserve the trust that the American people place in us, the Republican leadership in this House must pledge that this travesty will never happen again.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the subject of my question of personal privilege today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOOZMAN). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

- H. Res. 193, by the yeas and nays;
- H. Res. 142, by the yeas and nays.

EXPRESSING SUPPORT OF THE HISTORIC MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY TO PROMOTE THE CIVIL SOCIETY IN CUBA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 193.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 193, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 392, nays 22, answered “present” 1, not voting 18, as follows:

[Roll No. 162]
YEAS—392

Abercrombie	Bachus	Bass
Ackerman	Baird	Bean
Aderholt	Baker	Beauprez
Akin	Baldwin	Becerra
Alexander	Barrett (SC)	Berman
Allen	Barrow	Berry
Andrews	Bartlett (MD)	Biggert
Baca	Barton (TX)	Blirakis

Bishop (GA) Filner
 Bishop (NY) Fitzpatrick (PA)
 Bishop (UT) Flake
 Blackburn Foley
 Blumenauer Forbes
 Blunt Ford
 Boehlert Fortenberry
 Boehner Fossella
 Bonilla Foxx
 Bonner Frank (MA)
 Bono Franks (AZ)
 Boozman Frelinghuysen
 Boren Gallegly
 Boswell Garrett (NJ)
 Boucher Gerlach
 Boustany Gibbons
 Boyd Gilchrist
 Bradley (NH) Gillmor
 Brady (PA) Gingrey
 Brady (TX) Gohmert
 Brown (OH) Gonzalez
 Brown (SC) Goode
 Brown, Corrine Goodlatte
 Brown-Waite, Gordon
 Ginny Granger
 Burgess Graves
 Burton (IN) Green (WI)
 Butterfield Green, Al
 Buyer Green, Gene
 Calvert Grijalva
 Camp Gutknecht
 Cannon Hall
 Cantor Harman
 Capito Harris
 Capps Hart
 Capuano Hastings (WA)
 Cardin Hayes
 Cardoza Hayworth
 Carnahan Hefley
 Carson Hensarling
 Carter Herger
 Case Herseth
 Castle Higgins
 Chabot Hinojosa
 Chandler Hobson
 Chocola Hoekstra
 Clay Holden
 Cleaver Holt
 Clyburn Honda
 Coble Hooley
 Cole (OK) Hostettler
 Conaway Hoyer
 Cooper Hunter
 Costa Hyde
 Cox Inglis (SC)
 Cramer Inslee
 Crenshaw Israel
 Crowley Issa
 Cubin Istook
 Cuellar Jackson (IL)
 Culberson Jackson-Lee
 Cummings (TX)
 Cunningham Jefferson
 Davis (AL) Jenkins
 Davis (CA) Jindal
 Davis (FL) Johnson (CT)
 Davis (IL) Johnson (IL)
 Davis (KY) Johnson, E. B.
 Davis (TN) Johnson, Sam
 Davis, Jo Ann Jones (NC)
 Davis, Tom Kanjorski
 Deal (GA) Kaptur
 DeFazio Kelly
 DeGette Kennedy (MN)
 Delahunt Kennedy (RI)
 DeLauro Kildee
 DeLay Kind
 Dent King (IA)
 Diaz-Balart, L. King (NY)
 Diaz-Balart, M. Kingston
 Dicks Kirk
 Doggett Kline
 Doolittle Knollenberg
 Doyle Kolbe
 Drake Kuhl (NY)
 Dreier LaHood
 Duncan Langevin
 Edwards Larsen (WA)
 Ehlers Latham
 Emanuel LaTourrette
 Emerson Leach
 Engel Levin
 English (PA) Lewis (CA)
 Eshoo Lewis (GA)
 Etheridge Lewis (KY)
 Evans Linder
 Everett Lipinski
 Fattah LoBiondo
 Feeney Lofgren, Zoe

Lowey
 Lucas
 Lungren, Daniel E.
 Lynch
 Mack
 Maloney
 Manzullo
 Marchant
 Markey
 Marshall
 Matheson
 Matsui
 McCarthy
 McCaul (TX)
 McCollum (MN)
 McCotter
 McCreery
 McGovern
 McHenry
 McHugh
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 McMorris
 McNulty
 Meehan
 Meek (FL)
 Melancon
 Menendez
 Mica
 Michaud
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Miller (NC)
 Miller, Gary
 Mollohan
 Moore (KS)
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy
 Murtha
 Myrick
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Neugebauer
 Ney
 Northup
 Norwood
 Nunes
 Nussle
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Ortiz
 Osborne
 Owens
 Oxley
 Pallone
 Pascarell
 Pastor
 Pearce
 Pelosi
 Pence
 Peterson (MN)
 Peterson (PA)
 Petri
 Pickering
 Pitts
 Platts
 Poe
 Pombo
 Pomeroy
 Porter
 Price (GA)
 Price (NC)
 Price (OH)
 Putnam
 Radanovich
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Reichert
 Renzi
 Reyes
 Reynolds
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Ross
 Rothman
 Roybal-Allard
 Royce
 Ruppertsberger
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Ryan (WI)

Ryun (KS) Smith (TX)
 Sabo Smith (WA)
 Salazar Snyder
 Sanchez, Linda Sodrel
 T. Solis
 Sanchez, Loretta Stupak
 Saxton Souder
 Schakowsky Spratt
 Schwartz (PA) Stearns
 Schwarz (MI) Strickland
 Scott (GA) Sullivan
 Scott (VA) Sweeney
 Sensenbrenner Tancredo
 Sessions Tanner
 Shadegg Tauscher
 Shaw Taylor (MS)
 Shays Taylor (NC)
 Sherman Terry
 Sherwood Thomas
 Shimkus Thompson (CA)
 Shuster Thompson (MS)
 Simmons Thornberry
 Simpson Tiaht
 Skelton Tiberi
 Slaughter Turner
 Smith (NJ) Udall (CO)

Conyers
 Farr
 Hinchey
 Jones (OH)
 Kilpatrick (MI)
 Kucinich
 Lee
 McDermott
 McKinney
 Meeks (NY)
 Miller, George
 Olver
 Paul
 Payne
 Rangel
 Serrano

Upton
 Van Hollen
 Viscosky
 Walden (OR)
 Walsh
 Wamp
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Watson
 Watt
 Waxman
 Weldon (FL)
 Weldon (PA)
 Weller
 Westmoreland
 Wexler
 Whitfield
 Wicker
 Wilson (NM)
 Wolf
 Wu
 Wynn
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

Stark
 Towns
 Udall (NM)
 Velazquez
 Waters
 Woolsey

Abrcrombie
 Ackerman
 Aderholt
 Akin
 Alexander
 Allen
 Andrews
 Baca
 Baird
 Baker
 Baldwin
 Barrett (SC)
 Barrow
 Bartlett (MD)
 Barton (TX)
 Bass
 Bean
 Beauprez
 Becerra
 Berman
 Berry
 Biggert
 Bilirakis
 Bishop (GA)
 Bishop (NY)
 Bishop (UT)
 Blackburn
 Blumenauer
 Blunt
 Boehlert
 Boehner
 Bonilla
 Bonner
 Bono
 Boozman
 Boren
 Boswell
 Boucher
 Boustany
 Boyd
 Bradley (NH)
 Brady (PA)
 Brady (TX)
 Brown (OH)
 Brown (SC)
 Brown, Corrine
 Brown-Waite,
 Ginny
 Burgess
 Burton (IN)
 Butterfield
 Buyer
 Calvert
 Camp
 Cannon
 Cantor
 Capito
 Capps
 Capuano
 Cardin
 Cardoza
 Carnahan
 Carson
 Carter
 Case
 Castle
 Chabot
 Chandler
 Chocola
 Clay
 Cleaver
 Clyburn
 Coble
 Cole (OK)
 Conaway
 Cox
 Cramer
 Crenshaw
 Crowley
 Cubin
 Cuellar
 Culberson
 Cummings
 Cunningham
 Davis (AL)
 Davis (CA)
 Davis (FL)
 Davis (IL)
 Davis (KY)
 Davis (TN)
 Davis, Jo Ann
 Davis, Tom
 Deal (GA)
 DeFazio
 DeGette
 Delahunt
 DeLauro
 DeLay
 Dent
 Diaz-Balart, L.
 Diaz-Balart, M.
 Dicks
 Doggett
 Doolittle
 Doyle
 Drake
 Dreier
 Duncan
 Edwards
 Ehlers
 Emanuel
 Emerson
 Engel
 English (PA)
 Eshoo
 Etheridge
 Evans
 Everett
 Fattah
 Feeney

[Roll No. 163]
 YEAS—413
 Jones (NC)
 Jones (OH)
 Kanjorski
 Kaptur
 Keller
 Kelly
 Kennedy (MN)
 Kennedy (RI)
 Kildee
 Kilpatrick (MI)
 Kind
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kingston
 Kirk
 Kline
 Knollenberg
 Kolbe
 Kucinich
 Kuhl (NY)
 LaHood
 Langevin
 Larsen (WA)
 Latham
 LaTourrette
 Leach
 Lee
 Levin
 Lewis (CA)
 Lewis (GA)
 Lewis (KY)
 Linder
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Lofgren, Zoe
 Lowey
 Lucas
 Lungren, Daniel E.
 Lynch
 Mack
 Maloney
 Manzullo
 Marchant
 Markey
 Marshall
 Matheson
 Matsui
 McCarthy
 McCaul (TX)
 McCollum (MN)
 McCotter
 McCreery
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McHenry
 McHugh
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 McKinney
 McMorris
 McNulty
 Meehan
 Meek (FL)
 Melancon
 Menendez
 Mica
 Michaud
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Miller (NC)
 Miller, Gary
 Miller, George
 Mollohan
 Moore (KS)
 Moore (WI)
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy
 Murtha
 Myrick
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Neugebauer
 Ney
 Northup
 Norwood
 Nunes
 Nussle
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Ortiz
 Osborne
 Oxley
 Pallone
 Pascarell
 Pastor
 Pearce
 Pelosi
 Pence
 Peterson (MN)
 Peterson (PA)
 Petri
 Pickering
 Pitts
 Platts
 Poe
 Pombo
 Pomeroy
 Porter
 Price (GA)
 Price (NC)
 Price (OH)
 Putnam
 Radanovich
 Rahall
 Ramstad
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Reichert
 Renzi
 Reyes
 Reynolds
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Ross
 Rothman
 Roybal-Allard
 Royce
 Ruppertsberger
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Ryan (WI)

NAYS—22

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

NOT VOTING—18

□ 1851

Ms. LEE, Mr. FARR, Mr. UDALL New Mexico and Mrs. JONES of Ohio changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY changed her vote from "nay" to "yea."

Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin changed her vote from "yea" to "present."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUPPORTING GOALS AND IDEALS OF A ROTARY INTERNATIONAL DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEARCE). The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 142.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 142, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 413, nays 0, not voting 20, as follows: